**Title:** Potentiating Na$_V$1.1 in Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived GABAergic neurons increases neuronal

firing frequency and decreases network synchrony

**Authors** Matt R. Kelley[1], Laura B. Chipman[2*], Shoh Asano [3], Matthew Knott[1], Samantha T. Howard[1], Allison P.

Berg[1].

**Affiliations**

[1]Rare Disease Research Unit, Pfizer Research and Development, Pfizer Inc., 610 Main Street, Cambridge,

MA, 02139, USA

[2]Centers for Therapeutic Innovation, Emerging Science and Innovation, Pfizer Research and Development,

Pfizer Inc., 610 Main Street, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA

[3]Internal Medicine Research Unit, Pfizer Research and Development, Pfizer Inc., 610 Main Street, Cambridge,

MA, 02139, USA

*Correspondence: laura.chipman@pfizer.com

**Abstract**

Dravet syndrome is a developmental and epileptic encephalopathy characterized by seizures, behavioral

abnormalities, developmental deficits, and elevated risk of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP).

Most patient cases are caused by *de novo* loss-of-function mutations in the gene *SCN1A*, causing a

haploinsufficiency of the alpha subunit of the voltage-gated sodium channel Na$_V$1.1. Within the brain, Na$_V$1.1 is

primarily localized to the axons of inhibitory neurons, and decreased Na$_V$1.1 function is hypothesized to reduce

GABAergic inhibitory neurotransmission within the brain, driving neuronal network hyperexcitability and

subsequent pathology. We have developed a human *in vitro* model of Dravet syndrome using differentiated

neurons derived from patient iPSC and enriched for GABA expressing neurons. Neurons were plated on high

definition multielectrode arrays (HD-MEAs), permitting recordings from the same cultures over the 7-weeks

duration of study at the network, single cell, and subcellular resolution. Using this capability, we characterized

the features of axonal morphology and physiology. Neurons developed increased spiking activity and

synchronous network bursting. Recordings were processed through a spike sorting pipeline for curation of

single unit activity and to assess the effects of pharmacological treatments. At 7-weeks, the application of the

GABA$_A$R receptor agonist muscimol eliminated network bursting, indicating the presence of GABAergic

neurotransmission. To identify the role of Na$_V$1.1 on neuronal and network activity, cultures were treated with a

dose-response of the Na$_V$1.1 potentiator δ-theraphotoxin-Hm1a. This resulted in a strong increase in firing

rates of putative GABAergic neurons, an increase in the intraburst firing rate, and eliminated network bursting.

These results validate that potentiation of Na$_V$1.1 in Dravet patient iPSC-derived neurons results in decreased

firing synchrony in neuronal networks through increased GABAergic neuron activity and support the use of

human neurons and HD-MEAs as viable high-throughput electrophysiological platform to enable therapeutic

discovery.

**Introduction**

Dravet syndrome is a rare epileptic encephalopathy with disease onset occurring within the first two years of

life. Patients exhibit seizures, episodes of status epilepticus, visual deficits, cognitive delay, age-dependent

movement disorders, and elevated risk for premature death (Gataullina & Dulac, 2017). The majority of Dravet

syndrome cases result from *de novo* loss-of-function (LOF) mutations in *SCN1A,* the gene coding for the

sodium channel protein type 1 subunit alpha, a component of the voltage-gated sodium channel Na$_V$1.1.

(Dravet, 2011). Genetic mouse models of Na$_V$1.1 haploinsufficiency have demonstrated specific reduction of

sodium currents and action potential firing in GABAergic inhibitory interneurons as the fundamental cause of

pathology resembling Dravet syndrome (Catterall, 2018; Colasante et al., 2020; Yamagata et al., 2020).

Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) technology has enabled the use of human neurons as model systems for

studying mechanisms of neurological disorders, including in Dravet syndrome (Takahashi & Yamanaka, 2006).

These cellular systems contain identical genetic background to the donor patient, enabling a platform for

molecular and physiological translational studies. Advances in cellular programming have resulted in the ability

to differentiate many unique neuron types, including neurons with similar features to human forebrain

GABAergic interneurons relevant to Dravet syndrome pathophysiology (Liu et al., 2013). For our study, cortical

GABAergic neurons were iPSC-derived from a Dravet syndrome pediatric patient with a truncation mutation in

*SCN1A.* We electrically characterized the neuronal cultures over 7-weeks using high-density multielectrode

arrays (HD-MEAs). Each HD-MEA featured 26,400 electrodes and an electrode pitch of 17.5 μm, enabling

recordings at single cell and subcellular resolution (Muller et al., 2015). The neuronal cultures in this study

developed spontaneous neuronal firing, neuronal bursting activity, and recurrent, robust network bursting. HD-

MEAs also provide a high-throughput and high spatiotemporal resolution platform for obtaining direct electrical

readouts of axon potential propagation (Bakkum, Frey, et al., 2013; Emmenegger et al., 2019; Radivojevic et

al., 2017). Using HD-MEAs, we recorded from the axons of the Dravet patient iPSC-derived neurons over

development, demonstrating the feasibility of this technology for high-throughput recording of axonal

physiology features.

Mouse models of $Na_V1.1$ haploinsufficiency have supported that prospective therapies which rescue $Na_V1.1$

levels should be clinically effective to reduce seizures and ameliorate comorbidities (Han, 2020; Tanenhaus et

al., 2022; Valassina et al., 2022; Yamagata et al., 2020). To assess the electrophysiological effects of

activating $Na_V1.1$ in the Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived GABAergic neurons, we treated the neuronal

cultures with Hm1a, a spider venom peptide that selectively potentiates $Na_V1.1$ (Osteen et al., 2016).

Intracerebroventricular infusion of Hm1a in a mouse Dravet syndrome model of $Na_V1.1$ haploinsufficiency has

been previously demonstrated to rescue action potential firing in GABAergic interneurons without affecting

excitatory neuronal firing, resulting in reduction of seizure count and mortality  (Mattis et al., 2022; Richards et

al., 2018). We found that Hm1a increased the firing rate and intraburst frequency of the human GABAergic

neurons, along with decreasing neuronal network synchrony. These results support HD-MEA technology

combined with patient iPSC-derived neurons as a high throughput platform for drug discovery research and

targeting rescue of $Na_V1.1$ function in Dravet syndrome.

**Results**

**Development of human iPSC-derived neuron *in vitro* model of Dravet syndrome.**

An induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived from a Dravet syndrome patient was differentiated into a

population of enriched cortical GABAergic neurons (Fig1 1a). The patient possessed a frameshift mutation in

*SCN1A* resulting in a truncation. The identity of neurons expressing GABA was confirmed by immunostaining

at DIV 7 for the neuronal marker MAP2 and GABA. At this timepoint, 69% of cells were positive for the

neuronal marker MAP2, and 64% of MAP2 cells were positive for GABA (Figure 1b). To understand the

relative expression of *SCN1A* across time in culture, a RT-qPCR experiment was performed from RNA

extracted from days *in vitro* (DIV) -10, -14, -21, and -28. The fold change of *SCN1A* expression for each

timepoint to DIV 10 was determined (mean fold change ± SD.  DIV-14: 1.3 ± 0.5, DIV-21: 1.9 ± 0.1, $P < 0.01$,

DIV-28: 2.7 ± 0.2, $P < 0.0001$, $n$=8 biological replicates per timepoint). This demonstrated an upregulation of

*SCN1A* expression across 4 weeks *in vitro* in the neuronal cultures.

**Characterization of Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neurons by single cell RNA sequencing.**

To further characterize the neurons, a single cell RNA sequencing experiment was performed from neurons

dissociated at DIV 21. The transcriptomes of 6208 cells were sequenced and after quality control and

preprocessing, single cells were grouped off gene expression profiling and visualized using uniform manifold

approximation and projection (UMAP) plots (Fig 1d). To characterize these cells, we looked at which cells were

expressing neuronal and GABAergic markers. The neuronal marker, *MAP2*, was detected in 90.2% of cells

while 42.3% of sequenced cells expressed at least one GABAergic marker (Fig 1e-f). As expected, most cells,

(99.7%), expressing GABAergic marker transcripts were also positive for *MAP2*. Given we were looking at

Dravet patient-derived neurons, we assessed the expression patterns of the disease-causing gene, *SCN1A*

which was expressed in 38.1% of cells (Fig 1g). Of the 2366 cells expressing *SCN1A*, 46.3% expressed at

least one of the GABAergic markers: *GAD1*, *GAD2*, *DLX2*, or *DLX5*. While the cultures were enriched for

GABAergic neurons as expected, a minority of cells expressed other neuronal cell type markers, such as 12%

of cells that expressed the glutamatergic marker *SLC17A6* (data not shown). Of the 746 *SLC17A6* expressing

cells, 399 were positive of *SCN1A*. These scRNA-seq results demonstrate that the iPSC patient-derived

neurons were enriched for GABAergic neuronal markers and a large population of these neurons expressed

*SCN1A*.

106
**Figure 1**. **Dravet syndrome patient-derived iPSC Cortical GABAergic neurons upregulate *SCN1A* and**
**are positive for neuronal markers.** a) Cortical GABAergic neurons were produced from Dravet syndrome
patient-derived iPSCs and activity assessed using high density multielectrode arrays. b) Neurons were plated
and immunostained for the neuronal marker MAP2 and GABA, 44% of cells were positive for both markers. c)
Quantitative PCR on RNA extracted from neuronal cultures demonstrated an upregulation of *SCN1A.* d) Single
cell RNA sequencing of the neurons performed at DIV 21 mapped to 11 clusters. e-f) Cells demonstrated
strong expression of neuronal and GABAergic marker genes. e) Cells broadly expressed the neuronal marker
MAP2. f) Cells expressed multiple markers of GABAergic neurons along with *SCN1A.* ** $P < 0.01$, **** $P <$
0.0001. One-way ANOVA.
116

**Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neuronal cultures on HD-MEAs exhibited neuronal firing and**

**network synchrony.**

We used HD-MEAs to record electrophysiological activity from the Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived

cortical GABAergic neurons and characterized the neuronal cultures through development. The neurons were

plated with apparently normal human iPSC-derived astrocytes to support viability of the cultures over the 7-

week time course of the experiment. The arrays were fixed at end of experiment at DIV 42, labeled with GAD-

67 and VGLUT2 antibodies and imaged by confocal microscope. As the cultures developed, these microscopy

images confirmed that dense neuronal networks formed on the array surface (Fig 2a). To map electrical activity

of each culture, an activity scan of electrodes was performed across each HD-MEA surface at weekly

timepoints. All *n*=12 HD-MEAs plated for this study were electrically active and exhibited spontaneous

neuronal firing well above the spike detection threshold of 5.5 standard deviations of the average voltage of

recording traces (Figure 2b). Through analysis of the activity scan data, electrical images were constructed to

depict the activity of the cultures in firing rate and spike amplitude over the 7-week time course (Fig 2c).

Observed activity increased over time in percent of channels active across the array, mean channel firing rate,

mean channel interspike interval and mean channel spike amplitude. (Fig 2d-g. *n*=12 HD-MEAs, DIV 14 to DIV

42: Active channels: 13.0 ± 1.6% to 42.5 ± 3.7%, firing rate: 0.57 ± 0.02 Hz to 1.31 ± 0.05 Hz, interspike

interval:  85.7 ± 1.12 ms to 101.7 ± 0.95 ms, *P* < 0.0001, spike amplitude: 36.4 ± 0.3 µV to 40.0 ± µV,  *P* <

0.001). Using the activity scan data, a network recording was performed in the neuronal-unit configuration (see

methods) with 1020 channels recorded in parallel. Starting at DIV 28, the neuronal cultures showed network

bursting. Bursting events were detected by the $ISI_N$ algorithm, to determine burst start and stop timepoints

(Bakkum, Radivojevic, et al., 2013).  At DIV 42, networks showed robust and recurring bursting events (Figure

2h). The bursts featured a rise in instantaneous average firing frequency of the channels followed by a

depression in firing rate (Figure 2i). There was an increase of burst duration, intraburst peak firing rate,

interburst interval, the number of spikes per burst, and a decrease in burst interspike interval, from DIV 28 to

42 (Figure 2j-m, Table 1).

**Table 1. Burst features of Dravet syndrome patient-derived iPSC Cortical GABAergic neurons.**
143

| | Days *in vitro* | | | | DIV 28 to 35 | DIV 28 to 42 | DIV 35 to 42 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 28 | 35 | 42 | | | | |
| **Burst event count** | 81 | 31 | 49 | | | | |
| **Burst features (mean ± SEM)** | | | | | | | |
| Burst duration (sec) | 1.72 ± 0.12 | 2.29 ± 0.18 | 2.00 ± 0.05 | | *** | *** | ns |
| Intraburst peak firing rate (Hz) | 1.85 ± 0.05 | 1.62 ± 0.14 | 2.97 ± 0.07 | | ** | **** | **** |
| Interburst interval (sec) | 14.0 ± 2.4 | 17.9 ± 5.1 | 32.7 ± 2.1 | | * | **** | ** |
| Mean burst interspike interval (ms) | 208.2 ± 6.5 | 241.0 ± 10.6 | 151.8 ± 1.5 | | ns | **** | **** |
| Number of spikes in burst | 3161 ± 237 | 2640 ± 399 | 5396 ± 179 | | ns | **** | **** |

Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test.
\* *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001

**Figure 2. Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neurons fire spontaneously and display network bursting when plated on HD-MEAs.** a) Following plating of neurons and astrocytes on HD-MEAs, recordings were performed weekly till DIV 42. Representative image of a HD-MEA well at DIV 42, with GAD-67 (green) VGLUT2 (yellow). b) HD-MEAs used permitted recording channels from 1020 electrodes in parallel. Three example traces from individual channels. c) Each HD-MEA was scanned weekly for firing activity using the Activity Scan assay (Maxwell Biosystems). Images of a HD-MEA well across time in culture showing firing rate (top row) and spike amplitude (bottom row) of scanned channels. d-g) Features of the neuronal cultures derived from activity scans of $n$=12 HD-MEAs. Each point represents the average from 1 MEA. Statistics are means compared to DIV 14. From DIV 14 to 42, there was an increase in HD-MEA active area, channel firing rate, interspike interval, and spike amplitude. h) Cultures plated on the HD-MEAs displayed synchronous network bursting, detected by ISI$_N$ algorithm. Raster plot of a 5-minute recording at DIV 42 plotted above the average instantaneous spike frequency of channels. Each detected burst is highlighted by the grey and green shading, with black dot identifying peak. i) Magnified raster plot and instantaneous firing frequency of burst identified by red line in e. j - m) Features of network bursts detected from bursting events from DIV 28, 35, and 42. There was an increase in burst duration, intraburst peak firing rate of the average instantaneous spike frequency, IBI, and decrease in the mean burst ISI. ns: no significant difference, * $P$ <0.05, ** $P$ < 0.01, *** $P$ < 0.001, **** $P$ < 0.0001. Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test.

**Characterization of axonal morphology and physiology in Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neurons using HD-MEAs**

HD-MEA technology enables recording neuronal activity at subcellular resolution and a non-invasive method to record axon potential propagation (Bakkum, Frey, et al., 2013; Emmenegger et al., 2019; Radivojevic et al., 2017). In this study, we used this capability of HD-MEAs to characterize the development of Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neuronal axons over development *in vitro* and measured features of axonal physiology. *N*=6 HD-MEAs were recorded weekly through DIV 42. Following a scan for neuronal activity, thirty putative neurons were identified on each HD-MEA using a spike sorting algorithm included with the AxonTracking assay (Maxwell Biosystems AG). 1532 neurons were identified from this experiment, and features of axons characterized (Table 2). Tracking of axons across the surface of the HD-MEAs showed widespread axonal arbors (Figure 3a). Neurons demonstrated a variety of axon shapes and lengths that were electrically imaged on the MEA surface through a heat map of the maximum detected voltage trace of each axon (Figure 3b). Recordings from electrodes along the axon enabled derivation of the axon potential conduction velocity for each neuron (Figure 3c). There as an increase over DIV 35 to DIV 42 in neuron firing rate, amplitude at the axon initiation site, and total axon lengths (Figure 3d - 3f). The conduction velocity, longest branch length, and longest latency of spike from initiation site to terminal synapse plateaued prior to DIV 42 (Figure 3g – 3i). These results confirmed the maturations of the Dravet patient iPSC-derived neurons and the feasibility of HD-MEA technology for high-throughput recording of axonal physiology features.

**Table 2. Features of Dravet syndrome patient-derived iPSC Cortical GABAergic neurons.**

| | Days *in vitro* | | | | | DIV 21 to 28 | DIV 21 to 35 | DIV 21 to 42 | DIV 28 to 35 | DIV 28 to 42 | DIV 35 to 42 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 21 | 28 | 35 | 42 | | | | | | | |
| Neuron count | 199 | 367 | 475 | 491 | | | | | | | |
| Axon features (mean ± SEM) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Firing rate (Hz) | 1.45 ± 0.05 | 2.31 ± 0.07 | 3.00 ± 0.07 | 3.33 ± 0.08 | | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | ns |
| Amplitude at initiation site (µV) | 16.8 ± 0.3 | 19.2 ± 0.4 | 22.5 ± 0.5 | 22.9 ± 0.5 | | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | ns |
| Total axon length (mm) | 0.91 ± 0.08 | 1.77 ± 0.12 | 2.31 ± 0.11 | 3.29 ± 0.13 | | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |
| Conduction velocity (m/s) | 0.484 ± 0.006 | 0.506 ± 0.004 | 0.513 ± 0.004 | 0.521 ± 0.003 | | *** | **** | **** | ns | ns | ns |
| Longest branch length (mm) | 0.40 ± 0.02 | 0.50 ± 0.02 | 0.53 ± 0.02 | 0.56 ± 0.02 | | * | **** | **** | ns | **** | ns |
| Longest latency (ms) | 2.1 ± 0.1 | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 3.3 ± 0.1 | 3.5 ± 0.1 | | *** | **** | **** | *** | **** | ns |

Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test.
$*$ $P < 0.05$, $**$ $P < 0.01$, $***$ $P < 0.001$, $****$ $P < 0.0001$

185
**Figure 3. High-density MEA recordings enabled detection and characterization of Dravet patient iPSC-**
**derived neuronal axons.** 1844 neurons were recorded for this experiment. a) Map of a HD-MEA with
reconstructed axons (red LINES) detected using the AxonTracking Assay (Maxwell Biosytems). b) An example
identified neuron with detected axon branches traced in red. c) Raw traces of detected axon extracellular
action potential waveform (EAP) from neuron branch indicated in b by bold red permitted calculation of
conduction velocity over 1 mm distance. d-i) Features of detected neurons and axons from week 3 to 6. ns: no
significant difference, * *P* <0.05, *** *P* < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001. Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák
multiple-comparison test.
194
195
196

**Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neurons displayed a diversity of neuronal firing phenotypes.**

Following an activity scan for neuronal activity on each plated HD-MEA, select electrodes were routed for a

neuronal network recording from putative neuronal units. Spike-sorting was performed on each preprocessed

5-minute recording from *n*=6 HD-MEAs followed from DIV 28, 35 and 42. This facilitated characterization of

individual neurons and neuronal network features of the Dravet syndrome iPSC-derived neurons (Figure 4a,

features in Table 3).  An average of 366 ± 25 neurons was sorted per HD-MEA, with *n*=6 HD-MEAs per

timepoint. The average maximum voltage extracellular action potential (EAP) waveform was used for

waveform feature extraction and identifying the location of neurons on the HD-MEA surface (Figure 4b).

Waveform features were extracted from each neuron (Figure 4d, Table 3). Neurons displayed various firing

modes, including regular intervals of spiking or discrete bursting episodes (Figure 4e). Analysis of the extracted

waveform features showed a change through development. From DIV 28 to 42, there was a decrease in mean

spike amplitude, half-width, peak latency, asymmetry ratio, decay, recovery slope, and an increase in trough-

to-peak ratio, repolarization slope (Figure 4f). Unit activity features identified the firing and bursting properties

of the neurons. In analysis of the complete cohort of spike sorted neurons, there was an increase in firing rate

over development (Figure 4f). Neurons were assessed for bursting activity by the logISI method (Pasquale et

al., 2010) (Figure 4g). There was a limited change in the percent of neurons at each DIV timepoint

characterized as bursting (Figure 4h. DIV-28: 46.1%, DIV-35: 50.7 %, DIV-42: 49.1%) This showed that across

development from 28 to 42 DIV, the percent of neurons with bursting activity remained consistent. From DIV 28

to 42, there was no significant change in the mean burst frequency of occurrence or burst duration (Figure 4i).

Identified bursting neurons demonstrated mean intraburst frequencies near 30 Hz with some neurons firing at

intraburst frequency of over 40 Hz (Figure 4i). Intraburst firing rate increased over development from DIV 28 to

42. For each neuronal culture, the spike timepoints were assessed by the $ISI_N$ algorithm (Bakkum, Radivojevic,

et al., 2013) for neuronal network bursting activity. Repeat and robust network bursting events were detected

on all cultures (Figure 4j). The frequency of network bursts and burst duration increased over development

from DIV 28 to 42. However, there was no significant difference in number of neuronal spikes per burst over

the similar period. These results showed that HD-MEA recordings provide a platform for high throughput

characterization of neuron and neuronal network features across multiple weeks of development *in vitro.*

**Figure 4. Spike sorting was performed on HD-MEA recorded Dravet syndrome patient iPSC derived neuronal cultures to identify and characterize neuronal features and contribution to network activity.** a) Recordings were preprocessed and spike sorting performed using the SpykingCircus algorithm. Features were extracted from the extracellular action potential waveform (EAP), neuronal unit and network activity. b) Map of a HD-MEA probe and recorded unit locations. Grey squares indicated recorded 1020 electrodes and colored dots the location of detected max waveforms of spike sorted units. c) Recordings from indicated HD-MEA channels. d) Depiction of features extracted from average maximum voltage EAP of each spike sorted neurons. e) Average waveforms of 4 units detected from channels shown in c. Neuron EAPs overlayed on recordings. f) Selected features extracted from neuronal waveforms. There was an observed increase in neuron firing rate, spike amplitude, half width and trough-to peak ratio over development. g) Raster plot of 10 units over a ten second window, each marker represents neuronal spike. Red lines indicate detected bursting activity by $ISI_N$ algorithm. h) Percentage of neurons with bursting activity at DIV 28, 35, and 42. i) Neurons displayed an increase of burst frequency, intraburst firing rate, and burst duration over development. j) The instantaneous spike frequency plotted over a raster plot of neuron spike events from an HD-MEA at DIV 42. Cultures displayed synchrony and robust network bursting k) Network burst duration and frequency increased over development. ns: no significant difference, * $P$ <0.05, ** $P$ < 0.01, *** $P$ < 0.001,  **** P < 0.0001. Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test.

**Table 3. Waveform, unit activity and network activity of Dravet syndrome patient-derived iPSC cortical GABAergic neurons.**

| | Days *in vitro* | | | | DIV 28 to 35 | DIV 28 to 42 | DIV 35 to 42 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 28 | 35 | 42 | | | | |
| Neuron count | 2512 | 2277 | 1803 | | | | |
| **Waveform features (mean ± SEM)** | | | | | | | |
| Amplitude (µV) | -47.6 ± 0.3 | -50.7 ± 0.4 | -52.6 ± 0.5 | | **** | **** | *** |
| Half width (ms) | 0.29 ± 0.00 | 0.3 ± 0.00 | 0.28 ± 0.00 | | ns | **** | **** |
| Peak latency (ms) | 0.76 ± 0.01 | 0.75 ± 0.01 | 0.74 ± 0.01 | | ns | **** | * |
| Asymmetry ratio | -0.26 ± 0.01 | -0.29 ± 0.01 | -0.28 ± 0.01 | | **** | **** | * |
| Trough to peak ratio | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 5.69 ± 0.05 | 5.98 ± 0.06 | | ns | ** | *** |
| Decay (ms) | 4.65 ± 0.01 | 4.64 ± 0.01 | 4.61± 0.01 | | ns | ** | *** |
| Repolarization slope | 19.4 ± 0.2 | 21.3 ± 0.3 | 23.5 ± 0.4 | | ** | **** | *** |
| Recovery slope | -1.2 ± 0.1 | -1.3 ± 0.0 | -1.3 ± 0.0 | | **** | **** | ns |
| **Unit activity features (mean ± SEM)** | | | | | | | |
| Spike frequency (Hz) | 1.2 ± 0.0 | 1.1 ± 0.0 | 1.5 ± 0.03 | | ns | **** | **** |
| Interspike interval (ms) | 3.66 ± 0.09 | 3.63 ± 0.10 | 3.29 ± 0.13 | | ns | **** | **** |
| Intraburst frequency (Hz) | 27.7 ± 0.7 | 33.7 ± 1.4 | 32.5 ± 1.5 | | *** | * | ns |
| Burst frequency (bursts/min) | 7.6 ± 0.2 | 6.2 ± 0.2 | 8.3 ± 0.3 | | **** | ns | **** |
| Burst duration (sec) | 0.67 ± 0.03 | 0.53 ± 0.02 | 0.57 ± 0.02 | | * | ns | ** |
| Number of spikes in burst | 9.99 ± 0.41 | 8.62 ± 0.27 | 9.50 ± 0.30 | | ns | * | *** |
| Intraburst interspike interval (ms) | 75.3 ± 2.2 | 69.8 ± 2.0 | 70.2 ± 2.2 | | ns | ns | ns |
| **Network activity features (mean ± SEM)** | | | | | | | |
| Burst frequency (bursts/min) | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.4 ± 0.3 | 2.1 ± 0.4 | | ns | ** | *** |
| Burst duration (sec) | 1.31 ± 0.13 | 2.11 ± 0.23 | 2.25 ± 0.15 | | ns | * | ns |
| Spikes per burst | 2297 ± 186.1 | 4323 ± 666.0 | 3003 ± 174.2 | | ** | ns | ns |

Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test.
\* $P < 0.05$, \*\* $P < 0.01$, \*\*\* $P < 0.001$, \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$

**Potentiation of Na$_V$1.1 by the peptide Hm1a decreased network synchrony while increasing neuronal firing rate and neuronal intraburst spike frequency.**

Hm1a is a selective peptide potentiator of Na$_V$1.1 derived from spider venom, shown in a mouse Dravet

syndrome model of NaV1.1 haploinsufficiency to rescue action potential firing in GABAergic interneurons

without affecting excitatory neuronal firing (Osteen et al., 2016; Richards et al., 2018). We treated the neuronal

cultures on HD-MEAs at DIV-42 with Hm1a to assess the physiological effect of Na$_V$1.1 potentiation on neuron

and neural network activity. Along with Hm1a, the GABA$_A$ receptor agonist muscimol treatment was performed

to assess for functional GABA$_A$R mediated signaling. Treatments were added directly into the cell culture

media to dilute to the indicated final concentration (Figure 5a). Following an activity scan for neuronal activity

on each plated HD-MEA, select electrodes were routed for a neuronal network recording from putative

neuronal units. After a baseline recording period, 4 treatments were performed: PBS (vehicle), muscimol (10

µm), Hm1a (100 nM and 500 nM), *n*=3 HD-MEAs per treatment. These recordings were spike sorted as above

to identify individual neurons and neuronal activity features used to assess treatment effects on neuron firing

and neuronal network synchrony. Application of the $GABA_A$ receptor agonist, muscimol, to the neuronal

cultures eliminated the occurrence of recurring network bursts that were observed on the cultures at DIV 42

and during the baseline period prior to drug treatment (Figure 5b).  Application of Hm1a at 100 nM and 500 nM

resulted in a rise in average instantaneous mean firing rate followed by a return to baseline activity and

elimination of further network bursts (Figure 5b). To assess the effects of the treatments on the individual

neuron firing rate, a 30 second baseline period was compared with 30 second period initiated at the start of the

treatment added to the culture media. Each 30 second period was split into 5 second bins of averaged firing

rate. The maximum firing rate was compared for each neuron between baseline and treatment to determine a

Δ frequency in hertz. The vehicle PBS resulted in a mean Δ frequency of -0.02 ± 0.03 Hz. (Figure 5e).

Muscimol resulted in mean Δ frequency of -0.00 ± 0.11 Hz (Figure 5f). Hm1a (100 nM) resulted in mean Δ

frequency of 3.51 ± 0.31 Hz and Hm1a (500 nM) resulted in a Δ frequency of 4.35 ± 0.36 Hz (Figure 5g). Hm1a

treatment resulted in an increase of Δ frequency when compared to PBS treated neurons, but there was no

significant difference in Δ frequency between 100 and 500 nM concentrations. Neurons were assessed for unit

bursting activity by the LogISI algorithm (Pasquale et al., 2010). For the 30 second baseline and treatment

periods, Hm1a (100 nM) resulted in an increase in percent of neurons classified as bursting (baseline 23%, $n$=

78 neurons, versus Hm1a: 53%, $n$= 178 neurons). There was no significant difference in burst duration due to

Hm1a (baseline: 0.51 ± 0.13 sec, Hm1a: 1.25 ± 0.28, $P$ = 0.917). Hm1a resulted in an increase of the average

number of spikes per neuron per burst (baseline: 7.92 ± 1.78 spikes, Hm1a: 17.12 ± 3.35 spikes, $P$ = 0.03).

Hm1a increased the mean neuron intraburst firing frequency (baseline: 33.4 ± 3.2 Hz, Hm1a: 43.6 ± 2.6 Hz, $P$

< 0.01).

**Figure 5. The peptide Hm1a decreased network synchrony and increased the firing of Dravet patient iPSC-derived enriched GABAergic neurons.** a) At DIV 42, cultures were treated with drug or vehicle by pipette into cell culture media to achieve indicated concentrations. b) Plots of instantaneous spike frequency of cultures before and after treatments. Both muscimol and Hm1a halted the occurrence of recurring network bursts. c) Raster plot of spike events of 10 neurons on application of muscimol, each marker represents neuronal spike. d) Raster plot of spike events of 10 neurons on Hm1a (100 nM), each marker represents neuronal spike. Hm1a resulted in a dramatic increase of firing of multiple units and reduction of firing of others. e-g) Plots depicting neurons firing rate pre and post indicated treatment. The firing rate was calculated in 5 seconds bins over 30 seconds baseline and 30 seconds post treatment. h) The Δ frequency (Hz) was calculated as difference between treatment firing rate and baseline firing rate. Hm1a results in an increase in neuronal firing. i) Hm1a (100 nm) treatment resulted in an increase of percentage of neurons with detected bursting activity. Numbers in bar graph are total count of neurons. j) Mean burst duration of neurons 30 seconds before and 30 seconds after Hm1a (100 nM) treatment. k) Mean number of spikes per burst before and after Hm1a (100 nM) treatment. l) Neuron intraburst firing rate before and after Hm1a (100 nM) treatment.

302  ns: no significant difference, * $P$ <0.05, ** $P$ < 0.01, **** $P$ < 0.0001. Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-
303  Sidák multiple-comparison test.
304
**Discussion**

In this study, we assessed the effects of $Na_V1.1$ potentiation in Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived

GABAergic neurons, using the high-throughput electrophysiology recording capability of HD-MEA technology.

Neurons were maintained and recorded for 7-weeks on the arrays, demonstrating the robustness of this

approach to track the development of human derived neuron cultures *in vitro*. Neurons were spontaneously

active and increased in activity over the experiment, forming axonal networks, firing in bursts, and contributing

to neuronal network synchronous bursting. The HD-MEA platform enabled high-fidelity recording from axons

over development, and we obtained measurements of morphology and axon potential electrophysiological

features of 1532 neurons in this study. Treatment of the neurons with the $Na_V1.1$ potentiator Hm1a increased

average neuronal firing rate, neuronal intraburst spike frequency, and eliminated the occurrence of

synchronous network bursting.

Human iPSC-derived neurons have emerged as valuable models in enabling disease biology research and

drug discovery in neurological disorders, including epilepsy and Dravet syndrome (Simkin et al., 2022). Studies

using Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived GABAergic neurons have impaired sodium current activation,

decreased actional potential firing, hyperactive neuronal networks, and dysregulated pathways for chromatin

remodeling (Liu et al., 2016; Schuster et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2020). A limitation of our study is

the lack of isogenic control iPSC-derived neuron line or genetic correction. However, the patient line we used

here displayed high spontaneous activity and network bursting, permitting the pharmacology experiments to

assess single neuron and neuronal network responses to $Na_V1.1$ potentiation. Interneurons compose around

30% of neurons in the human cortex, compared to around 12% of neurons in the mouse cortex (Loomba et al.,

2022).  Compared with mouse models, human iPSC-derived neuron models of Dravet syndrome can contain a

percentage of interneurons closer to that of the human brain, enabling translational models of neuronal

networks and determination of contribution of deficits in inhibitory versus excitatory neurons. In our study, the

single cell RNA transcriptome confirmed that the 42.3% of cells sequenced in this study expressed at least one

GABAergic neuron marker. Other Dravet syndrome iPSC-derived human neuron models with percentages of

interneurons in the neuronal network similar to that of the human brain support that Dravet syndrome

pathophysiology derives from defective inhibitory neurons (Higurashi et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016; Sun et al.,

2016).

Treatment of the Dravet patient iPSC-derived neurons with the $Na_V1.1$ potentiator Hm1a increased firing rate,

the percentage of neurons with bursting activity, and the neuronal intraburst firing frequency. Hm1a is a highly

selective potentiator of $Na_V1.1$, delaying channel inactivation (Osteen et al., 2017). In mice carrying the human

Dravet syndrome $Scn1a^{+/ R1407X}$ variant, Hm1a treatment had minimal effect on firing properties of excitatory

CA1 pyramidal neurons while rescuing action potential firing collapse of inhibitory CA1 GABAergic

interneurons (Richards et al., 2018). A similar finding was found in the $Scn1a^{+/-}$ mouse dentate gyrus, where

Hm1a rescued the fast-spiking discharges of parvalbumin positive interneurons and had no effect on evoked

granule cell activation. In our experiment, the majority of Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived neurons

showed an increase in firing rate due to Hm1a treatment and there was an observed increase in the mean

intraburst firing frequency of the neurons from 33.4 to 43.6 Hz. The single cell RNA transcriptome data of our

neuron line supports that the acute effect of Hm1a observed increased the firing activity of GABAergic

neurons. We also found that the increased neuron firing rate resulted in the elimination of recurring network

bursts present in untreated cultures at similar timepoint. When Hm1a was given to Dravet syndrome model

mice by intracerebroventricular injection, both seizure events and epileptiform interictal discharges were

significantly reduced (Richards et al., 2018). Further studies are needed to determine if the network synchrony

emergent from our neuron preparation represents a model for epileptiform discharges exhibited by Dravet

syndrome patients.

HD-MEAs provide a high-throughput approach for reliable recording from human iPSC-derived neuronal

cultures at network, single cell, and subcellular resolution (Ronchi et al., 2021). The use of CMOS-based HD-

MEAs permitted parallel recording from specific regions of neuronal activity across the array surface, enabling

a high-resolution electrical activity map of the neuronal network. The assessment of axonal physiology of the of

neurons has historically been difficult to obtain due to technical limitations (Emmenegger et al., 2019). Here we

recorded the complete axonal arbors of 1532 neurons. HD-MEAs present the ideal platform for studying axonal

physiology due to their high spatiotemporal resolution and ability to record high throughput noninvasively

(Bakkum, Frey, et al., 2013; Buccino et al., 2022; Bullmann et al., 2019; Radivojevic et al., 2017). $Na_v1.1$ is

found at the axon initial segment and nodes of Ranvier of GABAergic interneurons, playing a significant role in

axonal physiology (Duflocq et al., 2008; Ogiwara et al., 2007).  We confirmed that the Dravet syndrome iPSC-

derived neurons had branching axons up to several millimeters in length and showed robust axon potential

firing. It is likely due to no myelination, the conduction velocity of the action potential plateaued by DIV 28.

CMOS-HD-MEAs are an ideal technology for screening treatments for Dravet syndrome and other neurological

disorders where deficits in axonal physiology are implicated. We recorded from the axons of the Dravet patient

iPSC-derived neurons over development, demonstrating the feasibility of this technology for high throughput

recording of axonal physiology features.

Human iPSC-derived neurons combined with HD-MEA technology provide a high throughput assay platform

for furthering the understanding of Dravet syndrome pathophysiology and development of therapeutics. In this

study we have recorded at neuronal network, neuron, and subcellular resolution to characterize a patient-

derived neuronal culture through development and assay a tool peptide $Na_V1.1$ potentiator. Our data supports

the continued development of strategies to target $Na_V1.1$ rescue to control seizures and ameliorate co-

morbidities in Dravet syndrome. The increased inclusion of high throughput electrophysiological readouts of

human neurons to drug discovery screening can enable and accelerate the development of novel therapeutic

approaches for neurological disorders.

**Methods**

**Production of cortical GABAergic neurons from Dravet syndrome patient induced pluripotent stem**

**cells.**

Human iPSCs derived from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of a Dravet syndrome patient with *SCN1A*

Variant 1:1 base pair deletion of T; Nucleotide Position 4522' Codon Position: 1508; resulting in a frame shift

(https://ebisc.org/PFIZi019-A), were differentiated into cortical GABAergic neurons at BrainXell, Inc. following

protocol adapted from (Liu et al., 2013).

**Immunostaining**

Neurons were thawed and plated on poly-D-lysine (PDL) coated 96-well plates. At DIV 7, media was removed, and cultures washed with Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (D-PBS). Cultures were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. 2x D-PBS washes were performed and blocking solution added (0.1% Triton X-100, 2.5% donkey serum in D-PBS) for 10 min. Blocking solution was removed, and primary antibodies were added in blocking solution, incubated overnight at 4º C (MAP2 1:1000 Invitrogen #MA5-12826, GABA 1:1000 Sigma Aldrich #A2052). Cultures were washed 2X with D-PBS and blocking performed using 5% donkey serum for 10 min. Blocking solution was removed, and secondary antibodies were added in blocking solution, incubated for 45 min, protected from light (iFluor488 1:1000 AAT Bioquest #16773, iFluor 555 1:1000 AAT Bioquest, #16831, Hoechst 33258 (10 mg/ml, 1:2000), Biotium #40045. Cultures were washed with D-PBS and imaged at 20x. The percentage of Hoescht stained cells expressing MAP2 and GABA was measured from acquired images.

**Characterization of *SCN1A* expression in Dravet patient-derived neurons using qPCR**.

Dravet syndrome patient iPSC-derived cortical GABAergic neurons were plated with human iPSC-derived cortical astrocytes (BrainXell, Inc., #BX-0600) in a 5:1 ratio on PDL-coated 24 well plate at 300,000 cells per well. At DIV 10, 14, 21 and 28, *n*=3 wells were lysed, and reverse transcription performed using TaqMan Gene Expression Cells-to-C$_T$ kit (Life Technologies Corp.) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using TaqMan assays (ThermoFisher Scientific) for *Scn1a* (Hs0037496_m1) and control gene *TBP* (Hs00427620_m1). The delta-delta CT method was used to calculate fold change of *SCN1A* to DIV 10 expression level (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

**Single cell RNA sequencing.**

A single cell RNA sequencing experiment was performed to understand the cell identity of Dravet patient induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived neurons. Neurons were thawed following the manufacturer's protocol and plated in 24-well plate format at 300,000 live cells/well. Base media contained DMEM/F-12 media (0.5x, Gibco), Neurobasal media (0.5x, Gibco), B-27 supplement (1x, Gibco), N-2 supplement (1x, Gibco), GlutaMax (0.5 mM, Gibco), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, 10 ng/mL, PeproTech), glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, 10 ng/mL, PeproTech), and TGF-β1 (1 ng/mL, PeproTech), for a total of 500 mL/well. For seeding media at DIV 0, GABA neuron seeding supplement (1x, BrainXell) was added. At DIV 4,

base media plus Day 4 Supplement (1x, BrainXell) and Supplement K (1x, BrainXell) were added at 500

mL/well. Starting at DIV 7, a 50% media change 2x per week was performed using base media. Cells were

disassociated at DIV 21 following protocol for mature neuronal culture outlined (Julie Jerber, 2020). Cells in

suspension were 89% viable (Countess II) following disassociation. Initial steps of sample QC and cDNA

synthesis were conducted at GENEWIZ, LLC./Azenta US, Inc (Waltham, MA, USA). Library preparations,

sequencing reactions, and data analysis were conducted at GENEWIZ, LLC./Azenta US, Inc (South Plainfield,

NJ, USA).

**Sample QC and Library preparation:**

Live cell count was accessed using Nexcelom Cellaca Cell Counter along with Nuc blue for all cell nucleus

staining and propidium iodide for dead cell nucleus staining. Sample with cell counts > 50,000 and viability >

70% was diluted and loaded onto the Chromium Controller. Loading was performed to target capture of ~3,000

GEMs per sample for downstream analysis. Sample was processed through the Chromium Controller following

the standard manufacturer's specifications.

Single cell RNA library was generated using the Chromium Single Cell 3' kit (10X Genomics, CA, USA).

The sequencing library was evaluated for quality on the Agilent TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto,

CA, USA), and quantified by using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Sample was also

quantified using qPCR (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) prior to loading onto an Illumina sequencing

platform. The final library was sequenced at a configuration compatible with the recommended guidelines as

outlined by 10X Genomics.

**Data processing and data analysis:**

Raw sequence data (.bcl files) generated from Illumina was converted into fastq files and de-multiplexed using

the 10X Genomics' cellranger mkfastq command. Subsequent UMI and cell barcode de-convolution was also

performed prior to downstream analysis. Mapping to the respective genome and gene expression analysis was

performed on Rosalind's platform. Data was analyzed by ROSALIND® (https://rosalind.bio/), with a

HyperScale architecture developed by ROSALIND, Inc. (San Diego, CA). Quality scores were assessed using

FastQC (Andrews, 2010). Cell Ranger was used to align reads to the Homo sapiens genome build GRCh38,

count UMIs, call cell barcodes, and perform default clustering. In R using the Matrix package, UMAP projection

data and feature-barcode matrices outputs from cellranger were converted to csv format which included gene

expression data and UMAP projection coordinates for each barcoded cell. Natural log of the expression counts

of select genes were projected on UMAP plots to create heatmap plots using R's ggplot2.

**MEA plating and maintenance of neuronal cultures**

Recordings were performed on complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS)-based high density-

multielectrode arrays (HD-MEAs) in 6-well plate format (Maxwell Biosystems AG). Two days prior to plating,

wells were treated with 1.5 mL of 1% Terg-a-zyme (Sigma Aldrich) solution in deionized water and kept at room

temperature for 2 hours to improve hydrophilicity of the array surface. Wells were washed 3x with deionized

water and treated with a 70% ethanol solution for 30 minutes for sterilization. Following another 3x wash with

deionized water, wells were filled with 2 mL of complete media and kept for 2 days within a 5% $CO_2$ incubator

at 37°C. On day of cell plating, media was aspirated from each well and 1x wash with deionized water was

performed. Arrays were treated with 50 mL 0.1 mg/mL poly-D-lysine (PDL) hydrobromide (Sigma Aldrich)

diluted in borate buffer and kept for 3 hours in 5% $CO_2$ incubator at 37°C. Wells were washed 3x with

deionized water and dried. A secondary plating of Geltrex, 1:100 in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) was applied and kept

for 1 hour in 5% $CO_2$ incubator at 37°C until aspirated and cells immediately plated. Cortical GABAergic

neurons were plated with human iPSC-derived cortical astrocytes from an apparently healthy normal human

(BrainXell) at a ratio of 100,000 neurons to 20,000 astrocytes per MEA. Frozen cell vials were thawed following

manufacturer's protocol, combined at 5:1 ratio, and centrifuged in 15 mL conical vial at 160 g to enrich to

density of 120,000 live cells / 10 mL. Seeding media: base media plus GABA neuron seeding supplement 1x

(BrainXell), astrocyte supplement 1x (BrainXell) and Geltrex 15 mg/mL was prepared fresh on day of cell thaw.

10 mL of cell solutions was placed on center of each MEA and plate placed for 1 hour in 5% $CO_2$ incubator at

37°C for cells to adhere. Wells were then filled with 1.5 mL of seeding media, plates sealed with BreathEasy

membrane (Sigma Aldrich), and placed in 5% $CO_2$ incubator at 37°C for duration of experiment. At DIV 4,

media was prepared DMEM/F12 medium (0.25x), neurobasal medium (0.25x), BrainPhys medium (0.5x), B27

Supplement (1x), N2 Supplement (1x), GlutaMax (0.5 mM), BDNF (10 ng/mL), GDNF (10 ng/mL), TGF-β1 (1

ng/mL), Day 4 Supplement (BrainXell), Astrocyte Supplement 1x (BrainXell) and Supplement K 1x (BrainXell)

was added at 1.5 mL / well. At DIV 7, a 50% media change was performed with maintenance media:

BrainPhys medium (1x), B27 Supplement (1x), N2 Supplement (1x), GlutaMax (0.5 mM), BDNF (10 ng/mL),

GDNF (10 ng/mL), TGF-β1 (1 ng/mL). Media changes continued 2x per week for duration of experiment.

**HD-MEA recordings**

Each high-density MEA contained 26,400 electrodes organized in 120 x 220 grid within a sensing area of 9.3 x 5.45 mM, and electrode pitch of 17.5 mM (Maxwell Biosystems AG). Configurations of 1024 electrodes could be simultaneously recorded from the array. Recordings were performed weekly from week 1 (DIV 7) till week 6 (DIV 42) at 5% $CO_2$ and 37°C environment (MaxTwo System, Maxwell Biosystems AG). The Activity Scan Assay and Network Assay modules were used from the MaxLab Live software (MaxWell Biosystems AG) with a spike detection threshold of 5.5 standard deviations. For Activity Scan, seven electrode configurations, including 6600 electrodes at a pitch of 35 mm, were recorded for 30 seconds. These recordings mapped the spontaneous activity across the surface of the HD-MEA. For the network recordings, the electrodes with maximum spike amplitude were identified and 3x3 grid of 9 electrodes was recorded around each electrode for a neuronal unit configuration. Each network recording was performed for 5 minutes. Recordings were analyzed using the Batch Analysis Module within MaxLab Live.

**Axon Tracking Assay**

The AxonTracking Assay (Maxwell Biosystems AG) was performed to identify single units and measure features of axonal physiology. For each MEA, 50 neurons were identified, and axonal features detected: total axon length, longest axonal branch length, conduction velocity, unit firing rate, spike amplitude at axonal initial segment, and longest latency from axonal initial segment to axon termination.

**Spike sorting**

Spike sorting was performed on 5-minute network recordings to identify single neuronal units. The spike sorting pipeline was performed in Python within SpikeInterface (Buccino et al., 2020). Recordings were centered and bandpass filtered from 300-4000 Hz. The SpykingCircus algorithm (Yger et al., 2018) was used for spike sorting with a detection threshold of 6 standard deviations and template width of 5 milliseconds. Sorted units were excluded with a signal-to-noise ratio below 5 and ISI violation rate greater than 0.2.

**Feature extraction**

Features were extracted for spike sorted units. These features included single unit waveform features, single unit activity features, and network activity features.

**Single-unit waveform features**

The maximum waveform (Figure 4D) was extracted by determination of electrode with maximum amplitude for

each unit and averaging recorded unit waveforms.

Waveform features

1. **Amplitude**: The voltage amplitude defined as difference between second maximum peak and the

minimum (Weir et al., 2014).

2. **Half-width**: The spike half-width was calculated as the width of the spike at half-maximal amplitude of

the first maximum (Weir et al., 2014).

3. **Peak latency**: The peak latency was calculated by difference in time between spike minimum

occurrence and second maximum peak occurrence (Weir et al., 2014).

4. **Asymmetry ratio**: Asymmetry ratio was calculated by the ratio of amplitude of second maximum peak

to amplitude of first maximum peak (Weir et al., 2014) .

5. **Trough to peak ratio**: Trough-to-peak ratio was calculated as ratio of amplitude of second maximum

peak to amplitude of spike minimum.

6. **Decay**: Decay was calculated by time duration from second maximum peak to crossing zero voltage.

7. **Repolarization slope**: The slope of point of maximum negative EAP to second peak.

8. **Recovery slope:** The slope of second peak to first zero voltage crossing.

Unit activity features

9. **Spike frequency (Hz)**: The count of neuronal spiking events divided by duration of recording in

seconds.

10. **Interspike interval (ms):** The mean interspike interval for each neuronal unit for duration of recording.

11. **Intraburst frequency (Hz):** Neuronal bursting events were detected using the logISI method (Pasquale

et al., 2010). The intraburst frequency of neuronal firing was determined by averaging the frequency of

firing within the bursts of each individual neuron during the recording.

12. **Burst frequency (bursts/min):** Frequency of neuron bursting was determined by count of bursts within

recording divided by duration in minutes.

13. **Burst duration (sec):** For each neuron, the duration of each detected unit burst.

14. **Number of spikes per burst:** For each neuron, the number of spikes within each detected unit burst.

15. **Intraburst interspike interval (ms):** For each neuron, the mean interspike interval for each detected unit burst.

Network activity features

16. **Burst frequency (bursts/min)**: Network bursts from spike sorted units were detected using the $ISI_N$ method, with $N$=10. (Bakkum, Radivojevic, et al., 2013). Frequency of network bursting was determined by count of bursts within recording divided by duration in minutes.

17. **Burst duration (sec):** The mean duration of network bursting events for a recording.

18. **Spikes per burst:** The number of neuronal spikes within a network burst.

**Pharmacology experiments**

At DIV 42, HD-MEAs were treated with pharmacological agents to assess neuronal responses. Following a 30 second period of baseline recording in the neuronal network configuration, each drug diluted in vehicle (PBS), was added to the HD-MEA media in a 10 µL volume to achieve final drug desired concentration. A recording then continued for 5 minutes to assess neuronal firing responses. The $GABA_AR$ receptor agonist muscimol (10 µM, Tocris, 0289) was used to assess for functional $GABA_AR$ signaling. The $Na_V1.1$ potentiator δ-theraphotoxin- Hm1a (Hm1a, Alomone Labs, #STH-601), used at 100 and 500 nM. A total of $n$=3 HD-MEAs was recorded from for each drug and vehicle (PBS). Recordings were spike sorted like above and split into 30 seconds of baseline activity and 30 seconds of post-drug activity initiated at treatment start time. Instantaneous spiking frequency was determined by averaging spikes per neuron in 2 second bins. To assess the effects of the treatments on the individual neuron firing rate, the baseline and drug periods were split into 5 second bins of averaged firing rate. The maximum firing rate was compared for each neuron between baseline and treatment to determine a Δ frequency in hertz. Neuron burst firing was detected using the LogISI algorithm (Cotterill et al., 2016; Pasquale et al., 2010).

**Confocal imaging of immunostained HD-MEAs**

Following recording experiments at DIV 42, media was removed from HD-MEAs and following a PBS wash, wells were fixed for 10 minutes with 4% paraformaldehyde solution. Cells were permeabilized with a 0.1% Triton-X-100 (Sigma Aldrich) solution for 15 min at room temperature. A blocking solution was then added with 0.1% Triton-X-100 and 3% bovine serum albumin (Invitrogen). An antibody solution of MAP2 (1:2500, Invitrogen #PA1-10005), GAD-67 (1:200, Invitrogen #MA5-24909), and V-Glut-1 (1:1000, Invitrogen #48-2400)

was added and incubated on shaker for 1 hour at room temperature. Wells were washed 3x with PBS, and a

secondary antibody solution added containing AF-647, AF-555, and AF-488 (1:1000, Invitrogen #A32933,

#A32732, #A32766). Wells were incubated for 1 hour in the dark. A PBS wash was performed and Hoescht

staining solution added (1:2000 in PBS, ThermoFisher) and wells incubated for 5 minutes on shaker. Following

a 3x PBS wash, images were obtained on a Zeiss LSM880 upright confocal point scanning microscopes with a

20x NA 1.0 water dipping lens. To accommodate the large MEA sensor area and large cell clusters, the tiling

functionality with Z-stacks were used to capture this volume in its entirety (~150um in Z, 2.5x5 mm in X/Y).

This volumetric image was then stitched and collapsed into a single 2D plane.

**Code** All code for spike sorting and electrophysiological analysis was performed using Python 3. Analysis of

scRNA sequencing data was completed using R.

**Statistics** Values are mean values ± standard error of the mean ± SEM unless indicated. All statistical tests

were performed with Prism 9 (Graphpad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Multiple groups were compared using the

Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Dunn-Sidák multiple-comparison test. Comparisons between two values

were performed using the Mann-Whitney test. Significance was considered at $p$ values <0.05.

**Acknowledgements** The Pfizer Cellular and High-Content Technology Center for confocal imaging of HD-

MEAs.

# References

Andrews, S. (2010). *FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.* .
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
Bakkum, D. J., Frey, U., Radivojevic, M., Russell, T. L., Muller, J., Fiscella, M., Takahashi, H., & Hierlemann,
A. (2013). Tracking axonal action potential propagation on a high-density microelectrode array across
hundreds of sites. *Nat Commun*, *4*, 2181. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3181
Bakkum, D. J., Radivojevic, M., Frey, U., Franke, F., Hierlemann, A., & Takahashi, H. (2013). Parameters for
burst detection. *Front Comput Neurosci*, *7*, 193. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2013.00193
Buccino, A. P., Hurwitz, C. L., Garcia, S., Magland, J., Siegle, J. H., Hurwitz, R., & Hennig, M. H. (2020).
SpikeInterface, a unified framework for spike sorting. *Elife*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61834
Buccino, A. P., Yuan, X., Emmenegger, V., Xue, X., Ganswein, T., & Hierlemann, A. (2022). An automated
method for precise axon reconstruction from recordings of high-density micro-electrode arrays. *J Neural*
*Eng*, *19*(2). https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ac59a2
Bullmann, T., Radivojevic, M., Huber, S. T., Deligkaris, K., Hierlemann, A., & Frey, U. (2019). Large-Scale
Mapping of Axonal Arbors Using High-Density Microelectrode Arrays. *Front Cell Neurosci*, *13*, 404.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00404
Catterall, W. A. (2018). Dravet Syndrome: A Sodium Channel Interneuronopathy. *Curr Opin Physiol*, *2*, 42-50.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2017.12.007

Colasante, G., Lignani, G., Brusco, S., Di Berardino, C., Carpenter, J., Giannelli, S., Valassina, N., Bido, S., Ricci, R., Castoldi, V., Marenna, S., Church, T., Massimino, L., Morabito, G., Benfenati, F., Schorge, S., Leocani, L., Kullmann, D. M., & Broccoli, V. (2020). dCas9-Based Scn1a Gene Activation Restores Inhibitory Interneuron Excitability and Attenuates Seizures in Dravet Syndrome Mice. *Molecular Therapy*, *28*(1), 235-253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.08.018

Cotterill, E., Charlesworth, P., Thomas, C. W., Paulsen, O., & Eglen, S. J. (2016). A comparison of computational methods for detecting bursts in neuronal spike trains and their application to human stem cell-derived neuronal networks. *J Neurophysiol*, *116*(2), 306-321. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00093.2016

Dravet, C. (2011). The core Dravet syndrome phenotype. *Epilepsia*, *52 Suppl 2*, 3-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2011.02994.x

Duflocq, A., Le Bras, B., Bullier, E., Couraud, F., & Davenne, M. (2008). Nav1.1 is predominantly expressed in nodes of Ranvier and axon initial segments. *Mol Cell Neurosci*, *39*(2), 180-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2008.06.008

Emmenegger, V., Obien, M. E. J., Franke, F., & Hierlemann, A. (2019). Technologies to Study Action Potential Propagation With a Focus on HD-MEAs. *Front Cell Neurosci*, *13*, 159. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00159

Gataullina, S., & Dulac, O. (2017). From genotype to phenotype in Dravet disease. *Seizure*, *44*, 58-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2016.10.014

Han, Z., Chen, C, Christiansen A, Ji S, Lin Q, Anumonwo C, Liu C, Leiser SC, Meena, Aznarez I, Liau G, Isom LL. . (2020). Antisense oligonucleotides increase Scn1a expression and reduce seizures and SUDEP incidence in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. *SCIENCE TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE 12 (558)*. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz6100

Higurashi, N., Uchida, T., Lossin, C., Misumi, Y., Okada, Y., Akamatsu, W., Imaizumi, Y., Zhang, B., Nabeshima, K., Mori, M. X., Katsurabayashi, S., Shirasaka, Y., Okano, H., & Hirose, S. (2013). A human Dravet syndrome model from patient induced pluripotent stem cells. *Mol Brain*, *6*, 19. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-6-19

Julie Jerber, J. H., Juliette Steer, Daniel Pearce, Minal Patel (2020). Dissociation of neuronal culture to single cells for scRNA-seq (10x Genomics). *Protocols.io*. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bh32j8qe

Liu, J., Gao, C., Chen, W., Ma, W., Li, X., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, L., Long, Y., Xu, H., Guo, X., Deng, S., Yan, X., Yu, D., Pan, G., Chen, Y., Lai, L., Liao, W., & Li, Z. (2016). CRISPR/Cas9 facilitates investigation of neural circuit disease using human iPSCs: mechanism of epilepsy caused by an SCN1A loss-of-function mutation. *Transl Psychiatry*, *6*(1), e703. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2015.203

Liu, Y., Liu, H., Sauvey, C., Yao, L., Zarnowska, E. D., & Zhang, S. C. (2013). Directed differentiation of forebrain GABA interneurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc*, *8*(9), 1670-1679. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.106

Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*, *25*(4), 402-408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Mattis, J., Somarowthu, A., Goff, K. M., Jiang, E., Yom, J., Sotuyo, N., McGarry, L. M., Feng, H., Kaneko, K., & Goldberg, E. M. (2022). Corticohippocampal circuit dysfunction in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. *Elife*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69293

Muller, J., Ballini, M., Livi, P., Chen, Y., Radivojevic, M., Shadmani, A., Viswam, V., Jones, I. L., Fiscella, M., Diggelmann, R., Stettler, A., Frey, U., Bakkum, D. J., & Hierlemann, A. (2015). High-resolution CMOS MEA platform to study neurons at subcellular, cellular, and network levels. *Lab Chip*, *15*(13), 2767-2780. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5lc00133a

Ogiwara, I., Miyamoto, H., Morita, N., Atapour, N., Mazaki, E., Inoue, I., Takeuchi, T., Itohara, S., Yanagawa, Y., Obata, K., Furuichi, T., Hensch, T. K., & Yamakawa, K. (2007). Nav1.1 localizes to axons of parvalbumin-positive inhibitory interneurons: a circuit basis for epileptic seizures in mice carrying an Scn1a gene mutation. *J Neurosci*, *27*(22), 5903-5914. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5270-06.2007

Osteen, J. D., Herzig, V., Gilchrist, J., Emrick, J. J., Zhang, C., Wang, X., Castro, J., Garcia-Caraballo, S., Grundy, L., Rychkov, G. Y., Weyer, A. D., Dekan, Z., Undheim, E. A., Alewood, P., Stucky, C. L., Brierley, S. M., Basbaum, A. I., Bosmans, F., King, G. F., & Julius, D. (2016). Selective spider toxins

reveal a role for the Nav1.1 channel in mechanical pain. *Nature*, *534*(7608), 494-499.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17976
Osteen, J. D., Sampson, K., Iyer, V., Julius, D., & Bosmans, F. (2017). Pharmacology of the Na(v)1.1 domain
IV voltage sensor reveals coupling between inactivation gating processes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*,
*114*(26), 6836-6841. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621263114
Pasquale, V., Martinoia, S., & Chiappalone, M. (2010). A self-adapting approach for the detection of bursts and
network bursts in neuronal cultures. *J Comput Neurosci*, *29*(1-2), 213-229.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10827-009-0175-1
Radivojevic, M., Franke, F., Altermatt, M., Muller, J., Hierlemann, A., & Bakkum, D. J. (2017). Tracking
individual action potentials throughout mammalian axonal arbors. *Elife*, *6*.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30198
Richards, K. L., Milligan, C. J., Richardson, R. J., Jancovski, N., Grunnet, M., Jacobson, L. H., Undheim, E. A.
B., Mobli, M., Chow, C. Y., Herzig, V., Csoti, A., Panyi, G., Reid, C. A., King, G. F., & Petrou, S. (2018).
Selective Na(V)1.1 activation rescues Dravet syndrome mice from seizures and premature death. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *115*(34), E8077-E8085. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804764115
Ronchi, S., Buccino, A. P., Prack, G., Kumar, S. S., Schroter, M., Fiscella, M., & Hierlemann, A. (2021).
Electrophysiological Phenotype Characterization of Human iPSC-Derived Neuronal Cell Lines by
Means of High-Density Microelectrode Arrays. *Adv Biol (Weinh)*, *5*(3), e2000223.
https://doi.org/10.1002/adbi.202000223
Schuster, J., Laan, L., Klar, J., Jin, Z., Huss, M., Korol, S., Noraddin, F. H., Sobol, M., Birnir, B., & Dahl, N.
(2019). Transcriptomes of Dravet syndrome iPSC derived GABAergic cells reveal dysregulated
pathways for chromatin remodeling and neurodevelopment. *Neurobiol Dis*, *132*, 104583.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104583
Simkin, D., Ambrosi, C., Marshall, K. A., Williams, L. A., Eisenberg, J., Gharib, M., Dempsey, G. T., George, A.
L., Jr., McManus, O. B., & Kiskinis, E. (2022). 'Channeling' therapeutic discovery for epileptic
encephalopathy through iPSC technologies. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, *43*(5), 392-405.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2022.03.001
Sun, Y., Pasca, S. P., Portmann, T., Goold, C., Worringer, K. A., Guan, W., Chan, K. C., Gai, H., Vogt, D.,
Chen, Y. J., Mao, R., Chan, K., Rubenstein, J. L., Madison, D. V., Hallmayer, J., Froehlich-Santino, W.
M., Bernstein, J. A., & Dolmetsch, R. E. (2016). A deleterious Nav1.1 mutation selectively impairs
telencephalic inhibitory neurons derived from Dravet Syndrome patients. *Elife*, *5*.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13073
Takahashi, K., & Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult
fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*, *126*(4), 663-676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024
Tanenhaus, A., Stowe, T., Young, A., McLaughlin, J., Aeran, R., Lin, I. W., Li, J., Hosur, R., Chen, M., Leedy,
J., Chou, T., Pillay, S., Vila, M. C., Kearney, J. A., Moorhead, M., Belle, A., & Tagliatela, S. (2022). Cell-
Selective Adeno-Associated Virus-Mediated SCN1A Gene Regulation Therapy Rescues Mortality and
Seizure Phenotypes in a Dravet Syndrome Mouse Model and Is Well Tolerated in Nonhuman Primates.
*Hum Gene Ther*, *33*(11-12), 579-597. https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2022.037
Valassina, N., Brusco, S., Salamone, A., Serra, L., Luoni, M., Giannelli, S., Bido, S., Massimino, L., Ungaro, F.,
Mazzara, P. G., D'Adamo, P., Lignani, G., Broccoli, V., & Colasante, G. (2022). Scn1a gene
reactivation after symptom onset rescues pathological phenotypes in a mouse model of Dravet
syndrome. *Nat Commun*, *13*(1), 161. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27837-w
Weir, K., Blanquie, O., Kilb, W., Luhmann, H. J., & Sinning, A. (2014). Comparison of spike parameters from
optically identified GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons in sparse cortical cultures. *Front Cell
Neurosci*, *8*, 460. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00460
Xie, Y., Ng, N. N., Safrina, O. S., Ramos, C. M., Ess, K. C., Schwartz, P. H., Smith, M. A., & O'Dowd, D. K.
(2020). Comparisons of dual isogenic human iPSC pairs identify functional alterations directly caused
by an epilepsy associated SCN1A mutation. *Neurobiol Dis*, *134*, 104627.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104627
Yamagata, T., Raveau, M., Kobayashi, K., Miyamoto, H., Tatsukawa, T., Ogiwara, I., Itohara, S., Hensch, T.
K., & Yamakawa, K. (2020). CRISPR/dCas9-based Scn1a gene activation in inhibitory neurons
ameliorates epileptic and behavioral phenotypes of Dravet syndrome model mice. *Neurobiol Dis*, *141*,
104954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104954

Yger, P., Spampinato, G. L., Esposito, E., Lefebvre, B., Deny, S., Gardella, C., Stimberg, M., Jetter, F., Zeck,
G., Picaud, S., Duebel, J., & Marre, O. (2018). A spike sorting toolbox for up to thousands of electrodes
validated with ground truth recordings in vitro and in vivo. *Elife*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34518
700

701