Extramacrochaetae regulates Notch signaling in the *Drosophila* eye through non-apoptotic caspase activity

Sudershana Nair[1,4]

Nicholas E. Baker[1,2,3,5]

1. Department of Genetics

Albert Einstein College of Medicine

1300 Morris Park Avenue

Bronx

NY 10461

2. Department of Developmental and Molecular Biology

Albert Einstein College of Medicine

1300 Morris Park Avenue

Bronx

NY 10461

3. Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences

Albert Einstein College of Medicine

1300 Morris Park Avenue

Bronx

NY 10461

4. Present address: Department of Neuroscience and Physiology

NYU School of Medicine

435 East 30th St

New York, NY

5. Author for correspondence: Nicholas.baker@einsteinmed.edu

Abstract

Many cell fate decisions are determined transcriptionally. Accordingly, some fate specification

is prevented by Inhibitor of DNA binding (Id) proteins that interfere with certain master

regulatory transcription factors. We report that the *Drosophila* Id protein Extra macrochaetae

(Emc) also affects developmental decisions by regulating caspase activity. Emc, which prevents

proneural bHLH transcription factors from specifying neural cell fate, also prevents

homodimerization of another bHLH protein, Daughterless (Da), and thereby maintains

expression of the *Death-Associated Inhibitor of Apoptosis* (*diap1*) gene. Multiple effects of *emc*

mutations, on cell growth and on eye development, were all caused by reduced Diap1 levels and

corresponding activation of caspases. These effects included growth of unspecified imaginal

disc cells, acceleration of the morphogenetic furrow, failure of R7 photoreceptor cell

specification, and delayed differentiation of non-neuronal cone cells. Within *emc* mutant eye

clones, morphogenetic furrow speed was increased by elevated Notch signaling, while decreased

Notch signaling inhibited R7 specification and cone cell differentiation. This was all due to

caspase-dependent increase in levels of Delta protein, a transmembrane ligand that both trans-

activates and cis-inhibits Notch. Thus, *emc* mutations reveal the importance of restraining

caspase activity, even in non-apoptotic cells, to prevent abnormal development.

Introduction

Certain families of master regulatory transcription factor control major developmental decisions in metazoa. This includes the bHLH proteins encoded by proneural genes, which were discovered in *Drosophila* and control neural fate specification and differentiation from sponges to humans(Weinberger et al., 2017; Baker & Brown, 2018; Dennis, Han, & Schuurmans, 2019; Johnson, 2020). One of the ways proneural gene activities are regulated, thereby modulating the location and timing of neurogenesis, is through Inhibitor of DNA binding proteins (Id proteins), which are HLH proteins lacking basic DNA-binding sequences. Using their HLH domains, Id proteins form inactive heterodimers with proneural bHLH proteins, preventing DNA binding and transcriptional regulation of target genes by the resulting heterodimer (Benezra, Davis, Lockshon, Turner, & Weintraub, 1990; Ellis, Spann, & Posakony, 1990; Garrell & Modolell, 1990; Cabrera, Alonso, & Huikeshoven, 1994; Ellis, 1994; Norton, 2000). Accordingly, mutations in Id protein genes, of which there are 4 in mammals and one in *Drosophila*, permit enhanced proneural bHLH function, deregulating neurogenesis at many developmental stages and in many tissues. Mammalian Id gene expression is particularly associated with proliferative and stem cell states, and is frequently affected in cancer(Ling, Kang, & Sun, 2014; Wang & Baker, 2015a; Roschger & Cabrele, 2017; Oproescu, Han, & Schuurmans, 2021).

An outstanding question has been whether heterodimerization with proneural bHLH proteins represents the only mechanism of Id protein function. This question arises because not all phenotypes of Id gene mutations obviously represent gain of proneural gene function. Interpretation is complicated in mammals by the number of proneural bHLH genes and of Id protein genes, both functionally redundant so that single mutant phenotypes reflect only subsets of protein function(Ling et al., 2014; Wang & Baker, 2015a). In *Drosophila*, it is clear that mutating the sole Id protein gene *extra macrochaetae* (*emc*) affects some tissues where no proneural gene is known to function. The first example noted was the requirement for *emc* for normal growth of imaginal discs(Garcia-Alonso & Garcia-Bellido, 1988). Imaginal discs are larval progenitors of adult tissues and remain proliferative and undifferentiated until late in the final larval instar; no proneural gene is active in these proliferating cells. A second example is that *emc* is required for ovarian follicle cell development (Adam & Montell, 2004), which does

not depend on proneural bHLH genes. Further examples are noted in *Drosophila* eye

development. The *emc* gene is required to restrain the rate at which the morphogenetic furrow, a

wave of fate specification that traverses across the eye imaginal disc as retinal patterning and

differentiation begin(Brown, Sattler, Paddock, & Carroll, 1995). *emc* is also required for the

specification of the R7 photoreceptor cells and the non-neuronal cone cells, neither of which

depends on any known proneural bHLH gene (Bhattacharya & Baker, 2009). Most recently, *emc*

was also found to be required for left-right asymmetry of the *Drosophila* gut(Ishibashi et al.,

2019). Thus, *Drosophila* provides opportunities to investigate how at least one Id protein

functions independently of proneural bHLH proteins, and potentially reveal new mechanisms of

developmental regulation.

Insights into how Emc acts independently of proneural genes have progressively emerged

from several studies. The first concerned the proper proliferation of imaginal disc cells(Garcia-

Alonso & Garcia-Bellido, 1988). Independence of imaginal disc growth from proneural bHLH

genes is confirmed by the lack of requirement for *da*, the only E protein in

Drosophila(Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011; Andrade-Zapata & Baonza, 2014). E proteins are

ubiquitously-expressed bHLH proteins that are heterodimer partners for proneural bHLH

proteins. In Drosophila, proneural bHLH proteins cannot function without Da, so normal growth

of *da* mutant cells implies independence from all Da heterodimer partners. Remarkably, it is

actually ectopic Da activity that causes poor growth in *emc* mutant cells, normal growth being

restored in *emc da* doubly mutant cells (Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011). Without *emc*, Da levels

are elevated and by themselves activate transcription of *expanded* (*ex*), a component of the

Salvador-Hippo-Warts (SHW) tumor suppressor pathway. This increased SHW pathway activity

is what inhibits growth of imaginal disc cells(Wang & Baker, 2015b). Thus, one role of *emc* is

to restrain Da(Reddy, Bhattacharya, & Baker; Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011; Wang & Baker,

2015a; Li & Baker, 2018).

In the course of these studies, we noticed that *ex* mutations affected the number of

sensory organ precursor (SOP) cells in the thorax(Wang & Baker, 2015b). We showed that this

was due to activity of Yorkie (Yki), the transcription factor target of SHW signals, in the *ex*

mutant cells. This in turn increased transcription of a direct Yki target gene, *Death-Associated*

Inhibitor of Apoptosis 1 (*diap1*)(Wang & Baker, 2019). Diap1 is a ubiquitin ligase that prevents

accumulation of a processed form of Dronc, an apical caspase in *Drosophila*(Muro, Hay, &

Clem, 2002; Wilson et al., 2002; Yan, Wu, Chai, Li, & Shi, 2004; Li, Wang, & Shi, 2011).

Caspases are proteases that are well known for driving apoptosis by cleaving cellular substrates.

They are expressed as inactive zymogens that are then activated by signals (initiator caspases) or

by cleavage by caspases (effector caspases) in a feed-forward process that is expected to kill

cells rapidly once a threshold of caspase activity has been crossed(Fuchs & Steller, 2011). Many

studies show that caspases can also mediate non-apoptotic processes, although what

differentiates apoptotic from non-apoptotic outcomes is uncertain, as the same caspase cascade

of initiator and effector caspases seems to be involved (Yamaguchi & Miura, 2015; Aram,

Yacobi-Sharon, & Arama, 2017; Nakajima & Kuranaga, 2017; Baena-Lopez, Arthurton, Xu, &

Galasso, 2018; Colon-Plaza & Su, 2022). Non-apoptotic caspase activities are of particular

interest because of their contributions to multiple diseases(Su, 2020). In *ex* mutants, the

increased *diap1* transcription does not affect cell death much, but causes an increase in *wg*

signaling activity in the thorax(Wang & Baker, 2019). Wg signaling promotes SOP cell

determination in the thorax, and it has been shown previously that Wg signaling is antagonized

by caspases, through a mechanism that is non-apoptotic but whose direct target is not yet

certain(Baker, 1988; Kanuka et al., 2005; Wang & Baker, 2019).

Since *emc* mutations elevate *ex* expression(Wang & Baker, 2015b), we wondered

whether *emc* also changes Diap1 levels and affects caspase activities. Increased *ex* expression in

emc mutants would be expected to reduce transcription of the *diap1* gene and potentially increase

caspase activity, the opposite of what occurs in *ex* mutants. This predicts that caspases could

contribute to aspects of the *emc* mutant phenotype, especially those that do not depend on

proneural bHLH genes. Remarkably, we found that caspase activity was responsible for all the

aspects of the *emc* phenotype that we tested. This included reduced growth of imaginal disc

cells, accelerated morphogenetic furrow progression, and loss of R7 and cone cells fates in the

eye. The effects on eye development all reflected caspase-dependent expression of the Notch

ligand Delta, which is known to contribute to morphogenetic furrow progression, and R7 and

cone cell fate specification. Thus, caspase-dependent non-apoptotic signaling underlies multiple

roles of *emc* that are independent of proneural bHLH proteins.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila Strains

The following stocks were employed in this study and were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise

stated - *hsflp;;emc^{AP6} FRT80/TM6B, hsflp;;dronc^{i29}FRT80/TM6B, hsflp;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6},*

hsflp;;Df(3L)H99/TM3, hsflp;;Df(3L)H99 emc^{AP6}/TM3, Ubi-GFP M(3)67C FRT80, FRT42

M(2)56F Ubi-GFP, FRT82 M(3)95A Ubi-GFP, hsflp;; Ubi-GFPFRT80, hsflp; *Su(H)^{Δ47}FRT40,*

pie^{eB3} FRT40 (Baker et al., 1992), *psn[v1]FRT80/TM6B, Fz3-RFP*;D/TM6B (kind gift from Yu

Kimata, University of Cambridge). We obtained genomic Ci construct from Kalderon lab and

used BestGene Inc. to target the transgene on the third chromosome and then recombined these

flies to generate *hsflp;;ci+M(3)67Calz FRT80/TM6B;ci94/y+spa.*

Mosaic Analysis

Mosaic clones were obtained using FLP/FRT mediated mitotic recombination (Golic, 1991; Xu

& Rubin, 1993). For non-Minute genotypes, larvae were subjected to heat shock for 30 minutes

at 37°C, 60 ± 12 hours after egg laying. For Minute genotypes, heat shock was performed 84 ±

12 hours after egg laying for 50 minutes. Larvae were dissected 72 hours after heat shock. All

flies were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise stated.

Immunohistochemistry and histology

Unless otherwise noted, preparation of eye and wing imaginal discs for immunostaining and

confocal imaging were performed as described previously (Baker, Li, Quiquand, Ruggiero, &

Wang, 2014). Antibodies from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB): anti-Ptc

(mouse, 1:40), anti-Elav (mouse, 1:100), anti-Elav (rat, 1:50, anti-Cut (mouse, 1:50), anti-Delta

C594.9B (mouse, 1:2000), anti-βGal (mouse, 1:100), mouse anti-βGal (1:100, DSHB 40-1a) and

anti-Ci (rat, 1:10). Other antibodies: anti-phospho-Smad1/5 (rabbit, 1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-

DIAP1 (mouse, 1:50) (gift from Hyun Don Ryoo, NYU), anti-Dcp1 (rabbit, 1:100, Cell

Signaling), anti-Sens (guinea pig, a gift from Hugo Bellen used at 1:50), anti-Da (mouse, 1:200),

rabbit anti-Emc (1:40), anti-GFP (rat, 1:50 from Nacalai Tesque # GF090R), rabbit anti-GFP

(1:500), rabbit anti-ß-Galactosidase (1:100, Cappel), E(spl)bHLH (1:50,mAb323) and guinea pig

anti-runt (1:500). Secondary antibodies conjugated with Cy2, Cy3 and Cy5 dyes (1:200) were

from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories and Alexa 555 (1:500). Multi-labelling images

were sequentially scanned with Leica SP8 confocal microscopes and were projected and

processed with ImageJ. All images were assembled into figure format using Adobe Illustrator

2020.

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay

For labeling dead cells with TUNEL assay, ApopTag® Red In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Cat

#S7165) was used according to manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, dissected eye discs were

fixed for 20 minutes at room temperature followed by three washes with 1X PBS. Then the

samples were incubated in equilibration buffer for 1 minute followed by incubation in reaction

buffer (TdT enzyme; ratio 7:3) at 37 °C for 1 hour. TdT reaction mix was replaced with stop

buffer (diluted 1:34 in dH2O) and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were

washed three times with 1X PBS, 5 min per wash; and incubated with anti-digoxigenin antibody

solution (diluted 31:34 in blocking solution) for 30 min at room temperature. The samples were

then washed three times in 1X PBS, 5 min per wash. For the subsequent antibody staining, the

samples were blocked in PBST (1X PBS + 0.5% Triton-X) for 30 min, and incubated with

primary antibodies in PBST overnight at 4 °C. The samples were next washed with PBST and

incubated for 2 h with secondary antibodies in PBST, and then again washed with PBST,

followed by PBS wash and samples were mounted in mounting media.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of clone size data reported in Figur 1N is described in the figure legend.

Prediction of caspase cleavage sites

Caspase cleavage sites were predicted for Delta using Cascleave 2.0(Wang et al., 2014).

Cascleave 2.0 was set to a medium stringency threshold for prediction of cleavage sites.

Results

Caspase activity causes growth defects in *emc* mutants

207   To determine the contribution of caspases to growth inhibition in *emc* mutant cells, we

used the FLP/FRT system (Xu & Rubin, 1993) to generate clones of *emc* mutant cells that were

unable to activate caspases normally. We achieved this by homozygously deleting the linked

reaper (*rpr*), *head involution defective* (*hid*) and *grim* genes using *Df(3L)H99*. Rpr, Hid and

Grim proteins promote Diap1 degradation in response to apoptotic stimuli and allow activation

of initiator caspases such as *dronc* to start apoptosis(Yoo et al., 2002). By removing all three

pro-apoptotic proteins, *Df(3L)H99* affects both apoptotic and non-apoptotic caspase functions

in *Drosophila* (White et al., 1994; Tapadia & Gautam, 2011). Thus, clones of *emc Df(3L)H99*

mutant cells should be defective for caspase activation. In addition, we also made clones of *emc*

mutant cells further mutated for *dronc*, which encodes the main initiator caspase in *Drosophila*

that is necessary for most developmental apoptosis (C. J. Hawkins et al., 2000; Meier, Silke,

Leevers, & Evan, 2000; Quinn et al., 2000). Dronc contributes to non-apoptotic caspase

functions as well, so *emc dronc* mutant cells should also show reduced non-apoptotic and well as

apoptotic caspase functions.

221   In comparison to neutral clones, which grew equivalently to their twin-spot controls, *emc*

mutant clones showed greatly reduced growth in eye or wing imaginal discs, as described

previously (Figures 1A-D) (Garcia-Alonso & Garcia-Bellido, 1988; Bhattacharya & Baker,

2011; Andrade-Zapata & Baonza, 2014). By contrast, *emc* mutant clones that were also mutant

for *dronc*, or *emc Df(3L)H99* clones that lacked the *rpr*, *grim* and *hid* genes, grew more like their

twin spot controls in both eye and wing (Figures 1E-H). Deletion of the *rpr*, *hid* and *grim* genes

restored growth indistinguishable from the wild type to *emc* clones, although mutation of *dronc*

rescued less completely (see Figure 1N for quantification). Neither homozygosity for *dronc*, nor

deletion of *rpr*, *grim* and *hid*, affected growth of otherwise wild type imaginal disc clones

(Figure 1I-L).

231   Previous studies of *emc* phenotypes have often used Minute genetic backgrounds (ie

heterozygosity for mutations in *Rp* genes) to retard growth and enhance the size of the *emc*

mutant clones(Bhattacharya & Baker, 2009). When *emc Df(3L)H99* clones were induced in the

M(3)67C background, the clones took over almost the entire disc, leaving only a few *M(3)67C*

heterozygous cells remaining (Figure 1M).

These results indicate that the growth disadvantage of *emc* mutant imaginal disc clones is

mostly attributable to cell death genes. Preventing caspase activation, either by mutating the

main initiator caspase, or by preventing Diap1 turnover, partially or completed restored normal

growth. Our data did not support a previous suggestion that *dronc* was required for normal wing

disc growth(Verghese, Bedi, & Kango-Singh, 2012).

Emc regulates furrow progression through non-apoptotic caspase activity

Emc mutations have multiple effects on the eye imaginal disc, although only a few

aspects of retinal differentiation depend on proneural bHLH genes. The proneural gene *atonal* is

required, along with *da*, for the specification of R8 photoreceptor precursors in the

morphogenetic furrow that found each ommatidial cluster in the larval eye disc(Jarman, Grell,

Ackerman, Jan, & Jan, 1994; Brown et al., 1996). Later, during pupal development, proneural

genes of the Achaete-Scute gene Complex (AS-C), along with *da*, are required for the

specification of the interommatidial bristles(Cadigan, Jou, & Nusse, 2002). All the other cell

types develop independently of proneural bHLH genes, and most of them develop independently

of *da* (Jimenez & Campos-Ortega, 1987; Brown et al., 1996).

In *emc* mutant clones, retinal differentiation begins precociously, associated with more

rapid transit of the morphogenetic furrow across the disc (Figure 2A, B)(Brown et al., 1995;

Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011, 2012). By contrast, we found that 75% of the time, the

morphogenetic furrow progressed normally through *emc dronc* double mutant clones (Figure

2C). Eye discs containing *emc Df(3L)H99* double mutant clones always appeared completely

normal (Figure 2D). Both *dronc* and *Df(3L)H99* mutant clones also showed normal furrow

progression in the absence of *emc* mutations (Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1A,B).

Not only the position of the morphogenetic furrow, but also the overall pattern of retinal

differentiation revealed by labeling for Senseless, which is specific for R8 photoreceptor cells,

and Elav, which labels all neuronal photoreceptor cells, appeared so normal in these genotypes

that we deemed it necessary to confirm that the supposedly *emc dronc* mutant and *emc*

Df(3L)H99 mutant clones were indeed mutated for *emc*. This was confirmed by lack of staining

by an antibody against Emc protein, similar to plain *emc* mutant clones that did affect the

morphogenetic furrow (Figure 2 Figure supplement 1C-E). We also found that *emc dronc* and

emc Df(3L)H99 clones upregulated Da protein expression to a comparable degree to plain *emc*

mutant clones (Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1C-E)(Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011). This further

confirmed the absence of *emc* function from *emc dronc* mutant and *emc Df(3L)H99* genotypes,

and also showed that cell death pathways were not required for the regulation of Da protein

levels by *emc*.

We have previously shown that *diap1* transcription is reduced in *emc* mutant clones, and

in Da-overexpressing cells, due to Yki inhibition downstream of *ex* (Wang & Baker, 2015b). In

eye imaginal discs, Diap1 protein was normally present uniformly (Figure 3A). Diap1 protein

levels were cell-autonomously reduced in *emc* mutant clones (Figure 3B). A comparable

reduction was also seen in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones, (Figure 3C). Because *emc Df(3L)H99* clones

developed normally, this suggested Diap1 protein levels were upstream of the cell death pathway

in affecting morphogenetic furrow speed, and that *rpr*, *grim*, and *hid* activities were required

downstream of *diap1*. To test the role of Diap1 directly, *diap1* was over-expressed in the

posterior eye by transcription under GMR-Gal4 control. This rescued morphogenetic furrow

speed to normal in *emc* clones (Figure 3D). We also saw restoration of morphogenetic furrow

speed in *emc* clones that expressed Baculovirus P35 under GMR-Gal4 control (Figure 3E).

Baculovirus P35 encodes a caspase pseudo-substrate that inhibits all *Drosophila* caspases except

Dronc(Hay, Wolff, & Rubin, 1994; Xue & Horvitz, 1995; C.J. Hawkins et al., 2000; Meier et al.,

2000). The *emc* clones expressing p35 also lacked cell death (Supplemental Figure 2D). The

restoration of morphogenetic furrow speed by Diap1, a *dronc* antagonist, as well as by the

caspase inhibitor P35, suggest that the effect of morphogenetic furrow acceleration in *emc*

mutant clones is due to the caspase cascade.

To test whether reduced Diap1 expression promoted apoptosis and thereby accelerated

the morphogenetic furrow, we assessed apoptosis levels by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase

dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) of eye discs containing mutant clones. We found almost no

cell death posterior to the furrow in *emc* clones (Supplemental Figure 2B), and cell death in *emc*

clones anterior to the furrow was comparable to controls and less than that of cells surrounding

the clones (Supplemental Figure 2A). Some cell death is expected outside *emc* or control clones,

as these cells have the *M* background that is itself associated with an increase in

apoptosis(Coelho et al., 2005; Li & Baker, 2007; Kale, Li, Lee, & Baker, 2015). To test whether

apoptosis in the eye disc would be sufficient to promote morphogenetic furrow progression, we

generated mosaic clones for a *pineapple eye (pie)* mutation. These *pie* mutant cells have an

elevated rate of apoptosis in imaginal discs, but not sufficient to prevent *pie* homozygous clones

surviving late into larval and even adult life (Shi, Stampas, Zapata, & Baker, 2003). The rate of

morphogenetic furrow progression was unaffected in eye discs containing *pie* clones

(Supplemental Figure 2C). Because no excess cell death was detected in *emc* clones, and cell

death was insufficient to accelerate the morphogenetic furrow in otherwise wild type eye discs,

emc clones might be affected by a non-apoptotic caspase activity.

Wingless and Dpp signaling are unaffected by *emc*

To understand how caspases could affect the speed of the morphogenetic furrow, we

analyzed pathways known to contribute. Hedgehog (Hh) and Decapentaplegic (Dpp) signaling

drive this differentiation wave, along with a contribution from Notch signaling (U. Heberlein, T.

Wolff, & G. M. Rubin, 1993; Ma, Zhou, Beachy, & Moses, 1993; Borod & Heberlein, 1998;

Baonza & Freeman, 2001b; Fu & Baker, 2003). A negative regulator of morphogenetic furrow

progression is Wingless (Wg), which is expressed at the dorsal and ventral eye disc margins

(Maurel-Zaffran & Treisman, 2000; Lee & Treisman, 2002).

Because we found that *ex* mutations affected thoracic bristle patterning through a

caspase-dependent non-apoptotic effect on Wg signaling (Wang & Baker, 2019), we looked first

to see whether *emc* mutations reduced Wg signaling in the eye. We used Frizzled-3 RFP (Fz3-

RFP) as a reporter (Sato, Kojima, Ui-Tei, Miyata, & Saigo, 1999). In control eye discs, the Fz3-

RFP recapitulates the pattern of endogenous Wg signaling activity at the wing margins (Figure 4

figure supplement 1) (Treisman & Rubin, 1995). Frizzled-3 RFP expression was not changed in

emc clones (Figure 4A). We then used a mutation in *naked cuticle* (*nkd*), encoding a negative

feedback regulator of Wg signaling, to modulate Wg signaling(Zeng et al., 2000; Chang, Chang,

Barolo, & Cadigan, 2008). If the morphogenetic furrow was accelerated in *emc* mutant clones

due to reduced Wg signaling, more normal development should occur in *emc nkd* clones. The

morphogenetic furrow was still accelerated in *emc nkd* clones, however (Figure 4B). These

results provided no evidence that Wg signaling was the relevant *emc* target in the eye.

We also examined Dpp signaling, since ectopic Dpp signaling is sufficient to accelerate

the morphogenetic furrow(Pignoni & Zipursky, 1997). The pattern of pMad, a readout of Dpp

signaling, was identical in *emc* mutant and control clones spanning the morphogenetic furrow

(Figure 4C, D). Thus, Dpp signaling did not seem altered by *emc* mutants either.

Hedgehog pathway

Hedgehog signaling is a key mover of the morphogenetic furrow(U. Heberlein, T. Wolff,

& G.M. Rubin, 1993; Ma et al., 1993; Treisman, 2013). Elevated Hh signaling is sufficient to

accelerate the morphogenetic furrow(Heberlein, Singh, Luk, & Donohoe, 1995; Ma & Moses,

1995). Notably, it has been suggested previously that *emc* mutations affect the morphogenetic

furrow by activating Hedgehog signaling, because *emc* mutant cells accumulate Ci protein

(Spratford & Kumar, 2013). Full-length Ci protein (Ci155) is targeted to the proteosome by

Cul1 for processing into a transcriptional repressor protein Ci75(Aza-Blanc, Ramirez-Weber,

Laget, Schwartz, & Kornberg, 1997). By inhibiting this processing, Hh prevents repression of

target genes by Ci75 and promotes transcriptional activation downstream of Ci155.

Accordingly, Ci155 accumulation is a feature of cells receiving Hh signals(Motzny & Holmgren,

1995).

We confirmed that *emc* mutant cells contain higher levels of Ci155, as reported

previously (Figure 5A)(Spratford & Kumar, 2013). Ci155 was elevated in *emc dronc* clones

(Figure 5B) but reduced to wild-type levels in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 5C, Figure 5 figure

supplement 1). Thus, Ci155 levels did not correlate perfectly with behavior of the morphogenetic

furrow.

We noticed that Ci155 levels were elevated in *emc* mutant clones in the posterior,

differentiating eye disc, as well as in and ahead of the morphogenetic furrow (Figure 5A). This

is significant, because Ci155 is not affected by Hh-dependent Cul1 processing posterior to the

morphogenetic furrow (Ou, Lin, Chen, & Chien, 2002; Baker, Bhattacharya, & Firth, 2009).

Ci155 accumulation posterior to the furrow suggests a Hh-independent mechanism.

To test whether Ci155 accumulation in *emc* clones indicates elevated Hh target signaling,

we looked at the transmembrane receptor Patched (Ptc) which is a transcriptional target of Hh

signaling, acting in a negative feedback loop(Hepker, Wang, Motzny, Holmgren, & Orenic,

1997). We saw no changes in Ptc protein levels in either *emc* or *emc Df(3L)H99* clones

compared to controls, questioning the notion that Hh signaling was altered by *emc* (Figure 5

figure supplement 2).

To test definitively whether Ci155 is responsible for accelerating the furrow in *emc*

clones, we generated *emc ci* double mutant clones. To achieve this, a genomic transgene that

rescues ci^{94} flies to adulthood (Little, Garcia-Garcia, Sul, & Kalderon, 2020), was introduced

into chromosome arm 3L where it is linked to the wild type *emc* locus, so that mitotic

recombination in the ci^{94} null background leads to *emc ci* double mutant clones. For unknown

reasons, *emc ci* double mutant clones were small and difficult to obtain, even in the Minute

background. In all the *emc ci* double mutant clones we found that spanned the morphogenetic

furrow; eye differentiation was still accelerated (Figure 5D). These data might not exclude a

quantitative contribution of Ci to more rapid morphogenetic furrow progression in the absence of

emc but are sufficient to show that *emc* regulates the speed of the morphogenetic furrow through

at least one other caspase target.

Delta expression is a target of caspases

The remaining signaling pathway that contributes to morphogenetic furrow movement is

Notch. Specifically, only cells where Notch signaling is active are competent to initiate retinal

differentiation in response to Dpp (Baonza & Freeman, 2001a; Fu & Baker, 2003). Accordingly,

ectopic expression of Delta, the transmembrane ligand for Notch, is sufficient to accelerate

retinal differentiation anterior to the morphogenetic furrow by expanding the effective range of

Dpp signaling (Baonza & Freeman, 2001a; Li & Baker, 2001).

To test whether *emc* restrains Notch, we examined the bHLH proteins of the E(spl)-C,

widely characterized targets of the Notch pathway (Bray, 2006). We used mAb323 to detect up

to five E(spl) bHLH proteins with Notch-dependent expression (Jennings, Preiss, Delidakis, &

Bray, 1994). E(spl) protein expression, and hence Notch signaling, was higher in the

morphogenetic furrow in *emc* clones than in wild type cells (Figure 6A). By contrast, E(spl)

protein expression was normal in *emc dronc* clones (Figure 6B).

To test whether elevated Notch signaling was required to accelerate the morphogenetic

furrow in *emc* mutants, we examined *emc psn* double mutant clones. Presenilin (Psn) is the

enzymatic component of γ-secretase that releases the intracellular domain of Notch during active

Notch signaling, and the *psn* gene is linked to *emc*. Loss of *psn* function leads to a Notch loss of

function phenotype (Struhl & Greenwald, 1999; Ye, Lukinova, & Fortini, 1999). Accordingly,

psn clones lead to a neurogenic phenotype in the eye, without affecting the progression of the

morphogenetic furrow (Figure 6C)(Li & Baker, 2001). The position of the morphogenetic

furrow was also not affected in *emc psn* clones (Figure 6D). Thus, the furrow was not accelerated

in *emc* mutant clones also defective for Notch signaling.

These two results together indicated that *emc* mutants promoted Notch signaling in the

morphogenetic furrow, acting through caspase signaling on a step prior to γ-secretase cleavage of

the intracellular domain of Notch. Accordingly, we decided to check Delta (Dl) protein levels.

We found that Dl protein levels were clearly elevated cell-autonomously throughout *emc* clones,

both posterior and anterior to the furrow (Figure 7B). Importantly, this includes the region just

ahead of the morphogenetic furrow that lacks Delta expression in normal development(Parks,

Turner, & Muskavitch, 1995; Baker & Yu, 1998)(Figure 7B). By contrast, levels of Dl protein

in *emc dronc* clones and *emc Df(3L)H99* clones were similar to those of wild type controls

(Figure 7A,C,D). This indicated that Dl protein is a target of caspases, directly or indirectly.

Because Dl activates Notch signaling cell non-autonomously, we wondered whether the

effect of *emc* mutant clones was cell-autonomous. We note that, in all the experiments reported

here, and in all the previous studies of *emc* mutant clones affecting morphogenetic furrow

movement, the morphogenetic furrow is maintained as a continuous groove across the eye disc

(Brown et al., 1995; Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011, 2012; Spratford & Kumar, 2013). That is, the

advanced front of retinal differentiation within *emc* clones is always smoothly continuous with

the normal morphogenetic furrow outside the clones, implying a progressive gradual increase in

morphogenetic furrow speed near the lateral edges of *emc* mutant clones (eg Figures 2B, 4B, 4D,

5A, 6A). Clones of *Su(H)* null mutants, which act cell-autonomously, provide a contrasting

example. Complete loss of *Su(H)* accelerates the morphogenetic furrow due to loss of default

Su(H) repression of Notch targets(Li & Baker, 2001). The boundaries of *Su(H)* null clones

exhibit a clear discontinuity between the rates of differentiation within and outside the clones

(Figure 6E). This difference between *Su(H)* and *emc* mutant clones is consistent with the idea

that *emc* affects morphogenetic furrow progression non-autonomously.

Specific ommatidial cell fates are regulated by caspases in *emc* mutants

420   Because the general pattern of neurogenesis revealed by pan-neuronal anti-Elav staining

421 appeared so normal in *emc dronc* and *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 2C,D), we examined

422 whether effects of *emc* on particular retinal cell fates was caspase dependent. Emc is also

423 required for R7 differentiation and for timely onset of cone cell differentiation(Bhattacharya &

424 Baker, 2009), two cell fate decisions that also depend on Notch signaling(Cooper & Bray, 2000;

425 Flores et al., 2000; Tomlinson & Struhl, 2001; Treisman, 2013). These cell fates are normally

426 independent of *da*, but like imaginal disc cell growth and morphogenetic furrow progression,

427 ectopic *da* activity perturbs them in *emc* mutants(Reddy et al.; Brown et al., 1996).

428   Clones of *emc* mutant cells lack R7 photoreceptor cells (Figure 8A,B)(Bhattacharya &

429 Baker, 2009). R7 differentiation was restored to 90% of ommatidia in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones

430 (Figure 8C). As shown before, *emc* mutant clones delayed Cone Cell differentiation by 2-3

431 columns (corresponding to a delay of 3-6 h)(Bhattacharya & Baker, 2009)(Figure 8D,E). We

432 found no delay in cone cell differentiation in most *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 8F). These

433 results indicated that caspase activity contributes to the R7 and cone cell differentiation defects

434 that are also characteristic of *emc* mutants.

436 **Discussion**

438   The Inhibitor of DNA binding (Id) proteins are important regulators of

439 differentiation(Massari & Murre, 2000; Ling et al., 2014; Wang & Baker, 2015a; Roschger &

440 Cabrele, 2017; Singh et al., 2022). They are well known to antagonize proneural basic helix-

441 loop-helix (bHLH) proteins (Benezra et al., 1990; Ellis et al., 1990; Garrell & Modolell, 1990;

442 Cabrera et al., 1994; Ellis, 1994; Norton, 2000). It has been uncertain whether this is their only

443 function, as in *Drosophila* it is clear that *emc* mutations affect processes that are independent of

444 proneural genes. Here, we report that multiple effects of *emc* mutations that occur independently

445 of proneural bHLH genes, and are due to the loss of restraint on *da* function in *emc* mutant cells,

446 are caused by caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes that result from reduced expression of

447 Diap1 protein.

448   One known effect of *emc* is that it restrains the *Drosophila* E protein Da. Da is expressed

449 ubiquitously and is the obligate heterodimer partner of proneural bHLH proteins in neurogenesis.

In undifferentiated progenitor cells, most or all Da is thought to be sequestered in inactive

heterodimers with Emc(Li & Baker, 2018). In *emc* mutant cells, Da levels rise and become

functional, potentially as homodimers(Bhattacharya & Baker, 2011).

In the context of imaginal disc cell growth, *emc* mutant cells experience *da*-dependent

over-expression of the *ex* gene(Wang & Baker, 2015b, 2018). Although most study of *ex* has

focused on its role in growth control through the SHW pathway, *ex* was recently shown to

influence patterning through Yki-dependent transcription of *diap1*, an important regulator of cell

death pathways. This was in the developing thorax, where *ex* mutations affect bristle patterning

through *diap1* and a non-apoptotic effect of caspases on Wg signaling(Wang & Baker, 2019).

This observation led us to explore whether aspects of the *emc* phenotype could reflect reduced

diap1 expression and elevated caspase activity.

Remarkably, we found that all four features of the *emc* phenotype we examined depended

on the caspase-mediated cell death pathway. The normal growth of imaginal disc cells, normal

speed of the morphogenetic furrow, specification of R7 photoreceptor cells, and timing of non-

neuronal cone cell development were all restored when caspase activation was prevented, which

was achieved by inactivating the initiator caspase Dronc, deleting the proapoptotic *rpr*, *grim*, and

hid genes, over-expressing *diap1*, or over-expressing baculovirus p35. These appeared to be

non-apoptotic effects, because no elevation in apoptosis was apparent in *emc* mutant cells,

because generating apoptosis by another means did not mimic the effects, and because the effects

were mediated by elevated Dl protein expression in cells that were not apoptotic. They appeared

to be effects of caspase activity, because they depended in part on *dronc*, an initiator caspase that

cleaves and activates effector caspases, because they were suppressed by baculovirus p35, a

caspase inhibitor that is a caspase pseudo-substrate, and because they were suppressed by Diap1,

a ubiquitin ligase that inhibits caspases and targets them for degradation. The effects may

depend on the full caspase cascade of initiator and effector caspases, because sensitivity to p35

indicates that caspases other than Dronc are necessary, and because mutating dronc alone gave

lesser degrees of rescue than deleting *rpr*, *grim*, and *hid*. This is consistent with many other

studies that describe the pathways for apoptotic and non-apoptotic caspase functions as

similar(Kanuka et al., 2005; Kuranaga & Miura, 2007; Aram et al., 2017; Nakajima & Kuranaga,

2017; Baena-Lopez et al., 2018; Su, 2020; Colon-Plaza & Su, 2022).

Whereas non-apoptotic caspase activity promotes Wg signaling during specification of

thoracic bristles(Kanuka et al., 2005), in the *Drosophila* eye the non-apoptotic caspase target was

Notch signaling, due to elevated Delta protein levels. We do not know whether Delta is the direct

caspase target. The Delta protein sequence contains multiple predicted caspase target sites, as do

many proteins (Wang et al., 2014). Only one candidate site lies in the intracellular domain,

where it would potentially be accessible to caspases (Figure 9B). Truncation of the Dl

intracellular domain is usually associated with loss of Dl function, not stabilization and enhanced

function, however (Daskalaki et al., 2011). Another possibility is that a regulator of Dl

expression or stability and function is the direct caspase target.

Notably, *emc* mutations accelerate the morphogenetic furrow by enhancing Notch

signaling, apparently cell-autonomously, but inhibit R7 and cone cell differentiation through

cell-autonomously reduced N signaling(Reddy et al.; Bhattacharya & Baker, 2009). These

contrasts may be explained through the dual functions of the Notch ligand Delta in trans-

activation and in cis-inhibition (Micchelli, Rulifson, & Blair, 1997; Jacobsen, Brennan,

Martinez-Arias, & Muskavitch, 1998; Li & Baker, 2004; Bray, 2016). The eye disc region ahead

of the morphogenetic furrow lacks Delta expression (Parks et al., 1995; Baker & Yu, 1998).

Ectopic Dl expression here is sufficient to activate N non-autonomously and drive

morphogenetic furrow progression (Baonza & Freeman, 2001a; Li & Baker, 2001). Within the

R7 equivalence group, Delta is expressed early in R1 and R6 photoreceptor precursors,

protecting them from N activation through cis-inhibition, and establishing the distinction

between R1,6 and R7 precursor cells (Miller, Lyons, & Herman, 2009). Ectopic Dl expression

posterior to the morphogenetic furrow has cis-inhibitory effect. Elevated Dl levels within *emc*

mutant clones may render potential R7 and cone cell precursors cell-autonomously resistant to N

activation, as normally seen in R1/6 precursor cells.

A previous study suggested that *emc* mutations might affect Hh signaling (Spratford &

Kumar, 2013). Our data point much more clearly to an effect on Notch signaling. Although the

Hh target Ci155 accumulates in *emc* mutant cells, increased Hh signaling is not the only possible

cause of this. Ci155 is cytoplasmic, and thought to be only a precursor for a labile nuclear

activator molecule(Ohlmeyer & Kalderon, 1998). Preventing activation and nuclear

translocation of Ci155 by mutating the kinase *fused* also leads to Ci155 accumulation, without

activating Hh signaling. Presumably Ci155 accumulates because it is more stable than its

activated derivative(Ohlmeyer & Kalderon, 1998). Significantly, *emc* mutant cells have been

reported to over-express the *fused* antagonist *su(fu)*, providing a potential alternative explanation

of Ci155 accumulation in *emc* mutant cells(Spratford & Kumar, 2013). Analyzing *emc ci* double

mutant cells suggested that *ci* was not required to accelerate the morphogenetic furrow in *emc*

mutant clones.

Da protein binds to and potentially regulates hundreds of genes throughout the

Drosophila genome(Li et al., 2008). Accordingly, Da activity in *emc* mutant cells might be

expected to lead to non-specific and pleiotropic effects. Contrary to this expectation, multiple

aspects of proneural bHLH-independent *emc* mutant phenotypes have a simple common basis, ie

reduced *diap1* expression and elevated caspase activity. Remarkably, mutating *emc* may be the

most general way to activate non-apoptotic caspase activities yet discovered.

540   **Figure Legends**

Figure 1

Figure 1: *Df(3L)H99* rescues growth of *emc* mutant

(A-L) Control and mutant clones in eye and wing imaginal disc were induced at the end of first

instar and are associated with sibling twin-spot clones marked by two copies of the GFP marker

(brighter grey) that serves as internal control for growth. Clones are labeled by the absence of

GFP. (M) *emc H99* clones induced in the minute background (N) Quantification of the ratio of

clone size to twin-spot measured in wing imaginal discs and standard deviations. After log-

transformation of clone/twin-spot ratios to ensure normality, one way ANOVA rejected the null

hypothesis that these results are the same ($p=2.57 \times 10^{-10}$). The Holm correction for multiple

comparison was used to identify significant differences between all pairs of samples. Whereas

clone/twin-spot ratios for *Df(3L)H99* and *dronc^{i29}* did not differ significantly from the FRT80

control ($p>0.05$), that for *emc* homozygous clones was significantly different ($p=4.95 \times 10^{-8}$).

Ratios for both *emc Df(3L)H99* and *emc dronc* clones differed significantly from *emc* clones

($p=2.19 \times 10^{-8}$ and 4.89×10^{-4}, respectively). Clone/twin-spot ratios for *emc dronc* clones also

differed significantly from *dronc* clones ($p=0.044$) and from *Df(3L)H99* clones ($p=0.048$).

For columns 2-4, *** denotes significant difference from the FRT80 control ($p<0.001$), NS

denotes no significant difference. For columns 5-6, *** denotes significant difference from the

emc clones.

Genotypes: (A and C) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (B and D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-*

GFP]FRT80 (E and G) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} emFRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (F and H)

ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80 (I and K) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}*

FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80 (J and L) *ywhsF;;Df(3L)H99FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (M) *ywhsF;*

emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Morphogenetic furrow progression is affected by cell death pathways

In all panels, the differentiating neurons are marked by Elav (in blue), and mutant cells are
identified by the absence of GFP expression (in green). A) The wave of retinal differentiation
from posterior to anterior (right to left), marked here by Senseless expression in R8

photoreceptor cells (red) is normal in FRT80 control clones. B) *emc* null cell lacking GFP shows

acceleration of retinal differentiation marked by yellow arrow. C) In contrast, retinal

differentiation proceeds at the same pace in *emc dronc* double mutant clones as in nearby wild

type regions in 75% of the eye discs. D) *emc H99* double mutants show a stronger suppression of

the acceleration of retinal differentiation (compare panel B). Genotypes: (A)

ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*

FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (n=12)(D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}*

Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Diap1 expression and function in *emc* mutant clones

In mosaic eye discs with (A) FRT80 clones, there is no change in Diap1 levels within and outside the clone. However, both (B) *emc* mutant clones and (C) *emc H99* clones show reduced

Diap1 levels. (D) In GMR-DIAP1 eye disc mutant for emc furrow progression is normal and is

marked by Senseless staining. Similarly, in GMR-p35 eye disc mutant for emc also show normal

furrow progression (E). Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B)

ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*

FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (D) ywhsF/GMR-DIAP1;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]*

M(3)67C FRT80. (E) ywhsF/GMR-p35;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80*.

Figure 4

Figure 4: Wingless and Dpp signaling are not caspase targets

(A) No reduction in the Wg signaling reporter Fz3-RFP was detectable in emc clones. See

Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1 for Fz3-RFP expression in the wild type. (B) Retinal

differentiation is accelerated in *emc nkd³* double mutant clones like in *emc* clones. Senseless

expression in R8 photoreceptor cells and Elav staining in differentiating photoreceptors are

shown. (C) p-Mad accumulates around the morphogenetic furrow in eye discs containing control

clones(Vrailas & Moses, 2006; Firth, Bhattacharya, & Baker, 2010) (E) Except for the advanced

progression, p-Mad levels were unchanged in *emc* clones. Genotypes: (A) Fz3-RFP/+;

emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}nkd^{3}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*

FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]*

M(3)67C FRT80.

Figure 5

Figure 5: Ci expression and function in *emc* mutant clones

A) Ci is elevated within *emc* mutant cells (yellow arrows). This was also true posterior to the

morphogenetic furrow (orange arrow) B) Higher Ci was also seen in *emc dronc* mutant cells,

even when the morphogenetic furrow progressed normally, as indicated by Elav staining. C) Ci

607   levels were completely normal in *emc H99* clones. D) *emc* and *ci* doubly-mutant clone lacking

608   GFP shows accelerated retinal differentiation (blue arrow). Genotypes: (A)

609   *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6}*

610   *FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C*

611   *FRT80* (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] ci^{+} M(3)67C FRT80;ci[94]/ci[94]*.

Figure 6: Non-apoptotic caspase signaling targets Notch pathway by promoting Dl
614

expression
615

(A) E(spl), an important N target for lateral inhibition, is elevated in the morphogenetic furrow

region of *emc* clones (yellow arrows). (B) *emc dronc* clones have normal levels of E(spl) protein.

(C) Senseless staining shows a neurogenic phenotype in *psn* mutant clones, due to reduced Notch

signaling. Morphogenetic furrow progression is unaffected. (D) A neurogenic phenotype was

also observed in *emc psn* clones, along with normal furrow progression. (E) *Su(H)* mutant clones

identified by absence of GFP labeling show a strong neurogenic phenotype as well as advanced

retinal differentiation (orange arrow) (Li & Baker, 2001). The cell-autonomous effect results in

a discontinuity at the borders of *Su(H)* clones, where differentiation outside the clones lags that

within clones (orange arrow) Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*

FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;; dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C) *ywhsF/+;;psn^{V1}*

FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]M(3)67CFRT80 (D) *ywhsF/+;; emc^{AP6} psn^{V1} FRT80/[Ubi-*

GFP]M(3)67CFRT80 ((E) *ywhsF; Su(H)^{D47}FRT40/FRT40[UbiGFP]*.

Figure 7: Caspase regulation of Delta levels

(A) Normal levels of Delta protein are seen in FRT80 clones as compared to elevated Delta

levels in (B) *emc* clones whereas that phenotype is reversed in (D) *emc H99* clones. However, as

seen in (C) *dronc emc* clones show high Delta levels. Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP]*

M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C)

ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*

FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80.

Figure 8

639   **Figure 8: Caspases contribute to R7 photoreceptor and cone cell defects in *emc* mutants**

In all panels *emc* mutant cells are marked by the absence of GFP expression (in green) and photoreceptor neurons are marked by Elav in blue. (A) Runt (in red) is expressed in R7 and R8 (yellow arrowhead) photoreceptor cells inside and outside the clone in FRT80 controls. (B) Inside *emc* clone, Runt expression is lost from R7 cells, while expression in R8 cells remains unaffected (red arrow). (C) However, inside the *emc H99* clones, Runt is expressed in both R7 and R8 cells. (D) Cut (in red) is expressed in cone cells in FRT80 controls. (E) Inside *emc* clone, cut is delayed. (F) However, inside the *emc H99* clones, cut staining is not delayed. Genotypes: (A, D) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B, E) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C, F) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80*.

Figure 9: Model of *emc* effects on *Drosophila* eye development. A) Loss of *emc* allows Da protein to form heterodimers and activate *ex* transcription, increasing SHW pathway activity. SHW activity reduces DIAP1 expression, thereby derepressing caspase activity. In the eye, non-apoptotic caspase activity increases expression of the Notch ligand Delta. Elevated Delta expression accelerates morphogenetic furrow progression, while cis-inhibiting Notch signaling

posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, inhibiting R7 cell specification and cone-cell

differentiation. B) Like many proteins, Delta has multiple potential caspase cleavage sites, here

predicted suing Cascleave 2.0 (Wang et al., 2014). None are high-confidence predictions and

only one is in the intracellular domain where caspase access is plausible (position 675, predicted

score 0.647). The intracellular domain is required for Delta signaling. Cleavage at position 675

would remove the main ubiquitylation site required for signaling, as well as the binding site for

mindbomb, so is not anticipated to enhance signaling activity (Daskalaki et al., 2011).

Figure 2 figure supplement

Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1: Morphogenetic furrow progression in *emc* mutant cells

In eye imaginal discs, normal furrow progression was observed in (A) *dronc* $^{-/-}$ and (B)

Df(3L)H99 homozygous clones, indicated by Senseless and Elav staining. Homozygous mutant

clones of *emc*$^{-/-}$ (C) lacking GFP expression, have no Emc staining (yellow arrowhead) and

higher Da (red arrowhead). At the morphogenetic furrow as shown by yellow arrow, Emc

expression goes down and Da goes up. Similar results were observed in (D) *dronc⁻/⁻ emc⁻/⁻* clones

and (E) *emc⁻/⁻ Df(3L) H99 ⁻/⁻* clones confirm that these are *emc* null clones. Genotypes: (A)

ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;; Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]*

M(3)67C FRT80. (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (D)

ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (E) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*

FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80.

Figure 2 Figure Supplement 2

Figure 2 Figure Supplement 2: Apoptosis and furrow progression Representative images of

eye imaginal discs stained for TUNEL (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B)

ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80. In (C) *pie^{EB3}* homozygous clones, furrow

progression occurs at normal speed marked by Senseless staining in R8 photoreceptors. (D) In

682   GMR-p35 eye disc mutant for emc also show lack of Dcp1staining. Genotypes: (A)

683   *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*

684   *FRT80* (C) ywhsF/+; *pie^{EB3}FRT40/FRT40Alz*. (D) ywhsF/GMR-p35;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]*

685   *M(3)67C FRT80.*

Figure 4 figure supplement 1

688   **Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1:** Fz3-RFP/+ eye disc showing RFP and Elav staining.

Figure 5 figure supplement 1

691   **Figure 5 Figure Supplement 1: Ci155 levels when cell death pathways are blocked**

692   Representative image of eye imaginal disc in *emc H99* clones in the non-Minute background,

693   showing similar areas inside and outside clones. Genotype: *ywhsF;; emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*

694   *FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80*

Figure 5 Figure Supplement 2

697   **Figure 5 Figure Supplement 2: Patched staining in emc mutants**

698   (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*

699   *FRT80* (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT8.*

702 **References**

704 Adam, J. C., & Montell, D. J. (2004). A role for extra macrochaetae downstream of Notch in
705   follicle cell differentiation. *Development, 131*(23), 5971-5980.
706 Andrade-Zapata, I., & Baonza, A. (2014). The bHLH factors extramacrochaetae and
707   daughterless control cell cycle in Drosophila imaginal discs through the transcriptional
708   regulation of the Cdc25 phosphatase string. *PLoS Genet, 10*(3), e1004233.
709 Aram, L., Yacobi-Sharon, K., & Arama, E. (2017). CDPs: caspase-dependent non-lethal cellular
710   processes. *Cell Death Differ, 24*(8), 1307-1310.
711 Aza-Blanc, P., Ramirez-Weber, F. A., Laget, M. P., Schwartz, C., & Kornberg, T. B. (1997).
712   Proteolysis that is inhibited by hedgehog targets Cubitus interruptus protein to the
713   nucleus and converts it to a repressor. *Cell, 89*, 1043-1053.
714 Baena-Lopez, L. A., Arthurton, L., Xu, D. C., & Galasso, A. (2018). Non-apoptotic Caspase
715   regulation of stem cell properties. *Semin Cell Dev Biol, 82*, 118-126.
716 Baker, N. E. (1988). Transcription of the segment-polarity gene wingless in the imaginal discs of
717   Drosophila, and the phenotype of a pupal-lethal wg mutation. *Development, 102*(3), 489-
718   497.
719 Baker, N. E., Bhattacharya, A., & Firth, L. C. (2009). Regulation of Hh signal transduction as
720   Drosophila eye differentiation progresses. *Dev Biol, 335*(2), 356-366.
721 Baker, N. E., & Brown, N. L. (2018). All in the family: neuronal diversity and proneural bHLH
722   genes. *Development, 145*, dev159426.
723 Baker, N. E., Li, K., Quiquand, M., Ruggiero, R., & Wang, L. H. (2014). Eye development.
724   *Methods, 68*(1), 252-259.
725 Baker, N. E., Moses, K., Nakahara, D., Ellis, M. C., Carthew, R. W., & Rubin, G. M. (1992).
726   Mutations on the second chromosome affecting the Drosophila eye. *J Neurogenet, 8*(2),
727   85-100.
728 Baker, N. E., & Yu, S. Y. (1998). The R8-photoreceptor equivalence group in *Drosophila* : fate
729   choice precedes regulated *Delta* transcription and is independent of *Notch* gene dose.
730   *Mechanisms of Development, 74*, 3-14.
731 Baonza, A., & Freeman, M. (2001a). Notch signalling and the initiation of neural development in
732   the *Drosophila* eye. *Development, 128*, 3889-3898.
733 Baonza, A., & Freeman, M. (2001b). Notch signalling and the initiation of neural development in
734   the Drosophila eye. *Development, 128*(20), 3889-3898.
735 Benezra, R., Davis, R. L., Lockshon, D., Turner, D. L., & Weintraub, H. (1990). The protein Id:
736   a negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. *Cell, 61*(1), 49-59.
737 Bhattacharya, A., & Baker, N. E. (2009). The HLH protein Extramacrochaetae is required for R7
738   cell and cone cell fates in the Drosophila eye. *Dev Biol, 327*(2), 288-300.
739 Bhattacharya, A., & Baker, N. E. (2011). A network of broadly expressed HLH genes regulates
740   tissue-specific cell fates. *Cell, 147*(4), 881-892.
741 Bhattacharya, A., & Baker, N. E. (2012). The Role of the bHLH Protein Hairy in Morphogenetic
742   Furrow Progression in the Developing Drosophila Eye. *PLoS One, 7*(10), e47503.
743 Borod, E. R., & Heberlein, U. (1998). Mutual regulation of decapentaplegic and hedgehog
744   during the initiation of differentiation in the Drosophila retina. *Dev Biol, 197*(2), 187-197.
745 Bray, S. J. (2006). Notch signalling: a simple pathway becomes complex. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,*
746   *7*(9), 678-689.

Bray, S. J. (2016). Notch signalling in context. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 17*(11), 722-735.

Brown, N. L., Paddock, S. W., Sattler, C. A., Cronmiller, C., Thomas, B. J., & Carroll, S. B.
(1996). *daughterless* is required for *Drosophila* photoreceptor cell determination, eye
morphogenesis, and cell cycle progression. *Developmental Biology, 179*, 65-78.

Brown, N. L., Sattler, S. A., Paddock, S. W., & Carroll, S. B. (1995). *hairy* and *emc* negatively
regulate morphogenetic furrow progression in the developing *Drosophila* eye. *Cell, 80*,
879-887.

Cabrera, C. V., Alonso, M. C., & Huikeshoven, H. (1994). Regulation of scute function by
extramacrochaete in vitro and in vivo. *Development, 120*(12), 3595-3603.

Cadigan, K. M., Jou, A. D., & Nusse, R. (2002). Wingless blocks bristle formation and
morphogenetic furrow progression in the eye through repression of Daughterless.
Development, 129(14), 3393-3402.

Chang, J. L., Chang, M. V., Barolo, S., & Cadigan, K. M. (2008). Regulation of the feedback
antagonist naked cuticle by Wingless signaling. *Dev Biol, 321*(2), 446-454.

Coelho, C. M., Kolevski, B., Bunn, C., Walker, C., Dahanukar, A., & Leevers, S. J. (2005).
Growth and cell survival are unevenly impaired in pixie mutant wing discs. *Development,
132*(24), 5411-5424.

Colon-Plaza, S., & Su, T. T. (2022). Non-Apoptotic Role of Apoptotic Caspases in the
Drosophila Nervous System. *Front Cell Dev Biol, 10*, 839358.

Cooper, M. T. D., & Bray, S. J. (2000). R7 photoreceptor specification requires N activity.
Current Biology, 10, 1507-1510.

Daskalaki, A., Shalaby, N. A., Kux, K., Tsoumpekos, G., Tsibidis, G. D., Muskavitch, M. A., et
al. (2011). Distinct intracellular motifs of Delta mediate its ubiquitylation and activation
by Mindbomb1 and Neuralized. *J Cell Biol, 195*(6), 1017-1031.

Dennis, D. J., Han, S., & Schuurmans, C. (2019). bHLH transcription factors in neural
development, disease, and reprogramming. *Brain Res, 1705*, 48-65.

Ellis, H. M. (1994). Embryonic expression and function of the Drosophila helix-loop-helix gene,
extramacrochaetae. *Mech Dev, 47*(1), 65-72.

Ellis, H. M., Spann, D. R., & Posakony, J. W. (1990). extramacrochaetae, a negative regulator of
sensory organ development in Drosophila, defines a new class of helix-loop-helix
proteins. *Cell, 61*(1), 27-38.

Firth, L. C., Bhattacharya, A., & Baker, N. E. (2010). Cell cycle arrest by a gradient of Dpp
signaling during Drosophila eye development. *BMC Dev Biol, 10*, 28.

Flores, G., Duan, H., Yan, H., Nagaraj, R., Fu, W., Zou, Y., et al. (2000). Combinatorial
signaling in the specification of unique cell fates. *Cell, 103*, 75-85.

Fu, W., & Baker, N. E. (2003). Deciphering synergistic and redundant roles of Hedgehog,
Decapentaplegic and Delta that drive the wave of differentiation in Drosophila eye
development. *Development, 130*(21), 5229-5239.

Fuchs, Y., & Steller, H. (2011). Programmed cell death in animal development and disease. *Cell,
147*(4), 742-758.

Garcia-Alonso, L., & Garcia-Bellido, A. (1988). Extramacrochaetae a trans-acting gene of the
Achaete-Scyte complex of *Drosophila* involved in cell communication. *Roux's Archives
of Developmental Biology, 197*, 328-338.

Garrell, J., & Modolell, J. (1990). The Drosophila extramacrochaetae locus, an antagonist of
proneural genes that, like these genes, encodes a helix-loop-helix protein. *Cell, 61*(1), 39-
48.

Golic, K. G. (1991). Site-specific recombination between homologous chromosomes in
794   Drosophila. *Science, 252*(5008), 958-961.
795   Hawkins, C. J., Yoo, S. J., Peterson, E. P., Wang, S. L., Vernooy, S. Y., & Hay, B. A. (2000).
796   The Drosophila caspase DRONC cleaves following glutamate or aspartate and is
797   regulated by DIAP1, HID, and GRIM. *J Biol Chem, 275*(35), 27084-27093.
798   Hawkins, C. J., Yoo, S. J., Peterson, E. P., Wang, S. L., Vernooy, S. Y., & Hay, B. A. (2000).
799   The *Drosophila*caspase DRONC cleaves following glutamate or aspartate and is
800   regulated by DIAP1, HID, and GRIM. *Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275*, 27084-
801   27093.
802   Hay, B. A., Wolff, T., & Rubin, G. M. (1994). Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death
803   in *Drosophila. Development, 120*, 2121-2129.
804   Heberlein, U., Singh, C. M., Luk, A. Y., & Donohoe, T. J. (1995). Growth and differentiation in
805   the *Drosophila* eye coordinated by *hedgehog. Nature, 373*, 709-711.
806   Heberlein, U., Wolff, T., & Rubin, G. M. (1993). The TGF beta homolog dpp and the segment
807   polarity gene hedgehog are required for propagation of a morphogenetic wave in the
808   Drosophila retina. *Cell, 75*(5), 913-926.
809   Heberlein, U., Wolff, T., & Rubin, G. M. (1993). The TGF-ß homolog *dpp* and the segment
810   polarity gene *hedgehog* are required for propagation of a morphogenetic wave in the
811   *Drosophila* retina. *Cell, 75*, 913-926.
812   Hepker, J., Wang, Q.-T., Motzny, C., Holmgren, R., & Orenic, T. V. (1997). *Drosophila cubitus*
813   *interruptus* forms a negative feedback loop with *patched* and regulates expression of
814   Hedgehog target genes. *Development, 124*, 549-558.
815   Ishibashi, T., Hatori, R., Maeda, R., Nakamura, M., Taguchi, T., Matsuyama, Y., et al. (2019). E
816   and ID proteins regulate cell chirality and left-right asymmetric development in
817   Drosophila. *Genes Cells, 24*(3), 214-230.
818   Jacobsen, T. L., Brennan, K., Martinez-Arias, A., & Muskavitch, M. A. (1998). Cis-interactions
819   between Delta and Notch modulate neurogenic signalling in *Drosophila. Development,*
820   *125*, 4531-4540.
821   Jarman, A. P., Grell, E. H., Ackerman, L., Jan, L. Y., & Jan, Y. N. (1994). *atonal* is the proneural
822   gene for *Drosophila* photoreceptors. *Nature, 369*, 398-400.
823   Jennings, B., Preiss, A., Delidakis, C., & Bray, S. (1994). The Notch signalling pathway is
824   required for Enhancer of split bHLH protein expression during neurogenesis in the
825   Drosophila embryo. *Development, 120*(12), 3537-3548.
826   Jimenez, F., & Campos-Ortega, J. A. (1987). Genes in subdivision 1B of the *Drosophila*
827   *melanogaster* X-chromosome and their influence on neural development. *Journal of*
828   *Neurogenetics, 4*, 179-200.
829   Johnson, J. E. (2020). bHLH factors in neurogenesis and neuronal subtype specification. In S.
830   Temple & Q. Shen (Eds.), *Patterning and cell type specification in the developing CNS*
831   *and PNS*: Academic Press.
832   Kale, A., Li, W., Lee, C. H., & Baker, N. E. (2015). Apoptotic mechanisms during competition
833   of ribosomal protein mutant cells: roles of the initiator caspases Dronc and Dream/Strica.
834   *Cell Death Differ, 22*(8), 1300-1312.
835   Kanuka, H., Kuranaga, E., Takemoto, K., Hiratou, T., Okano, H., & Miura, M. (2005).
836   Drosophila caspase transduces Shaggy/GSK-3beta kinase activity in neural precursor
837   development. *EMBO J, 24*(21), 3793-3806.

Kuranaga, E., & Miura, M. (2007). Nonapoptotic functions of caspases: caspases as regulatory
molecules for immunity and cell-fate determination. *Trends Cell Biol, 17*(3), 135-144.

Lee, J. D., & Treisman, J. E. (2002). Regulators of the morphogenetic furrow. *Results Probl Cell
Differ, 37*, 21-33.

Li, K., & Baker, N. E. (2018). Regulation of the Drosophila ID protein Extra macrochaetae by
proneural dimerization partners. *Elife, 7*, e33967.

Li, W., & Baker, N. E. (2007). Engulfment is required for cell competition. *Cell, 129*(6), 1215-
1225.

Li, X., Wang, J., & Shi, Y. (2011). Structural mechanisms of DIAP1 auto-inhibition and DIAP1-
mediated inhibition of drICE. *Nat Commun, 2*, 408.

Li, X. Y., MacArthur, S., Bourgon, R., Nix, D., Pollard, D. A., Iyer, V. N., et al. (2008).
Transcription factors bind thousands of active and inactive regions in the Drosophila
blastoderm. *PLoS Biol, 6*(2), e27.

Li, Y., & Baker, N. E. (2001). Proneural enhancement by Notch overcomes Suppressor-of-
Hairless repressor function in the developing *Drosophila* eye. *Current Biology, 11*, 330-
338.

Li, Y., & Baker, N. E. (2004). The roles of cis-inactivation by Notch ligands and of *neuralized*
during eye and bristle patterning in *Drosophila*. *BioMed Central Developmental Biology,
4*, 5.

Ling, F., Kang, B., & Sun, X. H. (2014). Id proteins: small molecules, mighty regulators. *Curr
Top Dev Biol, 110*, 189-216.

Little, J. C., Garcia-Garcia, E., Sul, A., & Kalderon, D. (2020). Drosophila hedgehog can act as a
morphogen in the absence of regulated Ci processing. *Elife, 9*.

Ma, C., & Moses, K. (1995). Wingless and patched are negative regulators of the morphogenetic
furrow and can affect tissue polarity in the developing Drosophila compound eye.
Development, 121, 2279-2289.

Ma, C., Zhou, Y., Beachy, P. A., & Moses, K. (1993). The segment polarity gene hedgehog is
required for progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the developing Drosophila eye.
Cell, 75(5), 927-938.

Massari, M. E., & Murre, C. (2000). Helix-loop-helix proteins: regulators of transcription in
eucaryotic organisms. *Mol Cell Biol, 20*(2), 429-440.

Maurel-Zaffran, C., & Treisman, J. E. (2000). pannier acts upstream of wingless to direct dorsal
eye disc development in Drosophila. *Development, 127*(5), 1007-1016.

Meier, P., Silke, J., Leevers, S. J., & Evan, G. I. (2000). The Drosophila caspase DRONC is
regulated by DIAP1. *EMBO J, 19*(4), 598-611.

Micchelli, C. A., Rulifson, E. J., & Blair, S. S. (1997). The function and regulation of cut
expression on the wing margin of Drosophila: Notch, Wingless and a dominant negative
role for Delta and Serrate. *Development, 124*, 1485-1495.

Miller, A. C., Lyons, E. L., & Herman, T. G. (2009). cis-Inhibition of Notch by endogenous
Delta biases the outcome of lateral inhibition. *Curr Biol, 19*(16), 1378-1383.

Motzny, C. K., & Holmgren, R. (1995). The *Drosophila* cubitus interruptus protein and its role
in the *wingless* and *hedgehog* signal transduction pathways. *Mechanisms of
Development, 52*, 137-150.

Muro, I., Hay, B. A., & Clem, R. J. (2002). The Drosophila DIAP1 protein is required to prevent
accumulation of a continuously generated, processed form of the apical caspase DRONC.
J Biol Chem, 277(51), 49644-49650.

Nakajima, Y. I., & Kuranaga, E. (2017). Caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes in
development. *Cell Death Differ, 24*(8), 1422-1430.
Norton, J. D. (2000). ID helix-loop-helix proteins in cell growth, differentiation and
tumorigenesis. *J Cell Sci, 113 (Pt 22)*, 3897-3905.
Ohlmeyer, J. T., & Kalderon, D. (1998). Hedgehog stimulates maturation of Cubitus interruptus
into a labile transcriptional activator. *Nature, 396*(6713), 749-753.
Oproescu, A. M., Han, S., & Schuurmans, C. (2021). New Insights Into the Intricacies of
Proneural Gene Regulation in the Embryonic and Adult Cerebral Cortex. *Front Mol*
Neurosci, 14, 642016.
Ou, C. Y., Lin, Y. F., Chen, D. F., & Chien, C. T. (2002). Distinct protein degradation
mechanisms mediated by Cul1 and Cul3 controlling Ci stability in *Drosophila* eye
development. *Genes and Development, 16*, 2403-2414.
Parks, A. L., Turner, R. F., & Muskavitch, M. A. T. (1995). Relationships between complex
Delta expression and the specification of retinal fates during *Drosophila* eye
development. *Mechanisms of Development, 50*, 201-216.
Pignoni, F., & Zipursky, L. (1997). Induction of *Drosophila* eye development by
Decapentaplegic. *Development, 124*, 271-278.
Quinn, L. M., Dorstyn, L., Mills, K., Colussi, P. A., Chen, P., Coombe, M., et al. (2000). An
essential role for the caspase dronc in developmentally programmed cell death in
Drosophila. *J Biol Chem, 275*(51), 40416-40424.
Reddy, V., Bhattacharya, A., & Baker, N. E. The Id protein Extramacrochaetae restrains the E-
protein Daughterless to regulate Notch, Rap1, and Sevenless within the R7 equivalence
group of the Drosophila eye *Biology Open, submitted*.
Roschger, C., & Cabrele, C. (2017). The Id-protein family in developmental and cancer-
associated pathways. *Cell Commun Signal, 15*(1), 7.
Sato, A., Kojima, T., Ui-Tei, K., Miyata, Y., & Saigo, K. (1999). Dfrizzled-3, a new Drosophila
Wnt receptor, acting as an attenuator of Wingless signaling in wingless hypomorphic
mutants. *Development, 126*(20), 4421-4430.
Shi, W., Stampas, A., Zapata, C., & Baker, N. E. (2003). The pineapple eye gene is required for
survival of Drosophila imaginal disc cells. *Genetics, 165*(4), 1869-1879.
Singh, S., Sarkar, T., Jakubison, B., Gadomski, S., Spradlin, A., Gudmundsson, K. O., et al.
(2022). Inhibitor of DNA binding proteins revealed as orchestrators of steady state, stress
and malignant hematopoiesis. *Front Immunol, 13*, 934624.
Spratford, C. M., & Kumar, J. P. (2013). Extramacrochaetae imposes order on the Drosophila
eye by refining the activity of the Hedgehog signaling gradient. *Development, 140*(9),
1994-2004.
Struhl, G., & Greenwald, I. (1999). Presenilin is required for activity and nuclear access of Notch
in Drosophila. *Nature, 398*(6727), 522-525.
Su, T. T. (2020). Non-apoptotic roles of apoptotic proteases: new tricks for an old dog. *Open*
Biol, 10(8), 200130.
Tapadia, M. G., & Gautam, N. K. (2011). Non-apoptotic function of apoptotic proteins in the
development of Malpighian tubules of Drosophila melanogaster. *J Biosci, 36*(3), 531-
544.
Tomlinson, A., & Struhl, G. (2001). Delta/Notch and Boss/Sevenless signals act combinatorially
to specify the *Drosophila* R7 photoreceptor. *Molecular Cell, 7*, 487-495.

Treisman, J. E. (2013). Retinal differentiation in Drosophila. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol,*
2(4), 545-557.
Treisman, J. E., & Rubin, G. M. (1995). wingless inhibits morphogenetic furrow movement in
the Drosophila eye disc. *Development, 121*(11), 3519-3527.
Verghese, S., Bedi, S., & Kango-Singh, M. (2012). Hippo signalling controls Dronc activity to
regulate organ size in Drosophila. *Cell Death Differ, 19*(10), 1664-1676.
Vrailas, A. D., & Moses, K. (2006). Smoothened, thickveins and the genetic control of cell cycle
and cell fate in the developing Drosophila eye. *Mech Dev, 123*(2), 151-165.
Wang, L. H., & Baker, N. E. (2015a). E Proteins and ID Proteins: Helix-Loop-Helix Partners in
Development and Disease. *Dev Cell, 35*(3), 269-280.
Wang, L. H., & Baker, N. E. (2015b). Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway in a developmental
checkpoint monitoring helix-loop-helix proteins. *Dev Cell, 32*(2), 191-202.
Wang, L. H., & Baker, N. E. (2018). Spatial regulation of expanded transcription in the
Drosophila wing imaginal disc. *PLoS One, 13*(7), e0201317.
Wang, L. H., & Baker, N. E. (2019). Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway regulates sensory organ
development via caspase-dependent nonapoptotic signaling. *Cell Death Dis, 10*(9), 669.
Wang, M., Zhao, X. M., Tan, H., Akutsu, T., Whisstock, J. C., & Song, J. (2014). Cascleave 2.0,
a new approach for predicting caspase and granzyme cleavage targets. *Bioinformatics,*
30(1), 71-80.
Weinberger, S., Topping, M. P., Yan, J., Claeys, A., De Geest, N., Ozbay, D., et al. (2017).
Evolutionary changes in transcription factor coding sequence quantitatively alter sensory
organ development and function. *Elife, 6*.
White, K., Grether, M. E., Abrams, J. M., Young, L., Farrell, K., & Steller, H. (1994). Genetic
control of programmed cell death in Drosophila. *Science, 264*(5159), 677-683.
Wilson, R., Goyal, L., Ditzel, M., Zachariou, A., Baker, D. A., Agapite, J., et al. (2002). The
DIAP1 RING finger mediates ubiquitination of Dronc and is indispensable for regulating
apoptosis. *Nat Cell Biol, 4*(6), 445-450.
Xu, T., & Rubin, G. M. (1993). Analysis of genetic mosaics in developing and adult Drosophila
tissues. *Development, 117*(4), 1223-1237.
Xue, D., & Horvitz, H. R. (1995). Inhibition of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* cell-death protease
CED-3 by a CED-3 cleavage site in baculovirus p35 protein. *Nature, 377*, 248-251.
Yamaguchi, Y., & Miura, M. (2015). Programmed Cell Death and Caspase Functions During
Neural Development. *Curr Top Dev Biol, 114*, 159-184.
Yan, N., Wu, J. W., Chai, J., Li, W., & Shi, Y. (2004). Molecular mechanisms of DrICE
inhibition by DIAP1 and removal of inhibition by Reaper, Hid and Grim. *Nat Struct Mol*
Biol, 11(5), 420-428.
Ye, Y., Lukinova, N., & Fortini, M. E. (1999). Neurogenic phenotypes and altered Notch
processing in Drosophila Presenilin mutants. *Nature, 398*(6727), 525-529.
Yoo, S. J., Huh, J. R., Muro, I., Yu, H., Wang, L., Wang, S. L., et al. (2002). Hid, Rpr, and Grim
negatively regulate DIAP1 levels through distinct mechanisms. *Nature Cell Biology, 4*,
416-424.
Zeng, W., Wharton, K. A., Mack, J. A., Wang, K., Gadbaw, M., Suyama, K., et al. (2000). *naked*
cuticle encodes an inducible antagonist of Wnt signalling. *Nature, 403*, 789-795.
972