Escherichia coli self-organizes developmental rosettes

Devina Puri[1]° and Kyle R. Allison[1,2,3]*

[1]*Department of Biomedical Engineering, Emory University and Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA*
[2]*Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA*
[3]*Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA*

*Correspondence: Kyle R. Allison

°Currently: Department of Molecular Microbiology and Center for Women's Infectious Disease Research, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO

Email: kyle.r.allison@emory.edu

Author Contributions: DP performed experiments and analyses. DP & KRA designed experiments, interpreted results, and wrote the manuscript. KRA developed and performed motility experiments.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

This PDF includes:

    Main Text
    Figures 1 to 5

Abstract

Rosettes are self-organizing, circular multicellular communities that initiate developmental processes, like organogenesis and embryogenesis, in complex organisms. Their formation results from the active repositioning of adhered sister cells and is thought to distinguish multicellular organisms form unicellular ones. Though common in eukaryotes, this multicellular behavior has not been reported in bacteria. In this study, we discovered that *Escherichia coli* forms rosettes by active sister cell repositioning. After division, sister cells "fold" to actively align at the 2- and 4-cell stages of clonal division, thereby producing rosettes with characteristic quatrefoil configuration. Analysis revealed folding follows an angular random walk, comprised of ~1-µm strokes and directional randomization. We further showed that this motion was produced by the flagellum, the extracellular tail whose rotation generates swimming motility. Rosette formation was found to require *de novo* flagella synthesis suggesting it must balance the opposing forces of *Ag43* adhesion and flagellar propulsion. We went on to show that proper rosette formation was developmentally required for subsequent morphogenesis of multicellular chains, *rpoS* expression, and formation of hydrostatic clonal-chain biofilms. Moreover, we discovered self-folding rosette-like communities in the standard motility assay, indicating this behavior may be general to hydrostatic environments. This study establishes that self-organization of developmental rosettes is a cross-kingdom multicellular behavior. Our findings indicate the potential of targeting bacterial rosettes to interrupt biofilms or reduce their antibiotic tolerance.

Introduction

Multicellularity evolved from unicellular organisms billions of years ago, ultimately producing complex organisms like animals (1). "Multicellularity" is studied in diverse fields, but its meaning can vary from one to another (2). In a basic sense, an organism's multicellularity results from its collected multicellular behaviors, which can range from simple (*e.g.*, cell-cell aggregation) to complicated (*e.g.*, organogenesis). Such behaviors are programmed (3), compared across species (4), experimentally evolved (5), and genetically dissected to understand multicellular development and evolution. Furthermore, identification of shared multicellular behaviors between dissimilar species could point to common design principles of multicellularity.

We recently discovered that *Escherichia coli* performs a facultative multicellular life cycle in hydrostatic environments (6, 7) (Fig. S1-2). Though *E. coli*'s relative multicellularity has long been considered (8, 9), our past study established several new multicellular behaviors (6). Tracking how an individual cell creates a multicellular biofilm, we observed the self-assembly of freely-moving multicellular chains (6). These chain communities were clonal, *i.e.* all cells descended form a single parental cell, and grew in length before later attaching to surfaces (6). We demonstrated this self-assembly had sequential morphogenetic stages, each regulated by a specific extracellular adhesin (6). Briefly, the self-recognizing outer-membrane protein Antigen-43 (*Ag43*) maintained sister-cell adhesion and clonality (starting at 2-cell stage); the extracellular polymer type-1 fimbriae controlled chain stability (~8-cell stage); the amyloid-like curli controlled cellular positioning in chains (~16-cell stage); and the extracellular polysaccharide poly-b-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (PGA) attached chains to surfaces (~1,000-cell stage) (6). Adapting a standard biofilm assay (7, 10), we showed hydrostatic *E. coli* biofilms resulted from this multicellular pathway (6), supporting the past idea that biofilms represent microbial parallels to *development* in multicellular organisms (11, 12). Several of *E. coli*'s newly-identified behaviors are common to

complex organisms, which also develop through clonally-adhered division (4) and the stabilization of cellular positions by extracellular matrix (ECM) production (13). Additionally, *E. coli* cells were found to align in parallel to form square 4-cell "quatrefoil" communities (6), which we labeled "rosettes" following earlier descriptions of similar communities in mammalian host cells (14, 15). These rosettes partly enclosed an internal space and initiated multicellular morphogenesis (6), similar to developmental rosettes in multicellular organisms.

The rosette motif is ubiquitous in multicellular organisms and represents a key functional unit of tissue morphogenesis (16). Generally, rosettes are self-organizing, circular arrangements of cells that enclose an internal space and initiate developmental processes. They guide development across multiple scales (17), including of embryos (18), organs (19), and neurons (20-22). They are also thought to evolutionarily distinguish multicellular organisms from their unicellular relatives (4), and they are formed by many simple eukaryotes, including *Salpingoeca rosetta* (23), *Chlamydomonas* (24), and human parasites like *Toxoplasma* (25) and *Leishmania* (26). These examples are all flagellates that can perform clonally-adhered division, and *S. rosetta* in particular forms clonal rosettes by flagella-dependent repositioning of sister cells (27). Previously, it has been hypothesized that all animals descend from an ancient flagellate, capable of forming rosette-like communities, and that evolution of alternative repositioning mechanisms may have made the flagellum redundant (4). Hence, clonal rosette formation by cellular repositioning is a fundamental multicellular behavior performed by diverse eukaryotes. By contrast, this behavior has not been reported in bacteria. Though a variety of bacterial communities have been labeled "rosettes" (28-31), their formation is often unclear.

We considered the possibility that *E. coli* might initiate its multicellular life cycle by forming rosettes through cellular repositioning, similar to multicellular eukaryotes. Our past study showed

that *Ag43* facilitated cell-cell adherence in rosettes while other adhesins, like fimbriae and curli,

did not play a role until after rosette formation (6). However, *Ag43* adhesion alone should result

in cells adhered solely at their poles (32) and is insufficient to explain quatrefoil configurations.

This raises a potential role for *E. coli*'s flagellum, an extracellular tail that is rotated like a propeller

by a molecular motor to produce swimming motility (33, 34). The flagellar motor switches

between two rotational directions which separately cause swimming and tumbling, thereby

producing a random walk (35, 36). The flagellum is required for hydrostatic biofilm formation,

though its control by chemotaxis genes appears dispensable (37, 38). Despite this requirement,

there was no evidence of swimming during the multicellular morphogenesis that generated

hydrostatic biofilms (6), suggesting an alternative role for the flagellum. An example of flagella-

assisted sister cell repositioning in *E. coli* was reported nearly 60 years ago (39) but, evidentally,

was not further studied. Though our previous study reported *E. coli* rosettes (6), we did not

rigorously investigate them given the incompatible time scales of their formation (minutes or less)

and multicellular chain morphogenesis (hours). Here we combine microscopy, genetics, and

quantitative analyses to investigate the mechanism and developmental consequences of *E. coli*

rosette formation.

Results

We used recently-developed methods (6) to record the motion of individual *E. coli* cells (model

commensal strain K12 MG1655) by both bright-field and fluorescence microcopy. The resulting

videos revealed *E. coli* rosettes form by a consistent behavior (Movies S1-2). Sister cells divided

and adhered to each other at their new poles. After ~10 minutes of negligible motion, cells

"folded" onto one another, pivoting about their adhered poles to align in parallel (Fig. 1A) similar

to previously-described motion (39). This folding often occurred in less than one minute and was

comprised of angular movements interspersed with pauses. 2-cell "doublets" then grew and

synchronously divided creating 4-cell groups, which folded while keeping their poles in contact to

produce quatrefoil rosettes. No additional folding took place after 4-cell rosettes had formed (Fig.

1B). Instead, new sister cells adhere at their poles after division and transitioned into

longitudinally growing chains while approximately keeping quatrefoil configuration. These

findings demonstrate that rosettes are generated by sister-cell folding at the 2-cell and 4-cell stages.

Cellular folding, combine with the stabilization of resulting quatrefoil structure by ECM

production (6), provides an explanation for the well-defined morphogenesis of *E. coli* multicellular

chains.

To quantify folding, we next fluorescently imaged cells containing green fluorescent protein

to enable image processing (GFP) (Fig. 1C; Fig. S3-8; Movies S3-7) and calculated the 2-

dimensional angle between sister cells (θ) (Fig. S9). Changes in θ were small immediately after

division, but greatly increased as sister cells folded into parallel orientation (Fig. S9 & 11).

Circumferential rotation of cells around their long axis ("spinning") (Movie S3) was also noted

during pauses, further indicating folding is a combination of angular movements and paused

spinning. We calculated the delay period between cell division and the onset of folding to be ~13

minutes (Fig. S11). The maximum angular speed was >6°/s, the detection limit based on the 30-

second imaging rate (Fig. S10-11). These findings demonstrate that sister-cell folding is

comprised of two distinct motions and is regulated relative to the cell cycle, but also indicate that

faster, three-dimensional data will be required.

To further quantify sister-cell folding, we recorded bright-field videos at 2-second resolution

(Fig. S12-16; Movies S8-12), and tracked the position of cell poles. The angle between a cell and

the cover glass (Φ) can be imputed by trigonometry from the cell's length and 2D-projection.

Together, θ and Φ values fully specify the 3-dimensional angle between sister cells. Calculated θ

dynamics (Fig. 1D; S17) were consistent with the fluorescent data (Fig. S11), revealing delayed-

onset sister-cell folding. The cell-averaged maximum angular speed of θ was calculated to be

~36°/s (Fig. S18). Φ dynamics (Fig. S19) were similar to θ dynamics and significant changes in

Φ and θ coincided (Fig. 1E; Fig. S20). The angular trajectories of sister cells (plotting Φ against

θ) suggested folding may perform an angular random walk (Fig. 1F; Fig. S21). We calculated

trajectories of the un-adhered cell poles in Cartesian coordinates, which also suggested random-

walk motion (Fig. 1G; Fig. S22-23) and illustrated that folding resulted from switching between

angular strokes and paused directional randomization. Inter-stroke pause times fit a long-tail

distribution (Fig. S24) with similar time scales to rotational switching of the flagellum (40-42).

Stroke distance was 0.8-1.2 μm (Fig. 1H), though in some cells increased to ~2 μm immediately

before folding completed. The angle between consecutive strokes (δ) was found to be uncorrelated

(r=-0.041; n=59) and near uniformly distributed (Fig. 2I; Fig. S25) satisfying the criteria for a

simple random walk (43).

Based on this motion and the considerations provided in the introduction, we hypothesized

that sister-cell folding was generated by the flagellum (Fig. 2A), and we tracked non-motile Δ*fliC*

and Δ*motA* strains (Movies S13-14). Δ*fliC* cells lack the main tail protein while Δ*motA* cells lack

a motor component and are deficient in propulsion. Initially, Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* cells behaved

similarly to wild-type: they grew, divided, and adhered at their poles (Fig. 2B & D). However,

neither Δ*fliC* nor Δ*motA* cells produced angular movements or folded into rosettes. Instead, Δ*fliC*

and Δ*motA* cells grew in linear, unfolded chains where cells were solely adhered to each other at

their poles (Fig. 2C & E). Quantifying θ demonstrated negligible angular motion in Δ*fliC* and

Δ*motA* cells (Fig. 2F & G). Angular motion at the 2-cell and 4-cell stages in wild-type, and its

lack in Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA*, was further demonstrated by quantifying community length, which

suddenly halves when sister-cell folding occurs (Fig. 2H; Fig. S26). These findings demonstrate

that *E. coli* sister cells reposition themselves into rosettes by flagella propulsion, similar to *S.*

rosetta (27). Similar mechanisms in the bacterium *E. coli* and eukaryote *S. rosetta* indicate that

clonal self-assembly of rosettes by flagella propulsion is a cross-kingdom multicellular behavior.

We next sought to elucidate the precise connection between flagella rotation and cellular

motion during folding. The flagellum can rotate in both the clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise

(CCW) directions, causing tumbling and linear swimming, respectively. We hypothesized that

CW and CCW directions produced angular strokes and directional randomization, respectively.

To test this, we used Δ*cheY* (mainly CCW) and Δ*cheZ* (mainly CW) mutants (44, 45). Videos

demonstrated that the Δ*cheY* mutation abolished angular stokes (Fig. S26-30; Movies S15-17).

However, some Δ*cheY* cells "pushed" past each other to align in parallel, though with an opposite

polar orientation to folding. This push motion resembled the expected CCW-generated swimming

of this strain (44, 45). Quantifying motion of Δ*cheY* sister cells revealed that travel distance

remained <0.6 μm (Fig. 2I) and was comparable to the random motion of wild-type cells before

they began folding (Fig. 2I, inset). Sister-cell angular trajectories in Δ*cheY* further demonstrated

that angular strokes were abolished and illustrated that pushing rather than folding was responsible

when parallel alignment did occur (Fig. 2J). We next tracked Δ*cheZ* sister cells and found that this

mutation abolished the pauses between angular strokes (Fig. S31-34; Movies S18-20). Moreover,

Δ*cheZ* sister cells often forcibly separated, similar to previously-described motion (39), thereby

blocking community formation (Fig. S35). Quantifying sister-cell motion and angular trajectories

further demonstrated that Δ*cheY* mutation abolished the pauses and caused cell separation (Fig. 2I

& K). Together, these findings confirm that CW flagella rotation produces the angular strokes of

sister cells while CCW flagella rotation produces their directional randomization. This reflects an

inversion of the functional roles of flagella rotation in chemotaxis where CCW causes swimming

(directional motion) and CW causes tumbling (directional randomization) (35, 36).

Though these findings reveal how flagella rotation produces the motions of sister-cell folding,

the role of switching between rotational directions is unclear. CW rotation alone should produce

sufficient motion to fully fold sister cells, and CCW should therefore be dispensable. However,

when CCW rotation is absent, CW rotation forcibly separates sister cells and blocks rosette

formation. Taken together, this may suggest switching itself serves a role in rosette formation,

possibly by "braking" the angular motion. Such braking would prevent sister-cell separation and

increase the probability of rosette formation in wild-type relative to Δ*cheZ* cells. To explore this,

we quantified the frequency of community configurations after several generations (Fig. S36), and

found that ~83% of wild-type, ~29% of Δ*cheZ,* ~0% of Δ*cheY* communities were rosettes or

rosette-configured chains. The remainder of communities were either single-cell-wide chains or

aggregates lacking rosettes configuration. These results indicate that rotational switching itself is

important to rosette folding, but not an absolute requirement. In the future, the precise role of

motor switching in rosette formation could be determined by controllably varying its rate (40).

Moreover, these findings are consistent with past data indicating differences between flagella and

chemotaxis genes in hydrostatic biofilm formation (37, 38, 46, 47). It was recently suggested that

E. coli combines *Ag43* adhesion and AI-2-based chemotaxis to form polyclonal aggregates (46).

Our findings do not exclude this possibility, but instead demonstrate that rosettes form by a

separate mechanism that also utilizes *Ag43* adhesion and flagellar propulsion.

The separation of sister cells by unchecked CW rotation (Δ*cheZ*) suggested flagella propulsion

can overcome the adhesive forces holding cells together. Consistently, a role for flagella

propulsion in sister cell separation has been described (39). These observations suggest that there

may be an optimal amount of force enabling rosette formation. The force produced by a flagellum

corresponds to its tail length and number motor subunits (33, 34). Hence, during *de novo* flagella

synthesis, it begins at a minimum and increases generations later to strong propulsion by mature

flagella (48). We hypothesized that the strong force produced by mature cells would separate sister

cells and we investigated this using a motile strain (AW405) that is closely related to MG1655. In

AW405, flagellation is repressed by glucose and induced in its absence. This is due to a mutation

causing CRP-regulation of the flagella master regulator *flhDC* (49) and allows simple experimental

control of flagellation. By fluorescently staining the flagella (50), we confirmed its presence

required the absence of glucose (Fig. 3A & B). Next, tracking flagellated AW405 cells in glucose

(-) conditions, we observed swimming cells and the separation of all sister cells after division (Fig.

3C; Movie S21), consistent with our hypothesis. Even after many generations, no folding, rosettes,

or multicellular communities were observed. To verify the flagellum's role, we tracked AW405

cells with a Δ*fliC* mutation in glucose (-) conditions and observed sister cells remained adhered at

their poles, producing linear, single-cell-wide chains (Fig. 3D; Movie S22) identical to those of

MG1655 Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* cells. In agreement with the Δ*cheZ* data, these findings indicate that

strong flagella propulsion separates sister cells and thereby abolishes rosette formation.

Adding to the findings in defective-flagella mutants (Δ*fliC* nor Δ*motA*) (Fig. 2), the AW405

results suggest rosette formation requires intermediate forces, such as those produced during *de*

novo flagella biosynthesis (48). Hence, we hypothesized that inducing *de novo* biosynthesis in

previously non-flagellated AW405 cells might cause them to self-assemble into rosettes. To test

this, we grew AW405 cells in glucose (+) media, transitioned them to glucose (-) to induce flagella

biosynthesis, and tracked them by microscopy. In these experiments, sister cells folded at both the

2-cell and 4-cell stages, thereby producing quatrefoil rosettes identically to MG1655 (Fig. 3E;

Movie S23). This finding indicates that inducing *de novo* flagella biosynthesis is sufficient to

enable rosette formation in AW405 and supports the idea that sister cells must balance the

opposing forces of adhesion and propulsion to properly reposition into rosettes (Fig. 3F).

Balancing of *Ag43*-adhesion and flagella propulsion has been demonstrated in motility assays (51)

and could be further characterized by similar studies at the cellular scale.

We next sought to investigate the dynamics of flagella biosynthesis during rosette formation.

However, methods for flagella staining (50) have not been adapted for real-time tracking of *de*

novo biosynthesis. Therefore, we characterized the transcriptional dynamics of the flagella

pathway using a fluorescent promoter reporter for *fliE* (52), which is directly regulated by *flhDC*

and the earliest gene induced during flagella biosynthesis (53). We validated this reporter in

AW405 by comparing single-cell *fliE* expression to the number of flagella per cell, which was

directly quantified by staining (50) and ranged from 1 to 4 (Fig. S37). We then tracked *fliE*

induction dynamics in AW405 cells after transition from glucose (+) to glucose (-) in liquid

culture, noting an exponential curve over several generations (Fig. S37). We next tracked *fliE*

expression during rosette formation in devices upon transitioning from glucose (+) to glucose (-)

as above (Fig. 3E). These experiments demonstrated that *fliE* expression was activated upon

switching to glucose (-) and increased exponentially during rosette formation (Fig. 3G). At the

time of rosette formation itself, *fliE* expression was between the fluorescence levels corresponding

to 0 and 1 flagella per cell, suggesting an intermediate degree of flagella biosynthesis. At

approximately the 16-cell stage, *fliE* expression plateaued at a fluorescence level corresponding to

1 flagella per cell (Fig. S37). In AW405, individual swimming cells separated from multicellular

chains at later time points (~32 cells). This behavior had not been observed in wild-type MG1655,

and may result from the more robust activation of flagella biosynthesis in the AW405 strain.

Together, these findings demonstrate that transcription of the flagella biosynthesis pathway is

induced during rosette formation. Furthermore, the intermediate *fliE* activation suggests folding

of rosette corresponds to intermediate flagella biosynthesis, and therefore force. In the future, the

development of new real-time staining methods could enable more direct investigation of the

flagellum's role in rosette formation.

Though we had previously identified rosettes in *E. coli's* multicellular life cycle (6), it was

unclear if they were developmentally required for the subsequent morphogenetic stages. To test

this idea we tracked wild-type, Δ*fliC*, and Δ*motA* communities long-term. We found that, even

after many generations, both Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* communities remained linear with cells adhered to

one another at their poles (Fig. 4B, & Fig. S38-39) and did not display the well-regulated post-

rosette morphogenesis of wild type (Fig. 4A) (6). In contrast to the stable organization of wild-

type chains, Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* communities were unstable and dissociated into smaller groups and

individual cells, reminiscent of cells defective in type-1 fimbriae or curli (6). Moreover, Δ*fliC*

and Δ*motA* communities poorly attached to cover glass (Fig. S38-39; Movie S24), reminiscent of

PGA-defective cells (6). These results show that disabling rosette formation impairs subsequent

morphogenetic stages. To gain additional insights into the developmental consequences of rosette

formation, we tracked the expression of the alternate sigma factor σ^{38} (encoded by *rpoS*) during

chain morphogenesis using a fluorescent promoter reporter (52). σ^{38} is a transcriptional master

regulator and controls a variety of genes in biofilms, including those involved in stress tolerance

and metabolism (54). We found that *rpoS* expression was strongly induced in wild-type chains

between the ~200-cell and ~1000-cell stages prior to surface attachment (Fig. 4C).   Despite

identical growth conditions, rosette-disabled Δ*fliC* communities did induce *rpoS* expression (Fig.

4C). Together, these findings indicate that rosette formation is a developmental requirement for

E. coli's facultative multicellular life cycle, and may also be critical to biofilm formation.

We have previously shown that *E. coli*'s rosette-initiated chain morphogenesis generates

hydrostatic biofilms (6). Hence, we hypothesized that Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* mutants, which disable

rosette formation, would reduce surface attachment and disrupt the clonal-chain architecture of *E.*

coli biofilms (6). To test this, we grew hydrostatic wild-type, Δ*fliC*, and Δ*motA* biofilms and

imaged them at the cellular scale (7). Consistent with our hypothesis, these mutations reduced

biofilm volume and abolished the parallel-aligned, clonal-chain structure of wild-type biofilms

(Fig. 4D-F). Moreover, Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* biofilms contained short unfolded chains of cells adhered

at their, structurally consistent with the lack of flagella propulsion and rosette formation. These

findings further demonstrate the developmental consequences of rosette formation and provide a

new rationale for the requirement of flagella to hydrostatic *E. coli* biofilms (37, 38).

We next considered that flagella-assisted rosette formation might be general in hydrostatic

environments. Aside from biofilm assays, hydrostatic conditions are commonly studied at bulk-

scale in motility assays (55, 56). The "swimming agar" used in such assays (~0.2-0.3% agar)

provides cells sufficient freedom of motion to swim across the surface. On the other hand, "colony

agar" (e.g., >1.5% agar for many species) limits free motion and forces growth within localized

colonies. We reasoned that, if rosette formation was general to hydrostatic environments, then we

should observe them during *E. coli* growth on swimming agar. Conducting standard motility

assays (51, 57) and imaging after ~2 hours, we observed freely-moving rosette-like communities

and multicellular chains in the regions where cells had been initially deposited, suggesting rosette

formation can occur on swimming agar (Fig. 4G; Movies S25-27). In these experiments, we also

observed examples of cellular folding in real time (Movies S28-29). To investigate the mechanism

of community folding on swimming agar, we performed similar experiments with Δ*fliC* and Δ*flu*

(*Ag43*) strains. We observed that Δ*fliC* cells were mostly adhered at the poles in linear, unfolded

chains, demonstrating the flagellum is required for folding on swimming agar (Fig. 4H; Movie

S30). Moreover, Δ*flu* cells were predominately individuals and were rarely observed in aggregates

(Fig. 4I; Movie S31). These findings are in agreement with a previous study demonstrating

balancing between *Ag43* adhesion and flagella propulsion on motility plates (51). Moreover, they

indicate the formation of rosettes on swimming agar and the requirement for *Ag43* adhesion and

flagella propulsion. Additionally, the imaging technique we have developed could be optimized

and extended to further investigate the genetics and dynamics of bacterial multicellular behavior

in hydrostatic environments.

Discussion

This study has revealed *E. coli* forms rosettes by a simple mechanism, flagella-assisted

repositioning of sister cells. Flagella propulsion produces an angular random walk between sister

cells (Fig. 1-3), thereby folding them in parallel alignment at the 2- and 4-cell stages (Fig. 1). The

synchronization of cell division events further explains the robustness of quatrefoil rosettes and

why alternatives like 3-, 5-, or 6-cell rosettes were not observed. We demonstrated that rosettes

are required for subsequent morphogenesis of multicellular chains, *rpoS* expression, and formation

of clonal-chain biofilms (Fig. 4). Consistent findings were made in diverse experimental

conditions, including devices (Fig. 1-3), biofilms (Fig. 4), and swimming agar (Fig. 4), suggesting

that rosette formation may be a general behavior in hydrostatic environments and has a straight-

forward dependence on mechanical constraints. The small forces produced by mature flagella are

sufficient to separate sister cells (Fig. 3), indicating bulk-scale forces, like mechanical shaking for

culture agitation, would necessarily block rosette formation and would thereby effectively explain

why fluid flow impairs commensal *E. coli* biofilm formation (58). On the other hand, forcing cells

against surfaces, such as colony growth on agar or on cover glass in flow cells, constrains cell

motion and blocks repositioning into rosettes. Mechanically speaking, hydrostatic conditions

would then be necessary for commensal *E. coli* rosette formation and subsequent biofilm

morphogenesis.

This study also updates *E. coli*'s facultative multicellular life cycle (6), which has now

provided roles for many of the genes required for hydrostatic biofilm formation (38). Adding to

those outlined in the introduction, our current findings revealed new multicellular behaviors:

induction of flagella biosynthesis at the 2-cell stage leads to cellular repositioning at the 2- and 4-

cell stages to produce rosettes; and expression of *rpoS* is induced at ~200-cell stage. The active

mechanism of rosette formation and its requirement for subsequent multicellular behaviors further

support the idea that *E. coli*'s facultative life cycle is an organized developmental process with

regulated stages (illustrated in Fig. 5). In the future, the generative structural interactions could be

further validated by new real-time staining techniques. This pathway may be specific to *E. coli,*

perhaps reflecting its natural habitats, but other bacteria perform similar phenomena. *Bacillus*

subtilis, for example, can produce biofilms by multicellular chain morphogenesis (59) which is

maintained by ECM production (60) and has genetically-regulated stages (61), though rosettes

have not been reported. As reported here, *E. coli*'s mechanism of rosette formation is currently

unique as the rosettes described in other species either propagate through binary fission (31) or

result from the entanglement of flagella without enclosing an internal space (28-30).

Moreover, this study is the first report of clonal rosette formation by cellular repositioning in

bacteria. In principle, the same behavior underlies simple eukaryotic multicellularity and is

hypothesized as a key step in the evolution of complex organisms (4). Similar to *E. coli*, *S. rosetta*

cells with mature flagella separate and those lacking flagella adhere in unfolded linear chains (23).

Hence, our study demonstrates that clonal self-organization of developmental rosettes is a cross-

kingdom behavior and may represent a common design principle of multicellularity, irrespective

of an organism's genomic complexity or evolutionary history. In turn, this principle suggests

rosette self-assembly might provide a foundational stage for programming synthetic multicellular

behaviors, a goal for *E. coli* (62) since early on in synthetic biology (63, 64). Though *E. coli* lacks

complexity relative to even the simplest eukaryotes, rosette formation may provide a basis for

engineering or evolving increasingly complex behavior in a tractable unicellular organism.

This study additionally suggests rosettes might be targeted to control *E. coli*'s natural

multicellular behaviors, including the formation of biofilms. Hydrostatic biofilms depend on

rosette formation (Fig. 4) which in turn relies on the balance of sister-cell adhesion and flagella

propulsion (Fig. 3). Tuning this balance could potentially be used to modulate the biofilm capacity

of commensal *E. coli* strains, which form multicellular communities (65) in the ~1 mm-thick

intestinal mucosa (66) as members of the microbiome (67). Alternatively, flagella motion could

be blocked to therapeutically inhibit *E. coli* biofilms, which contribute to urinary-tract infections

(68) and are highly tolerant to antibiotics (69). This tolerance depends on expression of biofilm-

related genes, including those regulated by *rpoS* (70). Hence, as rosettes are critical to adaptive

gene expression (Fig. 4), disrupting their formation might also enhance antibiotic susceptibility.

Such rosette-targeting approaches could be combined with newly-discovered antibiotics (71-73)

or complement mechanism-based improvement of existing antibiotics (74, 75), such as the

metabolic potentiation of aminoglycosides (76-79).

Materials and Methods

Strains, Plasmids, and Media

Escherichia coli MG1655 was the primary strain used in experiments. *E. coli* AW405 strains were also used where specified. To facilitate computational image processing and analysis and also biofilm imaging, *E. coli* cells were transformed with pUA66-p*ompC*::*gfp* (green) (52) or pEB2-mScarlet (red) (80). These plasmids constitutively express fluorescent proteins and had no observable effects on cellular behaviors. Additionally, pUA66-p*fliE*::*gfp* and pUA66-p*rpoS*::*gfp* (52) were also transformed into MG1655 and studied where described. The Δ*fliC*, Δ*motA*, Δ*cheZ*, Δ*cheY*, and Δ*flu* strains were created by transducing Δ*fliC*::kan(kanR), Δ*motA*::kan(kanR), Δ*cheZ*::kan(kanR), Δ*cheY*::kan(kanR), and Δ*flu*::kan(kanR) respectively from the KEIO Collection (81) using *P1vir* in *E. coli* MG1655 following standard methods (82), and were cured with pCP20 (83). All strains were cultured in LB, Miller broth (Difco) at 37°C and with shaking at 300 RPM, in 14 mL Falcon Round-Bottom Test Tubes with aerating caps (VWR), and in light-insulated shakers. LB lacks significant glucose and was therefore used as a glucose (-) condition. Glucose (+) experiments used Neidhardt Supplemented MOPS Defined Medium (EZ-rich; Teknova) (84). When necessary, plasmids were maintained by supplementing media with 50 µg/mL of kanamycin.

Cell Tracking in Hydrostatic Devices

LB agarose pad devices were built using 2% agarose, following previously described methods (6). 3 µL exponential phase cells were added to agarose pads, which were immediately covered with cover glass without allowing them to dry. This generated a liquid space ~0.75 cm x 0.75 cm that we approximated as ~5-10 µm deep based on the distance between the focal planes of the cover

glass and agarose. These devices were developed to allow observation of the free motion of cells

in a hydrostatic environment. For glucose transition experiments, *E. coli* AW405 cells were first

cultured overnight in Neidhardt Supplemented MOPS Defined Medium (EZ-rich; Teknova) and

then loaded onto LB-2% agarose pads for cell tracking. Dynamic bright-field imaging was

typically conducted at 2-second resolution. Dynamical fluorescent imaging for motion tracking

was performed at 30-second resolution (instead of 2-second) to avoid the confounding effects of

exposing bacteria to high-intensity blue light. Imaging for fluorescent reporters (pUA66-p*fliE*::*gfp*

and pUA66-p*rpoS*::*gfp*) was less frequent to reduce photo-bleaching and is specified in legends.

Biofilm Imaging

Biofilm experiments were performed as previously described (6, 7). Wells in 96-well plates were

loaded with 200 mL of fresh LB with overnight cultured cells (~10^8 CFU/mL). Cultures were a

1:10 mixture of cells containing pUA66-p*ompC*::*gfp* (green) and pEB2-mScarlet (red). Cover

glass pieces were placed in each well, perpendicular to the air-liquid interface in order to allow for

biofilm formation. Plates were then incubated without shaking at 37˚C for 16 hours to allow

biofilm formation to occur. After incubation, cover glass pieces were removed from the wells and

placed between a glass slide and a fresh piece of cover glass in order to image by microscopy.

This method allows high-magnification imaging of hydrostatic biofilms grown following a

standard protocol (10).

Motility Assay Imaging

Swimming agar is fragile and difficult to remove from a petri dish without damage. To enable

handling of swimming agar, we created two layer plates by adapting standard procedures (57).

The bottom layer was made from 2% agar and provided a stable base for a top layer of 0.25%

swimming agar. This ensured cells spotted on these plates would encounter an identical

hydrostatic surface as in classical motility assays and would also have the same environmental

nutrients concentrations. We confirmed comparable bulk-scale motility on 2-layer (2% and 0.25%

agar) plates and classical swimming agar plates (0.25 % agar) by incubation for 18 hours at 37˚C

(Fig. S40) following previous methods (51). For imaging directly on motility plates, we first

poured a 12 mL layer of molten 2% LB agar in petri dishes and allowed them to cool overnight.

The day of motility experiments, we poured 8 mL of molten 0.25% LB agar (at 50˚C) on top of

the 2% layer and cooled. 2-layer plates were pre-warmed at 37˚C, then 10 μL of exponentially

growing cell suspensions diluted to ~10^5-10^6 CFU/mL were spotted in the middle. Plates were

then incubated face up for 1-2 hours at 37˚C. After incubation, a ~1 cm x 1 cm square containing

the location of the initial 10 μL spot was cut in the agar using a scalpel. The remaining agar was

removed from around this square. Cover glass was placed on top of this square, resting on the

hydrostatic surface of the swimming agar. Immersion oil was added to the cover glass, then the

agar and cover glass were carefully inverted and placed directly in a microscope sample holder

and immediately imaged. As cells and communities were in motion, capturing them required

recording videos in bright-field DIC.

Microscopy

Images were acquired using a Leica DMi8 microscope equipped with a DIC HCPL APO 63X oil

immersion objective (with 1.6x magnification changer), Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera, and

Lumencor Spectra-X light engine. Devices for imaging were secured in a microscope-stage-top

incubator (Tokai-Hit, STX Stage Top Incubator Temp and Flow; STXF-WSKMX-SET), and the

incubator and the microscope objective were maintained at 37˚C prior to and throughout all

experiments. Time courses were collected in an automated manner using the Leica LasX software

at specified time intervals. Imaging was performed using differential interference contrast (DIC)

and fluorescence channels. Excitation and emission for fluorescence microscopy was performed

at 470 nm and 500-550 nm for green fluorescence and 510 nm and 592-668 nm for red fluorescence

respectively. AW405 flagella were stained as previously described (50) with Alexa Fluor 488.

Micrograph examples and movies were generated using Leica X software.

Image Analysis

Fluorescent images of cells were analyzed using built-in Matlab functions. Briefly, raw images

were cropped to include only one sister-cell pair or multicellular community then were segmented

using the *imbinarize* function. Centerlines of cells were then determined using the *bwskel* function

and used to calculate the angle θ between sister cells. *imbinarize* was also used for the

transcriptional reporter data (*fliE* and *rpoS*), and the average pixel intensity of the segmented area

was calculated to determine cellular fluorescence. Bright-field DIC images of cells were first

processed in ImageJ to manually mark the poles for both the sister cells. Coordinates for these

pole positions were then loaded into MATLAB for calculating motion. θ was determined as the

2D angle created by the pole positions of two sister cells, and these values were also used to

determine the angular velocity. Φ represented the angle between a cell and the imaging surface,

in the z direction (toward the camera). This was imputed by trigonometry from the calculated

length of the 2-D projection of the cell (the xy distance between a cell's two poles when it was at

an angle to the xy plane) and its calculated maximum length immediately prior to folding. As

folding occurred within seconds, negligible cell growth (which has a doubling time ~30 minutes)

occurs, and cell length was treated as constant when calculating Φ. Strokes were identified as

motion between consecutive 2-second images that exceeded 0.8 μm. δ was defined as the angle

in 3D space between the vectors created by two consecutive strokes. Figures were generated in

Matlab and arranged and formatted using Adobe Illustrator.

Replicates and Statistical Analysis

Each replicate corresponds to an independent sister-cell pair or chain community, tracked over

time. Replicates were chosen as representative examples from 3 or more separate experiments,

and their number (n) is listed in figure legends. Mean and standard deviations are calculated where

indicated. Micrographs and images are representative examples from replicated experiments.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Howard C. Berg and Karen Fahrner for sharing AW405 strains and Philippe

Cluzel for sharing pEB2-mScarlet. This study was supported by an NIH Director's Early

Independence Award (DP5OD019792) to Kyle R. Allison.

References

1. Knoll AH (2011) The Multiple Origins of Complex Multicellularity. *Annu Rev Earth Pl Sc* 39:217-239.

2. Rose CJ & Hammerschmidt K (2021) What Do We Mean by Multicellularity? The Evolutionary Transitions Framework Provides Answers. *Front Ecol Evol* 9.

3. Toda S, Blauch LR, Tang SKY, Morsut L, & Lim WA (2018) Programming self-organizing multicellular structures with synthetic cell-cell signaling. *Science* 361(6398):156-162.

4. Brunet T & King N (2017) The Origin of Animal Multicellularity and Cell Differentiation. *Dev Cell* 43(2):124-140.

5. Ratcliff WC, Denison RF, Borrello M, & Travisano M (2012) Experimental evolution of multicellularity. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 109(5):1595-1600.

6. Puri D, Fang X, & Allison KR (2023) Evidence of a possible multicellular life cycle in Escherichia coli. *iScience* 26(1):105795.

7. Puri D, Fang X, & Allison KR (2023) Fluorescence-based protocol for revealing cellular arrangement in biofilms. *STAR Protoc* 4(2):102270.

8. Shapiro JA (1998) Thinking about bacterial populations as multicellular organisms. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 52:81-104.

9. Shapiro JA (1988) Bacteria as Multicellular Organisms. *Sci Am* 258(6):82-89.

10. O'Toole GA (2011) Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. *J Vis Exp* (47).

11. Monds RD & O'Toole GA (2009) The developmental model of microbial biofilms: ten years of a paradigm up for review. *Trends Microbiol* 17(2):73-87.

12. O'Toole G, Kaplan HB, & Kolter R (2000) Biofilm formation as microbial development. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 54:49-79.

13. Datta A, Bryant DM, & Mostov KE (2011) Molecular regulation of lumen morphogenesis. *Curr Biol* 21(3):R126-136.

14. Justice SS*, et al.* (2004) Differentiation and developmental pathways of uropathogenic Escherichia coli in urinary tract pathogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101(5):1333-1338.

15. Mysorekar IU & Hultgren SJ (2006) Mechanisms of uropathogenic Escherichia coli persistence and eradication from the urinary tract. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103(38):14170-14175.

16. Blankenship JT, Backovic ST, Sanny JS, Weitz O, & Zallen JA (2006) Multicellular rosette formation links planar cell polarity to tissue morphogenesis. *Dev Cell* 11(4):459-470.

17. Moran HR & Mosimann C (2023) Rosettes guide the way for mesodermal MET. *Dev Cell* 58(11):917-918.

18. Bedzhov I & Zernicka-Goetz M (2014) Self-organizing properties of mouse pluripotent cells initiate morphogenesis upon implantation. *Cell* 156(5):1032-1044.

19. Bryant DM*, et al.* (2010) A molecular network for de novo generation of the apical surface and lumen. *Nat Cell Biol* 12(11):1035-1045.

20. Wilson PG & Stice SS (2006) Development and differentiation of neural rosettes derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cell Rev* 2(1):67-77.

21. Hribkova H, Grabiec M, Klemova D, Slaninova I, & Sun YM (2018) Calcium signaling mediates five types of cell morphological changes to form neural rosettes. *J Cell Sci* 131(3).

22. Fan L, Kovacevic I, Heiman MG, & Bao Z (2019) A multicellular rosette-mediated collective dendrite extension. *Elife* 8.

23. Larson BT*, et al.* (2020) Biophysical principles of choanoflagellate self-organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117(3):1303-1311.

24. Short MB*, et al.* (2006) Flows driven by flagella of multicellular organisms enhance long-range molecular transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103(22):8315-8319.

25. Degrandi D*, et al.* (2013) Murine guanylate binding protein 2 (mGBP2) controls Toxoplasma
gondii replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110(1):294-299.
26. Iovannisci DM, Plested CP, & Moe GR (2010) Evidence for rosettes as an unrecognized stage in
the life cycle of Leishmania parasites. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 57(5):405-414.
27. Dayel MJ*, et al.* (2011) Cell differentiation and morphogenesis in the colony-forming
choanoflagellate Salpingoeca rosetta. *Dev Biol* 357(1):73-82.
28. Moore RL & Marshall KC (1981) Attachment and rosette formation by hyphomicrobia. *Appl
Environ Microbiol* 42(5):751-757.
29. Zeng Y & Liu B (2020) Self-propelling and rolling of a sessile-motile aggregate of the bacterium.
Commun Biol 3(1).
30. Ong CJ, Wong ML, & Smit J (1990) Attachment of the adhesive holdfast organelle to the cellular
stalk of Caulobacter crescentus. *J Bacteriol* 172(3):1448-1456.
31. Keim CN*, et al.* (2004) Multicellular life cycle of magnetotactic prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*
240(2):203-208.
32. Vejborg RM & Klemm P (2009) Cellular chain formation in Escherichia coli biofilms. *Microbiology
(Reading)* 155(Pt 5):1407-1417.
33. Berg HC (2003) The rotary motor of bacterial flagella. *Annu Rev Biochem* 72:19-54.
34. Macnab RM (2003) How bacteria assemble flagella. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 57:77-100.
35. Berg HC (1984) (Princeton University Press, Princeton).
36. Berg HC (2004) (Springer New York, New York, NY).
37. Pratt LA & Kolter R (1998) Genetic analysis of Escherichia coli biofilm formation: Roles of flagella,
motility, chemotaxis and type I pili. *Molecular Microbiology* 30(2):285-293.
38. Niba ET, Naka Y, Nagase M, Mori H, & Kitakawa M (2007) A genome-wide approach to identify
the genes involved in biofilm formation in E. coli. *DNA Res* 14(6):237-246.
39. Quesnel LB (1966) Flagella-Assisted Cell Fission in Escherichia Coli. *Nature* 211(5049):659-&.
40. Alon U, Surette MG, Barkai N, & Leibler S (1999) Robustness in bacterial chemotaxis. *Nature*
397(6715):168-171.
41. Korobkova E, Emonet T, Vilar JM, Shimizu TS, & Cluzel P (2004) From molecular noise to
behavioural variability in a single bacterium. *Nature* 428(6982):574-578.
42. Korobkova EA, Emonet T, Park H, & Cluzel P (2006) Hidden stochastic nature of a single bacterial
motor. *Phys Rev Lett* 96(5):058105.
43. Codling EA, Plank MJ, & Benhamou S (2008) Random walk models in biology. *J R Soc Interface*
5(25):813-834.
44. Parkinson JS (1978) Complementation analysis and deletion mapping of Escherichia coli mutants
defective in chemotaxis. *J Bacteriol* 135(1):45-53.
45. Parkinson JS, Parker SR, Talbert PB, & Houts SE (1983) Interactions between chemotaxis genes
and flagellar genes in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 155(1):265-274.
46. Laganenka L, Colin R, & Sourjik V (2016) Chemotaxis towards autoinducer 2 mediates
autoaggregation in Escherichia coli. *Nat Commun* 7:12984.
47. Suchanek VM*, et al.* (2020) Chemotaxis and cyclic-di-GMP signalling control surface attachment
of Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* 113(4):728-739.
48. Pruss BM & Matsumura P (1997) Cell cycle regulation of flagellar genes. *J Bacteriol*
179(17):5602-5604.
49. Fahrner KA & Berg HC (2015) Mutations That Stimulate flhDC Expression in Escherichia coli K-12.
J Bacteriol 197(19):3087-3096.
50. Turner L, Ryu WS, & Berg HC (2000) Real-time imaging of fluorescent flagellar filaments. *J
Bacteriol* 182(10):2793-2801.

51.   Ulett GC, Webb RI, & Schembri MA (2006) Antigen-43-mediated autoaggregation impairs
566   motility in Escherichia coli. *Microbiology (Reading)* 152(Pt 7):2101-2110.
567   52.   Zaslaver A*, et al.* (2006) A comprehensive library of fluorescent transcriptional reporters for
568   Escherichia coli. *Nature Methods*.
569   53.   Kalir S*, et al.* (2001) Ordering genes in a flagella pathway by analysis of expression kinetics from
570   living bacteria. *Science* 292(5524):2080-2083.
571   54.   Battesti A, Majdalani N, & Gottesman S (2011) The RpoS-mediated general stress response in
572   Escherichia coli. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 65:189-213.
573   55.   Harshey RM (2003) Bacterial motility on a surface: many ways to a common goal. *Annu Rev
574   Microbiol* 57:249-273.
575   56.   Girgis HS, Liu YC, Ryu WS, & Tavazoie S (2007) A comprehensive genetic characterization of
576   bacterial motility. *Plos Genet* 3(9):1644-1660.
577   57.   Kearns DB (2010) A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8(9):634-644.
578   58.   Schembri MA & Klemm P (2001) Biofilm formation in a hydrodynamic environment by novel
579   FimH variants and ramifications for virulence. *Infection and Immunity*.
580   59.   Branda SS, Gonzalez-Pastor JE, Ben-Yehuda S, Losick R, & Kolter R (2001) Fruiting body
581   formation by Bacillus subtilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98(20):11621-11626.
582   60.   van Gestel J, Vlamakis H, & Kolter R (2015) Division of Labor in Biofilms: the Ecology of Cell
583   Differentiation. *Microbiol Spectr* 3(2):MB-0002-2014.
584   61.   Kobayashi K (2007) Bacillus subtilis pellicle formation proceeds through genetically defined
585   morphological changes. *J Bacteriol* 189(13):4920-4931.
586   62.   Basu S, Gerchman Y, Collins CH, Arnold FH, & Weiss R (2005) A synthetic multicellular system for
587   programmed pattern formation. *Nature* 434(7037):1130-1134.
588   63.   Gardner TS, Cantor CR, & Collins JJ (2000) Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia
589   coli. *Nature* 403(6767):339-342.
590   64.   Elowitz MB & Leibler S (2000) A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators.
591   *Nature* 403(6767):335-338.
592   65.   Rossi E*, et al.* (2018) "It's a gut feeling" - biofilm formation in the gastrointestinal tract
593   environment. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 44(1):1-30.
594   66.   Atuma C, Strugala V, Allen A, & Holm L (2001) The adherent gastrointestinal mucus gel layer:
595   thickness and physical state in vivo. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 280(5):G922-929.
596   67.   Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, & Denamur E (2010) The population genetics of commensal
597   Escherichia coli. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8(3):207-217.
598   68.   Anderson GG*, et al.* (2003) Intracellular bacterial biofilm-like pods in urinary tract infections.
599   *Science* 301(5629):105-107.
600   69.   Yan J & Bassler BL (2019) Surviving as a Community: Antibiotic Tolerance and Persistence in
601   Bacterial Biofilms. *Cell Host Microbe* 26(1):15-21.
602   70.   Ito A, Taniuchi A, May T, Kawata K, & Okabe S (2009) Increased antibiotic resistance of
603   Escherichia coli in mature biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75(12):4093-4100.
604   71.   Stokes JM*, et al.* (2020) A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery. *Cell* 180(4):688-702
605   e613.
606   72.   Martin JK, 2nd*, et al.* (2020) A Dual-Mechanism Antibiotic Kills Gram-Negative Bacteria and
607   Avoids Drug Resistance. *Cell* 181(7):1518-1532 e1514.
608   73.   Imai Y*, et al.* (2019) A new antibiotic selectively kills Gram-negative pathogens. *Nature*
609   576(7787):459-464.
610   74.   Allison KR, Brynildsen MP, & Collins JJ (2011) Heterogeneous bacterial persisters and
611   engineering approaches to eliminate them. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 14(5):593-598.

75. Fang X & Allison KR (2023) Resuscitation dynamics reveal persister partitioning after antibiotic
treatment. *Mol Syst Biol* 19(4):e11320.
76. Allison KR, Brynildsen MP, & Collins JJ (2011) Metabolite-enabled eradication of bacterial
persisters by aminoglycosides. *Nature* 473(7346):216-220.
77. Rosenberg CR, Fang X, & Allison KR (2020) Potentiating aminoglycoside antibiotics to reduce
their toxic side effects. *PLoS One* 15(9):e0237948.
78. Barraud N, Buson A, Jarolimek W, & Rice SA (2013) Mannitol enhances antibiotic sensitivity of
persister bacteria in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *PLoS One* 8(12):e84220.
79. Meylan S*, et al.* (2017) Carbon Sources Tune Antibiotic Susceptibility in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa via Tricarboxylic Acid Cycle Control. *Cell Chem Biol* 24(2):195-206.
80. Balleza E, Kim JM, & Cluzel P (2018) Systematic characterization of maturation time of
fluorescent proteins in living cells. *Nature Methods* 15(1):47-51.
81. Baba T*, et al.* (2006) Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout
mutants: The Keio collection. *Molecular Systems Biology* 2.
82. Thomason LC, Costantino N, & Court DL (2007) E. coli Genome Manipulation by P1
Transduction.), pp 1.17.11-11.17.18.
83. Datsenko KA & Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia
coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97(12):6640-6645.
84. Neidhardt FC, Bloch PL, & Smith DF (1974) Culture medium for enterobacteria. *J Bacteriol*
119(3):736-747.

Figures

Figure 1. Sister-cell folding produces rosettes and follows an angular random walk. (A) Micrographs from a representative movie (2-second resolution) of *E. coli* sister-cell folding and parallel alignment. Time and scale indicated on all micrographs. New (blue) and old (red) poles are indicated. Inset: illustration of cell folding documented in movies. (B) Later micrographs from the same video. (C) Micrographs from a representative movie (30-second resolution) of rosette formation in cells constitutively-expressing GFP. New (blue) and old (red) poles are indicated. (D) Dynamics of sister-cell angle (θ) during cellular folding (2-second resolution; mean ± standard

deviation, n=5). Folding (red) and pre-folding (blue) are indicated.   Inset: illustration of θ

calculation. (E) Representative example of sister cell θ (blue) and Φ (red). Inset: illustration of Φ

calculation. (F) Trajectory of sister-cell angles from representative example of folding. Start and

end positions and times are indicated. (G) Representative example of 3D trajectory of cell pole

during folding. (H) Dynamics of angular strokes (movement >0.8 µm) for 4 representative sister-

cell pairs. Inset: illustration of distance calculation. (I) Distribution of angle change between

strokes (δ) (59 recorded strokes across 5 independent sister cell pairs). Inset: illustration of δ

calculation.

Figure 2. Flagella propulsion generates sister cell folding. (A) Diagram of the flagellum (not to scale). Micrographs from representative movies of (B) Δ*fliC* and (D) Δ*motA* cells. Time and scale indicated on all micrographs. Later micrographs of (C) Δ*fliC* and (E) Δ*motA* cells from the same videos. (F) Dynamics of Δ*fliC* (blue) and Δ*motA* (red) sister-cell angle (30-second resolution; mean ± standard deviation, n=3). An example wild-type trajectory is included for comparison. (G) Dynamics of angular speed for Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA* cells (30-second resolution; mean ± standard deviation, n=3). Inset: average maximum speed during. (H) Length dynamics of Δ*fliC* and Δ*motA*

658   communities (mean ± standard deviation; n= 3). An example wild-type trajectory is included for

659   comparison. Inset: illustration of halving length during folding. (I) Dynamics of angular movement

660   for representative Δ*cheY* (blue) and Δ*cheZ* (red) sister-cell pairs (2-second resolution). Inset:

661   representative wild-type example. (J) Trajectory of sister-cell angles from representative Δ*cheY*

662   example. (K) Trajectory of sister-cell angles from representative Δ*cheZ* example.

Figure 3. Rosette formation requires *de novo* flagella biosynthesis. (A) Representative micrographs of AW405 cells grown (A) with glucose and (B) without glucose and stained with Alexa Fluor 488 (green). Scale is indicated on micrographs. Micrographs from representative movies of (C) AW405 and (D) AW405 Δ*fliC* cells grown without glucose. (E) Micrographs from a representative movie of AW405 transitioned from glucose (+) to glucose (-) media. New (blue) and old (red) poles are indicated. (F) Diagram of the observed angular cell motion of strains and conditions used throughout this study and correspondence to community structure. Δ*cheY* and Δ*cheZ* cells produce heterogeneous outcomes and hence overlap depicted multicellular regions.

(G)   Cellular fluorescence (arbitrary units) from *fliE-GFP* promoter reporter during chain

morphogenesis (red) in AW405 on transition from glucose (+) to (-) (5-minute resolution; mean ±

standard deviation, n=3).   Grey zone indicates *fliE-GFP* fluorescence in non-flagellated cells in

glucose (+) media (see Fig. S37).   Blue zone indicates *fliE-GFP* cellular fluorescence in flagellated

cells (1-4 flagella per cell) in glucose (-) media (see Fig. S37).   The approximate times of rosette

formation and dissociation of single swimming cells are indicated by arrows.

Figure 4. Developmental consequences and generality of rosette formation. Micrographs at 5 hours from representative movies of (A) wild-type and (B) Δ*fliC* community formation (scale is indicated). (C) Cellular fluorescence (arbitrary units) from *rpoS-GFP* promoter reporter during chain morphogenesis in wild type (blue) and Δ*fliC* (red) (20-minute resolution; mean ± standard deviation, n=3). The approximate time of chain attachment to surfaces is indicated. Micrographs of hydrostatic biofilms formed by (D) wild-type, (E) Δ*fliC*, and (F) Δ*motA* cells. Cells contained either mScarlet (red) or GFP (cyan) at a 10:1 ratio (scale, as well as air and liquid sides of biofilms,

are indicated). Example micrographs of (G) wild-type, (H) Δ*fliC*, and (I) Δ*flu* cells after ~2 hours

on motility agar (2-layer 0.25% agar; scale is indicated; see also Fig. S40).

Figure 5. Stages of *E. coli* multicellular morphogenesis in hydrostatic environments. The data

presented here and in our previous study (6), together with past characterization of ECM-

comprising adhesins, support the following working model. 2-cell: self-recognizing *Ag43* enables

adhesion of sister cells after the first division event. Cells induce *de novo* flagella biosynthesis,

and the propulsion of the growing flagella tail causes sister cells to perform cell folding by an

angular random walk to align side by side. 4-cell: sister cells adhere after the second division

event and again perform cell folding to align in parallel and produce rosettes with quatrefoil

configuration. Rosettes transition into chains, whose square cross-sectional arrangement is

approximately maintained. ~8-cell stage: radial-projecting extracellular polymer type-1 fimbriae

(indicated in red) controls chain stability. ~16-cell stage: extracellularly polymerizing curli

(indicated in blue) maintains cellular positioning. ~200-cell stage: transcriptional master regulator

σ^{38} (encoded by *rpoS*) is induced (indicated by cells turning blue). ~1000-cell stage: extracellular

polysaccharide poly-b-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (PGA, indicated in orange) is produced and

attaches multicellular communities to surfaces. The representations of adhesins are not to scale

and have yet to be confirmed by electron microscopy.