Gene expression changes in *Drosophila melanogaster* females associated with the rescue of

the *bag of marbles* (*bam*) hypomorph fertility defect by *W. pipientis*.

Catherine H. Kagemann[1], Gabriela M. Colocho[1], Charles F. Aquadro[1*]

[1] Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, United

States of America

*Corresponding author

Email: chk63@cornell.edu; cfa1@cornell.edu

Abstract

Wolbachia pipientis are maternally transmitted endosymbiotic bacteria commonly found in arthropods and nematodes. These bacteria manipulate reproduction of the host to increase their transmission using mechanisms, such as cytoplasmic incompatibility, that favor infected female offspring. Interestingly, *W. pipientis* infection partially rescues female fertility in flies containing hypomorph mutations of *bag of marbles* (*bam*) in *D. melanogaster*, which functions in germline stem cell (GSC) maintenance and differentiation. Here we evaluated the effect of *W. pipientis* variant infection on host gene expression using RNA-seq. RNA-seq analysis using the *W. pipientis* infected relative to uninfected *bam* hypomorph genotype had differential expression for many of *bam's* genetic and physical interactors. The highest magnitude of differential expression of *bam's* interactors and other GSC genes involved in cystoblast differentiation occurred prior to peak fertility rescue. Our analysis showed that ubiquitination and histone lysine methylation could be important mechanisms involved in the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue by *W. pipientis*.

Introduction

In female *Drosophila melanogaster*, the *bag of marbles* (*bam)* gene product is essential for germline stem cell (GSC) renewal and cystoblast differentiation [1–3]. The *bam* hypomorph single amino acid protein coding mutant *D. melanogaster* contain tumorous ovaries due to over proliferation of GSC-like cells, resulting in partial sterility in females [1, 4]. A maternally inherited bacterial endosymbiont, *Wolbachia pipientis* infects many insects and manipulates host reproduction to increase its transmission, using mechanisms such as cytoplasmic incompatibility, male killing, and feminization (3–6). Interestingly, *W. pipientis* infection of female *bam* hypomorph flies causes a partial rescue of the otherwise reduced hypomorph fertility and to a reduction in over-proliferating GSC-like cells. In contrast to females, the phenotype of male *bam* hypomorphs are fully sterile and this phenotype is not rescued by infection by *W. pipientis* [5].

As *W. pipientis* titer is known to influence a wide array of host phenotypes [4, 6, 7], we hypothesized that *W. pipientis* titer could impact *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue. Genetically diverse variants of *W. pipientis* have been characterized in *D. melanogaster* and fall into two categories: *w*Mel-like variants (clades III, VIII) and *w*MelCS-like variants (clade IV) [7, 8]. In *D. melanogaster* males, *w*Mel-like *W. pipientis* variants are associated with a longer host lifespan and low *W. pipientis* titer, while *w*MelCS-like variants are associated with a shorter host lifespan and a higher *W. pipientis* titer in comparison [7]. As *D. melanogaster* males age, *w*MelCS-like *W. pipientis* titer increases while *w*Mel-like titer remains relatively constant [9]. The characterization of *w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like *W. pipientis* titer and fly lifespan characteristics have not been conducted in female flies.

We evaluated whether *W. pipientis* titer increases in females as it does in males as the host ages.

Next, we identified whether changes in *W. pipientis* titer correlated with changes in the *bam*

hypomorph fertility rescue. Utilizing *W. pipientis* variant titer in aged female *D. melanogaster* to

maximize *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue, we identified the genes with the highest expression

during the rescue.

Using two *W. pipientis* variants known to have a high titer in male *D. melanogaster* and two with

a low titer in males, we tested whether 1) *W. pipientis* titer and host age influence the *bam*

hypomorph fertility rescue as a means of maximizing rescue-specific gene enrichment and 2)

whether different *W. pipientis* variants cause differential rescue of the *bam* hypomorph

phenotype at the transcript level as the female host fly ages.

Given a significant amount of evidence showing that *W. pipientis* modulates host gene

expression during infection [9–11], we studied the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue at the

transcript level. We hypothesized that two *W. pipientis* groups (*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like)

confers distinct gene expression profiles in the host to rescue the *bam* hypomorph phenotype.

When *bam* does not function properly, as in the *bam* hypomorph, *W. pipientis* infection could

manipulate *bam* gene expression itself or that of *bam's* genetic and/or physical interactors

during the *bam* hypomorph rescue to promote oogenesis. *W. pipientis* could additionally act

upstream or downstream of *bam,* completely bypassing *bam* and its interactors to rescue the

bam hypomorph fertility phenotype.

Results

Increased ovarian W. pipientis titer correlates with peak bam hypomorph fertility rescue in six- day old females.

We measured fertility of three-, six-, and nine-day old wild-type (WT) uninfected *D. melanogaster* as well as those infected with either of two *w*Mel-like variants (*w*Mel2a and *w*Mel3) and either of two *w*MelCS-like variants (*w*MelCS2a and *w*MelCS2b). Peak fertility occurred in six-day old female flies in WT (Fig 1A and S1 Table). Fertility differed significantly between infected and uninfected WT females in three- and nine-day old flies (Fig 1A, Poisson response distribution, $p < 0.05$) but not in six-day old flies. Further, *w*Mel-like infected *Drosophila* fertility was statistically different from *w*MelCS-like infected WT *Drosophila* at all ages (Fig 1A, Poisson response distribution, $p < 0.05$).

Fig 1. Fertility in the *bam* hypomorph flies shows that fertility rescue is dependent on the age of the female fly and *W. pipientis* genotype.
The estimated marginal mean progeny per *W. pipientis* group (*w*Mel-like: *w*Mel2a and *w*Mel3, *w*MelCS-like: *w*MelCS2a and *w*MelCS2b) infecting *D. melanogaster* and the uninfected *D. melanogaster* control in the (A) WT and (B) *bam* hypomorph genotypes. The x-axis shows the age of the female fly after a day of mating, the y-axis (note the difference in y-axes between figures a and b) shows the mean progeny laid, and the bars represent the standard error of each *W. pipientis* group at a given age. The symbol, * , denotes a statistically significant difference between the uninfected control and the *W. pipientis* infected samples at each given age. The symbol,●, denotes a statistically significant difference between *W. pipientis* groups (*w*Mel-like versus *w*MelCS-like) at each given age.

In contrast to *bam* wild-type flies, the fertility of *W. pipientis*-infected *bam* hypomorph flies

exhibited significant differences compared to uninfected flies in six- and nine-day old flies,

suggesting a fertility rescue effect by *W. pipientis* (Fig 1B, Poisson response distribution, p <

0.05). Peak fertility rescue occurred in six-day old *bam* hypomorph flies but never reached *bam*

WT fertility levels (Fig 1 and S1 Table). Fertility also differed between *bam* hypomorph females

infected with *w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like *W. pipientis* group at all ages (Fig 1B, Poisson

response distribution, p < 0.05).

Our experimental design allowed us to quantify fertility, titer, and RNA expression from the

same female flies. We focused on ovarian titer in females due to our interest in how *W. pipientis*

was rescuing female fertility of a *bam* hypomorph. We first assessed titer and fertility in WT flies

infected with *w*Mel2a, *w*Mel3, *w*MelCS2a, or *w*MelCS2b *W. pipientis* variants. Combining the

data for the four *W. pipientis* variants, we observed a positive relationship between female

fertility and ovarian titer in the *bam* WT genotype across all ages (S2 Fig, linear regression

model, p > 0.05). None of these correlations were statistically significant (S2 Fig, linear

regression model, p > 0.05). WT ovarian titer in all *W. pipientis* variants remained low as the

female flies aged, which contrasts with the increase that has been reported in whole male flies

[7]. Next, we asked whether the rescue of female fertility is impacted by *W. pipientis* titer overall

in the *bam* hypomorph ovaries as the flies age. We found that *W. pipientis* titer does impact

rescue of six- and nine-day old *bam* hypomorph females (p < 0.05), but not three-day old

females (p > 0.05) (Fig 2). Based on the association between the highest fertility rescue and

highest titer in six-day-old female flies, we conducted additional studies with the premise that

maximum hypomorph *W. pipientis* rescue is occurring at this age.

Fig 2. *W. pipientis* titer increases as *bam* hypomorph fertility increases during peak

fertility rescue.

Bam hypomorph fertility and titer of all *W. pipientis* variants infecting *D. melanogaster*. Fertility

and titer of mated aged flies: (A) three-day, (B) six-day and (C) nine-day old females. The p-

value indicates whether fertility and titer are statistically correlated (linear regression model).

150   *W. pipientis* infection alters transcript levels of *bam's*

151   genetic/physical interactors, but not of *bam* itself in the *bam*

152   hypomorph.

We assessed whether *W. pipientis* alters gene expression of *bam* and/or *bam's* genetic and/or

physical interactors to compensate for *bam's* partial loss of function in the hypomorph by

conducting RNA-seq of ovaries from the parent female flies used for *D. melanogaster* fertility

and *W. pipientis* titer assays.

Differential expression in *W. pipientis* infected WT flies compared to an uninfected control

shows that *W. pipientis* infection alters expression of *bam* and *bam's* genetic and physical

interactors in mated six-day old flies (Fig 3A and S2 Table, inferred using DESeq2, $p < 0.05$). In

contrast, in the *bam* hypomorph we observed differential expression for some, but not all, of

bam's known genetic and physical interactors between the *W. pipientis* infected and uninfected

females for all *W. pipientis* variants (inferred using DESeq2, $p < 0.05$, Fig 3B). *Bam* itself is only

differentially expressed in unmated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies infected with *w*MelCS2a

(S2 Table, [12].)

Fig 3. *W. pipientis* infection causes differential expression of *bam's* genetic and physical interactors in the *bam* hypomorph.

Differential expression of *bam* and *bam's* genetic/physical interactors in *W. pipientis* infected *D. melanogaster* compared to uninfected *D. melanogaster* in the A) WT and B) *bam* hypomorph genotypes at different age/mating statuses ($p < 0.05$, abs log2fold change > 1). Each column represents the *W. pipientis* variant infecting the *D. melanogaster* shown at the bottom with a line separating the *w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like variants. Genes in bold represent that they are differentially expressed in both genotypes. Only genes differentially expressed across all *W. pipientis* infecting the WT or *bam* hypomorph genotype are shown (refer to S2 Table for all differentially expressed genes).

Within genotypes, there are subtle differences in tissue size, particularly in the *bam* hypomorph genotype due to *W. pipientis* rescuing fertility at a greater magnitude in the *w*MelCS-like compared to the *w*Mel-like variants infecting *D. melanogaster*. Therefore, genes expressed due to differences in tissue size were identified computationally along with genes impacted by age and mating. Genes predicted to be influenced by tissue size, age, and mating in the WT and *bam* hypomorph genotypes are listed in the supplement (S3 Table); they were not removed from our analyses as *W. pipientis* infection might also influence them. Of *bam's* 36 known genetic and physical interactors, two, *cycA* and *dl*, are differentially expressed and predicted to be impacted by tissue size in mated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies and none in six-day old *bam* hypomorph flies ($p < 0.05$, absolute log2fold change > 1, S2 Table and S3 Table). None of *bam's* genetic and physical interactors were impacted by tissue size in mated three- and six-day old WT flies ($p < 0.05$, absolute log2fold change > 1, S3 Table). None of *bam's* genetic or physical interactors are influenced by age or mating in the *bam* hypomorph or WT genotypes according to our predictions (S2 Table and S3 Table). There are additional *bam* interactors that were differentially expressed and predicted to be influenced by tissue size, age and mating

when removing the log2fold change cutoff (S2 Table and S3 Table). We hypothesized that

genes with a significant effect size are more likely to play a role in the fertility rescue of the *bam*

hypomorph. Therefore, our analysis mainly targeted genes exhibiting an absolute log2fold

change greater than 1 (S2 Table and S3 Table).

Bam hypomorph-specific differential expression of genes

involved in GSC daughter cell differentiation into cystoblast

is observed prior to the peak of fertility rescue.

To understand whether the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue by *W. pipientis* correlated with the

differential expression of genes other than *bam's* direct physical and genetic interactors, we

determined whether 366 genes known to be involved in GSC differentiation, maintenance or

other processes in oogenesis [13] are differentially expressed in our WT and *bam* hypomorph

datasets (Fig 4A). We created gene networks of the differentially expressed genes from Yan et

al. (2014) and *bam's* differentially expressed interactors (Fig 4B-G). We took the average

log2fold change of each differentially expressed gene across flies infected with each *W.*

pipientis variant at each age/mating status to create the gene networks.

Fig 4. Differential expression of _bam's_ interactors and GSC genes shows genes involved

in cystoblast differentiation in in the _bam_ hypomorph.

a) *Bam's* interactors and GSC genes identified from Yan et al. (2014) separated by GO

categories. Green lines between genes represent genetic interactions while red lines represent

physical interactions between proteins. Genes/proteins with white labels are *bam's* interactors

that are categorized in other GO categories. Differentially expressed *bam* interactors or GSC

genes in the B-D) WT and E-G) *bam* hypomorph genotype at a given age/mating status. The

average log2fold change of each differentially expressed gene between flies infected with each

W. pipientis variant was used (B-G). Mutant phenotypes of genes that are differentially

expressed in the *bam* hypomorph genotype but not the WT genotype are indicated by bolded

shapes as shown in the legend. The top GO categories were circled within each network.

A subset of the 366 GSC-related genes identified from the Yan et al. (2014) study were

differentially expressed at each age/mating status in the WT genotype (Fig 4B-D). Notably, the

most GSC-related genes were differentially expressed in six-day old WT flies, correlating with

peak fertility (Fig 4B-D). The top GO categories were circled within each network and showed

that GSC-related genes that also have a function in mRNA splicing were differentially expressed

at all ages/mating statuses in the WT genotype (Fig 4B-D).

We were particularly interested in the differentially expressed genes specific to the *bam*

hypomorph as we hypothesized that they could correlate with the *bam* hypomorph fertility

rescue by *W. pipientis*. The most GSC-related genes are differentially expressed in six-day old

bam hypomorph flies, however, the highest magnitude of expression occurs in mated three-day

old *bam* hypomorph flies (Fig 4E-G). Interestingly, out of the differentially expressed genes

specific to the *bam* hypomorph, the greatest number of genes that are involved in GSC

daughter cell differentiation into cystoblast occurred in mated three-day old flies, prior to peak

fertility rescue (Fig 4F). Of the *bam* hypomorph-specific differentially expressed genes in three-

day old flies, six genes fell into the cell differentiation GO category and four are involved in

histone lysine methylation, a process known to be associated with GSC daughter cell

differentiation into cystoblast (Fig4F, [14–17]).

Of the differentially expressed GSC genes, zero were predicted to be impacted by tissue size in

mated three-day old WT flies and mated six-day WT old flies (p < 0.05, absolute log2fold

243 change > 1, S2 Table, S3 Table). No GSC genes were predicted to be impacted by tissue size

244 in mated three- or six-day old *bam* hypomorph flies (p < 0.05, absolute log2fold change > 1, S3

245 Table). No GSC genes were influenced by age or mating in the WT genotype and one (*glu*) in

246 the *bam* hypomorph genotype according to our predictions (p value < 0.05, absolute log2fold

247 change > 1, S2 Table and S3 Table).

249 A separate gene network solely including *bam's* genetic and physical interactors showed that

250 three out of six differentially expressed genes were involved in ubiquitination or deubiquitination

251 in unmated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies but not WT flies (S4 Fig). No differentially

252 expressed genes were identified among *bam's* genetic and physical interactors that are known

253 to be involved in the CCR4-NOT complex or the COP9 signalosome, both crucial for germ stem

254 cell (GSC) self-renewal. This finding remained consistent across all ages and mating statuses

255 examined for both the *bam* hypomorph and WT genotypes (S4 Fig).

257 **Gene enrichment of genes involved in oogenesis,**

258 **reproduction, and oocyte development/differentiation occurs**

259 **prior to peak fertility rescue in the *bam* hypomorph.**

260 To determine if there were specific classes of genes enriched in the *bam* hypomorph that could

261 be correlated with the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue we conducted a gene enrichment analysis

262 of all host genes. Gene enrichment analyses at each age/mating status within the WT and *bam*

263 hypomorph genotypes infected with each *W. pipientis* variant were conducted using gseGO, a

264 function within clusterProfiler (27).

Of the top 10 GO categories shown in our analysis, similarities between WT and *bam*

hypomorph gene enrichment include the enrichment of egg chorion genes in *w*Mel2a infected

three-day old flies. Six-day old flies were highly enriched for genes involved in egg chorion

production and female gamete generation in both the WT and *bam* hypomorph (Fig 5). Mated

three-day old WT flies infected with *w*Mel3, *w*MelCS2a, or *w*MelCS2b have no genes enriched

under our filters (p < 0.05, absolute log2fold change > 1, Fig 5). However, by refining our filter to

genes with a p-value of less than 0.05, while excluding a log2fold change cutoff, we observed a

significant enrichment of genes involved in metabolic processes in WT mated three-day old flies

infected with each *W. pipientis* variant. (S5 Fig). Notably, six-day old WT gene enrichment for

genes involved in eggshell formation and other oogenesis-related GO categories are

remarkably similar between flies infected with each *W. pipientis* variant (Fig 5E).

Fig 5. RNA-seq WT and *Bam* Hypomorph Gene Enrichment by Age of Female Flies (p <

0.05, abs log2fold change > 1).

The top 10-15 GO terms from a GO enrichment analysis of A) WT unmated three-day old flies

B) *bam* hypomorph unmated three-day old flies, C) WT mated three-day old flies, D) *bam*

hypomorph mated three-day old flies, E) WT mated six-day old flies, and F) *bam* hypomorph

mated six-day old flies (gseGO, R). Each column represents the *W. pipientis* variant infecting *D.*

melanogaster. The set size represents the number of genes within each GO category. The gene

ratio is the number of genes within the GO term (set size) divided by the total number of

differentially expressed genes. GO categories related to reproduction are highlighted in bold.

In contrast to WT gene enrichment, genes involved in reproduction were primarily enriched in

mated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies, prior to peak fertility rescue (Fig 5). *Bam* hypomorph

infection by *W. pipientis* variants was associated with enrichment of over 100 genes involved in

oogenesis, germ cell development, female gamete generation and other oocyte-specific GO

terms in mated three-day old flies (Fig 5D). While enrichment of genes involved in follicle cell

development and female gamete generate occurred in six-day old *bam* hypomorph flies, they

were only enriched in *w*Mel3 and *w*MelCS2b infected flies (Fig 5F). The most similar gene

enrichment between *W. pipientis* variants infecting the *bam* hypomorph occurred in unmated

three-day old flies (Fig 5B). Genes enriched in unmated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies

include egg chorion related genes, genes involved in RNA processes and other biosynthetic

processes (Fig 5B).

300   *W. pipientis* variant-specific gene enrichment shows

301   suppression of genes involved in oogenesis in the *w*Mel-like

302   infected *bam* hypomorph.

Fertility differed between *bam* hypomorph flies infected with either *w*Mel-like variants or

*w*MelCS-like variants, prompting us to test whether host gene expression differs among flies

infected with either *W. pipientis* group. We subsetted the genes solely differentially expressed in

bam hypomorph flies infected with *w*Mel-like (*w*Mel2a and *w*Mel3) variants or *w*MelCS-like

variants (*w*MelCS2a and *w*MelCS2b). Gene enrichment analysis of mated three-day old *bam*

hypomorph flies infected with *w*Mel-like *W. pipientis* variants showed downregulation of genes

involved in egg formation and oogenesis-related GO categories (Fig 6B). Gene enrichment of

oogenesis-related genes does not occur in WT flies infected with *w*Mel-like or *w*MelCS-like

variants at any age (S6 Fig). Genes associated with *w*Mel-like specific GO categories related to

reproduction (as depicted in Fig 6B) in mated three-day-old *bam* hypomorph flies, play roles in

egg chorion formation (S4 Table). In the WT genotype, these genes are upregulated or are not

differentially expressed (S4 Table). There were no signatures of enrichment of oogenesis-

related genes in flies infected with *w*Mel-like or *w*MelCS-like variants in unmated three-day old

or mated six-day old *bam* hypomorph flies (Fig 6A and 6C).

Fig 6. *W. pipientis* Infection-Specific *Bam* Hypomorph Gene Enrichment (p < 0.05, abs

log2fold change > 1).

A GO enrichment analysis of genes up or downregulated in each *W. pipientis* group (*w*Mel-like

and *w*MelCS-like) infecting the *bam* hypomorph A) Unmated three day-old *w*Mel-like, B)

unmated three-day old *wMelCS*-like, C) mated three-day old *w*Mel-like, D) mated three-day old

*w*MelCS-like, E) mated six-day old *w*Mel-like, F) and mated six-day old *w*Mel-like infected *D.*

melanogaster gene enrichment. The set size represents the number of genes within each GO

category. The gene ratio is the number of genes within the GO term (set size) divided by the

total number of differentially expressed genes. GO categories related to reproduction are

highlighted in bold.

Discussion

Our RNA-seq analysis uncovered a subset of genes known to be involved in cystoblast

differentiation that could be associated with the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue. The task now

becomes understanding the extent to which these GSC gene expression differences in

Drosophila play a role in the observed increase in female *bam* hypomorph fertility caused by *W.*

pipientis infection. Because *W. pipientis* is used as a biological control for vector-borne diseases

by manipulating reproduction in the host, it is of particular interest and importance to understand

how *W. pipientis* mechanistically interacts with host GSC genes [18, 19].

Our RNA-seq analysis is the first to compare gene expression profiles in *D. melanogaster*

infected with four *W. pipientis* variants representing two major types (*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-

like). Thousands of genes were differentially expressed at in three-day old unmated and three-

and six-day old, mated WT and *bam* hypomorph flies infected with four *W. pipientis* variants,

showing the robustness of our dataset (S1 File). While it is difficult to compare our dataset with

others, our unmated three-day old WT flies is most comparable to the RNA-seq study of He et

al. [15] that used 80 pairs of ovaries per replicate dissected from four-day old virgin females

infected and uninfected with the *w*Mel strain of *W. pipientis* [20]. The GO categories that are

enriched in our unmated three-day old *w*Mel2a and *w*Mel3 infected WT flies and in their dataset

include: macromolecule metabolic process, oxidoreductase activity, and developmental process

[20]. The He et al (15) study did not use Bonferroni correction for multiple hypothesis testing or

a log2fold change cutoff for their gene enrichment analyses as we did for our analyses shown in

figure 5. Therefore, we removed multiple hypothesis testing and the log2fold change filter from

our gene enrichment analysis for comparison (S4 Fig). From all the enriched GO categories in

this reanalysis (only the top 10 are shown in figure 5), there are 25 GO categories that are

enriched in both datasets [20] supporting the integrity of our data compared to this other dataset

(S1 file, S4 Fig).

We hypothesized that the differentially expressed genes that are specific to the *bam* hypomorph

rather than the WT are more likely to be involved in the *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue by *W.*

pipientis. Therefore, we were most intrigued by *bam's* interactors and other GSC genes that

were differentially expressed in mated three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies that had the largest

magnitude of expression changes compared to genes in unmated three-day old flies and mated

six-day old flies (Fig 4F). For example, *Bgcn* was highly expressed in the *bam* hypomorph

(log2fold change > 5) and is of particular interest to us because it directly binds to *bam* in WT

female flies to promote GSC daughter cell differentiation into cystoblast (Fig 4f, [21]). In the cell

differentiation GO category in *bam* hypomorph mated three-day old flies, three genes (*ubi-p63E*,

otu, and *cycA*) are known to be involved in ubiquitination and deubiquintation [22]. In the WT

genotype, both CycA and Otu are upregulated. Otu is a protein that deubiquitinates CycA, and

this action promotes the differentiation of GSC daughter cells into cystoblasts. [22]. Ubi-p63E is

specifically associated with a distinct phenotype observed exclusively in males. Ubi-p63E

protein supplies free ubiquitin and plays an essential role in spermatid differentiation [23–26]. As

free ubiquitin is needed for CycA turnover, it is possible the Ubi-p63E plays a similar role in

females as it does in males considering that it is highly differentially expressed in our analysis

(Fig 4F). Interestingly, *apc2* which is only differentially expressed in *w*Mel-like infected *bam*

hypomorph flies, is a ubiquitin ligase known to interact with CycA to promote proper egg

chamber formation (S4 Table, [24–26]. While *cycA* was computationally predicted to be

influenced by tissue size in the three-day old *bam* hypomorph flies, it is possible that expression

of *cycA* could be influenced by both tissue size and *W. pipientis* infection. The differential

expression of these ubiquitin-related genes in the *bam* hypomorph leads us to believe that

ubiquitination and deubiquitation could be important process for the *bam* hypomorph fertility

rescue by *W. pipientis* to occur.

Genes involved in histone lysine methylation are also enriched in mated three-day old *bam*

hypomorph flies (Figure 4F). Histone H3K9 trimethylation specifically is known to be involved in

GSC differentiation and maintenance in *Drosophila melanogaster* [14, 15, 17]. All three

differentially expressed genes specific to the *bam* hypomorph in the histone lysine methylation

category, *wde, egg, and su(var)3-9*, have known functions in GSC differentiation or

maintenance [15–17].

The COP9 complex is disrupted by Bam to inhibit GSC self-renewal [27] . Our analysis shows

that it is unlikely that genes in the COP9 complex are associated with the *bam* hypomorph

fertility rescue at the transcriptional level because they are not highly differentially expressed (p

< 0.05, abs log2fold change > 1, S2 Table and S2 Fig). Additionally, genes involved in the

CCR4-NOT complex are not differentially expressed in the *bam* hypomorph (S2 Fig). For GSC

self-renewal inhibition to occur, a complex consisting of Bam, Bgcn, Mei-P26, Brat, a

deadenylate and Ago1 or Sxl form to destabilize *nos* mRNA [21, 28, 29]. It is known that Bam

binds to CAF40, a subunit of the CCR4-NOT deadenylase [30]. It is hypothesized that the

CCR4-NOT deadenylase functions to destabilize nos mRNA and inhibit GSC self-renewal [30,

31]. The absence of differentially expressed genes involved in the COP9 complex and the

CCR4-NOT complex in the *bam* hypomorph leads us to believe that the *bam* hypomorph fertility

rescue could be independent of genes involved in GSC-self renewal. Genes in the COP9

signalosome and CCR4-NOT complex are not differentially expressed in the WT genotype,

suggesting these genes are not impacted by *W. pipientis* infection (S2 Table and S2 Fig). Our

hypothesis is supported by our *bam* hypomorph-specific data showing differential expression of

genes involved primarily in GSC daughter cell differentiation.

In WT flies, enrichment of oogenesis-related genes in six-day old flies occurs during the

greatest increase in fertility (Figs 1 and 5E). Oogenesis-related gene enrichment is surprisingly

similar in flies infected with different *W. pipientis* variants in the WT genotype, despite the

difference in fertility between *w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like infected flies (Figs 1 and 5E). The

*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like variants of *W. pipientis* exhibit distinct phenotypes in *Drosophila*

melanogaster, encompassing variations in viral protection, fecundity, and cytoplasmic

incompatibility. While we hypothesized that the difference in fertility between WT *w*Mel-like

versus *w*MelCS-like infected flies could be due to *W. pipientis* variant-specific infected gene

enrichment, our analysis did not find any oogenesis-related gene enrichment in either *W.*

pipientis group (S5 Fig). The host could have developed compensatory mechanisms to

counteract the differences in gene expression caused by *W. pipientis* variants or oogenesis-

related genes could be selected for among flies infected with any *W. pipientis* variant in order to

maintain proper development.

Gene enrichment analysis of oogenesis-related genes in three-day-old *bam* hypomorph flies,

prior to peak fertility rescue, reveals variation among flies infected with different *W. pipientis*

variants. This variation in gene enrichment could potentially explain the observed differences in

fertility rescue efficiency between flies infected with different *W. pipientis* variants. Given that

germline stem cells require several days to undergo differentiation into mature eggs, the

observed differential expression of oogenesis-related genes prior to peak fertility rescue in the

bam hypomorph is not unexpected [32, 33]. Our enrichment analysis of the *W. pipientis* variant

specific genes showed *w*Mel-like infected *bam* hypomorph flies downregulate genes involved in

egg chorion production and five genes involved in either oogenesis or spermatogenesis (S4

Table). *Apc2* downregulation promotes germarium-derived egg chamber formation [25]. It is

possible that *w*Mel-like infected flies downregulate egg chorion genes as an immune response

to *W. pipientis*, but downregulation of genes such as *apc2* could potentially play a role in fertility

rescue as well.

Our research sheds light on the complex interaction between host, bacterium, and reproductive

system. We have identified a subset of genes involved in cystoblast differentiation and

maintenance, which could contribute to the observed increase in female *bam* hypomorph fertility

caused by *W. pipientis* infection. Moreover, the differential expression of ubiquitin-related genes

and genes involved in histone lysine methylation suggests potential mechanistic pathways

underlying the fertility rescue. Understanding the intricate relationship between *W. pipientis*

variants, host GSC genes, and oogenesis-related processes will contribute to the broader

understanding of host-pathogen interactions and reproductive biology.

Methods and Methods

Fly Strains and Husbandry

The four *W. pipientis* variants and uninfected control used in this study were generously

provided by Luis Teixeira [7]. The generation of the *bam*[L-255] hypomorph and our control *bam*

w[1118] fly lines, which we consider as our wild-type (WT) line, containing each of the four *W.*

pipientis variants, was described in the study conducted by Bubnell et al. in 2021 [4]. Of note,

the *bam* hypomorph mutation we use in our current study was recently remade using the same

single amino acid change as the original *bam*[BW] hypomorph but in a *w*[1118] isogenic background

[4, 34]. The female *bam* hypomorph (*w*[1118];*bam*[L-255F]/*bam*[3xP3dsRed]) that was used in this study

was generated by crossing the *bam*[L-255]/TM6 female to a *bam* null (*w*[1118];*bam*[3xP3dsRed] /TM6)

male [4]. Additionally, an uninfected *bam* hypomorph control was used along with WT *bam w*[1118]

fly lines containing each *W. pipientis* variant.

All *D. melanogaster* lines were maintained on yeast glucose food and placed in an incubator at

25°C with a 12-hour light-dark cycle.

W. pipientis variants

All experiments were conducted with four *W. pipientis* variants (*w*Mel2a, *w*Mel3, *w*MelCS2a and

*w*MelCS2b) infected with *D. melanogaster* and an uninfected control in the WT and *bam*

hypomorph genotypes. *W*Mel2a and *w*Mel3 are referred to as *w*Mel-like variants and *w*MelCS2b

are referred to as the *w*MelCS-like variants. PCR was used to confirm that the correct *W.*

pipientis variant infected *D. melanogaster* using *W. pipientis*-specific primers listed in Riegler et

al. 2005 [8].

Developmental Time Course and Time Interval Fertility Assay

Aged virgin WT or *bam* hypomorph females were mated with two to three-day old Canton-S males for 24 hours. We used unmated day three-day old, mated three-day old, mated six-day old, and mated nine-day old female WT and *bam* hypomorph females. 10 WT or *bam* hypomorph female flies and 10 Canton-S males were mated in a single vial with nine additional replicates per age and mating status. For example, 10 two-day old *bam* hypomorph females were mated with 10 two- to three-day old Canton-S males at 11 AM and collected at 11 AM the next morning.

We collected the female parents of different ages/mating statuses to measure *W. pipientis* titer and conduct RNA-seq. Subsequently, a time interval fertility assay was conducted by measuring the number of progeny from each vial in which the parents mated. The progeny per vial were counted every two days for eight days and the sum of progeny was used for further analysis.

A Poisson response distribution was conducted in R (v. 4.1.0) at each timepoint to determine statistically significant differences in fertility between *D. melanogaster* infected with different *W. pipientis* groups (*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like) and compared to the uninfected control. The equation used was as follows: Fertility (response variable) ~ *W. pipientis* Group * Day.

Ovary Dissections and DNA/RNA Extractions

Ovaries of the parent female flies from the time interval assay were dissected in cold PBS using two forceps. Zymo's Quick-RNA 96 kit was used to extract DNA and RNA from dissected female parent ovaries.

W. pipientis Titer Quantification

QPCR was used on the ovarian parent fly DNA from the time interval fertility assays to quantify

W. pipientis titer. Absolute quantification was used to quantify *W. pipientis* titer. Absolute

quantification compares DNA of an unknown quantity to a standard curve made from DNA of a

known quantity. This method was shown to be more efficient in measuring *W. pipientis* titer than

relative quantification [35]. We created a gBlock containing *W. pipientis* DNA fragments (S6 Fig)

and used the *W. pipientis*-specific gene *DNA recombination-mediator protein A*, *DprA,* for our

standard curves. QPCR was run on a QuantStudio 7 Pro provided by Cornell's Biotechnology

Resource Center. A linear regression model was conducted in R (v. 4.1.0) at each timepoint to

determine statistically significant differences in *W. pipientis* titer between *D. melanogaster*

infected with different *W. pipientis* groups (*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-like) and between the

uninfected control. The equation used was as follows: *W. pipientis* titer (response variable) ~ *W.*

pipientis Group * Day.

To assess correlations between *D. melanogaster* fertility and *W. pipientis* titer, we used a linear

regression model. The equation used was as follows: *D. melanogaster* Fertility ~ *W. pipientis*

Titer.

Choosing timepoints and biological replicates for RNA-seq

510 The time interval fertility assays showed that peak fertility rescue occurred in six-day old flies.

511 Therefore, six-day old female flies were used for RNA-seq. Additionally, we used unmated

512 three-day old female flies as a mating control and mated three-day old female flies as an age

513 control for RNA-seq. Four biological replicates were used for each timepoint.

Library Preparation and 3' RNA Sequencing

516 Ovaries from *bam* hypomorph and WT flies infected with each *W. pipientis* variant, and the

517 uninfected controls were dissected, DNA/RNA extractions were conducted, and the RNA was

518 subsequently used for 3'RNA seq library construction and Illumina NextSeq500 (conducted by

519 the Cornell Genomics Facility).

Differential Expression Analysis

RNA-seq reads were mapped to the reference *D. melanogaster* genome (Flybase) using STAR

[36]. FastQC of the FastQ files showed that quality scores were high (>30 phred score), and

adapter content was low (<2% of sequence). Therefore, no reads were trimmed. FastQC of the

aligned sequences showed that sample alignment percentage on average was 87%. However,

one WT three-day old biological sample infected with *w*Mel3 aligned below 70% and was

removed. A read count matrix was made and used for differential expression analysis using

DESeq2 [37].

WT ovaries are significantly bigger than *bam* hypomorph ovaries, even when rescue by *W.*

pipientis occurs. WT ovaries also consistently contain differentiated cystoblasts while *bam*

hypomorph ovaries only contain some differentiated cystoblasts when rescue of the tumorous

phenotype occurs, but some GSC-like undifferentiated cells remain. Considering that there are

significant differences between the tissue size and type of *bam* hypomorph and WT ovaries, we

could not compare expression between these genotypes directly. Therefore, differential

expression analyses compared *W. pipientis* infected *D. melanogaster* expression relative to

uninfected *D. melanogaster* expression within genotypes. The differential expression within

genotypes was then compared between genotypes. Volcano plots showing the total amount of

genes up and downregulated at each age/mating status in the *bam* hypomorph and WT

genotypes infected with each *W. pipientis* variants were made using R (S1 File).

Tissue size, mating, and age analyses

Genes influenced by tissue size were identified computationally using glm.nb. Specifically, a null

formula containing expression as the dependent variable and infection status as an independent

variable was compared to a test formula containing expression as the dependent variable, and

infection status and tissue size as independent variables. A ranking for *W. pipientis* rescue in

the *bam* hypomorph determined from the time interval fertility assay was used as a proxy for

tissue size because tissue size increases as the rescue of the fertility phenotype increases.

Glm.nb, a function in the MASS R package, was used to identify genes impacted by age and

mating. The null formula included expression as the dependent variable and the *W. pipientis*

variant as the independent variable. The test formula included expression as the dependent

variable along with *W. pipientis* variant and age/mating as the independent variables. Genes

impacted by age, mating, or tissue size were then identified and noted in the supplement (S4

Table). However, we did not remove the genes from the age, mating, and tissue size analysis

from our dataset as it is possible that these genes could both be impacted by one of the factors

and still impact *bam* hypomorph fertility rescue.

GO Analyses

560   GO analyses were conducted using gseGO within the clusterProfiler package in R (v.1.4. 1717).

561   Differentially expressed genes with a p-value above 0.05 were removed prior to the analysis.

562   The genes were then ranked by log2fold change prior to using gseGO. Our gseGO analysis

563   included all gene ontologies (biological process, cellular component, and molecular function).

Acknowledgements

We thank Andy Moeller and Andy Clark for insightful discussions and review of the

manuscript. We also thank Mariana Wolfner, Jackie Bubnell, Miwa Wenzel, and Luke Arnce for

thoughtful feedback throughout this project. We thank Cornell Institute of Biotechnology Imaging

Center for the Zeiss i880 LSM880 microscope and the Cornell Genomics Facility for conducting

3' RNA-seq.

References

[1] McKearin DM, Spradling AC. bag-of-marbles: a Drosophila gene required to initiate both male and female gametogenesis. *Genes Dev* 1990; 4: 2242–2251.

[2] McKearin D, Ohlstein B. A role for the Drosophila bag-of-marbles protein in the differentiation of cystoblasts from germline stem cells. *Development* 1995; 121: 2937–2947.

[3] Ting X. Control of germline stem cell self-renewal and differentiation in the Drosophila ovary: concerted actions of niche signals and intrinsic factors. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol* 2013; 2: 261–273.

[4] Bubnell JE, Fernandez-Begne P, Ulbing CKS, et al. Diverse wMel variants of Wolbachia pipientis differentially rescue fertility and cytological defects of the bag of marbles partial loss of function mutation in Drosophila melanogaster. *G3 (Bethesda)*; 11. Epub ahead of print 1 December 2021. DOI: 10.1093/G3JOURNAL/JKAB312.

[5] Flores HA, Bubnell JE, Aquadro CF, et al. The Drosophila bag of marbles Gene Interacts Genetically with Wolbachia and Shows Female-Specific Effects of Divergence. Epub ahead of print 2015. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005453.

588   [6]   Truitt AM, Kapun M, Kaur R, et al. Wolbachia modifies thermal preference in

589   Drosophila melanogaster. *Environ Microbiol* 2019; 21: 3259–3268.

590   [7]   Chrostek E, Marialva MSP, Esteves SS, et al. Wolbachia Variants Induce

591   Differential Protection to Viruses in Drosophila melanogaster: A Phenotypic and

592   Phylogenomic Analysis. *PLoS Genet* 2013; 9: e1003896.

593   [8]   Riegler M, Sidhu M, Miller WJ, et al. Evidence for a global Wolbachia replacement

594   in Drosophila melanogaster. *Current Biology* 2005; 15: 1428–1433.

595   [9]   Lindsey ARI, Bhattacharya T, Hardy RW, et al. Wolbachia and virus alter the host

596   transcriptome at the interface of nucleotide metabolism pathways. *mBio* 2021; 12:

597   1–17.

598   [10]   Dou W, Miao Y, Xiao J, et al. Association of Wolbachia with Gene Expression in

599   Drosophila Testes. *Microb Ecol* 2021; 82: 805–817.

600   [11]   He Z, Zheng Y, Yu WJ, et al. How do Wolbachia modify the Drosophila ovary?

601   New evidences support the 'titration-restitution' model for the mechanisms of

602   Wolbachia-induced CI. *BMC Genomics* 2019; 20: 608.

603   [12]   FlyBase Gene Report: Dmel\bam, https://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0000158

604   (accessed 18 July 2022).

605   [13]   Yan D, Neumüller RA, Buckner M, et al. A regulatory network of Drosophila

606   germline stem cell self-renewal. *Dev Cell* 2014; 28: 459.

607   [14]   Smolko AE, Shapiro-Kulnane L, Salz HK. The H3K9 methyltransferase SETDB1

608   maintains female identity in Drosophila germ cells. *Nat Commun*; 9. Epub ahead of

609   print 1 December 2018. DOI: 10.1038/S41467-018-06697-X.

610   [15]   Koch CM, Honemann-Capito M, Egger-Adam D, et al. Windei, the Drosophila

611   Homolog of mAM/MCAF1, Is an Essential Cofactor of the H3K9 Methyl

612   Transferase dSETDB1/Eggless in Germ Line Development. *PLoS Genet* 2009; 5:

613   e1000644.

614   [16]   Wang X, Pan L, Wang S, et al. Histone H3K9 Trimethylase Eggless Controls

615   Germline Stem Cell Maintenance and Differentiation. *PLoS Genet* 2011; 7:

616   e1002426.

617   [17]   Yoon J, Lee KS, Park JS, et al. dSETDB1 and SU(VAR)3–9 Sequentially Function

618   during Germline-Stem Cell Differentiation in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS One*

619   2008; 3: e2234.

620   [18]   Deehan M, Lin W, Blum B, et al. Intracellular Density of Wolbachia Is Mediated by

621   Host Autophagy and the Bacterial Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Gene cifB in a Cell

622   Type-Dependent Manner in Drosophila melanogaster. *mBio* 2021; 12: 1–19.

623   [19]   Dedeine F, Vavre F, Fleury F, et al. Removing symbiotic Wolbachia bacteria

624   specifically inhibits oogenesis in a parasitic wasp. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;

625   98: 6247.

626   [20]   He Z, Zheng Y, Yu WJ, et al. How do Wolbachia modify the Drosophila ovary?

627   New evidences support the "titration-restitution" model for the mechanisms of

628   Wolbachia-induced CI. *BMC Genomics* 2019; 20: 608.

629   [21]   Malik S, Jang W, Kim JY, et al. Mechanisms ensuring robust repression of the

630   Drosophila female germline stem cell maintenance factor Nanos via

631   posttranscriptional regulation. *The FASEB Journal* 2020; 34: 11421–11430.

632   [22]   Ji S, Li C, Hu L, et al. Bam-dependent deubiquitinase complex can disrupt germ-

633   line stem cell maintenance by targeting cyclin A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017;

634   114: 6316–6321.

635   [23]   Lu C, Kim J, Fuller MT. The polyubiquitin gene Ubi-p63E is essential for male

636   meiotic cell cycle progression and germ cell differentiation in Drosophila.

637   *Development* 2013; 140: 3522–3531.

638   [24]   Kashevsky H, Wallace JA, Reed BH, et al. The anaphase promoting

639   complex/cyclosome is required during development for modified cell cycles. *Proc*

640   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002; 99: 11217–11222.

641   [25]   Sugimura I, Lilly MA. Bruno Inhibits the Expression of Mitotic Cyclins during the

642   Prophase I Meiotic Arrest of Drosophila Oocytes. *Dev Cell* 2006; 10: 127–135.

643   [26]   Reed BH, Orr-Weaver TL. The Drosophila gene morula inhibits mitotic functions in

644   the endo cell cycle and the mitotic cell cycle. *Development* 1997; 124: 3543–3553.

645   [27]   Pan L, Wang S, Lu T, et al. Protein competition switches the function of COP9

646   from self-renewal to differentiation. *Nature* 2014; 514: 233–236.

647   [28]   Chau J, Kulnane LS, Salz HK. Sex-lethal enables germline stem cell differentiation

648   by down-regulating Nanos protein levels during Drosophila oogenesis. *Proc Natl*

649   *Acad Sci U S A* 2012; 109: 9465–9470.

650   [29]   Li Y, Minor NT, Park JK, et al. Bam and Bgcn antagonize Nanos-dependent germ-

651   line stem cell maintenance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009; 106: 9304–9309.

652   [30]   Ji S, Li C, Hu L, et al. Bam-dependent deubiquitinase complex can disrupt germ-

653   line stem cell maintenance by targeting cyclin A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017;

654   114: 6316–6321.

655   [31]   Wenzel M, Aquadro CF. Wolbachia rescue of several Drosophila melanogaster

656   bag of marbles protein coding mutants. *bioRxiv* 2023; 2023.03.20.532813.

657   [32]   Lin H, Spradling AC. Germline Stem Cell Division and Egg Chamber Development

658   in Transplanted Drosophila Germaria. *Dev Biol* 1993; 159: 140–152.

659   [33]   Wang Z, Lin H. Nanos Maintains Germline Stem Cell Self-Renewal by Preventing

660   Differentiation. *Science (1979)* 2004; 303: 2016–2019.

661   [34]   Ohlstein B, Lavoie CA, Vef O, et al. The Drosophila Cystoblast Differentiation

662   Factor, benign gonial cell neoplasm, Is Related to DExH-box Proteins and

663   Interacts Genetically With bag-of-marbles. *Genetics* 2000; 155: 1809–1819.

664   [35]   Christensen S, Camacho M, Sharmin Z, et al. Quantitative methods for assessing

665   local and bodywide contributions to Wolbachia titer in maternal germline cells of

666   Drosophila. *BMC Microbiol* 2019; 19: 1–17.

667   [36]   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq

668   aligner. *Bioinformatics* 2013; 29: 15–21.

669   [37]   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

670   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*; 15. Epub ahead of print 5

671   December 2014. DOI: 10.1186/S13059-014-0550-8.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. WT and *bam* hypomorph fertility assay raw data.

The number of progeny are listed for the uninfected WT and *bam* hypomorph flies and flies

infected with *w*Mel2a, *w*Mel3, *w*MelCS2a, and *w*MelCS2b. The *W. pipientis* variants were grouped

into the *w*Mel-like variants (*w*Mel2a and *w*Mel3) and the *w*MelCS-like variants (*w*MelCS2a and

*w*MelCS2b) in the "*Wolbachia* type" column. The parents of the progeny were either three-days

old, six-days old or nine-days old.

S1 Fig. *Bam* WT fertility does not correlate with *W. pipientis* titer.

WT fertility and titer of all *W. pipientis* variants infecting *D. melanogaster*. Fertility and titer of

mated aged flies: (A) three-, (B) six- and (C) nine-day old females. The p-value indicates

whether fertility and titer are statistically correlated for each *W. pipientis* type (linear regression

model).

S2 Figure. Differential Expression of *Bam's* interactors shows genes involved in

ubiquitination/deubiquitation.

Gene networks of all *bam's* genetic and physical interactors in the A) WT unmated three-day old

flies B) *bam* hypomorph unmated three-day old flies, C) WT mated three-day old flies, D) *bam*

hypomorph mated three-day old flies, E) WT mated six-day old flies, and F) *bam* hypomorph

mated six-day old flies (p < 0.05, abs log2fold change > 1, STRING, Cytoscape). Grey bubbles

surrounding the genes indicate that the gene was not differentially expressed in the given *W.*

pipientis infected genotype compared to the uninfected genotype.

S2 Table. Differentially expressed *bam* genetic/physical interactors and GSC genes in the

WT and *bam* hypomorph genotypes.

B*am's* differentially expressed genetic and physical interactors and GSC genes in WT and *bam*

hypomorph unmated three-, mated three-, and mated six-day old flies (p < .05, absolute log2fold

change > 1). Our differential expression analysis compared *W. pipientis* variant infected flies to

uninfected flies in the WT and *bam* hypomorph genotypes. NA indicates that a given gene was

not differentially expressed in each genotype infected with a specific *W. pipientis* variant.

S3 Figure. RNA-seq WT and *Bam* Hypomorph Gene Enrichment By Age of Female Flies.

The top 10-15 GO terms from a GO enrichment analysis of A) WT unmated three-day old flies

B) *bam* hypomorph unmated three-day old flies, C) WT mated three-day old flies, D) *bam*

hypomorph mated three-day old flies, E) WT mated six-day old flies, and F) *bam* hypomorph

mated six-day old flies (p < 0.05, gseGO, R). Each column represents the *W. pipientis* variant

infecting *D. melanogaster*. The set size represents the number of genes within each GO

category. The gene ratio is the number of genes within the GO term (set size) divided by the

total number of differentially expressed genes. The GO categories are highlighted if they are

involved in reproduction.

S3 Table. Genes predicted to be differentially expressed due to tissue size, age or

mating.

We computationally predicted what genes could be influenced by tissue size, age or mating in

mated three- and six-day old WT and *bam* hypomorph flies. Genes that are bolded are *bam's*

genetic or physical interactors and genes that are highlighted are GSC genes. Note that the

genes listed in this table are not all differentially expressed in our analysis between *W. pipientis*

infected and uninfected WT and *bam* hypomorph flies (S2 Table).

S4 Fig. *W. pipientis* Infection-Specific WT Gene Enrichment (p < 0.05).

A GO enrichment analysis of genes solely in each *W. pipientis* group (*w*Mel-like and *w*MelCS-

like) infecting *D. melanogaster*. A) Unmated three day-old *w*Mel-like, B) unmated three-day old

*w*MelCS-like, C) mated three-day old *w*Mel-like, D) mated three-day old *w*MelCS-like, E) mated

six-day old *w*Mel-like, F) and mated six-day old *w*Mel-like infected *D. melanogaster* gene

enrichment. The set size represents the number of genes within each GO category. The gene

ratio is the number of genes within the GO term (set size) divided by the total number of

differentially expressed genes.

S4 Table. *W*Mel-like infection-specific *bam* hypomorph gene enrichment (p < 0.05).

The differentially expressed genes from oogenesis-related GO categories from our *W. pipientis*

infection-specific gene enrichment analysis. The table includes the genes from our enrichment

analysis that used genes with a p-value less than 0.05 and absolute log2fold change greater

than 1 and from a separate enrichment analysis solely using a p-value cutoff below 0.05. The

gene enrichment analysis was conducted in the *bam* hypomorph genotype infected with *w*Mel2a

or *w*Mel3, but the log2fold change of the genes from our analysis is shown for both the *bam*

hypomorph and WT genotypes for comparison. NA indicates that the given gene was not

differentially expressed in each genotype infected with either *w*Mel2a or *w*Mel3.

S5 Fig. *W. pipientis*-Specific Genes Included in the gBlock Used for Absolute

Quantification of *W. pipientis* Titer.

The *W. pipientis*-specific genes included in our double stranded DNA fragment (gBlock) used for

absolute quantification qPCR.

Figure 1

A)

B)

Wolbachia Group
- Uninfected
- *w*Mel-like
- *w*MelCS-like

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A)

B)

C)

D)

E)

F)