Some mechanistic underpinnings of molecular adaptations of SARS-COV-2 spike

protein by integrating candidate adaptive polymorphisms with protein dynamics

Nicholas J. Ose[1], Paul Campitelli[1], Tushar Modi[1], I. Can Kazan[1], Sudhir Kumar[2,3,4,*] and S. Banu Ozkan[1,*]

[1]Department of Physics and Center for Biological Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona,

United States of America

[2]Institute for Genomics and Evolutionary Medicine, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,

United States of America

[3]Department of Biology, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

[4]Center for Genomic Medicine Research, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

* s.kumar@temple.edu (SK); * Banu.Ozkan@asu.edu (SBO)

Abstract

We integrate evolutionary predictions based on the neutral theory of molecular evolution with protein

dynamics to generate mechanistic insight into the molecular adaptations of the SARS-COV-2 Spike (S)

protein. With this approach, we first identified Candidate Adaptive Polymorphisms (CAPs) of the SARS-

CoV-2 Spike protein and assessed the impact of these CAPs through dynamics analysis. Not only have we

found that CAPs frequently overlap with well-known functional sites, but also, using several different

dynamics-based metrics, we reveal the critical allosteric interplay between SARS-CoV-2 CAPs and the S

protein binding sites with the human ACE2 (hACE2) protein. CAPs interact far differently with the hACE2

binding site residues in the open conformation of the S protein compared to the closed form. In particular,

the CAP sites control the dynamics of binding residues in the open state, suggesting an allosteric control

of hACE2 binding. We also explored the characteristic mutations of different SARS-CoV-2 strains to find

dynamic hallmarks and potential effects of future mutations. Our analyses reveal that Delta strain-specific

variants have non-additive (i.e., epistatic) interactions with CAP sites, whereas the less pathogenic

Omicron strains have mostly additive mutations. Finally, our dynamics-based analysis suggests that the

novel mutations observed in the Omicron strain epistatically interact with the CAP sites to help escape

antibody binding.

Introduction

Since 2019, the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in humans has been characterized by the spread of mutations,

many notably found within the Spike (S) glycoprotein. The S protein is directly related to the human

immune response to COVID-19 and, as such, has been one of the most studied and targeted proteins in

SARS-CoV-2 research (Shang et al. 2020; Harvey, Carabelli, Jackson, Gupta, Thomson, Harrison, Ludden,

Reeve, Rambaut, Consortium, et al. 2021; Jackson et al. 2022; Carabelli et al. 2023; Markov et al. 2023).

Subsequently, research into the biophysical properties and mutational patterns associated with S protein

evolution not only remains critical to understanding the pandemic but also emerges as a useful system to

understand the mechanics of molecular adaptation within viruses.

For successful infection of a human host, the S glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 binds to the human ACE2

(hACE2) receptor through its receptor-binding domain (RBD). Evidence indicates that fine-tuning S protein

interactions with hACE2 significantly affects viral reproduction (Rehman et al. 2020; Saputri et al. 2020;

Rochman et al. 2021). Previous evolutionary studies show a complex network of interactions among

mutated residues (Changeux and Edelstein 2005; Doshi et al. 2016; O'Rourke et al. 2016; Mishra and

Jernigan 2018). Therefore, there has been a vast effort to uncover which mutations are important steps

of adaptation for the S protein (Cagliani et al. 2020; Damas et al. 2020; Singh and Yi 2021; Kistler et al.

2022; Maher et al. 2022; Neher 2022). In particular, a significant aspect of many such studies was a focus

on understanding adaptive mutations of SARS-CoV-2 that contributed to the leap to human hosts (Cagliani

et al. 2020; Damas et al. 2020; Singh and Yi 2021; Starr, Zepeda, et al. 2022). This is because SARS-CoV-2

has continuously mutated since its early detection (Kistler et al. 2022), causing the emergence of CDC-

designated "variants of concern" (VOCs) that may be driven by an accelerated substitution rate may (Tay

et al. 2022).

Predicting how new mutations impact the biophysical properties of the S protein remains a challenge, let

alone explaining their complex interactions with one another and how they might affect hACE2 binding;

many factors affect hACE2 interactions. Binding affinity with hACE2 can be enhanced directly through

stronger receptor interactions or mediated through changes in RBD opening (Natália Teruel et al. 2021a;

Zhang et al. 2021; Díaz-Salinas et al. 2022). The RBD exhibits both 'closed' and 'open' conformational

states. In the closed state, the RBD is shielded from receptor binding. In the open state, the RBD is

accessible for hACE2 binding (Kirchdoerfer et al. 2016; Gur et al. 2020; Henderson et al. 2020; Hoffmann

et al. 2020a). While some mutations may affect the transition between these states (Henderson et al.

2020; Yurkovetskiy et al. 2020; Gobeil, Janowska, McDowell, Mansouri, Parks, Manne, et al. 2021; Sztain

et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021; Shoemark et al. 2022), other mutations may allosterically regulate RBD

openings through Furin cleavage site (residue ID range: 681-695) interactions to regulate hACE2 binding

(Deng et al. 2021; Gobeil, Janowska, McDowell, Mansouri, Parks, Stalls, et al. 2021; Khan et al. 2021;

Laiton-Donato et al. 2021).

Moreover, as new mutations accumulate, culminating in the emergence of a new VOC, these mutations

must occur on varied sequence backgrounds containing neutral, nearly-neutral, and adaptive mutations.

While many studies have explored the impacts of individual mutations, VOCs result in a substantial

difference in protein function as compared to their individual effects (Moulana et al. 2022a; Starr,

Greaney, Hannon, et al. 2022; Moulana et al. 2023; Witte et al. 2023). Here we integrate an evolutionary

approach with protein dynamics analysis to address the fundamental mechanisms of mutations dictating

VOCs and the impact of their epistatic interaction on the function of the S protein. Many earlier studies

have combined phylogeny and evolutionary theory to identify adaptive mutations and analyze how the

viral sequence has changed over time (Frost et al. 2018; Boni et al. 2020; Cagliani et al. 2020; Damas et al.

2020; Tang et al. 2020). Similarly, we first use a well-established Evolutionary Probability (EP) approach

(Liu et al. 2016) that utilizes phylogenetic trees in combination with the neutral theory of molecular

evolution to determine Candidate Adaptive Polymorphisms (CAPs) using the early Wuhan sequence as a

variant. CAPs are substitutions in SARS-CoV-2 that are rarely observed in other closely related sequences

(Figure 1A), which implies a degree of functional importance and makes them candidates for adaptation

(Liu et al. 2016). Adaptation in this case means a virus which can successfully infect human hosts. As CAPs

are unexpected polymorphisms under neutral theory, their existence implies a non-neutral effect. This

can come in the form of functional changes (liu et al. 2016) or compensation for functional changes (Ose

et al. 2022). Therefore, we suspect that these CAPs may be partially responsible for the functional change

allowing the infection of human hosts. In support of this method, we find an overlap between our list of

sites containing CAPs and putative adaptive sites identified by others (Cagliani et al. 2020; Singh and Yi

2021; Kistler et al. 2022; Starr, Zepeda, et al. 2022). Second, we use a suite of computational tools to

analyze how CAPs that arose in the early and late phases of the COVID-19 pandemic modulate the

dynamics of the S protein. We also explore the complex interactions between these sets of CAPs to gain

mechanistic insight into the behavior of molecular adaptation involving the S protein. In particular, we

focused on how mutations modulate protein dynamics, as we and others have previously found that

rather than changing a protein's structure solely, mutations modulate conformational dynamics leading

to changes in biophysical properties such as stability, flexibility, and allosteric dynamic coupling, any of

which may affect protein binding (Swint-Kruse et al. 1998; Keskin et al. 2000; Bhabha et al. 2013; Nussinov,

R., Tsai, C.-J 2013; Campbell, E. et al. 2016; Ma and Nussinov 2016: 201; Saavedra et al. 2018; Kuzmanic

et al. 2020).

With this evolutionary-dynamics unified approach, we aim to answer the following questions about VOCs:

Are all the mutations in VOCs adaptive in nature? Are they coupled to one another or provide some

measure of biophysical, dynamical, or mechanical epistasis? While many of these mutations are found

within the RBD domain, numerous others are located distal to this region; hence, we aim to investigate

the functional roles of distal mutations, particularly from a protein dynamics perspective. Our integrated

analysis revealed that protein dynamics play a significant role in the evolution of the S protein. The

flexibility of sites within the S protein shows a strong, direct correlation with substitution rate, and newly

evolving CAPS are mostly additive mutations that modulate the dynamics of the hACE2 binding site. Yet

other CAPs, 346R, 486F, and 498Q, show highly epistatic (i.e., non-additive) modulation of the hACE2

binding site and provide immune escape benefits.

Results and Discussions

Candidate Adaptive mutations in the Spike protein are recognized via Evolutionary Probabilities.

SARS-CoV-2 is part of a family of coronaviruses, many of which infect mainly animals and are less capable

of infecting humans (Dicken et al. 2021). Therefore, to identify the most likely mutations responsible for

the infection of human hosts (i.e., putative adaptive mutations for humans), we estimated the (neutral)

evolutionary probability (EP) scores of mutations found within the S protein (Liu et al. 2016). EP scores of

the amino acid variants of the S protein were obtained using a Maximum Likelihood phylogeny (Kumar et

al. 2018) built from 19 orthologous coronavirus sequences. Sequences were selected by examining

available non-human sequences with a sequence identity of 70% or above to the human SARS CoV-2's S

protein sequence. This cutoff allows for divergence over evolutionary history such that each amino acid

position had ample time to experience purifying selection, whilst limiting ourselves to closely related

coronaviruses. (Figure 1A). The likelihood of finding a particular amino acid in the sequence is then

determined using a Bayesian framework, with calculations carried out by MEGA X software (Kumar et al.

2018). As apparent in the name, EP scores obtained for the amino acids in the sequence provide

information regarding the likelihood of finding them at their position, given the history of the sequence.

Amino acid residues receiving low EP scores (<0.05) at a position are less likely to be found in a given

position within the sequence because they are non-neutral. Generally, positions with low EP amino acids

are far less common than those containing mutations with high EP, a trend also realized in the CoV-2 S

protein (Figure 1B).

Of particular interest is an observed evolutionary change where an amino acid with high EP is replaced by

an amino acid residue with low EP. While amino acids with low EP should be harmful or deleterious to

viral fitness due to functional disruption or change, fixation of a low EP amino acid at a position suggests

an underlying mechanism for natural selection to operate. These fixed, low EP mutations are called

candidate adaptive polymorphisms (CAPs) as they are predicted to alter protein function, and adaptive

pressures may drive their prevalence (Patel et al. 2018). Indeed, there is an overlap between these CAPs

and the mutations suggested by other methods to be adaptive for the S protein (Cagliani et al. 2020; Singh

and Yi 2021; Kistler et al. 2022; Starr, Zepeda, et al. 2022). Within these studies, sites 478, 486, 498, and

681 have been implicated in SARS-CoV-2 evolution, leaving the remaining 11 CAPs as undiscovered

candidate sites for adaptation.

Figure 1. (A) The evolutionary probabilities (EP) of each amino acid in the S protein sequence are

calculated by taking the multiple sequence alignment of the S proteins through their evolutionary tree

and using Bayesian inferences to determine the likelihood of finding a particular residue at a particular

location within a given sequence. Simply, if the residue is found at a location 'x' in closely related

sequences, it will have a higher EP at location 'x' in the target sequence. Residues with an EP <0.05 in the

target sequence are CAPs (Red). CAPs are found at sites 32, 50, 218, 346, 372, 478, 486, 498, 519, 604,

681, 682, 683, 684, and 1125. (B) The distribution of EP scores of the wild-type residues in the S protein.

Here, lower EP scores are shown in red, and higher EP scores in blue. While the vast majority of the wild-

type (reference) protein consists of high EP residues, a few residues have low EP. (C) The CAPs are also

highlighted as red spheres in the open configuration of the S protein, with the open chain in a darker

shade. We observe that a majority of the CAP positions reside at the receptor binding domain (RBD) and

the Furin cleavage site (676-689; (Wrobel et al. 2020)) shown as transparent light gray spheres.

Interestingly, most of the CAP residues are at functionally critical sites, including the receptor binding

domain (RBD) and the Furin cleavage site (Figure 1C). As mentioned earlier, the RBD plays a key role in

initiating the infection of a healthy cell by binding with the host organism's ACE2 protein. Before ACE2

binding, one chain of the homotrimer comprising the S protein must open to expose the RBD (Kirchdoerfer

et al. 2016; Henderson et al. 2020; Hoffmann et al. 2020a; Sztain et al. 2021). The Furin cleavage site plays

a key role in the opening process as the binding of host cell protease Furin aids in the cleavage of the S

protein into two domains: S1 and S2 (Wrobel et al. 2020: 13). Another host cell protease, TMPRSS2,

facilitates viral attachment to the surface of target cells upon binding either to sites Arg815/Ser816, or

Arg685/Ser686 which overlap with the furin cleavage site 676-689, further emphasizing the importance

of this area (Hoffmann et al. 2020b; Fraser et al. 2022). Similar cleavage sites have been found in related

coronaviruses, including HKU1 and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which

infects humans (Chan et al. 2008: 1; Millet and Whittaker 2014; Millet and Whittaker 2015), and the

acquisition of similar cleavage sites is associated with increased pathogenicity in other viruses such as the

influenza virus (Steinhauer 1999). Interestingly, however, CAPs do not display such an overwhelming

tendency to occur at well-known critical sites within human proteins studied with similar methods (Ose,

Campitelli, et al. 2022), yet mutations at those sites are associated with disease, indicating their critical

role in inducing functional change. Therefore, the identified CAPs in the S protein, which are signs of

recent evolution, can provide mechanistic insights regarding the molecular adaptation of the virus. In

particular, we aimed to analyze how these CAP positions in the S protein modulate the interaction with

hACE2 using our protein dynamics-based analysis (Gerek and Ozkan 2011; Nevin Gerek, Z., Kumar, S., Banu

Ozkan, S. 2013; Larrimore et al. 2017; Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan, S.B. 2015b).

174 **Asymmetry in communications among the network of interactions in Spike describes how CAPs regulate**

175 **the dynamics of the Spike protein.**

176 A mutation at a given amino acid position inevitably not only alters local interactions, but this change

177 cascades through the residue-residue interaction network, which gives rise to a variation in native

178 ensemble dynamics to modulate function (Dror et al. 2012; Labbadia and Morimoto 2015; Sekhar and Kay

179 2019; Campitelli et al.). Many groups have already examined the conformational dynamics of the spike

180 protein using normal mode analysis to explore mutation sites and interactions with different receptors

181 (Zhou et al. 2020; Majumder et al. 2021; Natália Teruel et al. 2021b; Natalia Teruel et al. 2021; Verkhivker

182 2022). However, our study will focus mainly on the role of CAPs, of which F486 and Q498 have already

183 been identified through Perturbation Response Scanning (PRS) as potential allosteric sites (Verkhivker

184 2022). Thus, we analyze the internal dynamics of the system to understand the functional role of CAPs in

185 S proteins. This analysis allows us to gain a mechanistic understanding of the relationship between CAP

186 mutations and biophysical outcomes (Natália Teruel et al. 2021a). First, we implement the Dynamic

187 Coupling Index (DCI) approach to study long-distance coupling between the CAPs and the hACE2 binding

188 sites emerging from the 3D network of interactions across the S protein system. DCI calculation combines

189 Perturbation Response Scanning and Linear Response Theory to capture the strength of a displacement

190 response for position i upon perturbation of position j, relative to the average fluctuation response of

191 position i to all other positions in the protein. It represents the strength of dynamic coupling between

192 positions i and j upon perturbation to j (Larrimore et al. 2017; Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan, S.B. 2015b).

193 Further, asymmetry can be captured in the DCI values, as dynamic coupling is not necessarily symmetric

194 due to an anisotropic interaction network. That is, each amino acid has a set of positions to which it is

195 highly coupled, and this anisotropy in connections gives rise to unique differences in coupling between a

196 given i, j pair of amino acids which do not have direct interactions. By calculating the coupling of the hACE2

197 binding interface in the RBD with respect to the CAP residue positions and vice versa, we can generate

DCI_{asym} (Figure 2A) as the difference between the normalized displacement response of position j upon a

perturbation to position i (DCI_{ij}) and the normalized displacement response of position i upon a

perturbation to position j (DCI_{ji}) (See Methods). If the DCI_{asym} values significantly differ from zero, it shows

asymmetry in coupling and presents a cause-effect relationship between the i, j pair in terms of

force/signal propagation. This metric has been used previously in a variety of systems to analyze the

unique behavior of positions within a protein and a given position's propensity to effect biophysical

changes upon mutation, particularly at long distances (Modi and Ozkan 2018; Campitelli and Ozkan 2020;

Kolbaba-Kartchner et al. 2021; Ose, Butler, et al. 2022; Kazan et al. 2023; Campitelli et al. 2020b).

Figure 2. (A) Schematic representation of DCI_{asym}. (B) DCI_{asym} of CAP residue positions with the binding

interface of RBD in the open chain. Residues in the closed chains with a low EP amino acid in the reference

sequence dominate the binding site interface of RBD in the open chain. There is a significant difference

between the asymmetry profiles of the closed (M = -0.06, SD = 0.33) and open (M = -1.68, SD = 0.89)

conformations (p < .001).

Recent work from our group has shown an enhancement in cross-chain communication within the main

protease of SARS COV-2 compared to SARS COV-1 (Campitelli et al. 2022). Furthermore, previous studies

have shown that allosteric inter-chain communication is important to S protein function (Zhou et al. 2020;

Spinello et al. 2021; Tan et al. 2022; Xue et al. 2022). In support of these findings, we observe through

DCI_{asym} that when the S protein is in its pre-fusion conformation with one chain open, the CAPs in the

closed chains have negative coupling asymmetry with respect to the hACE2 binding site interface in the

RBD-open chain. This indicates an allosteric control where the hACE2 binding site is dominated by the

dynamics of the CAPs in closed chains (Figure 2B, yellow bars). As this open state RBD is critical for the

viral infection of host cells (Kirchdoerfer et al. 2016), our results suggest that this type of closed-to-open

cross-chain interaction is important for viral proliferation. Our prior studies on DCI_{asym} show a similar trend

in Lactose Inhibitor (LacI), a protein with a functional role in gene expression through binding DNA. The

allosteric mutations (i.e., mutations on the sites that are far from the DNA binding sites) that alter DNA

binding affinity not only exhibited unique asymmetry profiles with the DNA binding sites of LacI, but also

regulated the dynamics of these binding sites (Campitelli et al. 2020b).

Similarly, it is possible that mutations to such residue positions within the S protein can be used to regulate

the dynamics of the hACE2 bindings sites of the open RBD state. We, therefore, propose that the residue

positions with CAP substitutions hold the potential for mutations in the spike sequence which can alter

the opening and closing dynamics of the RBD domain. This hypothesis is further supported by many

mutations already observed at these residue positions which alter the infection rate (Brister et al. 2015).

Interestingly, residues responsible for extremely low asymmetry values (< -4) lie overwhelmingly in the

region 476–486. These same residues were suggested to stabilize S protein dynamics and prime it for host

Furin proteolysis (Raghuvamsi et al. 2021).

Moreover, as a control, we performed the same analysis on the S protein with the RBD domains of all

chains in the closed configuration. In this case, we observed that the DCI_{asym} of the CAPs residue positions

with respect to the hACE2 interface in the other chains yields a largely symmetric distribution about 0

(Figure 2B, green bars). This verifies that the asymmetry in the coupling of CAPs with the exposed binding

site interface in pre-fusion configuration results from one of the RBDs opening up and further suggests

the allosteric role played by CAPs in locking the S protein in the RBD open state.

Dynamics analysis shows that rigid sites tend to be more highly conserved than flexible sites.

CAPs represent important S protein amino acid changes between related coronaviruses across multiple

species and the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference sequence (MN908947). Since SARS-CoV-2 first spread to humans,

it has continued to mutate and evolve rapidly, particularly regarding the S protein (Amicone et al. 2022;

Liu et al. 2022; Tay et al. 2022). Just as mutations leading to the Wuhan strain caused an increase in binding

affinity to hACE2, continued evolution in human hosts has resulted in further altered binding affinities as

well as different phenotypic outcomes for those infected (Ali et al. 2021; Barton et al. 2021; Ozono et al.

2021).

We explore whether protein dynamics has played a role in the selection of mutational sites during the

evolution of the S protein since 2019. Our previous work has indicated that rate of evolution per positional

site exhibits a positive correlation with positional flexibility; generally, positions that exhibit higher

flexibility are also sites that experience a higher number of amino acid substitutions (Liu and Bahar 2012;

Maguid et al. 2008; Maguid et al. 2006; Mikulska-Ruminska et al. 2019; Nevin Gerek, Z., Kumar, S., Banu

Ozkan, S. 2013). To confirm these findings for the evolution of the S protein using the sequenced variants

of infected humans, we examine the flexibility of the S protein, an analysis conducted in other studies

which resulted in several important findings (Nguyen et al. 2020; Socher et al. 2021; Natália Teruel et al.

2021b; Natalia Teruel et al. 2021; Pipitò et al. 2022; Verkhivker 2022; Abduljalil et al. 2023). For example,

Teruel et al. (2021) used their Elastic Network Contact Model to find how certain highly observed

mutations make the open state more rigid and the closed state more flexible. For our own flexibility

analysis, we measure the site-specific amino acid flexibility using the Dynamic Flexibility Index (DFI). Using

the same mathematical foundation as DCI, DFI evaluates each position's displacement response to

random force perturbations at other locations in the protein (Gerek and Ozkan 2011; Nevin Gerek, Z.,

Kumar, S., Banu Ozkan, S. 2013), and it can be considered a measure of a given position's ability to explore

its local conformational space. We found that the covid-19 S protein shows the expected high correlation

between the occurrence of mutations and site flexibility (Figure 3) when we compare %DFI (DFI ranked

by percentile) to the average number of variants per position found within a given %DFI bin. Previous

studies have indicated that rigid residues are critical for functional dynamics, thus more likely to impact

function if mutated and, generally, can lead to a loss of function and thus more conserved (Kim, H. et al.

2015; Butler et al. 2018; Modi, Risso, et al. 2021; Modi, Campitelli, et al. 2021; Kazan et al. 2022; Ose,

Butler, et al. 2022; Stevens et al. 2022; Campitelli et al. 2020a; Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan, S.B. 2015b).

This analysis also agrees with these previous studies and highlights the power of negative selection, in line

with the neutral theory of molecular evolution, stating that deleterious mutations (i.e., those on the rigid

positions) should be eliminated and therefore not observed (Kimura, Motoo 1983).

Figure 3. The average number of variants observed among residues of different flexibility. Residues were

sorted into one of five bins based on flexibility. After that, the average number of variants for residues

within that bin was calculated. Here, the number of variants is defined as the number of different amino

acid varieties found at that site. Mutational data was calculated across approximately 24,000 SARS-CoV-

2 S protein sequences from the NCBI Datasets Project (Brister et al. 2015). Residue flexibility, as reported

here via %DFI, was computed using PDB id 6vsb from the Protein DataBank (Berman, H.M. et al. 2000).

More rigid residues tend to have fewer variants. (r = 0.94).

Continued mutations within human hosts have resulted in a multitude of variants. Indeed, by fitting

various molecular clock models to genome sequence data, VOC emergence is punctuated by an episodic

period of rapid evolution, with a substitution rate of up to 4-fold greater than the background substitution

rate (Kumar et al. 2021; Tay et al. 2022). With such an aggressive evolutionary rate, we are finding VOCs

to consist of a number of different characteristic mutations, almost all of which are CAPs. Sequence

differences between the various VOCs used in this paper can be found in Supplementary Figure S1.

To explore the dynamic effects of the evolution of the Spike in humans, we examine asymmetry with these

new potentially adaptive sites, namely the low EP (CAP) characteristic mutation sites observed in the Delta

variant, the widely dominant variant from December 2021 to January 2022 (Thye et al. 2021), and the

Omicron variant, a highly transmissible variant whose lineages have remained dominant since January

2022) (Kim et al. 2021) (Figure 4). This analysis revealed a mechanism similar to that for the CAPs in the

reference protein (Figure 2), as the open-chain binding interface is also allosterically controlled by these

potentially new adaptive sites. Regarding this, we see that the asymmetry is much more pronounced in

observed mutations of Omicron variants suggesting that these new mutations have a stronger power in

controlling the dynamics of open chain hACE2 binding interface compared to those observed in Delta

variants. We surmise that the difference in virulence and infection rates between Omicron and Delta

(Earnest et al. 2022; Bager et al. 2021; Sheikh et al. 2021; Twohig et al. 2022;(Houhamdi et al. 2022; Menni

et al. 2022) might be due to these specific CAPs within each variant and their differences in allosterically

controlling the dynamics of open RBD binding sites as also observed in the DCI$_{asym}$ analysis of the Wuhan

variant in Figure 2.

Figure 4. (A) DCI_{asym} with low EP characteristic mutation sites of Delta or Omicron strains in the closed

chains and the binding interface of RBD in the open chain. Delta displays a second peak closer to zero,

suggesting that Delta mutation sites (M = -0.98, SD = 0.80) have less allosteric control over the hACE2

binding sites than Omicron mutation sites (M = -1.74, SD = 1.00) (p < .001). However, both sets of sites

have far more control over hACE2 binding sites than expected, based on a random control group (M =

0.03, SD = 0.85) (p < .001). (B) S protein structure showing binding interface sites (transparent gray),

Delta mutation sites (magenta), Omicron mutation sites (cyan), and sites mutated in both Omicron and

Delta (Blue).

Experimental results motivate the use of EpiScore within the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein.

The fact that the identified CAPs in the reference protein and the more recently evolved CAPs of Delta

and Omicron variants both show a high degree of control over the functional sites begs the question: what

is the complex interaction between these previous and new CAP sites? Motivated by this concept, we

explore the interplay of mutational pairs to understand the effects of the specific amino acid backgrounds

associated with these two predominant variants. Some CAP sites in Delta and Omicron have already been

considered adaptive (Kemp et al. 2021; Kistler et al. 2022; Maher et al. 2022; Neher 2022).

It is well understood that the impact of even a single mutation to a protein sequence can sometimes

dramatically alter the biophysical behavior of the system. However, the mechanistic impact of point

mutations can only be fully understood when the sequence background upon which it is made is

accounted for. This means that, in the case of strains with multiple mutations, the interplay between

mutated positions will ultimately impact a protein as an aggregate behavior, where the presence of

previous mutations may strongly (or weakly) influence some mutations. This concept of non-additivity is

known as epistasis. In fact, studies of evolutionary pathways of mutations have suggested that a majority

of the mutations have a second or a higher order epistasis among them (Bershtein et al. 2006). Nature

exploits this higher order complex relationship between the mutations to evolve their function.

To computationally capture and interpret the pairwise effects of mutations, we have developed an in-

house computational tool called EpiScore (Figure 5A). Here, we evaluate how a given position pair i j may

affect other critical positions k of the protein. EpiScore is the relative coupling strength to a position k

when positions i and j are perturbed *simultaneously* compared to the average dynamic coupling strength

of i to k and j to $k.$ EpiScore has previously been used successfully to capture overarching trends in GB1

deep mutational scan data as well as specific instances of the development of antibiotic resistance in

various enzymatic systems (Campitelli and Ozkan 2020). An EpiScore of 1 indicates perfect coupling

additivity, and deviations from this value represent non-additive behavior between position pairs and

functionally important sites. Prior EpiScore work has shown a difference in EpiScore between the sites of

compensatory and non-compensatory mutations, where both yield distributions with peaks around 1, but

non-compensatory mutations show higher deviation in their EpiScore distribution (Ose, Campitelli, et al.

2022).

Many studies have confirmed epistasis between residues within the S protein (Moulana et al. 2022a; Starr,

Greaney, Hannon, et al. 2022; Moulana et al. 2023; Witte et al. 2023). These epistatic residues can have

various effects on hACE2 or antibody binding. Within SARS-CoV-2, here we calculate the EpiScore (Figure

5B) of a set of mutation pairs used by Moulana

et al. (Nature comm 2022) and compare our

results to quantified epistatic effects

determined by the experimental hACE2 binding

affinity of "first-order" single mutation variants

compared to "second-order" mutation pairs.

Our EpiScore results and the experimentally

determined epistasis both captured highly

epistatic behavior among residues 493, 496,

498, 501, and 505, as well as a lack of epistatic

behavior for residues 339, 371, 373, and 375,

however EpiScore generally showed higher

epistasis values than experiment for residues

417, 440, 446, 477, 478, and 484.

Figure 5. (A) Schematic representation of cross-chain EpiScore, describing i, j, in chain B and C respectively

and its impact in RBD binding position k in the open RBD conformer chain A. (B) Colors indicate EpiScore

values for given mutation pairs, averaged over hACE2 binding sites. Cross-chain residue pairs in the upper

right tend to be highly epistatic, similar to pairwise second-order interaction coefficients from Moulana

et al. (Nature comm 2022).

Episcore highlights the epistatic relationship between the recent adaptive mutations in VOCs and the

CAPs of the Wuhan reference.

Seeking further to understand the role of epistasis within S protein variants, we explored the possibility

of epistatic relationships between the CAPs of the Wuhan variant and the new CAPs in VOCs. Thus, we

computed the EpiScore of these CAP positions in the closed RBD domains (i.e., chain B and C) with respect

to functional hACE2 binding interface sites of the open RBD domain chain (chain A) (Figure 5B) and

obtained EpiScore distributions.

Figure 6. EpiScores with i = low EP Delta mutation sites (magenta), low EP Omicron mutation sites (Cyan),

and a random selection of sites (gray), j = low EP sites in the Wuhan variant, and k = the binding interface

of the open chain. EpiScores using sites of either variant (Delta: M = 0.70, SD = 0.50, Omicron M = 0.86,

SD = 0.46) are significantly different (p<.001) from a set of EpiScores using random sites (M = 0.84, SD =

0.41), but the distribution for Delta variants differs much more from the other two. EpiScores for other

variants can be found in Supplementary Figure S2.

To contrast these variants, Omicron (Figure 6, cyan) shows a high proportion of additive mutations

compared to the Delta variant (Figure 6, magenta), with a peak centered on 1. The comparatively more

pathogenic Delta variant exhibited many non-additive mutations with EpiScores below one. This again

suggests that the cross-communication between the open and closed chain of the S protein is important

for regulating the function. Four out of seven low EP Delta mutation sites used in this analysis often

resulted in EpiScores below 1. Each of those is found in the N-terminal domain (NTD) on or near the N3

loop and is implicated in antibody escape in recent studies (Chi et al. 2020; Weisblum et al. 2020; Harvey,

Carabelli, Jackson, Gupta, Thomson, Harrison, Ludden, Reeve, Rambaut, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-

UK) Consortium, et al. 2021; Klinakis et al. 2021; Cantoni et al. 2022). The low EpiScores of NTD mutations

suggest that they dampen the control of Wuhan variant CAPs over the hACE2 binding sites in addition to

their effects on antibody binding. It is possible that what the Delta variant gained in transmission rate also

came with being more harmfully pathogenic due in part to negatively epistatic interactions. In contrast,

the mutations leading to the development of the Omicron strain were additive with respect to Wuhan

variant CAPs, possibly leading to a lower pathogenicity and higher effective immune escape, resulting in

an overall higher transmission rate. Another possible explanation for the higher transmission rate of

Omicron comes from a different normal mode analysis study, which found that despite reduced binding

affinity with hACE2, Omicron showed increased occupancy of the open state compared to the closed state,

which would increase chances of interaction with hACE2 (Natalia Teruel et al. 2021). It is worth noting

that other variants contain NTD mutations which result in low EpiScores, however the proportion of these

mutations within the set is considerably less than in Delta (Supplementary Figure S3).

One of the more notable features of generated EpiScore distributions is the presence of a tail of values

upward of 2.0, indicating highly epistatic behavior. Interestingly, these tails are largely due to three

different CAPs: 346R, 486F, and 498Q. Those residues are nearby one another within the protein structure

and have been reported to play a role in antibody binding, either being known antibody binding sites

(346R and 486F) or having received very high antibody accessibility scores (498Q) (Harvey, Carabelli,

Jackson, Gupta, Thomson, Harrison, Ludden, Reeve, Rambaut, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK)

Consortium, et al. 2021; Raghuvamsi et al. 2021). These observed high EpiScore values also support other

studies indicating the epistatic interactions between these CAPs and the mutations of the VOCs within the

S protein are crucial for maintaining binding affinity of hACE2 whilst evading immunity (Hong et al. 2022;

Moulana et al. 2022b; Starr, Greaney, Stewart, et al. 2022).

Inspection of EpiScores of Delta and Omicron potentially adaptive mutation sites with only CAP site 486F

(a binding site for both hACE2 and antibodies) (Huang et al. 2020; Ali et al. 2021; Harvey, Carabelli,

Jackson, Gupta, Thomson, Harrison, Ludden, Reeve, Rambaut, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK)

Consortium, et al. 2021; Raghuvamsi et al. 2021) shows highly epistatic interactions at other hACE2

binding sites (Figure 6). However, within a recent and rapidly spreading subvariant of Omicron, XBB 1.5,

we see a mutation of S to P, a rare double nucleotide mutation, at site 486 (preceeding Omicron variants

included mutation F486S from the original CAP). This new variant has unprecedented immune escape

capabilities, resisting neutralizing antibodies almost entirely (Qu et al. 2023). EpiScores of other XBB 1.5

specific mutation sites with 486P are almost entirely greater than 1, showing an even higher degree of

epistasis with the binding sites of RBD (Figure 7). These results present a threefold importance for the

S486P mutation: Not only does this residue alter antibody (i.e., immune escape) and hACE2 binding by

directly modifying a binding site, but it may also be responsible for modifying hACE2 binding via epistatic

cooperation with other co-occurring mutations.

Figure 7. EpiScores with i = low EP Delta mutation sites (magenta), low EP Omicron mutation sites (Cyan), and a random selection of sites (gray), j = site 486, and k = the binding interface of the open chain. CAP and hACE2 and antibody binding site 486 displays epistasis with almost all XBB 1.5 variant sites at almost every hACE2 binding site (M = 1.40, SD = 0.46) and presents a significantly different profile from other variant sites (p <.01). EpiScores involving 486 for other variants can be found in Supplementary Figure S4.

Change in flexibility of RBD binding site correlates with experimental binding affinities for Omicron and

Omicron XBB variants.

Experimental studies have tracked hACE2 binding for different variants since the virus first spread (Ali et

al. 2021; Barton et al. 2021; Ozono et al. 2021; Wu et al. 2022). Within the Omicron variant, for example,

characteristic mutations on the RBD are shown to increase the overall binding affinity of the virus to the

ACE2 receptor, which is suspected to allow it to spread more easily (Kim et al. 2021). Furthermore, the

new Omicron XBB and Omicron XBB 1.5 variants contain additional mutations in the RBD and antibody

binding residues, which may further impact their dynamics and interactions with the host.

Figure 8. The %DFI calculations for variants Omicron, XBB, and XBB 1.5. (A) %DFI profile of the variants

are plotted in the same panel. The grey shaded areas and dashed lines indicate the ACE2 binding regions,

whereas the red dashed lines show the antibody binding residues. (B) The sum of %DFI values of RBD-

ACE2 interface residues. The trend of total %DFI with the log of K_d values overlaps with the one seen with

the experiments (R=0.97). (C) The RBD antibody binding residues are used to calculate the sum of %DFI.

The ranking captured with the total %DFI agrees with the log of IC50 values from the experiments.

To gain deeper insights into the impact of dynamics on the binding affinity of hACE2 and antibodies with

the recent Omicron XBB variants, we conducted molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. By analyzing the

resulting trajectories, we investigated how these mutations influence the flexibility and rigidity of the RBD

and antibody binding residues, consequently affecting their binding affinity and potential for immune

evasion (Figure 8). To understand the overall flexibility changes, we measured the sum of DFI of the ACE2

binding residues, as well as the sum of DFI of the antibody binding residues, calculated from the MD

trajectories and compared then with experimental viral binding (disassociation constants) and immunity

evasion antibody IC50 values (Yue et al. 2023).

This investigation elucidated the impact of mutations in the RBD and antibody binding residues on the

binding affinity of the S protein and immune evasion by modulating their flexibility and rigidity (Figure

8A). The Omicron XBB variant exhibits heightened flexibility in hACE2 and antibody binding residues,

reducing infectivity and enhancing immune evasion. Conversely, the Omicron XBB 1.5 variant induces

distinct dynamics in these regions, rendering the RBD-ACE2 interface more rigid while increasing flexibility

in antibody binding residues. These effects indicate that Omicron XBB 1.5 retains its antibody escape

capabilities while regaining ACE2 binding affinity comparable to previous Omicron variants, in accordance

with experimental findings (Yue et al. 2023). These findings suggest that mutations in the RBD and

antibody binding residues can have complex effects on the dynamics of the protein and, ultimately, on

the virus's ability to infect and evade the host immune system through an alteration of binding site

dynamics. However, we do note that the effect of mutations will heavily depend on the genetic

background in which they are mutated. The effects of a mutation on a Delta variant protein may differ

entirely from the effects of a mutation on an Omicron variant protein (Supplementary figure S5).

Conclusion:

We analyzed the evolutionary trajectory of the CoV-2 S protein in humans to understand the dynamic

and epistatic interactions of the mutations defining specific VOCs. We first obtain the phylogenetic tree

of the COV-2 S protein and identify the sites of certain recent mutations known as candidate adaptive

polymorphisms (CAPs). CAPs are considered adaptive because mutations rarely tolerated in closely

related sequences have suddenly become fixed, implying a degree of functional importance or evolution

(Liu et al. 2016). In addition, our earlier work has shown that CAPS can also be compensatory; multiple

CAPs may dynamically compensate for one another, changing the dynamic landscape and allowing for

different mutations (Ose, Campitelli, et al. 2022). We then explored the mechanistic insights and

epistatic relationship between the observed mutations in different VOCs and CAP sites, and, particularly,

the relationship between CAP sites and the functionally critical RBD using our dynamic coupling analysis

(Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan, S.B. 2015b).

We find a mechanistic pattern in the S protein evolution that is common amongst previously studied

systems, where allosteric sites exert control over the dynamics of the binding sites, and mutations of

these allosteric sites modulate function. Coupling our analysis with evolutionary theory showed that

many of these allosteric sites regulating function of the S protein may have been subjected to adaptive

evolution as observed in mutations in VOCs. Our dynamics analysis also provides a mechanistic insight

where the Omicron defining sites have greater control over the binding sites than the Delta variant, and

are dynamically additive with the functional advantage of CAPs, thus, the greatest infectivity may not be

a coincidence.

Specifically, we find that the interactions between CAP sites and VOC-defining mutations show

fingerprints of non-additive dynamics within the Delta variant. In contrast, mutations leading to the

Omicron variant are largely additive, driving critical dynamical behavior closer to the patterns observed

within the wild-type. These interactions may also drive observed behavior similar between the reference

and Omicron strains yet differ in the delta strain, such as the severity of infection as evidenced by

hospitalization rates (Houhamdi et al. 2022; Menni et al. 2022). It has also been shown that the Omicron

variant has a lower binding affinity with hACE2 than previous variants (Wu et al. 2022), which may

contribute to its low pathogenecity.

Long-ranged interactions between different sites within a given protein is critically important for protein

function (Peters and Lively 1999; Bershtein et al. 2006; Collins et al. 2006; Ekeberg et al. 2013; Levy et al.

2017; Harrigan et al. 2018; Otten et al. 2018; Rojas Echenique et al. 2019; Shimagaki and Weigt 2019; de

la Paz et al. 2020; Rizzato et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020; Bisardi et al. 2022) and for the CoV-2 S protein in

particular (Zeng et al. 2020; Castiglione et al. 2021; Dong et al. 2021; Garvin et al. 2021; Nielsen et al.

2022; Ramarao-Milne et al. 2022; Rochman et al. 2022; Rodriguez-Rivas et al. 2022). By showing dynamic

differences between the interactions of CAPs, which have likely played a major role in allowing the virus

to infect human hosts, the binding site, and the characteristic mutations of dominant Delta and Omicron

strains, we see a "fine-tuning" of protein behavior. As variants continue to evolve, Omicron sub-variants

are of growing concern due in large part to further increased immune evasion (Callaway 2022; Wang, Guo,

et al. 2022; Wang, Iketani, et al. 2022), and we observe that the new mutations observed in antibody

binding sites yield more epistatic interaction with the CAPs. In addition to supporting previous dynamic

research on the S protein, this analysis provides the insight that CAP sites are of continued importance to

protein function and should be given special attention when considering the impact of future mutations.

Methods

Dynamic Flexibility and Dynamic Coupling

The Dynamic Flexibility Index utilizes a Perturbation Response Scanning technique that combines the Elas-

tic Network Model (ENM) and Linear Response Theory (LRT) (Gerek and Ozkan 2011; Nevin Gerek, Z.,

Kumar, S., Banu Ozkan, S. 2013). In ENM, the protein is considered as a network of beads at $C\alpha$ positions

interacting with each other via a harmonic spring potential. Using LRT, Δ**R** is calculated as the fluctuation

response vector of residue *j* due to unit force's **F** perturbation on residue *i,* averaged over multiple unit

force directions to simulate an isotropic perturbation.

$$[\Delta \mathbf{R}]_{3N \times 1} = [\mathbf{H}]^{-1}_{3N \times 3N} [\mathbf{F}]_{3N \times 1}$$

534   (1)

536   **H** is the Hessian, a 3N × 3N matrix that can be constructed from 3-D atomic coordinate information and is

537   composed of the second derivatives of the harmonic potential with respect to the components of the

538   position's vectors of length 3N. The hessian inverse in this equation may be replaced with the covariance

539   matrix **G** obtained from MD simulations as follows.

542     $$[\Delta \mathbf{R}]_{3N \times 1} = [\mathbf{G}]_{3N \times 3N} [\mathbf{F}]_{3N \times 1}$$

541   (2)

543   MD simulations were used to obtain the DFI profiles of Omicron, Omicron XBB, and Omicron XBB 1.5. In

544   order to obtain DFI, each position in the structure was perturbed sequentially to generate a Perturbation

545   Response Matrix ***A***

548     $$\mathbf{A}_{N \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} |\Delta R^1|_1 & \cdots & |\Delta R^N|_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ |\Delta R^1|_N & \cdots & |\Delta R^N|_N \end{bmatrix}$$

547   (3)

549   where $|\Delta R^j|_i = \sqrt{\langle (\Delta R)^2 \rangle}$ is the magnitude of fluctuation response at position *i* due to perturbations at

550   position *j. T*he DFI value of position *i* is then treated as the displacement response of position *i* relative to

551   the net displacement response of the entire protein, which is calculated by sequentially perturbing each

552   position in the structure.

555
$$DFI_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\Delta R^j|_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\Delta R^j|_i}$$

554   (4)

It is also often useful to quantify position flexibility relative to the flexibility ranges unique to individual

structures. To that end, DFI can be presented as a percentile rank, %DFI. All %DFI calculations present in

this work used the DFI value of every residue of the full spike structure for ranking. The DFI parameter can

be considered a measure of a given amino acid position's ability to explore its local conformational space.

Dynamic Coupling Index

Similar to DFI, the dynamic coupling index (DCI) (Larrimore et al. 2017; Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan,

S.B. 2015b) also utilizes Perturbation Response Scanning with the Elastic Network Model and Linear

Response Theory. DCI captures the strength of displacement response of a given position *i* upon

perturbation to a single functionally important position (or subset of positions) *j*, relative to the average

fluctuation response of position *i* when all of the positions within a structure are perturbed.

570
$$DCI_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{j}^{N_{functional}}|\Delta R^j|_i / N_{functional}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\Delta R^j|_i / N}$$

568   (5)

569   When only positional pairs are concerned, this expression reduces to:

$$DCI_{ji} = \frac{|\Delta R^j|_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\Delta R^j|_i/N}$$

572
                                                                            (6)

As such, this parameter represents a measure of the dynamic coupling between *i* and *j* upon a

perturbation to *j*. As with DFI, DCI_{ji} can also be presented as a percentile-ranked %DCI_{ji}.

One of the most important aspects of DCI is that the entire network of interactions is explicitly included

in subsequent calculations without the need for dimensionality reduction techniques. If one considers

interactions such as communication directionality or dynamic coupling regulation between position pairs

as inherent properties of an anisotropic interaction network, it is critical to include the interactions of the

entire network to accurately model the effect one residue can have on another.

Here, we present two further extensions of DCI which allow us to uniquely model coupling directionality

and epistatic effects: DCI_{asym} and EpiScore, respectively. Interestingly, we can capture asymmetry between

different residues within a protein through DCI, as a coupling in and of itself is asymmetric within an ani-

sotropic network. That is, each amino acid has a set of positions to which it is highly coupled, and this

anisotropy in connections gives rise to unique differences in coupling between a given *i j* pair of amino

acids which do not have direct interactions (Figure 2A). DCI_{asym}, then, is simply DCI_{ij} (the normalized dis-

placement response of position *j* upon a perturbation to position *i*) – DCI_{ji} (Equation (7)). Using DCI_{asym} we

can determine a cause-effect relationship between the *i j* pair in terms of force/signal propagation be-

tween these two positions.

592                                    $$DCI_{asym} = DCI_{ij} - DCI_{ji}$$

591   (7)

594
$$\%DCI_{asym} = \%DCI_{ij} - \%DCI_{ji}$$

593
(8)

595 where a positive DCI_{asym} value indicates communication from position *i* to position *j*.

596 EpiScore can identify or describe potential non-additivity in substitution behavior between residue pairs.

597 This metric can capture the differences in a normalized perturbation response to a position *k* when a force

598 is applied at two residues *i* and *j* simultaneously versus the average additive perturbation response when

599 each residue *i*, *j*, is perturbed individually (Figure 5A, Equation 9).

601
$$EpiScore = \frac{\%DCI_{[ij]k}}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\%DCI_{ik} + \%DCI_{jk}\right)}$$

600
(9)

EpiScore values < 1 (> 1) indicate that the additive perturbations of positions *i* and *j* generates a greater

(lesser) response at position *k* than the effect of a simultaneous perturbation. This means that, when

treated with a simultaneous perturbation at both sites i and j, the displacement response of k is lower

(higher) than the average effect of individual perturbations to *i* and *j*, one at a time. As EpiScore is a linear

scale, the further the value from 1, the greater the effect described above.

Molecular Dynamics (MD)

The production simulations for the Omicron variants, including Omicron, Omicron XBB, and Omicron XBB

1.5, were performed with the AMBER software package. These variants, each characterized by specific

mutations, were modeled based on the template PDB structure 6M0J. The initial protein configurations

in the simulations were parameterized using the ff14SB force field (Maier et al. 2015). In order to create

an appropriate solvation environment for the proteins, a solvation box was defined around them, main-

taining a minimum separation distance of 16Å from the protein to the box boundaries. This was accom-

plished by employing the explicit TIP3P water model (Sun 1995), with the addition of sodium and chloride

ions to maintain overall charge neutrality.

The simulation procedure involves an initial energy minimization step, aimed at mitigating steric clashes

and optimizing the system's energy. A steepest descent algorithm was applied, encompassing 11,000

steps. Subsequently, the system underwent a gradual temperature increase (heat up),up to 300K, and

was subjected to production simulations under a constant number of particles, pressure, and temperature

ensemble (NPT).

During these production simulations, temperature was maintained at 300K, with pressure regulation set

at 1 bar. Temperature regulation was achieved through the utilization of the Langevin thermostat (Hü-

nenberger 2005) and Berendsen barostat (Berendsen et al. 1984), featuring a collision frequency of 1.0

picoseconds^{-1}. Hydrogen atom bond lengths were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm (Pearlman et al.

1995). The production trajectories were simulated for 1μs each.

To ensure the reliability of the simulations and assess their convergence, a convergence criterion was

employed. The achieved convergence was determined by monitoring the root mean square deviation

(RMSD) between the highest sampled conformation in consecutive time windows (Sawle and Ghosh

2016). Specifically, convergence was defined as the point at which the RMSD between the highest sam-

pled conformation in the last 300 nanoseconds (ns) window and the 300ns window immediately preced-

ing it dropped below 1 angstrom (Å). Window sizes varying from 100ns to 500ns were employed to eval-

uate convergence, ensuring the robustness and stability of the obtained results.

To calculate DFI, covariance matrix data were computed over different time windows as discussed

above. By default, utilizing the Hessian implies a restriction to a harmonic potential, assuming that the

data are sampled from a Gaussian distribution. Ergodicity in both simulation time and initial structures

sampled in each time interval ensures two key conditions: (i) Consistency of potential energy across

conformations sampled from the same distribution. (ii) The sampling of different initial conformations

while computing covariance matrices at various time windows eliminates global motions and accurately

captures equilibrium coordinates. Consequently, the final average DFI profiles are independent of time

window size, resulting in consistent results across different time window sizes (e.g., 50 ns vs. 75 ns) and

enabling the acquisition of statistically significant DFI values.

<u>Data and Resource Availability</u>

The code to perform DFI and DCI analysis is available at <u>https://github.com/SBOZKAN/DFI-DCI</u>.

Molecular Dynamics data are available upon request. The mutation sites and EP values are contained in

the supporting information files as "Supplementary_mutation_info.csv". The alignment used to

generate EP values is also contained within the supporting information files as "EP_alignment.fas".

Protein Databank ID number 6VXX (Walls et al. 2020) was used for closed conformation DCI calculations.

6VSB (Wrapp et al. 2020) was used for DFI calculations, EpiScore calculations, and open conformation

DCI calculations. 6M0J (Lan et al. 2020) was used in molecular dynamics simulations of the RBD.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

Funding was provided to N.J.O., P.C., T.M., and I.C.K. by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (award

number AWD00034439) and to S.B.O. by the National Science Foundation (award numbers: 1715591

and 1901709) and the National Institutes of Health R01GM147635-01. S.K. acknowledges the National

Science Foundation (GCR 1934848) and the National Institutes of Health (GM139540) grants.

<u>References:</u>

Abduljalil JM, Elghareib AM, Samir A, Ezat AA, Elfiky AA. 2023. How helpful were molecular dynamics
simulations in shaping our understanding of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein dynamics? *Int. J. Biol.*
Macromol. 242:125153.

Ali F, Kasry A, Amin M. 2021. The new SARS-CoV-2 strain shows a stronger binding affinity to ACE2 due
to N501Y mutant. *Med. Drug Discov.* 10:100086.

Amicone M, Borges V, Alves MJ, Isidro J, Zé-Zé L, Duarte S, Vieira L, Guiomar R, Gomes JP, Gordo I. 2022.
Mutation rate of SARS-CoV-2 and emergence of mutators during experimental evolution. *Evol.*
Med. Public Health 10:142–155.

Barton MI, MacGowan SA, Kutuzov MA, Dushek O, Barton GJ, van der Merwe PA. 2021. Effects of
common mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 Spike RBD and its ligand, the human ACE2 receptor on
binding affinity and kinetics. *eLife* 10:e70658.

Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR. 1984. Molecular dynamics with
coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* 81:3684–3690.

Berman, H.M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T.N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I.N., Bourne, P.E.
2000. The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28:235–242.

Bershtein S, Segal M, Bekerman R, Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS. 2006. Robustness–epistasis link shapes the
fitness landscape of a randomly drifting protein. *Nature* 444:929–932.

Bhabha G, Ekiert DC, Jennewein M, Zmasek CM, Tuttle LM, Kroon G, Dyson HJ, Godzik A, Wilson IA,
Wright PE. 2013. Divergent evolution of protein conformational dynamics in dihydrofolate
reductase. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20:1243–1249.

Bisardi M, Rodriguez-Rivas J, Zamponi F, Weigt M. 2022. Modeling Sequence-Space Exploration and
Emergence of Epistatic Signals in Protein Evolution.Ozkan B, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 39:msab321.

Boni MF, Lemey P, Jiang X, Lam TT-Y, Perry BW, Castoe TA, Rambaut A, Robertson DL. 2020. Evolutionary
origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat.*
Microbiol. 5:1408–1417.

Brister JR, Ako-adjei D, Bao Y, Blinkova O. 2015. NCBI Viral Genomes Resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
43:D571–D577.

Butler BM, Kazan IC, Kumar A, Ozkan SB. 2018. Coevolving residues inform protein dynamics profiles and
disease susceptibility of nSNVs.Jernigan RL, editor. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 14:e1006626.

Cagliani R, Forni D, Clerici M, Sironi M. 2020. Computational Inference of Selection Underlying the
Evolution of the Novel Coronavirus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2.Gallagher
T, editor. *J. Virol.* 94:e00411-20.

Callaway E. 2022. COVID 'variant soup' is making winter surges hard to predict. *Nature* 611:213–214.

Campbell, E., Kaltenbach, M., Correy, G., Carr, P., Porebski, B.T., Livingstone, E., Jurnou, L., Hyvönen, M.,
Buckle, A.M., Weik, M., et al. 2016. The role of protein dynamics in the evolution of new enzyme
function. *Nat Chem Biol*.

Campitelli P, Lu J, Ozkan SB. 2022. Dynamic allostery highlights the evolutionary differences between the
CoV-1 and CoV-2 main proteases. *Biophys. J.* 121:1483–1492.

Campitelli P, Modi T, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. The Role of Conformational Dynamics and Allostery in
Modulating Protein Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 49:267–288.

Campitelli P, Modi T, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2020a. The Role of Conformational Dynamics and Allostery in
Modulating Protein Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 49:267–288.

Campitelli P, Ozkan SB. 2020. Allostery and Epistasis: Emergent Properties of Anisotropic Networks.
Entropy 22:667.

Campitelli P, Swint-Kruse L, Ozkan SB. 2020b. Substitutions at Nonconserved Rheostat Positions
Modulate Function by Rewiring Long-Range, Dynamic Interactions.Wilke C, editor. *Mol. Biol.*
Evol. 38:201–214.

Cantoni D, Murray MJ, Kalemera MD, Dicken SJ, Stejskal L, Brown G, Lytras S, Coey JD, McKenna J,
Bridgett S, et al. 2022. Evolutionary remodelling of N-terminal domain loops fine-tunes SARS-
COV -2 spike. *EMBO Rep.* [Internet] 23. Available from:
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.15252/embr.202154322

Carabelli AM, Peacock TP, Thorne LG, Harvey WT, Hughes J, COVID-19 Genomics UK Consortium, De
Silva TI, Peacock SJ, Barclay WS, De Silva TI, et al. 2023. SARS-CoV-2 variant biology: immune
escape, transmission and fitness. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41579-022-00841-7

Castiglione GM, Zhou L, Xu Z, Neiman Z, Hung C-F, Duh EJ. 2021. Evolutionary pathways to SARS-CoV-2
resistance are opened and closed by epistasis acting on ACE2.Hejnol A, editor. *PLOS Biol.*
19:e3001510.

Chan C-M, Woo PCY, Lau SKP, Tse H, Chen H-L, Li F, Zheng B-J, Chen L, Huang J-D, Yuen K-Y. 2008. Spike
Protein, S, of Human Coronavirus HKU1: Role in Viral Life Cycle and Application in Antibody
Detection. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 233:1527–1536.

Changeux J-P, Edelstein SJ. 2005. Allosteric Mechanisms of Signal Transduction. *Science* 308:1424–1428.

Chi X, Yan R, Zhang Jun, Zhang G, Zhang Y, Hao M, Zhang Z, Fan P, Dong Y, Yang Y, et al. 2020. A
neutralizing human antibody binds to the N-terminal domain of the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-
2. *Science* 369:650–655.

Collins SR, Schuldiner M, Krogan NJ, Weissman JS. 2006. A strategy for extracting and analyzing large-
scale quantitative epistatic interaction data. *Genome Biol.* 7:R63.

Damas J, Hughes GM, Keough KC, Painter CA, Persky NS, Corbo M, Hiller M, Koepfli K-P, Pfenning AR,
Zhao H, et al. 2020. Broad host range of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by comparative and structural
analysis of ACE2 in vertebrates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117:22311–22322.

Deng X, Garcia-Knight MA, Khalid MM, Servellita V, Wang C, Morris MK, Sotomayor-González A, Glasner
DR, Reyes KR, Gliwa AS, et al. 2021. Transmission, infectivity, and antibody neutralization of an
emerging SARS-CoV-2 variant in California carrying a L452R spike protein mutation. Infectious
Diseases (except HIV/AIDS) Available from:
http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.07.21252647

Díaz-Salinas MA, Li Q, Ejemel M, Yurkovetskiy L, Luban J, Shen K, Wang Y, Munro JB. 2022.
Conformational dynamics and allosteric modulation of the SARS-CoV-2 spike. *eLife* 11:e75433.

Dicken SJ, Murray MJ, Thorne LG, Reuschl A-K, Forrest C, Ganeshalingham M, Muir L, Kalemera MD,
Palor M, McCoy LE, et al. 2021. Characterisation of B.1.1.7 and Pangolin coronavirus spike
provides insights on the evolutionary trajectory of SARS-CoV-2. Microbiology Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.22.436468

Dong A, Zhao J, Griffin C, Wu R. 2021. The Genomic Physics of COVID-19 Pathogenesis and Spread. *Cells*
11:80.

Doshi U, Holliday MJ, Eisenmesser EZ, Hamelberg D. 2016. Dynamical network of residue–residue
contacts reveals coupled allosteric effects in recognition, catalysis, and mutation. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. 113:4735–4740.

Dror RO, Dirks RM, Grossman JP, Xu H, Shaw DE. 2012. Biomolecular Simulation: A Computational
Microscope for Molecular Biology. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 41:429–452.

Ekeberg M, Lövkvist C, Lan Y, Weigt M, Aurell E. 2013. Improved contact prediction in proteins: Using
pseudolikelihoods to infer Potts models. *Phys. Rev. E* 87:012707.

Fraser BJ, Beldar S, Seitova A, Hutchinson A, Mannar D, Li Y, Kwon D, Tan R, Wilson RP, Leopold K, et al.
2022. Structure and activity of human TMPRSS2 protease implicated in SARS-CoV-2 activation.
Nat. Chem. Biol. 18:963–971.

Frost SDW, Magalis BR, Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2018. Neutral Theory and Rapidly Evolving Viral
Pathogens.Kumar S, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:1348–1354.

Garvin MR, Prates ET, Romero J, Cliff A, Machado Gazolla JGF, Pickholz M, Pavicic M, Jacobson D. 2021.
Rapid expansion of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern is a result of adaptive epistasis. Evolutionary
Biology Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.03.454981

Gerek ZN, Ozkan SB. 2011. Change in Allosteric Network Affects Binding Affinities of PDZ Domains:
Analysis through Perturbation Response Scanning.Nussinov R, editor. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*
7:e1002154.

Gobeil SM-C, Janowska K, McDowell S, Mansouri K, Parks R, Manne K, Stalls V, Kopp MF, Henderson R,
Edwards RJ, et al. 2021. D614G Mutation Alters SARS-CoV-2 Spike Conformation and Enhances
Protease Cleavage at the S1/S2 Junction. *Cell Rep.* 34:108630.

Gobeil SM-C, Janowska K, McDowell S, Mansouri K, Parks R, Stalls V, Kopp MF, Manne K, Li D, Wiehe K,
et al. 2021. Effect of natural mutations of SARS-CoV-2 on spike structure, conformation, and
antigenicity. *Science* 373:eabi6226.

Gur M, Taka E, Yilmaz SZ, Kilinc C, Aktas U, Golcuk M. 2020. Conformational transition of SARS-CoV-2
spike glycoprotein between its closed and open states. *J. Chem. Phys.* 153:075101.

Harrigan P, Madhani HD, El-Samad H. 2018. Real-Time Genetic Compensation Defines the Dynamic
Demands of Feedback Control. *Cell* 175:877-886.e10.

Harvey WT, Carabelli AM, Jackson B, Gupta RK, Thomson EC, Harrison EM, Ludden C, Reeve R, Rambaut
A, Consortium C-19 GU (COG-U, et al. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune
escape. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19:409–424.

Harvey WT, Carabelli AM, Jackson B, Gupta RK, Thomson EC, Harrison EM, Ludden C, Reeve R, Rambaut
A, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium, et al. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike
mutations and immune escape. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19:409–424.

Henderson R, Edwards RJ, Mansouri K, Janowska K, Stalls V, Gobeil SMC, Kopp M, Li D, Parks R, Hsu AL,
et al. 2020. Controlling the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein conformation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*
27:925–933.

Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu
N-H, Nitsche A, et al. 2020a. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is
Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* 181:271-280.e8.

Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu
N-H, Nitsche A, et al. 2020b. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is
Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* 181:271-280.e8.

Hong Q, Han W, Li J, Xu S, Wang Yifan, Xu C, Li Z, Wang Yanxing, Zhang C, Huang Z, et al. 2022. Molecular
basis of receptor binding and antibody neutralization of Omicron. *Nature* 604:546–552.

Houhamdi L, Gautret P, Hoang VT, Fournier P, Colson P, Raoult D. 2022. Characteristics of the first 1119
SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant cases, in Marseille, France, November–December 2021. *J. Med.*
Virol. 94:2290–2295.

Huang Y, Yang C, Xu X, Xu W, Liu S. 2020. Structural and functional properties of SARS-CoV-2 spike
protein: potential antivirus drug development for COVID-19. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 41:1141–
1149.

Hünenberger PH. 2005. Thermostat Algorithms for Molecular Dynamics Simulations. In: Dr. Holm C, Prof.
Dr. Kremer K, editors. Advanced Computer Simulation. Vol. 173. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer
Berlin Heidelberg. p. 105–149. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/b99427

Jackson CB, Farzan M, Chen B, Choe H. 2022. Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into cells. *Nat. Rev. Mol.*
Cell Biol. 23:3–20.

Kazan IC, Mills JH, Ozkan SB. 2023. Allosteric regulatory control in dihydrofolate reductase is revealed by
dynamic asymmetry. *Protein Sci.* 32:e4700.

Kazan IC, Sharma P, Rahman MI, Bobkov A, Fromme R, Ghirlanda G, Ozkan SB. 2022. Design of novel
cyanovirin-N variants by modulation of binding dynamics through distal mutations. *eLife*
11:e67474.

Kemp SA, Collier DA, Datir RP, Ferreira IATM, Gayed S, Jahun A, Hosmillo M, Rees-Spear C, Mlcochova P,
Lumb IU, et al. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature*
592:277–282.

Keskin O, Bahar I, Jernigan RL, Beutler JA, Shoemaker RH, Sausville EA, Covell DG. 2000. Characterization
of anticancer agents by their growth inhibitory activity and relationships to mechanism of action
and structure. *Anticancer. Drug Des.* 15:79–98.

Khan A, Zia T, Suleman M, Khan T, Ali SS, Abbasi AA, Mohammad A, Wei D. 2021. Higher infectivity of the
SARS-CoV-2 new variants is associated with K417N/T, E484K, and N501Y mutants: An insight
from structural data. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 236:7045–7057.

Kim, H., Zou, T., Modi, C., Dörner, K., Grunkemeyer, T.J., Chen, L., Fromme, R., Matz, M. V., Ozkan, S.B.,
Wachter, R.M. 2015. A hinge migration mechanism unlocks the evolution of green-to-red
photoconversion in GFP-like proteins. *Structure* 23:34–43.

Kim Sinae, Nguyen TT, Taitt AS, Jhun H, Park H-Y, Kim S-H, Kim Y-G, Song EY, Lee Y, Yum H, et al. 2021.
SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Mutation Is Faster than the Chase: Multiple Mutations on Spike/ACE2
Interaction Residues. *Immune Netw.* 21:e38.

Kimura, Motoo. 1983. The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge University Press

Kirchdoerfer RN, Cottrell CA, Wang N, Pallesen J, Yassine HM, Turner HL, Corbett KS, Graham BS,
McLellan JS, Ward AB. 2016. Pre-fusion structure of a human coronavirus spike protein. *Nature*
531:118–121.

Kistler KE, Huddleston J, Bedford T. 2022. Rapid and parallel adaptive mutations in spike S1 drive clade
success in SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Host Microbe* 30:545-555.e4.

Klinakis A, Cournia Z, Rampias T. 2021. N-terminal domain mutations of the spike protein are structurally
implicated in epitope recognition in emerging SARS-CoV-2 strains. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.*
19:5556–5567.

Kolbaba-Kartchner B, Kazan IC, Mills JH, Ozkan SB. 2021. The Role of Rigid Residues in Modulating TEM-1
β-Lactamase Function and Thermostability. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:2895.

Kumar, A., Glembo, T.J., Ozkan, S.B. 2015b. The Role of Conformational Dynamics and Allostery in the
Disease Development of Human Ferritin. *Biophys J* 109:1273–1281.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
across Computing Platforms.Battistuzzi FU, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:1547–1549.

Kumar S, Tao Q, Weaver S, Sanderford M, Caraballo-Ortiz MA, Sharma S, Pond SLK, Miura S. 2021. An
Evolutionary Portrait of the Progenitor SARS-CoV-2 and Its Dominant Offshoots in COVID-19
Pandemic.Yeager M, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:3046–3059.

Kuzmanic A, Bowman GR, Juarez-Jimenez J, Michel J, Gervasio FL. 2020. Investigating Cryptic Binding
Sites by Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 53:654–661.

Labbadia J, Morimoto RI. 2015. The Biology of Proteostasis in Aging and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*
84:435–464.

Laiton-Donato K, Franco-Muñoz C, Álvarez-Díaz DA, Ruiz-Moreno HA, Usme-Ciro JA, Prada DA, Reales-
González J, Corchuelo S, Herrera-Sepúlveda MT, Naizaque J, et al. 2021. Characterization of the
emerging B.1.621 variant of interest of SARS-CoV-2. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 95:105038.

Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, Zhang Q, Shi X, Wang Q, Zhang L, et al. 2020. Structure of the
SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* 581:215–220.

Larrimore KE, Kazan IC, Kannan L, Kendle RP, Jamal T, Barcus M, Bolia A, Brimijoin S, Zhan C-G, Ozkan SB,
et al. 2017. Plant-expressed cocaine hydrolase variants of butyrylcholinesterase exhibit altered
allosteric effects of cholinesterase activity and increased inhibitor sensitivity. *Sci. Rep.* 7:10419.

Levy RM, Haldane A, Flynn WF. 2017. Potts Hamiltonian models of protein co-variation, free energy
landscapes, and evolutionary fitness. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 43:55–62.

Liu L, Tamura K, Sanderford M, Gray VE, Kumar S. 2016. A Molecular Evolutionary Reference for the
Human Variome. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33:245–254.

Liu Xueyan, Liu Xuan, Zhou J, Dong Y, Jiang Wen, Jiang Wenqing. 2022. Rampant C-to-U deamination
accounts for the intrinsically high mutation rate in SARS-CoV-2 spike gene. *RNA* 28:917–926.

Liu Y, Bahar I. 2012. Sequence Evolution Correlates with Structural Dynamics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29:2253–
2263.

Ma B, Nussinov R. 2016. Conformational footprints. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12:890–891.

Maguid S, Fernandez-Alberti S, Echave J. 2008. Evolutionary conservation of protein vibrational
dynamics. *Gene* 422:7–13.

Maguid S, Fernández-Alberti S, Parisi G, Echave J. 2006. Evolutionary Conservation of Protein Backbone
Flexibility. *J. Mol. Evol.* 63:448–457.

Maher MC, Bartha I, Weaver S, Di Iulio J, Ferri E, Soriaga L, Lempp FA, Hie BL, Bryson B, Berger B, et al.
2022. Predicting the mutational drivers of future SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Sci. Transl.*
Med. 14:eabk3445.

Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE, Simmerling C. 2015. ff14SB: Improving the
Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*
11:3696–3713.

Majumder S, Chaudhuri D, Datta J, Giri K. 2021. Exploring the intrinsic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-
CoV and MERS-CoV spike glycoprotein through normal mode analysis using anisotropic network
model. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* 102:107778.

Markov PV, Ghafari M, Beer M, Lythgoe K, Simmonds P, Stilianakis NI, Katzourakis A. 2023. The evolution
of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21:361–379.

Menni C, Valdes AM, Polidori L, Antonelli M, Penamakuri S, Nogal A, Louca P, May A, Figueiredo JC, Hu C,
et al. 2022. Symptom prevalence, duration, and risk of hospital admission in individuals infected

with SARS-CoV-2 during periods of omicron and delta variant dominance: a prospective
observational study from the ZOE COVID Study. *The Lancet* 399:1618–1624.

Mikulska-Ruminska K, Shrivastava I, Krieger J, Zhang S, Li H, Bayır H, Wenzel SE, VanDemark AP, Kagan
VE, Bahar I. 2019. Characterization of Differential Dynamics, Specificity, and Allostery of
Lipoxygenase Family Members. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 59:2496–2508.

Millet JK, Whittaker GR. 2014. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus after
two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111:15214–
15219.

Millet JK, Whittaker GR. 2015. Host cell proteases: Critical determinants of coronavirus tropism and
pathogenesis. *Virus Res.* 202:120–134.

Mishra SK, Jernigan RL. 2018. Protein dynamic communities from elastic network models align closely to
the communities defined by molecular dynamics.Zhang Y, editor. *PLOS ONE* 13:e0199225.

Modi T, Campitelli P, Kazan IC, Ozkan SB. 2021. Protein folding stability and binding interactions through
the lens of evolution: a dynamical perspective. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 66:207–215.

Modi T, Ozkan S. 2018. Mutations Utilize Dynamic Allostery to Confer Resistance in TEM-1 β-lactamase.
Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19:3808.

Modi T, Risso VA, Martinez-Rodriguez S, Gavira JA, Mebrat MD, Van Horn WD, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Banu
Ozkan S. 2021. Hinge-shift mechanism as a protein design principle for the evolution of β-
lactamases from substrate promiscuity to specificity. *Nat. Commun.* 12:1852.

Moulana A, Dupic T, Phillips AM, Chang J, Nieves S, Roffler AA, Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Bloom JD, Desai
MM. 2022a. Compensatory epistasis maintains ACE2 affinity in SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1. *Nat.*
Commun. 13:7011.

Moulana A, Dupic T, Phillips AM, Chang J, Nieves S, Roffler AA, Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Bloom JD, Desai
MM. 2022b. Compensatory epistasis maintains ACE2 affinity in SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1. *Nat.*
Commun. 13:7011.

Moulana A, Dupic T, Phillips AM, Chang J, Roffler AA, Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Bloom JD, Desai MM. 2023.
The landscape of antibody binding affinity in SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 evolution. *eLife*
12:e83442.

Neher RA. 2022. Contributions of adaptation and purifying selection to SARS-CoV-2 evolution. *Virus Evol.*
8:veac113.

Nevin Gerek, Z., Kumar, S., Banu Ozkan, S. 2013. Structural dynamics flexibility informs function and
evolution at a proteome scale. *Evol Appl*.

Nguyen HL, Lan PD, Thai NQ, Nissley DA, O'Brien EP, Li MS. 2020. Does SARS-CoV-2 Bind to Human ACE2
More Strongly Than Does SARS-CoV? *J. Phys. Chem. B* 124:7336–7347.

Nielsen BF, Li Y, Sneppen K, Simonsen L, Viboud C, Levin SA, Grenfell BT. 2022. Immune Heterogeneity
906   and Epistasis Explain Punctuated Evolution of SARS-CoV-2. Epidemiology Available from:
907   http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.07.27.22278129

908   Nussinov, R., Tsai, C.-J. 2013. Allostery in disease and in drug discovery. *Cell* 153:293–305.

909   O'Rourke KF, Gorman SD, Boehr DD. 2016. Biophysical and computational methods to analyze amino
910   acid interaction networks in proteins. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 14:245–251.

911   Ose NJ, Butler BM, Kumar A, Kazan IC, Sanderford M, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2022. Dynamic coupling of
912   residues within proteins as a mechanistic foundation of many enigmatic pathogenic missense
913   variants.Wallqvist A, editor. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 18:e1010006.

914   Ose NJ, Campitelli P, Patel RP, Ozkan SB, Kumar S. 2022. Protein dynamics provide mechanistic insights
915   about the epistatic relationships among highly observed potentially adaptive missense variants.
916   *Biophys. J.* 121:456a.

917   Otten R, Liu L, Kenner LR, Clarkson MW, Mavor D, Tawfik DS, Kern D, Fraser JS. 2018. Rescue of
918   conformational dynamics in enzyme catalysis by directed evolution. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1314.

919   Ozono S, Zhang Y, Ode H, Sano K, Tan TS, Imai K, Miyoshi K, Kishigami S, Ueno T, Iwatani Y, et al. 2021.
920   SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike mutation increases entry efficiency with enhanced ACE2-binding
921   affinity. *Nat. Commun.* 12:848.

922   Patel R, Scheinfeldt LB, Sanderford MD, Lanham TR, Tamura K, Platt A, Glicksberg BS, Xu K, Dudley JT,
923   Kumar S. 2018. Adaptive Landscape of Protein Variation in Human Exomes.Yeager M, editor.
924   *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:2015–2025.

925   de la Paz JA, Nartey CM, Yuvaraj M, Morcos F. 2020. Epistatic contributions promote the unification of
926   incompatible models of neutral molecular evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117:5873–5882.

927   Pearlman DA, Case DA, Caldwell JW, Ross WS, Cheatham III TE, DeBolt S, Ferguson D, Seibel G, Kollman
928   P. 1995. AMBER, a package of computer programs for applying molecular mechanics, normal
929   mode analysis, molecular dynamics and free energy calculations to simulate the structural and
930   energetic properties of molecules. *Comput Phys Commun* 91:1–41.

931   Peters AD, Lively CM. 1999. The Red Queen and Fluctuating Epistasis: A Population Genetic Analysis of
932   Antagonistic Coevolution. *Am. Nat.* 154:393–405.

933   Pipitò L, Rujan R, Reynolds CA, Deganutti G. 2022. Molecular dynamics studies reveal structural and
934   functional features of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *BioEssays* 44:2200060.

935   Qu P, Faraone JN, Evans JP, Zheng Y-M, Carlin C, Anghelina M, Stevens P, Fernandez S, Jones D, Panchal
936   A, et al. 2023. Extraordinary Evasion of Neutralizing Antibody Response by Omicron XBB.1.5,
937   CH.1.1 and CA.3.1 Variants. Microbiology Available from:
938   http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.01.16.524244

Raghuvamsi PV, Tulsian NK, Samsudin F, Qian X, Purushotorman K, Yue G, Kozma MM, Hwa WY, Lescar J,
Bond PJ, et al. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 S protein:ACE2 interaction reveals novel allosteric targets. *eLife*
10:e63646.

Ramarao-Milne P, Jain Y, Sng LMF, Hosking B, Lee C, Bayat A, Kuiper M, Wilson LOW, Twine NA, Bauer
DC. 2022. Data-driven platform for identifying variants of interest in COVID-19 virus. *Comput.*
Struct. Biotechnol. J. 20:2942–2950.

Rehman S ur, Shafique L, Ihsan A, Liu Q. 2020. Evolutionary Trajectory for the Emergence of Novel
Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. *Pathogens* 9:240.

Rizzato F, Coucke A, de Leonardis E, Barton JP, Tubiana J, Monasson R, Cocco S. 2020. Inference of
compressed Potts graphical models. *Phys. Rev. E* 101:012309.

Rochman ND, Faure G, Wolf YI, Freddolino PL, Zhang F, Koonin EV. 2022. Epistasis at the SARS-CoV-2
Receptor-Binding Domain Interface and the Propitiously Boring Implications for Vaccine
Escape.Diamond MS, editor. *mBio* 13:e00135-22.

Rochman ND, Wolf YI, Faure G, Mutz P, Zhang F, Koonin EV. 2021. Ongoing global and regional adaptive
evolution of SARS-CoV-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 118:e2104241118.

Rodriguez-Rivas J, Croce G, Muscat M, Weigt M. 2022. Epistatic models predict mutable sites in SARS-
CoV-2 proteins and epitopes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119:e2113118119.

Rojas Echenique JI, Kryazhimskiy S, Nguyen Ba AN, Desai MM. 2019. Modular epistasis and the
compensatory evolution of gene deletion mutants.Butler G, editor. *PLOS Genet.* 15:e1007958.

Saavedra HG, Wrabl JO, Anderson JA, Li J, Hilser VJ. 2018. Dynamic allostery can drive cold adaptation in
enzymes. *Nature* 558:324–328.

Saputri DS, Li S, van Eerden FJ, Rozewicki J, Xu Z, Ismanto HS, Davila A, Teraguchi S, Katoh K, Standley
DM. 2020. Flexible, Functional, and Familiar: Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein
Evolution. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:2112.

Sawle L, Ghosh K. 2016. Convergence of Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Protein Native States:
Feasibility vs Self-Consistency Dilemma. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 12:861–869.

Sekhar A, Kay LE. 2019. An NMR View of Protein Dynamics in Health and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*
48:297–319.

Shang J, Wan Y, Luo C, Ye G, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. 2020. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. 117:11727–11734.

Shimagaki K, Weigt M. 2019. Selection of sequence motifs and generative Hopfield-Potts models for
protein families. *Phys. Rev. E* 100:032128.

Shoemark DK, Oliveira ASF, Davidson AD, Berger I, Schaffitzel C, Mulholland AJ. 2022. Molecular
dynamics of spike variants in the locked conformation: RBD interfaces, fatty acid binding and

furin cleavage sites. Biochemistry Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.05.06.490927

Singh D, Yi SV. 2021. On the origin and evolution of SARS-CoV-2. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 53:537–547.

Socher E, Conrad M, Heger L, Paulsen F, Sticht H, Zunke F, Arnold P. 2021. Mutations in the B.1.1.7 SARS-
CoV-2 Spike Protein Reduce Receptor-Binding Affinity and Induce a Flexible Link to the Fusion
Peptide. *Biomedicines* 9:525.

Spinello A, Saltalamacchia A, Borišek J, Magistrato A. 2021. Allosteric Cross-Talk among Spike's Receptor-
Binding Domain Mutations of the SARS-CoV-2 South African Variant Triggers an Effective
Hijacking of Human Cell Receptor. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 12:5987–5993.

Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Hannon WW, Loes AN, Hauser K, Dillen JR, Ferri E, Farrell AG, Dadonaite B,
McCallum M, et al. 2022. Shifting mutational constraints in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding
domain during viral evolution. *Science* 377:420–424.

Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Stewart CM, Walls AC, Hannon WW, Veesler D, Bloom JD. 2022. Deep mutational
scans for ACE2 binding, RBD expression, and antibody escape in the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1
and BA.2 receptor-binding domains.Mok CKP, editor. *PLOS Pathog.* 18:e1010951.

Starr TN, Zepeda SK, Walls AC, Greaney AJ, Alkhovsky S, Veesler D, Bloom JD. 2022. ACE2 binding is an
ancestral and evolvable trait of sarbecoviruses. *Nature* 603:913–918.

Steinhauer DA. 1999. Role of Hemagglutinin Cleavage for the Pathogenicity of Influenza Virus. *Virology*
258:1–20.

Stevens AO, Kazan IC, Ozkan B, He Y. 2022. Investigating the allosteric response of the PICK1 PDZ domain
to different ligands with all-atom simulations. *Protein Sci.* 31:e4474.

Sun PA Y, Kollman. 1995. Hydrophobic solvation of methane and nonbond parameters of the TIP3P
water model. *J Comput Chem* 16:1164–1169.

Swint-Kruse L, Matthews KS, Smith PE, Pettitt BM. 1998. Comparison of Simulated and Experimentally
Determined Dynamics for a Variant of the LacI DNA-Binding Domain, Nlac-P. *Biophys. J.* 74:413–
421.

Sztain T, Ahn S-H, Bogetti AT, Casalino L, Goldsmith JA, Seitz E, McCool RS, Kearns FL, Acosta-Reyes F,
Maji S, et al. 2021. A glycan gate controls opening of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Nat. Chem.*
13:963–968.

Tan ZW, Tee W-V, Samsudin F, Guarnera E, Bond PJ, Berezovsky IN. 2022. Allosteric perspective on the
mutability and druggability of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. *Structure* 30:590-607.e4.

Tang X, Wu C, Li X, Song Y, Yao X, Wu X, Duan Y, Zhang H, Wang Y, Qian Z, et al. 2020. On the origin and
continuing evolution of SARS-CoV-2. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 7:1012–1023.

Tay JH, Porter AF, Wirth W, Duchene S. 2022. The Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern Is
Driven by Acceleration of the Substitution Rate.Leitner T, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 39:msac013.

Teruel Natalia, Crown M, Bashton M, Najmanovich R. 2021. Computational analysis of the effect of
SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron Spike protein mutations on dynamics, ACE2 binding and propensity
for immune escape. Biophysics Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.14.472622

Teruel Natália, Mailhot O, Najmanovich RJ. 2021a. Modelling conformational state dynamics and its role
on infection for SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein variants.Dunbrack RL, editor. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*
17:e1009286.

Teruel Natália, Mailhot O, Najmanovich RJ. 2021b. Modelling conformational state dynamics and its role
on infection for SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein variants.Dunbrack RL, editor. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*
17:e1009286.

Thye AY-K, Law JW-F, Pusparajah P, Letchumanan V, Chan K-G, Lee L-H. 2021. Emerging SARS-CoV-2
Variants of Concern (VOCs): An Impending Global Crisis. *Biomedicines* 9:1303.

Verkhivker G. 2022. Allosteric Determinants of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Binding with Nanobodies:
Examining Mechanisms of Mutational Escape and Sensitivity of the Omicron Variant. *Int. J. Mol.*
Sci. 23:2172.

Walls AC, Park Y-J, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. 2020. Structure, Function, and
Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* 181:281-292.e6.

Wang Q, Guo Y, Iketani S, Nair MS, Li Z, Mohri H, Wang M, Yu J, Bowen AD, Chang JY, et al. 2022.
Antibody evasion by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5. *Nature*
608:603–608.

Wang Q, Iketani S, Li Z, Liu Liyuan, Guo Y, Huang Y, Bowen AD, Liu M, Wang M, Yu J, et al. 2022. Alarming
antibody evasion properties of rising SARS-CoV-2 BQ and XBB subvariants.
Cell:S0092867422015318.

Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, DaSilva J, Poston D, Lorenzi JC, Muecksch F, Rutkowska M, Hoffmann H-
H, Michailidis E, et al. 2020. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein
variants. *eLife* 9:e61312.

Witte L, Baharani VA, Schmidt F, Wang Z, Cho A, Raspe R, Guzman-Cardozo C, Muecksch F, Canis M, Park
DJ, et al. 2023. Epistasis lowers the genetic barrier to SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody escape.
Nat. Commun. 14:302.

Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS, McLellan JS. 2020. Cryo-
EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science* 367:1260–1263.

Wrobel AG, Benton DJ, Xu P, Roustan C, Martin SR, Rosenthal PB, Skehel JJ, Gamblin SJ. 2020. SARS-CoV-
2 and bat RaTG13 spike glycoprotein structures inform on virus evolution and furin-cleavage
effects. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 27:763–767.

Wu L, Zhou L, Mo M, Liu T, Wu C, Gong C, Lu K, Gong L, Zhu W, Xu Z. 2022. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron RBD
shows weaker binding affinity than the currently dominant Delta variant to human ACE2. *Signal*
Transduct. Target. Ther. 7:8.

Xue Q, Liu X, Pan W, Zhang A, Fu J, Jiang G. 2022. Computational Insights into the Allosteric Effect and
Dynamic Structural Features of the SARS-COV-2 Spike Protein. *Chem. – Eur. J.* [Internet] 28.
Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.202104215

Yang QE, MacLean C, Papkou A, Pritchard M, Powell L, Thomas D, Andrey DO, Li M, Spiller B, Yang W, et
al. 2020. Compensatory mutations modulate the competitiveness and dynamics of plasmid-
mediated colistin resistance in Escherichia coli clones. *ISME J.* 14:861–865.

Yue C, Song W, Wang L, Jian F, Chen X, Gao F, Shen Z, Wang Y, Wang X, Cao Y. 2023. Enhanced
transmissibility of XBB.1.5 is contributed by both strong ACE2 binding and antibody evasion.
Immunology Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.01.03.522427

Yurkovetskiy L, Wang X, Pascal KE, Tomkins-Tinch C, Nyalile TP, Wang Y, Baum A, Diehl WE, Dauphin A,
Carbone C, et al. 2020. Structural and Functional Analysis of the D614G SARS-CoV-2 Spike
Protein Variant. *Cell* 183:739-751.e8.

Zeng H-L, Dichio V, Rodríguez Horta E, Thorell K, Aurell E. 2020. Global analysis of more than 50,000
SARS-CoV-2 genomes reveals epistasis between eight viral genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
117:31519–31526.

Zhang J, Cai Y, Xiao T, Lu J, Peng H, Sterling SM, Walsh RM, Rits-Volloch S, Zhu H, Woosley AN, et al.
2021. Structural impact on SARS-CoV-2 spike protein by D614G substitution. *Science* 372:525–
530.

Zhou T, Tsybovsky Y, Gorman J, Rapp M, Cerutti G, Chuang G-Y, Katsamba PS, Sampson JM, Schön A,
Bimela J, et al. 2020. Cryo-EM Structures of SARS-CoV-2 Spike without and with ACE2 Reveal a
pH-Dependent Switch to Mediate Endosomal Positioning of Receptor-Binding Domains. *Cell Host*
Microbe 28:867-879.e5.

1067

1068

1069   **Supplemental Figures**

	18	19	20	26	27	67	80	83	95	138	142	145	146	158	183	190	212	213	215	252	339	346	368	371	373	375	376	405	408	417	440	445
Wild-type (MN90894.3)	L	T	T	P	A	A	D	V	T	D	G	Y	H	R	Q	R	L	V	D	G	G	R	L	S	S	S	T	D	R	K	N	V
Alpha	L	T	T	P	A	A	D	V	T	D	G	Y	H	R	Q	R	L	V	D	G	G	R	L	S	S	S	T	D	R	K	N	V
Beta	L	T	T	P	A	A	A	V	T	D	G	Y	H	R	Q	R	L	V	G	G	G	R	L	S	S	S	T	D	R	N	N	V
Gamma	F	T	N	S	A	A	D	V	T	Y	G	Y	H	R	Q	S	L	V	D	G	G	R	L	S	S	S	T	D	R	T	N	V
Delta	L	R	T	P	A	A	D	V	T	D	G	Y	H	G	Q	R	L	V	D	G	G	R	L	S	S	S	T	D	R	K	N	V
Omicron	L	T	T	P	A	V	D	V	I	D	G	D	H	R	Q	R	I	V	D	G	D	R	L	L	P	F	T	D	R	N	K	V
Omicron XBB 1.5	L	I	T	P	S	A	D	A	T	D	D	Y	Q	R	E	R	L	E	D	V	H	T	I	F	P	F	A	N	S	N	K	P

	446	452	460	477	478	484	486	490	493	496	498	501	505	547	570	614	655	679	681	701	716	764	796	856	950	954	969	981	982	1027	1118	1176
Wild-type (MN90894.3)	G	L	N	S	T	E	F	F	Q	G	Q	N	Y	T	A	D	H	N	P	A	T	N	D	N	D	Q	N	L	S	T	D	V
Alpha	G	L	N	S	T	E	F	F	Q	G	Q	Y	Y	T	D	G	H	N	H	A	I	N	D	N	D	Q	N	L	A	T	H	V
Beta	G	L	N	S	T	K	F	F	Q	G	Q	Y	Y	T	A	G	H	N	P	V	T	N	D	N	D	Q	N	L	S	T	D	V
Gamma	G	L	N	S	T	K	F	F	Q	G	Q	Y	Y	T	A	G	Y	N	P	A	T	N	D	N	D	Q	N	L	S	I	D	F
Delta	G	R	N	S	K	E	F	F	Q	G	Q	N	Y	T	A	G	H	N	R	A	T	N	D	N	N	Q	N	L	S	T	D	V
Omicron	S	L	N	N	K	A	F	F	R	S	R	Y	H	K	A	G	Y	K	H	A	T	K	Y	K	D	H	K	F	S	T	D	V
Omicron XBB 1.5	S	L	K	N	K	A	P	S	Q	G	R	Y	H	T	A	G	Y	K	H	A	T	K	Y	N	D	H	K	L	S	T	D	V

1070

1071   Supplementary figure S1. A comparison of the amino acid sequences for different variant spike proteins

1072   used in this paper. Sequence MN90894.3 is used as the wild-type (reference) sequence. For each

1073   different variant, residue positions matching the reference sequence are shown in blue, while locations

1074   where the residue differs from the reference sequence are shown in yellow. For S protein locations not

1075   shown here, all variants presented have the same amino acid type as the reference sequence.

1076

1077

1078   Supplementary figure S2. EpiScores with i = characteristic mutation sites, j = low EP sites, and k =

1079   the binding interface of the open chain. EpiScores using variant sites are significantly different

1080   (p<.001) from a set of EpiScores using random sites.

1081

1082

1083   Supplementary figure S3. EpiScores with i = characteristic mutation sites within the NTD, j = low

1084   EP sites, and k = the binding interface of the open chain. NTD domain mutation sites result in

1085   markedly lower EpiScores compared to elsewhere.

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090   Supplementary figure S4. EpiScores with i = characteristic mutation sites, j = site 486, and k = the

1091   binding interface of the open chain. CAP and hACE2 and antibody binding site 486 displays

1092   epistasis with almost all XBB 1.5 variant sites at almost every hACE2 binding site. EpiScores

1093   using variant sites are significantly different (p<.001) from a set of EpiScores using random sites.

1094

Supplementary figure S5. (A) Three critical mutations that emerged quickly, and were frequently

observed in other dominant variants are S477N, T478K, and N501Y. EpiScores of sites 477, 478,

and 501 with one another are shown (with k = the binding interface of the open chain). These

residues are highly epistatic, producing higher responses than expected when perturbed

together. (B) The difference in the dynamic flexibility profiles between the single mutants and

the most common variants for the hACE2 binding residues of the RBD. The DFI profiles exhibit

significantly different flexibility in each background variant, highlighting the critical non-additive

interactions of the other mutation in the given background variant. Thus, these three binding

affinity impacting mutations do not contribute only their own effects to the binding interface,

there are epistatic interactions with the other mutations in VOCs that shape the dynamics of the

binding interface to modulate binding.

1106

1107