# Conserved 3' Stem-Loop Structures Enable Comprehensive Analysis of Bacterial Transcription Termination in Metagenomes, Regardless of Rho Factor Dependency

Yunfan Jin[1,2,†], Jiyun Cui[3,†], Hongli Ma[4,1,2,5], Fei Gan[3*], Zhenjiang Zech Xu[5,6*], Zhi John Lu[1,2*]

MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinformatics, Center for Synthetic and Systems Biology, School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Institute for Precision Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Hubei Key Laboratory of Cell Homeostasis, College of Life Science, TaiKang Center for Life and Medical Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

School of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Resources, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, China

School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Nanchang University

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Zhi John Lu, Email: urluzhi@gmail.com; Correspondence may also be addressed to Zhenjiang Zech Xu, Email: zhenjiang.xu@gmail.com or Fei Gan, Email: feigan@whu.edu.cn.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

**Keywords:** bacteria terminator, transcription termination, transcription unit prediction

**Abstract**

Bacterial transcription termination is a critical yet underexplored mechanism of gene regulation in microbial ecosystems. Existing computational tools, however, primarily focus on predicting Rho-independent terminators (RITs) in model species, leaving significant gaps in understanding Rho-dependent termination (RDTs) and termination mechanisms in non-model species. To address these limitations, we developed BATTER (BActeria Transcript Three Prime End Recognizer), a comprehensive computational tool for bacterial transcript 3' termini prediction. BATTER builds on the observation that conserved stem-loop structures are frequently associated with 3' ends of primary transcripts generated by both RIT and RDT mechanisms across distantly

related bacterial species. By leveraging Longformer (a transformer-based neural network model)

with a CRF (Conditional Random Field) layer, BATTER demonstrated superior performance

compared to existing tools. It enabled a comprehensive analysis of 42,905 representative

bacterial genomes, uncovering that stem-loop structures exhibit clade-specific properties with

greater variations between species than between gene families. Notably, BATTER uncovered that

certain *Cyanobacteria* lineages, despite lacking Rho homologs, harbor Rho utilization (RUT) site-

like sequences near 3' ends, with preliminary experimental validation in *E. coli* suggesting their

partial functionality in transcription termination. Additionally, BATTER systematically identified

pervasive premature termination events in antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes, highlighting

their regulatory roles in translation protection and drug efflux. This study advances our

understanding of transcription termination across diverse bacterial lineages and provides a robust

computational approach for exploring transcription regulation in complex microbial ecosystems.

**Introduction**

Bacteria transcription terminators are RNA elements that dissociate RNA polymerase complexes

and induce transcription termination. They are traditionally classified into two categories: Rho-

independent terminators (RITs) and Rho-dependent terminators (RDTs)[1, 2]. In model species

*Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, RITs are GC-rich RNA hairpins followed by a stretch of uracils.

RDTs typically contain a ~60 nt subsequence called Rho utilization (RUT) sites, which are the

primary binding sites of Rho protein[3]. RUT sites are rich in YC (a pyrimidine followed by a cytosine)

dimers that are regularly spaced by distances of around 10 nt[2, 4]. They are also characterized by

features like high C/G ratios (referred to as C>G "bubbles" [3]) and depletion of secondary

structures[2].

RDTs were reported to account for 20%-30% of the primary transcription termination events in

*E. coli* [5], but play a less important role in *B. subtilis*[6]. Computational prediction algorithms for RIT

have been established in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*[7-9], yet predicting RDTs remains challenging. This

difficulty arises because the known sequence patterns of RDTs are less compact and distinct than

those of RITs, even in well-studied model organisms [2, 3, 10]. Available tools predict a paucity of

RITs in some bacteria species[7, 9], raising questions as to whether these species mainly use Rho-

dependent mechanisms, or their RITs have alternative sequence patterns. This gap in

computational predictions poses a significant challenge for studying transcription termination

mechanisms across bacterial lineages, especially in metagenomic datasets where diverse bacterial

species are often present. Accurate terminator prediction is essential for understanding gene

regulation and community dynamics in these complex microbial environments. Limitations in

current computational methods thus present a major barrier to comparative analyses of
transcription terminators across diverse bacterial clades, impeding insights into transcriptional
regulation in diverse microbial communities.

Recently, several high-throughput 3' ends mapping experimental techniques, such as term-
seq[11], Rend-Seq[12], and SEnd-seq[13] were developed. These techniques detect 3' ends of RNAs,
regardless of whether the transcripts employ RITs or RDTs for transcription termination. Although
a 3' ends mapping experiment alone cannot determine whether a transcript end is generated by
RIT or RDT mechanism, the rough classification can be achieved by evaluating changes in RNA-
seq coverage flanking detected transcript 3' ends upon inactivation of Rho protein by gene
knockout[14], inducible protein product depletion[15] or the small molecule inhibitor BCM
(bicyclomycin)[16, 17].

Available term-seq data suggested stem loop structures are pervasively associated with
transcript 3' ends in bacteria species belongs to *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria*,
*Spirochaetota,* and *Cyanobacteria* lineages[12, 17-26]. While RUT sites are thought to be depleted of
RNA secondary structure[1, 2], based on 3' end mapping techniques, it was reported that in *E. coli*,
transcript ends generated by the Rho-dependent mechanism can fold into stem loop structures
reminiscent of that in RITs with comparable folding energies, but typically lack the U-rich tracts[5].
In 3' end mapping data of *Streptomyces lividans* TK24, stem loop structures are also frequently
observed in 3' ends that not associated with U rich sequences[19]. A possible explanation for this
apparent discrepancy is that, for transcripts terminated by RDTs, 3' ends are rapidly degraded by
intracellular exoribonuclease such as PNPase after transcription termination, until reaching the
stem loop structures which prevent further degradation[5, 27].

The stem loop structures are crucial for maintaining transcript integrity, as a result, for functional
transcripts, a 3' end associated stem loop might be positively selected in evolution[5]. Although the
steady-state 3' ends may not correspond to real transcription termination sites[28], predicting 3' ends
is sufficient for many applications, such as operon prediction and sRNA prediction. If this property
is broadly conserved in bacteria, as a stem loop structure is a stronger feature compared to
sequence patterns of RUT sites, this observation can be leveraged for comprehensive prediction
of transcript 3' ends in diverse bacteria lineages.

In this study, through term-seq data analysis, we validated that at least for primary transcription
terminations, 3' ends generated by both RITs and RDTs are frequently associated with conserved
stem loop structures in diverse bacteria species. Based on this observation, exploiting
advancement in both experimental data and computational techniques, we present BATTER
(https://github.com/lulab/BATTER), a computational tool for bacteria transcription termination
analysis. BATTER is composed of two modules: a machine learning model based on BERT-CRF
architecture for predicting steady-state RNA 3' ends (BATTER-TPE, Three Prime End) and a rule-

based algorithm for detecting putative RUT sites (BATTER-RUT). Systematic evaluation on diverse
metrics suggested BATTER outperformed existing tools for both 3' ends detection and RUT sites
prediction.

Using BATTER, we comprehensively predicted transcript 3' ends and putative RUT sites near
the predicted 3' ends in representative bacteria genomes. We characterized the variations of these
conserved stem loops across diverse bacterial lineages and evaluated their coevolution with the
presence of Rho proteins and putative RUT sites. Our analysis suggests a competing role between
RDT and RIT in different species. Unexpectedly, we also detected RUT sites-like signatures in
*Cyanobacteria* species, which lack Rho homologs. This signature is correlated with more recently
evolved traits. Preliminary experimental analysis suggested some of these RUT site-like sequences
are capable of inducing transcription terminations in *E. coli*. As another application, by predicting
premature terminations of known antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes, we systematically
identified premature termination-based regulations and related noncoding RNAs. Our analysis
suggests premature termination-based regulations are pervasive in AMR genes related to
translation protection and drug efflux.

**Results**

**A conserved stem loop is associated with transcript 3' end regardless of the factor**
**dependency**

To inspect the secondary structures of detected 3' ends, we curated available 3' ends annotations
determined by term-seq, and RNA-seq data with and without Rho inhibition from 4 phylogenetically
diverse species (belonging to 4 distinct phyla), including *Escherichia coli* [5, 16], *Bacillus subtilis*[14,
27], *Borrelia burgdorferi*[17] and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*[15](Table S1, Table S2). It has been
reported RNA-seq is more effective in identifying Rho-dependent regions compared to term-seq,
as term-seq tends to detect steady-state 3' end instead of RUT sites[29]. Using RNA-seq data, the
detected 3' ends were classified as RIT or RDT according to changes in read-through upon Rho
inhibition (see Methods). Here we define "primary" termination sites as transcript 3' ends located
within 128 nt downstream of the CDSs, slightly more flexible compared to the 100 nt cutoff used by
a previous study[30] as it has been reported 3' UTRs longer than 100 nt are not rare in some
species[31]. In all four species, 3' ends detected by term-seq exhibit lower folding energies compared
to the genomic background, particularly at primary termination sites. Notably, the folding energies
of primary 3' ends assigned to RDTs and that assigned to RITs are similar (Figure 1A).

As an example, the RNA-seq read coverages near 3' end of *E. coli* talB gene were illustrated
(Figure 1B). Upon BCM treatment, talB has a higher level of transcription read-through. The
presence of regularly spaced YC dimers and a high C/G ratio confirmed that the Rho-dependent
mechanism is involved. There is a stem loop structure immediately upstream of its 3' end. To

analyze the conservation of the stem loop, we identified homologs of talB gene within taxa

*Enterobacteriaceae* in representative genomes of GEMs (Genomic catalog of Earth's Microbiomes)

dataset [32] and searched conserved hairpins near stop codons (upstream 16 nt and downstream

128 nt) with CMfinder[33]. As shown in Figure 1C, species within *Escherichia* genus, as well as

*Citrobacter*, *Leclercia*, *Enterobacter*, and *Pseudescherichia*, exhibit RDT-like properties including

the presence of C>G bubbles and the absence of U-rich tracts, while in *Serratia*, *Yersinia*, and

*Pectobacterium* species, the homolog transcripts are ended with a typical RIT-like motif,

characterized by a stretch of uracils (Us) and lacking a C>G bubble in downstream sequences. R-

scape[34] analysis revealed strong covariation of the predicted base pairing (Figure 1D). We also

observed a significant negative correlation between the maximum length of U runs near the stem

loops and the C/G ratio within 100 nt upstream and 100 nt downstream flanking sequences, (Figure

1E, ANOVA $P=7.61 \times 10^{-19}$). These results suggested the hairpin is structurally conserved in all

homolog transcripts, despite the apparent differences in Rho factor dependency. talB is not a

special case, and similar phenomena are observed in other genes, 3 of such genes were

exemplified in Figure S1.

Taken together, primary transcription terminations conducted by both RIT and RDT are

characterized by conserved stem loops, and the stem loop structures can be more conserved than

Rho factor dependency. This feature is likely to be conserved in diverse bacteria lineages. Thus, it

is possible to predict transcript 3' ends generated by both RITs and RDTs in a common framework,

that is predicting stem loop structures embedded in certain sequence contexts (Figure 1F).

**Models for transcript 3' ends and RUT sites prediction**

We collected transcript 3' ends defined by published genome-wide studies[12, 18-26] (Table S1).

Naively training models on experimentally verified 3' ends presented several challenges, including

phylogeny distribution bias (Figure S2 B), limited sample size, and variations due to different

experimental settings. Based on the conservation of primary 3' ends associated stem loop

structures, we developed a data augmentation pipeline (Figure S2 A) and generated ~2.5 million

putative 3' ends associated stem loop instances (Figure S2 C). Then we trained a BERT-CRF

model called BATTER-TPE, for bacterial transcript 3' ends prediction (Figure S2 D-E). While

BATTER-TPE predicts the 3' ends of primary transcripts, it cannot locate potential RUT sites around

these 3' ends. To address this, we also developed a rule-based post-processing module, BATTER-

RUT, which identifies putative Rho binding sites by searching YC dimers with optimal spacing

(Figure S3). See Methods for the implementation details of the data augmentation pipeline,

BATTER-TPE, and BATTER-RUT.

We further benchmarked the performance of BATTER-TPE and BATTER-RUT, and compared

with 4 existing tools on diverse metrics. For primary transcription termination, at a false positive

rate (FPR) cutoff of 0.1 per kilobase, stem loop prediction-based methods outperform Rho binding

sites prediction-based methods by a large margin, even for RDT associated 3' ends (Figure 2A).

This is within expectation, as features like a high local C/G ratio and the presence of regularly

spaced YC dimers are pervasive in random sequences. For both RDT- and RIT-associated primary

3' ends, BATTER-TPE outperforms transterm-HP and RNIE. As shown in Figure S4 A, stem-loop

prediction methods, including BATTER-TPE, capture a subset of non-primary 3' ends in *E. coli*

(recall of around 40%) and *B. subtilis* (recall of around 90% for RIT, 75% for RDT), but perform

poorly on *M. tuberculosis* and *B. burgdorferi* (recall below 10%). Nonetheless, these stem-loop-

based approaches generally yield better or comparable results to RUT site-based prediction

methods. This aligns with our observation that folding energy drops near non-primary transcript

ends are less pronounced than at primary transcript ends, indicating that non-primary termination

events may involve complex, clade-specific properties.

The performances of BATTER-TPE and published tools on 3' ends prediction were also

evaluated on term-seq data of the human oral microbiome[11]. For species with abundance > 1%

(Table S3), BATTER-TPE consistently detects more 3' ends (Figure 2B). This improvement is

particularly noticeable for phyla like *Actinobacteria* and *Fusobacteria*.

Since RUT site prediction-based methods performed poorly in detecting transcript 3' ends, we

focused on evaluating their effectiveness in identifying RUT sites. Given the challenge of defining

precise RUT locations and the lack of comprehensive genome-wide annotation[3], we used Rho-

dependent regions identified by RNA-seq as positive instances, as these regions are likely enriched

for RUT sites. In four species, BATTER-RUT mildly outperformed the other two methods (Figure

S4 B) at FPR cutoff of 1/KB. For all 4 species, predictions of BATTER-RUT are enriched

downstream of transcript 3' ends, peaking at around 50 nt (Figure S4 C).

For genomes that lack experimental data, here we used a previously proposed approach[9]: There

should be transcript 3' ends in intergenic regions between convergently transcribed ("tail to tail")

gene pairs. In a random subset of ~2000 representative bacteria genomes in GEMs, at an FPR

cutoff of 0.1/KB, for all the IGRs flanked by tail-to-tail gene pairs, the fraction of IGRs with predicted

3' ends measures model performance (Figure S5 A). BATTER-TPE consistently achieves the best

performance across diverse bacterial phylogeny compared to the other two methods.

The performance of BATTER-TPE on detecting 3' ends of noncoding RNAs was also evaluated.

Assuming the majority of noncoding RNAs with TSS detected by dRNA-seq have terminators, a

higher number of predicted terminators located between intergenic TSS and the next CDS would

indicate better performance. We curated TSSs from 14 bacteria species that are representative of

major bacteria lineages (Table S4) defined by dRNA-seq, and defined intergenic TSSs as TSSs

that do not overlap with protein-coding genes, and have distances larger than 128 nt to closest start

codons. We annotate such putative 3' ends with transterm-HP, RNIE, and BATTER-TPE at an FPR

of 0.1/KB. For *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidota*, the predictions by the three methods largely overlapped.

However, for *Cyanobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* species, a considerable portion of

such predictions is unique to BATTER-TPE, suggesting its ability to detect terminators overlooked

by transterm-HP and RNIE (Figure S5 B).

**212 Inter-gene and inter-clade variations of 3' end associated stem loops**

We next explored the variations in the stem loop structures associated with transcription 3' ends

predicted by BATTER-TPE (only including primary 3' ends) across all the GEMs genomes. As

illustrated in Figure 3A, stem loops of *Actinobacteria* are characterized by long stems and often

lack downstream U-rich sequences. Stem loops of most *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidota* show only

minor deviations from typical RITs. Stem loops of *Proteobacteria* tend to be shorter and have

relatively large intra-phylum variations. When only considering primary 3' ends in the predictions,

20% to 50% of CDSs in most bacteria species are predicted to have trailing terminators, and on

average, 1 to 5 terminators are predicted in every kilobase of IGR sequences (Figure 3A).

The 3' end associated stem loops show inter-gene and inter-species variations. To quantify these,

we trained a neural network to project RNA sequences to numeric vectors, using a triplet loss to

bring RNAs from the same Rfam family closer in embedding space while pushing RNAs from

different families apart (Figure S6 A, see Methods for details). We validated the embeddings with

t-SNE visualization on holdout Rfam families (Figure S6 B-C). We then analyzed terminators

associated with several widely distributed genes (5 protein-coding gene families and 2 riboswitches)

in all 42,905 GEMs representative bacterial genomes, projecting them into embeddings with the

neural network encoder. t-SNE visualizations of the terminator embeddings (Figure 3B-C) showed

that terminators of homologous genes in closely related species were similar, while in

phylogenetically distant species, inter-gene variations were generally smaller than inter-species

variations. To assess the contributions of taxonomy (at phylum, class, and order levels) and gene

families to variations of terminators, we analyzed each of the top 36 principal components

(representing ~80% of the total variance) and averaged the variance explained by each factor. As

shown in Figure 3D, taxonomies consistently explained a larger fraction of variance than gene

families. Taking cysteine synthase as an example, we clustered neural embeddings of associated

stem loops into ten clusters using K-means and visualized the predicted secondary structures of

centroid instances (Figure 3E), illustrating such cross-clade diversity of a single gene family.

**239 Differential contribution of Rho-dependent termination in different clades**

As an application of BATTER, here we sought to quantify the relative contribution of RITs and RDTs

to transcription termination in different bacterial lineages. For primary 3' ends predicted by

BATTER-TPE in each genome, we used the median length of U-rich sequences associated with

these 3' ends as a proxy to measure the contribution of RITs. We also calculated a "Rho
dependency score" based on BATTER-RUT's predictions (See Materials and Methods) to measure
the contribution of RDTs. The phylogenetic distribution of Rho proteins determined by an
established homolog search strategy[35] was also visualized (Figure 4A). To avoid impact from
genome incompleteness and potential binning error, only isolate genomes with genome
completeness > 95% in GEMs representative gnomes were considered.

In agreement with previous findings [35], we found homologs of Rho proteins are present in most
of the bacterial lineages, with *Cyanobacteria* and several *Firmicutes* species as exceptions. The
presence of Rho proteins and shorter U-rich sequences is strongly associated with higher Rho
dependency scores (Figure 4B-C). *Actinobacteria* species have the highest average Rho
dependency scores, followed by *Proteobacteria*, *Spirochaetota*, *Bacteroidota*, and *Firmicutes*
(Figure 4A, Figure 4D). These findings are concordant with pervasive Rho-dependent terminations
in *M. tuberculosis*[36] and a diminished role of Rho protein in transcription termination in *Firmicutes*
clade[6]. In most cases, phylogenetically related species tend to have similar Rho dependency
scores. *Bartonella* species in the *Rhizobiaceae* family, which have a sharp drop in Rho dependency
scores compared to other genera in the same family, is an exception. In *Bartonella* species, a basic
amino acid conserved across diverse bacterial lineage (the counterpart of the R87 residue in *E.
coli* Rho protein) is substituted into glutamine (Figure S7). In *E. coli*,  R87 is directly involved in Rho
protein-substrate interaction[4], hence we hypothesized this substitution may lead to functional
deficiency, driven preference toward usage of RIT in this clade.

Taking a closer look at genomes that lack homolog of Rho protein, surprisingly, certain
*Cyanobacteria* species have unexpectedly high Rho dependency scores (Figure 4A, Figure 4E).
Concurring with that, metagene plots for *Cyanobacteria* model species *Nostoc sp.* PCC 7120
suggests the predicted RUT-like sequences are also enriched downstream of predicted 3' ends,
resembling the distribution of RUT sites in species with functional Rho homologs, such as *E. coli*
and *B. subtilis* (Figure 4F). However, there are notable differences: in *Cyanobacteria*, the RUT-like
sequences overlap more extensively with stem loop structures, with metagene density peaks
located closer to the predicted 3' ends.

**A RUT-like signature presents in some *Cyanobacteria* species**
We further investigated the phylogenetic distribution of the RUT-like sequences within
*Cyanobacteria* clade and their association with traits of corresponding species. Interestingly,
*Cyanobacteria* species characterized by ancient traits[37]—such as unicellularity and marine
habitats,—tend to lack RUT-like signatures. In contrast, species with more recently evolved traits,
such as the nitrogen fixation capability, far-red light photoacclimation (FarLip), and low-light
photoacclimation (LoLip)[38], tend to have stronger RUT-like signatures (Figure 5A-B, Table S5).

As *Cyanobacteria* genomes lack functional Rho homologs, here we used a fluorescent reporter
assay to test the functionality of these RUT-like sequences in *E. coli* (Figure 5C). In a sfGFP-rrnB
T1 terminator-mCherry construct, the rrnB T1 terminator sequence was replaced with either
predicted RUT-like sequences or a non-terminating sequence from the lacI gene as a negative
control. A low mCherry/sfGFP ratio indicates high transcription termination efficiency. 7 predicted
RUT site-like sequences associated with nitrogen fixation-related genes in *Nostoc sp.* PCC 7120
and 8 predicted RUT site-like sequences associated with FarLip and LoLip-related genes in *C.*
*fritschii* PCC 6912 were tested. Among these tested sequences, 7/7 *Nostoc sp.* PCC 7120
sequences and 7/8 *C. fritschii* PCC 6912 sequences have lower mCherry/sfGFP ratios compared
to the negative control (Figure 5D and 5E), indicating the predicted RUT sequences are at least
partially functional for terminating transcription in *E. coli*.
290

**Application on identification of AMR genes related premature terminations**
As another application of BATTER, we systematically predict premature termination events
associated with 5' UTRs of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes. Previous studies have suggested
premature transcription termination plays an important role in bacterial transcriptional regulation
under antibiotic stress [11]. Premature terminations in bacteria could act in *cis* (through attenuation
mechanism) or in *trans* (premature transcription product serve as sRNA to regulate target genes[18]
or sponge other sRNAs[29]), and in some cases, both mechanisms are simultaneously involved[39].
For *cis*-regulation, BATTER-TPE could predict RIT-based premature termination, BATTER-RUT
could provide clues for RDT-based premature termination. For *trans*-regulation, as the
transcriptional products are functional, their 3' ends are likely to contain protective stem loops, and
could be effectively detected by BATTER-TPE. As a result, although BATTER is designed for
predicting primary transcription termination, it can also predict premature termination in many cases.
We thus predicted AMR genes in GEMs representative bacteria genomes AMRfinder[40] and
identified the premature termination sites in their upstream sequences with BATTER-TPE. The
prevalence of predicted upstream terminator structures varied substantially across different AMR
gene families, being notably frequent in ribosomal protection proteins, 23S rRNA
methyltransferases, and efflux transporters, while rare in other AMR families (Figure 6A-B). It was
reported the RppA gene (annotated to ABC-F type ribosomal protection protein by AMRfinder) in
*Bacillus thuringiensis* BMB171 is regulated by an attenuator-induced premature termination
event[41], our analysis suggests similar regulation is conserved in other ribosome protection protein
families. It was well characterized that the ermC gene (annotated to 23S rRNA methyltransferase
Erm(C) family by AMRfinder) is regulated by a attenuator that shelters ribosomal binding sites for
translational regulation[42], here our predictions suggest in other 23S rRNA methyltransferase
families, such as Cfr family 23S rRNA methyltransferase and 23S ribosomal RNA

methyltransferase Erm, premature termination-based regulation can be more pervasive. In agreement with our prediction, a previous study also suggested premature termination of multiple antibiotic efflux-related genes are suppressed upon antibiotic treatment[11]. An Rfam search suggested the upstream sequence of macrolide efflux MFS transporter Mef(A) associated premature terminator hits to Enterococcus sRNA_1300 (RF02862), a known sRNA related to antibiotic response[22], suggesting premature termination of these genes may generate *trans*-acting sRNA.

For the top 15 AMR gene families with the most predicted upstream terminators, we evaluated the conservation of their secondary structures. Sequence covariation analysis supported conserved secondary structures in upstream sequences of translation protection-related AMRs (ribosomal protection proteins and 23S rRNA methyltransferase), but not those related to efflux transporters (Figure 6B and Figure S8). A potential explanation is that most translation-related premature terminations detected here may act in *cis*, in which RNA structures play a more prominent role; while premature terminations of efflux transporters may generate *trans*-acting sRNAs, which are relatively unstructured.

## Discussion

In this study, by term-seq data analysis, we confirmed a conserved stem loop structure is often associated with transcript 3' ends generated by primary termination events in diverse bacteria species, regardless of the dependency of Rho factor. Based on this finding, we developed a data augmentation pipeline and trained BATTER-TPE, a BERT-CRF model for predicting transcript 3' ends. We also developed an accessory module, BATTER-RUT, for detecting putative RUT sites. Benchmarking BATTER on diverse datasets demonstrated its superior performance compared to existing tools. Using BATTER, we systematically characterized the properties of 3' ends associated stem loops and evaluated the role of Rho-dependent termination across major bacterial lineages. Additionally, we systematically predicted putative transcript 3' ends located upstream of AMR genes, identifying several AMR gene families potentially regulated by premature termination.

While BATTER-TPE outperforms existing tools, it does have some limitations. BATTER-TPE is optimized to detect 3' ends from transcription termination events in which the resulting products are functional and hence should be protected from RNase degradation, as in the case of primary transcription termination of protein-coding genes and functional noncoding RNAs. Many secondary termination events, however, do not follow this paradigm. In addition, archaea terminators usually do not contain stem loop structures[43], and BATTER-TPE cannot effectively predict transcript 3' ends in archaea. Thirdly, although BATTER-RUT mildly outperformed existing tools for RUT prediction, and achieved reasonable performance for detecting 3' end associated RUTs in combination with BATTER-TPE, exhaustive genome-wide prediction of RUTs remains challenging.

Our systematic prediction of 3' end-associated stem loops revealed that inter-species variations
generally exceed inter-genes variations. The RNA polymerase complex, while universally present
in bacteria with conserved features such as subunit composition, has evolved clade-specific
variations that affect transcription regulation[44]. For instance, β and β' subunits of RNA polymerase
contain clade-specific insertions, deletions of these regions lead to various functional
deficiencies[45]. Multiple clade-specific transcription factors have also been reported to regulate
bacteria transcription[44]. Assuming sequences and structures of 3' end-associated stem loops are
optimized for stalling the RNA polymerase complex, inter-species variations of terminators may
reflect co-evolutions between terminators and the transcription machinery.

The discovery of RUT-like signatures near transcript 3' ends in certain *Cyanobacteria* species is
very intriguing. One potential explanation is that those *Cyanobacteria* species may harbor an
undetected Rho homolog. It has been reported that in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, RHON1, a Rho-like
protein, is responsible for some transcription termination events in plastids[46]. It was well accepted
that plastids in plants evolve from endosymbiotic *Cyanobacteria*[47]; hence some *Cyanobacteria*
may harbor a Rho-like protein homolog. Following a previously proposed strategy[35], in this study,
proteins with both Rho RNA binding domain and ATPase domains are considered as functional
Rho, and no functional Rho was detected in *Cyanobacteria* clade. We could not completely exclude
the probability that some *Cyanobacteria* species may harbor homologs of Rho protein, as if the
sequence divergence is too large, Rho protein homologs may elude detection. An alternative
hypothesis is that Rho protein was lost in the common ancestor of existing *Cyanobacteria*, in some
descendants, other protein factors may have adapted to use the original Rho binding sites for
transcription termination, while in others, RUT sites may have been lost entirely. This may explain
the association found between RUT-like signatures and recently evolved traits, such as nitrogen
fixation, FarLip, and LoLip. Both two hypotheses require further experimental validation.

BATTER-TPE is primarily optimized for predicting 3' ends of the primary transcript, we have
shown in practice it can also be applied to predict a considerable fraction of premature termination
events, including termination events that generate functional products (such as trans-acing sRNAs
generated by both RITs and RDTs), and *cis*-regulatory RITs. Although BATTER-RUT could detect
some RUT sites in leader sequences, comprehensive prediction of *cis*-regulatory premature RDTs
remains an open problem. Despite such limitations, BATTER successfully detects variants of
known and novel riboregulators and provides insights for the clade-specific regulation of RDTs.
These riboregulators can serve as potential drug targets for antibiotic-resistant strains.

As BATTER is optimized for 3' ends of functional transcript in very diverse bacteria species,
taking genome sequences as the solitary input, it is particularly useful for discovering 3' ends
functional transcripts in contigs assembled from metagenome samples, where very diverse
bacteria lineages, including uncultivated ones, are often present. We hope BATTER will be a useful

tool for understanding the diversity of noncoding RNAs across the bacteria domain.

## Methods
### Curation of experimentally supported 3' ends

Known transcript 3' ends with experimental supports used in this work were curated from previous

studies (Table S1). The transcript 3' ends of the metaterm-seq dataset[11], which are not provided

by the original publication, were identified by a customized pipeline. In brief, metaterm-seq reads

and RNA-seq reads of 2 human oral metagenome samples were downloaded from PRJEB12568.

Metaphlan2[48] was used for species profiling, species with abundance > 1% in at least one sample

(either RNA-seq or term-seq) were considered for downstream analysis. Reads were then mapped

to corresponding reference genomes downloaded from genbank (Table S3). 5' end coverage of

the reads was summarized with HTSeq[49]. Local max positions within 50 nt windows covered by at

least 6 reads are considered as transcripts 3' ends with experimental support.

### Identification of Rho-dependent regions

The RNA-seq reads of *E. coli* (GSE41939), *B. subtilis* (GSE195579), and *B. burgdorferi*

(GSE222085) were mapped to the corresponding reference genomes (see Table S2) with

bowtie2[50] using the default parameters. For *M. tuberculosis* data (E-MTAB-11753), bam files were

downloaded from http://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/fire/E-MTAB-/753/E-MTAB-11753/Files. The

identification of Rho-dependent regions was implemented using a customized python script. In brief,

using a stride of 50 nt, for each considered genome location, the numbers of RNA-seq fragments

assigned within upstream 200 nt ($U_{200}$) and downstream 200 nt ($D_{200}$) were summarized, replicates

were combined by summation, and the ratio $D_{200}/U_{200}$ measures the level of read-through at this

location. Single-side Fisher exact test was used to evaluate whether the read-through level in Rho

protein inhibition group was greater than the control group. For *B. subtilis*, data of WT and Rho

knockout strains in the exponential growth stage were considered. For *M. tuberculosis*, samples at

6h after Rho protein depletion were used. Regions with odds ratio > 3 and P-value < 0.001 were

classified as Rho-dependent regions, those with odds ratio < 1 were classified as Rho-independent

regions, and the remaining ones were classified as "others". 3' ends that overlap with Rho-

dependent regions, Rho-independent regions, and "others" are categorized as RDT, RIT, and

unclassified, respectively. The folding energy of upstream 45 nt, and downstream 5 nt sequences

flanking annotated 3' ends were evaluated with RNAfold utility in ViennaRNA package[51].

### Implementation and benchmarking of BATTER algorithm

418 See Supplementary Information.

### Calculation of Rho dependency scores

420 To quantify the contribution of Rho-dependent termination, for BATTER-TPE predicted primary

421 transcript 3' ends at an FPR cutoff of 0.1/KB, the upstream 100nt and downstream 300nt

422 sequences are extracted and scanned by BATTER-TPE with default parameters. For each 3' ends,

423　the best scoring RUT site is extracted. If no RUT site is predicted, a score of 0 is assigned. The

424　average score across all primary 3' ends was utilized as a measure of Rho dependency.

*Cyanobacteria* **traits analysis**

426　For *Cyanobacteria* traits analysis, the trait data was curated based on several previous studies[35,

427　52, 53]. *Cyanobacteria* genomes with PsaA2, PsaB2, and PsbA4 gene orthologs were annotated as

428　FarLip, genomes with apcD4, apcB3, and isiX gene orthologs were annotated as LoLip. Species

429　with complete genomes were considered. The species tree is built with FastTree[54] using multiple

430　sequence alignment of 120 universal marker genes defined by gtdb-tk[55].

**Fluorescence reporter assay**

All cloning was performed in *E. coli* DH5α strain. Transformed cells were cultured in LB medium

and on 1.5% (w/v) LB agar plates supplemented with 15 µg/mL chloramphenicol as required.

Sequences encoding sfGFP, the rrnB T1 terminator, and mCherry were assembled into a vector

backbone containing the replication origin of pSC101 to yield recombinant plasmid pXG10SFM. To

generate the negative control plasmid pXG10SFM0, the rrnB T1 terminator of pXG10SFM was

replaced with a 96 bp-region from the lacI coding sequence. To construct plasmids containing

predicted RUT sites from nitrogen-fixation gene cluster in cyanobacterium *Nostoc sp.* PCC 7120

and FaRLiP and LoLiP gene clusters in *Chlorogloeopsis fritschii* PCC 6912, pXG10SFM was first

digested with XhoI and KpnI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RUT site-like sequences were generated

by PCR using two synthesized oligonucleotide primers, and the amplicons were assembled into

the digested pXG10SFM, followed by transformation into *E. coli* DH5α. Transformants were verified

by DNA sequencing. The recombinant plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Table

S6 and Table S7.

To measure fluorescence in *E. coli*, transformants were first inoculated into 1 mL LB medium

supplemented with 15 µg/mL chloramphenicol in 24 well-plates and grown overnight at 37°C with

constant shaking at 200 rpm. Cells were washed and resuspended in PBS buffer (10 mM

Phosphate, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH=7.4). To initiate the assay, samples were diluted 1:10

into a 96-well Black/Clear bottom microplate to a final volume of 200 µL. Culture density (OD600)

and fluorescence were measured by multi-mode microplate reader Cytation3 (BioTek).

Fluorescence intensity of sfGFP and mCherry were read at excitation/emission wavelengths 488

nm/515 nm and 579 nm/616 nm, respectively.

**Scanning premature terminators of AMR genes**

AMR genes in GEMs representative genomes were annotated with AMRfinder[40]. 800 nt

sequences (upstream 400 nt and downstream 400 nt) flanking start codons of detected AMR genes

are subjected to terminator scanning. Predicted terminators located within upstream 128 nt and

downstream 16 nt of start codons are retained. These upstream 128 nt and downstream 16 nt

terminator-containing sequences were grouped by AMR families and searched for conserved

motifs with CMfinder[33]. The secondary structural covariations of resulting motifs were evaluated

with R-scape[34].

**Code availability**

BATTER is deposited at https://github.com/lulab/BATTER. Codes and data for model

benchmarking and comparative analysis of bacteria transcript 3' ends are available from

https://github.com/uaauaguga/terminator-prediction-scripts.

**Acknowledgments**

This work is supported by National Key RD Program of China (2022YFA1304200), National Natural

Science Foundation of China (32170671，8237061277), Tsinghua University Guoqiang Institute

Grant (2021GQG1020), Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program of Precision

Medicine (2022ZLA003), Bioinformatics Platform of National Center for Protein Sciences (Beijing)

(2021-NCPSB-005). This study was also supported by Bayer Micro-funding, Beijing Advanced

Innovation Center for Structural Biology, Bio-Computing Platform of Tsinghua University Branch of

China National Center for Protein Sciences.

**References**

1.      Roberts, J.W., *Mechanisms of Bacterial Transcription Termination.* Journal of Molecular
Biology, 2019. **431**(20): p. 4030-4039.

2.      Peters, J.M., A.D. Vangeloff, and R. Landick, *Bacterial Transcription Terminators: The*
*RNA 3′-End Chronicles.* Journal of Molecular Biology, 2011. **412**(5): p. 793-813.

3.      Nadiras, C., et al., *A multivariate prediction model for Rho-dependent termination of*
*transcription.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2018. **46**(16): p. 8245-8260.

4.      Molodtsov, V., et al., *Structural basis of Rho-dependent transcription termination.*
Nature, 2023. **614**(7947): p. 367-374.

5.      Dar, D. and R. Sorek, *High-resolution RNA 3′-ends mapping of bacterial Rho-dependent*
*transcripts.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2018. **46**(13): p. 6797-6805.

6.      Johnson, G.E., et al., *Functionally uncoupled transcription–translation in Bacillus subtilis.*
Nature, 2020. **585**(7823): p. 124-128.

7.      Gardner, P.P., et al., *RNIE: genome-wide prediction of bacterial intrinsic terminators.*
Nucleic Acids Research, 2011. **39**(14): p. 5845-5852.

8.      de Hoon, M.J.L., et al., *Prediction of Transcriptional Terminators in Bacillus subtilis and*
*Related Species.* PLOS Computational Biology, 2005. **1**(3): p. e25.

9.      Kingsford, C.L., K. Ayanbule, and S.L. Salzberg, *Rapid, accurate, computational discovery*
*of Rho-independent transcription terminators illuminates their relationship to DNA*
*uptake.* Genome Biology, 2007. **8**(2): p. R22.

10.    Di Salvo, M., et al., *RhoTermPredict: an algorithm for predicting Rho-dependent*
*transcription terminators based on Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis and Salmonella*
*enterica databases.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2019. **20**(1): p. 117.

11.    Dar, D., et al., *Term-seq reveals abundant ribo-regulation of antibiotics resistance in*
*bacteria.* Science, 2016. **352**(6282): p. aad9822.

12. Lalanne, J.-B., et al., *Evolutionary Convergence of Pathway-Specific Enzyme Expression*
*Stoichiometry.* Cell, 2018. **173**(3): p. 749-761.e38.
13. Ju, X., D. Li, and S. Liu, *Full-length RNA profiling reveals pervasive bidirectional*
*transcription terminators in bacteria.* Nature Microbiology, 2019. **4**(11): p. 1907-1918.
14. Bidnenko, V., et al., *Termination factor Rho mediates transcriptional reprogramming of*
*Bacillus subtilis stationary phase.* PLOS Genetics, 2023. **19**(2): p. e1010618.
15. D'Halluin, A., et al., *Premature termination of transcription is shaped by Rho and*
*translated uORFS in Mycobacterium tuberculosis.* iScience, 2023. **26**(4): p. 106465.
16. Peters, J.M., et al., *Rho and NusG suppress pervasive antisense transcription in*
*Escherichia coli.* Genes & Development, 2012. **26**(23): p. 2621-2633.
17. Petroni, E., et al., *Extensive diversity in RNA termination and regulation revealed by*
*transcriptome mapping for the Lyme pathogen Borrelia burgdorferi.* Nature
Communications, 2023. **14**(1): p. 3931.
18. Thomason Maureen, K., et al., *A rhlI 5′ UTR-Derived sRNA Regulates RhlR-Dependent*
*Quorum Sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* mBio, 2019. **10**(5): p.
10.1128/mbio.02253-19.
19. Lee, Y., et al., *The Transcription Unit Architecture of Streptomyces lividans TK24.*
Frontiers in Microbiology, 2019. **10**.
20. Warrier, I., et al., *The Transcriptional landscape of Streptococcus pneumoniae TIGR4*
*reveals a complex operon architecture and abundant riboregulation critical for growth*
*and virulence.* PLOS Pathogens, 2018. **14**(12): p. e1007461.
21. Vera Jessica, M., et al., *Genome-Scale Transcription-Translation Mapping Reveals*
*Features of Zymomonas mobilis Transcription Units and Promoters.* mSystems, 2020.
**5**(4): p. 10.1128/msystems.00250-20.
22. Sinel, C., et al., *Small RNAs in vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium involved in*
*daptomycin response and resistance.* Scientific Reports, 2017. **7**(1): p. 11067.
23. Hwang, S., et al., *Elucidating the Regulatory Elements for Transcription Termination and*
*Posttranscriptional Processing in the Streptomyces clavuligerus Genome.* mSystems,
2021. **6**(3): p. 10.1128/msystems.01013-20.
24. Jeong, Y., et al., *Multi-Omic Analyses Reveal Habitat Adaptation of Marine*
*Cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 7338.* Frontiers in Microbiology, 2021. **12**.
25. Cho, S.-H., et al., *Different Regulatory Modes of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 in Response*
*to Photosynthesis Inhibitory Conditions.* mSystems, 2021. **6**(6): p. e00943-21.
26. Forquet, R., et al., *Mapping the Complex Transcriptional Landscape of the*
*Phytopathogenic Bacterium Dickeya dadantii.* mBio, 2022. **13**(3): p. e00524-22.
27. Mandell, Z.F., et al., *Comprehensive transcription terminator atlas for Bacillus subtilis.*
Nature Microbiology, 2022. **7**(11): p. 1918-1931.
28. Wang, X., et al., *Processing generates 3′ ends of RNA masking transcription*
*termination events in prokaryotes.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
2019. **116**(10): p. 4440-4445.
29. Adams, P.P., et al., *Regulatory roles of Escherichia coli 5' UTR and ORF-internal RNAs*
*detected by 3' end mapping.* eLife, 2021. **10**: p. e62438.
30. Bar, A., et al., *TRS: a method for determining transcript termini from RNAtag-seq*
*sequencing data.* Nature Communications, 2023. **14**(1): p. 7843.
31. Ren, G.-X., X.-P. Guo, and Y.-C. Sun, *Regulatory 3′ Untranslated Regions of Bacterial*
*mRNAs.* Frontiers in Microbiology, 2017. **8**.

32.    Nayfach, S., et al., *A genomic catalog of Earth's microbiomes.* Nature Biotechnology,
548           2021. **39**(4): p. 499-509.
549    33.    Yao, Z., Z. Weinberg, and W.L. Ruzzo, *CMfinder—a covariance model based RNA motif*
550           *finding algorithm.* Bioinformatics, 2006. **22**(4): p. 445-452.
551    34.    Rivas, E., J. Clements, and S.R. Eddy, *A statistical test for conserved RNA structure shows*
552           *lack of evidence for structure in lncRNAs.* Nature Methods, 2017. **14**(1): p. 45-48.
553    35.    Moreira, Sofia M., et al., *Diversification of the Rho transcription termination factor in*
554           *bacteria.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2024. **52**(15): p. 8979-8997.
555    36.    Ahmad, E., et al., *Rho-dependent transcription termination is the dominant mechanism*
556           *in Mycobacterium tuberculosis.* Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory
557           Mechanisms, 2023. **1866**(2): p. 194923.
558    37.    Hammerschmidt, K., et al., *The Order of Trait Emergence in the Evolution of*
559           *Cyanobacterial Multicellularity.* Genome Biology and Evolution, 2021. **13**(2): p. evaa249.
560    38.    Gan, F. and D.A. Bryant, *Adaptive and acclimative responses of cyanobacteria to far-red*
561           *light.* Environmental Microbiology, 2015. **17**(10): p. 3450-3465.
562    39.    Loh, E., et al., *A trans-Acting Riboswitch Controls Expression of the Virulence Regulator*
563           *PrfA in Listeria monocytogenes.* Cell, 2009. **139**(4): p. 770-779.
564    40.    Feldgarden, M., et al., *Validating the AMRFinder Tool and Resistance Gene Database by*
565           *Using Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a Collection of*
566           *Isolates.* Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2019. **63**(11): p. 10.1128/aac.00483-
567           19.
568    41.    Cai, X., et al., *Expression of ribosomal protection protein RppA is regulated by a*
569           *ribosome-dependent ribo-regulator and two mistranslation products.* Environmental
570           Microbiology, 2021. **23**(2): p. 696-712.
571    42.    Koch, M., et al., *Critical 23S rRNA interactions for macrolide-dependent ribosome stalling*
572           *on the ErmCL nascent peptide chain.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2017. **45**(11): p. 6717-6728.
573    43.    Maier, L.-K. and A. Marchfelder, *It's all about the T: transcription termination in archaea.*
574           Biochemical Society Transactions, 2019. **47**(1): p. 461-468.
575    44.    Chen, J., H. Boyaci, and E.A. Campbell, *Diverse and unified mechanisms of transcription*
576           *initiation in bacteria.* Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2021. **19**(2): p. 95-109.
577    45.    Chlenov, M., et al., *Structure and Function of Lineage-specific Sequence Insertions in the*
578           *Bacterial RNA Polymerase $\beta'$ Subunit.* Journal of Molecular Biology, 2005. **353**(1): p.
579           138-154.
580    46.    Chi, W., et al., *RHON1 Mediates a Rho-Like Activity for Transcription Termination in*
581           *Plastids of Arabidopsis thaliana* The Plant Cell, 2014. **26**(12): p. 4918-4932.
582    47.    McFadden, G.I., *Origin and Evolution of Plastids and Photosynthesis in Eukaryotes.* Cold
583           Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2014. **6**(4): p. a016105.
584    48.    Truong, D.T., et al., *MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling.* Nature
585           Methods, 2015. **12**(10): p. 902-903.
586    49.    Anders, S., P.T. Pyl, and W. Huber, *HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-*
587           *throughput sequencing data.* Bioinformatics, 2015. **31**(2): p. 166-169.
588    50.    Langmead, B. and S.L. Salzberg, *Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.* Nature
589           Methods, 2012. **9**(4): p. 357-359.
590    51.    Lorenz, R., et al., *ViennaRNA Package 2.0.* Algorithms for Molecular Biology, 2011. **6**(1):
591           p. 26.

52.  Chen, M.-Y., et al., *Phylogenomics Uncovers Evolutionary Trajectory of Nitrogen Fixation*
*in Cyanobacteria.* Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2022. **39**(9): p. msac171.
53.  Shih, P.M., et al., *Improving the coverage of the cyanobacterial phylum using diversity-*
*driven genome sequencing.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2013.
**110**(3): p. 1053-1058.
54.  Price, M.N., P.S. Dehal, and A.P. Arkin, *FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood*
*Trees for Large Alignments.* PLOS ONE, 2010. **5**(3): p. e9490.
55.  Chaumeil, P.-A., et al., *GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome*
*Taxonomy Database.* Bioinformatics, 2020. **36**(6): p. 1925-1927.

## Figures

**Figure 1.** A conserved stem loop is associated with transcript 3' end regardless of the Rho factor dependency

A. Z scores of average folding energy in 4 distantly related species, stratified by Rho factor dependency (RITs and RDTs) and genomic context (primary 3' ends, non-primary 3' ends, and background)

B. RNA-seq coverage near the 3' end of E. coli talB under BCM treatment (BCM+ rep 1, BCM+ rep 2) and control (BCM- rep 1, BCM- rep 2) conditions. Local C/G ratio and density of YC dimers are also shown.

C. Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the conserved stem loop structure in downstream sequences of talB gene in the Enterobacteriaceae family. For each genus, sequences from 3 representative species are shown. In the right panel, for all species of each genus in GEMs representative genomes, guanidine(G) ratios and cytosine (C) ratios (labeled in red and orange,

respectively) of 101 nt sequences centered at each nucleotide from 128 nt upstream to 128 nt
downstream relative to ends of the stem loops are shown.
D. Secondary structure covariation of the identified stem loop. Base pairs with R-scape E value <
0.05 are labeled in green, and nucleotides with sequence identity > 97% and > 90% are labeled in
red and black, respectively. R indicates purine, Y indicates pyrimidine.
E. Correlation between maximum lengths of consecutive uracils (Us) and C/G ratio within 128 nt
sequences flanking ends of the stem loops downstream talB in all considered Enterobacteriaceae
genomes.
F. Schematic illustration of common and distinctive features of RITs and RDTs. For RITs, term-seq
sites indicate the location of terminator stem loops, while for RDTs, term-seq sites indicate stem
loops upstream of real termination sites. Both RITs and RDTs are characterized by stem loops
flanked by particular sequence contexts.
629

**Figure 2. Performance evaluation of the 3' end prediction model**
631

632
A. Recalls of stem loop prediction-based methods (BATTER-TPE, RNIE, and transterm-HP) and
RUT sites prediction-based methods (BATTER-RUT, RDT111-OPLS-DA and RhoTermPredict) at
FPR of 0.1/KB.
B. Performance comparisons for BATTER vs. RNIE (left panel) and BATTER vs. transterm-HP
(right panel) on term-seq dataset of the oral microbiome. Recalls of two methods at an FPR of
0.1/KB on different species are plotted against each other. Colors indicate different phyla.
C. Overlaps between RNIE, BATTER, and transterm-HP's predictions on curated differential RNA-
seq datasets.

**Figure 3.** Characterization of 3' ends associated stem loops across diverse bacteria species

642
A. Characteristics of predicted terminators on different bacteria species. A subset of ~2000 species
were sampled for visualization. From the innermost cycle to the outermost cycle, the stem lengths
(blue), U-rich sequence lengths (green), number of prediction/CDS (red), and number of
predictions/KB (purple) are shown.
B. t-SNE (t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding) on vector embeddings of terminators
downstream several widely distributed genes, coloring by phyla.
C. t-SNE visualization same as Figure 4B, coloring by the gene families
D. Weighted average variance proportion of top 36 principal components explained by different
genes and different clades at the taxonomy levels of phylum, class, and order.
E. Visualization of terminators associated with cysteine synthase CDS. The vector embeddings
were clustered into 10 clusters with K-means, and the predicted secondary structures of cluster
centers (black dot) were shown.
655

656    **Figure 4.** Evaluation of Rho dependency in diverse bacteria lineages

657
A. The association between the presence of Rho protein homolog (innermost gray circle), the
median length of U-rich sequences (green), and the Rho dependency score (outer circle in blue).
B. Rho dependency score distribution in species with and without Rho protein homologs. *P*-value
was determined by Wilcoxon test.
C. The correlation between median length of U-rich sequences and Rho dependency scores. P-
value was determined by Kruskal-Wallis test.
D-E. Rho dependency score distribution in species with and without Rho protein homologs,
stratified by phyla.
F. Metagene plot for BATTER-RUT predicted RUT sites (FPR=0.2/KB) near 3' ends predicted by
BATTER-TPE.
668

  **Figure 5.** The presence of RUT-like sequences correlates with recently evolved traits in
*Cyanobacteria*

A. Association between Rho dependency scores and *Cyanobacteria* traits. Wilcoxon test *P*-values
were shown in the brackets.
B. Metagene plot for predicted RUT sites near predicted transcript 3' ends at FPR=0.2/KB. Four
representative *Cyanobacteria* species are shown.
C. Diagram of the reporter plasmid for fluorescence assay.
D. mCherry/sfGFP ratio in tested *Nostoc sp.* PCC 7120 RUT sites-like sequences.
E. mCherry/sfGFP ratio in tested *C. fritschii* PCC 6912 RUT sites-like sequences. In D and E, error
bars show the mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates.
680

**Figure 6.** Terminator prediction reveal pervasive riboregulations in some antibiotic resistance
gene families

A. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) gene families are ranked by fractions with predicted upstream
terminators, each bar indicates an AMR gene family. Gene families that share similar
mechanisms of action are labeled with the same color.
B. Fraction of leader sequence preceding AMR gene families that are frequently associated with
predicted transcription terminators.