1   A comparative approach for selecting orthologous candidate genes in genome-wide association

2   studies across multiple species

4   Lauren Whitt[1,#a], Elizabeth H. Mahood[1], Greg Ziegler[1], Collin Luebbert[1], Jason D. Gillman[2], Gareth J.

5   Norton[3], Adam H. Price[3], David E. Salt[4], Brian P. Dilkes[5], Ivan Baxter[1*]

8   [1]Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri, United States of America
9
10   [2]USDA-ARS Plant Genetics Research Unit, Columbia, Missouri, United State of America
11
12   [3] School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom
13
14   [4]Future Food Beacon of Excellence and School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham,
15   United Kingdom
16
17   [5]Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States of America
18
19   [#a]Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, United States of America
20
21

* Corresponding author

Email: ibaxter@danforthcenter.org (IB)

Abstract

Advances in quantitative genetics have enabled researchers to identify genomic regions associated

with changes in phenotype. However, genomic regions can contain hundreds to thousands of genes,

and progressing from genomic regions to causative genes is still challenging. In genome-wide

association studies (GWAS) measuring elemental accumulation (ionomic) traits, only 5% of loci

overlap genes known to control the ionome - indicating that many causal genes are still unknown. To

identify candidates for the remaining 95% loci, we developed a method that uses GWAS studies

across multiple species to identify conserved causative genes. By Filtering the Results of Multi-

species, Analogous, GWAS Experiments (FiREMAGE) we were able to take the GWAS of 19 ionomic

traits in Arabidopsis, soybean, rice, maize, and sorghum, and identify alleles affecting trait variation

at conserved genes. Permutation testing demonstrated that GWAS loci affecting the same trait

contained homologs more often than expected by chance. Most of the top 10% most significant

conserved candidate sets encoded alleles in all five species, highlighting the conservation of ionomic

genetic regulators in flowering plants. The candidates include proteins with known biochemical

functions that regulate the ionome, validating the approach. In addition to genes with known

functions, this approach also identified many conserved genes underlying GWAS loci affecting the

same trait in multiple species that have no previously identified function in regulating the ionome,

providing a path to discover the as yet unknown mechanisms of element accumulation in plants.

This method enables the identification of conserved genes of previously unknown function via

GWAS.

Author summary

Quantitative genetics identifies a genomic region of interest but not the causal gene. We developed

an approach to narrow these gene lists using genetic loci affecting elemental (i.e., calcium, iron, zinc)

accumulation. Comparative genomics and GWAS demonstrates that alleles at evolutionarily

conserved genes alter the same phenotype in multiple species. This produced a list of conserved

candidate genes including previously known elemental regulators and genes whose elemental

accumulation mechanism has yet to be determined. Combining datasets across species boosted the

signal at these loci. This approach accelerates the discovery of new functional roles of genes.

Introduction

High-throughput phenotyping of elemental accumulation (ionomics) paired with genome-wide

association studies (GWAS) has identified many genetic loci associated with variation in the

accumulation of elements in plants [1–5]. However, identifying the causal gene among the group of

genes linked to the phenotypically-relevant variants, often called a GWAS locus, is challenging for

any trait or organism. Typically, many genes are linked to a GWAS locus. Thus each GWAS results in

long lists of genes that are equally-weighted candidates for affecting variation in the phenotype. This

is especially true for species with large linkage disequilibrium ranges. This has limited the molecular

insights provided by studies of natural variation. Complex loci, multiple alleles, rare alleles,

incomplete variant information due to a diversity of coding capacity across the species (aka

pangenome variation), and sparse marker data further complicate the assignment of causality and

can result in the most statistically significant variant being encoded at a position displaced from the

causal gene [6]. Additional, and complementary, information about allele consequences and gene

function are necessary to identify the molecular cause of detected quantitative genetic variation.

Often this relies on labor- and resource-intensive experiments using induced alleles and single-gene

testing to provide information of gene functions at candidate loci. Bridging this trait-to-gene gap is

necessary to deliver molecular mechanistic insights from studies of natural variation and understand

the molecular basis of diversity and adaptation.

Current gene selection methods include examining the functional annotations of genes in a region.

Gene ontologies (GO) and annotations derive from our current understanding of known (or

predicted) gene functions but are prone to human biases [7,8] and oversights from automatic

computational algorithms [9]. GO terms are largely uninformative for novel or under-described

traits, including some elemental accumulation phenotypes. GO terms require ongoing curation and

submission of newly discovered gene functions from researchers to maintain relevance and utility.

Known genes linked to an association are more likely to be selected for further studies [7,10] which

produces a form of positive publication bias that reduces the discovery of new gene functions.

Additionally, these methods are most effective in species with the most annotations, like *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (Arabidopsis), with well-annotated phenotypes. Exploration of candidate genes in a region

is less common, and typically results when GWAS identifies a locus with large trait effects.

Several approaches have been used to identify causal genes from genes of unknown function.

Guided methods that can work without gene annotations include machine learning techniques like

PILGRM [15], GenAMap [16], network analysis, gene expression experiments, or a combination of

techniques [17]. These methods rely on extensive computation and resources like gene expression

data. As a result, they are most valuable in model systems with large collections of preexisting data.

Model systems like Arabidopsis also benefit from short generation times and small growth

requirements enabling complex and multigenerational designs [18].

Distinguishing likely causative genes among many linked candidates without relying on existing

annotations, ontologies, or preexisting data is significantly more complex and time consuming. For

example, linkage mapping in experimental line cross populations has been used to differentiate

causal candidates following trait-associated GWAS [11,12]. Fine mapping to find a causal gene is

expensive and laborious and is not feasible for alleles with moderate or small effect sizes [13,14].

Candidate gene testing by phenotyping knockout and overexpression mutants is an alternative

approach and the identification of additional alleles with impacts on the same phenotype is seen as

evidence of the correct specification of causal loci. This is facilitated by large collections of mutants,

only available for the best resourced model systems such as Arabidopsis and *Zea mays* (maize) with

substantial mutant resources. Gene editing has made these methods less dependent on pre-existing

populations and expanded the possibilities of candidate gene testing outside of traditional model

systems. The facility of these experiments is still dependent on generation time and tissue culture,

transformation, and plant regeneration. This makes the identification and prioritization of short lists

of candidate genes essential for feasibly testing candidates.

Developments in whole genome and next-generation sequencing have improved *de novo* genome

assembly of complex genomes and the identification of genetic markers (i.e., SNPs, indels) within

populations [19]. This makes it conceivable that comparison of alleles discovered in multiple species

might form the basis of validation experiments. When independent alleles in homologs have similar

phenotypic impacts, this is functionally equivalent to annotation of function by inference from

sequence similarity. Many agriculturally important crop species have excellent genome assemblies

and annotations. Arabidopsis still has the highest quality plant genome, annotations, and resources

that inform annotations in other organisms. For some agricultural species (e.g., soybean), gene

annotations are inferred directly by homology searches against Arabidopsis. Seeking gene functions

using resources beyond arabidopsis can dramatically enhance our understanding of plant biology,

including the biology of crops.

The ionome describes the composition of elements in plant tissue, all of which is acquired from its

environment [20]. Obtaining, excluding, and homeostatically maintaining the concentration of

elements in cells are functions shared by organisms across all domains of life. Elements obtained

from the environment are critical cofactors and substrates for multiple biochemical reactions, and

solving the challenges of balancing the ionome in cells was a necessary step in the evolution of

cellular life. As a result, many of the mechanisms that achieve elemental homeostasis are ancient,

and experimental approaches for mechanistic validation, such as cross-kingdom and cross-domain

molecular complementation (e.g., complementation of bacterial or yeast mutants with plant genes),

are highly effective [21,22]. Moreover, as elements are the same regardless of which species they

are measured in, we can directly compare elemental accumulation traits across species. As a result,

the field has repeatedly demonstrated that natural alleles at homologous genes affect the levels of

the same elements in multiple plants [22–31]. This independent evolution of alleles with similar

phenotypic consequences in multiple species is the hallmark of conserved critical regulators. The

direct comparability of ionomics traits, repeated observation of natural variation in homologs

affecting phenotypic variation, and the deep conservation of mechanisms affecting its variation

suggests that we could use homology to identify the causative genes for ionomic trait variation

within GWAS loci across multiple species.

We created a computational method to take the genes linked to GWAS data sets from multiple

species and detect orthologous genes present among the linked candidates. To assess the statistical

significance of the homology overlaps, and determine if orthology occurred more often than

expected by chance, we built a permutation-based assessment of the effectiveness of this tool that

calculates the expected background distribution of orthologs contained in a set of genomic regions

drawn from multiple species. Comparison of permuted sets to observed orthology overlap

demonstrated that GWAS detects alleles at orthologous genes more often than expected by chance.

This is the expectation if variation in elemental accumulation is accomplished by conserved genes

across flowering plants. We validated these results using lists of genes of known ionomic function

[26] and demonstrated that our combination of GWAS and orthology detected alleles at genes

known to affect the ionome at a higher rate than expected by chance. However, genes of known

function were overwhelmingly in the minority and it identified as likely candidates many genes with

no previously known functions. This approach, which we call Filtering Results of Multi-species,

Analogous, GWAS Experiments (FiREMAGE), identifies candidate genes without regard for prior

evidence of function in element accumulation. This frees the process of candidate selection from the

constraint of prior demonstration of function and permits gene function discovery through the study

of natural variation directly. This approach can be applied at any dataset and encourages exploration

of novel gene functions affecting trait natural variation.

Methods

Summary of Approach

We created a pipeline to compare GWAS results across species and determine if orthologous

candidate genes underlie loci of phenotypic impact. The first step is processing of GWAS results to

generate genomic intervals affecting the same trait in each member of a set of species. The genes

within these intervals are tabulated and the orthologs of those genes in each member of the species

set are determined. Permuted genomic regions, matched to each species' input, are generated and

processed in the same manner as the experimental data. Comparison of the background distribution

of ortholog overlap, from the permutations, and the experimental observations are made for each

set of species and for every trait.

Briefly:

1. Following a GWAS in species 1, regions containing linked SNPs affecting trait variation are

identified and retained as windows. The trait's top associated SNPs in each retained genomic

window are identified and used to uniquely name the GWAS locus and summarize the significance

and effect direction of the quantitative trait locus.

2. This is repeated for every species. At this point a set of genomic regions, linked to GWAS hits is

established for the trait across all species.

3. Candidate genes are identified as encoded within these experimentally determined windows. At

this point, overlaps between the candidates and known ionomic genes can take place (this is

separate from FIREMAGE and is a standard approach with GWAS made highly effective by the

existence of a curated list of known ionomic genes).

4. Orthology relationships for all candidates from step 3 are determined across the five species using

Orthofinder. At this point, the number of GWAS loci containing orthologous candidate genes were

determined. These orthologous genes are returned as FIREMAGE candidates. This is the first step

that is unique to our FiREMAGE approach.

5. FiREMAGE assesses the statistical significance of the orthology overlaps using permutation testing.

1000 sets of genomic regions with size-matched windows, from these five genomes, are selected at

random. The rate at which these randomly permuted regions return overlapping orthologs is then

used as the expected distribution of orthology overlaps for each trait given the observed number

and size of genomic regions across all five species. This is a permutation test of the expected number

of overlaps for windowed regions of the sizes returned from the GWAS for each species.

6. The False Discovery Rate of GWAS candidate gene ortholog overlaps is determined by comparing

the true number of orthologous genes among the genomic regions affecting a trait across these

species (Step 4) to the number determined for a permuted set of genomic regions across these

species (Step 5).

7. This pipeline is repeated for every trait.

Data availability

201 FiReMAGE scripts, GWAS SNPs used in this paper, ortholog tables, and gene coordinates can be

202 found at https://github.com/danforthcenter/FiReMAGE. Gene coordinates can alternatively be

203 downloaded from Phytozome (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/phytomine/begin.do).

204 R scripts created for this newest version of the Known Ionome Gene (KIG) List used to validate

205 FiReMAGE results can be found at https://github.com/danforthcenter/KIG_v2.

GWAS datasets

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) filtering

We used published elemental profiling GWAS datasets from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis) [32],

Oryza sativa (rice) [33–36], *Sorghum bicolor* (sorghum) [1], and *Zea mays* (maize) [3]. The *Glycine*

max (soybean) data is described below. The p-value thresholds used are intentionally permissive to

reduce false negatives in our GWAS/ortholog overlaps. False positive control is provided by requiring

orthologous genes to exceed multiple thresholds and estimated by permutation, see Random

Permutation, below. The SNPs from each GWAS dataset were selected by p-value thresholds that

were separately determined for each species: *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis; $p < 0.001$), *Glycine*

max (soybean; $p < 0.05$), *Oryza sativa* (rice; $p < 1e-5$), *Sorghum bicolor* (sorghum; $p < 1e-4$), and *Zea*

mays (maize; $p < 0.01$).

The fundamental units that FIREMAGE uses are genomic ranges from each species corresponding to

each locus identified by GWAS. To provide SNP-to-locus identification, and delimit the genomic

range corresponding to each locus, SNPs from each dataset were collapsed into loci using linkage

ranges from each species (Table 1). The SNP with the lowest p-value (e.g. the most significant or "top

SNP") for each range was used to name and annotate each locus. The p-value of the top SNP was

retained as the collapsed loci's p-value. This SNP-to-locus mapping procedure results in no

overlapping ranges and avoids any problems associated with genes occupying more than one

overlapping locus. All references to "loci" provided to FIREMAGE refer to these genomic intervals

and the use of the word "locus" and not "gene" is deliberate as these locations can comprise

multiple genes. In addition to GWAS SNP-to-locus mapping, the FIREMAGE pipeline can accept any

file that describes loci as genomic intervals. As a result, it is already constructed to accept the

outputs of procedures such as joint linkage analyses in nested-association mapping populations such

as the maize NAM dataset.

Table 1. Overview of GWAS datasets.				
Species Annotation	general linkage range	input as loci	SNPs/loci	collapsed loci
A. thaliana_TAIR10	75000	FALSE	7167	1404
G. max_Wm82.a2.v1	200000	FALSE	37854	7308
O. sativa_v7.0	243000	FALSE	17109	432
S. bicolor_v3.1.1	100000	FALSE	2139	1768
Z. mays_RefGen_V4	100000	TRUE	905	905

Soybean GWAS and 2015-2017 field experiments

Glycine max genotypes were obtained from the USDA-ARS GRIN collection (https://npgsweb.ars-

grin.gov/gringlobal/). Field experiments were performed over three years (2015, 2016, and 2017)

with three field replicates at each field experiment in a random complete block design. The 2015 and

2016 field experiments were performed at the University of Missouri Bradford Research farm

located near Columbia, Missouri, (38°53'38.4"N 92°11'47.1" W) which has a Mexico silt loam (fine,

smectitic, and mesic Vertic Epiaqualf) and the 2017 field experiment was performed at the Rollins

Bottom research farm located near Columbia, MO, ((38°55'37.5" N, 92°20'44.6" W) on a Haymond

silt loam soil (course-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Dystric Fluventic Eutrudepts). Seeds were

planted at a rate of 8 seed/ft, over 6 ft with a 2 ft gap between plots in 2015 and 2016, and 3 seed/ft

over 8 ft with a 4 ft gap between plots in 2017. Row spacing was 30" between rows in all

experiments. Field conditions were typical of soybean production in the Midwest USA, with NPK

fertilizer applied at rates appropriate per soil analyses, and pre-emergent and post-emergent

herbicides were applied as recommended to control weeds for conventional soybeans. Field plots

were planted on 06/30/2015, 05/06/2016, and 06/06/2017. Plots were hand-harvested and

threshed using a small plot thresher by 10/29/2015, 09/23/2016, and 11/17/2017. Flower color for

each field plot was recorded and compared to known colors for each genotype. Similarly, seeds were

inspected after threshing and compared to known hila and seedcoat coloration, with non-matching

samples discarded. Approximately 25 seeds were lyophilized for each field plot and ground to a fine

powder using a Perten 3310 lab mill using a "type 0" grinding disc (Perten Instruments, Sweden).

Powdered samples from each grow-out were analyzed concurrently for concentrations of 20

elements using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) pipeline previously

described [37]. Batch effects inherent in the ICP-MS process were confounded with grow-out in the

initial analysis. Thus, a subset of samples from each batch of the initial ICP-MS analysis were re-

analyzed for elemental concentrations, and a batch-specific correction factor was generated and

subtracted from the first run's values. Analytical outliers identified as > 10 median absolute

deviations away from the median were removed within grow out.

For analysis within each grow-out, phenotypic values for each genotype were taken as the median

value within that grow-out. To better meet normality assumptions both for the GWAS and for

aggregation of the grow-outs using a mixed model method, phenotypes were Box-Cox transformed

within the out and across grow-out and then standardized. Lambda values for the Box-Cox were

chosen using a 95% confidence interval. To aggregate data from different grow-outs, best linear

unbiased predictors (BLUPs) for each genotype were calculated from a random intercept mixed

model: $Phenotype \sim (1|Genotype) + (1|Grow\ out) + (1|Genotype:Grow\ out)$.

Association mapping was performed for each phenotype in the three grow-outs and the combined

grow-out BLUPs. Genotype sample sizes for each grow-out are 355, 363, and 364 for 2015, 2016, and

2017, respectively. To account for population structure, kinship matrix and principal components

were included in the mixed model as fixed effects. The kinship matrix was calculated using all 365

genotypes present in at least one grow-out following the realizedAB method in the synbreed R

package [38] as implemented in the R package ionomicsUtils (v1.0

https://github.com/gziegler/ionomicsUtils). Principal components included in the model were

selected for each phenotype if they were correlated significantly with that phenotype at the α = .001

level.

The multi-locus mixed model (MLMM) used performs forward inclusion and backwards elimination

until all of the heritable variation is explained or a maximum number of cofactors has been reached,

which in this study was 40. Model selection was performed using the extended Bayesian information

criterion [39] and the multiple-Bonferroni criterion [40]. A third set of SNPs was also returned based

on inclusion in the final forward inclusion step (max cofactor). Effect sizes for SNPs returned were

calculated using the mlmm.gwas package (S10 Table) [41]. A resulting SNP was said to overlap with a

neighboring SNP if the r^{-2} linkage disequilibrium was > 0.2 as calculated using the LD function in the

genetics R package [42] and implemented in the R package ionomicsUtils (v1.0

https://github.com/gziegler/ionomicsUtils). Average linkage disequilibrium values for all pairs of

SNPs were calculated across the genome by first separating SNP pairs into 100 kB bins based on

distance and then calculating LD within these bins [43].

OrthoFinder orthologs

OrthoFinder v2.0 [44] was downloaded from https://github.com/davidemms/OrthoFinder and used

with default parameters and best practices outlined here:

https://davidemms.github.io/orthofinder_tutorials/orthofinder-best-practices.html. Protein reads of

primary transcripts were obtained from Phytozome (v13) [45]. To make the Known Ionome Gene

(KIG) list, we used files for all species in the list except for wheat due to its low-quality genome

annotation. *Liriodendron tulipifera* was included as the most closely related outgroup to the eudicots

and monocots in Phytozome v13. The resulting ortholog table included one-to-one, one-to-many,

and many-to-many ortholog relationships.

299   Loci/gene overlaps

We obtained all the Phytozome (v13) [45] gene coordinates for each species via the BioMart tool

(v0.7) located on the Phytozome homepage (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov). Using the

coordinates, we search for genes overlapping trait loci that are also in our 5-species ortholog groups.

For each trait, FiReMAGE retains genes from ortholog groups with overlaps in at least 3/5 species

and produces a list of prioritized candidate genes. The number of overlapping orthologs is recorded

for each locus to calculate false discovery rates.

306   Random permutations

GWAS loci permutations were 1000 selections of random locations equal to the actual datasets'

species and trait composition. Each species' chromosome start and end coordinates were

downloaded from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) database (GCA_000001735,

GCA_000004515, GCA_001433935, GCA_000003195, GCA_000005005). Because random base pair

locations are unlikely to be in range for collapsing into "loci", we assigned range lengths randomly

chosen from the actual GWAS loci. If random locations are close together, their randomly assigned

ranges may overlap. FiReMAGE runs the random permutations through the same loci/gene overlap

pipeline as the actual data, calculates the permutation's distributions to evaluate the likelihood of a

locus overlapping any nearby ortholog, and produces a false discovery rate (FDR) to prioritize

candidate genes. FDR was calculated as the mean number of orthologs overlapping random

locations divided by the number of actual orthologs overlapping loci.

Permutations were also carried out to assess the significance of the overlap with the KIG. Primary

KIG list permutations (S3 and S4 Figs, S6 Table) were formed by selecting random genes to replicate

the same species and element compositions of the actual primary KIG list and repeating 1000 times.

The extended KIG list permutations were formed by putting the primary genes permutations

through the same ortholog-finding process as the actual KIG list, with one deviation. In the actual

extended lists, a primary KIG's paralogs (if any) and orthologs are sourced from its ortholog group. In

the permutations, we only take the random gene's orthologs from its ortholog group. After

tabulating all orthologs of each set of randomly selected genes in each permutation iteration, we

add a random selection of genes from Arabidopsis, rice, and maize that equal the number of

paralogs in their actual extended KIG lists. The number of paralogs added to the permutation sets

equalled the number of paralogs that exist in the extended KIG list, and does not expand groups

where multiple primary genes are also paralogs to each other. This choice was made to avoid

random gene selections in which large, single-species, expansions of a gene family filled up the

permutation set as this would not correctly replicate the structure of the KIG list and would not do a

good job of estimating the background rate of overlap. Known ionomic genes are largely conserved

across species, only about 9% of the primary KIG list in the first version of the KIG list were single-

species genes [26].

Results

Known Ionomic Genes as GWAS candidates

337   Elemental accumulation, or ionomic, datasets from association mapping populations of five species

338   (Table 2): Arabidopsis [32], *Glycine max* (soybean; see methods), *Oryza sativa* (rice) [33–36],

Sorghum bicolor (sorghum) [1], and maize [3] were reanalyzed to identify alleles at conserved

orthologous genes. These GWAS data sets were selected because they are publicly available and

contain the same 19 elemental accumulation traits, measured with the same type of instrument

(ICP-MS), and all measurements were obtained from seed samples. In all cases except Arabidopsis,

data sets were generated from plants grown in multiple environments. SNPs were filtered by p-value

thresholds specific to each dataset (Arabidopsis p < 0.001, soybean p < 0.05, rice p < 1e-5, sorghum p

< 1e-4, and maize p < 0.01) and, when possible, across multiple environments (Table 2). The p-value

thresholds varied for each species to reflect differences in statistical power due to different

experimental designs. Linkage ranges estimated from genome wide assessments for each population

were used to determine locus sizes and tabulate linked genes (Table 1).

Table 2. Ionomic loci from GWAS across five species.					
	Arabidopsis	soybean	rice	sorghum	maize
Environments	single	multiple	multiple	multiple	multiple
boron (B)	0	401	0	63	10
sodium (Na)	118	331	40	53	0
magnesium (Mg)	65	355	10	163	54
phosphorus (P)	58	372	14	87	39
sulfur (S)	57	362	13	78	54
potassium (K)	49	380	12	93	53
calcium (Ca)	54	360	16	102	43
iron (Fe)	55	354	20	210	67
manganese (Mn)	59	380	15	175	72
cobalt (Co)	149	373	0	106	105
nickel (Ni)	0	374	0	73	56
copper (Cu)	53	353	26	82	72
zinc (Zn)	51	384	24	103	58
arsenic (As)	68	360	20	0	28
selenium (Se)	126	359	0	114	7
rubidium (Rb)	72	352	0	53	59

strontium (Sr)	60	387	0	0	37
molybdenum (Mo)	109	362	70	115	73
cadmium (Cd)	114	381	152	98	11
Total loci	1404	7308	432	1768	905

To help identify candidate genes linked to elemental uptake loci in plants, we had previously

compiled a list of known ionomic genes (KIG) experimentally shown to alter elemental uptake in

plants [26]. As these genes were sourced from the primary literature, we called this our "primary"

KIG list. Since the original publication, we have added 19 new primary genes to the list (S1 Table;

https://github.com/danforthcenter/KIG_v2), for a total of 201 primary genes. Most primary genes

are in Arabidopsis (59%) andrice (33%) with a small number contributed from work in maize,

Medicago truncatula, and *Triticum aestivum* (wheat). Loci and gene overlaps were considered on a

trait-by-trait basis, i.e., Fe uptake GWAS loci were only compared to KIG genes listed for Fe. The

overlap between the identified GWAS loci and the KIG identified alleles at genes already known to

affect changes in the ionome (Fig 1). Permutations were used to determine the statistical

significance of these overlaps by selecting an equal number of random base pair locations across the

genome and assigning a "locus range" randomly selected from the actual loci. The primary KIG list

covered a low percentage of GWAS loci (<2%) but overlapped with ionomic loci more often than the

random locations (80th percentile in Arabidopsis, 95th in rice, >99th in maize).

Fig 1. Primary KIG list coverage of ionomic GWAS loci. Solid, vertical lines represent the percentage of GWAS loci explained by a gene from the primary KIG list. Kernel Density Estimate (KDE) plots display the distribution of 1000 random permutations with the 5th/95th percentiles shown as dashed lines. Permutations were made with random genome selections of the same size and species composition as the actual GWAS datasets (S3 Table).

Can orthology improve the KIG list?

KIGs overlapped the ionomic GWAS loci more often than random genome locations but provided no insight regarding likely candidate genes at 98% of GWAS loci. We hypothesized that inferring orthologs across species could increase the overlap between GWAS loci and expand the list of KIGs and orthologs. Orthologs are genes across species inherited from a common ancestor. Although now

in separate species, orthologs tend to retain similar functionality [46], making them good candidate

genes when their function is known in one species. Indeed, for many KIGs, orthologs have been

tested in multiple species and found to encode conserved functions affecting element accumulation

for S, Fe, Cd, Na, K, Rb, Mo, Ni, Cu, P, Zn, As, B, Mn, and aluminum (Al) [26]. For example, alleles at

orthologous genes encoding the *MOT1* transporter affect variation in the level of Mo in multiple

species [3,28,34,47] and the same has been observed at *HKT1* orthologs for Na [29,48,49]. Inferring

the orthologs of KIGs across all of our study species should allow us to discover alleles in genes

affecting the ionome, including genes that have not yet been tested in every species in our list.

Ortholog inference algorithms vary by their use of pairwise similarity scores, phylogenetic analysis,

and multiple sequence alignment - all of which produce variations in the ortholog groups. We

previously published an expanded KIG list [26] made using InParanoid [50,] which uses species-pair

comparisons, because the ortholog tables were readily available on Phytozome [45]. For this

analysis, we switched to OrthoFinder v2.0 [44] because it performed better than other ortholog

group inference methods in benchmarking tests as determined by Emms et al. (2019) and Emms et

al. (2020), and forms ortholog groups between 3 or more species. With the ortholog tables from

OrthoFinder, we produced "extended" KIG lists that identify orthologs of the primary KIGs in the

original species and any species with a sequenced genome, including the five we are studying here.

Expanding the list of taxa in the extended KIG list created soybean and sorghum gene lists so we

could repeat the KIG/GWAS overlap comparison in all five of our ionomic GWAS species.

The extended KIG lists improved loci coverage across all five species and resulted in significant

overlap between the observed GWAS loci and the KIG ortholog lists (>95th percentile) for all species

(Fig 2). As expected, this is true whether we randomized the loci (Figs 1 and 2) or randomized the

genes in the list (Supplemental Fig 5). While the likelihood that we observed an enrichment of true

positives was significant (Fig 2), per-gene-set assessment of false discovery rates (FDR) was relatively

high (Table 3), partly due to the large number of genes linked to GWAS loci. Our FDR calculation is a

ratio of expected/observed, where the expected average number of extended KIGs linked to random

locations from permuted datasets is divided by the observed number of KIGs linked to GWAS loci.

Thus, the overlaps between KIG and GWAS loci represent high-value candidates for alleles affecting

ionomic variation at conserved genes (Table 3; S6 Table).

Fig 2. Extended KIG list coverage of ionomic GWAS loci. Solid, vertical lines represent the

409   percentage of GWAS loci explained by a gene from OrthoFinder extended KIG lists. Kernel Density

410   Estimate (KDE) plots display the distribution of 1000 random permutations with the 5th/95th

411   percentiles shown as dashed lines. Permutations were made with random genome selections of the

412   same size and species composition as the actual GWAS datasets (Table 2).

Table 3. Summary of extended KIG list overlaps with GWAS loci.									
				KIG/GWAS overlaps		KIG/random overlaps		False discovery rate (FDR)	
Species	GWAS loci	random locations	Extended genes	genes	loci	genes	loci	genes	loci
Arabidopsis	1404	1404	163	45	40	32.86	30.55	0.73	0.76
soybean	7308	7308	378	264	223	170.03	166.23	0.64	0.75
rice	432	432	181	22	18	11.57	10.63	0.53	0.59
sorghum	1768	1768	201	23	21	13.36	12.13	0.58	0.58
maize	905	905	222	13	12	4.89	4.59	0.38	0.38

414
Among the extended KIGs that overlap GWAS loci are conserved genes known to regulate elemental

accumulation across these plant species (Table 4; S4 Table). Identification of alleles linked to

orthologous candidate genes via GWAS for the same element provides evidence that these genes

not only encode conserved determinants of elemental homeostasis but also indicate that

phenotypically relevant, standing variation exists in these genes that could be used to enhance plant

mineral nutrition (e.g., P, Fe, Zn, Mn, Mo), improved mineral nutrition for humans (e.g., Fe and Zn),

or increased food safety (e.g., Cd).

Table 4. KIG ortholog groups overlapping GWAS loci. KIGs were only compared to GWAS loci for traits they were cited for in the primary literature. KIG citations are listed in S1 Table.			
Known ionomic gene family	**Cited traits**	**GWAS trait**	**Orthologs**
AtPEN3	Cd	Cd	*AT3G16340* *Glyma.07G015800* *LOC_Os01g52560, LOC_Os06g36090*
AtHMA2, AtHMA3, AtHMA4 OsHMA3	Zn Cd	Cd	*AtHMA4, AtHMA2, AtHMA3* *Glyma.09G055600* *OsHMA3* *Sobic.002G083000, Sobic.002G083100* *Zm00001d005189, Zm00001d005190*

AtZIF1, AtZIFL2 OsZIFL12 ZmYS3	Cs Zn Fe	Fe	AtZIFL2 Glyma.06G313600, Glyma.06G313700 Sobic.008G015700
AtBTS	Fe Mn Zn	Fe	Glyma.05G237500, Glyma.08G044700 Sobic.009G222200 Zm00001d038916
AtESB1	Ca, Mn, Zn, Na, S, K, As, Se, Mo	Mn	AT1G07730, AT2G39430 Glyma.08G258300, Glyma.12G067400, Glyma.18G282600 Sobic.003G064800
AtNRAMP3	Fe Mn Zn	Mn	AtNRAMP3 Glyma.04G044000 Sobic.001G170000 Zm00001d030846
AtMTP11	Mn	Mn	AtMTP11 Glyma.18G286100 Sobic.003G349200 Zm00001d042939
AtMOT2	Mo	Mo	AtMOT2 Glyma.08G208700 LOC_Os01g45830 Sobic.003G237000 Zm00001d044068
AtMOT1 MtMOT1.2, MtMOT1.3 OsMOT1;1	Mo	Mo	AtMOT1 Glyma.06G074100, Glyma.17G203000, Glyma.17G203200, Glyma.17G203500 Zm00001d033053
AtPHT1;1, AtPHT1;4, AtPHT1;5 OsPT2	P As Se	P	AtPHT1;4 Glyma.10G186400, Glyma.10G186500 LOC_Os08g45000

The KIG orthologs linked to GWAS loci include several transporters with known roles in element

uptake, sequestration, and remobilization. Notably, alleles were discovered in orthologs of

transporters in the zinc-induced major facilitator superfamily (*ZIFL1* and *ZIFL2*) genes that affect the

accumulation of Fe in seeds (Table 4). Fe is a human nutrient that is limited in many diets [51–53]—

making the discovery of these alleles high-priority targets for breeding efforts to improve nutrient

accumulation in soybean and sorghum (Table 4). KIGs *MOT1* and *MOT2* encode molybdate transport

proteins [28,54–57] and overlap Mo loci in multiple species. Alleles affecting the accumulation of the

toxic heavy metal Cd were discovered in all five species at the heavy metal ATPases *AtHMA2*,

AtHMA3, *AtHMA4*, and *OsHMA3*, which belong to a subset of *HMAs* specific to Zn/Cd transport

[11,58–60]. In addition to direct Cd transport, alleles at *PEN3/PDR8*, implicated in Cd transport via

chelation with the glutathione-derived phytochelatin [61], affected Cd in three species. The alleles in

these transporters and chelator biosynthetic enzymes represent immediate breeding targets for

improved human food safety. The phosphate transporter (*PHT1*) family has been shown to affect

phosphate and As accumulation in Arabidopsis [62–64] and Se uptake in rice [65]. Orthologs of this

transporter were linked to alleles that affect P accumulation in Arabidopsis, soybean, and rice. Thus,

these alleles represent possible targets to enhance nutrient uptake for this yield-limiting nutrient.

MTP11 encodes a Mn transporter involved in Mn tolerance and detoxification with the Golgi

apparatus [66], and orthologs to *AtMTP11* are linked to Mn loci in four species. Alleles in the

orthologs of yet another transporter family, including *AtNRAMP3*, which is involved in Mn

homeostasis via the mesophyll vacuoles [67], were also associated with Mn accumulation in

Arabidopsis, soybean, sorghum, and maize.

Alleles at regulatory genes from conserved ortholog groups were also identified among multiple

species. These included alleles at orthologs of the transcription factor BRUTUS (*BTS*), which affects

the expression of genes affecting Fe accumulation in Arabidopsis, including the transporter *IRT1* and

the regulatory transcription factor *FIT1* [22,68,69]. Alleles linked to the *BTS* orthologs affected Fe

accumulation in soybean, sorghum, and maize (Table 4), demonstrating conservation of function for

this transcription factor family as regulators of iron accumulation response across species with

substantial variation in Fe transport mechanisms and biochemically distinct Fe chelation systems. As

these alleles affect the accumulation of Fe, a nutrient that limits human health, the alleles described

here are alleles of immediate value for breeding food staples with improved seed Fe and benefiting

global human nutrition. Our approach also detected alleles at a regulator of the ionome, the

Enhanced Suberin 1 (*ESB1*) gene, a dirigent-like protein previously only known via a knockout mutant

in Arabidopsis which exhibits altered Casparian strip biosynthesis [70], Ca, Mn, Zn, Na, S, K, As, Se,

and Mo levels [71]. GWAS detected alleles at this gene affecting Mn in Arabidopsis, soybean, and

sorghum (Table 4), demonstrating that this gene performs conserved functions in regulating ion

levels across species and that disruption of the Casparian strip can have similar impacts on the

ionome across species with dramatically different root systems and root vascular anatomies.

This analysis demonstrated the utility of combining GWAS across species by using orthology and

conservation for genes of known functions. The number of GWAS loci overlapping a KIG ortholog (Fig

2, Table 3) was greater than the overlap with a primary KIG gene (Fig 1, S3 Table). However, this

overlap only captured less than 5% of the ionomic GWAS loci. To identify high-quality candidates for

the remainder of the GWAS results, we sought a formal procedure for assessing the likelihood of

GWAS results at orthologous loci across these five species regardless of previously demonstrated

functions.

470   Orthology as a key factor for candidate selection

We hypothesized that conservation of orthologs within GWAS loci across multiple species could be a

criterion to identify genes of previously unknown function. In the expanded KIG ortholog/GWAS

overlap comparison (Fig 2, Tables 3 and 4, S4 Table), we frequently detected phenotypically-

impactful alleles in multiple species' GWAS. GWAS is "forward" genetics and will also detect alleles

at genes of previously unknown functions. Therefore, ionomic GWAS datasets will detect alleles at

unknown regulators of elemental accumulation. Alleles at conserved but previously unknown

regulators of the ionome should also appear more than expected by chance in comparisons between

GWAS loci from multiple species.

To test this hypothesis, and identify conserved ionomic genes, we developed a method we call

FiReMAGE: Filtering Results of Multi-species, Analogous, GWAS Experiments. This approach

identifies the orthologous genes linked to GWAS associations in multiple species and compares their

occurrence to a background distribution to assess the false discovery rate. This increases confidence

in specific candidate genes linked to GWAS loci without relying on previously known functions.

FiReMAGE works by tabulating the genes within trait loci in each species, determining their

orthologous relationships across species via OrthoFinder, and retaining ortholog groups with

candidate hits from at least three species (Fig 3). A threshold of three or more species was used to

reduce the false positives due to the high synteny between some species pairs (e.g.. Sorghum and

maize). Like the permutations used with the KIG/GWAS comparisons, FiReMAGE creates 1000

permutations equivalent to the GWAS data by randomly selecting an equal number of genomic

locations and ranges from each species' genome. FiReMAGE runs the permutated sets through the

same orthology comparison as the actual data. By comparing the expected (permuted) and observed

(GWAS data) orthologs returned from these genomes, this background distribution evaluates the

significance of the observed overlaps and provides a false discovery rate. This is a more accurate null

distribution for comparison than determining the number orthologous genes in a set of randomly

selected genes. Perfectly matched permutation sets were calculated for each set of species and

traits allowing FiReMAGE to output FDR for every comparison set (e.g. error control for the 3/5 set

of genes associated with Fe in O. sativa from the rice, maize, Arabidopsis comparison). These can be

seen as likelihood weights for the candidates in every comparison and are arrived at by performing

the same FDR calculation: average number of GWAS-linked orthologs expected by permutations

divided by the observed GWAS-linked orthologs in the actual data.

Fig 3. Overview of the FiReMAGE pipeline. (A) FiReMAGE orthology comparison identifies genes

linked to SNPs in each GWAS that are also orthologous to each other. (B) FiReMAGE starts by

identifying genes within range of GWAS loci intervals. These genes are recorded and filtered through

the orthology comparison to identify orthologous candidates. The original GWAS loci then are used

to generate 1000 random permutations, which go through the same process as the actual data.

Distributions from the permutations are used to assess genes in the real dataset and return a

prioritized candidate list. Created in BioRender (https://BioRender.com/c20l148).

With FiReMAGE, we identified candidates in ortholog groups with hits in at least three of the five

species for over 30% of soybean and maize loci and over 50% in Arabidopsis, rice, and sorghum (Fig

4). The orthologous loci identified from our GWAS datasets was greater than the 95th percentile of

the permutations in each species demonstrating enrichment of conserved genes underlying GWAS

hits. However, the individual candidate gene false discovery rates (the ratio of genes expected from

permutations/genes observed in the data) was still relatively high (Arabidopsis = 0.58, soybean =

0.43, rice = 0.62, sorghum = 0.39, maize 0.67). Across the 19 elemental traits for the 3 out of 5

species comparison, 3866 unique orthologs were linked to loci in Arabidopsis, 7852 in soybean, 2630

in rice, 2982 in sorghum, and 872 in maize (S5 Fig; S7 Table).

Fig 4. FiReMAGE identifies candidates for a larger portion of GWAS loci than the KIG list.

Percentage of ionomic GWAS intervals with a candidate from FiReMAGE's comparative approach

(left) and the OrthoFinder KIG list (right). The actual GWAS dataset is in green; 1000 random

permutations of the actual dataset are in blue, with error bars representing 5th/95th percentiles.

The size of candidate lists and false discovery rates vary between species and traits (Figs 5 and 6, S1

and S2 Figs). Requiring more species to be present in an ortholog group returned shorter candidate

lists (Fig 5) with lower false discovery rates (Fig 6). While still encompassing a large number of genes,

the 3/5 list is a substantial reduction in the number of potential candidates.

Fig 5. Orthologs from ortholog groups linked to Ca, Cd, Fe, Mn, or Mo loci in 3/5, 4/5, and 5/5 species. Actual dataset counts are in green, and 1000 permutations of the actual data are in blue, with the point representing the mean and error bars representing the 5th/95th percentiles. Columns are by species; rows are the number of species represented in the returned genes' ortholog groups. Distribution plots for ionomic traits found only in 3/5 or 4/5 species ortholog groups are in S1 and S2 Figs.

539
540 **Fig 6. False discovery rate (FDR) of FiReMAGE's selected candidate genes from ortholog groups**

541 **with representation from 3/5, 4/5, and all five species for each ionomic trait.** FDR was calculated

542 by taking the average number of orthologous candidates returned in the 1000 permutation datasets

543 and dividing it by the number of orthologous candidates returned in the actual dataset for each

544 combination of species, ionomic trait, and species representation in the ortholog group.

545
High synteny between two species results in many ortholog pairs (syntelogs) at each locus. We

carefully examined the influence that the maize-sorghum comparison had on our FiReMAGE results

as this was the most closely related species-pair in the data set. We expected the increased synteny

between maize and sorghum to increase the number of orthologous genes found (highlighted red in

Fig 7). This might increase the number of false positive (FDR) ortholog/GWAS overlaps and obscure

the signal at true causative genes. This high synteny did not, however, dominate the results. GWAS

overlap at ortholog groups from species with increased synteny do not make up the majority of the

loci detected. The largest intersection with maize, sorghum, and third species (maize-sorghum-

soybean) detected 245 ortholog groups, and was only the fifth largest intersection out of all ten

ortholog group species combinations. Additionally, the FDR of these genes is comparable to 3/5

ortholog groups derived from species with less synteny, suggesting that this is not a major concern

for this experiment.

Fig 7. UpSet plot of FiReMAGE's selected candidates' ortholog group composition. The intersection

matrix shows the unique compositions of all ortholog groups determined by OrthoFinder. Ortholog

groups are ordered by the degree (number of species) of the intersection and then by group size.

Intersection size represents the number of ortholog groups matching the species composition below.

Set size shows the total number of instances in which a species appears in all ortholog groups. The

top panel displays the individual genes' false discovery rate (FDR) distribution in their respective

ortholog groups for all ionomic traits. Note that some genes may be represented twice in the boxplot

distribution if they appear for multiple traits. Thus, they may have multiple FDRs due to the different

SNP distributions and FDR of the ionomic traits. Because of the theory that high levels of synteny

between sorghum and maize may increase the number of false positives returned, ortholog groups

with two of the three species being sorghum and maize are highlighted red.

FiReMAGE identifies highly likely candidates and novel hypotheses

for the regulation of element accumulation

For each ionomic trait and species combination, FiReMAGE produced a list of orthologous

candidates and an FDR estimated by permutation (S7 Table). If elemental accumulation is controlled

by conserved genes, then the FiReMAGE procedure should identify more orthologous gene/loci

overlaps than expected by chance via the permutations. FDRs differed across the element

accumulation traits and species combinations. Generally, comparisons with low FDRs were observed

for elements with a previously demonstrated high heritability [72–76] and with GWAS hits in all five

species: Cd, Ca, Fe, Mn, and Mo (Fig 5). Of 23,117 FiReMAGE candidates, only 81 belong to these 5/5

ortholog groups. If we rank all candidate genes using the FDR for each gene set from the perfectly

matched permutation scores, candidates 1-56 and 115-116 are linked to GWAS loci at orthologous

candidates in all five species. The top 10% of candidate genes ranked in this way correspond to 233

genes from 18 element/species/comparison combinations (S8 Table). That a lower FDR was

observed when genes were present in more species demonstrates the value of using orthology, the

power of replication across the species, and the utility of our permutation-calculated FDR to rank

candidates.

Among the candidates from orthologous groups linked to loci in all five species are some of the most

significant GWAS SNPs in the input set. The GWAS loci linked to these 5/5 candidate genes (n = 64)

were enriched for the top 10% most significant SNP-trait associations from their respective GWAS

(Chi-square p-value > 1.25 e-10; obs: 21 True, 43 False; exp: 6 True, 58 False). Low p value loci within

an individual species' GWAS (n=21), might have been considered targets for candidate verification.

FiReMAGE improves upon this by identifying likely causative conserved genes at each of these

locations, accelerating the process of gene function discovery. In addition, FiReMAGE also outputs

the likely alleles in the species where the effects at these conserved genes were less strong. Thus, by

using orthology, FiReMAGE identified genes linked to GWAS SNPs with "middling" signal to identify

the remaining conserved candidates.

The identities of the genes encoded by the FIReMAGE candidates in our 5/5 species lists implicate a

wide variety of biological processes in elemental homeostasis. The 81 candidates with alleles in all

five species belong to nine orthologous groups. For Fe, among the 5/5 candidates with no previously

known role in Fe homeostasis, is an ortholog group that encode Mevalonate kinases across these

five species, suggesting a currently unknown role for the mevalonic acid pathway in regulating Fe

homeostasis in flowering plants. Among the top Cd candidates, we find the *HMA* ortholog group

mentioned above in the KIG list (Table 4), RAB GTPase homologs of *RABA1i* that are involved in

regulating cell wall composition [77], and an ortholog group of Domains of Unknown Function 567

(DUF567) protein family. In Arabidopsis, DUF567 is expressed in the roots and identified among a list

of 129 genes associated with metal hyperaccumulation in *Arabidopsis halleri* [78]. Thus, FireMAGE

provides additional experimental evidence, leveraged across five species, that this DUF567 family

affects ion homeostasis and may underlie ecological adaptation via hyperaccumulation in

Arabidopsis relatives. For Mo, the 5/5 candidates are the molybdate transporters, *MOT2*, listed in

the KIG list for Mo accumulation [54], and adenine nucleotide transporters (*NTT1* and *NTT2*).

Nucleotide metabolism is tied to Mo via molybdopterin, which is synthesized from nucleotide

precursors [79] to form reaction cofactors for four plant enzymes [80] suggesting a mechanism for

these associations. For Ca, the orthologous candidates are annotated as encoding a Ring/Ubox

superfamily with no known role in Ca accumulation. Lastly, for Mn, the candidate ortholog groups

are annotated as histone proteins and cellulose synthase A9 (*CESA9*). *CESA9* has been shown to

influence the cellulose content and cell wall biosynthesis in the epidermal seed coat in Arabidopsis

seeds [81]. In cellulose synthesis, Mn ions are used to catalyze glycosidic bond formation and CESA

protein coordinates Mn ions with the glycosyltransferase substrate uridine diphosphate glucose [82].

Given the large number of Mn-dependent processes in the cell, the association is unlikely the result

of alleles affecting Mn levels via the size of the cellulose synthase sink. It may be that Mn

homeostasis in the golgi and trans-golgi networks [83], are sensitive to the binding state for

coordinated Mn in CESA. Thus, highly likely candidates identified as conserved genes underlying

GWAS peaks in this species set have reasonable proposed mechanisms of action, are worthy of

further scientific investigation and, again, represent potential immediate-use targets for breeding

and crop improvement.

We also compared the FiReMAGE candidates to another candidate selection method performed in

maize: Camoco [17]. From the 47,000 candidate observations derived from multiple expression

network and elemental trait combinations, we selected the top 200 observations as ranked by the

FDR calculations in Camoco's multiple expression-network-based prioritizations and ionomics trait

analysis [17]. This selection contained 122 unique candidate genes to compare against FiReMAGE's

evolutionary-based prioritizations. Only two of these112 candidates were detected as conserved

regulators by FiReMAGE. One gene, *Zm00001d04461*, identified through both methods as affecting

Mo, encodes an F-box domain containing protein; the other, *Zm00001d012274*, affecting Fe

accumulation, is an enzyme encoding an Omega-hydroxypalmitate O-feruloyl transferase involved in

the production of suberinized hydrophobic barriers [84] that are known to influence ionomic traits.

Despite the clear mechanism implicated, the finding that only two genes affected variation detected

by the two methods indicates that single-species expression networks and orthology selection

methods boost different genetic signals to tie GWAS results to candidate genes.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that combining orthology and GWAS from multiple species detects

conserved regulators of phenotypic variation. Using the Known Ionomic Genes (KIG) as a validation

set of curated annotations confirmed our hypothesis that combining GWAS and orthology would

correctly identify variation affecting elemental accumulation. This resulted in the discovery of

phenotypically-impactful alleles affecting ionomic variation in genes of previously known functions

encoded in these five species. Additionally, the FiReMAGE approach went beyond the KIG list by

identifying numerous candidates in genes without previously determined roles in element

accumulation (S7 Table). This ability to identify and prioritize candidate genes for conserved roles in

trait variation using the natural variation of multiple species transcends one of the key limitations of

the post-genomic era: ascertainment bias due to prior study. Prior research bias has resulted in gene

discovery focused on a handful of elements due to researcher interests, leaving a majority of

elements under-studied [26]. Similar biases for prior demonstration of gene function, result in the

preferential publication of additional alleles at homologs of known function rather than the

exploration of natural alleles at locations with no genes of prior molecularly demonstrated effects on

the studied trait. The overlap of less than 5% between GWAS outputs and the KIG indicate that the

KIG list is vastly incomplete [8], and many more plant genes that regulate the ionome are waiting to

be discovered. The overlap between the FiReMAGE output and genes of previously known function

as well as the identification of orthologous high likelihood produced a comprehensive public list of

alleles at candidate conserved regulators of 19 elemental accumulation for future molecular

mechanistic studies or marker-assisted selection (S2 and S7 Tables).

Instead of selecting candidates with prior annotations, FiReMAGE annotates orthologous genes as

high-value candidate genes for further molecular function characterization. Experimental error, high

genome-wide statistical thresholds, and low statistical power in a GWAS results in high false

negative rates (e.g. insignificant p-values at a true causal gene). Meanwhile, every gene linked to

SNPs with a significant p-value will not be a true causal gene and assuming so would increase

false positives and result in spurious conclusions. The permutation results in Figs 1 and 2

demonstrate the power of collectively assessing GWAS datasets across species. When the same

gene is linked to GWAS SNPs in multiple species, these independent experiments provide

multiple lines of evidence for that gene's function and increase the likelihood that it is causal. T

673   Orthology-based FiReMAGE is highly effective for identifying gene

674   candidates

The integration of orthogroups into gene annotation provided improved estimates of gene function,

as demonstrated by the improved GWAS/KIG overlap when orthologs were included (Figs 1 and 2,

Table 3, S3 Table). As a result of this success, we hypothesized that orthology, even in the absence of

gene function annotations, would also identify conserved genes as candidates without any prior

demonstration of ionomic function. FiReMAGE identified more orthologous genes linked to GWAS

loci for the same trait than expected by chance (Figs 4 and 5), demonstrating that this approach

works. In addition to KIGs (Table 4), FiReMAGE also returned non-KIG ortholog groups that encoded

natural variation in elemental accumulation (S7 Table). These FiReMAGE candidates are likely to

encode conserved regulators of elemental accumulation, for which our analysis is the first genetic

demonstration of this role. Finding conserved critical regulators of ion homeostasis by GWAS

validates the expectation that conserved regulators (e.g., hub genes) will repeatedly accumulate

phenotypically effective variation. It also dramatically broadens the capacity of GWAS to work as a

gene function discovery tool in the forward-genetics mode. Thus, comparing element accumulation

association studies across organisms can help us discover additional element homeostasis

mechanisms. Our approach relies on both annotated genomes and GWAS data, but it should be

generalizable to any homologous traits across taxa. FiReMAGE does not require any information

other than a list of GWAS SNPs and gene positions in genomes, thus avoiding prior knowledge bias

and enabling accelerated gene function discovery for traits and species with little to no functional

annotations or prior work.

One of the non-KIG ortholog groups with alleles in all five species detected by FiReMAGE for Cd

encodes a domain of unknown function 567 protein (DUF567) family. This DUF567 is just one of the

198 elemental accumulation candidate genes identified by FiReMAGE in Arabidopsis that has no

previously known function in any biological processes (S9 Table). No other genes whose best

annotation is as domain of unknown function (DUF) containing gene are in 5/5 ortholog groups, but

19 are in the top 10% of Arabidopsis candidates for all traits, and 46 unknown genes are in the top

25% when sorted by their permutation estimated FDRs. None of these unknown genes would be

considered candidates if relying only on gene annotations. That these DUFs are within the gene sets

with the lowest permutation-estimated FDRs which also include known conserved regulators from

multiple species (Table 4) suggest these DUFs are conserved, previously unknown, regulators of the

ionome. Future single-gene mechanistic experiments may result in the annotation of these genes as

elemental regulators. Problems with annotating genes and Gene Ontology (GO) terms in general,

and plants in particular, have been extensively discussed [7–9]. FiReMAGE side-steps this problem,

as is illustrated by the observation that it finds 602 orthologous gene candidates for Mo and 116 for

Rb (S7 Table), yet there are no GO terms for the transport, accumulation, or metabolism of either

Mo or Rb.

Using data from multiple experiments, FiReMAGE emphasizes loci that would be passed over in a

single-species experiment. In many cases, FiReMAGE outputs strong signals when orthologs are

considered across taxa for loci with moderate effects in each species. Five traits, Ca, Fe, Mn, Mo, and

Cd (Fig 5, S7 Table), have ortholog groups with candidate gene hits in all five species. The federation

of data across multiple species implemented by FiReMAGE can boost the signal at genes encoding

alleles that were below the p-value thresholds typically employed for single-species GWAS

assessment. This is illustrated by the results located within GWAS loci at the ortholog groups

containing *MOT2* and the Cd/Zn transporting *HMAs* (Table 4). Some of the p-values in single species

comparisons are very significant, but not all. The worst performing taxa for these ortholog groups

were soybean for Mo accumulation at *MOT2* (p-value = 0.0104) and Arabidopsis for Cd accumulation

at the tandem gene duplication comprising AtHMA2, AtHMA3, and AtHMA4 (p-value = 5.6e-05).

Neither of these associations would meet a multiple testing corrected p-value cutoff for significance

if considered alone in their respective single species GWAS (S7 Table). Finding associations at these

loci in all five of the species demonstrates that variation at these loci is present. Indeed, AtHMA3 is

at a major effect QTL affecting the level of Cd in leaves at a p-value < 10e-20 [11]. The cause of this

association, and our detection of a smaller effect on seed Cd accumulation, is a complicated

collection of loss-of-function alleles of various frequencies encoded at this locus [11]. One null

mutant allele in HMA3 is present in the reference Col-0 accession [58]. This loss of function allele

induces sensitivity to metals, including Cd which it directs to the vacuole [85]. The dramatic effect of

these alleles on Cd accumulation in leaves and weaker effects in seeds likely results from a strong

effect of HMA3 on vacuolar sequestration, and a weaker contribution to Cd remobilization during

seed filling in Arabidopsis.

For those genes that are in the KIG orthologs set, these have been highlighted as genes affecting the

ionone independently via FiReMAGE, demonstrating phenotypically affective variation co-

segregating with identified SNPs, and via prior work on molecular genetic demonstration. These

alleles all represent utility-ready breeding targets that are the highest confidence hits in our study.

Of particular note are the alleles identified by FiReMAGE that overlap the KIG and alter the levels of

minerals known to limit human health. Because the GWAS data sets employed here are all studies of

seeds, all of the SNPs affecting variation in elements important for human nutrition can be

incorporated into breeding programs. For example, all Fe and Zn alleles at KIG can be put to use

immediately in public breeding seeking to increase the concentration of these critical human

nutrients to overcome health-limiting deficiencies. Similarly, the Cd and As associations identified in

these materials at KIG orthologs (Table S7) can be put to use immediately to reduce the levels of

these toxic elements in the food supply.

FiReMAGE approach and utility for gene discovery

Our FiReMAGE approach combines a wide array of information. It has many steps (functions) and

dozens to hundreds of user-defined parameters. Many of these lack obvious correct or best choices

for parameter values. These include the p-value thresholds for the GWAS input data sets, the linkage

range for SNP-to-gene relationships, and the outgroups for constructing ortholog relationships. In

addition, several variables are inherent in the data and experimentation that also affect FiReMAGE,

including differences in measurement error in each experiment, variation in the statistical power of

each GWAS panel, the total genetic variation in a species, and the association panel selection. By

using permutations instead of an idealized null distribution, FiReMAGE estimated how likely the

results were to happen by random chance, given this set of input parameters, and provided

estimates of the likelihood that our predictions are true. The FiReMAGE approach calculates these

permutations for every trait, species, and dataset combination. As researchers get better estimates

of parameters or add additional data, the permutations must also be updated. Preliminary testing

determined that random selection parameters were replicating real data structure and composition,

and our permutations serve as consistent internal controls for benchmarking results.

One of the reasons to employ permutations of regions instead of random sets of genes, was the

collinearity of genes in genomes. We expected that the high synteny between the three Poaceae

species, rice, sorghum, and maize, would inflate false positives. To the extent that multiple linked

genes are shared across taxa due to conservation of gene order, we expected the ortholog groups

detected as 3/5 hits with FiReMAGE in only the Poaceae to have high FDR. However, these ortholog

groups did not have dramatically increased FDR compared to other 3/5 ortholog groups (Fig 7). The

combination of the recent genome duplications and uneven loss of duplicate genes well

demonstrated in maize, Arabidopsis, and others [86–91] and the prevalence of chromosomal

rearrangements and transposition of genes in plant genomes may have shuffled these genomes

enough to permit the detection of single conserved genes within our selected window sizes.

Although we did not see a huge influence of synteny in our comparison, other species combinations

may find controlling for synteny critical [92]. Any undue influence of synteny will be reflected in the

permutation FDR calculations, highlighting the value of empirically estimated expectations over

naive null hypotheses.

The success of FiReMAGE with these particular data sets and user-set parameters demonstrates that

this approach is viable. One feature of this analysis was the reliance on user-reported p-values for a

number of the data sets. This resulted in uneven p-value thresholds and presumably influenced false

positive and negative rates due to differences in statistical power and error across the input GWAS

experiments. Because FiReMAGE federates data together, a search for the optimal p-value

thresholds for each experiment would require determining how changing the input data affected the

performance of the comparison by FiReMAGE. One interesting feature of FiReMAGE is that it is

highly sensitive to false-negative rates. Using too stringent of a p-value cutoff for each species GWAS

results will fail to input into FiReMAGE the true associations of modest effect near conserved

regulators. FiReMAGE cannot then include this gene in an overlap and loses one true overlap as a

result. This problem increases as the number of species compared goes up, when stringent pvalues

are used. Thus, we chose p-value thresholds for the single-species inputs that are considered

permissive to reasonably limit false negative rates and still retain signal in this FiReMAGE

comparison. Again, the use of permutations to benchmark the comparison and determine

significance of FiReMAGE validated this choice. As candidate genes suggested by FiReMAGE are

tested, the genes that are confirmed and genes that were false positives will provide an additional

mechanism to compare and refine parameters.

The high FDRs from the KIG comparison demonstrate why common practices filter SNPs with

stringent thresholds (Bonferroni, FDR, etc.) before referencing functional annotations of linked

genes. However, these recommendations do not address that even with generous genome coverage,

KIG candidates cannot explain a large portion of ionomic variation (Figs 1 and 2). While some loci

highlighted by GWAS at these thresholds are due to spurious associations and some KIGs do not

drive elemental phenotypes in all populations in all species, we propose that the majority of loci lack

KIG candidates because there are a vast number of gene functions and allele consequences yet to be

discovered [8]. Candidate selection methods reliant on prior information have inherently narrow

scopes that increase false negatives and, by focusing efforts on highly likely confirmation

experiments at genes of known function, inhibit gene discovery. The KIG list is slightly better at

discovery, as it is valuable in transferring information between species with high quality annotations

to species with fewer genomic/genetic resources. However, identifying novel ionomic candidates or

functional annotations requires novel approaches, such as FiReMAGE.

Limitations of FiReMAGE

One effect of the FiReMAGE approach on candidate gene assessment is that it ignores alleles and

false positives that occur in GWAS results from a single species or in a limited number of species.

While of great potential utility, this approach can potentially contribute to false negative

assessments in at least two ways. Firstly, the FiReMAGE approach will necessarily miss

taxonomically-restricted solutions and limitations to element homeostasis. For example,

glucosinolates in Arabidopsis, benzoxazinoids in maize, and nodulation in soybeans, all have impacts

on the ionome [93,94] and are not evolutionarily conserved processes across these taxa. As a result,

alleles affecting variation in these processes, even if they have substantial impacts in one taxon,

would not be prioritized by FiReMAGE. The KIG list or more involved experiments like gene transcript

expression would be better selection methods in these cases [17]. Secondly, the requirement to

appear in multiple lists and the stringency of the criteria for inclusion in the GWAS outputs

contribute to false negatives intrinsic in FiReMAGE. Researchers particularly worried about false

positive assessments in their GWAS outputs may not have considered the effect that the high rate of

false negatives will have on downstream meta-analysis and data federation approaches, like

FiReMAGE. Because detecting conserved candidates by FiReMAGE relies on true positives in multiple

species, providing too-stringent lists of loci will result in poor performance as more species are

added to the comparison. Communities that make raw data readily available for re-analysis are likely

to see approaches like FiReMAGE return better results.

Another limitation is that FiReMAGE requires strict trait matches across taxa and we only compared

KIGs to loci of traits for which they had been cited. Many elemental uptake traits are related and

often elements are co-transported by the same transporters. The same gene could have different

elemental phenotypes in taxa due to many factors, including the interaction with species-specific

mechanisms (see above). The rules governing elemental interactions are poorly understood [95,96].

Rather than attempting to relate ortholog groups to similar elements they have yet to be tested for

with our (likely) biased understanding of the ionome, we chose a strict comparison between traits

across species. We have provided a list of KIGs overlapping any trait loci (S4 Table) to guide future

researchers interested in exploring their potential functions in related traits.

A functional requirement for FiReMAGE is the collection of the same trait across multiple diverse

taxa. Many traits, such as flower morphology and resistance to specific pathogens, are not

comparable across species. Additionally, collecting trait data from well-powered (i.e. large) GWAS

populations across multiple species can be time and resource-intensive. However, advances in

phenotyping technologies are enabling the creation of multi-species datasets, and more datasets are

publicly available in GWAS databases like AraGWAS [97] for Arabidopsis, RiceVarMap2 [98] for rice,

and GWAS Atlas [99] for studies in cotton, maize, soybean, rice, and sorghum. FiReMAGE is able to

identify candidates for any trait where the data is available in three or more species. We note that

the threshold of three or more species excludes eudicot-specific candidates in this five-species

comparison. As more eudicot species with ionomic GWAS experiments become available it may be

possible to carry out FiReMAGE searches for candidate genes using phylogenetically targeted sub-

sets of taxa.

Lastly, because FiReMAGE relies on orthology, the limitations surrounding current ortholog group

inference methods apply to the candidate lists produced by FiReMAGE. While OrthoFinder v2.0 is

the best algorithm for whole genome comparisons [44], there are likely some gene trees with

evolutionary histories that make accurate ortholog inference challenging [100]. We recommend

following best practices for operating OrthoFinder (see methods), including proper species sampling

and validating gene tree phylogenies for FiReMAGE candidates of interest when appropriate.

Future mutant screens

Unbiased candidate selection methods are necessary to transition into research using non-model

species and with genes of unknown function. Our approach has identified the conserved genes

associated with genetic variation via analogous GWAS in multiple species and their likelihood as

causal genes in ionomic phenotypic variation. In future studies, testing mutant knock-out libraries in

Arabidopsis [101], sorghum [102], and maize [103] will allow us to validate FiReMAGE predictions

and improve candidate selection parameters.

Our research demonstrates that the disparate approaches of the KIG list and FiReMAGE both

present valuable ionomic candidates, each with distinct advantages and weaknesses, and highlight

the importance of strategic decisions surrounding candidate gene identification for downstream

quantitative genetic analysis. Future experiments will further explore best practices and outcomes of

comparative GWAS methods, including parameters to balance false negatives and false positives.

We also can imagine implementing a ranking equation that includes variables other than

permutation calculated false discovery rates. We hope this tool facilitates gene discovery in genetics

experiments across all organisms.

875
876

Acknowledgements

878 We thank Ryan Hartsock for contributions to the early development of our orthology comparison

879 methods.

References

1. Shakoor N, Ziegler G, Dilkes BP, Brenton Z, Boyles R, Connolly EL, et al. Integration of
Experiments across Diverse Environments Identifies the Genetic Determinants of Variation in
Sorghum bicolor Seed Element Composition. Plant Physiol. 2016;170: 1989–1998.

2. Ziegler G, Nelson R, Granada S, Krishnan HB, Gillman JD, Baxter I. Genomewide association
study of ionomic traits on diverse soybean populations from germplasm collections. Plant
Direct. 2018;2: e00033.

3. Ziegler G, Kear PJ, Wu D, Ziyomo C, Lipka AE, Gore M, et al. Elemental Accumulation in Kernels
of the Maize Nested Association Mapping Panel Reveals Signals of Gene by Environment
Interactions. bioRxiv. 2017. p. 164962. doi:10.1101/164962

4. Zhang M, Pinson SRM, Tarpley L, Huang X-Y, Lahner B, Yakubova E, et al. Mapping and
validation of quantitative trait loci associated with concentrations of 16 elements in unmilled
rice grain. Theor Appl Genet. 2014;127: 137–165.

5. Atwell S, Huang YS, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Willems G, Horton M, Li Y, et al. Genome-wide association
study of 107 phenotypes in Arabidopsis thaliana inbred lines. Nature. 2010;465: 627–631.

6. Cubillos FA, Coustham V, Loudet O. Lessons from eQTL mapping studies: non-coding regions
and their role behind natural phenotypic variation in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2012;15: 192–
198.

7. Stoeger T, Gerlach M, Morimoto RI, Nunes Amaral LA. Large-scale investigation of the reasons
why potentially important genes are ignored. PLoS Biol. 2018;16: e2006643.

8. Baxter I. We aren't good at picking candidate genes, and it's slowing us down. Curr Opin Plant
Biol. 2020;54: 57–60.

9. Wimalanathan K, Friedberg I, Andorf CM, Lawrence-Dill CJ. Maize GO Annotation—Methods,
Evaluation, and Review (maize-GAMER). Plant Direct. 2018;2: e00052.

10. Stoeger T, Nunes Amaral LA. COVID-19 research risks ignoring important host genes due to pre-
905   established research patterns. Elife. 2020;9. doi:10.7554/eLife.61981

906   11. Chao D-Y, Silva A, Baxter I, Huang YS, Nordborg M, Danku J, et al. Genome-wide association
907   studies identify heavy metal ATPase3 as the primary determinant of natural variation in leaf
908   cadmium in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet. 2012;8: e1002923.

909   12. Chao D-Y, Chen Y, Chen J, Shi S, Chen Z, Wang C, et al. Genome-wide association mapping
910   identifies a new arsenate reductase enzyme critical for limiting arsenic accumulation in plants.
911   PLoS Biol. 2014;12: e1002009.

912   13. Gupta PK, Kulwal PL, Jaiswal V. Association mapping in plants in the post-GWAS genomics era.
913   Adv Genet. 2019;104: 75–154.

914   14. Spain SL, Barrett JC. Strategies for fine-mapping complex traits. Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24: R111-
915   9.

916   15. Greene CS, Troyanskaya OG. PILGRM: an interactive data-driven discovery platform for expert
917   biologists. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39: W368-74.

918   16. Xing EP, Curtis RE, Schoenherr G, Lee S, Yin J, Puniyani K, et al. GWAS in a box: statistical and
919   visual analytics of structured associations via GenAMap. PLoS One. 2014;9: e97524.

920   17. Schaefer RJ, Michno J-M, Jeffers J, Hoekenga O, Dilkes B, Baxter I, et al. Integrating
921   Coexpression Networks with GWAS to Prioritize Causal Genes in Maize. Plant Cell. 2018;30:
922   2922–2942.

923   18. Koornneef M, Meinke D. The development of Arabidopsis as a model plant. Plant J. 2010;61:
924   909–921.

925   19. Park ST, Kim J. Trends in Next-Generation Sequencing and a New Era for Whole Genome
926   Sequencing. Int Neurourol J. 2016;20: S76-83.

927   20. Salt DE, Baxter I, Lahner B. Ionomics and the study of the plant ionome. Annu Rev Plant Biol.
928   2008;59: 709–733.

929   21. Dhankher OP, Rosen BP, McKinney EC, Meagher RB. Hyperaccumulation of arsenic in the shoots
930   of Arabidopsis silenced for arsenate reductase (ACR2). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:
931   5413–5418.

932   22. Eide D, Broderius M, Fett J, Guerinot ML. A novel iron-regulated metal transporter from plants
933   identified by functional expression in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996;93: 5624–5628.

934   23. Chen A, Hu J, Sun S, Xu G. Conservation and divergence of both phosphate- and mycorrhiza-
935   regulated physiological responses and expression patterns of phosphate transporters in
936   solanaceous species. New Phytol. 2007;173: 817–831.

937   24. Chen A, Gu M, Sun S, Zhu L, Hong S, Xu G. Identification of two conserved cis-acting elements,
938   MYCS and P1BS, involved in the regulation of mycorrhiza-activated phosphate transporters in
939   eudicot species. New Phytol. 2011;189: 1157–1169.

940   25. Ram H, Sardar S, Gandass N. Vacuolar Iron Transporter (Like) proteins: Regulators of cellular
941   iron accumulation in plants. Physiol Plant. 2021;171: 823–832.

26. Whitt L, Ricachenevsky FK, Ziegler GZ, Clemens S, Walker E, Maathuis FJM, et al. A curated list of genes that affect the plant ionome. Plant Direct. 2020;4: e00272.

27. Véry A-A, Nieves-Cordones M, Daly M, Khan I, Fizames C, Sentenac H. Molecular biology of K+ transport across the plant cell membrane: what do we learn from comparison between plant species? J Plant Physiol. 2014;171: 748–769.

28. Baxter I, Muthukumar B, Park HC, Buchner P, Lahner B, Danku J, et al. Variation in molybdenum content across broadly distributed populations of Arabidopsis thaliana is controlled by a mitochondrial molybdenum transporter (MOT1). PLoS Genet. 2008;4: e1000004.

29. Baxter I, Brazelton JN, Yu D, Huang YS, Lahner B, Yakubova E, et al. A coastal cline in sodium accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana is driven by natural variation of the sodium transporter AtHKT1;1. PLoS Genet. 2010;6: e1001193.

30. Rubio F, Nieves-Cordones M, Alemán F, Martínez V. Relative contribution of AtHAK5 and AtAKT1 to K+ uptake in the high-affinity range of concentrations. Physiol Plant. 2008;134: 598–608.

31. Huang X-Y, Deng F, Yamaji N, Pinson SRM, Fujii-Kashino M, Danku J, et al. A heavy metal P-type ATPase OsHMA4 prevents copper accumulation in rice grain. Nat Commun. 2016;7: 12138.

32. Campos ACA, van Dijk WFA, Ramakrishna P, Giles T, Korte P, Douglas A, et al. Full species-wide leaf and seed ionomic diversity of Arabidopsis thaliana. bioRxiv. 2020. p. 2020.11.09.373282. doi:10.1101/2020.11.09.373282

33. Norton GJ, Travis AJ, Douglas A, Fairley S, Alves EDP, Ruang-Areerate P, et al. Genome Wide Association Mapping of Grain and Straw Biomass Traits in the Rice Bengal and Assam Aus Panel (BAAP) Grown Under Alternate Wetting and Drying and Permanently Flooded Irrigation. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9: 1223.

34. Norton GJ, Douglas A, Lahner B, Yakubova E, Guerinot ML, Pinson SRM, et al. Genome wide association mapping of grain arsenic, copper, molybdenum and zinc in rice (Oryza sativa L.) grown at four international field sites. PLoS One. 2014;9: e89685.

35. Norton GJ, Travis A, Ruang-Areerate P, Nicol GW, Adeosun AA, Hossain M, et al. Genetic loci regulating cadmium content in rice grains. Euphytica. 2021;217: 35.

36. Talukdar P, Travis AJ, Hossain M, Islam MR, Norton GJ, Price AH. Identification of genomic loci regulating grain iron content in aus rice under two irrigation management systems. Food Energy Secur. 2022;11: e329.

37. Ziegler G, Terauchi A, Becker A, Armstrong P, Hudson K, Baxter I. Ionomic screening of field-grown soybean identifies mutants with altered seed elemental composition. Plant Genome. 2013;6: lantgenome2012.07.0012.

38. Wimmer V, Albrecht T, Auinger H-J, Schön C-C. synbreed: a framework for the analysis of genomic prediction data using R. Bioinformatics. 2012;28: 2086–2087.

39. Chen J, Chen Z. Extended Bayesian information criteria for model selection with large model spaces. Biometrika. 2008;95: 759–771.

40. Segura V, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Platt A, Korte A, Seren Ü, Long Q, et al. An efficient multi-locus mixed-model approach for genome-wide association studies in structured populations. Nat

Genet. 2012;44: 825–830.

41.   mlmm.gwas: Pipeline for GWAS Using MLMM. In: Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN)
[Internet]. [cited 23 Mar 2023]. Available: https://cran.r-
project.org/web/packages/mlmm.gwas/index.html

42.   Warnes G, Warnes MG. The genetics package. Locus. 2007;26: 1.

43.   Barria A, López ME, Yoshida G, Carvalheiro R, Lhorente JP, Yáñez JM. Population Genomic
Structure and Genome-Wide Linkage Disequilibrium in Farmed Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar L.)
Using Dense SNP Genotypes. Front Genet. 2018;9: 649.

44.   Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.
Genome Biol. 2019;20: 238.

45.   Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, et al. Phytozome: a comparative
platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40: D1178-86.

46.   Altenhoff AM, Studer RA, Robinson-Rechavi M, Dessimoz C. Resolving the ortholog conjecture:
orthologs tend to be weakly, but significantly, more similar in function than paralogs. PLoS
Comput Biol. 2012;8: e1002514.

47.   Asaro A, Dilkes B, Baxter I. Multivariate analysis reveals environmental and genetic
determinants of element covariation in the maize grain ionome. bioRxiv. 2017. p. 241380.
doi:10.1101/241380

48.   Campbell MT, Bandillo N, Al Shiblawi FRA, Sharma S, Liu K, Du Q, et al. Allelic variants of
OsHKT1;1 underlie the divergence between indica and japonica subspecies of rice (Oryza sativa)
for root sodium content. PLoS Genet. 2017;13: e1006823.

49.   Rus A, Baxter I, Muthukumar B, Gustin J, Lahner B, Yakubova E, et al. Natural variants of AtHKT1
enhance Na+ accumulation in two wild populations of Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. 2006;2: e210.

50.   Remm M, Storm CE, Sonnhammer EL. Automatic clustering of orthologs and in-paralogs from
pairwise species comparisons. J Mol Biol. 2001;314: 1041–1052.

51.   Haydon MJ, Kawachi M, Wirtz M, Hillmer S, Hell R, Krämer U. Vacuolar nicotianamine has
critical and distinct roles under iron deficiency and for zinc sequestration in Arabidopsis. Plant
Cell. 2012;24: 724–737.

52.   Remy E, Cabrito TR, Batista RA, Teixeira MC, Sá-Correia I, Duque P. The major facilitator
superfamily transporter ZIFL2 modulates cesium and potassium homeostasis in Arabidopsis.
Plant Cell Physiol. 2015;56: 148–162.

53.   Che J, Yokosho K, Yamaji N, Ma JF. A Vacuolar Phytosiderophore Transporter Alters Iron and
Zinc Accumulation in Polished Rice Grains. Plant Physiol. 2019;181: 276–288.

54.   Gasber A, Klaumann S, Trentmann O, Trampczynska A, Clemens S, Schneider S, et al.
Identification of an Arabidopsis solute carrier critical for intracellular transport and inter-organ
allocation of molybdate. Plant Biol . 2011;13: 710–718.

55.   Gil-Díez P, Tejada-Jiménez M, León-Mediavilla J, Wen J, Mysore KS, Imperial J, et al. MtMOT1.2
is responsible for molybdate supply to Medicago truncatula nodules. Plant Cell Environ. 2018.
doi:10.1111/pce.13388

56. Tejada-Jiménez M, Gil-Díez P, León-Mediavilla J, Wen J, Mysore KS, Imperial J, et al. Medicago
truncatula Molybdate Transporter type 1 (MtMOT1.3) is a plasma membrane molybdenum
transporter required for nitrogenase activity in root nodules under molybdenum deficiency.
New Phytol. 2017;216: 1223–1235.

57. Huang X-Y, Liu H, Zhu Y-F, Pinson SRM, Lin H-X, Guerinot ML, et al. Natural variation in a
molybdate transporter controls grain molybdenum concentration in rice. New Phytol.
2019;221: 1983–1997.

58. Hussain D, Haydon MJ, Wang Y, Wong E, Sherson SM, Young J, et al. P-type ATPase heavy metal
transporters with roles in essential zinc homeostasis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2004;16: 1327–
1339.

59. Olsen LI, Hansen TH, Larue C, Østerberg JT, Hoffmann RD, Liesche J, et al. Mother-plant-
mediated pumping of zinc into the developing seed. Nat Plants. 2016;2: 16036.

60. Tanaka N, Nishida S, Kamiya T, Fujiwara T. Large-scale profiling of brown rice ionome in an ethyl
methanesulphonate-mutagenized hitomebore population and identification of high- and low-
cadmium lines. Plant Soil. 2016;407: 109–117.

61. Kim D-Y, Bovet L, Maeshima M, Martinoia E, Lee Y. The ABC transporter AtPDR8 is a cadmium
extrusion pump conferring heavy metal resistance. Plant J. 2007;50: 207–218.

62. Shin H, Shin H-S, Dewbre GR, Harrison MJ. Phosphate transport in Arabidopsis: Pht1;1 and
Pht1;4 play a major role in phosphate acquisition from both low- and high-phosphate
environments. Plant J. 2004;39: 629–642.

63. Nagarajan VK, Jain A, Poling MD, Lewis AJ, Raghothama KG, Smith AP. Arabidopsis Pht1;5
mobilizes phosphate between source and sink organs and influences the interaction between
phosphate homeostasis and ethylene signaling. Plant Physiol. 2011;156: 1149–1163.

64. Catarecha P, Segura MD, Franco-Zorrilla JM, García-Ponce B, Lanza M, Solano R, et al. A mutant
of the Arabidopsis phosphate transporter PHT1;1 displays enhanced arsenic accumulation.
Plant Cell. 2007;19: 1123–1133.

65. Zhang L, Hu B, Li W, Che R, Deng K, Li H, et al. OsPT2, a phosphate transporter, is involved in the
active uptake of selenite in rice. New Phytol. 2014;201: 1183–1191.

66. Peiter E, Montanini B, Gobert A, Pedas P, Husted S, Maathuis FJM, et al. A secretory pathway-
localized cation diffusion facilitator confers plant manganese tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2007;104: 8532–8537.

67. Lanquar V, Ramos MS, Lelièvre F, Barbier-Brygoo H, Krieger-Liszkay A, Krämer U, et al. Export of
vacuolar manganese by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is required for optimal photosynthesis and
growth under manganese deficiency. Plant Physiol. 2010;152: 1986–1999.

68. Hindt MN, Akmakjian GZ, Pivarski KL, Punshon T, Baxter I, Salt DE, et al. BRUTUS and its
paralogs, BTS LIKE1 and BTS LIKE2, encode important negative regulators of the iron deficiency
response in Arabidopsis thaliana. Metallomics. 2017;9: 876–890.

69. Yuan Y, Wu H, Wang N, Li J, Zhao W, Du J, et al. FIT interacts with AtbHLH38 and AtbHLH39 in
regulating iron uptake gene expression for iron homeostasis in Arabidopsis. Cell Res. 2008;18:
385–397.

70. Hosmani PS, Kamiya T, Danku J, Naseer S, Geldner N, Guerinot ML, et al. Dirigent domain-containing protein is part of the machinery required for formation of the lignin-based Casparian strip in the root. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110: 14498–14503.

71. Baxter I, Hosmani PS, Rus A, Lahner B, Borevitz JO, Muthukumar B, et al. Root suberin forms an extracellular barrier that affects water relations and mineral nutrition in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000492.

72. Asaro A, Ziegler G, Ziyomo C, Hoekenga OA, Dilkes BP, Baxter I. The Interaction of Genotype and Environment Determines Variation in the Maize Kernel Ionome. G3 . 2016;6: 4175–4183.

73. Baxter IR, Ziegler G, Lahner B, Mickelbart MV, Foley R, Danku J, et al. Single-kernel ionomic profiles are highly heritable indicators of genetic and environmental influences on elemental accumulation in maize grain (Zea mays). PLoS One. 2014;9: e87628.

74. Campos ACAL, van Dijk WFA, Ramakrishna P, Giles T, Korte P, Douglas A, et al. 1,135 ionomes reveal the global pattern of leaf and seed mineral nutrient and trace element diversity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 2021;106: 536–554.

75. Taliercio E, Scaboo A, Baxter I, Locke AM. The Ionome of a Genetically Diverse Set of Wild Soybean Accessions. Crop Sci. 2019. doi:10.2135/cropsci2019.02.0079

76. Norton GJ, Deacon CM, Xiong L, Huang S, Meharg AA, Price AH. Genetic mapping of the rice ionome in leaves and grain: identification of QTLs for 17 elements including arsenic, cadmium, iron and selenium. Plant Soil. 2010;329: 139–153.

77. Lunn D, Gaddipati SR, Tucker GA, Lycett GW. Null mutants of individual RABA genes impact the proportion of different cell wall components in stem tissue of Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS One. 2013;8: e75724.

78. Filatov V, Dowdle J, Smirnoff N, Ford-Lloyd B, Newbury HJ, Macnair MR. Comparison of gene expression in segregating families identifies genes and genomic regions involved in a novel adaptation, zinc hyperaccumulation. Mol Ecol. 2006;15: 3045–3059.

79. Hover BM, Loksztejn A, Ribeiro AA, Yokoyama K. Identification of a cyclic nucleotide as a cryptic intermediate in molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis. J Am Chem Soc. 2013;135: 7019–7032.

80. Schwarz G, Mendel RR. Molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis and molybdenum enzymes. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2006;57: 623–647.

81. Stork J, Harris D, Griffiths J, Williams B, Beisson F, Li-Beisson Y, et al. CELLULOSE SYNTHASE9 serves a nonredundant role in secondary cell wall synthesis in Arabidopsis epidermal testa cells. Plant Physiol. 2010;153: 580–589.

82. Qiao Z, Lampugnani ER, Yan X-F, Khan GA, Saw WG, Hannah P, et al. Structure of *Arabidopsis* CESA3 catalytic domain with its substrate UDP-glucose provides insight into the mechanism of cellulose synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2024015118

83. Kosuth T, Leskova A, Castaings L, Curie C. Golgi in and out: multifaceted role and journey of manganese. New Phytol. 2023;238: 1795–1800.

84. Mertz RA, Ellsworth P, Ellsworth P, Lori Tausta S, von Caemmerer S, Howard Berg R, et al. The maize Aliphatic Suberin Feruloyl Transferase genes affect leaf water movement but are dispensable for bundle sheath CO_2 concentration. bioRxiv. 2020. p. 2020.09.30.321265.

1101   doi:10.1101/2020.09.30.321265

1102   85.   Morel M, Crouzet J, Gravot A, Auroy P, Leonhardt N, Vavasseur A, et al. AtHMA3, a P1B-ATPase
1103   allowing Cd/Zn/Co/Pb vacuolar storage in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2009;149: 894–904.

1104   86.   Birchler JA, Yang H. The multiple fates of gene duplications: Deletion, hypofunctionalization,
1105   subfunctionalization, neofunctionalization, dosage balance constraints, and neutral variation.
1106   Plant Cell. 2022;34: 2466–2474.

1107   87.   Gout J-F, Lynch M. Maintenance and Loss of Duplicated Genes by Dosage Subfunctionalization.
1108   Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32: 2141–2148.

1109   88.   Schnable JC, Springer NM, Freeling M. Differentiation of the maize subgenomes by genome
1110   dominance and both ancient and ongoing gene loss. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 4069–
1111   4074.

1112   89.   Edger PP, Pires JC. Gene and genome duplications: the impact of dosage-sensitivity on the fate
1113   of nuclear genes. Chromosome Res. 2009;17: 699–717.

1114   90.   Panchy N, Lehti-Shiu M, Shiu S-H. Evolution of Gene Duplication in Plants. Plant Physiol.
1115   2016;171: 2294–2316.

1116   91.   Thomas BC, Pedersen B, Freeling M. Following tetraploidy in an Arabidopsis ancestor, genes
1117   were removed preferentially from one homeolog leaving clusters enriched in dose-sensitive
1118   genes. Genome Res. 2006;16: 934–946.

1119   92.   Murat F, Van de Peer Y, Salse J. Decoding plant and animal genome plasticity from differential
1120   paleo-evolutionary patterns and processes. Genome Biol Evol. 2012;4: 917–928.

1121   93.   Harun S, Abdullah-Zawawi M-R, Goh H-H, Mohamed-Hussein Z-A. A comprehensive gene
1122   inventory for glucosinolate biosynthetic pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Agric Food Chem.
1123   2020;68: 7281–7297.

1124   94.   Chu Q, Watanabe T, Shinano T, Nakamura T, Oka N, Osaki M, et al. The dynamic state of the
1125   ionome in roots, nodules, and shoots of soybean under different nitrogen status and at
1126   different growth stages. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci. 2016;179: 488–498.

1127   95.   Baxter I. Should we treat the ionome as a combination of individual elements, or should we be
1128   deriving novel combined traits? J Exp Bot. 2015;66: 2127–2131.

1129   96.   Baxter I. Ionomics: studying the social network of mineral nutrients. Curr Opin Plant Biol.
1130   2009;12: 381–386.

1131   97.   Togninalli M, Seren Ü, Meng D, Fitz J, Nordborg M, Weigel D, et al. The AraGWAS Catalog: a
1132   curated and standardized Arabidopsis thaliana GWAS catalog. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:
1133   D1150–D1156.

1134   98.   Zhao H, Yao W, Ouyang Y, Yang W, Wang G, Lian X, et al. RiceVarMap: a comprehensive
1135   database of rice genomic variations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: D1018-22.

1136   99.   Tian D, Wang P, Tang B, Teng X, Li C, Liu X, et al. GWAS Atlas: a curated resource of genome-
1137   wide variant-trait associations in plants and animals. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48: D927–D932.

100. Emms DM, Kelly S. Benchmarking Orthogroup Inference Accuracy: Revisiting Orthobench.

Genome Biol Evol. 2020;12: 2258–2266.

101. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, et al. Genome-wide insertional
mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2003;301: 653–657.

102. Addo-Quaye C, Tuinstra M, Carraro N, Weil C, Dilkes BP. Whole-Genome Sequence Accuracy Is
Improved by Replication in a Population of Mutagenized Sorghum. G3 . 2018;8: 1079–1094.

103. McCarty DR, Settles AM, Suzuki M, Tan BC, Latshaw S, Porch T, et al. Steady-state transposon
mutagenesis in inbred maize. Plant J. 2005;44: 52–61.

104. Krishnakumar V, Contrino S, Cheng C-Y, Belyaeva I, Ferlanti ES, Miller JR, et al. ThaleMine: A
Warehouse for Arabidopsis Data Integration and Discovery. Plant Cell Physiol. 2017;58: e4.

1148

Supplemental Files

1150   **S1 Fig. Orthologs in groups with 3/5 species representation.** Error bars represent the 5th/95th

1151   percentiles of the permutations.

1152

1153   **S2 Fig. Orthologs in groups with 4/5 species representation.** Error bars represent the 5th/95th

1154   percentiles of the permutations.

1155

1156   **S3 Fig. Distribution of list sizes in the inferred KIG permutations depending on ortholog tables.** The

1157   old distribution of permutations' list sizes was from using OrthoFinder to call ortholog groups only

1158   between the species in the inferred KIG. The new distribution of permutations' list sizes shows the

1159   list size when *Liriodendron tulipifera* is added to our OrthoFinder run as an outgroup to our target

1160   species. The green dot represents the number of inferred KIGs in the actual list.

1161

S4 Fig. Inferred KIG and random permutations of the KIG list compared to GWAS loci. Solid, vertical

lines represent the percentage of GWAS loci explained by a gene from OrthoFinder inferred KIG lists.

Kernel Density Estimate (KDE) plots display the distribution of 1000 random permutations with the

5th/95th percentiles shown as dashed lines. Inferred KIG list permutations were made from the

orthologs of a list of random genes equal to the species and elemental composition of the primary

KIG list (see Random permutations methods section).

1168

1169   **S5 Fig. Distribution of the number of orthologous candidates returned per loci.**

1170

1171   **S1 Table. The current primary KIG list.** Genes with functional characterizations from primary

1172   literature sources that are linked to changes in the ionome.

1173

1174   **S2 Table. Updated KIG list with OrthoFinder inferred orthologs.** *T. aestivum* (wheat) is not included

1175   in this version of the inferred KIG list.

1176

1177   **S3 Table. False discovery rates of the genes and loci overlaps in Fig 1.** False discovery rates are

1178   calculated by taking the average number of genes/loci in random permutation overlaps and dividing

1179   by the number of genes/loci in the actual GWAS datasets.

1180

1181   **S4 Table. KIGs overlapping GWAS loci.** KIGs compared to all elemental uptake trait loci regardless of

1182   KIG list citations.

1183

1184   **S5 Table. KIGs in FiReMAGE's candidate lists.**

1185

1186   **S6 Table. False discovery rate table for gene and loci overlaps in S4 Fig.** False discovery rates are

1187   calculated by taking the average number of genes/loci in random permutation overlaps and dividing

1188   by the number of genes/loci in the actual GWAS datasets.

1189

1190   **S7 Table. The complete FiReMAGE priority lists for each trait and species.**

1191

1192   **S8 Table. Top 10% of all FiReMAGE candidates.** FiReMAGE candidates were sorted by their

1193   permutation calculated false discovery rates, and taking the top 10% with the lowest FDR.

1194

1195   **S9 Table. FiReMAGE candidates in Arabidopsis with no previously known biological processes**

1196   **function.** We used ThaleMine [104] to analyze Arabidopsis candidates for each trait to identify genes

1197   with unknown function.

1198

1199   **S10 Table. Soybean SNPs associated with ionomic traits.** SNP associations generated with methods

1200   outlined in "Soybean GWAS and 2015-2017 field experiments".

1201

1202