Biocalcification in porcelaneous foraminifera

Zofia Dubicka[1, 2, 3]*, Jarosław Tyszka[4], Agnieszka Pałczyńska[3], Michelle Höhne[1], Jelle Bijma[5], Max Janse[6], Nienke Klerks[6], and Ulf Bickmeyer[1]

[1] *Ecological Chemistry, Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven D-27570, Germany*

[2] *GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany*

[3] *Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw, Warsaw PL 02-089, Poland*

[4] *Research Centre in Kraków, Institute of Geological Sciences, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków 31-002, Poland*

[5] *Marine Biogeosciences, Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven D-27570, Germany*

[6] *Burgers' Ocean, Royal Burgers' Zoo, Arnhem 6816 SH, The Netherlands*

*Zofia Dubicka, Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 93; 02-089 Warsaw, Email: z.dubicka@uw.edu.pl

Keywords: amorphous calcium carbonate, mesocrystals, biomineralization, fluorescence, Paleozoic biocalcification, evolution

Abstract

Living organisms control the formation of mineral skeletons and other structures through biomineralization. Major phylogenetic groups usually consistently follow a single biomineralization pathway. Foraminifera, which are very efficient marine calcifiers, making a substantial contribution to global carbonate production and global carbon sequestration, are regarded as an exception. This phylum has been commonly thought to follow two contrasting models of either *in situ* "mineralization of extracellular matrix" attributed to hyaline rotaliid shells, or "mineralization within intracellular vesicles" attributed to porcelaneous miliolid shells. Our previous results on rotaliids along with those on miliolids in this paper question such a wide divergence of biomineralization pathways within the same phylum of Foraminifera. We found that both groups produced calcareous shells via the intravesicular formation of unstable mineral precursors (Mg-rich amorphous calcium carbonates) supplied by endocytosed seawater and deposited at the site of new wall formation within the organic matrix. Precipitation of high-Mg calcitic mesocrystals took place *in situ* and formed a dense, chaotic meshwork of needle-like crystallites. We did not observe deposition of calcified needles that had already precipitated in the transported vesicles, which challenges the previous model of miliolid mineralization. Hence, Foraminifera utilize less

divergent calcification pathways, following the recently discovered biomineralization principles.
Mesocrystalline chamber walls are therefore apparently created by accumulating and assembling
particles of pre-formed liquid amorphous mineral phase within the extracellular organic matrix
enclosed in a biologically controlled privileged space by active pseudopodial structures. Both
calcification pathways evolved independently in the Paleozoic and are well-conserved in two
clades that represent different chamber formation modes.

46
47 **Introduction**
48
Over the past 500 million years, living organisms evolved different skeleton crystallization
pathways. Very popular in nature is the mineralization of the extracellular matrix, for example, in
crustacean cuticles, mollusk shells, vertebrate bones, and teeth composed of dentin and enamel
(Weiner and Addadi, 2011; Kahil et al., 2021). Radial foraminifera represented by rotaliids have
been traditionally interpreted to make use of this crystallization mode (Weiner and Addadi, 2011).
The other two pathways are intravesicular and are characterized by either production of
amorphous unstable phase within a large vesicle, such as a syncytium, well documented for sea
urchin larvae (Beniash et al., 1997) or crystallization of calcite elements within smaller vesicles
located in the intracellular space, as seen in fish that form guanine crystals and coccolithophores
to produce coccoliths (Weiner and Addadi, 2011; Kahil et al., 2021). This model has also been
attributed to the formation of porcelaneous shells by miliolid foraminifera (Weiner and Addadi,
2011) based on the model proposed by Berthold (1976) and followed by Hemleben et al. (1986).
As such, mineralization of shells in Foraminifera is believed to follow two highly contrasting
pathways. The current theory states that Miliolida, characterized by imperforate, opaque milky-
white shell walls (porcelaneous) (Angell, 1980; Hemleben et al. 1986; de Nooijer et al., 2009),
produce fibrillar crystallites composed of Mg-rich calcite within tiny vesicles enclosed by
cytoplasm. Miliolid shells are made of randomly distributed calcite needles that form a dense
meshwork of chaotic crystallites that cause light reflection, resulting in opaque (porcelaneous)
milky walls (Hohenegger, 2009). Calcite needles are thought to be precipitated completely within
these vesicles and then transported to the site of chamber formation to be released via
exocytosis (Berthold, 1976; Hemleben et al. 1986; Angell, 1980; de Nooijer et al., 2008, 2009).
The pre-formed needles or needle stacks are believed to be continuously embedded in an
organic matrix in the shape of the new chamber until the wall is completed. Although this model is
commonly accepted, it has never been sufficiently documented *in vivo*, and it does not resolve
several conflicting issues. First of all, the question is how pre-formed bundles of parallel calcitic
needles are transformed into randomly oriented needles within the shell wall. It is difficult to
explain, if there is no recrystallization process within the wall structure after discharging the
calcite crystallites. This problem was already emphasized by Hemleben et al. (1986). Secondly,
why the newly constructed wall is still translucent after deposition of random crystals. We would
expect a thin milky opaque layer of the new wall under normal transmitted light, as well as
polarized crystals of calcite under crossed nicols. Angell (1980) on his plate 2 presenting

porcelaneous chamber formation in miliolid *Spiroloculina hyalina* Schulze clearly documented the

polarization front being shifted circa a half of the length of the new chamber behind the leading

edge of the forming chamber. This shift represented more than an hour. Therefore, polarization

was missing in the early and middle stage of chamber formation. It means that Angell's (1980)

time lapse micrographs of the chamber formation were in conflict with the imaging under TEM. It

seems that Angell (1980) was aware of that problem and stressed that calcification had to be

"intense enough to show under crossed nicols lags behind the leading edge of the forming

chamber" (p. 93, pl. 2 fig. 12/caption). In fact, all experiments that show the "crystal vacuoles"

(sensu Angell, 1980) documented under TEM (Berthold, 1976; Hemleben et al. 1986; Angell,

1980) required fixation of the samples, which was prone to post-fixation artifacts of unwanted

calcite precipitation.

Our goal is to test whether the miliolid shell is produced by "agglutination" of premade needle-like

calcitic crystallites, and in consequence, whether this large group of calcareous Foraminifera

follow crystallization within smaller vesicles located in the intracellular space. Therefore, we re-

examined the mineralization process in Miliolida based on experiments on a living species,

Pseudolachlanella eburnea (d'Orbigny) (Fig. 1). This taxon was selected to facilitate replicated

observations of chamber growth under controlled culture conditions. We included observations of

in vivo biomineralization using multiphoton and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

followed by analyses of fixed specimens at different stages of chamber formation by high-

resolution field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) coupled with energy dispersive

X-ray spectrometry (EDS). Our new FE-SEM data challenge the current understanding of the

biomineralization of miliolid foraminifera and such a significant divergence of biomineralization

pathways within the Foraminifera.

Results

All replicated *in vivo* experiments on *Pseudolachlanella eburnea* facilitated by CLSM imaging with

the application of membrane impermeable Calcein and FM1-43 membrane dyes (performed in

separate experiments) showed intravesicular fluorescence signals from groups of moving

vesicles (1–5 μm in size) inside the cytosol (Fig. 2A, B, Movies S1 and S2). The fluorescent

vesicles inside the cytosol contained seawater, as documented by fluorescence of membrane

impermeable Calcein. These vesicles were taken up by endocytosis indicated by FM1-43

staining. This dye stains the cell membranes and indicates all endocytic vesicles by fluorescence,

whereas the other intracellular vesicles remain unstained (Amaral et al., 2011). Both dyes

demonstrate the uptake of seawater via the endocytosis of vesicles that are approximately 1–4

μm in diameter and move through the entire cell.

Additional LysoGlow84 staining revealed numerous acidic vesicles in the cytosol the presence of

(Fig. 2C, Movies S3 and S4). Acidic vesicles were accompanied by other vesicles (approximately

1–2 μm in size) that show autofluorescence upon multiphoton excitation at 405 nm (emission

420–480 nm), shown in red in Figure 2C. This wavelength partly permeates the shell to excite

autofluorescence interpreted as associated with ACCs (see Dubicka et al., 2022). The

autofluorescence of the shell itself is also present (Figure 2D), however, it is not clearly visible

because the fluorescence of ACCs is much stronger. The intensity of the laser light is reduced

because the multiphoton light has to pass through a thick three-dimensional carbonate wall of the

foraminiferal shell. Further experimental studies are needed to confirm the ACC source of this

autofluorescence and thus definitively eliminate potential organic sources of AF emissions.

In addition, typical chlorophyll autofluorescence (excitation at 405 or 633 nm, emission 650-700

nm, Fig. 2C, Movies S3 and S4 highlighted in green) was detected, which indicated the presence

of chloroplasts in microalgae cells. These algal cells have been found to move within the cytosol

of the observed specimens, in proximity of acidic vesicles and vesicles characterized by

autofluorescence upon UV light (ex. 405 nm). These algal cells may represent facultative

endosymbionts, as they were observed only during the chamber mineralization process in

specimens with carbonate-bearing vesicles detected by *in vivo* CLSM experiments. They were

documented just below the organic matrix (OM) of the newly formed chamber, as seen in the FE-

SEM observations as well as just below the organic matrix (OM) of the newly created chamber as

seen in the FE-SEM observations (Figs. S1G, and S1H).

Specimens of *P. eburnea*, which displayed vesicles showing autofluorescence under UV light

inside the cytosol, were fixed using Method B (see Materials and Methods) coated with a few

nanometers of carbon and analyzed by SEM-EDS. The main elements detected in the area of the

fixed cytoplasm (Fig. S4) were C, O, Na, Mg, P, S, Cl, K, and Ca (of particular interest were the

high contents of Mg and Ca), whereas the main elements detected within the area of the new

chamber in the form of a gel-like matter filled with dispersed nanograins were C, O, Na, Mg, S, Cl,

and Ca (Fig. S4). The shell content was strongly enriched with Ca relative to the cytoplasm,

which showed a much higher Mg/Ca ratio.

FE-SEM observations of the fully mineralized test walls displayed the porcelaneous structures

(see Parker, 2017; Dubicka et al., 2018), which are made of three mineralized zones, i.e. (I)

extrados that represents an outer mineralized surface (approximately 200–300 nm in thickness;

Figs. S1C and S2C); (II) porcelain that denotes the main body of the wall constructed from

randomly oriented needle-shaped crystals (up to 1–2 μm in length and approximately 0.2 μm in

width). No gel-like matter was observed between the needles of the porcelain structures that

appeared in the early stages of wall formation (Figs. 3E; 3E1; S2C, and S3A); and (III) intrados

that represents an inner mineralized surface (approximately 200–300 nm in thickness) made of

needle-shaped crystallites (Figs. 3E, 3E1 and S1A).

Growing chambers, captured at the various successive stages of chamber formation in different

specimens, have revealed the following morphological features: (A) a solitary, thin organic sheath

(approximately 200–300 nm thick) that represents the most distal part of the new chamber and is

anchored to the older, underlying solid calcified chamber (Fig. 4A); (B) a solitary, outer organic

sheath (OOS) filled with spread calcifying nanograins (Figs. 4B; S2A, and S2B); (C) a gel-like

matter (4–5 μm in thickness) with a granular texture, bounded on two sides by intrados and

extrados, and containing relatively widely spaced, randomly dispersed carbonate nanograins

(Figs. 3A-B; 4C; S1A-D); (D) the test inside made of chaotic meshwork of carbonate nanograins

partly transformed to short needles with a small amount of gel-like OM in-between (Figs. 3C, D,

and 4D); (E) the test inside composed of needle-shaped crystals with planar faces and no

apparent remaining gel-like matter (Figs. 3E, E1 and 4E). Carbonate nanograins at the shell

construction site were well documented in our SEM-EDS studies (Fig. S4). Both fixation methods

(see Material and Methods) yielded highly consistent results.

166
167 **Discussion**

168
PORCELANEOUS SHELL FORMATION

Comparative analysis of the nanostructures of the newly built chambers combined with the

elemental composition obtained from SEM-EDS, as well as the data from CLSM, allowed us to

identify important steps in the accretive formation of *P. eburnea* shells. The formation of a new

chamber begins with the construction of a thin outer organic sheath (OOS) that pre-shapes the

new chamber (Figs. 4A, 5). The outer organic sheath is made by pseudopodial structures

supported by the cytoskeleton immediately after the extrusion of a small mass of cytoplasm from

the aperture (Figs. 1E, and 1F). Once the OOS is constructed, the first calcium carbonate

accumulation takes place inside in the form of carbonate nanograins (Figs. 4B, 5, S2A and S2B),

creating the extrados. The extrados stabilizes the final chamber morphology relatively quickly.

Subsequently, the wall gradually thickens through the primary accumulation of hydrated and

amorphous Mg-rich $CaCO_3$ (Figs. 4B, 5). We suppose that the carbonate content is successively

deposited by exocytosis of Mg-ACC rich vesicles that most likely represent the vesicles converted

from seawater stained with Calcein (Fig. 5). The characteristic autofluorescence inside

foraminiferal cell excited at 405 nm (Fig. 2; Movies S3 and S4) most likely indicates the carbonate

content of the vesicles, which are considered to be Mg-ACCs (see Dubicka et al., 2023). Mg-ACC

is an unstable, amorphous and hydrated form of $CaCO_3$ with a significantly high concentration of

Mg (Raz et al., 2000; Weiner et al., 2003; Bentov and Erez, 2006; Kahil et al., 2021) and is

commonly regarded as a resource for most biocalcification processes. ACCs have been found in

many calcifying marine organisms, such as echinoderms, mollusks, coccolithophorid algae,

cyanobacteria, crustaceans, and rotaliid foraminifera, where they are typically interpreted as pre-

material phases for the production of calcite skeletons **(**Hasse, et al., 2000; Weiss et al., 2002;

Sviben et al., 2016; Dubicka et al., 2018; Kahil et al., 2021). Research suggests that a high Mg

content not only makes ACC unstable but also facilitates the transport of ACC to the

crystallization site, where it is initially transformed into carbonate nanograins (Cölfen and Qi,

2001; Addadi and Weiner, 2003; Raz et al., 2003; Dubicka et al., 2023). The existence of

intracellular, vesicular intermediate amorphous phase (Mg-ACC pools), which supplies

successive doses of carbonate material to shell production, might be supported not only by

autofluorescence (excitation at 405 nm; Fig. 2; Movies S3 and S4; see Dubicka et al., 2023) but

also by a high content of Ca and Mg analyzed in the cytoplasmic area by SEM-EDS analysis (Fig.

S4). In the future, more precise higher resolution elemental measurements are needed for better

documentation of miliolid ACC-bearing vesicles. However, the small size of carbonate-bearing

vesicles (approximately 1–2 μm) may make this difficult.

Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} ions for intravesicular production of Mg-ACCs are obtained from seawater and

taken up by endocytosis, as independently indicated by membrane impermeable Calcein, as well

as by the FM1-43 probe selectively labelling membranes of endocytic vesicles (Fig. 2A, B, Movies

S1 and S2). We hypothesize that vesicles are carried along cytoskeletal structures to the OM, as

observed in rotaliid foraminifera (Dubicka et al., 2023), where they dock and release their

contents (Fig. 4). The nanograins then precipitate within the gelatinous matter that consists of

amorphous carbonates and organic matrix released from the vesicles (Figs. 3A-C, 4C, and 5).

Nanograins immersed in the gel-like matter gradually grow into needle-shaped elements,

precipitating *in situ* within the final wall structure (Figs. 3C, 3D, and 4D). The gel-like matter

appears to be involved in needle formation; however, the OM seems to disappear (Figs. 3E and

4E) when the needle-shaped crystals are created. We suspect that the gel-like matter consists of

pre-formed liquid amorphous mineral phase (Mg-ACC) within the extracellular organic matrix that

is suggested by the EDS spectra of the early stage of the wall calcification (Fig. S4: A3 area) .

The calcification of extrados and intrados occurs before the interior of the wall crystallizes,

providing stability to the new chamber at both edges of the wall (Fig. 4D).

The protruding cytoplasm appears to immediately form a chamber wall by secreting OM and

crystals from the vesicles (Angell, 1980). As calcite secretion continues along the leading edge,

the newly formed segment remains covered by a thin, moving sheet of cytoplasm that is called by

Angell (1980) the "active sheet". This thin active sheet of cytoplasm may represent a

lamellipodium that is a pseudopodial structure known to be involved in the biomineralization of

Rotaliida (Tyszka et al., 2019). It is also likely that reticulopodial structures (that do not coat the

whole calcification site) are responsible for the distribution and shape of the internal surface of the

chamber wall. That occurs by successive accumulation of ACC and OM as identified on TEM

images by Angell (1980; p. 97). His results suggest that crystallization of calcite needles is

"limited to a confined space controlled by active cytoplasmic structures" that are strictly separated

by the membranes from the cytosol.

FORMATION OF SHELL CRYSTALLITES: A PARADIGM SHIFT

Miliolids were thought to share a similar, intracellular, crystallization pathway as the coccolith

formation in coccolithophorids (Weiner and Addadi, 2011) that evolved in the Triassic, that is c.

210 Myr ago (Gardin et al., 2012). Coccoliths are produced within intracellular Golgi-derived

vesicles and then exported to the surface of the extracellular coccosphere (de Vrind-de Jong et

al., 1986). Miliolids, with their unique fibrillar calcitic microstructures, evolved much earlier, that is

ca. 300 Myr ago in the late Paleozoic (Fig. S5). Until now, it was generally considered that miliolid

crystals also precipitate within vesicles immersed in the cytoplasm and are then transported to

the location of the wall construction, where they are released by exocytosis (Berthold, 1976;
Angell, 1980; de Noojier et al., 2009; Hemleben et al., 1986; Weiner and Addadi, 2011). Our FE-
SEM study of *P. eburnea* shows the lack of premade needle-like crystallites of calcite at the early
stages (I-IV) of the wall formation. In contrast, we can clearly infer the *in situ* calcification front
with a progressive sequence of crystal growth behind the leading edge of the forming chamber
(Figs 4, 5). Therefore, this miliolid species apparently does not produce shells by "agglutination"
of premade needle-like crystallites of calcite, in contrast to the traditional miliolid calcification
model (Berthold, 1976; Angell, 1980; Hemleben et al., 1986).

In the light of these results, another argument emerges that further confirms in situ calcification of
miliolid chambers. It explains the extended transparency of unmineralized walls observed under
the light stereomicroscope. The chamber wall under formation tends to gradually change its
appearance during calcification from completely transparent to milky and opaque (Fig. 1E, F).

Our results on biomineralization of this miliolid species do not confirm the formation of individual
skeletal crystallites within intracellular vesicles. However, in turn, our results do support existence
of endocytotic vacuolization of sea water in miliolids that was first suggested by Hemleben et al.
(1986). We further support Angell's (1980) interpretation that the calcite crystals are dispersed in
the gel-like organic matrix (see Figs 3A, B; 4C, D; 5). This gel-like fluidal organic matrix most
likely include a rich Mg-ACC component as the substrate for *in situ* calcification (Figs 3-5).
Interestingly, the previous studies by Angell (1980) did not support crystal formation within
vacuoles either.

Precipitation of calcite nanograins, which then merge and transform into crystallites, occurs within
the organic matter after the release of Mg^{2+} from Mg-ACC. The organic matter provides an
appropriate physiochemical microenvironment for initiating and maintaining the crystallization
process by manipulating many essential factors including pH, and kinetics of the system (Kahil et
al., 2021). According to Tyszka et al. (2021), the organic matrix involved in the biomineralization
of foraminiferal shells may contain collagen-like networks.
Our *in vivo* CLSM observations show a miliolid cytoplasm containing intracellular carbonate-
bearing vesicles. Such vesicles have been well-documented by Angell (1980), who stressed their
crucial role in the biomineralization process. However, rather than transporting pre-formed solid
needles, the vesicles likely carry liquid or quasi-liquid calcification substrates. The liquid phase of
the ACC apparently was maintained by a relatively high concentration of Mg (S4), which was
much higher than that in the shell, as detected by the SEM-EDS analyses.
Recently, an independent study was performed on another miliolid species - *Sorites orbiculus*
(Nagai et al., 2023). The researchers reported highly complementary results that indicate the lack
of crystal-like structures within the intracellular vesicles. Their results suggested that calcification
of this miliolid species did not follow Hemleben's et al (1986) model because intracellular vesicles
did not produce needle-like crystals to construct the shell wall. They also stated that their
observations "may reveal a novel and unknown mode of biomineralisation in foraminifera".

Because, miliolid wall texture originated together with the appearance of miliolid foraminifera as it

has also been recorded within Paleozoic taxa (Fig. S5) thus the calcification mode of miliolids

apparently evolved in the late Paleozoic (≥ 350 Mya) and is well conserved in this clade till today.

It should be emphasized that our recent understanding of all calcification pathways in

Foraminifera implies their independent evolution within main phylogenetic groups, besides

miliolids and rotaliids, also including spirillinids, nodosariids, and robertinids (Mikhalevich, 2004;

Pawlowski et al., 2013; Mikhalevich, 2014; Dubicka et al., 2018; Dubicka, 2019; Mikhalevich,

2021; Sierra et al., 2022; de Nooijer et al., 2023). In fact, most of these biomineralization

evolutionary transitions from agglutination to calcification originated in the mid and late Paleozoic.

Mg-ACC has also recently been documented in rotaliid foraminifera (Dubicka et al., 2023).

Therefore, the biocalcification processes in Rotaliida and Miliolida, which belong to the two main

foraminiferal classes Globothalamea and Tubothalamea, respectively (Pawlowski et al., 2013),

are more similar than previously thought (Weiner and Addadi, 2011). Their mesocrystalline

chamber walls are created by accumulating and assembling particles of pre-formed liquid

amorphous mineral phase. Their calcification occurs within the extracellular organic matrix

enclosed in a biologically controlled privileged space by active pseudopodial structures. However,

we are aware that this process must also vary to some extent as the chemical composition of the

calcite, as well as primary crystallite geometries differ between the groups. Seawater provides the

relevant Ca and Mg ions for calcification, which are taken up in both groups by endocytosis. In

Amphistegina (Rotaliida), this process is performed by shell pores (Dubicka et al., 2023), as well

as apertures and foramina; in non-porous Miliolida, it is done by granuloreticulopodia emanating

from the aperture (Fig. 2, Movie S2). In both the rotaliid *Amphistegina* and the miliolid *P. eburnea*

carbonate-bearing vesicles are surrounded by moving acidic vesicles (Fig. 2, Movies S3 and S4),

which likely facilitate pH regulation at the mineralization front (see Toyofuku et al., 2017; Chang et

al., 2023). It is very likely that pH is controlled by active outward proton pumping by a V-type H+

ATPase or proton outflux driven by pH that is responsible for the proton flux and related

calcification (Toyofuku et al., 2017; see also Matt et al., 2022). We suspect much higher pH

values within vesicles transporting Mg-ACC to the site of calcification. Such alkaline vesicles were

detected by the HPTS fluorescent labelling and reported by several previous studies (de Nooijer

et al., 2008; 2009).

Our findings are in line with recent work in biomineralization, supporting that "biominerals grow by

the accretion of amorphous particles, which are later transformed into the corresponding mineral

phase" (Macías-Sánchez et al., 2011, p. 1; see also Meldrum and Cölfen, 2008). Miliolid needles,

assembled with calcite nanoparticles, are unique examples of biogenic mesocrystals (see Cölfen

and Antonietti, 2005), as they form distinct geometric shapes limited by planar crystalline faces.

Mesocrystals are constructed from highly ordered individual nanoparticles (Cölfen and Mann,

2003; Strum and Cölfen, 2016; 2017) that form hierarchically structured solid materials in the

crystallographic register and are rather devoid of outer planar surfaces. These result from the

aggregation, self-assembly, and mesoscopic transformation of amorphous precursor

nanoparticles. Mesocrystals are common biogenic components in the skeletons of marine
organisms, such as corals, echinoderms, bivalves, sea urchins, and rotaliid foraminifera (e.g.,
Macías-Sánchez et al., 2011; Benzerara et al., 2011; Seto et al., 2012; Evans et al., 2019;
Dubicka et al., 2023).
Our biomineralization model further explains the random orientation pattern of the calcite needles
within the shell wall. The miliolid intertwined calcitic structure cannot be explained by the models
proposed by Berthold (1976) and followed by Hemleben (1986), that is, by the successive
deposition of vesicles with ready bundles of solid calcitic fibers (needles) without additional
recrystallization processes. In our proposed *in situ* calcification model, calcite crystallites have
sufficient space to grow within the flexible gelatinous organic matrix. In addition, our model
explains the need for a light and dark phase for the algae that are present inside *P. eburnea*
during the biomineralization processes, as these algae possibly play an important role. Small
miliolid coiling foraminifera has been regarded as a non-symbiotic taxon because their shells are
not transparent, however, this is not true for red and infrared light. Fully developed miliolid shells
are made of randomly distributed needles that cause light reflection, resulting in opaque
(porcelaneous) walls that possibly protect the foraminifera from UV irradiation and allow them to
live in extremely illuminated shallow seas (Hohenegger, 2009). These walls are permeable to red
and infrared light, as we observed using multiphoton laser. Red light is commonly believed to be
the most efficient waveband for photosynthesis however green light may achieve higher quantum
yield of CO_2 assimilation and net CO_2 assimilation rate (Liu and Lerser, 2021). *Pseudolachlanella*
eburnea may acquire its facultative symbionts only for the duration of the biomineralization
process. The late stage of needle formation in the shell production process ensures that the wall
remains transparent by the time the needles are completed. Similar patterns of the gradual
change from transparent to opaque whitish walls were also observed in larger symbiotic miliolids
by Marshalek (1969), Wetmore (1999), and Tremblin et al. (2022). The latter authors (Tremblin et
al., 2022) documented chamber formation of miliolid *Vertebralina striata* with cytoplasm
enveloped by a transparent sheath decorated with striate already present in the transparent wall
before calcification. They also interpret white areas on the sheath, indicating incipient
concentrations of minute calcite crystallites that represent the mineralised wall. The
biomineralization process is likely aided by their dark respiratory activity (see Hallock, 1999), as
they could supply calcification substrates such as HCO^{3-} through respiration or by increasing pH
at the calcification site during the light phase. Similarly, representatives of miliolid large benthic
foraminifera (Archaiasidae, Soritidae, and Peneroplidae) host endosymbiotic algae (Lee, 2006;
Prazes and Renema, 2019). Therefore, they have developed additional morphological and
textural features such as pits, grooves/striate, or windows, which enable light penetration into the
places where symbionts are positioned (see Hohenegger, 2009, Parker 2017).
351
352 **Materials and Methods**
353

Living foraminifera, collected from the coral reef aquarium in the Burgers' Zoo (Arnhem,

Netherlands), were cultured in a 10 L aquarium containing seawater with a salinity of 32‰, pH of

8.2, and a temperature of 24 °C. *Pseudolachlanella eburnea* (d'Orbigny) was placed in 4 mL petri

dishes one day before CLSM studies and observed under a Zeiss Stemi SV8 Stereomikroskope.

Selected individuals were studied *in vivo* using a Leica SP5 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope

equipped with an argon, helium-neon, neon, diode, and multiphoton Mai Tai laser (Spectra-

Physics) at the Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Bremerhaven, Germany. In *vivo* experiments were

performed by labeling samples with different fluorescent dyes (Table 1) just before imaging using,

p*H*-sensitive LysoGlow84 (50 µM exc. MP720 nm exc/em. 380–415 nm and 450–470 nm,

Marnas Biochemicals Bremerhaven, incubation time: 2 h), FM1-43 membrane stain (1 µM, exc.

488 nm em. 580–620 nm, Invitrogen, incubation time: 24 h), and membrane impermeable Calcein

(0.7 mg/10 mL, exc. 488 nm, em. 510–555 nm, incubation time: 24 h). The foraminifera were

removed from the Petri dish with clean water using a pipette. In addition, the autofluorescence of

specific foraminiferal structures at the chosen excitation/emission wavelength was detected. All

experiments were replicated with at least several individuals of the same species. All

fluorescence probe experiments were performed with appropriate controls.

Additional foraminifera individuals that had been studied by CLSM were fixed for further analysis.

The fixation process followed two different methods: (A) 60 individuals were transferred to 3%

glutaraldehyde for 5 s and then dehydrated stepwise for a few seconds with an ethanol/distilled

water mixture with increasing concentrations (30%, 50%, 70%, and 99%). (B) The seawater was

removed from 50 individuals by pipetting and applying a small piece of Kimtech lab wipe (without

any rinsing), followed by quick drying in warm air (30–35 °C). This method stops the dissolution of

the amorphous mineral phase because there is no contact with other liquids. Fixed foraminifers of

both procedures were gently broken using a fine needle to coat the cross-sectional surfaces and

tested inside with a few nanometers of either gold or carbon. Foraminifera were then studied

using a Zeiss Σigma variable-pressure field-emission scanning electron microscope (VP-FESEM)

equipped with EDS at the Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw.

Acknowledgments

383
This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation Research Fellowship for

experienced researchers to Z.D. and the Polish National Science Center (UMO-

2018/29/B/ST10/01811) to J.T. and Z.D., a grant coordinated by Grzegorz Racki, University of

Silesia. We thank Oscar Branson for his comments on an earlier version of the manuscript and

the suggested improvements.

References

391
392 Addadi L, Raz S, Weiner S (2003) **Taking advantage of disorder: amorphous calcium**
393 **carbonate and its roles in biomineralization** *Advanced Materials* **15**: 959–970.
394 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200300381

Amaral E, Guatimosim S, Guatimosim C (2011) **Using the fluorescent styryl dye FM1-43 to visualize synaptic vesicles exocytosis and endocytosis in motor nerve terminals** *Methods in Molecular Biology* **689**:137-48.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-950-5_8

Angell W (1980) **Test morphogenesis (chamber formation) in the foraminifer *Spiroloculina hyalina* Schulze** *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* **10**: 89–101.

Beniash E, Aizenberg J, Addadi L, Weiner S (1997) **Amorphous calcium carbonate transforms into calcite during sea urchin larval spicule growth** *Proceeding of the Royal Society London B* 264: 461-465.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1997.0066

Bentov S, Erez J (2006) **Impact of biomineralization processes on the Mg content of foraminiferal shells: A biological perspective** *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **7**.
https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC001015

Benzerara K, Menguy N, Obst M, Stolarski S, Mazur M, Tyliszczak T, Brown GE. Jr, Meibom A (2011) **Study of the crystallographic architecture of corals at the nanoscale by scanning transmission X-ray microscopy and transmission electron microscopy** *Ultramicroscopy* **111**: 1268–1275.
10.1016/j.ultramic.2011.03.023

Berthold WU (1976) **Biomineralisation bei milioliden Foraminiferen und die Matrizen-Hypothese** *Naturwissenschaften* **63**: 196.

Chang WW, Thies AB, Tresguerres M, Hu MY (2023) **Soluble adenylyl cyclase coordinates intracellular pH homeostasis and biomineralization in calcifying cells of a marine animal** *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 324: C777–C786.
10.1152/ajpcell.00524.2022

Cölfen H, Qi L (2001) **A systematic examination of the morphogenesis of calcium carbonate in the presence of a double-hydrophilic block copolymer** *Chemistry–A European Journal* **7**: 106–116. 10.1002/1521-3765(20010105)7:1<106::aid-chem106>3.0.co;2-d

Cölfen H, Antonietti M (2005) **Mesocrystals: Inorganic Superstructures Made by Highly Parallel Crystallization and Controlled Alignment** *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**: 5576–5591.
10.1002/anie.200500496

Cölfen H, Mann S (2003) **Higher-order organization by mesoscale self-assembly and transformation of hybrid nanostructures** Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. **42**: 2350–2365.
10.1002/anie.200200562

Debenay, J-P, Guillou J-J, Geslin E, Lesourd M (2000) **Crystallization of calcite in foraminiferal tests** *Micropaleontology* **46**: 87–94.

Dubicka Z (2019) **Chamber arrangement versus wall structure in the high-rank phylogenetic classification of Foraminifera.** *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **64**: 1-18
doi:https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00564.2018

Dubicka Z, Bojanowski MJ, Bijma J, Bickmeyer U (2023) **Mg-rich amorphous to Mg-low crystalline CaCO$_3$ pathway in foraminifera** *Heliyon* **9**: e18331
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18331

450 Dubicka Z, Owocki K, Gloc M (2018) **Micro- and nanostructures of calcareous foraminiferal**
451 **tests: insight from some representatives of Miliolida, Rotaliida and Lagenida** *Journal of*
452 *Foraminiferal Research* **48**: 142–155.
453 https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.48.2.142

454
455 Erez J (2003) **The source of ions for biomineralization in foraminifera and their implications**
456 **for paleoceanographic proxies** *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* **54**: 15–149.
457 https://doi.org/10.2113/0540115.
458
459 Evans JS (2019) **Composite Materials Design: Biomineralization Proteins and the Guided**
460 **Assembly and Organization of Biomineral Nanoparticles** *Materials* **12**: 581.
461 10.3390/ma12040581
462
463 Gardin S, Krystyn L, Richoz S, Bartolini A, Galbrun B (2012) **Where and when the earliest**
464 **coccolithophores?** *Lethaia* **45**: 507–523. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3931.2012.00311.x
465
466 Hallock P(1999) **Symbiont-bearing foraminifera** In: Modern foraminifera Springer, Dordrecht.
467 123–139.
468
469 Hasse B, Ehrenberg H, Marxen JC, Becker W, Epple M (2000) **Calcium carbonate**
470 **modifications in the mineralized shell of the freshwater snail** *Biomphalaria glabrata*
471 *Chemistry–A European Journal* **6**: 3679–3685.
472 https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3765(20001016)6:20<3679::AID-CHEM3679>3.0.CO;2-%23
473
474 Hemleben Ch, Anderson OR, Berthold WU, Spindler M (1986) **Calcification and chamber**
475 **formation in Foraminifera-A brief overview**, in B.C. Leadbeater and R. Riding (eds.)
476 Biomineralization in Lower Plants and Animals. The Systematics Association, Special vol. 3D,
477 237–249.
478
479 Hohenegger J (2009) **Functional shell geometry of symbiont-bearing benthic foraminifera**
480 *Galaxea Journal of Coral Reef Studies* **11**: 81–89.
481 10.3755/galaxea.11.81
482
483 Hu MY, Petersen I, Chang WW, Blurton C, Stumpp M (2020**) Cellular bicarbonate**
484 **accumulation and vesicular proton transport promote calcification in the sea urchin larva**
485 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **287**: 20201506.
486 10.1098/rspb.2020.1506
487
488 Kahil K, Weiner S, Addadi L, Gal A (2021) **Ion Pathways in Biomineralization: Perspectives**
489 **on Uptake, Transport, and Deposition of Calcium, Carbonate, and Phosphate** J. Am. Chem.
490 Soc. **143**: 21100–21112
491 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c09174
492
493 Kutschera U, Briggs WR (2016) **Phototropic solar tracking in sunflower plants: an**
494 **integrative perspective** *Annals of Botany* **117**: 1–8.
495 10.1093/aob/mcv141
496
497 Lee JJ (2006) **Algal symbiosis in larger foraminifera** *Symbiosis* **42**:63-75
498 10.1017/S2475262200002355
499
500 Macías-Sánchez E, Willinger MG, Pina CM, Checa AG (2011) **Transformation of ACC into**
501 **aragonite and the origin of the nanogranular structure of nacre** *Scientific Reports* **7**: 12728.
502 https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-12673-0
503
Matt A-S, Chang WW, Hu MY (2022) **Extracellular carbonic anhydrase activity promotes a**
carbon concentration mechanism in metazoan calcifying cells *PNAS* **119** (40):
e2203904119.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203904119

Meldrum FC, Cölfen H (2008) **Controlling mineral morphologies and structures in biological**
and synthetic systems *Chemical Reviews* **108:** 4332–4432.
10.1021/cr8002856

Mordhorst T, Awal S, Jordan S, Petters C, Sartoris L, Dringen R, Bickmeyer U (2015) **The**
chemically synthesized ageladine A-derivative LysoGlow84 stains lysosomes in viable
mammalian brain cells and specific structures in the marine flatworm Macrostomum
lignano *Marine Drugs* **13**: 920–935.
10.3390/md13020920

Nagai Y, Tsubaki R, Fujita K, Toyofuku T (2023) **Ultrafine structure observation and pH**
imaging of site of calcification in *Sorites orbiculus* International Symposium on Foraminifera,
Peruggia, FORAMS 2023, *Grzybowski Foundation Special Publication* No. 27, p. 194.

de Nooijer LJ, Toyofuku T, Kitazato H (2009) **Foraminifera promote calcification by elevating**
their intracellular pH *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**: 15374–15378.
10.1073/pnas.0904306106

de Nooijer LJ, Toyofuku T, Oguri K, Nomaki H, Kitazato H (2008) **Intracellular pH distribution in**
foraminifera determined by the fluorescent probe HPTS *Limnology and Oceanography:*
Methods **6**: 610–618.

Parker JH (2017) **Ultrastructure of the test wall in modern porcelaneous foraminifera:**
implications for the classification of the Miliolida *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 47: 136–
174.

Pawlowski J, Holzmann M, Tyszka J (2013) **New supraordinal classification of Foraminifera:**
Molecules meet morphology *Marine Micropaleontology* **100**: 1–10.

Prazeres M., Renema W (2019) **Evolutionary significance of the microbial assemblages of**
large benthic Foraminifera *Biological Reviews* **94**: 828–848.

Raz S, Weiner S, Addadi L (2000) **Formation of high-magnesian calcites via an amorphous**
precursor phase: possible biological implications *Advanced Materials* **12**: 38–42.
https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-4095(200001)12:1<38::AID-ADMA38>3.0.CO;2-I

Raz S, Hamilton PC, Wilt FH, Weiner S, Addadi L (2003) **The transient phase of amorphous**
calcium carbonate in sea urchin larval spicules: the involvement of proteins and
magnesium ions in its formation and stabilization *Advanced Functional Materials* **13**: 480–
486.

Seto J, Ma Y, Davis SA, Meldrum F, Gourrier A, Yi-Yeoun K, Schilde U, Sztucki M, Burghammer
M, Maltsev S, Jäger C, Cölfen H (2012) **Structure-property relationships of a biological**
mesocrystal in the adult sea urchin spine *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **9**, 3699–3704.

Sturm EV, Cölfen H (2016) **Mesocrystals: structural and morphogenetic aspects** Chem. Soc.
Rev., 45: 5821.
Sturm EV, Cölfen H (2017) **Mesocrystals: Past, Presence** *Crystals* **7**: 207.

Sviben S, Gal A, Hood MA, Bertinetti L, Politi Y, Bennet M, Krishnamoorthy P, Schertel A, Wirth
R, Sorrentino A, Pereiro E, Faivre D, Scheffel A (2016) **A vacuole-like compartment**
concentrates a disordered calcium phase in a key coccolithophorid alga *Nature*
Communication 7: 1–9.

Towe KM, Cifelli R (1967) **Wall ultrastructure in the calcareous foraminifera:**
crystallographic aspects and a model for calcification. *Journal of Paleontology* **41**, 742–762.

Toyofuku, T., Matsuo, M., de Nooijer, L. et al. (2017) **Proton pumping accompanies calcification in foraminifera** Nature Communication **8**, 14145
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14145

Tyszka T, Bickmeyer U, Raitzsch M, Bijma J, Kaczmarek K, Mewes A, Topa P, Janse M (2019) **Form and function of F-actin during biomineralization revealed from live experiments on foraminifera** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**: 4111–4116.

Tyszka J, Godos K, Goleń J, Radmacher W (2021) **Foraminiferal organic linings: Functional and phylogenetic challenges** *Earth-Science Reviews* **220**: 103726.

de Vrind-de Jong EW, Borman A, Thierry P, Westbroek P, Gruter M, Kamerling JP (1986) Calcification in the coccolithophorids *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Pleurochrysis carterae* II. Biochemical aspects. B.S.C. Leadbeater (Ed.), Biomineralization in Lower Plants and Animals, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 205–217.

Weiner S, Addadi L (2011) **Crystallization pathways in biomineralization** *Annual Review of Materials Research* **41:** 21–40.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-062910-095803

Weiner S, Levi-Kalisman Y, Raz S, Addadi L (2003) **Biologically formed amorphous calcium carbonate** *Connective Tissue Research* **44**, 214–218.

Weiss MI, Tuross N, Addadi L, Weiner S (2002) **Mollusc larval shell formation: amorphous calcium carbonate is a precursor phase for aragonite** *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **293**, 478–491.

Table 1. Wavelengths and dyes

dye	concentration	excitation nm	emission nm	source	function
Lysoglow84	50µM	Multiphoton 730	380-415/450-470	Marnas Biochemicals	pH, membrane permeable
FM1-43	1µM	Argon 488 or Multiphoton 1000nm	580-620	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Membrane staining
Calcein	0,7mg/10mL	Argon 488	510-555	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Membrane impermeable water soluble dye
autofluorescence		Diode 405 MP 800	420-490		$CaCO_3$, ACC
autofluorescence		Diode 405/ HeNe 633	650-700		Chlorophyll of algae

596
Figure 1. Specimens of miliolid foraminifera, identified as *Pseudolachlanella eburnea* (d'Orbigny),
used for experimental studies. (A, B) SEM, (C, D) transmitted light microscope, and (E, F)
stereomicroscope images. White arrows show the outer organic sheath of a new chamber during
its gradual calcification expressed by its gradual appearance from complete transparency to milky
and opaqueaspect (E, F). Black arrows indicate a small mass of cytoplasm extruded from the
aperture of exiting the chamber. Green arrows point to incorporated algae.

603
604

605
Figure 2. Fluorescence images of living *P. eburnea* conducted by Laser Scanning Microscopy. A
- Cell impermeable Calcein (cyan) indicating endocytotic seawater vesicles, see Movie 1. B -
FM1-43 membrane dye indicating endocytotic vesicles (red), see Movie 2. C, E - LysoGlow84
indicating acidic vesicles (navy blue), autofluorescence of chloroplasts (green), and Mg-ACC
pools (red), see Movies 3 and 4, (note the overlap of ACC and acidic vesicles is marked in lilac).
D - Autofluorescence image with reduced threshold of the studied Miliolida species (exc. 405 nm)
showing algal chlorophyll (blue) and $CaCO_3$ (red), both ACC and calcite shell.
613

Figure 3. SEM images of the major steps of the formation of *P. eburnea* shell-building components. Test cross-section showing: (A, B) carbonate nanograins within organic matrix, (C, D) nanograins merging into needle-like mesocrystals, (E) fully developed needle-shaped elements; pn –nanograins partly transformed to short needles.

Figure 4. SEM images showing successive stages of new chamber formation in *P. eburnea*. (A) outer organic sheath, (B) mineralized outer organic sheath, (C) calcite nanograins within a gel-like organic matrix, (D) needle-shaped mesocrystal growth, (E) needle-like calcite building elements, (F) nanogranular intrados.

Figure 5. Simplified model of porcelaneous wall construction based on foraminifer *P. eburnea.* White spots labeled as Mg-ACC represent vesicles with Mg-rich amorphous calcium carbonates.

Movie captions

Movie S1 (separate file). Living *P. eburnea* showing cell impermeable Calcein (blue, exc. 488nm em. 505-555) in a series of 107 overlaid images taken during 428 s. Calcein staining indicates the occurrence of seawater vesicles inside the cytosol.

Movie S2 (separate file). FM1-43 membrane probe fluorescent signals (red, exc. 488nm, em. 580-620nm) emitted by intracellular vesicles within cytosol of *P. eburnea.* Because FM1-43 stains only the cell membrane, the observed vesicles must be originated during the process of endocytosis. The movie was taken by overlaid of 84 images during 433 s.

Movie S3 (separate file). Living *P. eburnea* showing fluorescence signal inside the cytosol: autofluorescence of Mg-ACC pools (red, exc. 405nm, em. 420-490nm) and algal chloroplasts (green, exc. 633nm, em. 640-690nm), fluorescent signal of LysoGlow84 pH sensitive dye (exc. MP 720nm, em. 440-470nm) indicating acidic vesicles. The movie was taken by overlaid of 37 images during 555 s.

Movie S4 (separate file). Living *P. eburnea* showing fluorescence signal inside the cytosol: autofluorescence of Mg-ACC pools (red, exc. 405nm, em. 420-490nm) and algal chloroplasts

(green, exc. 633nm, em. 640-690nm), fluorescent signal of LysoGlow84 pH sensitive dye (exc. MP 720nm, em. 440-470nm) indicating acidic vesicles. The movie was taken by overlaid of 37 images during 555 s.