X chromosome encoded histone demethylase UTX regulates mammalian axon regeneration via microRNA-124

Shu-Guang Yang[1, 2, #], Xue-Wei Wang[2, #], Chang-Ping Li[4, #], Tao Huang[5, #], Qiao Li[2], Ling-Rui Zhao[2], Cheng Qian[2], Saijilafu[6], Chang-Mei Liu[4, *], and Feng-Quan Zhou[2, 3, 1, *]

[1] Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310016, China

[2] Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[3] The Solomon H. Department of Neuroscience, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[4] State Key Laboratory of Stem Cell and Reproductive Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences; Beijing Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine, Beijing 100101, China.

[5] State Key Laboratory of Frigid Zone Cardiovascular Diseases (SKLFZCD), Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, Shenyang, Liaoning 110016, China.

[6] Key Laboratory of Novel Targets and Drug Study for Neural Repair of Zhejiang Province, School of Medicine, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310015, China

[#] These authors contributed equally to this study

*Correspondence:

Chang-Mei Liu, Ph.D. Email: liuchm@ioz.ac.cn

Feng-Quan Zhou, Ph.D. Email: fzhou4@zju.edu.cn

Running head: Regulation of axon regeneration by histone methylation

SUMMARY

Neurons in the mammalian central nervous system (CNS) gradually lose their intrinsic regeneration capacity during maturation mainly because of altered transcription profile. Recent studies have made great progress by identifying genes that can be manipulated to enhance CNS regeneration. However, as a complex process involving many genes and signaling networks, it is of great importance to deciphering the underlying neuronal chromatin and transcriptomic landscape coordinating CNS regeneration. Here we identify UTX, an X-chromosome associated gene encoding a histone demethylase, as a novel regulator of mammalian neural regeneration. We demonstrate that UTX acts as a repressor of spontaneous axon regeneration in the peripheral nerve system (PNS). In the CNS, either knocking out or pharmacological inhibiting UTX in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) leads to significantly enhanced neuronal survival and optic nerve regeneration. RNA-seq profiling revealed that deleting UTX switches the RGC transcriptomics into a developmental-like state. Moreover, microRNA-124, one of the most abundant microRNAs in mature neurons, is identified as a downstream target of UTX and blocking endogenous microRNA124-5p results in robust optic nerve regeneration. These findings revealed a novel histone modification-microRNA epigenetic signaling network orchestrating transcriptomic landscape supporting CNS neural regeneration.

KEYWORDS

UTX, histone methylation, H3K27me3, microRNA-124, glaucoma, axon regeneration, optic nerve regeneration, chromatin structure

INTRODUCTION

Axon regeneration in the mammalian central nervous system (CNS) has been a long standing and highly challenging issue in the biomedical research field. A major reason for the failed CNS axon regeneration is that mature mammalian CNS neurons permanently lose their intrinsic ability to support axon growth during maturation.[1-3] Recent studies have identified many genes that could be manipulated to significantly boost the intrinsic axon regeneration ability of mature CNS neurons, including *PTEN, KLF4/6/7/9, GSK3β, SOCS3, c-MYC, B-RAF, and Lin28*.[4-12] However, the molecular mechanisms, especially at the transcriptional and chromatin regulation level, by which many genes act coordinately to regulate axon regeneration is still rudimentary and fragmented. Thus, understanding the intrinsic transcriptomic networks and chromatin modulation specifically underlying axon growth during development and regeneration is of great importance in the neural regeneration field.

Epigenetic regulation is a key mechanism to control chromatin structure and the subsequent transcriptomic state[13], making it a likely mechanism for regulating the intrinsic axon regeneration ability. There are three major epigenetic modifications, including DNA methylation, histone modification, and non-coding RNAs. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression has been widely studied in many other fields, such as cancer and stem cells. To date there is emerging evidence that epigenetic regulation also plays key roles in controlling neural regeneration, although most of which were related to spontaneously occurred PNS regeneration. For instance, several studies, including ours,[11, 14-19] have illustrated the important roles of microRNAs in regulation of PNS axon regeneration. In addition, DNA methylation has also been shown to be involved in axon regeneration.[20-22] Dynamic histone acetylation could control chromatin accessibility and gene transcription of regeneration-associated genes (RAGs) to enable the regenerative capacity.[23-26] Moreover, our study[16] has provided *in vivo* evidence that

microRNA-138 and histone deacetylase SIRT1 form a mutual negative feedback loop to control

sensory axon regeneration, suggesting crosstalk between two epigenetic signaling. Furthermore, a

recent study[27] using multiomics sequencing characterized the unique chromatin signature and

associated transcription profile during spontaneous sensory axon regeneration, highlighting the key

roles of histone acetylation in supporting axon regeneration.

Ubiquitously transcribed X chromosome tetratricopeptide repeat protein (*UTX*, also named

KDM6A) and Jumonji domain-containing protein 3 (*JMJD3*, also named *KDM6B*) are chromatin

modifiers that specifically erase trimethyl groups of H3 histone at lysine 27 (H3K27me3), thereby

generating transcriptionally permissive chromatin.[28, 29] The roles and mechanisms of both UTX and

JMJD3 have been extensively studied for their involvement in development[28-30], differentiation[31, 32],

cell plasticity[33], aging[34-37], cell reprogramming[38, 39], inflammatory response[40, 41], tumorigenesis[42, 43],

and neurodegeneration[44, 45]. In the nervous system, our recent studies[46] demonstrated that UTX

functioned to regulate neuronal dendritic development and various cognitive functions through

demethylation of H3K27me3. In addition, previous studies suggested that JMJD3 served as a crucial

regulator of neuronal maturation and survival.[47, 48] In contrast, our latest study[49] revealed that H3K27

methyltransferase EZH2 acts to control multiple steps of neuronal morphogenesis during development,

thereby leading to cognitive consequences in adult mice. There is early evidence that PNS axotomy

can trigger dynamic changes of histone methylation in sensory neurons.[26] However, to date, the

functional role of histone methylation in axon regeneration is still under intensive investigation.

Here, we report that deleting *UTX* or *JMJD*3 significantly promotes sensory axon regeneration

in vivo. Importantly, deleting *UTX* in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) markedly enhanced optic nerve

regeneration. Mechanistically, our data demonstrated that UTX acted via a PTEN/PI3K independent

pathway to enhance optic nerve regeneration. Moreover, by analyzing and comparing transcriptomic

changes of purified RGCs during development/maturation to that of *UTX* knockout, we found that

deleting *UTX* reactivated developmental-like growth programs via modified chromatin structure and

transcriptomics in RGCs. Finally, RNA sequencing analysis identified miR-124-5p as a downstream

target of *UTX* to regulate optic nerve regeneration, and inhibiting miR-124-5p directly was sufficient

to enhance long distance optic nerve regeneration. Collectively, our findings revealed that X

chromosome encoded histone demethylase, together with its downstream target miR-124-5p, formed

an epigenetic network to regulate mammalian neural regeneration by reshaping the neuronal

transcriptomic landscape to a developmental-like state.

RESULTS

UTX and JMJD3 are negative regulators of spontaneous sensory axon regeneration

To characterize the roles of demethylases UTX and JMJD3 in axon injury and regeneration, we first examined how they acted in sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) during spontaneous axon regeneration. We performed immunostaining analyses to examine how sciatic nerve injury (SNI) affected the protein levels of UTX or JMJD3 in sensory neurons *in vivo*. The results showed that the protein levels of UTX in the nuclei of sensory neurons were significantly reduced 1- and 3-days post SNI *in vivo* (Fig. 1a, b). JMJD3 staining demonstrated that it was presented in both cytoplasm and the nucleus in uninjured naïve neurons, but gradually excluded from the nucleus after SNI (Fig. 1c, d). Time-course analyses by real time PCR further showed that the mRNA levels of *UTX* were gradually downregulated after SNI, reaching its minimum at day 7 post-SNI (Fig. 1e). Similarly, the levels of *JMJD3* were also significantly reduced 1 day post-SNI but recovered back at day 7 (Fig. 1e). As a result, the level of H3K27me3 was significantly increased after SNI.[50] Together, these data suggested that histone demethylases UTX and JMJD3 might function to suppress axon regeneration. To test this idea, we used our well-established *in vivo* electroporation technique [51] to co-transfect sensory neurons with a group of 4 different siRNAs against *UTX* or *JMJD3* and the EGFP plasmid. According to our previous study, the transfection efficiency of fluorescence labeled siRNAs and EGFP via *in vivo* electroporation is over 96%[11], and 5-10%[51], respectively. Therefore, we considered all EGFP positive neurons as siRNA positive. Western blot results showed that siRNAs significantly reduced the protein levels of UTX or JMJD3 *in vivo* (Fig. 1f, g). To assess functionally how down-regulation of UTX or JMJD3 affected sensory axon regeneration, we analyzed axon regeneration 2 days post-SNI when sensory axon regeneration was just starting (Fig. 1h). The results showed that

knocking down *UTX* or *JMJD3* in sensory neurons markedly enhanced axon regeneration *in vivo* (Fig.

1i-l). Together, these results demonstrated clearly that H3K27 demethylases UTX and JMJD3 were

important repressors of sensory axon regeneration.

***UTX* knockout enhances optic nerve regeneration and RGC survival**

Since the role of UTX in sensory axon regeneration has been confirmed, we further used optic

nerve regeneration to explore how UTX regulated CNS neural regeneration. When the levels of UTX

and H3K27me3 were examined in the retina after optic nerve crush (ONC), the results showed that the

protein level of UTX in RGCs was unchanged (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b), whereas the level of

H3K27me3 was transiently decreased 1 day after ONC (Extended Data Fig. 1c, d). To examine the

mRNA levels of *UTX* in RGCs, we enriched the Thy1.2 positive RGCs by immunomagnetic cell

separation (MACS) and the positive rate of Thy1.2 in positive fraction was 80.8±4.43% based on flow

cytometric analysis (Extended Data Fig. 1e, f). Real time PCR analysis showed that the mRNA levels

of *UTX* in RGCs were not changed by ONC (Extended Data Fig. 1g), confirming the immunostaining

results. By using an available single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) dataset of adult mouse RGCs[52], we

further confirmed that the expression levels of UTX in RGCs were unchanged at different time points

after ONC (Extended Data Figure 5a, b). The unchanged levels of UTX in RGCs upon ONC were in

stark contrast to that occurred in sensory neurons upon SNI, suggesting that UTX in RGCs might act

to suppress optic nerve regeneration.

To assess how UTX regulated optic nerve regeneration, we obtained the *UTX* floxed mice.

Because *UTX* gene is located in the X chromosome, the female mice have both alleles of *UTX* floxed

(*UTX^{f/f}*), whereas the male mice having only allele floxed with *UTY* gene at the other allele (*UTX^{f/y}*).

UTX were knocked out by intravitreal injection of adeno-associated virus (AAV2) vector encoding the

Cre recombinase. The transduction rate of AAV2-Cre in RGCs was $88.8 \pm 7.56\%$ (n=2 retinas),

evaluated by co-immunostaining the whole mount retina with antibodies against Tuj1 and Cre

(Extended Data Fig. 2). The mRNA level of *UTX* in the purified RGCs from the *UTX^{f/f}*/AAV2-Cre

mice was markedly downregulated compared with that from the control *UTX^{f/f}*/AAV2-GFP mice

(Extended Data Fig. 3a). In consistent, immunostaining analysis 2 weeks after viral infection showed

significantly reduced level of UTX in RGCs in AAV2-Cre injected retinas (Extended Data Fig. 3b, c).

As expected, deleting the demethylase UTX resulted in significantly elevated level of H3K27me3 in

RGCs (Extended Data Fig. 3d, e). To determine how knocking out *UTX* in RGCs affect RGC survival

and optic nerve regeneration, we performed ONC two weeks after the virus injection in either

UTX^{f/f}/AAV2-Cre mice or the control mice (wild type littermate mice injected with AAV2-Cre). Two

weeks after ONC, RGC axons were labeled anterogradely by intravitreal injection of the Alexa-594-

conjugated cholera toxin β (CTB) and optic nerve regeneration was assessed (Fig. 2a). Fluorescence

3D images of tissue-cleared optic nerves were acquired with confocal microscopy as described in our

previous study.[53] Wild type mice showed minimal optic nerve regeneration passing the crush sites,

whereas knocking out *UTX* in female mice significantly promoted optic nerve regeneration (Fig. 2a-

c). Knocking out *UTX* in male mice also induced enhanced optic nerve regeneration, but to a much

lesser degree (Fig. 2a-c), likely due to the presence of *UTY* gene in the Y chromosome, which has been

shown to have demethylase activity.[54] Furthermore, we obtained UTX enzyme-dead knockin mice

(UTX-mut KI) which possess the H1146A and E1148A point mutations in exon 24.[55] To investigate

the role of UTX enzymatic activity in optic nerve regeneration, we first performed ONC in either UTX-

mut KI mice or the control mice (wild type). Two weeks later, optic nerve regeneration was analyzed

by quantifying the number of CTB-594 labeled axons (Fig. 2d). The number and length of regenerating

axons in UTX-mut KI mice were enhanced significantly compared to those in wild type mice,

suggesting that histone demethylase activity of UTX played a functional role in optic nerve

regeneration (Fig. 2d-f). Tissue clearing and 3D imaging allowed us to perform detailed analyses of

axonal tip morphology and axon trajectory at the single axon level. As described in our previous

study[53], we first quantified the sizes of the distal axon ends of each regenerating axon. We found that

UTX deletion significantly reduced the sizes of the distal axon ends, compared to wild type (Fig. 2g,

Extended Data Fig. 4). Specifically, in wild type mice, the majority of weakly regenerated short axons

had bulbous structures at the distal tips. About 20% of the axons formed the bigger terminal swellings

(tip/shaft ratio > 4) defined as retraction bulb-like structures, which are the characteristic structures of

non-regenerating axons (Fig. 2g). In contrast, most regenerating axons in male or female mice with

UTX deletion showed slim growth cones (Fig. 2g, Extended Data Fig. 4), leading to enhanced axon

extension. Furthermore, detailed analysis of axon trajectory showed that in either wild type or *UTX*

knockout mice, within the optic nerve regenerating axons often had a meandering path and many of

them made U-turns (Fig. 2h, Extended Data Fig. 4), indicating the inhibitory nature of the mature

visual system. Interestingly, deleting *UTX* seemed to enhance the U-turn rate, likely due to increased

number of regenerating axons. Next, we investigated whether UTX affected the RGC survival with

two widely used in vivo cell death models, including the ONC and the NMDA models. When RGC

survival was examined 2 weeks post-ONC, the results showed that knocking out *UTX* in either female

or male mice significantly protected RGCs from apoptosis (Fig. 2i, j). In the NMDA damage model,

deleting *UTX* also markedly increased RGC survival (Fig. 2k, l).

To explore the potential of translational application, we tested whether a delayed inhibition of

UTX in RGCs after the injury could also promote optic nerve regeneration. We used GSK-J4, a small

molecule catalytic site inhibitor selective for the H3K27me3-specific demethylase subfamily (*UTX*

and *JMJD3*).[56] GSK-J4 was injected intravitreally every 3 days for 2 weeks after the ONC. The

immunostaining result showed that GSK-J4 treatment significantly increased H3K27me3 levels in

RGCs, indicating the inhibitory effect on UTX and/or JMJD3 (Fig. 3a, b). When axon regeneration

was examined, the results showed that in control mice DMSO had little effect on optic nerve

regeneration, whereas significant regeneration was observed in mice with GSK-J4 treatment (Fig. 3c,

d). The average lengths of the top 5 longest regenerating axons in mice injected with GSK-J4 were

markedly longer than those in control mice with DMSO injection (Fig. 3e). Similar to that of *UTX*

knockout, delayed pharmacological inhibition of UTX also promoted RGC survival (Fig. 3f, g).

Collectively, these results indicated that deleting demethylase UTX was a novel and effective approach

to enhance both optic nerve regeneration and neuronal survival after axonal injury.

We next assessed the effects of deleting *JMJD3* in adult RGCs on optic nerve regeneration.

The expression pattern of JMJD3 within RGCs was firstly analyzed at different time points after ONC.

The dot plot and violin plot obtained from scRNA-seq dataset[52] showed that the mRNA level of *JMJD3*

was increased upon ONC (Extended Data Figure 5a, c). By performing immunofluorescence staining,

we further confirmed the upregulation of JMJD3 in RGCs after ONC (Extended Data Figure 5d, e).

Real time PCR result showed that AAV2-Cre infection of *JMJD3* floxed mice led to significant

reduced level of *JMJD3* mRNA level in enriched RGCs compared with that of AAV2-GFP (Extended

Data Fig. 6a). To our surprise, the level of H3K27me3 in RGCs was not significantly changed

(Extended Data Fig. 6b, c). When optic nerve regeneration was quantified, knocking out *JMJD3* did

not significantly increase the number of regenerating axons at different distances from the crush site

(Extended Data Fig. 6d, e). However, the average lengths of the top 5 longest regenerating axons in

JMJD3 knockout mice were slightly but significantly longer than that of wild type mice (Extended

Data Fig. 6f). Moreover, when both *UTX* and *JMJD3* were knocked out, there was no additive

promoting effect on optic nerve regeneration (Extended Data Fig. 6d, g, h). Although deleting *JMJD3*

had very minor promoting effect on regeneration, it significantly enhanced RGC survival, and double

knocking out *UTX* and *JMJD3* showed additive effect on RGC survival (Extended Data Fig. 6i, j).

UTX knockout enhanced optic nerve regeneration via distinct pathways from that of PTEN

deletion

Activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling in adult RGCs is one of

the major pathways for promoting optic nerve axon regeneration downstream of several genes, such

as PTEN, Lin28, Osteopontin, and Akt/GSK3, etc.[57] Thus, we investigated if UTX deletion also

enhanced optic nerve regeneration through the same pathway. Immunostaining of retina sections

showed that at 3 days after injury, deleting *UTX* alone in RGCs had no effect on the percentage of

phospho-S6 (p-S6) positive RGCs (Fig. 4a, b), whereas UTX/PTEN co-deletion dramatically increased

the percentage of p-S6+ RGCs (Fig. 4c, d). In addition, the level of H3K27me3 was significantly

elevated in *UTX/PTEN* double knockout RGCs (Fig. 4e, f). These results indicated that *UTX* knockout

led to novel downstream signaling pathways distinct from that of PTEN deletion and mTOR activation.

We therefore examined the effects of co-deleting *UTX* and *PTEN* in adult RGCs on optic nerve

regeneration. AAV2-Cre were injected into the vitreous body of *PTEN^{f/f}* or *PTEN^{f/f}/UTX^{f/f}* mice to

delete *PTEN* or *PTEN/UTX*. Real-time PCR analysis showed that the mRNA levels of both *UTX* and

PTEN were significantly reduced in *PTEN*/*UTX* double knockout mice (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Optic

nerve regeneration was examined 2 weeks after the ONC. The results showed that concomitant deletion

of PTEN and UTX in adult RGCs triggered faster optic nerve regeneration and more regenerative

axons, compared with PTEN deletion alone (Fig. 4g-i). At 2 weeks after ONC, some long regenerative

axons almost reached the region proximal to optic chiasm (Fig. 4g). Based on whole tissue 3D imaging,

we also tracked the trajectories of individual RGC axons in distal region of the optic nerve (Extended

Data Fig. 7b). Within the distal optic nerve, many regenerating RGC axons induced by PTEN deletion

alone showed wandering trajectories with frequent U-turns (Fig. 4j), similar to that of *UTX* knockout

alone (see Fig. 2h). However, the U-turn rate was markedly reduced when *UTX* and *PTEN* were both

knocked out (Fig. 4j). Moreover, we noticed that some regenerating RGC axons extended multiple

branches from the axonal shafts or at the axon ends (Extended Data Fig. 7b). Quantification of axonal

branching showed that compared to PTEN deletion alone, the combination of UTX and PTEN deletion

led to increased formation of axon branches (Fig. 4k), indicating enhanced axon growth capacity. The

additive effects of *UTX/PTEN* double knockout were persistent to 6 weeks after ONC (Extended Data

Fig. 8a-c). In *PTEN* single knockout mice almost no regenerating axons could be identified in the optic

chiasm, whereas many regenerating axons in *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice reached and entered

the optic chiasm with reduced rate of U turn (Extended Data Fig. 8a, d).

To better examine how regenerating axons behaved at the optic chiasm, we performed a distal

intra-orbital optic nerve crush that shortened the distance axons needed to grow to reach the optic

chiasm (Fig. 4l). At 4 weeks after the injury, in *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice many regenerating

axons entered the chiasm, whereas in significant contrast almost no axons in *PTEN* knockout mice

reached the chiasm (Fig. 4l, m). Moreover, we observed that in the mice with UTX/PTEN co-deletion,

more than 60% of regenerating axons stopped growing or turned back at the entry area of the chiasm,

indicating optic chiasm as a significant inhibitory barrier (Fig. 4l, n). For regenerating axons entering

the chiasm most of them were found in the ipsilateral optic tract (Fig. 4n, Extended Data Fig. 9),

indicating axon misguidance. A few regenerating axons crossed the midline into the contralateral optic

tract or extend into the opposite uninjured optic nerve (Fig. 4l, n, Extended Data Fig. 9). We also

assessed growth patterns of RGC axons entering the chiasm in *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice

(Extended Data Fig. 9). The results showed that within the optic chiasm approximately 20% of axons

made sharp turns, and axonal branches were observed in different region (Fig. 4o, p, Extended Data

Fig. 9). Notably, RGC axons entering the chiasm showed reduced U-turns and axon branching (Fig.

4o, p) compared with those within optic nerve (Fig. 4j, k), suggesting spatial differences of the

environment between the two regions.

UTX deletion in RGCs triggered activation of developmental-like growth programs

To further gain mechanistic insights into promoting effect of UTX on axon regeneration, we

performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of the enriched RGCs across different developmental stages

(postnatal day 1, 14 and 21) and at 3 days after injury of adult (P42) wild type (AAV2-GFP) or UTX

knockout mice (AAV2-Cre). Dissociated RGCs were labeled with Thy1.2 antibody, subjected to

fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for RGC enrichment, and identified by labelling for Tuj1

(Extended Data Fig. 10). Two RNA-seq datasets (13 bulk RNA-seq libraries) were generated from

FACS-purified RGCs (Extended Data Fig. 11a). It is widely believed that during neuronal maturation

the changes in cellular states at the transcriptional level underlie their ability to support regeneration.

Young neurons have strong axon growth ability to form the neural circuits. Mature neurons lose the

ability to grow and change to support synaptic function.[58] Significant differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) were first recognized by comparing the gene expression profiles between P1 and P14 RGCs,

but modest DEGs between P14 and P21 RGCs (Extended Data Fig. 11b, c and e). These results

indicated that RGCs changed their cellular states from young to mature between P1 and P14. Gene

enrichment ontology (GO) analysis showed that downregulated DEGs in P14 RGCs compared to P1

RGCs were associated with axonogenesis, developmental cell growth and neuron projection guidance,

supporting that axon growth ability reduced during neuronal maturation (Extended Data Fig. 11d, f).

We further compared the transcriptomic profiles of injured adult control or *UTX* knockout RGCs (P42)

to RGC maturational datasets. Hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) revealed that the profiles were

grouped into two main clusters with similar gene expression pattern (Fig. 5a). The first cluster included

P1, P14 and AAV2-Cre (*UTX* knockout RGCs), while the second cluster involved P21 and AAV2-

GFP (control RGCs). In addition, the transcriptomic profiles of injured *UTX* knockout RGCs (AAV2-

Cre) was more similar to the P1 and P14 than to wild type control RGCs (AAV2-GFP) and P21 RGCs.

Furthermore, principal component analysis (PCA), the linear dimensionality reduction method, was

adopted for precisely screening the significantly components and finding potential clusters across all

samples. The results showed that the first principal component (Dim 1) contribute the most (60.6%)

for explaining the variance (Fig. 5b). *UTX* knockout (AAV2-Cre) clustered close to P1 and had similar

features with P14 and P21 along Dim 1 compared to wild type (AAV2-GFP), suggesting the strong

commonalities of *UTX* knockout and developmental stage RGCs (Fig. 5b). Next, we identified genes

with significant contribution in the PCA clustering, especially relevant to Dim 1. GO enrichment

analysis was conducted to reveal the biological roles of genes involved in Dim 1 and 2. As shown in

Fig. 5c, Dim 1 explained most variance in the transcriptomic pattern of genes involved in postsynaptic

organization, dendrite development, neuron projection organization, and neuron apoptotic process etc.

By calculating the z-score of genes contributed to the top 20 enriched GO terms, it showed

distinct heterogeneity between wild type and other groups, but significant homogeneity between UTX

deletion and P14 (Fig. 5d). Meanwhile, Double hierarchical clustered heatmap showed that many of

these genes with top contribution in Dim 1 were associated with activation of developmental and

growth programs. For instance, several genes, including EHMT2[59], BRSK1[60], EEF1A2[61] and LRFN4[62],

have previously been shown to induce axon initiation, formation, sprouting and outgrowth (Fig. 5e).

Thus, this finding suggests that knocking out *UTX* in adult injured RGC triggers reactivation of

developmental-like growth programs, leading to an immature cellular state.

To further identify gene regulatory networks that control the changes mediated by UTX

deletion, we performed a comparative analysis that profiled transcription in enriched RGCs. In total,

574 common DEGs were identified (425 significantly upregulated and 149 downregulated) at the

thresholds of $|\log_2 FC| > 1$ (FC, fold change) and adjusted *P*-value of < 0.05 (Fig. 6a, b). Furthermore,

GO enrichment analysis indicated that the majority of genes downregulated by UTX deletion were

associated with sensory perception, sensory system development, retina homeostasis and response to

light stimulus (Fig. 6c), most of which related to mature neuronal functions. On the other hand, the

highly enriched GO terms of up-regulated DEGs were mainly related to regulation of virus, response

to cytokine and lymphocyte activation (Fig. 6c) that were mostly non-neuronal genes. Several

significantly altered genes were involved in axon regeneration (Fig. 6d). For example, KLF4[63], Myc[9]

and THBS1[64] has been shown to play crucial roles in controlling on regeneration. Additionally,

CaMK2 reactivation can protect RGCs in multiple injury/disease models.[65] Taken together, these

results suggested that UTX deletion promoted optic nerve axon regeneration via activating

developmental-like growth programs and repressing mature neuron enriched genes.

miR-124-5p acts downstream of UTX to regulate optic nerve regeneration

Among repressed genes induced by *UTX* knockout, we focused on *microRNA-124* (*miR-124*),

which was one of the most significantly downregulated genes (log$_2$ FC = -1.897, *P* = 2.29×10^{-13},

adjusted *P*-value = 1.18×10^{-10}) in *UTX* knockout mice after injury based on RNA-seq result (Fig. 7a).

After *UTX* knockout, all 3 loci encoding miR-124 at different chromosomes had markedly reduced

transcription, coincident with closed chromatin structure. *miR-124*, as a highly enriched miRNA in

mature neurons, has been shown to play essential roles in neurogenesis and neuronal differentiation

during development and cellular reprogramming to neurons.[66-68] There are 2 mature forms of miR-

124, miR-124-3p and miR-124-5p. Real time PCR analysis showed that mature miR-124-3p and miR-

124-5p levels were both significantly reduced in the enriched RGCs from the retinas of *UTX^{f/f}*-AAV2-

Cre mice compared to that from the *UTX^{f/f}*-AAV2-GFP mice (Fig. 7b, Extended Data Fig. 12a). Thus,

we asked whether *miR-124-5p/3p* could be downstream targets of *UTX* to regulate axon regeneration.

By using three different search engines (miRDB, TargetScan and miRWalk), we first explored a set of

overlapped candidate targets of miR-124-5p or miR-124-3p. The GO analysis of potential miR-124-

5p targets showed that enriched terms were involved in synapse organization, developmental growth,

axon guidance, axonogenesis and neuron projection extension (Fig. 7c, d), suggesting that miR-124-

5p might function to regulate axon regeneration. Therefore, we intravitreally administered *miR-124-*

5p/3p antagomirs into wild type mice to assess the effects of miR-124 suppression on axon

regeneration and neuronal survival. Wild type mice injected with scrambled antagomir was used as

the control. Two weeks after ONC, we observed that miR-124-5p suppression led to significant optic

nerve regeneration with many regenerating axons extending 1.5 mm from the crush site (Fig. 7e, f).

The average lengths of the top 5 longest regenerating axons in the mice with miR-124-5p suppression

were significantly longer than those in control mice (Fig. 7g). However, miR-124-5p suppression did

not seem to significantly enhance RGC survival (Fig. 7h, i). In contrast to *miR-124-5p*, analysis of

potential *miR-124-3p* targets did not show obvious connections to neural development (Extended Data

Fig. 12b, c). Indeed, suppressing *miR-124-3p* with specific antagomir did not promote optic nerve

regeneration compared with the control group treated with scrambled antagomir (Extended Data Fig.

12d, e). Similarly, miR-124-3p suppression showed no effect on RGC survival rate (Extended Data

Fig. 12f). Together, these data demonstrated clearly that specific inhibition of *miR-124-5p* but not *miR-*

124-3p was able to markedly enhance optic nerve regeneration.

To confirm that genes encoding *miR-124* were indeed targeted by *UTX* knockout-induced

H3K27me3, we performed ChIP-PCR analysis using anti-H3K27me3 on DNA nucleotides upstream

of miR-124 gene in the chromosome 3 (see Fig. 7a, miR-124-2). The results revealed that comparing

with wild type RGCs, trimethylated histone H3K27me3 showed increased interaction with 3 DNA

regions preceding miR-124 (R1-3), especially at R3 region (Fig. 7j).

DISCUSSION

Recent progresses in axon regeneration research have shown that significant changes in gene transcription underlie the regenerative capacity of mammalian PNS neurons, and silence of such genetic programs is responsible for the diminished intrinsic axon regeneration ability of mammalian CNS neurons. Our study provided clear and strong evidence that X chromosome encoded histone deacetylase UTX and its paralog JMJD3, acting as regeneration repressors to regulate spontaneous sensory axon regeneration *in vivo*. More importantly, conditional UTX deletion in RGCs resulted in robust optic nerve regeneration and increased RGC survival via reshaping RGC chromatin and transcriptomic landscape back to a developmental-like state. Interestingly, our results showed that knocking out *UTX*, but not *JMJD3*, significantly promoted optic nerve regeneration after ONC. However, deletion of either UTX or JMJD3 markedly protected RGCs from apoptosis, and deleting both of them showed additive effect on RGC protection. Despite up to 84% of sequence similarity in the JmjC domain between UTX and JMJD3[69], accumulating evidence indicated that they played surprisingly contrasting roles in cellular reprogramming[38, 39], neural commitment[70], skeletal formation[71], embryonic development[72, 73], muscle regeneration[74], lifespan extension[75], plasma cell formation[76] and tumorigenesis[77, 78]. These findings also suggested that the distinct functional roles of UTX and JMJD3 were associated with demethylase-dependent and -independent manners, relative expression, post-translational modifications and complex formation. Thus, further investigations may shed light on their unique physiological roles in axon regeneration and RGC survival.

Although many genes have been identified to induce optic nerve regeneration, we have limited knowledge about how neuronal transcriptomics landscape is reshaped during regeneration via epigenetic modification. By performing and analyzing RNA-seq of enriched RGCs at different

developmental/maturation stages and between wild type and *UTX* knockout mice, we found that

deleting *UTX* in mature RGCs changed their transcriptomic landscape back to a developmental-like

state. As a result, mature RGCs regained their intrinsic ability to support axon regeneration. In our

latest study[49], we found that H3K27 methyltransferase EZH2 in post-mitotic neurons functioned to

repress dendrite development during development. Together with this study, it seems that H3K27me3

functions as a major epigenetic regulator of neuronal morphogenesis, promoting axon growth but

suppressing dendrite development.

Downstream of *UTX* knockout, we identified *miR-124* as targeted genes to regulate optic nerve

regeneration. As one of the most abundant microRNAs in mature neurons, miR-124 has been shown

to be a key regulator that coordinates multiple genes to control neuronal fate determination during

neural development.[66, 68, 79] Moreover, overexpression of miR-124 has been shown to reprogram

somatic cells into neurons.[80, 81] It should be noted that these aforementioned functions are regulated by

the well-studied miR-124-3p. In contrast, here we showed that mature miR-124-5p, but not miR-124-

3p, acted to regulate optic nerve regeneration, revealing a novel function of miR-124. Importantly,

epigenetic regulation, such as histone modifications and microRNAs, can orchestrate a large-scale

change in gene expression to regulate biological functions. The UTX-miR-124 networks revealed in

this study are well positioned to reshape the gene expression landscape of mature CNS neurons at both

the transcriptional and translational levels to enhance axon regeneration.

Lastly, UTX has been shown to escape from X inactivation and be expressed in the inactivated

X chromosome. As a result, the protein level of UTX is higher in female than that in male mice in

most cells, including neurons. A recent study[82] showed that UTX contributed to the resistance of

neurodegenerative insults of neurons in female mice. Interestingly, based on previous epidemiology

studies, it is known that women are more likely to be affected by glaucomatous disease, especially for

angle-closure glaucoma (ACG), for which nearly 70% are women.[83, 84] Our study raised the possibility

that higher level of UTX in female RGCs might increase the susceptibility of female animal to retinal

injury.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals

All experiments involving animals were performed in accordance with the animal protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Johns Hopkins University, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Zhejiang University. 8- to 12-week old adult female CF-1 mice (weighing from 30 to 35 g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and housed in the University Animal Facility. The female $UTX^{f/f}$ mutant mice (Kdm6atm1c(EUCOMM)Jae) possessing loxP sites flanking exon 3 of the Kdm6a (lysine (K)-specific demethylase 6A) gene, the $JMJD3^{f/f}$ mutant mice (B6.Cg-Kdm6btm1.1Rbo/J) possessing loxP sites flanking exons 14-20 of the Kdm6b (lysine (K)-specific demethylase 6B) gene and the $PTEN^{f/f}$ mutant mice (B6.129S4-Ptentm1Hwu/J) possessing loxP sites flanking exon 5 of the Pten (phosphatase and tensin homolog) gene were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. UTX enzyme-dead knockin mice possessing the H1146A and E1148A point mutations in exon 24, as a gift, were obtained from Dr. Kai Ge at the National Institutes of Health.

Immunostaining, fluorescence microscopy, and image analysis

The DRG or retina cryostat sections were collected and immunostained with the antibody against H3K27me3 (1:1000), UTX (1:500), JMJD3 (1:500), or p-S6 (1:500) each co-immunostained with the neuronal marker Tuj1 (1:1000) antibody. To quantify the fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3, UTX, JMJD3, or p-S6 in sensory neurons, only Tuj1 positive cells were selected for measurement. The mean fluorescence intensity of each sensory neuron in DRG or RGC in retina section was measured using the ImageJ software. The percentage of p-S6 positive RGCs was calculated by dividing

the number of p-S6/Tuj1 double positive cells by the number of Tuj1 positive cells. For DRG, 3

independent DRG tissues in each condition were used in each condition. For each DRG, 3 sections

were selected and 30 sensory neurons in each section were selected for measurement. For retina, 3

independent retinal tissues in each condition were used. For each retina, 3-8 sections were selected and

15-20 RGCs in each section were selected for measurement. The "n" in the figures indicates the

number of independent experiments.

In vivo electroporation of adult DRG neurons and quantification of axon regeneration

The in vivo electroporation of adult mouse DRGs was performed as described previously.[51]

Briefly, under anesthesia induced by katamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg), a small

dorsolateral laminectomy was performed to expose the L4 and L5 DRGs. EGFP plasmid or EGFP plus

siRNA oligos (1-1.5 µl per ganglion) were injected into the DRGs using pulled glass capillaries and a

Picospritzer II (Parker Ins.; pressure, 30 psi; duration: 8 ms). Immediately after injection,

electroporation was performed by applying five pulses of current (35 V, 10 msec, 950-msec interval)

using a custom-made tweezer-like electrode powered by the Electro Square Porator ECM830 (BTX

Genetronics). The mice were allowed to recover after closing the wound. Two days after the

electroporation, the sciatic nerves were crushed with fine forceps and the crushed sites were marked

with nylon epineural sutures (size 10-0). Two days later, the mice were perfused with ice-cold 4% PFA

in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The whole nerve segment was then dissected out and further

fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Before whole-mount flattening, it was confirmed that the place of

epineural suture matched the injury site, and experiments were included in the analysis only when the

crush site was clearly identifiable.

For quantification of in vivo axon regeneration, the fluorescence images of the whole mount

nerves were first obtained. All identifiable EGFP-labeled axons in the sciatic nerve were then manually

traced from the crush site to the distal growth cone to measure the length of axon regeneration. Only

nerves with at least 15 identifiable axons were measured, and the "n" in the figures indicates the

number of mice.

457   Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (Real time-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated with the TRizol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), then reverse

transcribed by using the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Roche Applied Science). To quantify the

mRNA levels with real time PCR, aliquots of single-stranded cDNA were amplified with gene-specific

primers and Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Invitrogen) using the CFX96™ real time PCR

detection system (Bio-Rad). Specific primers used in this study are listed in Extended Data table 1.

The PCR reactions contained 20-40 ng of cDNA, Universal Master Mix (Invitrogen), and 200 nM of

forward and reverse primers in a final reaction volume of 20 µl. The ratio of different samples was

calculated by the build in data analysis software of the CFX96™ real time PCR system.

467   Intravitreal injection, optic nerve crush, and RGC axon anterograde labeling

The mice were anaesthetized with intra-peritoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine (100

mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). 1 µl of AAV2 viruses (Titers $> 1 \times 10^{13}$ vg/ml), GSK-J4 (300 mM),

or antagomirs (556 mM), 1.5 µl NMDA (20 mM) for NMDA-induced retinal neurotoxicity were

injected into the vitreous body of 6- to 8-week old mutant or wild type mice by glass micropipette

connected to a Picospritzer II (Parker Inc.) (pressure: 15 psi; duration: 6 ms). Two weeks after

intravitreal injection, the right optic nerve was exposed intraorbitally and crushed with Dumont #5

forceps for 5 seconds approximately 1 mm behind the optic disc.

To label RGC axons in the optic nerve by anterograde labeling, 1.5 μl of cholera toxin β subunit

(CTB) conjugated with fluorescence Alexa-594 (2 μg/μl, Invitrogen) was injected into the vitreous 2

days before sacrificing the animals. Sacrificed animals were fixed by perfusion with 20 mL of 0.1 M

phosphate buffered saline (PBS), followed with 40 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 5 ml/minute.

Retina and optic nerve segments were dissected out and post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C. The

fixed optic nerves were rinsed with cold PBS three times and stored in cold PBS until further use.

Tissue dehydration and clearing

Optic nerves were first dehydrated in increasing concentrations of tetrahydrofuran (THF, 50%,

70%, 80%, 100% and 100% for 20 minutes each) in amber glass bottles as described in a previous

publication.[85] Incubations were carried out on an orbital shaker at room temperature. Optic nerves were

then transferred into benzyl alcohol/benzyl benzoate (BABB, 1:2 in volume; Sigma) clearing solution

for 20 minutes. During the whole procedure optic nerves were protected from light to reduce the loss

of CTB fluorescent signal.

Imaging and quantification of RGC axon regeneration in the optic nerve

The whole-mount cleared optic nerves were imaged using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal

microscope controlled by ZEN software. A 20X objective was used to acquire image stacks with 2-

μm z spacing. The Motor-driven XY scanning stage with tiling function were used to scan the optic

nerve with 15% overlap in the X Y dimensions. The 3D image was created by Imaris 9.2 (Bitplane).

For quantification of optic nerve axon regeneration, every 4 consecutive images were projected

to generate a series of Z-projection images of 8-μm optical sections. In each Z-projected image, the

number of CTB-labeled fibers was counted at 250-μm intervals distal to the crush site till the place

where no fluorescence signals were visible. The numbers at each 250-μm intervals were summed over

all Z-projection images.

For quantification of the average lengths of top 5 longest regenerating axons, all acquired

images were merged together using ZEN software to generate the maximum intensity Z-projection

images. Then the lengths of the top 5 longest axons were manually measured from the ends to the

crush site with ImageJ software (NIH).

Analyses of axonal tip morphology and axon trajectory

The analysis of axonal tip morphology was performed as described previously.[86] For

quantification of the size of the distal axon ends, the tips of top 20 longest regenerating axons were

identified in the Z-projection images of each nerve. Using ImageJ software (NIH), the maximal width

of the axonal tips was measured and was presented as a ratio with respect to the diameter of the adjacent

axon shaft (tip/axon shaft ratio). The axon ends with tip/axon shaft ratio > 4 were defined as retraction

bulb, while the others as growth cone.

For quantification of the U-turn rate, in the Z-projection images the trajectories of top 20

longest axons within optic nerve or all axons entering the optic chiasm were tracked near the axonal

ends. The axonal trajectory that made a turning with the angle between the direction of the axonal tip

and the longitudinal axis of the optic nerve greater than 90 degrees was defined as a U-turn. The U-

turn rate of each nerve was presented by U-turn number/axon number.

For quantification of branching index, in the Z-projection images the axonal tips number was

counted from the selected site (with 20 regenerating axons passing or the optic nerve-chiasm transition

zone) till the place where no tips were visible. The branching index of each nerve was calculated by

axonal tips number/selected axon number (20 axons for optic nerve or all axons for the optic chiasm).

Quantification of RGC transduction and survival rates

Retinas were dissected out for whole-mount preparations. Retinal whole-mounts were blocked

in the staining buffer containing 2% BSA and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 hour before incubation

with primary antibodies. Primary antibodies used: Tuj1 (1:500), Cre (1:500). Retinas were incubated

with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C and washed four times for 10 minutes each in PBS containing

0.5% Triton X-100. For secondary antibodies, Alexa Fluor-488/647-conjugated antibodies were used.

Confocal images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (20X objective). Images

were organized and analyzed using ZEN and ImageJ software. For RGC survival quantification,

whole-mount retinal tissues were immunostained with Tuj1 antibody to label the survival RGCs. 15-

20 fields were randomly sampled from the peripheral regions of each retina. The survival rate was

calculated by measuring the ratio of Tuj1 positive cell number in retina with optic nerve crush (ONC)

to that in control retina without ONC. To quantify RGC transduction efficiency by AAV2-Cre, whole-

mount retinal tissues were double-immunostained with Tuj1 and Cre antibodies. 8-12 fields were

randomly sampled from the peripheral regions of each retina. The transduction efficiency was

calculated by counting and calculating the ratio of Cre/Tuj1 double-positive cell number to the number

of Tuj1 positive cells.

Western blot

Total protein was extracted with the RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail and

phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. 15 μg of each protein sample was separated by a 4-12% gradient SDS-

PAGE gel and electrotransferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Nonspecific bands were

blocked with 5% non-fat milk in 1x TBS containing 0.1% tween-20 (TBST) for 1 hour at room

temperature. Membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies of H3K27me3 (1:1000), H3

(1:5000), UTX (1:1000), JMJD3 (1:1000), β-actin (1:10000) as internal control overnight at 4 °C and

followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies with a concentration of 1:2000 for 1 hour at room

temperature. Membranes were washed with TBST for 15 minutes three times after each antibody

incubation. The immunocomplex bands were visualized by the ECL kit (GE Healthcare), then analyzed

with the ImageJ software (NIH). The relative protein level of each target was calculated by first

normalizing to the internal control, and then the control group.

Purification of RGCs

Retinas were dissected, incubated with 20 units/ml papain and 0.005% DNase (Worthington)

for 10 minutes at 37 °C, then dissociated into a single-cell suspension in pre-sort buffer (BD FACS).

Retinal cells were filtered through a 40-μm cell strainer (BD Falcon), then collected by centrifugation

at 500 g for 5 minutes. Supernatant was removed and immediately pelleted cells were resuspended in

400 μl of pre-sort buffer. Cell suspension was incubated with Fc block antibodies for 5 minutes at 4°C

and then stained with Thy1.2-FITC antibody and IgG2a isotype antibody (Thermo fisher) as a negative

control for 30 minutes at 4 °C, respectively. Retinal cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 500 g for

5 minutes. Supernatant was discarded and pelleted cells were washed one time with 500 μl of pre-sort

buffer. Cell suspension was incubated with DAPI for 5 minutes before FACS sorting, and then sorted

on a BD FACSAria Fusion using a 100-micron nozzle. Sorted cells were immediately subjected to

subsequent experiments.

For RGC enrichment by immunomagnetic cell separation (MACS), cell suspension was

incubated with Fc block antibodies for 5 minutes at 4 °C and then labeled with anti-mouse Thy1.2

magnetic particles (BD IMag™) for 30 minutes at 4 °C. Tubes were placed on the cell separation

magnet at room temperature for 8 minutes (BD IMag™), then the supernatant was aspirated off

carefully for leaving unlabeled cells. Labeled cells were resuspended in 1x BD IMag™ buffer and the

tubes were returned to the magnet for another 4 minutes. The separation was repeated twice to increase

the purity of the positive fraction. After the final wash step, purified cells were immediately used for

further analyses.

Library preparation and RNA sequencing

A total amount of 2 μg RNA per sample was used as input material for the RNA sample

preparations. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext® Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit

for Illumina® (#E7530L, NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations and index codes

were added to attribute sequences to each sample. Briefly, mRNA was purified from total RNA using

poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Fragmentation was carried out using divalent cations under

elevated temperature in NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer (5X). First strand cDNA was

synthesized using random hexamer primer and RNase H. Second strand cDNA synthesis was

subsequently performed using buffer, dNTPs, DNA polymerase I and RNase H. The library fragments

were purified with QiaQuick PCR kits and elution with EB buffer, then terminal repair, A-tailing and

adapter added were implemented. The aimed products were retrieved and PCR was performed, then

the library was completed.

RNA concentration of library was measured using Qubit® RNA Assay Kit in Qubit® 3.0 to

preliminary quantify and then dilute to 1 ng/μl. Insert size was assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer

2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA), and qualified insert size was accurate quantification

using StepOne Plus™ real-time PCR System (Library valid concentration > 10 nM). The clustering of

the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot cluster generation system using HiSeq PE Cluster

Kit v4-cBot-HS (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After cluster generation, the

libraries were sequenced on an Illumina platform and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated.

Bulk RNA-seq data analysis

Using bulk RNA-seq, we measured gene expression profiles of UTX-AAV-Cre, UTX-AAV-

GFP, and postnatal day (P) 1, P14, P21 samples, with at least two biological replicates for each

condition or timepoint. All raw sequencing reads were quality checked with FastQC (v0.11.9), and

leading bases were trimmed from the reads with Trimmomatic (v0.39) as appropriate. Quality-checked

reads were mapped to the GRCm38/mm10 mouse reference genome with splice-aware aligner

HISAT2 (v2.2.1). featureCounts (Subread v2.0.3) was used to estimate the expression counts of the

genes.

For differential gene expression analysis, we used DESeq2 (v1.34.0) to perform normalization

and differential expression test on the raw read counts for each gene. Differential expression genes

(DEGs) were defined using DESeq2 with the adjusted P-value < 0.05 and $|\log_2(\text{Fold Change})| > 1$. The

gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed by clusterProfiler (v4.2.2). In order to

integrate and analyze UTX knockout data and optic nerve development data, The TPM (transcriptsper

million reads) matrix for genes and transcripts calculated by RSEM (v1.3.3) were used. We also used

the ComBat in sva (v3.42.0) to minimize the technical effect of different data. The corrected values

were subjected to principal components analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering.

Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis

The data used to analyze the time course of transcriptomic changes in single retinal ganglion

cells following optic nerve crush was downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO:

GSE137398). Then, the expression matrices were integrated by Seurat (v4.1.0) with default parameters.

The changes of average expression levels of *UTX (Kdm6a)* and *JMJD3 (Kdm6b)* in all RGCs were

mainly analyzed at different time point after ONC, as showed in dot plot and violin plot.

Cut&Tag

Approximately 1×10^5 RGCs purified by coupling Thy 1.2 beads were processed by

centrifugation, and were washed with Wash Buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM

Spermidine; 1X Protease inhibitor cocktail; 0.05% DMSO-Digitonin). The supernatant was removed

and the bead-bound cells were resuspended with 50 µL Dig-wash Buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 150

mM NaCl; 0.5 mM Spermidine; 1x Protease inhibitor cocktail; 0.05% DMSO-Digitonin) containing 2

mM EDTA and 1% BSA and a 1 : 50 dilution of the primary antibody. Primary antibody incubation

was performed on a rotating platform for 2h at room temperature. The primary antibody was removed

by transient centrifugation and placing the tube on the magnet stand to clear and pulling off all the

liquid. The appropriate second antibody was diluted 1 : 50 in 50 µL Dig Wash Buffer and bead-bound

cell were incubated for 1h at room temperature. Cells were washed using the magnet stand 2 times for

5min in 200 µL Dig-Wash buffer to remove unbound antibodies. A 1 : 200 dilution of pG-Tn5 adapter

complex (~0.04 µM) was prepared in Dig-300 Buffer (0.05% DMSO-Digitonin, 20 mM HEPES, pH

7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine, 1x Protease inhibitor cocktail). After removing the liquid on

the magnet stand, 100 µL was added to the cells with gentle vortexing, which was incubated with pG-

Tn5 at RT for 1 h. Cells were washed 2 times for 5min in 200 µL Dig-300 Buffer to remove unbound

pG-Tn5 protein. Next, cells were resuspended in 300 µL Tagmentation Buffer (10 mM MgCl 2 in Dig-

300 Buffer) and incubated at 37 °C for 1h. To stop tagmentation, 2.25 µL of 0.5 M EDTA, 2.75 µL of

10% SDS and 0.5 µL of 20 mg/mL Proteinase K was added to 300 µL of sample, which was incubated

overnight at 37 °C. To extract the DNA, 300 µL PCI (Phenol : Chloroform : Isoamylol = 25 : 24 : 1)

were added to each sample with vortexing, quickly spun 5s ,16000g for 15 min at room temperature.

Absorbed the upper water phase to a new 1.5 mL tube and added 300 chloroform, 16000 g for 15 min

at room temperature. Absorbed the upper water phase to a new 1.5 mL tube and added 700 µL 100%

ethyl alcohol, 16000g for 15 min at 4 °C. Pulled off all the liquid and added 1 mL 100% ethyl alcohol

16000g for 1 min at 4 °C. Carefully discarded all the liquid and aired the tube 5 min, 25 µL 1X TE

（10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) containing 1 mM EDTA were added to dissolve the DNA. To amplify

libraries, 24 µL DNA was mixed with 5 µL a uniquely index i7 and i5 primer; Using a different index

for each sample. A volume of 16 µL PCR Master mix was added and mixed. The sample was placed

in a Thermocycler with a heated lid using the following cycling conditions: 72 °C for 3 min ; 98 °C

for 30 s; 17 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30s and 72 °C for 30s; final extension at 72 °C for

5 min and hold at 4 °C. Post-PCR clean-up was performed by adding 1.1× volume of DNA clean beads

(Nanjing, vazyme), and libraries were incubated with beads for 15 min at RT, washed twice gently in

80% ethanol, and eluted in 20 µL sterile water.

Statistics

Statistics were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 and the significance was set at a value of p < 0.05. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. For two group comparisons, if the data were normalized to the control condition and presented as percentage of control, one sample t test was used to determine the statistical significance. Otherwise, the regular two tailed student's t test was used. For comparison between multiple groups, the one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test was used to determine the statistical significance. The "n" indicates the number of independent experiments unless specifically stated otherwise.

Author Contributions

S-G. Y., C-M. L., and F-Q. Z. initiated the projects and designed the experiments. S-G. Y., X-W. W., and C-P. L. performed most of experiments and analyzed data. T. H. performed most of the bioinformatics analyses. Q. L. and L-R. Z., C. Q., S. performed experiments and analyzed the data. J. Q. helped with the bioinformatic analyses. S-G.Y. and F-Q.Z. wrote the manuscript with inputs from all the authors.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by grants (to F.Q.Z.) from NIH (R01NS085176), the Craig H. Neilsen Foundation (259450), and the BrightFocus Foundation (G2017037), as well as the grants (to C.-M.L.) from Maryland Stem Cell Research Fund and the National Science Foundation of China (No. 81571212).

Reference

1 He Z, Jin Y. Intrinsic Control of Axon Regeneration. *Neuron* 2016; **90**:437-451.

2 Qian C, Zhou FQ. Updates and challenges of axon regeneration in the mammalian central nervous system. *J*
Mol Cell Biol 2020; **12**:798-806.

3 Yang SG, Li CP, Peng XQ, Teng ZQ, Liu CM, Zhou FQ. Strategies to Promote Long-Distance Optic Nerve
Regeneration. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2020; **14**:119.

4 Moore DL, Blackmore MG, Hu Y *et al.* KLF family members regulate intrinsic axon regeneration ability. *Science*
2009; **326**:298-301.

5 Blandini F, Bazzini E, Marino F *et al.* Calcium homeostasis is dysregulated in parkinsonian patients with L-
DOPA-induced dyskinesias. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2009; **32**:133-139.

6 Smith PD, Sun F, Park KK *et al.* SOCS3 deletion promotes optic nerve regeneration in vivo. *Neuron* 2009;
64:617-623.

7 Sun F, Park KK, Belin S *et al.* Sustained axon regeneration induced by co-deletion of PTEN and SOCS3. *Nature*
2011; **480**:372-375.

8 O'Donovan KJ, Ma K, Guo H *et al.* B-RAF kinase drives developmental axon growth and promotes axon
regeneration in the injured mature CNS. *J Exp Med* 2014; **211**:801-814.

9 Belin S, Nawabi H, Wang C *et al.* Injury-induced decline of intrinsic regenerative ability revealed by
quantitative proteomics. *Neuron* 2015; **86**:1000-1014.

10 Nathan FM, Ohtake Y, Wang S *et al.* Upregulating Lin28a Promotes Axon Regeneration in Adult Mice with
Optic Nerve and Spinal Cord Injury. *Mol Ther* 2020; **28**:1902-1917.

11 Wang XW, Li Q, Liu CM *et al.* Lin28 Signaling Supports Mammalian PNS and CNS Axon Regeneration. *Cell*
Rep 2018; **24**:2540-2552 e2546.

12 Zhang Y, Williams PR, Jacobi A *et al.* Elevating Growth Factor Responsiveness and Axon Regeneration by
Modulating Presynaptic Inputs. *Neuron* 2019; **103**:39-51 e35.

13 Minarovits J, Banati F, Szenthe K, Niller HH. Epigenetic Regulation. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2016; **879**:1-25.

14 Strickland IT, Richards L, Holmes FE, Wynick D, Uney JB, Wong LF. Axotomy-induced miR-21 promotes axon
growth in adult dorsal root ganglion neurons. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**:e23423.

15 Jiang JJ, Liu CM, Zhang BY *et al.* MicroRNA-26a supports mammalian axon regeneration in vivo by
suppressing GSK3beta expression. *Cell Death Dis* 2015; **6**:e1865.

16 Liu CM, Wang RY, Saijilafu, Jiao ZX, Zhang BY, Zhou FQ. MicroRNA-138 and SIRT1 form a mutual negative
feedback loop to regulate mammalian axon regeneration. *Genes Dev* 2013; **27**:1473-1483.

17 Li S, Zhang R, Yuan Y *et al.* MiR-340 Regulates Fibrinolysis and Axon Regrowth Following Sciatic Nerve Injury.
Mol Neurobiol 2017; **54**:4379-4389.

18 Motti D, Lerch JK, Danzi MC *et al.* Identification of miRNAs involved in DRG neurite outgrowth and their
putative targets. *FEBS Lett* 2017; **591**:2091-2105.

19 Song Y, Ori-McKenney KM, Zheng Y, Han C, Jan LY, Jan YN. Regeneration of Drosophila sensory neuron
axons and dendrites is regulated by the Akt pathway involving Pten and microRNA bantam. *Genes Dev* 2012;
26:1612-1625.

20 Weng YL, An R, Cassin J *et al.* An Intrinsic Epigenetic Barrier for Functional Axon Regeneration. *Neuron* 2017;
94:337-346 e336.

21 Iskandar BJ, Rizk E, Meier B *et al.* Folate regulation of axonal regeneration in the rodent central nervous
system through DNA methylation. *J Clin Invest* 2010; **120**:1603-1616.

22 Loh YE, Koemeter-Cox A, Finelli MJ, Shen L, Friedel RH, Zou H. Comprehensive mapping of 5-
hydroxymethylcytosine epigenetic dynamics in axon regeneration. *Epigenetics* 2017; **12**:77-92.

23 Gaub P, Joshi Y, Wuttke A *et al.* The histone acetyltransferase p300 promotes intrinsic axonal regeneration.
Brain 2011; **134**:2134-2148.

24 Cho Y, Sloutsky R, Naegle KM, Cavalli V. Injury-induced HDAC5 nuclear export is essential for axon
regeneration. *Cell* 2013; **155**:894-908.

25 Finelli MJ, Wong JK, Zou H. Epigenetic regulation of sensory axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *J*
Neurosci 2013; **33**:19664-19676.

26 Puttagunta R, Tedeschi A, Soria MG *et al.* PCAF-dependent epigenetic changes promote axonal
regeneration in the central nervous system. *Nat Commun* 2014; **5**:3527.

27 Palmisano I, Danzi MC, Hutson TH *et al.* Epigenomic signatures underpin the axonal regenerative ability of
dorsal root ganglia sensory neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 2019; **22**:1913-1924.

28 Lan F, Bayliss PE, Rinn JL *et al.* A histone H3 lysine 27 demethylase regulates animal posterior development.
Nature 2007; **449**:689-694.

29 Agger K, Cloos PA, Christensen J *et al.* UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX
gene regulation and development. *Nature* 2007; **449**:731-734.

30 Welstead GG, Creyghton MP, Bilodeau S *et al.* X-linked H3K27me3 demethylase Utx is required for
embryonic development in a sex-specific manner. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012; **109**:13004-13009.

31 Yang X, Xu B, Mulvey B *et al.* Differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into neurons or cortical
organoids requires transcriptional co-regulation by UTX and 53BP1. *Nat Neurosci* 2019; **22**:362-373.

32 Shan Y, Zhang Y, Zhao Y *et al.* JMJD3 and UTX determine fidelity and lineage specification of human neural
progenitor cells. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**:382.

33 Pediconi N, Salerno D, Lupacchini L *et al.* EZH2, JMJD3, and UTX epigenetically regulate hepatic plasticity
inducing retro-differentiation and proliferation of liver cells. *Cell Death Dis* 2019; **10**:518.

34 Cuyas E, Verdura S, Llorach-Pares L *et al.* Metformin directly targets the H3K27me3 demethylase
KDM6A/UTX. *Aging Cell* 2018; **17**:e12772.

35 Jin C, Li J, Green CD *et al.* Histone demethylase UTX-1 regulates C. elegans life span by targeting the
insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathway. *Cell Metab* 2011; **14**:161-172.

36 Lin TY, Cheng YC, Yang HC *et al.* Loss of the candidate tumor suppressor BTG3 triggers acute cellular
senescence via the ERK-JMJD3-p16(INK4a) signaling axis. *Oncogene* 2012; **31**:3287-3297.

37 Agger K, Cloos PA, Rudkjaer L *et al.* The H3K27me3 demethylase JMJD3 contributes to the activation of the
INK4A-ARF locus in response to oncogene- and stress-induced senescence. *Genes Dev* 2009; **23**:1171-1176.

38 Mansour AA, Gafni O, Weinberger L *et al.* The H3K27 demethylase Utx regulates somatic and germ cell
epigenetic reprogramming. *Nature* 2012; **488**:409-413.

39 Zhao W, Li Q, Ayers S *et al.* Jmjd3 inhibits reprogramming by upregulating expression of INK4a/Arf and
targeting PHF20 for ubiquitination. *Cell* 2013; **152**:1037-1050.

40 Li X, Zhang Q, Shi Q *et al.* Demethylase Kdm6a epigenetically promotes IL-6 and IFN-beta production in
macrophages. *J Autoimmun* 2017; **80**:85-94.

41 Salminen A, Kaarniranta K, Hiltunen M, Kauppinen A. Histone demethylase Jumonji D3 (JMJD3/KDM6B) at
the nexus of epigenetic regulation of inflammation and the aging process. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2014; **92**:1035-
1043.

42 van Haaften G, Dalgliesh GL, Davies H *et al.* Somatic mutations of the histone H3K27 demethylase gene UTX
in human cancer. *Nat Genet* 2009; **41**:521-523.

43 Yamamoto K, Tateishi K, Kudo Y *et al.* Loss of histone demethylase KDM6B enhances aggressiveness of
pancreatic cancer through downregulation of C/EBPalpha. *Carcinogenesis* 2014; **35**:2404-2414.
44 Tang Y, Li T, Li J *et al.* Jmjd3 is essential for the epigenetic modulation of microglia phenotypes in the
immune pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. *Cell Death Differ* 2014; **21**:369-380.
45 Song W, Zsindely N, Farago A, Marsh JL, Bodai L. Systematic genetic interaction studies identify histone
demethylase Utx as potential target for ameliorating Huntington's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 2018; **27**:649-666.
46 Tang GB, Zeng YQ, Liu PP *et al.* The Histone H3K27 Demethylase UTX Regulates Synaptic Plasticity and
Cognitive Behaviors in Mice. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2017; **10**:267.
47 Wijayatunge R, Liu F, Shpargel KB *et al.* The histone demethylase Kdm6b regulates a mature gene
expression program in differentiating cerebellar granule neurons. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2018; **87**:4-17.
48 Wijayatunge R, Chen LF, Cha YM, Zannas AS, Frank CL, West AE. The histone lysine demethylase Kdm6b is
required for activity-dependent preconditioning of hippocampal neuronal survival. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2014;
61:187-200.
49 Zhang M, Zhang Y, Xu Q *et al.* Neuronal Histone Methyltransferase EZH2 Regulates Neuronal
Morphogenesis, Synaptic Plasticity, and Cognitive Behavior in Mice. *Neurosci Bull* 2023.
50 Wang X-W, Yang S-G, Hu M-W *et al.* Histone methyltransferase Ezh2 coordinates mammalian axon
regeneration via epigenetic regulation of key regenerative pathways. *bioRxiv* 2022:488817.
51 Saijilafu, Hur EM, Zhou FQ. Genetic dissection of axon regeneration via in vivo electroporation of adult
mouse sensory neurons. *Nat Commun* 2011; **2**:543.
52 Tran NM, Shekhar K, Whitney IE *et al.* Single-Cell Profiles of Retinal Ganglion Cells Differing in Resilience to
Injury Reveal Neuroprotective Genes. *Neuron* 2019; **104**:1039-1055 e1012.
53 Wang XW, Yang SG, Zhang C *et al.* Knocking Out Non-muscle Myosin II in Retinal Ganglion Cells Promotes
Long-Distance Optic Nerve Regeneration. *Cell Rep* 2020; **31**:107537.
54 Walport LJ, Hopkinson RJ, Vollmar M *et al.* Human UTY(KDM6C) is a male-specific N-methyl lysyl
demethylase. *J Biol Chem* 2014; **289**:18302-18313.
55 Wang C, Lee JE, Cho YW *et al.* UTX regulates mesoderm differentiation of embryonic stem cells independent
of H3K27 demethylase activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012; **109**:15324-15329.
56 Kruidenier L, Chung CW, Cheng Z *et al.* A selective jumonji H3K27 demethylase inhibitor modulates the
proinflammatory macrophage response. *Nature* 2012; **488**:404-408.
57 Park KK, Liu K, Hu Y *et al.* Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR
pathway. *Science* 2008; **322**:963-966.
58 Yang SG, Wang XW, Qian C, Zhou FQ. Reprogramming neurons for regeneration: The fountain of youth.
Prog Neurobiol 2022; **214**:102284.
59 Wilson C, Giono LE, Rozes-Salvador V, Fiszbein A, Kornblihtt AR, Caceres A. The Histone Methyltransferase
G9a Controls Axon Growth by Targeting the RhoA Signaling Pathway. *Cell Rep* 2020; **31**:107639.
60 Barnes AP, Lilley BN, Pan YA *et al.* LKB1 and SAD kinases define a pathway required for the polarization of
cortical neurons. *Cell* 2007; **129**:549-563.
61 Romaus-Sanjurjo D, Saikia JM, Kim HJ, Tsai KM, Le GQ, Zheng B. Overexpressing eukaryotic elongation factor
1 alpha (eEF1A) proteins to promote corticospinal axon repair after injury. *Cell Death Discov* 2022; **8**:390.
62 Wang PY, Seabold GK, Wenthold RJ. Synaptic adhesion-like molecules (SALMs) promote neurite outgrowth.
Mol Cell Neurosci 2008; **39**:83-94.
63 Qin S, Zou Y, Zhang CL. Cross-talk between KLF4 and STAT3 regulates axon regeneration. *Nat Commun* 2013;
4:2633.

64 Bray ER, Yungher BJ, Levay K *et al.* Thrombospondin-1 Mediates Axon Regeneration in Retinal Ganglion
Cells. *Neuron* 2019; **103**:642-657 e647.

65 Guo X, Zhou J, Starr C *et al.* Preservation of vision after CaMKII-mediated protection of retinal ganglion cells.
Cell 2021; **184**:4299-4314 e4212.

66 Yoo AS, Sun AX, Li L *et al.* MicroRNA-mediated conversion of human fibroblasts to neurons. *Nature* 2011;
476:228-231.

67 Sanuki R, Onishi A, Koike C *et al.* miR-124a is required for hippocampal axogenesis and retinal cone survival
through Lhx2 suppression. *Nat Neurosci* 2011; **14**:1125-1134.

68 Gu X, Xu X, Jia C *et al.* Molecular Mechanisms Involved in the Regulation of Neurodevelopment by miR-124.
Mol Neurobiol 2023; **60**:3569-3583.

69 Hong S, Cho YW, Yu LR, Yu H, Veenstra TD, Ge K. Identification of JmjC domain-containing UTX and JMJD3
as histone H3 lysine 27 demethylases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**:18439-18444.

70 Burgold T, Spreafico F, De Santa F *et al.* The histone H3 lysine 27-specific demethylase Jmjd3 is required for
neural commitment. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**:e3034.

71 Naruse C, Shibata S, Tamura M *et al.* New insights into the role of Jmjd3 and Utx in axial skeletal formation
in mice. *FASEB J* 2017; **31**:2252-2266.

72 Yang L, Song LS, Liu XF *et al.* The Maternal Effect Genes UTX and JMJD3 Play Contrasting Roles in Mus
musculus Preimplantation Embryo Development. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**:26711.

73 Shahhoseini M, Taghizadeh Z, Hatami M, Baharvand H. Retinoic acid dependent histone 3 demethylation
of the clustered HOX genes during neural differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Biochem Cell Biol*
2013; **91**:116-122.

74 Nakka K, Hachmer S, Mokhtari Z *et al.* JMJD3 activated hyaluronan synthesis drives muscle regeneration in
an inflammatory environment. *Science* 2022; **377**:666-669.

75 Guillermo ARR, Chocian K, Gavriilidis G *et al.* H3K27 modifiers regulate lifespan in C. elegans in a context-
dependent manner. *BMC Biol* 2021; **19**:59.

76 Kania AK, Price MJ, George-Alexander LE *et al.* H3K27me3 Demethylase UTX Restrains Plasma Cell
Formation. *J Immunol* 2022; **208**:1873-1885.

77 Ntziachristos P, Tsirigos A, Welstead GG *et al.* Contrasting roles of histone 3 lysine 27 demethylases in acute
lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* 2014; **514**:513-517.

78 Van der Meulen J, Sanghvi V, Mavrakis K *et al.* The H3K27me3 demethylase UTX is a gender-specific tumor
suppressor in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2015; **125**:13-21.

79 Zhang Y, Pang Y, Feng W *et al.* miR-124 regulates early isolation-induced social abnormalities via inhibiting
myelinogenesis in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2022; **79**:507.

80 Papadimitriou E, Koutsoudaki PN, Thanou I *et al.* A miR-124-mediated post-transcriptional mechanism
controlling the cell fate switch of astrocytes to induced neurons. *Stem Cell Reports* 2023; **18**:915-935.

81 Cates K, McCoy MJ, Kwon JS *et al.* Deconstructing Stepwise Fate Conversion of Human Fibroblasts to
Neurons by MicroRNAs. *Cell Stem Cell* 2021; **28**:127-140 e129.

82 Davis EJ, Broestl L, Abdulai-Saiku S *et al.* A second X chromosome contributes to resilience in a mouse model
of Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Transl Med* 2020; **12**.

83 Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *Br J Ophthalmol*
2006; **90**:262-267.

84 Chiu SL, Chu CL, Muo CH, Chen CL, Lan SJ. The Prevalence and the Incidence of Diagnosed Open-Angle
Glaucoma and Diagnosed Angle-Closure Glaucoma: Changes From 2001 to 2010. *J Glaucoma* 2016; **25**:e514-
519.

85 Luo X, Salgueiro Y, Beckerman SR, Lemmon VP, Tsoulfas P, Park KK. Three-dimensional evaluation of retinal
ganglion cell axon regeneration and pathfinding in whole mouse tissue after injury. *Exp Neurol* 2013; **247**:653-
662.
86 Erturk A, Hellal F, Enes J, Bradke F. Disorganized microtubules underlie the formation of retraction bulbs
and the failure of axonal regeneration. *J Neurosci* 2007; **27**:9169-9180.

Figure 1

Fig. 1 | Knocking down *UTX* or *JMJD3* in adult mouse sensory neurons markedly enhanced sensory axon regeneration in vivo.

(a) Immunostaining of DRG sections showing significantly reduced level of UTX in adult mouse sensory neurons in vivo after sciatic nerve injury (SNI). The 4th column shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the 2nd column. Images were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and UTX (green). Scale bar, 100 μm for the first 3 columns and 30 μm for the 4th column.

(b) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of UTX immunostaining shown in (a) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, $P=0.0012$; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

(c) Immunostaining of DRG sections showing significantly reduced level of JMJD3 in adult mouse sensory neurons in vivo at day 1 after sciatic nerve injury (SNI). The 4th column shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the 2nd column. Images were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and JMJD3 (green). Scale bar, 100 μm for the first 3 columns and 30 μm for the 4th column.

(d) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of JMJD3 immunostaining shown in (c) (two tailed student's t tests, $P=0.0426$; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

(e) Time course of expression of *UTX* and *JMJD3* transcripts in ipsilateral L4/L5 DRG from naive mice and 1, 3, and 7 days post-sciatic nerve crush by real time PCR analysis. (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, *UTX*: $P=0.0001$, n=5 independent experiments for each condition; *JMJD3*: $P=0.0165$, n=7 independent experiments for each condition).

(f) Western blot image showing greatly reduced protein level of UTX in DRG tissues 2 days after in vivo electroporation of siRNAs against *UTX* (siUTX). A representative image from 2 independent experiments is shown.

(g) Western blot image showing greatly reduced protein level of JMJD3 in DRG tissues 2 days after in vivo electroporation of siRNAs against *JMJD3* (siJMJD3). A representative image from 2 independent experiments is shown.

(h) Schematics of in vivo electroporation and investigation of axon regeneration after knocking down *UTX* or *JMJD3*. L4 and L5 DRGs of wild type adult mice were electroporated in vivo with EGFP or EGFP + siRNAs against *UTX* (siUTX) or *JMJD3* (siJMJD3). To assess the promoting effects, axon regeneration analyses were performed 2 days post-sciatic nerve crush, when sensory axon regeneration has not reached its optimal rate.

(i) Representative images of in vivo sensory axon regeneration in mice electroporated with either EGFP or EGFP+siUTX. The right column shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the left column. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. Scale bar, 1 mm for the left column and 0.5 mm for the right column.

(j) Knocking down *UTX* in sensory neurons significantly promoted sensory axon regeneration in vivo (two tailed student's t tests, $P=0.0152$; EGFP; n= 8 mice, EGFP+siUTX; n= 10 mice).

(k) Representative images of in vivo sensory axon regeneration in mice electroporated with either EGFP or EGFP+siJMJD3. The right column shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the left column. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. Scale bar, 1 mm for the left column and 0.5 mm for the right column.

(l) Knocking down *JMJD3* in sensory neurons significantly promoted sensory axon regeneration in vivo (two tailed student's t tests, $P=0.0033$; EGFP: n=7 mice, EGFP+siJMJD3: n=10 mice).

*, **, ***$P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$, respectively. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 2

Fig. 2 | Conditional deletion of H3K27 demethylase UTX in mouse retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) markedly promoted optic nerve regeneration and RGC survival.

(a) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Specifically, AAV2-Cre was injected at day 1 and the optic nerve was crushed 2 weeks later. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 2 weeks after the nerve crush, and the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged cholera toxin β subunit (CTB) was injected 2 days before collecting the optic nerve. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of wild type, male *UTX* knockout (UTX KO/male), female *UTX* knockout (UTX KO/female) mice 2 weeks after optic nerve crush (ONC). The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.25 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 200 μm for the left column and 100 μm for the magnified images.

(b) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 1.50 mm) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, $P<0.0001$; wild type: n=10 mice, UTX KO/male: n=3 mice, UTX KO/female: n=7).

(c) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (a) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, P=0.0052; wild type: n=10 mice, UTX KO/male: n=3 mice, UTX KO/female: n=7).

(d)Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 2 weeks after the nerve crush, and the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged CTB was injected 2 days before collecting the optic nerve. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of *UTX* mutant knockin (UTX-mut KI) and wild type mice 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.25 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 200 μm for the left column and 150 μm for the magnified images.

(e) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 1.75 mm) in UTX-mut KI and wild type mice after ONC. Bar graph showing robust optic nerve regeneration in UTX-mut KI mice (two tailed student's t tests, P<0.05; Wild type: n=5 mice, UTX-mut KI: n=5 mice).

(f) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (d) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0087; Wild type: n=5 mice, UTX-mut KI: n=5 mice).

(g) Quantification of the size of retraction bulbs and growth cones by tip/axon shaft ratio in Extended Data Fig. 3 (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, $P<0.0001$; n=105, 78, and 124 axons pooled from 7 mice for wild type, 3 mice for UTX KO/male, and 7 mice for UTX KO/female, respectively).

(h) Quantification of U-turn rate in Extended Data Fig. 3 (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, $P=0.0126$; wild type: n=4 mice, UTX KO/male: n=3 mice, UTX KO/female: n=7; top 20 longest axons were analyzed for each mouse).

(i) Representative images of flat whole mount retina immunostained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (green), indicating increased RGC survival rates in either male *UTX* knockout (UTX KO/male) or female *UTX* knockout (UTX KO/female) mice compared with that in wild type mice. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(j) Quantification of RGC survival rates in wild type, male *UTX* knockout or female *UTX* knockout mice after ONC (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, $P=0.0291$; n=3 mice for each condition).

(k) Representative images of flat whole mount retina immunostained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), indicating increased RGC survival rates in *UTX* knockout (UTX KO) mice compared with that in wild type mice after NMDA induced injury. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(l) Quantification of RGC survival rate in (k) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0485; n=3 mice for each condition).

*, **, ***, ****$P < 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Extended Data Figs. 1–6.

Figure 3

Fig. 3 | Pharmacological delayed inhibition of UTX in mouse retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) also promoted optic nerve regeneration and RGC survival.

(a) Confocal images of retinal sections from wild type mice injected with UTX/JMJD3 inhibitor GSK-J4 or DMSO (as control) showing significantly increased level of H3K27me3 in RGCs by blockade of histone demethylases activity. The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and H3K27me3 (green). Scale bar, 50 µm.

(b) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 immunostaining shown in (a) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0091; n=3 mice for each condition).

(c) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Specifically, GSK-J4 or DMSO was injected once every 3 days for 4 times after ONC. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 2 weeks after the nerve crush, and the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged CTB was injected 2 days before collecting the optic nerve. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of wild type mice with intravitreal injection of GSK-J4 or DMSO 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 200 µm for the left column and 130 µm for the magnified images.

(d) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 0.75 mm) in injected GSK-J4 or DMSO mice after ONC. Bar graph showing robust optic nerve regeneration induced by GSK-J4 (two tailed student's t tests, P<0.01; DMSO: n=8 mice, GSK-J4: n=4 mice).

(e) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (c) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0004; DMSO: n=8 mice, GSK-J4: n=4 mice).

(f) Representative images of flat whole mount retina immunostained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (green), indicating increased RGC survival rates in injected GSK-J4 mice compared with that in mice with DMSO injection. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(g) Quantification of RGC survival rate in (f) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0063; DMSO: n=8 mice, GSK-J4: n=5 mice).

*, **, ***, ****P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Figure 4

Fig. 4 | Synergistic promoting effects of *UTX* and *PTEN* double knockout on axon regeneration after ONC.

(a) Confocal images of retinal sections from *UTX^{f/f}* mice injected with of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre showing no effect of *UTX* single deletion on the percentage of p-S6+ RGCs the ganglion cell layer (GCL). The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and p-S6 (gray). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(b) Quantification of p-S6+ RGCs in (a) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.8747; n=3 mice for each condition).

(c) Confocal images of retinal sections from *UTX/PTEN^{f/f}* mice injected with of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre showing markedly increased percentage of p-S6+ RGCs the ganglion cell layer (GCL) via Cre-mediated double deletion. The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and p-S6 (gray). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(d) Quantification of p-S6+ RGCs in (c) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0002; n=3 mice for each condition).

(e) Confocal images of retinal sections from *UTX/PTEN^{f/f}* mice injected with of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre showing significantly increased level of H3K27me3 in RGCs via Cre-mediated double deletion. The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and H3K27me3 (gray). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(f) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 immunostaining shown in (e) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0252; n=3 mice for each condition).

(g) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Specifically, AAV2-Cre was injected at day 1 and the optic nerve was crushed 2 weeks later. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 2 weeks after the nerve crush, and the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged CTB was injected 2 days before collecting the optic nerve. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of *PTEN* knockout (PTEN KO) or *UTX/PTEN* double knockout (UTX/PTEN KO) mice 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. OX indicates the optic chiasm. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 1.00, 2.00, and 3.00 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 500 μm for the left column and 250 μm for the magnified images.

(h) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 3.00 mm) in *PTEN* knockout (PTEN KO) or *UTX/PTEN* double knockout mice (UTX/PTEN KO) 2 weeks after ONC (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*<0.05; PTEN KO: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO: n=6 mice).

(i) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (i) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0184; PTEN KO: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO: n=6 mice).

(j) Quantification of U-turn rate in Extended Data Fig. 5b (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0013; PTEN KO: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO: n=6 mice; top 20 longest axons were analyzed for each mouse).

(k) Quantification of branching index by tip number/axon number (the top 20 longest axons) ratio in Extended Data Fig. 5b (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0088; PTEN KO: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO: n=6 mice).

(l) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. The optic nerve was crushed at the distal intraorbital site. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 4 weeks after the nerve crush. Bottom: Representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic chiasm areas of *PTEN* knockout (PTEN KO) or *UTX/PTEN* double knockout (UTX/PTEN KO) mice 4 weeks after distal intraorbital optic nerve crush. The right column shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the left column. The regenerating axons at the optic chiasm were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. Blue and yellow dotted lines indicate the chiasm midline and the optic nerve-chiasm transition zone, respectively. OX indicates the optic chiasm. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(m) Quantification of regenerating axons reaching the optic chiasm in (l) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0125; PTEN KO: n=3 mice, UTX/PTEN KO: n=5 mice).

(n) Distribution of regenerating axons reaching the distal optic nerve (Pre-chiasmic) and entering the optic chiasm (Post-chiasmic) in (l). The dashed lines showing quantification of post-chiasmic axons projecting into the ipsilateral optic tract (Ipsi optic tract), contralateral optic tract (Contra optic tract), and contralateral optic nerve (Contra optic nerve) in 5 individual mice. N/A, not applicable.

(o) Quantification of U-turn rate in Extended Data Fig. 7 (n=5 mice; all axons into the optic chiasm were analyzed for each mouse).

(p) Quantification of branching index by tip number/axon number (regenerating axons into the optic chiasm) ratio in Extended Data Fig. 7 (n=5 mice).

n.s. *P* > 0.05; *, **, ***, *P* < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Extended Data Figs. 7-9.

Figure 5

Fig. 5 | Deleting *UTX* switches the RGC transcriptomics into a developmental-like state.

(a) Hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean distance of all samples. Circular dendrogram shows two major clusters, while P1, P14 and UTX KO (AAV2-Cre) clustered together, P21 and wild type (AAV2-GFP) clustered separately.

(b) Principal component analysis (PCA) of transcriptomic profiles indicating the variation among all samples. Score plot shows a clear separation between P1, P14, P21, wild type (AAV2-Cre) and UTX KO (AAV2-GFP). The first two dimensions (Dim) accounted for 70.7% of variance. Note that Dim 1 predominantly reflects differences between wild type and UTX KO.

(c) Selected Gene Ontology (GO) terms were generated separately for Dim 1 and 2 genes (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05).

(d) Heatmap of top 20 enriched GO terms for Dim 1. The Color intensities indicate the z-score of genes contributing to each GO term.

(e) Heatmap showing scaled expression of top 50 genes for Dim 1.

See also Extended Data Figs. 10, 11.

Figure 6

Fig. 6 | Deleting *UTX* induces changes of the RGC transcriptomics with roles in sensory system development, axon growth, and other functions.

(a) Number of differentially expressed genes between wild type (AAV2-GFP) and UTX KO (AAV2-Cre) at the threshold of adjusted *P*-value < 0.05.

(b) Volcano plot showing differential gene expression between wild type (AAV2-GFP) and UTX KO (AAV2-Cre) RGCs. Positive $\log_2$ fold change indicates higher expression in UTX KO RGCs relative to wild type RGCs. Red points indicate that genes are considered significantly different if absolute $\log_2$ Fold change > 1 and adjusted *P*-value < 0.05; vertical and horizontal reference lines at respective values.

(c) Selected Gene Ontology (GO) terms were generated separately for genes upregulated or downregulated (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05) by UTX KO RGCs relative to wild type RGCs.

(d) Heatmap of selected genes relevant to axon regeneration.

See also Extended Data Figs. 10, 11.

Figure 7

Fig. 7 | Antagomir-mediated inhibition of miR-124-5p significantly boost axon regeneration.

(a) Sample genome tracks showing reduced expression levels of *miR-124-1*(chr14: 64828106-64828190), *miR-124-2*(chr3: 17849826-17849934), and *miR-124-3*(chr2: 180535833-180535900) in the purified RGCs of *UTX^{f/f}* mice injected with AAV2-Cre, compared to *UTX^{f/f}* mice with AAV2-GFP injection. y axis indicates normalized reads.

(b) Real time PCR analysis showing transcription levels of mature antagomir-124-5p in the purified RGCs of *UTX^{f/f}* mice 2 weeks after intravitreal injection of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre, respectively (one sample *t* test, *P*=0.0034; n=3 independent experiments).

(c) Venn diagram showing overlapped candidate targets of miR-124-5p between three different search engines (miRDB, TargetScan and miRWalk).

(d) Selected Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment for 305 overlapped candidate targets of miR-124-5p (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05).

(e) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Antagomir was injected immediately after ONC and at day 7 for the second time. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 2 weeks after the nerve crush by the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged CTB tracing. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of wild type mice with intravitreal injection of antagomir-NC or antagomir-124-5p 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.50 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 200 μm for the left column and 100 μm for the magnified images.

(f) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 1.50 mm) in (f) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*<0.05; Antagomir-NC: n=4 mice, Antagomir-124-5p: n=4 mice).

(g) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (f) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0023; Antagomir-NC: n=4 mice, Antagomir-124-5p: n=4 mice).

(h) Fluorescent images of flat whole mount retina immunostained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (green), showing surviving RGCs at 2 weeks after injury. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(i) Quantification of RGC survival rate in (h) (two tailed student's t tests, *P*=0.0579; Antagomir-NC: n=3 mice, Antagomir-124-5p: n=3 mice). Note that RGC survival rate was higher with antagomir-124-5p injection than with antagomir-NC injection, but the difference was not statistically significant.

(j) The genomic promoter regions (R1-R3) proximal to the *miR-124-2* gene on chromosome 3 (Chr3) were assayed in the chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP)-PCR experiment using the antibody against H3K27me3 in the purified RGCs. In the H3K27me3 ChIP-PCR experiment, the genomic region R1, R2, and R3 were amplified from the purified RGCs to bind to H3K27me3.

n.s. *P* > 0.05; *, **P* < 0.05, 0.01, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Extended Data Figs. 12.

Extended Data Figure 1

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Changes of UTX or H3K27me3 in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) after optic nerve crush (ONC), Related to Fig. 2.

(a) Representative images of UTX immunostaining in retina sections showing unchanged level of UTX in RGCs after ONC. Images were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and UTX (green). Scare bar, 50 μm.

(b) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of UTX immunostaining in (a) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, P=0.2274; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

(c) Representative images of H3K27me3 immunostaining in retina sections showing reduced level of H3K27me3 in RGCs after ONC. Images were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and H3K27me3 (green). Scare bar, 50 μm.

(d) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 immunostaining in (c) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, P<0.0001; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

(e) Positive selection and depletion of Thy1.2+ RGCs by immunomagnetic cell separation (MACS). Labeled retinal cells with anti-mouse Thy1.2 magnetic particles were separated into negative fraction and positive fraction using a magnet. Thy1.2+ cells in each fraction was shown in the right box (red). Flow cytometric analysis showed that the positive rate of Thy1.2 in positive fraction was 80.8±4.43% (n=3 independent experiments). The threshold for Thy1.2+ cells was determined based on the cell distribution in isotype control.

(f) Representative images of Thy1.2+ RGCs after MACS purification. Retinal cells in negative fraction and positive fraction were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and DAPI (gray). Scare bar, 50 μm.

(g) Time course of expression of *UTX* transcripts in purified RGCs from naive mice and 1, 3, and 7 days post-optic nerve crush by real time PCR analysis. (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, P=0.6631; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

****P < 0.0001. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Extended Data Figure 2

Tuj1	**Cre**	**Merge**

Extended Data Fig. 2 | AAV2-Cre transduction rate in mouse retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), Related to Fig. 2.

Confocal images of flat mounted retinas showing co-immunostaining of Tuj1 (green) and Cre recombinase (red). The bottom row images shows enlarged images of the top row images. The infection rate of AAV2-Cre in RGCs was 88.8±7.56% (n=2 independent experiments). Scale bar, 1 mm in top images and 50 μm in bottom images.

Extended Data Figure 3

a Normalized expression level of *UTX* mRNA in *UTX^{f/f}* mice — AAV2-GFP, AAV2-Cre — *

b Tuj1 | UTX | Merge — Wild type, UTX KO

c Relative fluorescence intensity of UTX — Wild type, UTX KO — ****

d Tuj1 | H3K27me3 | Merge — Wild type, UTX KO

e Relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 — Wild type, UTX KO — **

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Deletion of UTX in RGCs triggered significantly increased level of H3K27me3, Related to Fig. 2.

(a) Real time PCR analysis showing mRNA levels of UTX in the purified RGCs of *UTX^{f/f}* mice two weeks after intravitreal injection of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre, respectively (one sample t test, P=0.0499; n=3 independent experiments).

(b) Confocal images of retinal sections showing significantly reduced level of UTX in RGCs of *UTX^{f/f}* mice injected with AAV2-Cre (UTX KO), compared to wild type mice with AAV2-Cre injection (Wild type). The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and UTX (green). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(c) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensities of UTX immunostaining shown in (b) (two tailed student's t tests, P<0.0001; n=3 mice for each condition).

(d) Confocal images of retinal sections from wild type and UTX knockout mice (UTX KO) showing markedly increased level of H3K27me3 via deletion of UTX in RGCs. The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and H3K27me3 (green). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(e) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 immunostaining shown in (d) (two tailed student's t tests, P=0.0054; n=3 mice for each condition).

*, **,****P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.0001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Extended Data Figure 4

a

b

Growth cone

Retraction bulb

Extended Data Fig. 4 | *UTX* knockout induced changes of axonal morphology, Related to Fig. 2.

(a) Representative images of optic nerves showing that *UTX* deletion modified RGC axonal morphology 2 weeks after ONC. Neurite tracing of single axon showing straight trajectories (green lines) and U-turns (red lines). Red and green arrows indicate retraction bulbs and growth cones, respectively. Scale bar, 200 µm.

(b) Representative images of growth cones and retraction bulbs found in different optic nerves. Scale bar, 25 µm.

Extended Data Figure 5

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Changes of JMJD3 or UTX in RGCs after optic nerve crush (ONC), Related to Fig. 2.

(a) Dot plot showing expression patterns of UTX or JMJD3 in RGCs at different time points after ONC. The size of each circle is proportional to the percentage of RGCs expressing UTX or JMJD3 and their intensity depicts the average transcript count within expressing RGCs.

(b) Violin plot indicating the expression distribution of UTX within RGCs at different time points after ONC.

(c) Violin plot indicating the expression distribution of JMJD3 within RGCs at different time points after ONC.

(d) Representative images of JMJD3 immunostaining in retina sections showing unchanged level of JMJD3 in RGCs after ONC. Images were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and JMJD3 (green). Scare bar, 50 μm.

(e) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of JMJD3 immunostaining in (a) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, P=0.0004; n=3 independent experiments for each condition).

(a), (b) and (c) obtained from GEO: GSE137398. *, **, ***P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Extended Data Figure 6

Extended Data Fig. 6 | Knocking out H3K27 demethylase *JMJD3* in mouse retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) markedly enhanced neuronal survival, but poorly promoted axon regeneration, Related to Fig 2.

(a) Real time PCR analysis showing mRNA levels of *JMJD3* in the purified RGCs of *JMJD3*$^{f/f}$ mice two weeks after intravitreal injection of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre, respectively (one sample *t* test, *P*=0.0067; n=3 independent experiments).

(b) Confocal images of retinal sections from wild type and *JMJD3* knockout mice (JMJD3 KO) showing unchanged level of H3K27me3 via deletion of JMJD3 in RGCs. The sections were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red), which labeled RGCs, and H3K27me3 (green). Scale bar, 50 μm.

(c) Quantification of relative fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 immunostaining shown in (b) (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.1817; n=3 mice for each condition).

(d) Representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of wild type, *JMJD3* knockout (JMJD3 KO), *UTX* and *JMJD3* double knockout (UTX/JMJD3 KO) mice 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. The red dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. The columns on the right display magnified images of the areas in white, dashed boxes on the left, showing axons at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.25 mm distal to the crush sites. Scale bar, 200 μm for the left column and 100 μm for the magnified images.

(e) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 1.50 mm) in wild type or *JMJD3* knockout mice (JMJD3 KO) after ONC. Bar graph showing no difference in axon regeneration between wild type and JMJD3 KO (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*>0.05; wild type: n=10 mice, JMJD3 KO: n=6 mice).

(f) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in wild type or *JMJD3* knockout mice (JMJD3 KO) after ONC (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.0357; Wild type: n=10 mice, JMJD3 KO: n=6 mice).

(g) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 1.50 mm) in female *UTX* knockout (UTX KO) or female *UTX*/*JMJD3* double knockout mice (UTX/JMJD3 KO) after ONC. Bar graph showing no additive/synergistic effects of *UTX*/*JMJD3* co-deletion on optic nerve regeneration (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*>0.05; UTX KO: n=7 mice, UTX/JMJD3 KO: n=4 mice).

(h) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in female *UTX* knockout (UTX KO/female) or female *UTX*/*JMJD3* double knockout mice (UTX/JMJD3 KO/female) after ONC (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.3282; UTX KO: n=7 mice, UTX/JMJD3 KO: n=4 mice).

(i) Representative images of flat whole mount retina immunostained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (green), indicating increased RGC survival rates in either *JMJD3* knockout (JMJD3 KO) or *UTX*/*JMJD3* double knockout (UTX/JMJD3 KO) mice compared with that in wild type mice. Co-deleting *UTX*/*JMJD3* resulted in additive/synergistic effects on RGC survival. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(j) Quantification of RGC survival rate in (j) (one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, *P*<0.0001; n=3 mice for each condition).

n.s. *P* > 0.05; *, **, ***, ****P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001, respectively. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Extended Data Figure 7

a

b

Extended Data Fig. 7 | UTX/PTEN co-deletion significantly decreased U-turns and increased branches, Related to Fig 4.

(a) Real time PCR analyses showing mRNA levels of *UTX* or *PTEN* in the purified RGCs of *UTX/PTEN^{f/f}* mice 2 weeks after intravitreal injection of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre, respectively (one sample *t* test; *UTX*: *P*=0.0331; *PTEN*: *P*=0.0253; n=3 independent experiments). **P* < 0.05. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(b) Representative images of U-turns and branches found in the distal nerve region. Neurite tracing showing that co-deletion of *UTX*/*PTEN* in RGCs led to markedly decreased U-turns and increased branches compared to *PTEN* deletion alone. Green lines, red lines and blue lines indicate straight trajectories, U-turns and branches, respectively. Scale bar, 200 μm.

Extended Data Figure 8

Extended Data Fig. 8 | Synergistic effects of *UTX* and *PTEN* double knockout on axon regeneration observed 6 weeks after ONC, Related to Fig 4.

(a) Top: schematic time course of optic nerve regeneration model. Specifically, AAV2-Cre was injected at day 1 and the optic nerve was crushed 2 weeks later. Optic nerve regeneration was analyzed 6 weeks after the nerve crush, and the fluorescence (Alexa 594) tagged CTB was injected 2 days before collecting the optic nerve. Bottom: representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of *PTEN* knockout (PTEN KO 6wpc) or *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout (UTX/PTEN KO 6wpc) mice 6 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. The left dashed white box shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white box on the right. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. Red and yellow dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites and the optic nerve-chiasm transition zone, respectively. OX indicates the optic chiasm. Scale bar, 200 μm.

(b) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 3.00 mm) in *PTEN* knockout (PTEN KO 6wpc) or *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice (UTX/PTEN KO 6wpc) 6 weeks after ONC (two tailed student's *t* tests, $P<0.05$; PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice).

(c) Quantification of the average length of the top 5 longest axons of each nerve in (a) (two tailed student's *t* tests, $P=0.0442$; PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice).

(d) Quantification of U-turn rate in (a) (two tailed student's *t* tests, $P=0.0250$; PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice, UTX/PTEN KO 6wpc: n=4 mice; top 20 longest axons were analyzed for each mouse).

*, **$P < 0.05$, 0.01, respectively. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SEM.

Extended Data Figure 9

Extended Data Fig. 9 | Regenerating axons into the optic chiasm shows complex growth patterns in *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice, Related to Fig 4.

Neurite tracing of regenerating axon into the optic chiasm showing complex growth patterns in *UTX*/*PTEN* double knockout mice. The bottom row shows enlarged images of areas indicated by the dashed white boxes in the top row. The regenerating axons at the optic chiasm were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. Yellow arrows indicate the distal ends of regenerating axons. Blue and yellow dotted lines indicate the chiasm midline and the optic nerve-chiasm transition zone, respectively. Green lines, red lines and blue lines indicate straight trajectories, U-turns and branches, respectively. OX indicates the optic chiasm. Scale bar, 200 μm.

Extended Data Figure 10

a

b

Extended Data Fig. 10 | Enrichment of mouse Thy1.2+ RGCs by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for high throughput sequencing, Related to Fig 5, 6.

(a) Representative FACS plots showing RGCs enrichment. Retinal cells labeled with isotype antibody were used as controls to set up the threshold for Thy1.2+ cells. Thy1.2+ RGCs were collected according to isotype control. Cell viability was determined with DAPI staining.

(b) Representative images of Thy1.2+ RGCs after FACS sorting. Purified RGCs were stained for neuronal marker Tuj1 (red) and DAPI (gray). Scare bar, 20 µm.

Extended Data Figure 11

Extended Data Fig. 11 | Axon growth competence reduces during neuronal maturation, Related to Fig 5, 6.

(a) Pearson's correlation analysis of normalized expression levels of all biological samples, including different developmental stages (P1, P14 and P21), 3 days post-injury RGCs from wild type (AAV2-GFP) and UTX KO (AAV2-Cre) mice.

(b) Number of differentially expressed genes during neuronal maturation at the threshold of adjusted P-value < 0.05.

(c) Volcano plot showing differential gene expression between P14 and P1 RGCs. Positive $\log_2$ fold change indicates higher expression in P14 RGCs relative to P1 RGCs. Red points indicate that genes are considered significantly different if absolute $\log_2$ Fold change > 1 and adjusted P-value < 0.05; vertical and horizontal reference lines at respective values.

(d) Top 5 gene ontology (GO) terms were generated separately for genes upregulated or downregulated (adjusted P-value < 0.05) by P14 RGCs relative to P1 RGCs.

(e) Volcano plot showing differential gene expression between P21 and P14 RGCs. Positive $\log_2$ fold change indicates higher expression in P21 RGCs relative to P14 RGCs. Red points indicate that genes are considered significantly different if absolute $\log_2$ Fold change > 1 and adjusted P-value < 0.05; vertical and horizontal reference lines at respective values.

(f) Top 5 gene ontology (GO) terms were generated separately for genes upregulated or downregulated (adjusted P-value < 0.05) by P21 RGCs relative to P14 RGCs.

Extended Data Figure 12

Extended Data Fig. 12 | No effect of antagomir-124-3p on RGC survival and optic nerve regeneration, Related to Fig 7.

(a) Real time PCR analysis showing transcription levels of mature antagomir-124-3p in the purified RGCs of *UTX^f/f* mice 2 weeks after intravitreal injection of AAV2-GFP or AAV2-Cre, respectively (one sample *t* test, *P*=0.0072; n=3 independent experiments).

(b) Venn diagram showing overlapped candidate targets of miR-124-3p between three different search engines (miRDB, TargetScan and miRWalk).

(c) Top 10 enriched GO terms of 817 overlapped candidate targets of miR-124-3p (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05).

(d) Representative 2D projected confocal images of whole mount cleared optic nerves of wild type mice injected with antagomir-NC or antagomir-124-3p 2 weeks after ONC. The regenerating axons were labeled with CTB-Alexa 594. The green dotted lines indicate the nerve crush sites. Scale bar, 200 µm.

(e) Quantification of regenerating axons at different distances distal to the nerve crush site (0.25 to 0.75 mm) in (b). Bar graph showing no difference in axon regeneration between antagomir-NC and antagomir-124-3p (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P* > 0.05; antagomir-NC: n=4 mice, antagomir-124-3p: n=5 mice).

(f) Quantification of RGC survival as measured by Tuj1 staining (two tailed student's *t* tests, *P*=0.3711; n=3 mice for each condition). Note no effect of miR-124-3p inhibition on RGC survival.

n.s. *P* > 0.05. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.