The polarity protein Yurt associates with the lateral domain via basic and hydrophobic motifs embedded in its FERM domain

Charles Alende[1,2,#], Clémence L. Gamblin[1,2,#], François Corriveau[1,2], Alexandra Jetté[1,2], Frédérique Parent-Prévost[1,2], Cornélia Biehler[1,2,4,5], Nathalie Majeau[1,2], Mélanie Laurin[1,2,3], and Patrick Laprise[1,2,3,*]

[1]Centre de Recherche sur le Cancer, Université Laval, and [2]axe oncologie du Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-UL, 9 McMahon, Québec, QC, G1R 3S3, Canada. [3]Département de biologie moléculaire, de biochimie médicale et de pathologie, Faculté de médecine, Université Laval. [4,5]Current affiliations: Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland[4]; Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland[5].

[#]These authors contributed equally

[*]Author for correspondence: Patrick.Laprise@crchudequebec.ulaval.ca, Phone : (418) 525-4444 ext. 16959, Fax : (418) 691-5439

Key words: Yurt, membrane localization, FERM domain, FERM-adjacent domain, BH motif, epithelial morphogenesis, cell polarity.

Abstract

The subcellular distribution of the polarity protein Yrt is subjected to a spatio-temporal regulation in *D. melanogaster* embryonic epithelia. After cellularization, Yrt binds to the lateral membrane of ectodermal cells and maintains this localization throughout embryogenesis. During terminal differentiation of the epidermis, Yrt accumulates to septate junctions and is also recruited to the apical domain. While the mechanisms through which Yrt associates with septate junctions and the apical domain have been deciphered, how Yrt binds to the lateral membrane remains as an outstanding puzzle. Here, using an *in vivo* structure-function analysis, we show that the FERM domain of Yrt is necessary and sufficient for lateral localization. Our data also establish that the FERM domain of Yrt directly binds negatively charged phospholipids. Moreover, we demonstrate that positively charged amino acid motifs embedded within the FERM domain mediates Yrt membrane association. Finally, we provide evidence suggesting that Yrt association with the lateral membrane is functionally important. Overall, our study highlights the molecular basis of how Yrt associates with the lateral membrane before septate junction formation, a developmental time window where Yrt is required for segregation of lateral and apical domains. Our discoveries could thus contribute to further understanding of how epithelial cells acquire their polarized architecture.

Introduction

The plasma membrane of epithelial cells is segregated into an apical, a lateral, and a basal domain (Buckley and St Johnston, 2022; Tepass et al., 2001). This structural organization, which is referred to as epithelial cell polarity, supports many unidirectional functions of epithelia such as vectorial transport and secretion of selected molecules. Epithelial sheets display a selective permeability owing to occluding junctions sealing the intercellular space. In *D. melanogaster*, this function is fulfilled by septate junctions, which are established laterally (Banerjee et al., 2006).

The protein Yurt (Yrt) plays a crucial role in segregating apical and lateral domains in *D. melanogaster* embryonic epithelia at specific developmental stages (Laprise et al., 2006; Laprise et al., 2009). Yrt also controls septate junction permeability (Laprise et al., 2009). Yrt thus defines the functional architecture of epithelial cells, and impacts tissue morphogenesis and physiology (Hoover and Bryant, 2002; Laprise et al., 2009; Laprise et al., 2010). Yrt encloses a Four-point-one, Ezrin, Radixin, Moesin (FERM) domain at its N-terminus (Hoover and Bryant, 2002; Tepass, 2009). Yrt also contains a FERM-adjacent (FA) domain that is present in a subset of FERM family members (Baines, 2006; Tepass, 2009). The FERM and FA domain of Yrt both contribute to its oligomerization and function (Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). The FA domain of Yrt is followed by a region lacking known protein domains designated as the variable region (VR), which ends with a putative PSD-95, DLG1, ZO-1 (PDZ) domain binding motif (PBM) (Laprise et al., 2006).

After cellularization, Yrt primarily localizes to the lateral membrane (Laprise et al., 2006). During terminal differentiation of epithelial tissues, Yrt accumulates at forming septate junctions and is

recruited apically (Laprise et al., 2006; Laprise et al., 2009). While the adhesion protein Neuroglian

(Nrg) recruits Yrt at septate junctions (Bieber et al., 1989; Laprise et al., 2009), the apical

localization of Yrt depends on a direct interaction of its FERM domain with the transmembrane

protein Crumbs (Crb) (Laprise et al., 2006). Binding of Yrt to Crb promotes cortical tension whilst

restricting apical membrane growth (Biehler et al., 2021; Osswald et al., 2022). We thus gained

insights into the molecular basis of Yrt association with septate junctions and the apical domain,

but it remains to be determined how Yrt associates with the lateral membrane basal to septate

junctions or prior to their formation. Furthermore, the functional importance of Yrt outside of

septate junctions or the apical Crb complex remains unexplored. In the present study, we performed

an *in vivo* structure-function analysis to define the molecular basis of Yrt association with the lateral

membrane in the embryonic ectoderm prior to septate junction genesis. We identified FERM

domain-embedded positively charged amino acid motifs contributing to Yrt membrane association

and pinpoint the functional importance of proper Yrt lateral localization.

Results and discussion

The FERM domain targets Yrt laterally *in vivo*

The FERM domain protein Yrt primarily associates with the lateral domain prior to septate junction formation in the embryonic ectoderm of stage 10 and 11 *D. melanogaster* embryos [(Laprise et al., 2006); Fig. 1A, B]. Similarly, immunofluorescence performed with anti-FLAG antibodies showed a lateral enrichment for FLAG-tagged full length (FL) Yrt at these developmental stages [(Gamblin et al., 2014); Fig. 1A, C, L], whereas FLAG-green fluorescent protein (GFP) was distributed throughout cells (Fig. 1D, L). This indicates that FLAG-Yrt represents a relevant model to perform a structure-function analysis exploring the molecular basis of Yrt localization. We first investigated the requirement for the FERM domain, the FA domain, and the VR (Hoover and Bryant, 2002; Laprise et al., 2006; Tepass, 2009). We found that truncated Yrt proteins made of the FERM domain alone or a combination of the FERM and FA domains showed a similar distribution to FLAG-Yrt FL (Fig. 1A, E, F, L), whereas removal of the FERM domain totally abrogated association of Yrt with the lateral membrane (Fig. 1A, G, L). In contrast, deletion of the FA domain had no significant impact on Yrt lateral distribution (Fig. 1A, H, L). Moreover, truncations made of the FA domain combined with the VR, the VR alone, or the VR amputated from the putative PBM did not show clear enrichment at the lateral domain of cells (Fig. 1A, I-K, L). Together, these data demonstrate that the FERM domain is necessary and sufficient for Yrt lateral targeting and suggest that the FA domain and the VR have a limited, if any, role in promoting Yrt lateral localization.

Yrt binds to negatively charged phospholipids and putatively directly associates with the plasma membrane

The FERM domain of several proteins can directly associate with negatively charged phospholipids

such as phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P] via electrostatic interactions (Ehret et al.,

2023). To investigate whether the FERM domain of Yrt sustains such interactions, we decreased

the level of plasma membrane PI(4,5)P in S2 cells using ionomycin, which leads to PI(4,5)P

hydrolysis via phospholipase C activation. FLAG-Yrt was confined at the periphery in cells treated

with the vehicle DMSO, whereas it also localized in the cytoplasm in presence of ionomycin (Fig.

2A-C). In addition, purified Yrt FERM domain fused to GST showed affinity for

phosphatidylinositol phosphates immobilized on a membrane without discriminating between

PI(3)P, PI(4)P, PI(5)P, PI(3,4)P, PI(3,5)P, PI(4,5)P, or PI(3,4,5)P (Fig. 2D). The negatively

charged phosphate group(s) on these lipids is/are required for binding, as GST-FERM faintly

associated with Phosphatidylinositol (PI) (Fig. 2D). Of note, we cannot claim beyond any doubt

that GST-FERM binds to PI(3,4,5)P given that GST alone and GST-Grip, a PI(4,5)P specific probe,

also showed a weak association with this lipid (Fig. 2D). GST-FERM also associated with

Phosphatidic Acid (PA) and Phosphatidylserine (PS) (Fig. 2D). However, the FERM domain of

Yrt did not interact with Lysophosphatidic Acid (LPA), Lysophosphocholine (LPC),

Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), Phosphatidylcholine (PC), or Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P)

(Fig. 2D). Together, these results show that the FERM domain of Yrt can directly associate with

several negatively charged phospholipids such as phosphatidylinositol phosphates but is not a

promiscuous lipid-binding protein. Yrt thus likely directly associates with the lateral membrane in

epithelial cells prior to septate junction assembly in *D. melanogaster* embryos, a developmental

time window where Yrt contributes to maintaining apical-basal polarity (Laprise et al., 2009).

Positively charged amino acids within the FERM domain target Yrt to the lateral membrane

Positively charged basic and hydrophobic (BH) amino acid motifs bind membrane phospholipids

via electrostatic interactions and contribute to membrane association of selected polarity proteins

(Bailey and Prehoda, 2015; Brzeska et al., 2010). Analysis of Yrt sequence revealed the presence

of two BH motifs within the FERM domain (regions of the black curve extending above the

threshold of 0.6 in Fig. 3A (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015)), which we referred to as BH1 and BH2.

Specifically, the BH1 and BH2 motifs span from amino acids 125-130 and 283-293, respectively.

In silico analysis showed that replacement of the positively charged lysine (K)124, arginine (R)132,

and K134 by negatively charged aspartate (D) residues abolished the BH properties of the BH1

motif (Fig. 3A, orange curve). Strikingly, FLAG-Yrt^{K124D,R132D,K134D} (FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ hereafter)

displayed a strongly decreased membrane to cytoplasmic ratio (Fig. 3B, D). Similarly, replacement

of K285 and K288 by D residues within the BH2 motif (FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$) decreased Yrt

membrane enrichment, but the effect on Yrt localization resulting from BH2 mutations was less

pronounced than BH1 mutations (Fig. 3C, D). Of note, the BH2K>D mutant has a BH score close

to the threshold of 0.6 (Fig. 3A, purple curve). This potentially explains the weak impact of the

BH2K>D mutations on Yrt membrane localization. To test this hypothesis, we additionally

substituted the last two K residues of the BH2 motif by alanine (A) residues (K292 and K293;

FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D,K>A}$). These mutations strongly decreased the BH score (Fig. 3A, blue curve) and

led to a significant reduction of Yrt membrane association in S2 cells, which was more important

than the delocalization of FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ and similar to FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ (Fig. 3E-J). Together,

these results demonstrate that BH1 and BH2 both contribute to target Yrt at the lateral membrane.

Yrt lateral localization is functionally important

Yrt overexpression is associated with a gain-of-function phenotype resulting from altered aPKC

and Crb functions (Biehler et al., 2021; Gamblin et al., 2014). Consequently, FLAG-Yrt expression

causes epithelial morphogenesis defects and a fully penetrant embryonic lethality phenotype

(Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). Similarly, the membrane-associated protein FLAG-

FERM-FA is fully lethal. FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ and FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$, which show cytoplasmic

delocalization with residual membrane association, showed a reduced ability to cause embryonic

lethality (Fig. 3B-D, 4A). Strikingly, the cytoplasmic truncations FLAG-Yrt$^{\Delta FERM}$, FA-VR, VR,

and VRΔPBM did not cause any lethality (Fig. 4A), although these constructs showed a similar

expression level to construct causing lethality (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that the gain-of-

function phenotype caused by Yrt overexpression reflects its lateral levels, indicating that Yrt plays

an important function at this subcellular location. Alternatively, our data may reflect the functional

importance of the FERM domain, as all truncations phenotypically silent or causing a weak

phenotype contain an impaired FERM domain. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we

used FLAG-Yrt^{5D}, which contains phosphomimetic mutations on aPKC target sites within the FA

domain while comprising an intact FERM domain (Gamblin et al., 2014). FLAG-Yrt^{5D} displayed

reduced membrane levels concomitant with cytoplasmic accumulation and is expressed at a similar

level to wild type FLAG-Yrt [Fig. 4B; (Gamblin et al., 2014)]. Importantly, expression of FLAG-

Yrt^{5D} had a modest impact on embryo survival with an 80% hatching rate (Fig. 4A). These results

are consistent with the idea that Yrt needs to associate with the lateral membrane to be fully

functional. These data also suggest that phosphorylation of Yrt by aPKC generates electrostatic

repulsion with negatively charged membrane phospholipids, as described for other aPKC

substrates (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015). This is in line with our previous observation that ectopic

localization of aPKC to the lateral domain displaces Yrt from the cortex (Biehler et al., 2021). Our

data thus further illuminate how Yrt function is antagonized by aPKC, witch represses Yrt

association with the lateral membrane and Yrt oligomerization (Gamblin et al., 2018).

Overall, our study shows that BH motifs within the FERM domain contribute to the localization of

Yrt at the lateral membrane putatively through direct association with negatively charged plasma

membrane phospholipids, including phosphatidylinositol phosphates. Mutations of the positively

charged residues contained in BH motifs decrease the Yrt gain of function phenotype, thereby

arguing that Yrt association with the lateral membrane is functionally important. Yrt could thus be

an important interactor of phosphatidylinositol phosphates allowing these molecules to perform

their known role in establishing and maintaining apical-basal polarity (Gassama-Diagne et al.,

2006; Krahn, 2020; Martin-Belmonte et al., 2007).

Materials and methods

Molecular biology, transgenic fly lines, and *in vivo* expression of transgenes

The In-Fusion cloning kit (Takara Bio) was used according to manufacturer's guideline to clone cDNAs into pUASTattB (kindly provided by K. Basler, University of Zurich) and pGEX-6P-2 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Full length (FL) *yrt* refers to the beta isoform, which includes all exons of the *yrt* gene (Laprise et al., 2006). The resulting plasmids allowed for expression of the following 3xFLAG-tagged (N-terminus) Yrt proteins: ΔFERM (a.a. 1-56/346-972); VRΔPBM (a.a. 406-969), BH1KR>D (K124D, R132D, K134D); BH2K>D (K285D, K288D); BH2K>D,K>A (K285D, K288D, K292A, K293A). Genome Prolab (Sherbrooke, Canada) injected plasmids in *D. melanogaster* embryos carrying an attP docking site (Groth et al., 2004). Specifically, transgenes were inserted on the third chromosome (68E1; Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) line 24485, which was generated by the Basler's group) or the second chromosome (25C6; line produced by Genome Prolab). The other constructs and fly lines used in this study were previously described (Gamblin et al., 2014; Gamblin et al., 2018). We employed the UAS-GAL4 system to express transgenes (Brand et al., 1994) using the da-GAL4 driver line (Wodarz et al., 1995). Embryo staging was achieved according to the Atlas of *Drosophila* Development (Hartenstein, 1993).

Cell culture and transfection

S2 cells were grown at room temperature in Schneider's medium (Wisent Inc.) complemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (heat inactivated; Wisent Inc.), 50 units/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies). When indicated, S2 cells were treated with 10 µM ionomycin

or the vehicle DMSO for 15 min. S2 cells were transfected at 60% confluency with FuGENE®

(Promega) with selected pUASTattB-based constructs together with pAct5c-GAL4.

Immunofluorescence

In preparation for immunofluorescence on *D. melanogaster* embryos, the chorion was removed by

a 5 min incubation in a solution of 3% sodium hypochlorite. Embryos were then washed with water,

fixed for 8 min in 11% formaldehyde (diluted in 60 mM PIPES, 30 mM EGTA, 1.2 mM MgSO$_4$)

under a heptane phase (1:1), and rinsed with PBS. Embryos were devitellinized by vigorous

agitation in methanol. Non-specific binding sites were blocked by a 1 h incubation in NGT (2%

normal goat serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS). S2 cells were fixed 24 h post-transfection with

4% paraformaldehyde (in PBS) for 15 min, permeabilized with PBS-0,1% Triton X-100, and

saturated for 1 h with a solution of 2% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS.

The following primary antibodies were used overnight at 4°C: mouse anti-FLAG (M2, Sigma),

1/250 ; rabbit anti-Yrt (Biehler et al., 2020), 1:500. Following incubation with primary antibodies,

embryos and cells were washed three times for 20 min in PBS containing 0.3% or 0.1% Triton X-

100 (PBT), respectively, and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with Cy3- or Alexa Fluor 488-

conjugated secondary antibodies (1:400). Unbound secondary antibodies were washed-out with

PBT (3 times 20 min). Embryos and cells were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector

Labs) and imaged by confocal microscopy.

Western blotting

Dechorionated embryos were homogenized in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.1 mM

phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM NaF, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 10 µg/ml leupeptin and 0.7 µg/ml

pepstatin) at 4°C and processed for SDS-PAGE and western blotting as described (Laprise et al.,

2002). The following primary antibodies were used: anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Adrich) 1:3000;

mouse anti-Actin (Novus Biologicals), 1:2000. Secondary antibodies were conjugated to HRP (GE

Healthcare) and diluted 1:2000.

Protein purification and lipid binding assays

GST and GST-FERM expression in BL21 (DE3) cells was induced by adding 0.1 mM IPTG to

bacterial cultures (O.D. of 0.6 at 600 nm) for 16 h at 16°C. Proteins were then purified as previously

described (Maity et al., 2013). PIP Strips membranes (P-6001; Echelon Biosciences) were saturated

with 3% fatty acid free BSA (Sigma-Aldrich A8806) in PBS-Tween-20 0.1% for 1 h at room

temperature. Membranes were then incubated with 1 µg of GST, GST-FERM or PI(4,5)P2 Grip

(GST-PLC-d1-PH; Echelon Biosciences) following Echelon Biosciences' protocol. Protein-lipid

interactions were revealed by western blot using an anti-GST antibody (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology).

Analysis of Yrt sequence

253   Wild type and mutated sequences of the Yrt FERM domain were analyzed with the BH-search

254   algorithm [https://helixweb.nih.gov/bhsearch/ (Brzeska et al., 2010)].

Quantification of membrane and cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity

Quantification of fluorescence signal intensity was performed with FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). In fly embryos, the polygon selection tool was used to generate a box, which was used to measure both membrane and cytoplasmic intensity in each cell and the mean membrane/cytoplasm ratio was determined. Ratios were determined in a total of 15 individual cells for each construct (5 non-adjacent cells/embryo; 3 different embryos randomly selected from different experiments).

The freehand selection tool was used to individually select the whole membrane or cytoplasm in S2 cells. This method was chosen, as the signal intensity was often irregular along the membrane in S2 cells in contrast to what was observed *in vivo*. Total signal intensity (Raw Integrated Density; RID) and the area of each box were recorded, and the membrane/cytoplasm ratios were calculated as follow:

$$\text{Ratio} = \frac{\text{(membrane RID / membrane area)}}{\text{(cytoplasm RID / cytoplasm area)}}$$

Fluorescence ratio was quantified in 46 to 62 individual cells for each construct (15 to 23 cells per experiment from 3-4 different experiments).

Viability test

One hundred newly laid embryos of each genotype were collected and placed on an apple plate at 25°C for 72 h (this experiment was performed in triplicate). Larvae and dead embryos were then scored, and the ratio of larvae on larvae plus dead embryos x 100 represents the hatching percentage.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 8 (GraphPad Software). Membrane-to-cytoplasmic

ratio were presented as violin plots showing all individual measure as well as mean and quartiles.

Differences between individual groups were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc

Dunn's multiple comparison test, all compared to FLAG-Yrt FL. Adjusted p-values <0.05 were

considered statistically significant. In the case of ionomycin treatment in S2 cells, Mann-Whitney

test, two-tailed with α=0.05 was performed to assess differences between treatments. For viability

test, hatching data were presented as means ± SEM. Differences between individual groups were

analyzed by using Fisher's exact test (95% confidence intervals, two-tailed). P-values <0.05 were

considered statistically significant.

 Figure legends

 Fig 1. The FERM domain of Yrt is necessary and sufficient for cortical targeting

(A) Schematic representation of the FLAG-tagged full length and truncated proteins used in figure

1. **(B)** Stage 11 wild-type embryo stained for Yrt. The picture depicts a portion of the ventral

ectoderm, apical domain of cells is facing up. Scale bar represents 10 μm and also applies to C-K.

(C-K) FLAG immunostaining in stage 10-11 embryos expressing indicated FLAG-tagged

constructs. Panels show a portion of the ventral ectoderm oriented with the basal side of cells at

the bottom and the apical domain on top. **(L)** Violon plot showing the quantification of the

membrane to cytoplasmic ratio of each construct expressed in embryonic ectoderm. Kruskal-Wallis

test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test compare different groups to FLAG-Yrt FL. Non-

significant (ns) > 0.05 ; **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

Fig 2. The FERM domain of Yrt associates with negatively charged phospholipids

(A-B) S2 cells were transfected with FLAG-Yrt and treated with the vehicle DMSO (A) or 10 μM

of ionomycin for 15 min (B). Cells were then processed for immunofluorescence and stained for

FLAG. Scale bar in A represents 5 μm and also applies to B. **(C)** Violon plot showing the

quantification of the membrane to cytoplasmic ratio in FLAG-Yrt expressing S2 cells treated with

DMSO or ionomycin. Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed with statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$,

compare the difference between cells treated with ionomycin or DMSO. **** $P \leq 0.0001$. **(D)** PIP

strip membranes were incubated with GST or indicated GST fusion proteins. Protein-lipid

interactions were revealed by western blotting using anti-GST antibodies.

Fig 3. BH motifs embedded in the FERM domain contribute to Yrt lateral targeting

(**A**) Analysis the Yrt FERM domain sequence for identification of BH motifs. The black line in the upper panel shows the BH score (Y axis) along the wild-type sequence of the Yrt FERM domain (X axis). Stretches of amino acids above the threshold of 0.6 (green horizontal dotted line) are considered BH motifs (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015). The FERM domain of Yrt contains two BH motifs, the sequence of which are shown in the lower panel (black font; the BH1 motif is underlined and neighboring positively charged K and R residues are also shown). The lower panel also displays mutations introduced in the BH1 (orange) and the BH2 (purple and blue) motifs. The BH score of the mutant sequences is also shown in the upper panel according to the same color code. (**B-C**) Immunostainings performed with anti-FLAG antibodies in stage 10-11 embryos expressing indicated FLAG-tagged constructs. Panels show a portion of the ventral ectoderm oriented with the apical domain on top. Scale bar in B represents 10 μm and also applies to C. (**D**) Violon plot showing the quantification of the membrane to cytoplasmic ratio for FLAG-Yrt FL, FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$ and FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ expressed the embryonic ectoderm. (**E-G**) Immunostainings performed with anti-FLAG antibodies in S2 cells expressing indicated FLAG-tagged constructs. Scale bar in **E** represents 5 μm and also applies to F-G. (**J**) Violon plot showing the quantification of the membrane to cytoplasmic ratio for FLAG-GFP, FLAG-Yrt FL, FLAG-Yrt$^{BH1K/R>D}$, FLAG-Yrt$^{BH2K>D}$ and FLAG-Yrt $^{BH2K>D,K>A}$ expressed in S2 cells. (**D, J**) Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test compare different groups to FLAG-Yrt FL. * $P = 0.0200$; ** $P = 0.0042$; **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

Fig 4. Yrt association with the lateral membrane is functionally important

(**A**) Histogram showing the hatching rate, calculated in percentage, of embryos expressing

indicated FLAG-tagged Yrt proteins. Error bars represent SEM, and statistical significance was

assessed by using Fisher's exact test (FL: $n = 269$; FERM-FA: $n = 234$; ΔFERM: $n = 270$; FA-VR:

$n = 233$; VR: $n = 269$; VRΔPBM: $n = 235$; BH1K/R>D: $n = 237$; BH2K>D: $n = 265$; 5D: $n = 247$).

(**B**) Western blot showing the relative expression of indicated FLAG-tagged constructs in stage 11-

12 embryos. Actin was used as loading control.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge K. Basler and the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center for reagents. Flybase was used as an important database for this work. This work was supported by operating grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) to P.L. (PJT-185951) and M.L. (PJT-178198). F.C. is supported by a scholarship from FRQ-S, and C. B. is a postdoctoral Europe Horizon MSCA-PF grantee.

Author contributions

P.L. conceived the project. C.A., C.G., F.C., F.P.P. and N.M. performed experiments and/or prepared reagents and contributed to data analysis. C.B. trained C.A. and contributed to initial *in vivo* analyses. A.J. performed statistical analysis and assembled figures with C.G. and C.A. P.L. wrote the manuscript, which was proofread by co-authors. P.L. and M.L. secured funding.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

Bailey, M.J., and K.E. Prehoda. 2015. Establishment of Par-Polarized Cortical Domains via
Phosphoregulated Membrane Motifs. *Developmental cell*. 35:199-210.

Baines, A.J. 2006. A FERM-adjacent (FA) region defines a subset of the 4.1 superfamily and is a
potential regulator of FERM domain function. *BMC Genomics*. 7:85.

Banerjee, S., A.D. Sousa, and M.A. Bhat. 2006. Organization and function of septate junctions:
an evolutionary perspective. *Cell Biochem Biophys*. 46:65-77.

Bieber, A.J., P.M. Snow, M. Hortsch, N.H. Patel, J.R. Jacobs, Z.R. Traquina, J. Schilling, and
C.S. Goodman. 1989. Drosophila neuroglian: a member of the immunoglobulin
superfamily with extensive homology to the vertebrate neural adhesion molecule L1. *Cell*.
59:447-460.

Biehler, C., K.E. Rothenberg, A. Jette, H.M. Gaude, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, and P. Laprise.
2021. Pak1 and PP2A antagonize aPKC function to support cortical tension induced by
the Crumbs-Yurt complex. *Elife*. 10.

Biehler, C., L.T. Wang, M. Sevigny, A. Jette, C.L. Gamblin, R. Catterall, E. Houssin, L.
McCaffrey, and P. Laprise. 2020. Girdin is a component of the lateral polarity protein
network restricting cell dissemination. *PLoS Genet*. 16:e1008674.

Brand, A.H., A.S. Manoukian, and N. Perrimon. 1994. Ectopic expression in Drosophila.
Methods Cell Biol. 44:635-654.

Brzeska, H., J. Guag, K. Remmert, S. Chacko, and E.D. Korn. 2010. An experimentally based
computer search identifies unstructured membrane-binding sites in proteins: application to
class I myosins, PAKS, and CARMIL. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 285:5738-
5747.

Buckley, C.E., and D. St Johnston. 2022. Apical-basal polarity and the control of epithelial form
and function. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*.

Ehret, T., T. Heissenberg, S. de Buhr, C. Aponte-Santamaria, C. Steinem, and F. Grater. 2023.
FERM domains recruit ample PI(4,5)P(2)s to form extensive protein-membrane
attachments. *Biophys J*. 122:1325-1333.

Gamblin, C.L., E.J. Hardy, F.J. Chartier, N. Bisson, and P. Laprise. 2014. A bidirectional
antagonism between aPKC and Yurt regulates epithelial cell polarity. *The Journal of cell
biology*. 204:487-495.

Gamblin, C.L., F. Parent-Prevost, K. Jacquet, C. Biehler, A. Jette, and P. Laprise. 2018.
Oligomerization of the FERM-FA protein Yurt controls epithelial cell polarity. *The
Journal of cell biology*.

Gassama-Diagne, A., W. Yu, M. ter Beest, F. Martin-Belmonte, A. Kierbel, J. Engel, and K.
Mostov. 2006. Phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate regulates the formation of the
basolateral plasma membrane in epithelial cells. *Nature cell biology*. 8:963-970.

Groth, A.C., M. Fish, R. Nusse, and M.P. Calos. 2004. Construction of transgenic Drosophila by
using the site-specific integrase from phage phiC31. *Genetics*. 166:1775-1782.

Hartenstein, V. 1993. Atlas of Drosophila development. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press,
Plainview, N.Y. v, 57 p. pp.

Hoover, K.B., and P.J. Bryant. 2002. Drosophila Yurt is a new protein-4.1-like protein required
for epithelial morphogenesis. *Dev Genes Evol*. 212:230-238.

Krahn, M.P. 2020. Phospholipids of the Plasma Membrane - Regulators or Consequence of Cell
Polarity? *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 8:277.

Laprise, P., S. Beronja, N.F. Silva-Gagliardi, M. Pellikka, A.M. Jensen, C.J. McGlade, and U.
Tepass. 2006. The FERM protein Yurt is a negative regulatory component of the Crumbs
complex that controls epithelial polarity and apical membrane size. *Developmental cell*.
11:363-374.

Laprise, P., P. Chailler, M. Houde, J.F. Beaulieu, M.J. Boucher, and N. Rivard. 2002.
Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase controls human intestinal epithelial cell differentiation by
promoting adherens junction assembly and p38 MAPK activation. *The Journal of*
biological chemistry. 277:8226-8234.

Laprise, P., K.M. Lau, K.P. Harris, N.F. Silva-Gagliardi, S.M. Paul, S. Beronja, G.J. Beitel, C.J.
McGlade, and U. Tepass. 2009. Yurt, Coracle, Neurexin IV and the Na(+),K(+)-ATPase
form a novel group of epithelial polarity proteins. *Nature*. 459:1141-1145.

Laprise, P., S.M. Paul, J. Boulanger, R.M. Robbins, G.J. Beitel, and U. Tepass. 2010. Epithelial
polarity proteins regulate Drosophila tracheal tube size in parallel to the luminal matrix
pathway. *Current biology : CB*. 20:55-61.

Maity, R., J. Pauty, J. Krietsch, R. Buisson, M.M. Genois, and J.Y. Masson. 2013. GST-His
purification: a two-step affinity purification protocol yielding full-length purified proteins.
J Vis Exp:e50320.

Martin-Belmonte, F., A. Gassama, A. Datta, W. Yu, U. Rescher, V. Gerke, and K. Mostov. 2007.
PTEN-mediated apical segregation of phosphoinositides controls epithelial
morphogenesis through Cdc42. *Cell*. 128:383-397.

Osswald, M., A. Barros-Carvalho, A.M. Carmo, N. Loyer, P.C. Gracio, C.E. Sunkel, C.C.F.
Homem, J. Januschke, and E. Morais-de-Sa. 2022. aPKC regulates apical constriction to
prevent tissue rupture in the Drosophila follicular epithelium. *Current biology : CB*.

Tepass, U. 2009. FERM proteins in animal morphogenesis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 19:357-367.

Tepass, U., G. Tanentzapf, R. Ward, and R. Fehon. 2001. Epithelial cell polarity and cell
424   junctions in Drosophila. *Annu Rev Genet*. 35:747-784.

425   Wodarz, A., U. Hinz, M. Engelbert, and E. Knust. 1995. Expression of crumbs confers apical
426   character on plasma membrane domains of ectodermal epithelia of Drosophila. *Cell*.
427   82:67-76.

A Full-length (FL) and truncated FLAG-tagged Yrt proteins

Alende et al. Fig. 1

Alende et al. Fig. 2

Alende et al. Fig. 4