A Small Multidrug Resistance Transporter in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Confers Substrate-Specific Resistance or Susceptibility

Andrea K. Wegrzynowicz[1], William J. Heelan[2], Sydnye P. Demas[1], Maxwell S. McLean[1], Jason M. Peters[2,3,4,5,6], Katherine A. Henzler-Wildman[1,7]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison WI

[2]Pharmaceutical Sciences Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[3]DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[4]Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[5]Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[6]Center for Genomic Science Innovation, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[7]National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison, Madison WI

KEYWORDS

Multidrug efflux, susceptibility, uniport, proton-motive force, transport

ABSTRACT

Small Multidrug Resistance (SMR) transporters are key players in bacterial defense against toxins, providing resistance to antibiotics, antiseptics, and other homeostasis-perturbing compounds in multidrug-resistant pathogens. However, recent evidence demonstrates that EmrE, an SMR from *Escherichia coli* and a model for understanding transport, can also induce susceptibility to some compounds by drug-gated uncontrolled proton leak. Proton Motive Force (PMF) rundown is a relatively unexplored drug target, and is orthogonal to the targets of most known antibiotics. This requires an SMR to be merely present, rather than be the primary resistance mechanism, and dissipates the energy source for many other efflux pumps. PAsmr, an EmrE homolog from *P. aeruginosa*, confers resistance to some EmrE substrates in cells and in purified systems. We

hypothesized that PAsmr, like EmrE, could confer susceptibility to some compounds. Growth assays of *E. coli* expressing PAsmr displayed substrate-dependent resistance and susceptibility phenotypes, and *in vitro* solid-supported membrane electrophysiology experiments revealed that PAsmr performs both antiport and substrate-gated proton uniport, confirming functional homology between PAsmr and EmrE. Growth assays of *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 demonstrated that PAsmr contributes resistance to some antimicrobial compounds, consistent with prior data, but confers *susceptibility* to at least one aminoglycoside antibiotic. Phenotypic differences between *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* expressing PAsmr point to differential impacts of proton leak, constituting an advance in our understanding of underlying resistance mechanisms in *P. aeruginosa* and prompting further investigation into the role that SMRs play in antibiotic resistance in pathogens.

IMPORTANCE

Small multidrug resistance transporters are a class of efflux pumps found in many pathogens, but whose contributions to antibiotic resistance are poorly understood. We hypothesize that in addition to conferring resistance to toxic compounds, these transporters may also confer susceptibility by dissipating the proton-motive force. This means that an SMR merely needs to be present in the pathogen in order to be targeted (as opposed to being the primary resistance mechanism). Here, we test this hypothesis with an SMR found in the pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and find that it can confer both resistance and susceptibility depending upon the compounds it interacts with.

INTRODUCTION

Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is responsible for at least 30,000 healthcare-associated infections every year (1), and some strains appear resistant to all known antibiotics (2). Due to the high degree of resistance exhibited, *P. aeruginosa* is a compelling and clinically relevant system to investigate mechanisms of drug resistance in general. One such mechanism is secondary active transport of toxic compounds by efflux pumps. The Small Multidrug Resistance (SMR)

transporters are a family of efflux pumps that have been identified in many high priority pathogens, but their contributions to antibiotic resistance are minimally understood (3–10). The SMR family may be broadly divided into four subfamilies, with the QAC subfamily representing the most promiscuous transporters (10).

Historically, SMRs were understood as antiporters, tightly coupling proton import to drug export (11, 12). However, *in vitro* biophysical investigation of the model QAC SMR EmrE has demonstrated that EmrE is also theoretically capable of symport and drug or proton uniport, with transporter behavior determined by substrate identity and kinetic parameters (13–16). This differential transport behavior will ultimately lead to the transporter conferring susceptibility to some chemicals instead of resistance. Substrate-gated proton uniport has been experimentally demonstrated for EmrE in *E. coli*, leading to disruption of the ∆pH component of the proton-motive force (PMF), impacting cellular ATP production and other PMF-dependent processes (14). PMF disruption has been validated as a potential antibiotic and/or adjuvant strategy (17–21). Should other SMRs or even other families of efflux pumps confer opposing biological outcomes in a substrate-dependent manner, this may broaden our understanding of how to target pathogens, inducing susceptibility instead of merely disabling specific bacterial resistance processes.

PAsmr, also known as EmrE$_{Pae}$, is an SMR expressed by *P. aeruginosa,* originally identified on the basis of sequence homology to EmrE and conferring resistance to ethidium bromide, methyl viologen, and other quaternary ammonium compounds (3, 6, 22). *smrPA* (PAO1_4990, PA14_65990), the gene expressing PAsmr, has been identified in clinically isolated strains of multidrug resistant *P. aeruginosa* (19), and expression in strain PAO1 confirmed by RT-PCR (6). PAsmr has also been investigated as a potential target and model for efflux pump inhibiting peptides (22).

Here, we hypothesize that PAsmr may be used to induce susceptibility to toxic compounds in *P. aeruginosa,* based on the observations that EmrE can perform ligand-gated proton uniport that causes enhanced susceptibility in its native organism, *E. coli* (13, 14, 16). We test a *P. aeruginosa*

strain lacking PAsmr for differences in resistance and susceptibility to both known and novel SMR

substrates (Fig. 1A), as well as how this phenotype corresponds to those observed in *E. coli*

expressing PAsmr. We also test the function of PAsmr in a purified system, finding that resistance

phenotypes are due to antiport, while substrate-triggered proton uniport does occur in the presence of

some substrates and contributes to susceptibility in *E. coli*, but a range of phenotypes in *P.*

aeruginosa.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

smrPA *(PA14_65990) Deletion in* P. aeruginosa *PA14*

smrPA was deleted in PA14 using *sacB* counterselection (24, 25). Briefly, a plasmid vector

(pJMP3262) containing an origin of replication that replicates in *E. coli* but not *P. aeruginosa*, a

gentamicin resistance cassette, and the *sacB* gene was used as the backbone. The regions 1000bp

upstream and downstream of *smrPA* were cloned into the plasmid vector via Gibson Assembly.

Following extraction of genomic DNA from PA14, the regions 1000bp upstream and

downstream of *smrPA* were amplified via PCR using primers oJMP 1832 and 1833 for the upstream

region and oJMP1834 and 1835 for the downstream region (Table 1). The resulting products were gel

purified and cloned into pJMP 3262 via Gibson Assembly. The plasmid was then transformed into *E.*

coli (sJMP 2630). The plasmid sequence was confirmed by Sanger sequencing using primers oJMP

1339,1340,1836, and 1837 (Table 1). The plasmid was then transformed into a conjugative *E. coli*

strain (sJMP3257).

The newly constructed plasmid donor (sJMP3257) and recipient (WT PA14 sJMP744) were

grown overnight on plates and resuspended in 1mL LB to $OD_{600} \approx 3$. Three tubes were prepared, one

for mating containing 800uL LB, 100uL of the recipient, and 100uL of the donor strain, and two

controls (900uL LB and 100 uL of either donor or recipient strains alone). Cells were pelleted at 4000

x g for 3 minutes. Media was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 25uL of LB and spotted on

a filter on a LB + diaminopimelic acid (DAP) plate. Following incubation for 3.5 hours at 37°C, the filter was placed in a 1.5mL Eppendorf tube with 200uL LB and vortexed for 30 seconds. The cells were further diluted in LB at 1:10, 1:100, 1:1000, 1:10000, and 1:100000. 90uL of each dilution was plated onto LB + gent (30µg/mL) plates to select for *P. aeruginosa* transconjugants containing the plasmid integrated into the genome at the *smrPA* (PA14_65990) locus by single crossover. Donor and recipient controls were also plated on LB + gent(30µg/mL) plates.

Several isolated colonies from the LB + gent(30µg/mL) plates were grown in 10mL LB overnight. The resulting overnight cultures were plated on LB + sucrose 15% plates and incubated for 48-72 hours at room temperature. Incubation at room temperature enhanced recovery of correct, double-crossover clones. To confirm the selection of Δ*smrPA*, 40 isolated colonies from the LB +sucrose 15% plates were patched on LB + gent(30 µg/mL) + sucrose 15%, LB + gent(30µg/mL), and LB plates. Any colonies growing on the plates containing gent (30 µg/mL) were discarded. To confirm the deletion of *PAsmr,* the gDNA was extracted from a few samples, and the primers oJMP1881 and oJMP 1882 were used to amplify the region including *smrPA.* Gel electrophoresis of the PCR product was used to confirm the deletion of the 333bp gene product.(Fig 1B).

Growth Assays in **Pseudomonas aeruginosa**

WT PA14 and PA14 Δ*smrPA* were grown in Nutrient Broth (Difco 234000) from single colonies to an OD of 1 at 37 ºC. Cultures were diluted to a final OD of 0.01 in microplates containing select concentration values of ethidium bromide, chelerythrine chloride, streptomycin, harmane, and 18-crown-6 ether. Plates were incubated in a microplate reader (TECAN) at 37 ºC. OD_{600} (or OD_{700} for ethidium) were measured every 15 minutes for 18 hours. Experiments were performed in at least biological triplicate. Data were analyzed by normalizing WT growth and standard deviation by growth of the knock-out strain.

Growth assays in **E. coli**

MG1655 Δ*emrE E. coli* cells were transformed with either WT or non-functional (E14Q) PAsmr

(PAO1-PA4990) cloned into the pWB plasmid, a low copy, leaky-expression vector(26). Cells were

grown in Nutrient Broth (Difco 234000),100 µg/mL carbenicillin, from a single colony to an OD of 0.2

at 37 ˚C. Cultures were diluted to a final OD of 0.01 in microplates (Corning, REF: 351172) containing

select concentration values of ethidium bromide, methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (MeTPP+),

chelerythrine chloride, streptomycin, harmane, and 18-crown-6 ether. The plates were incubated in a

microplate reader (BMG-Labtech or TECAN) at 37 °C. OD_{600} (or OD_{700} for ethidium) were measured

every 5 minutes for 18 hours. Experiments were performed in biological triplicate. Data were analyzed

by normalizing WT growth and standard deviation by growth of non-functional (E14Q) mutant.

PAsmr Expression and Purification

PAsmr was expressed as a maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion construct, using pet28-TEV-

MBP, a gift from Zita Balklava & Thomas Wassmer (27). Following TEV protease cleavage of the N-

terminal MBP, the N-terminal 6xHis-tag may be used to purify PaSMR via affinity chromatography,

and then removed with thrombin leaving an extra N-terminal GSHGS. BL21(DE3) Gold cells

transformed with pet28-MBP-PaSMR were grown in M9 minimal media (28), with protein expression

induced by 0.33 mM IPTG at an OD_{600} of 0.9-1 at 17ºC. Cells were harvested after 17 hours and cell

pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (250 mM sucrose, 100mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgSO4, 20mM tris

pH 7.5, 5mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mg/mL lysozyme, DNAse, 1 µg/mL pepstatin, 10 µM leupeptin,

and 100 µM PMSF), and lysed by sonication. 0.25 mg/mL TEV protease was added, and following

incubation for 16-48 hours at RT or 48-72 hours at 4ºC, the membrane fraction was separated by a

high-speed spin (30,000 × g for 1 hour), resuspended in the same buffer, and solubilized with 40 mM

DM (decylmaltoside, Anatrace) at RT for 2 hours. After a second high-speed spin, 6x-His tagged

PAsmr was purified via NiNTA affinity chromatography, thrombin cleavage, and size-exclusion

chromatography as previously described for EmrE (28). When more complete removal of MBP was

required for downstream experiments, 50-100mM maltose was included in all steps of purification

through NiNTA column elution and an additional desalting step was added to the end of the

purification.

Solid-supported membrane electrophysiology

Purified WT PAsmr was reconstituted into 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine

(POPC) liposomes at a lipid-to-protein ratio of 1:250 in pH 7 assay buffer (100 mM Potassium

Phosphate, 100 mM Sodium Acetate, 200 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl2). Liposome preparation was

performed as described in (14). All SSME data was acquired using a Nanion SURFE2R N1

instrument as described in (29). Liposome aliquots were thawed, diluted 2-fold, and briefly sonicated.

10 µL of liposomes were used to prepare 3 mm sensors as previously described. Before experiments,

sensor capacitance and conductance values were obtained to ensure sensor quality. All experiments

used assay buffer with internal pH values of 7.0 and external pH values of 6.7. Drug concentrations

used are in Table S1. Sensors were rinsed with at least 500 µL of internal buffer before each

measurement to set the internal buffer, pH, and drug concentrations as described in (29). Data

acquisition occurred in three stages. First, sensors were perfused with an internal buffer, then

transport was initiated by perfusion of the external buffer, and finally, perfusion of the internal buffer

re-equilibrated the sensors. Signals were obtained by integrating the current during perfusion of the

external buffer, with the final 100 ms of the initial internal buffer perfusion used as the baseline.

Reported data are average values of at least three sensors, with error bars representing the standard

deviation.

Proton Leak

PAsmr was reconstituted into 3:1 POPC:POPG liposomes, LPR 1:400. Proton leak was

monitored by diluting PaSMR liposomes in inside buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM KCl, pH

7) into weakly buffered outside buffer (75 µM Phenol Red, 99 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, pH 7) to 0.8 µM

final PaSMR concentration. Valinomycin diluted in inside buffer was added to final concentration of 1

µg/mL to create a negative inside membrane potential. FCCP diluted in inside buffer was added to

final concentration of 1 µg/mL as a positive control. At the end of the assay, HCl (50 nM final) was

added to return to resting potential.

RESULTS

smrPA *deletion phenotype in* P. aeruginosa *PA14 is substrate-dependent*

To test the function of PAsmr in the native organism, we created a deletion strain in PA14, a

clinically derived virulent strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. We deleted the gene encoding PAsmr,

smrPA, from the PA14 genome using *sacB* counterselection to generate an unmarked strain (Fig. 1B).

We used Nutrient Broth (NB), a low-ionic-strength medium, for these experiments because we

hypothesized that it would result in more dramatic and easily detectable phenotypes, especially for

susceptibility substrates, due to decreased ability of the bacteria to compensate for ΔpH dissipation

with an increase in $\Delta\Psi$ compared to Lysogeny Broth (LB). There was no significant growth defect in

the $\Delta smrPA$ strain compared to wild type when cultured in NB alone (Fig 1C). We then tested several

known and recently discovered PAsmr substrates (Fig. 1A) in PA14 and the $\Delta smrPA$ strain. Li *et al.*

previously demonstrated that deletion of *smrPA* (previously known as *EmrE$_{Pae}$*) decreased resistance

to ethidium bromide, acriflavine, and several aminoglycoside antibiotics in PAO1, a less virulent strain

of *P. aeruginosa* (6). Deletion of *smrPA* from PA14 substantially reduced resistance to ethidium bromide

at the tested concentration (Fig. 2A), consistent with previous results. Previously, we performed an

unbiased screen to identify potential substrates of PAsmr using heterologous expression of the

transporter in *Escherichia coil.* That experiment identified several novel substrates, including the

antibiotics chelerythrine chloride and streptomycin, and the small molecules harmane and 18-crown-6

ether. The $\Delta smrPA$ strain showed decreased resistance to chelerythrine chloride and harmane (Fig.

2B, 2C). However, deletion of *smrPA* improved tolerance to the aminoglycoside streptomycin, indicating

that WT PAsmr likely confers susceptibility, which has not been previously reported for any SMR *in vivo*

besides EmrE. We tested but did not find growth differences in the presence of tobramycin, methyl

viologen, or acriflavine near their minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), consistent with the results

of Li et al (6). Finally, PA14 showed a slight but reproducible growth defect in high concentrations of

18-crown-6 ether starting near the transition to stationary phase (Fig 2D). Based on the high

concentrations we tested, 18-crown-6 ether has limited impact on growth of *P. aeruginosa*.

***PAsmr phenotype is accentuated but sometimes opposite in* E. coli**

E14Q is a known transport-dead variant of EmrE, and has been shown to abolish resistance

due to PAsmr expression in *E. coli* as well (26). Therefore, the E14Q variant is a valuable negative

control for phenotyping *E. coli* strains that heterologously express SMRs. *E. coli* expressing WT

PAsmr or E14Q-PAsmr were grown in NB in the presence of both known and novel substrates.

Expression of WT PAsmr conferred resistance to ethidium bromide (Fig. 3A),

methyltriphenylphosphonimum bromide (MeTPP+), which is closely related to known substrate TPP+

(Fig 3B)(3), and chelerythrine chloride (Fig 3C). Resistance to ethidium bromide and chelerythrine

chloride was consistent with the phenotype observed in PA14. However, PAsmr expressed in *E. coli*

conferred susceptibility to harmane (Fig 3D), opposite the resistance phenotype observed in PA14.

PAsmr also conferred susceptibility to 18-crown-6 ether, (Fig 3E), and streptomycin (Fig 3F) as

expected based on growth in PA14. Overall, phenotypic results were also consistent with growth in *E.*

coli in higher ionic strength media (26), and confirmed that PAsmr confers resistance to the known

EmrE substrate, MeTPP. Susceptibility to streptomycin was a novel phenotype of PAsmr in *E. coli*,

consistent with the observed susceptibility phenotype observed in *P. aeruginosa* above. Compared to

previously tested growth in higher ionic strength media (26), growth in nutrient broth also resulted in

earlier and more pronounced phenotypic differences between WT and E14Q (Fig 3, S1, S2). This

confirms that PAsmr phenotypes in low-ionic strength media are consistent but more pronounced

than those in Muller-Hinton Broth, supporting our hypothesis that decreased ability to compensate for

∆pH dissipation may occur in low-ionic strength media.

PAsmr substrate phenotype corresponds to transport mode

Next, we investigated how PAsmr can confer opposing phenotypes depending upon substrate.

We hypothesized that PAsmr may confer resistance via antiport but susceptibility via proton uniport,

drug uniport, or proton/drug symport (Figure 4A). To test if PAsmr performs only antiport or may also

use other transport modes, we tested four substrates in a purified system using solid-supported

membrane electrophysiology (SSME) (29).

PAsmr was reconstituted into liposomes, which were adsorbed onto a gold electrode sensor.

In SSME, signal is detected as net charge moves in or out of the liposomes when different gradient

conditions are applied. A twofold outward pH gradient is established across the liposome in each

assay, and the drug gradient is varied: Equal drug on both sides, greater drug concentration outside,

or greater drug concentration inside (Figure 4B). Net charge transport in response to establishment of

these gradients distinguishes between antiport, symport, and drug/proton uniport (Figure 4C).

In all cases, empty liposomes were used as a control, and background signal was not

significantly different in PAsmr or empty liposomes, indicating that the presence of PAsmr alone does

not induce proton transport and that there is minimal proton leak through the liposomes. For all

compounds, addition of drug in equal concentration inside and outside of the liposome resulted in a

significant increase in transport compared to empty liposomes (Fig 4D-G). For MeTPP$^+$ and

chelerythrine chloride, addition of an outwardly directed 32x drug gradient resulted in the largest net

charge transport (Fig. 4C), and an inwardly directed 32x gradient resulted in reversal of charge, a

hallmark of coupled antiport (29) (Fig 4D, E).

Harmane and 18-crown-6 ether, however, induced net positive transport under all conditions,

with transported charge being largest under those conditions that included high drug concentration

inside the liposome (Fig 4F,G). This is consistent with harmane and 18-crown-6 ether not being

transported, but instead triggering proton uniport down the 2-fold proton gradient (Fig 4C).

Streptomycin did not show activity via SSME, potentially due to activity at much lower concentrations

biologically than other substrates. Further experimentation will be needed to determine transport

mode or specific binding of streptomycin, although preliminary NMR experiments suggest that

streptomycin is interacting directly with PAsmr (Fig 5).

Substrate is required to trigger proton leak

There was no evidence of proton leak in PAsmr liposomes with a proton gradient but no drug

present; however, to confirm that the proton uniport observed in the SSME experiments was induced

by drug and not simply an intrinsic property of PAsmr we performed an additional voltage-driven

proton leak assay as previously performed for EmrE (16). PAsmr was reconstituted in tight liposomes

with a high internal potassium concentration at pH 7. These liposomes were then resuspended in a

weakly buffered solution with a low potassium concentration and phenol red, which has pH-sensitive

absorbance at 559 nm (Fig 6A). Addition of valinomycin creates a negative inside membrane

potential as potassium moves according to its concentration gradient. If PAsmr leaks protons, this

negative inside membrane potential would trigger proton transport and change the external pH

leading to change in Abs_{559}. This does not occur, and only after addition of a protonophore (FCCP)

allowing direct proton transport across the membrane does the external pH shift, indicating that

PAsmr does not leak under a voltage gradient and substrate is required to trigger transport (Fig 6B).

Therefore, substrate-induced proton uniport is at least one pathway by which PAsmr confers

susceptibility to some compounds.

DISCUSSION

Our model of SMR function predicts that these efflux pumps act as double-edge swords for

bacteria by conferring resistance to some compounds and susceptibility to others. While resistance

behavior is expected, the ability to confer susceptibility to some substrates has previously only been

observed in EmrE in *E. coli.* Here we show that this paradigm also plays out in the antibiotic resistant

bacterium *P. aeruginosa*, raising the possibility that SMRs could be therapeutically targeted in this

deadly pathogen by developing small molecules that trigger the alternative proton leak activity. While PAsmr was identified based on sequence homology to EmrE, there has been minimal investigation into the substrate profile of PAsmr, particularly in light of the discovery of the "free-exchange model" and the ability of EmrE to confer susceptibility rather than resistance to some substrates (13, 14, 16). Here, we expanded the PAsmr substrate profile, and determined that PAsmr may also act via substrate-induced proton uniport, therefore allowing it to confer susceptibility in *P. aeruginosa.* Using PAsmr to induce susceptibility or impact resistance phenotype is appealing as it does not require PAsmr to be the primary resistance mechanism, but simply be present and expressed in a particular *P. aeruginosa* strain or isolate. Given that SMR orthologs are generally conserved in *P. aeruginosa* isolates (nearly 300 *P. aeruginosa* strains in the pseudomonas.com database contain SMR orthologs [Winsor: 26578582]), we suggest that SMR targeting could be broadly applicable in *P. aeruginosa*.

We discovered that *smrPA* deletion has an impact on resistance phenotypes in *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14, a virulent model strain. While Li *et al.* demonstrated that *smrPA* deletion results in a reduced minimum inhibitory concentration to some substrates, only traditional transport substrates and aminoglycosides were tested, and full growth curves were not examined (6). We demonstrated that deletion of *smrPA* from PA14 resulted in loss of resistance to ethidium bromide compared to WT, which is surprising given the numerous additional efflux mechanisms present in *P. aeruginosa* (30, 31). Complete growth curves of WT and Δ*smrPA* PA14 revealed differences even among resistance and susceptibility phenotypes, with ethidium bromide and harmane completely killing Δ*smrPA* and chelerythrine extending the initial lag phase (Fig. 2). In contrast, loss of PAsmr provided resistance to streptomycin. This is consistent with the various resistance mechanisms displayed by *P. aeruginosa* and the interplay between SMR activity and other mechanisms. This supports the potential for combination therapy and adjuvant approaches to overcome resistance due to efflux pump activity.

Comparison to growth of *E. coli* expressing PAsmr is therefore valuable not only to compare directly to other SMRs without the complicating factors of additional resistance mechanisms in the native organism, but also to elucidate those mechanisms and the role of bacterial metabolism. In

particular, phenotypic differences between *P. aeruginosa* and *smrPA-E. coli* in "susceptibility

substrates" are useful to investigate further. Harmane, originally identified as an EmrE substrate,

triggers proton uniport through PAsmr *in vitro.* Growth with harmane results in susceptibility in *E. coli*,

but resistance in *P. aeruginosa*. This may indicate that, if proton uniport is the mechanism of PAsmr

when exposed to harmane in *P. aeruginosa*, it may result in overcompensation and eventual

resistance to harmane. In contrast, streptomycin results in a greater susceptibility phenotype in *P.*

aeruginosa than in *E. coli,* with *smrPA-E. coli* eventually recovering growth but not WT PA14. This

may be due to the relationship between aminoglycoside action and the proton-motive force: that is, if

streptomycin triggers proton uniport, the resultant rundown of the proton-motive force may decrease

streptomycin transport into the cell resulting in lack of antibacterial action by streptomycin (32, 33).

While Δ*smrPA* PA14 is a whole gene deletion and E14Q-PAsmr *E. coli* is a plasmid-expressed single

point mutant, differences in phenotype between PAsmr expression in PA14 and *E. coli* and their

respective controls are likely also related to the physiology of the organisms and additional resistance

mechanisms in PA14, and understanding action of PAsmr may improve our understanding of

resistance in *P. aeruginosa* more broadly. Future directions will include constructing and expressing

the E14Q mutant in PA14, as well as understanding when PAsmr expression is induced in PA14.

Inducing susceptibility via efflux pumps may be possible in other organisms with SMRs or

similar transporters. This investigation serves as a starting point for the further characterization of

PAsmr as well as the molecular determinants of substrate profile and phenotype for SMRs more

broadly. Known PAsmr substrates, phenotypes, and transport modes are summarized in Table 2. A

robust expression protocol supports this goal, as does the testing of additional substrates. While

binding of substrates to PAsmr was not quantified here, Ninio *et al*. confirmed that TPP+ and ethidium

bromide interact directly with PAsmr (3), and future studies will use NMR to define specific binding

interactions that contribute to distinct phenotypes. Solid-supported membrane electrophysiology is the

first direct confirmation that proton uniport occurs in an SMR other than EmrE, and other transport

modes may still be possible given the very small set of substrates we have tested so far. As efforts

are made to expand the substrate profile, understanding what makes an SMR substrate may help

with potential therapeutic development to induce susceptibility via proton-motive force targeting.

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Testing known and novel PAsmr substrates in PA14

Figure 2. Phenotypes of *P. aeruginosa* Δ*smrPA* are substrate-dependent

Figure 3. PAsmr expression in *E. coli* shows a substrate-dependent phenotype, often but not

always consistent with that in *P. aeruginosa*

Figure 4. PAsmr performs both antiport and proton uniport depending on substrate

Figure 5. Streptomycin has selective interactions with PAsmr

Figure 6. PAsmr does not leak proton at neutral pH

Table 1. Primers used in PAsmr deletion

Table 2. Known PAsmr Substrates and Phenotypes

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest with this work.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION (ATTACHED)

Supplemental Methods

Fig. S1-S4, Table S1

Data repository: MendeleyData

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Grant A. Hussey for aiding in the initial plasmid design of the pWB vector

and Kylie M. Hibbs for preliminary growth curve work. Thank you to Andrew Buller and members of the

Henzler-Wildman and Peters lab for thoughtful comments. Mass spectrometry was carried out by the

University of Arizona Analytical & Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility (RRID:SCR_023370). Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institutes of Health Institute for General Medical Sciences under award numbers R01GM095839 and R35GM141748, with additional support for AKW from the Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases under award number F31AI169825. WJH and AKW were also supported by the Biotechnology Training Program (NIH 5T32GM135066). This study made use of the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison, which is supported by NIH grant R24GM141526. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization-KAHW, JMP, AKW; Methodology-KAHW, JMP, WJH, AWK; Investigation-AKW, WJH, SPD, MSM; Data Curation-AKW, WJH, SPD, MSM; Writing-Original Draft-AKW, MSM; Writing-Review & Editing-AKW, KAHW, JMP, WJH; Visualization-AKW, MSM; Supervision-KAHW, JMP; Funding acquisition-KAHW, AKW

REFERENCES

1.   U.S Department of Health and Human Services. 2019. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1–113.
2.   Ventola CL. 2015. The Antibiotic Resistance Crisis. P&T 40:278–283.
3.   Ninio S, Rotem D, Schuldiner S. 2001. Functional Analysis of Novel Multidrug Transporters from Human Pathogens. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276:48250–48256.
4.   Srinivasan VB, Rajamohan G, Gebreyes WA. 2009. Role of AbeS, a novel efflux pump of the SMR family of transporters, in resistance to antimicrobial agents in Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:5312–5316.
5.   Basting D, Lorch M, Lehner I, Glaubitz C. 2008. Transport cycle intermediate in small multidrug resistance protein is revealed by substrate fluorescence. The FASEB Journal 22:365–373.
6.   Li XZ, Poole K, Nikaido H. 2003. Contributions of MexAB-OprM and an EmrE homolog to intrinsic resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to aminoglycosides and dyes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:27–33.
7.   Bay DC, Rommens KL, Turner RJ. 2008. Small multidrug resistance proteins: A multidrug transporter family that continues to grow. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1778:1814–1838.
8.   Lytvynenko I, Brill S, Oswald C, Pos KM. 2016. Molecular basis of polyspecificity of the Small Multidrug Resistance Efflux Pump AbeS from Acinetobacter baumannii. J Mol Biol 428:644–657.

397   9.   Quan H, Gong X, Chen Q, Zheng F, Yu Y, Liu D, Wang W, Chu Y. 2023. Functional Characterization of a
398   Novel SMR-Type Efflux Pump RanQ , Mediating Quaternary Ammonium Compound Resistance in
399   Riemerella anatipestifer. Microorganisms 11.
400   10.   Burata OE, Yeh TJ, Macdonald CB, Stockbridge RB. 2022. Still rocking in the structural era: A molecular
401   overview of the small multidrug resistance (SMR) transporter family. Journal of Biological Chemistry
402   298:102482.
403   11.   Yerushalmi H, Lebendiker M, Schuldiner S. 1995. EmrE, an Escherichia coli 12-kDa multidrug
404   transporter, exchanges toxic cations and H+ and is soluble in organic solvents. Journal of Biological
405   Chemistry 270:6856–6863.
406   12.   Morimyo M, Hongo E, Hama-inaba H, Machida I. 1992. Cloning and characterization of the mvrC gene of
407   Escherichia coli K-12 which confers resistance against methyl viologen toxicity. Nucleic Acids Res
408   20:3159–3165.
409   13.   Hussey GA, Thomas NE, Henzler-Wildman KA. 2020. Highly coupled transport can be achieved in free-
410   exchange transport models. Journal of General Physiology 152.
411   14.   Spreacker PJ, Thomas NE, Beeninga WF, Brousseau M, Porter CJ, Hibbs KM, Henzler-Wildman KA. 2022.
412   Activating alternative transport modes in a multidrug resistance efflux pump to confer chemical
413   susceptibility. Nat Commun 13.
414   15.   Morrison EA, Henzler-Wildman KA. 2014. Transported substrate determines exchange rate in the
415   multidrug resistance transporter EmrE. Journal of Biological Chemistry 289:6825–6836.
416   16.   Robinson AE, Thomas NE, Morrison EA, Balthazor BM, Henzler-Wildman KA. 2017. New free-exchange
417   model of EmrE transport. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E10083–E10091.
418   17.   Stokes JM, Yang K, Swanson K, Jin W, Cubillos-Ruiz A, Donghia NM, MacNair CR, French S, Carfrae LA,
419   Bloom-Ackerman Z, Tran VM, Chiappino-Pepe A, Badran AH, Andrews IW, Chory EJ, Church GM, Brown
420   ED, Jaakkola TS, Barzilay R, Collins JJ. 2020. A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery. Cell
421   180:688-702.e13.
422   18.   Yang B, Tong Z, Shi J, Wang Z, Liu Y. 2023. Bacterial proton motive force as an unprecedented target to
423   control antimicrobial resistance. Med Res Rev https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21946.
424   19.   Darnowski MG, Lanosky TD, Paquette AR, Boddy CN. 2023. Armeniaspirol analogues disrupt the
425   electrical potential (ΔΨ) of the proton motive force. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 84:129210.
426   20.   Farha MA, Verschoor CP, Bowdish D, Brown ED. 2013. Collapsing the proton motive force to identify
427   synergistic combinations against staphylococcus aureus. Chem Biol 20:1168–1178.
428   21.   Zhao N, Isguven S, Evans R, Schaer TP, Hickok N. 2023. Berberine disrupts staphylococcal proton motive
429   force to cause potent anti- staphylococcal effects in vitro. Biofilm 143747.
430   22.   Mitchell CJ, Stone TA, Deber CM. 2019. Peptide-Based Efflux Pump Inhibitors of the Small Multidrug
431   Resistance Protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63:1–10.
432   23.   Kiddee A, Henghiranyawong K, Yimsabai J, Tiloklurs M, Niumsup PR. 2013. Nosocomial spread of class 1
433   integron-carrying extensively drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates in a Thai hospital. Int J
434   Antimicrob Agents 42:301–306.
435   24.   Hmelo LR, Borlee BR, Almblad H, Love ME, Randall TE, Tseng BS, Lin C, Irie Y, Storek KM, Yang JJ, Siehnel
436   RJ, Howell PL, Singh PK, Tolker-Nielsen T, Parsek MR, Schweizer HP, Harrison JJ. 2015. Precision-
437   engineering the Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat Protoc 10:1820–
438   1841.
439   25.   Quandt J, Hynes MF. 1993. Versatile suicide vectors which allow direct selection for gene replacement
440   in Gram-negative bacteria. Gene 127:15–21.
441   26.   Spreacker PJ, Wegrzynowicz AK, Porter CJ, Beeninga WF, Demas SP, Powers EN, Henzler-Wildman KA.
442   2023. Functional promiscuity of small multidrug resistance transporters from Staphylococcus aureus,
443   Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Francisella tularensis. Molecular Microbiology (in review).

27. Currinn H, Guscott B, Balklava Z, Rothnie A, Wassmer T. 2016. APP controls the formation of PI(3,5)P(2)
vesicles through its binding of the PIKfyve complex. Cell Mol Life Sci 73:393–408.
28. Morrison EA, Dekoster GT, Dutta S, Vafabakhsh R, Clarkson MW, Bahl A, Kern D, Ha T, Henzler-Wildman
KA. 2012. Antiparallel EmrE exports drugs by exchanging between asymmetric structures. Nature
481:45–52.
29. Thomas NE, Feng W, Henzler-Wildman KA. 2021. A solid-supported membrane electrophysiology assay
for efficient characterization of ion-coupled transport. Journal of Biological Chemistry 297:101220.
30. Puzari M, Chetia P. 2017. RND efflux pump mediated antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria
Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a major issue worldwide. World J Microbiol Biotechnol
33:1–8.
31. Zwama M, Nishino K. 2021. Ever-Adapting RND Efflux Pumps in Gram-Negative Multidrug-Resistant
Pathogens: A Race against Time. Antibiotics 2021, Vol 10, Page 774 10:774.
32. Webster CM, Woody AM, Fusseini S, Holmes LG, Robinson GK, Shepherd M. 2022. Proton motive force
underpins respiration-mediated potentiation of aminoglycoside lethality in pathogenic Escherichia coli.
Arch Microbiol 204:1–11.
33. Krause KM, Serio AW, Kane TR, Connolly LE. 2016. Aminoglycosides: An Overview. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Med 6.
34. Hu J, Qin H, Gao FP, Cross TA. 2011. A systematic assessment of mature MBP in membrane protein
production: Overexpression, membrane targeting and purification. Protein Expr Purif 80:34–40.
463

Figure 1. Known and novel PAsmr substrates and construction of a PAsmr deletion strain. A)

Compounds used in this study. B) Confirmation of the *smrPA* deletion in PA14 by PCR with flanking

primers. C) *smrPA* deletion does not affect PA14 growth in the absence of drug.

Figure 2. Phenotypes of *P. aeruginosa* Δ*smrPA* are substrate-dependent. A-C) Δ*smrPA* shows

reduced growth relative to WT PA14 in the presence of ethidium, chelerythrine, and harmane,

suggesting that PAsmr confers resistance to these compounds. D-E) Δ*smrPA* growth in the presence

of these compounds suggests that PAsmr confers susceptibility to 18-crown-6 ether and

streptomycin.

Figure 3. PAsmr expression in *E. coli* shows a substrate-dependent phenotype, often but not

always consistent with that in *P. aeruginosa* A-C) *E. coli* expressing WT PAsmr shows improved

growth relative to the E14Q variant in the presence of ethidium, MeTPP+, and chelerythrine,

suggesting that PAsmr confers resistance to these compounds. D-E) E14Q-PAsmr- *E. coli* shows

improved growth relative to WT PAsmr in the presence of harmane, 18-crown-6 ether, and

streptomycin, suggesting that functional PAsmr confers susceptibility to these compounds in *E. coli*.

Figure 4. PAsmr performs both antiport and proton uniport depending on substrate. A) Based

on the free-exchange model of EmrE, four transport modes are theoretically possible for PAsmr (16)

B) Solid-supported membrane electrophysiology was performed using three different drug gradient

conditions and a consistent 2-fold proton gradient. C) Resulting ion transport for each condition allows

discrimination between four different transport modes. D) PAsmr transports Chelerythrine and E)

MeTPP+ via antiport. F) Harmane and G) 18-crown-6 ether trigger increased proton transport

regardless of drug gradient.

Figure 5. Streptomycin has selective interactions with PAsmr 1H-15N TROSY spectra of S64V

PaSMR with (purple) and without (black) streptomycin indicate that streptomycin is likely interacting

with the protein due to A) sizeable chemical shift perturbations and peak differences in some portions

of the spectrum. B) Some regions of the spectra are overlaid completely, indicating backbone

residues where streptomycin does not have an impact, indicating a specific interaction.

Figure 6. PAsmr does not leak proton at neutral pH. A) PAsmr was reconstituted into liposomes

and resuspended with a 100-fold potassium gradient in neutral pH and phenol red. Addition of

valinomycin dissipates the potassium gradient and establishes a negative-inside membrane potential,

favoring proton transport into the liposome if it is possible. B) Phenol red absorbance at 559 nm

reveals no change upon valinomycin addition (top), indicating that PAsmr does not leak proton under

these conditions. Protonophore addition increases phenol red absorbance and HCl addition

decreases absorbance, confirming establishment of a membrane potential. Protonophore addition

first also does not result in an increase of absorbance, indicating that both membrane potential and

protonophore are necessary for proton transport through liposomes (bottom).

Table 1. Primers used in PAsmr deletion

oJMP Primer	Sequence (5'-3')
1832	CTAGAACTAGTGGATCCCCCCGGGCAAGGACAGGGAAAA
1833	TTCAGTGCCCATTGGTCATGGCGGCGTC
1834	CATGACCAATGGGCACTGAACGACCGTC
1835	ATATCGAATTCCTGCAGCCCCCTGTTCGGCGAGGAAGG
1881	GCTCGGTTTCCATGATCACC
1882	GGATGCCGTCTTCTTCCAGG

Table 2. PAsmr Substrates and Phenotypes

Substrate/Compound	Interaction
Tetraphenylphosphonium	Inhibits methyl viologen transport *in vitro* (3)
Benzalkonium	Inhibits methyl viologen transport *in vitro* (3); PAsmr inhibition re-sensitizes PAsmr-E. coli (22)
Acriflavine	Inhibits methyl viologen transport *in vitro* (3); *smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6)
Ethidium	Inhibits methyl viologen transport *in vitro* (3); Transports/effluxes (22); *smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6); *smrPA* deletion from PA14 decreases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers resistance; transported *in vitro* via antiport
Methyl viologen	Transported *in vitro* (3)
Cetylpyridinium	PAsmr inhibition re-sensitizes PAsmr-E. coli (22)

Cetyltrimethylammonium	PAsmr inhibition re-sensitizes PAsmr-E. coli (22)
Gentamicin	*smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6)
Kanamycin	*smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6)
Neomycin	*smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6)
Nalidixic acid	*smrPA* deletion from PAO1 decreases MIC (6)
Chelerythrine	*smrPA* deletion from PA14 decreases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers resistance; transported *in vitro* via antiport
Harmane	*smrPA* deletion from PA14 decreases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers susceptibility; triggers proton uniport
18-crown-6 ether	*smrPA* deletion from PA14 increases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers susceptibility; triggers proton uniport
Streptomycin	*smrPA* deletion from PA14 increases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers susceptibility
Methyltriphenylphosphonium	*smrPA* deletion from PA14 decreases resistance; WT expression in E. coli confers resistance; transported *in vitro* via antiport