The polarity protein Yurt associates with the plasma membrane via basic and hydrophobic motifs embedded in its FERM domain

Running title: Yurt binds the plasma membrane

Clémence L. Gamblin[1,2], Charles Alende[1,2], François Corriveau[1,2], Alexandra Jetté[1,2], Frédérique Parent-Prévost[1,2], Cornélia Biehler[1,2,4,5], Nathalie Majeau[1,2], Mélanie Laurin[1,2,3], and Patrick Laprise[1,2,3,*]

[1]Centre de Recherche sur le Cancer, Université Laval, and [2]axe Oncologie du Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-UL, 9 McMahon, Québec, QC, G1R 3S3, Canada. [3]Département de biologie moléculaire, de biochimie médicale et de pathologie, Faculté de médecine, Université Laval. [4,5]Current affiliations: Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland[4]; Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland[5].

[*]Author for correspondence: Patrick.Laprise@fmed.ulaval.ca, Phone : (418) 525-4444 ext. 16959, Fax : (418) 691-5439

Key words: Yurt, membrane localization, FERM domain, FERM-adjacent domain, BH motif, epithelial cell polarity.

Summary statement

This study reveals how Yurt associates with membrane lipids in epithelial cells, thereby further illuminating the mechanisms sustaining cell polarization and tissue morphogenesis.

Abstract

The subcellular distribution of the polarity protein Yrt is subjected to a spatio-temporal regulation in *D. melanogaster* embryonic epithelia. After cellularization, Yrt binds to the lateral membrane of ectodermal cells and maintains this localization throughout embryogenesis. During terminal differentiation of the epidermis, Yrt accumulates to septate junctions and is also recruited to the apical domain. While the mechanisms through which Yrt associates with septate junctions and the apical domain have been deciphered, how Yrt binds to the lateral membrane remains as an outstanding puzzle. Here, we show that the FERM domain of Yrt is necessary and sufficient for membrane localization. Our data also establish that the FERM domain of Yrt directly binds negatively charged phospholipids. Moreover, we demonstrate that positively charged amino acid motifs embedded within the FERM domain mediates Yrt membrane association. Finally, we provide evidence suggesting that Yrt membrane association is functionally important. Overall, our study highlights the molecular basis of how Yrt associates with the lateral membrane during the developmental time window where it is required for segregation of lateral and apical domains.

Introduction

The plasma membrane of epithelial cells is segregated into an apical, a lateral, and a basal domain (Buckley and St Johnston, 2022). This structural organization, which is referred to as epithelial cell polarity, supports many unidirectional functions of epithelia. Epithelial sheets display a selective permeability owing to occluding junctions sealing the intercellular space. In *D. melanogaster*, this function is fulfilled by septate junctions, which are established laterally (Banerjee et al., 2006).

The protein Yurt (Yrt) plays a crucial role in segregating apical and lateral domains in *D. melanogaster* embryonic epithelia (Laprise et al., 2006; Laprise et al., 2009). In addition, Yrt controls septate junction permeability (Laprise et al., 2009). Yrt encloses a Four-point-one, Ezrin, Radixin, Moesin (FERM) domain at its N-terminus (Hoover and Bryant, 2002; Tepass, 2009). Yrt also contains a FERM-adjacent (FA) domain that is present in a subset of FERM family members (Baines, 2006; Tepass, 2009). The FERM and FA domain of Yrt both contribute to its oligomerization and function (Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). The FA domain of Yrt is followed by a region lacking known protein domains designated as the variable region (VR), which ends with a putative PSD-95, DLG1, ZO-1 (PDZ) domain binding motif (PBM) (Laprise et al., 2006).

After cellularization, Yrt primarily localizes to the lateral membrane (Laprise et al., 2006). During terminal differentiation of epithelial tissues, Yrt accumulates at forming septate junctions and is recruited apically (Laprise et al., 2006; Laprise et al., 2009). While the adhesion protein Neuroglian (Nrg) recruits Yrt at septate junctions (Bieber et al., 1989; Laprise et al., 2009), the apical localization of Yrt depends on its direct interaction with Crumbs (Crb) (Laprise et al., 2006). We

thus gained insights into the molecular basis of Yrt association with septate junctions and the apical

domain, but it remains to be determined how Yrt associates with the lateral membrane basal to

septate junctions or prior to their formation. Furthermore, the functional importance of Yrt outside

of septate junctions or the apical Crb complex remains unexplored. In the present study, we

performed an *in vivo* structure-function analysis to define the molecular basis of Yrt association

with the lateral membrane in the embryonic ectoderm prior to septate junction genesis and assessed

the functional importance of Yrt lateral localization.

Results and discussion

The FERM domain targets Yrt laterally *in vivo*

The FERM domain protein Yrt primarily associates with the lateral domain prior to septate junction formation in the embryonic ectoderm of stage 10-11 *D. melanogaster* embryos [(Laprise et al., 2006); Fig. 1A, B]. Similarly, immunofluorescence performed with anti-FLAG antibodies showed a lateral enrichment for FLAG-tagged full length (FL) Yrt at these developmental stages ((Gamblin et al., 2014); Fig. 1A, C, M), whereas FLAG-green fluorescent protein (GFP) was distributed throughout cells (Fig. 1D; anti-Lethal giant larvae [Lgl] antibodies were used to visualize the lateral membrane to quantify the membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio of the GFP signal and other cytoplasmic mutant proteins). This indicates that FLAG-Yrt represents a relevant model to perform a structure-function analysis exploring the molecular basis of Yrt localization. We first investigated the requirement of the FERM domain, the FA domain, and the VR (Hoover and Bryant, 2002; Laprise et al., 2006; Tepass, 2009) for Yrt membrane localization. We found that truncated Yrt proteins made of the FERM domain alone or a combination of the FERM and FA domains showed a similar distribution to FLAG-Yrt FL (Fig. 1A, E, F, M), whereas removal of the FERM domain totally abrogated association of Yrt with the lateral membrane (Fig. 1A, G, M). In contrast, deletion of the FA domain had no significant impact on Yrt lateral distribution (Fig. 1A, H, M). Of note, FLAG-Yrt$^{\Delta FA}$ and FLAG-FERM decorated the apical free surface in addition to the lateral membrane (Fig. 1E, H). This is consistent with our previous findings showing that phosphorylation of the FA domain by atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) is required for apical exclusion of Yrt (Gamblin et al., 2014). Moreover, the phosphomimetic FLAG-Yrt^{5D}, in which the aPKC phosphorylation sites in the FA domain were mutated to aspartate (D) residues, showed reduced membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio (Fig. 1A, I, M; (Gamblin et al., 2014)). Finally, truncations made of the FA domain combined

with the VR, the VR alone, or the VR amputated from the putative PBM did not show clear

enrichment at the lateral domain of cells (Fig. 1A, J-M). Together, these data demonstrate that the

FERM domain is necessary and sufficient for Yrt membrane targeting and suggest that the FA

domain and the VR have a limited, if any, role in promoting Yrt lateral localization. However, the

FA domain likely acts as a phospho-dependent negative regulatory unit controlling Yrt association

with the plasma membrane.

Yrt binds to negatively charged phospholipids and putatively directly associates with the

plasma membrane

The fact that FLAG-Yrt[5D] displays impaired membrane association led us to suggest that the

negative charges of the phosphomimetic residues generate electrostatic repulsion with negatively

charged membrane phospholipids, thereby interfering with a putative direct association of Yrt

FERM domain with the plasma membrane. In support of this hypothesis, the FERM domain of

several proteins can directly associate with phospholipids such as phosphatidylinositol 4,5-

bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P2] via electrostatic interactions (Ehret et al., 2023). Similarly, purified Yrt

FERM domain fused to GST showed affinity for phosphatidylinositol phosphates immobilized on

a membrane without clear discrimination between PI(3)P, PI(4)P, PI(5)P, PI(3,4)P2, PI(3,5)P2,

PI(4,5)P2, or PI(3,4,5)P3 (Fig. 2A). The negatively charged phosphate group(s) on

phosphatidylinositol phosphates is/are required for binding, as GST-FERM only faintly associated

with unphosphorylated Phosphatidylinositol (PI) (Fig. 2A). GST-FERM also associated with

Phosphatidic Acid (PA) and Phosphatidylserine (PS) (Fig. 2A). However, the FERM domain of

Yrt did not interact with Lysophosphatidic Acid (LPA), Lysophosphocholine (LPC),

Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), Phosphatidylcholine (PC), or Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P)

(Fig. 2A). To test the specificity of our assay, we used GST alone or GST fused to the VR portion

of Yrt, which does not associate with the membrane (Fig. 1K), as negative controls. Strikingly,

these proteins did not show evident lipid binding (Fig. 2A). We also used the PI(4,5)P2 probe GST-

Grip that mainly associated with PI(4,5)P2 (Fig. 2A), as expected (Barnett et al., 2019), thereby

showing the validity of our assay. Together, these results show that the FERM domain of Yrt can

directly associate with selected lipids such as phosphatidylinositol phosphates but is not a

promiscuous lipid-binding protein. This indicates that Yrt may bind the plasma membrane by

interacting with charged phospholipids. To test this premise, we decreased the level of plasma

membrane PI(4,5)P2 in S2 cells using ionomycin, which leads to PI(4,5)P2 hydrolysis via

phospholipase C activation (Sparks et al., 2011). In addition, we used the PI3-kinase inhibitor

wortmannin and the PI4-kinase inhibitor phenylarsine oxide (PAO) to decrease the level of some

phosphatidylinositol phosphate species phosphorylated at position 3 and/or 4 (Dong et al., 2015;

Wymann et al., 2003). FLAG-Yrt was confined at the periphery in cells treated with the vehicle

DMSO, whereas it also localized in the cytoplasm in presence of ionomycin or wortmannin and

PAO (Fig. 2B-I). Residual membrane association in presence of these inhibitors is consistent with

the fact that Yrt can interact with most phosphatidylinositol phosphates and other lipids (Fig. 2A).

This also likely explains why PAO and wortmannin have a weak impact on Yrt localization when

used separately (Fig. 2E, F, I). Together, these data suggest that Yrt directly associates with

phosphatidylinositol phosphates at the lateral membrane in epithelial cells prior to septate junction

assembly in *D. melanogaster* embryos, a developmental time window where Yrt contributes to

maintaining apical-basal polarity (Laprise et al., 2009).

Positively charged amino acids within the FERM domain target Yrt to the membrane

Positively charged basic and hydrophobic (BH) amino acid motifs bind membrane phospholipids

via electrostatic interactions and contribute to membrane association of selected polarity proteins

(Bailey and Prehoda, 2015; Brzeska et al., 2010). Analysis of Yrt sequence revealed the presence

of two BH motifs within the FERM domain (regions of the black curve extending above the

threshold of 0.6 in Fig. 3A (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015)), which we referred to as BH1 and BH2.

Specifically, the BH1 and BH2 motifs span from amino acids 125-130 and 283-293, respectively.

In silico analysis showed that replacement of the positively charged lysine (K)124, arginine (R)132,

and K134 by negatively charged D residues abolished the BH properties of the BH1 motif (Fig.

3A, orange curve). Strikingly, FLAG-Yrt^{K124D,R132D,K134D} (FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ hereafter) displayed

a strongly decreased membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio (Fig. 3B, D). Similarly, replacement of K285

and K288 by D residues within the BH2 motif (FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$) decreased Yrt membrane

enrichment, but the effect on Yrt localization resulting from BH2 mutations was less pronounced

than BH1 mutations (Fig. 3C, D). Of note, the BH2K>D mutant has a BH score close to the

threshold of 0.6 (Fig. 3A, purple curve). This potentially explains the weak impact of the BH2K>D

mutations on Yrt membrane localization. To test this hypothesis, we additionally substituted the

last two K residues of the BH2 motif by alanine (A) residues (K292 and K293; FLAG-

Yrt$^{BH2K>D,K>A}$). These mutations strongly decreased the BH score (Fig. 3A, blue curve) and led to

a strong reduction of Yrt membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio in S2 cells, which was more significant

than the delocalization of FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ and similar to FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ (Fig. 3E-J). Together,

these results demonstrate that BH1 and BH2 both contribute to target Yrt at the membrane and

likely do so via electrostatic interactions. Interestingly, these motifs are conserved in vertebrate

orthologs of Yrt (*i.e.* EPB41L4B and EPB41L5 (Tepass, 2009); Fig. S1), thereby suggesting that

direct membrane binding is an evolutionarily conserved property of these proteins.

Yrt lateral localization is functionally important

Yrt overexpression is associated with a gain-of-function phenotype resulting from altered aPKC

and Crb functions (Biehler et al., 2021; Gamblin et al., 2014). Consequently, FLAG-Yrt expression

causes epithelial morphogenesis defects and a fully penetrant embryonic lethality phenotype

(Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). Similarly, the membrane-associated protein FLAG-

FERM-FA is fully lethal (Fig. 4A). FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ and FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$, which show

cytoplasmic delocalization with residual membrane association (Fig. 3B-D), showed a reduced

ability to cause embryonic lethality (Fig. 4A). Strikingly, the cytoplasmic truncations FLAG-

Yrt$^{\Delta FERM}$, FA-VR, VR, and VRΔPBM did not cause any lethality (Fig. 1G, J-M; 4A), although

these constructs showed a similar expression level to construct causing lethality (Fig. 4B). These

results suggest that the gain-of-function phenotype caused by Yrt overexpression reflects its lateral

levels, indicating that Yrt plays an important function at this subcellular location. Alternatively,

our data may reflect the functional importance of the FERM domain, as all mutant or truncated

proteins that are phenotypically silent or that cause a weak lethality phenotype enclose an impaired

FERM domain. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we used FLAG-Yrt^{5D}, which

contains phosphomimetic mutations on aPKC target sites within the FA domain while comprising

an intact FERM domain (Gamblin et al., 2014). FLAG-Yrt^{5D} displayed reduced membrane levels

concomitant with cytoplasmic accumulation and is expressed at a similar level to wild type FLAG-

Yrt (Fig. 1I, 4B; (Gamblin et al., 2014)). This is in line with our previous observation that ectopic

localization of aPKC to the lateral domain displaces Yrt from the membrane (Biehler et al., 2021).

Our data thus further illuminate how Yrt function is antagonized by aPKC, which likely represses

Yrt association with membrane phospholipids via electrostatic repulsion (this study) while also

preventing Yrt oligomerization and binding to Crb (Gamblin et al., 2018). Importantly, expression

of FLAG-Yrt^{5D} had a modest impact on embryo survival with an 80% hatching rate (Fig. 4A). This

is consistent with the idea that Yrt needs to associate with the lateral membrane to be fully

functional. However, this result must be interpreted with caution since FLAG-Yrt^{5D} displays

impaired oligomerization, which also contributes to Yrt function (Gamblin et al., 2018). To identify

a Yrt mutant protein with impaired membrane association but showing intact oligomerization, we

tested whether mutation of the BH1 or BH2 motifs impacts Yrt oligomerization. We found that

FLAG-tagged Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ and Yrt$^{BH2K>D,K>A}$ co-precipitated with HA-Yrt similar to wild-type

FLAG-Yrt (Fig. 4C). This shows that these proteins maintained their ability to oligomerize. In

contrast, FLAG-Yrt$^{F281A, W283A}$ failed to associate with HA-Yrt (Fig. 4C), as predicted by our

previous work (Gamblin et al., 2018). FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ also showed impaired association with

HA-Yrt (Fig. 4C). We also expressed a construct made of GFP fused to the transmembrane domain

and cytoplasmic tail of Crb with FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1KR>D}$, FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ or FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D,K>A}$ to

test whether mutation of the BH motifs modifies the association with Crb, which supports some

Yrt functions such as apical constriction and maintenance of the apical/lateral membrane ratio

(Biehler et al., 2021; Laprise et al., 2006; Laprise et al., 2010). FLAG-Yrt proteins with an impaired

BH2 motif associated with GFP-Crb like their wild-type counterpart (Fig. 4D). In contrast, the

FLAG-Yrt^{L236R} showed weak binding to Crb, as expected (Gamblin et al., 2018; Ohata et al., 2011),

so did FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1KR>D}$ (Fig. 4D). Given that overexpression of FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$, which show

reduced membrane localization while maintaining its ability to oligomerize and interact with Crb,

is associated with reduced lethality as compared to overexpression of wild-type FLAG-Yrt or

membrane-bound FLAG-FERM-FA (Fig. 4A), we conclude that association of Yrt with the lateral

membrane is most likely important to sustain certain of its functions.

Overall, our study shows that BH motifs within the FERM domain contribute to the localization of

Yrt at the lateral membrane putatively through direct association with negatively charged plasma

membrane phospholipids, including phosphatidylinositol phosphates. Mutations of the positively

charged residues contained in BH motifs attenuate the Yrt gain of function phenotype, thereby

arguing that Yrt association with the lateral membrane is functionally important. Yrt could thus be

an important interactor of phosphatidylinositol phosphates allowing these molecules to perform

their known role in establishing and maintaining apical-basal polarity (Gassama-Diagne et al.,

2006; Krahn, 2020; Martin-Belmonte et al., 2007).

Materials and methods

Molecular biology, transgenic fly lines, and *in vivo* expression of transgenes

The In-Fusion cloning kit (Takara Bio) was used according to manufacturer's guideline to clone cDNAs into pUASTattB (kindly provided by K. Basler, University of Zurich) and pGEX-6P-2 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Full length (FL) *yrt* refers to the beta isoform, which includes all exons of the *yrt* gene (Laprise et al., 2006). The resulting plasmids allowed for expression of the following 3xFLAG-tagged (N-terminus) Yrt proteins: ΔFERM (a.a. 1-56/346-972); VRΔPBM (a.a. 406-969), BH1KR>D (K124D, R132D, K134D); BH2K>D (K285D, K288D); BH2K>D,K>A (K285D, K288D, K292A, K293A). Genome Prolab (Sherbrooke, Canada) injected plasmids in *D. melanogaster* embryos carrying an attP docking site (Groth et al., 2004). Specifically, transgenes were inserted on the third chromosome (68E1; Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) line 24485, which was generated by the Basler's group) or the second chromosome (25C6; line produced by Genome Prolab). The other constructs and fly lines used in this study were previously described (Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). We employed the UAS-GAL4 system to express transgenes (Brand et al., 1994) using the da-GAL4 driver line (Wodarz et al., 1995). Embryo staging was achieved according to the Atlas of *Drosophila* Development (Hartenstein, 1993). Embryo sex was not determined, so both males and females were used indiscriminately. Plasmids and fly lines can be obtained by contacting the corresponding author.

Cell culture and transfection

S2 cells, which were obtained from ATCC and used as is, were grown at room temperature in Schneider's medium (Wisent Inc.) complemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (heat inactivated;

Wisent Inc.), 50 units/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies). S2 cells were

transfected at 60% confluency with FuGENE® (Promega) with selected pUASTattB-based

constructs together with pAct5c-GAL4. When indicated (24 h post-transfection), S2 cells were

treated with 10 µM ionomycin for 15 min, or 10 µM PAO and/or 1 µM wortmannin for 45 min.

Immunofluorescence

In preparation for immunofluorescence on *D. melanogaster* embryos, the chorion was removed by

a 5 min incubation in a solution of 3% sodium hypochlorite. Embryos were then washed with water,

fixed for 8 min in 11% formaldehyde (diluted in 60 mM PIPES, 30 mM EGTA, 1.2 mM $MgSO_4$)

under a heptane phase (1:1), and rinsed with PBS. Embryos were devitellinized by vigorous

agitation in methanol. Non-specific binding sites were blocked by a 1 h incubation in NGT (2%

normal goat serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS). S2 cells were fixed 24 h post-transfection with

4% paraformaldehyde (in PBS) for 15 min, permeabilized with PBS-0,1% Triton X-100, and

saturated for 1 h with a solution of 2% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS.

The following primary antibodies were used overnight at 4°C: anti-FLAG (M2, Sigma), 1:250 ;

anti-Yrt (Biehler et al., 2020), 1:500 ; anti-Lgl (d-300, Santa Cruz Technology), 1:100. Following

incubation with primary antibodies, embryos and cells were washed three times for 20 min in PBS

containing 0.3% or 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBT), respectively, and incubated for 1 h at room

temperature with Cy3- or Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:400). Unbound

secondary antibodies were washed-out with PBT (3 times 20 min). Embryos and cells were

mounted in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs) and imaged by confocal microscopy.

Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phénylindole (DAPI).

Western blotting

Dechorionated embryos were homogenized in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM NaF, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin and 0.7 μg/ml pepstatin) at 4°C and processed for SDS-PAGE and western blotting as described (Laprise et al., 2002). The following primary antibodies were used: anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Adrich) 1:4000; anti-GFP (Roche) 1:3000; anti-HA (BioLegend); anti-Actin (Novus Biologicals), 1:5000. Secondary antibodies were conjugated to HRP (GE Healthcare) and diluted 1:2000. TrueBlot ULTRA (Rockland) secondary antibodies were used for immunoprecipitation experiments (1:1000).

Protein purification and lipid binding assays

GST and GST-FERM expression in BL21 (DE3) cells was induced by adding 0.1 mM IPTG to bacterial cultures (O.D. of 0.6 at 600 nm) for 16 h at 16°C. Proteins were then purified as previously described (Maity et al., 2013). PIP Strips membranes (P-6001; Echelon Biosciences) were saturated with 3% fatty acid free BSA (Sigma-Aldrich A8806) in PBS-Tween-20 0.1% for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with 1 μg of GST, GST-FERM, GST-VR, or PI(4,5)P2 Grip (GST-PLC-d1-PH; Echelon Biosciences) following Echelon Biosciences' protocol. Protein-lipid interactions were revealed by western blot using an anti-GST antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Analysis of Yrt sequence

Wild type and mutated sequences of the Yrt FERM domain were analyzed with the BH-search

algorithm [https://helixweb.nih.gov/bhsearch/ (Brzeska et al., 2010)].

Quantification of membrane and cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity

Quantification of fluorescence signal intensity was performed with FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012).

In fly embryos, the polygon selection tool was used to generate a box, which was used to measure

both membrane and cytoplasmic intensity in each cell and the mean membrane/cytoplasm ratio

was determined. Ratios were determined in a total of 15 individual cells for each construct (5 non-

adjacent cells/embryo; 3 different embryos randomly selected from different experiments). Sample

size was empirically determined.

The freehand selection tool was used to individually select the whole membrane or cytoplasm in

S2 cells. This method was chosen, as the signal intensity was often irregular along the membrane

in S2 cells in contrast to what was observed *in vivo*. Total signal intensity (Raw Integrated Density;

RID) and the area of each box were recorded, and the membrane/cytoplasm ratios were calculated

as follow:

313
$$\text{Ratio} = \frac{(\text{membrane RID } / \text{ membrane area})}{(\text{cytoplasm RID } / \text{ cytoplasm area})}$$

314   Fluorescence ratio was quantified in 39 to 62 individual cells for each construct (10 to 23 cells per

315   experiment from 3-4 different experiments). The targeted sample size for S2 cells experiments was

316   about 45 cells, as we devised it would show sample variability and be sufficient to meet the normal

317   distribution assumption (true for samples treated with PAO and/or Wort).

Viability test

One hundred newly laid embryos of each genotype were collected and placed on an apple plate at 25°C for 72 h (this experiment was performed in triplicate). Larvae and dead embryos were then scored, and the ratio of larvae on larvae plus dead embryos x 100 represents the hatching percentage. This sample size has been regularly used in our lab and allowed us to detect mild lethality phenotypes (Gamblin et al., 2018).

Immunoprecipitations

HA immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described (Gamblin et al., 2018). For GFP-Trap, S2 cells were homogenized in lysis buffer at 4°C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM NaF, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 10 °C g/ml leupeptin and 0.7 µg/ml pepstatin. Cell lysates were centrifuged (17,000 g, 10 min at 4°C) to remove insoluble cell fragments. S2 cells lysates containing 2 mg of total proteins were precleared for 1.5 h under agitation at 4 °C with 15 µl of sepharose CL-4B beads (Sigma-Adrich). Supernatants were then incubated with 15 µl of GFP-Trap beads (ChromoTek) for 1.5 h at 4°C under agitation. Beads were recovered by centrifugation and washed 5 times with lysis buffer. Immunocomplexes were eluted with Laemmli's buffer.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 8 (GraphPad Software). Membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio were presented as violin plots showing all individual measures as well as median and quartiles. As the data from the different groups were either not from a normal distribution and/or the sample size was relatively small, the differences between individual groups were analyzed using the non-

parametric Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc Dunn's multiple comparison test, all compared to FLAG-Yrt FL or DMSO (control conditions). Adjusted *p*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. In the case of ionomycin treatment in S2 cells, a non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed with α=0.05 was performed to assess differences between treatments (as the data were not from a normal distribution). For viability test, hatching data were presented as means ± SEM. Differences between individual groups were analyzed by using Fisher's exact test (95% confidence intervals, two-tailed). The significance threshold was adjusted in regards of the multiple comparisons with a Bonferroni correction (8 comparisons). *P*-values <0.00625 were considered statistically significant.

Figure legends

Fig 1. The FERM domain of Yrt is necessary and sufficient for cortical targeting

(A) Schematic representation of the FLAG-tagged proteins used in this study. **(B)** Stage 11 wild-type embryo stained for Yrt. The picture depicts a portion of the ventral ectoderm. Scale bar represents 10 μm. **(C-L)** FLAG and Lgl co-immunostaining in stage 10-11 embryos expressing indicated FLAG-tagged constructs. Lgl staining was used to localize the membrane when needed (only shown in D). **(M)** Violin plot showing the quantification of the membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio of each construct expressed in embryonic ectoderm (bold dotted line = median ; dotted lines = quartiles). Data represents 15 non-adjacent cells per condition (5 cells per embryo from 3 different biological replicates). Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test compare different groups to FLAG-Yrt FL. Non-significant (ns) > 0.05 ; ** $P = 0.0024$; **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

Fig 2. The FERM domain of Yrt associates with negatively charged phospholipids

(**A**) PIP strip membranes were incubated with GST or indicated GST fusion proteins. (**B-F**) S2 cells were transfected with FLAG-Yrt and treated with indicated compounds (E-G). Cells were then stained for FLAG. Scale bar represents 5 µm. (**H-I**) Violin plots showing the quantification of the membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio in FLAG-Yrt expressing S2 cells presented in B-G (bold dotted line = median ; dotted lines = quartiles). (**H**) Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed with statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$, compares the difference between cells treated with DMSO (n= 49 cells from 3 different experiments) or ionomycin (n=60 cells from 3 different experiments). (**I**) Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test compares each treated group (PAO : n=42 cells ; wort : n=40 cells ; PAO+wort : n=48 cells ; all from 3 different experiments) to vehicle (DMSO ; n=39 cells from 3 different experiments). Non-significant (ns) > 0.05 ; * P=0.0265 ; **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

(**A**) The black line in the upper panel shows the BH score (Y axis) along the wild-type sequence of the Yrt FERM domain (X axis). Stretches of amino acids above the threshold of 0.6 are considered BH motifs, which are underlined in the lower panel. The latter also displays mutations introduced in the BH1 (orange) and the BH2 (purple and blue) motifs. The BH score of the mutant sequences is also shown in the upper panel according to the same color code. (**B-C**) Immunostainings performed with anti-FLAG antibodies in stage 10-11 embryos expressing indicated FLAG-tagged constructs. Scale bar represents 10 µm. (**D**) Violin plot showing the quantification of the membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio for FLAG-Yrt FL, FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ and FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ expressed the embryonic ectoderm (bold dotted line = median ; dotted lines = quartiles). Data represent 15 non-adjacent cells per condition (5 cells per embryo from 3 different biological

replicates). (**E-G**) Immunostainings performed with anti-FLAG antibodies in S2 cells expressing

indicated FLAG-tagged constructs. Scale bar represents 5 µm. (**J**) Violin plot showing the

quantification of the membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio for FLAG-GFP (n=62 cells from 4 different

experiments), FLAG-Yrt FL (n=62 cells from 4 different experiments), FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ (n=47

cells from 3 different experiments), FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ (n=46 cells from 3 different experiments)

and FLAG-Yrt $^{BH2K>D,K>A}$ (n=47 cells from 3 different experiments) expressed in S2 cells (bold

dotted line = median ; dotted lines = quartiles). (**D, J**) Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's

multiple comparison test compare each group to FLAG-Yrt FL. * $P = 0.0200$; ** $P = 0.0042$; ****

$P \leq 0.0001$.

Fig 4. Yrt association with the lateral membrane is functionally important

(**A**) Histogram showing the hatching rate, calculated in percentage, of embryos expressing

indicated FLAG-tagged Yrt proteins. Data are shown as means ± SEM, and statistical significance

was assessed by using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test (FL: $n = 269$; FERM-FA: $n = 234$; ΔFERM:

$n = 270$; FA-VR: $n = 233$; VR: $n = 269$; VRΔPBM: $n = 235$; BH1K/R>D: $n = 237$; BH2K>D: $n =$

265; 5D: $n = 247$; all from 3 different experiments). The significance threshold was adjusted in

regards of the multiple comparisons with a Bonferroni correction (8 comparisons). Non-significant

(ns) > 0.00625 ; **** $P \leq 0.0001$. (**B**) Western blot showing the relative expression of indicated

FLAG-tagged constructs in stage 11-12 embryos. Actin was used as loading control. (**C**) S2 cells

were transfected with indicated FLAG- and HA-tagged constructs. Immunoprecipitations with

anti-HA antibodies were then performed. (**D**) S2 cells expressing GFP-tagged transmembrane and

cytoplasmic domain of Crb and indicated FLAG-tagged Yrt proteins were homogenized and

submitted to GFP-Trap immunoprecipitations.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Konrad Basler, Nicolas Bisson, Sébastien Carréno, Ulrich Tepass, and the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center for reagents. Flybase was used as an important database for this work. This work was supported by operating grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) to P.L. (PJT-185951) and M.L. (PJT-178198). F.C. is supported by a scholarship from FRQ-S, and C. B. is a postdoctoral Europe Horizon MSCA-PF grantee.

Author contributions

P.L. conceived the project. C.G., C.A., F.C., F.P.P. and N.M. performed experiments and/or prepared reagents and contributed to data analysis. C.B. trained C.A. and contributed to initial *in vivo* analyses. A.J. performed statistical analysis and assembled figures with C.G. and C.A. P.L. wrote the manuscript, which was proofread by co-authors. P.L. and M.L. secured funding.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Bailey, M. J. and Prehoda, K. E. (2015). Establishment of Par-Polarized Cortical Domains via Phosphoregulated Membrane Motifs. *Dev Cell* **35**, 199-210.

Baines, A. J. (2006). A FERM-adjacent (FA) region defines a subset of the 4.1 superfamily and is a potential regulator of FERM domain function. *BMC Genomics* **7**, 85.

Banerjee, S., Sousa, A. D. and Bhat, M. A. (2006). Organization and function of septate junctions: an evolutionary perspective. *Cell Biochem Biophys* **46**, 65-77.

Barnett, K. C., Coronas-Serna, J. M., Zhou, W., Ernandes, M. J., Cao, A., Kranzusch, P. J. and Kagan, J. C. (2019). Phosphoinositide Interactions Position cGAS at the Plasma Membrane to Ensure Efficient Distinction between Self- and Viral DNA. *Cell* **176**, 1432-1446 e11.

Bieber, A. J., Snow, P. M., Hortsch, M., Patel, N. H., Jacobs, J. R., Traquina, Z. R., Schilling, J. and Goodman, C. S. (1989). Drosophila neuroglian: a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily with extensive homology to the vertebrate neural adhesion molecule L1. *Cell* **59**, 447-60.

Biehler, C., Rothenberg, K. E., Jette, A., Gaude, H. M., Fernandez-Gonzalez, R. and Laprise, P. (2021). Pak1 and PP2A antagonize aPKC function to support cortical tension induced by the Crumbs-Yurt complex. *Elife* **10**.

Biehler, C., Wang, L. T., Sevigny, M., Jette, A., Gamblin, C. L., Catterall, R., Houssin, E., McCaffrey, L. and Laprise, P. (2020). Girdin is a component of the lateral polarity protein network restricting cell dissemination. *PLoS Genet* **16**, e1008674.

Brand, A. H., Manoukian, A. S. and Perrimon, N. (1994). Ectopic expression in Drosophila. *Methods Cell Biol* **44**, 635-54.

Brzeska, H., Guag, J., Remmert, K., Chacko, S. and Korn, E. D. (2010). An experimentally based computer search identifies unstructured membrane-binding sites in proteins: application to class I myosins, PAKS, and CARMIL. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 5738-47.

Buckley, C. E. and St Johnston, D. (2022). Apical-basal polarity and the control of epithelial form and function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*.

Dong, W., Zhang, X., Liu, W., Chen, Y. J., Huang, J., Austin, E., Celotto, A. M., Jiang, W. Z., Palladino, M. J., Jiang, Y. et al. (2015). A conserved polybasic domain mediates plasma membrane targeting of Lgl and its regulation by hypoxia. *J Cell Biol* **211**, 273-86.

Ehret, T., Heissenberg, T., de Buhr, S., Aponte-Santamaria, C., Steinem, C. and Grater, F. (2023). FERM domains recruit ample PI(4,5)P(2)s to form extensive protein-membrane attachments. *Biophys J* **122**, 1325-1333.

Gamblin, C. L., Hardy, E. J., Chartier, F. J., Bisson, N. and Laprise, P. (2014). A
bidirectional antagonism between aPKC and Yurt regulates epithelial cell polarity. *J Cell Biol*
204, 487-95.

Gamblin, C. L., Parent-Prevost, F., Jacquet, K., Biehler, C., Jette, A. and Laprise, P.
(2018). Oligomerization of the FERM-FA protein Yurt controls epithelial cell polarity. *J Cell*
Biol.

Gassama-Diagne, A., Yu, W., ter Beest, M., Martin-Belmonte, F., Kierbel, A., Engel,
J. and Mostov, K. (2006). Phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate regulates the formation of
the basolateral plasma membrane in epithelial cells. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 963-70.

Groth, A. C., Fish, M., Nusse, R. and Calos, M. P. (2004). Construction of transgenic
Drosophila by using the site-specific integrase from phage phiC31. *Genetics* **166**, 1775-82.

Hartenstein, V. (1993). Atlas of Drosophila development. Plainview, N.Y.: Cold Spring
Harbor Laboratory Press.

Hoover, K. B. and Bryant, P. J. (2002). Drosophila Yurt is a new protein-4.1-like
protein required for epithelial morphogenesis. *Dev Genes Evol* **212**, 230-8.

Krahn, M. P. (2020). Phospholipids of the Plasma Membrane - Regulators or
Consequence of Cell Polarity? *Front Cell Dev Biol* **8**, 277.

Laprise, P., Beronja, S., Silva-Gagliardi, N. F., Pellikka, M., Jensen, A. M., McGlade,
C. J. and Tepass, U. (2006). The FERM protein Yurt is a negative regulatory component of the
Crumbs complex that controls epithelial polarity and apical membrane size. *Dev Cell* **11**, 363-74.

Laprise, P., Chailler, P., Houde, M., Beaulieu, J. F., Boucher, M. J. and Rivard, N.
(2002). Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase controls human intestinal epithelial cell differentiation by
promoting adherens junction assembly and p38 MAPK activation. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 8226-34.

Laprise, P., Lau, K. M., Harris, K. P., Silva-Gagliardi, N. F., Paul, S. M., Beronja, S.,
Beitel, G. J., McGlade, C. J. and Tepass, U. (2009). Yurt, Coracle, Neurexin IV and the
Na(+),K(+)-ATPase form a novel group of epithelial polarity proteins. *Nature* **459**, 1141-5.

Laprise, P., Paul, S. M., Boulanger, J., Robbins, R. M., Beitel, G. J. and Tepass, U.
(2010). Epithelial polarity proteins regulate Drosophila tracheal tube size in parallel to the
luminal matrix pathway. *Curr Biol* **20**, 55-61.

Maity, R., Pauty, J., Krietsch, J., Buisson, R., Genois, M. M. and Masson, J. Y.
(2013). GST-His purification: a two-step affinity purification protocol yielding full-length
purified proteins. *J Vis Exp*, e50320.

Martin-Belmonte, F., Gassama, A., Datta, A., Yu, W., Rescher, U., Gerke, V. and
Mostov, K. (2007). PTEN-mediated apical segregation of phosphoinositides controls epithelial
morphogenesis through Cdc42. *Cell* **128**, 383-97.

Ohata, S., Aoki, R., Kinoshita, S., Yamaguchi, M., Tsuruoka-Kinoshita, S., Tanaka,
H., Wada, H., Watabe, S., Tsuboi, T., Masai, I. et al. (2011). Dual roles of Notch in regulation
of apically restricted mitosis and apicobasal polarity of neuroepithelial cells. *Neuron* **69**, 215-30.

Sparks, L. M., Moro, C., Ukropcova, B., Bajpeyi, S., Civitarese, A. E., Hulver, M.
W., Thoresen, G. H., Rustan, A. C. and Smith, S. R. (2011). Remodeling lipid metabolism and
improving insulin responsiveness in human primary myotubes. *PLoS One* **6**, e21068.

Tepass, U. (2009). FERM proteins in animal morphogenesis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **19**,
357-67.

Wodarz, A., Hinz, U., Engelbert, M. and Knust, E. (1995). Expression of crumbs
confers apical character on plasma membrane domains of ectodermal epithelia of Drosophila.
Cell **82**, 67-76.

Wymann, M. P., Zvelebil, M. and Laffargue, M. (2003). Phosphoinositide 3-kinase
signalling--which way to target? *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **24**, 366-76.

A Full-length (FL) and truncated FLAG-tagged Yrt proteins

B Endogenous Yrt — Yrt

C FL — FLAG

D FLAG-GFP — FLAG | FLAG Lgl

E FERM — FLAG

F FERM-FA — FLAG

G ΔFERM — FLAG

H ΔFA — FLAG

I 5D — FLAG

J FA-VR

K VR — FLAG

L VRΔPBM — FLAG

M Membrane-to-cytoplasmic ratio

GFP ****, FL, FERM ns, FERM-FA ns, ΔFERM ****, ΔFA ns, 5D **, FA-VR ****, VR ****, VRΔPBM ****

Gamblin et al. Fig. 1

A

BH threshold

WT
BH1K/R>D
BH2K>D
BH2K>D,K>A

BH1 motif   BH2 motif

WT   KIGPPYTFRLKVK   WT   WPKISKLDFKK
BH1K/R>D DIGPPYTFDLDVK   BH2K>D   WPDISDLDFKK
                                BH2K>D,K>A   WPDISDLDFAA

BH1K/R>D   GFP   BH2K>D
B   E   H
FLAG   FLAG   FLAG

BH2K>D   FL WT BH2K>D,K>A
C   F   I
FLAG   FLAG   FLAG

D   BH1K/R>D
            G
            FLAG

J

Gamblin et al. Fig. 3

Gamblin et al. Fig. 4

```
Yrt_drosophila    iekdyfglqfmdanhvkhwldptkpikkqvkigppytfrlkvkfyssepntlreeltryl 153
EPB41L5_human     iesdyfglrfmdsaqvahwldgtksikkqvkigspyclhlrvkfyssepnnlreeltryl 138
Epb41l5_mouse     iesdyfglrfmdsaqvahwldgtksikkqvkigspyclhlrvkfyssepnnlreeltryl 138
Epb41l5_rat       iesdyfglrfmdsaqvahwldgtksikkqvkigspyclhlrvkfyssepnnlreeltryl 138
epb41l5_zebrafish vekdyfglrfmdsaqvphwldvtksikkqvkigppyclhmrvkfyssepnnlheeltryl 138
EPB41L4B_human    vetdyfglqfldsaqvahwldhakpikkqmkigpayalhfrvkyyssepnnlreeftryl 180
Epb41l4b_mouse    vetdyfglqfldsaqvthwldhakpikkqmkvgpayalhfrvkyyssepnnlreeftryl 180
                  :*.*****:*:*: :* **** :* ****:*:*   *  :::::**:******.*:**:****
```

BH2 motif

```
Yrt_drosophila    rdqkiglffwpkiskldfkkkkltliviedd-------egreqehtfvfrlynekackh 326
EPB41L5_human     gdtkiglffwpkitrldfkknkltlvvveddd-------qgkeqehtfvfrldhpkackh 311
Epb41l5_mouse     getkiglffwpkitrldfkknkltlvvveddd-------qgkeqehtfvfrldhpkackh 311
Epb41l5_rat       getkiglffwpkitrldfkknkltlvvveddd-------qgkeqehtfvfrldhpkackh 311
epb41l5_zebrafish getkiglffwpkitrldfkkckltlvvveddeqvmqeteegkeqehtfvfrmdhpkackh 318
EPB41L4B_human    gankiglffwpkitkmdfkksklltlvvveddd-------qgreqehtfvfrldsartckh 353
Epb41l4b_mouse    gankiglffwpkitkmdfkksklltlvvveddd-------qgreqehtfvfrldsartckh 353
                  **********:::**** ****:*:***:        :*:*********:   ::.***
```

Figure S1. BH motifs in Yrt sequence are evolutionarily conserved. Alignment of the BH motifs of Yrt with the sequence of its two orthologs, namely EPB41L5 and EPB41L4B. Bottom panels show the BH score for Yrt sequence (black curve), or human EPB41L4B (purple curve) and EPB41L5 (orange curve) sequences. The BH1/2 scores of EPB41L4B and EPB41L5 go beyond the threshold of 0.6 even though their respective sequence diverges from Yrt sequence to some extent. Overall, these data suggest that Yrt orthologs also interact with the membrane using, among others, the conserved BH1 and BH2 motifs.