NDH complex-mediated cyclic electron flow in bundle sheath cells enables C_4 photosynthesis

Maria Ermakova[1,2]*, Russell Woodford[1], Duncan Fitzpatrick[1], Soraya M. Zwahlen[1], Graham Farquhar[1], Susanne von Caemmerer[1], Robert T. Furbank[1]

[1] Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis, Division of Plant Science, Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Acton, ACT, Australia.

[2] School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia.

*Correspondence to maria.ermakova@monash.edu.

Maria Ermakova, maria.ermakova@monash.edu, ORCID: 0000-0001-8466-4186

Russell Woodford, Russell.Woodford@anu.edu.au, ORCID: 0000-0002-6766-2274

Duncan Fitzpatrick, fitzpatrick.duncan@gmail.com

Soraya M. Zwahlen, u6355224@alumni.anu.edu.au, ORCID: 0000-0003-2130-3820

Graham Farquhar, graham.farquhar@anu.edu.au

Susanne von Caemmerer, Susanne.Caemmerer@anu.edu.au, ORCID: 0000-0002-8366-2071

Robert Furbank, robert.furbank@anu.edu.au, ORCID: 0000-0001-8700-6613

ME, RF and SvC designed the research; RF, SvC and GF obtained funding; ME generated gene-edited plants; ME, RW, DF and SZ performed research; ME, RW and DF analysed data; ME, RW and RF wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Abstract

The superior productivity of C_4 plants is achieved via a metabolic C_4 cycle which acts as a CO_2 pump across mesophyll and bundle sheath (BS) cells and requires an additional input of energy in the form of ATP. Chloroplast NADH dehydrogenase-like complex (NDH) increases ATP production in C_3 plants by operating cyclic electron flow (CEF) around Photosystem I (PSI), and its importance for C_4 photosynthesis has been proposed from evolutionary and reverse genetics studies. We used the gene-edited C_4 species *Setaria viridis* with null *ndhO* alleles lacking NDH to study a contribution of the complex to the cell-level electron transport. Our results indicate that NDH is the primary PSI electron acceptor mediating the majority of CEF in BS cells whilst the

30   contribution of the complex to CEF in mesophyll cells is minimal. Moreover, the reduced leaf CO_2 assimilation

31   rate and growth of plants lacking the complex cannot be rescued by supplying additional CO_2, indicating that

32   NDH is essential for generating ATP required for CO_2 fixation by the C_3 cycle. Hereby we resolve a cell-level

33   mechanism for the contribution of NDH to supporting high CO_2 assimilation rates in C_4 photosynthesis.

Introduction

C_4 plants typically exhibit superior radiation, water and nitrogen use efficiency allowing them to outperform

C_3 plants in warm climates[1,2]. The C_4 cycle operates across mesophyll and bundle sheath (BS) cells as a

biochemical carbon concentrating mechanism (Fig. 1). This increases CO_2 partial pressure in BS cells where

Rubisco and other Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle (hereafter, the C_3 cycle) enzymes reside[3]. The C_4 cycle begins

in the mesophyll cytosol with the conversion of CO_2 to HCO_3^- by carbonic anhydrase which is then fixed by PEP

carboxylase (PEPC) into the C_4 acid oxaloacetate. The latter can be reduced to malate or transaminated to

aspartate before diffusing to BS cells for decarboxylation. There are three major subtypes of C_4 photosynthesis,

categorised by the primary decarboxylating enzyme[4]. As the majority of agriculturally important C_4 species

such as maize, sugarcane, sorghum and millets, use $NADP^+$-dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME) to

decarboxylate malate in BS chloroplasts, this subtype is the major focus of C_4 photosynthesis research and of

this study. Decarboxylation of malate releases CO_2, reduces $NADP^+$ to NADPH and produces pyruvate which

returns to mesophyll cells and is regenerated into PEP to complete the C_4 cycle. Engineering C_3 plants to carry

out C_4 photosynthesis is considered a promising route to increasing crop productivity, prompting attempts to

introduce the C_4 pathway into the C_3 plant rice[5,6]. However, these projects are hampered by our incomplete

understanding of the molecular mechanisms of C_4 photosynthesis. Electron transport reactions crucial for

providing at least two extra ATP molecules for each CO_2 fixed – the additional energy cost of the carbon

concentrating mechanism — is one such mechanism we aim to address in this work.

In the C_4 system, the electron transport chains of mesophyll and BS cells are tailored for specific metabolic

needs[7] (Fig. 1). The mesophyll electron transport chain closely resembles that of C_3 plants, where linear

electron flow (LEF) from Photosystem II (PSII) to Photosystem I (PSI) results in production of NADPH.

Cytochrome b_6f complex (Cytb_6f) between the two photosystems couples electron transport with proton

translocation across the thylakoid membrane, which establishes a proton motive force (pmf) used by ATP

synthase to generate ATP. For the C_4 cycle, NADPH produced in mesophyll cells is primarily used for reducing

oxaloacetate to malate while the ATP produced here is used to regenerate PEP; both are also used for the

generation of triose phosphate within the C_3 cycle (see below). The ΔpH component of pmf is also a key signal

controlling electron transport rate by (i) slowing down Cytb_6f activity to restrict electron flow to PSI, also known

as 'photosynthetic control'[8], and (ii) initiating a dissipation of absorbed light energy as heat through the rapidly-

formed and reversible form of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ)[9]. This form of NPQ is activated by

protonating lumen-exposed residues of the PsbS protein[10] and through the conversion of violaxanthin to

zeaxanthin in the antennae[11].

LEF alone is often unable to satisfy the combined ATP/NADPH requirements of photosynthesis and other

metabolic processes[12]. The C_3 cycle in BS cells needs 3 mols of ATP and 2 mols of NADPH to fix 1 mol of CO_2.

While malate decarboxylation provides at least half of the required NADPH, mesophyll electron transport also

supplies NADPH and ATP through the triose phosphate shuttle in which a part of the 3-PGA produced by

Rubisco diffuses to the mesophyll cells for conversion to triose phosphate, which then returns to the BS[3,13] (Fig.

1). This results in a lower requirement to produce NADPH in BS cells in which abundance and activity of PSII

are diminished compared to the mesophyll[14]. It has long been proposed that to predominantly yield ATP, BS

cells maintain active cyclic electron flow (CEF) that returns electrons from the reducing side of PSI back to the

plastoquinone pool, allowing electrons to again pass through Cytb_6f and increase pmf[15,16]. There are two major

proposed CEF pathways: via PROTON GRADIENT REGULATION5 (PGR5) and via the chloroplast NADH

dehydrogenase-like complex (NDH)[17]. Abundance of both PGR5 and NDH in leaves increased during the

evolutionary transition from C_3 to C_4 plants[18,19] and NDH subunits preferentially accumulated in BS cells[20-22]. In

C_3 plants, NDH comprises only 0.2% of total thylakoid protein content[23] and mutants lacking the complex retain

normal fitness under optimal growth conditions[24]. In contrast, lowering NDH content in C_4 species maize and

Flaveria bidentis had severe effects on photosynthesis and growth[25-27]. While a direct mechanism for this effect

has not been resolved, these observations prompted suggestions that NDH is involved in ATP production via

CEF[26] required for concentrating CO_2 in BS cells[25]. Here we use CRISPR/Cas9 in a model C_4 plant of NADP-ME

subtype *Setaria viridis* to create plants lacking NDH and provide the first functional demonstration of NDH

activity in C_4 BS cells.

Results

Creating *S. viridis* plants with null *ndhO* alleles

To create null *ndhO* alleles, known to result in the arrested assembly of NDH[25], we targeted Cas9 to the third

and the fifth exons of *S. viridis ndhO* (Fig. 2a). Two new *ndhO* alleles were obtained: *ndhO-2* with a single

nucleotide insertion causing a frameshift mutation, resulting in an altered amino acid (aa) sequence starting

from S23 and a premature termination codon, and *ndhO-6* with a single nucleotide deletion causing a

frameshift mutation, resulting in an altered aa sequence starting from G67 (Fig. S1). *S. viridis* plants with

homozygous *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* alleles (*ndh* hereafter) lacked NDH as confirmed by immunoblotting of leaf

extracts with antibodies against the NdhH subunit of the complex (Fig. 2b). In the absence of NDH, plants

showed severe reduction of the aboveground biomass to about 30% of wild type (WT, Fig. 2d), which could

not be rescued by supplementing air in the growth room with 2% CO_2, a treatment previously shown to

improve growth of *S. viridis* deficient in carbon concentrating mechanism[28,29]. The CO_2 response of CO_2

assimilation rate in *S. viridis ndh* plants closely resembled the maize *ndhO* mutant[25], with assimilation reduced

to about 50% of WT-level at intercellular CO_2 partial pressures above 100 µbar (Fig. 2e). No differences

between *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* plants indicated that both edited alleles resulted in inactive NDH.

Content of chlorophyll and photosynthetic proteins

Leaf contents of metabolic enzymes, PEPC, the large subunit of Rubisco (RbcL) and sedoheptulose-

bisphosphatase (SBPase) of the C_3 cycle, were unaltered in *ndh* plants (Fig. 2b, Fig, S2a). Relative abundances

of some electron transport components, the D1 subunit of PSII, the AtpB subunit of ATP synthase and PsbS,

did not differ between the gene-edited and WT plants per leaf area. However, plants lacking NDH had

significantly less of the Rieske subunit of Cytb_6f, the PsaB subunit of PSI and PGR5 per leaf area, compared to

WT (Fig. S2b). The total leaf Chl content was about 30% lower in *ndh* plants (Table S1). Mesophyll Chl content

per leaf area was also significantly decreased in plants lacking NDH, whilst the BS Chl content was unaltered,

compared to WT (Table S1).

The overall composition of thylakoid membranes was largely unaffected in mesophyll and BS cells of *ndh*

plants, compared to WT (Fig. S2c), but cell-level changes in abundances of some electron transport

components were detected by immunoblotting (Fig. 3). NDH complex was predominantly found in BS cells of

WT plants. Relative contents of AtpB, PGR5 and PsbS per Chl were increased in BS cells of *ndh* plants (Fig. 3b)

whilst Rieske and PGR5 abundance was significantly decreased in mesophyll cells of *ndhO* plants, compared to

corresponding WT cells.

Electron fluxes in bundle sheath cells

Effects of the lack of NDH on BS electron transport were examined by membrane inlet O_2 mass spectrometry

and spectroscopy using isolated BS strands supplied with $NaHCO_3$ and triose phosphate to support

CO_2 assimilation[30]. The rate of gross O_2 evolution by PSII in *ndh* BS cells was double that of the WT BS (P =

0.037, Fig. 4a). Next, electron flux through PSI was compared by monitoring the absorbance signal of P700$^+$, a

cation of the reaction centre of PSI. The maximum oxidisable P700, P_M, was similar between *ndhO* and WT

plants (P = 0.55) indicating a similar amount of active PSI (Fig. 4b). These measured PSII and PSI activities were

in line with relative abundances of D1 and PsaB in BS cells (Fig. 3).

The P700$^+$ signal was next monitored from BS cells adapted to actinic light of 1000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} upon the

application of strong far-red light and a saturating pulse allowing estimation of the photochemical yield of PSI

(ϕ_I) and the non-photochemical yields of the acceptor (ϕ_{NA}) and donor (ϕ_{ND}) sides of PSI (Fig. 4c). The traces

were normalised at the minimal level of P700$^+$ after a dark relaxation, and the steady-state (P) and maximum

(P_M') levels of P700 oxidation under light were determined as shown in Fig. 4c. The addition of malate

drastically reduced ϕ_{NA}, *i.e.*, the loss of PSI activity due to a lack of acceptors, in WT BS cells (P = 0.00003),

compared to the value without malate (Fig. 4d). Concurrently, the addition of malate increased ϕ_I (by about

50%, P = 0.001) and ϕ_{ND} (*i.e.*, the loss of PSI activity due to a lack of electrons on the donor side, P = 0.0003) in

WT BS cells, compared to values without malate (Fig. 4e,f). BS cells of *ndh* plants showed 36% lower ϕ_I (P =

0.041) as well as higher ϕ_{NA} (P = 0.001) and lower ϕ_{ND} (P = 0.003) compared to WT BS cells already in the

absence of malate. The addition of malate did not result in any significant changes in PSI activity in *ndh* BS cells

(P = 0.99 for ϕ_I, 0.16 for ϕ_{NA} and 0.12 for ϕ_{ND}). Consequently, in the presence of malate, ϕ_I and ϕ_{ND} were about

50% lower than in WT BS cells (P = 0.00003 and P = 0.0001 respectively) while ϕ_{NA} was 4-fold higher (P =

0.000004) in *ndh* BS cells compared to WT.

The initial decay of P700$^+$ signal upon the termination of actinic light provides an estimate of a relative rate of

P700 re-reduction from the intersystem electron transport chain (Fig. 4g,h). Despite a WT-like Cytb_6f content

(Fig. 3), *ndh* showed about 40% slower PSI reduction than WT plants in the absence of malate (P = 0.0128). The

addition of malate accelerated the reduction of PSI in WT BS cells (P = 0.0158) but not in *ndh* BS cells (P = 0.92),

compared to the rate without malate, resulting in about 50% lower reduction rate in the mutant compared to

WT (P = 0.0003). The addition of methyl viologen (MV, a strong electron acceptor competing for electrons from

PSI with CEF) together with malate allowed estimating the rate of P700 re-reduction by LEF only (Fig. 4g,h). In

the presence of MV, the PSI reduction rate in BS cells was drastically reduced to about 16% and 13% in WT and

ndh, respectively, compared to the rates observed with malate only.

Leaf photosynthesis

Absence of NDH had significant impact on leaf electron transport and gas-exchange properties. Although the

maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII (F_V/F_M) did not differ between the genotypes (Table S1), in *ndh*

plants up to 50% less light absorbed by PSII was used for photochemical reactions (ϕ_{II}) at all irradiances (Fig.

5a). The yield of regulated non-photochemical reactions (ϕ_{NPQ}) was increased up to 2-fold in *ndh* leaves below

1600 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, whilst the non-regulated non-photochemical yield (ϕ_{NO}) was mostly unaltered, compared to

WT (Fig. 5b and Fig. 5c). Due to the low PSII content in BS cells (Fig. 3), leaf chlorophyll a fluorescence originates

mostly from mesophyll cells, whereas leaf P700$^+$ absorbance reports on PSI activity in both mesophyll and BS

cells. Plants lacking NDH had on average lower ϕ_I which was significantly reduced to about 50% of WT level at

irradiances above 1500 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (Fig. 5d). The non-photochemical yields of PSI showed contrasting

responses depending on irradiance. Below 200 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, the loss of PSI photochemical efficiency in *ndh* was

due to a lack of electron supply on the donor side whilst above 400 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} it was due to the lack of

acceptors (Fig. 5e and Fig. 5f).

Plants lacking NDH exhibited about 50% lower CO_2 assimilation rates at all irradiances (Fig. 5g) and lower

quantum yield of CO_2 assimilation, compared to WT (Table S1). The ratio of intercellular to ambient CO_2 partial

pressures (C_i/C_a), was significantly higher in *ndh* plants at all irradiances (Fig. 5h), compared to WT, indicating

a higher CO_2 availability at the site of primary carboxylation (*i.e.*, in the cytosol of mesophyll cells). Calculated

relative electron flux through PSII was significantly lower at all irradiances (Fig. 5i) whilst the slope of the

relationship between NPQ and electron flux was drastically increased (Fig. S3) in plants lacking NDH in

comparison to WT.

Proton fluxes in mesophyll cells

Since no changes in electrochromic shift signal could be detected from the dark-adapted isolated BS cells in

response to a saturating flash (Fig. S4a), leaf electrochromic shift signal was reported on thylakoid membrane

energisation in mesophyll cells. Total *pmf*, which represents a balance between a build-up and dissipation of a

transmembrane proton gradient, was similar between *ndh* and WT plants below 300 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} and

significantly decreased in the gene-edited plants at higher irradiances (Fig. 6a). Proton conductivity of the

thylakoid membrane (g_{H+}), indicative of the flux through ATP synthase, was significantly decreased in *ndh*

leaves at 50 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} but similar to WT at irradiances above that (Fig. 6b). The relationship between the

light-driven proton flux through the thylakoid membrane (ν_{H+}) and the relative electron flux through PSII (i x

ϕ_{II}), indicative of the CEF/LEF ratio[31], remained constant between *ndh* and WT plants (Fig. 6c). However, the

relationship between NPQ and *pmf* was markedly altered so that in *ndh* plants, for a given *pmf*, NPQ was

approximately 2-fold higher than in WT (Fig. 6d). This altered relationship was not caused by changes in

partitioning between ΔpH and Δψ (Fig. S4c and Fig. S4d). Moreover, a build-up of NPQ occurred at a similar

rate upon illumination and, at 1 min after the termination of illumination, NPQ relaxed to a similar level in *ndh*

and WT plants (Fig. S5).

Discussion

NDH is believed to have evolved from the cyanobacterial NDH-1 complex[32] and is largely conserved in the

green lineages[33]. Despite being non-essential in normal conditions, due to its ability to maximise *pmf* during

CEF[34], NDH abundance increases in C$_3$ plants in response to elevated ATP demand[35] to facilitate plant

acclimation to low or fluctuating light[36,37]. During the evolutionary transition from C$_3$ to C$_4$ plants, NDH levels

increased concurrently with the emergence of C$_4$-like species coinciding with the appearance of the C$_4$ cycle as

a CO$_2$ pump[38]. Supporting an essential role of NDH in C$_4$ photosynthesis, generated here *S. viridis* plants lacking

NDH could not efficiently use absorbed light and reached only low assimilation rates (Fig. 2e and Fig. 5g).

However, in contrast to mutants and transgenic plants with an impaired carbon concentrating mechanism[28,29],

growth of *ndh* plants did not recover at high CO$_2$ (Fig. 2d). Therefore, NDH is not directly involved in the C$_4$

cycle or concentrating CO$_2$ in BS cells as previously proposed[25]; instead, our results indicate that NDH is

essential for supporting CO$_2$ assimilation by the C$_3$ cycle.

Active CEF in BS cells has long been proposed to increase the ATP yield of C$_4$ plants and contribute to fulfilling

the energy demand of the carbon concentrating mechanism[15,39]. The measured CEF to LEF ratio (CEF/LEF) of

C$_4$ leaves of about 1.7 greatly exceeded the C$_3$ leaf value of 0.9[40] but no experimental estimates of CEF in BS

cells were available. To study BS electron transport properties, here we isolated BS strands from leaves of *S.*

viridis and supplied all preparations with triose phosphate and NaHCO$_3$ to satisfy NADPH and (partially) CO$_2$

requirements of the C$_3$ cycle[30]. Thus, the electron transport chain of BS cells only needed to produce ATP to

support C$_3$ cycle activity. A strong ϕ_{NA} in WT BS cells in the absence of malate and its drastic decrease

(combined with an increase of ϕ_{ND}) after the malate addition corroborated that, without malate, activity of the

C$_3$ cycle was limited by CO$_2$ availability and potentially also by NADPH. CO$_2$ and NADPH derived from malate

increased the demand of the C$_3$ cycle for ATP which doubled PSI activity in WT BS cells (Fig. 4e). In those

conditions, 86% of electrons reducing PSI belonged to CEF (Fig. 4h), producing a CEF/LEF ratio of >6 which

closely resembled the model predictions[41]. These results provided long forthcoming experimental evidence for

PSI driving active CEF in C$_4$ BS cells set to supply ATP for the C$_3$ cycle.

Detailed electron transport analysis of BS cells from *ndh* plants showed that NDH mediated a large portion of

CEF and was the primary electron acceptor from PSI in BS cells. These conclusions were supported by a

decreased PSI reduction capacity (Fig. 4h) and a strong PSI acceptor side limitation observed in BS cells of *ndh*

plants (Fig. 4d). A 50% lower PSI activity in BS cells in the absence of NDH was proportional to the reduction of

leaf CO_2 assimilation rate (Fig. 2e and Fig. 5g) demonstrating the indispensable role of NDH-CEF in BS ATP

production. Interestingly, even in the absence of NDH, most of the electrons reducing PSI belonged to CEF (Fig.

4h). This residual CEF could be linked to PGR5, as suggested by the increased PGR5 content in BS cells of *ndh*

plants (Fig. 3a), and/or to other unknown CEF pathways. Molecular mechanisms of PGR5's action are, however,

still under debate[42], and further investigation is required to determine whether it contributes to ATP

production in BS cells or is mainly involved in photoprotection[27,43]. It is also worth mentioning that electron

transport limitations detected in BS cells of *ndh* plants were unlikely to be caused by photosynthetic control.

Since BS cells have low LEF (Fig. 4a,h), the C_3 cycle is not the primary PSI electron acceptor and does not

regulate PSI activity through NADPH consumption. Therefore, PSI (and hence CEF) activity in BS cells is likely

regulated via photosynthetic control that restricts electron transport at Cytb_6f to match the capacity of CO_2

assimilation reactions. In BS cells of *ndh* plants, electron transport was limited even in the presence of malate

despite an apparent easing up of photosynthetic control observed in WT BS cells upon malate addition (Fig. 4)

due to increased ATP demand upon providing CO_2 and NADPH.

Alternatively, a lack of PSI stimulation in response to malate in BS cells of *ndh* plants could also be explained

by inefficient malate decarboxylation *in vivo*. A supply of triose phosphate combined with an impaired ATP

generation capacity of BS cells in the absence of NDH likely resulted in an elevated NADPH/NADP$^+$ ratio and

unavailability of NADP$^+$ for malic enzyme. This could indicate a potential function of NDH in facilitating NADPH

oxidation and using electrons derived from it for poising CEF. In C_3 mesophyll chloroplasts, a respiration-like

non-photochemical reduction of the PQ pool from stromal reductants by NDH is a part of the chlororespiratory

pathway dissipating energy together with the plastidial terminal oxidase[44]. In C_4 plants, electron donation from

stromal donors to CEF is supported by observations of malate-driven carbon reduction in sorghum BS cells

independent of PSII activity[45] and by the capacity for reduction of PSI following malate addition to maize BS

cells[46]. Since BS cells are effectively constantly light-limited due to shading by mesophyll[41,47], driving CEF with

minimal PSII engagement would maximise the light received by PSI potentially contributing to the observation

that the highest quantum yields across all C_4 decarboxylation types are seen in plants utilizing the NADP-ME

photosynthetic mechanism[48]. However, the reverse reaction of the plant chloroplast ferredoxin:NADP$^+$

oxidoreductase (FNR, Fig. 1), also required for reduction of the PQ pool from stromal NADPH, although

theoretically possible and utilised *in vitro*[49], is yet to be shown *in vivo*.

The mesophyll CEF/LEF ratio was not affected in *ndh* plants (Fig. 6c), which was comparable to the low impact

of NDH deletion on CEF in C_3 plants in optimal conditions[35]. Instead, lower mesophyll Chl content (Table S1),

lower electron flux through PSII and higher NPQ (Fig. 5, Fig. S3) in *ndh* plants resembled effects observed in

Flaveria bidentis with genetically reduced Rubisco abundance[50]. This observation suggested that the absence

of NDH in the BS and inability of the C_3 cycle to efficiently assimilate CO_2 provided a negative feedback on the

C_4 cycle resulting in downregulation of mesophyll electron transport. Interestingly, since *pmf* in *ndh* plants was

significantly lower than in WT at irradiances above 500 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (Fig. 6), there was an increased sensitivity

of NPQ to *pmf* (Fig. 6d). An altered relationship between NPQ and ΔpH (or *pmf*) has been previously reported

in some genetically modified plants, for instance, lacking or over-producing chloroplast NADPH-dependent

thioredoxin reductase, or with increased Cytb_6f abundance[51,52]. These alterations are likely a result of

perturbed stromal redox regulation and are mediated through the chloroplast thioredoxin system which

directly targets multiple NPQ components[52,53]. The increased sensitivity of NPQ in *ndh* plants was therefore

also in line with persistent mesophyll stroma overreduction due to accumulation of NADPH caused by a slow-

down of the C_4 cycle activity in response to C_3 cycle limitation.

Conclusion

In NADP-ME species, NADPH for the C_3 cycle can be supplied from mesophyll cells through the influx of malate

and triose phosphate whilst providing ATP for regeneration of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate, the substrate of

Rubisco, is required in the BS (Fig. 1). The BS electron transport chain therefore becomes specialised to

primarily generate ATP by operating active CEF. Impaired CEF in the absence of NDH jeopardises the supply of

ATP to the C_3 cycle, thus, making NDH indispensable for C_4 photosynthesis. Engineering a fully operational

NADP-ME type C_4 photosynthesis into C_3 plants will require upregulating NDH abundance in BS cells to achieve

the desired increases in assimilation and radiation use efficiency.

Materials and Methods

Construct assembly, transformation and selection of edited plants

S. viridis plants with null *ndhO* alleles were created using CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing. The genomic and coding

sequences of *S. viridis ndhO* (Sevir.5G467100) were obtained from Phytozome (https://phytozome-

next.jgi.doe.gov). Two 19 nt gRNAs fitting the search criteria 'A..19N..NGG' within an exon, where NGG is the

protospacer adjacent motif, were selected using CRISPOR[54] (Fig. 2a). The use of 'A' for the first base of gRNAs

was required to maximise the expression from *Oryza sativa* snoRNA *U3* (*OsU3*) promoter[55]. The *cas9* gene and

OsU3 promoter sequences were obtained from pRGEB32[56] (Addgene) and adapted for the Golden Gate cloning

system[57]. To create a gene construct for *ndhO* editing (Fig. 2b), the first expression module in a plant binary

vector pAGM4723 was occupied by the hygromycin phosphotransferase gene (*hpt*) driven by the *O. sativa*

Actin1 (*OsAct1*) promoter. The second expression module contained *cas9* under the control of *Z. mays*

Ubiquitine1 (*ZmUbi1*) promoter. Both *hpt* and *cas9* were supplied with the bacterial nopaline synthase

terminator. The third expression module in pAGM4723 was occupied by the two selected gRNAs forming a

single synthetic polycistronic gene, assembled according to Xie, et al. [56], to be processed via the endogenous

tRNA-processing system, under the control of *OsU3* promoter. The resulting construct was transformed into

S. viridis cv. MEO V34-1 using the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1[58]. T_0 plants resistant to hygromycin

were transferred to soil and analysed for *hpt* copy number by digital PCR (iDNA Genetics, Norwich, UK).

To select T_0 plants with active Cas9, DNA was extracted from leaves with the DNeasy Plant kit (Qiagen, Venlo,
The Netherlands) and the region of *ndhO* spanning both gRNAs was amplified using the primers
CGCGTGGACAAGGAGAAGTA and CGTAGTCCAGCTTGTCCGAC. PCR products were sequenced and the edited
ndhO alleles identified using Geneious Prime (https://www.geneious.com). Selected T_0 plants were self-
pollinated and their progeny analysed by digital PCR to retain only the plants that segregated out the T-DNA.
Next, *ndhO* was sequenced to select the plants homozygous for *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* alleles (Fig. S1). The T_2
progenies of those homozygous plants were used in all subsequent experiments. Gene and protein sequences
were visualised in Geneious Prime, and protein structures were modelled using AlphaFold2[59] and Mol*[60].

Plant growth conditions

All plants were grown in a controlled environment room with a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod, 28°C day, 22°C
night, 60% humidity and ambient CO_2 (if not stated otherwise) at a light intensity of 300 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} supplied
by 1000 W red sunrise 3200K lamps (Sunmaster Growlamps, Solon, OH). For the high CO_2 growth experiment,
air in the growth room was supplied with 2% CO_2. For plants grown from seeds, seeds were surface-sterilised
in 10% bleach and germinated on a rooting medium (pH 5.7) containing 2.15 g L^{-1} Murashige and Skoog salts,
10 ml L^{-1} 100× Murashige and Skoog vitamins stock, 30 g L^{-1} sucrose and 7 g L^{-1} Phytoblend. Seedlings that
developed secondary roots were transferred to 0.6 L pots with garden soil mix layered on top with 2 cm of
seed raising mix (Debco, Tyabb, Australia) both containing 1 g L^{-1} Osmocote (Scotts, Bella Vista, Australia).
Wild-type (WT) *S. viridis* plants were used as control in all experiments. Youngest fully expanded leaves of the
3–4-week-old plants, before flowering, were used for all analyses.

Chl analysis

296   Chl content in leaves, bundle sheath strands and protein samples was measured in 80% acetone buffered with
297   25 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.8) according to Porra, et al. [61]. The portion of leaf Chl in BS cells (Chl_{BS} / Chl_{leaf}) was
298   calculated from the RbcL immunoblots as described in Ermakova, et al. [14]. Chl contents of BS and mesophyll
299   cells per leaf area (Chl_{BS} and Chl_{MES}, Table S1) were calculated by multiplying leaf Chl content by the portion of
300   leaf Chl in BS cells or in mesophyll.

Blue-native PAGE, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting

Thylakoid isolation and Blue-native PAGE were performed as described in Ermakova, et al. [51]. For protein
analysis, BS strands were isolated following the procedure of Ghannoum, et al. [62]. Protein isolation from leaves
and BS strands, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were performed as described in Ermakova, et al. [14]. Aliquots
were taken from protein samples for Chl analysis immediately after grinding. Samples were loaded either on
leaf area or Chl (*a*+*b*) basis. Membranes were probed with antibodies against photosynthetic proteins: NdhH
(1:3000, AS164065, Agrisera, Vännäs, Sweden), RbcL (1:10,000,[63]), PEPC (1:10,000,[64]), SBPase (1:3000,
AS152873, Agrisera), D1 (1:10,000, AS10704, Agrisera), Rieske (1:5000, AS08330, Agrisera), PsaB (1:5000, AS10
695, Agrisera), AtpB (1:10,000, Agrisera), PGR5 (1:3000, AS163985, Agrisera, verification of this antibody is
shown in Fig. S6), PsbS (1:3000, AS09533, Agrisera), Lhcb2 (1:10,000, AS01003, Agrisera). Quantification of

immunoblots was performed with Image Lab software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Relative protein

abundances per unit of Chl in leaves (P_{leaf}) and BS cells (P_{BS}) were estimated from the immunoblots (Fig. 3).

Using Chl contents of leaves and cells per leaf area (Chl_{leaf}, Chl_{BS} and Chl_{MES} in Table S1), relative protein

abundances per unit of Chl in mesophyll cells (P_{MES}) were calculated as described in Ermakova, et al. [14] assuming

that: P_{leaf} x Chl_{leaf} = P_{BS} x Chl_{BS} + P_{MES} x Chl_{MES}.

O_2 evolution of BS strands

For activity assays, BS strands were isolated following the procedure of Furbank and Badger [30], resuspended in

activity buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM KH_2PO_4, 10 mM KCl, 0.3 M sorbitol) to a Chl

($a + b$) concentration of 25 µg mL^{-1}, purged with N_2 gas and kept on ice. Membrane inlet mass spectrometry

(MIMS) was used to measure the steady-state gross O_2 evolution rates through the production of $^{16}O_2$ from

suspensions of BS cells at a saturating irradiance (1000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}). The system consisted of a magnetically

stirred, thermally controlled at 25 °C sample cuvette separated from the high vacuum line of a Thermo Delta

V ion ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corp, Bremen, Germany) via a Teflon membrane (Hansatech

Instruments, Norfolk, UK). The top lid of the cuvette had a quartz window through which halogen light was

supplied through a fibre optic and a septum. BS suspensions were loaded into the cuvette, purged with N_2 and

then supplied with 1 mM HCO_3^-, 1 mg mL^{-1} carbonic anhydrase and 5 mM dihydroxyacetone phosphate to

support CO_2 assimilation[30]. Samples were left in darkness for 5 mins to reach equilibrium, followed by initiation

of data acquisition for 2.5 min in the dark and 5 min at 1000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Rates and calibrations were conducted

as described in Beckmann, et al. [65].

P700 spectroscopy on BS strands

For P700 measurements, 1 mL aliquot of BS suspension was incubated for five minutes at room temperature

with 100 mM $NaHCO_3$ and 5 mM dihydroxyacetone phosphate to support CO_2 assimilation[30] and with 10 mM

malate and 200 µM methyl viologen when required. The suspension was then filtered via gentle vacuum onto

a glass fiber disc (Whatman, Buckinghamshire, UK). The disc was saturated with activity buffer and metabolites

and loaded into the measurement cuvette set at 25 °C. Redox changes of P700 were measured using the

method and a set-up of Kou, et al. [66]. A dual wavelength (820/870 nm) unit ED-P700DW (Heinz Walz, Effeltrich,

Germany) was attached to a pulse amplitude modulation fluorometer PAM-101 (Heinz Walz) in the reflectance

mode. The signal was zeroed in darkness before measurements were made. To determine P_M, the maximal

P700$^+$ signal, samples without added malate were illuminated with weak far-red light of 30 µmol m^2 s^{-1} to

preferentially drive PSI photochemistry, over which a saturating pulse (10 µs at 9000 µmol m^2 s^{-1}) was

triggered.

Next, samples were illuminated for three minutes with white actinic light of 1000 µmol m^2 s^{-1} to reach a steady-

state. The same actinic light was then maintained for 9.016 s, using an electronic shutter controlled by one

terminal of a pulse/delay generator (Model 555, Berkeley Nucleonics, San Rafael, CA, USA). During the 9.016-

s illumination cycle, data acquisition (using software written by the late AB Hope) was started by a second

terminal of the pulse/delay generator yielding P, a steady-state P700$^+$ level. At 8.85 s, a strong far-red light

(2000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) from an LED (741 nm ± 13 nm, LED735–66–60, Roithner LaserTechnik, Vienna, Austria) was

triggered on for 100 ms using a third terminal of the pulse/delay generator to help oxidise P700[50]. While the

far-red light was on, at 8.90 s, a saturating light pulse (9000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) was applied for 20 ms from a fourth

terminal of the pulse/delay generator, yielding P$_M$', the maximal oxidised level of P700 under light. Finally, the

white actinic light was turned off by the electronic shutter at 9.016 s whilst the data acquisition continued for

85 ms. Immediately after a completion of one cycle of illumination and data acquisition, another 9.016-s cycle

restarted, and sixteen traces were averaged automatically to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The

photochemical yield of PSI, ϕ_I, was calculated as (P$_M$'-P)/P$_M$; the non-photochemical yield of the PSI acceptor

side, ϕ_{NA}, was calculated as (P$_M$-P$_M$')/P$_M$; the non-photochemical yield of PSI due to the donor side limitation,

ϕ_{ND}, was calculated as P/P$_M$. The rate of P700 re-reduction was obtained from the initial slope of P700$^+$ decline

in the dark.

Leaf spectroscopic and fluorescence analyses

Leaf PSII and PSI yields were measured with the Dual PAM-100 (Heinz Walz) under the red actinic light (635

nm). PSII activity was assessed with the pulse amplitude modulated fluorescence method using 620 nm

measuring light of 9 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. The redox state of P700 was assessed by detecting absorbance changes of

the cation at 830 nm with a dual wavelength unit (830/875 nm). Saturating pulses of red light (635 nm) at

12,000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} were used. Leaves were first dark adapted for 30 min and then the maximum (F$_M$) and the

minimum (F$_0$) levels of fluorescence in the dark were recorded upon the application of a saturating pulse. The

maximum quantum yield of PSII (F$_V$/F$_M$) was calculated from those values as (F$_M$- F$_0$)/ F$_M$. Then, the maximal

P700$^+$ signal (P$_M$) was recorded upon the application of a saturating pulse at the end of the far-red light (720

nm) illumination, and the minimal P700$^+$ signal (P$_0$) was recorded after the saturating pulse. After that, leaves

were illuminated for 10 min with actinic light of 400 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} followed by 2 min of darkness, during which

saturating pulses were applied every 40 s to probe for a build-up and relaxation of NPQ. NPQ was calculated

after Bilger and Björkman [67] as (F$_M$-F$_M$')/F$_M$' where F$_M$' is the maximum level of fluorescence under light

recorded upon the application of a saturating pulse.

PSI and PSII parameters were then tested at 2-min intervals of increasing irradiance (0 to 1890 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) by

applying a saturating pulse at the end of each period. Recording F$_M$' and F (the fluorescence level briefly before

the application of a saturating pulse) allowed tracking of the partitioning of absorbed excitation energy within

PSII between the photochemical (ϕ_{II}) and non-photochemical reactions, including the regulated (ϕ_{NPQ}) and

non-regulated (ϕ_{NO}) fractions[68]. Using the steady-state P700$^+$ signal (P) and the maximal P700$^+$ signal under

light (P$_M$') recorded just before and upon the pulse application, respectively, we calculated the photochemical

yield of PSI (ϕ_I) and the non-photochemical yields of PSI due the acceptor (ϕ_{NA}) or donor (ϕ_{ND}) side limitations[69].

Gas-exchange analysis

Concomitant gas exchange and fluorescence analyses were performed at different irradiances and intercellular

CO_2 partial pressures using a LI-6800 (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) equipped with a fluorometer head

6800-01A (LI-COR Biosciences). 90% red/10% blue actinic light was used for all measurements. First, leaves

were equilibrated at 381 µbar CO_2 in the reference side, leaf temperature 25°C, 60% humidity, and a flow rate

of 500 µmol s^{-1}. Then, either CO_2 partial pressures from 0 to 1200 µbar were imposed at 3-min intervals at a

constant irradiance of 1500 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (CO_2 response curve) or a stepwise increase of irradiance from 0 to

2000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} at 2-min intervals was imposed at a constant 381 µbar of CO_2 in the reference side (light

response curve). A relative electron flux through PSII (i x ϕ_{II}) was estimated upon the application of multiphase

saturating pulses (8000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) as irradiance multiplied by the photochemical yield of PSII[70].

Thylakoid membrane energisation

Electrochromic shift signal (ECS) was monitored as absorbance changes between 550 and 515 nm with the

Dual PAM-100 equipped with the P515/535 emitter-detector module (Heinz Walz) and normalised for the

amplitude of ECS response to a saturating pulse (20 ms, 14,000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) measured from the dark-adapted

leaves. Measurements were conducted on dark-adapted for 40 min leaves during 3-min light and 3-min dark

intervals of increasing irradiance. Proton motive force (*pmf*) and ΔpH were estimated upon the shift from light

to dark (Fig. S4)[71]. Proton conductivity of the thylakoid membrane (g_{H+}) was calculated as an inverse time

constant of the first order exponential ECS decay[70] fitted in OriginPro 2018b (OriginLab, Northampton, MA,

USA), and the light-driven proton flux (v_{H+}) was calculated as the initial rate of change in the ECS signal upon

light termination[72].

Statistical analysis

ANOVA and two-tailed heteroscedastic Student's *t*-test were performed in OriginPro 2018b. Details of

replication, *post-hoc* tests and *P* values are provided in the main text and in figure legends.

Acknowledgements

We thank Brendon Conlan and Spencer Whitney for a gift of vectors and antibodies, Fred Chow for equipment

and assistance with P700 measurements, Xueqin Wang for Setaria transformation, and Emily Watson, Samuel

James Nix and Zac Taylor for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council

Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis (CE140100015).

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of metabolic (top part) and light (bottom part) reactions of C_4 photosynthesis.

CA, carbonic anhydrase; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; PEPC, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; PPDK, pyruvate

phosphate dikinase; OAA, oxaloacetate; MDH, malate dehydrogenase; ME, $NADP^+$-dependent malic enzyme;

RuBP, ribulose-5-bisphosphaste; Rub, Rubisco; 3-PGA, 3-phospho-glyceraldehyde; Triose-P, triose phosphate;

Ru-5-P, ribulose-5-phosphate; LEF, linear electron flow; CEF, cyclic electron flow; PSII, Photosystem II; PQ,

plastoquinone; Cytb$_6$f, Cytochrome b_6f complex; PC, plastocyanin; NDH, chloroplast NADH dehydrogenase-like

complex; Fd, ferredoxin; PSI, Photosystem I; FNR, ferredoxin:$NADP^+$ oxidoreductase.

Fig. 2. Creating *S. viridis* plants lacking NDH complex. **a**. Positions of guide RNAs (gRNAs) within the third and fifth exons of the *ndhO* genomic sequence and schematics of the gene construct assembled for transformation (see details in Materials and Methods). **b**. Immunodetection of the NdhH subunit of NDH and the large subunit of Rubisco (RbcL) in leaf protein extracts from wild-type (WT) and *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* plants with homozygous null *ndhO* alleles loaded on leaf area basis. Relative quantification of the blots is shown in Fig. S2. (**c, d**) Phenotype and biomass of plants grown for two weeks in air (Ambient CO_2) or in air with 2% CO_2 (High CO_2). **d**. Mean ± SE, points are biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences between edited and WT plants ($P = 0.00003$ for *ndhO-2*, $P = 0.0002$ for *ndhO-6*), no differences were found between *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* plants ($P = 0.99$) or between the CO_2 treatments ($P = 0.24$) using two-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post-hoc* test at $\alpha = 0.05$. **e**. Response of CO_2 assimilation rate (A) to intercellular CO_2 partial pressures (C_i) measured at 1500 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} from plants grown at ambient CO_2. Mean ± SE, $n = 5$ biological replicates. A was significantly decreased in both *ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6* plants compared to WT at C_i above 100 μbar (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post-hoc* test at $\alpha = 0.05$).

 Fig. 3. Abundance of photosynthetic proteins in wild type (WT) *S. viridis* and *ndhO-2* plants lacking NDH. **a**.

 Immunodetection of RbcL (the large subunit of Rubisco), Rieske (Cytb_6f), NdhH (NDH), D1 (PSII), PsaB (PSI),

 AtpB (ATP synthase), PGR5 (the lower band, see Fig S6 for details) and PsbS in bundle sheath (BS) or leaf protein

 samples loaded on Chl ($a + b$) basis. Three biological replicates (1, 2, 3) were loaded for each group and the

 titration series of one of the samples was used for relative quantification. **b**. Relative quantification of protein

 abundance per unit of Chl in leaves, BS and mesophyll (M) cells. Mean ± SE and three replicates are shown.

 Each protein has its own relative scale. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the same

 cell types of WT and *ndhO-2* plants (*t*-test, $P < 0.05$).

Fig. 4. Photosynthetic properties of bundle sheath (BS) strands isolated from leaves of wild-type (WT) *S. viridis*
and *ndhO-2* plants lacking NDH complex. All BS preparations were normalised to 25 µg Chl mL^{-1} and
supplemented with NaHCO$_3$ and triose phosphate. **a**. Gross O$_2$ evolution rates of BS strands illuminated with
white actinic light of 1000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (*t*-test at $P < 0.05$). **b**. The maximum photo-oxidisable P700 (PSI reaction
centre), P$_M$ (n.s., not significant; *t*-test at $P < 0.05$). **c.** Fast kinetics of P700$^+$ signal recorded from BS strands
with or without 10 mM malate. BS were illuminated with white actinic light of 1000 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (white bar on
top of the graph), actinic light with added far-red light (pink bar) or combined actinic, far-red light and a
saturating pulse (red bar). Points on the WT trace with malate demonstrate how the P (the steady-state P700
oxidation level) and P$_M$' (the maximum level of P700 oxidation under light) values were obtained. **d.** The non-
photochemical yield of PSI due to the acceptor side limitation (ϕ_{NA}) calculated as (P$_M$-P$_M$')/P$_M$. **e.** The effective
quantum yield of PSI (ϕ_I) calculated as (P$_M$'-P)/P$_M$. **f.** The non-photochemical yield of PSI due to the donor side
limitation (ϕ_{ND}) calculated as P/P$_M$. **g.** Fast kinetics of P700$^+$ signal upon the light-dark shift normalised for the
steady-state P700$^+$ level for comparison of the kinetics. Arrows indicate the end of illumination. 200 µM of
methyl viologen (MV) was added in addition to 10 mM of malate when indicated. **f.** The relative rate of P700
re-reduction estimated from initial slopes of the dark relaxation kinetics shown in (g). All bar graphs show
Mean ± SD. (**c, g**) Traces are average of 2-5 biological replicates. Mean ± SE. (**d, e, f, h**) Letters indicate significant
differences between the groups (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post-hoc* test at α > 0.05).

Fig. 5. Leaf photosynthesis in wild-type *S. viridis* (WT) and gene-edited plants lacking NDH (*ndhO-2* and *ndhO-6*). (**a**, **b**, **c**) The photochemical yield of PSII (ϕ_{II}), the yield of non-regulated energy dissipation (ϕ_{NO}) and the yield of non-photochemical quenching (ϕ_{NPQ}) in PSII. (**d**, **e**, **f**) The photochemical yield of PSI (ϕ_I) and the non-photochemical yields of PSI donor (ϕ_{ND}) and acceptor (ϕ_{NA}) sides. PSI and PSII quantum yields were analysed concomitantly at different irradiance with Dual-PAM-100. (**g**, **h**, **i**) CO_2 assimilation rate (*A*), the ratio of intercellular to ambient CO_2 partial pressures (C_i/C_a) and a relative electron flux through PSII (*i* x ϕ_{II}) measured concomitantly at different irradiance using Licor-6800. Mean ± SE, *n* = 4 biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the edited and WT plants (one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's *post-hoc* test, α = 0.05): black asterisks for *ndhO-2*, grey asterisks for *ndhO-6*.

Fig. 6. Thylakoid membrane energisation in wild-type (WT) *S. viridis* and gene-edited plants lacking NDH (*ndhO-2*). (**a**, **b**) Proton motive force (*pmf*) and proton conductivity of the thylakoid membrane (g_{H+}) after 3-min illumination at different irradiance. **c**. The relationship between the light-driven proton flux (ν_{H+}) and the relative electron flux through PSII. The slope of linear regression of ν_{H+} versus $i \times \phi_{II}$ is 0.088 ±0.017 for WT and 0.080 ±0.012 for *ndhO-2* (*P* = 0.71). **d**. The relationship between the energy-dependent non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and *pmf*. The slope of linear regression of NPQ versus *pmf* is 2.25 ±0.6 for WT and 4.14 ±0.33 for *ndhO-2* (*P* = 0.03). Mean ± SE, *n* = 4 biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the edited and WT plants at *P* < 0.05. The relationship between mean values of edited and WT plants was tested by the Student's *t*-test.

References

Sage, R. F. & Zhu, X.-G. Exploiting the engine of C_4 photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 2989-3000 (2011).

Long, S. P. Environmental responses. *C_4 plant biology*, 215-249 (1999).

Hatch, M. D. C_4 photosynthesis - a unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and ultrastructure. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta* **895**, 81-106 (1987).

Furbank, R. T. Evolution of the C_4 photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three C_4 acid decarboxylation types? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 3103-3108 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1093/jxb/err080

Ermakova, M. *et al.* Installation of C_4 photosynthetic pathway enzymes in rice using a single construct. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **19**, 575-588 (2021).

Ermakova, M., Danila, F. R., Furbank, R. T. & von Caemmerer, S. On the road to C_4 rice: advances and perspectives. *The Plant Journal* **101**, 940-950 (2020).

Munekage, Y. Light harvesting and chloroplast electron transport in NADP-malic enzyme type C_4 plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **31**, 9-15 (2016).

Malone, L. A., Proctor, M. S., Hitchcock, A., Hunter, C. N. & Johnson, M. P. Cytochrome b6f – orchestrator of photosynthetic electron transfer. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* **1862**, 148380 (2021). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2021.148380

Müller, P., Li, X.-P. & Niyogi, K. K. Non-photochemical quenching. A response to excess light energy. *Plant physiology* **125**, 1558-1566 (2001).

Li, X.-P. *et al.* Regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting involves intrathylakoid lumen pH sensing by the PsbS protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**, 22866-22874 (2004).

Demmig-Adams, B. Carotenoids and photoprotection in plants: A role for the xanthophyll zeaxanthin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* **1020**, 1-24 (1990).

Kramer, D. M. & Evans, J. R. The importance of energy balance in improving photosynthetic productivity. *Plant Physiology* **155**, 70-78 (2011).

von Caemmerer, S. & Furbank, R. T. Strategies for improving C_4 photosynthesis. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **31**, 125-134 (2016).

Ermakova, M. *et al.* Upregulation of bundle sheath electron transport capacity under limiting light in C_4 *Setaria viridis*. *The Plant Journal* **106**, 1443-1454 (2021).

Furbank, R., Jenkins, C. & Hatch, M. C_4 photosynthesis: quantum requirement, C_4 and overcycling and Q-cycle involvement. *Functional Plant Biology* **17**, 1-7 (1990).

Woo, K. C., Gerbaud, A. & Furbank, R. T. Evidence for endogenous cyclic photophosphorylation in intact chloroplasts: $^{14}CO_2$ fixation with dihydroxyacetone phosphate. *Plant Physiology* **72**, 321-325 (1983).

Shikanai, T. Cyclic Electron Transport Around Photosystem I: Genetic Approaches. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **58**, 199-217 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.091406.110525

Munekage, Y. *et al.* Elevated expression of PGR5 and NDH-H in bundle sheath chloroplasts in C_4 *Flaveria* species. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **51**, 664-668 (2010).

Munekage, Y. & Taniguchi, Y. Y. Promotion of cyclic electron transport around Photosystem I with the development of C_4 photosynthesis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **57**, 897-903 (2016).

Majeran, W. *et al.* Consequences of C_4 differentiation for chloroplast membrane proteomes in maize mesophyll and bundle sheath cells. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* **7**, 1609-1638 (2008).

Kubicki, A., Funk, E., Westhoff, P. & Steinmüller, K. Differential expression of plastome-encoded *ndh* genes in mesophyll and bundle-sheath chloroplasts of the C_4 plant *Sorghum bicolor* indicates that the complex I-homologous NAD(P)H-plastoquinone oxidoreductase is involved in cyclic electron transport. *Planta* **199**, 276-281 (1996).

Takabayashi, A., Kishine, M., Asada, K., Endo, T. & Sato, F. Differential use of two cyclic electron flows around photosystem I for driving CO_2-concentration mechanism in C_4 photosynthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 16898-16903 (2005).

Sazanov, L. A., Burrows, P. & Nixon, P. J. Detection and characterization of a complex I-like NADH-specific dehydrogenase from pea thylakoids. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **24**, 739-743 (1996).

Shikanai, T. *et al.* Directed disruption of the tobacco *ndhB* gene impairs cyclic electron flow around photosystem I. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **95**, 9705-9709 (1998).

25 Peterson, R. B., Schultes, N. P., McHale, N. A. & Zelitch, I. Evidence for a role for NAD(P)H
dehydrogenase in concentration of CO_2 in the bundle sheath cell of *Zea mays*. *Plant Physiology* **171**,
125 (2016).
26 Ishikawa, N. *et al.* NDH-mediated cyclic electron flow around Photosystem I is crucial for C_4
photosynthesis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **57**, 2020-2028 (2016).
27 Ogawa, T. *et al.* Two cyclic electron flows around photosystem I differentially participate in C_4
photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* (2023). https://doi.org:10.1093/plphys/kiad032
28 Alonso-Cantabrana, H. *et al.* Diffusion of CO_2 across the mesophyll-bundle sheath cell interface in a C_4
plant with genetically reduced PEP carboxylase activity. *Plant Physiology* **178**, 72-81 (2018).
29 Danila, F. R. *et al.* Bundle sheath suberisation is required for C_4 photosynthesis in a *Setaria viridis*
mutant. *Communications Biology* **4**, 254 (2021).
30 Furbank, R. T. & Badger, M. R. Photorespiratory characteristics of isolated bundle sheath strands of C_4
monocotyledons. *Functional Plant Biology* **10**, 451-458 (1983).
31 Baker, N. R., Harbinson, J. & Kramer, D. M. Determining the limitations and regulation of
photosynthetic energy transduction in leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **30**, 1107-1125 (2007).
https://doi.org:doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01680.x
32 Laughlin, T. G., Savage, D. F. & Davies, K. M. Recent advances on the structure and function of NDH-1:
The complex I of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* **1861**,
148254 (2020).
33 Ruhlman, T. A. *et al.* NDH expression marks major transitions in plant evolution and reveals coordinate
intracellular gene loss. *BMC Plant Biology* **15**, 100 (2015).
34 Strand, D. D., Fisher, N. & Kramer, D. M. The higher plant plastid NAD(P)H dehydrogenase-like complex
(NDH) is a high efficiency proton pump that increases ATP production by cyclic electron flow. *Journal*
of Biological Chemistry **292**, 11850-11860 (2017).
35 Livingston, A. K., Cruz, J. A., Kohzuma, K., Dhingra, A. & Kramer, D. M. An Arabidopsis mutant with high
cyclic electron flow around Photosystem I (*hcef*) Involving the NADPH dehydrogenase complex *The*
Plant Cell **22**, 221-233 (2010).
36 Yamori, W., Makino, A. & Shikanai, T. A physiological role of cyclic electron transport around
photosystem I in sustaining photosynthesis under fluctuating light in rice. *Scientific Reports* **6** (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1038/srep20147
37 Nakano, H., Yamamoto, H. & Shikanai, T. Contribution of NDH-dependent cyclic electron transport
around photosystem I to the generation of proton motive force in the weak mutant allele of *pgr5*.
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics **1860**, 369-374 (2019).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2019.03.003
38 Lyu, M.-J. A. *et al.* The coordination of major events in C_4 photosynthesis evolution in the genus
Flaveria. *Scientific Reports* **11**, 15618 (2021).
39 von Caemmerer, S. Updating the steady state model of C_4 photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental*
Botany **72**, 6003-6017 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1101/2021.03.13.435281
40 Sagun, J. V., Badger, M. R., Chow, W. S. & Ghannoum, O. Cyclic electron flow and light partitioning
between the two photosystems in leaves of plants with different functional types. *Photosynthesis*
Research **142**, 321-334 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1007/s11120-019-00666-1
41 Bellasio, C. & Ermakova, M. Reduction of bundle sheath size boosts cyclic electron flow in C_4 *Setaria*
viridis acclimated to low light. *The Plant Journal* **111**, 1223-1237 (2022).
42 Kanazawa, A. *et al.* Chloroplast ATP synthase modulation of the thylakoid proton motive force:
implications for Photosystem I and Photosystem II photoprotection. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8** (2017).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fpls.2017.00719
43 Tazoe, Y. *et al.* Overproduction of PGR5 enhances the electron sink downstream of Photosystem I in a
C_4 plant, *Flaveria bidentis*. *The Plant Journal* **103**, 814-823 (2020).
44 Peltier, G., Aro, E.-M. & Shikanai, T. NDH-1 and NDH-2 plastoquinone reductases in oxygenic
photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **67**, 55-80 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-
arplant-043014-114752
45 Osmond, B. Carbon reduction and photosystem II deficiency in leaves of C_4 plants. *Aust J Plant Physiol*,
41-50 (1974).

46 Ivanov, B., Asada, K., Kramer, D. M. & Edwards, G. Characterization of photosynthetic electron
transport in bundle sheath cells of maize. I. Ascorbate effectively stimulates cyclic electron flow around
PSI. *Planta* **220**, 572-581 (2005).

47 Bellasio, C. & Lundgren, M. R. Anatomical constraints to C$_4$ evolution: light harvesting capacity in the
bundle sheath. *New Phytologist* **212**, 485–496 (2016).

48 Ehleringer, J. & Pearcy, R. W. Variation in Quantum Yield for CO2 Uptake among C3 and C4 Plants.
Plant Physiology **73**, 555-559 (1983). https://doi.org:Doi 10.1104/Pp.73.3.555

49 Munekage, Y. *et al.* PGR5 is involved in cyclic electron flow around Photosystem I and is essential for
photoprotection in Arabidopsis. *Cell* **110**, 361-371 (2002).

50 Siebke, K., von Caemmerer, S., Badger, M. & Furbank, R. T. Expressing an *rbcS* antisense gene in
transgenic *Flaveria bidentis* leads to an increased quantum requirement for CO$_2$ fixed in Photosystems
I and II. *Plant Physiology* **115**, 1163-1174 (1997).

51 Ermakova, M., Lopez-Calcagno, P. E., Raines, C. A., Furbank, R. T. & von Caemmerer, S. Overexpression
of the Rieske FeS protein of the Cytochrome b$_6$f complex increases C$_4$ photosynthesis in *Setaria viridis*.
Communications Biology **2** (2019).

52 Nikkanen, L., Guinea Diaz, M., Toivola, J., Tiwari, A. & Rintamäki, E. Multilevel regulation of non-
photochemical quenching and state transitions by chloroplast NADPH-dependent thioredoxin
reductase. *Physiologia Plantarum* **166**, 211-225 (2019).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12914

53 Da, Q. *et al.* M-type thioredoxins are involved in the xanthophyll cycle and proton motive force to alter
NPQ under low-light conditions in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Reports* **37**, 279-291 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1007/s00299-017-2229-6

54 Concordet, J.-P. & Haeussler, M. CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing
experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**, 242-245 (2018).

55 Hassan, M. M. *et al.* Construct design for CRISPR/Cas-based genome editing in plants. *Trends in Plant
Science* **26**, 1133-1152 (2021).

56 Xie, K., Minkenberg, B. & Yang, Y. Boosting CRISPR/Cas9 multiplex editing capability with the
endogenous tRNA-processing system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 3570-
3575 (2015).

57 Engler, C. *et al.* A Golden Gate modular cloning toolbox for plants. *ACS Synthetic Biology* **3**, 839-843
(2014).

58 Osborn, H. L. *et al.* Effects of reduced carbonic anhydrase activity on CO$_2$ assimilation rates in *Setaria
viridis*: a transgenic analysis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**, 299-310 (2016).

59 Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-589
(2021).

60 Sehnal, D. *et al.* Mol* Viewer: modern web app for 3D visualization and analysis of large biomolecular
structures. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, 431-437 (2021).

61 Porra, R. J., Thompson, W. A. & Kriedemann, P. E. Determination of accurate extinction coefficients
and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents:
verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy.
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics **975**, 384-394 (1989).

62 Ghannoum, O. *et al.* Faster rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in NADP-malic enzyme
relative to NAD-malic enzyme C$_4$ grasses. *Plant Physiology* **137**, 638-650 (2005).

63 Martin-Avila, E. *et al.* Modifying plant photosynthesis and growth via simultaneous chloroplast
transformation of Rubisco large and small subunits. *The Plant Cell* **32**, 2898-2916 (2020).

64 Karki, S. *et al.* A role for neutral variation in the evolution of C$_4$ photosynthesis. *bioRxiv* (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1101/2020.05.19.104299

65 Beckmann, K., Messinger, J., Badger, M. R., Wydrzynski, T. & Hillier, W. On-line mass spectrometry:
membrane inlet sampling. *Photosynthesis research* **102**, 511-522 (2009).

66 Kou, J. *et al.* Estimation of the steady-state cyclic electron flux around PSI in spinach leaf discs in white
light, CO$_2$-enriched air and other varied conditions. *Functional Plant Biology* **40**, 1018-1028 (2013).

67 Bilger, W. & Björkman, O. Role of the xanthophyll cycle in photoprotection elucidated by
measurements of light-induced absorbance changes, fluorescence and photosynthesis in leaves of
Hedera canariensis. *Photosynthesis Research* **25**, 173-185 (1990).

68 Kramer, D., Johnson, G., Kiirats, O. & Edwards, G. New fluorescence parameters for the determination
of QA redox state and excitation energy fluxes. *Photosynthesis Research* **79**, 209-218 (2004).
69 Klughammer, C. & Schreiber, U. Saturation pulse method for assessment of energy conversion in PS I.
PAM Application notes **1**, 3 (2008).
70 Sacksteder, C. A., Kanazawa, A., Jacoby, M. E. & Kramer, D. M. The proton to electron stoichiometry of
steady-state photosynthesis in living plants: a proton-pumping Q cycle is continuously engaged.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **97**, 14283-14288 (2000).
71 Takizawa, K., Cruz, J. A., Kanazawa, A. & Kramer, D. M. The thylakoid proton motive force in vivo.
Quantitative, non-invasive probes, energetics, and regulatory consequences of light-induced pmf.
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics **1767**, 1233-1244 (2007).
72 Takizawa, K., Kanazawa, A. & Kramer, D. M. Depletion of stromal Pi induces high 'energy-dependent'
antenna exciton quenching (qE) by decreasing proton conductivity at CFO-CF1 ATP synthase. *Plant,*
Cell & Environment **31**, 235-243 (2008).

654