1

4 Selection of Ethanol Tolerant Strains of *Candida albicans* by Repeated Ethanol

5 Exposure Results in Strains with Reduced Susceptibility to Fluconazole

8 Andrew W. Day[1,2] and Carol A. Kumamoto[2*]

[1]Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, 02111,

USA

[2]Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts,

02111, USA

*Correspondence to Carol A. Kumamoto, Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology,

Tufts University, 150 Harrison Ave., Boston, MA, 02111, USA, carol.kumamoto@tufts.edu

Short Title: *Candida albicans* Ethanol Response and Altered Fluconazole susceptibility

Keywords: *Candida albicans*, antifungal resistance, ethanol tolerance, evolution

Abstract

Candida albicans is a commensal yeast that has important impacts on host metabolism and immune function, and can establish life-threatening infections in immunocompromised individuals. Previously, *C. albicans* colonization has been shown to contribute to the progression and severity of alcoholic liver disease. However, relatively little is known about how *C. albicans* responds to changing environmental conditions in the GI tract of individuals with alcohol use disorder, namely repeated exposure to ethanol. In this study, we repeatedly exposed *C. albicans* to high concentrations (10% vol/vol) of ethanol—a concentration that can be observed in the upper GI tract of humans following consumption of alcohol. Following this repeated exposure protocol, ethanol small colony (Esc) variants of *C. albicans* isolated from these populations exhibited increased ethanol tolerance, altered transcriptional responses to ethanol, and cross-resistance/tolerance to the frontline antifungal fluconazole. These Esc strains exhibited chromosomal copy number variations and carried polymorphisms in genes previously associated with the acquisition of fluconazole resistance during human infection. This study identifies a selective pressure that can result in evolution of fluconazole tolerance and resistance without previous exposure to the drug.

Author Summary: Previous work has shown that *Candida albicans*, a common fungal component of the gastrointestinal (GI) microbiome, is found in high abundance in the alcoholic GI tract. However, it was unknown how repeated ethanol exposure, similar to what *C. albicans* would be exposed to in the upper GI tract of individuals with alcohol use disorder, would affect the yeast. In this work, we show that repeated ethanol exposure selects for *C. albicans* strains with altered transcriptional responses to ethanol, increased ethanol tolerance, and cross-resistance/tolerance to the common frontline antifungal fluconazole. This work shows that ethanol exposure can promote evolution of *C. albicans* to antifungal resistance without previous

exposure to the antifungal drug. These findings could be relevant to *C. albicans* in the upper GI

tract in individuals with alcohol use disorder and further studies could reveal optimized

antifungal regimens for *C. albicans* infections in these patients.

<u>Introduction</u>

Candida albicans is an opportunistic pathogen causing severe morbidity and mortality in

immunocompromised patients. *Candida spp* are the second most common cause of nosocomial

bloodstream infection in the US, and cause about 70% of all fungal infections [1,2]. The most

common species causing these fungal infections is *C. albicans*, the causative agent of oral

thrush, vulvovaginitis, and bloodstream infections which result in mortality rates in

immunocompromised patients ranging from roughly 30-56% [3–5]. Before *C. albicans* causes

deadly bloodstream infections, it establishes commensalism within the gastrointestinal tract of

humans [6,7]. Importantly, rates of antifungal resistance among *C. albicans* isolates have been

rising throughout recent years [8], contributing to difficulty in treating bloodstream infections.

Therefore, it is important to investigate factors that promote antifungal resistance whether

acquired during infection or the preceding commensal state.

C. albicans commensalism has been studied particularly in disease states which

predispose individuals to bloodstream infections. However, the environmental conditions in the

GI tract and how they contribute to fungal physiology have been less studied. One condition of

interest is the GI tract of individuals with alcohol use disorder (AUD). Recently, changes in the

gut microbiome of individuals with alcohol use disorder, including a loss of fungal diversity, and

blooms of *C. albicans* have been noted [9–11]. *C. albicans* blooms in the alcoholic GI tract

promote the progression and increase the severity of alcoholic liver disease. Anti-

Saccharomyces cerevisiae IgG antibodies (ASCA), which are thought to be broadly induced by

fungi, show an inverse correlation with 6-month and 5-year survival rates in patients with

alcoholic cirrhosis [9,10]. These findings suggest that fungal colonization can exacerbate

diseases associated with alcohol use and abuse. However, there are still many questions

surrounding why *C. albicans* abundance increases in the alcoholic GI tract and how *C. albicans*

responds to environmental changes in the alcoholic GI tract.

One of the most intuitive features of the environment in the alcoholic GI tract is the

increased presence of ethanol that members of the microbiota from the mouth through the

proximal small intestine would be exposed to during bouts of consumption. Following

consumption of one to two standard drinks of ethanol, the percentage of alcohol in the stomach

and the small intestine can reach concentrations of roughly 10% ethanol [12,13]. To test how *C.*

albicans responds to conditions that mimic this environment, we repeatedly cultured C. albicans

in media with increasing amounts of ethanol up to 10%. Model organisms such as *Escherichia*

coli and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have been shown to evolve increased ethanol tolerance as

a result of repeated exposure to ethanol [14,15]. We therefore hypothesized that *C. albicans*

would evolve increased ethanol tolerance following repeated exposure to ethanol.

In this study, we find that populations of *C. albicans* cells repeatedly exposed to ethanol

evolve increased ethanol tolerance. One ethanol tolerant strain showed increased ergosterol

production during exposure to ethanol. We show that increased ergosterol content, whether

induced by chemical stimulation or gain-of-function mutation, resulted in increased ethanol

survival. Whole genome sequencing of strains with increased ethanol tolerance showed that

these strains carried various chromosomal aneuploidies, some of which have been observed in

fluconazole resistant and tolerant strains of *C. albicans*, and single nucleotide polymorphisms

(SNPs). We also showed that the ethanol tolerant strains exhibited increased fluconazole

resistance and tolerance. These results suggest that *C. albicans* exposed to ethanol in the

alcoholic GI tract can exhibit antifungal resistance without previously being exposed to the

antifungal drug.

Results

Selection of ethanol tolerant _C. albicans_ isolates

The ability of _C. albicans_ to evolve an altered response to ethanol exposure was investigated. To this end, _C. albicans_ strain SC5314 was cultured eight times for 4 hrs each in tissue culture medium with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1X non-essential amino acids (referred to as medium A) with or without increasing concentrations of ethanol, as described in Materials and Methods (**figure 1A).** This process was repeated with five independent populations of cells.

Throughout the repeated-ethanol exposure protocol, populations from each passage were plated onto YPD agar to monitor population dynamics. An increased number of small-sized colonies was observed with greater numbers of passages and higher concentrations of ethanol (**Supplemental figure S1**). Small-sized colonies that were 50% of the size of normal sized colonies or less were rare in populations not exposed to ethanol. From these populations, small and normal sized colonies were isolated to determine their properties.

Normal-sized colonies were isolated from four different populations exposed repeatedly to ethanol, as well as from populations that were repeatedly exposed to water. Strains from normal-sized colonies were grown to post-exponential phase and added to medium A containing 10% ethanol or 10% water. Following the four-hour ethanol exposure, cells were serially diluted, and plated to determine CFU and percentage survival. The final CFU of the 10% water and 10% ethanol cultures were counted and used to determine the final percentage survival of the ethanol cultures. Values were standardized within each experiment to the survival of SC5314 in 10% ethanol (**supplemental figure S2**). Strains from normal-sized colonies from ethanol cultures showed no statistically significant increase in ethanol survival compared to SC5314 survival and were not studied further.

Ethanol small colony (Esc) variants were purified from different populations and tested as above to measure their ability to survive in ethanol. Relative survival values of SC5314, Esc6,

Esc7, and Esc8 are shown in **figure 1B**. Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8 were isolated from different,

independent populations and all showed significantly higher survival following the 4-hour ethanol

exposure, compared to the parent strain.

The next experiment asked whether Esc strains had an increased ability to survive longer

exposures to ethanol. SC5314, Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8 were grown overnight and then subcultured

in medium A with 10% ethanol. CFU were determined for cultures at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24

hours of incubation. Fractions relative to the starting CFU of the cultures are shown in **figure 1C**.

The results showed that Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8 outperformed WT *C. albicans* in ethanol survival

from 0-6 hours and Esc7 and 8 were able to maintain higher ethanol survival relative to SC5314

through 24 hours. Esc6 had an early advantage in the first 6 hours compared to SC5314 but

began to die rapidly after 12 hours. A common way to measure tolerance to antibiotics in bacterial

systems is to determine the minimum duration of killing x fraction of the population (MDKx) [16].

We estimated the MDK90 for the strains by extrapolation and found that WT *C. albicans* had an

MDK90 of 10 hrs, Esc6 had an MDK90 of 12 hrs, Esc7 had an MDK90 of 16 hrs, and Esc8 had

an MDK90 of 19 hrs. These results showed that Esc7 and Esc8 had greater ethanol tolerance

than SC5314 and that Esc6 had slightly higher tolerance over the first 12 hours of exposure.

Altered gene expression in Esc strains

To detect changes in gene expression that could contribute to ethanol tolerance, RNA-

sequencing was performed on SC5314 and Esc6, 7 and 8 during exposure to 10% ethanol

medium or 10% water medium. Three biological replicates of each strain were cultured in medium

A with 10% ethanol or 10% water and harvested. RNA-seq was performed on RNA extracted from

these samples as described in Materials and Methods. Initial principal coordinate analysis showed

that all Esc strains exhibited a distinct transcriptional profile in ethanol compared to SC5314 in

ethanol (**supplemental figure S3**).

To determine what cellular pathways were altered in these strains, GO term analyses

using the Candida Genome Database (CGD) were performed as previously described [17] to

identify enriched categories of genes. Genes that were significantly up-regulated (log2-fold

change >0.5 and adjusted p-value < 0.05) in Esc strains in ethanol compared to SC5314 in

ethanol were analyzed (1700 genes in Esc6, 1334 genes in Esc7, and 1494 genes in Esc8). The

top 20 processes based on corrected p-value identified by GO term process analysis are shown

in **supplemental figure S4**. Organonitrogen compound catabolic process was the only process

that was shared in the top 20 for all strains.

For Esc7, many processes that were involved with ergosterol biosynthesis (red arrows in

figure 2A) were enriched among the top 20 GO term processes. Analysis of individual genes

showed that 12 ergosterol metabolism genes were significantly up-regulated in Esc7 in ethanol

compared to SC5314 in ethanol (**figure 2B)**. Importantly, one of these 12 genes was *ERG11*

which is one of the rate-limiting steps of ergosterol biosynthesis and the target of azole antifungals

[18,19]. Previous work with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* showed that ethanol impacts ergosterol

production and that increased ergosterol production was correlated with increased ethanol

tolerance [20–23], suggesting that the observed up-regulation of *ERG* genes contributes to

ethanol tolerance of Esc7.

Esc6 and Esc8 showed other enriched pathways that could be involved in ethanol

tolerance or response to ethanol exposure. Both Esc6 and Esc8 showed enrichment of genes

involved with general catabolic processes. Both strains showed enrichment of processes

pertaining to proteolysis and protein catabolism which could be involved with the denatured

protein response caused by ethanol exposure. Esc6 also showed an enrichment of genes

involved with autophagy, which has previously been implicated in the ethanol response of *S.*

cerevisiae [24]. These findings suggest that changes to proteasomal degradation/proteolysis and

autophagy could contribute to ethanol tolerance of *C. albicans*.

Further analysis of gene expression differences focused on SC5314 and Esc7. To confirm

the results from RNA-seq, SC5314 and Esc7 were cultured in 10% EtOH medium or 10% water

medium for 4 hours and RT-qPCR was used to measure gene expression. *ERG2, ERG4, ERG5,*

ERG6, ERG25, and *ERG11* were shown to have higher transcript abundance in Esc7 in

comparison to SC5314 in 10% ethanol **(figure 3A)**, confirming the results from RNA-Seq. Many

of these genes were repressed in ethanol in SC5314 and less repressed in Esc7 in ethanol. These

results suggested that Esc7 cells would contain increased concentrations of ergosterol compared

to SC5314 since increased expression of *ERG11* was shown to increase ergosterol content in *C.*

albicans [25].

Ethanol exposure has multiple effects that promote cell death including targeting the

membrane, and producing protein misfolding, mitochondrial stress, and DNA damage [26–28]. In

S. cerevisiae, increased expression of drug transporters and efflux pumps confers increased

ethanol tolerance [29,30] and we confirmed upregulation of *CDR1* in SC5314 and Esc7 during

exposure to ethanol as well as a trend towards greater expression in Esc7 compared to SC5314

(SC5314 vs Esc7 EtOH p-value: 0.2070, Fisher's LSD test, mean value 1.51-fold higher) (**figure**

3B). Orthologs of genes involved in trehalose synthesis and ethanol tolerance in *S. cerevisiae,*

TPS1 and *TPS2* [23], were less repressed in Esc7 compared to SC5314 in 10% EtOH (**figure**

3B).

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) and molecular chaperones are important for *S. cerevisiae*

ethanol tolerance [31–33]. In *C. albicans*, nearly all HSPs were repressed in ethanol in both Esc7

and SC5314 (**supplemental tables S1-S10)**. Interestingly, *HSP90* was significantly repressed in

Esc7 in ethanol and was more repressed than in SC5314 (**figure 6B**). HSP90 is critical to many

different stress responses, regulates Upc2 (a transcriptional regulator of ergosterol metabolism),

and regulates antifungal resistance and tolerance [34–40]. Decreased expression of *HSP90* could

lead to less programmed cell death during ethanol stress as has been observed with exposure to

hydrogen peroxide [41].

Another pathway of interest is the ethanol catabolic pathway. This pathway has not been

extensively studied in *C. albicans,* however, and some of the main players in this pathway were

identified based on homology. Adh1 and Adh2 are thought to convert ethanol into acetaldehyde,

Ald4 and Ald5 likely convert acetaldehyde into acetate, and Acs1 and Acs2 likely convert acetate

into acetyl-CoA [42]. Several of the genes, e.g. *ADH2*, *ADH3*, *ADH4*, and *ALD5* were

transcriptionally induced in ethanol in both SC5314 and Esc7 **(figure 3C**). Significant differences

in expression of these genes between Esc7 and SC5314 were not observed by RT-qPCR.

These findings highlight that many pathways are likely involved in ethanol tolerance in *C.*

albicans. Some of the pathways involved in *S. cerevisiae* ethanol tolerance may not play a role in

the strains described here (e.g. HSPs, ethanol catabolic pathway, etc.), although genes that do

not change transcriptionally may also be regulated post-transcriptionally.

***C. albicans* strains with elevated ergosterol levels exhibit increased ethanol tolerance**

Twelve genes of the ergosterol pathway showed higher transcript abundance in Esc7 in

ethanol compared to SC5314, suggesting that elevated ergosterol content contributes to

increased ethanol tolerance in *C. albicans.* We therefore investigated whether the gene

expression changes resulted in increased ergosterol content in Esc7. SC5314 cells or Esc6, 7, 8

cells were cultured in medium A with 10% water or 10% ethanol for four hours and ergosterol was

extracted and quantitated as previously described [43,44]. Ergosterol quantity was calculated

relative to SC5314 in 10% H2O and is shown in **figure 4A** (8 biological replicates from four

different experiments). From these data, a statistically significant increase in ergosterol content

was seen in Esc7 in EtOH (p-value <0.0001, 2way ANOVA with Dunnett's correction, mean =

1.77). Esc6 and Esc8 ergosterol content were not significantly different from SC5314. These data

support the model that higher levels of *ERG* gene expression in Esc7 during ethanol exposure

promoted higher ergosterol production.

In *S. cerevisiae,* increased ergosterol content confers greater ethanol tolerance and in

synthetic bilayers, increased ergosterol leads to lower membrane disruption [20–23]. We

therefore tested whether elevating ergosterol content in *C. albicans* through other means would

confer greater ethanol tolerance. One approach utilized an SC5314 strain with a homozygous

UPC2 gain of function mutation (G648D; kind gift of J. Morschhauser). *UPC2*$_{G648D}$ results in

increased ergosterol synthesis, and increased ergosterol content through upregulation of *ERG11*

[45]. Four hour ethanol survival of the SC5314 WT strain [45] and homozygous *UPC2*$_{G648D}$ mutant

strain was determined as described previously. Relative survival compared to SC5314 was

calculated. The homozygous *UPC2*$_{G648D}$ mutant strain exhibited a five-fold increase in ethanol

survival (**figure 4B**), showing that a mutation that increased ergosterol content [45] also increased

ethanol tolerance.

For a second test of the hypothesis that increased ergosterol content increases ethanol

tolerance, chemical stimulation of ergosterol synthesis with the anti-malarial drug artemisinin was

performed. Incubation of *C. albicans* with 100ug/mL of artemisinin has been shown to increase

ergosterol gene expression and lead to increased content of ergosterol in *C. albicans* cells [46].

Therefore, *C. albicans* cells were pretreated with 100ug/mL of artemisinin in DMSO or DMSO

alone for four hours. Artemisinin or vehicle exposure was continued during the four-hour ethanol

survival experiment. Survival of cells relative to SC5314 with vehicle was calculated (**figure 4C**).

Stimulation of ergosterol synthesis with artemisinin led to a 2-fold increased ethanol survival.

Therefore, cells with increased ergosterol content produced in several ways showed increased

ethanol tolerance. Increased ethanol tolerance in Esc7 is likely due, at least in part, to increased

ergosterol content in Esc7 cells.

Esc strains show chromosome copy number variation and genomic polymorphisms

Whole-genome sequencing of parental SC5314, Esc6, Esc7 and Esc8 was conducted to

identify possible chromosome copy number variation or sequence polymorphisms in the ethanol

tolerant strains. Aneuploidy is a well described mechanism of stress adaptation in *C. albicans*

[47–49]*,* as well as *S. cerevisiae* ethanol tolerance [50]. Genomic DNA from the strains was

isolated and genome sequencing was conducted by Seq-Center (formerly MiGS) using paired-

end Illumina next generation sequencing. Further details on sequencing and analysis workflow

are described in Materials and Methods.

Changes in chromosome copy number were detected by uploading fastq files to the Yeast

Mapping Analysis Pipeline (YMAP) [51]. YMAP analyzed average read depth across all

chromosomes to give a read-depth based chromosome copy number estimation (**figure 5**). These

images also give predictions for loss of heterozygosity (LoH) regions and show which regions are

predicted to be composed of which alleles (A or B, AAB or ABB in trisomic regions) based on

sequence homology to either of these alleles. Results show that Esc strains contain various

aneuploidies, as well as certain LoH regions. Specifically Esc6 has a trisomy of Chr1, Esc7 has

a trisomy of Chr3, and a loss of the B allele of the short arm of chromosome R. Esc8 has a

monosomy of Chr5 (only A allele) and trisomies of Chr6, 7, and 8. Growth in ethanol may select

for aneuploid strains previously existing in the population or the presence of ethanol or byproducts

of its catabolism may stimulate chromosomal instability.

Additionally, we observed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and

insertions/deletions in the genome sequences of Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8 (**supplemental tables**

S11-S13). There were 124 (in 64 different ORFs), 139 (in 83 different ORFs), and 135 (in 72

different ORFs) polymorphisms in protein coding regions in Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8 respectively.

Polymorphisms were comprised mostly of putative heterozygous polymorphisms in all strains

based on the allele depth ratio. Heterozygous and homozygous polymorphisms were classified

by creating a histogram of allele depth and calculating minima of a trimodal fit curve (minima =

Esc6:0.1845,**0.8482**; Esc7:0.1753,**0.8716**; Esc8:0.1761,**0.8628**) (**supplemental figure S5**).

Further analysis focused on homozygous polymorphisms classified as variant calls with an allele

depth ratio of greater than the highest minimum value (bolded values above). Only one gene

carried a homozygous polymorphism in all three Esc strains: *C6_02290C*, an uncharacterized

gene containing a putative zinc binding domain. Other genes of interest with homozygous

polymorphisms are *C4_04190C* and *C4_04200C* which are overlapping ORFs that both contain

a putative acetyltransferase domain and *PDC2* which was polymorphic in two strains. *PDC2*

encodes a homeodomain-containing transcriptional regulator which is involved in glucose

metabolism [52]. The role of these genes in ethanol tolerance is unknown.

Esc strains exhibit reduced susceptibility to fluconazole

Some of the chromosome aneuploidies observed in Esc7 and Esc8 have been previously

observed in strains with altered susceptibility to the frontline antifungal fluconazole. Aneuploidies

of chromosome 3, 5, 6, 7, and R have been implicated in azole resistance and tolerance [53–58].

Further, the ergosterol pathway, more specifically *ERG11,* is the target of azole class antifungals

and increased expression of *ERG11* and *CDR1* confers fluconazole resistance [34,59–61].

We therefore examined the susceptibility of Esc strains to fluconazole. Broth-microdilution

assays were carried out using the standard CLSI protocol [62]. After 24-hour incubations,

metabolic activity of the wells was measured with 2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-

[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium hydroxide (XTT) and MIC50 and MIC70 values were

calculated as described in Materials and Methods (**figure 6A**, **supplemental figure S6)**. Esc6

and Esc7 both exhibited fluconazole resistance phenotypes with elevated MIC50 values. Esc8

showed no alterations to MIC50 values but had increased MIC70 and trailing growth, suggesting

altered fluconazole susceptibility.

Disk diffusion assays were performed following standard protocols with 25ug-loaded

fluconazole filter disks [63,64]. Esc7 showed no zone of inhibition following a 48-hour incubation,

while Esc8 showed a zone of inhibition with heavy growth within the zone (**figure 6B**). These

results are consistent with fluconazole resistance and fluconazole tolerance respectively.

Interestingly, Esc6 showed a larger zone of inhibition than SC5314 in the disk-diffusion assay but

exhibited an elevated MIC in the broth microdilution assay. This type of discrepancy has been

observed rarely and could suggest a non-canonical mechanism of reduced fluconazole

susceptibility. In summary, all Esc strains tested exhibited altered susceptibility to fluconazole,

showing that *C. albicans* can evolve fluconazole resistance and tolerance through ethanol

exposure without previous exposure to antifungal drugs.

Due to this cross-tolerance/resistance, we compared the SNPs identified in Esc strains

with SNPs previously identified in strains developing fluconazole resistance. Whole genome

sequencing analysis of strains acquired by sequentially sampling patients during the acquisition

of fluconazole resistance was reported by Ford, et al [63]. In this study, sequential isolates from

patients with *C. albicans* oral candidiasis infections were obtained. In total 43 isolates from 11

patients were whole-genome sequenced. 7 of the 11 patients carried fluconazole resistant strains.

Genome sequences and SNPs of these strains were analyzed throughout the sequential

isolations and compared to SC5314 reference strains. From this study, 240 genes were observed

with persistent polymorphisms, defined as polymorphisms observed in fluconazole resistant

strains from at least 3 of the 7 patients, that were nonsynonymous, were not within a region of the

genome with a loss of heterozygosity event and, after arising, were found in all subsequent

isolates.

We determined how many of these 240 genes carried homozygous polymorphisms in

Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8. There were 8 total homozygous SNP-carrying genes in Esc6 and 5 of

these 8 polymorphic genes also carried persistent polymorphisms in Ford et al. Of the 6

homozygous polymorphic genes in Esc7, 2 of these also carried persistent polymorphisms in

Ford, et al.   Esc8 had 5 homozygous polymorphic genes of which 3 carried persistent

polymorphisms in Ford, et al. This overlap in genes was greater than expected by chance (p-

values all < 0.0030; Chi-squared test). Genes that carry SNPs in Esc strains and Ford strains are

shown in **Table 1**. All polymorphisms can be found in **supplemental tables S11-S13.** These

results suggest an overlap in the selective pressures exerted by fluconazole and ethanol such

that these two pressures select for similar mutational landscapes and aneuploidies. The

similarities increase the likelihood that strains selected for higher ethanol tolerance will exhibit

cross-tolerance/resistance to fluconazole.

Table 1*: Polymorphic genes in Esc strains show overlap with genes associated with fluconazole resistance*.

Strain	Observed Number of Common Genes[a]	Expected Number of Common Genes[b]	Two-tailed P-value (Chi squared)
Esc6	5	0.3096	<0.0001
Esc7	2	0.2322	0.0029
Esc8	3	0.1935	<0.0001
	Homozygous Polymorphic Genes in Esc Strains		
Esc6	*ALS3, EAP1, GZF3, RFG1, TBF1, C6_04190C, C7_01100C, CR_02930W*		
Esc7	*PDC2, C1_14630C, C1_07690C, C4_04190C, C4_04200C, C6_04190C*		
Esc8	*FMO2, PDC2, PGA55, C6_03520C, C6_04190C*		
	Common Genes with Homozygous Polymorphisms in Esc strains and Persistent Polymorphisms in Ford, 2015		
Esc6	***C6_04190C,** TBF1, CR_02930W, ALS3, EAP1*		
Esc7	***C6_04190C,** C1_07690C*		
Esc8	***C6_04190C,** PGA55, FMO2*		

Discussion

In this study, we showed that repeated exposure of *C. albicans* cultures to

ethanol results in selection for *C. albicans* small colony variants. The small colony variants that

were studied exhibited increased ethanol tolerance as measured after a four-hour ethanol

exposure and a longer term 24-hour ethanol exposure. Interestingly, Esc strains appeared to

grow during the initial hours of the ethanol exposure and then lost viability at a similar rate to

SC5314. This observation suggests that the evolved tolerance strategies in Esc strains were

optimized for short ethanol exposures consistent with the four-hour exposures that were used

during the selection. These mechanisms did not appear to provide much advantage during

longer exposure times (i.e. 8-24 hours). Little is known about how *C. albicans* responds to

ethanol. In general, ethanol kills cells through disruption of the lipid bilayer [66,67], protein

denaturation [68], and intracellular membrane perturbations [69]. There is thinning of the bilayer

through direct interdigitation of ethanol between membrane lipids, and ultimately denaturation of

the membrane which will result in cell death [66,67]. Lipid metabolism alterations, aneuploidy,

increased production of stress responsive genes, and drug efflux pump expression have all

been shown to confer greater ethanol tolerance in *S. cerevisiae* [23,50,70]. We hypothesized

that *C. albicans* utilizes similar mechanisms to increase ethanol tolerance and improve survival

when exposed to relatively high concentrations of ethanol. We assessed this model with

transcriptomics.

Upon RNA-sequencing of Esc6, Esc7 and Esc8, interesting expression patterns were

observed. First, roughly 12 genes directly involved with ergosterol biosynthesis showed increased

expression in Esc7 in 10% ethanol compared to SC5314 in 10% ethanol. *ERG* genes are

downregulated in SC5314 in ethanol compared to growth without ethanol. Ethanol-dependent

ERG downregulation was reduced or absent in Esc7. We asked whether *UPC2* was involved in

the differential expression of *ERG* genes as Upc2 is a global regulator of ergosterol biosynthesis

[71]. However, *UPC2* expression was decreased in Esc7 in 10% ethanol compared to SC5314

(**supplemental table S3**), and there were no SNPs in the *UPC2* orf or promoter sequence,

suggesting that other novel transcriptional regulators of ergosterol synthesis are regulating *ERG*

gene expression in Esc7. Additionally, post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms could lead to

greater activation of Upc2. For example in *S. cerevisiae* and *Candida* species, it has been

suggested that HSP90 acts as a pedestal for Upc2 and binds it in an inactive form [72,73]. It is

possible that similar mechanisms are at play in *C. albicans.* In Esc7, there is a higher ratio of

UPC2/*HSP90* transcripts in Esc7 in 10% ethanol compared to SC5314 in 10% ethanol based on

the RNA-Seq data (**supplemental figure S7**). Regulation of the *UPC2/HSP90* ratio could lead to

a greater proportion of Upc2 being in its active form, thus leading to greater ergosterol production.

There are likely many pathways that contribute to the increased ethanol survival of Esc

strains such as trehalose production, ergosterol production or reduced *HSP90* expression.

Ethanol affects many different pathways in cells such as protein folding, lipid bilayer integrity, and

mitochondrial and nuclear function [66–69,74]. In *C. albicans* specifically, ethanol also affects

filamentation and biofilm formation [75]. Therefore, many different pathways are under selection

during repeated exposure to ethanol and roughly 44% of all genes showed statistically significant

alterations in gene expression in the 10% ethanol comparison of Esc7 and SC5314

(**supplemental table S3**). These large transcriptomic changes in Esc strains in ethanol indicate

that many *C. albicans* pathways are likely impacted during ethanol exposure.

We showed that increased ergosterol content in *C. albicans* can confer increased ethanol

survival. This is likely due to protection from the impacts of ethanol perturbation on the plasma

membrane. Models of yeast plasma membranes showed that increased ergosterol content in the

membrane reduced ethanol interdigitation and contributed to resistance against the membrane

thinning effect of ethanol [67,76]. This finding shows that at least part of the overlap of selective

pressures between fluconazole and ethanol likely revolves around ergosterol. If a stressor

impacts a pathway that an antifungal drug also targets, the stressor could theoretically select for

drug resistant or tolerant strains. Even if there is no overlap in the phenotypic response to a

stimulus and an antifungal, if the resistance mechanism involves aneuploidy, cross-resistance

could arise due to increased dosage of genes. Previously this has been shown in *C. albicans* with

pre-exposure to chemotherapeutic drugs leading to decreased caspofungin susceptibility [58].

We show similar but novel findings—*C. albicans* can evolve fluconazole resistance and higher

tolerance after repeated exposure to a naturally occurring molecule, ethanol.

Furthermore, a peculiar fluconazole resistance phenotype was observed for Esc6, defined

as a susceptible disk-diffusion phenotype and a strong resistance phenotype observed by broth-

microdilution. There have been well documented discrepancies between broth-microdilution

assays and disk-diffusions [89]. However, discrepancies to the degree that we observed with Esc6

are uncommon. These observations suggest that Esc6 has developed a noncanonical

mechanism of resistance, or that Esc6 shows an environment-dependent mechanism of

resistance. Recently it has been shown that large discrepancies in susceptibility of vulvovaginal

candidiasis (VVC) isolates to fluconazole can be observed in clinical testing laboratories

depending on the pH of testing media. A pH of 4.5, which more accurately represents the pH of

the vagina, is more likely to identify a VVC strain as resistant than pH 7. Testing at pH 4.5 more

accurately explained treatment recalcitrance than susceptibilities obtained from testing at pH 7

[77]. Further studies of Esc6 will be needed to identify the basis for its fluconazole phenotypes.

Esc7 shows alterations previously identified in fluconazole resistant strains including Chr3

trisomy [53–55] and higher expression of genes in the ergosterol pathway. These genetic changes

have previously been implicated in fluconazole resistance [53–55]. However, Esc7 did not show

large changes to *CDR1*, *CDR2*, or *MDR1* expression in the conditions we examined. Previously

this was thought to be part of the mechanism of fluconazole resistance in strains with Chr3

trisomies as *CDR1, CDR2,* and *MRR1* reside on Chr3 [55,78].

Aneuploidies found in Esc8 included a monosomy of Chr5, and trisomies of Chr6, Chr7,

and ChrR. ChrR trisomy has been found in fluconazole tolerant strains and shown to confer

increased fluconazole tolerance [56–58]. Aneuploidies may contribute to the reduced fluconazole

susceptibility of Esc8.

Aneuploidies, while likely part of the resistance and tolerance mechanisms of these

strains, are not the only interesting genomic changes. Esc strains also carry SNPs in genes that

were altered during the acquisition of fluconazole resistance in clinical isolates [63]. Likely, some

of these SNPs confer a fitness advantage for Esc strains during growth in fluconazole. One of

these polymorphic genes of interest is *C6_04190C* which encodes an uncharacterized orf with a

putative zinc binding domain. *C6_04190C* was polymorphic in all Esc strains as well as the Ford,

et al strains. Perhaps C6_04190C plays a role in zinc uptake or zinc sequestration, which could

affect zinc-binding transcriptional regulators that are involved with fluconazole resistance such as

Mrr1, Mrr2, Tac1, and Upc2 [78–80]. Modifying the pool of biologically available zinc could affect

the activity of these various proteins and have effects on susceptibility to fluconazole.

In summary, we have shown that *C. albicans* can evolve increased ethanol tolerance

following repeated exposure to ethanol. This is likely due to the involvement of many different

pathways. Repeated ethanol exposure selects for Esc strains that have altered transcriptional

responses to ethanol and altered genomic structure. We also showed that increased ergosterol

content leads to enhanced ethanol survival. The mutational landscape of *C. albicans* Esc strains

resembles the landscape of genes mutated in clinical isolates upon acquisition of fluconazole

resistance. This finding highlights the overlap of selective pressures between the two stressors:

fluconazole and ethanol. Consistent with this overlap, we demonstrated that strains repeatedly

exposed to ethanol acquire fluconazole resistance and tolerance without previous exposure to

antifungal drugs.

Materials and Methods

Strains and Culture Conditions

For the repeated ethanol exposure protocol, individual colonies of *C. albicans* strain

SC5314 were inoculated into 10 mL of YPD and grown overnight at 30ºC to post exponential

phase (16-20 hours). 5 independent cultures were split into paired cultures to be exposed to media

with ethanol or water. After overnight growth, cells were counted on a hemocytometer and 10^6

cells were inoculated from the overnight YPD cultures into 1 mL of medium A (Dulbecco's Modified

Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1X non-essential amino acids) with

ethanol or water in 2 mL screw cap microcentrifuge tubes. The concentrations of ethanol or water

were 1% for the first exposure, 2% for the second exposure, 4% for the third exposure, 6% for

the fourth exposure, 8% for the fifth exposure, and 10% for exposures six through eight

(volume/volume). Cultures were incubated at 37ºC in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere for four hours to

mimic physiological conditions. Following ethanol or water exposure, 10 uL of the medium A

cultures were seeded into 10 mL YPD for recovery from the ethanol exposure and grown at 30ºC

until post-exponential phases were reached (1 day for passages in 6% ethanol or less or 2 days

for passages in 8% and 10% ethanol). 100 uL of each population was plated on YPD agar and

incubated for 2 days at 30ºC following each medium A exposure to monitor population dynamics

and normal sized and small sized colonies were counted by eye. Small colonies were defined as

colonies estimated to be 50% or less in size compared to normal sized colonies.

Ethanol small colony (Esc) strains were isolated from different populations of cells at

different time points in the repeated ethanol exposure protocol by picking colonies from the YPD

agar plates. Populations 1-4 were populations repeatedly exposed to water and 5-8 were

populations repeatedly exposed to ethanol. Esc6 was isolated from population 8 in the 6th passage

in ethanol (first exposure to 10% ethanol), Esc7 was isolated from population 6 in the 7th passage

in ethanol (second exposure to 10% ethanol), and Esc8 was isolated from the 5th population in

the 8th passage in ethanol (third exposure to 10% ethanol). SC5314-UPC2-G648D was obtained

from Dr. Joachim Morschhauser and has the following genotype: *UPC2-G648D::FRT/UPC2-*

G648D::FRT [45].

Ethanol Exposure to Test for Ethanol Survival

Strains were grown to post-exponential phase in YPD (16-20 hours) and then counted

using a hemocytometer to seed 10^6 cells in one mL of medium A with 10% H2O or 10% ethanol

(vol/vol) using 95% ethanol in 2 mL screw cap tubes. Cultures were incubated for 4 hours in 37ºC

in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere. Before incubation, 100 uL was sampled from the cultures and serially

diluted onto YPD-agar plates for a starting CFU determination. Following 4 hours, cultures were

sampled and diluted serially in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and plated on YPD agar for

a final CFU determination for the water and ethanol cultures. YPD-agar plates were incubated for

2 days at 30ºC and counted. The final CFU from the ethanol cultures was divided by the final CFU

from the water cultures to determine percentage survival and then calculated as a survival relative

to SC5314 within each separate experiment.

For the extended incubation, the same conditions were used as above except cultures

were incubated in 37ºC incubators with ambient CO_2 concentrations. Two separate cultures for

each biological replicate were set up for each individual experiment. The first set of cultures was

sampled at 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours and the second set was sampled at 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours.

Survival was calculated relative to starting CFU obtained at timepoint 0 hours.

RNA isolation and RNA-sequencing

Cultures of SC5314 or Esc strains were grown to post-exponential phase and 10^8 cells for

each were seeded into 1 mL cultures of medium A with 10% H_2O or EtOH and incubated for 4

hours at 37ºC with 5% CO_2. Immediately following the incubation, cells were centrifuged at 6000

rpm for 1 min and supernatant was removed. Cells were then resuspended in 1 mL of RNA-later

(Fisher cat. AM7021) and immediately frozen at -80ºC until they were ready for extraction. Cells

were then thawed on ice. Cells were lysed as previously described by bead-beating [81]. RNA

was isolated using the Invitrogen Purelink RNA mini kit (Thermo Fisher cat: 12183-025) with the

on-column DNase treatment (Thermo Fisher cat: 12185-010). RNA was eluted in 40uL of

ultrapure H_2O and RNA yield and purity were assessed on a Nanodrop.

Three biological replicates of SC5314, Esc6, Esc7, or Esc8 following exposure to medium

A with 10% water or 10% EtOH were sent for sequencing. All samples sequenced had RNA

integrity scores of 9.2 or greater. cDNA libraries were made by Genewiz and RNA-seq was

performed by Genewiz as previously described [64] with the following alterations: gene

expression was considered significant only if adjusted-P-value (calculated by Benjamini-

Hochberg test) was less than 0.05 and log_2-fold change was greater than the absolute value of

0.5. RNA-sequencing was aligned to *Candida albicans* SC5314 (assembly ASM18296v3) as the

reference genome. Gene ontology of significant hits were assessed using Candida Genome

Database Go term finder as has been previously described [17] (http://candidagenome.org). For

volcano plots and gene expression differences, the three biological replicates from each condition

were averaged and significant differences were compared by relative transcript abundance

differences.

Validation of RNA-sequencing by RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from additional cultures following the same water or ethanol exposure

protocol using the extraction protocol above. cDNA was synthesized using SSIII reverse

transcriptase (Thermo cat: 18080-085) following manufacturer's protocol with approximately 1 ug

starting RNA concentrations.. Oligo-dT primers were used for each cDNA synthesis reaction.

Eight biological replicates for each strain and condition were used. cDNA was diluted 1:5 in

ultrapure H_2O and 1 uL was used per reaction for RT-qPCR. SYBR green master mix (Fisher cat:

4334973) was used and qPCR reactions were run on a Roche Lightcycler 480 II using standard

two-step reaction procedures. Controls without cDNA were run for each primer and all primer

pairs either did not yield product, or yielded Cp values that were at least 10 cycles greater than

the sample Cp value. Melting curves were analyzed to ensure only one peak was seen and primer

dimerization was not observed in any of the curves analyzed. Cp values were normalized using

a putative housekeeping gene: *SPL1*, a tRNA splicing enzyme that showed no significant changes

in gene expression in all conditions and strains analyzed based on RNA-seq. The fold change in

gene expression relative to SC5314 in 10% H2O was then calculated and graphed by $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$

values [81]. Primers are shown in **supplemental table S14**. Primer sequences were either used

from previously published work or were designed using NCBI primer blast. Primer design used

the A allele sequence of the target gene, *C. albicans* strain SC5314 reference genome, PCR

product size of 90-250bp, optimized primer length of 28 nucleotides, primer melting temperatures

of 53-63ºC with 56ºC optimized temperature and a max primer pair difference of 5ºC. Purified

PCR products were sequenced to verify target specificity.

Ergosterol Quantitation

Total cellular ergosterol was quantitated as described previously [43,82,83]. 10^8 cells of

SC5314 or Esc strains were incubated for 4 hours at 37ºC with 5% CO_2 in medium A with 10%

ethanol or 10% H_2O. After the four-hour incubation, cells were pelleted and washed twice in sterile

PBS. Following washing, supernatant was removed, and the wet pellet weight of the cells was

measured. 2 mL of alcoholic 25% potassium hydroxide was added to each tube for saponification

and pellets were resuspended by vortexing for 1 min, transferred to borosilicate glass tubes, and

incubated in an 85ºC water bath for 1 hour. Following the incubation, tubes were allowed to cool

for 10 mins at room temperature and 2 mL of spectroscopy grade n-heptane (Sigma 1043660500)

and 667 uL of sterile deionized water were added to each tube and vortexed for 3 mins. The

organic fraction was removed from the mixture and diluted 1:2 in 100% ethanol (Sigma cat:

E7023-1L). Scanning absorbance values were then taken between 230nm and 290nm on a

Nanodrop spectrophotometer. Calculation of the amount of ergosterol and amount of dehydro-

ergosterol (DHE) normalized to wet pellet weight was performed as described previously

[43,82,83]. In summary, the calculation was **equation 1:** % (ergosterol + DHE) = [(A_{282}/290) x

dilution factor] / pellet weight. **Equation 2:** % DHE = [(A_{230}/518) x dilution factor]/pellet weight. %

ergosterol = **Equation 1 – Equation 2**. The dilution factor is the dilution factor used for diluting

the sterol-containing heptane solution with ethanol, 290 = E for ergosterol at 282 nm, and 518 =

E for DHE at 230 nm [43].

Whole-Genome Sequencing

Genomic DNA from SC5314 and Esc strains was extracted and sent to SeqCenter for

next-generation sequencing. SC5314 and Esc6 yielded consistent colony sizes so these strains

were struck out on YPD-agar and isolated colonies were picked, grown to post-exponential phase

and cells were pelleted. Esc7 and Esc8 have more unstable small colony phenotypes, therefore,

cells were plated on a YPD-agar plate grown for 3 days at 30ºC and small colonies were picked

and added to 100uL of sterile PBS. This was then used to seed 4 new plates and performed three

separate times. The plates with the lowest reversion rates were used for genome preps by

collecting small colonies from these plates and adding them to 5 mL of sterile PBS. These tubes

were then vortexed, and cells were pelleted. Genomes were extracted using bead-beating in

phenol chloroform followed by chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation as described

previously [84]. DNA was purified further by the Qiagen DNeasy kit using manufacturer's protocol.

Whole-genome sequencing was performed by SeqCenter by paired end NGS Illumina

sequencing of 151 bp reads. Quality control and adaptor trimming were performed with bcl-

convert [85], and reads were mapped to SC5314 reference genome using bwa [86]. Genome

coverage from the sequencing runs ranged from 66X to 83X based on total number of reads

across the SC5314 reference genome length. GATK's Markduplicates was used to remove

duplicate reads in the alignment and GATK's Haplotype caller was used to call variants in the

alignment [87]. Variants with a QD < 2, or MQ < 40, or MGRankSum < -12.5, or

ReadPosRankSum < -8, or FS > 60.0, or SOR > 3 were filtered out. Sequence variants were

compiled into an excel document by SeqCenter. Due to the large number of sequence variants

obtained, only homozygous sequence variants of Esc strains compared to the parental SC5314

strain sequence were analyzed in depth. Homozygous sequence variants were determined by

constructing a histogram of allele depth and calculating minima of a trimodal fit curve

(**supplemental figure S5**). Homozygous polymorphisms were classified as variant calls with an

allele depth ratio greater than the high minima value. Heterozygous polymorphisms were

classified as those with allele depth ratios between the two minima values. Polymorphisms with

allele depth ratio lower than the high minima and less than a total read depth of 10 were excluded

from analysis. For the remaining putative homozygous polymorphisms, pre-existing heterozygous

polymorphisms in the B allele were excluded by examining the location of the polymorphism in a

multiple sequence alignment of the A and B alleles of the gene.

Chromosome copy number variations were analyzed using the Yeast Mapping Analysis

Pipeline [51]. Fastq files were uploaded to YMAP and YMAP calculated the average read depth

across the chromosomes mapping to SC5314 as the reference genome. YMAP thus generates

chromosome copy number predictions based on the read depth across the genome. These

predictions were graphed as predicted copy number across chromosomes of the individual strains

relative to a ploidy of 2. Changes in ploidy were shown by thick black bars deviating from the

starting ploidy line at 2.

Disk-Diffusion and Broth-Microdilution Assays

For the disk-diffusion assay, cells were grown to post-exponential phase in liquid YPD

medium and 10^5 cells per plate were spread onto YPD-agar. Then 6mm diameter filter disks

impregnated with 25ug of fluconazole were placed in the center of the YPD agar. These plates

were incubated for 48 hours at 30ºC to assess the zone of inhibition and the fraction of growth

within the zone. Images of plates following incubation were taken on a Syngene gel-doc imager

using white light, black background, and identical iris, zoom, and focus settings for each plate.

Representative images of each strain were used for figures in this paper. Eight biological

replicates of each strain were tested in four different experiments.

For the broth-microdilution assay, cells were grown as stated above and then 10^4 cells/well

were used for each strain and experiment. Standard CLSI protocol was used for this experiment.

Cells were diluted in RPMI, 165 mM MOPS, 2% glucose, pH 7.0. Fluconazole was serially diluted

2-fold—yielding final concentrations from 64 ug/mL down to 0.125 ug/mL. In each row, a no-cell

well was used as a blank and sterility control, and a no-drug control was used to calculate 100%

metabolic activity. Plates were incubated for 24 hours at 35ºC. At 24 hours medium was carefully

removed from each well and 100 uL of 1 mg/mL of 2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-

[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium hydroxide (XTT) (Alfa-Aesar) in PBS with 4 uL of
menadione per/100 uL was added to each well. The plates were incubated for one hour at room
temperature and then 50 uL of solution from each well was removed and read at 492 nm to
determine metabolic activity of each well. The no-drug well averaged between the two duplicates
was used to calculate the OD492 that indicates a 50% reduction. The concentration of drug that
yielded a reduction in metabolic activity immediately less than 50% of the no-drug well was
considered the MIC50. The heat map of the metabolic activity of these wells is shown as the
medians of 6 biological replicates for each strain in **figure 9** or shown as individual values in
supplemental figure S6. Heat map was made using GraphPad Prism.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.W.D. and C.A.K.; investigation, A.W.D.; writing—original draft preparation,
A.W.D.; writing—review and editing, C.A.K., A.W.D.; funding acquisition, C.A.K. All authors have
read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

A.W.D. was supported by training grant T32AI007422 from the National Institutes of Health. This
research was supported by grant R01AI118898 from the National Institutes of Health (to C.A.K.).
The funders had no role in study design, data collection or the decision to publish the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Joachim Morschhäuser (Universität Wurzburg) for the kind
gift of the $UPC2_{G648D}$ mutant strain, Dr. Albert Tai for help with the whole-genome sequencing
analysis, and Drs. Jesus Romo, Paola Zucchi, and Ashlee Junier for helpful discussion. We
would also like to thank Jeyra Perez Lozada and Dayana Alay Gonzalez for technical
assistance.

Data Availability Statement: Submission of the raw sequencing data to the NCBI Sequence

Read Archive is in progress.

References

1.   McCarty TP, White CM, Pappas PG. Candidemia and Invasive Candidiasis. Infect Dis Clin North Am.
2021 Jun;35(2):389–413.

2.   MacCallum DM. Hosting Infection: Experimental Models to Assay *Candida* Virulence. Int J Microbiol.
2012;2012:1–12.

3.   Mejia-Chew C, O'Halloran JA, Olsen MA, Stwalley D, Kronen R, Lin C, et al. Effect of infectious
disease consultation on mortality and treatment of patients with candida bloodstream infections: a
retrospective, cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019 Dec;19(12):1336–44.

4.   Fujihara N, Saito T, Kudo T, Iinuma Y, Ichiyama S, Takakura S. Clinical factors associated with
fluconazole resistance and short-term survival in patients with Candida bloodstream infection. Eur J
Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2004 May 1;23(5):380–8.

5.   Kato H, Yoshimura Y, Suido Y, Shimizu H, Ide K, Sugiyama Y, et al. Mortality and risk factor analysis
for Candida blood stream infection: A multicenter study. J Infect Chemother. 2019 May;25(5):341–5.

6.   Pande K, Chen C, Noble SM. Passage through the mammalian gut triggers a phenotypic switch that
promotes Candida albicans commensalism. Nat Genet. 2013 Sep;45(9):1088–91.

7.   Zhai B, Ola M, Rolling T, Tosini NL, Joshowitz S, Littmann ER, et al. High-resolution mycobiota
analysis reveals dynamic intestinal translocation preceding invasive candidiasis. Nat Med. 2020
Jan;26(1):59–64.

8.   Kotey FC, Dayie NT, Tetteh-Uarcoo PB, Donkor ES. *Candida* Bloodstream Infections: Changes in
Epidemiology and Increase in Drug Resistance. Infect Dis Res Treat. 2021 Jan;14:117863372110269.

9.   Chu H, Duan Y, Lang S, Jiang L, Wang Y, Llorente C, et al. The Candida albicans exotoxin candidalysin
promotes alcohol-associated liver disease. J Hepatol. 2020 Mar;72(3):391–400.

10.   Yang AM, Inamine T, Hochrath K, Chen P, Wang L, Llorente C, et al. Intestinal fungi contribute to
development of alcoholic liver disease. J Clin Invest. 2017 May 22;127(7):2829–41.

11.   Hartmann P, Lang S, Zeng S, Duan Y, Zhang X, Wang Y, et al. Dynamic Changes of the Fungal
Microbiome in Alcohol Use Disorder. Front Physiol. 2021 Jul 19;12:699253.

12.   Rubbens J, Brouwers J, Wolfs K, Adams E, Tack J, Augustijns P. Ethanol concentrations in the human
gastrointestinal tract after intake of alcoholic beverages. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2016 Apr;86:91–5.

13.   Halsted CH, Robles EA, Mezey E. Distribution of ethanol in the human gastrointestinal tract. Am J
Clin Nutr. 1973 Aug;26(8):831–4.

14. Stanley D, Fraser S, Chambers PJ, Rogers P, Stanley GA. Generation and characterisation of stable
ethanol-tolerant mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010
Feb;37(2):139–49.

15. Turanlı-Yıldız B, Benbadis L, Alkım C, Sezgin T, Akşit A, Gökçe A, et al. In vivo evolutionary
engineering for ethanol-tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae haploid cells triggers diploidization. J
Biosci Bioeng. 2017 Sep;124(3):309–18.

16. Balaban NQ, Helaine S, Lewis K, Ackermann M, Aldridge B, Andersson DI, et al. Definitions and
guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019 Jul;17(7):441–8.

17. Muñoz JF, Delorey T, Ford CB, Li BY, Thompson DA, Rao RP, et al. Coordinated host-pathogen
transcriptional dynamics revealed using sorted subpopulations and single macrophages infected
with Candida albicans. Nat Commun. 2019 Apr 8;10(1):1607.

18. Dovo EE, Zohoncon TM, Tovo SF, Soubeiga ST, Kiendrebeogo IT, Yonli AT, et al. First detection of
mutated ERG11 gene in vulvovaginal Candida albicans isolates at Ouagadougou/Burkina Faso. BMC
Infect Dis. 2022 Aug 8;22(1):678.

19. Du Bois AC, Xue A, Pham C, Revie NM, Meyer KJ, Yashiroda Y, et al. High-Throughput Chemical
Screen Identifies a 2,5-Disubstituted Pyridine as an Inhibitor of Candida albicans Erg11. Mitchell AP,
editor. mSphere. 2022 Jun 29;7(3):e00075-22.

20. Shobayashi M, Mitsueda S ichiro, Ago M, Fujii T, Iwashita K, Iefuji H. Effects of Culture Conditions on
Ergosterol Biosynthesis by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2005
Jan;69(12):2381–8.

21. del Castillo Agudo L. Lipid content of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains with different degrees of
ethanol tolerance. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1992 Aug;37(5):647–51.

22. Kubota S, Takeo I, Kume K, Kanai M, Shitamukai A, Mizunuma M, et al. Effect of Ethanol on Cell
Growth of Budding Yeast: Genes That Are Important for Cell Growth in the Presence of Ethanol.
Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2004 Jan;68(4):968–72.

23. Ma M, Liu ZL. Mechanisms of ethanol tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Microbiol
Biotechnol. 2010 Jul;87(3):829–45.

24. Jing H, Liu H, Zhang L, Gao J, Song H, Tan X. Ethanol induces autophagy regulated by mitochondrial
ROS in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018 Dec 28;28(12):1982–91.

25. Derkacz D, Bernat P, Krasowska A. K143R Amino Acid Substitution in 14-α-Demethylase (Erg11p)
Changes Plasma Membrane and Cell Wall Structure of Candida albicans. Int J Mol Sci. 2022 Jan
31;23(3):1631.

26. Pais TM, Foulquié-Moreno MR, Hubmann G, Duitama J, Swinnen S, Goovaerts A, et al. Comparative
Polygenic Analysis of Maximal Ethanol Accumulation Capacity and Tolerance to High Ethanol Levels
of Cell Proliferation in Yeast. Jinks-Robertson S, editor. PLoS Genet. 2013 Jun 6;9(6):e1003548.

27. Ibeas JI, Jimenez J. Mitochondrial DNA loss caused by ethanol in Saccharomyces flor yeasts. Appl
Environ Microbiol. 1997 Jan;63(1):7–12.

28. Snoek T, Verstrepen KJ, Voordeckers K. How do yeast cells become tolerant to high ethanol
concentrations? Curr Genet. 2016 Aug;62(3):475–80.

29. Teixeira MC, Mira NP, Sá-Correia I. A genome-wide perspective on the response and tolerance to
food-relevant stresses in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2011 Apr;22(2):150–6.

30. Song Y, Li S, Zhao Y, Zhang Y, Lv Y, Jiang Y, et al. ADH1 promotes Candida albicans pathogenicity by
stimulating oxidative phosphorylation. Int J Med Microbiol. 2019 Sep;309(6):151330.

31. Piper PW, Talreja K, Panaretou B, Moradas-Ferreira P, Byrne K, Praekelt UM, et al. Induction of
major heat-shock proteins of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, including plasma membrane Hsp30, by
ethanol levels above a critical threshold. Microbiology. 1994 Nov 1;140(11):3031–8.

32. Ogawa Y, Nitta A, Uchiyama H, Imamura T, Shimoi H, Ito K. Tolerance mechanism of the ethanol-
tolerant mutant of sake yeast. J Biosci Bioeng. 2000 Jan;90(3):313–20.

33. McClellan AJ, Xia Y, Deutschbauer AM, Davis RW, Gerstein M, Frydman J. Diverse Cellular Functions
of the Hsp90 Molecular Chaperone Uncovered Using Systems Approaches. Cell. 2007
Oct;131(1):121–35.

34. Shapiro RS, Uppuluri P, Zaas AK, Collins C, Senn H, Perfect JR, et al. Hsp90 Orchestrates
Temperature-Dependent Candida albicans Morphogenesis via Ras1-PKA Signaling. Curr Biol. 2009
Apr;19(8):621–9.

35. Singh SD, Robbins N, Zaas AK, Schell WA, Perfect JR, Cowen LE. Hsp90 Governs Echinocandin
Resistance in the Pathogenic Yeast Candida albicans via Calcineurin. Mitchell AP, editor. PLoS
Pathog. 2009 Jul 31;5(7):e1000532.

36. Diezmann S, Michaut M, Shapiro RS, Bader GD, Cowen LE. Mapping the Hsp90 Genetic Interaction
Network in Candida albicans Reveals Environmental Contingency and Rewired Circuitry. Snyder M,
editor. PLoS Genet. 2012 Mar 15;8(3):e1002562.

37. Li C, Tu J, Han G, Liu N, Sheng C. Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90)/Histone deacetylase (HDAC) dual
inhibitors for the treatment of azoles-resistant Candida albicans. Eur J Med Chem. 2022
Jan;227:113961.

38. Xu Y, Lu H, Zhu S, Li WQ, Jiang Y ying, Berman J, et al. Multifactorial Mechanisms of Tolerance to
Ketoconazole in Candida albicans. Cuomo CA, editor. Microbiol Spectr. 2021 Sep 3;9(1):e00321-21.

39. Van Hauwenhuyse F, Fiori A, Van Dijck P. Ascorbic Acid Inhibition of Candida albicans Hsp90-
Mediated Morphogenesis Occurs via the Transcriptional Regulator Upc2. Eukaryot Cell. 2014
Oct;13(10):1278–89.

40. Rogers PD. Sterol homeostasis in yeast. Nat Chem Biol. 2022 Nov;18(11):1170–1.

41. Dai B, Wang Y, Li D, Xu Y, Liang R, Zhao L, et al. Hsp90 Is Involved in Apoptosis of Candida albicans by
Regulating the Calcineurin-Caspase Apoptotic Pathway. Nielsen K, editor. PLoS ONE. 2012 Sep
18;7(9):e45109.

42. Nieminen MT, Novak-Frazer L, Rautemaa V, Rajendran R, Sorsa T, Ramage G, et al. A Novel
Antifungal Is Active against Candida albicans Biofilms and Inhibits Mutagenic Acetaldehyde
Production In Vitro. Bassilana M, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014 May 27;9(5):e97864.

43. Arthington-Skaggs BA, Jradi H, Desai T, Morrison CJ. Quantitation of Ergosterol Content: Novel
Method for Determination of Fluconazole Susceptibility of *Candida albicans*. J Clin Microbiol. 1999
Oct;37(10):3332–7.

44. Breivik ON, Owades JL. Yeast Analysis, Spectrophotometric Semimicrodetermination of Ergosterol in
Yeast. J Agric Food Chem. 1957 May;5(5):360–3.

45. Flowers SA, Barker KS, Berkow EL, Toner G, Chadwick SG, Gygax SE, et al. Gain-of-Function
Mutations in *UPC2* Are a Frequent Cause of *ERG11* Upregulation in Azole-Resistant Clinical Isolates
of Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell. 2012 Oct;11(10):1289–99.

46. Zhu C, Liao B, Ye X, Zhou Y, Chen X, Liao M, et al. Artemisinin elevates ergosterol levels of Candida
albicans to synergise with amphotericin B against oral candidiasis. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2021
Sep;58(3):106394.

47. Tsai HJ, Nelliat A. A Double-Edged Sword: Aneuploidy is a Prevalent Strategy in Fungal Adaptation.
Genes. 2019 Oct 10;10(10):787.

48. Berman J. Ploidy plasticity: a rapid and reversible strategy for adaptation to stress. Munro C, editor.
FEMS Yeast Res. 2016 May;16(3):fow020.

49. Mba IE, Nweze EI, Eze EA, Anyaegbunam ZKG. Genome plasticity in Candida albicans: A cutting-edge
strategy for evolution, adaptation, and survival. Infect Genet Evol. 2022 Apr;99:105256.

50. Morard M, Macías LG, Adam AC, Lairón-Peris M, Pérez-Torrado R, Toft C, et al. Aneuploidy and
Ethanol Tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Front Genet. 2019 Feb 12;10:82.

51. Abbey DA, Funt J, Lurie-Weinberger MN, Thompson DA, Regev A, Myers CL, et al. YMAP: a pipeline
for visualization of copy number variation and loss of heterozygosity in eukaryotic pathogens.
Genome Med. 2014 Nov;6(11):100.

52. Kaiser B, Munder T, Saluz HP, Künkel W, Eck R. Identification of a gene encoding the pyruvate
decarboxylase gene regulator CaPdc2p fromCandida albicans. Yeast. 1999 May;15(7):585–91.

53. Perepnikhatka V, Fischer FJ, Niimi M, Baker RA, Cannon RD, Wang YK, et al. Specific Chromosome
Alterations in Fluconazole-Resistant Mutants of *Candida albicans*. J Bacteriol. 1999
Jul;181(13):4041–9.

54. Selmecki AM, Dulmage K, Cowen LE, Anderson JB, Berman J. Acquisition of Aneuploidy Provides
Increased Fitness during the Evolution of Antifungal Drug Resistance. Madhani HD, editor. PLoS
Genet. 2009 Oct 30;5(10):e1000705.

55. Kukurudz RJ, Chapel M, Wonitowy Q, Adamu Bukari AR, Sidney B, Sierhuis R, et al. Acquisition of
cross-azole tolerance and aneuploidy in *Candida albicans* strains evolved to posaconazole. Andrews
B, editor. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics. 2022 Aug 25;12(9):jkac156.

56. Sun L liu, Li H, Yan T hua, Fang T, Wu H, Cao Y bing, et al. Aneuploidy Mediates Rapid Adaptation to
a Subinhibitory Amount of Fluconazole in Candida albicans. Anderson MZ, editor. Microbiol Spectr.
2023 Apr 13;11(2):e03016-22.

57. Li X, Yang F, Li D, Zhou M, Wang X, Xu Q, et al. Trisomy of chromosome R confers resistance to
triazoles in Candida albicans. Med Mycol. 2015 Apr 1;53(3):302–9.

58. Yang F, Teoh F, Tan ASM, Cao Y, Pavelka N, Berman J. Aneuploidy Enables Cross-Adaptation to
Unrelated Drugs. Malik H, editor. Mol Biol Evol. 2019 Aug 1;36(8):1768–82.

59. Cowen LE, Sanglard D, Howard SJ, Rogers PD, Perlin DS. Mechanisms of Antifungal Drug Resistance.
Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015 Jul;5(7):a019752.

60. Lee Y, Puumala E, Robbins N, Cowen LE. Antifungal Drug Resistance: Molecular Mechanisms in
Candida albicans and Beyond. Chem Rev. 2021 Mar 24;121(6):3390–411.

61. Holmes AR, Lin YH, Niimi K, Lamping E, Keniya M, Niimi M, et al. ABC Transporter Cdr1p Contributes
More than Cdr2p Does to Fluconazole Efflux in Fluconazole-Resistant *Candida albicans* Clinical
Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008 Nov;52(11):3851–62.

62. CLSI. Clinical and laboratory standards institute. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal
susceptibility testing of yeasts-third edition: Approved standard M27-A3. CLSI Doc M27-A3 Suppl
SWayne PA USA. 2008;

63. Ford CB, Funt JM, Abbey D, Issi L, Guiducci C, Martinez DA, et al. The evolution of drug resistance in
clinical isolates of Candida albicans. eLife. 2015 Feb 3;4:e00662.

64. Liu RH, Shang ZC, Li TX, Yang MH, Kong LY. *In Vitro* Antibiofilm Activity of Eucarobustol E against
Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017 Aug;61(8):e02707-16.

65. Henderson CM, Block DE. Examining the Role of Membrane Lipid Composition in Determining the
Ethanol Tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Müller V, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014 May
15;80(10):2966–72.

66. Vanegas JM, Contreras MF, Faller R, Longo ML. Role of Unsaturated Lipid and Ergosterol in Ethanol
Tolerance of Model Yeast Biomembranes. Biophys J. 2012 Feb;102(3):507–16.

67. Furutani N, Izawa S. Adaptability of wine yeast to ethanol-induced protein denaturation. FEMS Yeast
Res. 2022 Nov 25;22(1):foac059.

68. Yang KM, Lee NR, Woo JM, Choi W, Zimmermann M, Blank LM, et al. Ethanol reduces mitochondrial
membrane integrity and thereby impacts carbon metabolism of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS
Yeast Res. 2012 Sep;12(6):675–84.

69. Yang KM, Woo JM, Lee SM, Park JB. Improving ethanol tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae by
overexpressing an ATP-binding cassette efflux pump. Chem Eng Sci. 2013 Nov;103:74–8.

70. Vu BG, Stamnes MA, Li Y, Rogers PD, Moye-Rowley WS. The Candida glabrata Upc2A transcription
factor is a global regulator of antifungal drug resistance pathways. Heitman J, editor. PLOS Genet.
2021 Sep 30;17(9):e1009582.

71. Yang H, Tong J, Lee CW, Ha S, Eom SH, Im YJ. Structural mechanism of ergosterol regulation by
fungal sterol transcription factor Upc2. Nat Commun. 2015 Feb 6;6(1):6129.

72. Tan L, Chen L, Yang H, Jin B, Kim G, Im YJ. Structural basis for activation of fungal sterol receptor
Upc2 and azole resistance. Nat Chem Biol. 2022 Nov;18(11):1253–62.

73. Cherian PP, Schenker S, Henderson GI. Ethanol-Mediated DNA Damage and PARP-1 Apoptotic
Responses in Cultured Fetal Cortical Neurons. Alcohol Clin Exp Res [Internet]. 2008 Aug [cited 2023
Aug 14]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00769.x

74. Chauhan NM, Shinde RB, Karuppayil SM. Effect of alcohols on filamentation, growth, viability and
biofilm development in Candida albicans. Braz J Microbiol. 2013 Dec;44(4):1315–20.

75. Tierney KJ, Block DE, Longo ML. Elasticity and Phase Behavior of DPPC Membrane Modulated by
Cholesterol, Ergosterol, and Ethanol. Biophys J. 2005 Oct;89(4):2481–93.

76. Sobel JD. Resistance to Fluconazole of Candida albicans in Vaginal Isolates: a 10-Year Study in a
Clinical Referral Center. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2023 May 17;67(5):e00181-23.

77. Morschhäuser J, Barker KS, Liu TT, Blaß-Warmuth J, Homayouni R, Rogers PD. The Transcription
Factor Mrr1p Controls Expression of the MDR1 Efflux Pump and Mediates Multidrug Resistance in
Candida albicans. Johnson AD, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2007 Nov 2;3(11):e164.

78. Nishimoto AT, Zhang Q, Hazlett B, Morschhäuser J, Rogers PD. Contribution of Clinically Derived
Mutations in the Gene Encoding the Zinc Cluster Transcription Factor Mrr2 to Fluconazole
Antifungal Resistance and *CDR1* Expression in *Candida albicans*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2019 May;63(5):e00078-19.

79. MacPherson S, Larochelle M, Turcotte B. A Fungal Family of Transcriptional Regulators: the Zinc
Cluster Proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2006 Sep;70(3):583–604.

80. Junier A, Weeks A, Alcaraz Y, Kumamoto CA. Bypass of Dfi1 Regulation of Candida albicans Invasive
Filamentation by Iron Limitation. Mitchell AP, editor. mSphere. 2022 Feb 23;7(1):e00779-21.

81. Zhang JD, Ruschhaupt M, Biczok R. ddCt method for qRT–PCR data analysis. Citeseer.
2013;48(4):346–56.

82. Alizadeh F, Department of Microbiology, Yasooj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Yasooj, Iran.,
Khodavandi A, Department of Biology, Gachsaran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gachsaran, Iran,
Zalakian S, Department of Microbiology, Yasooj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Yasooj, Iran.
Quantitation of ergosterol content and gene expression profile of ERG11 gene in fluconazole-
resistant Candida albicans. Curr Med Mycol. 2017 Mar 1;3(1):13–9.

83. Santos JRA, Gouveia LF, Taylor ELS, Resende-Stoianoff MA, Pianetti GA, César IC, et al. Dynamic
Interaction between Fluconazole and Amphotericin B against Cryptococcus gattii. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2012 May;56(5):2553–8.

84. White SJ, Rosenbach A, Lephart P, Nguyen D, Benjamin A, Tzipori S, et al. Self-Regulation of Candida
albicans Population Size during GI Colonization. Cormack BP, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2007 Dec
7;3(12):e184.

85. Illumina. BCL Convert: a proprietary Illumina software for the conversion of BCL files to basecalls.
2021;

86. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. ArXiv Prepr
ArXiv13033997. 2013;

87. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis
Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res.
2010 Sep;20(9):1297–303.

88. Cassel JB. Wolfram| Alpha: a computational knowledge "Search" engine. Google It Total Inf Aware.
2016;267–99.

  Figures and Legends

Figure 1 Isolation of Ethanol Tolerant Strains. A) The repeated ethanol exposure protocol is

illustrated. 10^6 cells of *C. albicans* strain SC5314 from 5 different biological replicate populations

were inoculated into medium A with increasing concentrations of ethanol (1-10% vol/vol) or

medium A diluted with water. Populations were exposed to 8 passages in ethanol or paired

mock-exposed populations exposed to 8 passages in media with water. After each 4 hour

ethanol or water exposure step, cells were seeded into YPD for recovery, and cultures were

plated onto YPD-agar for examination of the populations. Recovery cultures were grown to post-

exponential phase, and a glycerol stock was made. Cells from the recovery culture were then

used for the next step. **B)** Relative survival of ethanol small colony (Esc) strains following a 4-

hour ethanol exposure relative to WT ethanol survival was measured as described in Materials

and Methods. Symbols show results from biological replicate cultures, bars show mean with

standard deviation. Outliers were removed through ROUT, Q=1%, and statistical significance

calculated by Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test. Each group represents 16-18 biological

replicate cultures. (*, $p < 0.0170$; **, $p < 0.0037$; ***, $p < 0.0004$) **C)** Fraction of survivors was

872   measured for 8-16 biological replicate cultures for each point. Timepoints taken were 0, 2, 4, 6,

873   8, 10, 12, or 24 hours of incubation time in 10% ethanol. Error bars show standard error for

874   each timepoint. Horizontal line represents 90% death. Minimum duration of killing 90% (MDK90)

875   values are plotted as vertical lines with solid black line representing WT and dashed lines

876   representing Esc strains.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Differential gene expression in Esc7 versus SC5314 in 10% Ethanol. **A)**

Transcripts expressed more highly in Esc7 in 10% ethanol compared to SC5314 were analyzed

using GO term search on Candida Genome Database. Enriched processes are listed. The X

axis shows corrected p-value for the top 20 hits (from most significant at top and descending

statistical significance moving to the bottom) (triangles). Red arrows indicate processes related

to lipid or ergosterol synthesis. **B)** Volcano plot representing differentially expressed genes in

Esc7 in ethanol compared to SC5314 in ethanol. Log2-Fold change in transcript abundance is

shown on the x-axis and –log10 adjusted p-value is shown on the y-axis. RNA-seq was

performed with 3 biological replicates for each condition. Genes of interest are labeled in blue

and blue dots show ergosterol synthesis genes. Significant increases or decreases in transcript

abundance in Esc7 shown in black dots, nonsignificant shown in gray dots.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Differential gene expression in Esc7 versus SC5314 with and without ethanol. 8

biological replicate cultures were grown for 4 hours in medium A with 10% ethanol or water.

Gene expression was measured by RT-qPCR. Expression was normalized to expression of

SPL1 (tRNA splicing gene that had unchanged expression in all conditions based on RNA-seq).

Genes are indicated on the x-axis and Log_2-fold change in expression is shown relative to

SC5314 in 10% water on the y-axis. **A)** Genes of the ergosterol pathway. **B)** Genes/pathways

implicated in *S. cerevisiae* ethanol tolerance. **C)** Genes in the ethanol catabolic pathway. Each

symbol shows results from an individual culture, error bars show standard deviation, and

statistical significance for each of the RT-qPCR figures was tested by Fisher's LSD test. Only

significant differences within one variable comparisons are shown. (*, $p < 0.455$, **, $p < 0.0063$,

***, $p < 0.0004$, ****, $p < 0.0001$)

Figure 4

Figure 4: Cells with increased ergosterol content show increased ethanol tolerance. A)

Cells were grown for 4 hours in medium A with 10% ethanol or water and harvested. Ergosterol

was measured as a percentage ergosterol by mass normalized to wet-pellet weight calculated

as previously described ([43,82,83] and Materials and Methods). Relative ergosterol content

was calculated by standardizing ergosterol % within each experiment to SC5314 in 10% H2O. 4

separate experiments with 10 total biological replicate cultures for each condition are shown.

Symbols show individual replicate values relative to SC5314 within the condition and bars show

means with standard deviation (****, p < 0.0001). Statistical significance calculated with 2way

ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison, only significant comparisons are shown. **B)** Ethanol

survival was measured after a 4-hr exposure of SC5314 or SC5314 with a *UPC2* gain of

function mutation (G648D) [(45)]. Relative survival was standardized to SC5314 survival within

each experiment. 11-12 biological replicates total from 3 different experiments are shown. One

high outlier was removed from UPC2-G648D by ROUT (Q=1%). Symbols show individual

replicate cultures and bars show mean with standard deviation (****, p < 0.0001). Statistical

significance calculated by unpaired t-test. **C)** Ethanol survival from SC5314 cells pre-treated for

4 hrs with DMSO or DMSO with 100ug/mL of Artemisinin was measured. Each condition has 12

biological replicates. Ethanol exposure and standardization are the same as in B. Symbols show

standardized ethanol survival of individual replicates and bars show median survival with error

bars representing 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was tested using a Mann-

Whitney test (**, $p < 0.0053$).

Figure 5

Figure 5: Chromosome copy numbers in Esc strains. Whole genome sequencing of

SC5314, Esc6, 7, and 8 was conducted as described in Materials and Methods. Copy number

estimates were determined based on read depth and estimated copy number is plotted along

chromosomes using YMAP[51]. C. albicans is diploid so euploid chromosomes show an

average copy number of 2 on the Y-axis as shown for SC5314. Thick black bars denote

aneuploidies, shown by predicted copy number greater than or less than 2. Images from YMAP

[(51)].

Figure 6

Figure 6: Esc strains exhibit altered fluconazole susceptibility. **A)** Strains were grown in

liquid cultures containing increasing concentrations of fluconazole as described in Materials and

Methods. Growth was detected after a 24 hour incubation using the XTT assay for metabolic

activity. Metabolic activity relative to the 0ug/mL well is shown as a heat map. Yellow dots show

the well that corresponds to MIC70 and green dots show MIC50. Median metabolic activity

values from 6 biological replicates performed in 6 separate experiments are shown. **B)** Strains

were grown to post exponential phase in YPD liquid and then used to seed the disk diffusion

plates. Disk diffusion assays were performed on YPD agar with 10^{5} cells/plate with 25ug

fluconazole disks incubated for 48 hours at 30ºC. Images were taken using a Syngene Imager.

Representative images from an experiment repeated 4 times are shown.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental Figure S1: Quantification of small colony variants throughout passaging of four separate populations of cells that were exposed repeatedly to increasing concentrations of ethanol in medium A or repeatedly exposed to water in medium A.

Supplemental Figure S2: Enc and Wnc survival in 10% ethanol.

Supplemental Figure S3: Principal component analyses for SC5314 vs Esc6, Esc7, or Esc8 in 10% ethanol from RNA sequencing.

Supplemental Figure S4: Gene Ontology Terms of the Top 20 Processes of Significantly Enriched Transcripts in Esc strains exposed to ethanol compared to SC5314 exposed to ethanol..

Supplemental Figure S5: Histogram Fit Curve of Esc6 Allele Depth Ratio.

Supplemental Figure S6: MIC50 values from broth-microdilution experiments of SC5314 and Esc6, Esc7, and Esc8.

Supplemental Figure S7: UPC2 to HSP90 Ratio of SC5314 vs Esc7 in different conditions.

Supplemental Table S1 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between SC5314 with ethanol vs water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S2 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between Esc7 with ethanol vs water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S3 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between Esc7 with ethanol vs SC5314 with ethanol medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S4 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between Esc7 with water vs SC5314 with water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S5 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc6 with ethanol vs water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S6 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc8 with ethanol vs water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S7 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc6 with ethanol vs SC5314 with ethanol medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S8 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc8 with ethanol vs SC5314 with ethanol medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S9 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc6 with water vs SC5314 with water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S10 Transcripts showing significant changes in abundance between

Esc8 with water vs SC5314 with water medium by RNASeq

Supplemental Table S11 Esc6 Genomic Polymorphisms

Supplemental Table S12 Esc7 Genomic Polymorphisms

Supplemental Table S13 Esc8 Genomic Polymorphisms

Supplemental Table S14: Primers used in this study.