Title: Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate with *Aedes aegypti* endoplasmic reticulum membranes and disturb host cell lipid distribution to restrict dengue virus replication

Robson K. Loterio[1], Ebony A. Monson[2*], Rachel Templin[3*], Jyotika T. Bruyne[4], Heather A. Flores[5] Jason M. Mackenzie[6], Georg Ramm[3], Karla J. Helbig[2], Cameron P. Simmons[1,4], Johanna E. Fraser[1#]

[1]Department of Microbiology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [2]Department of Physiology, Anatomy, and Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia; [3]Ramaciotti Centre For Cryo-Electron Microscopy, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [4]World Mosquito Program, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [5]School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [6]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Australia. *These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author: johanna.fraser@monash.edu

Abstract: The insect endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis* is being utilised as a biocontrol tool to reduce the incidence of *Aedes aegypti*-transmitted viral diseases like dengue. However, the precise mechanisms underpinning *Wolbachia*'s antiviral activity are not well defined. Here we generated a panel of *Ae. aegypti*-derived cell lines infected with antiviral strains *w*Mel and *w*AlbB or the non-antiviral strain *w*Pip to understand host cell morphological changes specifically induced by antiviral strains. Antiviral strains were frequently found to be entirely wrapped by the host endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane, while *w*Pip bacteria clustered separately in the host cell cytoplasm. ER-derived lipid droplets (LDs) increased in volume in *w*Mel- and *w*AlbB-infected cell lines and mosquito tissues compared to cells infected with *w*Pip or *Wolbachia*-free controls. Inhibition of fatty acid synthase (required for triacylglycerol biosynthesis) reduced LD formation and significantly restored ER-associated dengue virus replication in cells occupied by *w*Mel. Together, this suggests that antiviral *Wolbachia* strains may specifically alter the lipid composition of the ER to preclude the establishment of DENV replication complexes. Defining *Wolbachia*'s antiviral mechanisms will support the application and longevity of this effective biocontrol tool that is already being used at scale.

Importance: *Aedes aegypti* transmits a range of important human pathogenic viruses like dengue. However, infection of *Ae. aegypti* with the insect endosymbiotic bacterium, *Wolbachia*, reduces the risk of mosquito to human viral transmission. *Wolbachia* is being utilized at field sites across more than 13 countries to reduce the incidence of viruses like dengue, but it is not well understood how *Wolbachia* induces its antiviral effects. To examine this at the subcellular level, we compared how different strains of *Wolbachia* with varying antiviral strengths, associate with and modify host cell structures. Strongly antiviral strains were found to specifically

associate with the host endoplasmic reticulum and induce striking impacts on host cell lipid distribution. Inhibiting *Wolbachia*-induced lipid redistribution partially restored dengue virus replication demonstrating this is a contributing role for *Wolbachia*'s antiviral activity. These findings provide new insights into how antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate with and modify *Ae. aegypti* host cells.

1. Introduction

Arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) including dengue (DENV), Zika (ZIKV), chikungunya (CHIKV), and yellow fever virus (YFV), are primarily transmitted by female *Aedes aegypti* and, to a lesser extent, by female *Aedes albopictus* [1-3]. The global spread of these viruses has dramatically increased in recent decades. This is largely due to factors associated with the geographic distribution of *Aedes* spp. such as climate change [4-7], globalization [8,9], urbanization [9,10], resistance to insecticides [11], and the lack of effective vector control strategies [12].

A promising biological vector control strategy that has emerged in the last decade employs *Wolbachia pipientis* to restrict mosquito-to-human transmission of *Ae. aegypti*-borne viruses [13-17]. *Wolbachia* is an intracellular gram-negative endosymbiotic bacterium that infects a wide range of invertebrates, including arthropods and nematodes, but it is not naturally found in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes [18,19]. *Wolbachia* strains can be isolated from native hosts such as *Drosophila melanogaster* (*w*Mel) [14,20] and *Ae. albopictus* (*w*AlbB) [15,21,22], and then introduced into heterologous arthropods including *Ae. aegypti*. Strains such as *w*Mel and *w*AlbB induce an antiviral state in *Ae. aegypti* reducing the rate of infection, dissemination, and transmission of +RNA viruses such as DENV [14,20,23]. *Wolbachia*'s exceptional ability to infect and alter the host germ line to facilitate their vertical transmission through the maternal lineage underpins the application of this bacterium as a biocontrol tool[14]. Additionally, many *Wolbachia* strains are capable of inducing

cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), a condition that gives *Wolbachia*-carrying females a

reproductive advantage [24,25]. These strains can be introgressed into wild-type *Ae.*

aegypti populations to reduce their transmission potential. This approach has been

shown to dramatically and significantly reduce the incidence of dengue in

communities [26-31].

DENV is a member of the *Flaviviridae* family with a single positive-strand RNA

genome that is surrounded by the virally encoded capsid protein in a host-derived

lipid bilayer [3,32]. The viral genome is released into the host cell cytosol after entering

susceptible cells via endocytosis and is translated by host machinery at the rough

endoplasmic reticulum [33,34]. DENV induces drastic rearrangements of endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) membranes to form viral replication complexes that are critically

required to coordinate the multiple steps of viral replication, genome translation, and

virion assembly [33-39]. *Wolbachia*'s antiviral impacts are believed to be systemic in

mosquitoes[40], but also cell autonomous whereby *Wolbachia*-infected cells do not

protect surrounding *Wolbachia*-free cells from viral infection [41]. At the subcellular

level, viral restriction is believed to occur early, before viral replication is effectively

initiated [42], and low levels of progeny virus produced in the presence of *Wolbachia*

have reduced infectivity [43]. How *Wolbachia* induces these antiviral effects is not well

understood, but a variety of hypotheses have been examined. These include

competition between *Wolbachia* and viruses for nutrients and physical space within

host cells [13,44-48], and altered expression of host pro- or antiviral genes [49-52], including

priming of immune pathways [23,53,54]. Implicating the mechanisms that drive the

Wolbachia-induced antiviral state has been difficult due to technical limitations such

as failure to genetically modify *Wolbachia* and to grow it axenically. However, new

experimental models may help to advance our understanding of *Wolbachia*-induced

host phenotypes. Specifically, we recently found that *Wolbachia* strain *w*Pip (derived

from *Culex quinquefasciatus*) does not inhibit flavivirus replication, dissemination or

transmission in *Ae. aegypti* [23,55]. We hypothesized that pairwise comparisons

between *Ae. aegypti* infected with *Wolbachia* strains that do or do not induce an

antiviral state may facilitate the dissociation of *Wolbachia*'s antiviral effects from the

general symbiont effects. Here we generated a unique panel of *Ae. aegypti*-derived

cell lines infected with *w*Mel, *w*AlbB (the antiviral stains being utilized in *Ae. aegypti*

biocontrol programs) or *w*Pip to identify the subcellular changes specifically induced

by antiviral *Wolbachia* strains. We identify intimate *Wolbachia*-ER interactions and

triacylglyceride biosynthesis for LD formation as key host cell modifications that

contribute to the *Wolbachia*-induced antiviral state.

2. Results

2.1 – Generation of a panel of *Wolbachia*-infected *Ae. aegypti*-derived cell

lines

To examine the subcellular changes specifically induced by antiviral

Wolbachia strains, we generated a panel of *Wolbachia*-infected cell lines using the

immunocompetent *Ae. aegypti*-derived cell line (*Aag2*). *Aag2* cells infected with

antiviral strain *w*Mel and the *w*Mel-cured line (*w*Mel.Tet-*Aag2*) have been described

previously [56]. *w*AlbB-*Aag2* and *w*Pip-*Aag2* cell lines were produced by stable

infection of *w*Mel.Tet-*Aag2* using *Wolbachia* isolated from previously generated cell

lines (RML12-*w*AlbB) or *Ae. aegypti* eggs (Rockefeller-*w*Pip). This was done to

account for insect-specific flaviviruses known to infect *Aag2* cells but not *w*Mel-*Aag2*

or *w*Mel.Tet-*Aag2* (herein referred to as *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2*) [57].

To confirm that *w*AlbB and *w*Pip had antiviral and non-antiviral impacts in

these cell lines, respectively, we evaluated DENV-2 replication in *Wolbachia*-free,

*w*Mel-*Aag2*, *w*AlbB-*Aag2* and *w*Pip-*Aag2* cells. Consistent with our previous findings

in *Ae. aegypti* [23,55], *w*Pip did not restrict DENV-2 replication or production of

infectious virus compared to the *Wolbachia*-free line (Fig. 1A & B). Notably, *w*Pip

was found to grow to a higher density than *w*Mel and *w*AlbB (average of 96

Wolbachia per cell, compared to 30 and 69 for *w*Mel and *w*AlbB, respectively) (Fig.

1A, in parentheses). By contrast, DENV-2 RNA copies and infectious virus were

significantly reduced by *w*Mel's potent antiviral activity (approximately 3 $\log_{10}$

reduction compared to *Wolbachia*-free). *w*AlbB also significantly inhibited DENV-2

replication and infectious virus in *Aag2* cell lines but the antiviral activity was weaker

than *w*Mel (approximately 1 $\log_{10}$ reduction compared to *Wolbachia*-free). This

confirms that the diverse antiviral phenotypes of *Wolbachia* strains previously

demonstrated in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes [20,22,23], can be recapitulated in *Aag2* cell

lines.

2.2 – Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate with host ER membranes

Wolbachia and viruses are both obligate intracellular residents of eukaryotic

cells that rely on a variety of host structures and processes to complete their life

cycles. Interestingly, *Wolbachia* titers and intracellular distribution have been shown

to be influenced by the host's genetic background [46,58,59]. To gain insights into how

Wolbachia strains may associate with *Ae. aegypti* cells to induce an antiviral

phenotype, we assessed our panel of *Aag2* cell lines using transmission electron

microscopy (TEM). Micrographs of the three *Wolbachia*-infected mosquito cell lines

show each cell line is heavily infected with their respective *Wolbachia* strain (top row,

Fig. 2A and Supplementary Fig. 1). *Wolbachia* appeared similar in size and shape to

mitochondria but could be differentiated by a lower electron density and an absence

of cristae. We observed that antiviral strains, *w*Mel and *w*AlbB, were frequently

associated with host membranes that appeared to be the host endoplasmic reticulum

(ER), while the non-antiviral strain *w*Pip was not (bottom row, Fig. 2A and

Supplementary Fig. 1e-p). By scoring the closeness of interaction between

Wolbachia and the ER a striking difference was evident between antiviral and non-

antiviral strains: approximately 50% of *w*Mel and *w*AlbB bacteria were in close

contact with ER membranes in *Aag2* cell lines. Of those, 25% were clearly

surrounded by the membranes (Fig. 2B & C). This tight association was observed in

our reconstructed tomograms of *w*Mel where the bacterium was found to be

surrounded by the ER double membrane, and in some instances was wrapped

multiple times (Fig. C – See Supplementary Movie 1 for the reconstructed

tomogram). Meanwhile, over 75% of *w*Pip bacteria were not located near any

intracellular membranes, and only 25% were situated adjacent to any part of the ER

(Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. 1m-p). To further confirm that the membrane

structures were indeed ER, we carried out live cell imaging using an ER tracker dye

which binds to the sulfonylurea receptors of ATP-sensitive K^+ channels present on

ER membranes, and SYTO11 which preferentially stains *Wolbachia* DNA over

eukaryote DNA [58,60]. Confocal fluorescence imaging confirmed the antiviral strains

*w*Mel and *w*AlbB exhibited substantial colocalization with ER membranes (white

arrowheads, Fig. 2D), while *w*Pip did not, instead forming a massive cluster of

cytosolic *Wolbachia* (Fig. 2D).

DENV and other flaviviruses significantly remodel ER membranes to form

replication complexes [32,33,35,36,61]. To determine whether the distinct ER interaction of

antiviral *Wolbachia* strains precludes DENV-2 association with the host ER we

infected each *Aag2* cell line with DENV-2 and performed TEM analyses. We

observed numerous viral replication sites containing many viral vesicle packets (Vp)

in the cytosol of the *Wolbachia*-free line (white arrowheads, Fig. 2E), consistent with

the high viral titers determined in this cell line (Fig. 1). We found no evidence of virus

replication in any of the *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell line micrographs examined, and few and

smaller Vp were observed in the *w*AlbB-*Aag2* cell line (white arrowheads, Fig. 2E).

Further consistent with our data in Fig. 1, *w*Pip showed numerous Vp in the cytosol,

similar to the *Wolbachia*-free control (white arrowheads, Fig. 2E). Together this

dataset provides the first insight into how antiviral *Wolbachia* strains differentially

associate with *Ae. aegypti* ER membranes and prevent formation of typical DENV-2

replication complexes.

2.3 – Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains increase lipid droplet formation in *Aag2*

cells

It has previously been reported that the ER-derived organelle, lipid droplets

(LDs) are upregulated following DENV infection, contributing to the cellular antiviral

response in both mammalian and *Ae. aegypti*-derived cell lines [62,63]. Since the ER

provides most of the constituent molecules for LDs [64], we next hypothesised that the

association of antiviral *Wolbachia* strains with host ER membranes could further

manipulate other intracellular lipid sources.

To examine intracellular LDs, we stained all *Aag2* cell lines with BODIPY

493/503 and analyzed the number and size of LDs per cell. Notably, compared to the

Wolbachia-free line, all *Wolbachia*-infected lines induced LD accumulation (Fig. 3A &

B). Interestingly, *w*Mel induced the accumulation of a similar number of LD's per cell

as *w*Pip (27 and 30.2 per cell, respectively), while *w*AlbB induced near twice the

amount (50.5 per cell). However, *w*Mel-induced LDs were significantly larger than

those induced by *w*AlbB and *w*Pip (791.5, 417.5, and 365.5 nm respectively) (Fig.

3B). This implies that antiviral *Wolbachia* strains might boost the total volume of LDs

per cell.

Lipid biosynthesis and degradation play a role in several stages of DENV

infection, including viral replication, assembly, and energy supply [35,65-67]. Similarly,

Wolbachia proliferation is tightly associated with changes in the host lipidome [68-70].

Next, we investigated whether DENV-2 infection affected LD accumulation in the

presence of each *Wolbachia* strain. In line with previous findings [62], DENV-2 induced

the accumulation of LDs in *Wolbachia*-free cells (Fig. 3C & D). Interestingly, DENV-2

infection caused a further accumulation of LDs in *w*Mel-*Aag2* and *w*AlbB-*Aag2*, but

not in *w*Pip-*Aag2* (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, the LD accumulation observed in both cell

lines with antiviral *Wolbachia* strains after DENV-2 infection was significantly higher

than the LD accumulation in *Wolbachia*-free cells infected with DENV-2 (Fig. 3D). By

contrast, we measured similar levels of LDs in *w*Pip- and *Wolbachia*-free *Aag2* cells

infected with DENV-2 (22.5 and 21.7 per cell, respectively) (Fig. 3D), indicating an

association between high LD numbers and restriction of DENV-2 replication.

To investigate LD accumulation induced by different *Wolbachia* strains *in vivo*,

we dissected female *Ae. aegypti* infected with *w*Mel, *w*AlbB or *w*Pip. We selected

ovarian tissue since this tissue is rich in *Wolbachia* for all strains of interest

(Supplementary Fig. 2) [23]. Ovaries were stained with BODIPY 493/503 for LDs and

DAPI to demarcate nuclei. Supporting our *in vitro* findings, LDs were most prevalent

in the presence of antiviral *Wolbachia* strains compared to the *Wolbachia*-free

control (Fig. 3E). Taken together, our findings demonstrate that changes in

intracellular lipid storage are key features of mosquito cells infected with antiviral

Wolbachia strains.

2.4 - Intracellular redistribution of lipids contributes to DENV-2 restriction in *w*Mel-*Aag2* cells

We next hypothesized that *Wolbachia*-induced LD formation may be required to induce an antiviral state. A variety of small molecule inhibitors targeting mammalian enzymes involved in LD formation were applied to *w*Mel- and *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2* cells to identify inhibitors that were effective against *Ae. aegypti* orthologs. The DGAT 1 inhibitor (T863) and DGAT 2 inhibitor (PF-06424439) did not reduce LD formation in these cells, but the fatty acid synthase (FAS) inhibitor C75 did (Supplementary Fig. 3A & B). FAS is an enzyme that catalyzes the second step of the *de novo* triacylglycerol synthesis pathway contributing to lipid storage (LD formation) and membrane synthesis [71,72].

We therefore compared DENV-2 replication and infectious virus production in *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines treated with C75. While C75 treatment reduced LD numbers in both cell lines DENV-2 replication was significantly reduced only in *Wolbachia*-free cells (by ~1 $\log_{10}$), consistent with previous reports of LDs playing a key role in DENV replication [67] (Fig. 4A, B & C). By contrast, C75 treatment of the *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell line partially restored DENV-2 replication, with intracellular DENV-2 and infectious virus ~1 $\log_{10}$ higher than in untreated *w*Mel-*Aag2* cells. Notably, C75 treatment of *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines did not reduce *Wolbachia* density (Fig. 4A & B, in parentheses), indicating that FAS and *w*Mel-induced LDs are not directly required for active *Wolbachia* replication and maintenance. However, it is possible that prolonged periods of LD depletion could affect *Wolbachia* survival.

Finally, TEM micrographs of both *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines treated with C75 were examined to verify whether DENV-2 replication could now be

supported in cells near wMel bacterium. We observed a reduction in visible cytosolic

Vps in the *Wolbachia*-free cell line, while, for the first time, we were able to find

evidence of virus replication in cells already occupied by wMel (Fig. 4E). Together,

these data show that blocking the *de novo* lipogenesis of triacylglycerol significantly

compromises wMel-induced LD accumulation, and consequently, its antiviral activity

in *Ae. aegypti* cells.

Discussion

Wolbachia has been implemented as a biocontrol tool in cities in Oceania,

Asia, and Latin America. Several epidemiological studies have now demonstrated

the efficacy of *Wolbachia*-introgression in reducing the burden of mosquito-borne

diseases in communities [26-31] and the Vector Control Advisory Group to the World

Health Organization has endorsed its public health value [73]. To best support the

longevity of this method, and to predict the emergence of *Wolbachia*-resistant

viruses, it is critical that we understand the mechanisms that underpin the antiviral

activity of *Wolbachia*.

Given that *Wolbachia* strains are classified into major phylogenetic lineages

known as supergroups [74], we can strategically compare host modifications induced

by the antiviral strain wAlbB and the non-antiviral strain wPip because they both

belong to supergroup B. Additionally, both strains are adapted to mosquito host

species [74,75]. wMel, which belongs to supergroup A [74], has a well-characterized

antiviral activity and comparisons with wAlbB enable us to determine whether

antiviral strains from different supergroups employ similar strategies to induce the

antiviral state in *Ae. aegypti*. In our cell culture models, we observed that supergroup

B strains, wAlbB and wPip, grew to substantially higher densities (>80

Wolbachia/cell) than the distantly related wMel (<30 *Wolbachia*/cell). This is

consistent with somatic tissues in mosquitoes where it has been reported that *w*AlbB

and *w*Pip generally reside at higher levels than *w*Mel [20,23,55]. Thus, our *in vitro*

findings support previous research and show that *Wolbachia* density does not

necessarily determine a strain's antiviral activity.

Recent investigations have demonstrated that *w*Mel resides nearby ER and

Golgi organelles in its native host, *D. melanogaster* [46,47,58]. Here, we remarkably

observed that only antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate closely with the ER network

in *Aag2* cell lines. Non-antiviral strain *w*Pip instead formed a massive cytoplasmatic

cluster with no apparent interaction with other organelles. TEM analyses revealed

*w*Mel and *w*AlbB were individually surrounded by ER membranes at a high

frequency. This may be strategic by these strains; for example, the *w*Mel genome

lacks pathways for metabolizing some membrane components, relying on its host for

many of the materials required for their membrane formation [76-78]. Since *w*Pip does

not demand this close association for its active replication, perhaps it has alternate

ways of acquiring nutrients or has a more complete intrinsic metabolic capacity.

Comparative genomic studies between related strains *w*AlbB and wPip may provide

insight into this. Interestingly, based on our TEM micrographs, even in *Aag2* cell

lines highly infected with *w*AlbB or *w*Pip strains (>80 *Wolbachia*/cell), we did not see

any morphological evidence of increased ER activity linked to ER stress, such as

enlarged tubules and cisternae, nor subcellular redistribution of this organelle as

previously described for *w*Mel in *D. melanogaster* [46,47].

DENV and other flaviviruses considerably remodel ER membranes for their

own replication [32,33,35,36,61]. While it is interesting that only the antiviral *Wolbachia*

strains were found to be substantially associated with this organelle, our TEM

analyses did not indicate any spatial competition between antiviral *Wolbachia* strains

and DENV-2 for ER membranes. Indeed, ER membranes are prolific in eukaryotic

cells and we observed large regions of *Aag2* cells that had ER membranes free from

Wolbachia, with space to hypothetically support formation of viral replication

complexes. Instead, we hypothesize that antiviral *Wolbachia* strains may

biochemically alter the composition of the ER membranes in *Aag2* cells by eliciting

the formation of LDs. LDs may then have further direct or indirect roles in mediating

viral restriction.

LDs are thought to have conflicting roles in viral infection: many reports have

demonstrated that enveloped viruses usurp LDs and alter lipidomic profiles of host

cells to enhance their viral life cycles, with lipids accounting for 20-30% of the weight

of the virion [62,67,79-83]. DENV infection, for example, increases LD formation [62],

recruiting FAS to the virus replication site [65], and its capsid protein accumulates on

the surface of LDs, facilitating viral replication by providing a platform for

nucleocapsid formation during encapsidation [67]. By contrast, LDs have been shown

to have a critical role in supporting innate immune signaling pathways, potentially

acting as a platform to augment and coordinate the cell's antiviral response [62,63,84,85].

Since recent studies have suggested that *Wolbachia*'s antiviral activity towards

DENV is not driven by innate immune priming [23,53] it remains unclear what role

Wolbachia-induced LDs may have in viral restriction.

In this study we noticed distinct LD profiles in *Aag2* single-infected with

Wolbachia strains. Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains induced a higher volume of LDs per

cell than *w*Pip. Additionally, we found that *w*Mel- and *w*AlbB-*Aag2* cell lines

responded to DENV-2 infection by further accumulating LDs, which did not occur in

*w*Pip-*Aag2* cell line. Based on these findings, it appears that DENV-2 replication in

Aag2 cell lines requires a preferred LD accumulation threshold that, if crossed, e.g.

in the presence of antiviral *Wolbachia* strains, no longer supports DENV-2

replication. Previously, *Aag2* cells infected with *w*MelPop (a supergroup A

pathogenic antiviral *Wolbachia* strain from *D. melanogaster*) were shown to have

LDs enriched in esterified cholesterol. DENV-2 replication was partially rescued in

these cells by dispersing localized cholesterol using the drug 2-hydroxypropyl-β-

cyclodextrin. However, this phenotype was not reproducible in *Ae. albopictus*-derived

cells, *Aa23*, infected with another supergroup A antiviral *Wolbachia* strain, *w*Au [86,87].

Therefore, assessment of all antiviral strains using a common approach will be

important in the future to determine whether some antiviral strains utilize different

antiviral mechanisms in *Ae. aegypti*.

335   Meanwhile, Manokaran and colleagues discovered that acyl-carnitines

(intermediate molecules that transport activated fatty acids, FA-CoA, from the

cytoplasm to the mitochondria) are downregulated in the presence of *w*Mel, re-

directing lipid sources from β-oxidation, and negatively affecting ATP production,

which is required for efficient DENV-1 and Zika virus replication [48]. All these findings

support our hypothesis that antiviral *Wolbachia* strains relocalize essential lipid

classes and limit their availability to sustain DENV replication.

342   C75 inhibition of LD formation has previously been shown to impact DENV-2

RNA amplification in the absence of *Wolbachia*. This is thought to be because C75

inhibits association of the capsid protein with host LDs [67,88]. Here, C75 treatment of

*w*Mel-*Aag2* cells abrogated LD formation and instead supported an increase in

intracellular and infectious titers of DENV-2, to levels comparable with C75-treated

Wolbachia-free-*Aag2* cells. This partial restoration of DENV-2 replication in *w*Mel-

*Aag2*s is consistent with excess LD's induced by *w*Mel having an antiviral role, while

also supporting a pro-viral role for moderate levels of LDs [67,88]. That is, complete

abrogation of LD formation as we observed in both *w*Mel- and *Wolbachia*-free *Aag2*

cells treated with C75, is partially restrictive to DENV.

It is important to acknowledge that the C75 target, FAS, does not directly drive

formation of LDs, and instead catalysis synthesis of triacylglycerols. Excess free fatty

acids are converted into neutral lipids and stored in cytosolic LDs. Primarily, FAS

synthesizes palmitate from acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA in the presence of NADPH

[72]. Thus, although FAS inhibition was associated with reduced LD formation, we

cannot exclude the possibility that other FAS-mediated changes in fatty acid

homeostasis are responsible for the partial restoration of DENV-2 replication in

*w*Mel-*Aag2* cells.

One impact of reducing LD formation is the accumulation of lipids at ER

membranes, especially precursors of triacylglycerols and sterol esters (such as

cholesterol), which constitute most of the structural core of LDs [89,90]. Within the

several classes of lipids, sphingolipids and sterols are essential in determining

membrane flexibility and stability [91,92]. Therefore, an adequate membrane-lipid

composition in the ER is critical for membrane rearrangement and assembly and

function of +RNA virus replication complexes [32,33,35,36,61]. Thus, reduction of LD

formation following C75 treatment might facilitate DENV-2 acquisition of lipid classes

in the ER that may not normally be available in the presence of antiviral *Wolbachia*

strains. Moreover, dysregulation of LDs could modify not only the composition of the

ER membranes but also the composition of the LDs, both of which are required for

DENV replication. In reality, *Wolbachia*'s redistribution of lipids within cells may mask

intracellular competition for lipids. That is, differential localization of specific lipid

classes that prevent effective viral replication on ER membranes may not have been

detected in past lipidomic studies if the overall prevalence of each lipid class remains

the same [48,70]. The mechanism by which antiviral *Wolbachia* strains remove lipids

from ER membranes and store them as LDs must therefore be further examined.

These results provide the first detailed analyses of how antiviral *Wolbachia*

strains associate with and modify *Ae. aegypti* cell organelles to restrict viral

replication. We identify two striking phenotypes induced only by antiviral strains,

including intimate association with host ER membranes, and induction of bigger

and/or more numerous LDs. Our data support a role for *Wolbachia*-induced lipid

redistribution in restriction of DENV-2 replication.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Cell line generation and maintenance

*w*Mel-*Aedes aegypti*-derived *(Aag2)* cell line and the *Wolbachia*-free

*w*Mel.Tet-*Aag2* cell lines have been described previously [56]. Briefly, *w*Mel.Tet-*Aag2*

was generated by treating *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell line with 10 µg/mL tetracycline for 3

successive passages, to cure the line of *w*Mel infection. This *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2*

cell line was then further used to generate *w*AlbB-*Aag2* and *w*Pip-*Aag2* cell lines.

*w*AlbB strain was purified from the *Ae. albopictus*-derived cell line, RML-12, stably

infected with *w*AlbB and infection of *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2* cells was done using the

shell vial technique as described previously [59].

To generate *w*Pip-*Aag2*, *w*Pip was extracted from infected *Ae. aegypti* eggs

[93]. In an Eppendorf tube, 1000-2000 2-5-day old eggs were rinsed 3-5 times in 1mL

distilled water. The eggs were passed through a 100 µm mesh sieve and placed in a

new Eppendorf tube containing 1 mL 80% v/v ethanol. Eggs were sterilized by 3-5

washes in 80% v/v ethanol then rinsed three times in distilled water, sieved, and

transferred to a new Eppendorf tube containing 500 µL SPG buffer (218 mM

sucrose, 3.8 mM KH_2PO_4, 7.2 mM K_2HPO_4, 4.9 mM L- glutamate at pH 7.4). Eggs

were rinsed three times in SPG buffer then homogenized in 300-500 µL SPG buffer

using a plastic pestle. The homogenate was spun to remove debris, and the

supernatant was collected in a fresh tube. This was repeated three to five times until

the supernatant became clear. A pool of supernatant samples was created. Under

sterile conditions, the *w*Pip-containing supernatant was then passed through a 5 µm

syringe filter followed by a 2.7 µm syringe filter. The filtrate was then centrifuged at

12,000 g to pellet *Wolbachia*. Extracted *w*Pip pellet was finally resuspended in ~300

µL of sterile SPG buffer. *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2* cell line was then infected with the

purified *w*Pip using the shell vial technique [59].

All *Aag2* cell lines (hereafter referred as *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2*, *w*Mel-*Aag2*,

*w*AlbB-*Aag2*, and *w*Pip-*Aag2* cell lines) were routinely cultured at 26°C in

maintenance media consisting in 1:1 Schneider's Drosophila (Gibco)/Mitsuhashi and

Maramorosch ($CaCl_2$ 0.151 g/L, $MgCl_2$ 0.047 g/L, KCl 0.2 g/L, NaCl 7 g/L, NaH_2PO_4

0.174 g/L, D(+)-glucose 4g/L, Yeast extract 5 g/L, Lactalbumin hydrolysate 6.5 g/L,

and $NaHCO_3$ 0.12g/L at pH 6.9) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum

(FBS – Gibco).

C6/36 cell lines of *Aedes albopictus* origin were supplied by the American

Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were routinely cultured at 28°C with 5% CO_2 in

RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) with GlutaMAX™ Supplement containing 10% FBS.

Wolbachia Density

Wolbachia density was routinely monitored in each cell line. To determine the

average number of *Wolbachia* per cell we used qPCR to measure the relative

abundance of the conserved *Wolbachia 16S rRNA* gene to that of the single-copy

mosquito house-keeping gene *RPS17* gene. *Wolbachia*-free cells and *w*Mel-, *w*AlbB-

and *w*Pip-*Aag2* cell lines were lysed with squash extraction buffer (10 mM Tris

Buffer, 1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid [EDTA], 50 mM NaCl in ultrapure

water, 1:50 Proteinase K). Cell lysates were then incubated at 56 °C for 5 min,

followed by 98 °C for 5 min. Samples were diluted 1:10 in water and qPCR was

performed with 3 µL of each diluted cell lysate using the LightCycler 480 Probes

Master mix (Roche), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Probes and

primers used are as described: For *Wolbachia* detection, *16S rRNA* F (5'-

GAGTGAAGAAGG CCTTTGGG-3'), *16S rRNA* R (5'-

CACGGAGTTAGCCAGGACTTC-3'), and *16S rRNA* Cy5 probe (5'-

LC640CTGTGAGTACCGTCATTATCTTCCTCACT-IowaBlackRQ-3') were used. To

detect the housekeeping gene, *RPS17* F (5′-TCCGTGGTATCTCCATCAAGCT-3′),

RPS17 R (5′-CACTTCCGGCACGTAGTTGTC-3′), and *RPS17* FAM probe (5'FAM-

CAGGAGGAGGAACGTGAGCGCAG-BHQ1-3') were utilized. PCR cycling

conditions were 95 °C for 5 minutes, 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 seconds, 60 °C for 15

seconds, 72 °C for 1 second, followed by cooling at 40 °C for 10 seconds. *Wolbachia*

densities were quantified using the delta CT method ($2^{CT(reference)}$/ $2^{CT(target)}$) [94].

DENV-2

442   Dengue virus serotype 2 (DENV-2) strain 92T (isolated from human serum

443   collected from a patient from Townsville, Queensland/Australia, in 1992) [13] was

444   prepared by inoculation of C6/36 cell lines with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1

445   and collection of culture supernatant 13-14 days post-infection. Infectious titers were

446   determined by $TCID_{50}$.

DENV-2 infection of cell lines

Aag2 cell lines were seeded to reach 90% confluency in 24, 12 or 6 well-plates

and incubated at 26°C for 48 hours prior to viral infection. Unless otherwise stated,

cells were infected with DENV-2 at MOI 1 in maintenance medium without FBS for 2

hours at 26°C. Virus inoculum was then removed and the cells washed once with

warm PBS. Maintenance media containing 2% FBS was added and cells were then

incubated at 26°C for the indicated time.

Quantification of DENV-2 RNA

To quantify DENV-2 genomic copies, total RNA from virus-infected cells was

extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) or Isolate II RNA mini kit (Bioline). DENV-2

RNA was amplified by qRT-PCR (LightCycler Multiplex RNA Virus Master, Roche),

using primers to the conserved 3'UTR: Forward 5'-

AAGGACTAGAGGTTAGAGGAGACCC; Reverse 5'- CGTTCTGTGCC

TGGAATGATG; Probe 5'-HEX- AACAGCATATTGACGCTGGGAGAGACCAGA-

BHQ13' [23]; DENV-2 RNA copies were quantified relative to *Ae. aegypti* house-

keeping gene *RPS17* (primers and probe sequences as above) using the delta CT

method ($2^{CT(reference)}$/ $2^{CT(target)}$) [94]. Reactions were run on a LightCycler 480 (Roche),

and data analysis was carried out with the LightCycler 480 software. qRT-PCR

conditions: 50 °C for 10 min, 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s,

55 °C for 5 s, and 72 °C for 10 s.

$TCID_{50}$ ELISAs to determine viral titres

Infectious virus levels were determined by $TCID_{50}$ as previously described [95].

Briefly, serial dilutions (10-fold) of virus were inoculated onto C6/36 cell lines in flat-

bottom 96 well plates containing maintenance medium (RPMI-1640, 2% FBS), and

incubated for 13-14 days at 28 °C. After the incubation period, media was aspirated,

and cells were fixed with acetone fixative buffer (20% acetone/0.02% BSA in PBS) at

4 °C for 24 hours. The fixative was removed and the plate was left to completely air-

dry. Once dried, virus concentrations were immediately quantified by ELISA or plates

were kept at –20°C until required.

ELISAs were performed using monoclonal antibody 4G2 to detect DENV E protein

[96]. Briefly, plates were blocked with 2% casein in TNE buffer (10mM Tris; 0.2M NaCl;

1mM EDTA; 0.05% Tween20) for 1 hour at room temperature. 4G2 (produced as

hybridoma supernatant) [97] was diluted 1:200 in blocking solution and incubated on

fixed cells for 1 hour at 37°C. Plates were washed four times with PBST (0.05%

Tween20). Anti-mouse secondary antibody was diluted 1:2000 in blocking solution

and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Plates were washed six times with PBST, then

incubated with 3,3'5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) for up to 5 minutes. The reaction

was stopped by addition of 0.1M HCl. The absorbance of each well was measured at

450nm using a BioTek Gen5 microplate reader (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The

$TCID_{50}$/ml was determined using the Reed-Muench calculation [98].

Cell fixation and processing for transmission electron microscopy

Aag2 cell lines were seeded in a 6-well cell culture plate in triplicate at a

concentration of 3×10^6 cells/well for 48 hours at 26 °C. Unless otherwise stated,

cells were mock-infected or infected for 2 hours at 26°C with DENV-2 at MOI 2 in

maintenance media without FBS. Virus inoculum was then removed and cells were

washed once with warm PBS. Maintenance media containing 2% FBS was added

and cells were incubated at 26 °C for 2 days. For C75 treatment, cells were treated

as described below and infected with DENV-2 at MOI 1. At 24 hours post-infection,

cells were washed once with warm PBS and fixed for 2 hours at room temperature

with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Cells were gently washed

three times for ten minutes each with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer.

Cells were post fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M sodium cacodylate

buffer for 30 minutes at room temperature which was then reduced with 1.5%

potassium ferrocyanide in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer for 30 minutes. Cells were

washed three times for 10 minutes with ultrapure water. Staining with 2.5% uranyl

acetate (aqueous) followed overnight at 4°C. Cells were once again washed three

times for 10 minutes with ultrapure water. Following washing, cells were scraped and

pelleted into 4% agarose in water. Pellets were dehydrated with increasing

concentrations of ethanol (25%, 50%, 75%, 90%, 100%, 100%) followed by acetone

(100%, 100%). Each dehydration step was aided by a microwave regime of 40

seconds at 150 watts (Pelco Biowave). Pellets were infiltrated with epon resin using

a microwave regime (25%, 50%, 75%, 100%, 100%; each step 3 minutes at 250

watts under vacuum). Pellets were transfer to fresh 100% epon and left at room

temperature overnight and finally embedded in a silicone mold for polymersation for

48 hours at 60°C.

Transmission electron microscopy

70nm sections were cut on a ultramicrotome (Leica UC7) and collected on

copper mesh grids. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging was

conducted on a Jeol JEM1400-Plus at 80kV. A combination of single snapshots and

image montages were acquired, montages were automatically stitched by Jeol

acquisition software (TEM Centre).

Electron tomography

200nm sections were collected on copper mesh grids for electron

tomography. 10nm gold fiducials were added to both sides of the section. Single axis

tomography was performed on a Jeol JEM1400-Plus at 120kV using Jeol Recorder

software. Tilt series were recorded with tilt angles from + 65° to − 65° with varying tilt

increments based on a Saxton scheme. IMOD software [99] was used to reconstruct

tilt series (etomo package), manual segmentation and visualisation.

Immunofluorescence analyses

Live experiments: Cells were plated on 18 mm x 18 mm coverslips in a 6-well

cell culture plate 48 hours previously coated with gelatin (0.2% [v/v]) [63,84]. To stain

the ER, the cell culture medium was aspirated, cells washed with PBS once and

incubated for 30 minutes at 26˚C with 1 µM live ER-tracker red dye (Molecular

Probes) diluted in the appropriate *Aag2* cell culture medium. The ER-tracker solution

was replaced by a 1/20 000 solution of SYTO-11 (Molecular Probes) DNA dye for 10

minutes at 26˚C diluted in the appropriate *Aag2* cell culture medium, washed twice

with PBS and cells were maintained in *Aag2* culture medium during the confocal

microscopy observations.

LDs staining and quantification: Cells were plated on 12 mm x 12 mm

coverslips in a 12-well cell culture plate for 48 hours previously coated with gelatin

(0.2% [v/v]) [63,84]. All cells were maintained in *Aag2* cell culture medium with 10%

FBS. 24 hours post-DENV-2-infection, mock-infected and infected cells were fixed

with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes, washed twice with PBS and

permeabilized with 0.5% Saponin in PBS for 10 minutes and washed three times

with PBS. Cells were blocked with 5% BSA for 1 hour, before antibody staining with

polyclonal anti-WSP [96] and 4G2 hybridoma fluid against flavivirus group E antigen for

DENV-2 staining. Cells were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 555 or 647 secondary

antibodies (1:2000) for 1 hour. LDs were stained by incubating cells with BODIPY

(493/503 4,4-difluoro 1,3,5,7,8 pentamethyl 4-bora3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene –

Molecular Probes) at 1 ng/mL for 1 hour and nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma-

Aldrich, 1 µg/ml) for 5 min. All incubations were at room temperature. Samples were

then washed with PBS and mounted with Vectashield Antifade Mounting Medium

(Vector Laboratories). The slides were imaged as 3-dimensional z-stacks and 2D

images generated by Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP). For each condition, at

least 6 fields of view were imaged at 63X magnification from different locations

across each coverslip. LDs from at least 80 cells per biological replicate with a

minimum of 2 biological replicates per experiment being analyzed for both LD

number and average LD size. LD numbers and diameters were analyzed using

quantitative data from the single raw CZI images (from Zen Blue) in ImageJ using

the particle analysis tool.

For imaging of mosquito tissues, ovaries were dissected from non-blood-fed

female mosquitoes 5-7 days post-emergence. Mosquito lines Rockefeller,

Rockefeller-*w*Mel, Rockefeller-*w*AlbB and Rockefeller-*w*Pip have been described

previously [23]. Ovaries were dissected from 4-5 mosquitoes per line, in PBS, then

fixed on poly-lysine coated slides in cold 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 15 minutes.

Slides were rinsed three times in PBS, then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in

PBS for 10 minutes. Samples were blocked with 1% BSA for 30 minutes then

stained with BODIPY (493/503) at 1 ng/ml for 1hour. Nuclei were stained with DAPI

(Sigma-Aldrich, 1 µg/ml) for 5 minutes at room temperature. Samples were washed

with PBS and mounted with Vectashield Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector

Laboratories). All images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope

and processed using Zeiss analysis software (Zen Blue Edition version 10.1.19043,

Jena, Germany) and FIJI analysis software. The slides were imaged as 3-

dimensional z-stacks and 2D images generated by MIP.

Inhibition of FAS by C75 treatment

Unless otherwise stated, *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* lines were seeded and

infected as described above. Prior to DENV-2 infection cells were pre-treated with 1

μM fatty acid synthase (FAS) inhibitor C75 (Abcam) or DMSO diluent only for 24

hours. Cells were mock-infected or DENV-2-infected at MOI 1 for 24 hours and C75

was maintained during the time of infection in *Aag2* cell culture medium with 10%

FBS. LD quantification, viral replication and TEM imaging were performed as

described above.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses of parametric data were performed using One-way ANOVA or

Two-way ANOVA tests with a Tukey's multiple comparison correction, or unpaired

two-tailed Student's *t* test, and data were expressed as mean ± Standard Error of the

Mean (±SEM). Statistical analyses of non-parametric data were performed using a

Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons, or a two-tailed

Mann-Whitney test, and data were expressed as median ± Interquartile Range (±

IQR). All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9.3.1 (GraphPad

Software), with $p < 0.05$ considered to be significant.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Australian Research Council (DP220102997) and

the National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1182432). The funders had

no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit

the work for publication.

We thank Professor Roy Hall and Jody Hobson-Peters (University of Queensland)

for provision of the 4G2 antibody. The authors would like to acknowledge the

Ramaciotti Centre for Cryo Electron Microscopy, a node of Microscopy Australia.

The authors acknowledge Monash Micro Imaging, Monash University, for the

provision of instrumentation, training, and technical support. We would like to thank

the La Trobe University Bioimaging Facility for their assistance with image

acquisition, data collection, and image analysis essential to this work. Finally, we

thank Katrina Ibay for technical support.

Author contributions

R.K.L performed the majority of the experiments; E.A.M assisted in the design of LD

experiments, LD imaging and image analyses. R.T. and G.R. assisted with the TEM

acquisition. E.A.M and R.T. equally collaborated to this work. J.T.B. originally

established all mosquito cell lines and H.F. kindly provided the mosquito lines used

in this study. J.M., K.J.H and C.P.S. assisted in experimental direction. J.E.F. was

responsible for the overall study design, alongside R.K.L. J.E.F. and C.P.S. acquired

the funding for the project. J.E.F. and R.K.L. wrote the manuscript; all authors

contributed to editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

FIGURES & LEGENDS:

Figure 1: _w_Pip does not restrict DENV-2 replication in _Aag2_ cells. _Ae. aegypti_-
derived cell lines (_Aag2_) stably infected with _w_Mel, _w_AlbB, and _w_Pip strains were
infected with DENV-2 at MOI 1 and compared to their matched _Wolbachia_-free line.
Infectious virus and cellular RNA were collected from each cell line 7 days post-
infection for analysis by $TCID_{50}$ and qRT-PCR. The numbers in parentheses
represent the average number of _Wolbachia_ per cell (_Wolbachia 16S rRNA/RPS17_),
determined in parallel wells for each independent experiment prior to DENV-2
inoculation. (A) Data are the median number of virus genome copies relative to the
RPS17 mosquito housekeeping gene ± IQR. (B) Data are the median infectious viral
titres ± IQR determined by $TCID_{50}$. Data are derived from at least 3 (A) or 2 (B)
independent experiments performed with triplicate biological replicates each.
Statistical analyses were performed using Mann-Whitney test, where ** p < 0.005,
**** p < 0.0001.

Figure 2: Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate with host endoplasmic reticulum membranes. (A) TEM micrographs of *Aag2* cell lines stably infected with *w*Mel, *w*AlbB, and *w*Pip show their intracellular distribution and association with ER

membranes. Scale bar = 500nm. (B) *Wolbachia*-ER interactions were manually

scored as unassociated, adjacent or surrounded from 2 independent experiments,

where *Wolbachia* were counted from a minimum of 28 cells in total per line. The

number of *Wolbachia* bacteria scored is in parentheses. (C) TEM tomography shows

*w*Mel strain surrounded by ER membranes. See Supplementary Movie 1 for the

reconstructed tomogram. (D) Live imaging of all *Aag2* cell lines stained with SYTO

11 (*Wolbachia* DNA - green) and ER tracker (red). Scale bar = 15µm. (E) TEM of all

Aag2 cell lines infected with DENV-2 at MOI 2 for 48 hours. Scale bar = 500nm. ER-

Endoplasmic reticulum. W- *Wolbachia*. M- Mitochondria. Vp- Vesicle packets.

Figure 3: Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains increase lipid droplet formation in mosquito cells. (A-D) *Aag2* cells stably infected with *Wolbachia* strains *w*Mel, *w*AlbB, or *w*Pip, or *Wolbachia*-free cells were mock-infected or DENV-2 infected at MOI 1 for 24 hours. (A and C) All cells were stained with BODIPY (493/503) to

visualize LDs (green) and DAPI to visualize the cell nuclei (blue). *Wolbachia* was
detected with an α-WSP antibody (red) and 4G2 hybridoma fluid against flavivirus
group E antigen for DENV-2 staining (magenta). Scale bars = 15µm. (B) LD
numbers and sizes were analyzed using Fiji software. Data are the mean LD number
±SEM (top) or median LD size ±IQR (bottom) from at least 6 fields of view
representing at least 80 cells in total where each data point represents the mean LD
number/size from a single field of view. Statistical analyses were performed by One-
way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons (top graph) or Kruskal-
Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons (bottom graph). (D) LD
numbers were analyzed using Fiji software. Data are the mean LD number ±SEM
from at least 6 fields of view representing at least 80 cells in total where each data
point represents the mean LD number from a single field of view. Statistical analyses
were performed by Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple
comparisons, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.005$, *** $p < 0.0005$, **** $p < 0.0001$. (E) Ovaries
were dissected from female mosquitoes 5-7 days post-emergence and stained with
BODIPY (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars = 50µm. All slides were imaged as 3-
dimensional z-stacks and 2D images generated by Maximum Intensity Projection
(MIP) using Fiji software.

Figure 4. Disrupting *Wolbachia*-induced lipid droplets facilitates viral replication in *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell line. *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines were mock-infected or DENV-2 infected at MOI 1 for 24 hours. Prior to DENV-2 infection

cells were pre-treated with C75 for 24 hours and C75 was maintained during the time of infection. (A-B) Cell-associated RNA and virus supernatant were collected from each cell line 24 hours post-DENV-2-infection for analysis by qRT-PCR (A) and TCID$_{50}$ (B). Data are the median number of viral genome copies ±IQR relative to the *RPS17* mosquito housekeeping gene (A) or the median TCID$_{50}$/ml ±IQR (B). The numbers in parentheses represent the average number of *Wolbachia* per cell (*Wolbachia 16S rRNA/RPS17*), determined in parallel wells for each independent experiment prior to DENV-2 inoculation. Data are representative of at least 3 (A) or 2 independent experiments (B) with triplicate biological replicates each. Statistical analyses were performed using Mann-Whitney test, where ** $p < 0.001$ and **** $p < 0.0001$. (C) *Wolbachia*-free (top panel) and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines (bottom panel) were stained with BODIPY (green) and DAPI (blue). *Wolbachia* was detected with an α-WSP antibody (red) and an 4G2 hybridoma fluid against flavivirus group E antigen for DENV-2 staining (magenta). Slides were imaged as 3-dimensional z-stacks and 2D images generated by MIP using Fiji software. Scale bars = 15μm. (D) LD numbers were quantified after 24 hours post-DENV-2 infection at MOI 1. LD numbers were analyzed using Fiji software. Data are the mean LD number ±SEM from at least 6 fields of view representing at least 80 cells in total, where each data point represents the mean LD number from a single field of view. Statistical analyses were performed by an unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, where **** $p < 0.0001$. (E) TEM of *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines mock-infected or DENV-2 infected at MOI 1 for 24 hours and treated with C75 as previously described. Scale bar = 500nm. ER- Endoplasmic reticulum. W- *Wolbachia*. M- Mitochondria. Vp- Vesicle packets.

REFERENCES

Ogunlade, S. T. *et al.* A Review: Aedes-Borne Arboviral Infections, Controls and Wolbachia-Based Strategies. *Vaccines* **9**, 32, doi:10.3390/vaccines9010032 (2021).

Weaver, S. C. & Barrett, A. D. T. Transmission cycles, host range, evolution and emergence of arboviral disease. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **2**, 789-801, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1006 (2004).

Mukhopadhyay, S., Kuhn, R. J. & Rossmann, M. G. A structural perspective of the flavivirus life cycle. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **3**, 13-22, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1067 (2005).

Kraemer, M. U. G. *et al.* Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. *Nature Microbiology* **4**, 854-863, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0376-y (2019).

Brady, O. J. *et al.* Global temperature constraints on Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus persistence and competence for dengue virus transmission. *Parasites & Vectors* **7**, 338, doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-338 (2014).

Ryan, S. J., Carlson, C. J., Mordecai, E. A. & Johnson, L. R. Global expansion and redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **13**, e0007213, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007213 (2019).

Goindin, D., Delannay, C., Ramdini, C., Gustave, J. & Fouque, F. Parity and Longevity of Aedes aegypti According to Temperatures in Controlled Conditions and Consequences on Dengue Transmission Risks. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0135489, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135489 (2015).

Morens, D. M., Folkers, G. K. & Fauci, A. S. The challenge of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* **430**, 242-249, doi:10.1038/nature02759 (2004).

Kraemer, M. U. G. *et al.* The global compendium of Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus occurrence. *Scientific Data* **2**, 150035, doi:10.1038/sdata.2015.35 (2015).

Liu-Helmersson, J. *et al.* Climate Change and Aedes Vectors: 21st Century Projections for Dengue Transmission in Europe. *EBioMedicine* **7**, 267-277, doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.03.046 (2016).

Morales, D. *et al.* Resistance Status of Aedes aegypti to Deltamethrin, Malathion, and Temephos in Ecuador. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association.* **35**, 113-122, doi:10.2987/19-6831.1info:doi/10.2987/19-6831.1 (2019).

Bhatt, S. *et al.* The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* **496**, 504-507, doi:10.1038/nature12060 (2013).

Moreira, L. A. *et al.* A Wolbachia Symbiont in Aedes aegypti Limits Infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. *Cell* **139**, 1268-1278, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042 (2009).

Walker, T. *et al.* The wMel Wolbachia strain blocks dengue and invades caged Aedes aegypti populations. *Nature* **476**, 450-453, doi:10.1038/nature10355 (2011).

Bian, G., Xu, Y., Lu, P., Xie, Y. & Xi, Z. The Endosymbiotic Bacterium Wolbachia Induces Resistance to Dengue Virus in Aedes aegypti. *PLoS Pathogens* **6**, e1000833, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000833 (2010).

Carrington, L. B. *et al.* Field- and clinically derived estimates of Wolbachia-mediated blocking of dengue virus transmission potential in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 361-366, doi:10.1073/pnas.1715788115 (2018).

Aliota, M. T. *et al.* The wMel Strain of Wolbachia Reduces Transmission of Chikungunya Virus in Aedes aegypti. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **10**, e0004677, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004677 (2016).

Zug, R. & Hammerstein, P. Still a Host of Hosts for Wolbachia: Analysis of Recent Data Suggests That 40% of Terrestrial Arthropod Species Are Infected. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e38544, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038544 (2012).

19   Ross, P. A. *et al.* An elusive endosymbiont: Does Wolbachia occur naturally in Aedes
aegypti? *Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 1581-1591, doi:10.1002/ece3.6012 (2020).
20   Ant, T. H., Herd, C. S., Geoghegan, V., Hoffmann, A. A. & Sinkins, S. P. The Wolbachia
strain wAu provides highly efficient virus transmission blocking in Aedes aegypti. *PLOS*
Pathogens **14**, e1006815, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006815 (2018).
21   Xi, Z., Khoo, C. C. H. & Dobson, S. L. Wolbachia Establishment and Invasion in an
Aedes   aegypti   Laboratory   Population.   *Science.*   **310**,   326-328,
doi:10.1126/science.1117607info:doi/10.1126/science.1117607 (2005).
22   Flores, H. A. *et al.* Multiple Wolbachia strains provide comparative levels of protection
against dengue virus infection in Aedes aegypti. *PLOS Pathogens* **16**, e1008433,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008433 (2020).
23   Fraser, J. E. *et al.* Novel phenotype of Wolbachia strain wPip in Aedes aegypti
challenges assumptions on mechanisms of Wolbachia-mediated dengue virus
inhibition. *PLOS Pathogens* **16**, e1008410, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008410 (2020).
24   Sinkins, S. P., Braig, H. R. & O'Neill, S. L. Wolbachia superinfections and the
expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*
Series B: Biological Sciences **261**, 325-330, doi:10.1098/rspb.1995.0154 (1995).
25   Serbus, L. R., Casper-Lindley, C., Landmann, F. & Sullivan, W. The Genetics and Cell
Biology of Wolbachia -Host Interactions. *Annual Review of Genetics* **42**, 683-707,
doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.41.110306.130354 (2008).
26   Indriani, C. *et al.* Reduced dengue incidence following deployments of Wolbachia-
infected Aedes aegypti in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a quasi-experimental trial using
controlled   interrupted   time   series   analysis.   *Gates Open Research* **4**, 50,
doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.13122.1 (2020).
27   Utarini, A. *et al.* Efficacy of Wolbachia-Infected Mosquito Deployments for the Control
of   Dengue.   *New   England   Journal   of   Medicine*   **384**,   2177-2186,
doi:10.1056/nejmoa2030243 (2021).
28   Nazni, W. A. *et al.* Establishment of Wolbachia Strain wAlbB in Malaysian Populations
of Aedes aegypti for Dengue Control. *Current Biology* **29**, 4241-4248.e4245,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.11.007 (2019).
29   O'Neill, S. L. *et al.* Scaled deployment of Wolbachia to protect the community from
dengue and other Aedes transmitted arboviruses. *Gates Open Research* **2**, 36,
doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.12844.3 (2019).
30   Ryan, P. A. *et al.* Establishment of wMel Wolbachia in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and
reduction of local dengue transmission in Cairns and surrounding locations in northern
Queensland,   Australia.   *Gates   Open   Research*   **3**,   1547,
doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.13061.2 (2020).
31   Pinto, S. B. *et al.* Effectiveness of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments in
reducing the incidence of dengue and other Aedes-borne diseases in Niteroi, Brazil: A
quasi-experimental   study.   *PLoS   Negl   Trop   Dis*   **15**,   e0009556,
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009556 (2021).
32   Harapan, H., Michie, A., Sasmono, R. T. & Imrie, A. Dengue: A Minireview. *Viruses*
12, 829, doi:10.3390/v12080829 (2020).
33   Guzman, M. G., Gubler, D. J., Izquierdo, A., Martinez, E. & Halstead, S. B. Dengue
infection. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* **2**, 16055, doi:10.1038/nrdp.2016.55
(2016).
34   Van Den Elsen, K., Quek, J. P. & Luo, D. Molecular Insights into the Flavivirus
Replication Complex. *Viruses* **13**, 956, doi:10.3390/v13060956 (2021).
35   Welsch, S. *et al.* Composition and Three-Dimensional Architecture of the Dengue Virus
Replication   and   Assembly   Sites.   *Cell   Host   &   Microbe*   **5**,   365-375,
doi:10.1016/j.chom.2009.03.007 (2009).
36   Eyre, N. S. *et al.* Genome-Wide Mutagenesis of Dengue Virus Reveals Plasticity of the
NS1 Protein and Enables Generation of Infectious Tagged Reporter Viruses. *J Virol*
91, doi:10.1128/JVI.01455-17 (2017).

37 Lescar, J. *et al.* in *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 115-129
(Springer Singapore, 2018).
38 Jason M. Mackenzie, M. K. J., Paul R. Young. Improved membrane preservation of
flavivirus-infected cells with cryosectioning. *Journal of Virological Methods* **56**,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0934(95)01916-2 (1996).
39 Westaway, E. G., Mackenzie, J. M., Kenney, M. T., Jones, M. K. & Khromykh, A. A.
Ultrastructure of Kunjin virus-infected cells: colocalization of NS1 and NS3 with double-
stranded RNA, and of NS2B with NS3, in virus-induced membrane structures. *Journal
of Virology* **71**, 6650-6661, doi:10.1128/jvi.71.9.6650-6661.1997 (1997).
40 Amuzu, H. E. & Mcgraw, E. A. Wolbachia-Based Dengue Virus Inhibition Is Not Tissue-
Specific in Aedes aegypti. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **10**, e0005145,
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005145 (2016).
41 Nainu, F., Trenerry, A. & Johnson, K. N. Wolbachia-mediated antiviral protection is
cell-autonomous. *Journal of General Virology* **100**, 1587-1592,
doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001342 (2019).
42 Thomas, S., Verma, J., Woolfit, M. & O'Neill, S. L. Wolbachia-mediated virus blocking
in mosquito cells is dependent on XRN1-mediated viral RNA degradation and
influenced by viral replication rate. *PLOS Pathogens* **14**, e1006879,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006879 (2018).
43 Bhattacharya, T., Newton, I. L. G. & Hardy, R. W. Viral RNA is a target for Wolbachia-
mediated pathogen blocking. *PLOS Pathogens* **16**, e1008513,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008513 (2020).
44 Caragata, E. P. *et al.* Dietary Cholesterol Modulates Pathogen Blocking by Wolbachia.
PLoS Pathogens **9**, e1003459, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003459 (2013).
45 Caragata, E. P., Rancès, E., O'Neill, S. L. & Mcgraw, E. A. Competition for Amino
Acids Between Wolbachia and the Mosquito Host, Aedes aegypti. *Microbial Ecology*
67, 205-218, doi:10.1007/s00248-013-0339-4 (2014).
46 Cho, K.-O., Kim, G.-W. & Lee, O.-K. Wolbachia Bacteria Reside in Host Golgi-Related
Vesicles Whose Position Is Regulated by Polarity Proteins. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e22703,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022703 (2011).
47 White, P. M. *et al.* Reliance of Wolbachia on High Rates of Host Proteolysis Revealed
by a Genome-Wide RNAi Screen of Drosophila Cells. *Genetics* **205**, 1473-1488,
doi:10.1534/genetics.116.198903 (2017).
48 Manokaran, G. *et al.* Modulation of acyl-carnitines, the broad mechanism behind
Wolbachia-mediated inhibition of medically important flaviviruses in Aedes aegypti.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **117**, 24475-24483,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1914814117 (2020).
49 Zhang, G., Hussain, M., O'Neill, S. L. & Asgari, S. Wolbachia uses a host microRNA
to regulate transcripts of a methyltransferase, contributing to dengue virus inhibition in
Aedes aegypti. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 10276-10281,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1303603110 (2013).
50 Mayoral, J. G., Etebari, K., Hussain, M., Khromykh, A. A. & Asgari, S. Wolbachia
Infection Modifies the Profile, Shuttling and Structure of MicroRNAs in a Mosquito Cell
Line. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e96107, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096107 (2014).
51 Bhattacharya, T. Y., Liewei; Crawford, John M.; Zaher, Hani; Newton, Irene L. G. ;
Hardy, Richard W. . Wolbachia and Virus Alter the Host Transcriptome at the Interface
of Nucleotide Metabolism Pathways. *mBio* **12**, doi:https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03472-
20 (2021).
52 Bhattacharya, T. *et al.* Differential viral RNA methylation contributes to pathogen
blocking in Wolbachia-colonized arthropods. *PLoS Pathog* **18**, e1010393,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010393 (2022).
53 Rancès, E., Ye, Y. H., Woolfit, M., McGraw, E. A. & O'Neill, S. L. The relative
importance of innate immune priming in Wolbachia-mediated dengue interference.
PLoS Pathog **8**, e1002548, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002548 (2012).

54 Pan, X. *et al. Wolbachia* induces reactive oxygen species (ROS)-dependent activation
of the Toll pathway to control dengue virus in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti. Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences **109**, E23-E31, doi:10.1073/pnas.1116932108
(2012).
55 Fraser, J. E. *et al.* Novel Wolbachia-transinfected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes possess
diverse fitness and vector competence phenotypes. *PLOS Pathogens* **13**, e1006751,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006751 (2017).
56 McLean, B. J., Dainty, K. R., Flores, H. A. & O'Neill, S. L. Differential suppression of
persistent insect specific viruses in trans-infected wMel and wMelPop-CLA Aedes-
derived mosquito lines. *Virology.* **527**, 141-145, doi:10.1016/j.virol.2018.11.012

info:doi/10.1016/j.virol.2018.11.012 (2019).
57 McLean, B. J., Dainty, K. R., Flores, H. A. & O'Neill, S. L. Differential suppression of
persistent insect specific viruses in trans-infected wMel and wMelPop-CLA Aedes-
derived   mosquito   lines.   *Virology*   **527**,   141-145,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.11.012 (2019).
58 Fattouh, N., Cazevieille, C. & Landmann, F. Wolbachia endosymbionts subvert the
endoplasmic reticulum to acquire host membranes without triggering ER stress. *PLOS*
Neglected Tropical Diseases **13**, e0007218, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007218 (2019).
59 Mcmeniman, C. J. *et al.* Host Adaptation of a Wolbachia Strain after Long-Term Serial
Passage in Mosquito Cell Lines. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **74**, 6963-
6969, doi:10.1128/aem.01038-08 (2008).
60 Casper-Lindley, C. *et al.* Rapid fluorescence-based screening for Wolbachia
endosymbionts in Drosophila germ line and somatic tissues. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
77, 4788-4794, doi:10.1128/AEM.00215-11 (2011).
61 Harris, E. & Guzman, M. G. Dengue. *The lancet.* **385**, 453-465, doi:10.1016/S0140-
6736(14)60572-9info:doi/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60572-9 (2015).
62 Barletta, A. B. F. *et al.* Emerging role of lipid droplets in Aedes aegypti immune
response against bacteria and Dengue virus. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 19928,
doi:10.1038/srep19928 (2016).
63 Monson, E. A. *et al.* Intracellular lipid droplet accumulation occurs early following viral
infection and is required for an efficient interferon response. *Nature Communications*
12, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24632-5 (2021).
64 Olzmann, J. A. & Carvalho, P. Dynamics and functions of lipid droplets. *Nature*
Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **20**, 137-155, doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0085-z (2019).
65 Tang, W. C., Lin, R. J., Liao, C. L. & Lin, Y. L. Rab18 facilitates dengue virus infection
by targeting fatty acid synthase to sites of viral replication. *J Virol* **88**, 6793-6804,
doi:10.1128/JVI.00045-14 (2014).
66 Junjhon, J. *et al.* Ultrastructural characterization and three-dimensional architecture of
replication sites in dengue virus-infected mosquito cells. *J Virol* **88**, 4687-4697,
doi:10.1128/JVI.00118-14 (2014).
67 Samsa, M. M. *et al.* Dengue Virus Capsid Protein Usurps Lipid Droplets for Viral
Particle Formation. *PLoS Pathogens* **5**, e1000632, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000632
(2009).
68 Molloy, J. C., Sommer, U., Viant, M. R. & Sinkins, S. P. Wolbachia Modulates Lipid
Metabolism in Aedes albopictus Mosquito Cells. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **82**, 3109-3120,
doi:10.1128/aem.00275-16 (2016).
69 Ponton, F. *et al.* Macronutrients mediate the functional relationship between
Drosophila and *Wolbachia*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
282, 20142029, doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2029 (2015).
70 Koh, C. *et al.* Dengue virus dominates lipid metabolism modulations in Wolbachia-
coinfected Aedes aegypti. *Commun Biol* **3**, 518, doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01254-z
(2020).
71 Heier, C. & Kuhnlein, R. P. Triacylglycerol Metabolism in Drosophila melanogaster.
Genetics **210**, 1163-1184, doi:10.1534/genetics.118.301583 (2018).

Chirala, S. S. & Wakil, S. J. Structure and function of animal fatty acid synthase. *Lipids* **39**, 1045-1053, doi:10.1007/s11745-004-1329-9 (2004).

World Health Organization - Thirteenth meeting of the WHO Vector Control Advisory Group, <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240021792> (2021).

Baldo, L. *et al.* Multilocus Sequence Typing System for the Endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **72**, 7098-7110, doi:10.1128/aem.00731-06 (2006).

Landmann, F. The Wolbachia Endosymbionts. *Microbiol Spectr* **7**, doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.BAI-0018-2019 (2019).

Wu, M. *et al.* Phylogenomics of the Reproductive Parasite Wolbachia pipientis wMel: A Streamlined Genome Overrun by Mobile Genetic Elements. *PLoS Biology* **2**, e69, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020069 (2004).

Ant, T. H., Mancini, M. V., Mcnamara, C. J., Rainey, S. M. & Sinkins, S. P. Wolbachia-Virus interactions and arbovirus control through population replacement in mosquitoes. *Pathogens and Global Health*, 1-14, doi:10.1080/20477724.2022.2117939 (2022).

Lin, M. & Rikihisa, Y. *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* Lack Genes for Lipid A Biosynthesis and Incorporate Cholesterol for Their Survival. *Infection and Immunity* **71**, 5324-5331, doi:10.1128/iai.71.9.5324-5331.2003 (2003).

Monson, E. A., Trenerry, A. M., Laws, J. L., Mackenzie, J. M. & Helbig, K. J. Lipid droplets and lipid mediators in viral infection and immunity. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, doi:10.1093/femsre/fuaa066 (2021).

Heaton, N. S. *et al.* Dengue virus nonstructural protein 3 redistributes fatty acid synthase to sites of viral replication and increases cellular fatty acid synthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 17345-17350, doi:10.1073/pnas.1010811107 (2010).

Perera, R. *et al.* Dengue Virus Infection Perturbs Lipid Homeostasis in Infected Mosquito Cells. *PLoS Pathogens* **8**, e1002584, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002584 (2012).

Hitakarun, A. *et al.* Cell Type Variability in the Incorporation of Lipids in the Dengue Virus Virion. *Viruses* **14**, 2566, doi:10.3390/v14112566 (2022).

Carro, A. C. & Damonte, E. B. Requirement of cholesterol in the viral envelope for dengue virus infection. *Virus Res* **174**, 78-87, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2013.03.005 (2013).

Monson, E. A., Crosse, K. M., Das, M. & Helbig, K. J. Lipid droplet density alters the early innate immune response to viral infection. *PLOS ONE* **13**, e0190597, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190597 (2018).

Monson, E. A., Whelan, D. R. & Helbig, K. J. Lipid Droplet Motility Increases Following Viral Immune Stimulation. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **22**, 4418, doi:10.3390/ijms22094418 (2021).

Geoghegan, V. *et al.* Perturbed cholesterol and vesicular trafficking associated with dengue blocking in Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti cells. *Nature Communications* **8**, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00610-8 (2017).

Rainey, S. M. *et al.* Differences in proteome perturbations caused by the Wolbachia strain wAu suggest multiple mechanisms of Wolbachia-mediated antiviral activity. *Scientific Reports* **13**, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-38127-4 (2023).

Heaton, N. S. & Randall, G. Dengue Virus-Induced Autophagy Regulates Lipid Metabolism. *Cell Host & Microbe* **8**, 422-432, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2010.10.006 (2010).

Thiele, C. & Penno, A. Lipid Droplets. *Encyclopedia of Cell Biology*, 273-278, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-394447-4.20023-0info:doi/10.1016/B978-0-12-394447-4.20023-0 (2016).

Gao, M., Huang, X., Song, B.-L. & Yang, H. The biogenesis of lipid droplets: Lipids take center stage. *Progress in lipid research.* **75**, 100989, doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2019.100989info:doi/10.1016/j.plipres.2019.100989 (2019).

91 Zaitseva, E., Yang, S.-T., Melikov, K., Pourmal, S. & Chernomordik, L. V. Dengue Virus
Ensures Its Fusion in Late Endosomes Using Compartment-Specific Lipids. *PLoS*
Pathogens **6**, e1001131, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001131 (2010).
92 Kielian, M., Chanel-Vos, C. & Liao, M. Alphavirus Entry and Membrane Fusion. *Viruses*
2, 796-825, doi:10.3390/v2040796 (2010).
93 Fraser, J. E. *et al.* Novel Wolbachia-transinfected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes possess
diverse fitness and vector competence phenotypes. *PLoS Pathog* **13**, e1006751,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006751 (2017).
94 Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**, 402-408,
doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262 (2001).
95 O'Brien, C. A. *et al.* Viral RNA Intermediates as Targets for Detection and Discovery
of Novel and Emerging Mosquito-Borne Viruses. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*
9, e0003629, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003629 (2015).
96 Lee, E. *et al.* Transient Introgression of Wolbachia into Aedes aegypti Populations
Does Not Elicit an Antibody Response to Wolbachia Surface Protein in Community
Members. *Pathogens* **11**, 535, doi:10.3390/pathogens11050535 (2022).
97 McCown, J. M., Dalrymple, J. M., Gentry, M. K., Brandt, W. E. & Henchal, E. A.
Identification of Distinct Antigenic Determinants on Dengue-2 Virus Using Monoclonal
Antibodies. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **31**, 548-555,
doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1982.31.548info:doi/10.4269/ajtmh.1982.31.548 (1982).
98 Reed, L. J. & Muench, H. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints.
American journal of epidemiology. **27**, 493-497,
doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408info:doi/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408
(1938).
99 JAMES R. KREMER, D. N. M., AND J. RICHARD MCINTOSH. Computer Visualization
of Three-Dimensional Image Data Using IMOD. *JOURNAL OF STRUCTURAL*
BIOLOGY **116**, 71–76 (1996).

1015

1   **Supplementary information for:**
2
3   **Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains associate with *Aedes aegypti* endoplasmic**
4   **reticulum membranes and disturb host cell lipid distribution to restrict dengue**
5   **virus replication**
6

7   Robson K. Loterio[1], Ebony A. Monson[2*], Rachel Templin[3*], Jyotika T. Bruyne[4],
8   Heather A. Flores[5] Jason M. Mackenzie[6], Georg Ramm[3], Karla J. Helbig[2], Cameron
9   P. Simmons[1,4], Johanna E. Fraser[1#]
10
[1]Department of Microbiology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University,
Clayton, Australia; [2]Department of Physiology, Anatomy, and Microbiology, School of
Life Sciences, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia; [3]Ramaciotti Centre For
Cryo-Electron Microscopy, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [4]World Mosquito
Program, Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [5]School of Biological Sciences,
Monash University, Clayton, Australia; [6]Department of Microbiology and
Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and
Immunity, Melbourne, Australia. *These authors contributed equally.
19
20   # Corresponding author: johanna.fraser@monash.edu

21
22
23   **This PDF file includes:**
24
25   Supplementary text information
26   Supplementary Fig. 1 to Fig.3
27   SI References

Supplementary text information:

Extended Material and Methods

Mosquito rearing

All *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes were reared and maintained as described previously [1]. Briefly, adult mosquitoes were maintained at 26˚C, 65% relative humidity, and a 12 h light:dark cycle in a climate-controlled room, and were allowed access to 10% sucrose *ad libitum.* Mosquitoes were blood fed on the arms of human volunteers for reproduction. To generate a panel of genetically comparable *Wolbachia*-carrying *Ae. aegypti* lines, we backcrossed females from the *w*Mel, *w*AlbB, and *w*Pip to males of the inbred laboratory *Ae. aegypti* line, Rockefeller [2] (BEI resources), for six generations. To exclude any influence of mosquito age on our experiments, age-controlled adults emerging within a 48 hours window were used.

Live Lipid Droplet Staining

For live staining of LDs, *Wolbachia*-free and *w*Mel-*Aag2* cell lines were seeded in a 96-well black cell culture plate with 6 replicates per condition and at 1×10^5 cells/well. Cells were incubated for 24 hours at 26 °C with *Aag2* cell culture medium with 10% FBS. After 24 hours, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium containing DMSO only, 1 µM C75, 2.5 µM DGAT 1 (T863), 10 µM DGAT 2 (PF-06424439) or DGAT 1 and 2 combined, and incubated for another 24 hours. After incubation time, cell culture medium was replaced with 5 µM BODIPY 493/503 in cell culture medium for 1 hour at 26 °C. For each condition, extra wells were designated to not have cells or cells without BODIPY staining for appropriate background reduction. Cells were then gently washed 2 times with warm PBS and cells were maintained in PBS for fluorescence acquisition. Fluorescence was detected with a

70 microplate reader (BioTek Gen5 - Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped for

71 excitation in the 485/20 nm range and emission detection at 535/20 nm (FITC

72 wavelength).

Supplementary Fig. 1: Antiviral *Wolbachia* strains are frequently wrapped by the host endoplasmic reticulum membranes. TEM micrographs of *Wolbachia*-free-*Aag2* cell line (a-d) or stably infected with *w*Mel (e-h), *w*AlbB (i-l), and *w*Pip (m-p) show their intracellular distribution and association with the ER membranes. Scale bar = 500nm. ER- Endoplasmic reticulum. W- *Wolbachia*. M- Mitochondria. LD- Lipid Droplet.

Supplementary Fig. 2: *Wolbachia* density in *Ae. aegypti* ovaries. Ovaries of at
least 8 non-blood-fed female mosquitoes of Rockefeller (*Wolbachia*-free),
Rockefeller-*w*Mel, Rockefeller-*w*AlbB, and Rockefeller-*w*Pip mosquito lines were
dissected. *Wolbachia* per cell (in parentheses) was determined by qPCR (*Wolbachia
16S rRNA/RPS17*). Data are mean with ± Standard Error of the Mean (±SEM).

**Supplementary Fig. 3: The Fatty Acid Synthase (FAS) inhibitor, C75, efficiently
reduces LD accumulation in *Aag2* cell lines.** (A) *Wolbachia*-free and (B) *w*Mel-
Aag2 cell lines were treated with C75, DGAT 1, DGAT 2, and DGAT 1&2 combined
for 24 hours prior to LD staining. For live staining of LDs, cells were incubated with
BODIPY 493/503 for 1 hour. The numbers in parentheses represent the average
number of *Wolbachia* per cell (*Wolbachia 16S rRNA/RPS17*) after each treatment.
Data are mean with ± Standard Deviation (SD) and represent 1 independent
experiment with six biological replicates each. Fluorescence was detected with a
microplate reader at 485/535 nm (excitation/emission) range. RFU – Relative
fluorescence units.

SI References

1. McMeniman CJL, Roxanna V.; Cass, Bodil N.; Fong, Amy W.C.;, Sidhu MW, Yu-Feng; Scott L., O'Neill. . Stable Introduction of a Life-Shortening Wolbachia Infection into the Mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Science* **323**, (2009).

2. Kuno G. Early history of laboratory breeding of Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) focusing on the origins and use of selected strains. *J Med Entomol* **47**, 957-971 (2010).