Tumor sialylation is a barrier against CD8 T cell-mediated anti-tumor responses and anti-PD-1 resistance in breast cancer

Stefan Mereiter[1,#], Gustav Jonsson[1,2], Tiago Oliveira[1], Johannes Helm[3], David Hoffmann[1], Markus Abeln[4], Ann-Kristin Jochum[5,6], Wolfram Jochum[6], Max J. Kellner[1,2], Marek Feith[1], Vanessa Tkalec[1], Karolina Wasilewska[1], Jie Jiao[7], Lukas Emsenhuber[1], Felix Holstein[8], Anna C. Obenauf[8], Leonardo Lordello[9], Jean-Yves Scoazec[9,10], Guido Kroemer[9,11,12], Laurence Zitvogel[9,10,13,14], Omar Hasan Ali[5,15], Lukas Flatz[5,16,17,18], Rita Gerardy-Schahn[4], Anja Münster-Kühnel[4], Johannes Stadlmann[3], Josef M. Penninger[1, 19,20#]

[1]IMBA, Institute of Molecular Biotechnology of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria
[2]Vienna BioCenter PhD Program, Doctoral School of the University of Vienna and Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[3]Department of Chemistry, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria
[4]Institute of Clinical Biochemistry, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
[5]Institute of Immunobiology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland
[6]Institute of Pathology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland
[7]Department of Medical Genetics, Life Sciences Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
[8]Research Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna Biocenter, Vienna, Austria
[9]Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
[10] Faculté de Médecine, Paris-Saclay University, Orsay, France
[11]Equipe labellisée par la Ligue contre le Cancer, Université de Paris Cité, Sorbonne Université, Institut Universitaire de France, Inserm U1138, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Paris, France
[12]Institut du Cancer Paris CARPEM, Department of Biology, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP-HP, Paris, France
[13]Institut National de la Santé Et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) U1015, Equipe Labellisée — Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Villejuif, France
[14]Center of Clinical Investigations for In Situ Biotherapies of Cancer (BIOTHERIS) INSERM, CIC1428, Villejuif, France
[15]Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
[16]Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Tübingen, University of Tübingen, Germany
[17]Department of Dermatology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland
[18]Department of Oncology and Hematology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland
[19]Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Austria
[20]Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany

Corresponding authors
stefan.mereiter@imba.oeaw.ac.at
josef.penninger@imba.oeaw.ac.at

Abstract

Immunotherapies have revolutionized treatment and management of cancers. However, the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in breast cancer is limited due to lack of efficacy. Sialylation, the modification of glycans with sialic acid, is frequently upregulated in various cancer types and has potent immunoregulatory properties. However, its specific role in breast cancer immune evasion has remained largely elusive. Here, we show that breast cancer sialylation drives the recruitment of polymorphonuclear myeloid suppressor cells to the tumor microenvironment, thus hampering the efficient eradication of tumors by CD8$^+$ T cells. Notably, abrogation of tumor sialylation, either genetically or pharmacologically, not only facilitated CD8-mediated tumor control but also enabled the recruitment of *Tcf7*$^+$ memory T cells. Significantly, abrogation of sialylation sensitized PD-1-resistant breast tumors to immunotherapy. Sialylation interference was well-tolerated in mammary development and function. We further demonstrate that hyper-sialylation occurs in over half of human breast cancers and correlates with poor T cell infiltration. Our results establish sialylation as a central immunoregulator in breast cancer, orchestrating multiple pathways that ultimately culminate in immune evasion. Importantly, our study underscores the potential of targeting this pathway to enhance tumor control, converting immunologically inert tumors into inflamed ones, and prime tumors for synergistic interventions involving immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have rapidly become a standard of care for multiple cancers, harnessing the patient's immune system to combat the disease. However, the currently approved ICIs have shown limited efficacy in breast cancer (BC) (*1, 2*). Nevertheless, BCs often exhibit abundant tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, albeit rarely expressing programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) (*3*). This suggests that alternative pathways of immunoregulation are in place that could be exploited for BC immunotherapy.

Sialylation, the addition of sialic acid to glycoproteins, glycolipids, or glyco-RNA, is altered in multiple cancers (*4*). Sialic acids, negatively charged nine-carbon sugars that cap glycan structures, play an important role in various cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions (*5*). In recent years, sialylation has garnered attention due to its emerging importance in immunoregulation including anti-tumor immune responses (*6, 7*). Sialylation is therefore a promising candidate as a targetable immunoregulatory pathway. Despite this promise, our current understanding of the role of sialylation in breast cancer and whether sialylation might be mechanistically involved in the resistance of breast cancer to ICI is largely incomplete.

To fill this gap, our objective was to comprehensively investigate the involvement of sialylation in the immunoregulation of breast cancer. Employing genetic and pharmacological analyses in murine models, human breast cancer cell lines, and clinical specimens, we show that alterations in sialylation enhance tumor recognition and synergize with PD-1 inhibition, turning immunological dormant breast tumors into immune responsive and druggable tumors.

Results

Functional and structural glycan characterization of the murine breast cancer models.

The surface glycome of cells is the result of the complex interplay of numerous glycosyltransferases. Mouse and human genomes harbor each over 200 of these transferase genes, and their activity is influenced by factors such as the condition of the secretory pathway, the metabolic state of the cells, and the overall proteome composition (*8*). As a result, the glycome of cancer cells cannot be reliably inferred from gene expression data sets. We therefore conducted comprehensive glycome analyses on the three commonly used murine breast cancer cell line models 4T1, EMT6, and E0771. Using cell surface glycan flow cytometric analysis employing a panel of plant lectins with well-defined specificities and structural N-glycomic analysis using mass spectrometry (Fig. 1A and Suppl Fig. 1A),

we consistently observed that the 4T1 cells exhibited the highest levels of sialylation among the cell lines tested.

We next conducted a screen using an extensive lectin library, covering almost the entire murine lectinome, to map the landscape of functional lectin ligands in these three breast cancer cell models (Suppl. Table 1). To our knowledge, this is the largest lectin library ever utilized in a cancer context. In addition to 4T1, EMT6, and E0771, we included healthy murine mammary epithelial cells as well as eight additional murine mammary cancer cell lines (4T07, JC, JM01, NF639, PymT, Ac711, 67NR, 168FARN) to generate a larger data set to facilitate clustering (Fig. 1B, Suppl. Table 1). Interestingly, despite the pronounced upregulation of sialylation in 4T1 cancer cells, their functional lectin interaction landscape resembled that of the normal mammary epithelium.

The transformation of sialic acids into the activated donor-substrate CMP-Sialic acid is mediated through the enzyme CMAS (Cytidine Monophosphate *N*-Acetylneuraminic Acid Synthetase) and is an essential step of sialylation (*9*). Subsequently, CMP-Sialic acids are transported to the Golgi apparatus, where various sialyltransferases utilize them as donor substrates to add sialic acid and modify glycans (*10, 11*). To genetically assess the role of sialylation in breast cancer, we used CRIPSR/Cas9 to knock out *Cmas* in 4T1, EMT6 and E0771 murine mammary cancer cells and in MDA-MB-231 and BT-474 human breast cancer cells. Importantly, the changes in surface glycosylation induced by *Cmas* KO were similar in mouse and human cell lines (Fig. 1C and Suppl. Fig 1B). The loss of sialylation (assessed through the loss of SNA and MAL2 binding) triggered a shift in the glycome, characterized by an increase in terminal galactose, which can be determined using the lectins ECL, PNA and GSL1 (Fig. 1C). These changes were confirmed by structural N-glycomic analysis using mass spectrometry, which shows a shift in both mouse and human cell models from terminal sialylated to terminal galactosylated N-glycans (Fig. 1D,E and Suppl. Fig. 1C,D). Subsequently, we performed comprehensive glycoproteomic analysis using our in-house developed SugarQb pipeline (*12*). This analysis enabled the quantitative assessment of 4859 and 2771 unique glycopeptides, from 424 and 384 glycoproteins, for mouse and human breast cancer cell lines, respectively, providing, to our knowledge, the most extensive glycoproteome map of breast cancer cells to date (Fig. 1F,G; Suppl. Fig. 1E,F; Suppl. Table 2). Interestingly, the GO-term analysis revealed that through *Cmas* KO affected glycoproteins in human breast cancer cell lines were largely involved in immune response pathways, a feature most closely reflected by the 4T1 murine mammary cancer cell line (Fig. 1H). Overall, these data show that compared to other mammary cancer cell lines, the 4T1 cells presents a high degree of sialylation while retaining an overall lectin-interactome close to that of healthy mammary glands, and upon desialylation affected pathways closely resemble those observed in human breast cancer cells. Based

on these results, we focused on the 4T1 model to further assess the mechanistic impact of hyper-sialylation in mammary cancer.

Sialylation deficiency licenses cytotoxic T cells to control mammary tumors.

In vitro analysis of *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells and control 4T1 cells showed comparable overall growth behavior (Suppl. Fig. 2A) and morphology (data not shown). Additionally, the overall proteome remained largely unchanged (Suppl. Fig. 2B and Suppl. Table 3). However, when sialylation-deficient 4T1 cells were orthotopically implanted into the mammary fat pad of syngeneic BALB/c mice, they exhibited a significant growth disadvantage compared to control 4T1 cells (Fig. 2A). Tumor cell proliferation was similar between *Cmas* KO and control tumors, as indicated by the Ki67 proliferation marker; however, we observed a marked increase in tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells (Fig. 2B-D). Of note, *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumor cells maintained their negative sialylation phenotype *in vivo* (Suppl. Fig. 2C).

To evaluate the contribution of the immune system in driving this observed phenotype, we next implanted *Cmas* KO and control 4T1 cells orthotopically into the mammary fat pad of immunodeficient $Rag2^{-/-}\gamma c^{-/-}$ mice, which lack B cells, T cells, and NK cells (*13*). In these immunocompromised mice, no discernible tumor cell growth differences were evident (Fig. 2E and Suppl. Fig. 2D,E). Thus, the growth disadvantage of sialylation-deficient 4T1 cancer cells stems from the host immune system. Next, to gain further insight into the role of T cells in enhancing tumor control, we depleted CD4+, CD8+, or both of these T cell populations in tumor-bearing mice (Suppl. Fig. 2F,G). Remarkably, the depletion of CD8+, but not CD4+ T cells equalized the growth rates of *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors to that of control tumors (Fig. 2F). This finding establishes CD8+ cytotoxic T cells as the primary effector cells responsible for driving improved tumor control in sialylation-deficient 4T1 tumors.

Loss of sialylation sensitizes mammary tumors to immunotherapy.

To identify mechanisms that enhance tumor control in *Cmas* KO tumors, we conducted a comparative single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNASeq) analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells in both control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors. To achieve this, we isolated CD45+ cells from the respective tumors, resulting in a population of more than 95% viable CD45+ cells (Supp Fig. 3A). The unsupervised clustering of scRNA-seq data identified 15 clusters of immune cells

and one cluster of remnant cancer cells (cluster 11) (Fig. 3A, Supp Fig. 3B-E). We observed striking differences in the abundance of specific immune cell populations between control and *Cmas* 4T1 KO tumors, including a disproportionally high tumor infiltration of B cells, memory T cells, dendritic cells, and conversely a relative reduction in myeloid cells and myeloid derived suppressor cells (Fig. 3B,C). Myeloid cells (clusters 1, 4, 6, 7, and 10) of *Cmas* KO tumors remained largely unaltered in their marker expression when compared to the control tumors (Suppl. Fig. 3F). Interestingly, a sub-clustering of polymorphonuclear myeloid derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs, cluster 2) revealed two distinct sub-clusters, clusters 2A and 2B, consisting of *Siglece*+ or *Ccl3*+ and *Cd274*+ (Immune checkpoint PD-L1) PMN-MDSCs, respectively (Fig. 3D). Particularly sub-cluster 2B was reduced in *Cmas* KO tumors by 23%, but as compared to control tumors, these cells again exhibited a comparable expression profile of the markers *Ccl3* and PD-L1 (Fig. 3D and Suppl. Fig. 3G,H). Due to low RNA content per cell, it is challenging to faithfully assess PMN-MDSCs using scRNA-seq (*14*). We therefore confirmed the significant reduction of PMN-MDSCs in *Cmas* KO tumors as compared to control tumors by flow cytometry (Fig. 3E).

Concomitant with the reduced number of immunosuppressive PMN-MDSCs, the numbers of defined T cell populations were markedly changed (Clusters 3, 8 and 9; Fig. 3F). In particular, *Cmas* KO tumors demonstrated a remarkable increase of *Tcf7*+ *Cd69*+ memory T cells compared to control tumors (from 1.5% to 16.3%; Fig. 3F, Cluster 3 in blue). Of note, this cluster included both *Cd4*+ and *Cd8*+ T cells (Fig. 3A). Effector T cells, on the other hand, exhibited less pronounced changes in cell numbers while exhibiting a marked shift in their expression profiles (Cluster 8 and 9, Fig. 3F). *Cd4*+ effector T cells (Cluster 8) in control tumors included T$_H$2 cells, activated/exhausted lymphocytes, and regulatory T cells characterized by *Gata3*, *Pdcd1* and *Foxp3* expression, respectively. However, these transcription or exhaustion hallmarks were reduced in the effector T cells of *Cmas* KO tumors, which in turn expressed increased levels of the T cell activation marker *Cd69* (Cluster 8, Fig. 3F). Similarly, *Cd8*+ effector T cells (Cluster 9) from *Cmas* KO tumors showed reduced expression of *Pdcd1* as well as increased expression of *Cd44* and *Cd69* (Fig. 3F). Importantly, among all these T cell clusters, *Tcf7* (TCF1) expression was markedly increased in *Cmas* KO tumors (Fig. 3G).

Numbers of TCF1^{+} T cells have previously been shown to be associated with ICI efficacy, i.e. increased *Tcf7^{+}* memory T cell numbers correlate with increased sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies (*15, 16*). It is known that 4T1 tumors create a potent immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, inhibiting CD8^{+} T cell-mediated killing and rendering anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint blockade ineffective (*17-19*). Given the enhanced anti-tumor immune response resulting from sialylation interference, in particular the accumulation of *Tcf7^{+}* memory T cells, we assessed the effectiveness of anti-PD-1 treatment in mice harboring control or *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors. Remarkably, the loss of sialylation rendered 4T1 tumors sensitive to anti-PD-1 therapy, leading to prolonged tumor control and significantly improved survival in tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 3H; Suppl. Fig. 4A-D). These data show that ablation of tumor sialylation reduced PD-L1[+] PMN-MDSC infiltration and lead to the accumulation of *Tcf7^{+}* memory T cells, ultimately sensitizing resistant tumors to anti-PD-1 treatment.

CD8^{+} T cell-mediated tumor control relies on MHC-I and PD-L1 surface expression.

Next, we aimed to determine whether cell autonomous changes could possibly contribute to the CD8^{+} T cell-mediated tumor control. To assess possible alterations in the cancer cells, we measured the surface levels of key proteins involved in the interaction between cancer cells and CD8^{+} T cells, namely MHC-I and PD-L1. Interestingly, *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells showed significantly higher expression of MHC-I compared to control 4T1 cells, with or without IFNγ exposure (Fig. 4A). Notably, the total levels of different MHC haplotype proteins remained unchanged, as determined by proteomics of lysed tumor cells (Fig. 4B), indicating alterations in surface localization rather than overall expression. In addition, the surface expression levels of MHC-I significantly increased in human breast cancer cells upon *CMAS* deletion (Suppl. Fig. 5A). In contrast, cell surface expression of the immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 was strikingly reduced following IFNγ stimulation of *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells (Fig. 4C). These findings demonstrate that alterations of tumor cell sialylation results in deregulated expression of MHC-I and PD-L1, two key factors involved in CD8^{+} T cell-mediated recognition and killing.

Key changes in the tumor microenvironment (TME) involved the reduction of PMN-MDSCs in *Cmas* KO tumors (Fig. 3E), which may be related to the reduced circulating levels of G-CSF in *Cmas* KO tumor bearing mice (Suppl. Fig. 5B), as previously reported (*20, 21*). To assess the relevance of PMN-MDSCs accumulation in the TME, we targeted Ly6G-expressing neutrophils

using depleting antibodies (Fig. 4D and Suppl. Fig. 5C, D). Importantly, the reduction in immunosuppressive PMN-MDSCs led to a significant increase in CD8$^+$ T cell infiltration and a reduction in tumor growth of control 4T1 cells to a level observed in *Cmas* KO tumors (Fig. 4E,F). Thus, in sialylation-deficient 4T1 tumors, the restricted accumulation of PMN-MDSCs allowed for increased infiltration of CD8$^+$ T cells and better tumor control (Fig. 4G).

Pharmacological inhibition of sialylation phenocopies *Cmas* genetic ablation for cancer immunosurveillance.

Having demonstrated the potential of interfering with sialylation through genetic ablation, our next objective was to investigate whether similar effects could be achieved in cancer treatment through pharmacologic intervention. To accomplish this, we administered 3Fax-Peracetyl N-Acetylneuraminic acid, a sialyltransferase inhibitor (STI) (*22*), to 4T1 tumor-bearing mice. Treatment with STI resulted in a significant reduction in tumor growth (Fig. 5A). Additionally, STI licensed the tumor bearing host to better respond to anti-PD-1-based immunotherapy, leading to prolonged tumor control and a doubling of the overall survival (Fig. 5A and Suppl. Fig. 6A-D). Importantly, similar to genetic ablation of sialylation, pharmacological interference was dependent on an intact adaptive immune system since no spontaneous nor immunotherapy-induced tumoricidal activity was observed in *Rag2$^{-/-}$ γc$^{-/-}$* mice (Fig. 5B). Of note, *in vitro* experiments demonstrated that STI did not exhibit any direct cytotoxicity in 4T1 cells (Suppl. Fig. 6E).

Time course immune profiling revealed modulations of the tumor immune landscape upon STI treatment, consistent with those described in *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors. Thus, STI induced a drop in PMN-MDSCs, concomitant to a rise in tumor-infiltrating memory CD8$^+$ T cells on day 8 following tumor induction (Fig. 5C-F; Suppl. Fig. 7A). On day 14 post tumor induction, significantly fewer PD-1 expressing T cells were present in tumors treated with both STI and anti-PD-1 (Fig. 5G; Suppl. Fig. 7B). The increased infiltration of CD8$^+$ T cells persisted until the endpoint of the study (Fig. 5H-J; Suppl. Fig. 7C-H). Overall, pharmacologic ablation of sialylation recapitulated the effects observed with our *Cmas* genetic ablation experiments, emphasizing the potential of sialylation interference as a therapeutic approach in future clinical settings.

Deletion of *Cmas* in the mouse mammary gland

To further evaluate the potential of interfering with sialylation as a treatment for breast cancer, we employed an *in vivo* preclinical model of the disease. To achieve this, we established the first *in vivo* knockout of sialylation in the mammary gland epithelium by conditionally deleting *Cmas* in K14-expressing cells using the K14-Cre delete line (*Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$*). As expected, the deletion of *Cmas* in *Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$* mice resulted in the loss of binding with sialic acid-specific lectins such as SNA, MAL1, and MAL2 (Fig. 6A and Suppl. Fig. 8A,B), confirming the generation of an effective sialylation knockout mouse line in mammary epithelial cells. Additionally, there was a significant reduction in alcian blue staining, which identifies negatively charged clusters primarily produced through sialylated mucus, when compared to control *Cmas$^{f/f}$* mice (Fig. 6A). Importantly, *Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$* mice did not display any other noticeable phenotypic changes, and the development and overall histology of the mammary gland epithelium appeared normal (Fig. 6A and Suppl. Fig. 8C,D). Furthermore, the mammary glands remained functional in pregnancy and lactation, as *Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$* dams were able to nurse their pups, resulting in no reduced viability of their litter compared to control *Cmas$^{f/f}$* dams (Suppl. Fig. 8E,F). Thus, surprisingly, deletion of *Cmas* in the mammary epithelium has no apparent effect on mammary gland development in puberty nor during lactation and pregnancy.

Sialylation deficiency increases cancer immunosurveillance in autochthonous mammary tumors and sensitizes to PD-1 blockade.

We next utilized *Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$* and control *Cmas$^{f/f}$* mice to study the spontaneous formation of mammary tumors induced by medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) and 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA) (Fig. 6B) (*23*). The combined application of DMBA/MPA leads to the spontaneous development of aggressive mammary tumors that closely resemble many aspects of human luminal B breast cancer, including resistance to immunotherapy (*24*). *Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$* mice, lacking sialylation in the mammary gland epithelium, exhibited a remarkable improvement in tumor-free survival (TFS) with a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.234 (95% CI: 0.103 to 0.530), time-to-death (TTD, HR of 0.126 and 95% CI: 0.0428 to 0.373), and overall survival (OS, HR of 0.085 and CI95%: 0.028 to 0.260) (Fig. 6C-E). To examine the association between

sialylation and CD8^{+} T cell infiltration in $Cmas^{\Delta K14}$ and control $Cmas^{f/f}$ tumors and to assess tumor architecture, we established a co-staining protocol combining alcian blue as a surrogate marker of sialic acid (Suppl. Fig. 8G) with CD8 immunohistochemistry (Suppl. Fig. 8H). This enabled the parallel quantification of sialylation and CD8^{+} T cell infiltration in the same tumor areas. When applied to the cohort of sialylation-competent $Cmas^{f/f}$ mice, we observed a significant negative correlation between alcian blue positivity and CD8^{+} T cell infiltration (Fig. 6F). This finding confirms our 4T1 orthotopic tumor data, with both experimental paradigms demonstrating that high levels of sialylation act as a negative regulator of CD8^{+} T cell infiltration and tumor control in mammary tumors.

The tumors that eventually developed in $Cmas^{\Delta K14}$ mice remained unsialylated, as evaluated by SNA and MAL2 lectin stainings, thus ruling out the possibility of "escape variants" resulting from failed cre-recombination (Suppl. Fig 8I). This observation is consistent with our assessment using lox-stop-lox GFP reporter lines, which demonstrated a high efficiency of recombination in tumors harboring floxed alleles and K14-Cre (Suppl. Fig. 8J). Finally, to examine whether the loss of sialylation and the subsequent increase in tumor-infiltrating CD8^{+} T cells rendered immunotherapy-resistant mammary tumors sensitive to immunotherapy, we treated a cohort of tumor-bearing $Cmas^{f/f}$ and $Cmas^{\Delta K14}$ mice with anti-PD-1. Remarkably, only $Cmas^{\Delta K14}$ tumors exhibited a prolonged response to anti-PD-1 therapy (Fig. 6G). In fact, the ablation of sialylation, represents to our knowledge the first intervention to significantly enhance the efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy in this preclinical model of luminal B breast cancer, leading to prolonged survival (Fig. 6H, HR 0.084, CI95%: 0.014 to 0.493). These data show that sialylation-interference can break hard-wired immune tolerance across immunotherapy resistant mammary tumor models without disrupting healthy mammary gland development and function.

Sialylation negatively correlates with CD8^{+} T cell infiltration in human breast cancer.

Lastly, to validate the role of sialylation in cancer immunosurveillance and to identify breast cancer patients that might benefit from sialylation interference, we analyzed the tumor sialylation status in breast cancer cohorts. First, we optimized histochemical staining protocols using the lectins SNA (binding α2,6 sialic acid) and MAL2 (binding α2,3 sialic acid); we consistently obtained faint positivity in the healthy human mammary gland epithelium (Suppl. Fig. 9A). The specificity of our staining protocol was confirmed using neuraminidase

pretreated controls, ablating SNA and MAL2 reactivity on enzymatically desialylated sections (Suppl. Fig. 9B). Next, we assessed a cohort of 50 naïve patients diagnosed with primary breast cancer for whom pre-treatment biopsies were available (Suppl. Table 4). In this cohort, 27% and 57% of all cases showed an increased positivity for SNA or MAL2, respectively, compared to 10 healthy breast specimens. Overall, 69% of breast cancer exhibited an increase in either SNA or MAL2 specific staining, indicating a high frequency of hyper-sialylation in localized breast cancer. We did not observe significant differences in the SNA or MAL2 staining profiles among the different molecular subtypes of breast cancer, except for Luminal A (Fig. 7A,B). Luminal A tumors consistently displayed upregulation of MAL2 staining in 8 out of 10 cases, while 7 out of 10 cases were negative for SNA staining. This unique discordant pattern suggests a selective increase of α2,3 sialylation in this molecular breast cancer subtype. Finally, combining SNA and MAL2 staining assessment led to a sialylation score for human breast cancer. No significant difference in sialylation scores was observed among the four molecular subtypes (Fig. 7C).

Lastly, we evaluated T cell infiltration, specifically using immunostaining directed against CD3, CD8, and FOXP3 (Suppl. Fig. 9C-H, Suppl. Table 4). Comparing the positivity of these T cell markers between hyper-sialylated breast tumors (sialylation score >2) and breast cancer with normal/reduced sialylation (sialylation score ≤2), a significant correlation between low levels of $CD8^+$, $CD3^+$ and also $FOXP3^+$ T cell infiltration and hyper-sialylation became evident (Fig. 7D-F and Suppl. Fig. 9I-K). These findings show that high tumor sialylation levels correlate with immunologically cold (TIL low) breast cancer, which paves the way to lower responsiveness to PD-L1 blockade (1). Altogether our data indicate that patients harboring hyper-sialylated breast cancer may benefit from sialylation interference to break immune tolerance and increase responses to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade.

Discussion

The unique immune privilege of the breast, which constitutes a strong barrier against autoimmune attacks, allows marked morphological and molecular changes during development and pregnancy. Intriguingly, the immunoregulatory part of the Siglec (Sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-type lectins) family has expanded in mammals together with the evolution of the mammary glands (25). We therefore speculated that among all organs,

the mammary epithelium, which is the evolutionary youngest and defining organ of mammals, may particularly capitalize on the sialic acid immunoregulatory circuits.

Surprisingly, the loss of sialylation showed only minor effects on the development and function of mammary glands in mice, suggesting that sialic acids are not crucial components of cellular functions and local immunoregulation of the mammary glands under unchallenged conditions. This is in line with previous studies that have shown that sialylation is not essential for early embryonic development and organogenesis in mice (*26, 27*). In contrast, our data indicates that the absence of sialylation appears to have beneficial effects, as it protects against the spontaneous formation of mammary tumors upon progestin and carcinogen treatment. However, it is likely that sialylation of mammary glands plays a beneficial role in unexplored processes, such as infection clearance or prevention of autoimmune diseases. Importantly, the lack of evident pathology in conditional knockout mice suggests that local interference with mammary gland sialylation may be well-tolerated and non-hazardous to the organ in breast cancer patients.

Similarly, the loss of sialylation did not affect the *in vitro* growth of any of the examined murine and human BC cell lines, and the overall proteome remained largely unchanged. This provides support for the non-essential role of sialylation in both healthy and transformed mammary cells. In the 4T1 mammary cancer cell line, which is widely utilized in murine BC studies, the impact of sialylation interference appears to be exclusively due to immunoregulation. This is evident from the absence of growth disparities when compared to sialylation-competent tumor cells in immunocompromised mice. Moreover, it is conceivable that the removal of sialylation also prevents metastasis, as previously described (*28*).

Our comprehensive investigation, which included lectin binding, structural glycomics, and quantitative and structural glycoproteomics analyses, demonstrates the dual nature of sialylation interference, encompassing both loss-of-function and gain-of-function. Future exploration is warranted to determine whether the upregulated glycan epitopes resulting from loss of sialylation, such as increased terminal galactosylation, contribute to the observed phenotypes and potentially unveil new vulnerabilities for targeted interventions.

Mechanistically and using single cell transcriptomics, we report that sialylation in breast tumors drives the recruitment of PMN-MDSCs while hindering the efficient eradication of

tumors by CD8$^+$ T cells. Consequently, abrogation of tumor sialylation facilitated CD8$^+$ mediated tumor control and the recruitment of Tcf7$^+$ CD8$^+$ memory T cells within the TME. In multiple studies, *Tcf7$^+$ CD8$^+$* memory T cells have been linked to ICI responses (*15, 16, 29-32*). The interference with sialylation therefore resulted not only in prolonged control of the breast tumors but, most importantly, also culminated in breaking the resistance of breast tumors to anti-PD1 therapy.

Previous studies have shown that other innate immune components, such as tumor-associated macrophages, can contribute to improved CD8$^+$ T cell-mediated tumor control upon partial desialylation of colorectal cancer and melanoma models (*6*). This phenotype was functionally linked to the actions of Siglecs (*6, 7*). In contrast, complete desialylation interference in a colorectal tumor model resulted in immune-dependent accelerated tumor growth (*33*). These observations are likely consequences of the intricate sialylation-immune axis and suggest that, similar to other immunotherapies, the outcome might depend on tumor-intrinsic properties. Thus, it is crucial to define key determinants that can serve as predictive markers for treatment outcomes following sialylation interference. For breast cancer, our data, along with findings from other studies (*6, 34, 35*), consistently demonstrate that sialylation contributes to a suppressed immune response. This is likely attributable not only to sialylation-driven recruitment and polarization of immune-dampening innate immune cells but also, as we observed in our experiments, to a sialylation-dependent increase in surface expression of MHC-I and decreased cell surface expression of PD-L1. Previous reports have shown that increased MHC-I surface retention can also be observed in desialylated dendritic cells (*36*). Collectively, sialylation in breast cancer cells appears to affect various cellular pathways and immune cells, thereby promoting immune escape of the tumor cells.

In this study, using two preclinical models of immunologically "cold" and ICI-unresponsive mammary cancers, we have demonstrated that sialylation interference can sensitize tumors to combination therapy with anti-PD1 immune checkpoint inhibition. This intervention represents, to our knowledge, the first successful preclinical strategy to render aggressive MPA/DMBA mammary tumors amenable to immunotherapy. This data underscores the potential of sialylation interference strategies for future clinical combination therapies, including those currently being assessed in clinical trials (NCT05259696). Finally, the high frequency with which we observed hyper-sialylation in breast tumors of patients, along with

its significant negative correlation with tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes, suggests that a substantial number of breast cancer patients could benefit from therapeutic interventions targeting sialylation.

Material and Methods

Cell lines. All breast cancer (BC) cell lines were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and healthy mouse primary mammary epithelial cells were obtained from Cell Biologics (C57-6035, Chicago, IL) and cultured in DMEM High Glucose medium supplemented with 10% FCS and penicillin-streptomycin (100 U Pen/ml; 0.1 mg Strep/ml). Cells were authenticated by short tandem repeat analyses and regularly tested for mycoplasma by PCR.

***Cmas* knockout cells**. Guide RNAs against mouse or human *CMAS* were designed using the Broad Institute CRISPick tool (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gppx/crispick/public). Reference genomes were Human GRCh38 or Mouse GRCm38, and the mechanism CRISPRko Enzyme: SpyoCas9. The top 5 crRNA spacer sequences were selected and cloned into the pX459v2 Cas9 Puro Plasmid (Addgene Plasmid #62988) using single-step golden-gate cloning. After plasmid purification, 500 ng of pX459v2:sgRNA DNA was transfected into cells using Lipofectamine2000 following the manufacturer's instructions. The day after transfection, the media was replaced with growth medium containing 1 µg/ml Puromycin. After 48h of transient selection, the medium was replaced with standard growth medium and cells were bulk sorted on Aria III (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) using *Sambucus Nigra* Lectin (SNA, Vector) for sialylation negative cells (*Cmas* KO) and cells with unaltered sialylation levels (Ctrl). Three different guides were tested each to target human *CMAS* or mouse *Cmas* (Resource Table). The best performing guides assessed by the capacity of inducing SNA negative cells were human guide 1 and mouse guide 3, and were the guides used for all conditions. In every experiment, a more than 95% purity of SNA negative or positive cells was confirmed for *Cmas* KO and their respective control cells.

Orthotopic tumor experiments. 4T1 cells were orthotopically injected into the right inguinoabdominal mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice or BALB/c *Rag2$^{-/-}$γc$^{-/-}$* mice (both Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). For this purpose, cells were cultured in monolayer, harvested by trypsin, and washed with PBS. 100 µl of a 1:1 PBS and Matrigel (Corning Inc., Corning, NY)

cell suspension (250,000 cells) were injected per mouse. Tumors were monitored and measured every second day starting from day 4 after injection. Tumors were measured using digital calipers; the tumor volume was calculated as length × width × height. Tumor bearing mice were sacrificed following ethical guidelines.

***In vivo* cell depletion experiments**. T cells were depleted from tumor bearing mice by the application of anti-CD4 (Clone GK1.5, in house produced) and/or anti-CD8 (Clone 2.43, in house produced) monoclonal antibodies. For this purpose, i.p. injection of 100 μg anti-CD4 and/or 100 μg anti-CD8 or a species matched (rat) isotype control antibody IgG2b (BioXCell, Lebanon, NH) were administered on days 0, 2, 8, 14 and 18 post tumor cell inoculation. On day 8 the T cell depletion was confirmed via flow cytometry using blood analysis. Neutrophils were depleted using a protocol modified from Boivin *et al*. (*37*). Briefly, tumor bearing mice were treated every other day by two i.p. injections. First 50 μg anti-Ly6g (1A8, BioXCell) or isotype control antibodies (BioXCell), followed by a second i.p. injection with 50 μg anti-Rat IgG (MAR18.5) two hours later. On day 7 the neutrophil depletion was confirmed using flow cytometry analysis of blood samples.

***In vivo* sialyltransferase inhibition**. For sialyltransferase inhibition experiments, tumor bearing mice were treated starting from day 4 post tumor inoculation. The inhibitor (3Fax-Peracetyl N-Acetylneuraminic acid (Sigma)) was administered at sub-lethal doses determined in pilot studies (*22*). For intra-tumor injections, 600 μg of 3Fax-Peracetyl N-Acetylneuraminic acid were dissolved in 30% DMSO in PBS and given every second day until day 12 post tumor induction. To avoid kidney damage, the treatment dose was lowered from day 14 post tumor induction to 300 μg of 3Fax-Peracetyl N-Acetylneuraminic acid every 4 days. The control group received vehicle control injections. For anti-PD-1 experiments, tumor bearing mice received i.p. injections of 250 μg anti-PD-1 or 250 μg isotype control in InVivoPure pH 7.0 Dilution Buffer (all BioXCell) on days 10, 12 and 14 post-tumor induction. Anti-PD-1 antibody injections were thereafter continued every 4 days.

Flow cytometry. Tumors were resected from euthanized mice and immediately dissociated using a tumor dissociation kit and GentleMACS dissociator (both Miltenyi). Single cell suspensions were first incubated with CD16/32 Fc Block (BD) and Fixable Viability Dye eFluor™ 780 (eBiosciences) for 20 min at 4°C, followed by antibody staining for 20 min at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 2% FCS using the reagents listed in the Resource Table. Antibody staining was determined using a BD Fortessa instrument (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and analyzed with FlowJo (BD) and GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Boston, MA).

Glycan analysis by flow cytometry. Cancer cells were harvested with 1 mM EDTA in PBS and washed 3 times in TSM buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2mM MgCl2). Cells were then stained with fluorescently labelled plant lectins for 45 min at 4°C in TSM buffer using the reagents listed in the Resource Table. Staining was assessed with a BD Fortessa instrument (BD). Alternatively, cells were stained with a chimeric lectin-library as previously described (*38*) and screened on IQue Screener Plus (IntelliCyt/Sartorius, Göttingen, DE). All data was analyzed by FlowJo (BD) and GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software) or R Studio (Posit, Boston, MA).

Human tumor tissue. Human breast cancer tissues were included from 50 patients collected at the Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland. In brief, breast cancer biopsies were taken for diagnostic purposes between September 2018 and July 2020 from patients who had consented to further scientific use of their biological samples. Ten cases were selected from each Luminal A, Luminal B, Luminal B HER2 positive, HER2 positive, and triple negative molecular subtypes, respectively. Additionally, ten control samples were chosen from patients with breast reduction surgery between August 2018 and December 2021. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethics Committee of Eastern Switzerland, Project-ID 2022-00082) and conducted in adherence to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines.

Histologic analysis. Tumors were resected from euthanized mice and immediately fixed in neutral buffered formalin (HT5012, Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA) for 48h at room

temperature. Following several washes with PBS, fixed tumors were embedded in paraffin, cut at 2 μm thickness, and mounted on glass slides (Permaflex plus adhesive slides, Leica, Wetzlar, DE). Slides were deparaffinized in automatic stainer Gemini AS (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) by sequential application of xylene substitute (Shandon, Thermo Scientific) and decreasing concentrations of ethanol in water. For chromogenic immunohistochemistry with antibodies, dewaxed slides were subjected to antigen retrieval with sodium citrate buffer (pH 6, see Resource Table), endogenous peroxidase inactivation with 3% H_2O_2 (H1009, Sigma) and were also blocked in 5% BSA in TBST. In case of lectin staining, endogenous peroxidase inactivation with 3% H_2O_2 was performed on dewaxed specimen followed by blocking with avidin biotin blocking solution (ab64212, Abcam) and 5% BSA in TBST. Slides were washed in TBS between steps and incubated with the respective primary antibodies or lectins (Resource Table) for 2h at room temperature. Detection system rabbit, detection system rat or streptavidin-HRP (Resource Table) were used for rabbit primary antibody, rat primary antibody or lectin staining, respectively. The chromogenic reaction was induced using the DAB substrate kit (ab64238, Abcam). Hematoxylin counter staining or hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and subsequent dehydration were performed using reagents detailed in the Resource Table, using automatic stainer Gemini AS. Slides were mounted with cover slips by Tissue-TEK GLC (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA), air dried and scanned with Slide Scanner Pannoramic 250 (3DHistech Ltd, Budapest, HU). Whole mount staining was prepared as previously described (*39*) and stained with carmine alum and mounted using Eukitt Neo mounting medium (O. Kindler, Freiburg, DE). Alcian blue stains were performed by 30 min incubation with alcian blue (pH 2.5), followed by washing in running water and counterstained with Nuclear fast red for 3 min (Resource Table). Human sections stained with the lectins SNA or MAL2 were assessed with CaseViewer (3DHistech Ltd) and manually scored from 0 to 4 for the staining intensity of cancer cells as compared to staining intensity obtained in healthy mammary glands (Suppl. Fig. 9A). Sialylation scores were defined as the sum of SNA and MAL2 scores. Tumor sections stained with anti-CD3, anti-CD8 and anti-FOXP3 were analyzed using Fiji ImageJ. For this purpose, 3 representative intra-tumoral areas were selected per case and batch quantified using a sequence of color deconvolution (H DAB), intensity threshold, conversion to mask and area fraction measurement, shown as percentages of positively stained tumor cells.

Single cell transcriptomics. Tumors were resected at day 15 post injection and immediately dissociated using a tumor dissociation kit and GentleMACS dissociator (both Miltenyi). CD45 positive cells were then isolated with anti-CD45 microbeads (Miltenyi) following a previously described protocol (*40*). Cells were counted using NucleoCounter NC250 (Chemometec) following the manufacturer instructions and CD45 positivity and viability measured on a BD Fortessa instrument (BD); more than 90% cells were viable and 95% of the cells were confirmed to express CD45. For each sample, one million cells were fixed for 22 hours at 4°C, quenched and stored at -80°C according to 10X genomic Fixation of Cells & Nuclei for Chromium Fixed RNA profiling (CG000478) using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell Fixed RNA Sample preparation kit (PN-1000414, 10X Genomics, Pleasanton, CA). 250,000 cells per sample were used for probe hybridization using the Chromium Fixed RNA Kit, Mouse Transcriptome, 4rxn x 4BC (PN-1000496, 10X Genomics), pooled at equal numbers and washed following the Pooled Wash Workflow following the Chromium Fixed RNA Profiling Reagent kit protocol (CG000527, 10X Genomics). GEMs were generated on Chromium X (10X Genomics) with a target of 10,000 cells recovered and libraries prepared according to the manufacturer instructions (CG000527, 10X Genomics). Sequencing was performed using NovaSeq S4 lane PE150 (Illumina) with a target of 15,000 reads per cell. Alignment of the samples was perfomed with the 10x Genomics Cell Ranger 7.1.0 multi pipeline (*41*). The reads were trimmed to a length of 28bp read1 and 92bp read2 and aligned to the mouse reference genome (refdata-gex-mm10-2020-A) and probeset (Probe_Set_v1.0.1_mm10-2020-A.csv) with default parameters using cellranger multi. The four per sample count outputs were combined with cellranger aggr. The cellranger output files for each sample (filtered barcodes, features and count matrix files) were then used for custom analysis in R using the Seurat package (version 4.2.0) (*42, 43*). In brief, Seurat objects for each file were generated by keeping cells with more than 200 features. After data normalization (NormalizeData, default setting) and scaling (ScaleData, default settings), the top 2000 variable genes were computed using FindVariableFeaturesgenes ("vst" method). For each sample, data was then transformed using SCTransform (SCTransform, vst.flavor = "v2"), followed by principal component analysis (RunPCA, npcs=50). Data integration was then performed by first generating a list containing the individual sample object, followed by selection of the top 3000

most variable features (SelectIntegrationFeatures, nfeatures = 3000) and final integration using PrepSCTIntegration (anchor.features = features from SelectIntegrationFeatures). FindIntegrationAnchors (normalization.method = "SCT") was used to find combined integration anchors, which were then used to performa final integration using IntegrateData (normalization.method = "SCT"). RunPCA was used to find the top principal compoments of the integrated data object for downstream clustering. The first 40 PCAs were used to find neighbours FindNeighbors (reduction = "pca", dims = 1:40), followed by clustering (FindClusters, resolution=0.7 or 0.5 or 0.3) and UMAP dimensionalty reduction (RunUMAP reduction = "pca", dims = 1:40). To assign clusters to cell types, FindAllMarkers was used to find features that are upregulated in individual clusters with a log-Fold Change of at least 0.25, and which are expressed in at least 25% of cells (logfc.threshold = 0.25,min.pct = 0.25). The list was then used to manually assign cell types. R-code, raw sequencing files and Seurat object are available via (NCBI GEO).

N-Glycomic analyses. Cancer cells were harvested using 1mM EDTA in PBS and cell pellets were washed once with TSM buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2mM MgCl2) and further three times with PBS. Cell pellets were then mixed with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer containing 20 mM dithiothreitol and 2 % sodium dodecyl sulphate at a total volume of 500 µL. After homogenization with an Ultra-Turrax T25 disperser, the homogenates were incubated at 56°C for 30 min. After cooling down, the solution was brought to 40 mM iodoacetamide and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Chloroform-methanol extraction of the proteins was carried out using standard protocols (*44*). In brief, supernatants were mixed with four volumes of methanol, one volume of chloroform and three volumes of water in the given order. After centrifugation of the mixture for 3 min at 2500 rpm, the upper phase was removed, 4 mL of methanol were added, and pellets resuspended. The solution was centrifuged, the supernatant removed, and the pellet was again resuspended in 4 mL methanol. The last step was repeated two more times. After the last methanol washing step, the pellet was dried at room temperature. Dried pellets were resuspended in 50 mM ammonium acetate (pH 8.4). For N-glycan release 2.5 U N-glycosidase F (Roche, DE) were added and the resulting mixture was incubated overnight at 37°C. The reaction mixture was acidified with some drops of glacial acetic acid and centrifuged at

4000×g. The supernatant was loaded onto a Hypersep C18 cartridge (1000 mg; Thermo Scientific, Vienna) that had been primed with 2 mL of methanol and equilibrated with 10 mL water. The sample was applied, and the column was washed with 4 mL water. The flow-through and the wash solution were collected and subjected to centrifugal evaporation. Reduction of the glycans was carried out in 1 % sodium borohydride in 50 mM NaOH at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of two drops glacial acetic acid. Desalting was performed using HyperSep Hypercarb solid-phase extraction cartridges (25 mg) (Thermo Scientific, Vienna). The cartridges were primed with 450 µL methanol followed by 450 µL 80% acetonitrile in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Equilibration was carried out by the addition of three times 450 µL water. The sample was applied and washed three times with 450 µL water. N-glycans were eluted by the addition of two times 450 µL 80% acetonitrile in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The eluate was subjected to centrifugal evaporation and the dried N-glycans were resuspended in 20 µL HQ-water. 5 µL of each sample were subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis. LC-MS analysis was performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system coupled to an Orbitrap Exploris 480 Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). The purified glycans were loaded on a Hypercarb column (100 mm × 0.32 mm, 5 µm particle size, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with 80 mM ammonium formate as the aqueous solvent A and 80 % acetonitrile in 80 mM ammonium formate as solvent B. The gradient was as follows: 0 – 4.5 min 1 % solvent B, from 4.5 – 5.5 min 1 – 9 % B, from 5.5 – 30 min 9 - 20 % B, from 30 – 41.5 min 20 - 35 % B, from 41.5 – 45 min 35 – 65 % B, followed by an equilibration period at 1 % solvent B from 45–55 min. The flow rate was 6 µL/min. MS analysis was performed in data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode with positive polarity from 500 – 1500 m/z using the following parameters: resolution was set to 120,000 with a normalized AGC target of 300%. The 10 most abundant precursors (charge states 2-6) within an isolation window of 1.4 m/z were selected for fragmentation. Dynamic exclusion was set at 20 s (n=1) with a mass tolerance of ± 10 ppm. Normalized collision energy (NCE) for HCD was set to 20, 25 and 30% and the precursor intensity threshold was set to 8000. MS/MS spectra were recorded with a resolution of 15,000, using a normalized AGC target of 100% and a maximum accumulation time of 100 ms.

Cell lysis for glycoproteomics analysis. All cell pellets were lysed using a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (Trizma Base: Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#T6066; HCl: Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#258148), 8M urea (VWR, Cat#0568), 150 mM NaCl (Merck, Cat#106404), pH 8, containing phosphatase inhibitors (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat#5870). Samples were kept on ice for 30 minbefore being sonicated using a water bath sonicator for 1 min at low intensity. Samples were centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. Resulting supernatants were transferred to a new tube, and protein contents were quantified using Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fischer, Cat#23225). For each sample, 400 µg of protein were reduced using DTT (Roche, Cat#10708984001; final concentration 10 mM) for 30 min at 37°C, and subsequently alkylated using IAA (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#I6125; final concentration 20 mM) for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Samples were then diluted using a 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer to lower urea concentrations to 4M before adding 8 µg of Lys C (FUJIFILM Wako, Cat#125 05061) to each sample (1 µg Lys C: 50 µg sample protein). Samples were incubated for 2 h at 25°C, before being further diluted by adding 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer to lower the urea concentration to 2M. 8 µg of Trypsin Gold (Promega, Cat#V5280) were added to each sample (1 µg Trypsin: 50 µg sample protein), and samples were further incubated for 15 h at 32°C. At this point, samples were acidified using TFA to a final concentration of 0.3% before being cleaned using 200 mg (3cc) Sep-Pak cartridges (Waters, Cat#WAT054945). The resulting elution fraction containing the cleaned peptides was dried under vacuum and stored at 20°C before proceeding with the TMT labelling protocol.

TMT labelling before glycoproteomics analyses. After quantification, 120 µg of peptides of each sample were prepared in a final volume of 100 µL of 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.6. Samples were labeled using TMTpro 18 plex (Thermo Scientific, Cat#A52045) reagents, according to manufacturer's instructions. The labelling efficiency was determined by LC-MS/MS on a small aliquot of each sample. After quenching the labeling reaction, samples were mixed in equimolar amounts, evaluated by LC-MS/MS. The mixed sample was acidified to a pH below 2 with 10% TFA and desalted using C18 cartridges (Sep-Pak Vac 1cc (200mg), Waters, Cat#WAT054945). Peptides were eluted with 2 x 600 µl 80% Acetonitrile (ACN) and 0.1% Formic Acid (FA), followed by freeze-drying.

Glycopeptide enrichment, LC-MS/MS and data analyses. Glycopeptides from the resulting TMTpro mixes were enriched by performing off-line ion pairing (IP) HILIC chromatography, as described previously (*12*). Briefly, the dried samples were taken up in 100 µL 75% acetonitrile containing 0.1 % TFA, and subjected to chromatographic separation on a TSKgel Amide-80 column (4.6 x 250 mm, particle size 5µ) using a linear gradient from 0.1% TFA in 80% acetonitrile to 0.1% TFA in 40% acetonitrile over 35 min (Dionex Ultimate 3000, Thermo). The 25 collected fractions were vacuum dried. Samples were resuspended using 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, Thermo Scientific, Cat#28903). The IP-HILIC fractions were individually analysed by LC–MS/MS. The nano HPLC system used was an UltiMate 3000 HPLC RSLC nano system (Thermo Scientific) coupled to a Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), equipped with a Proxeon nanospray source (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were loaded onto a trap column (Thermo Scientific, PepMap C18, 5 mm × 300 µm ID, 5 µm particles, 100 Å pore size) at a flow rate of 25 µL/min using 0.1% TFA as mobile phase. After 10 min, the trap column was switched in line with an analytical column (Thermo Scientific, PepMap C18, 500 mm × 75 µm ID, 2 µm, 100 Å). Peptides were eluted using a flow rate of 230 nl/min and a binary 180 min gradient. The two steps gradient started with the mobile phases: 98% A solution (water/formic acid, 99.9/0.1, v/v) and 2% B solution (water/acetonitrile/formic acid, 19.92/80/0.08, v/v/v), which was then increased to 35% B over the next 180 min, followed by a gradient of 90% B for 5 min, which was finally, in a 2 min period, decreased to the gradient 95% A and 2% B for equilibration at 30°C.

The following parameters were used for MS acquisition using an Exploris 480 instrument, operated in data-dependent mode: the instrument was operated in a positive mode; compensation voltages (CVs) used = CV-40, CV-50, CV-60; cycle time (per CV) = 1 sec. The monoisotopic precursor selection (MIPS) mode was set to Peptide. Precursor isotopes and single charge state precursors were excluded. MS1 resolution = 60,000; MS1 Normalised AGC target = 300%; MS1 maximum inject time = 50 msec. MS1 restrictions were relaxed when few precursors were present. MS1 scan range = 400–1,600 m/z; MS2 resolution = 45,000; MS2 Normalised AGC target = 200%. Maximum inject time = 250 msec. Precursor ions charge states allowed = 2-7; isolation window = 1.4 m/z; fixed first mass = 110 m/z; HCD collision energies = 30,33,36; exclude isotopes = True; dynamic exclusion = 45 s. All MS/MS data were processed and analysed using Xcalibur v3.1 (Thermo) and Proteome Discoverer v2.5. Data

was analysed using our previously established glycoproteomics platform SugarQb (*12*). For the GO-term analysis, comparing control and *Cmas* KO of each respective cell line, proteins with significantly different glycopeptide abundancy were grouped based on the biological function (Shiny GO v0.76, http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/).

Statistical analyses.

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software (VERSION 8.1.1, GraphPad Software Inc.). The statistical comparison between two or more groups was performed using Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA, respectively. For the comparison of longitudinal tumor growth two-way ANOVA was applied. Survival differences and hazard ratios were assessed using Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test and Mantel-Haenszel method. Data consisting of technical or biological replicates are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM), respectively, unless specified otherwise. Clinical data are expressed as violin plots or by the median. In addition, wherever possible individual values are plotted. Differences between groups were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of our laboratories for their support and help. We thank all in-house core facilities, especially BioOptics Facility, Proteomics Facility, Molecular Biology Service, as well as the VBCF Histology facility and Next Generation Sequencing facility. SM received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 841319 and the ESPRIT-Programme of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF, Project number: ESP 166). GJ is supported by a DOC fellowship from the Austrian Academy of Sciences. OHA received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, grant No P400PM_194473) and the Bruno Bloch Foundation. Work in JMP's laboratory is supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research, the Austrian Academy of Sciences, the City of Vienna and grants from the European Research Council (ERC Advanced grant 341036), the Austrian Science Fund (FWF Wittgenstein award Z 271-B19), the T. von Zastrow foundation, and a Canada 150 Research Chairs Program (F18-01336).

References

1. V. Debien *et al.*, Immunotherapy in breast cancer: an overview of current strategies and perspectives. *NPJ Breast Cancer* **9**, 7 (2023).
2. R. H. Vonderheide, S. M. Domchek, A. S. Clark, Immunotherapy for Breast Cancer: What Are We Missing? *Clin Cancer Res* **23**, 2640-2646 (2017).
3. P. Savas *et al.*, Clinical relevance of host immunity in breast cancer: from TILs to the clinic. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* **13**, 228-241 (2016).
4. S. Mereiter, M. Balmana, D. Campos, J. Gomes, C. A. Reis, Glycosylation in the Era of Cancer-Targeted Therapy: Where Are We Heading? *Cancer Cell* **36**, 6-16 (2019).
5. R. Schauer, J. P. Kamerling, Exploration of the Sialic Acid World. *Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem* **75**, 1-213 (2018).
6. M. A. Stanczak *et al.*, Targeting cancer glycosylation repolarizes tumor-associated macrophages allowing effective immune checkpoint blockade. *Sci Transl Med* **14**, eabj1270 (2022).
7. M. A. Stanczak *et al.*, Self-associated molecular patterns mediate cancer immune evasion by engaging Siglecs on T cells. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 4912-4923 (2018).
8. in *Essentials of Glycobiology,* A. Varki *et al.*, Eds. (Cold Spring Harbor (NY), 2022).
9. M. Sellmeier, B. Weinhold, A. Munster-Kuhnel, CMP-Sialic Acid Synthetase: The Point of Constriction in the Sialylation Pathway. *Top Curr Chem* **366**, 139-167 (2015).
10. M. Hugonnet, P. Singh, Q. Haas, S. von Gunten, The Distinct Roles of Sialyltransferases in Cancer Biology and Onco-Immunology. *Front Immunol* **12**, 799861 (2021).
11. J. C. Paulson, C. Rademacher, Glycan terminator. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **16**, 1121-1122 (2009).
12. J. Stadlmann *et al.*, Comparative glycoproteomics of stem cells identifies new players in ricin toxicity. *Nature* **549**, 538-542 (2017).
13. Y. Zhao *et al.*, Biological Characteristics of Severe Combined Immunodeficient Mice Produced by CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Rag2 and IL2rg Mutation. *Front Genet* **10**, 401 (2019).
14. G. Wigerblad *et al.*, Single-Cell Analysis Reveals the Range of Transcriptional States of Circulating Human Neutrophils. *J Immunol* **209**, 772-782 (2022).
15. S. Kurtulus *et al.*, Checkpoint Blockade Immunotherapy Induces Dynamic Changes in PD-1(-)CD8(+) Tumor-Infiltrating T Cells. *Immunity* **50**, 181-194 e186 (2019).
16. I. Siddiqui *et al.*, Intratumoral Tcf1(+)PD-1(+)CD8(+) T Cells with Stem-like Properties Promote Tumor Control in Response to Vaccination and Checkpoint Blockade Immunotherapy. *Immunity* **50**, 195-211 e110 (2019).
17. H. Zhang *et al.*, Oncolytic adenoviruses synergistically enhance anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 immunotherapy by modulating the tumour microenvironment in a 4T1 orthotopic mouse model. *Cancer Gene Ther* **29**, 456-465 (2022).
18. A. Sebastian *et al.*, Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Tumor-Derived Fibroblasts and Normal Tissue-Resident Fibroblasts Reveals Fibroblast Heterogeneity in Breast Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, (2020).
19. K. P. Fabian, M. R. Padget, R. Fujii, J. Schlom, J. W. Hodge, Differential combination immunotherapy requirements for inflamed (warm) tumors versus T cell excluded (cool) tumors: engage, expand, enable, and evolve. *J Immunother Cancer* **9**, (2021).
20. S. Ravindranathan *et al.*, Tumor-derived granulocyte colony-stimulating factor diminishes efficacy of breast tumor cell vaccines. *Breast Cancer Res* **20**, 126 (2018).

21. S. A. DuPre, K. W. Hunter, Jr., Murine mammary carcinoma 4T1 induces a leukemoid reaction with splenomegaly: association with tumor-derived growth factors. *Exp Mol Pathol* **82**, 12-24 (2007).

22. M. S. Macauley *et al.*, Systemic blockade of sialylation in mice with a global inhibitor of sialyltransferases. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 35149-35158 (2014).

23. D. Schramek *et al.*, Osteoclast differentiation factor RANKL controls development of progestin-driven mammary cancer. *Nature* **468**, 98-102 (2010).

24. A. Buque *et al.*, MPA/DMBA-driven mammary carcinomas. *Methods Cell Biol* **163**, 1-19 (2021).

25. K. F. Bornhofft, T. Goldammer, A. Rebl, S. P. Galuska, Siglecs: A journey through the evolution of sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-type lectins. *Dev Comp Immunol* **86**, 219-231 (2018).

26. M. Abeln *et al.*, Sialylation Is Dispensable for Early Murine Embryonic Development in Vitro. *Chembiochem* **18**, 1305-1316 (2017).

27. K. M. Niculovic *et al.*, Podocyte-Specific Sialylation-Deficient Mice Serve as a Model for Human FSGS. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **30**, 1021-1035 (2019).

28. S. T. Teoh, M. P. Ogrodzinski, C. Ross, K. W. Hunter, S. Y. Lunt, Sialic Acid Metabolism: A Key Player in Breast Cancer Metastasis Revealed by Metabolomics. *Front Oncol* **8**, 174 (2018).

29. K. A. Romine *et al.*, BET inhibitors rescue anti-PD1 resistance by enhancing TCF7 accessibility in leukemia-derived terminally exhausted CD8(+) T cells. *Leukemia* **37**, 580-592 (2023).

30. J. Koh *et al.*, TCF1(+)PD-1(+) tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes predict a favorable response and prolonged survival after immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer* **174**, 10-20 (2022).

31. J. Zhang, T. Lyu, Y. Cao, H. Feng, Role of TCF-1 in differentiation, exhaustion, and memory of CD8(+) T cells: A review. *FASEB J* **35**, e21549 (2021).

32. X. Q. Wang *et al.*, Spatial predictors of immunotherapy response in triple-negative breast cancer. *Nature*, (2023).

33. L. A. M. Cornelissen *et al.*, Disruption of sialic acid metabolism drives tumor growth by augmenting CD8(+) T cell apoptosis. *Int J Cancer* **144**, 2290-2302 (2019).

34. M. A. Gray *et al.*, Targeted glycan degradation potentiates the anticancer immune response in vivo. *Nat Chem Biol* **16**, 1376-1384 (2020).

35. A. A. Barkal *et al.*, CD24 signalling through macrophage Siglec-10 is a target for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature* **572**, 392-396 (2019).

36. Z. Silva *et al.*, MHC Class I Stability is Modulated by Cell Surface Sialylation in Human Dendritic Cells. *Pharmaceutics* **12**, (2020).

37. G. Boivin *et al.*, Durable and controlled depletion of neutrophils in mice. *Nat Commun* **11**, 2762 (2020).

38. D. Hoffmann *et al.*, Identification of lectin receptors for conserved SARS-CoV-2 glycosylation sites. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2004.2001.438087 (2021).

39. C. Tolg, M. Cowman, E. A. Turley, Mouse Mammary Gland Whole Mount Preparation and Analysis. *Bio Protoc* **8**, e2915 (2018).

40. L. Kalfeist *et al.*, Simultaneous isolation of CD45 tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, tumor cells, and associated fibroblasts from murine breast tumor model by MACS. *STAR Protoc* **4**, 101951 (2023).

41.   G. X. Zheng *et al.*, Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14049 (2017).

42.   Y. Hao *et al.*, Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587 e3529 (2021).

43.   A. Butler, P. Hoffman, P. Smibert, E. Papalexi, R. Satija, Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 411-420 (2018).

44.   L. Jiang, L. He, M. Fountoulakis, Comparison of protein precipitation methods for sample preparation prior to proteomic analysis. *J Chromatogr A* **1023**, 317-320 (2004).

Figure legends

Figure 1. Functional and structural glycan characterization of mammary cancer cell lines.

A. Surface glycan epitopes of the mammary cancer cells 4T1, EMT6, and E0771 assessed by cytometry using the indicated panel of 11 plant lectins. Main glycan features detected by the lectins are shown at the bottom of the panel. Results are shown as average mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of independent replicates (n = 3-5) and their standard deviation. Concanavalin A (ConA), *Erythrina Cristagalli* Lectin (ECL), *Lens Culinaris* Agglutinin (LCA), *Sambucus Nigra* Lectin (SNA), *Maackia Amurensis* Lectin (MAL), *Vicia Villosa* Lectin (VVL), *Phaseolus Vulgaris* Leucoagglutinin (L-PHA), *Griffonia Simplicifolia* Lectin (GSL), *Aleuria Aurantia* Lectin (AAL), Peanut Agglutinin (PNA).

B. Surface binding of 150 murine lectin-Fc fusion proteins against 11 murine mammary cancer cell lines (4T1, E0771, EMT6, 4T07, JC, JM01, NF639, PyMT, Ac711, 67NR and 168FARN) and healthy mammary epithelial cells (Healthy MG) assessed by cytometry. Results are shown as log2 fold binding over Fc control (average of 3 replicates) and detailed in Suppl. Table 1.

C. Changes in surface glycan epitope expression in murine mammary cancer cells (4T1, EMT6, E0771) and human breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231, BT-474) upon *CMAS* knockout. Glycan epitopes were cytometrically assessed with a panel of fluorescently labelled lectin-Fc fusion proteins. Main glycan features detected by the lectins are shown in (A). Color code reflects log2 fold change of mean fluorescence intensity and circle size negatively correlates with the p-value (n = 3, t-test). Bold circles indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05). Log2 fold changes were used for unsupervised clustering.

D and E. Radar charts summarizing relative changes of key N-glycans comparing control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 (n = 3, D) and between control and *CMAS* KO BT-474 (n = 3, E) mammary tumor cells, as assessed by mass spectrometry. The symbol nomenclature for glycans was used to represent the glycans as detailed in (A).

F and G. Volcano plots of glycoproteomic changes comparing control and *Cmas* knockout 4T1 (n = 3, F) and control and *CMAS* KO BT-474 (n = 3, G) mammary tumor cells. Results are shown as average fold change and p-values of the unique glycopeptides, with sialylated glycopeptides highlighted in red. Data are detailed in Suppl. Table 2.

H. GO-term analysis of glycoproteins that were significantly less sialylated in *Cmas* KO cells as compared to their unedited counterparts. Sialylation status of glycoproteins was assessed via quantitative mass spectrometry (SugarQb, n = 3).

Figure 2. Immune-dependent *in vivo* growth differences between control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 mammary tumors.

A. Tumor growth curves of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 mammary tumors, orthotopically implanted into immunocompetent syngeneic BALB/c mice.

B-D. Representative images (B) and quantification (C, D) of immunohistochemical assessment of anti-CD8 and anti-Ki67 immunostaining in Control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 mammary tumors. Scale bars correspond to 50 μm.

E. Tumor growth curves of orthotopic control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors in immunocompromised *Rag2*$^{-/-}$ *γc*$^{-/-}$ BALB/c mice.

F. Tumor growth curves of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors orthotopically implanted into syngeneic mice depleted for CD4$^+$ and/or CD8$^+$ T cells. n = 6 per group.

Tumor growth curves are shown as mean +/- SEM and statistical differences were assessed by two-way ANOVA. Tumor volumes were determined using digital caliper. Histological quantifications are shown as mean +/- SEM and statistical difference were assessed by t-test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 3. Immunologic changes in Control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 mammary tumors.

A. Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) of the combined single cell transcriptome analyses of tumor infiltrating immune cells from control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors, orthotopically implanted into immunocompetent syngeneic BALB/c mice. Clusters were annotated according to marker expression (see Suppl. Fig. 3).

B. Individual UMAPs of tumor infiltrating immune cells from Ccontorl (top) and *Cmas* KO (bottom) 4T1 tumors.

C. Quantitative differences in cell numbers among immune clusters comparing Control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors.

D. UMAP (left) and marker expression profiles (right) of the sub-clusters 2A (green) and 2B (red) derived from cluster 2 (polymorphonuclear myeloid derived suppressor cells, PMN-MDSC). The percentages indicate the relative reduction in cell number of respective sub-cluster from *Cmas* KO tumors as compared to control tumors.

E. Flow cytometry-based quantification of tumor infiltrating PMN-MDSCs in control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors. Results are shown as mean +/- SEM (biologic replicates, n = 4). Statistical difference was assessed by t-test. *** $p < 0.001$.

F. Detailed UMAP of the T cell clusters 3, 8, 9 and 14 with marker expression comparisons between cells derived from control (grey) or *Cmas* KO (orange) 4T1 tumors.

G. Feature plot of *Tcf7* expressing cells in T cell clusters 3, 8, 9 and 14 in control (left) and *Cmas* KO (right) 4T1 mammary tumors.

H. Tumor growth of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors, orthotopically implanted into syngeneic BALB/c mice treated with anti-PD-1 or isotype control antibodies. Tumor volumes were determined using digital caliper. Histological Statistical differences were assessed by two-way ANOVA; ** $p < 0.01$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4. Cell autonomous changes in *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells and *in vivo* neutrophil depletion.

A. MHC class I surface expression of unstimulated and IFNγ stimulated control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 mammary cancer cells assessed by flow cytometry detecting H-2Kd. n = 3, mean +/- SD.

B. Quantification of the indicated MHC class I haplotype proteins in control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells as assessed by quantitative proteomics. n = 3, mean +/- SD.

C. PD-L1 surface expression of unstimulated and IFNγ stimulated control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells assessed by anti-PD-L1 flow cytometry. n = 3, mean +/- SD.

D. Percentages of polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs) in tumors of Ly6G-depleted or undepleted mice carrying control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors, assessed by flow cytometry (n = 6-7, mean +/- SEM).

E. Percentages of CD8$^+$ T cells in tumors of Ly6G-depleted or undepleted mice with control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors, assessed by flow cytometry (n = 6-7, mean +/- SEM).

F. Growth curves of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors orthotopically ionjected into BALB/c mice treated with anti-Ly6G or isotype control antibodies. n = 6-7, mean +/- SEM. Tumor volumes were determined using digital caliper.

G. Schematic representation summarizing mechanistic alterations observed in a scenario of impaired sialylation in *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors.

MFI, mean fluorescence intensity. Statistical differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA (A, C, D, E), t-test (B) or two-way ANOVA (F). * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 5. Sialyltransferase inhibitor (STI) treatment effect on 4T1 tumors.

A and B. Tumor growth of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors, orthotopically implanted into syngeneic BALB/c mice (A) or immunocompromised *Rag2$^{-/-}$ γc$^{-/-}$* mice (B) treated with STI and/or anti-PD-1 antibody. Control conditions received treatment with vector control and/or antibody isotype control. Tumor volumes were determined using digital caliper.

C – F. Differences in polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs) (C), CD8$^+$ T cells (D), CD44$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells (E) or PD-1$^+$ T cells (F) within the tumor were assessed by cytometry on day 8 after tumor injection (n = 4, mean +/- SEM). Day 8 was before growth disparities arose and before the initiation of anti-PD-1 therapy.

G. Differences in PD-1$^+$ T cells within the tumor were assessed by cytometry on day 14 after tumor injection (n = 5, mean +/- SEM).

H – J. Representative images of CD8 immunohistochemistry (H) and quantification (I) as well as quantification of CD3 immunohistochemistry (J) within tumors treated with STI and/or anti-PD-1 antibody. Immunohistochemistry was assessed at endpoint (n = 4 – 10, mean +/- SEM).

Statistical differences were assessed by two-way ANOVA (A, B), t-test (C - F) or one-way ANOVA (G, I, J); * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; **** p < 0.0001. Scale = 50 μm.

Figure 6. Characterization of mammary glands and spontaneous mammary tumors in *Cmas* conditional knockout mice.

A. Histologic assessment of mammary glands stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H/E) (A), alcian blue (B), *Sambucus Nigra* lectin (SNA) (C) or *Maackia Amurensis* Lectin 2 (MAL2) (D) from Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice. Scale = 50 μm.

B. Treatment scheme for the induction of spontaneous mammary tumors through the application of medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) slow release pellet and 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (DMBA) oral gavages.

C − E. Kaplan-Meier curves of tumor free survival (C), time-to-death (D) and overall survival (E) in MPA/DMBA treated Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice.

F. Correlation analysis of alcian blue and CD8 positivity in MPA/DMBA induced tumors of Cmas$^{f/f}$ mice. Markers were assessed by alcian blue/CD8 co-staining and Spearman correlation analysis was performed to assess p and r values.

G. Spider plot of treatment responses to anti-PD-1 or isotype control treated MPA/DMBA induced tumors in Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice.

H. Kaplan-Meier curves of time-to-death in MPA/DMBA induced and anti-PD-1 treated tumors of Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice.

C − D, H. p values were assessed with Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Figure 7. Analysis of sialylation status in human breast cancer.

A and B. Histologic evaluation for the staining with *Sambucus Nigra* lectin (SNA) (A) and *Maackia Amurensis* Lectin 2 (MAL2) (B) in a cohort (n = 50) of breast cancer biopsies. A score of 0, 1, 2, 3 corresponds to negative, normal amount, increased amounts, and highly increased amounts of staining, respectively, as compared to healthy breast epithelium (Suppl. Fig. 9).

C. Sialylation score is the combined score assessed by SNA and MAL2 staining. A score of more than 2 corresponds to increased amounts of sialylation as compared to healthy breast epithelium.

D − F. Differences in CD3, CD8 and FOXP3 positive area between breast cancers with sialylation score of 2 or less and more than 2. T-test, p* < 0.05.

Supplementary Figure 1.

A. Relative quantification of di-sialylated bi-antennary N-glycans and their sialic acid linkage assessed by structural N-glycomic analysis. The relative glycan abundance was assessed by the area under the curve (AUC) of the extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) normalized to the AUC of the XIC of Man5 glycan.

B. Surface glycan epitopes of breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 and BT-474 assessed by cytometry with a panel of 11 plant lectins. Main glycan features detected by the lectins are shown below. Results are shown as average mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of independent replicates (n = 3) and their standard deviation.

C and D. Radar chart summarizing relative changes of key N-glycans between Ctrl and *Cmas* KO EMT6 (n = 3, C) and between Ctrl and *CMAS* KO MDA-MB-231 (n = 3, D) assessed by mass spectrometry. The symbol nomenclature for glycans was used to represent the glycans as detailed in (A).

E and F. Volcano plot of glycoproteomic changes between Ctrl and *Cmas* knockout EMT6 (n = 3, F) and Ctrl and *CMAS* KO MDA-MB-231 (n = 3, G). Results shown as average fold change and p-value of a unique glycopeptide, with sialylated glycopeptides highlighted in red, and are detailed in Suppl. Table 2.

Supplementary Figure 2.

A. In vitro growth curves of control and *Cmas* KO 4T1 cells. Luminescence was measured at 6h, 24h, 36h and 48h post seeding. Data is shown as average (technical replicates, n = 6) and standard deviation.

B. Volcano plot of proteomic changes between Ctrl and Cmas knockout 4T1 (n = 3). Results are shown as average fold change and p-value of identified proteins and are detailed in Suppl. Table 3.

C. Representative image of the histologic evaluation of the sialylation status in orthotopic Ctrl and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors using *Sambucus Nigra* lectin (SNA). Scale = 100 µm.

D. Individual tumor growth curves of orthotopic Ctrl and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors implanted into *Rag2 IL2R* KO mice. Data corresponds to figure 2E. Mice were sacrificed that reached a tumor volume above 1500 mm^3 (dashed line).

E. Survival curves of *Rag2 IL2R* KO mice harboring orthotopic Ctrl and Cmas KO 4T1 tumors. Data corresponds to figure 2E.

F. Schematic timeline of the CD4 and/or CD8 T cell depletion protocol. Anti-CD4/CD8 injection time points are indicated by blue arrows. Depletion efficiency was assessed on day 6 via blood analysis.

G. Confirmation of CD4 and/or CD8 depletion on day 6 post tumor injection. Submandibular blood was assessed for CD4 and CD8 positivity via flow cytometry. Percentage is shown as CD4+ or CD8+ gate of CD45+ cells.

Supplementary Figure 3.

A. Confirmation of CD45+ isolation by flow cytometry.

B – D. Violin plots of key marker expression of designated T cell clusters (3, 8, 9 and 14), designated myeloid and dendritic cell clusters (1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 16) and the remaining clusters (2, 5, 11, 13, 15).

E. Feature map of key markers to delineate CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and NK cells within the clusters 3, 8, 9 and 14.

F. Violin plot of key marker expression comparing *Cmas* KO (orange) and control (gray) 4T1 tumors in designated myeloid clusters 1, 4, 6, 7, 10.

G. Violin plot of key marker expression comparing *Cmas* KO (orange) and control (gray) 4T1 tumors in designated polymorphonuclear myeloid derived-suppressor cell cluster 2.

H. Violin plot of key marker expression comparing *Cmas* KO (orange) and control (gray) 4T1 tumors in designated polymorphonuclear myeloid derived-suppressor cell subclusters 2A and 2B.

Supplementary Figure 4.

A – C. Individual tumor growth curves of orthotopic Ctrl and *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors with and without anti-PD1 treatment. Data corresponds to figure 3H. Mice were sacrificed that reached a tumor volume above 1500 mm^3 (dashed line).

D. Survival curves of BALB/c mice harboring orthotopic Ctrl or *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumors. Data corresponds to figure 3H.

Supplementary Figure 5.

A. MHC-1 surface expression of human breast cancer cells (BT-474, assessed by flow cytometry (n = 3-6, mean +/- SD).

B. Serum G-CSF concentration of Ctrl or *Cmas* KO 4T1 tumor harboring BALB/c mice (biologic replicates, n = 6) at day 18 post tumor injection. Serum G-CSF concentration of 3 healthy age matched BALB/c is shown as reference. Each dot is the average value of one mouse (technical replicates, n = 3). Results show average +/- SEM, and statistical difference was assessed by t-test. *** $p < 0.001$

C. Schematic timeline of neutrophil depletion via anti-Ly6G and anti-Rat-IgG double injection. Injection timepoints are indicated by gray arrows. Depletion efficiency was assessed on day 7 via blood analysis and mice were harvested for analysis on day 13.

D. Confirmation of neutrophil depletion on day 7 post tumor injection. Submandibular blood was assessed for neutrophils (Ly6C^{medium} and Ly6G^{high}) via flow cytometry.

Supplementary Figure 6.

A. Survival curves of BALB/c mice harboring orthotopic 4T1 tumors treated with FAX and/or anti-PD-1 or control treatment. Data corresponds to figure 5A.

B – D. Individual tumor growth curves of orthotopic 4T1 tumors treated with FAX and/or anti-PD-1 or control treatment. Data corresponds to figure 5A. Mice were sacrificed that reached a tumor volume above 1500 mm3 (dashed line).

E. Cell viability assay of 4T1 treated with 32 µM, 64 µM or 96 µM FAX or vehicle control treated (DMSO) or untreated (normal). Luminescence was measured 24h, 48h and 72h post treatment. Data is shown as average (technical replicates, n = 6) and standard deviation.

Supplementary Figure 7.

A. Profiling of lymphocytes in the microenvironment of 4T1 tumors treated with FAX compared to vehicle control on d8 post tumor injection. Immune populations were assessed via flow cytometry (biological replicates, n = 4-5).

B. Profiling of lymphocytes in the microenvironment of 4T1 tumors treated with FAX and/or anti-PD-1 compared to vehicle control on d14 post tumor injection. Immune populations were assessed via flow cytometry (biological replicates, n = 5).

A and B. Color scales with log2 fold change of average mean fluorescence intensity and radius inversely scales with p-values, assessed by t-test, with bold lines indicating significant results (p < 0.05). Naïve, effector and memory T cells were defined by CD62L$^+$ CD44$^-$, CD62L$^-$ CD44$^+$ and CD62L$^+$ CD44$^+$, respectively.

C – H. Quantification of immunohistochemical assessment of CD4 (C), FOXP3 (D), Ki67 (E), F4/80 (F), MPO (G) and Ly6G (H). Each dot is the average value of one mouse (technical replicates, field of view quantification, n = 3-5). Results show average +/- SEM, and statistical difference was assessed by one-way ANOVA test. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; **** p < 0.0001.

Supplementary Figure 8.

A and B. Sialylation status assessed by *Maackia Amurensis* Lectin 1 (MAL1, A) or *Sambucus Nigra* Lectin (SNA, B) fluorescence staining in mammary glands from *Cmas* coniditonal knockout mice (Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$) or control litter mates (Cmas$^{f/f}$).

C. Whole mount stained mammary glands from Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ litter mates at 5 weeks (w5, before puberty), 9 weeks (w9, after puberty) or 21 weeks (w21, adult) of age. Scale bar: 2 mm.

D. Whole mount-stained mammary glands of lactating mammary glands from Cmas$^{f/f}$ and Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$. Scale bar: 0.1 mm.

E. Body weight of pups nursed by either Cmas$^{f/f}$ or Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ dams. Each dot represents one pup (Cmas$^{f/f}$ n = 13, Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ n = 21) and the two groups were compared by two-way ANOVA test. **** $p < 0.0001$

F. Representative image of histochemical assessment of sialylation via SNA lectin staining and alcian blue staining (top right insert, matched region) in MPA/DMBA mammary tumors in Cmas$^{f/f}$ or Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice. Scale bar: 50 μm.

G. Representative image of anti-CD8 immunohistochemical and alcian blue co-staining in MPA/DMBA mammary tumors. Scale bar: 40 μm.

H. Representative image of MPA/DMBA mammary tumors from Cmas$^{f/f}$ or Cmas$^{\Delta K14}$ mice stained with MAL2 or SNA. Scale bar: 100 μm.

I. Anti-GFP immunohistochemistry in MPA/DMBA tumors in K14Cre driven GFP reporter mouse line demonstrating tumor-wide penetrance of K14Cre. Whole tumor cross section is shown. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Supplementary Figure 9.

A. Representative images of *Maackia Amurensis* Lectin 2 (MAL2) and *Sambucus Nigra* Lectin (SNA) scoring in healthy mammary gland epithelium and breast cancer from patients' biopsies. Scale bar: 50 μm

B. Representative images of Maackia Amurensis Lectin 2 (MAL2) and Sambucus Nigra Lectin (SNA) with and without neuraminidase pre-treatment in healthy mammary gland epithelium and breast cancer from patients' biopsies. Scale bar: 50 μm

C – E. Representative image of immunohistochemistry staining for CD8, CD3 or FOXP3 T cell markers. Scale bar: 50 μm

F – H. Positive area for anti-CD8, anti-CD3 or anti-FOXP3 immunohistochemistry staining in patients' biopsies. Each dot represents the average quantification within one biopsy. Results are grouped by molecular subtype of breast cancer: LumA (Luminal A), LumB (Luminal B), Her2+, TNBC (Triple negative breast cancer).

I – K. Positive area for anti-CD8 grouped by sialylation score (Sia, 0-2 vs. >2) and fanned out by molecular subtype: LumA, LumB, HER2+, TNBC (I) or combined group of luminal (J, LumA and LumB) or HER2 expressing (K, HER2+ and HER2+ LumB).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7