Extramacrochaetae regulates Notch signaling in the *Drosophila* eye through non-apoptotic caspase activity

Sudershana Nair[1,4]
Nicholas E. Baker[1,2,3,5,6]

1. Department of Genetics
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
1300 Morris Park Avenue
Bronx
NY 10461

2. Department of Developmental and Molecular Biology
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
1300 Morris Park Avenue
Bronx
NY 10461

3. Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
1300 Morris Park Avenue
Bronx
NY 10461

4. Present address: Department of Neuroscience and Physiology
NYU School of Medicine
435 East 30th St
New York, NY

5. Present address: Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics
University of California, Irvine
2011 Biological Sciences 3
Irvine, CA 92697-2300

6. Author for correspondence: nebaker@uci.edu

41   **Abstract**
42
Many cell fate decisions are determined transcriptionally. Accordingly, some fate specification is
prevented by Inhibitor of DNA binding (Id) proteins that interfere with DNA binding by master
regulatory transcription factors. We show that the *Drosophila* Id protein Extra macrochaetae
(Emc) also affect developmental decisions by regulating caspase activity. Emc, which prevents
proneural bHLH transcription factors from specifying neural cell fate, also prevents
homodimerization of another bHLH protein, Daughterless (Da), and thereby maintains
expression of the *Death-Associated Inhibitor of Apoptosis* (*diap1*) gene. We found that multiple
effects of *emc* mutations on cell growth and on eye development were all caused by reduced
Diap1 levels and corresponding activation of caspases. These effects included acceleration of the
morphogenetic furrow, failure of R7 photoreceptor cell specification, and delayed differentiation
of non-neuronal cone cells. Within *emc* mutant clones, Notch signaling was elevated in the
morphogenetic furrow, increasing morphogenetic furrow speed. This was associated with
caspase-dependent increase in levels of Delta protein, the transmembrane ligand for Notch.
Posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, elevated Delta cis-inhibited Notch signaling that was
required for R7 specification and cone cell differentiation. Thus, *emc* mutations reveal the
importance of restraining caspase activity even in non-apoptotic cells to prevent abnormal
development, in the *Drosophila* eye through effects on Notch signaling.
60
61

Introduction

Inhibitor of DNA binding proteins (Id proteins), which are HLH proteins lacking basic DNA-binding sequences, form inactive heterodimers with proneural bHLH proteins, preventing DNA binding and transcriptional regulation of target genes (Benezra et al., 1990; Cabrera et al., 1994; Ellis, 1994; Ellis et al., 1990; Garrell and Modolell, 1990; Norton, 2000). Accordingly, mutations in Id protein genes, of which there are 4 in mammals and one in *Drosophila*, permit enhanced proneural bHLH function, deregulating neurogenesis at many developmental stages and in many tissues (Ling et al., 2014; Oproescu et al., 2021; Roschger and Cabrele, 2017; Wang and Baker, 2015a).

Is this the only mechanism of Id protein function? Not all Id gene mutant phenotypes resemble gain of proneural gene function (Ling et al., 2014; Wang and Baker, 2015a). The sole *Drosophila* Id protein gene *extra macrochaetae* (*emc*) is required for normal growth of imaginal discs (Garcia-Alonso and Garcia-Bellido, 1988). Imaginal discs are larval progenitors of adult tissues and remain proliferated and undifferentiated until late in the final larval instar; no proneural gene is active in these proliferating cells. A second example is that *emc* is required for ovarian follicle cell development (Adam and Montell, 2004), which does not depend on proneural bHLH genes. In *Drosophila* eye development, *emc* is required for the specification of the R7 photoreceptor cells and the non-neuronal cone cells, neither of which depends on any known proneural bHLH gene, and also restrains the rate at which the morphogenetic furrow, a wave of fate specification that traverses across the eye imaginal disc as retinal patterning and differentiation begin (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2009; Brown et al., 1995).

Insights into how Emc acts independently of proneural genes have emerged from several studies. Regarding the proper proliferation of imaginal disc cells (Garcia-Alonso and Garcia-Bellido, 1988), independence of imaginal disc growth from proneural bHLH genes is confirmed by the lack of requirement for *da*, the only E protein in *Drosophila* (Andrade-Zapata and Baonza, 2014; Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011). E proteins are ubiquitously expressed bHLH proteins that are heterodimer partners for proneural bHLH proteins. Proneural bHLH proteins cannot function without Da, so normal growth of *da* mutant cells implies independence from all Da heterodimer partners. It is ectopic Da activity that causes poor growth in *emc* mutant cells, because normal growth is restored in *emc da* double mutant cells (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011). Elevated Da levels are elevated activate transcription of *expanded* (*ex*), a component of the Salvador-Warts-Hippo (SWH) tumor suppressor pathway to inhibit growth of imaginal disc cells (Wang and Baker, 2015b).

In the course of these studies, we noticed that *ex* mutations affected the number of sensory organ precursor (SOP) cells in the thorax (Wang and Baker, 2015b). This was due to activity of Yorkie (Yki), the transcription factor target of SHW signals, in the *ex* mutant cells. This in turn increased transcription of a direct Yki target gene, *Death-Associated Inhibitor of Apoptosis 1* (*diap1*) (Wang and Baker, 2019). Diap1 is a ubiquitin ligase that prevents accumulation of a processed form of Dronc, an apical caspase in *Drosophila* (Hawkins et al., 2000b; Meier et al., 2000a). Caspases are proteases that drive apoptosis by cleaving cellular substrates. They are expressed as inactive zymogens that are then activated by signals (initiator caspases) or by cleavage by caspases (effector caspases) in a feed-forward process that is

expected to kill cells rapidly once a threshold of caspase activity has been crossed (Fuchs and
Steller, 2011). Caspases can also mediate non-apoptotic processes. What differentiates apoptotic
from non-apoptotic outcomes is uncertain, as the same caspase cascade of initiator and effector
caspases seems to be involved (Aram et al., 2017; Baena-Lopez et al., 2018; Colon-Plaza and Su,
2022; Kanuka et al., 2005; Kuranaga and Miura, 2007; Nakajima and Kuranaga, 2017; Su,
2020). In *ex* mutants, the increased *diap1* transcription does not affect cell death much but causes
an increase in *wg* signaling activity (Wang and Baker, 2019). Wg signaling promotes SOP cell
determination in the thorax, and it has been shown previously that Wg signaling is antagonized
by caspases, through a mechanism that is non-apoptotic but whose direct target is not yet certain
(Baker, 1988; Kanuka et al., 2005; Wang and Baker, 2019).

Since *emc* mutations elevate *ex* expression (Wang and Baker, 2015b), we wondered
whether *emc* also changes Diap1 levels and affects caspase activities. Increased *ex* expression
would be expected to reduce transcription of the *diap1* gene and potentially increase caspase
activity, the opposite of what occurs in *ex* mutants. Remarkably, we found that caspase activity
was responsible for all the aspects of the *emc* phenotype that we tested. This included reduced
growth of imaginal disc cells, accelerated morphogenetic furrow progression, and loss of R7 and
cone cells fates in the eye. The effects on eye development all reflected caspase-dependent
expression of the Notch ligand Delta, which is known to contribute to morphogenetic furrow
progression, and R7 and cone cell fate specification. Thus, caspase-dependent non-apoptotic
signaling underlies multiple roles of *emc* that are independent of proneural bHLH proteins.

125
126
127 **Materials and Methods**
128
129 **Drosophila Strains**
130
The following stocks were employed in this study and were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise
stated - *hsflp;;emc^{AP6} FRT80/TM6B, hsflp;;dronc^{i29}FRT80/TM6B, hsflp;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6},*
hsflp;;Df(3L)H99/TM3, hsflp;;Df(3L)H99 emc^{AP6}/TM3, Ubi-GFP M(3)67C FRT80, FRT42
M(2)56F Ubi-GFP, FRT82 M(3)95A Ubi-GFP, hsflp;; Ubi-GFPFRT80, hsflp; Su(H)^{Δ47}FRT40,
pie^{eB3} FRT40 (Baker et al., 1992), *psn[v1]FRT80/TM6B, Fz3-RFP*;D/TM6B (kind gift from Yu
Kimata, University of Cambridge). We obtained genomic Ci construct from Kalderon lab and
used BestGene Inc. to target the transgene on the third chromosome and then recombined these
flies to generate *hsflp;;ci+M(3)67Calz FRT80/TM6B;ci94/y+spa.*
139
Mosaic Analysis
Mosaic clones were obtained using FLP/FRT mediated mitotic recombination (Golic, 1991; Xu
and Rubin, 1993). For non-Minute genotypes, larvae were subjected to heat shock for 30 minutes
at 37°C, 60 ± 12 hours after egg laying. For Minute genotypes, heat shock was performed 84 ±
12 hours after egg laying for 50 minutes. Larvae were dissected 72 hours after heat shock. All
flies were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise stated.

Clonal growth measurements

Clone and twin-spot areas were measured by tracing in ImageJ. To quantify the growth effects of
various genotypes, the sum of clone areas per wing disc was divided by the sum of twin spot
areas in the same wing disc. This avoids any subjectivity in identifying individual clones and
assigning them to individual twin spots. Clone/Twin-spot ratios were log-transformed to ensure
normality before statistical analysis.

Immunohistochemistry and histology

Unless otherwise noted, preparation of eye and wing imaginal discs for immunostaining and
confocal imaging were performed as described previously (Baker et al., 2014). Antibodies from
Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB): anti-Ptc (mouse, 1:40), anti-Elav (mouse,
1:100), anti-Elav (rat, 1:50, anti-Cut (mouse, 1:50), anti-Delta C594.9B (mouse, 1:2000), anti-
βGal (mouse, 1:100), mouse anti-βGal (1:100, DSHB 40-1a) and anti-Ci (rat, 1:10). Other
antibodies: anti-phospho-Smad1/5 (rabbit, 1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-DIAP1 (rabbit, 1:50) (gift
from Hyun Don Ryoo, NYU), anti-Dcp1 (rabbit, 1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-Sens (guinea pig, a
gift from Hugo Bellen used at 1:50), anti-Da (mouse, 1:200), rabbit anti-Emc (1:40), anti-GFP
(rat, 1:50 from Nacalai Tesque # GF090R), rabbit anti-GFP (1:500), rabbit anti-ß-Galactosidase
(1:100, Cappel), E(spl)bHLH (1:50,mAb323) and guinea pig anti-runt (1:500). Secondary
antibodies conjugated with Cy2, Cy3 and Cy5 dyes (1:200) were from Jackson ImmunoResearch
Laboratories and Alexa 555 (1:500). Multi-labelling images were sequentially scanned with
Leica SP8 confocal microscopes and were projected and processed with ImageJ. All images were
assembled into figure format using Adobe Illustrator 2020.
167
168

Quantifying immunofluorescence

Anti-DIAP1 and anti-Dl labeling were quantified within clones using the average density
measurement in Image J and normalized to control regions in the same tissue. For anti-DIAP1
labeling, in particular, we lack any measurement of any non-specific background labeling that
may occur. Differences in Diap1 levels between genotypes may be underestimated if a
component of the labeling is non-specific.

175
176
177 **Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay**
178
For labeling dead cells with TUNEL assay, ApopTag® Red In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Cat
#S7165) was used according to manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, dissected eye discs were
fixed for 20 minutes at room temperature followed by three washes with 1X PBS. Then the
samples were incubated in equilibration buffer for 1 minute followed by incubation in reaction
buffer (TdT enzyme; ratio 7:3) at 37 °C for 1 hour. TdT reaction mix was replaced with stop
buffer (diluted 1:34 in dH2O) and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were
washed three times with 1X PBS, 5 min per wash; and incubated with anti-digoxigenin antibody
solution (diluted 31:34 in blocking solution) for 30 min at room temperature. The samples were
then washed three times in 1X PBS, 5 min per wash. For the subsequent antibody staining, the
samples were blocked in PBST (1X PBS + 0.5% Triton-X) for 30 min, and incubated with

primary antibodies in PBST overnight at 4 °C. The samples were next washed with PBST and
incubated for 2 h with secondary antibodies in PBST, and then again washed with PBST,
followed by PBS wash and samples were mounted in mounting media.
192
193
194   **Statistical analysis**
195
196   Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7. The statistical tests used are
197   described in the figure legends. Statistical significance is shown as follows; n.s., $P > 0.05$; *$P <$
198   0.05; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$.
199
200   **Prediction of caspase cleavage sites**
201
202   Caspase cleavage sites were predicted for Delta using Cascleave 2.0(Wang et al., 2014).
203   Cascleave 2.0 was set to a medium stringency threshold for prediction of cleavage sites.
204
205
206   **Results**
207
208   **Caspase activity causes growth defects in *emc* mutants**
209
To determine the contribution of caspases to growth inhibition in *emc* mutant cells, we
used the FLP/FRT system (Xu and Rubin, 1993) to generate clones of *emc* mutant cells that were
unable to activate caspases normally. We achieved this by homozygously deleting the linked
reaper (*rpr*), *head involution defective* (*hid*) and *grim* genes using *Df(3L)H99*. Rpr, Hid and
Grim proteins promote Diap1 degradation in response to apoptotic stimuli and allow activation
of initiator caspases such as *dronc* to start apoptosis (Yoo et al., 2002). By removing all three
pro-apoptotic proteins, *Df(3L)H99* affects both apoptotic and non-apoptotic caspase functions
in *Drosophila* (Tapadia and Gautam, 2011; White et al., 1994). Thus, clones of *emc Df(3L)H99*
mutant cells should be defective for caspase activation. In addition, we also made clones of *emc*
mutant cells also mutated for *dronc*, which encodes the main initiator caspase in *Drosophila* that
is necessary for most developmental apoptosis (Hawkins et al., 2000a; Meier et al., 2000b; Quinn
et al., 2000). Dronc contributes to non-apoptotic caspase functions as well, so *emc dronc* mutant
cells should also show reduced non-apoptotic and well as apoptotic caspase functions.
In comparison to neutral clones, which grew equivalently to their twin-spot controls, *emc*
mutant clones showed greatly reduced growth in eye or wing imaginal discs, as described
previously (Figures 1A-D) (Andrade-Zapata and Baonza, 2014; Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011;
Garcia-Alonso and Garcia-Bellido, 1988). By contrast, *emc* mutant clones that were also mutant
for *dronc*, or *emc Df(3L)H99* clones that lacked the *rpr*, *grim* and *hid* genes, grew more like their
twin spot controls in both eye and wing (Figures 1E-H). Deletion of the *rpr*, *hid* and *grim* genes
restored growth indistinguishable from the wild type to *emc* clones, although mutation of *dronc*
rescued less completely (see Figure 1N for quantification). Neither homozygosity for *dronc*, nor
deletion of *rpr*, *grim* and *hid*, affected growth of otherwise wild type imaginal disc clones
(Figure 1I-L).
Previous studies of *emc* phenotypes have often used Minute genetic backgrounds (i.e.
heterozygosity for mutations in *Rp* genes) to retard growth and enhance the size of the *emc*

mutant clones (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2009). When *emc Df(3L)H99* clones were induced in the
M(3)67C background, the clones took over almost the entire disc, leaving only a few *M(3)67C*
heterozygous cells remaining (Figure 1M). The growth advantage of *emc dronc* clones was not
so marked.
These results indicate that the growth disadvantage of *emc* mutant imaginal disc clones is
mostly attributable to cell death genes. Preventing caspase activation, either by mutating the
main initiator caspase, or by preventing Diap1 turnover, partially or completed restored normal
growth. Our data did not support a previous suggestion that *dronc* was required for normal wing
disc growth (Verghese et al., 2012).
244
245 **Emc regulates furrow progression through non-apoptotic caspase activity**
246
Emc mutations have multiple effects on the eye imaginal disc, although only a few
aspects of retinal differentiation depend on proneural bHLH genes. The proneural gene *atonal* is
required, along with *da*, for the specification of R8 photoreceptor precursors in the
morphogenetic furrow that initiate each ommatidial cluster in the larval eye disc (Brown et al.,
1996; Jarman et al., 1994). Later, during pupal development, proneural genes of the Achaete-
Scute gene Complex (AS-C), along with *da*, are required for the specification of the
interommatidial bristles (Cadigan et al., 2002). All the other cell types develop independently of
proneural bHLH genes, and most of them develop independently of *da* (Brown et al., 1996;
Jimenez and Campos-Ortega, 1987).
In *emc* mutant clones, retinal differentiation begins precociously, associated with more
rapid transit of the morphogenetic furrow across the disc (Figure 2A, B) (Bhattacharya and
Baker, 2011, 2012; Brown et al., 1995). By contrast, we found that 75% of the time, the
morphogenetic furrow progressed normally through *emc dronc* double mutant clones (Figure
2C). Eye discs containing *emc Df(3L)H99* double mutant clones always appeared completely
normal (Figure 2D). Both *dronc* and *Df(3L)H99* mutant clones also showed normal furrow
progression in the absence of *emc* mutations (Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1A,B).
In addition, *emc* mutant clones also exhibit sporadic ectopic differentiation of neurons
anterior to the morphogenetic furrow, which do not take photoreceptor cell fate (Figure
2A,B).(Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011; Brown et al., 1995). This was likewise absent from *emc*
dronc and *emc Df(3L)H99* double mutant clones (Figure 2C,D).
The overall pattern of retinal differentiation revealed by labeling for Senseless, which is
specific for R8 photoreceptor cells in the retina, and Elav, which labels all neuronal
photoreceptor cells, appeared so normal in these genotypes that we deemed it necessary to
confirm that the supposedly *emc dronc* mutant and *emc Df(3L)H99* mutant clones were indeed
mutated for *emc*. This was confirmed by lack of staining by an antibody against Emc protein,
similar to plain *emc* mutant clones that did affect the morphogenetic furrow (Figure 2 Figure
supplement 1C-E). We also found that *emc dronc* and *emc Df(3L)H99* clones upregulated Da
protein expression to a comparable degree to plain *emc* mutant clones (Figure 2 Figure
Supplement 1C-E) (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011). This further confirmed the absence of *emc*
function from *emc dronc* mutant and *emc Df(3L)H99* genotypes, and also showed that cell death
pathways were not required for the regulation of Da protein levels by *emc*.
We have previously concluded that *diap1* transcription is reduced in *emc* mutant clones,
and in Da-overexpressing cells, due to Yki inhibition downstream of *ex* (Wang and Baker,
2015b). In eye imaginal discs, Diap1 protein was normally present uniformly (Figure 3A).

Diap1 protein levels were cell-autonomously reduced in *emc* mutant clones posterior to the
morphogenetic furrow, compared to *emc*/+ cells in the same eye discs, and shown and quantified
in (Figure 3B, E). A comparable reduction was also seen in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones, compared to
emc/+ *Df(3L)H99*/+ cells in the same eye discs (Figure 3C,E). There was also no difference in
Diap1 levels between *Df(3L)H99* homozygous clones and *Df(3L)H99*/+ cells in the same eye
discs (Figure 3D,E). These data suggest *emc* affects Diap1 protein levels, whereas *rpr*, *grim* and
hid affect Diap1 protein activity. A caveat is that Diap1 levels in mutant clones were not
compared directly to wild type cells, none of which were present in the same eye discs, and that
Df(3L)H99 might affect Diap1 protein levels dominantly. Interestingly, we did not detect *emc*-
dependent changes in Diap1 levels in wing discs (Figure 3 Figure supplement 1B).
To test the role of Diap1 in the eye directly, *diap1* was over-expressed in the posterior
eye by transcription under GMR-Gal4 control. This rescued morphogenetic furrow speed to
normal in *emc* clones (Figure 3 Figure supplement 2B). We also saw restoration of
morphogenetic furrow speed in *emc* clones that expressed Baculovirus P35 under GMR-Gal4
control (Figure 3 Figure supplement 2A). Baculovirus P35 encodes a caspase pseudo-substrate
that inhibits all *Drosophila* caspases except Dronc (Hawkins et al., 2000b; Hay et al., 1994;
Meier et al., 2000b; Xue and Horvitz, 1995). The *emc* clones expressing p35 also lacked cell
death (Supplemental Figure 2D). The restoration of morphogenetic furrow speed by Diap1, a
dronc antagonist, as well as by the caspase inhibitor P35, suggest that the effect of
morphogenetic furrow acceleration in *emc* mutant clones is due to the caspase cascade.
To test whether reduced Diap1 expression promoted apoptosis and thereby accelerated
the morphogenetic furrow, we assessed apoptosis levels by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase
dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) of eye discs containing mutant clones. We found almost no
cell death posterior to the furrow in *emc* clones (Figure 3 Figure supplement 2D), and cell death
in *emc* clones anterior to the furrow was comparable to controls and less than that of cells
surrounding the clones (Figure 3 Figure supplement 2C). Some cell death is expected outside
emc or control clones, as these cells have the *M* background that is itself associated with an
increase in apoptosis(Coelho et al., 2005; Kale et al., 2015; Li and Baker, 2007). Similar to
TUNEL, we found no cell death in *emc* clones posterior the furrow with Dcp1 staining (Figure 3
Figure supplement 3B).To test whether apoptosis in the eye disc would be sufficient to promote
morphogenetic furrow progression, we generated mosaic clones for a *pineapple eye (pie)*
mutation. These *pie* mutant cells have an elevated rate of apoptosis in imaginal discs, but not
sufficient to prevent *pie* homozygous clones surviving late into larval and even adult life (Shi et
al., 2003). The rate of morphogenetic furrow progression was unaffected in eye discs containing
pie clones (Figure 3 Figure supplement 3A). Because no excess cell death was detected in *emc*
clones, and cell death was insufficient to accelerate the morphogenetic furrow in otherwise wild
type eye discs, *emc* clones might be affected by a non-apoptotic caspase activity.
318
319 **Wingless and Dpp signaling are unaffected by *emc***
320
To understand how caspases could affect the speed of the morphogenetic furrow, we
analyzed pathways known to contribute. Hedgehog (Hh) and Decapentaplegic (Dpp) signaling
drive this differentiation wave, along with a contribution from Notch signaling (Baonza and
Freeman, 2001b; Borod and Heberlein, 1998; Fu and Baker, 2003; Heberlein et al., 1993a; Ma et
al., 1993). A negative regulator of morphogenetic furrow progression is Wingless (Wg), which is

expressed at the dorsal and ventral eye disc margins (Lee and Treisman, 2002; Maurel-Zaffran
and Treisman, 2000).
Because we found that *ex* mutations affected thoracic bristle patterning through a
caspase-dependent non-apoptotic effect on Wg signaling (Wang and Baker, 2019), we looked
first to see whether *emc* mutations reduced Wg signaling in the eye. We used Frizzled-3 RFP
(Fz3-RFP) as a reporter (Sato et al., 1999). In control eye discs, the Fz3-RFP recapitulates the
pattern of endogenous Wg signaling activity at the wing margins (Figure 4 figure supplement 1)
(Treisman and Rubin, 1995). Frizzled-3 RFP expression was not changed in *emc* clones (Figure
4A). We then used a mutation in *naked cuticle* (*nkd*), encoding a negative feedback regulator of
Wg signaling, to modulate Wg signaling (Chang et al., 2008; Zeng et al., 2000). If the
morphogenetic furrow was accelerated in *emc* mutant clones due to reduced Wg signaling, more
normal development should occur in *emc nkd* clones. The morphogenetic furrow was still
accelerated in *emc nkd* clones, however (Figure 4B). These results provided no evidence that Wg
signaling was the relevant *emc* target in the eye.
We also examined Dpp signaling, since ectopic Dpp signaling is sufficient to accelerate
the morphogenetic furrow (Pignoni and Zipursky, 1997). The pattern of pMad, a readout of Dpp
signaling, was identical in *emc* mutant and control clones spanning the morphogenetic furrow
(Figure 4C, D). Thus, Dpp signaling did not seem altered by *emc* mutants either.
344
345 **Hedgehog pathway**
346
Hedgehog (Hh) signaling is a key mover of the morphogenetic furrow (Heberlein et al.,
1993b; Ma et al., 1993; Treisman, 2013). Elevated Hh signaling is sufficient to accelerate the
morphogenetic furrow (Heberlein et al., 1995; Ma and Moses, 1995). Notably, it has been
suggested previously that *emc* mutations affect the morphogenetic furrow by activating
Hedgehog signaling, because *emc* mutant cells accumulate Ci protein (Spratford and Kumar,
2013). Full-length Ci protein (Ci155) is targeted to the proteosome by Cul1 for processing into a
transcriptional repressor protein Ci75 (Aza-Blanc et al., 1997). By inhibiting this processing, Hh
prevents repression of target genes by Ci75 and promotes transcriptional activation downstream
of Ci155. Accordingly, Ci155 accumulation is a feature of cells receiving Hh signals (Motzny
and Holmgren, 1995).
We confirmed that *emc* mutant cells contain higher levels of Ci155, as reported
previously (Figure 5A) (Spratford and Kumar, 2013). Ci155 was elevated in *emc dronc* clones
(Figure 5B) but reduced to wild-type levels in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 5C, Figure 5 figure
supplement 1). Thus, Ci155 levels did not correlate perfectly with behavior of the morphogenetic
furrow.
We noticed that Ci155 levels were elevated in *emc* mutant clones in the posterior,
differentiating eye disc, as well as in and ahead of the morphogenetic furrow (Figure 5A). This is
significant, because Ci155 is not affected by Hh-dependent Cul1 processing posterior to the
morphogenetic furrow (Baker et al., 2009; Ou et al., 2002). Ci155 accumulation posterior to the
furrow suggests a Hh-independent mechanism.
To test whether Ci155 accumulation in *emc* clones indicates elevated Hh target signaling,
we looked at the transmembrane receptor Patched (Ptc) which is a transcriptional target of Hh
signaling, acting in a negative feedback loop (Hepker et al., 1997). We saw no changes in Ptc
protein levels in either *emc* or *emc Df(3L)H99* clones compared to controls, questioning the
notion that Hh signaling was altered by *emc* (Figure 5 figure supplement 2).

To test functionally whether Ci155 is responsible for accelerating the furrow in *emc*
clones, we generated *emc ci* double mutant clones. To achieve this, a genomic transgene that
rescues *ci^{94}* flies to adulthood (Little et al., 2020), was introduced into chromosome arm 3L
where it is linked to the wild type *emc* locus, so that mitotic recombination in the *ci^{94}* null
background leads to *emc ci* double mutant clones. For unknown reasons, *emc ci* double mutant
clones were small and difficult to obtain, even in the Minute background. In the *emc ci* double
mutant clones we found that spanned the morphogenetic furrow; eye differentiation was still
accelerated (Figure 5D). The data, including the unexplained synergistic growth effects, could be
consistent with interactions between *emc* and Hh signaling, but they also that *emc* regulates the
speed of the morphogenetic furrow through at least one other caspase target besides Ci.
382
383   **Delta expression is a target of caspases**
384
The remaining signaling pathway that contributes to morphogenetic furrow movement is
Notch. Specifically, only cells where Notch signaling is active are competent to initiate retinal
differentiation in response to Dpp (Baonza and Freeman, 2001a; Fu and Baker, 2003).
Accordingly, ectopic expression of Delta, the transmembrane ligand for Notch, is sufficient to
accelerate retinal differentiation anterior to the morphogenetic furrow by expanding the effective
range of Dpp signaling (Baonza and Freeman, 2001a; Li and Baker, 2001).
To test whether *emc* restrains Notch, we examined the bHLH proteins of the E(spl)-C,
widely characterized targets of the Notch pathway (Bray, 2006). We used mAb323 to detect up
to five E(spl) bHLH proteins with Notch-dependent expression (Jennings et al., 1994). E(spl)
protein expression, and hence Notch signaling, was higher in the morphogenetic furrow in *emc*
clones than in wild type cells (Figure 6A). By contrast, E(spl) protein expression was normal in
emc dronc clones (Figure 6B).
To test whether elevated Notch signaling was required to accelerate the morphogenetic
furrow in *emc* mutants, we examined *emc psn* double mutant clones. Presenilin (Psn) is the
enzymatic component of γ-secretase that releases the intracellular domain of Notch during active
Notch signaling, and the *psn* gene is linked to *emc*. Loss of *psn* function leads to a Notch loss of
function phenotype (Struhl and Greenwald, 1999; Ye et al., 1999). Accordingly, *psn* clones lead
to a neurogenic phenotype in the eye, without affecting the progression of the morphogenetic
furrow (Figure 6C) (Li and Baker, 2001). The position of the morphogenetic furrow was also not
affected in *emc psn* clones (Figure 6D). Thus, the furrow was not accelerated in *emc* mutant
clones also defective for Notch signaling.
These two results together indicated that *emc* mutants promoted Notch signaling in the
morphogenetic furrow, acting through caspase signaling on a step prior to γ-secretase cleavage of
the intracellular domain of Notch. Accordingly, we decided to check Delta (Dl) protein levels.
We found that Dl protein levels were significantly and consistently elevated cell-autonomously
throughout *emc* clones, both posterior and anterior to the furrow (Figure 7B,E). Importantly, this
includes the region just ahead of the morphogenetic furrow that lacks Delta expression in normal
development(Baker and Yu, 1998; Parks et al., 1995) (Figure 7B). By contrast, levels of Dl
protein *emc Df(3L)H99* clones were similar to those of wild type controls (Figure 7A,D,E).
Levels in *emc dronc* clones were also similar to wild type on average, although some clones
seemed to show an increase, smaller than in *emc* clones (Figure 7A,C,E).   This indicated that Dl
protein is a target of caspases, directly or indirectly. The Delta protein sequence contains
multiple predicted caspase target sites, as do many proteins (Wang et al., 2014). Only one

candidate site lies in the intracellular domain, where it would potentially be accessible to
caspases (Figure 7 supplement 1). Truncation of the Dl intracellular domain is usually associated
with loss of Dl function, not stabilization and enhanced function, however (Daskalaki et al.,
2011).
Because Dl activates Notch signaling cell non-autonomously, we wondered whether the
effect of *emc* mutant clones was cell-autonomous. We note that, in all the experiments reported
here, and in all the previous studies of *emc* mutant clones affecting morphogenetic furrow
movement, the morphogenetic furrow is maintained as a continuous groove across the eye disc
(Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011, 2012; Brown et al., 1995; Spratford and Kumar, 2013). That is,
the advanced front of retinal differentiation within *emc* clones is always smoothly continuous
with the normal morphogenetic furrow outside the clones, implying a progressive gradual
increase in morphogenetic furrow speed near the lateral edges of *emc* mutant clones (eg Figures
2B, 4B, 4D, 5A, 6A). Clones of *Su(H)* null mutants, which act cell-autonomously, provide a
contrasting example. Complete loss of *Su(H)* accelerates the morphogenetic furrow due to loss of
default Su(H) repression of Notch targets(Li and Baker, 2001). The boundaries of *Su(H)* null
clones exhibit a clear discontinuity between the rates of differentiation within and outside the
clones (Figure 6E). This difference between *Su(H)* and *emc* mutant clones is consistent with the
idea that *emc* affects morphogenetic furrow progression differently from *Su(H)*.
436
437   **Specific ommatidial cell fates are regulated by caspases in *emc* mutants**
438
Because the general pattern of neurogenesis revealed by pan-neuronal anti-Elav staining
appeared so normal in *emc dronc* and *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 2C,D), we examined
whether effects of *emc* on particular retinal cell fates was caspase dependent. Emc is also
required for R7 differentiation and for timely onset of cone cell differentiation (Bhattacharya and
Baker, 2009), two cell fate decisions that also depend on Notch signaling (Cooper and Bray,
2000; Flores et al., 2000; Tomlinson and Struhl, 2001; Treisman, 2013). These cell fates are
normally independent of *da*, but like imaginal disc cell growth and morphogenetic furrow
progression, ectopic *da* activity perturbs them in *emc* mutants (Brown et al., 1996; Reddy et al.,
2024).
Clones of *emc* mutant cells lack R7 photoreceptor cells (Figure 8A,B) (Bhattacharya and
Baker, 2009). R7 differentiation was restored to 90% of ommatidia in *emc Df(3L)H99* clones
(Figure 8C). As shown before, *emc* mutant clones delayed cone cell differentiation by 2-3
columns (corresponding to a delay of 3-6 h) (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2009)(Figure 8D,E). We
found no delay in cone cell differentiation in most *emc Df(3L)H99* clones (Figure 8F). These
results indicated that caspase activity contributes to the R7 and cone cell differentiation defects
that are also characteristic of *emc* mutants.
455
456   **Discussion**
457
The Inhibitor of DNA binding (Id) proteins are important regulators of differentiation (Ling et
al., 2014; Massari and Murre, 2000; Roschger and Cabrele, 2017; Singh et al., 2022; Wang and
Baker, 2015a). They are well known to antagonize proneural basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH)
proteins (Benezra et al., 1990; Cabrera et al., 1994; Ellis, 1994; Ellis et al., 1990; Garrell and
Modolell, 1990; Norton, 2000). It has been uncertain whether this is their only function, as in

Drosophila it is clear that *emc* mutations affect processes that are independent of proneural
genes.
465
One other known effect of *emc* is that it restrains the *Drosophila* E protein Da. Da is expressed
ubiquitously and is the obligate heterodimer partner of proneural bHLH proteins in neurogenesis.
In undifferentiated progenitor cells, most or all Da is thought to be sequestered in inactive
heterodimers with Emc (Li and Baker, 2018). In *emc* mutant cells, Da levels rise and become
functional, potentially as homodimers(Bhattacharya and Baker, 2011). Multiple aspects of *emc*
mutants, including poor growth, speed of morphogenetic furrow progression, and R7
photoreceptor and cone cells fates, depend on this ectopic *da* activity (Bhattacharya and Baker,
2011; Reddy et al., 2024).
474
It was noticed, in the context of imaginal disc cell growth, that *emc* mutant cells experience *da*-
dependent over-expression of the *ex* gene (Wang and Baker, 2015b, 2018). Although most of the
studies on *ex* has focused on its role in growth control through the SWH pathway, *ex* was shown
to influence patterning through Yki-dependent transcription of *diap1*, an important regulator of
cell death pathways. This was in the developing thorax, where *ex* mutations affect bristle
patterning through *diap1* and a non-apoptotic effect of caspases on Wg signaling (Wang and
Baker, 2019). This observation led us to explore whether aspects of the *emc* phenotype could
reflect reduced *diap1* expression and elevated caspase activity.
483
Remarkably, we found that all four features of the *emc* phenotype we examined depended on the
caspase-mediated cell death pathway. The normal growth of imaginal disc cells, normal speed of
the morphogenetic furrow, specification of R7 photoreceptor cells, and timing of non-neuronal
cone cell development were all restored when caspase activation was prevented, which was
achieved by inactivating the initiator caspase Dronc, deleting the proapoptotic *rpr*, *grim*, and *hid*
genes, over-expressing *diap1*, or over-expressing baculovirus p35. These appeared to be non-
apoptotic effects because no elevation in apoptosis was apparent in *emc* mutant cells, because
generating apoptosis by another means did not mimic the effects, and because the effects were
mediated by elevate Dl protein expression in cells that were not apoptotic. They appeared to be
effects of caspase activity because they depended in part on *dronc*, an initiator caspase that
cleaves and activates effector caspases, because they were suppressed by baculovirus p35, a
caspase inhibitor that is a caspase pseudo-substrate, and because they were suppressed by Diap1,
a ubiquitin ligase that targets caspases for degradation. The effects may depend on the full
caspase cascade because sensitivity to p35 indicates that caspases other than Dronc are
necessary, and because mutating dronc alone gave lesser degrees of rescue than deleting *rpr*,
grim, and *hid*. This is consistent with many other studies that describe the pathways for
apoptotic and non-apoptotic caspase functions as similar (Aram et al., 2017; Baena-Lopez et al.,
2018; Colon-Plaza and Su, 2022; Kanuka et al., 2005; Kuranaga and Miura, 2007; Nakajima and
Kuranaga, 2017; Su, 2020).
503
504 Whereas non-apoptotic caspase activity promotes Wg signaling during specification of thoracic
505 bristles (Kanuka et al., 2005), in the *Drosophila* eye the non-apoptotic caspase target was Notch
506 signaling, due to elevated Delta protein levels. We do not know whether Delta is the direct
507 caspase target. Dl protein levels could also be elevated through other mechanisms, for example
508 through elevated *Dl* gene transcription, or proteins that affect Dl protein stability.

509
510
Notably, *emc* mutations accelerate the morphogenetic furrow by enhancing Notch signaling,
potentially non-autonomously, but inhibit R7 and cone cell differentiation through cell-
autonomously reduced N signaling (Bhattacharya and Baker, 2009; Reddy et al., 2024). These
contrasts may be explained through the dual functions of the Notch ligand Delta in trans-
activation and in cis-inhibition (Bray, 2016; Jacobsen et al., 1998; Li and Baker, 2004; Micchelli
et al., 1997). The eye disc region ahead of the morphogenetic furrow lacks Delta expression
(Baker and Yu, 1998; Parks et al., 1995). Ectopic Dl expression here is sufficient to activate N
non-autonomously and drive morphogenetic furrow progression (Baonza and Freeman, 2001a;
Li and Baker, 2001). Within the R7 equivalence group, Delta is expressed early in R1 and R6
photoreceptor precursors, protecting them from N activation through cis-inhibition, and
establishing the distinction between R1,6 and R7 precursor cells (Miller et al., 2009). Ectopic Dl
expression posterior to the morphogenetic furrow has cis-inhibitory effect. Elevated Dl levels
within *emc* mutant clones may render potential R7 and cone cell precursors cell-autonomously
resistant to N activation, as normally seen in R1/6 precursor cells.
525
A previous study suggested that *emc* mutations might affect Hh signaling (Spratford and Kumar,
2013). Our data point much more clearly to an effect on Notch signaling. Although the Hh target
Ci155 accumulates in *emc* mutant cells, increased Hh signaling is not the only possible cause.
Ci155 is cytoplasmic, and thought to be only a precursor for a labile nuclear activator molecule
(Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998). Preventing activation and nuclear translocation of Ci155 by
mutating the kinase *fused* also leads to Ci155 accumulation, but inactivates Hh signaling,
showing that Ci155 levels and Hh activity can be separated. Presumably Ci155 accumulates in
fu mutants because it is more stable than its activated derivative (Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998).
Significantly, *emc* mutant cells have been reported to over-express the *fused* antagonist *su(fu)*,
providing a potential alternative explanation of Ci155 accumulation in *emc* mutant cells
(Spratford and Kumar, 2013). Analyzing *emc ci* double mutant cells suggested that *ci* was not
essential to accelerate the morphogenetic furrow in *emc* mutant clones.
538
Here, we show that multiple effects of *emc* mutations that occur independently of proneural
bHLH genes, due to the loss of restraint on *da* function in *emc* mutant cells, are caused by
caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes that result from reduced expression of Diap1 protein.
Caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes have previously been shown to affect Wg activity in
the developing notum, although the direct target remains to be identified. Here we show that in
eye development, Notch signaling is the target. Expression of Dl protein is increased in a
caspase-dependent manner, which leads either to Notch activation or to Notch inhibition,
depending on whether Delta acts through trans-activation or cis-inhibition. Our results show that,
in addition to contributing to normal development, non-apoptotic caspase activities contribute to
mutant phenotypes. In the *Drosophila* eye, multiple aspects of the *emc* phenotype result from
caspase-dependent changes in Notch signaling, one of the major cell-cell signaling pathways that
contributes to many, many cell fate decisions, and other, unidentified caspase-dependent events
affect the growth of *emc* mutant cells in undifferentiated imaginal discs.
552
553
554

555
556
557
558 **Acknowledgements**
559
We thank Y. Kimata, D. Kalderon, H. Bellen, H.D Ryoo and Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank for antibodies and Drosophila strains, and Tao Wang for statistical advice. We

thank C. Khan, A. Kumar, J. Secombe, and A. Jenny for comments on the manuscript. Confocal

microscopy was performed at the Analytical Imaging Facility, Albert Einstein College of

Medicine. The Leica SP8 microscope was acquired through NIH SIG 1S10 OD023591.

Supported by grants from the NIH (GM047892 and EY028990).

Figure Legends

Figure 1: *Df(3L)H99* recues growth defect in *emc* mutant

(A-L) Control and mutant clones in eye and wing imaginal disc were induced at the end of first

instar and are associated with sibling twin-spot clones marked by two copies of the GFP marker

(brighter grey) that serves as internal control for growth. Clones are labeled by the absence of

GFP. (M) *emc H99* clones induced in the minute background (N) Quantification of the ratio of

clone size to twin-spot measured in wing imaginal discs and standard deviations.

Asterisks denote significance from *t* test (* = p<0.05, **=p<0.0, ***=p<0.001, n.s = not

significant). Genotypes: (A and C) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (B and D)

ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]FRT80 (E and G) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6}*
FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80 (F and H) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (I and
K) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29} FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80* (J and L)
ywhsF;;Df(3L)H99FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80 (M) *ywhsF; emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]*
M(3)67C FRT80. N=10 for each genotype.
595

596
Figure 2: Cell death signaling inhibition regulates morphogenetic furrow progression in
emc **mutants**
In all panels, the differentiating neurons are marked by Elav (in blue), and mutant cells are
identified by the absence of GFP expression (in green). A) The wave of retinal differentiation

from posterior to anterior (right to left), marked here by Senseless expression in R8
photoreceptor cells (red) is normal in FRT80 control clones. B) *emc* null clones lacking GFP
show acceleration of retinal differentiation illustrated by yellow arrows (premature
differentiation can also continue in to wild type regions ahead of such clones). In addition,
ectopic neural differentiation also occurs sporadically anterior to the morphogenetic furrow, and
unassociated with it (magenta arrows) C) In contrast, retinal differentiation proceeds at the same
pace in *emc dronc* double mutant clones as in nearby wild type regions in 75% of the eye discs.
D) *emc H99* double mutants show a stronger suppression of the acceleration of retinal
differentiation (compare panel B). Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80*
(B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6}*
FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (n=12)(D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]*
M(3)67C FRT80. N=8 for each genotype.
613

Figure 3: *Emc* mutant clones have reduced Diap1 levels

In mosaic eye discs with (A) FRT80 clones, there is no change in Diap1 levels within and outside the clone. However, both (B) *emc* mutant clones and (C) *emc H99* clones show reduced Diap1 levels posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, compared to the heterozygous background (D) *H99* clones showed similar Diap1 levels, compared to the heterozygous background. (E) Quantification of Diap1 levels in different clone genotypes, compared to the background levels outside the clones. Note that our experiments did not generate mosaics of wild type and Df(3L)H99/+ cells for direct comparison of these genotypes. Statistical significance calculated by two-way ANOVA (**$p \leq 0.01$, ***$p \leq 0.0001$). Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}*

628
Figure 4: Wingless and Dpp signaling are not caspase targets
629
(A) No reduction in the Wg signaling reporter Fz3-RFP was detectable in emc clones. See
Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1 for Fz3-RFP expression in the wild type. (B) Retinal
differentiation is accelerated in *emc nkd³* double mutant clones like in *emc* clones. Senseless
expression in R8 photoreceptor cells and Elav staining in differentiating photoreceptors are
shown. (C) p-Mad accumulates around the morphogenetic furrow in eye discs containing control
clones(Firth et al., 2010; Vrailas and Moses, 2006) (E) Except for the advanced progression, p-
Mad levels were unchanged in *emc* clones. Genotypes: (A) Fz3-RFP/+; *emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-*

GFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}nkd^3FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C)
ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80. N=8 for each genotype.
640

Figure 5: *emc ci* double mutant clones with Ci transgene do not suppress morphogenetic
furrow progression
A) Ci is elevated within *emc* mutant cells (yellow arrows). This was also true posterior to the
morphogenetic furrow (orange arrow) B) Higher Ci was also seen in *emc dronc* mutant cells,
even when the morphogenetic furrow progressed normally, as indicated by Elav staining. C) Ci
levels were completely normal in *emc H99* clones. D) *emc* and *ci* double mutant clones lacking
GFP shows accelerated retinal differentiation (blue arrow). Genotypes: (A)
ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6}*
FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80 (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] ci$^+$ M(3)67C FRT80;ci[94]/ci[94]*. N=8 for each
genotype except (D) which has n=3.
653

654
Figure 6: Notch activity and function in emc mutant clones.
(A) E(spl), an important N target for lateral inhibition, is elevated in the morphogenetic furrow
region of *emc* clones (yellow arrows). (B) *emc dronc* clones have normal levels of E(spl) protein.
(C) Senseless staining shows a neurogenic phenotype in *psn* mutant clones, due to reduced Notch
signaling. Morphogenetic furrow progression is unaffected. (D) A neurogenic phenotype was

also observed in *emc psn* clones, along with normal furrow progression. (E) *Su(H)* mutant clones
identified by absence of GFP labeling show a strong neurogenic phenotype as well as advanced
retinal differentiation (orange arrow) (Li and Baker, 2001). The cell-autonomous effect results
in a discontinuity at the borders of *Su(H)* clones, where differentiation outside the clones lags
that within clones (orange arrow) Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80 (B) *ywhsF;; dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C) *ywhsF/+;;psn^{V1}*
FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]M(3)67CFRT80 (D) *ywhsF/+;; emc^{AP6} psn^{V1} FRT80/[Ubi-*
GFP]M(3)67CFRT80 ((E) *ywhsF; Su(H)^{D47}FRT40/FRT40[UbiGFP]*. N=6 for each genotype.
668

669
Figure 7: Caspases regulate Delta levels

(A) Normal levels of Delta protein are seen in FRT80 clones as compared to elevated Delta
levels in (B) *emc* clones whereas that phenotype is reversed in (D) *emc H99* clones. However, as
seen in (C) Some *dronc emc* clones show intermediate Delta levels. (E) Quantification of Delta
levels in different clone genotypes, compared to the background levels outside the clones.
Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-*
GFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (D)
ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80. N=7 for each genotype. Significance was
determined using one-way Anova with Tukey's post-hoc test.
679

Figure 8: Caspases partially rescue defects in R7 photoreceptor and cone cell
differentiation in emc mutants
In all panels *emc* mutant cells are marked by the absence of GFP expression (in green) and
photoreceptor neurons are marked by Elav in blue. (A) Runt (in red) is expressed in R7 and R8
(yellow arrowhead) photoreceptor cells inside and outside the clone in FRT80 controls. (B)

Inside *emc* clone, Runt expression is lost from R7 cells, while expression in R8 cells remains
unaffected (red arrow). (C) However, inside the *emc H99* clones, Runt is expressed in both R7
and R8 cells. (D) Cut (in red) is expressed in cone cells in FRT80 controls. (E) Inside *emc* clone,
cut is delayed. (F) However, inside the *emc H99* clones, cut staining is not delayed. Genotypes:
(A, D) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B, E) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]*
M(3)67C FRT80 (C, F) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80*. N=4 for
each genotype.
693

694
Figure 9: Model of *emc* effects on *Drosophila* eye development. Loss of *emc* allows Da
protein to form heterodimers and activate *ex* transcription, increasing SWH pathway activity.
SWH activity reduces DIAP1 expression, thereby derepressing caspase activity. In the eye, non-
apoptotic caspase activity increases expression of the Notch ligand Delta. Elevated Delta
expression accelerates morphogenetic furrow progression, while cis-inhibiting Notch signaling
posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, inhibiting R7 cell specification and cone-cell
differentiation. In wild type cells, most Da is likely heterodimerized with either a proneural
protein or with Emc protein, and there is no role of caspases in Dl expression.
703

Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1: Morphogenetic furrow progression in *emc* mutant cells
In eye imaginal discs, normal furrow progression was observed in (A) *dronc* $^{-/-}$ and (B)
Df(3L)H99 homozygous clones, indicated by Senseless and Elav staining. Homozygous mutant
clones of *emc* $^{-/-}$ (C) lacking GFP expression, have no Emc staining (yellow arrowhead) and
higher Da (red arrowhead). At the morphogenetic furrow as shown by yellow arrow, Emc
expression goes down and Da goes up. Similar results were observed in (D) *dronc* $^{-/-}$ *emc* $^{-/-}$ clones
and (E) *emc* $^{-/-}$ *Df(3L) H99* $^{-/-}$ clones confirm that these are *emc* null clones. Genotypes: (A)
ywhsF;;dronc ^{i29}*FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;; Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP]*
M(3)67C FRT80. (C) *ywhsF;;emc* ^{AP6}*FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (D)

714 *ywhsF;;dronc^{i29}emc^{AP6} FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (E) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*
715 *FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80*. N=4 for each genotype.

716
717 **Figure 3 Figure Supplement 1: DIAP1 staining in wing disc clones.** In wing discs of emc
718 clones (B) we did not detect changes in Diap1 levels in comparison to control clones (A).
719 Genotypes: (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-*
720 *GFP] M(3)67C FRT80.*

Figure 3 Supplement 2

Figure 3 Figure Supplement 2: Apoptosis and furrow progression Representative images of
eye imaginal discs stained for senseless. (A) In GMR-DIAP1 eye disc mutant for emc furrow
progression is normal and is marked by Senseless staining. Similarly, in GMR-p35 eye disc
mutant for emc also show normal furrow progression (B). Representative images of eye imaginal
discs with TUNEL staining. (A) ywhsF/GMR-DIAP1;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80 B) ywhsF/GMR-p35;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80*. (C)
ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80. N=4 for each genotype.
743

Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3: Emc clones show no caspase activity beyond the
morphogenetic furrow. In *pie^{EB3}* homozygous clones, furrow progression occurs at normal
speed marked by Senseless staining in R8 photoreceptors (A). In GMR-p35 eye disc mutant for
emc show lack of Dcp1staining (B). In *emc* mutant clones posterior to morphogenetic furrow
show lack of Dcp1 staining (D) in comparison to FRT80 clones (C). Genotypes: (A) ywhsF/+;
pie^{EB3}FRT40/FRT40Alz. **(B)** ywhsF/GMR-p35;;*emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (C)
ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80 (D) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6}FRT80/[Ubi-GFP] M(3)67C*
FRT80. (E) *ywhsF;;emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80*. N=4 for each
genotype.
754
755

Figure 4 figure supplement 1

756
757 **Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1:** Fz3-RFP/+ eye disc showing RFP and Elav staining. N=3.
758

Figure 5 figure supplement 1

759
760 **Figure 5 Figure Supplement 1: Ci155 levels when cell death pathways are blocked**
761 Representative image of eye imaginal disc in *emc H99* clones in the non-Minute background,
762 showing similar areas inside and outside clones. Genotype: *ywhsF;; emc^{AP6} Df(3L)H99*
763 *FRT80/[UbiGFP]FRT80.* N=4.
764

Figure 5 Figure Supplement 2

Figure 5 Figure Supplement 2: Patched staining in emc mutants
 (A) *ywhsF;;FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT80* (B) *ywhsF;;emc^AP6FRT80/[Ubi-GFP]*
 M(3)67C FRT80 (C) *ywhsF;;emc^AP6 Df(3L)H99 FRT80/[UbiGFP] M(3)67C FRT8.*

Figure 7 Figure Supplement 1: Potential Caspase sites predicted in the Delta protein. Like

many proteins, Delta has multiple potential caspase cleavage sites, here predicted suing

Cascleave 2.0 (Wang et al., 2014). None are high-confidence predictions and only one is in the

intracellular domain where caspase access is plausible (position 675, predicted score 0.647). The

intracellular domain is required for Delta signaling. Cleavage at position 675 would remove the

main ubiquitylation site required for signaling, as well as the binding site for *mindbomb*, so is not

anticipated to enhance signaling activity (Daskalaki et al., 2011).

References
782
Adam, J.C., and Montell, D.J. (2004). A role for extra macrochaetae downstream of Notch in
follicle cell differentiation. Development *131*, 5971-5980.
Andrade-Zapata, I., and Baonza, A. (2014). The bHLH factors extramacrochaetae and
daughterless control cell cycle in Drosophila imaginal discs through the transcriptional
regulation of the Cdc25 phosphatase string. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004233.
Aram, L., Yacobi-Sharon, K., and Arama, E. (2017). CDPs: caspase-dependent non-lethal
cellular processes. Cell Death Differ *24*, 1307-1310.
Aza-Blanc, P., Ramirez-Weber, F.A., Laget, M.P., Schwartz, C., and Kornberg, T.B. (1997).
Proteolysis that is inhibited by hedgehog targets Cubitus interruptus protein to the nucleus and
converts it to a repressor. Cell *89*, 1043-1053.
Baena-Lopez, L.A., Arthurton, L., Xu, D.C., and Galasso, A. (2018). Non-apoptotic Caspase
regulation of stem cell properties. Semin Cell Dev Biol *82*, 118-126.
Baker, N.E. (1988). Transcription of the segment-polarity gene wingless in the imaginal discs of
Drosophila, and the phenotype of a pupal-lethal wg mutation. Development *102*, 489-497.
Baker, N.E., Bhattacharya, A., and Firth, L.C. (2009). Regulation of Hh signal transduction as
Drosophila eye differentiation progresses. Dev Biol *335*, 356-366.
Baker, N.E., Li, K., Quiquand, M., Ruggiero, R., and Wang, L.H. (2014). Eye development.
Methods *68*, 252-259.
Baker, N.E., Moses, K., Nakahara, D., Ellis, M.C., Carthew, R.W., and Rubin, G.M. (1992).
Mutations on the second chromosome affecting the Drosophila eye. J Neurogenet *8*, 85-100.
Baker, N.E., and Yu, S.Y. (1998). The R8-photoreceptor equivalence group in *Drosophila* : fate
choice precedes regulated *Delta* transcription and is independent of *Notch* gene dose.
Mechanisms of Development *74*, 3-14.
Baonza, A., and Freeman, M. (2001a). Notch signalling and the initiation of neural development
in the *Drosophila* eye. Development *128*, 3889-3898.
Baonza, A., and Freeman, M. (2001b). Notch signalling and the initiation of neural development
in the Drosophila eye. Development *128*, 3889-3898.
Benezra, R., Davis, R.L., Lockshon, D., Turner, D.L., and Weintraub, H. (1990). The protein Id:
a negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. Cell *61*, 49-59.
Bhattacharya, A., and Baker, N.E. (2009). The HLH protein Extramacrochaetae is required for
R7 cell and cone cell fates in the Drosophila eye. Dev Biol *327*, 288-300.
Bhattacharya, A., and Baker, N.E. (2011). A network of broadly expressed HLH genes regulates
tissue-specific cell fates. Cell *147*, 881-892.
Bhattacharya, A., and Baker, N.E. (2012). The Role of the bHLH Protein Hairy in
Morphogenetic Furrow Progression in the Developing Drosophila Eye. PLoS One *7*, e47503.
Borod, E.R., and Heberlein, U. (1998). Mutual regulation of decapentaplegic and hedgehog
during the initiation of differentiation in the Drosophila retina. Dev Biol *197*, 187-197.
Bray, S.J. (2006). Notch signalling: a simple pathway becomes complex. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol
7, 678-689.
Bray, S.J. (2016). Notch signalling in context. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *17*, 722-735.
Brown, N.L., Paddock, S.W., Sattler, C.A., Cronmiller, C., Thomas, B.J., and Carroll, S.B.
(1996). *daughterless* is required for *Drosophila* photoreceptor cell determination, eye
morphogenesis, and cell cycle progression. Developmental Biology *179*, 65-78.

Brown, N.L., Sattler, S.A., Paddock, S.W., and Carroll, S.B. (1995). *hairy* and *emc* negatively
regulate morphogenetic furrow progression in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Cell *80*, 879-887.
Cabrera, C.V., Alonso, M.C., and Huikeshoven, H. (1994). Regulation of scute function by
extramacrochaete in vitro and in vivo. Development *120*, 3595-3603.
Cadigan, K.M., Jou, A.D., and Nusse, R. (2002). Wingless blocks bristle formation and
morphogenetic furrow progression in the eye through repression of Daughterless. Development
129, 3393-3402.
Chang, J.L., Chang, M.V., Barolo, S., and Cadigan, K.M. (2008). Regulation of the feedback
antagonist naked cuticle by Wingless signaling. Dev Biol *321*, 446-454.
Coelho, C.M., Kolevski, B., Bunn, C., Walker, C., Dahanukar, A., and Leevers, S.J. (2005).
Growth and cell survival are unevenly impaired in pixie mutant wing discs. Development *132*,
5411-5424.
Colon-Plaza, S., and Su, T.T. (2022). Non-Apoptotic Role of Apoptotic Caspases in the
Drosophila Nervous System. Front Cell Dev Biol *10*, 839358.
Cooper, M.T.D., and Bray, S.J. (2000). R7 photoreceptor specification requires N activity.
Current Biology *10*, 1507-1510.
Daskalaki, A., Shalaby, N.A., Kux, K., Tsoumpekos, G., Tsibidis, G.D., Muskavitch, M.A., and
Delidakis, C. (2011). Distinct intracellular motifs of Delta mediate its ubiquitylation and
activation by Mindbomb1 and Neuralized. J Cell Biol *195*, 1017-1031.
Ellis, H.M. (1994). Embryonic expression and function of the Drosophila helix-loop-helix gene,
extramacrochaetae. Mech Dev *47*, 65-72.
Ellis, H.M., Spann, D.R., and Posakony, J.W. (1990). extramacrochaetae, a negative regulator of
sensory organ development in Drosophila, defines a new class of helix-loop-helix proteins. Cell
61, 27-38.
Firth, L.C., Bhattacharya, A., and Baker, N.E. (2010). Cell cycle arrest by a gradient of Dpp
signaling during Drosophila eye development. BMC Dev Biol *10*, 28.
Flores, G., Duan, H., Yan, H., Nagaraj, R., Fu, W., Zou, Y., Noll, M., and Banerjee, U. (2000).
Combinatorial signaling in the specification of unique cell fates. Cell *103*, 75-85.
Fu, W., and Baker, N.E. (2003). Deciphering synergistic and redundant roles of Hedgehog,
Decapentaplegic and Delta that drive the wave of differentiation in Drosophila eye development.
Development *130*, 5229-5239.
Fuchs, Y., and Steller, H. (2011). Programmed cell death in animal development and disease.
Cell *147*, 742-758.
Garcia-Alonso, L., and Garcia-Bellido, A. (1988). Extramacrochaetae a trans-acting gene of the
Achaete-Scyte complex of *Drosophila* involved in cell communication. Roux's Archives of
Developmental Biology *197*, 328-338.
Garrell, J., and Modolell, J. (1990). The Drosophila extramacrochaetae locus, an antagonist of
proneural genes that, like these genes, encodes a helix-loop-helix protein. Cell *61*, 39-48.
Golic, K.G. (1991). Site-specific recombination between homologous chromosomes in
Drosophila. Science *252*, 958-961.
Hawkins, C.J., Yoo, S.J., Peterson, E.P., Wang, S.L., Vernooy, S.Y., and Hay, B.A. (2000a). The
Drosophila caspase DRONC cleaves following glutamate or aspartate and is regulated by
DIAP1, HID, and GRIM. J Biol Chem *275*, 27084-27093.
Hawkins, C.J., Yoo, S.J., Peterson, E.P., Wang, S.L., Vernooy, S.Y., and Hay, B.A. (2000b). The
*Drosophila*caspase DRONC cleaves following glutamate or aspartate and is regulated by DIAP1,
HID, and GRIM. Journal of Biological Chemistry *275*, 27084-27093.

Hay, B.A., Wolff, T., and Rubin, G.M. (1994). Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death
in *Drosophila*. Development *120*, 2121-2129.
Heberlein, U., Singh, C.M., Luk, A.Y., and Donohoe, T.J. (1995). Growth and differentiation in
the *Drosophila* eye coordinated by *hedgehog*. Nature *373*, 709-711.
Heberlein, U., Wolff, T., and Rubin, G.M. (1993a). The TGF beta homolog dpp and the segment
polarity gene hedgehog are required for propagation of a morphogenetic wave in the Drosophila
retina. Cell *75*, 913-926.
Heberlein, U., Wolff, T., and Rubin, G.M. (1993b). The TGF-ß homolog *dpp* and the segment
polarity gene *hedgehog* are required for propagation of a morphogenetic wave in the *Drosophila*
retina. Cell *75*, 913-926.
Hepker, J., Wang, Q.-T., Motzny, C., Holmgren, R., and Orenic, T.V. (1997). *Drosophila cubitus*
interruptus forms a negative feedback loop with *patched* and regulates expression of Hedgehog
target genes. Development *124*, 549-558.
Jacobsen, T.L., Brennan, K., Martinez-Arias, A., and Muskavitch, M.A. (1998). Cis-interactions
between Delta and Notch modulate neurogenic signalling in *Drosophila*. Development *125*,
4531-4540.
Jarman, A.P., Grell, E.H., Ackerman, L., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1994). *atonal* is the proneural
gene for *Drosophila* photoreceptors. Nature *369*, 398-400.
Jennings, B., Preiss, A., Delidakis, C., and Bray, S. (1994). The Notch signalling pathway is
required for Enhancer of split bHLH protein expression during neurogenesis in the Drosophila
embryo. Development *120*, 3537-3548.
Jimenez, F., and Campos-Ortega, J.A. (1987). Genes in subdivision 1B of the *Drosophila*
melanogaster X-chromosome and their influence on neural development. Journal of
Neurogenetics *4*, 179-200.
Kale, A., Li, W., Lee, C.H., and Baker, N.E. (2015). Apoptotic mechanisms during competition
of ribosomal protein mutant cells: roles of the initiator caspases Dronc and Dream/Strica. Cell
Death Differ *22*, 1300-1312.
Kanuka, H., Kuranaga, E., Takemoto, K., Hiratou, T., Okano, H., and Miura, M. (2005).
Drosophila caspase transduces Shaggy/GSK-3beta kinase activity in neural precursor
development. EMBO J *24*, 3793-3806.
Kuranaga, E., and Miura, M. (2007). Nonapoptotic functions of caspases: caspases as regulatory
molecules for immunity and cell-fate determination. Trends Cell Biol *17*, 135-144.
Lee, J.D., and Treisman, J.E. (2002). Regulators of the morphogenetic furrow. Results Probl Cell
Differ *37*, 21-33.
Li, K., and Baker, N.E. (2018). Regulation of the Drosophila ID protein Extra macrochaetae by
proneural dimerization partners. Elife *7*, e33967.
Li, W., and Baker, N.E. (2007). Engulfment is required for cell competition. Cell *129*, 1215-
1225.
Li, Y., and Baker, N.E. (2001). Proneural enhancement by Notch overcomes Suppressor-of-
Hairless repressor function in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Current Biology *11*, 330-338.
Li, Y., and Baker, N.E. (2004). The roles of cis-inactivation by Notch ligands and of *neuralized*
during eye and bristle patterning in *Drosophila*. BioMed Central Developmental Biology *4*, 5.
Ling, F., Kang, B., and Sun, X.H. (2014). Id proteins: small molecules, mighty regulators. Curr
Top Dev Biol *110*, 189-216.
Little, J.C., Garcia-Garcia, E., Sul, A., and Kalderon, D. (2020). Drosophila hedgehog can act as
a morphogen in the absence of regulated Ci processing. Elife *9*.

Ma, C., and Moses, K. (1995). Wingless and patched are negative regulators of the
morphogenetic furrow and can affect tissue polarity in the developing Drosophila compound eye.
Development *121*, 2279-2289.
Ma, C., Zhou, Y., Beachy, P.A., and Moses, K. (1993). The segment polarity gene hedgehog is
required for progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the developing Drosophila eye. Cell *75*,
927-938.
Massari, M.E., and Murre, C. (2000). Helix-loop-helix proteins: regulators of transcription in
eucaryotic organisms. Mol Cell Biol *20*, 429-440.
Maurel-Zaffran, C., and Treisman, J.E. (2000). pannier acts upstream of wingless to direct dorsal
eye disc development in Drosophila. Development *127*, 1007-1016.
Meier, P., Silke, J., Leevers, S.J., and Evan, G.I. (2000a). The *Drosophila* caspase DRONC is
regulated by DIAP1. European Molecular Biology Organization Journal *19*, 598-611.
Meier, P., Silke, J., Leevers, S.J., and Evan, G.I. (2000b). The Drosophila caspase DRONC is
regulated by DIAP1. EMBO J *19*, 598-611.
Micchelli, C.A., Rulifson, E.J., and Blair, S.S. (1997). The function and regulation of cut
expression on the wing margin of Drosophila: Notch, Wingless and a dominant negative role for
Delta and Serrate. Development *124*, 1485-1495.
Miller, A.C., Lyons, E.L., and Herman, T.G. (2009). cis-Inhibition of Notch by endogenous
Delta biases the outcome of lateral inhibition. Curr Biol *19*, 1378-1383.
Motzny, C.K., and Holmgren, R. (1995). The *Drosophila* cubitus interruptus protein and its role
in the *wingless* and *hedgehog* signal transduction pathways. Mechanisms of Development *52*,
137-150.
Nakajima, Y.I., and Kuranaga, E. (2017). Caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes in
development. Cell Death Differ *24*, 1422-1430.
Norton, J.D. (2000). ID helix-loop-helix proteins in cell growth, differentiation and
tumorigenesis. J Cell Sci *113 (Pt 22)*, 3897-3905.
Ohlmeyer, J.T., and Kalderon, D. (1998). Hedgehog stimulates maturation of Cubitus interruptus
into a labile transcriptional activator. Nature *396*, 749-753.
Oproescu, A.M., Han, S., and Schuurmans, C. (2021). New Insights Into the Intricacies of
Proneural Gene Regulation in the Embryonic and Adult Cerebral Cortex. Front Mol Neurosci *14*,
642016.
Ou, C.Y., Lin, Y.F., Chen, D.F., and Chien, C.T. (2002). Distinct protein degradation
mechanisms mediated by Cul1 and Cul3 controlling Ci stability in *Drosophila* eye development.
Genes and Development *16*, 2403-2414.
Parks, A.L., Turner, R.F., and Muskavitch, M.A.T. (1995). Relationships between complex Delta
expression and the specification of retinal fates during *Drosophila* eye development.
Mechanisms of Development *50*, 201-216.
Pignoni, F., and Zipursky, L. (1997). Induction of *Drosophila* eye development by
Decapentaplegic. Development *124*, 271-278.
Quinn, L.M., Dorstyn, L., Mills, K., Colussi, P.A., Chen, P., Coombe, M., Abrams, J., Kumar,
S., and Richardson, H. (2000). An essential role for the caspase dronc in developmentally
programmed cell death in Drosophila. J Biol Chem *275*, 40416-40424.
Reddy, V., Bhattacharya, A., and Baker, N.E. (2024). The Id protein Extramacrochaetae restrains
the E-protein Daughterless to regulate Notch, Rap1, and Sevenless within the R7 equivalence
group of the Drosophila eye Biology Open *in press*.

Roschger, C., and Cabrele, C. (2017). The Id-protein family in developmental and cancer-associated pathways. Cell Commun Signal *15*, 7.

Sato, A., Kojima, T., Ui-Tei, K., Miyata, Y., and Saigo, K. (1999). Dfrizzled-3, a new Drosophila Wnt receptor, acting as an attenuator of Wingless signaling in wingless hypomorphic mutants. Development *126*, 4421-4430.

Shi, W., Stampas, A., Zapata, C., and Baker, N.E. (2003). The pineapple eye gene is required for survival of Drosophila imaginal disc cells. Genetics *165*, 1869-1879.

Singh, S., Sarkar, T., Jakubison, B., Gadomski, S., Spradlin, A., Gudmundsson, K.O., and Keller, J.R. (2022). Inhibitor of DNA binding proteins revealed as orchestrators of steady state, stress and malignant hematopoiesis. Front Immunol *13*, 934624.

Spratford, C.M., and Kumar, J.P. (2013). Extramacrochaetae imposes order on the Drosophila eye by refining the activity of the Hedgehog signaling gradient. Development *140*, 1994-2004.

Struhl, G., and Greenwald, I. (1999). Presenilin is required for activity and nuclear access of Notch in Drosophila. Nature *398*, 522-525.

Su, T.T. (2020). Non-apoptotic roles of apoptotic proteases: new tricks for an old dog. Open Biol *10*, 200130.

Tapadia, M.G., and Gautam, N.K. (2011). Non-apoptotic function of apoptotic proteins in the development of Malpighian tubules of Drosophila melanogaster. J Biosci *36*, 531-544.

Tomlinson, A., and Struhl, G. (2001). Delta/Notch and Boss/Sevenless signals act combinatorially to specify the *Drosophila* R7 photoreceptor. Molecular Cell *7*, 487-495.

Treisman, J.E. (2013). Retinal differentiation in Drosophila. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol *2*, 545-557.

Treisman, J.E., and Rubin, G.M. (1995). wingless inhibits morphogenetic furrow movement in the Drosophila eye disc. Development *121*, 3519-3527.

Verghese, S., Bedi, S., and Kango-Singh, M. (2012). Hippo signalling controls Dronc activity to regulate organ size in Drosophila. Cell Death Differ *19*, 1664-1676.

Vrailas, A.D., and Moses, K. (2006). Smoothened, thickveins and the genetic control of cell cycle and cell fate in the developing Drosophila eye. Mech Dev *123*, 151-165.

Wang, L.H., and Baker, N.E. (2015a). E Proteins and ID Proteins: Helix-Loop-Helix Partners in Development and Disease. Dev Cell *35*, 269-280.

Wang, L.H., and Baker, N.E. (2015b). Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway in a developmental checkpoint monitoring helix-loop-helix proteins. Dev Cell *32*, 191-202.

Wang, L.H., and Baker, N.E. (2018). Spatial regulation of expanded transcription in the Drosophila wing imaginal disc. PLoS One *13*, e0201317.

Wang, L.H., and Baker, N.E. (2019). Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway regulates sensory organ development via caspase-dependent nonapoptotic signaling. Cell Death Dis *10*, 669.

Wang, M., Zhao, X.M., Tan, H., Akutsu, T., Whisstock, J.C., and Song, J. (2014). Cascleave 2.0, a new approach for predicting caspase and granzyme cleavage targets. Bioinformatics *30*, 71-80.

White, K., Grether, M.E., Abrams, J.M., Young, L., Farrell, K., and Steller, H. (1994). Genetic control of programmed cell death in Drosophila. Science *264*, 677-683.

Xu, T., and Rubin, G.M. (1993). Analysis of genetic mosaics in developing and adult Drosophila tissues. Development *117*, 1223-1237.

Xue, D., and Horvitz, H.R. (1995). Inhibition of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* cell-death protease CED-3 by a CED-3 cleavage site in baculovirus p35 protein. Nature *377*, 248-251.

Ye, Y., Lukinova, N., and Fortini, M.E. (1999). Neurogenic phenotypes and altered Notch processing in Drosophila Presenilin mutants. Nature *398*, 525-529.

Yoo, S.J., Huh, J.R., Muro, I., Yu, H., Wang, L., Wang, S.L., Feldman, R.M., Clem, R.J., Muller,
H.A., and Hay, B.A. (2002). Hid, Rpr, and Grim negatively regulate DIAP1 levels through
distinct mechanisms. Nature Cell Biology *4*, 416-424.
Zeng, W., Wharton, K.A., Mack, J.A., Wang, K., Gadbaw, M., Suyama, K., Klein, P.S., and
Scott, M.P. (2000). *naked cuticle* encodes an inducible antagonist of Wnt signalling. Nature *403*,
789-795.

1015