**MANUSCRIPT TITLE**

Regional random mutagenesis driven by multiple sgRNAs and diverse on-target genome

editing events to identify functionally important elements in non-coding regions

**AUTHORS**

Kento Morimoto[1, 2, *], Hayate Suzuki[3], Akihiro Kuno[4], Yoko Daitoku[3], Yoko Tanimoto[3],

Kanako Kato[3], Kazuya Murata[3], Fumihiro Sugiyama[3], and Seiya Mizuno[3, *]

[1] Doctoral Program in Medical Sciences, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human

Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan

[2] Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Kojimachi Business

Center Building, 5-3-1 Kojimachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0083, Japan

[3] Laboratory Animal Resource Center in Trans-Border Medical Research Center, University

of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan

[4] Department of Anatomy and Embryology, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai,

Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81-29-853-3393; Fax: +81-29-853-

3385; Email: s2030401@s.tsukuba.ac.jp

Correspondence may also be addressed to Seiya Mizuno. Tel: +81-29-853-3393; Fax: +81-

29-853-3385; Email: konezumi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp

**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

Comparative analysis via ROI random mutant library

**ABSTRACT**

Functional regions that regulate biological phenomena are interspersed throughout eukaryotic

genomes. The most definitive approach for identifying such regions is to confirm the

phenotype of cells or organisms in which specific regions have been mutated or removed

from the genome. This approach is invaluable for the functional analysis of genes with a

defined functional element, the protein-coding sequence. In contrast, no functional analysis

platforms have been established for the study of cis-elements or microRNA cluster regions

consisting of multiple microRNAs with functional overlap. Whole-genome mutagenesis

approaches, such as via *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea (ENU) and gene trapping, have greatly

contributed to elucidating the function of coding genes. These methods almost never induce

deletions of genomic regions or multiple mutations within a narrow region. In other words,

cis-elements and microRNA clusters cannot be effectively targeted in such a manner. Herein,

we established a novel region-specific random mutagenesis method named C̲RISPR- &

T̲ransposase-based R̲egionaL̲ Mutagenesis (CTRL-Mutagenesis). We demonstrated that

CTRL-mutagenesis randomly induces diverse mutations within target regions in murine

embryonic stem cells. Comparative analysis of mutants harbouring subtly different mutations

within the same region would facilitate the further study of cis-element and microRNA

clusters.

## INTRODUCTION

Whole-genome sequencing projects in humans (1), mice (2), and other species have inspired researchers to further explore how genomes regulate biological phenomena. Functional elements present within the genome include protein-coding and non-coding genes and cis-regulatory elements that regulate gene expression. The most reliable approach for identifying the functional significance of such elements in biological phenomena is to observe the phenotypes that develop when a specific element has been deactivated or deleted. While loss-of-function analysis has been conducted for numerous coding genes, very limited progress has been made on non-coding genes and cis-elements. The exons, introns, and open reading frames of coding genes have been precisely determined, making it easy to induce specific mutations for functional disruption. In mice, gene targeting techniques for the disruption of a single target gene have been available for more than 30 years, with the emergence of CRISPR-Cas9 leading to further significant progress in targeted gene disruption (3-5). In addition, forward genetic genome-wide random mutagenesis by X-ray, *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea (ENU), and gene trapping has elucidated the functions of an extensive list of coding genes (6-8).

While it is the protein products that directly drive biological phenomena, functional elements that regulate their expression patterns are also of considerable importance. Cis-regulatory elements, such as promoters and enhancers, and non-coding transcripts, such as microRNAs (miRNAs), modulate gene expression at the pre- and post-transcriptional levels, respectively (9). Reporter assays are usually performed to assess the regulatory capacity of such non-coding sequences (10,11). Recently, massively parallel reporter assays (MRPAs), such as self-transcribing active regulatory region sequencing (STARR-seq), have been conducive to the identification of enhancer regions (12). Meanwhile, reporter assays that assess regulatory capacity alone do not provide an understanding of the impact that non-coding sequences have on a given biological phenomenon. This aspect can be addressed via loss-of-function screens of non-coding sequences under biological phenomena (13). Annotations of cis-elements have been stored in databases, such as the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) (14), but these are largely predictive and not as accurate as coding gene annotations. Therefore, comparative analysis through a mutant library harbouring subtly different mutations within a target genomic region holds great promise for the identification of functionally critical regions within cis-elements. Such an approach would be effective for

the functional analysis of miRNA clusters. Over 40% of human and mouse miRNA genes

exist as adjacent clusters on the chromosome (15). Several to more than a hundred miRNAs

are often located in a cluster (16), and these clusters are essential for normal development and

pathogenesis (17-20). Since miRNAs frequently exhibit functional redundance (21),

determining how many miRNAs and what combination of miRNAs are present in a cluster is

of considerable interest. Other important aspects are how these regulate biological

phenomena and what the function of each miRNA in the cluster is. While random

mutagenesis within a target region could help us investigate the above, the most common

whole-genome mutagenesis approaches, such as ENU and gene trapping, almost never induce

deletions of genomic regions or multiple mutations within a narrow region. Therefore, the

identification and functional characterisation of regulatory regions and miRNA clusters

would require a novel mutagenesis approach.

In this study, we established a novel region-specific random mutagenesis approach

named CRISPR & Transposase-based RegionaL Mutagenesis (CTRL-Mutagenesis). Further,

we demonstrated that CTRL-mutagenesis randomly induces diverse mutations only within

the targeted regions in murine embryonic stem (mES) cells. The generated random mutant

mES clone library could facilitate further functional analyses of non-coding regulatory

elements within the genome.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Plasmids

The PB EGFP donor vector (PB-CMV-MCS-EF1α-GFP, #PB511B-1) was purchased from System Biosciences (Palo Alto, CA). The *PiggyBac* transposase (PBase) effector vector (pCX-CAG>mPBase-PGK>HSV-TK) was constructed as follows: a custom fragment containing a Kozak sequence attached to the coding sequence (CDS) of mammalian codon-optimised PBase (mPBase) (GenBanK: EF587698.1) was synthesised by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). The mPBase fragment was replaced from the EGFP of pCX-EGFP (22), regulated under the control of a CAG promoter. PGK>HSV-TK was PCR-amplified from pATD, a gift from Okano, H. (23) (Addgene plasmid #141012) and inserted into the pCX-CAG>mPBase, yielding pCX-CAG>mPBase-PGK>HSV-TK. The vector map of pCX-CAG>mPBase-PGK>HSV-TK is depicted in Supplementary Figure S1.

A PB single-guide RNA (sgRNA) donor vector template (pCX-PB U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry-U6>sgRNA-EGxxFP reporter-PGK>neo) was constructed as follows: the PB-5' inverted terminal repeat (ITR)-internal domain (ID) (PB-5'ITR-ID) and PB-3'ITR-ID were PCR-amplified from PB-U6insert, a gift from Church, G.M. (24) (Addgene plasmid #104536). The U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry containing BbsI sites, which enables directional cloning of sgRNA oligos, was PCR-amplified from pX330-mC deposited in the Riken BioResource Research Center (RDB14406). The PB-5'ITR-ID, U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry, U6>sgRNA-EGxxFP reporter, PGK>neo, and PB-3'ITR-ID from the EGxxFP cassette of pCX-EGxxFP were then replaced, yielding pCX-PB U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry-U6>sgRNA-EGxxFP-PGK>neo. The vector map of pCX-PB U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry-U6>sgRNA-EGxxFP-PGK>neo is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

PB sgRNA donor vectors targeting each *Mirc56_X*, except for *Mirc56_11*, were constructed by ligating oligos into the BbsI site of pCX-PB U6>sgRNA-BbsI entry-U6>sgRNA-EGxxFP-PGK>neo. For *Mirc56_11*, pCX-PB U6>sgRNA-*Mirc56_11*_upstream-U6>sgRNA-*Mirc56_11*_downstream-PGK>neo was constructed from the PB sgRNA donor vector.

The EGxxFP reporter vector (pCX-CAG>EGxxFP reporter-PGK>HSV-TK) was constructed as follows: the non-Chordata fragment (inverted N-part of tdTomato) containing

the sgRNA-EGxxFP reporter target sequence was placed between the N and C parts of the

EGFP fragment of pCX-EGxxFP (25) (Addgene plasmid #50716). PGK>HSV-TK was then

inserted downstream of the CAG>EGxxFP reporter, yielding the pCX-CAG>EGxxFP

reporter-PGK>HSV-TK. The vector map of pCX-CAG>EGxxFP reporter-PGK>HSV-TK is

illustrated in Supplementary Figure S3.

The Cas9 editor vector (pX330-mC-PGK>HSV-TK) was constructed as follows: the

U6>sgRNA scaffold cassette was excised from pX330-mC carrying human codon-optimised

*Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9 (hSpCas9)-Cdt1 (mouse) fusion protein (26). Then,

PGK>HSV-TK was inserted into the pX330-mC excised U6>sgRNA scaffold cassette,

yielding pX330-mC-PGK>HSV-TK. The vector map of pX330-mC-PGK>HSV-TK is

depicted in Supplementary Figure S4.

**Cells**

WT mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and neo-resistance MEFs were established from

WT Crl:CD1 (ICR) or ICR$^{neo}$ (27) foetuses at 14 d post-coitus and inactivated using 10

μg/mL mitomycin C (#M0503; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). MEFs were cultured in

the primary medium [Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (#11995065; Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS)].

C57BL/6J-derived B6J-S1$^{UTR}$ mES cells (28), deposited in the Riken BioResource Cell bank

(AES0140), were cultured on a feeder layer of mitomycin C-inactivated confluent WT ICR or

ICR$^{neo}$ MEFs in culture medium [DMEM supplemented with 20% KnockOut Serum

Replacement (KSR) (#10828028; Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1% non-essential amino acids

(#1681049; MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA), 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (#M6250; Merck

KGaA), and ESGRO-2i Supplement Kit (1:1000, #ESG1121; Merck KGaA)] in a humidified

incubator at 37 °C, under 5% $CO_2$ and 95% air.

Transfections were conducted as follows: for evaluation of *PiggyBac* transposon (PB

transposon) integration, cells were transfected with 200 or 2000 ng of PB EGFP donor vector

and 0, 350, 3500, or 17500 ng of mPBase effector vector. For the integration of the sgRNA

cassette, a PB sgRNA donor vector library was prepared as a mixture of 17 PB sgRNA donor

vectors (118 ng each). Cells were transfected with both 2000 ng of the PB sgRNA donor

vector library and 350 ng of the mPBase effector vector. For constructing a *Mirc56* random

mutant mES clone library, cells were transfected with both 2000 ng of EGxxFP reporter

vector and 1000 ng of Cas9 editor vector. Cells were harvested using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA

(#25200072; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and resuspended in a culture medium with 20% KSR

replaced with 20% FBS. Single mES cells ($7 \times 10^5$ cells) were transfected with vectors using

Lipofectamine LTX (#15338100; Thermo Fisher Scientific) [DNA : Lipofectamine = 1 μg : 4

μL] in 300 μL of Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum Medium (#31985062; Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and then plated in 2 mL of culture medium onto a six-well plate.

Chemical selections were conducted as follows: G418 selection was conducted for 6

days in 150 μg/mL G418/culture medium (#11811023; Thermo Fisher Scientific) 2 days after

transfection, as described previously (29). Ganciclovir selection was conducted for 4 days

under 10 μM ganciclovir/culture medium (#sud-gcv; InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) after G418

selection or 8 days after single-cell sorting, as described previously (30).

**Animals**

An ICR outbred strain was purchased from Jackson Laboratory Japan, Inc. (Yokohama,

Japan). All ICR and ICR[neo] mice were housed in plastic cages under pathogen-free conditions

in a room maintained at 23.5 °C ± 2.5 °C and 52.5% ± 12.5% relative humidity under a 14-h

light:10-h dark cycle. The mice had free access to commercial chow (MF; Oriental Yeast,

Tokyo, Japan) and filtered water. Animal experiments were carried out in a humane manner

with approval from the Institutional Animal Experiment Committee of the University of

Tsukuba, in accordance with the Regulations for Animal Experiments of the University of

Tsukuba and Fundamental Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiments and

Related Activities in Academic Research Institutions under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of

Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

**Cell sorting**

Cells were harvested using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA and resuspended in a culture medium with

20% KSR replaced with 20% FBS. The cell suspension was filtered through 35-μm cell

strainers. The samples were then analysed on a BD FACSMelody cell sorter (BD

Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and single mES cells were sorted (Supplementary Figure

S5). For single-cell cloning, the EGxxFP reporter vector and Cas9 editor vector were

transfected into mES cells 2 days before fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). EGFP-

positive mES cells were placed onto a feeder layer of mitomycin C-inactivated WT ICR

MEFs on 96-well plates. Data analysis was performed using BD FACSChorus software

version 1.3.2.

**sgRNA design**

To induce mutations in *Mirc56* genomic regions, we designed 16 sgRNAs (named sgRNA-

*Mirc56_X)*, targeting each mature-miRNA genomic sequence, except for *Mir881*

(*Mirc56_11*). Since *Mirc56_11* has no PAM sequence for hSpCas9, we designed two

sgRNAs for *Mirc56_11*, with one target site upstream of *Mirc56_11* and the other

downstream. The sequences of sgRNAs are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

To report both Cas9 and sgRNA expression in mES cells using the EGxxFP system

(25), we designed reporter sgRNA (named sgRNA-EGxxFP reporter) targeting the non-

Chordata sequence, tdTomato (Supplementary Table S1).

**Genomic DNA extraction**

For the isolation of genomic DNA, mES cells were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-treated 12-well

plates without MEFs. Genomic DNA was extracted via proteinase K lysis/ethanol

precipitation. To this end, mES cells were lysed in lysis buffer [100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-

HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM EDTA, 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate, and 6 mg/mL proteinase K].

After lysis, one-fifth 5 M NaCl was added into the lysate and then centrifuged at 13000 rpm

for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were added to 70% ethanol, and the genomic DNA was

precipitated.

**Genotyping and short-read sequencing**

Genotyping PCR was performed using AmpliTaq Gold 360 DNA Polymerase (#4398886;

Thermo Fisher Scientific); the primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S2. About 200

bp was amplified around *Mirc56_X* sites. A short-read library was prepared using KOD -

Plus- Neo DNA Polymerase (#KMM-401; TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan) or AmpliTaq Gold 360

DNA Polymerase. The primers used for this are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Nested

PCR for adding the barcode sequence was performed using relevant primers whose barcode

sequences were added to the 5′ end (Supplementary Table S2). Nested PCR amplicons were

purified using 1.12 × AMPure XP beads (#A63881; Beckman Coulter Genomics, Brea, CA).

A 10% spike-in of PhiX control V3 (#FC-110-3001; Illumina, San Diego, CA) was added to

these amplicons. Paired-end sequencing ($2 \times 150$ bases) of these amplicons was performed

using iSeq 100 i1 Reagent v2 (#20031371; Illumina) and iSeq 100 (Illumina).

Sequencing reads were de-multiplexed using the GenerateFASTQ module version

2.0.0 on iSeq 100 Software (Illumina). Analysis of on-target amplicon sequencing was

performed using CRISPResso2 version 2.2.9 in batch mode (31).

**Reagents**

Mitomycin C: Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), #M0503

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM): Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA),

#11995065

KnockOut Serum Replacement (KSR): Thermo Fisher Scientific, #10828028

Non-essential amino acids: MP Biomedicals (Irvine, CA), #1681049

2-mercaptoethanol: Merck KGaA, #M6250

ESGRO-2i Supplement Kit: Merck KGaA, #ESG1121

Trypsin-EDTA: Thermo Fisher Scientific, #25200072

Lipofectamine LTX: Thermo Fisher Scientific, #15338100

Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum Medium: Thermo Fisher Scientific, #31985062

G418: Thermo Fisher Scientific, #11811023

Ganciclovir: InvivoGen (San Diego, CA), #sud-gcv

AmpliTaq Gold 360 DNA Polymerase: Thermo Fisher Scientific, #4398886

KOD -Plus- Neo DNA Polymerase: TOYOBO (Osaka, Japan), #KMM-401

AMPure XP beads: Beckman Coulter Genomics (Brea, CA), #A63881

PhiX control V3: Illumina (San Diego, CA), #FC-110-3001

iSeq 100 i1 Reagent v2: Illumina, #20031371

BD FACSMelody cell sorter: BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ)

iSeq 100: Illumina

**Biological resources**

B6J-S1$^{UTR}$ mES cells: The Riken BioResource Cell bank (Tsukuba, Japan), #AES0140, (28)

Jcl:CD1 (ICR) strain: Charles River Laboratories (Yokohama, Japan)

ICR$^{neo}$ strain: (27)

PB EGFP donor vector (PB-CMV-MCS-EF1α-GFP): System Biosciences (Palo Alto, CA),

#PB511B-1

pCX-EGFP: (22)

pATD: Addgene, #141012, (23)

PB-U6insert: Addgene, #104536, (24)

pX330-mC: The Riken BioResource Research Center (Tsukuba, Japan), #RDB14406, (26)

pCX-EGxxFP: Addgene, #50716, (25)

PB sgRNA donor vectors: The Riken BioResource Research Center

**Data availability/sequence data resources**

252    All sequence data (FASTQ) (Illumina iSeq): SRA under the BioProject ID PRJNA996747.

**Data availability/novel programs, software, and algorithms**

The custom R and Bash scripts to quantify the amounts of 17 sgRNA cassettes for each mES

clone: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24046311

The custom R script to produce the heatmap of gRNA integration coverages:

10.6084/m9.figshare.24046314

**RESULTS**

**Scheme of CTRL-Mutagenesis**

We developed a novel CTRL-Mutagenesis method for inducing random and diverse

mutations only within a region of interest (ROI). The overall scheme of CTRL-Mutagenesis

is illustrated in Figure 1. A DNA vector library expressing each sgRNA for the respective

target sites in the ROI is introduced into cultured cells via *PiggyBac* transposase (PBase). To

evaluate the *in vivo* and *in vitro* feasibility of this method, we selected mES cells. We

obtained mES cells with various combinations of sgRNA expression cassettes integrated,

naming these PB mES cells. To induce random mutations within the ROI, PB mES cells are

treated with Cas9, which cleaves target sequences guided by the randomly integrated sgRNA.

Multiple cleavages of cis-sequences induce insertion and/or deletion (Indel) mutations at each

cleaved site and/or regional deletions flanked by cleaved sites (32). Therefore, even if the PB

mES cells carry an identical combination of sgRNA cassettes, diverse mutations are induced

within the ROI. A random combination of sgRNA cassettes integrated via the *PiggyBac*

system and random on-target CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis enhance the randomness of

mutation combinations induced. As a result, CTRL-Mutagenesis yields an ROI random

mutant mES clone library after single-cell cloning.

**Evaluation of *PiggyBac* transposon integration**

CTRL mutagenesis requires the efficient introduction of multiple sgRNA expression cassettes

into the chromosomes of host cells. To determine optimal doses, we transfected cells with

200 or 2000 ng of donor vector carrying the *EGFP* expression cassette as well as with 0, 350,

3500, or 17500 ng of effector vector carrying mammalian codon-optimised PBase (mPBase)

(33,34) expression cassettes into mES cells (Figure 2A). Without effector vector transfection,

transient expression achieved with 200 ng of the donor vector lasted 6 days after transfection,

while that from 2000 ng of the donor vector lasted for 8 days (Figure 2B). Thus, we defined

EGFP expression after 10 days as an indicator of stable gene transduction. At both donor

vector concentrations, mES cells transfected with 350 ng of effector vectors showed the

highest EGFP-positive ratio 10 days after transfection. Besides, a very high concentration

(17500 ng) of effector vectors induced the lowest EGFP-positive ratio among mES cells

transfected with effector vectors. We then evaluated the intensity of EGFP signals (Figure

2C). The mES cells transfected with 2000 ng of donor and 350 ng of effector vectors showed

broader EGFP intensity than did those transfected with 200 ng of donor and 350 ng of

effector vectors. EGFP signal intensity correlated with the copy number of EGFP cassettes

integrated into genomes (35). These results suggested that even transfection with a higher

dose of donor vector could integrate low to high copy numbers of donor vectors into the

genome. Thus, we decided to use 2000 ng of donor and 350 ng of effector vectors for future

analyses since CTRL-mutagenesis requires diverse sgRNA expression vectors.

**Construction of *Mirc56* random mutant mES clone library**

To prove that CTRL-Mutagenesis randomly induces diverse mutations within the targeted

ROI, we focused on miRNA cluster *Mirc56* on the X chromosome (Figure 3). There are 19

miRNAs in *Mirc56* (hereafter, each miRNA is referred to as *Mirc56_Xs*), interspersed within

the 64 kb genomic region. We targeted *Mirc56* as a proof of concept for the following three

reasons. First, *Mirc56* is located on the X chromosome, and we used the male B6J-S1$^{UTR}$

mES cell line (28) in this study, which allows for the monoallelic assessment of the genotype.

Second, it is the largest miRNA cluster on the X chromosome. Third, *Mirc56* is not expressed

in mES cells, and mutating it probably does not affect survival or proliferation. To induce

mutations in *Mirc56_X*s, we constructed a sgRNA donor vector library carrying a neo-

resistance gene and two sgRNAs, one targeting each *Mirc56_X* (Figure 3) and the other

targeting EGxxFP. We transfected 2000 ng of the sgRNA donor vector library and 350 ng of

the mPBase effector vector carrying mPBase and HSV-TK into mES cells (Figure 4A). We

obtained bulk PB mES cells with a chromosomally integrated sgRNA donor vector and

without the mPBase effector vector via positive selection with G418 and negative selection

with ganciclovir (Figure 4A). To confirm the integration of the various sgRNA donors, we

performed targeted short next-generation sequencing (NGS) using bulk genomic DNA from

PB mES cells as a template to sequence the sgRNAs introduced into chromosomes (Figure

4B). Targeted short NGS revealed the integration of all kinds of sgRNAs, but two (sgRNA

for *Mirc56_2* and *4*) were rarely detected. To induce random mutations on *Mirc56*, we

transfected the EGxxFP reporter vector and Cas9 editor vector (Figure 4A). Both vectors

carried HSV-TK. To efficiently obtain *Mirc56* mutant mES cells, we performed positive

selection with the EGxxFP system reporting CRISPR-Cas system activity; that is, Cas-

induced cleavage of EGxxFP led to EGFP expression (25). The negative selection was

carried out using ganciclovir. The sgRNA donor vectors had sgRNAs targeting not only each

*Mirc56_X* but also EGxxFP. Co-transfection of PB mES cells with a Cas9 editing vector and

an EGxxFP reporter vector resulted in mutations within the *Mirc56* genomic region and the

conversion of EGxxFP to EGFP. To obtain only *Mirc56* random mutant PB mES cells with

an EGFP signal, we sorted single EGFP-positive PB mES cells via FACS, with the gates set

to exclude PB mES cells transfected only with the EGxxFP reporter vector (Figure 4C). The

ratio of EGFP-positive PB mES cells was 4.0% with Cas9 editor and EGxxFP reporter

vectors. We then added ganciclovir to the medium during single-cell cloning to eliminate PB

mES cells in which the editor or reporter was chromosomally integrated. Through PCR, we

confirmed that 87 out of 89 clones carried no integrations of effector, editor, and reporter

vectors (data not shown). Finally, we obtained a *Mirc56* random mutant PB mES clone

library that consisted of 87 mutant clones.

**Evaluation of random integration and random mutation in *Mirc56* random mutant**

**mES clone library**

To determine whether PB mES clones carried various combinations of sgRNA cassettes, we

amplified sgRNA cassettes for *Mirc56_X* and conducted targeted short NGS on 87 clones

(Figure 5A). This heatmap indicated that diverse combinations of sgRNA were integrated,

except for the sgRNA cassettes for *Mirc56_2* and *4*. This rare integration of sgRNA cassettes

for *Mirc56_2* and *4* was consistent with the trend in bulk PB mES cells (Figure 4B). Here, we

focused on the number of sgRNA cassette varieties. The maximum number of sgRNA

cassette varieties integrated into PB mES clones was 16, with an average of 4.7 (Figure 5B).

Besides, to evaluate the properties of our *Mirc56* random mutant PB mES clone library, we

determined the genotypes of *Mirc56* genomic regions, except for *Mirc56_14, 15, 16*, and *17*,

in 87 clones. We skipped genotyping *Mirc56_14, 15, 16,* and *17* (*Mir465* cluster) because

PCR-based genotyping within this region was difficult owing to six tandem repeats (36). The

generated mutation map indicated that almost all clones seemed to harbour different

combinations of mutations (Figure 5C). There seemed to be expected mutation events in each

clone; that is, a single sgRNA induced Indel mutation in its own target *Mirc56_X*, and

multiple sgRNAs induced regional deletion flanked by target *Mirc56_X*s. These results

suggested that Indel mutations and regional deletions were sgRNA-dependent. Besides, the

complex combinations of regional deletions and Indel mutations suggested that Cas9 could

induce multiple mutation events on the same strand. To further evaluate random mutations by

Cas9, we focused on *Mirc56_Xs* targeted by the expressed sgRNA in each clone (Figure 5D).

We excluded *Mirc56_11* from this evaluation because it was targeted by two sgRNAs (Figure

3). Regional deletions were dominant. In addition, an average of 22.7 *Mirc56_X* sites were

targeted in 87 clones, and the frequency of target sites was similar with each *Mirc56_X*,

except for *Mirc56_2* and *4*. These results indicated that almost all kinds of sgRNA cassettes

could integrate with the same frequency except for the *Mirc56_2-* and *4*-targeting cassettes.

Next, we investigated the mutation rate at each target *Mirc56_X* site. On average, 80.5% of

target sites had Indel mutations or regional deletions. These results suggested that CTRL-

Mutagenesis could induce diverse mutations within target sites.

## DISCUSSION

Comparative analysis of a mutant library harbouring subtly different mutations within the

same region is particularly useful for the functional analysis of non-coding sequences. In this

report, we introduce an approach for ROI-targeted random mutagenesis. Further, we

demonstrated that our novel method, named CTRL-Mutagenesis, could randomly induce

diverse mutations within the target ROI. A random combination of sgRNA cassettes

integrated using the *PiggyBac* system and random on-target CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis was

employed to enhance the randomness of induced mutation combinations.

CTRL-Mutagenesis was employed for efficiently constructing an ROI random mutant

library. To this end, we utilised three selection systems, namely, G418 selection (29),

ganciclovir selection (30), and the EGxxFP system (25). These allowed for the specific

selection of ROI random mutant PB mES clones without the integration of unintended

vectors. In fact, 87 out of 89 evaluated clones carried no unintended vectors. Targeted short

NGS captured the integration of various sgRNA cassettes in these 87 clones. We expected

that targeted short NGS could identify precise combinations of integrated sgRNA cassettes

because Indel mutations and regional deletions depended on integrated sgRNA cassettes. As

a result, an ROI random mutant library consisting of 87 clones was efficiently constructed

and evaluated.

To optimise the integration of sgRNA cassettes, we should improve two conditions.

First is the integration frequency among sgRNA cassettes. Our conditions allowed for the

integration of sgRNA cassettes at the same frequency, except for sgRNA cassettes targeting

*Mirc56_2* and *4* (Figures 5A and 5D). The lower frequencies noted in the case of these

cassettes were also observed in bulk PB mES cells (Figure 4B). We considered that such

lower frequencies were derived from the imbalanced integration into bulk PB mES cells

rather than from the imbalanced selection of PB mES clones via FACS. We suspect this was

caused by a technical error, such as an unequal amount of sgRNA donor vector or the

sequence in sgRNA cassettes affecting integration efficiency or cell growth. The second

condition is the number of integrated sgRNA cassettes. Out of 17 kinds of donor vectors, our

conditions integrated 4.7 on average (Figure 5B). The dose of the donor vector had a greater

impact on PB transposon integration into genomes than did the effector vector dose (Figure

2B), in accordance with a previous report (29). In addition, we revealed that even transfection

with a higher dose of donor vector resulted in the integration of low to high copy numbers

into genomes (Figure 2C). Therefore, higher doses of donor vector should further improve

integration copy number and efficiency. Tuning the dose of the donor vector or employing

another PBase, such as hyperactive PBase (hyPBase) (34), could control the number of

sgRNA cassettes to be integrated. Of note, off-target effects could comparatively affect

phenotypes because of high risk that is further increased by two factors. The first factor is the

integration of the PB transposon into random TTAA sites across genomes (37). To avoid this,

one of solutions is to use excision-only-PBase (38) to remove the PB transposon from the

ROI random mutant library. The second factor is mutations in the non-specific mismatch

genomic sites of sgRNAs. The risk increases along with the number of sgRNA varieties.

Alternatively, conventional methods using minimum sgRNAs to regenerate functionally

critical region mutants showing phenotypes reduce the risk.

CTRL-Mutagenesis could randomly induce diverse mutations. However, regional

deletion was dominant in our mutant library (Figure 5C, D). One explanation is that

*Mirc56_X* sites were deleted by flanked cleavage sites even if either they were not the target

sites or Indel mutations were induced at target sites. This is one of our limitations in

constructing a mutant library that harbours subtly different mutations within the same region.

One way to overcome this is using a mixture of Cas9 and base editor (39) or Cas nickase and

gRNA designed on the same strand (40). These options should induce Indel mutation or

substitutions while avoiding or reducing regional deletion.

In the present study, we subjected mES cells to CTRL-Mutagenesis. To validate the

efficacy of our method, further comparative analysis under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions

remains to be performed. We selected mES cells as these can be used to recapitulate

biological development *in vitro* and *in vivo* (41), (28). Organoids are one example of a rapid

evaluation system for such functional analysis (42). In this study, we targeted a miRNA

cluster genomic region, but we also propose the application of CTRL-Mutagenesis for

targeting other non-coding chromosomal regions such as enhancers or promoters. This would

require CTRL-Mutagenesis to induce regional deletions at the respective regions. Taken

together, our CTRL-Mutagenesis is expected to be of great value *in vitro* and *in vivo*

comparative analyses with the aim of elucidating the functional importance of non-coding

regions.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

All sequence data (FASTQ) (Illumina iSeq) from this study can be retrieved from Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject ID PRJNA996747. The custom R and Bash scripts used to quantify the amounts of 17 sgRNA cassettes for each mES clone are available at DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24046311. The custom R script to produce the heatmap of sgRNA cassette integration coverages can be downloaded from DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24046314.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR online.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows [JP21J20699 and JP22KJ0365 to Ke. M.], Challenging Exploratory Research [JP21K19178 to A. K. and S. M.] and [JP22K19236 to Ka. M. and F. S.].

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

**REFERENCES**

1.   International Human Genome Sequencing, C. (2004) Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome. *Nature*, **431**, 931-945.

2.   Mouse Genome Sequencing, C., Waterston, R.H., Lindblad-Toh, K., Birney, E., Rogers, J., Abril, J.F., Agarwal, P., Agarwala, R., Ainscough, R., Alexandersson, M. *et al.* (2002) Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. *Nature*, **420**, 520-562.

3.   Kohan, D.E. (2008) Progress in gene targeting: using mutant mice to study renal function and disease. *Kidney Int*, **74**, 427-437.

4.   Capecchi, M.R. (2005) Gene targeting in mice: functional analysis of the mammalian genome for the twenty-first century. *Nat Rev Genet*, **6**, 507-512.

5.   Zhang, H.X., Zhang, Y. and Yin, H. (2019) Genome Editing with mRNA Encoding ZFN, TALEN, and Cas9. *Mol Ther*, **27**, 735-746.

6.   Thomas, J.W., LaMantia, C. and Magnuson, T. (1998) X-ray-induced mutations in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **95**, 1114-1119.

7.   Justice, M.J., Noveroske, J.K., Weber, J.S., Zheng, B. and Bradley, A. (1999) Mouse ENU mutagenesis. *Hum Mol Genet*, **8**, 1955-1963.

8.   Stanford, W.L., Cohn, J.B. and Cordes, S.P. (2001) Gene-trap mutagenesis: past, present and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet*, **2**, 756-768.

9.   Pagni, S., Mills, J.D., Frankish, A., Mudge, J.M. and Sisodiya, S.M. (2022) Non-coding regulatory elements: Potential roles in disease and the case of epilepsy. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*, **48**, e12775.

10.  Dong, Z.Q., Hu, Z.G., Li, H.Q., Jiang, Y.M., Cao, M.Y., Chen, P., Lu, C. and Pan, M.H. (2018) Construction and characterization of a synthetic Baculovirus-inducible 39K promoter. *J Biol Eng*, **12**, 30.

11.  Sharma, C.M. and Vogel, J. (2009) Experimental approaches for the discovery and characterization of regulatory small RNA. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, **12**, 536-546.

12.  Muerdter, F., Boryn, L.M. and Arnold, C.D. (2015) STARR-seq - principles and applications. *Genomics*, **106**, 145-150.

13.  Ohmura, S., Mizuno, S., Oishi, H., Ku, C.J., Hermann, M., Hosoya, T., Takahashi, S. and Engel, J.D. (2016) Lineage-affiliated transcription factors bind the Gata3 Tce1 enhancer to mediate lineage-specific programs. *J Clin Invest*, **126**, 865-878.

476    14.    Consortium, E.P. (2012) An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human
477            genome. *Nature*, **489**, 57-74.

478    15.    Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H.K., van Dongen, S. and Enright, A.J. (2008) miRBase:
479            tools for microRNA genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **36**, D154-158.

480    16.    Sun, J., Gao, B., Zhou, M., Wang, Z.Z., Zhang, F., Deng, J.E. and Li, X. (2013)
481            Comparative genomic analysis reveals evolutionary characteristics and patterns of
482            microRNA clusters in vertebrates. *Gene*, **512**, 383-391.

483    17.    Ventura, A., Young, A.G., Winslow, M.M., Lintault, L., Meissner, A., Erkeland, S.J.,
484            Newman, J., Bronson, R.T., Crowley, D., Stone, J.R. *et al.* (2008) Targeted deletion
485            reveals essential and overlapping functions of the miR-17 through 92 family of
486            miRNA clusters. *Cell*, **132**, 875-886.

487    18.    Hebert, S.S., Horre, K., Nicolai, L., Papadopoulou, A.S., Mandemakers, W.,
488            Silahtaroglu, A.N., Kauppinen, S., Delacourte, A. and De Strooper, B. (2008) Loss of
489            microRNA cluster miR-29a/b-1 in sporadic Alzheimer's disease correlates with
490            increased BACE1/beta-secretase expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **105**, 6415-
491            6420.

492    19.    Besser, J., Malan, D., Wystub, K., Bachmann, A., Wietelmann, A., Sasse, P.,
493            Fleischmann, B.K., Braun, T. and Boettger, T. (2014) MiRNA-1/133a clusters
494            regulate adrenergic control of cardiac repolarization. *PLoS One*, **9**, e113449.

495    20.    Zhou, L., Miller, C., Miraglia, L.J., Romero, A., Mure, L.S., Panda, S. and Kay, S.A.
496            (2021) A genome-wide microRNA screen identifies the microRNA-183/96/182
497            cluster as a modulator of circadian rhythms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **118**.

498    21.    Fischer, S., Handrick, R., Aschrafi, A. and Otte, K. (2015) Unveiling the principle of
499            microRNA-mediated redundancy in cellular pathway regulation. *RNA Biol*, **12**, 238-
500            247.

501    22.    Okabe, M., Ikawa, M., Kominami, K., Nakanishi, T. and Nishimune, Y. (1997) 'Green
502            mice' as a source of ubiquitous green cells. *FEBS Lett*, **407**, 313-319.

503    23.    Yoshimatsu, S., Sone, T., Nakajima, M., Sato, T., Okochi, R., Ishikawa, M.,
504            Nakamura, M., Sasaki, E., Shiozawa, S. and Okano, H. (2019) A versatile toolbox for
505            knock-in gene targeting based on the Multisite Gateway technology. *PLoS One*, **14**,
506            e0221164.

24. Kalhor, R., Kalhor, K., Mejia, L., Leeper, K., Graveline, A., Mali, P. and Church,
G.M. (2018) Developmental barcoding of whole mouse via homing CRISPR. *Science*,
**361**.

25. Mashiko, D., Fujihara, Y., Satouh, Y., Miyata, H., Isotani, A. and Ikawa, M. (2013)
Generation of mutant mice by pronuclear injection of circular plasmid expressing
Cas9 and single guided RNA. *Sci Rep*, **3**, 3355.

26. Mizuno-Iijima, S., Ayabe, S., Kato, K., Matoba, S., Ikeda, Y., Dinh, T.T.H., Le, H.T.,
Suzuki, H., Nakashima, K., Hasegawa, Y. *et al.* (2021) Efficient production of large
deletion and gene fragment knock-in mice mediated by genome editing with Cas9-
mouse Cdt1 in mouse zygotes. *Methods*, **191**, 23-31.

27. Takahashi, S., Onodera, K., Motohashi, H., Suwabe, N., Hayashi, N., Yanai, N.,
Nabesima, Y. and Yamamoto, M. (1997) Arrest in primitive erythroid cell
development caused by promoter-specific disruption of the GATA-1 gene. *J Biol
Chem*, **272**, 12611-12615.

28. Tanimoto, Y., Iijima, S., Hasegawa, Y., Suzuki, Y., Daitoku, Y., Mizuno, S., Ishige,
T., Kudo, T., Takahashi, S., Kunita, S. *et al.* (2008) Embryonic stem cells derived
from C57BL/6J and C57BL/6N mice. *Comp Med*, **58**, 347-352.

29. Wang, W., Lin, C., Lu, D., Ning, Z., Cox, T., Melvin, D., Wang, X., Bradley, A. and
Liu, P. (2008) Chromosomal transposition of PiggyBac in mouse embryonic stem
cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **105**, 9290-9295.

30. Naujok, O., Kaldrack, J., Taivankhuu, T., Jorns, A. and Lenzen, S. (2010) Selective
removal of undifferentiated embryonic stem cells from differentiation cultures
through HSV1 thymidine kinase and ganciclovir treatment. *Stem Cell Rev Rep*, **6**,
450-461.

31. Clement, K., Rees, H., Canver, M.C., Gehrke, J.M., Farouni, R., Hsu, J.Y., Cole,
M.A., Liu, D.R., Joung, J.K., Bauer, D.E. *et al.* (2019) CRISPResso2 provides
accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. *Nat Biotechnol*, **37**, 224-226.

32. Kraft, K., Geuer, S., Will, A.J., Chan, W.L., Paliou, C., Borschiwer, M., Harabula, I.,
Wittler, L., Franke, M., Ibrahim, D.M. *et al.* (2015) Deletions, Inversions,
Duplications: Engineering of Structural Variants using CRISPR/Cas in Mice. *Cell
Rep*, **10**, 833-839.

33. Cadinanos, J. and Bradley, A. (2007) Generation of an inducible and optimized
piggyBac transposon system. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **35**, e87.

34.  Yusa, K., Zhou, L., Li, M.A., Bradley, A. and Craig, N.L. (2011) A hyperactive
piggyBac transposase for mammalian applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **108**,
1531-1536.
35.  Kolacsek, O., Krizsik, V., Schamberger, A., Erdei, Z., Apati, A., Varady, G., Mates,
L., Izsvak, Z., Ivics, Z., Sarkadi, B. *et al.* (2011) Reliable transgene-independent
method for determining Sleeping Beauty transposon copy numbers. *Mob DNA*, **2**, 5.
36.  Morimoto, K., Numata, K., Daitoku, Y., Hamada, Y., Kobayashi, K., Kato, K.,
Suzuki, H., Ayabe, S., Yoshiki, A., Takahashi, S. *et al.* (2020) Reverse genetics
reveals single gene of every candidate on Hybrid sterility, X Chromosome QTL 2
(Hstx2) are dispensable for spermatogenesis. *Sci Rep*, **10**, 9060.
37.  Yusa, K. (2015) piggyBac Transposon. *Microbiol Spectr*, **3**, MDNA3-0028-2014.
38.  Wang, G., Yang, L., Grishin, D., Rios, X., Ye, L.Y., Hu, Y., Li, K., Zhang, D.,
Church, G.M. and Pu, W.T. (2017) Efficient, footprint-free human iPSC genome
editing by consolidation of Cas9/CRISPR and piggyBac technologies. *Nat Protoc*, **12**,
88-103.
39.  Gaudelli, N.M., Komor, A.C., Rees, H.A., Packer, M.S., Badran, A.H., Bryson, D.I.
and Liu, D.R. (2018) Publisher Correction: Programmable base editing of A*T to
G*C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. *Nature*, **559**, E8.
40.  Gopalappa, R., Suresh, B., Ramakrishna, S. and Kim, H.H. (2018) Paired D10A Cas9
nickases are sometimes more efficient than individual nucleases for gene disruption.
*Nucleic Acids Res*, **46**, e71.
41.  Omori, H., Otsu, M., Nogami, H. and Shibata, M. (2021) Heat shock response
enhanced by cell culture treatment in mouse embryonic stem cell-derived proliferating
neural stem cells. *PLoS One*, **16**, e0249954.
42.  Rossi, G., Manfrin, A. and Lutolf, M.P. (2018) Progress and potential in organoid
research. *Nat Rev Genet*, **19**, 671-687.

**FIGURES LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Scheme of <u>C</u>RISPR & <u>T</u>ransposase based <u>R</u>egiona<u>L</u> Mutagenesis (CTRL-Mutagenesis). Magenta symbols indicate sgRNA target sites. Yellow lightning symbols indicate Indel mutations.

Figure 2. Evaluation of *PiggyBac* transposon integration. **A,** Time course for evaluation of *PiggyBac* transposon (PB transposon) integration. The day when the donor vector carrying EGFP flanked by *PiggyBac* inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) and the effector vector carrying mammalian codon-optimised *PiggyBac* transposase (mPBase) were transfected at several concentrations was defined as Day 0. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was conducted every 2 days after transfection to confirm the ration of EGFP-positive mES cells. Passages were conducted using the remaining murine embryonic stem (mES) cells after FACS. **B,** Ratio of EGFP-positive mES cells and days after transfection. EGFP-positive mES cells were calculated among a total of 200 mES cells passing quality filters by FACS. Blank symbols in the left figure indicate mES cells transfected with 200 ng of donor vector, and filled symbols in the right figure indicate those transfected with 2,000 ng of donor vector. Gray circles, black squares, blue diamonds, and orange triangles indicate mES cells transfected with 0, 350, 3500, and 17500 ng of effector vector, respectively. We stopped evaluating mES cells transfected with a very high concentration (17500 ng) of effector vector on Day 4. **C,** Histogram of EGFP-positive mES cells on Day 10. The upper table shows the conditions of transfection. Lower histograms show all EGFP-positive mES cells in a total of 200 mES cells passing quality filters. The x-axis shows EGFP signal intensity, and the y-axis shows counts of EGFP-positive mES cells.

Figure 3. Gene map of the *Mirc56* region on the X chromosome (65,820,363-65,882,731 bp, GRCm39/mm39). *Mirc56* harbours 19 miRNAs. *Mir465c-1* and *Mir465c-2* (*Mirc56_15*), as well as *Mir465b-1* and *Mir465b-2* (*Mirc56_16*), have identical sequences at the mature-miRNA genomic regions. Magenta symbols indicate sgRNA target sites on *Mirc56_X*'s mature-miRNA genomic sequences, except for *Mir881* (*Mirc56_11*). For deletion of *Mirc56_11*, one of the two sgRNA-*Mirc56_11* targets a region upstream of *Mirc56_11*, while the other targets a downstream region.

Figure 4. Construction of the *Mirc56* random mutant mES clone library. **A,** Workflow for library construction. **B,** Random integrated sgRNA-*Mirc56_X* cassettes in bulk PB mES cells.

The bar colour shows each sgRNA-*Mirc56_X* cassette. The y-axis represents the percentage

of reads with targeted amplicon short next-generation sequencing (NGS). **C,** EGFP-based

single-cell sorting in bulk PB mES cells. The upper table shows the conditions of

transfection. Lower scatter graphs show each mES cell passing quality filters in a total of

2000 events. The y-axis shows the EGFP signal intensity. The boxes in scatter graphs show

the gates of the EGFP filter.

Figure 5. Evaluation of random integration and random mutation in the *Mirc56* random

mutant mES clone library. **A,** Heatmap of integrated sgRNA cassettes in 87 *Mirc56* random

mutant clones. The read counts of each sgRNA cassette were divided by the maximum read

counts in each *Mirc56* random mutant clone. **B,** Scatter graph with the number of varieties of

integrated cassettes in 87 *Mirc56* random mutant clones. **C,** Genomic map of *Mirc56* in 87

*Mirc56* random mutant clones. The x-axis shows the genomic region of *Mirc56_1, 2, 3, 4, 5,*

*6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12*, and *13* without *Mirc56_14, 15, 16*, and *17*. Magenta symbols indicate

sgRNA target sites in each PB mES clone. **D,** Mutations in target sites in 87 *Mirc56* random

mutant clones. The target sites do not include *Mirc56_11, 14, 15, 16*, and *17*. The left vertical

axis and bar graphs show event occurrence, while the right vertical axis and line graph show

the mutation rate on target sites in 87 *Mirc56* random mutant clones. The bar colour indicates

each event (Black: Regional deletion, Gray: Indel mutation, White: Intact).

Figure 1. Scheme of CTRL-Mutagenesis.

Figure 2. Evaluation of *PiggyBac* transposon integration.

Figure 3. Gene map of *Mirc56* region on X chromosome.

Figure 4. Construction of *Mirc56* random mutant mES clone library.

Figure 5. Evaluation of random integration and random mutation in *Mirc56* random mutant

mES clone library.