Driver lines for studying associative learning in Drosophila

Yichun Shuai[1*], Megan Sammons[1,2], Gabriella Sterne[1,3], Karen Hibbard[1], He Yang[1], Ching-Po

Yang[1,4], Claire Managan[1], Igor Siwanowicz[1], Tzumin Lee[1,4], Gerald M. Rubin[1], Glenn Turner[1],

Yoshinori Aso[1*]

[1] Janelia Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 19700 Helix Drive, Ashburn, VA

20147, USA

[2] Current address: Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 1 Efron St., Haifa 32000, Israel.

[3] Current address: Department of Biomedical Genetics, University of Rochester Medical Center,

Rochester, NY, USA.

[4] Current address: Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.

[*] Correspondence to: shuaiy@janelia.hhmi.org   asoy@janelia.hhmi.org

Abstract

The mushroom body (MB) is the center for associative learning in insects. In Drosophila,
intersectional split-GAL4 drivers and electron microscopy (EM) connectomes have laid the
foundation for precise interrogation of the MB neural circuits. However, many cell types
upstream and downstream of the MB remained to be investigated due to lack of driver lines.
Here we describe a new collection of over 800 split-GAL4 and split-LexA drivers that cover
approximately 300 cell types, including sugar sensory neurons, putative nociceptive ascending
neurons, olfactory and thermo-/hygro-sensory projection neurons, interneurons connected with
the MB-extrinsic neurons, and various other cell types. We characterized activation phenotypes
for a subset of these lines and identified the sugar sensory neuron line most suitable for reward
substitution. Leveraging the thousands of confocal microscopy images associated with the
collection, we analyzed neuronal morphological stereotypy and discovered that one set of
mushroom body output neurons, MBON08/MBON09, exhibits striking individuality and
asymmetry across animals. In conjunction with the EM connectome maps, the driver lines
reported here offer a powerful resource for functional dissection of neural circuits for associative
learning in adult Drosophila.

Introduction

In the insect brain, the mushroom body (MB) serves as the center for associative learning (Figure 1A-C; reviewed in Modi et al., 2020; Davis, 2023). Information regarding conditioned stimulus (CS), such as odors and colors, is conveyed by projection neurons (PNs) to the calyx, the input region of the MB. Approximately 2,000 Kenyon cells (KCs), the MB's primary intrinsic neurons, represent the identity of sensory stimuli by their sparse activity patterns (Perez-Orive et al., 2002; Turner et al., 2008). Dopaminergic neurons (DANs) mediate signals of the unconditioned stimulus (US), such as sugar reward or electric shock punishment, to the MB (Burke et al., 2012; Kirkhart and Scott, 2015; Liu et al., 2012; Mao and Davis, 2009; Schwaerzel et al., 2003). DANs and MB output neurons (MBONs) collectively form 15 compartmental zones that tile down the length of the KC axons in the MB lobes (Aso et al., 2014a; Tanaka et al., 2008). Memories are stored as altered weights of synaptic connections between KCs and MB output neurons (MBONs) in each compartment (Hige et al., 2015a; Owald et al., 2015). Relative activity levels of MBONs across compartments represent the valence of the learned CS and drive memory-based behaviors (Aso et al., 2014b; Owald et al., 2015).

The recently completed electron microscopy (EM) connectomes of the Drosophila brain in larvae and adults revealed thousands of interneurons upstream of DANs, which convey reinforcement signals to the MB, and downstream of MBONs, which link the MB to premotor pathways and other higher-order brain centers (Dorkenwald et al., 2023; Eichler et al., 2017; Eschbach et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Scheffer et al., 2020; Winding et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2018). Functional investigation of these interneuron cell types has been limited by the lack of cell-type-specific driver lines.

Using the intersectional split-GAL4 method (Luan et al., 2020, 2006), we previously generated 93 split-GAL4 driver lines that allowed for precise genetic access to 60 MB cell types, including most of the KCs, DANs, MBONs and other modulatory neurons in the MB lobe regions (Aso et al., 2014a). These lines have been instrumental in revealing the neural circuit logic by which the MB forms associative memories (Aso et al., 2019, 2014b; Awata et al., 2019; Berry et al., 2018; Dolan et al., 2018; Felsenberg et al., 2017; Handler et al., 2019; Hattori et al., 2017; Hige et al., 2015a, 2015b; Ichinose et al., 2015; König et al., 2019; Martinez-Cervantes et al., 2022; Masek et al., 2015; McCurdy et al., 2021; Pavlowsky et al., 2018; Plaçais et al., 2017; Sayin et al., 2019; Shyu et al., 2017; Tsao et al., 2018; Vogt et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; Yamada et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2019).

Since the MB split-GAL4 lines were generated, new genetic and computational tools have expanded the cell types that can be targeted and facilitated the split-GAL4 design. Critically, a new collection of ZpGAL4DBD and p65ADZp hemidrivers became available (Dionne et al., 2018; Tirian and Dickson, 2017) and the expression patterns of the original GAL4 driver lines were imaged with higher-resolution confocal microscopy and Multi-Color-Flip-Out (MCFO) stochastic

labeling method to reveal the morphology of individual neurons (Meissner et al., 2023; Nern et
al., 2015). Additionally, advanced tools for computational neuroanatomy were developed to aid
the design of split-GAL4 driver lines (Bogovic et al., 2020; Costa et al., 2016; Masse et al., 2012;
Meissner et al., 2023; Otsuna et al., n.d.). Leveraging these tools and resources, we have
generated a collection of new split-GAL4 lines labeling MBONs, including the majority of atypical
MBONs that have dendritic input both within the MB lobes and in adjacent brain regions (Rubin
and Aso, 2023). In this report, we introduce a novel collection of approximately 800 split-GAL4
lines, covering sensory neurons for sugar, wind and nociception, projection neurons for olfactory,
thermo/hygro-sensory and gustatory signals, ascending neurons from ventral nerve cord (VNC),
cell types within the MB, and interneurons that connect with DANs and/or MBONs. While our
primary objective was to generate driver lines for studying associative learning, the collection
also includes cell types tailored for various other functions. We provide a lookup table that maps
the corresponding EM neurons in the hemibrain connectome for these drivers to facilitate
connectome-guided investigation of neural circuits. This expanded collection of driver lines will
be instrumental for many future studies of Drosophila associative learning and beyond.
82
Results and Discussions
Split-GAL4 design and anatomical screening
To gain genetic access to the cell types that convey CS and US information to the MB and those
mediating memory-based actions, we designed the screening to examine expression patterns of
over 4,000 intersections of split-GAL4 hemidrivers. We selected 1,183 split-GAL4 lines
representing various cell types in the central brain and the VNC for further characterization
(Figure 1D). For these lines, we employed higher resolution confocal microscopy and visualized
the individual neurons that compose each split-GAL4 pattern with the MCFO method. We
eventually identified the 828 useful lines based on their specificity, intensity and non-
redundancy. These fly lines are now publicly available through the webpage of the Janelia Flylight
team project (http://www.janelia.org/split-gal4), where we have deposited a total of 28,376
confocal images from 6,374 tissue samples to document their expression patterns. We included
lines with off-targeted expression, as they can be valuable for anatomical, developmental or
imaging experiments, even if not suitable for behavioral experiments. Additionally, we retained
drivers that intersected unintended cell types. Examples of confocal microscopy images are
shown in Figure 1F and Figure 1-figure supplement 1.
We then sought to identify neurons labeled by our split-GAL4 lines to their counterparts
in the hemibrain connectome volume (Scheffer et al., 2020). By utilizing confocal images
registered to a standard brain, we matched neuronal cell types in each split-GAL4 line with those
present in other lines and with the EM-reconstructed neurons (Figure 2A-D). This light
microscopy (LM) to EM matching process allows us to locate the cell type of each driver line in
the connectome map, enabling users to select driver lines for further functional investigations

based on their upstream and downstream synaptic partners (Figure 2E; Figure 2-figure
supplement 1-23).
Among the 828 lines, 355 lines exhibit relatively high specificity to a non-redundant set
of at least 319 distinct cell types. Figure 1E provides an overview of the categories of cell types
covered by these driver lines. Detailed information, including genotype, expression specificity,
matched EM cell type(s), and recommended driver for each cell type, can be found in
Supplementary File 1. A small subset of these lines have been previously used in studies (Aso et
al., n.d.; Dolan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2019; Schretter et al., 2020; Takagi et al., 2017; Xie et al.,
2021; Yamada et al., 2023). All new transgenic lines generated in this study are listed in
Supplementary File 2.
115

Drivers for the MB cell types, MBON-downstream and DAN-upstream
Prior to the completion of the EM connectome map, we conducted parallel efforts to identify
cell types downstream of MBONs or upstream of DANs using confocal images of GAL4 drivers
registered to a standard brain (Bogovic et al., 2020). We searched for GAL4 drivers containing
cell types with potential connections to MBONs or DANs by quantifying the number of voxels
overlapping with MBON axons or DAN dendrites (Meissner et al., 2023; Otsuna et al., n.d.). We
then built split-GAL4 intersections from selected pairs of drivers from the established hemidriver
library (Dionne et al., 2018; Tirian and Dickson, 2017).
After matching with EM-reconstructed neurons, these efforts resulted in split-GAL4
drivers encompassing 111 cell types that connect with the DANs and MBONs (Figure 3). Several
of the cell types originally selected by LM were found to be not directly connected with MBONs
or DANs. Nevertheless, these lines can be valuable for investigating other behaviors. For
example, SS32237 was found to exhibit robust female-female aggression when activated
(Schretter et al., 2020).
In the hemibrain EM connectome, there are about 400 interneuron cell types that have
over 100 summed synaptic connections across the MBON and DAN population. Our newly
developed collection of split-GAL4 drivers covers 30 of these major interneuron cell types (Figure
3C). While this constitutes a small fraction, it includes cell types with remarkable connectivity
patterns. For instance, CRE011, present as a single neuron per hemisphere, integrates over 2,400
inputs from 9 types of MBONs. This is the highest number of synaptic inputs from MBONs among
all interneurons (Figure 3C). CRE011 provides cholinergic input to reward DANs (Figure 2E) and
neurons in the lateral accessory lobe, a premotor center housing dendrites of multiple
descending neurons (Kanzaki et al., 1994; Namiki et al., 2018). Another notable example is
SMP108, which integrates inputs from several glutamatergic MBONs and makes the highest
number of cholinergic connections to DANs involved in appetitive memory (Figure 3C). In a
recent study, we reported on SMP108's role in second-order conditioning (Yamada et al., 2023)
and its upstream neurons labeled in SS33917 in transforming appetitive memory into wind-

directed locomotion (Aso et al., 2023). Supplementary File 3 contains a list of these major
interneurons, along with available driver lines.
The current collection also contains 168 lines for cell types that have innervations within
the MB (Figure 3-figure supplement 1). These lines offer valuable tools to study cell types that
may have been overlooked previously. Notably, the SS48794 driver labels OA-VUMa2
octopaminergic neurons, which are the Drosophila equivalent of honeybee OA-VUMmx1
neurons, the first neurons identified as mediating US signals in an insect brain (Hammer, 1993).
Moreover, some drivers in this collection provide improved specificity. When combined with
previous collections (Aso et al., 2014a; Rubin and Aso, 2023), we now have coverage for 7 types
of Kenyon cells and 58 out of 68 cell types within the MB (excluding PNs) using split-GAL4 drivers.
Overall, this amounts to about 87% coverage for non-PN cell types within the MB and about 10%
coverage for MBON-downstream and DAN-upstream cell types (Supplementary File 3 and 4).
155
Drivers for the antennal lobe projection neurons
In Drosophila, the primary CS pathway to the MB involves the antennal lobe PNs that convey
olfactory signals. We have developed a set of driver lines for PNs and other cell types in the
antennal lobe (Supplementary File 1). This set includes 186 lines, covering 73 of the
approximately 180 PN types identified through EM connectome and LM studies (Bates et al.,
2020; Li et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2007; Tanaka et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2022), encompassing both
uni- and multi-glomerular PNs (Figure 4 and 5; Supplementary File 5).
The antennal lobe, in addition to the 51 olfactory glomeruli, contains 7 glomeruli involved
in processing thermo- and hygro-sensory information (Enjin et al., 2016; Frank et al., 2015; Gallio
et al., 2011; Jenett et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015; Marin et al., 2020; Stocker et al., 1990; Tanaka et
al., 2012). We provide 8 lines that cover sensory neurons projecting into these non-olfactory
glomeruli and 18 lines covering the projection neurons emanating from them (Figure 6;
Supplementary File 5).
169
Drivers for reinforcement pathways
Our understanding of the neural pathways that encode the US has been greatly advanced by
experiments that have tested the sufficiency of various neuronal cell types to substitute for the
US (Aso et al., 2010; Aso and Rubin, 2016; Chiang et al., 2011; Claridge-Chang et al., 2009; Hige
et al., 2015a; Huetteroth et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2012; Saumweber et al., 2018; Schroll et al., 2006;
Yamagata et al., 2015). These experiments leveraged thermogenic or optogenetic tools
expressed in specific neuronal cell types, especially DANs, to assess their functions in associative
learning. The approach to directly stimulate DANs, although valuable, bypasses the earlier US
processing pathways and potential feedback in the circuit. Because of this experimental caveat,
it is preferable to activate neurons at the sensory level of reward or punishment pathways to
faithfully replicate the natural activity of these DANs. In that way, DANs can be regulated by both

US sensory pathways and feedback pathways from MBONs. That is likely to be essential for the successful execution of more continual learning tasks in which flies update memories based on the current and past experiences (Felsenberg et al., 2017; Jiang and Litwin-Kumar, 2021; McCurdy et al., 2021; Rajagopalan et al., 2022).

Our collection provides the starting point for generating the genetic tools needed for this approach. For example, we have generated drivers for the cell types in the thermo-sensory pathways, as well as PNs for odors with innate preference, such as CO2 and cVA (Datta et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2013; Suh et al., 2004). These cell types are candidates to convey the reinforcement signals to the MB and other brain regions for associative learning.

On the other hand, gustatory sensory neurons constitute the first layer of neurons that detect food-related sensory signals (taste), which are conveyed to the MB through largely uncharacterized pathways (Bohra et al., 2018; Burke et al., 2012; Deere et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2017; Miyazaki et al., 2015; Sterne et al., 2021). GAL4 driver lines that recapitulate expression patterns of gustatory receptors (GRs) have been generated and utilized for functional studies (Dahanukar et al., 2007; Harris et al., 2015; Miyamoto et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2004; Yavuz et al., 2014). However, these driver lines tend to contain a morphologically and functionally heterogeneous set of sensory neurons (see for examples: Chen et al., 2022; Thoma et al., 2016) and may contain off-targeted expressions. To address these limitations, we have generated hemi-driver lines using GR-gene promoters to intersect specific subsets of gustatory sensory neurons (Figure 7A).

We used this strategy to generate Gr64f-split-Gal4 lines and create clean drivers for reward sensory neurons. In fruit flies, sugar is detected by sensory neurons located on different taste organs of the body and also inside the brain (Fujii et al., 2015; Hiroi et al., 2002; Miyamoto et al., 2012; Rodrigues and Siddiqi, 1978). Gr64f-Gal4, in which Gal4 is expressed under the control of the promoter of the sugar receptor gene *Gr64f*, broadly labels sugar sensory neurons (Dahanukar et al., 2007). However, Gr64f-Gal4 expresses in heterogeneous sets of sensory neurons in the labellum, the tarsi and the labral sense organ (LSO) located along the pharynx. It also labels subsets of olfactory receptor neurons and neurons innervating the abdominal ganglion (Park and Kwon, 2011)(Figure 7 – figure supplement 1A), despite that whether these cells endogenously express Gr64f is yet to be confirmed. This heterogeneity in Gr64f-Gal4 expression could limit its usage as the US in complex associative learning; while pairing odor with the activation of Gr64f-Gal4 labeled neurons resulted in appetitive learning in a short learning protocol, memory performance was severely compromised after long training protocols (Figure 7-figure supplemental 1B-C).

To refine Gr64f-Gal4 expression, we intersected Gr64f-GAL4DBD with various AD lines, and obtained 16 stable split-GAL4 lines that have expression in distinct subsets of Gr64f neurons (Figure 7). We examined the ability of these lines to serve as US in olfactory learning (Aso and Rubin, 2016) and their potency to drive local search behaviors (Corfas et al., 2019)(Figure 8 and

Figure 8-figure supplemental 1). Additionally, we measured the walking speed of flies, as flies
decrease walking while feeding (Thoma et al., 2016).
Among the Gr64f-split-GAL4 lines, SS87269 emerged as the best driver for substituting
sugar reward in olfactory learning (Figure 8E). SS87269 expresses in the labellum and at least two
types of tarsal sensory neurons, namely the ascending (atGRN) and the non-ascending segment-
specific type (stGRN) (Figure 7E-F) but does not label pharyngeal sensory neurons. It also lacks
expression in abdominal or olfactory sensory neurons that are likely off-targeted neurons found
in the original Gr64f-GAL4. When odor presentation was paired with the activation of SS87269
neurons, flies formed robust appetitive memories even after extended training with high LED
intensity (Figure 8E). Furthermore, and consistent with the idea that this subset of sensory
neurons encodes appetitive food-related taste information, flies with SS87269 activation showed
proboscis extension and reduced locomotion (Figure 8F-I; Figure 8 - figure supplemental 1 and
Video 1-2). These flies also showed robust local search behavior during the post-activation
period, i.e., an increased probability of revisiting the area where they experienced the activation
(Figure 8F). Notably, the revisiting phenotype of SS87269 was stronger than the original Gr64f-
GAL4 and any other Gr64f split-GAL4 drivers.
Two other lines SS88801 and SS88776, which label stGRNs or stGRNs along with labial
expression, respectively (Figure 7K-L,I), showed moderate appetitive learning and reduced
locomotion during activation (Figure 8E-F). Interestingly, however, the activation of stGRNs with
SS88801 did not induce significant local search behaviors (Figure 8F and Figure 8-figure
supplement 1). This finding could be valuable for investigating reinforcement circuits involved in
promoting local search by comparing pathways from labial and tarsal sensory neurons to the MB
and central complex.
In contrast to SS87269, two other resulting lines, SS87278 and SS87279, express in cells
that appear to convey aversive signals. Activation of these lines induced an increase in walking
speed during activation (Figure 8F) and reduced the probability of return at the offset of LED
activation. Also, flies became progressively less mobile during the inter-trial interval period
(Figure 8G). The reduced locomotion in the interval period was also observed with the original
Gr64f-GAL4 (Figure 8G) and a bitter-taste driver, Gr66a-GAL4 (data not shown). With extended
training using SS87278 and SS87279, the preference to the paired odor eventually became
negative (Figure 8E). These drivers label distinct subsets of sensory neurons projecting to the
abdominal ganglion (Figure 7N-O). The innervation of SS87278 inside the abdominal ganglion is
similar to that of Gr66a-GAL4 (Figure 7P) (Dunipace et al., 2001), which is known to label
multidendritic sensory neurons in the adult Drosophila abdomen (Shimono et al., 2009).
Examining projection patterns in fly bodies with whole-animal agar sections, we found that
sensory neurons in SS87278 also project to the abdominal body surface (Figure 7J), likely
representing the class IV multidendritic neurons that detect multimodal nociceptive stimuli
(Hwang et al., 2007; Ohyama et al., 2015). GAL4 expression in these aversive sensory neurons

may thereby explain the compromised learning performance with the original Gr64f-GAL4. The
refinement with SS87269 now allows for specific manipulation of the rewarding subset of
gustatory sensory neurons thereby permitting training with an extended number of trials. While
we have not yet conducted anatomical screening, LexADBD lines generated with Gr64f, Gr43a,
Gr66a, Gr28b promoters (Figure 7A) should enable similar refinement of these sensory neuron
lines. We also made a small number of lines for cell types in the subesophageal zone (SEZ) area
(Figure 8-figure supplemental 2), which complement previous collections of drivers for gustatory
interneurons (Otto et al., 2020; Sterne et al., 2021).

Lastly, we generated driver lines for putative ascending nociceptive pathways. We
determined the activation preference for 581 combinations of ZpGAL4DBD and p65ADZp
hemidrivers in the circular arena (Figure 9A, Supplementary File 1). We found one driver,
SS01159, that showed the most robust avoidance of LED quadrants and demonstrated
behavioral components that are characteristic to nociception, including backward walking,
turning, increased speed, and jumping upon activation (Figure 9B-D, and Video 3). This driver
labels a group of ascending neurons (Figure 9E), which likely carry nociceptive signals from the
body and legs to the brain. We then generated drivers for subsets of these ascending neurons
guided by single neuron morphologies of cells in SS01159 determined by MCFO stochastic
labeling (Nern et al., 2015). Altogether we generated approximately 100 lines for ascending
neurons (examples shown in Figure 9E). While not completely matched to EM cell types due to
only a portion of their morphologies being available in the hemibrain volume, these lines serve
as a valuable resource for querying reinforcement pathways.

Morphological individuality and asymmetry
Despite the recent progress in the EM connectome field, EM-based methods remain prohibitively
expensive to examine morphological individuality of neurons across animals. In our efforts to
annotate confocal images of split-GAL4 lines, we occasionally encountered samples with atypical
morphologies (Figure 10). For example, one V_l2PN neuron, which typically projects to the lateral
horn of the ipsilateral hemisphere, exhibited a peculiar morphology in one brain sample, where
its axon crossed the midline and projected to the contralateral hemisphere, yet it still reached
the correct target area within the lateral horn of the opposite side (Figure 10A). Another instance
involved a DPM neuron, the sole serotonergic neuron of the MB lobes. While typical brain
samples contain only one DPM neuron per hemisphere, we found a brain with two DPM neurons
in one hemisphere (Figure 10B). In this case, the DPM projections exhibited an atypical
innervation of the calyx of the mushroom body. We also found examples involving MBONs. The
dendrites of MBON-α1 are typically confined inside the α lobe of the MB, but we discovered a
case of MBON-α1 in addition projecting to the ellipsoid body (Figure 10C). MBON-α3 displayed
striking variability in soma positions, but only subtle variability in axonal projections (Figure 10D).
The table in Figure 10E summarizes additional examples of the atypical morphologies of MBONs.

These examples of atypical morphology were observed only once in dozens of samples, and thus can be considered as erroneous projections either resulting from stochastic developmental processes, or possibly caused by ectopic expression of reporter proteins on the plasma membrane.

In contrast to these seemingly erroneous morphological variations, we found highly reproducible individuality and asymmetry of morphology for two MBONs labeled by MB083C. This split-GAL4 driver line invariably labels two cells in each hemisphere in 169 brain samples examined with four different reporters and in both sexes (57 males and 112 females; Figure 11-figure supplement 1). In all samples, these MBONs arborized dendrites in the γ3 and β'1 compartments. An obvious mistargeting of the axon was observed in only one sample, suggesting highly consistent development of these MBONs (Figure 11A-B). However, MCFO method visualized two distinct morphologies of these MBONs: MBON08 arborizes dendrites in the γ3 compartment of both hemispheres, whereas MBON09 arborize dendrites in ipsilateral γ3 and contralateral β'1 (Figure 11C-H)(Aso et al., 2014a). β'1 compartment was always labeled in both hemispheres for all 169 samples, suggesting that MBON09 represents at least one of the two cells in each hemisphere. The second cell can be either MBON08 or MBON09. In MCFO experiments, we observed 21 instances of MBON08 and 188 instances of MBON09 (Figure 11I). Based on the likelihood, we expect 65% of flies contain four MBON09, while the remaining 35% of flies likely have at least one MBON08. Consistent with the low occurrence of MBON08, we never observed a brain with MBON08 on both hemispheres (Figure 11J). When MBON08 and MBON09 coexist, MBON09 arborized in the lateral part of the ipsilateral γ3 and MBON08 arborize in the medial part of the contralateral γ3 (Figure 11E-H). This seemingly extended γ3 compartment innervated by MBON08 is not part of γ4, because it did not overlap with DANs in the γ4 (Figure 11-figure supplement 2A-B). Although MBON08 was not found in brain samples used for the hemibrain or FAFB EM connectome (Dorkenwald et al., 2023; Scheffer et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2018), DANs in the γ3 could be subdivided to two groups that innervate the medial or lateral part of the γ3 (Figure 11-figure supplement 2C) (Li et al., 2020), possibly reflecting heterogeneity on the MBON side. In larval brains, two MBONs that correspond to adult MBON08/09 exhibit identical morphology (Eichler et al., 2017; Saumweber et al., 2018; Truman et al., 2023). Therefore, one of these MBONs acquires distinct morphology as MBON08 during metamorphosis at 21/209 odds, resulting in an asymmetric output pathway of the MB; We have never observed a brain with MBON08 on both hemispheres, and therefore MBON08 likely to appear in only one hemisphere, if at all (Figure 11J). This asymmetry could be one source of turning handedness and idiosyncratic learning performance (de Bivort et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2022) , given that MBON09 forms extensive connection with other MBONs and fan-shaped body neurons (Li et al., 2020) and that activity of MBON08/MBON09 has strong influence on turning (Aso et al., 2014b, n.d.; Matheson et al., 2022).

Conversion to split-LexA

Split-GAL4 lines can be converted into split-LexA lines by replacing the GAL4 DNA binding domain with that of LexA (Ting et al., 2011). To broaden the utility of our collection, we have generated over 20 LexADBD lines to test the conversions of split-GAL4 to split-LexA. The majority (22 out of 34) of the resulting split-LexA lines exhibited very similar expression patterns to their corresponding original split-GAL4 lines (Figure 12). For the failed cases, the expression level was either undetectable or too weak.

Concluding Remarks

The ability to define and manipulate a small group of neurons is crucial for studying neural circuits. Here, we have generated and characterized driver lines targeting specific cell types that are likely to be a part of associative learning circuits centered on the MB. We have provided these driver lines with a comprehensive lookup table linking these cell types with the EM hemibrain connectome. These lines, together with preceding collections of drivers (see for examples: Aso et al., 2014a; Aso and Rubin, 2016; Davis et al., 2020; Dolan et al., 2018; Rubin and Aso, 2023; Shuai et al., 2015; Sterne et al., 2021; Strother et al., 2017; Tuthill et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2021; Wolff and Rubin, 2018; Wu et al., 2016), collectively constitute a powerful resource for precise functional interrogation of associative learning in adult Drosophila melanogaster, and will be a foundation to reveal conserved principles of neural circuits for associative learning.

Materials and Methods

Fly strains

Drosophila melanogaster strains were reared at 22℃ and 60% humidity on standard cornmeal food in 12:12 hour light:dark cycle. The genotypes of all split-GAL4 and split-LexA driver lines released here are listed in the Supplementary File 1. The new collection of split-GAL4 drivers reported here was designed based on confocal image databases (http://flweb.janelia.org) (Jenett et al., 2012; Tirian and Dickson, 2017), and screening expression patterns of p65ADZp and ZpGAL4DBD combinations as described previously (Aso et al., 2014a; Pfeiffer et al., 2010).

Immunohistochemistry

Brains and ventral nerve cords of 3-10 days old flies were dissected, fixed and immunolabeled and imaged with confocal microscopes (Zeiss LSM710, LSM780 or LSM880) as previously described (Aso et al., 2014a; Jenett et al., 2012; Meissner et al., 2023; Nern et al., 2015). The detailed protocols and videos are available at https://www.janelia.org/project-team/flylight/protocols.

Behavioral assays

For flies expressing CsChrimson (Klapoetke et al., 2014), the food was supplemented with retinal (0.2 mM all-trans-retinal prior to eclosion and then 0.4 mM). Two- to six-day old adult females were collected and sorted on a Peltier cold plate 2-4 days before testing in behavioral assays. Flies were starved for 40–48 hr on 1% agar before they were subjected to behavioral experiments. Olfactory conditioning and

optogenetic activation experiments were performed as previously described using the modified four-field
olfactory arena equipped with the 627 nm LED board and odor mixers (Aso and Rubin, 2016; Pettersson,
1970). The odors were diluted in paraffin oil: pentyl acetate (PA, 1:10000, v/v) and ethyl lactate (EL,
1:10000, v/v). Videos were taken at 30 frames per second and analyzed using Fiji and Caltech FlyTracker
(Eyjolfsdottir et al., 2014).

LM-EM matching
The confocal microscopy images of different split-GAL4 lines were registered to a common template
JRC2018_unisex (Bogovic et al., 2020) and organized in Janelia Workstation software
(https://github.com/JaneliaSciComp/workstation). Color depth MIP mask search (Otsuna et al., n.d.) was
used to search through the EM neuron library (hemibrain 1.2.1) for matching candidates. The searching
target was obtained by either creating a mask on the full confocal image or using neurons of interest
manually segmented in VVD viewer (https://github.com/takashi310/VVD_Viewer)(Wan et al., 2012).
SWC files of top-matching EM neuron candidates were loaded into VVD viewer together with the confocal
microscopy images in the same standard brain coordinates. By rotating the 3d images and manually
scrutinizing the branching patterns, we picked the best matching candidate. Typically, we had high
confidence of LM-to-EM matching for the line that labels only one cell per hemishere. For instance, we
could unambiguously match the cell in SS67721 with SMP108 in the EM hemibrain volume. Our
confidence of LM-to-EM matching tended to be lower for the lines that label multiple cells, because
neurons of similar morphologies could be labeled together in those lines.

Connectivity analysis
Connectivity information was retrieved from neuPrint (neuprint.janelia.org), a publicly accessible website
hosting the "hemibrain" dataset (Scheffer et al., 2020). For cell types, we used cell type name assignments
reported in Sheffer et al., 2020. Only connections of the cells in the right hemisphere were used due to
incomplete connectivity in the left hemisphere. The 3D renderings of neurons presented were generated
using the visualization tools of NeuTu (Zhao et al., 2018) or VVD viewer .

Statistics
Statistical comparisons were performed on GraphPad Prism 7.0 using one-way ANOVA followed by
Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons. Sample size was not predetermined based on pilot experiments.

Supplemental information
Supplementary File 1 A list of released driver lines and their corresponding EM neurons.
Listed are stable split-GAL4 lines (SS, MB) and split-LexA lines (SL). Drivers are grouped by the anatomical
regions where they have their primary expression. Recently released MBON lines (Rubin and Aso, 2023)
are also included. Matching to EM cell types in the hemibrain v1.2.1 dataset was performed by an
algorithmic search of the morphologies of EM skeletons, followed by manual evaluation of proposed
matches using 3D visualization software. The best-matching candidates are listed. Where multiple
candidates seem valid, the alternatives are listed in the note column. In some cases, a matching EM

BodyId can be found in the hemibrain v1.2.1 dataset but an EM cell type has not been defined; these are
listed as TBD. Number of cells observed in light microscopy (LM) or electron microscopy (EM) are from
one hemisphere, unless otherwise stated. A descriptive cell type column is included, which uses
conventional names (if available) to facilitate searching and includes cell types with weak/stochastic
expression (bracketed) or putative cell types with less matching confidence. When there are multiple
drivers for the same cell type(s), one is chosen as the primary driver based on specificity, consistency, and
expression strength. Abbreviations: AL, antennal lobe; ORNs, olfactory receptor neurons; AL LNs,
antennal lobe local interneurons; PNs, projection neurons; MB, mushroom body; KCs, Kenyon cells;
MBONs, mushroom body output neurons; DANs, dopaminergic neurons; LH, lateral horn; LHONs, lateral
horn output neurons; LHLNs, lateral horn local neurons; SNP, superior neuropils; CRE, crepine; CX, central
complex; LAL, lateral accessory lobe; ANs, ascending neurons; DNs, descending neurons; OANs,
octopaminergic neurons; 5-HT, serotonergic neurons; OL, optic lobe; VLNP, ventrolateral neuropils; INP,
inferior neuropils (other than crepine); VMNP, ventromedial neuropils; PENP, periesophageal neuropils;
GNG, gnathal ganglia; VNC, ventral nerve cord; N.D., not determined.
428

429   **Supplementary File 2 New transgenic flies generated in this study.**
430   The enhancer fragments, insertion sites, and inserted chromosomes used to construct the lines are listed.
431   For some of the transgenes, an additional version with a *p10* 3'-UTR (Pfeiffer et al., 2012) was generated
432   to increase the expression.
433

434   **Supplementary File 3 Coverage of MBON-downstream and DAN-upstream**
435   Connection matrix between MB interneurons and DANs and MBONs. A threshold was set to exclude
436   connections with a low number of neuron-neuron connections, specifically, 10 connections for MBON to
437   a downstream neuron and 5 connections for upstream neurons to a DAN (Li et al., 2020). Recurrent
438   neurons are defined as cell types receiving input from MBONs and supplying output to DANs.
439

Supplementary File 4 Updated list of driver lines for cell types within the MB excluding Kenyon
cells.
This includes new or improved split-GAL4 and split-LexA lines from the present study, lines from the Aso
2014 collection (Aso et al., 2014a), a recent MBON collection (Rubin and Aso, 2023), MB630B (Aso and
Rubin, 2016), SS01308 (Aso et al., 2019), MB063B (Dolan et al., 2019), SS46348 (Otto et al., 2020), and
some regular Gal4 lines VT43924-Gal4.2 (Amin et al., 2020) and G0239 (Chiang et al., 2011). Lines listed
in boldface are generally of higher quality.
447

448   **Supplementary File 5 Coverage of PN cell types**
449   A list of split-GAL4 lines and their coverage of PNs of the antennal lobe. Shading indicates expression level.
450   Many of the multi-glomerular PN (mPN) cell types cannot be easily differentiated based on light
451   microscopy images, so they are listed as a broad mPN category in the table.
452

Video1 Activation phenotypes with SS87269

An exemplar video of activation phenotypes of flies of the genotype SS87269/UAS-CsChrimson-mVenus attP18. Flies receive six consecutive 60s trials; during each trial a 10s LED activation was presented (from 10-20s) as indicated. The trajectories of individual flies over the previous 5 s are shown.

Video 2 Proboscis extension upon SS87269 activation for flies walking on a ball

Flies were thorax-fixed by tethering to a pin and allowed to walk on an air-floated foam ball. Proboscis activities were tracked with the Animal Part Tracker (https://github.com/kristinbranson/APT).

Video 3 Activation preference of SS01159 in the LED choice experiment.
An exemplar video of LED choices of flies of the genotype SS01159/UAS-CsChrimson-mVenus attP18. The trajectories of individual flies over the previous 5 s are shown. 625 nm LED was on from 30 s to 60 s and from 90 s to 120 s, and the lit quadrants are indicated.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Y.A., G.M.R. and G.T. laboratories for technical assistance and valuable comments on the manuscript. We thank all the members of Janelia Flylight, fly facility and scientific computing for constructing split-GAL4 drivers and generating confocal microscopy images.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, G.M.R., G.T., L.T. and Y.A.; Formal Analysis and Investigation, Y.S., M.S., G.B.., K.H., C.Y., C.M.., I.S., and Y.A.; Writing, Y.S., G.M.R., G.T., G.S., and Y.A.; Supervision, G.M.R., G.T., T.L., and Y.A..; Funding Acquisition, G.M.R., G.T., T.L. and Y.A.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Amin H, Apostolopoulou AA, Suárez-Grimalt R, Vrontou E, Lin AC. 2020. Localized inhibition in the Drosophila mushroom body. *Elife* **9**. doi:10.7554/eLife.56954

Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo T-TB, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. 2014a. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. *Elife* **3**:e04577.

Aso Y, Ray RP, Long X, Bushey D, Cichewicz K, Ngo T-T, Sharp B, Christoforou C, Hu A, Lemire AL, Tillberg P, Hirsh J, Litwin-Kumar A, Rubin GM. 2019. Nitric oxide acts as a cotransmitter in a subset of dopaminergic neurons to diversify memory dynamics. *Elife* **8**. doi:10.7554/eLife.49257

Aso Y, Rubin GM. 2016. Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific rules.
Elife **5**. doi:10.7554/eLife.16135
Aso Y, Sitaraman D, Ichinose T, Kaun KR, Vogt K, Belliart-Guérin G, Plaçais P-Y, Robie AA, Yamagata N,
Schnaitmann C, Rowell WJ, Johnston RM, Ngo T-TB, Chen N, Korff W, Nitabach MN, Heberlein U,
Preat T, Branson KM, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. 2014b. Mushroom body output neurons encode
valence and guide memory-based action selection in Drosophila. *Elife* **3**:e04580.
Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Bräcker L, Ito K, Kitamoto T, Tanimoto H. 2010. Specific dopaminergic neurons for
the formation of labile aversive memory. *Curr Biol* **20**:1445–1451.
Aso Y, Yamada D, Bushey D, Hibbard K, Sammons M, Otsuna H, Shuai Y, Hige T. n.d. Neural circuit
mechanisms for transforming learned olfactory valences into wind-oriented movement.
doi:10.1101/2022.12.21.521497
Awata H, Takakura M, Kimura Y, Iwata I, Masuda T, Hirano Y. 2019. The neural circuit linking mushroom
body parallel circuits induces memory consolidation in. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:16080–16085.
Bates AS, Schlegel P, Roberts RJV, Drummond N, Tamimi IFM, Turnbull R, Zhao X, Marin EC, Popovici PD,
Dhawan S, Jamasb A, Javier A, Serratosa Capdevila L, Li F, Rubin GM, Waddell S, Bock DD, Costa M,
Jefferis GSXE. 2020. Complete Connectomic Reconstruction of Olfactory Projection Neurons in the
Fly Brain. *Curr Biol* **30**:3183–3199.e6.
Berry JA, Phan A, Davis RL. 2018. Dopamine Neurons Mediate Learning and Forgetting through
Bidirectional Modulation of a Memory Trace. *Cell Rep* **25**:651–662.e5.
Bogovic JA, Otsuna H, Heinrich L, Ito M, Jeter J, Meissner G, Nern A, Colonell J, Malkesman O, Ito K,
Saalfeld S. 2020. An unbiased template of the Drosophila brain and ventral nerve cord. *PLoS One*
15:e0236495.
Bohra AA, Kallman BR, Reichert H, VijayRaghavan K. 2018. Identification of a Single Pair of Interneurons
for Bitter Taste Processing in the Drosophila Brain. *Curr Biol* **28**:847–858.e3.
Burke CJ, Huetteroth W, Owald D, Perisse E, Krashes MJ, Das G, Gohl D, Silies M, Certel S, Waddell S.
2012. Layered reward signalling through octopamine and dopamine in Drosophila. *Nature* **492**:433–
437.
Chen H-L, Motevalli D, Stern U, Yang C-H. 2022. A functional division of sweet taste neurons that is
value-based and task-specific. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**. doi:10.1073/pnas.2110158119
Chiang A-S, Lin C-Y, Chuang C-C, Chang H-M, Hsieh C-H, Yeh C-W, Shih C-T, Wu J-J, Wang G-T, Chen Y-C,
Wu C-C, Chen G-Y, Ching Y-T, Lee P-C, Lin C-Y, Lin H-H, Wu C-C, Hsu H-W, Huang Y-A, Chen J-Y,
Chiang H-J, Lu C-F, Ni R-F, Yeh C-Y, Hwang J-K. 2011. Three-dimensional reconstruction of brain-
wide wiring networks in Drosophila at single-cell resolution. *Curr Biol* **21**:1–11.
Claridge-Chang A, Roorda RD, Vrontou E, Sjulson L, Li H, Hirsh J, Miesenböck G. 2009. Writing memories
with light-addressable reinforcement circuitry. *Cell* **139**:405–415.
Corfas RA, Sharma T, Dickinson MH. 2019. Diverse Food-Sensing Neurons Trigger Idiothetic Local Search
in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **29**:1660–1668.e4.
Costa M, Manton JD, Ostrovsky AD, Prohaska S, Jefferis GSXE. 2016. NBLAST: Rapid, Sensitive
Comparison of Neuronal Morphology and Construction of Neuron Family Databases. *Neuron* **91**:293–
311.
Dahanukar A, Lei Y-T, Kwon JY, Carlson JR. 2007. Two Gr genes underlie sugar reception in Drosophila.
Neuron **56**:503–516.
Datta SR, Vasconcelos ML, Ruta V, Luo S, Wong A, Demir E, Flores J, Balonze K, Dickson BJ, Axel R. 2008.
The Drosophila pheromone cVA activates a sexually dimorphic neural circuit. *Nature* **452**:473–477.
Davis FP, Nern A, Picard S, Reiser MB, Rubin GM, Eddy SR, Henry GL. 2020. A genetic, genomic, and
computational resource for exploring neural circuit function. *Elife* **9**. doi:10.7554/eLife.50901
Davis RL. 2023. Learning and memory using Drosophila melanogaster: a focus on advances made in the
fifth decade of research. *Genetics*. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad085

de Bivort B, Buchanan S, Skutt-Kakaria K, Gajda E, Ayroles J, O'Leary C, Reimers P, Akhund-Zade J, Senft
R, Maloney R, Ho S, Werkhoven Z, Smith MA-Y. 2022. Precise Quantification of Behavioral
Individuality From 80 Million Decisions Across 183,000 Flies. *Front Behav Neurosci* **16**:836626.
Deere JU, Sarkissian AA, Yang M, Uttley HA, Martinez Santana N, Nguyen L, Ravi K, Deviney AV. 2023.
Selective integration of diverse taste inputs within a single taste modality. *Elife* **12**.
doi:10.7554/eLife.84856
Dionne H, Hibbard KL, Cavallaro A, Kao J-C, Rubin GM. 2018. Genetic Reagents for Making Split-GAL4
Lines in. *Genetics* **209**:31–35.
Dolan M-J, Belliart-Guérin G, Bates AS, Frechter S, Lampin-Saint-Amaux A, Aso Y, Roberts RJV, Schlegel P,
Wong A, Hammad A, Bock D, Rubin GM, Preat T, Plaçais P-Y, Jefferis GSXE. 2018. Communication
from Learned to Innate Olfactory Processing Centers Is Required for Memory Retrieval in
Drosophila. *Neuron* **100**:651–668.e8.
Dolan M-J, Frechter S, Bates AS, Dan C, Huoviala P, Roberts RJ, Schlegel P, Dhawan S, Tabano R, Dionne
H, Christoforou C, Close K, Sutcliffe B, Giuliani B, Li F, Costa M, Ihrke G, Meissner GW, Bock DD, Aso
Y, Rubin GM, Jefferis GS. 2019. Neurogenetic dissection of the Drosophila lateral horn reveals major
outputs, diverse behavioural functions, and interactions with the mushroom body. *Elife* **8**.
doi:10.7554/eLife.43079
Dorkenwald S, Matsliah A, Sterling AR, Schlegel P, Yu S-C, McKellar CE, Lin A, Costa M, Eichler K, Yin Y,
Silversmith W, Schneider-Mizell C, Jordan CS, Brittain D, Halageri A, Kuehner K, Ogedengbe O,
Morey R, Gager J, Kruk K, Perlman E, Yang R, Deutsch D, Bland D, Sorek M, Lu R, Macrina T, Lee K,
Bae JA, Mu S, Nehoran B, Mitchell E, Popovych S, Wu J, Jia Z, Castro M, Kemnitz N, Ih D, Bates AS,
Eckstein N, Funke J, Collman F, Bock DD, Jefferis GSXE, Seung HS, Murthy M, FlyWire Consortium.
2023. Neuronal wiring diagram of an adult brain. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2023.06.27.546656
Dunipace L, Meister S, McNealy C, Amrein H. 2001. Spatially restricted expression of candidate taste
receptors in the Drosophila gustatory system. *Curr Biol* **11**:822–835.
Eichler K, Li F, Litwin-Kumar A, Park Y, Andrade I, Schneider-Mizell CM, Saumweber T, Huser A, Eschbach
C, Gerber B, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Priebe CE, Abbott LF, Thum AS, Zlatic M, Cardona A. 2017. The
complete connectome of a learning and memory centre in an insect brain. *Nature* **548**:175–182.
Enjin A, Zaharieva EE, Frank DD, Mansourian S, Suh GSB, Gallio M, Stensmyr MC. 2016. Humidity Sensing
in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **26**:1352–1358.
Eschbach C, Fushiki A, Winding M, Schneider-Mizell CM, Shao M, Arruda R, Eichler K, Valdes-Aleman J,
Ohyama T, Thum AS, Gerber B, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Litwin-Kumar A, Cardona A, Zlatic M. 2020.
Recurrent architecture for adaptive regulation of learning in the insect brain. *Nat Neurosci* **23**:544–
555.
Eyjolfsdottir E, Branson S, Burgos-Artizzu XP, Hoopfer ED, Schor J, Anderson DJ, Perona P. 2014.
Detecting Social Actions of Fruit FliesComputer Vision – ECCV 2014. Springer International
Publishing. pp. 772–787.
Felsenberg J, Barnstedt O, Cognigni P, Lin S, Waddell S. 2017. Re-evaluation of learned information in
Drosophila. *Nature* **544**:240–244.
Frank DD, Jouandet GC, Kearney PJ, Macpherson LJ, Gallio M. 2015. Temperature representation in the
Drosophila brain. *Nature* **519**:358–361.
Fujii S, Yavuz A, Slone J, Jagge C, Song X, Amrein H. 2015. Drosophila sugar receptors in sweet taste
perception, olfaction, and internal nutrient sensing. *Curr Biol* **25**:621–627.
Gallio M, Ofstad TA, Macpherson LJ, Wang JW, Zuker CS. 2011. The coding of temperature in the
Drosophila brain. *Cell* **144**:614–624.
Gao R, Asano SM, Upadhyayula S, Pisarev I, Milkie DE, Liu T-L, Singh V, Graves A, Huynh GH, Zhao Y,
Bogovic J, Colonell J, Ott CM, Zugates C, Tappan S, Rodriguez A, Mosaliganti KR, Sheu S-H, Pasolli
HA, Pang S, Xu CS, Megason SG, Hess H, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hantman A, Rubin GM, Kirchhausen

T, Saalfeld S, Aso Y, Boyden ES, Betzig E. 2019. Cortical column and whole-brain imaging with
molecular contrast and nanoscale resolution. *Science* **363**. doi:10.1126/science.aau8302
Hammer M. 1993. An identified neuron mediates the unconditioned stimulus in associative olfactory
learning in honeybees. *Nature* **366**:59–63.
Handler A, Graham TGW, Cohn R, Morantte I, Siliciano AF, Zeng J, Li Y, Ruta V. 2019. Distinct Dopamine
Receptor Pathways Underlie the Temporal Sensitivity of Associative Learning. *Cell*.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.040
Harris DT, Kallman BR, Mullaney BC, Scott K. 2015. Representations of Taste Modality in the Drosophila
Brain. *Neuron* **86**:1449–1460.
Hattori D, Aso Y, Swartz KJ, Rubin GM, Abbott LF, Axel R. 2017. Representations of Novelty and
Familiarity in a Mushroom Body Compartment. *Cell* **169**:956–969.e17.
Hige T, Aso Y, Modi MN, Rubin GM, Turner GC. 2015a. Heterosynaptic Plasticity Underlies Aversive
Olfactory Learning in Drosophila. *Neuron* **88**:985–998.
Hige T, Aso Y, Rubin GM, Turner GC. 2015b. Plasticity-driven individualization of olfactory coding in
mushroom body output neurons. *Nature* **526**:258–262.
Hiroi M, Marion-Poll F, Tanimura T. 2002. Differentiated Response to Sugars among Labellar
Chemosensilla in Drosophila. *jzoo* **19**:1009–1018.
Huetteroth W, Perisse E, Lin S, Klappenbach M, Burke C, Waddell S. 2015. Sweet taste and nutrient value
subdivide rewarding dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **25**:751–758.
Hwang RY, Zhong L, Xu Y, Johnson T, Zhang F, Deisseroth K, Tracey WD. 2007. Nociceptive Neurons
Protect Drosophila Larvae from Parasitoid Wasps. *Curr Biol* **17**:2105–2116.
Ichinose T, Aso Y, Yamagata N, Abe A, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H. 2015. Reward signal in a recurrent circuit
drives appetitive long-term memory formation. *Elife* **4**:e10719.
Jenett A, Rubin GM, Ngo T-TB, Shepherd D, Murphy C, Dionne H, Pfeiffer BD, Cavallaro A, Hall D, Jeter J,
Iyer N, Fetter D, Hausenfluck JH, Peng H, Trautman ET, Svirskas RR, Myers EW, Iwinski ZR, Aso Y,
DePasquale GM, Enos A, Hulamm P, Lam SCB, Li H-H, Laverty TR, Long F, Qu L, Murphy SD, Rokicki
K, Safford T, Shaw K, Simpson JH, Sowell A, Tae S, Yu Y, Zugates CT. 2012. A GAL4-driver line
resource for Drosophila neurobiology. *Cell Rep* **2**:991–1001.
Jiang L, Litwin-Kumar A. 2021. Models of heterogeneous dopamine signaling in an insect learning and
memory center. *PLoS Comput Biol* **17**:e1009205.
Kanzaki R, Ikeda A, Shibuya T. 1994. [引用] Morphology and physiology of pheromone-triggered flip-flop
descending interneurons of the male silkworm moth, Bombyx mori. *J Comp Physiol A*.
Kim H, Kirkhart C, Scott K. 2017. Long-range projection neurons in the taste circuit of Drosophila. *Elife* **6**.
doi:10.7554/eLife.23386
Kirkhart C, Scott K. 2015. Gustatory learning and processing in the Drosophila mushroom bodies. *J*
Neurosci **35**:5950–5958.
König C, Khalili A, Niewalda T, Gao S, Gerber B. 2019. An optogenetic analogue of second-order
reinforcement in Drosophila. *Biol Lett* **15**:20190084.
Li F, Lindsey JW, Marin EC, Otto N, Dreher M, Dempsey G, Stark I, Bates AS, Pleijzier MW, Schlegel P,
Nern A, Takemura S-Y, Eckstein N, Yang T, Francis A, Braun A, Parekh R, Costa M, Scheffer LK, Aso Y,
Jefferis GS, Abbott LF, Litwin-Kumar A, Waddell S, Rubin GM. 2020. The connectome of the adult
Drosophila mushroom body provides insights into function. *Elife* **9**. doi:10.7554/eLife.62576
Lin H-H, Chu L-A, Fu T-F, Dickson BJ, Chiang A-S. 2013. Parallel neural pathways mediate CO2 avoidance
responses in Drosophila. *Science* **340**:1338–1341.
Lin H-H, Lai JS-Y, Chin A-L, Chen Y-C, Chiang A-S. 2007. A Map of Olfactory Representation in the
Drosophila Mushroom Body. *Cell* **128**:1205–1217.
Liu C, Plaçais P-Y, Yamagata N, Pfeiffer BD, Aso Y, Friedrich AB, Siwanowicz I, Rubin GM, Preat T,
Tanimoto H. 2012. A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour memory in Drosophila.

Nature. doi:10.1038/nature11304

Liu WW, Mazor O, Wilson RI. 2015. Thermosensory processing in the Drosophila brain. *Nature* **519**:353–
357.

Luan H, Diao F, Scott RL, White BH. 2020. The Drosophila Split Gal4 System for Neural Circuit Mapping.
Frontiers in Neural Circuits. doi:10.3389/fncir.2020.603397

Luan H, Peabody NC, Vinson CR, White BH. 2006. Refined spatial manipulation of neuronal function by
combinatorial restriction of transgene expression. *Neuron* **52**:425–436.

Mao Z, Davis RL. 2009. Eight different types of dopaminergic neurons innervate the Drosophila
mushroom body neuropil: anatomical and physiological heterogeneity. *Front Neural Circuits* **3**:612.

Marin EC, Büld L, Theiss M, Sarkissian T, Roberts RJV, Turnbull R, Tamimi IFM, Pleijzier MW, Laursen WJ,
Drummond N, Schlegel P, Bates AS, Li F, Landgraf M, Costa M, Bock DD, Garrity PA, Jefferis GSXE.
2020. Connectomics Analysis Reveals First-, Second-, and Third-Order Thermosensory and
Hygrosensory Neurons in the Adult Drosophila Brain. *Curr Biol* **30**:3167–3182.e4.

Martinez-Cervantes J, Shah P, Phan A, Cervantes-Sandoval I. 2022. Higher-order unimodal olfactory
sensory preconditioning in. *Elife* **11**. doi:10.7554/eLife.79107

Masek P, Worden K, Aso Y, Rubin GM, Keene AC. 2015. A dopamine-modulated neural circuit regulating
aversive taste memory in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **25**:1535–1541.

Masse NY, Cachero S, Ostrovsky AD, Jefferis GSXE. 2012. A mutual information approach to automate
identification of neuronal clusters in Drosophila brain images. *Front Neuroinform* **6**:21.

Matheson AMM, Lanz AJ, Medina AM, Licata AM, Currier TA, Syed MH, Nagel KI. 2022. A neural circuit
for wind-guided olfactory navigation. *Nat Commun* **13**:1–21.

McCurdy LY, Sareen P, Davoudian PA, Nitabach MN. 2021. Dopaminergic mechanism underlying reward-
encoding of punishment omission during reversal learning in Drosophila. *Nat Commun* **12**:1115.

McKellar CE, Siwanowicz I, Dickson BJ, Simpson JH. 2020. Controlling motor neurons of every muscle for
fly proboscis reaching. *Elife* **9**:e54978.

Meissner GW, Nern A, Dorman Z, DePasquale GM, Forster K, Gibney T, Hausenfluck JH, He Y, Iyer NA,
Jeter J, Johnson L, Johnston RM, Lee K, Melton B, Yarbrough B, Zugates CT, Clements J, Goina C,
Otsuna H, Rokicki K, Svirskas RR, Aso Y, Card GM, Dickson BJ, Ehrhardt E, Goldammer J, Ito M,
Kainmueller D, Korff W, Mais L, Minegishi R, Namiki S, Rubin GM, Sterne GR, Wolff T, Malkesman O.
2023. A searchable image resource of Drosophila GAL4 driver expression patterns with single
neuron resolution. doi:10.7554/eLife.80660

Miyamoto T, Slone J, Song X, Amrein H. 2012. A fructose receptor functions as a nutrient sensor in the
Drosophila brain. *Cell* **151**:1113–1125.

Miyazaki T, Lin T-Y, Ito K, Lee C-H, Stopfer M. 2015. A gustatory second-order neuron that connects
sucrose-sensitive primary neurons and a distinct region of the gnathal ganglion in the Drosophila
brain. *J Neurogenet* **29**:144–155.

Modi MN, Shuai Y, Turner GC. 2020. The Drosophila Mushroom Body: From Architecture to Algorithm in
a Learning Circuit. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **43**:465–484.

Namiki S, Dickinson MH, Wong AM, Korff W, Card GM. 2018. The functional organization of descending
sensory-motor pathways in Drosophila. doi:10.7554/eLife.34272

Nern A, Pfeiffer BD, Rubin GM. 2015. Optimized tools for multicolor stochastic labeling reveal diverse
stereotyped cell arrangements in the fly visual system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:E2967–76.

Ohyama T, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Aleman JV, Franconville R, Rivera-Alba M, Mensh BD,
Branson KM, Simpson JH, Truman JW, Cardona A, Zlatic M. 2015. A multilevel multimodal circuit
enhances action selection in Drosophila. *Nature* **520**:633–639.

Otsuna H, Ito M, Kawase T. n.d. Color depth MIP mask search: a new tool to expedite Split-GAL4
creation. doi:10.1101/318006

Otto N, Pleijzier MW, Morgan IC, Edmondson-Stait AJ, Heinz KJ, Stark I, Dempsey G, Ito M, Kapoor I, Hsu

J, Schlegel PM, Bates AS, Feng L, Costa M, Ito K, Bock DD, Rubin GM, Jefferis GSXE, Waddell S. 2020.
Input Connectivity Reveals Additional Heterogeneity of Dopaminergic Reinforcement in Drosophila.
Curr Biol **30**:3200–3211.e8.
Owald D, Felsenberg J, Talbot CB, Das G, Perisse E, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2015. Activity of defined
mushroom body output neurons underlies learned olfactory behavior in Drosophila. *Neuron*
86:417–427.
Park J-H, Kwon JY. 2011. A systematic analysis of Drosophila gustatory receptor gene expression in
abdominal neurons which project to the central nervous system. *Mol Cells* **32**:375–381.
Pavlowsky A, Schor J, Plaçais P-Y, Preat T. 2018. A GABAergic Feedback Shapes Dopaminergic Input on
the Drosophila Mushroom Body to Promote Appetitive Long-Term Memory. *Curr Biol* **28**:1783–
1793.e4.
Perez-Orive J, Mazor O, Turner GC, Cassenaer S, Wilson RI, Laurent G. 2002. Oscillations and sparsening
of odor representations in the mushroom body. *Science* **297**:359–365.
Pettersson J. 1970. An Aphid Sex Attractant. *Insect Syst Evol* **1**:63–73.
Pfeiffer BD, Ngo T-TB, Hibbard KL, Murphy C, Jenett A, Truman JW, Rubin GM. 2010. Refinement of tools
for targeted gene expression in Drosophila. *Genetics* **186**:735–755.
Pfeiffer BD, Truman JW, Rubin GM. 2012. Using translational enhancers to increase transgene
expression in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:6626–6631.
Plaçais P-Y, de Tredern É, Scheunemann L, Trannoy S, Goguel V, Han K-A, Isabel G, Preat T. 2017.
Upregulated energy metabolism in the Drosophila mushroom body is the trigger for long-term
memory. *Nat Commun* **8**:15510.
Rajagopalan AE, Darshan R, Fitzgerald JE, Turner GC. 2022. Expectation-based learning rules underlie
dynamic foraging in Drosophila. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.05.24.493252
Rodrigues V, Siddiqi O. 1978. Genetic analysis of chemosensory pathway. *Proceedings / Indian Academy*
of Sciences **87**:147–160.
Rubin GM, Aso Y. 2023. New genetic tools for mushroom body output neurons in Drosophila. *bioRxiv*.
doi:10.1101/2023.06.23.546330
Saumweber T, Rohwedder A, Schleyer M, Eichler K, Chen Y-C, Aso Y, Cardona A, Eschbach C, Kobler O,
Voigt A, Durairaja A, Mancini N, Zlatic M, Truman JW, Thum AS, Gerber B. 2018. Functional
architecture of reward learning in mushroom body extrinsic neurons of larval Drosophila. *Nat*
Commun **9**:1104.
Sayin S, De Backer J-F, Siju KP, Wosniack ME, Lewis LP, Frisch L-M, Gansen B, Schlegel P, Edmondson-
Stait A, Sharifi N, Fisher CB, Calle-Schuler SA, Lauritzen JS, Bock DD, Costa M, Jefferis GSXE,
Gjorgjieva J, Grunwald Kadow IC. 2019. A Neural Circuit Arbitrates between Persistence and
Withdrawal in Hungry Drosophila. *Neuron* **104**:544–558.e6.
Scheffer LK, Xu CS, Januszewski M, Lu Z, Takemura S-Y, Hayworth KJ, Huang GB, Shinomiya K, Maitlin-
Shepard J, Berg S, Clements J, Hubbard PM, Katz WT, Umayam L, Zhao T, Ackerman D, Blakely T,
Bogovic J, Dolafi T, Kainmueller D, Kawase T, Khairy KA, Leavitt L, Li PH, Lindsey L, Neubarth N,
Olbris DJ, Otsuna H, Trautman ET, Ito M, Bates AS, Goldammer J, Wolff T, Svirskas R, Schlegel P,
Neace E, Knecht CJ, Alvarado CX, Bailey DA, Ballinger S, Borycz JA, Canino BS, Cheatham N, Cook M,
Dreher M, Duclos O, Eubanks B, Fairbanks K, Finley S, Forknall N, Francis A, Hopkins GP, Joyce EM,
Kim S, Kirk NA, Kovalyak J, Lauchie SA, Lohff A, Maldonado C, Manley EA, McLin S, Mooney C,
Ndama M, Ogundeyi O, Okeoma N, Ordish C, Padilla N, Patrick CM, Paterson T, Phillips EE, Phillips
EM, Rampally N, Ribeiro C, Robertson MK, Rymer JT, Ryan SM, Sammons M, Scott AK, Scott AL,
Shinomiya A, Smith C, Smith K, Smith NL, Sobeski MA, Suleiman A, Swift J, Takemura S, Talebi I,
Tarnogorska D, Tenshaw E, Tokhi T, Walsh JJ, Yang T, Horne JA, Li F, Parekh R, Rivlin PK, Jayaraman
V, Costa M, Jefferis GS, Ito K, Saalfeld S, George R, Meinertzhagen IA, Rubin GM, Hess HF, Jain V,
Plaza SM. 2020. A connectome and analysis of the adult central brain. *Elife* **9**.

doi:10.7554/eLife.57443

Schretter CE, Aso Y, Robie AA, Dreher M, Dolan M-J, Chen N, Ito M, Yang T, Parekh R, Branson KM, Rubin
GM. 2020. Cell types and neuronal circuitry underlying female aggression in Drosophila. *eLife*.
doi:10.7554/elife.58942

Schroll C, Riemensperger T, Bucher D, Ehmer J, Völler T, Erbguth K, Gerber B, Hendel T, Nagel G, Buchner
E, Fiala A. 2006. Light-induced activation of distinct modulatory neurons triggers appetitive or
aversive learning in Drosophila larvae. *Curr Biol* **16**:1741–1747.

Schwaerzel M, Monastirioti M, Scholz H, Friggi-Grelin F, Birman S, Heisenberg M. 2003. Dopamine and
octopamine differentiate between aversive and appetitive olfactory memories in Drosophila. *J
Neurosci* **23**:10495–10502.

Shimono K, Fujimoto A, Tsuyama T, Yamamoto-Kochi M, Sato M, Hattori Y, Sugimura K, Usui T, Kimura K-
I, Uemura T. 2009. Multidendritic sensory neurons in the adult Drosophila abdomen: origins,
dendritic morphology, and segment- and age-dependent programmed cell death. *Neural Dev* **4**:37.

Shuai Y, Hirokawa A, Ai Y, Zhang M, Li W, Zhong Y. 2015. Dissecting neural pathways for forgetting in
Drosophila olfactory aversive memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:E6663–72.

Shyu W-H, Chiu T-H, Chiang M-H, Cheng Y-C, Tsai Y-L, Fu T-F, Wu T, Wu C-L. 2017. Neural circuits for
long-term water-reward memory processing in thirsty Drosophila. *Nat Commun* **8**:15230.

Smith MA-Y, Honegger KS, Turner G, de Bivort B. 2022. Idiosyncratic learning performance in flies. *Biol
Lett* **18**:20210424.

Sterne GR, Otsuna H, Dickson BJ, Scott K. 2021. Classification and genetic targeting of cell types in the
primary taste and premotor center of the adult brain. *Elife* **10**. doi:10.7554/eLife.71679

Stocker RF, Lienhard MC, Borst A, Fischbach KF. 1990. Neuronal architecture of the antennal lobe in
Drosophila melanogaster. *Cell Tissue Res* **262**:9–34.

Strother JA, Wu S-T, Wong AM, Nern A, Rogers EM, Le JQ, Rubin GM, Reiser MB. 2017. The Emergence
of Directional Selectivity in the Visual Motion Pathway of Drosophila. *Neuron* **94**:168–182.e10.

Suh GSB, Wong AM, Hergarden AC, Wang JW, Simon AF, Benzer S, Axel R, Anderson DJ. 2004. A single
population of olfactory sensory neurons mediates an innate avoidance behaviour in Drosophila.
Nature **431**:854–859.

Takagi S, Cocanougher BT, Niki S, Miyamoto D, Kohsaka H, Kazama H, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Zlatic M,
Cardona A, Nose A. 2017. Divergent Connectivity of Homologous Command-like Neurons Mediates
Segment-Specific Touch Responses in Drosophila. *Neuron* **96**:1373–1387.e6.

Tanaka NK, Awasaki T, Shimada T, Ito K. 2004. Integration of chemosensory pathways in the Drosophila
second-order olfactory centers. *Curr Biol* **14**:449–457.

Tanaka NK, Endo K, Ito K. 2012. Organization of antennal lobe-associated neurons in adult Drosophila
melanogaster brain. *J Comp Neurol* **520**:4067–4130.

Tanaka NK, Tanimoto H, Ito K. 2008. Neuronal assemblies of the Drosophila mushroom body. *J Comp
Neurol* **508**:711–755.

Thoma V, Knapek S, Arai S, Hartl M, Kohsaka H, Sirigrivatanawong P, Abe A, Hashimoto K, Tanimoto H.
2016. Functional dissociation in sweet taste receptor neurons between and within taste organs of
Drosophila. *Nat Commun* **7**:10678.

Ting C-Y, Gu S, Guttikonda S, Lin T-Y, White BH, Lee C-H. 2011. Focusing transgene expression in
Drosophila by coupling Gal4 with a novel split-LexA expression system. *Genetics* **188**:229–233.

Tirian L, Dickson BJ. 2017. The VT GAL4, LexA, and split-GAL4 driver line collections for targeted
expression in the Drosophila nervous system. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/198648

Truman JW, Price J, Miyares RL, Lee T. 2023. Metamorphosis of memory circuits in Drosophila reveals a
strategy for evolving a larval brain. doi:10.7554/eLife.80594

Tsao C-H, Chen C-C, Lin C-H, Yang H-Y, Lin S. 2018. mushroom bodies integrate hunger and satiety signals
to control innate food-seeking behavior. *Elife* **7**. doi:10.7554/eLife.35264

Turner GC, Bazhenov M, Laurent G. 2008. Olfactory Representations by Drosophila Mushroom Body
Neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. doi:10.1152/jn.01283.2007
Tuthill JC, Nern A, Holtz SL, Rubin GM, Reiser MB. 2013. Contributions of the 12 neuron classes in the fly
lamina to motion vision. *Neuron* **79**:128–140.
Vogt K, Aso Y, Hige T, Knapek S, Ichinose T, Friedrich AB, Turner GC, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H. 2016. Direct
neural pathways convey distinct visual information to Drosophila mushroom bodies. *Elife* **5**.
doi:10.7554/eLife.14009
Wang K, Wang F, Forknall N, Yang T, Patrick C, Parekh R, Dickson BJ. 2021. Neural circuit mechanisms of
sexual receptivity in Drosophila females. *Nature* **589**:577–581.
Wang Z, Singhvi A, Kong P, Scott K. 2004. Taste representations in the Drosophila brain. *Cell* **117**:981–
991.
Wan Y, Otsuna H, Chien C-B, Hansen C. 2012. FluoRender: An Application of 2D Image Space Methods
for 3D and 4D Confocal Microscopy Data Visualization in Neurobiology Research. *IEEE Pac Vis Symp*
201–208.
Winding M, Pedigo BD, Barnes CL, Patsolic HG, Park Y, Kazimiers T, Fushiki A, Andrade IV, Khandelwal A,
Valdes-Aleman J, Li F, Randel N, Barsotti E, Correia A, Fetter RD, Hartenstein V, Priebe CE,
Vogelstein JT, Cardona A, Zlatic M. 2023. The connectome of an insect brain. *Science*
379:eadd9330.
Wolff T, Rubin GM. 2018. Neuroarchitecture of the Drosophila central complex: A catalog of nodulus and
asymmetrical body neurons and a revision of the protocerebral bridge catalog. *J Comp Neurol*
526:2585–2611.
Wu J-K, Tai C-Y, Feng K-L, Chen S-L, Chen C-C, Chiang A-S. 2017. Long-term memory requires sequential
protein synthesis in three subsets of mushroom body output neurons in Drosophila. *Sci Rep* **7**:7112.
Wu M, Nern A, Williamson WR, Morimoto MM, Reiser MB, Card GM, Rubin GM. 2016. Visual projection
neurons in the lobula link feature detection to distinct behavioral programs. *Elife* **5**.
doi:10.7554/eLife.21022
Xie Q, Brbic M, Horns F, Kolluru SS, Jones RC, Li J, Reddy AR, Xie A, Kohani S, Li Z, McLaughlin CN, Li T, Xu
C, Vacek D, Luginbuhl DJ, Leskovec J, Quake SR, Luo L, Li H. 2021. Temporal evolution of single-cell
transcriptomes of olfactory projection neurons. *Elife* **10**. doi:10.7554/eLife.63450
Yamada D, Bushey D, Li F, Hibbard KL, Sammons M, Funke J, Litwin-Kumar A, Hige T, Aso Y. 2023.
Hierarchical architecture of dopaminergic circuits enables second-order conditioning in Drosophila.
Elife **12**. doi:10.7554/eLife.79042
Yamagata N, Ichinose T, Aso Y, Plaçais P-Y, Friedrich AB, Sima RJ, Preat T, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H. 2015.
Distinct dopamine neurons mediate reward signals for short- and long-term memories. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **112**:578–583.
Yavuz A, Jagge C, Slone J, Amrein H. 2014. A genetic tool kit for cellular and behavioral analyses of insect
sugar receptors. *Fly* **8**:189–196.
Zhang X, Noyes NC, Zeng J, Li Y, Davis RL. 2019. Aversive Training Induces Both Presynaptic and
Postsynaptic Suppression in. *J Neurosci* **39**:9164–9172.
Zheng Z, Lauritzen JS, Perlman E, Robinson CG, Nichols M, Milkie D, Torrens O, Price J, Fisher CB, Sharifi
N, Calle-Schuler SA, Kmecova L, Ali IJ, Karsh B, Trautman ET, Bogovic JA, Hanslovsky P, Jefferis GSXE,
Kazhdan M, Khairy K, Saalfeld S, Fetter RD, Bock DD. 2018. A Complete Electron Microscopy Volume
of the Brain of Adult Drosophila melanogaster. *Cell* **174**:730–743.e22.
Zheng Z, Li F, Fisher C, Ali IJ, Sharifi N, Calle-Schuler S, Hsu J, Masoodpanah N, Kmecova L, Kazimiers T,
Perlman E, Nichols M, Li PH, Jain V, Bock DD. 2022. Structured sampling of olfactory input by the fly
mushroom body. *Curr Biol* **32**:3334–3349.e6.

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1 Generation and annotation of split-GAL4 lines

(A) In associative learning, flies adjust their behavioral responses to conditioned stimuli (CS), such as odors and colors, based on the contingency with innately rewarding or punishing unconditioned stimuli (US), such as sugar, bitter, shock and heat. A schematic of *Drosophila* melanogaster is from (Namiki et al., 2018).

(B) An image of the right half of an adult fly brain showing the mushroom bodies (MB). Projections neurons (PN) convey information from the antennal lobes (AL) to the calyx of the MB and the lateral horn (LH).

(C) A simplified diagram of the mushroom body circuit. The identity of sensory stimuli is represented by sparse activity patterns of Kenyon cells (KCs). A subset of dopaminergic neurons (DANs) respond to punishment/reward. Dopamine modulates weights of synapses between KCs and MB output neurons (MBONs) depending on the activity status of KCs. The skewed activity patterns of MBONs across compartments in response to the learned stimulus drive memory-based actions and feedback pathways to DANs.

(D) A summary of the workflow to generate split-GAL4 lines.

(E) Coverage of the collection. The crepine (CRE), the superior medial protocerebrum (SMP), the superior intermediate protocerebrum (SIP) and the superior lateral protocerebrum (SLP) are MB adjacent brain areas where MBONs and DANs most often have arborizations. CX, central complex. LAL, lateral accessory lobes.

(F) Examples of cell types covered by the collection. Expression patterns of CsChrimson-mVenus (green) are shown with neuropil counterstaining of Bruchpilot (Brp) with nc82 antibody (magenta).

Figure 1-figure supplement 1 Examples of cell types covered by the split-GAL4 lines in this collection

Additional examples of cell types covered by the collection. Expression patterns of CsChrimson-mVenus (green) are shown with neuropil counterstaining of Brp (magenta). Only one brain hemisphere is shown.

Figure 2 LM-EM match of CRE011 driver

(A) Expression pattern of SS45245-split-GAL4 in a brain.

(B) MCFO image of SS45245 showing individual labeled neurons.

(C) Frontal and ventral views of segmented light microscopy (LM) images of an exemplary split-Gal4 line (SS45245) visualized with a membrane reporter (myr-smGFP-FLAG) that was aligned to the JRC2018 standard brain. Frontal (top) and dorsal (bottom) projections are shown with outline of relevant neuropils.

(D) The skeleton reconstructed from electron microscopy (EM) data of the matched cell type CRE011 in the hemibrain connectome. The CRE011 cell on the right hemisphere is shown.

(E) Synaptic connectivity of CRE011 with MBONs and DANs in the MB derived from the hemibrain connectome.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1 LM-EM match of SS00460

(A) Frontal and ventral views of segmented light microscopy (LM) images of SS00460 in the JRC2018 standard brain. Projections are shown with the outline of relevant neuropils. A' (ventral) is a rotated view of A (frontal) with the reference axis indicated.

(B) The skeleton reconstructed from electron microscopy (EM) data in the hemibrain volume of the matched cell type I2LN44.

(C) EM images of neurons with the most input connections (upstream) to I2LN44 in the hemibrain connectome. The number after "#" indicates the number of connections between the matched cell type (i.e. v2LN44) and its synaptic partners. The color code and EM BodyId for each EM-reconstructed neuron are also listed.

(D) EM images of neurons with the most output connections (downstream) from I2LN44 in the hemibrain connectome. In Figure 2-figure supplement 1-20, sensory neurons and KCs are not displayed as top upstream or downstream neurons.

Figure 2-figure supplement 2 LM-EM match of SS34963
Figure 2-figure supplement 3 LM-EM match of SS33915
Figure 2-figure supplement 4 LM-EM match of SS35020
Figure 2-figure supplement 5 LM-EM match of SS34979
Figure 2-figure supplement 6 LM-EM match of SS34947
Figure 2-figure supplement 7 LM-EM match of SS00486
Figure 2-figure supplement 8 LM-EM match of SS49308
Figure 2-figure supplement 9 LM-EM match of SS49361
Figure 2-figure supplement 10 LM-EM match of SS49868
Figure 2-figure supplement 11 LM-EM match of SS49352
Figure 2-figure supplement 12 LM-EM match of SS32228
Figure 2-figure supplement 13 LM-EM match of SS32219
Figure 2-figure supplement 14 LM-EM match of SS48890
Figure 2-figure supplement 15 LM-EM match of SS48799
Figure 2-figure supplement 16 LM-EM match of SS32259
Figure 2-figure supplement 17 LM-EM match of SS48341
Figure 2-figure supplement 18 LM-EM match of SS35040
Figure 2-figure supplement 19 LM-EM match of SS33905
Figure 2-figure supplement 20 LM-EM match of SS39538

Figure 3 Drivers for MBON downstream and DAN upstream neurons.

(A) Examples of confocal microscopy images of split-GAL4 lines (bottom) and their matching cell types in the hemibrain connectome (top). CsChrimson-mVenus (green); Brp (magenta).

(B) The number of cell types that receive synaptic output from MBONs and supply synaptic input to DANs. Only cell types with connection (conn) over the indicated thresholds (i.e., more than 4 synapses for DAN upstream and more than 9 synapses for MBON downstream) were considered. The number of covered cell types are indicated in the brackets.

(C) A scatter plot of MB interneuron cell types connected with DANs and MBONs. Cell types covered by Split-GAL4 lines are highlighted in red.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1 New or improved drivers for MB cell types

(A) Confocal images and matched EM cell types. CsChrimson-mVenus (green); Brp (magenta).

(B) Summary of within-MB split-GAL4 coverage. See Supplementary File 4 for details.

Figure 4 Driver lines for uni-glomerular projection neurons

(A) Examples of covered uni-glomerular PN (uPN) cell types.

(B) Coverage of the 51 antennal lobe glomeruli. The new collection of split-GAL4 covers uPN in the colored glomeruli.

(C) Split-GAL4 coverage summary.

Figure 5 Driver lines for multi-glomerular projection neurons

Examples of multi-glomerular projection neurons (mPN) types. M_l2PN3t18 [VC5++ l2PN1], M_lPNm11A [VP4++ lPN], and M_smPNm1 [VP3+ smPN] are predicted to receive majority non-olfactory input (Marin et al., 2020).

Figure 6 Driver lines for non-olfactory projection neurons and sensory neurons

Examples of non-olfactory PN types and sensory neurons covered by this collection

Figure 7 Drivers for gustatory sensory neurons

(A) A summary of new transgenic lines generated by this study.

(B) Schematic of the screening strategy used here to subdivide the Gr64f-DBD pattern by intersecting it with GMR and VT AD lines.

(C) A schematic of the sensory neuron projection types that compose the Gr64f-DBD pattern.

(D) A summary of expression patterns of 6 of the Gr64f split-GAL4 lines derived from the screening strategy in (B), using the anatomical notation described in (C).

(E) Expression pattern of SS87269 in the brain and VNC.

(F) Expression of SS87269 in the labellum.

(G) Expression of SS87269 in tarsi of fore (f), middle (m) and hind (h) legs.

(H) Expression of SS87272 in the labral sense organ (LSO).

(I) Expression of SS88801 in tarsi.

(J) Expression of SS87278 in the abdominal body wall.

(K-P) Expression patterns of designated driver lines in the Gnathal Ganglia (GNG) and VNC.

Figure 7-figure supplement 1 The original Gr64f-GAL4.

(A) Expression pattern of Gr64f-GAL4 driving 20xUAS-CsChrimson-mVenus in the brain and VNC (green) with neuropil counterstaining of Brp (magenta). The line used contains two copies of Gr64f-Gal4, with one copy on the second and one copy on the third chromosome.

(B) Training protocol schematics for experiments completed in the optogenetic olfactory arena (Aso and Rubin, 2016).

(C) Preference index (PI) memory scores 2 min after various training protocols are shown. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. n = 4 for each group. Each PI was calculated from two movies of reciprocal experiments, in which the CS odor paired with optogenetic reward was exchanged. Approximately twenty flies were tested in each movie.

Figure 8 Associative learning with Gr64f-split-GAL4 lines
(A) In the optogenetic olfactory arena, odors are delivered from the periphery and sucked out from the central vacuum port to deliver odors only to defined quadrants. Red LEDs (627nm peak) enable programmed optogenetic activation of neurons expressing CsChrimson.
(B) Training and testing protocol for experiments shown in (E). The training protocol consisted of 3 x 20s optogenetic activation training followed by the first preference test, 1x 1 min training followed by the 2nd test, and additional 2x 1 min training followed by the last test. Odors delivered to the two zones and odor durations in each period are indicated. LED intensities were 4.3 µW/mm2 in early 20s training and 34.9 µW/mm2 in later training. Activation LED was applied with 1s ON and 1s OFF during pairing with odor A. Odors were trained reciprocally. Pentyl acetate and Ethyl lactate were used as odor A and B, respectively, in half of the experiments. The two odors were swapped in the other half of experiments.
(C) Protocol to characterize Gr64f split-GAL4 activation phenotypes in the absence of an odor. During each trial, flies were illuminated with a red LED light continuously for 10 seconds.
(D) Summary diagram of the expression patterns of the original Gr64f-GAL4 (far left) and 6 Gr64f-split-GAL4s. The expression of the original Gr64f-GAL4 in olfactory sensory neurons is not depicted here.
(E) Associative memory scores after the training protocol in (B). Mean, standard error of the mean (SEM), and the number of groups are shown.
(F) The kinematic parameters of trajectories measured with Caltech FlyTracker during split-GAL4 activation in the absence of odor as shown in (C). Each group of flies received 6 activation trials. Summarization was based on the trial average of each group. The number of groups is indicated. The thick lines and shadows are mean and SEM. Gray lines are Empty-split-GAL4 control.
(G) Average walking speed in each of 6 trials.
(H) An image of a fly on a floating ball. Flies were tracked for proboscis extension (PE) activity with the Animal Part Tracker. The annotated points, in the order of numbers, consisted of the tip of the abdomen (1), the highest point on the thorax (2), the midpoint between the root of the antennae (3), the base of the proboscis (4) and the tip of the proboscis (5). PE activity was quantified as the change of proboscis length, i.e., the distance from the tip to the base of the proboscis, or the distance between points 4 and 5.
(I) SS87269 and SS88801 activation and proboscis extension. Each fly was recorded over 6 activation trials in which the 624 nm LED was turned on for 1 second. LED intensity for SS87269 and SS88801, 1.1 mW/cm^2; for empty Gal4 (pBDPGal4), 5.0 mW/cm^2. Less saturated traces indicate behavior during LED off trials, while more saturated traces indicate behavior during LED on trials.

Figure 8-figure supplement 1 Activation phenotype of Gr64f-split-GAL4 lines
The data points during the time bin shown in Figure 8F.
(A) Probability of return during the post-activation period.

989   (B) Change of walking speed during the onset of activation period.
990   (C) Change of curvature during the onset of activation period.
991   (D) Change of curvature during the post-activation period.
992   One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. ***, P<0.001. Individual data points
993   are shown with minimum, maximum, median, and interquartile ranges.
994
995   **Figure 8-figure supplement 2 Examples of covered SEZ neurons.**
996   (Top two rows) New or improved split-GAL4 drivers for cell types already covered by the SEZ Split-GAL4
997   Collection (Sterne et al., 2021). (Bottom row) Split-GAL4 drivers for cell types not included in the SEZ Split-
998   GAL4 Collection. Cell types are from Hemibrain 1.2.1, Sterne 2021 or mn2V (McKellar et al., 2020).
999
Figure 9 Examples of covered ascending neurons
(A) Activation preference screen of 581 split-GAL4 lines (342 lines from this study). SS01159 (blue arrow)
is one of the lines that flies showed strong avoidance at optogenetic activation.
(B) Time course of flies' preference to quadrants with red LED light by SS01159>CsChrimson (blue) or
empty-GAL4>CsChrimson (gray). A preference score to red LED quadrants was quantified from the
distribution during the last 5s of two 30s activation periods. n = 8 groups for SS01159, n = 15 for empty
Gal4. Mean (thick lines) and SEM (shadow) are plotted.
(C) The mean normalized movement speed at the LED onset for flies in the LED quadrants. The 3-s period
before LED onset was used as the baseline for normalization.
(D) The mean cumulative turning angles in 5 movie frames (total elapsed time of 167 ms) when flies
encountered the LED boundary. The boundary was defined as a 4-mm zone in between the LED and dark
quadrants. Trajectories too close to the center (< 0.2*radius) or the wall (> 0.6*radius) of the arena were
not analyzed.
(E) Examples of split-GAL4 lines for ascending neurons. SS35227 and SS35256 shared a split half (R41C05-
AD) with SS01159. SS32217 matched with TPN1 (Kim et al., 2017). No cell types were assigned to the
other lines shown due to missing information in the hemibrain volume.
1016
Figure 10 Stereotypy and erroneous projections
(A) V_l2PN from both hemispheres send axonal projections to the right hemisphere in an atypical case
(arrowhead).
(B) In this atypical case, there are two MB-DPM neurons in one hemisphere (arrowhead) and the
arborizations extend beyond the pedunculus into the calyx.
(C) MBON-α1 occasionally has additional arborizations in the ellipsoid body (arrowhead)
(D) The localization of MBON-α3 soma widely varied along the dorso-ventral axis. It occasionally had an
additional axonal branch (arrowhead).
(E) A table to summarize erroneous projections of MBONs and MB-DPM. In all the cases except for the
DPM, "different number of cells" was likely due to stochastic expression of the drivers (i.e., lack of
labeling) rather than biological difference. In some MB310C samples, we observed third soma attached
to MBON-α3 but they lacked any neurites. See Figure 11 for variability of MBON08/09 in MB083C.
1029

Figure 11 Individuality and asymmetry of MBON08 and MBON09
(A) A typical image of two MBONs in MB083C-split-GAL4 driver.
(B) Abnormal axonal projection of MBON08/09 observed in one of 169 samples.
(C-D) MCFO images of MB083C driver from different flies show that the two cells can either be both
MBON09-γ3β'1 (C) or one MBON09-γ3β'1 and one MBON08-γ3 (D).
(E-G) An example of MCFO image of MB083C, which visualized one MBON08 and two MBON09 in the
same brain. The projection (E) and image sections at the γ3 (F) or β'1 (G) are shown.
(H) Diagrams of the three MBONs shown in E-G.
(I) A summary table for observation of MBON08 and MBON09 in male and female brains.
(J) All possible variations of 4 MBONs in MB083C driver, and estimated probability for each case.
1040
1041 **Figure 11-figure supplement 1 MB083C invariantly label two MBONs**
1042 Maximum intensity projection images of individual samples are shown. Note that two somas in each
1043 hemisphere are labeled in all samples. Reporters used are indicated in each panel.
1044
1045 **Figure 11-figure supplement 2 Subdivisions of medial and lateral γ3 compartments**
1046 (A) Overlay between the dendrites of MBON08/09 and axons of PAM-γ4 DANs.
1047 (B) Overlay between the dendrites of MBON08/09 and axons of PAM-γ3 DANs.
1048 (C) A subset of PAM-γ3 arborizes in the medial part of the γ3 compartment. Asterisk indicates the position
1049 of the lateral γ3 that is not labeled in this line.
1050
Figure 12 Examples of split-LexA conversion
Split-LexA shares the same enhancers with split-GAL4 but with the Gal4DBD replaced by LexADBD. Among
34 conversions tested, 22 were successful, with the split-LexA showing identical or similar expression
patterns as the split-GAL4. The remaining 12 had weak/no expression or showed unintended
intersectional patterns. See Supplementary File 2 for the hemidriver lines with p10 translational
enhancers to enhance expression level.

Figure 1 Generation and annotation of split-GAL4 lines

Figure 1-figure supplement 1 Examples of cell types covered by the split-GAL4 lines in this collection

Figure 2 LM-EM match of CRE011 driver

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1

LM

A

LH

dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS34963
1 cell

20 μm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

VA1d_vPN
1888524453

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #28_DA4m_adPN_574037266.swc ■ #26_ORN_VA1d_5813000307.swc
■ #26_ORN_VA1d_1921255488.swc ■ #25_v2LN30_1671620613.swc ■ #48_VA1d_adPN_1851061177.swc
■ #42_i13LN6_5813018460.swc ■ #36_VA1d_adPN_5813055162.swc ■ #30_VA1d_adPN_850375847.swc
■ #30_i13LN6_5901206553.swc ■ #29_v2LN36_5813054716.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #30_LHPV10b1_604709727.swc ■ #24_LHAV2m1_5813105722.swc
■ #23_LHAV2b5_a_1260582361.swc ■ #22_LHAV2m1_696126258.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2

LM

A
SLP
LH
dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS33915
2 cells

20 µm

EM

B
VP1m+_lvPN
663787020
664814903

A′
posterior
dorsal

B′

Input

C
■Brain ■MB ■AL ■SLP ■LH ■#86_TRN_VP1m_2009274855.swc ■#81_TRN_VP1m_1979263232.swc
■#79_VP3+VP11_ivPN_1755556097.swc ■#72_TRN_VP1m_2009612004.swc
■#145_VP1m+VP5_ilPN_1944502935.swc ■#121_TRN_VP1m_2009274786.swc
■#111_VP1m+VP2_lvPN2_1943811736.swc ■#110_VP1m+VP5_ilPN_2069648663.swc
■#91_TRN_VP1m_2009611617.swc ■#89_TRN_VP1m_2009611976.swc

Output

D
■Brain ■MB ■AL ■SLP ■LH ■#57_LHPV8c1_694818168.swc ■#56_LHPV6h1_a_696829800.swc
■#56_LHPV4g2_449289591.swc ■#53_LHPV4k1_b_790577606.swc ■#162_LHAV3f1_574377845.swc
■#85_SMP345_449634539.swc ■#84_SMP345_480331310.swc ■#80_SMP421_417558532.swc
■#80_LHPV6h1_a_5813010690.swc ■#68_LHPV6h1_a_418224293.swc

C′

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3

LM

A

LH

AL

membrane pre
SS35020
2-4 cells

dorsal
posterior

20 µm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

M_adPNm8
788945049
1693827279
1762674761

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #25_PPL202_5813071348.swc ■ #22__1918911323.swc
■ #21_VL2a_adPN_5813069089.swc ■ #170_DP1l_adPN_724816115.swc ■ #42_ALBN1_1731354634.swc
■ #39_v2LN30_1671620613.swc ■ #31_LHAV4a1_b_728483069.swc ■ #29_DL2v_adPN_1734420152.swc
■ #26_ORN_DL2v_1762657390.swc ■ #26_DL2v_adPN_5813039465.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #38_LHPV10b1_604709727.swc ■ #36_ALBN1_1670969318.swc
■ #26_LHAD1f2_5813055865.swc ■ #22_LHAV5d1_5813047407.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 4

LM

EM

A LH

dorsal posterior

membrane pre
SS34979
3 cells

20 μm

B

M_vPNml76
1539978151
1570685132
5813071369

A′ posterior dorsal

B′

Input

Output

C

Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #102_VC1_lPN_606090268.swc ■ #78_VA6_adPN_1881751117.swc
■ #44_v2LN30_1671620613.swc ■ #34_DA41_adPN_544021095.swc ■ #34_D_adPN_5813055184.swc
■ #26_DL4_adPN_1670934213.swc ■ #24_D_adPN_5813038889.swc ■ #20_i13LN6_5813018460.swc

D

Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #49_LHAV6e1_421646999.swc ■ #45_CL150_883479122.swc
■ #22_LHPV4j2_667486204.swc ■ #22_LHPV4j1_b_760570054.swc ■ #21_LHPV4a12_b_574037479.swc
■ #20_LHPD2a2_699178922.swc

C′

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 5

LM

A

LH

dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS34947
1-2 cell

AL

20 μm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

VL1_vPN
757556799

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #160_VL1_i1PN_1912789965.swc ■ #134_VL1_i1PN_2166133204.swc
■ #68_DA4m_adPN_574037266.swc ■ #31_VC5_lvPN_1849684319.swc ■ #25_v2LN30_1671620613.swc
■ #24_M_lvPNm46_5812992940.swc ■ #21_LHPV2g1_914027038.swc ■ #20_LHPV6k1_5813064406.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ LH ■ #36_LHAV3e2_822004733.swc ■ #82_LHAV1a1_883338122.swc
■ #77_LHPV3a3_c_974788614.swc ■ #76_LHPV3b1_b_1068543885.swc ■ #70_LHPV3b1_b_912732057.swc
■ #63_LHPV3a1_1036503560.swc ■ #58_LHPV6k1_5813064406.swc ■ #53_LHPV6k1_758239379.swc
■ #42_LHPV6k1_5813016450.swc ■ #40_VL1_i1PN_1912789965.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 6

LM

A

dorsal
posterior

SCL

PLP

AL

membrane pre
SS00486
1 cell

20 µm

EM

B

M_smPNm1
763730414

A′

posterior
dorsal

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ PLP.nrrd ■ SCL.nrrd ■ #26_M_1PNm11A_1630734360.swc
■ #111_l2LN23_2069647778.swc ■ #95_l2LN23_2069648213.swc ■ #71_LHCENT14_610601810.swc
■ #69_M_1PNm11B_850703925.swc ■ #62_M_1PNm11C_850708902.swc ■ #43_M_1PNm13_5812983069.swc
■ #36_M_1PNm13_1693486219.swc ■ #34_M_1PNm11A_5813044177.swc ■ #32_PLP091_821340054.swc

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AL ■ PLP.nrrd ■ SCL.nrrd ■ #157_LHPV9b1_602476655.swc
■ #86_CRE074_508689623.swc ■ #59_LHPV4m1_518899665.swc ■ #53_LHAV6g1_5813057216.swc
■ #43_LHPD5f1_639275368.swc ■ #31_CL112_914778159.swc ■ #23_SIP090_456833888.swc
■ #22_LHCENT4_517506265.swc ■ #20_LHPV1c2_5813049105.swc ■ #20_LHPD5e1_642409381.swc

C′

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 7

Figure 2 – figure supplement 8

Figure 2 – figure supplement 9

LM

A

PVLP

AVLP

membrane pre
SS49868
3-6 cells

dorsal
posterior

20 μm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

AVLP462
1413744837
1445112287
1753195131

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AVLP ■ PVLP ■ #26_LT82b_5813049443.swc ■ #71_PVLP070_1354731667.swc
■ #67__1041666949.swc ■ #60_PVLP070_5813061126.swc ■ #56_AVLP538_5813057579.swc
■ #39_AVLP293_1450167207.swc ■ #39_AVLP292_1445130240.swc ■ #32_PVLP012_1353031856.swc
■ #32_AVLP290_1419136775.swc ■ #26_PVLP071_1382308349.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ AVLP ■ PVLP ■ #25_PVLP004_889194759.swc ■ #73_PVLP070_5813061126.swc
■ #63_PVLP070_1354731667.swc ■ #46_AVLP292_1445130240.swc ■ #36_AVLP293_1450167207.swc
■ #33_AVLP292_1569519432.swc ■ #32_AVLP449_1290961410.swc ■ #29_PVLP015_1383383062.swc
■ #29_AVLP538_5813057579.swc ■ #29_AVLP290_5813108983.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 10

LM

A SLP

membrane pre
SS49352
5 cells

dorsal
posterior

20 μm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

mAL3B
578189223
610571450
668876945
703351975
5812980330

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SLP ■ GNG.nrrd ▨ #123_LHAV6b2_a_514713432.swc ■ #98_mAL3A_735073668.swc
■ #92_mAL3A_921969761.swc ■ #76_mAL3A_735415046.swc ■ #60_SLP236_420274150.swc
■ #47_mAL3A_953004705.swc ■ #42_LHAV6b2_b_764408961.swc ■ #41_mAL3A_734724111.swc
■ #29_SLP235_5813056890.swc ■ #27_SLP187_607820937.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SLP ■ GNG.nrrd ■ #47_SLP191_420973599.swc ■ #29_SLP179_b_420623873.swc
■ #28_LHAV2f2_a_604735525.swc ■ #27_SLP187_578521941.swc ■ #26_SLP187_607820937.swc
■ #25_LHAV2f2_b_574710121.swc ■ #21_SLP179_b_391311186.swc ■ #20_SLP187_483711811.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 11

Figure 2 – figure supplement 12

LM

A   dorsal
               posterior

CRE

LAL

membrane pre
SS32219, SS32230
3-5 cells

20 μm

A′   posterior
        dorsal

EM

B

LAL034
795135527
858573728
858574470

B′

Input

C

Brain ■ MB ■ CRE ■ LAL ■ #69_LAL037_734088804.swc ■ #69_CL021_5813020846.swc
■ #68_LAL037_765810666.swc ■ #61_LAL050_1046177683.swc ■ #195_LAL051_1448929233.swc
■ #154_LAL072_5813049870.swc ■ #116_LAL062_1170309400.swc ■ #93__1730617033.swc
■ #87_LAL050_1448587060.swc ■ #70_CRE058_702704906.swc

C′

Output

D

Brain ■ MB ■ CRE ■ LAL ■ #60_LAL032_827198932.swc ■ #55_LAL120_1479626494.swc
■ #48_LAL034_795135527.swc ■ #36_CRE093_827911067.swc ■ #25_MBON04_613079053.swc
■ #24_LAL128_1448591766.swc ■ #164_LCNOpm_1513363614.swc ■ #80_LAL123_1232038141.swc
■ #80_LAL075_1139265826.swc ■ #75_LAL072_5813049870.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 13

LM

A SLP

SCL

dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS48890, SS48892
1 cell

20 µm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

CL003
549175510

B′

Input

C

■ Brain	■ MB	■ SLP	■ SCL	■ #41_AVLP531_5813047489.swc	■ #88_CL244_514708179.swc
■ #87__5813040731.swc	■ #65_VES012_821686394.swc	■ #64_VES013_1978573010.swc
■ #61__672598344.swc	■ #58_PLP007_1070646938.swc	■ #53_AVLP280_797442049.swc
■ #45_aMe20_5813021943.swc	■ #44__386829647.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain	■ MB	■ SLP	■ SCL	■ #27_pC1b_267214250.swc	■ #122__5813040731.swc
■ #113__672598344.swc	■ #88_SLP131_454386099.swc	■ #64__386829647.swc
■ #63_pC1a_5813046951.swc	■ #47_pC1c_267551639.swc	■ #40_SLP130_456847100.swc
■ #32_CRE082_454787848.swc	■ #30_CRE083_454935401.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 14

LM

A

SMP

dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS48799
1 cell

20 μm

A′

posterior
dorsal

EM

B

SMP026
5813068707

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SMP ■ #49_AVLP218_766389130.swc ■ #48__797424835.swc
■ #46_AVLP520_859507274.swc ■ #46__766385396.swc ■ #42__1013654516.swc
■ #95_AVLP215_673639143.swc ■ #94__1014004089.swc ■ #70_AVLP474_5813040224.swc
■ #61_SLP131_454386099.swc ■ #52_AVLP030_481657750.swc

C′

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SMP ■ #78_SMP175_5813055949.swc ■ #76_SMP076_296139882.swc
■ #73_SIP026_5813083733.swc ■ #66_LHCENT3_487144598.swc ■ #62_PPL107_859265651.swc
■ #342_PPL101_393766777.swc ■ #117_PPL106_331662710.swc ■ #89_SMP049_297251714.swc
■ #84_SMP181_330640044.swc ■ #80_SMP198_5813021602.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 15

Figure 2 – figure supplement 16

LM

A

PVLP

membrane pre
SS48341, SS48855
1 cell

20 µm

A′

EM

B

PVLP130
1601711357

B′

Input

C

Brain MB PVLP #36_LC4_1907578957.swc #165_LT82a_1221285128.swc
#124__1779231120.swc #98__5813049412.swc #66_PVLP015_1383383062.swc
#57__1844223096.swc #51_PVLP150_1385093648.swc #51__1695463406.swc
#42_PVLP135_1535086505.swc #38_SAD049_1664097010.swc

C′

Output

D

Brain MB PVLP #98_PVLP120_1354394414.swc #92_PVLP054_1445453636.swc
#86_pC1d_5813063587.swc #83_PVLP054_1414763569.swc #171_PVLP151_1068885266.swc
#153_PVLP022_5813061245.swc #146_PVLP019_1353371352.swc #140_PVLP141_1434949542.swc
#139_PVLP151_1070950293.swc #114_PLP059_1536490336.swc

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 17

LM

A SLP

SCL

PLP

dorsal
posterior

membrane pre
SS35040, SS24820
4 cells

20 µm

EM

B

LHPV4c1_c
5813022150
756870190
5813058028
5813108084

A′ posterior
dorsal

B′

Input

C

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SLP ■ PLP ■ SCL ■ #40_LHPV4c1_b_757215581.swc
■ #38_VP1m+VP5_ilPN_1944502935.swc ■ #38_PPL202_5813071348.swc ■ #155_LHAV3p1_633793553.swc
■ #74_VP3+VP1l_ivPN_5813040515.swc ■ #73_VP5+Z_adPN_5813063239.swc ■ #61_VP3+VP1l_ivPN_1755556097.swc ■ #58_M_lPNm13_1693486219.swc
■ #45_VP1m+VP5_ilPN_2069648663.swc ■ #44_LHPV4c1_b_694472338.swc

Output

D

■ Brain ■ MB ■ SLP ■ PLP ■ SCL ■ #26_LHPV6c1_387870860.swc ■ #24_SMP527_447599745.swc
■ #22_WEDPN12_698970725.swc ■ #21_LHPV6q1_5813047683.swc ■ #109_LHPV6m1_388881226.swc
■ #100_LHAV3p1_633793553.swc ■ #96_PLP247_5813021904.swc ■ #36_LHPV5j2_5812980282.swc
■ #34_LHAV3q1_633097828.swc ■ #34_ATL020_694813336.swc

C′

D′

Figure 2 – figure supplement 18

Figure 2 – figure supplement 19

Figure 2 – figure supplement 20

Figure 3 Coverage of MBON downstream and DAN upstream neurons

MB cell types	Covered cell types	Total cell types	Missed cell types
Intrinsic neurons (excluding KCs)	1	2	APL
MBONs	33	37	MBON24-25, 27, 34
DANs	18	23	PPL102, 201-202, PAM09,15
Other modulatory	6	6	
Total	58	68	

Figure 3-supplemental figure 1 New or improved drivers for MB cell types

Figure 4 Examples of covered PN cell types (uni-glomerular)

Figure 5 Examples of covered PN cell types (multi-glomerular)

Figure 6 Examples of covered non-olfactory PNs cell types and sensory neurons

Figure 7 Gr64f split-Gal4

Figure 7-figure supplement 1 US substitution experiment with Gr64f-Gal4

Figure 8 Gr64f-split behavior

Figure 8-figure supplement 1 Summary data of Gr64f activation phenotypes

Figure 8-figure supplement 2 Examples of covered SEZ neurons

Figure 9 Examples of covered ascending neurons

Cell Type	MB compartments	N hemisphere examined	Typical number of cells	Different number of cells (%)	Mislocalization (%)		mode of errors
					Dendrite	Axon	
MBON01	Y5, β'2a	16	1	0.0	0.0	12.5	mislocalization of axons
MBON02	β2, β'2a	96	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	mislocalization of axons
MBON03	β'2mp	56	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON05	Y4	50	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON06	β1	38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON07	α1	105	2	0.0	22.9	1.9	dendrites outside the MB / axon in the ellipsoid body / third soma without neurites
MBON08/09	Y3, β'1	338	2	0*	*	0.6	see Figure 11
MBON11	Y1, pedc	136	1	0.7	0.7	1.5	dendrites in Y2 / axon in the calyx / lack of axon in α3
MBON12	Y2, α'1	106	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON13	α'2	82	1	0.0	1.2	0.0	dendrites outside the MB
MBON14	α3	44	1	0.0	0.0	2.3	additional axon branch
MBON18	α2sc	36	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON19	α2p3p	34	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MBON22	calyx	56	1	1.8	17.9	0.0	dendriets outside the calyx
MB-DPM	all	48	1	8.3	4.2	4.2	Second DPM / axons/dendrites in the calyx

Figure 10 Atypical morphology of neurons

I

	Female	Male	Total
MBON08	7	14	21
MBON09	102	86	188
% MBON08	6.4	14.0	10.0
P only MBON09	0.77	0.55	0.65
P at least One MBON08	0.23	0.45	0.35

J

Left #1	Left #2	Right #1	Right #2	Left γ3	Left β'1	Right γ3	Right β'1	P
8	8	8	8	+		+		P = 2% (No occurrence in 169 flies)
8	8	8	9	+	+	+		
8	8	9	8	+	+	+		
8	8	9	9	+		+		
8	9	8	8	+		+	+	
9	8	8	8	+		+	+	
9	9	8	8	+		+	+	
8	9	8	9	+	+	+	+	P = 3% (No occurrence In MCFO)
8	9	9	8	+	+	+	+	
9	8	8	9	+	+	+	+	
9	8	9	8	+	+	+	+	
8	9	9	9	+	+	+	+	P = 29%
9	8	9	9	+	+	+	+	
9	9	8	9	+	+	+	+	
9	9	9	8	+	+	+	+	
9	9	9	9	+	+	+	+	P = ~65% of flies

MBON type | MB compartments

Figure 11 Morphological individuality

Figure 11 – figure supplement 1

Figure 11 – figure supplement 2

Figure 12 Examples of split-LexA conversion