Molecular signatures of heat tolerance and sensitivity in the alpine plant *Wahlenbergia ceracea* during moderate warming

Running title: Molecular basis of tolerance during warming

Rocco F. Notarnicola[a,b,1], Pieter A. Arnold[a], Zhi-Ping Feng[c], Jemimah Hamilton[a], Ashley W. Jones[a], Stella Loke[d], Benjamin Schwessinger[a], Adrienne B. Nicotra[a,1], Diep R. Ganguly[a,e,f]

[a]Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia
[b]Evolutionary Biology Group, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland
[c]The ANU Bioinformatics Consultancy, The John Curtin School of Medical Research, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia
[d]School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, 3216, Australia
[e]CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform, Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia
[f]Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

[1]**Correspondence:** roccofabrizio.notarnicola2@gmail.com, adrienne.nicotra@anu.edu.au

Abstract

Understanding the molecular basis of plant heat tolerance helps to predict the consequences of climate warming on plant performance, particularly in vulnerable environments. Our current understanding comes primarily from studies in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis) and select crops exposed to short and intense periods of heat stress. In this study we sought to characterise the transcriptomic responses of *Wahlenbergia ceracea* (*W. ceracea*), an Australian alpine herb, to growth under sustained moderate warming. We compared responses of pre-defined tolerant and sensitive lines, based on measures of photosynthetic thermostability, to growth under cool (24/15 ℃ day/night) or warm (30/20 ℃ day/night) temperatures to identify the pathways involved in heat tolerance and acclimation. Under warmer growth temperatures, *W. ceracea* up-regulated genes involved in RNA metabolism, while down-regulating those involved in photosynthesis and pigment metabolism. In tolerant lines, genes related to photosystem II and light-dependent photosynthetic reactions were more strongly down-regulated. This suggests that the regulation of electron transport and its components may be involved in thermal acclimation. Our results also highlight the importance of hormonal gene networks, particularly those responsive to ethylene, during longer-term moderate warming. In conclusion, our results point to post-transcriptional processes and the stabilisation of the electron transport chain as candidate mechanisms for thermal acclimation in heat-tolerant *W. ceracea*. The study also revealed many temperature-responsive genes with no detectable ortholog in Arabidopsis, whose characterization may enhance our understanding of physiological acclimation and have relevance for the biotechnological improvement of threatened species and crops.

Keywords: heat tolerance, photosynthesis, acclimation, mRNA sequencing, transcription factors, climate warming.

Introduction

Alpine environments, which are characterised by high spatio-temporal variability in climatic conditions including steep temperature and precipitation gradients across complex topography, are predicted to be severely impacted by global warming (Gobiet *et al.* 2014). Australia's alpine and subalpine regions harbour many plant species that are vulnerable to climate change (Sritharan *et al.* 2021). Plants that occupy distinct elevations exhibit differing levels of phenotypic plasticity, which suggests that there are distinct molecular processes facilitating growth and reproduction (Nicotra *et al.* 2015). However, genomic studies on native plant species that occupy these environments remain under-represented (Geange *et al.* 2021). Such studies, including transcriptomics, have the potential to reveal the genomic architecture of traits under selection, their variation, and plasticity in response to climate change factors (Oomen & Hutchings 2017, 2022), while identifying the molecular basis of physiological attributes and acclimatory responses that may underlie resilience to harsh climates (Rashid *et al.* 2020). Transcriptomic profiles also have the potential to inform conservation planning (Hansen 2010; Oomen & Hutchings 2022). Therefore, expanding the application of genomics methodology across natural populations of native species has the potential to produce both fundamental and practical insights.

Understanding plant responses to heat stress is intensely pursued due to projected increases in mean surface temperatures (+3 °C by 2100) as a result of global warming (IPCC 2023). Many studies focus on short, intense heat events, referred to as heat-shock, which can be used to infer the pathways that contribute to thermal acclimation (Wang *et al.* 2020). Heat-shock causes several changes to the physical and chemical properties inside cells, which in turn affect cellular function (Lippmann *et al.* 2019). Many enzymes lose their native folding thus impairing their catalytic function, with disrupted activity at temperatures even slightly above their optimum (Daniel *et al.* 2008; Hobbs *et al.* 2013). These denatured or unfolded proteins can also disrupt protein homeostasis and form toxic insoluble aggregates (Richter *et al.* 2010). Heat can disrupt photosynthetic electron flow, which can lead to an increased concentration of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which cause oxidative damage to proteins, DNA, and lipids (Suzuki and Mittler, 2006). High temperatures also increase membrane fluidity, altering their permeability to water, ions, and solutes, and affecting transmembrane proteins (Niu & Xiang 2018; Cano-Ramirez *et al.* 2021). These changes in membrane stability can directly affect the function of photosystems and photosynthetic reaction centres (Hugly *et al.* 1989). Therefore, heat stress can severely impact plant growth and fitness in the absence of appropriate acclimatory responses.

Plant molecular responses to heat stress have been extensively explored in models and crops,
such as Arabidopsis and rice. Such studies reveal that heat responses involve the regulation of genes
encoding heat-shock proteins (HSPs), which are predominantly chaperones and transcription factors (TFs)
(Richter *et al.* 2010). The molecular chaperones, such as HSP70, HSP90, HSP100, and small HSPs, bind
unfolded proteins preventing their aggregation and, in some cases, induce their correct re-folding or
degradation (Richter *et al.* 2010). The heat-shock transcription factors (HSFs) regulate the expression of
HSPs in response to many abiotic stresses, including heat (Andrási *et al.* 2021; Haider *et al.* 2022). In
addition, many phytohormones, such as abscisic acid (ABA) and ethylene, influence the response to
increased temperature by regulating processes, such as sucrose metabolism and ROS detoxification,
which can influence growth and stress tolerance (Li *et al.* 2020a). The combination of such molecular
responses helps maintain cellular function under elevated temperature, thereby facilitating heat tolerance
(Yamori *et al.* 2014; de Pinto *et al.* 2015). Experimental heat-shocks, which often involve a temperature
change of >10 ℃ (Mittler *et al.* 2012), have been useful to identify the first responders to heat stress.
However, plants also make metabolic and physiological adjustments to optimize their photosynthetic
performance under more moderate (change of <10 ℃) and prolonged warming (Larkindale & Huang
2004; Way & Yamori 2014; Yamori *et al.* 2014). Responses to longer-term warming may involve distinct
pathways compared to acute responses (Wang *et al.* 2018, 2020). For example, acclimation to moderate
or gradual warming in plants can be achieved by increasing the thermal optimum for photosynthesis, the
electron transport rate, and/or stabilising RIBULOSE 1,5-BISPHOSPHATE CARBOXYLASE-
OXYGENASE (RUBISCO) ACTIVASE (Way & Yamori 2014; Yamori *et al.* 2014). Thus, it is vital that
we extend our understanding of acclimation responses to moderate and continuous warming. This is
likely more reflective of the gradual and sustained increase in mean temperature that plants must cope
with as a result of climate warming.

Here, we study the molecular response of *Wahlenbergia ceracea*, an herbaceous perennial that
grows across Australia's alpine regions, to sustained moderate warming. For this, we generate an
annotated genome assembly and infer functional attributes based on identifying Arabidopsis orthologs for
thousands of genes, thus providing preliminary functional annotation. We then assess gene regulation in
response to moderate warming, including the construction of gene regulatory networks. We have
previously demonstrated that *W. ceracea* has the capacity to acclimate to warmer growth temperatures, as
evidenced through sustained changes in photosynthetic thermostability (Notarnicola *et al.* 2021; Arnold *et*
al. 2022). We treated previously established lines of *W. ceracea*, categorized as heat-sensitive and tolerant
based on the thermostability of their photosystems (PS) (Arnold *et al.* 2024), to a prolonged (2 weeks)
moderate warming to determine whether heat-tolerant lines invoked different patterns of gene regulation

commensurate with effective acclimation compared to sensitive lines. We observed distinct regulation of
genes associated with post-transcriptional processes, phytohormone signaling (particularly ethylene and
abscisic acid), and the stabilisation of the electron transport chain during thermal acclimation. These
findings highlight multiple candidate pathways that may contribute to heat tolerance in an alpine plant
and underline the importance of expanding the breadth of species investigated in plant genomics.

Materials and Methods

Plant germplasm

Seeds of *W. ceracea* were collected in the field from mature capsules (F_0 generation), across the
subalpine-alpine elevation range of the species (1590 – 2095 m a.s.l., Kosciuszko National Park, NSW,
Australia) and subjected to controlled crosses to generate F_3 lines (**Figure S1**, **Table S1**). Tolerant and
sensitive lines were established previously, where individuals from F_3 lines were grown in the glasshouse
under optimal (24/18 ℃ day/night) or warm (30/25 ℃ day/night) growth temperatures (Arnold et al.,
2024). Heat tolerance was assessed by measuring temperature-dependent change in basal chlorophyll
fluorescence (T-F_0), where T_{max}, the temperature at maximum fluorescence in a T-F_0 curve, represents the
point of irreversible membrane damage. We ranked lines using their average T_{max} and selected the six F_3
lines with the highest and lowest heat tolerance respectively for the following experiment (tolerant lines
average T_{max} = 56.07 °C; sensitive lines average T_{max} = 50.83 °C; ΔT_{max} = 5.24 °C; **Table 1**).

Plant growth and treatments

Seeds from F_3 plants were germinated in seed raising mix in 14 × 8.5 × 5 cm pots in the
glasshouse under 28/20 ℃ to maximise germination and establishment (Arnold *et al.* 2022; Notarnicola *et*
al. 2023). Subsequently, plants were grown under controlled conditions (28/20 ℃, 60 % relative
humidity, 14-hour photoperiod, 1200 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) using a Growth Capsule (Photon Systems Instruments,
Brno, Czech Republic). Individual plants were transplanted into individual pots (6.5 × 6.5 × 16 cm) and
supplemented with slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote Plus, Scotts Australia). Two weeks after
transplantation, plants were subject to either cool [24/15 ℃ day/night, representative of sub-alpine
summer temperatures (Arnold *et al.* 2024)] or warm temperatures (30/20 ℃ day/night). Plants grown at
30 ℃ have reduced fitness (seed number and viability) but are not sterile nor do they exhibit severe
photoinhibition [Maximum PSII efficiency, F_v/F_m > 0.70; (Notarnicola *et al.* 2021)]. Plants were grown
for a further two weeks by which time they had produced new leaves that were acclimated to the
respective temperature conditions. Our study consisted of 12 independent lines that were grown in two

conditions in biological triplicate (**Figure S1**, **Table 1**). We randomly arranged three replicate plants for

each line in three blocks (one replicate per block) that were equivalent for the two treatments. Plants were

bottom watered as needed and supplemented with liquid fertiliser every two weeks (Yates Thrive, Yates

Australia). We harvested approximately 100 mg leaf tissue samples per plant from the youngest, fully-

expanded, healthy leaves. Leaves were harvested in a randomized order between 11:00 and 16:30 hours,

and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ℃. Time of harvesting and

developmental stage were recorded for each sample (non-flowering n = 56; early bud n = 16).

Genome sequencing and assembly

Genomic DNA was extracted from an F_2 individual (F_2.209, **Table S1**) that represents a mixed

pedigree from F_0 plants that occupied relatively low (F_0.1613 x F_0.1606, ~1750 m) and high (F_0.1525 x

F_0.1524, ~1900 m) elevations, which was grown in the glasshouse under cool conditions (24/15 ℃).

High-molecular weight DNA was extracted using an SDS-based protocol that is described in detail on

protocols.io: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bss7nehn (Jones *et al.* 2021). Size selection was

performed to obtain fragments ≥10 kb with a Short Read Eliminator (Circulomics) followed by

isolating 12 kb fragments using a SageELF (Sage Science). A PacBio Sequel II long-read native

DNA sequencing library was prepared for Single Molecule, Real-Time sequencing (Eid *et al.*

2009) according to the manufacturer's SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0 (PacBio). Sequencing

was performed on one 8M SMRT cell, using the circular consensus sequencing mode to generate high-

accuracy HiFi reads. Raw reads were inspected with NanoPlot (De Coster *et al.* 2018). Reads mapping to

non-plant contigs were identified using BlobTools and excluded from analysis (Laetsch & Blaxter 2017).

The remaining reads were used for genome assembly with Canu using PacBio HiFi settings and a genome

size estimate of 0.9 Gb (Koren *et al.* 2017; Nurk *et al.* 2020). The assembly was deduplicated using

purge_dups (Guan *et al.* 2020). Repeats were annotated and masked using RepeatModeler2 and

RepeatMasker (Flynn *et al.* 2020). RepeatMasker results were summarised with "One code to find them

all" on fuzzy settings (Bailly-Bechet *et al.* 2014). Genome annotation was performed using BRAKER2 in

epmode (Brůna *et al.* 2021). Gene predictions were guided by all eudicot orthogroups available in

OrthoDB v.10 (Kriventseva *et al.* 2019) and the mRNA sequencing dataset generated here. For the latter,

trimmed reads across all samples were merged and aligned to the genome using Subjunc (Liao *et al.*

2013). The amino acid and coding sequences were drawn from the braker.gtf output using

"getAnnoFastaFromJoingenes.py" from Augustus (Stanke *et al.* 2008). We tested for completeness of the

genome assembly and gene annotation using Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO)

(Simão *et al.* 2015). We refer to the predicted gene annotations as the transcriptome.

mRNA sequencing and analyses

Total RNA was extracted from snap-frozen and ground leaf tissue using the Spectrum^{TM} Plant

Total RNA Kit (Merck, New Jersey, USA) with on-column DNase I digestion. Purified RNA was quality

checked for DNA contamination and integrity using semi-denaturing agarose-gel electrophoresis and the

LabChip GX Touch (PerkinElmer). RNA was quantified with ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop

Technologies) and Qubit 4 Fluorometer (RNA HS kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Library preparation and

sequencing were conducted by Deakin Genomics Centre. The cDNA libraries were constructed with 250

ng RNA using the Illumina stranded mRNA prep ligation protocol, as per manufacturer's instructions

except that reaction volumes were scaled by one-half and 12 PCR cycles were used for library

amplification. Paired-end sequencing (2×150 bp) was conducted on the NovaSeq6000 (Illumina,

California, USA).

Raw reads were trimmed for adapters and low-quality base calls (PHRED <20) using Trim

Galore (Babraham Bioinformatics) with default settings. Trimmed reads were inspected using MultiQC

(Ewels *et al.* 2016), prior to transcript quantification with Kallisto (Bray *et al.* 2016). Quantification was

performed based on our assembled transcriptome. To do this, the BRAKER2 GTF output file was used to

extract target gene sequences from the genome assembly in FASTA format using GffRead (Pertea &

Pertea 2020). This was used to generate an index for Kallisto. Transcript abundances were summarised to

gene-level counts with Tximport, using the 'length-scaled transcripts per million' equation (Soneson *et al.*

2015). For this purpose, we used all sequences in the transcriptome with predicted exons, start, and stop

codon annotations. Only genes with >10 counts in 18 samples were retained for analysis using

FilterByExpr from edgeR with default parameters (Robinson *et al.* 2010). We calculated scaling factors to

normalise gene counts based on effective library size using the calcNormFactors function in edgeR using

the Trimmed Mean of M-values (TMM) method. Observational-level and sample-specific quality weights

were assigned with the voomWithQualityWeights function in the limma package to reduce

heteroscedasticity of the data from different samples and transform the mRNA sequencing read count for

linear modelling (Smyth 2004; Liu *et al.* 2015). Lines were treated as random effects: within line

correlation was estimated with duplicateCorrelation and quality weights were re-assigned as above

(Smyth *et al.* 2005). We used the lmFit function in limma to fit linear models to expression. Initial models

utilized a pseudo-factor that combined tolerance and temperature (Tolerant$_{cool}$, Sensitive$_{cool}$, Tolerant$_{warm}$,

and Sensitive$_{warm}$; n per group = 18), as well as the developmental stage ('non-flowering' and 'early

bud'), and collection time. However, preliminary analysis did not reveal clustering of samples by

developmental stage nor harvest time. Therefore, these factors were not included in the final models. The full model for identifying differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was: gene expression ~ 0 + (tolerance_temperature), random effect = line. The exclusion of the intercept from the model and use of a combined factor allowed us to calculate differences in gene expression between pre-determined contrasts (**Table 2**).

To assign functional information to predicted genes, we detected orthologs between *W. ceracea* and Arabidopsis based on amino acid sequences using OrthoFinder with default settings (Emms & Kelly 2019). To improve the phylogenetic inference of orthologs and gene trees, OrthoFinder was run with the following proteomes, in addition to those of *W. ceracea* and Arabidopsis: *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Glycine max*, *Manihot esculenta*, *Oryza sativa*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Vitis vinifera*, *Zea mays*, *Chlamidomonas reinardtii*, *Marchantia polymorpha*, *Physcomitrium patens*, and *Selaginella moellendorfii*. Enrichment tests for functional terms were performed using Metascape. Multi-gene analyses were performed separately for up- and down-regulated genes, using the deduplicated Arabidopsis ortholog of predicted *W. ceracea* genes (**Supplementary Data 1**), to compare enriched functional terms between tolerant and sensitive lines. Genes were annotated using the default catalogue, except 'Drug' (DrugBank) and 'Disease & Drugs' (ChatGPT) were replaced with KEGG Pathway terms. Enrichment analyses were based on GO Biological Process, KEGG Pathway, and WikiPathway catalogues with default settings (minimum overlap = 3; P-value cut-off = 0.01; minimum enrichment factor = 1.5). TFs were associated with Arabidopsis orthologs of up- and down-regulated genes, separately, for tolerant and sensitive lines using TF2Network (Kulkarni *et al.* 2018).

Results
Genome assembly and annotation

To investigate the transcriptomic response of *W. ceracea* to moderate warming, we first produced an annotated reference genome assembly. To do this, we performed PacBio long-read sequencing on genomic DNA extracted from a single F_2 individual (F_2.209, **Table S1**). The total nuclear DNA content for *W. ceracea* was estimated at 1.8 Gb by flow cytometry. The genome assembly using Canu was 802.965 Mb, which accounts for approximately 87% of its haploid genome size (0.9 Gb). Testing for gene space completeness reported 91.5% of Embryophyte BUSCOs as complete. However, the assembly recorded low contiguity as the number of contigs was 1,876, the contig N_{50} was 1.125 Mb, and the contig NG_{50} was 0.985. This fragmentation may be due to the presence of transposons that were identified at the end of existing contigs. Indeed, 72.19% of the assembly were masked as transposons and almost all contigs (99.5%) contained at least one. Next, we annotated our assembly to make functional insights. We

utilized our mRNA sequencing dataset across 72 individuals (*see below*), which were combined into a single file and aligned against our assembly. Providing the aligned reads to BRAKER2 for gene prediction identified 223,827 exons for 87,730 proteins. BUSCO testing for completeness of our protein annotation reported 95.7% of Embryophyte BUSCOs as complete. To establish how many genes could be identified across our experiment, based on our annotation, we quantified the predicted transcripts in individual mRNA sequencing samples using Kallisto. On average, mapping efficiency across samples was approximately 69.7%, corresponding to 13.3 – 63.1 million mapped reads per sample (**Supplementary Data 2**). These were mapped to 38,257 transcripts, which could be summarised to 37,307 genes with Tximport (Soneson *et al.* 2015). To gain functional information on the predicted genes, we identified orthologous genes across a range of plant species, including Arabidopsis, using OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019). This revealed that *W. ceracea* shared the highest number of orthogroups with *Manihot esculenta* (9,357), *Glycine max* (9,275), and *Vitis vinifera* (9,239), among the species analysed (**Table S2**). We found 8,854 orthogroups between *W. ceracea* and Arabidopsis, and a total of 11,205 orthologs between the two species (**Table S2**, **Supplementary Data 3**). Overall, approximately 81.4% of DEGs (*see below*) could have an ortholog identified in Arabidopsis. Taken together, this genome and gene annotations formed the basis of our investigation of heat-responsive gene regulation.

Heat-tolerant lines of *W. ceracea* regulate genes related to photosynthesis, RNA processing, and translation in warm conditions

To investigate differential gene regulation under moderate warming between heat-tolerant and sensitive *W. ceracea*, we performed mRNA sequencing across 12 lines of *W. ceracea* grown in either cool or warm conditions (**Table 1**). These lines were previously established and categorized as either heat-tolerant or heat-sensitive based on the thermostability of their photosystems (Arnold *et al.* 2024). Of the 37,307 predicted genes, 22,585 were considered as expressed in our dataset (>10 counts in 18 samples). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed separation along PC2 (8.83%), which reflected the differing growth conditions (**Figure 1A**). In contrast, PC1 explained 14.10% of the variation but did not associate with any measured variable and may reflect genetic differences. To ensure we could observe a robust temperature response, we first verified that all *W. ceracea* lines responded to the warmer conditions (contrast I). Indeed, we could detect 6,140 DEGs in response to temperature (**Table 2, Figure 1B**). Of these, 1,646 were detected when considering all lines (contrast I) but were not significant when tested within tolerant or sensitive lines (contrasts II and III), presumably due to reduced statistical power at smaller sample sizes. Nonetheless, both tolerant and sensitive lines showed pronounced warm-induced changes in gene expression, which were comparable between lines (**Figure S2**). Furthermore, as might be expected, many of the DEGs and their functions were similar between the overall response (contrast I)

and line-specific responses (contrasts II and III) (**Figure S3**, **Supplementary Data 4**). However, we focus

on differences in gene expression changes in tolerant and sensitive lines under warm conditions (contrasts

II and III) in order to explore possible molecular mechanisms contributing to heat tolerance in *W.*

ceracea. When comparing transcriptomic responses to warming between lines, we identified 2,946 (up:

1,461; down: 1,485) and 3,721 (up: 2,056; down: 1,665) differentially expressed genes in tolerant and

sensitive lines under warm conditions, respectively (**Table 2**, **Supplementary Data 5-6**). While 1,634

DEGs were common between tolerance groups, 1,312 and 2,087 were temperature-responsive only in

tolerant or sensitive lines, respectively (**Figure 1B**). In tolerant lines, we could not detect an orthologous

gene in Arabidopsis for the three most significantly up-regulated genes. Therefore, these may represent

novel heat-responsive genes or possibly non-coding RNAs for which homology can be difficult to trace.

To understand the broader functions of heat-responsive genes in *W. ceracea*, we identified over-

represented GO terms in 1,760 up-regulated (tolerant: 747, sensitive: 1,013) and 1,830 down-regulated

(tolerant: 861, sensitive: 969) genes, for which we could detect an Arabidopsis ortholog, using Metascape

(**Table 2**, **Supplementary Data 1**). Amongst the up-regulated genes, there were multiple enriched terms

related to RNA processing and translation (**Figure 2A**). On the other hand, down-regulated genes were

enriched for terms related to the chloroplast and photosynthesis (**Figure 2B**). Strikingly, the sensitive

lines demonstrated a more significant enrichment of terms related to post-transcriptional regulation of

gene expression among the up-regulated genes, such as RNA modification and processing. The opposite

was observed for down-regulated genes, where tolerant lines exhibited a stronger enrichment for various

terms including photosynthesis, plastid organization, and thylakoid membrane organization. This suggests

that sensitive lines may have perturbed RNA regulation whilst tolerant lines may have attempted to

suppress photosynthetic processes.

We further investigated the expression patterns of individual genes contributing to the enriched

functional terms that may potentially explain differences in T_{max} between lines. We first inspected

photosynthesis-associated DEGs, since T_{max} relates to photosynthetic performance and photosystem II

thermostability. The GO and KEGG term 'photosynthesis' comprised 114 *W. ceracea* DEGs that were

orthologs to 74 Arabidopsis genes (**Figure 3**). These genes encoded for components of the antennae and

complexes involved in electron transport, such as *PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 1* (*PPH1*), *LIGHT*

HARVESTING COMPLEX (*LHC*) *A2* (*LHCA2*), *LHCA2*1*, *LHCB5*, *LHCB7*, *OXYGEN EVOLVING*

COMPLEX 33 (*OEC33*), and *ATP SYNTHASE C1* (*ATPC1*). All these genes were significantly down-

regulated in both tolerant and sensitive lines (**Supplementary Data 5-6**) except for *PPH1, LHCA2*

(tolerant lines only, contrast II), and *OEC33* (overall response, contrast I). Strikingly however, expression

of these genes tended to be much lower in tolerant lines, compared to sensitive lines, under warm
conditions.

Since many heat-induced genes encode for HSPs, many of which function as chaperones and
proteases, we expected to see a strong enrichment of genes related to 'protein folding'. Interestingly,
while this term was enriched among up-regulated genes in tolerant lines (contrast II), it was not in
sensitive lines (contrast III). We investigated further to see whether this was occurring specifically at HSP
encoding genes. Among the DEGs related to protein folding, five were HSPs that were all up-regulated in
warm conditions, especially in sensitive lines (**Figure S4**). Taken together, we observed strong repression
of photosynthesis-related genes in response to warming, especially in tolerant lines, which also appear to
up-regulate many non-HSP genes involved in maintaining protein assembly and structure.

304　Heat-sensitive *W. ceracea* repress gene networks related to plant growth and
305　development under warm conditions

We hypothesized that altered activity of gene regulatory networks could contribute to the
differences in heat tolerance between our *W. ceracea* lines. Since we observed contrasting heat-
responsive gene regulation between heat tolerant and sensitive lines, we interrogated whether these
differences could be explained by altered TF activity. We constructed gene regulatory networks, using
TF2Network (Kulkarni *et al.* 2018), for up- and down-regulated genes in tolerant and sensitive lines,
separately (**Figure 4**, **Supplementary Data 7**). We identified 22 and eight TFs from up-regulated DEGs
in sensitive and tolerant lines, respectively; of which seven were detected in both (**Figure 4A**).
Interestingly, only one TF (NGA4) was associated with up-regulated genes from tolerant lines. Many
more TFs were associated with down-regulated genes, with 381 and 98 detected in sensitive and tolerant
lines, respectively, of which 83 were in common (**Figure 4B**). These results suggest that sensitive lines
tend to have stronger transcriptional regulation in response to heat stress, possibly a reflection of their
physiological sensitivity to the effects of warming. Furthermore, a bias towards TFs identified amongst
down-regulated genes suggests a tendency towards TF inactivation for transcriptional repression in plants
grown under warm conditions.

We next explored the functions of the identified TFs based on characterized orthologs in
Arabidopsis. There were too few tolerant-specific TFs for GO enrichment testing. Nonetheless, when
looking at individual TFs, there were numerous related to hormone signaling amongst those targeting
down-regulated genes. On the other hand, many TFs were predicted from sensitive-specific DEGs or
those detected in both tolerant and sensitive lines. When exploring functional terms amongst the
Arabidopsis orthologs of sensitive-specific TFs targeting down-regulated genes, we observed enrichments

for terms relating to biosynthetic processes, development, and hormone signaling (**Figure 4C**), pointing
towards sensitive lines halting growth and development. Among those TFs identified in both sensitive and
tolerant lines, we observed enrichments related to ABA signaling and light stress. Additionally, multiple
TFs involved in heat response, such as HSF4, were identified amongst these common TFs. Since many
TFs were identified targeting down-regulated genes, we tested whether this regulation may reflect altered
expression of the TF-encoding gene under warming conditions. Indeed, 47 TFs also displayed differential
expression in at least one contrast (**Figure 5**). Among those, *HSF4*, *BASIC HELIX-LOOP-HELIX* (*bHLH*)
104, *BASIC LEUCINE ZIPPER DOMAIN* (*bZIP*) *28*, and *LHY/CCA1-LIKE 1* (*LCL1*) are involved in heat
responses. Many TFs related to ABA or ethylene signaling, and developmental processes were also
observed. Therefore, tolerant and sensitive lines of *W. ceracea* appear to directly regulate the expression
of a subset of genes encoding TFs under warm conditions in distinct patterns, which possibly relate to
underlying differences in signaling pathways and/or susceptibility to heat stress.

Discussion

Elucidating the molecular responses of native plants to warmer temperatures could improve our
ability to prepare for the impacts of climate change, especially in vulnerable environments such as the
Australian alps. Here, we present a genome assembly, with associated transcriptome, of the Australian
alpine herb *W. ceracea*. We utilize these resources to investigate gene regulation under warmer
temperature in pre-defined heat-tolerant and sensitive lines (Arnold *et al.* 2024). We identified thousands
of heat-responsive genes for which we infer functional information based on Arabidopsis orthologs.
Notably, we identified hundreds of genes with altered regulation between sensitive and tolerant lines.
Overall, under warm conditions, we found that tolerant lines regulate genes associated with translation,
chloroplast organization, and photosynthesis, whereas sensitive lines prioritized RNA processing and
carbohydrate metabolism. We could not identify an ortholog in Arabidopsis of 23.9% of the DEGs in our
study; these may represent novel genes or long non-coding RNAs that are transcriptionally regulated by
heat. This suggests that studying new genes and/or gene variants in more native species could improve
our ability to predict responses to climate change, engineer stress-resilient crop varieties, and inform
conservation strategies. Below we discuss the importance of these results for heat tolerance in *W. ceracea*
and pinpoint knowledge gaps for further investigation.

Down-regulation of photosynthesis-associated genes is linked to heat tolerance in *W. ceracea*

The temperature corresponding to the maximum basal chlorophyll fluorescence (T_{max}) reflects the
thermal threshold for photosynthesis beyond which thylakoid membranes are disrupted, PSII centres are

inactivated, and photosynthetic activity declines (Schreiber & Berry 1977; Ilík *et al.* 2003; Ducruet *et al.*

2007). Therefore, higher T_{max} should reflect an ability to sustain photosynthesis at higher temperatures.

However, the genes that influence T_{max} are yet to be identified. Here, we observed that heat tolerant *W.*

ceracea lines (higher T_{max}) down-regulated genes associated with thylakoid membrane organization and

light harvesting reactions in warm conditions. These patterns are highlighted by expression changes in

photosynthesis-related genes, including those encoding PSII components (*OEC33*, *ATPC1*) or antenna

complexes (*LHCAs* and *LHCBs*, **Figure 3**). Similar observations have been made in *Nothofagus pumilio*

where photosynthesis-associated genes, including those involved in light harvesting and chlorophyll

biosynthesis, were down-regulated (Estravis-Barcala *et al.* 2021). This suggests *W. ceracea* is attempting

to inhibit photosynthetic electron transport from PSII as a photoprotective mechanism to avoid PSI

photoinhibition, which can otherwise lead to redox imbalance and impaired photochemistry (Zivcak *et al.*

2015; Jiang *et al.* 2021). We had also hypothesized that differential regulation of HSPs, chaperone

proteins involved in the re-folding or degradation of misfolded proteins that accumulate during heat stress

(Richter et al., 2010), may explain differences in heat tolerance. Contrary to expectations, HSPs were

significantly up-regulated only in sensitive lines, suggesting a more severe reaction in these lines because

of their sensitivity to heat. However, tolerant lines showed an enrichment for warming-induced genes

related to protein folding while sensitive lines did not (**Figure 2A**). Inspection of these genes revealed the

presence of many encoding chaperones, including *BINDING PROTEIN 1* (or *HSP70-11*), *CALNEXIN 1*,

and *CALRETICULIN 1A* (**Supplementary Data 5**), which are involved in maintaining the native state of

nascent proteins (Sun *et al.* 2021). These observations may reflect an attempt to maintain protein

homeostasis (proteostasis), especially of photosynthesis-associated proteins. Indeed, after short-term light

stress, a transcriptional up-regulation of *de novo* protein synthesis appears to counteract destabilization of

photosynthesis-related proteins to maintain proteostasis (Li *et al.* 2022). Therefore, it would be insightful

to investigate protein stabilities under long-term warming in *W. ceracea* and relate these to the changes in

gene expression observed here, especially with regards to photosynthesis and protein folding related

genes. Nonetheless, these results suggest that tolerant lines repress photosynthesis and prioritize fidelity

of actively synthesised proteins in response to sustained warming.

RNA modifications and post-transcriptional regulation in native plants

Post-transcriptional regulation refers to a variety of processes occuring after transcription that can

influence mRNA stability and translation (Sieburth and Vincent, 2022). Such processes are dynamic and

coordinated with transcription during stress to manage protein production (Smith *et al.* 2024). This

regulation is also impacted by the presence of RNA modifications, which can be stress-dependent (Prall *et*

al. 2023). Whilst the study of plant RNA biology is rapidly expanding in models and some crops

(Manavella *et al.* 2023), their study in native plant species remains an unexplored frontier. We present

evidence that genes involved in RNA-related processes, including RNA modification, RNA processing

and transport, and translation were up-regulated under warmer temperatures (**Figure 2A**). This potentiates

the involvement of post-transcriptional regulation in heat acclimation in *W. ceracea*. Such observations

align with reports of abiotic stressors, such as heat and salt, impacting RNA stability through changes to

RNA secondary structure or modifications (Anderson *et al.* 2018; Kramer *et al.* 2020). In Arabidopsis,

heat triggers LA RELATED PROTEIN 1A (LARP1A) to associate with EXORIBONUCLEASE 4

(XRN4) to degrade over 4,500 transcripts, including some in a co-translational manner, which was

required for survival at high temperatures (Merret *et al.* 2013). We also observed up-regulation of genes

related to RNA splicing. While this occurs co-transcriptionally, it can have post-transcriptional impacts

by, for example, instigating nonsense-mediated decay, a form of RNA surveillance that degrades

translationally inert mRNAs (Drechsel *et al.* 2013). Similar to our observations, heat triggered the

induction of genes related to the RNA splicing and translation in *Nothofagus pumilio*, Arabidopsis, and

Populus tomentosa (Estravis-Barcala *et al.* 2021). Heat-induced alternative splicing can also produce

protein variants with altered biochemical properties, such as thermostability, which can influence thermal

tolerance (Reddy *et al.* 2013). Examples of this can be observed for RUBISCO ACTIVASE in wheat

(Scafaro *et al.* 2019; Degen *et al.* 2020) and HSFA2 in Arabidopsis (Liu *et al.* 2013). These results

highlight the potential of directly studying these mechanisms in native plants to better understand their

ecological and evolutionary relevance, which is now possible with the proliferation of methods to assay

these processes on a genome-wide scale (Prall et al., 2023).

Phytohormone-regulated gene networks may contribute to differences in heat sensitivity in *W. ceracea*

By building gene regulatory networks we associated hundreds of TFs with down-regulated genes

in sensitive lines (**Figure 4B**). These sensitive-specific TFs may reflect the underpinning cause of their

heat sensitivity, although a mechanistic link to physiological changes needs to be established. These TFs

were enriched for functions related to biosynthetic processes, leaf and flower development, and hormone

signaling, especially ethylene (**Figure 4C**). With regards to biosynthetic processes and development, this

may reflect a consequence of having greater sensitivity to heat rather than the cause. However, the

observation of orthologs of ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE-LIKE 3 (EIL3) and multiple ETHYLENE

RESPONSE FACTORs (ERFs) suggest that regulation by ethylene signaling could contribute to

differences in heat tolerance (**Supplementary Data 7**). Indeed, ethylene signaling, through EIL3 and

multiple ERFs, can stimulate heat responses by inducing HSFs (Huang *et al.* 2021; Poór *et al.* 2022). For

example, rice seedlings treated with the ethylene precursor, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid, had

increased chlorophyll *a* content, increased expression of HSFs, including HSFA1 and HSFA2A/C/D/E/F,

and increased activity of detoxification enzymes that were associated with greater heat tolerance

compared to mock-treated seedlings (Wu & Yang 2019). Interestingly, EIL3 over-expression was

correlated with reduced hydrogen peroxide, increased proline, and increased survival under drought and

salt stress in Arabidopsis and mulberry (Liu *et al.* 2019). Therefore, it is plausible that the down-

regulation of gene networks regulated by EIL3 contributes to reduced heat tolerance in sensitive lines of

W. ceracea. Leaf senescence is also induced by ethylene, through EIL3, as well as by increased

temperature (Li *et al.* 2013; Kim *et al.* 2020). When relatively short-lived plants, such as *W. ceracea*,

experience stress, ethylene-induced genes may be promoting cell turnover for nutrient remobilization to

reproduction while activating defenses that ameliorate the impacts of heat stress (Schippers *et al.* 2015;

Sade *et al.* 2018). This might be consistent with the repression under warm conditions of photosynthetic

components in tolerant lines, which did not show the repression of genes associated with ethylene-

responsive TFs (**Figure 2B**). Furthermore, prior work showed that *W. ceracea* plants with higher T_{max}

produced more flowers (Notarnicola *et al.* 2021). Together, these results link ethylene signaling and

nutrient management to heat tolerance in *W. ceracea*, thus warranting further investigation into whether

there is a mechanistic linkage.

We also observed an enrichment of TFs, associated with down-regulated genes in sensitive lines,

which respond to cytokinins (CKs) whose levels decline during heat stress (Dobrá *et al.* 2015). CKs can

influence a range of processes including cell division, sugar accumulation, and stomatal opening, via

reduced hydrogen peroxide generation, which may, collectively, contribute to heat tolerance (Song *et al.*

2006; Cortleven *et al.* 2019). Indeed, active CKs, especially zeatin, was associated with membrane

stability and ameliorating oxidative damage during repeated heat stress in *Pinus radiata* (Escandón *et al.*

2016). Furthermore, Arabidopsis mutants deficient in cytokinin levels or signaling also showed impaired

heat responses (Cerný *et al.* 2014). These reports align with our observations that tolerant lines down-

regulated genes encoding key detoxification enzymes such as ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE (APX) 1,

APX3, and STROMAL APX (sAPX) under warm conditions (**Supplementary Data 5**). The hypothesis is

that tolerant lines maintain cytokinin signaling whereas sensitive lines do not, hence the latter showing an

enrichment of cytokinin-associated TFs with down-regulated genes. This could be the result of

maintaining, or accumulating, cytokinin levels during stress thus preserving their protective effects

against oxidative damage. To test this would require cytokinin quantification and correlation with enzyme

activity related to ROS detoxification (e.g. APX activity) in future studies.

Contrary to our expectations, we could only associate two HSFs with DEGs, namely HSFC1

(down-regulated genes in sensitive lines) and HSF4 (down-regulated genes in both tolerant and sensitive

lines). However, we linked numerous (14) TFs from the bZIP protein family to down-regulated genes (**Supplementary Data 7**). One possibility is that bZIPs are more relevant for longer term responses to warming than HSFs. Observations in Arabidopsis support this assertion, where HSFs were differentially regulated after short-term heat-shock but bZIPs became up-regulated with prolonged warming (Wang *et al.* 2020). There is also functional evidence that bZIPs contribute to heat tolerance. For example, bZIP60, which was associated with down-regulated genes in tolerant lines, promotes the heat-shock response in maize via induction of *HSFTF13* and other genes associated with chlorophyll catabolism and chloroplast protein turnover (Li *et al.* 2020b). We also identified bZIP28 herein, which has been reported to act in concert with HSFA2 to activate heat stress response pathways in Arabidopsis (Kataoka *et al.* 2017). These observations suggest that the activity of bZIPs may be important in *W. ceracea* and potentially other native plants for long-term heat tolerance. Despite identifying over 400 TFs from our gene regulatory networks, we only observed 45 of their encoding genes to be differentially expressed (**Figure 5**). However, the activity of many TFs, including HSFs, are influenced by post-translational modifications, especially phosphorylation (Richter *et al.* 2010). Therefore, to extend beyond our observations of differential regulation of TFs will be to investigate the phosphorylation status of those that were associated to down-regulated genes but not in and of themselves, differentially expressed. In conclusion, this study has assembled foundational genomic resources for *W. ceracea* and utilized these to identify candidate mechanisms contributing to heat tolerance and heat sensitivity in this Australian native plant.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP170101681 to ABN) and the Centre for Biodiversity Analysis. DRG was supported by the CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform. This project was supported with the provision of plant growth facilities by the Australian Plant Phenomics Facility and computational infrastructure by the National Computational Infrastructure, both supported under the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy of the Australian Government. We thank Dr. Alexander N. Schmidt-Lebuhn for kindly performing flow cytometry. We thank the plant services team at The Australian National University for assistance with plant maintenance. We acknowledge the provision of resources and expertise from the Ecogenomics and Bioinformatics Lab, hosted within the Centre for Biodiversity Analysis at The Australian National University. We thank Tenzin Norzin for technical assistance and plant maintenance. We also thank Verónica F. Briceño and Marcin Adamski for insightful discussions.

References

Anderson SJ, Kramer MC, Gosai SJ *et al.* (2018) N-Methyladenosine Inhibits Local Ribonucleolytic Cleavage to Stabilize mRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Cell reports*, **25**, 1146–1157.e3.

Andrási N, Pettkó-Szandtner A, Szabados L (2021) Diversity of plant heat shock factors: regulation, interactions, and functions. *Journal of experimental botany*, **72**, 1558–1575.

Arnold PA, Wang S, Catling AA, Kruuk LEB, Nicotra AB (2022) Patterns of phenotypic plasticity along a thermal gradient differ by trait type in an alpine plant. *Functional Ecology*, **36**, 2412–2428.

Arnold PA, Wang S, Notarnicola RF, Nicotra AB, Kruuk LEB (2024) Testing the evolutionary potential of an alpine plant: phenotypic plasticity in response to growth temperature outweighs parental environmental effects and other genetic causes of variation. *Journal of experimental botany*, **75**, 5971–5988.

Bailly-Bechet M, Haudry A, Lerat E (2014) "One code to find them all": a perl tool to conveniently parse RepeatMasker output files. *Mobile DNA*, **5**, 1–15.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L (2016) Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nature biotechnology*, **34**, 525–527.

Brůna T, Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Stanke M, Borodovsky M (2021) BRAKER2: automatic eukaryotic genome annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS supported by a protein database. *NAR genomics and bioinformatics*, **3**, lqaa108.

Cano-Ramirez DL, Carmona-Salazar L, Morales-Cedillo F *et al.* (2021) Plasma Membrane Fluidity: An Environment Thermal Detector in Plants. *Cells*, **10**, 2778.

Cerný M, Jedelský PL, Novák J, Schlosser A, Brzobohatý B (2014) Cytokinin modulates proteomic, transcriptomic and growth responses to temperature shocks in Arabidopsis. *Plant, cell & environment*, **37**, 1641–1655.

Cortleven A, Leuendorf JE, Frank M *et al.* (2019) Cytokinin action in response to abiotic and biotic stresses in plants. *Plant, cell & environment*, **42**, 998–1018.

Daniel RM, Danson MJ, Eisenthal R, Lee CK, Peterson ME (2008) The effect of temperature on enzyme activity: new insights and their implications. *Extremophiles : life under extreme conditions*, **12**, 51–59.

De Coster W, D'Hert S, Schultz DT, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C (2018) NanoPack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, **34**, 2666–2669.

Degen GE, Worrall D, Carmo-Silva E (2020) An isoleucine residue acts as a thermal and regulatory switch in wheat Rubisco activase. *The Plant Journal*, **103**, 742–751.

Dobrá J, Černý M, Štorchová H *et al.* (2015) The impact of heat stress targeting on the hormonal and transcriptomic response in Arabidopsis. *Plant science : an international journal of experimental plant biology*, **231**, 52–61.

Drechsel G, Kahles A, Kesarwani AK *et al.* (2013) Nonsense-mediated decay of alternative precursor mRNA splicing variants is a major determinant of the Arabidopsis steady state transcriptome. *The Plant cell*, **25**, 3726–3742.

Ducruet J-M, Peeva V, Havaux M (2007) Chlorophyll thermofluorescence and thermoluminescence as complementary tools for the study of temperature stress in plants. *Photosynthesis research*, **93**, 159–171.

Eid J, Fehr A, Gray J *et al.* (2009) Real-time DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **323**, 133–138.

Emms DM, Kelly S (2019) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.
Genome biology, **20**, 238.

Escandón M, Cañal MJ, Pascual J *et al.* (2016) Integrated physiological and hormonal profile of heat-
induced thermotolerance in Pinus radiata. *Tree physiology*, **36**, 63–77.

Estravis-Barcala M, Heer K, Marchelli P *et al.* (2021) Deciphering the transcriptomic regulation of heat
stress responses in Nothofagus pumilio. *PLOS ONE*, **16**, e0246615.

Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M (2016) MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple
tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **32**, 3047–3048.

Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C *et al.* (2020) RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of
transposable element families. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
of America, **117**, 9451–9457.

Geange SR, Arnold PA, Catling AA *et al.* (2021) The thermal tolerance of photosynthetic tissues: a
global systematic review and agenda for future research. *The New phytologist*, **229**, 2497–2513.

Gobiet A, Kotlarski S, Beniston M *et al.* (2014) 21st century climate change in the European Alps--a
review. *The Science of the total environment*, **493**, 1138–1151.

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J *et al.* (2020) Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **36**, 2896–2898.

Haider S, Iqbal J, Naseer S *et al.* (2022) Unfolding molecular switches in plant heat stress resistance: A
comprehensive review. *Plant cell reports*, **41**, 775–798.

Hansen MM (2010) Expression of interest: transcriptomics and the designation of conservation units.
Molecular Ecology, **19**, 1757–1759.

Hobbs JK, Jiao W, Easter AD *et al.* (2013) Change in heat capacity for enzyme catalysis determines
temperature dependence of enzyme catalyzed rates. *ACS chemical biology*, **8**, 2388–2393.

Huang J, Zhao X, Bürger M, Wang Y, Chory J (2021) Two interacting ethylene response factors regulate
heat stress response. *The Plant cell*, **33**, 338–357.

Hugly S, Kunst L, Browse J, Somerville C (1989) Enhanced thermal tolerance of photosynthesis and
altered chloroplast ultrastructure in a mutant of Arabidopsis deficient in lipid desaturation. *Plant*
physiology, **90**, 1134–1142.

Ilík P, Kouril R, Kruk J *et al.* (2003) Origin of chlorophyll fluorescence in plants at 55-75 degrees C.
Photochemistry and photobiology, **77**, 68–76.

IPCC (2023) *Climate Change 2021 – The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the*
Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University
Press.

Jiang Y, Feng X, Wang H, Chen Y, Sun Y (2021) Heat-induced down-regulation of photosystem II
protects photosystem I in honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica). *Journal of Plant Research*, **134**, 1311–
1321.

Jones A, Torkel C, Stanley D *et al.* (2021) High-molecular weight DNA extraction, clean-up and size
selection for long-read sequencing. *PloS one*, **16**, e0253830.

Kataoka R, Takahashi M, Suzuki N (2017) Coordination between bZIP28 and HSFA2 in the regulation of
heat response signals in Arabidopsis. *Plant signaling & behavior*, **12**, e1376159.

Kim C, Kim SJ, Jeong J *et al.* (2020) High Ambient Temperature Accelerates Leaf Senescence via
PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 4 and 5 in. *Molecules and cells*, **43**, 645–661.

Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K *et al.* (2017) Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive
-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome research*, **27**, 722–736.

Kramer MC, Janssen KA, Palos K *et al.* (2020) N-methyladenosine and RNA secondary structure affect
transcript stability and protein abundance during systemic salt stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant direct*, **4**,
e00239.
Kriventseva EV, Kuznetsov D, Tegenfeldt F *et al.* (2019) OrthoDB v10: sampling the diversity of animal,
plant, fungal, protist, bacterial and viral genomes for evolutionary and functional annotations of
orthologs. *Nucleic acids research*, **47**, D807–D811.
Kulkarni SR, Vaneechoutte D, Van de Velde J, Vandepoele K (2018) TF2Network: predicting
transcription factor regulators and gene regulatory networks in Arabidopsis using publicly available
binding site information. *Nucleic acids research*, **46**, e31.
Laetsch DR, Blaxter ML (2017) BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies. *F1000Research*, **6**,
1287.
Larkindale J, Huang B (2004) Thermotolerance and antioxidant systems in Agrostis stolonifera:
involvement of salicylic acid, abscisic acid, calcium, hydrogen peroxide, and ethylene. *Journal of
plant physiology*, **161**, 405–413.
Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2013) The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by seed-
and-vote. *Nucleic acids research*, **41**, e108.
Li L, Duncan O, Ganguly DR *et al.* (2022) Enzymes degraded under high light maintain proteostasis by
transcriptional regulation in. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
of America*, **119**, e2121362119.
Li N, Euring D, Cha JY *et al.* (2020a) Plant Hormone-Mediated Regulation of Heat Tolerance in
Response to Global Climate Change. *Frontiers in plant science*, **11**, 627969.
Li Z, Peng J, Wen X, Guo H (2013) Ethylene-insensitive3 is a senescence-associated gene that accelerates
age-dependent leaf senescence by directly repressing miR164 transcription in Arabidopsis. *The Plant
cell*, **25**, 3311–3328.
Lippmann R, Babben S, Menger A, Delker C, Quint M (2019) Development of Wild and Cultivated
Plants under Global Warming Conditions. *Current biology : CB*, **29**, R1326–R1338.
Li Z, Tang J, Srivastava R, Bassham DC, Howell SH (2020b) The Transcription Factor bZIP60 Links the
Unfolded Protein Response to the Heat Stress Response in Maize. *The Plant cell*, **32**, 3559–3575.
Liu R, Holik AZ, Su S *et al.* (2015) Why weight? Modelling sample and observational level variability
improves power in RNA-seq analyses. *Nucleic acids research*, **43**, e97.
Liu C, Li J, Zhu P *et al.* (2019) Mulberry EIL3 confers salt and drought tolerances and modulates
ethylene biosynthetic gene expression. *PeerJ*, **7**, e6391.
Liu J, Sun N, Liu M *et al.* (2013) An autoregulatory loop controlling Arabidopsis HsfA2 expression: role
of heat shock-induced alternative splicing. *Plant physiology*, **162**, 512–521.
Manavella PA, Godoy Herz MA, Kornblihtt AR *et al.* (2023) Beyond transcription: compelling open
questions in plant RNA biology. *The Plant cell*, **35**, 1626–1653.
Merret R, Descombin J, Juan Y-T *et al.* (2013) XRN4 and LARP1 are required for a heat-triggered
mRNA decay pathway involved in plant acclimation and survival during thermal stress. *Cell reports*,
5, 1279–1293.
Mittler R, Finka A, Goloubinoff P (2012) How do plants feel the heat? *Trends in biochemical sciences*,
37, 118–125.
Nicotra AB, Segal DL, Hoyle GL *et al.* (2015) Adaptive plasticity and epigenetic variation in response to
warming in an Alpine plant. *Ecology and evolution*, **5**, 634–647.
Niu Y, Xiang Y (2018) An Overview of Biomembrane Functions in Plant Responses to High-

Temperature Stress. *Frontiers in plant science*, **9**, 915.

Notarnicola RF, Nicotra AB, Kruuk LEB, Arnold PA (2021) Tolerance of Warmer Temperatures Does
Not Confer Resilience to Heatwaves in an Alpine Herb. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, **9**,
615119.

Notarnicola RF, Nicotra AB, Kruuk LEB, Arnold PA (2023) Effects of warming temperatures on
germination responses and trade-offs between seed traits in an alpine plant. *Journal of Ecology*, **111**,
62–76.

Nurk S, Walenz BP, Rhie A *et al.* (2020) HiCanu: accurate assembly of segmental duplications, satellites,
and allelic variants from high-fidelity long reads. *Genome research*, **30**, 1291–1305.

Oomen RA, Hutchings JA (2017) Transcriptomic responses to environmental change in fishes: Insights
from RNA sequencing. *FACETS*.

Oomen RA, Hutchings JA (2022) Genomic reaction norms inform predictions of plastic and adaptive
responses to climate change. *The Journal of animal ecology*, **91**, 1073–1087.

Pertea G, Pertea M (2020) GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Research*, **9**.

de Pinto MC, Locato V, Paradiso A, De Gara L (2015) Role of redox homeostasis in thermo-tolerance
under a climate change scenario. *Annals of botany*, **116**, 487–496.

Poór P, Nawaz K, Gupta R, Ashfaque F, Khan MIR (2022) Ethylene involvement in the regulation of heat
stress tolerance in plants. *Plant cell reports*, **41**, 675–698.

Prall W, Ganguly DR, Gregory BD (2023) The covalent nucleotide modifications within plant mRNAs:
What we know, how we find them, and what should be done in the future. *The Plant cell*, **35**, 1801–
1816.

Rashid FAA, Crisp PA, Zhang Y *et al.* (2020) Molecular and physiological responses during thermal
acclimation of leaf photosynthesis and respiration in rice. *Plant, cell & environment*, **43**, 594–610.

Reddy ASN, Marquez Y, Kalyna M, Barta A (2013) Complexity of the alternative splicing landscape in
plants. *The Plant cell*, **25**, 3657–3683.

Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J (2010) The heat shock response: life on the verge of death. *Molecular
cell*, **40**, 253–266.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **26**, 139–140.

Sade N, Del Mar Rubio-Wilhelmi M, Umnajkitikorn K, Blumwald E (2018) Stress-induced senescence
and plant tolerance to abiotic stress. *Journal of experimental botany*, **69**, 845–853.

Scafaro AP, Bautsoens N, den Boer B, Van Rie J, Gallé A (2019) A Conserved Sequence from Heat-
Adapted Species Improves Rubisco Activase Thermostability in Wheat. *Plant physiology*, **181**, 43–
54.

Schippers JHM, Schmidt R, Wagstaff C, Jing H-C (2015) Living to Die and Dying to Live: The Survival
Strategy behind Leaf Senescence. *Plant physiology*, **169**, 914–930.

Schreiber U, Berry JA (1977) Heat-induced changes of chlorophyll fluorescence in intact leaves
correlated with damage of the photosynthetic apparatus. *Planta*, **136**, 233–238.

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM (2015) BUSCO: assessing
genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,
England)*, **31**, 3210–3212.

Smith AB, Ganguly DR, Moore M *et al.* (2024) Dynamics of mRNA fate during light stress and recovery:
from transcription to stability and translation. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*,
117, 818–839.

Smyth GK (2004) Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in
microarray experiments. *Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology*, **3**, Article3.
Smyth GK, Michaud J, Scott HS (2005) Use of within-array replicate spots for assessing differential
expression in microarray experiments. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **21**, 2067–2075.
Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD (2015) Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates
improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research*, **4**, 1521.
Song X-G, She X-P, He J-M, Huang C, Song T-S (2006) Cytokinin- and auxin-induced stomatal opening
involves a decrease in levels of hydrogen peroxide in guard cells of Vicia faba. *Functional plant
biology : FPB*, **33**, 573–583.
Sritharan MS, Hemmings FA, Moles AT (2021) Few changes in native Australian alpine plant
morphology, despite substantial local climate change. *Ecology and evolution*, **11**, 4854–4865.
Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA
alignments to improve de novo gene finding. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **24**, 637–644.
Sun J-L, Li J-Y, Wang M-J, Song Z-T, Liu J-X (2021) Protein Quality Control in Plant Organelles:
Current Progress and Future Perspectives. *Molecular plant*, **14**, 95–114.
Wang L, Ma K-B, Lu Z-G *et al.* (2020) Differential physiological, transcriptomic and metabolomic
responses of Arabidopsis leaves under prolonged warming and heat shock. *BMC plant biology*, **20**,
86.
Wang W, Teng F, Lin Y *et al.* (2018) Transcriptomic study to understand thermal adaptation in a high
temperature-tolerant strain of Pyropia haitanensis. *PloS one*, **13**, e0195842.
Way DA, Yamori W (2014) Thermal acclimation of photosynthesis: on the importance of adjusting our
definitions and accounting for thermal acclimation of respiration. *Photosynthesis research*, **119**, 89–
100.
Wu Y-S, Yang C-Y (2019) Ethylene-mediated signaling confers thermotolerance and regulates transcript
levels of heat shock factors in rice seedlings under heat stress. *Botanical studies*, **60**, 23.
Yamori W, Hikosaka K, Way DA (2014) Temperature response of photosynthesis in C3, C4, and CAM
plants: temperature acclimation and temperature adaptation. *Photosynthesis research*, **119**, 101–117.
Zivcak M, Brestic M, Kunderlikova K, Sytar O, Allakhverdiev SI (2015) Repetitive light pulse-induced
photoinhibition of photosystem I severely affects CO2 assimilation and photoprotection in wheat
leaves. *Photosynthesis research*, **126**, 449–463.
Sun, J.-L., Li, J.-Y., Wang, M.-J., Song, Z.-T., & Liu, J.-X. (2021). Protein Quality Control in Plant
Organelles: Current Progress and Future Perspectives. *Molecular Plant*, 14, 95–114.

Data availability

696 Genome assembly and predicted annotations, including nucleotide and protein sequences, are

697 available at figshare (10.6084/m9.figshare.27890484). The mRNA sequencing data is available at NCBI

698 under GEO accession GSE274951.

Author Contributions

RFN, ABN, PAA, and DRG designed experiments. RFN conducted the experiment, RNA extractions, bioinformatic and statistical analysis, and wrote the manuscript. ABN and PAA assisted with sample harvesting. JH, AWJ, and BS performed DNA extraction, sequencing, and genome assembly and annotation. SL performed Illumina-based sequencing. DRG and ZF contributed to bioinformatic and statistical analysis. DRG contributed to RNA extractions. BS and SL contributed ideas to the manuscript. ABN, PAA, and DRG critically revised the manuscript.

Tables

Table 1: Thermal tolerance metrics and category across F_3 lines established in Arnold et al. (2024).

F3 line ID	Tolerance	T_{max} ($\pm$ SD)
F3.485	Tolerant	55.48 ($\pm$ 1.80)
F3.491	Tolerant	54.86 ($\pm$ 1.32)
F3.495	Tolerant	56.14 ($\pm$ 4.04)
F3.479	Tolerant	55.76 ($\pm$ 3.02)
F3.467	Tolerant	57.10 ($\pm$ 0.86)
F3.483	Tolerant	57.06 ($\pm$ 0.23)
F3.457	Sensitive	49.32 ($\pm$ 0.33)
F3.439	Sensitive	50.35 ($\pm$ 0.42)
F3.475	Sensitive	50.51 ($\pm$ 0.46)
F3.487	Sensitive	50.16 ($\pm$ 0.96)
F3.477	Sensitive	52.52 ($\pm$ 0.91)
F3.437	Sensitive	52.11 ($\pm$ 3.20)

Table 2: Numbers of differentially expressed genes in *W. ceracea* for each contrast performed and their biological interpretation.

Contrast	Comparison	DEGs	Down-regulated (orthologs)	Up-regulated (orthologs)
I - Overall response	(Tolerant$_{warm}$ + Sensitive$_{warm}$) - (Tolerant$_{cool}$ + Sensitive$_{cool}$)	6,140	2,932 (1,653)	3,208 (1,540)
II - Response in tolerant lines	Tolerant$_{warm}$ - Tolerant$_{cool}$	2,946	1,485 (861)	1,461 (747)
III - Response in sensitive lines	Sensitive$_{warm}$ - Sensitive$_{cool}$	3,721	1,665 (969)	2,056 (1,013)

Figure 1 Differential expression analysis reveals warming-responsive changes in gene expression between tolerant and sensitive lines of *W. ceracea*
(A) PCA plot on gene level expression across all samples
(B) Venn diagram of heat-responsive DEGs detected in each contrast defined in Table 2.

A   Up-regulated genes

B   Down-regulated genes

Figure 2 Functions of heat-responsive pathways in tolerant and sensitive lines of *W. ceracea*
(A-B) Heatmaps with one-dimensional hierarchical clustering of significantly enriched GO Processes and KEGG Pathway terms in (A) up-regulated and (B) down-regulated genes identified in tolerant and sensitive lines. Significant terms were clustered by Metascape; the terms with the highest -log (P-values) in each cluster were chosen as representatives. Cell colour denotes statistical significance (-$\log_{10}$ P-value; grey presents non-significant terms).

Figure 3 Expression of photosynthesis-associated genes in heat tolerant and sensitive lines of *W. ceracea*
Heatmap with one-dimensional hierarchical clustering of mean expression levels, normalized as Z-scores, of photosynthesis-associated DEGs. Labels denote abbreviated gene IDs, Arabidopsis gene symbols, and function. C = cool conditions; W = warm conditions.

A   **TFs targeting up-regulated DEGs**

B   **TFs targeting down-regulated DEGs**

C

Figure 4 Transcription factors predicted to target heat-responsive genes
(A-B) Venn diagrams of the number of TFs identified by constructing gene regulatory networks with
TF2Network using (A) up-regulated and (B) down-regulated genes detected in heat sensitive and tolerant lines
of *W. ceracea*.
(C) Bar charts representing enriched functional terms for TFs, based on detected Arabidopsis orthologs,
identified as targeting down-regulated genes in sensitive lines or both (common). Numbers denote the fold
enrichment of genes in each category.

Figure 5 Mean expression of differentially expressed genes encoding transcription factors
Heat map with one-dimensional hierarchical clustering representing mean expression (Z-scores) of transcription factors identified by TF2Network that were also differentially expressed under warm conditions. Expression across *W. ceracea* paralogs was averaged. Labels denote *W. ceracea* gene ID, Arabidopsis gene symbol, and the most significant GO process. C = cool conditions; W = warm conditions.