Cyclic nucleotide-induced bidirectional long-term synaptic plasticity in *Drosophila* mushroom body

1   **Daichi Yamada[1] and Toshihide Hige*[1,2,3]**

[1]Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, United States

[2]Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, United States

[3]Integrative Program for Biological and Genome Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, United States

*Correspondence:
Toshihide Hige
hige@email.unc.edu

Abstract

Activation of the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) pathway generally facilitates synaptic transmission, serving as one of the common mechanisms underlying long-term potentiation (LTP). In the *Drosophila* mushroom body, simultaneous activation of odor-coding Kenyon cells (KCs) and reinforcement-coding dopaminergic neurons synergistically activates adenylyl cyclase in KC presynaptic terminals, which is believed to trigger synaptic plasticity underlying olfactory associative learning. However, learning induces long-term depression (LTD) at these synapses, contradicting the universal role of cAMP as a facilitator of transmission. Here, we develop a system to electrophysiologically monitor both short-term and long-term synaptic plasticity of KC output synapses and demonstrate that *Drosophila* mushroom body is indeed a rare, if not the only, exception where increase in cAMP level induces LTD. In contrary to the prevailing model, we find that cAMP increase alone is insufficient for plasticity induction; it additionally requires KC activation to replicate presynaptic LTD induced by pairing of dopamine and KC activation. On the other hand, activation of the cyclic guanosine

monophosphate pathway paired with KC activation induces slowly developing LTP, proving

antagonistic actions of the two second-messenger pathways predicted by behavioral study.

Furthermore, subtype-specific interrogation of KC output synapses reveals that different KC

subtypes exhibit distinct plasticity duration even among synapses on the same postsynaptic

neuron. Thus, our work not only revises the role of cAMP in synaptic plasticity by uncovering

unexpected convergence point of the cAMP pathway and neuronal activity, but also establishes

the methods to address physiological mechanisms of synaptic plasticity in this historically

important model system.

Introduction

Synaptic plasticity is a fundamental mechanism of learning. *Aplysia*, the same animal that helped

prove this notion for the first time, also contributed to the identification of cyclic adenosine

monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent pathway as a key molecular basis for synaptic plasticity

(Brunelli *et al.*, 1976; Castellucci *et al.*, 1980). Following this discovery, the cAMP/protein

kinase A (PKA) pathway was found to be one of the ubiquitously important mechanisms

underlying learning-related synaptic plasticity in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Kandel *et*

al., 2014). In agreement with other systems, behavioral genetics studies in *Drosophila* have

linked learning defects in olfactory classical conditioning to mutations of the cAMP/PKA

pathway genes, such as cAMP phosphodiesterase *dunce* (Byers *et al.*, 1981) and

calcium/calmodulin-activated adenylyl cyclase (AC) *rutabaga* (Livingstone *et al.*, 1984).

The mushroom body (MB) is the central brain area for olfactory learning in *Drosophila*. A given

odor evokes reliable spiking responses in a sparse population (~5%) of the ~2,000 Kenyon cells

(KCs), the principal neurons of the MB (Turner *et al.*, 2008; Honegger *et al.*, 2011). KCs form

dense axon bundles, constituting the MB lobes, where they synapse on their main postsynaptic

partners, MB output neurons (MBONs). In the MB lobes, KCs also receive dense inputs from the

dopaminergic neurons (DANs), which, depending on cell types, convey either reward or

punishment signals during conditioning (Schwaerzel *et al.*, 2003; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Burke *et al.*,

2012; Aso & Rubin, 2016). Thus, olfactory and reinforcement signals converge at the KC axons.

This notion is consistent with the fact that memory defects of the mutants of a G_s-linked

dopamine receptor *Dop1R1* (Kim *et al.*, 2007; Qin *et al.*, 2012) and *rutabaga* (McGuire *et al.*,

2003; Blum *et al.*, 2009) can be fully rescued by expressing the corresponding functional

proteins in KCs. These results led to the prevailing working model that Rutabaga AC in the KC

axons acts as a coincidence detector of olfactory and reinforcement signals, represented by

calcium influx and dopamine input, respectively, and the resulting increase in cAMP level

induces presynaptic plasticity at KC-MBON synapses (Heisenberg, 2003). In support of this

model, coactivation of KCs and dopamine receptors synergistically activates cAMP/PKA

pathway in KC axons (Tomchik & Davis, 2009; Gervasi *et al.*, 2010; Handler *et al.*, 2019; but

see also Abe *et al.*, 2023).

In general, action of cAMP on synaptic transmission is excitatory. cAMP increase virtually

always results in potentiation of synapses in both vertebrates and invertebrates. The examples

range from synaptic facilitation of the siphon sensory neurons in *Aplysia* (Goldsmith & Abrams,

1991) to long-term potentiation (LTP) in rodent hippocampus (Huang *et al.*, 1994) and

cerebellum (Salin *et al.*, 1996). Conversely, decrease in cAMP underlies multiple forms of long-

term depression (LTD) (Tzounopoulos *et al.*, 1998; Chevaleyre *et al.*, 2007). This positive

relationship between cAMP level and synaptic strength also seems to apply to *Drosophila* as

elevated presynaptic cAMP level mediates post-tetanic synaptic potentiation at the

neuromuscular junction (Kuromi & Kidokoro, 2000), which was impaired in *dunce* and *rutabaga*

mutants (Zhong & Wu, 1991). Furthermore, multiple pioneering studies of either early (Wang *et*

al., 2008) or late phase (Yu *et al.*, 2006; Akalal *et al.*, 2010) of memory traces induced by

olfactory learning reported potentiation of odor-evoked calcium activity in the KC axons. Given

this historic background, it was rather unexpected that pairing of odor presentation and

optogenetic activation of DANs induces robust LTD at KC-to-MBON synapses (Hige *et al.*,

2015). However, LTD but not LTP fits the circuit logic of the MB. Anatomically, a given cell

type of MBONs has partner cell types of DANs, and they show matching innervation patterns in

the MB lobes (Aso *et al.*, 2014*b*). In general, activation of MBONs signals the valence that is

opposite to the one signaled by the partner DANs (Aso *et al.*, 2014*a*; Owald *et al.*, 2015; Aso &

Rubin, 2016). Thus, punishment-encoding DANs can induce LTD in approach-directing MBONs

during aversive learning. Although numerous other studies now support or confirm that odor-

specific depression in MBON responses underlies olfactory learning (Séjourné *et al.*, 2011;

Owald *et al.*, 2015; Cohn *et al.*, 2015; Perisse *et al.*, 2016; Berry *et al.*, 2018; Felsenberg *et al.*,

2018; Handler *et al.*, 2019; Awata *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019; McCurdy *et al.*, 2021;

Hancock *et al.*, 2022; Schnitzer *et al.*, 2022; Noyes & Davis, 2023; Zeng *et al.*, 2023), there has

been no direct evidence that *Drosophila* MB is an exception where cAMP-induced synaptic

plasticity is depression rather than potentiation. Providing such evidence is the main objective of

this study.

cAMP signaling is not the only second messenger system implicated in learning-related plasticity

in the *Drosophila* MB. A subset of the MB-projecting DANs releases nitric oxide (NO) as a

cotransmitter (Aso *et al.*, 2019). Behavioral evidence suggests that NO acts on KC axons to

induce plasticity that is antagonistic to dopamine-induced LTD via activation of soluble guanylyl

cyclase (sGC) (Aso *et al.*, 2019), although there has been no physiological evidence for it.

Unlike cAMP, the sign of synaptic plasticity induced by cyclic guanosine monophosphate

(cGMP) varies among systems and studies. While cGMP increase predominantly induces

presynaptic LTP in the hippocampus (Arancio *et al.*, 1995) and hyperexcitability of sensory

neurons in *Aplysia* (Lewin & Walters, 1999), it also induces LTD in the hippocampus (Reyes-

Harde *et al.*, 1999), cerebellum (Shibuki & Okada, 1991; Lev-Ram *et al.*, 1997) and

corticostriatal synapses (Calabresi *et al.*, 1999). At *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction, cGMP

exerts an excitatory (Wildemann & Bicker, 1999) or no effect (Caplan *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore,

NO-dependent modulation of the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction also involves cGMP-

independent, S-nitrosylation of proteins (Robinson *et al.*, 2018). Thus, it is important to

determine the role of cGMP in KC-to-MBON synaptic plasticity.

In this study, we developed an *ex vivo* system to test physiological and pharmacological

properties of the synaptic plasticity at KC-to-MBON synapses. In this system, we made whole-

cell recordings from a target MBON to monitor the excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs)

evoked by optogenetic stimulation of a small subset of KCs, while focally injecting various

reagents to the MB lobe at the dendritic region of the MBON to induce or inhibit long-term

plasticity. This system also allowed us to monitor short-term synaptic plasticity by delivering

paired-pulse stimulation to test the involvement of presynaptic factors in synaptic changes

(Zucker & Regehr, 2002). We show that pairing of KC activation and dopamine injection induces

LTD accompanied by an increase in paired-pulse ratio (PPR). Unexpectedly, however, activation

of AC by forskolin alone was insufficient to induce qualitatively similar LTD; it required

simultaneous KC activation in addition to forskolin application. Pairing of pharmacological

activation of sGC and KC activation induced LTP accompanied by a decrease in PPR. Our

system also allowed for subtype-specific activation of KCs that synapse on the same MBON and

revealed distinct durations of synaptic plasticity between different KC subtypes. Thus, our work

not only revises the role of cAMP in synaptic plasticity by revealing unexpected convergence

point of the cAMP pathway and neuronal activity, but also establishes the methods to address

physiological mechanisms of synaptic plasticity.

Results

Optogenetic paired-pulse stimulation of KCs can be used to assess presynaptic changes in
synaptic transmission

In the field of synaptic physiology, paired-pulse protocol is commonly used to assess the

presynaptic strength of synaptic transmission because the paired pulse ratio (PPR), calculated as

the second EPSC amplitude divided by the first one, generally inversely correlates with

presynaptic vesicular release probability (Zucker & Regehr, 2002). Although paired EPSCs are

typically evoked by fiber stimulation using extracellular electrodes, similar inference can be

made using optogenetically delivered paired pulses (Britt *et al.*, 2012; Creed *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et*

al., 2020). This approach is applicable to *Drosophila* KCs whose densely packed, small bundle

of axons deters the use of an electrode. Since this method has never been used in the *Drosophila*

MB, to our knowledge, we first asked whether release probability change can induce predicted

change in the PPR.

Among dozens of MBONs, we targeted MBON-γ1pedc because the relatively thick and short

primary neurite of this neuron allows for superior membrane voltage control (i.e. space clamp)

during somatic voltage-clamp recordings and also because the LTD has been best characterized

in this MBON using pairing of odor and DAN activation (Hige *et al.*, 2015). MBON-γ1pedc

receives the majority of its inputs from the γ subtype of KCs as well as a minor fraction from α/β

KCs in the pedunculus region of the MB (Fig. 1A). We therefore first focused on γ KC-to-

MBON-γ1pedc synaptic transmission. To selectively study these synapses, we expressed red-

shifted channelrhodopsin, CsChrimson (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014), in a small subset of γ KCs using

γ KC-specific split-GAL4 driver MB623C (Shuai *et al.*, 2023) together with a stochastic

expression system SPARC (Isaacman-Beck *et al.*, 2020). By using the "S" (or sparse) variant of

the SPARC system, we can reliably label a random ~3-7% of γ KCs (Isaacman-Beck *et al.*,

2020), roughly equivalent to the fraction of KCs reliably responsive to a typical odor (Honegger

et al., 2011).

We made whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from MBON-γ1pedc and delivered two 1-ms light

pulses 400 ms apart to measure PPRs. To test the effect of release probability decrease on PPR,

we changed the extracellular calcium/magnesium concentrations from 1.5/4 to 0.7/5.5 mM. This

manipulation decreased the first EPSC amplitude while concurrently increasing the PPR (Fig.

1B). Conversely, increasing the release probability by changing calcium/magnesium

concentrations to 5/0.5 mM facilitated the first EPSC while decreasing the PPR (Fig. 1C). Thus,

artificial manipulation of release probability shifted PPR in the expected manner. To test whether

the change in PPR is attributable to presynaptic factors, we next bath-applied a low concentration

of mecamylamine (10 μM), a non-competitive antagonist of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors,

to reduce the availability of postsynaptic ionotropic receptors without changing the release

probability. This manipulation attenuated the first EPSC to an equivalent level to the low calcium

condition (Fig. 1D). However, this decrease was not accompanied by a change in PPR. These

results indicate that PPRs measured by optogenetically evoked EPSCs at KC-to-MBON synapses

can be used as an indicator of presynaptic modulation of transmission.

Pairing γ KC activation with focal dopamine application induces presynaptic LTD

Using this experimental setup, we first examined whether dopamine can induce synaptic

plasticity at γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses. Previous studies that demonstrated odor-specific

depression in MBON responses used either actual reinforcement or direct DAN activation using

opto- or chemogenetics, which can promote release of not only dopamine but also cotransmitters

or other neuromodulators (Séjourné *et al.*, 2011; Owald *et al.*, 2015; Hige *et al.*, 2015; Cohn *et

al.*, 2015; Perisse *et al.*, 2016; Berry *et al.*, 2018; Felsenberg *et al.*, 2018; Handler *et al.*, 2019;

Awata *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019; McCurdy *et al.*, 2021; Hancock *et al.*, 2022; Schnitzer *et

al.*, 2022; Noyes & Davis, 2023; Zeng *et al.*, 2023). We therefore do not know whether

dopamine alone is sufficient for the DAN activation-induced LTD. To directly test this

possibility, we focally applied dopamine (1 mM) into γ1pedc subregion of the MB lobe, where

the dendrites of MBON-γ1pedc are located, by pressure injection via a pipette placed in the MB

lobe (Fig. 2A). By monitoring the signal of Texas Red-conjugated dextran infused in the pipette,

we confirmed that our 1-min injection protocol (30 cycles of 1-s on and 1-s off) is enough to

diffuse the injected solution across the entire γ1pedc, but it was largely confined to half the

length of the medial MB lobes (Fig. 2B). Importantly, the signal was quickly washed out before

resuming EPSC recording 2.5 min after the end of injection. After we paired optogenetic

activation of γ KCs with dopamine application for 1 min, the synapses underwent LTD, which

lasted at least for 17 min (Figs. 2C and 2D). In 2 of the 6 recordings, we were able to continue

the recording for more than 30 min after pairing. In both these cases, the LTD persisted until the

end of recording without any sign of recovery (data not shown). This LTD was accompanied by

PPR increase, which persisted for the duration of LTD (Figs. 2C and 2D). In contrast, dopamine

application (Figs. 2E and 2F) or γ KC activation alone (Figs. 2G and 2H) induced no change in

EPSC amplitude or PPR. These results indicate that coincidence of γ KC activation and

dopamine input can induce presynaptic LTD. To test if the action of dopamine is mediated by G_s-

coupled D_1-like dopamine receptors, we bath-applied a selective antagonist SCH 23390 (100

µM). Although application of SCH 23390 by itself had no effect on the EPSC amplitude or PPR,

it abolished the effect of γ KC-dopamine pairing (Figs. 2I and 2J). In contrast, bath application of

the solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 0.1%) did not have such effects, as γ KC-dopamine

pairing still induced robust LTD and PPR increase (Figs. 2K and 2L). Taken together, these

results indicate that the role of DAN activation in LTD induction described in previous studies

(Hige *et al.*, 2015; Cohn *et al.*, 2015; Berry *et al.*, 2018; Handler *et al.*, 2019) is attributable to

dopamine's action on D_1-like dopamine receptors in these synapses.

Presynaptic LTD induction requires both AC activation and KC activity

The dominant hypothesis in the field is that coincidence of KC activity and dopamine input

activates AC in KC axons to elevate cAMP concentration which in turn induces synaptic

plasticity. This model assumes that cAMP increase is sufficient for plasticity induction. To test

this long-standing but unproved hypothesis, we pharmacologically activated the AC by focally

injecting forskolin (20 µM) into γ1pedc region (Fig 3) as we did for dopamine. This

concentration of forskolin is more than enough to fully activate cAMP/PKA pathway in KCs

(Gervasi *et al.*, 2010). However, 1-min injection of forskolin affected neither the EPSC

amplitude nor PPR (Figs. 3B and 3C). Increasing the concentration of forskolin to 100 µM did

induce a sustained decrease in the EPSC amplitude (Figs. 3D and 3E). However, this effect did

not accompany a change in PPR, suggesting postsynaptic origin of the plasticity. It is possible

that excessive concentration of forskolin recruited AC in the MBON. In support of this idea, cell-

type-specific transcriptome data (Aso *et al.*, 2019) suggests that expression level of AC is much

higher in KCs compared to the MBON (Fig. S1). Although the lack of change in PPR does not

formally exclude the possibility that high concentrations of forskolin induces plasticity through

presynaptic mechanisms, we can at least conclude that the observed plasticity is qualitatively

distinct from the plasticity induced by γ KC-dopamine pairing. In other words, elevation of

cAMP caused by AC activation is not sufficient to replicate the dopamine-induced LTD.

These unexpected results prompted us to test whether KC activation in addition to cAMP

elevation is necessary to induce presynaptic LTD. To this end, we paired γ KC activation and

injection of a low concentration of forskolin. This pairing was able to induce a long-lasting

suppression (at least for 17 min) of the EPSC amplitude and concurrent increase in PPR (Figs.

3F and 3G), replicating dopamine-induced LTD. In contrast, pairing of γ KC activation with

injection of DMSO (0.1%; solvent of forskolin) did not show any effect (Figs. 3H and 3I). These

results suggest that some intracellular signal triggered by KC activity is required to converge

somewhere in the downstream pathway of the cAMP production to express presynaptic LTD.

Dopamine-induced LTD depends on PKA but not calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein

kinase II (CaMKII)

Our results so far demonstrated that cAMP pathway is a critical molecular basis for LTD, even

though its activation alone may not be sufficient. We therefore tested pharmacologically which

molecules downstream of cAMP are required for LTD induction (Fig. 4A). As with many other

organisms, PKA plays a crucial role in *Drosophila* olfactory learning (Drain *et al.*, 1991;

Skoulakis *et al.*, 1993). However, its role in the LTD at the KC output synapse has not been

examined. To test this, we bath-applied a PKA inhibitor H-89 (10 µM). H-89 itself did not affect

the EPSC amplitude or PPR but completely blocked the LTD induced by γ KC-dopamine pairing

(Figs. 4B and 4C). As shown in Figs. 2K and 2L, bath application of the solvent alone did not

show any effect. CaMKII is another protein kinase that has a conserved role in synaptic plasticity

across species (Bayer & Schulman, 2019). In *Drosophila*, it is also implicated in some form of

associative learning other than olfactory learning (Griffith *et al.*, 1993). Since our results suggest

an important role of KC activity, which may lead to CaMKII activation via calcium influx, we

tested the effect of a CaMKII inhibitor KN-93, which is effective in *Drosophila* (Peretz *et al.*,

1998). However, in the presence of KN-93 (10 µM), γ KC-dopamine pairing induced robust, or

even more pronounced, LTD and PPR increases (Figs. 4D and 4E). Since application of KN-93

itself slightly decreased the EPSC amplitude (but not PPR), phosphorylation by CaMKII may

play a role in maintaining normal synaptic transmission, perhaps on the postsynaptic side. Taken

together, dopamine-induced LTD in γ KCs depends on PKA, but CaMKII activation is not

critical for this form of synaptic plasticity.

242 Simultaneous activation of cGMP pathway and γ KCs induces presynaptic LTP

A behavioral study in dopamine-deficient flies (i.e. the mutant flies that cannot synthase

dopamine in neurons) identified NO as a cotransmitter of a subset of DANs (Aso *et al.*, 2019).

Since pairing of odor presentation and optogenetic activation of those DANs in dopamine-

deficient flies induced memories with opposite valence to normal flies, it has been hypothesized

that cGMP pathway downstream of NO induces synaptic potentiation and opposes dopamine-

induced LTD. To test this hypothesis, we used sGC agonist BAY 41-2272 (Fig. 5A), which can

activate the *Drosophila* sGC consisting of Gycα99B/Gycβ100B subunits (Morton *et al.*, 2005)

expressed in KCs (Fig. S1) (Aso *et al.*, 2019). When 1-min focal injection of BAY 41-2272 (100

µM) was repeated three times, it slowly potentiated the γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synaptic

transmission over ~15 min in some cells, but this effect was not highly consistent between cells

(Figs. 5B and 5C). This variable potentiation was not accompanied by a change in PPR. In

contrast, when the same BAY 41-2272 injection pattern was paired with γ KC activation, we

reproducibly observed slowly developing LTP with concurrent decrease in PPR (Figs. 5D and

5E). Thus, as reminiscent of the role of cAMP pathway in LTD, it requires simultaneous KC

stimulation for activation of cGMP pathway to induce presynaptic plasticity, but the direction of

plasticity is opposite to the one induced by cAMP. Of note, our preliminary attempt with one

time 1-min pairing of BAY 41-2272 injection with γ KC activation did not induce LTP (n = 2;

data not shown). The requirement of multiple rounds of pairing for LTP induction and the slow

kinetics of LTP are consistent with the behavioral study that showed that NO-dependent learning

requires longer training than dopamine-dependent one and that NO-dependent memory develops

slowly over time, taking ~10 min after training (Aso *et al.*, 2019).

Depression at α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses is short lasting

In our plasticity induction method, odor-evoked KC activation, which occurs across all KC

subtypes, is substituted with subtype-specific optogenetic activation. This feature allowed us to

compare the properties of synaptic plasticity between different subtypes of KCs that share the

same postsynaptic MBON. To test if the α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses undergo similar

long-term synaptic plasticity to γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses, we next expressed

CsChrimson in a subset of α/β KCs using α/β KC-specific split-GAL4 driver MB008C (Aso *et*

al., 2014*b*) and the SPARC system (Fig. 6A). As with the case of γ KCs, activation of α/β KCs

paired with focal dopamine injection caused LTD with a concurrent increase in PPR (Figs. 6B

and 6C). However, the duration of LTD was markedly shorter. The EPSC amplitude as well as

PPR started showing recovery within 5 min after induction, and both became indistinguishable

from the baseline after ~10 min. In contrast, at γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses, LTD lasted at

least for 30 min. Dopamine injection (Figs. 6D and 6E) or α/β KC activation (Figs. 6F and 6G)

alone did not induce any plasticity. These results indicate that the properties of dopamine-

induced synaptic plasticity are different between KC subtypes even among the synapses on the

same MBON.

To test whether difference in the duration of plasticity also applies to LTD induced by direct

activation of AC, we next injected forskolin (Fig. 7A). Neither a low (10 µM; Figs. 7B and 7C)

nor a high (100 µM; Figs. 7D and 7E) concentration of forskolin induced robust LTD or parallel

increase in PPRs. In contrast, when we paired injection of a low concentration of forskolin with

activation of α/β KCs, we observed transient but robust LTD accompanying parallel increase in

PPRs (Figs. 7F and 7G). Thus, as with the case of γ KCs, elevation of cAMP level by AC

activation is not sufficient to induce LTD at α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses, as it

additionally requires KC activation. On the other hand, the duration of LTD induced by α/β KC-

forskolin pairing was reminiscent of that induced by α/β KC-dopamine pairing. To exclude the
possibility that the short duration of LTD reflects insufficient diffusion of forskolin into the
pedunculus subregion, where α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses are located, we repeated the
same pairing experiment with a high concentration of forskolin (100 μM). The results mirrored
those with the lower concentration; LTD and accompanying increase in PPRs were robust but
still transient (Figs. 7H and 7I). Pairing of α/β KC activation with injection of DMSO (0.1%) did
not show any effect (Figs. 7J and 7K). These results confirm the short-lasting nature of cAMP-
induced LTD at α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses.

In contrast, cGMP-induced plasticity appeared similar between KC subtypes (Fig. 8A). As
observed at γ KC synapses, three times 1-min injection of BAY 41-2272 alone did not induce
LTP paralleled by PPR change at α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses (Figs. 8B and 8C). Pairing
of α/β KC activation and BAY 41-2272 injection induced robust LTP with a concurrent decrease
of PPR, which slowly developed over the period of ~10 min (Figs. 8D and 8E), again replicating
the observation in γ KCs. Taken together, γ and α/β KCs may share similar induction
mechanisms of cyclic nucleotide-induced synaptic plasticity but exhibit distinct durations
specifically for cAMP-dependent plasticity.

Discussion

In many species, brain areas and cell types, activation of cAMP/PKA pathway has been almost
exclusively implicated in potentiation rather than depression of synapses in the context of
synaptic plasticity. In this study, we provide the direct evidence that the output synapse of the
Drosophila MB is a rare, if not the only, exception where the sign of cAMP-induced plasticity is
inverted. Our results show that potentiation is instead mediated by cGMP pathway. Against
prevailing working model, increase in neither of the cyclic nucleotides was sufficient to induce
presynaptic plasticity; it additionally required simultaneous neuronal activity. Our experimental
design also allowed for a separate interrogation of synaptic plasticity exhibited by different
presynaptic cell types and uncovered similar but distinct properties.

Like in many sensory cortical areas, KCs show sparse sensory representations (Turner *et al.*,

2008; Honegger *et al.*, 2011). For this representation format to benefit the stimulus specificity of

learning (Field, 1994; Olshausen & Field, 2004), the effect of neuromodulation must be

restricted to the small fraction of synapses participating the sensory representation. This requires

synaptic plasticity to be induced only when neuromodulatory input coincides with synaptic

activity. Calcium/calmodulin-activated AC has been long postulated as a molecular basis for

such coincidence detection in multiple organisms because of its dual sensitivity to calcium influx

triggered by neuronal activity and G protein signaling triggered by neuromodulatory input (Mons

et al., 1999; Heisenberg, 2003). In *Drosophila* MB, multiple studies have indeed observed

synergistic action of KC activity and DAN activation (or bath-applied dopamine) on the

cAMP/PKA pathway in the KC axons (Tomchik & Davis, 2009; Gervasi *et al.*, 2010; Handler *et*

al., 2019). However, these and other studies also showed that DAN activation or dopamine

application alone can induce considerable increase in cAMP level (Tomchik & Davis, 2009; Boto

et al., 2014; Handler *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, DAN activity is strongly modulated by the animal's

instantaneous locomotion (Cohn *et al.*, 2015; Siju *et al.*, 2020; Zolin *et al.*, 2021; Marquis &

Wilson, 2022). Thus, the resulting "aberrant" fluctuation of the cAMP level may prevent it from

being a faithful biochemical reporter of coincidence. Furthermore, a recent study squarely

challenged the role of cAMP as a coincidence reporter by showing that odor-electric shock

pairing evoked a similar degree of cAMP elevation in the KC axons regardless of their

responsiveness to the odor (Abe *et al.*, 2023). These results collectively suggest that it would be

problematic if cAMP increase is sufficient to induce synaptic plasticity as assumed in the

currently prevailing view (Heisenberg, 2003). That is, without another layer of coincidence

detection, synapse specificity of plasticity would be compromised. Our results indicate the

existence of such a mechanism. Activation of AC alone by focal application of forskolin at the

synaptic site failed to induce LTD. Forskolin injection at a high concentration (100 μM) did

induce LTD, but this LTD did not accompany a change in PPR. We speculate that excessive

concentration of forskolin may have recruited AC in the MBON to induce postsynaptic LTD.

This idea is supported by the fact that the MBON has a much lower level of AC expression

compared to KCs (Fig. S1). However, the lack of a change in PPR alone is not enough to specify

the site of the plasticity; we were unable to analyze the miniature EPSCs, the size of which could

have provided more mechanistic insight, due their small size. Regardless of the origin of the

plasticity, we can firmly conclude that the LTD induced by high concentration of forskolin is

qualitatively distinct from that induced by KC-dopamine pairing because only the latter showed

clear increase in PPR. In contrast to our results, a recent study reported that forskolin treatment

(100 µM) alone is sufficient to induce suppression of acetylcholine release from KCs (Abe *et al.*,

2023). However, this study used bath application of forskolin, and acetylcholine release was

evoked by an odor. Thus, the observed effect could be the result of forskolin's action on any part

of the upstream circuit of the KCs. Moreover, they observed that the depression induced by

forskolin quickly disappeared after washing out forskolin, suggesting that it is not LTD. In

contrast, we focally applied forskolin only to a limited area of the MB lobes. In this small area,

the resident MBON and DAN show much lower expression levels of AC compared to KCs (Fig.

S1), making it unlikely that the observed effect of forskolin is mediated by non-KC cell types.

Moreover, the depression induced by KC-dopamine pairing lasted for at least 30 min after

forskolin was washed out from the area. We were able to replicate the LTD induced by KC-

dopamine pairing only when focal forskolin application was paired with KC activation. Taken

together, we propose a model that the convergence point of the signal triggered by KC activity

and that by dopamine input resides somewhere downstream of the cAMP synthesis. This view

and the traditional view of AC as a coincidence detector are not mutually exclusive as KC

activity may have a dual role at both convergence points. This double-layered mechanism of

coincidence detection could help secure the synapse specificity of plasticity (hence stimulus

specificity of learning) and prevent the synapses from undergoing plasticity every time the

cAMP level is affected by ongoing DAN activity. It would be important to understand the signal

mediating the neuronal activity and the detailed molecular mechanism of the downstream

convergence, especially given the fact that the direction of cAMP-induced plasticity is opposite

to many other systems. Our results suggest that PKA but not CaMKII is involved in this process.

Bidirectional synaptic plasticity has been reported in KC-to-MBON-γ4 synapses, where the

direction of the plasticity is determined by the temporal order of KC and DAN activation

(Handler *et al.*, 2019). DAN activation in the absence of KC activity is also reported to

strengthen the MBON response (Cohn *et al.*, 2015; Berry *et al.*, 2018). Our results demonstrate

the presence of another format of synaptic potentiation mediated by cGMP. The direction of the

plasticity, higher threshold for plasticity induction, and slow kinetics of plasticity development

we observed match the expectation from the behavioral study that identified NO as a

cotramsmitter of a subset of DANs (Aso *et al.*, 2019). Since the DANs expressing NO synthase

are paired up with the MBONs implicated in short-term memory, it has been proposed that NO-

induced plasticity antagonizes dopamine-induced plasticity to shorten the memory retention

time. However, it is not clear whether these two plasticity pathways target the same presynaptic

machinery to change the synaptic strength or exist in parallel. Just like the cAMP pathway,

cGMP pathway also needs simultaneous neuronal activity to trigger the presynaptic plasticity. To

understand the detailed interaction of these two pathways, the downstream molecular target

needs to be identified. Recent study reported that NO-dependent cGMP signaling can trigger

transcriptional changes in KCs, which are essential for forgetting of memory at 6 hours after

training (Takakura *et al.*, 2023). Thus, the antagonistic relationship between cAMP and cGMP

second-messenger pathways controls memory acquisition and retention over multiple timescales

spanning minutes to hours to days.

By taking advantage of our experimental design that allows for subtype-specific activation of

KCs, we showed that the synapses made by α/β KCs on MBON-γ1pedc display shorter

dopamine-induced LTD compared to the ones made by γ KCs on the same postsynaptic neuron.

This result may be somewhat unexpected because the output of α/β KCs is generally considered

to be important for long-term memory retrieval (Isabel *et al.*, 2004; Krashes & Waddell, 2008;

Trannoy *et al.*, 2011; Huang *et al.*, 2013). It is unlikely, however, that the observed difference

between α/β KCs and γ KCs is caused by incomplete diffusion of the injected reagents because

we confirmed that the signal of Texas Red-conjugated dextran infused in the injection pipette

covers the entire γ1pedc region. In addition, the duration of LTD induced by pairing of α/β KC

activation with 10 µM forskolin did not change even if we used 100 µM forskolin. Furthermore,

the time course of cGMP-induced LTP was similar between α/β KCs and γ KCs. Thus, we

conclude that the difference reflects the different properties of the synapses. It is possible that

properties of synapses in the pedunculus region, which is an uncommon area for KCs to make

synapses with MBONs, are somewhat different from those of typical synapses in the MB lobes.

Alternatively, it is also possible that the plasticity properties observed at α/β KCs-to-MBON-

γ1pedc synapses generally apply to all synapses made by α/β KCs. Transcription levels of some

of the PKA isoforms are markedly lower in α/β KCs than in γ KCs (Fig. S1), which might

explain the difference. Importantly, the study using *in vivo* pairing of odor presentation and
optogenetic DAN activation revealed that induction of LTD in MBON-α2sc took longer pairing
than that in MBON-γ1 (Hige *et al.*, 2015). Thus, it is possible that the shorter LTD in α/β KCs-
to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses reflects higher threshold of plasticity induction in α/β KCs. It
requires recording from other types of MBONs with various pairing parameters to discriminate
these potential scenarios.

For a long time, the learning and memory field in *Drosophila* has been strongly driven by
behavioral genetics studies, which successfully made links between key molecules and
behavioral defects. However, the link between those molecules and their potential roles in
synaptic plasticity has not been extensively tested. In the rodent learning and memory field, a
series of experiments using slice physiology provided countless key insight into the molecular
and physiological basis of long-term synaptic plasticity. Our *ex vivo* system developed in this
study provides an equivalent platform to interrogate the molecular machinery of synaptic
plasticity in this historically important model organism.

Material and Methods

Flies

All fly stocks were maintained at room temperature on conventional cornmeal-based medium.
However, in most cases, we kept the final crosses for experiments in the dark at 18 °C to
minimize the potential phototoxicity on KCs expressing CsChrimson. Flies were selected for
desired genotypes on the day of eclosion, transferred to all-trans-retinal food (0.5 mM) and used
for experiments after 48-72 hours. For experiments to record γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synaptic
currents (Figs. 1-5), we used *nSyb-IVS-phiC31 attp18/w; 20XUAS-SPARC2-S-Syn21-*
CsChrimson::tdTomato-3.1 CR-P40/R12G04-LexA attP40; MB623C/pJFRC57-13XLexAop2-
IVS-GFP-p10 VK00005. For α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses (Figs. 6-8), we used *MB008C*
instead of *MB623C. nSyb-IVS-phiC31 attp18* and *20XUAS-SPARC2-S-Syn21-*
CsChrimson::tdTomato-3.1 CR-P40 flies were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock
Center, and MB623C flies were gifted from Yoshinori Aso (Janelia, HHMI).

Electrophysiology

We first attempted to perform all experiments *in vivo*. However, light stimulation we used for optogenetic activation of KCs evoked an EPSC-like inward current in MBON-γ1pedc as well as many of the randomly selected neurons in flies without CsChrimson transgene (data not shown). These responses persisted even in the presence of tetrodotoxin (1 μM), but they almost disappeared in blind *norpA* mutants and were completely absent when we removed the retina. We therefore decided to switch to the *ex vivo* preparation. This strategy also improved the recording condition by minimizing the spontaneous circuit activity.

We dissected out a brain from a head capsule in ice-cold external saline, which contains (in mM) 103 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.5 $CaCl_2$, 4 $MgCl_2$, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 5 N-[tris(hydroxymethyl)-methyl]-2-aminoethane-sulfonic acid, 1 NaH_2PO_4, 10 trehalose and 10 glucose (pH 7.3 when bubbled with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2, 275 mOsm), and then transferred it to a recording chamber, where the brain was pinned to the Sylgard-coated bottom using sharpened tungsten rods inserted at the optic lobes. In some experiments, we treated the brain with Type IV collagenase (0.2-0.5 mg/ml) for 30-90 s to make it easier to remove the glial sheath. After removing the sheath around the region of interest by forceps and pipettes under an upright microscope (OpenStand; Prior Scientific) equipped with a 60× water-immersion objective (LUMPlanFl/IR; Olympus), we inserted an injection pipette containing external saline with Texas Red-conjugated dextran (3,000 MW; 100-200 μM) and additional drugs as described in each figure legend. The tip of injection pipette was placed near the dendritic region of MBON-γ1pedc under the guidance of the GFP signal in the MBON. Both injection and patch pipettes were made from borosilicate glass capillaries with filament (Sutter Instrument) by a micropipette puller (P-97, Sutter Instrument). Patch pipettes were further heat-polished at the tip and had a resistance of 3.5-5.5 MΩ. Pipettes with a slightly larger tip diameter were used as injection pipettes. Patch pipettes were filled with internal saline containing (in mM) 140 cesium aspartate, 10 HEPES, 1 EGTA, 1 KCl, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.5 Na-GTP and 10 QX-314 (pH adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH, 265 mOsm). Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made from MBON-γ1pedc using Axon MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Probes). Cells were held at −60 mV. Leak current was typically < 150 pA. Series resistance was compensated up to 70% so that the uncompensated component remains constant

at around 5 MΩ. Signals were low-pass filtered at 5 kHz before being digitized at 10 kHz.

Sample traces shown in figures were further low-pass filtered.

KCs were stimulated by 1-ms light pulses delivered through the objective at 4.25 mW/mm^2 by a

high-power LED source (LED4D067; Thorlabs) equipped with 625 nm LED. To measure PPR,

we first recorded a single-pulse EPSC to be used as a reference trace. After 10 s, we delivered 4

paired pulses with 400-ms intervals every 10 s. We repeated this set every minute. A second

EPSC waveform was obtained every minute by subtracting a reference trace from the average of

4 paired-pulse EPSCs. PPR was then calculated every minute by dividing the second EPSC

amplitude by the first EPSC amplitude. To ensure the stability of response, we recorded baseline

responses for at least 3 min. For focal injection of drugs, we applied 1-s pressure pulses (0.4-0.6

psi) every 2 s for 1 min by a microinjector (PV850, World Precision Instruments). In some

experiments, we repeated it three times with 1-min intervals. When we paired KC activation with

focal injection, photostimuli were delivered at 2 Hz for 1 min with the first pulse delivered 0.3 s

before the onset of the first injection pulse. Recording was resumed 2.5 min after the end of

injection. For bath application of drugs, we waited for 2 min after the normal bath saline was

exchanged with the one containing a drug via perfusion. We then recorded EPSCs for 3 min to

assess the effect of the drug itself before starting the pairing procedure described above. All

stimulus delivery and data acquisition were controlled by custom MATLAB (Mathworks) codes.

Data analyses were also performed on MATLAB. Statistical analyses were performed on

MATLAB or Prism (GraphPad). All statistical tests used raw data before normalization.

Drugs

Drug-containing external saline was freshly prepared on the day of experiment from stock

solutions stored at −20 ºC. Stock solutions of mecamylamine and KN-93 phosphate were made

with water at 100 mM, and SCH 23390, forskolin, H-89 and BAY 41-2272 were dissolved in

DMSO at 100 mM. Final concentration of DMSO did not exceed 0.1%. Dopamine was stored at

100 mM in external saline. When a drug was bath applied, that drug was also included in the

injection pipette at the same concentration.

Acknowledgements

We thank Yoshinori Aso and members of the Hige lab for valuable comments on the manuscript.

This work was supported by grants from National Institutes of Health (R01DC018874), National

Science Foundation (2034783) and United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation

(2019026) awarded to TH. DY was supported by Toyobo Biotechnology Foundation

Postdoctoral Fellowship and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Overseas Research

Fellowship. Stocks obtained from FlyLight Split-GAL4 Driver Collection (Janelia, HHMI) and

the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this study.

References

Abe T, Yamazaki D, Hiroi M, Ueoka Y, Maeyama Y & Tabata T (2023). Revisiting the role of
cAMP in Drosophila aversive olfactory memory formation. 2023.06.26.545795.
Available at: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.06.26.545795v1.

Akalal D-BG, Yu D & Davis RL (2010). A late-phase, long-term memory trace forms in the γ
neurons of Drosophila mushroom bodies after olfactory classical conditioning. *J Neurosci*
30, 16699–16708.

Arancio O, Kandel ER & Hawkins RD (1995). Activity-dependent long-term enhancement of
transmitter release by presynaptic 3′,5′-cyclic GMP in cultured hippocampal neurons.
Nature **376,** 74–80.

Aso Y et al. (2014*a*). Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based
action selection in Drosophila. *eLife* **3,** e04580.

Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo T-TB, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R,
Tanimoto H & Rubin GM (2014*b*). The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body
provides a logic for associative learning. *eLife* **3,** e04577.

Aso Y, Ray RP, Long X, Bushey D, Cichewicz K, Ngo T-T, Sharp B, Christoforou C, Hu A,
Lemire AL, Tillberg P, Hirsh J, Litwin-Kumar A & Rubin GM (2019). Nitric oxide acts as
a cotransmitter in a subset of dopaminergic neurons to diversify memory dynamics. *eLife*
8, e49257.

Aso Y & Rubin GM (2016). Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-
specific rules. *eLife* **5,** e16135.

Awata H, Takakura M, Kimura Y, Iwata I, Masuda T & Hirano Y (2019). The neural circuit
linking mushroom body parallel circuits induces memory consolidation in Drosophila.
Proc Natl Acad Sci **116,** 16080–16085.

Bayer KU & Schulman H (2019). CaM Kinase: Still Inspiring at 40. *Neuron* **103,** 380–394.

Berry JA, Phan A & Davis RL (2018). Dopamine Neurons Mediate Learning and Forgetting
through Bidirectional Modulation of a Memory Trace. *Cell Rep* **25,** 651-662.e5.

Blum AL, Li W, Cressy M & Dubnau J (2009). Short- and long-term memory in Drosophila
require cAMP signaling in distinct neuron types. *Curr Biol* **19,** 1341–1350.

Boto T, Louis T, Jindachomthong K, Jalink K & Tomchik SM (2014). Dopaminergic modulation
of cAMP drives nonlinear plasticity across the Drosophila mushroom body lobes. *Curr*
Biol **24,** 822–831.

Britt JP, Benaliouad F, McDevitt RA, Stuber GD, Wise RA & Bonci A (2012). Synaptic and
Behavioral Profile of Multiple Glutamatergic Inputs to the Nucleus Accumbens. *Neuron*
76, 790–803.

Brunelli M, Castellucci V & Kandel ER (1976). Synaptic Facilitation and Behavioral
Sensitization in Aplysia: Possible Role of Serotonin and Cyclic AMP. *Science* **194,** 1178–
1181.

Burke CJ, Huetteroth W, Owald D, Perisse E, Krashes MJ, Das G, Gohl DM, Silies M, Certel S
& Waddell S (2012). Layered reward signalling through octopamine and dopamine in
Drosophila. *Nature* **492,** 433–437.

Byers D, Davis RL & Kiger JA (1981). Defect in cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase due to the dunce
mutation of learning in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature* **289,** 79–81.

Calabresi P, Gubellini P, Centonze D, Sancesario G, Morello M, Giorgi M, Pisani A & Bernardi
G (1999). A Critical Role of the Nitric Oxide/cGMP Pathway in Corticostriatal Long-
Term Depression. *J Neurosci* **19,** 2489–2499.

Caplan SL, Milton SL & Dawson-Scully K (2013). A cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG)
controls synaptic transmission tolerance to acute oxidative stress at the Drosophila larval
neuromuscular junction. *J Neurophysiol* **109,** 649–658.

Castellucci VF, Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Wilson FD, Nairn AC & Greengard P (1980).
Intracellular injection of the catalytic subunit of cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase
simulates facilitation of transmitter release underlying behavioral sensitization in Aplysia.
Proc Natl Acad Sci **77,** 7492–7496.

Chevaleyre V, Heifets BD, Kaeser PS, Südhof TC & Castillo PE (2007). Endocannabinoid-
Mediated Long-Term Plasticity Requires cAMP/PKA Signaling and RIM1α. *Neuron* **54,**
801–812.

Cohn R, Morantte I & Ruta V (2015). Coordinated and Compartmentalized Neuromodulation
Shapes Sensory Processing in Drosophila. *Cell* **163,** 1742–1755.

Creed M, Ntamati NR, Chandra R, Lobo MK & Lüscher C (2016). Convergence of Reinforcing
and Anhedonic Cocaine Effects in the Ventral Pallidum. *Neuron* **92,** 214–226.

Drain P, Folkers E & Quinn WG (1991). cAMP-dependent protein kinase and the disruption of
learning in transgenic flies. *Neuron* **6,** 71–82.

Felsenberg J, Jacob PF, Walker T, Barnstedt O, Edmondson-Stait AJ, Pleijzier MW, Otto N,
Schlegel P, Sharifi N, Perisse E, Smith CS, Lauritzen JS, Costa M, Jefferis GSXE, Bock
DD & Waddell S (2018). Integration of Parallel Opposing Memories Underlies Memory
Extinction. *Cell* **175,** 709-722.e15.

Field DJ (1994). What Is the Goal of Sensory Coding? *Neural Comput* **6,** 559–601.

Gervasi N, TchEnio P & Preat T (2010). PKA Dynamics in a Drosophila Learning Center:
Coincidence Detection by Rutabaga Adenylyl Cyclase and Spatial Regulation by Dunce
Phosphodiesterase. *Neuron* **65,** 516–529.

Goldsmith BA & Abrams TW (1991). Reversal of synaptic depression by serotonin at Aplysia
sensory neuron synapses involves activation of adenylyl cyclase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **88,**
9021–9025.

Griffith LC, Verselis LM, Aitken KM, Kyriacou CP, Danho W & Greenspan RJ (1993).
Inhibition of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase in drosophila disrupts
behavioral plasticity. *Neuron* **10,** 501–509.

Hancock CE, Rostami V, Rachad EY, Deimel SH, Nawrot MP & Fiala A (2022). Visualization of
learning-induced synaptic plasticity in output neurons of the Drosophila mushroom body
γ-lobe. *Sci Rep* **12,** 10421.

Handler A, Graham TGW, Cohn R, Morantte I, Siliciano AF, Zeng J, Li Y & Ruta V (2019).
Distinct Dopamine Receptor Pathways Underlie the Temporal Sensitivity of Associative
Learning. *Cell* **178,** 60-75.e19.

Heisenberg M (2003). Mushroom body memoir: from maps to models. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **4,** 266–
275.

Hige T, Aso Y, Modi MN, Rubin GM & Turner GC (2015). Heterosynaptic Plasticity Underlies
Aversive Olfactory Learning in Drosophila. *Neuron* **88,** 985–998.

Honegger KS, Campbell RAA & Turner GC (2011). Cellular-Resolution Population Imaging
Reveals Robust Sparse Coding in the Drosophila Mushroom Body. *J Neurosci* **31,**
11772–11785.

Huang C, Wang P, Xie Z, Wang L & Zhong Y (2013). The differential requirement of mushroom
body α/β subdivisions in long-term memory retrieval in Drosophila. *Protein Cell* **4,** 512–
519.

Huang Y-Y, Li X-C & Kandel ER (1994). cAMP contributes to mossy fiber LTP by initiating
both a covalently mediated early phase and macromolecular synthesis-dependent late
phase. *Cell* **79,** 69–79.

Isaacman-Beck J, Paik KC, Wienecke CFR, Yang HH, Fisher YE, Wang IE, Ishida IG, Maimon
G, Wilson RI & Clandinin TR (2020). SPARC enables genetic manipulation of precise
proportions of cells. *Nat Neurosci* **98,** 256–258.

Isabel G, Pascual A & Preat T (2004). Exclusive consolidated memory phases in Drosophila.
Science **304,** 1024–1027.

Kandel ER, Dudai Y & Mayford MR (2014). The Molecular and Systems Biology of Memory.
Cell **157,** 163–186.

Kim YC, Lee HG & Han K-AA (2007). D1 Dopamine Receptor dDA1 Is Required in the
Mushroom Body Neurons for Aversive and Appetitive Learning in Drosophila. *J*
Neurosci **27,** 7640–7647.

Klapoetke NC et al. (2014). Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nat*
Methods **11,** 338–346.

Krashes MJ & Waddell S (2008). Rapid Consolidation to a radish and Protein Synthesis-
Dependent Long-Term Memory after Single-Session Appetitive Olfactory Conditioning
in Drosophila. *J Neurosci* **28,** 3103–3113.

Kuromi H & Kidokoro Y (2000). Tetanic Stimulation Recruits Vesicles from Reserve Pool via a
cAMP-Mediated Process in Drosophila Synapses. *Neuron* **27,** 133–143.

Lev-Ram V, Jiang T, Wood J, Lawrence DS & Tsien RY (1997). Synergies and Coincidence
Requirements between NO, cGMP, and Ca2+ in the Induction of Cerebellar Long-Term
Depression. *Neuron* **18,** 1025–1038.

Lewin MR & Walters ET (1999). Cyclic GMP pathway is critical for inducing long–term
sensitization of nociceptive sensory neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **2,** 18–23.

Liu C, Plaçais P-Y, Yamagata N, Pfeiffer BD, Aso Y, Friedrich AB, Siwanowicz I, Rubin GM,
Preat T & Tanimoto H (2012). A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour
memory in Drosophila. *Nature* **488,** 512–516.

Liu W-Z, Zhang W-H, Zheng Z-H, Zou J-X, Liu X-X, Huang S-H, You W-J, He Y, Zhang J-Y,
Wang X-D & Pan B-X (2020). Identification of a prefrontal cortex-to-amygdala pathway
for chronic stress-induced anxiety. *Nat Commun* **11,** 2221.

Livingstone MS, Sziber PP & Quinn WG (1984). Loss of calcium/calmodulin responsiveness in
adenylate cyclase of rutabaga, a Drosophila learning mutant. *Cell* **37,** 205–215.

Marquis M & Wilson RI (2022). Locomotor and olfactory responses in dopamine neurons of the
Drosophila superior-lateral brain. *Curr Biol*; DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2022.11.008.

McCurdy LY, Sareen P, Davoudian PA & Nitabach MN (2021). Dopaminergic mechanism
underlying reward-encoding of punishment omission during reversal learning in
Drosophila. *Nat Commun* **12,** 1115.

McGuire SE, Le PT, Osborn AJ, Matsumoto K & Davis RL (2003). Spatiotemporal rescue of
memory dysfunction in Drosophila. *Science* **302,** 1765–1768.

Mons N, Guillou J-L & Jaffard R (1999). The role of Ca2+/calmodulin-stimulable adenylyl
cyclases as molecular coincidence detectors in memory formation. *Cell Mol Life Sci*
CMLS **55,** 525–533.

Morton DB, Langlais KK, Stewart JA & Vermehren A (2005). Comparison of the properties of
the five soluble guanylyl cyclase subunits in Drosophila melanogaster. *J Insect Sci* **5,** 1–
10.

Noyes NC & Davis RL (2023). Innate and learned odor-guided behaviors utilize distinct
molecular signaling pathways in a shared dopaminergic circuit. *Cell Rep* **42,** 112026.

Olshausen BA & Field DJ (2004). Sparse coding of sensory inputs. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **14,**
481–487.

Owald D, Felsenberg J, Talbot CB, Das G, Perisse E, Huetteroth W & Waddell S (2015). Activity
of defined mushroom body output neurons underlies learned olfactory behavior in
Drosophila. *Neuron* **86,** 417–427.

Peretz A, Abitbol I, Sobko A, Wu C-F & Attali B (1998). A Ca2+/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein
Kinase ModulatesDrosophila Photoreceptor K+ Currents: A Role in Shaping the
Photoreceptor Potential. *J Neurosci* **18,** 9153–9162.

Perisse E, Owald D, Barnstedt O, Talbot CB, Huetteroth W & Waddell S (2016). Aversive
Learning and Appetitive Motivation Toggle Feed-Forward Inhibition in the Drosophila
Mushroom Body. *Neuron* **90,** 1086–1099.

Qin H, Cressy M, Li W, Coravos JS, Izzi SA & Dubnau J (2012). Gamma neurons mediate
dopaminergic input during aversive olfactory memory formation in Drosophila. *Curr Biol*
22, 608–614.

Reyes-Harde M, Empson R, Potter BVL, Galione A & Stanton PK (1999). Evidence of a role for
cyclic ADP-ribose in long-term synaptic depression in hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
96, 4061–4066.

Robinson SW, Bourgognon J-M, Spiers JG, Breda C, Campesan S, Butcher A, Mallucci GR,
Dinsdale D, Morone N, Mistry R, Smith TM, Guerra-Martin M, Challiss RAJ, Giorgini F
& Steinert JR (2018). Nitric oxide-mediated posttranslational modifications control
neurotransmitter release by modulating complexin farnesylation and enhancing its
clamping ability. *PLOS Biol* **16,** e2003611.

Salin PA, Malenka RC & Nicoll RA (1996). Cyclic AMP Mediates a Presynaptic Form of LTP at
Cerebellar Parallel Fiber Synapses. *Neuron* **16,** 797–803.

Schnitzer M, Huang C, Luo J, Woo SJ, Roitman L, Li J, Pieribone V, Kannan M & Vasan G
(2022). *Dopamine signals integrate innate and learnt valences to regulate memory*

dynamics. In Review. Available at: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-
1915648/v1.

Schwaerzel M, Monastirioti M, Scholz H, Friggi-Grelin F, Birman S & Heisenberg M (2003).
Dopamine and octopamine differentiate between aversive and appetitive olfactory
memories in Drosophila. *J Neurosci* **23,** 10495–10502.

Séjourné J, Plaçais P-Y, Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Trannoy S, Thoma V, Tedjakumala SR, Rubin
GM, TchEnio P, Ito K, Isabel G, Tanimoto H & Preat T (2011). Mushroom body efferent
neurons responsible for aversive olfactory memory retrieval in Drosophila. *Nat Neurosci*
14, 903–910.

Shibuki K & Okada D (1991). Endogenous nitric oxide release required for long-term synaptic
depression in the cerebellum. *Nature* **349,** 326–328.

Shuai Y, Sammons M, Sterne GR, Hibbard K, Yang H, Yang C-P, Managan C, Siwanowicz I, Lee
T, Rubin GM, Turner GC & Aso Y (2023). Driver lines for studying associative learning
in Drosophila. 2023.09.15.557808. Available at:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.09.15.557808v1.

Siju KP, Stih V, Aimon S, Gjorgjieva J, Portugues R & Grunwald Kadow IC (2020). Valence and
State-Dependent Population Coding in Dopaminergic Neurons in the Fly Mushroom
Body. *Curr Biol* **30,** 2104-2115.e4.

Skoulakis EMC, Kalderon D & Davis RL (1993). Preferential expression in mushroom bodies of
the catalytic subunit of protein kinase A and its role in learning and memory. *Neuron* **11,**
197–208.

Takakura M, Lam YH, Nakagawa R, Ng MY, Hu X, Bhargava P, Alia AG, Gu Y, Wang Z, Ota T,
Kimura Y, Morimoto N, Osakada F, Lee AY, Leung D, Miyashita T, Du J, Okuno H &
Hirano Y (2023). Differential second messenger signaling via dopamine neurons
bidirectionally regulates memory retention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **120,** e2304851120.

Tomchik SM & Davis RL (2009). Dynamics of Learning-Related cAMP Signaling
and Stimulus Integration in the Drosophila Olfactory Pathway. *Neuron* **64,**
510–521.

Trannoy S, Redt-Clouet C, Dura J-M & Preat T (2011). Parallel processing of appetitive short-
and long-term memories in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **21,** 1647–1653.

Turner GC, Bazhenov M & Laurent G (2008). Olfactory Representations by Drosophila
Mushroom Body Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **99,** 734–746.

Tzounopoulos T, Janz R, Südhof TC, Nicoll RA & Malenka RC (1998). A Role for cAMP in
Long-Term Depression at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses. *Neuron* **21,** 837–845.

Wang Y, Mamiya A, Chiang A-S s & Zhong Y (2008). Imaging of an Early Memory Trace in the
Drosophila Mushroom Body. *J Neurosci* **28,** 4368–4376.

Wildemann B & Bicker G (1999). Nitric oxide and cyclic GMP induce vesicle release at
Drosophila neuromuscular junction. *J Neurobiol* **39,** 337–346.

Yu D, Akalal D-BG & Davis RL (2006). Drosophila α/β Mushroom Body Neurons Form a
Branch-Specific, Long-Term Cellular Memory Trace after Spaced Olfactory
Conditioning. *Neuron* **52,** 845–855.

Zeng J, Li X, Zhang R, Lv M, Wang Y, Tan K, Xia X, Wan J, Jing M, Zhang X, Li Y, Yang Y,
Wang L, Chu J, Li Y & Li Y (2023). Local 5-HT signaling bi-directionally regulates the
coincidence time window for associative learning. *Neuron* **111,** 1118-1135.e5.

Zhang X, Noyes NC, Zeng J, Li Y & Davis RL (2019). Aversive Training Induces Both Pre- and
Postsynaptic Suppression in Drosophila. *J Neurosci* **39,** 9164–9172.

Zhong Y & Wu CF (1991). Altered synaptic plasticity in Drosophila memory mutants with a
defective cyclic AMP cascade. *Science* **251,** 198–201.

Zolin A, Cohn R, Pang R, Siciliano AF, Fairhall AL & Ruta V (2021). Context-dependent
representations of movement in Drosophila dopaminergic reinforcement pathways. *Nat*
Neurosci **24,** 1555–1566.

Zucker RS & Regehr WG (2002). Short-Term Synaptic Plasticity. *Annu Rev Physiol* **64,** 355–
405.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Optogenetic assessment of short-term synaptic plasticity at KC-to-MBON

synapses

A, a schematic of the experiments. Optogenetically evoked EPSCs were measured at γ KC-to-

MBON-γ1pedc synapses by whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from MBON-γ1pedc. Short-

term plasticity induced by paired pulses (pulse width, 1 ms; interval, 400 ms) was monitored

while changing the extracellular concentrations of divalent cations or partially blocking

postsynaptic ionotropic receptors.

B, changing the extracellular calcium/magnesium concentrations from 1.5/4 mM (normal) to

0.7/5.5 mM (low Ca/Mg) decreased the first EPSC amplitude (left, mean ± s.e.m; n = 6, $p < 10^{-4}$,

paired t-test) while increasing the PPR (right, p = 0.00658). Gray lines indicate data from

individual cells. Upper left traces show overlaid representative EPSCs before (black) and after

(red) changing the extracellular saline. Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other

panels indicate 300 ms and 30 pA, respectively. Upper right traces show the same EPSCs

normalized with the first EPSC amplitude. Asterisks denote $p < 0.05$.

C, changing the extracellular calcium/magnesium concentrations to 5/0.5 mM (high Ca/Mg)

increased the first EPSC amplitude (left; $n = 5$, $p = 0.00778$, paired t-test) while decreasing the

PPR (right; $p < 10^{-4}$).

D, bath application of mecamylamine (Mec; 10 µM), a non-competitive antagonist of the

nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, reduced the first EPSC amplitude (left; $n = 5$, $p = 0.0144$,

paired t-test) without affecting the PPR (right, $p = 0.854$).

Figure 2. Pairing γ KC activation with focal dopamine application induces presynaptic LTD

via D_1-like dopamine receptors

A, a schematic of the experiments. Dopamine (1 mM) was focally applied to the dendritic region

of the MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically evoked γ KC-to-

MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs. See Methods for detailed parameters for injections and recordings.

B, a representative image (lower left) to show the spread of the fluorescent signal of Texas Red

dextran, which was infused with dopamine in the injection pipette, after 1-min injection. Upper

left image shows a widefield view of the same sample. The γ lobe and part of the vertical lobes

are outlined by yellow. Light blue line indicates the approximate location of the γ1pedc region.

Signals measured in four regions of interest (light magenta squares) were plotted on the right.

Horizontal red bar denotes the timing of the injection. D: dorsal, L: lateral, scale bar: 20 µm.

C, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; $n = 6$) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of 1-min pairing of γ KC activation and dopamine injection. The data

were normalized to the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right traces

show overlaid representative EPSCs sampled before (at −2 min; black) and after (at 3 min; red)

pairing. Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms and 30 pA,

respectively. Lower right traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first EPSC

amplitude.

D, quantification of the data shown in C at early, middle and late periods after pairing (mean ±

s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. First EPSC amplitudes (open bars) and

PPRs (filled bars) showed depression and an increase, respectively, at all three time points. P

values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-3}$, $< 10^{-3}$ and $< 10^{-3}$ (Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$), and for PPRs, $< 10^{-3}$,

$< 10^{-4}$ and $< 10^{-3}$ (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-4}$).

E, same as C, but KC activation was omitted during pairing (n = 5).

F, quantification of the data shown in E. 1-min dopamine injection alone affected neither first

EPSC amplitudes (p = 0.372, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) nor PPRs (p = 0.160).

G, same as C, but dopamine application was omitted during pairing (n = 5).

H, quantification of the data shown in G. 1-min KC activation alone affected neither first EPSC

amplitudes (p = 0.632, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) nor PPRs (p = 0.676).

I, same as C, but D_1-like dopamine receptor antagonist SCH 23390 (100 μM) was bath-applied

prior to pairing and continuously until the end of experiments (n = 5). Sample traces (right)

include an example recorded after application of SCH 23390 but before pairing (at −2 min; blue).

J, quantification of the data shown in I. Pairing was ineffective in the presence of SCH 23390,

while SCH 23390 alone did not affect first EPSC amplitudes (p = 0.791, repeated measures one-

way ANOVA) or PPRs (p = 0.464).

K, same as I, but instead of SCH 23390, only the solvent DMSO (0.1%) was bath-applied (n =

6).

L, quantification of the data shown in I. The effects of pairing were unaffected by DMSO, while

DMSO alone did not affect first EPSC amplitudes or PPRs. P values for EPSCs are (from left to

right) 0.973, $< 10^{-4}$, $< 10^{-4}$ and $< 10^{-4}$ (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated

measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-6}$), and for PPRs, 1.00, 0.00896, 0.00304 and $< 10^{-3}$

(repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$).

Figure 3. Presynaptic LTD induction at γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses requires both AC

activation and KC activity

A, a schematic of the experiments. AC activator forskolin was focally applied to the dendritic

region of the MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically evoked γ

KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs.

B, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; n = 7) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of 1-min injection of forskolin (20 μM). The data were normalized to

the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right traces show overlaid

representative EPSCs sampled before (at −2 min; black) and after (at 3 min; red) injection.

Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms and 30 pA,

respectively. Lower right traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first EPSC

amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B at early, middle and late periods after injection (mean ±

s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. 1-min injection of a low concentration of

forskolin alone affected neither first EPSC amplitudes (open bars; p = 0.0866, repeated measures

one-way ANOVA) nor PPRs (filled bars; p = 0.553).

D, same as B, but with a higher concentration of forskolin (100 µM; n = 7).

E, quantification of the data shown in D. 1-min injection of a high concentration of forskolin

decreased first EPSC amplitudes at all three time points (p = 0.0151, 0.00645, and 0.00495 from

left to right, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA,

p = 0.00502) but did not affect PPRs (p = 0.494, repeated measures one-way ANOVA).

F, same as B, but 1-min forskolin (20 µM) injection was paired with γ KC activation (n = 6).

G, quantification of the data shown in F. 1-min pairing of a low concentration of forskolin and γ

KC activation depressed first EPSC amplitudes and increased PPRs at all three time points. P

values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-6}$, $< 10^{-6}$ and $< 10^{-6}$ (Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-7}$), and for PPRs, $< 10^{-3}$,

$< 10^{-4}$ and 10^{-3} (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-4}$).

H, same as B, but instead of forskolin, only the solvent DMSO (0.1 %) was injected (n = 5). 1-

min injection was repeated 3 times with 1-min intervals so that the data could also serve as

control for the experiments shown in Fig. 5.

I, quantification of the data shown in H. DMSO injection alone did not affect first EPSC

amplitudes (p = 0.908, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) or PPRs (p = 0.708).

Figure 4. Dopamine-induced LTD depends on PKA but not CaMKII

A, a schematic of the experiments. Dopamine (1 mM) injection was paired with γ KC activation

while measuring optogenetically evoked γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs as in Fig. 2C, except

kinase inhibitors were bath-applied prior to pairing and applied continuously until the end of

experiments.

B, effects of PKA inhibitor, H-89 (10 µM). First EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; n

= 5) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted against time after the end of 1-min pairing of γ KC

activation and dopamine injection. The data were normalized to the average of a 3-min baseline

recorded before pairing. A horizontal blue bar indicates the period of H-89 application. Upper

right traces show overlaid representative EPSCs sampled before (at −7 min; black) and 4.5 min

after drug application (at −2 min; blue), and after pairing (at 3 min; red). Horizontal and vertical

scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms and 30 pA, respectively. Lower right

traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first EPSC amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B before pairing and at early, middle and late periods after

pairing (mean ± s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. H-89 alone did not affect

first EPSC amplitudes (open bars) or PPRs (filled bars), and the subsequent pairing did not

depress EPSCs ($p = 0.392$, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) or increase PPRs ($p = 0.205$,

0.171, 1.00 and 0.0174 from left to right, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated

measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$).

D, same as B, but instead of H-89, CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (10 µM) was bath-applied (n = 4).

E, quantification of the data shown in D. KN-93 alone slightly depressed EPSCs without

affecting PPRs, and the subsequent pairing further induced robust depression of EPSCs and

facilitation of PPRs. P values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-3}$, $< 10^{-9}$, $< 10^{-9}$ and $< 10^{-9}$

(Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-9}$),

and for PPRs, 0.984, $< 10^{-3}$, 0.00397 and $< 10^{-3}$ (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$).

Figure 5. Simultaneous activation of cGMP pathway and γ KCs induces presynaptic LTP

A, a schematic of the experiments. sGC agonist BAY 41-2272 was focally applied to the

dendritic region of the MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically

evoked γ KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs.

B, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; n = 5) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of the three repeats of 1-min injection of BAY 41-2272 (100 µM). The

data were normalized to the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right

traces show overlaid representative EPSCs sampled before (at −6 min; black) and after (at 17

min; red) injection. Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms

and 60 pA, respectively. Lower right traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first

EPSC amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B at early, middle and late periods after injection (mean $\pm$

s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. BAY 41-2272 injection alone did not

induce consistent changes in first EPSC amplitudes (open bars; p = 0.0633, repeated measures

one-way ANOVA) or PPRs (filled bars; p = 0.565).

D, same as B, but BAY 41-2272 injection was paired with γ KC activation (n = 5).

E, quantification of the data shown in D. pairing of BAY 41-2272 and γ KC activation

potentiated first EPSC amplitudes and decreased PPRs at middle and late time points. P values

for EPSCs are (from left to right) 0.314, $< 10^{-3}$ and $< 10^{-3}$ (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test

following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-4}$), and for PPRs, 0.350, 0.00486 and

0.00902 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, p = 0.00553).

Figure 6. Pairing α/β KC activation with focal dopamine application induces transient

presynaptic LTD

A, a schematic of the experiments. Dopamine (1 mM) was focally applied to the dendritic region

of the MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically evoked α/β KC-

to-MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs.

B, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean $\pm$ s.e.m; n = 6) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of 1-min pairing of α/β KC activation and dopamine injection. The

data were normalized to the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right

traces show overlaid representative EPSCs sampled before (at -2 min; black) and after (at 3 min;

red) pairing. Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms and

100 pA, respectively. Lower right traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first EPSC

amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B at early, middle and late periods after pairing (mean $\pm$

s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. First EPSC amplitudes (open bars) and

PPRs (filled bars) showed depression and increase, respectively, but only transiently at the early

time point. P values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-4}$, 0.100 and 0.593 (Dunnett's

multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-4}$), and for

PPRs, $< 10^{-5}$, 0.253 and 0.999 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-5}$).

D, same as B, but KC activation was omitted during pairing (n = 5).

E, quantification of the data shown in D. 1-min dopamine injection alone affected neither first

EPSC amplitudes ($p = 0.208$, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) nor PPRs ($p = 0.350$).

F, same as B, but dopamine application was omitted during pairing ($n = 5$).

G, quantification of the data shown in G. 1-min KC activation alone affected neither first EPSC

amplitudes ($p = 0.213$, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) nor PPRs ($p = 0.518$).

Figure 7. Presynaptic LTD induction at α/β KC-to-MBON-γ1pedc synapses requires both

AC activation and KC activity

A, a schematic of the experiments. Forskolin was focally applied to the dendritic region of the

MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically evoked α/β KC-to-

MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs.

B, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; $n = 6$) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of 1-min injection of forskolin (10 μM). The data were normalized to

the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right traces show overlaid

representative EPSCs sampled before (at −2 min; black) and after (at 3 min; red) injection.

Horizontal and vertical scale bars indicate 300 ms and 100 pA, respectively. Lower right traces

show the same EPSCs normalized with the first EPSC amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B at early and late periods after injection (mean ± s.e.m.).

Black dots indicate data from individual cells. 1-min injection of a low concentration of forskolin

alone did not induce consistent changes in first EPSC amplitudes (open bars; $p = 0.999$ and

0.0119 from left to right, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-

way ANOVA, $p = 0.00922$) and PPRs (filled bars; $p = 0.512$, repeated measures one-way

ANOVA, $p = 0.00922$).

D, same as B, but with a higher concentration of forskolin (100 μM; $n = 6$). Horizontal and

vertical scale bars indicate 300 ms and 50 pA, respectively.

E, quantification of the data shown in D. 1-min injection of a high concentration of forskolin

alone did not induce consistent changes in first EPSC amplitudes ($p = 0.0886$, repeated measures

one-way ANOVA) and PPRs ($p = 0.0370$ and 0.419 from left to right, Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p = 0.00796$).

F, same as B, but 1-min forskolin (10 μM) injection was paired with α/β KC activation ($n = 5$).

Horizontal and vertical scale bars indicate 300 ms and 100 pA, respectively.

G, quantification of the data shown in F. 1-min pairing of a low concentration of forskolin and

α/β KC activation induced coherent but transient depression in first EPSC amplitudes and

facilitation of PPRs. P values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-5}$, 0.0105 and 0.0505

(Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-4}$)

and for PPRs, 0.0117, 0.415 and 0.187 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$).

H, same as F, but with a higher concentration of forskolin (100 μM; n =5). Horizontal and

vertical scale bars indicate 300 ms and 50 pA, respectively.

I, quantification of the data shown in H. 1-min pairing of a high concentration of forskolin and

α/β KC activation induced coherent but transient depression in first EPSC amplitudes and

facilitation of PPRs. P values for EPSCs are (from left to right) $< 10^{-3}$, 0.208 and 0.997

(Dunnett's multiple comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$)

and for PPRs, 0.00258, 0.998 and 0.206 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-3}$).

J, same as B, but instead of forskolin, only the solvent DMSO (0.1 %) was injected (n = 4). 1-

min injection was repeated 3 times with 1-min intervals so that the data could also serve as

control for the experiments shown in Fig. 8. Horizontal and vertical scale bars indicate 300 ms

and 100 pA, respectively.

K, quantification of the data shown in J. DMSO injection alone did not affect first EPSC

amplitudes (p = 0.272, repeated measures one-way ANOVA) or PPRs (p = 0.108).

Figure 8. Simultaneous activation of cGMP pathway and α/β KCs induces presynaptic LTP

A, a schematic of the experiments. BAY 41-2272 was focally applied to the dendritic region of

the MBON-γ1pedc via an injection pipette while measuring optogenetically evoked α/β KC-to-

MBON-γ1pedc EPSCs.

B, first EPSC amplitudes (open circles, mean ± s.e.m; n = 4) and PPRs (filled circles) plotted

against time after the end of the three repeats of 1-min injection of BAY 41-2272 (100 μM). The

data were normalized to the average of a 3-min baseline recorded before pairing. Upper right

traces show overlaid representative EPSCs sampled before (at −6 min; black) and after (at 17

min; red) injection. Horizontal and vertical scale bars in this and the other panels indicate 300 ms

and 100 pA, respectively. Lower right traces show the same EPSCs normalized with the first

EPSC amplitude.

C, quantification of the data shown in B at early, middle and late periods after injection (mean ±

s.e.m.). Black dots indicate data from individual cells. BAY 41-2272 injection alone a delayed

potentiation of first EPSC amplitudes (open bars) without coherent changes in PPRs (filled bars).

P values for EPSCs are (from left to right), 0.631, 0.00915 and 0.00302 (Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, p = 0.00307), and for PPRs,

0.383, 0.760 and 0.261 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, p = 0.0579).

D, same as B, but BAY 41-2272 injection was paired with α/β KC activation (n = 5).

E, quantification of the data shown in D. pairing of BAY 41-2272 and α/β KC activation

potentiated first EPSC amplitudes and decreased PPRs at the later time points. P values for

EPSCs are (from left to right) 0.488, 0.0605, and 0.0360 (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test

following repeated measures one-way ANOVA, p = 0.0502), and for PPRs, $< 10^{-5}$, $< 10^{-5}$ and $<$

10^{-6} (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $p < 10^{-6}$).

Figure S1. Transcriptome data related to pharmacological target molecules

Cell-type-specific transcriptome data of the genes encoding the target molecules of

pharmacology used in this work. This figure was recreated based on published data (Aso *et al.*,

2019). PPL-γ1pedc is the DAN whose axonal innervation pattern in the MB lobes matches the

dendritic arborization of MBON-γ1pedc.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Full name	FlyBase ID	Symbol	γ KC	α/β KC	MBON-γ1pedc	PPL1-γ1pedc
rutabaga	FBtr0073992	rut-RA	378	349	4	3
rutabaga	FBtr0305581	rut-RB	0	0	2	12
rutabaga	FBtr0333654	rut-RC	13	7	1	0
rutabaga	FBtr0333655	rut-RD	7	6	2	2
rutabaga	FBtr0333656	rut-RE	0	0	2	11
rutabaga	FBtr0333657	rut-RF	301	135	2	1
rutabaga	FBtr0340467	rut-RG	0	0	1	0
Adenylyl cyclase 13E	FBtr0074073	Ac13E-RA	1	1	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase 13E	FBtr0100142	Ac13E-RB	0	0	1	1
Adenylyl cyclase 13E	FBtr0332497	Ac13E-RC	2	0	9	5
Adenylyl cyclase 76E	FBtr0074897	Ac76E-RA	10	3	2	20
Adenylyl cyclase 76E	FBtr0304746	Ac76E-RB	9	0	6	1
Adenylyl cyclase 78C	FBtr0301701	Ac78C-RB	14	1	1	0
Adenylyl cyclase 78C	FBtr0305501	Ac78C-RC	0	0	0	3
Adenylyl cyclase 78C	FBtr0330066	Ac78C-RD	12	2	1	0
Adenylyl cyclase 78C	FBtr0330067	Ac78C-RE	14	1	1	0
Adenylyl cyclase 78C	FBtr0333358	Ac78C-RF	10	0	0	1
Adenylyl cyclase X A	FBtr0080415	ACXA-RA	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X A	FBtr0305490	ACXA-RC	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X A	FBtr0305491	ACXA-RD	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X A	FBtr0333225	ACXA-RG	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X A	FBtr0344132	ACXA-RH	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X B	FBtr0080414	ACXB-RA	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X C	FBtr0080413	ACXC-RA	0	1	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X C	FBtr0100494	ACXC-RB	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X D	FBtr0072874	ACXD-RA	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X D	FBtr0332662	ACXD-RB	0	0	0	0
Adenylyl cyclase X E	FBtr0310556	ACXE-RB	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 1	FBtr0079851	Pka-C1-RB	1739	945	69	378
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 1	FBtr0079852	Pka-C1-RC	194	204	6	41
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 1	FBtr0335495	Pka-C1-RD	147	170	31	19
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 2	FBtr0085727	Pka-C2-RB	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 2	FBtr0335454	Pka-C2-RC	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 3	FBtr0075529	Pka-C3-RA	221	1	7	8
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic subunit 3	FBtr0075528	Pka-C3-RB	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302641	Pka-R1-RAA	0	53	6	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302642	Pka-R1-RAB	0	30	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302643	Pka-R1-RAC	77	24	1	11
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0299891	Pka-R1-RK	204	142	20	42
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0299892	Pka-R1-RL	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300528	Pka-R1-RM	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300529	Pka-R1-RN	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0299893	Pka-R1-RO	0	36	3	2
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300530	Pka-R1-RP	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300531	Pka-R1-RQ	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300532	Pka-R1-RR	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300533	Pka-R1-RS	173	7	1	41
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300534	Pka-R1-RT	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0300535	Pka-R1-RU	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302637	Pka-R1-RV	143	76	7	4
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302638	Pka-R1-RW	26	35	12	14
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302639	Pka-R1-RX	148	76	7	88
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 1	FBtr0302640	Pka-R1-RZ	319	44	15	9
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 2	FBtr0088437	Pka-R2-RA	28	79	14	7
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 2	FBtr0088438	Pka-R2-RB	2086	1248	63	274
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 2	FBtr0112901	Pka-R2-RD	0	0	0	0
Protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory subunit type 2	FBtr0112902	Pka-R2-RE	23	35	5	6
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0089218	CaMKII-RA	203	138	2	157
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0089219	CaMKII-RB	0	1	0	0
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0089217	CaMKII-RC	0	0	0	0
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0100146	CaMKII-RD	71	56	23	70
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0100147	CaMKII-RE	39	47	19	69
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0100148	CaMKII-RG	16	15	2	14
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0300377	CaMKII-RH	40	38	20	23
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0300378	CaMKII-RI	15	14	0	12
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0300379	CaMKII-RJ	31	23	13	19
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0333673	CaMKII-RK	11	11	0	6
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0333674	CaMKII-RL	7	26	4	4
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II	FBtr0333675	CaMKII-RM	12	11	1	1
Guanylyl cyclase α-subunit at 99B	FBtr0085453	Gycα99B-RA	98	67	22	16
Guanylyl cyclase β-subunit at 100B	FBtr0085753	Gycβ100B-RA	42	38	7	18
Dopamine 1-like receptor 1	FBtr0290283	Dop1R1-RB	0	13	0	0
Dopamine 1-like receptor 1	FBtr0301351	Dop1R1-RC	148	85	1	0
Dopamine 1-like receptor 1	FBtr0308191	Dop1R1-RD	524	244	24	20
Dopamine 1-like receptor 1	FBtr0330711	Dop1R1-RE	0	13	0	0
Dopamine 1-like receptor 1	FBtr0346763	Dop1R1-RF	0	13	0	0
Dopamine 1-like receptor 2	FBtr0085467	Dop1R2-RA	22	11	1	1
Dopamine 1-like receptor 2	FBtr0085468	Dop1R2-RB	117	121	1	0
Dopamine 1-like receptor 2	FBtr0330293	Dop1R2-RC	22	11	1	1

Transcripts per million

>1000

Figure S1