PCR-based survey of methane-cycling archaea in methane-soaked subsurface sediments of

Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California

John E. Hinkle[1], Paraskevi Mara[2], David Beaudoin[2], Virginia P. Edgcomb[2], Andreas P. Teske[1]

[1]Department of Earth, Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina at

Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

[2]Department of Geology and Geophysics, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Falmouth,

Massachusetts, USA

Corresponding authors:

John E. Hinkle (jehinkle@unc.edu)

Andreas P. Teske (teske@email.unc.edu)

Running Head: Guaymas Basin Subsurface Methane-Cycling Archaea

Abstract. The Guaymas Basin in the Gulf of California is characterized by active seafloor

spreading, rapid deposition of organic-rich sediments, steep geothermal gradients, and abundant

methane of mixed thermogenic and microbial origin. Subsurface sediment samples from eight

drilling sites with distinct geochemical and thermal profiles were selected for DNA extraction and

PCR amplicifation to explore the diversity of methane-cycling archaea in the Guaymas Basin

subsurface. We performed PCR amplifications with general (mcrIRD), and ANME-1 specific

primers that target the alpha (α) subunit of methyl coenzyme M reductase (*mcrA*). Diverse ANME-

1 lineages associated with anaerobic methane oxidation were detected in seven out of the eight

drilling sites, preferentially around the methane-sulfate interface, and in several cases showed

preferences for specific sampling sites. Phylogenetically, most ANME-1 sequences from the

Guaymas Basin subsurface were related to marine mud volcanoes, seep sites, and the shallow

marine subsurface. The most frequently recovered methanogenic phylotypes were closely

affiliated with the hyperthermophilic *Methanocaldococcaceae*, and found at the hydrothermally

influenced Ringvent site. The coolest drilling site, in the northern axial trough of Guaymas Basin,

yielded the greatest diversity of methanogen lineages. Our survey indicates potential for extensive

microbial methane cycling within subsurface sediments of Guaymas Basin.

Keywords. Guaymas Basin, ANME-1, methanogens, phylogenetics, methane, *mcrA*

INTRODUCTION

The Guaymas Basin is a young marginal rift basin in the Gulf of California characterized

by active seafloor spreading, volcanic sill intrusions into rapidly deposited organic-rich sediments,

and steep geothermal gradients where sedimentary organic material of photosynthetic origin turns

into hydrocarbons, which can potentially be utilized by microbes as substrates. The dominant

hydrocarbon in Guaymas Basin sediments, methane, is of predominantly thermogenic origin in the

hot subsurface and gradually changes to microbially produced methane in the upper, cooler

sediments [1-3].

Using seafloor samples collected by submersible, hyperthermophilic methanogens

(*Methanocaldococcus*, *Methanopyrus*) have been isolated from hydrothermal hot spots in the

southern axial trough of Guaymas Basin [4,5]. PCR-based surveys have detected a wide range of

methanogenic lineages in surficial Guaymas Basin sediments [6,7], including members of the

obligately methylotrophic Methanofastidiosa lineage [8]. Sulfate-dependent anaerobic methane-

oxidizing archaea (predominantly ANME-1 and ANME-2) are widespread in surficial sediments

of Guaymas Basin [9-12]. Thermophilic ANME-1 lineages were enriched from hydrothermal

sediments of Guaymas Basin at temperatures ranging from 50 to 70°C [13-15], and at 70°C from

Pescadero Basin in the southern Gulf of California [16, 17].

In contrast to the well-studied surficial sediments of Guaymas Basin, investigations into

the diversity and activity of methane-cycling microorganisms in the sedimentary subsurface of

Guaymas Basin are just beginning. Enrichments from subsurface sediments obtained during DSDP

Expedition 64 demonstrated viable methanogens within the upper 20 m of the sediment column

[18]. High-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing surveys of the shallow Guaymas Basin

subsurface, down to 5 m sediment depth, detected mainly ANME-1 archaea, and found only traces

of diverse methanogen lineages [2]. The scarcity of methanogens was also noted by a recent

metagenomic study of deep subsurface sediments in Guaymas Basin [3].

To examine the diversity and distribution of methanogens and methane-oxidizing archaea,

we performed PCR amplification and sequencing of the *mcrA* gene, which encodes the alpha (α)

subunit of methyl coenzyme M reductase, also known as MCR complex. The MCR complex is the

central enzyme in anaerobic microbial methane metabolism, as it catalyzes the final step of

methanogenesis, and the first step of sulfate-dependent oxidation of methane performed by by

methane-oxidizing archaea (anaerobic methane oxidation; 19). While *mcrA* genes are diagnostic

for methane-cycling archaea, they also complement the phylogenetic analysis of methane-cycling

archaea by 16S rRNA genes [20, 21]. Previous 16S rRNA and *mcrA*-based surveys of surficial

Guaymas sediments yielded diverse methanogens and methane-oxidizers, using general and

group-specific PCR primers [7]. These primers were constructed at a time when *mcrA*-containing

archaea outside of Phylum *Euryarchaeota* were unknown, and thus not targeted [22-25]. Here we

use the partial *mcrA* gene sequences to construct phylogenetic trees for a detailed study of

methane-cycling archaeal diversity in deep subsurface Guaymas sediments that were sampled

during International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) expedition 385 [26].

METHODS

Sampling. Eight drilling sites were visited and sampled by R/V *JOIDES Resolution* during

Expedition 385 of the International Ocean Discovery Program to Guaymas Basin (15 September–

15 November 2019). The northern axial trough of Guaymas Basin and its off-axis flanking regions,

were targeted to explore the diversity, depth range, and in-situ temperature range of methane-

cycling archaea in the Guaymas Basin subsurface (Fig.1). Sediment cores were collected, split into

smaller sections on board, and frozen at -80 ºC by the shipboard science crew. DNA extraction,

and successful *mcrA* amplification occurred at different sites, and sediment depths that extended

from 0.8 meters below sea floor (mbsf) down to 142 mbsf (Table 1).

Thermal profiles. The Advanced Piston Corer Temperature Tool (APCT-3) [27] and

Sediment Temperature Tool (SET-2) were used to measure downhole equilibrium temperatures.

The SET-2 tool was used for temperature measurements in lithified sediments that were too stiff

for the APCT-3 tool. The SET-2 tool was only used when necessary as it can lead to cracks in the

sediment. These cracks lead to temperature measurements of diminished quality. For a detailed

protocol of the downhole temperature measurements, refer to Section 3.2 in Neumann et al., 2023.

Temperature values were interpolated for each sample using linear regression of the local thermal

gradient (°C/m) multiplied by depth (mbsf), plus the y-axis intercept: U1545A,B, T = 0.225 x

depth + 4.899; U1546A, T = 0.221 x depth + 2.627; U1547A/B, T = 0.511 x depth + 13.01;

U1548A, T = 0.646 x depth + 8.301; U1548B, T = 0.804 x depth + 6.5; U1548C, T = 0.958 x depth

+ 15.916; U1548D/E, T = 0.271 x depth + 3.241; U1549A, T = 0.194 x depth + 3.228, U1550A,

T = 0.135 x depth + 3.532; U1551A, T = 0.1 x depth + 4.741; U1552A/B, T = 0.262 x depth +

3.543.

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from near-surface (0.8-2.1 mbsf), intermediate

(15.4-54.8 mbsf), and deeper locations (65.7-142 mbsf), as summarized in Table 1. The MP

Biomedical FastDNA™ SPIN Kit was used to extract DNA following manufacturer suggestions.

Prior to DNA extraction, sediment samples were removed from -80 °C and were thawed on ice. A

subsample of 0.5 gr homogenized sediment was transferred using autoclaved and ethanol-rinsed

metallic spatula into sterile, DNA/RNA-free tubes containing beads, provided by the

manufacturer. All DNA extractions were performed in a UV-sterilized clean hood (two UV cycles

of 15 min each) that was installed with HEPA filters. Surfaces inside the hood and pipettes were

thoroughly cleaned with RNase AWAY (Thermo Scientific), and with absolute ethanol (200 proof;

purity ≥ 99.5%; Thermo Scientific Chemicals) before every extraction and in between extraction

steps.

PCR assays and *mcrA* gene analysis. PCR reactions were performed with *mcrA*-targeting

primer sets reported to successfully amplify *mcrA* gene sequences of diverse archaeal methane-

cycling lineages identified in Guaymas surficial sediments (7). To PCR- amplify the gene of

interest, we used the general mcrIRD primers (F: 5′-TWYGACCARATMTGGYT-3′; R: 5′-

ACRTTCATBGCRTARTT-3′) that cover a range of known *mcrA* gene sequences in Guaymas

Basin, and the ANME-1-specific *mcrA* gene primers (F: 5′-GACCAGTTGTGGTTCGGAAC-3′;

R: 5′-ATCTCGAATGGCATTCCCTC-3′) that target the ANME-1 lineage involved in anaerobic

methane oxidation in Guaymas Basin (7). The PCR reactions at every examined depth were

performed in triplicate (n=3) using Takara SpeedSTAR HS DNA polymerase kit (Takara Bio

USA, Madison, WI) with the following modifications: each 25 µl PCR reaction contained 0.5-1

ng of template DNA, 2× Takara SpeedSTAR Buffer I, 2.5 mM dNTPs (Takara Bio USA, Madison,

WI), 2 mM of each primer (final concentrations), 1.25 units of SpeedSTAR HS DNA Polymerase

and DEPC water (Fisher BioReagents). The PCR reactions were performed at 95°C for 5 min,

followed by 35 cycles of 95°C (30 s), 48°C (30 s), and 72°C (45 s) for the mcrIRD primers. Same

PCR conditions were also applied for ANME-1-specific *mcrA* primers with the exception that the

annealing temperature was set at 60ºC (30 s). To confirm absence of contamination due to handling

and PCR reagents, we included negative controls (blanks) in all PCR experiments. Negative

controls did not include template DNA, but 5 µl of DEPC water. As positive control we used DNA

from surficial Guaymas sediments collected with *Alvin* push cores during the AT42-05 research

cruise in Guaymas Basin, containing natural assemblages of methanogens and ANME-1 archaea

[28].

2 μl of all PCR amplified samples were run on 2% agarose gel (Low-EEO/Multi-

Purpose/Molecular Biology Grade Fisher BioReagents) to verify the amplification of the *mcrA*

genes. The PCR-amplified *mcrA* gene using mcrIRD or ANME-1 primers is ~ 500 base pairs in

size. All successful PCR reactions per sample (n=3) were pooled together, and were purified and

concentrated using the Agencourt AMPure XP protocol (Beckman Coulter) following the

manufacturer's suggestion. The purified/concentrated PCR products were sequenced at Georgia

Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (University of Georgia) using the MiSeq Illumina Platform.

The paired reads generated with MiSeq were quality checked and trimmed using FastQC

(v. 0.11.7). We analyzed the *mcrA* data using the QIIME2 platform [29], and the DADA2 plugin

provided in the QIIME2 pipeline to denoise and optimize the merging of the forward and reverse

reads. The functional annotation of the generated *mcrA* sequences was assigned manually using

BLASTx against GenBank NR. The *mcrA* and ANME-1 *mcrA* sequences that were retained for

further analyses, were those that were functionally annotated as "methyl CoM reductase" and

presented e values $\geq$ 1e-10. Those manually curated sequences that were functionally misannotated

were excluded from downstream analyses.

***mcrA* gene phylogeny**. Those *mcrA* gene sequences successfully annotated "methyl CoM

reductase" were aligned using Multiple Sequence Comparison by Log-Expectation (MUSCLE) in

MEGA11. The aligned sequences were used to construct minimum evolution phylogenetic trees

checked by 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bootstrap values indicating greater than 50% support for an

individual cluster were included in each phylogenetic tree. For easy reference, all *mcrA* gene

sequences in our phylogenetic trees are included in the supplementary materials.

Nucleotide BLAST search (BLASTn) was used to find closely related sequences in

GenBank NR. These closely related sequences were then included in the trees to add phylogenetic

context and allow for cluster labeling. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the five most

abundant ANME-1 *mcrA* gene sequences from seven of the eight drilling sites. *mcrA* gene

sequences from methanogenic lineages were placed into a separate phylogeny. To obtain a wider

view of ANME-1 diversity, site-specific trees were constructed for sites where the number of

sequences recovered was conducive to constructing a phylogenetic tree. For each site, all

sequences with >100 clones were used to construct a phylogenetic tree. An exception was for the

site U1550B specific tree which had 200 sequences with >100 clones. For this site, the top 25

sequences, by clone number, from samples U1550B_ 1H2, U1550B_3H2, and U1550B_7H2, (2.0,

16.9, and 54.8 mbsf, respectively; Table 1) along with two sequences from sample U1550B_ 19X2

(142 mbsf) were used to construct a phylogenetic tree.

RESULTS

Site characteristics. PCR-amplified *mcrA* genes were obtained from nearly all drilling

sites of IODP Expedition 385 (Fig. 1) which differ in their degree of hydrothermally driven heat

flow [30], and in the relative extent of marine vs. terrestrial sedimentation [31]. Samples yielding

PCR amplicons were generally affiliated with subsurface maxima or with broad zones of high

alkalinity and DIC concentration [32], a proxy for microbial organic matter remineralization

(Supplementary Figures S1a-h and S2). These geochemical layers often coincide with methane-

sulfate transition zones, which are shown to have elevated microbial cell counts and increased

microbial activity [33]. Sediment samples, DNA yields, and PCR results are summarized in Table

1. Two neighboring sites (U1545 and U1546) on the northwestern end of Guaymas Basin [34, 35]

essentially differ by the presence of a massive, thermally equilibrated sill between 350 to 430

meters below seafloor (mbsf) at Site U1546 [36]. Two drilling sites (U1547, U1548) at the

hydrothermally active Ringvent area, approximately 28 km northwest of the spreading center [2]

target a shallow, recently emplaced, hot sill that creates steep thermal gradients and drives

hydrothermal circulation [37]. Site U1549 [38] samples the periphery of an off-axis methane cold

seep, Octopus Mound, located ~9.5 km northwest of the northern axial graben. Site U1550 is

located close to DSDP Site 481 and samples the heterogeneous (marine and terrestrial) sediments

that are accumulating in the northern axial valley [39]. Site U1551 represents the terrestrially

influenced sediments of the southeastern Guaymas Basin with riverine sand input from the Yaqui

River [40]. Site U1552 [41] sampled the subsurface of a cold seep site surrounded by shallow

methane hydrates, close to the Sonora Margin.

Regarding their in-situ temperature gradients, the Guaymas Basin drilling sites fall into

two categories, the hot Ringvent sites with steep temperature gradients of ca. 50 to 100°C/100 m

depth, and the majority of Guaymas sites with elevated temperature gradients of approx. 20 to

25°C/100 m (Fig. 2). Even the gradient at the coolest site, U1550 with ca. 13-14°C/100m, is at

least twice as steep as the temperature gradients commonly found for ocean crust. These distinct

thermal gradients are to some extent reflected in different lineages of detected methanogens.

Detection of Methanogen lineages. Three of the seven examined sites (U1547B, U1548B,

and U1550B) yielded *mcrA* genes related to previously established methanogens or methane-

cycling archaea, using PCR primers that encompass the widest possible diversity of *mcrA* genes,

but do not capture ANME-1 [7]. Most of our positive PCR amplicon results were obtained from

the upper layer of the sediment column, whereas deeper sediments yielded negative results, even

after many PCR attempts (Table 1).

Two sites (U1547B and U1548B) are located at the Ringvent mound, an off-axis

hydrothermal system driven by recent sill emplacement (Fig. 3). Here, the temperature gradients

increase rapidly along a sampling transect with tightly spaced, increasing temperature

measurements towards the ring-shaped mound (Fig. 2) where conspicuous hydrothermal activity

and a topographical high of ca. 20 m mark the rim of the buried sill [2]. At Ringvent sites U1547B

and U1548B, the most abundant phylotypes were close relatives of the extreme hyperthermophile

and obligate hydrogenotroph *Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens* (Fig. 4), originally isolated

from low-temperature hydrothermal fluid (26°C) at the Axial Seamount on the Juan de Fuca Ridge

[42]. Although isolated from relatively temperate fluids, *Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens* is

a hyperthermophile that grows under temperatures from 48 to 90°C, with a thermal optimum of

82ºC [42].   Samples from the Ringvent mound sites also yielded *mcrA* genes of the

Methanosarcinaceae (capable of using acetate, CO_2, and methyl substrates for methanogenesis)

and the mostly hydrogenotrophic *Methanomicrobiaceae* (Fig. 4).

At the cool axial site, U1550B, greater methanogenic diversity was observed, including the

obligately acetoclastic *Methanosaetaceae*, the metabolically diversified *Methanosarcinaceae*, and

the most hydrogenotrophic or (occasionally) ethanol-utilizing *Methanomicrobiaceae* and

Methanobacteraceae (Fig. 4). Additionally, the uncultured *mcrA* "group E" lineage related to

anaerobic methane-oxidizing ANME archaea [21], and an uncultured Guaymas group without any

cultured relatives were detected at this site [7].

ANME-1 diversity. While methanogen populations were only observed at three sites

(U1547B, U1548B, and U1550B), seven sites yielded *mcrA* gene sequences affiliated with the

ANME-1 lineage (Methanophagales), using primers fine-tuned for this order-level lineage (7).

Extensive searches in GenBank NR and comparisons to database sequences showed that ANME-

1 phylotypes from Guaymas Basin subsurface samples were closely related to reference sequences

from the Napoli mud volcano [43], from subsurface sediments of the Mississippi Canyon 118 seep

site in the Gulf of Mexico [44], and from shallow subsurface sediments recovered by piston coring

and push coring in Guaymas Basin [2, 45]. Almost all ANME-1 *mcrA* gene sequences could be

accommodated in sixteen distinct phylogenetic clusters (Figure 5). These clusters were further

examined and confirmed through site-specific *mcrA* gene phylogenies for five sites, U1547B,

U1548B, U1550B, U1551B, and U1552B (Supplementary Figures S3 to S7).

In searching for thermophilic ANME-1 lineages, we found that cluster VIII, consisting of

mcrA genes from ANME-1 enrichments in surficial sediments at 50°C [13], also included

Guaymas subsurface *mcrA* genes from site U1551B. However, we observed no overlap of

Guaymas subsurface *mcrA* genes with *mcrA* genes from thermophilic methane-oxidizing ANME-

1 enrichment cultures that are active at 70°C [15, 17].

Habitat and site preferences of different *mcrA* gene lineages were visualized with a bubble

plot of *mcrA* gene recovery, color-coded by habitat type (Fig. 6, and Supplementary Figure S8).

From the relatively cool northwestern site: U1545B, only a single sample yielded *mcrA* gene

sequences, all of them members of cluster 3 (color-coded in grey). Gene sequences from the

hydrothermal Ringvent sites (in red, Fig. 6) were highly diverse, and affiliated with clusters 1, 2,

5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 16. Gene sequences from the axial site U1550B were also diverse

(color-coded in green, Fig. 6). Gene sequences from the terrestrially influenced site U1551B were

largely affiliated with group 13 (Fig. 5), plus smaller proportions of U1551B-derived sequences

that fell into clusters 1, 3, 8 and 10 (Fig. 6). Cluster 13, which dominated the samples from site

U1551, did not include any sequences from other sites and thus provides the best example, within

this dataset, for strong habitat preference within a particular lineage (color-coded in brown, Fig.

6). No published *mcrA* genes that would fall into this cluster were found in GenBank NR. Finally,

gene sequences from the cold seep site U1552B were affiliated with clusters 3, 7, and 9 (color-

coded in blue, Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

ANME-1 diversity. The methane-oxidizing group ANME-1, is widespread in the

Guaymas Basin, as it was observed at every site examined, particularly near depths where

methane-sulfate interfaces occur. Methane-sulfate interfaces are present at varying depths in the

subsurface, depending on geologic formations and methane flux. To some extent, the different

regions in the Guaymas Basin are characterized by different ANME-1 types. While it is possible

that some clades may not be detected due to sensitivity issues or sequencing artifacts, our data

identify clades that show an observable preference for particular sites, which differ in temperature

and geochemistry. This differentiation can be observed by comparing the clusters in the

phylogenies constructed specifically for each drilling site. For example, when comparing the

ANME-1 phylogenies from sites U1548B and U1552B, the methane hydrate site U1552B contains

three distinct ANME-1 clusters, two of which are not shared with the phylogeny of hydrothermally

influenced Ringvent site U1548B (Figs. S4 & S8 in the supplemental material). These differences

in ANME-1 cluster representation across sites suggest how the locally distinct thermal profiles

(Fig. 2) and in-situ temperature conditions contribute to shaping the microbial biogeography of the

Guaymas Basin.

Mud volcano connection. Close phylogenetic relationships between sequences from mud

volcano and deep subsurface sediments were observed in the general ANME-1 phylogeny (Fig.

5). Previous research indicates that these similarities reflect the role of mud volcanoes as a

"window to the deep subsurface", dispersing microbes from the deep subsurface into the

hydrosphere; as mud volcanoes erupt they bring gas-rich fluidized sediment and its microbial

populations from the deep subsurface, to the seafloor [46]. Mud volcanoes could garner more

attention as an alternative way to study the deep marine biosphere since R/V *JOIDES Resolution*

will be decommissioned in October 2024 and plans for a replacement vessel have been paused for

a prolonged period [47].

Hyperthermophilic methanogens at Ringvent sites. Hyperthermophilic methanogens

(family *Methanocaldococcaceae*) at the hot Ringvent sites U1547B (51°C at 74.2 mbsf) and

U1548B (68°C at 76.5 mbsf), were not recovered from deep, hot sediments, but were recovered

from shallow, cool, and relatively oxidizing (sulfate-reducing) sediment samples between 2.1 and

9.1 mbsf (up to 17.4°C). This apparent paradox can be explained by the low cell abudnancies ($\leq$

10^5 cells per cm^3 of sediment) detected at those two sites when sediments reached temperatures $\geq$

45°C [48], and by the environmental regime that exists in the Ringvent area: The Ringvent mound

is underlaid by a hot volcanic sill, which drives hydrothermal circulation at the relatively thinly

sedimented surface of the mound, and brings hot hydrothermal fluids (up to 75 °C) to the sediment

surface [2]. Hyperthermophiles could rise with methane-rich hydrothermal fluids from deeper

sediments, or may originate from localized hydrothermal hot spots that have been observed at the

sediment/water interface of Ringvent [2]. Either way, hydrothermal circulation could deposit

microbial cells in the wider Ringvent area and seed cool, surficial sediments with

hyperthermophiles. Previous studies have detected thermophilic methanogen lineages in cool,

surficial Guaymas Basin sediments, and have proposed transport to the surface via hydrothermal

flow [6].

Methanogen scarcity. Many ANME-1 sequences were collected from our sampling sites;

however, methanogen sequences were relatively scarce. This poses a question: Why are ANME-1

so abundant, yet methanogens so rare in the Guaymas Basin deep subsurface?

While methodological limitations, such as PCR primer range and sensitivity, could play a

role, methanogen scarcity is not only observed in this *mcrA* gene survey, but also in 16S rRNA

analyses [2, 12], in metagenomic investigations [3], and in cultivation studies [49]. It seems

unlikely that these conceptually and technically distinct methods are consistently biased against

methanogens, and to such a degree that they would miss a large swath of methanogenic diversity.

While undetected, "exotic" methanogenic lineages cannot be excluded with certainty, they would

have to hide in plain sight (for example, in poorly-described uncultured phylogenetic lineages) to

comprise a significant portion of methanogenic diversity.

The likely scarcity of methanogens in the Guaymas Basin deep subsurface has implications

for the origin and microbial processing of subsurface methane. Methane clumped isotope

measurements show that the proportion of biogenic methane increases towards the sediment

surface, whereas methane in deep, hot sediments is predominantly thermogenic [3]. If the

population density of active methanogens in the Guaymas subsurface sediments is relatively low,

the massive reservoir of biogenic subsurface methane must have accumulated over geological time

[3]. Methanogenic gene expression is predominately observed in surficial sediments where most

methane-cycling occurs [50]. Some of this methane produced in surficial sediments could be

incorporated into the subsurface reservoir of biogenic methane.

Thermal preferences of methanogens. Notably, the cooler axial site (U1550B) exhibits

greater methanogenic diversity than the much warmer Ringvent mound sites (U1547B and

U1548B). This difference in diversity may reflect the methanogens' general preference for

moderate temperatures [12]. While thermophilic methanogenic lineages have been isolated from

deep-sea vent systems [4, 42], most cultured methanogenic lineages are not thermophilic [51].

Observing greater methanogenic diversity in cooler sediments of the Guaymas subsurface

underscores the linkage between sediment depth/temperature and methane origin, by supporting

the observation that biogenic methane predominates in shallower, cooler sediments, whereas

thermogenic/abiotic methane predominates in deeper, warmer sediments [3].

Future directions. A future direction of this research lies in investigating the matching

16S rRNA datasets and pulling *mcrA* genes from entire genomes. *mcrA* genes pulled from

previously published genomes will allow for the strengthening of our current *mcrA* phylogenies.

The construction of 16S rRNA phylogenies to complement the existing *mcrA* phylogenies will

allow for a comprehensive PCR-based survey of methane-cycling microbial communities in the

Guaymas Basin subsurface. Additionally, there is the possibility of linking our *mcrA*-based

phylogenies to genome-based phylogenies of ANME-1 [17].

The diversity of Guaymas Basin subsurface sediments suggests that they would contain

mcrA-containing archea outside of Phylum *Euryarchaeota*. Candidates could even be hiding in

published metagenomes, for example, metagenomes related to Phylum *Verstraetearchaeota* [52].

Further development of *mcrA* primers to capture these groups would aid in their detection [23, 53].

Another research direction focuses on the volcanic sills of Guaymas Basin. Current

research suggests that the abundance of methane located at and in these sills is of predominately

thermogenic origin, resulting from the thermal maturation of organic matter [1,3,37]. However,

these sills are known to harbor sediment intrusions, which could contain microbes, and even

methanogens. The sediment-sill interfaces remain within the temperature range for methanogenic

hyperthermophiles [5]. While it is unknown if there is enough accessible energy to support cellular

metabolism, sill intrusion contributes to the thermal maturation of organic matter and provides a

potential influx of low-molecular weight maturation products that would be available for microbial

metabolism [54, 55]. Future metagenomic analyses of recovered veined rock samples will seek to

address this issue.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All *mcrA* generated sequences were deposited into the National Center for Biotechnology

Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive database under project BioProject ID PRJNA909197.

The accession numbers for the *mcrA* sequences from samples U1550B_7H2, U1548B_2H3, and

U1547B_2H2 are SRR23604134, SRR23604145, and SRR23604146 respectively. The accession

number for the ANME-1 *mcrA* sequences from sample U1545B_4H3 is SRR23604132. The

accession number for the ANME-1 *mcrA* sequences from samples 1547B_8H2 and 1547B_9H2

are SRR23604131 and SRR23604130, respectively. The accession numbers for ANME-1 *mcrA*

sequences from samples 1548B_1H2, 1548B_2H3, 1548B_3H4 and 1548B_9H3 are

SRR23604128, SRR23604129, SRR23604127 and SRR23604126, respectively. The accession

number for the ANME-1 *mcrA* sequences from sample 1549B_6H3 is SRR23604144. The

accession numbers for ANME-1 *mcrA* sequences from samples 1550B_1H2, 1550B_3H2,

1550B_7H2 and 1550B_19X3 are SRR23604143, SRR23604142, SRR23604141 and

SRR23604140, respectively. The accession numbers for ANME-1 mcrA sequences from samples

1551B_1H1, 1551B_2H2, 1551B_3H2 and 1551B_5H2 are SRR23604139, SRR23604138,

SRR23604137 and SRR23604136, respectively. The accession numbers for ANME-1 mcrA

sequences from samples 1552B_1H2 and 1552B_3H3 are SRR23604135 and SRR23604133,

respectively.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JEH wrote the first draft of the manuscript, conducted the *mcrA* phylogenetic analyses, and created

phylogeny figures. PM conducted the *mcrA* PCR amplifications, and the manual curation and

functional annotation of the *mcrA* sequence data. DB conducted DNA extractions and the *mcrA*

sequence data analyses. VE collected sediment samples, and supervised PM and DB on the *mcrA*

data generation and analyses. AT conceptualized the study design, provided figures, and

contributed to subsequent drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and approved the

final form of this draft.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sampling in Guaymas Basin and post-cruise research in the Teske lab was supported by the

International Ocean Discovery Program. We thank David Geller-McGrath for an early version of

Figure 6. This study was supported by NSF Grant OCE-2046799 to VE, PM, AT, and R.

Hatzenpichler, and by NSF grant OCE-1829903 to VE, PM, and AT. Current research in the Teske

lab is supported by NASA Exobiology grant 512580 and by NSF Biological Oceanography grant

5120047.

Table 1. PCR results for Guaymas Basin subsurface sediment samples. Sample depths are noted

as meters below seafloor (mbsf). The (+) and (-) symbols indicate successful PCR (+), and no PCR

(-) amplifications. A version of this table that includes all samples where PCR amplification was

attempted can be found in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Table 1).

Site	Sample ID	Depth (mbsf)	T (ºC)	*mcrA* ANME-1 specific primers	*mcrA* general primers (mcrIRD)
U1545B	1545B_1H2	1.7	5.3	—	—
	1545B_4H3	25.8	10.7	+	—
U1547B	1547B_2H2	8.6	17.4	—	+
	1547B_8H2	65.7	46.6	+	—
	1547B_9H2	74.2	51.0	+	—
U1548B	1548B_1H2	2.1	8.2	+	—
	1548B_2H3	9.1	13.7	+	—
	1548B_3H4	20.4	22.9	+	+
	1548B_9H3	76.5	68.0	+	—
U1549B	1549B_6H3	45.6	12.1	+	—
U1550B	1550B_1H2	2.0	3.8	+	—
	1550B_3H2	16.9	5.8	+	—
	1550B_7H2	54.8	10.9	+	+
	1550B_19X2	142.0	22.7	+	—
U1551B	1551B_1H1	0.8	4.8	+	—
	1551B_2H2	5.8	5.3	+	—
	1551B_3H2	15.4	6.3	+	—
	1551B_5H2	34.2	8.2	+	—
U1552B	1552B_1H2	0.8	3.8	+	—
	1552B_3H3	19.2	8.6	+	—

Figure 1. Guaymas Basin drilling site map. The sites follow broadly a northwest-to-southeast

transect across the northern Guaymas axial valley. Grey, northwestern off-axis sites U1545 and

U1546; red, hydrothermally active Ringvent sites U1547 and U1548; Blue, cold seep sites U1549

and U1552; green, northern Trough axial site U1550; brown, southeastern terrestrially influenced

site U1551.

386   **Figure 2**. Temperature profiles of Guaymas drilling sites, plotted from measurements tabulated

387   in the site chapters of Expedition 385 [35-41].

Figure 3. Ringvent Mound site with IODP Expedition 385 drilling sites and hole positions (U1547A-E and U1548A-E), and multichannel seismic lines for subsurface mapping [37] The topographical high marks the edge of the shallow subsurface sill where hydrothermally circulating fluids reach the sediment surface. Sequence data from cores U1547B and U1548B represent the microbial communities within the interior sedimented bowl, and the hydrothermally impacted communities just outside the sill.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic diversity of methanogen lineages detected with general methanogen

primers, resulting in 23 ASVs of partial *mcrA* gene sequences. The phylogeny was constructed

using the minimum evolution method of phylogenetic inference and included bootstrap values >

50%. ASVs from Ringvent sites U1547B and U1548B are plotted in red, and ASVs from axial site

U1550B are plotted in green. Each taxon label starts with the ASV number, followed by the IODP

sample code (drilling site, subcore number and segment), and the number of sequences within each

ASV. *Methanocaldococcaceae* ASVs No. 2 and 7 were recovered from both samples each,

U1547B_2H2 and U1548B_2H2.

Figure 5. General ANME-1 minimum evolution phylogeny based on partial *mcrA* gene sequences,

encompassing the five most frequently recovered ASVs from each drilling site and sample.

Reference site U1545B sequences are plotted in grey, those from Ringvent sites U1547B and

U1548B are plotted in red, axial site U1550B sequences appear in green, terrestrial site U1551B

sequences in brown, and seep site U1549B and U1552B sequences are plotted in blue. Each taxon

label starts with the IODP sample code (drilling site, subcore number and segment), followed by

the ASV number and the number of sequences within each ASV. Site-specific phylogenies that

include a greater number of ASVs (more than five) for each site are available as Supplemental

Figures S2 to S6.

Figure 6. Abundance dot plot of mcrA gene amplicons (represented by > 1000 sequences) color-

coded by site, as in Figures 1, 4 and 5. Dot size indicates number of sequences. The x-axis indicates

the samples, and the y-axis indicates the *mcrA* sequences and their clustering. A version with full

422   sample annotation on the left margin is available as Supplementary Figure S8. ASVs that are only

423   peripherally associated with specific mcrA gene lineages, lacking bootstrap support, are labelled

424   with "p".

REFERENCES

1. Simoneit BRT, Summerhayes CP, Meyers PA. 1986. Sources and hydrothermal alteration of organic matter in Quaternary sediments: a synthesis of studies from the Central Gulf of California. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* **3**(4):282–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(86)90033-4

2. Teske AP, McKay LJ, Ravelo AC, Aiello I, Mortera C, Núńez-Useche F, Canet C, Chanton JP, Brunner B, Hensen C, Ramírez GA, Sibert RJ, Turner T, White D, Chambers CR, Buckley A, Joye SB, Soule SA, Lizarralde D. 2019. Characteristics and Evolution of sill-driven off-axis hydrothermalism in Guaymas Basin – the Ringvent site. *Sci Reports*. **9**:13847. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50200-5

3. Bojanova DP, De Anda VY, Haghnegahdar MA, Teske AP, Ash JL, Young ED, Baker BJ, LaRowe DE, Amend JP, and IODP Expedition Scientists. 2023. Well-hidden Methanogenesis in deep, organic-rich sediments of Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *ISME J.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01485-y

4. Jones WJ, Leigh JA, Mayer F, Woese CR, Wolfe RS. 1983. *Methanococcus jannaschii* sp. nov., an extremely thermophilic methanogen from a submarine hydrothermal vent. *Arch Microbiol.* **136**:254–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00425213

5. Kurr M, Huber R, König H, Jannasch HW, Fricke H, Trincone A, Kristjansson JK, and Stetter KO. 1991. *Methanopyrus kandleri*, gen. and sp. nov. represents a novel group of hyperthermophilic methanogens, growing at 110°C. *Arch. Microbiol.* **156**:239 –247. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00262992

6. Dhillon A, Lever M, Lloyd KG, Albert DB, Sogin ML, Teske AP. 2005. Methanogen diversity evidenced by molecular characterization of methyl coenzyme M reductase A

(mcrA) genes in hydrothermal sediments of the Guaymas Basin. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*

71:4592–4601. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4592-4601.2005

7. Lever MA, Teske AP. 2015. Diversity of Methane-Cycling Archaea in Hydrothermal

Sediment Investigated by General and Group-Specific PCR Primers. *Appl Environ*

Microbiol. **81**(4):1426–1441. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03588-14

8. Nobu MK., Narihiro T, Kuroda K, Mei R, Liu WT. 2016. Chasing the elusive

Euryarchaeota class WSA2: genomes reveal a uniquely fastidious methyl-reducing

methanogen. *ISME J.* 10, 2478–2487. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.33

9. Teske A, Hinrichs KU, Edgcomb V, de Vera Gomez A, Kysela D, Sylva SP, Sogin ML,

Jannasch HW. 2002. Microbial diversity of hydrothermal sediments in the Guaymas

Basin: evidence for anaerobic methanotrophic communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*

68(4):1994-2007. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.4.1994-2007.2002

10. Biddle JF, Cardman Z, Mendlovitz H, *et al*. 2012. Anaerobic oxidation of methane at

different temperature regimes in Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments. *ISME J.*

6:1018–1031. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.164

11. Dowell F, Cardman Z, Dasarathy S, Kellermann MY, McKay LJ, MacGregor BJ, Ruff

SE, Biddle JF, Lloyd KG, Lipp JS, Hinrichs K-U, Albert DB, Mendlovitz H, Teske A.

2016 Microbial communities in methane and short alkane-rich hydrothermal sediments of

Guaymas Basin. *Front Microbiol* 7:17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00017

12. McKay LJ, Klokman VW, Mendlovitz HP, LaRowe DE, Hoer DR, Albert D, Amend JP,

Teske AP. 2016. Thermal and geochemical influences on microbial biogeography in the

hydrothermal sediments of Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*

8(1):150–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12365

13. Holler T, Widdel F, Knittel K, Amann R, Kellermann MY, Hinrichs KU, Teske AP,

Boetius A, Wegener G. 2011. Thermophilic anaerobic oxidation of methane by marine

microbial consortia. *ISME J*. **5**:1946–1956. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.77

14. Wegener G, Krukenberg V, Riedel D, Tegetmeyer HE, Boetius A. 2015. Intracellular

wiring enables electron transfer between methanotrophic archaea and bacteria. *Nature*

526:587–590.

15. Benito-Merino D, Zehnle H, Teske AP, Wegener G. 2022. Deep-branching ANME-1c

archaea grow at the upper temperature limit of anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Front*

Microbiol. 13:988871; https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.988871

16. Speth DR, Yu FB, Connon SA, Lim S, Magyar JS, Pena-Salinas ME, Quake, S.R.,

Orphan, V.J., 2022. Microbial communities of Auka hydrothermal sediments shed light

on vent biogeography and the evolutionary history of thermophily. *ISME J*. **16**, 1750–

1764. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01222-x

17. Laso-Pérez R, Wu F, Crémière A, *et al*. 2023. Evolutionary diversification of

methanotrophic Ca. Methanophagales (ANME-1) and their expansive virome. *Nat*

Microbiol. **8**:231-245. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01297-4

18. Oremland RS., Culbertson C, Simoneit BRT. 1982. Methanogenic activity in sediment from Leg 64, Gulf of California. In Curray JR,

Moore DG, et al., *Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project*, 64: Washington, DC (U.S. Government Printing Office), 759–762.

https://doi.org/10.2973/dsdp.proc.64.122.1982

19. Thauer RK. 2019. Methyl (Alkyl)-Coenzyme M Reductases: Nickel F-430-Containing

Enzymes Involved in Anaerobic Methane Formation and in Anaerobic Oxidation of

Methane or of Short Chain Alkanes. *Biochemistry* **58**:5198-5220.

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.9b00164

20. Luton PE, Wayne JM, Sharp RJ, Riley PW. 2002. The mcrA gene as an alternative to 16S

rRNA in the phylogenetic analysis of methanogen populations in landfill. *Microbiology*

148, 3521–3530. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-11-3521

21. Hallam SJ, Girguis PR, Preston CM, Richardson PM, DeLong EF. 2003. Identification of

methyl coenzyme M reductase A (mcrA) genes associated with methane-oxidizing

archaea. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. **69**:5483-5491. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5483-

5491.2003

22. Vanwonterghem I, Evans PN, Parks DH, Jensen PD, Woodcroft BJ, Hugenholtz P, Tyson

GW. 2016. Methylotrophic methanogenesis discovered in the archaeal phylum

Verstraetearchaeota. *Nature Microbiology*. **1**(12):1-9.

23. McKay LJ, Hatzenpichler R, Inskeep WP, Fields MW. 2017. Occurrence and expression

of novel methyl-coenzyme M reductase gene (mcrA) variants in hot spring sediments.

Scientific Reports. **7**(1):7252. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07354-x

24. Berghuis BA, Yu FB, Schulz F, Blainey PC, Woyke T, Quake SR. 2019.

Hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis in archaeal phylum Verstraetearchaeota reveals the

shared ancestry of all methanogens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

116(11):5037-44. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815631116

25. Lynes MM, Krukenberg V, Jay ZJ, Kohtz AJ, Gobrogge CA, Spietz RL, Hatzenpichler R.

2023. Diversity and function of methyl-coenzyme M reductase-encoding archaea in

Yellowstone hot springs revealed by metagenomics and mesocosm experiments. *ISME*

Communications. **3**(1):22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00225-9

26. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021a. Expedition summary.

In Teske A, Lizarralde, D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin*

Tectonics and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385:

College   Station,   TX   (International   Ocean   Discovery   Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.101.2021

27. Heesemann M, Villinger H, Fisher AT, Tréhu AM, White S. 2006. Data report: testing and

deployment of the new APCT-3 tool to determine in situ temperatures while piston

coring. In Riedel, M., Collett, T.S., Malone, M.J., and the Expedition 311 Scientists.

Proc. IODP, **311**: Washington, DC (Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Management

International, Inc.). https://doi.org/10.2204/iodp.proc.311.108.2006

28. Ramírez GA, Mara P, Sehein T, Wegener G, Chambers CR, Joye SB, Peterson RN,

Philippe A, Burgard G, Edgcomb VP, Teske AP. 2021. Environmental factors shaping

bacterial, archaeal, and fungal community structure in hydrothermal sediments of

Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *PLoS ONE* **16**(9): e0256321.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256321

29. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander

H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn

CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R,

Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M,

Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K,

Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S,

Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D,

Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J, Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu YX, Loftfield E,

Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV,

Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF,

Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB,

Rivers A, Robeson MS 2nd, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A, Sinha R, Song

SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ,

Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von Hippel M,

Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ,

Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible, interactive,

scalable, and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol*. **37**,

852–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

30. Neumann F, Negrete-Aranda R, Harris RN, Contreras J, Galerne C, Peña-Salinas MS,

Splez RM, Teske AP, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Expedition 385 Scientists. 2023. Heat flow

and thermal regime in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California: Estimates of conductive

and advective heat transport. *Basin Research* **35**:1308-1328.

https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12755

31. Persad LD, Marsaglia KM. 2023. Data Report: Detailed lithologic columns for IODP

Expeditions 385 and DSDP Leg 64 Sites in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California,

Mexico. **In** Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists,

Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean

Discovery Program, 385: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.202.2023

32. Torres ME, Kim J-H. 2022. Data report: concentration and carbon isotopic composition

in pore fluids from IODP Expedition 385. In Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the

Expedition 385 Scientists, Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. Proceedings of the

International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College Station, TX (International Ocean

Discovery Program). http://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.201.2022

33. Parkes RJ, Webster G, Cragg BA, Weightman AJ, Newberry CJ, Ferdelman TG, Kallmeyer

J, Jørgensen BB, Aiello IW, Fry JC. 2005. Deep sub-seafloor prokaryotes stimulated at

interfaces over geological time. *Nature*. **436**(7049):390-4.

34. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021b. Site U1545. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station,   TX   (International   Ocean   Discovery   Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.103.2021

35. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021c. Site U1546. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.104.2021

36. Lizarralde D, Teske A, Höfig TW, González-Fernández A, the IODP Expedition 385

Scientists. 2023. Carbon released by sill intrusion into young sediments measured

through scientific drilling. *Geology*. **51**(4): 329-333. https://doi.org/10.1130/G50665.1

37. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021d. Sites U1547 and

U1548. *In* Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas*

Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program,

385: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.105.2021

38. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021e. Site U1549. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station,   TX   (International   Ocean   Discovery   Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.106.2021

39. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021f. Site U1550. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station,   TX   (International   Ocean   Discovery   Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.107.2021

40. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021g. Site U1551. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station,   TX   (International   Ocean   Discovery   Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.108.2021

41. Teske A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, Aiello IW, Ash JL, Bojanova DP, Buatier MD, Edgcomb

VP, Galerne CY, Gontharet S, Heuer VB, Jiang S, Kars MAC, Khogenkumar Singh S, Kim

JH, Koornneef LMT, Marsaglia KM, Meyer NR, Morono Y, Negrete-Aranda R, Neumann

F, Pastor LC, Peña-Salinas ME, Perez-Cruz LL, Ran L, Riboulleau A, Sarao JA, Schubert

F, Stock JM,Toffin LMAA, Xie W, Yamanaka T, Zhuang G. 2021h. Site U1552. *In* Teske

A, Lizarralde D, Höfig TW, and the Expedition 385 Scientists, *Guaymas Basin Tectonics*

and Biosphere. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 385: College

Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program).

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.109.2021

42. Stewart LC, Jung JH, Kim YT, Kwon SW, Park CS, Holden JF. 2015.

Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens sp. nov., a hyperthermophilic methanogen isolated

from a volcanically active deep-sea hydrothermal vent. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*.

65:1280-1283. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000097

43. Lazar CS, L'Haridon S, Pignet P, Toffib L. 2011. Archaeal Populations in Hypersaline

Sediments Underlying Orange Microbial Mats in the Napoli Mud Volcano. *Appl Environ*

Microbiol. **77**(9):3120–3131. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01296-10

44. Underwood S, Lapham L, Teske A, Lloyd KG. 2016. Microbial community structure and

methane-cycling activity of subsurface sediments at Mississippi Canyon 118 before the

Deepwater Horizon Disaster. *Deep-Sea Research II* **129**:148-156.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.01.011

45. Teske, A, Wegener G., Chanton JP, White D, MacGregor BJ, Hoer D, de Beer D, Zhuang

G, Saxton, MA, Joye SB, Lizarralde D, Soule SA, Ruff SE. 2021i. Microbial communities

under distinct thermal and geochemical regimes in axial and off-axis sediments of

Guaymas Basin. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12:633649.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.633649

46. Rattray JE, Chakraborty A, Elizondo G, Ellefson E, Bernard B, Brooks J, Hubert CRJ.

2022. Endospores associated with deep seabed geofluid features in the eastern Gulf of

Mexico. *Geobiology* **20**(6):823–836. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12517

47. Announcement of the Non-Renewal of the JOIDES Resolution Operations and

Maintenance Cooperative Agreement. 2023. National Science Foundation.

https://www.nsf.gov/news/news_summ.jsp?cntn_id=306986&org=OCE

48. Morono, Y., Teske, A., Galerne, C., Bojanova, D., Edgcomb, V., Meyer, N., Schubert, F.,

Toffin, L., and the Expedition 385 Scientists. 2022. Microbial cell distribution in the

Guaymas subseafloor biosphere, a young marginal rift basin with rich organics and steep

temperature gradients. EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, EGU22-3312.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-3312

49. Pérez Castro S, Borton MA, Regan K, Hrabe de Angelis I, Wrighton KC, Teske AP,

Strous M, Ruff SE. 2021. Degradation of biological macromolecules supports uncultured

microbial populations in Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments. *ISME J.* **15**, 3480–

3497. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01026-5

50. Mara P, Zhou Y, Teske AP, Morono Y, Beaudoin D, Edgcomb VP. 2023. Microbial gene

expression in Guaymas Basin subsurface sediments responds to hydrothermal stress and

energy limitation. *ISME J.*17, 1907-1919. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01492-z.

51. Liu Y, Whitman WB. 2008. Metabolic, phylogenetic, and ecological diversity of the

methanogenic archaea. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. **1125**. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1419.019

52. Dombrowski N, Teske AP, Baker BJ. 2018. Expansive microbial metabolic versatility and

biodiversity in dynamic Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments. *Nature

Communications*. **9**(1):4999. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07418-0

53. Vaksmaa A, Guerrero-Cruz S, van Alen TA, Cremers G, Ettwig KF, Lüke C, Jetten MS.

2017. Enrichment of anaerobic nitrate-dependent methanotrophic 'Candidatus

Methanoperedens nitroreducens' archaea from an Italian paddy field soil. *Applied*

Microbiology and Biotechnology. **101**(18):7075-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-

8416-0

54. Wellsbury P, Goodman K, Bart T, Cragg BA, Barnes SP, Parkes RJ. 1997. Deep marine

biosphere fueled by increasing organic matter availability during burial and heating.

Nature. **388**:573–576. https://doi.org/10.1038/41544

55. Lin YS, Koch BP, Feseker T, Ziervogel K, Goldhammer T, Schmidt F, Witt M,

Kellermann MY, Zabel M, Teske AP, Hinrichs KU. 2017. Near-surface Heating of Young

Rift Sediment Causes Mass Production and Discharge of Reactive Dissolved Organic

Matter. *Sci Reports*. **7**:44864. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44864

689