Development of a tool combining multiplex PCR and high-throughput sequencing to study

the polymorphism of eight *Leptosphaeria maculans* avirulence genes and its application to

field surveys in France

Angélique Gautier, Valérie Laval, Marie-Hélène Balesdent

Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UR Bioger, Place de l'Agronomie, CS 80022, 91120 Palaiseau

Cedex France Corresponding Author email valerie.laval@inrae.fr

Keywords. Survey, virulence, *Brassica napus*, polymorphism, detection, *Leptosphaeria*

maculans

Abstract

Leptosphaeria maculans is a pathogen of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*), mainly controlled by

major resistance genes (*Rlm*) in varieties. *Rlm* genes can rapidly be overcome following the

selection of virulent isolates with deletions or mutations in the corresponding avirulence

genes (*AvrLm*). Reasoned management of *Rlm* genes relies on the detection and monitoring

of virulent isolates in populations. Based on previous knowledge of *AvrLm* gene

polymorphism, we developed a tool combining multiplex PCR and Illumina sequencing to

characterize allelic variants for eight avirulence genes in field *L. maculans* populations.

We tested the method on DNA pools of 71 characterized *L. maculans* isolates and of leaf spots

from 32 *L. maculans* isolates. After multiplex-PCR and sequencing with MiSeq technology,

reads were mapped on an in-house *AvrLm* sequence database. Data were filtered using

thresholds defined from control samples included in each run. Proportions of each allelic

variant per gene, including deletions, perfectly correlated with expected ones. The method

was applied to around 1300 symptoms (42 pools of mainly 32 leaf spots) from nine fields. The

proportion of virulent isolates estimated by sequencing leaf spot pools perfectly correlated

with those estimated by pathotyping. In addition, the proportions of allelic variants

determined at the national scale also correlated with those previously determined following

individual sequencing of eight *AvrLm* genes in a representative collection of isolates. Finally,

the method also allowed us to detect still undescribed and rare allelic variants. Altogether, the

method appeared suitable for large-scale and regular monitoring of *L. maculans* populations.

INTRODUCTION

The fight against crop pests is a major issue for food safety in a changing environmental and

socio-economic context. Whether chemical or genetic, control measures are more effective

as they are adapted to local pest populations. These populations also are constantly evolving

in response to the deployment of chemical or genetic protection solutions. For instance,

pesticide-resistant individuals, whether fungi or insects, regularly appear in populations

following the large-scale use of pesticides (McCulloch & Waters, 2023). The selection of such

individuals in populations renders the pesticides ineffective. Similarly, plant resistance genes

introduced in crop varieties and effective against fungal isolates possessing matching

avirulence genes exert strong selection pressure on pathogenic populations. The selection of

virulent isolates in populations rapidly decreases the efficacy of the genetic solution.

Monitoring pest populations and their characterization regarding their potential susceptibility

to control measures is thus a main issue, not only in choosing an effective management

strategy but also in detecting outbreak events and preventing their spread.

Monitoring virulent isolates is particularly important for the fungal ascomycete *Leptosphaeria*

maculans, responsible for the stem canker disease of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*), for the

following reasons; (i) the main control measure deployed to limit the impact of the disease is

genetic control, including the use of specific resistance (*Rlm*) genes; (ii) *L. maculans*

populations have the potential to rapidly evolve toward virulence, and this has been reported

in many instances (Rouxel et al., 2003; Sprague et al., 2006, Zhang et al., 2016); (iii) potentially

effective resistance sources are scarce in oilseed rape and the few currently available *Rlm*

genes must be carefully managed to avoid new breakdowns (Borhan et al., 2022; Rouxel and

Balesdent, 2017). Until recently, the monitoring of *L. maculans* populations consisted of large-

scale isolate samplings from diseased *B. napus* plants, followed by inoculation of each isolate

on a plant differential set (for instance, Alnajar et al., 2022; Balesdent et al., 2006, 2023;

Stachowiak et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2016). These biological tests are however inadequate for

high-throughput analyses and for the detection of rare mutation events in populations. They

require adapted facilities and materials (seeds of the plant differential set, control isolates,

growth chambers with tight temperature and humidity regulation), and they are time- and

labor-intensive and thus, costly. Thus, only a limited number of isolates from a limited number

of sites can be analysed each year, and the survey results are obtained six to eight months

later. In France, large-scale surveys were done at about 10-year intervals on eight to 12 sites

(Balesdent et al., 2023). One full working year is needed to fully characterize populations of

around 80 isolates per site. In addition, the size of such samplings limits the precision of the

estimates of virulent isolates in a population. For instance, in a sampling of 80 isolates, the

detection of five virulent isolates (6.2%) only allows us to say that the actual proportion of

virulent isolates ranges between 2% and 14% (Exact CI (inrae.fr)), corresponding to very

distinct breakdown situations.

Fortunately, *L. maculans* is a fungus for which we have a detailed knowledge of the genetic

determinants involved in the recognition of the fungus by the plant, i.e., its avirulence (*AvrLm*)

genes. To date, 11 *AvrLm* genes have been cloned (Bohran et al., 2022), and the molecular

mechanisms by which these genes are mutated or inactivated to avoid being recognized by

the corresponding *Rlm* gene were analysed in detail for a few avirulence genes, such as

AvrLm3 and *AvrLm4-7* (Balesdent et al., 2022). A recent study of the polymorphism of these

genes in a French population described contrasting situations, depending on the gene, as

regards the level of polymorphism in virulent or avirulent isolates and the type of molecular

events responsible for the gain of virulence (Gautier et al., 2023). Some *AvrLm* genes were

nearly monomorphic while others were highly polymorphic, and the mutational events in

virulent isolates included single nucleotide mutation (SNP), inactivating mutations introducing

stop codons, particularly following Reaped-Induced Point mutations (RIP; Fudal et al. 2009;

Gladyshev, 2017), deletion of the whole gene including the surrounding region, or the masking

of the avirulence function by the presence of another avirulence gene. For instance, the

presence of at least some alleles of *AvrLm4-7* is sufficient to mask the *AvrLm3-Rlm3* or the

AvrLm9-Rlm9 interactions (Plissonneau et al., 2017, Ghanbarnia et al., 2018). With this

knowledge, one can now envisage developing molecular tools for the survey of virulent

isolates at one or more avirulence loci.

Some molecular methods were already developed for this purpose, but they are often based

on unsatisfactory compromises. For instance, some tools only rely on PCR amplification of the

avirulence gene, and the percentage of virulent isolates is deduced from the percentage of

isolates that fail to amplify the gene. This approach works well for *AvrLm* genes having gene

deletion as the main event in virulent isolates, such as *AvrLm1* (Gout et al., 2007). Even though

a few isolates display inactivated versions of *AvrLm1* that can still be amplified in virulent

isolates, these situations are rare, with only one such isolate among 89 in the French

population (Gautier et al., 2023). The lack of PCR amplification could thus be a good proxy for

the frequency of virulent *avrLm1* isolates. A quantitative PCR assay was developed to quantify

the proportion of deleted isolates for *AvrLm1*, relative to the ITS (Van de Wouw et al., 2010).

In most cases, however, the deletion is not the only mutational event in virulent isolates, and

deletions may be rare or even never observed for some *AvrLm* genes (Gautier et al., 2023, Van

de Wouw et al., 2023).

Thus, *AvrLm* sequencing in individual isolates is the easiest way to access the genotype and to

infer its phenotype, provided the correspondence between genotype and phenotype is

available. In the situation of epistatic interactions between avirulence genes, such as the

masking effect of *AvrLm4-7* on the *AvrLm3*/*Rlm3* and the *AvrLm9*/*Rlm9* interactions, the

sequencing of the two genes involved in the epistatic interaction should also give access to

the phenotype thanks to the detailed work already published on this model of interaction.

To avoid PCR amplification of each Avr gene and subsequent sequencing, different approaches

have been proposed. Van de Wouw and Howlett (2014) developed a pyrosequencing method

to quantify the proportions of virulent isolates toward *Rlm4*. This quantitative method works

on pools of individuals and tags one polymorphic site in *AvrLm4-7* known to be responsible

for avirulence towards *Rlm4* (Parlange et al., 2009). However, this method gives sequence

information on a small part of the gene only (90 bp) and thus cannot take into account the

recently described diversity in this gene (Balesdent et al., 2022). An HRM (High-Resolution

Melting analysis) method was also developed to detect sequence polymorphism in *AvrLm4-7*

and determine virulence toward *Rlm4* and *Rlm7*. The method worked well in the situation of

limited polymorphism of the gene (Carpezat et al., 2014). However, with the recent large-scale

use of *Rlm7,* at least in France, and the diversification of sequence polymorphism in virulent

isolates (Daverdin et al., 2012; Balesdent et al., 2022), it became more and more complex to

convert new HRM profiles into virulent or avirulent alleles.

Finally, whole genome sequencing (WGS) of individual isolates gives direct access to the

sequences of the full set of *AvrLm* genes in an isolate. For instance, Chen et al. (2020) studied

the polymorphism in nine avirulence genes following the WGS of 162 Canadian isolates.

Similarly, sequences of avirulence genes in an international collection of 205 *L. maculans*

isolates were recently published (Van de Wouw et al., 2023). Although powerful and

exhaustive, such an approach cannot be performed on hundreds of isolates every year. In

addition, this method necessitates first to isolate and purify each isolate.

Here, we developed a tool based on MiSeq sequencing technology, with two levels of

multiplexing. First, eight *AvrLm* genes and one control gene (Actin) are amplified together in

a multiplex PCR. Second, the multiplex-PCR is done on pools of phoma leaf spots, the typical

L. maculans symptoms on *B. napus* leaves, to increase the size of the population assessed and

to avoid the *L. maculans* isolation step. PCR products of pooled samples are sequenced using

MiSeq technology and the proportions of each allele of each avirulence genes in the pooled

sample are determined. The tool is named here "MPSeqM tool » (Multiplex on Pools and

Sequencing with MiSeq). After the development and validation of the tool on DNA pools of

known isolates, we applied the method to samples composed of pools of leaf discs centred on

phoma leaf spots from experimental fields. Pools of 32 to 96 different leaf spots (possibly

corresponding to as many different individuals, Gout et al., 2006) were tested and the results

were compared to phenotyping data of isolates from the same plots and the same sampling

date (Balesdent et al., 2023). This approach not only allowed us to define a robust protocol

for large-scale monitoring of virulence in the field, but also revealed a diversity of situations

between sites, between *AvrLm* genes, and many unknown and rare alleles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates

Seventy-one *L. maculans* isolates were chosen, including the reference isolate JN3 (v23.1.3),

based on their polymorphism in their avirulence genes (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2;

Gautier et al., 2023). The isolate X83.51 was generated following an *in vitro*-cross aiming at

accumulating Avr gene deletion in a single isolate. *AvrLm1*, *AvrLm4-7*, *AvrLm11* and *AvrLmS-*

Lep2 are deleted in this isolate. X83.51 was used as a negative control for these genes and in

serial DNA dilutions with variable proportions of deleted alleles.

Field samples

The oilseed rape variety Drakkar, devoid of resistance genes, was sown at seven sites in

autumn 2019 and at one site in 2020 (Table 1), with two to five plots per site. Individual leaves

with typical *L. maculans* leaf spots were collected in autumn (300 per site, all collected from

distinct plants). Part of these leaves was previously used to isolate around 80 single-pycnidium

isolates per site for pathotyping on a differential plant set (Balesdent et al., 2023). In parallel,

leaf disks (one disk per leaf) centred on a single leaf spot were cut using a cork-borer (1 cm

diameter). Leaf disks were stored in 24-weel microplates at -20°C until further use

(Supplementary Figure S1). Before DNA extraction, leaf spots from a given site were pooled

by 24 or 32 in one Falcon tube and freeze-dried for 24 hours. Three to six pools per site were

prepared (Table 1).

Plant inoculation for preparation of DNA control

A selection of 32 *L. maculans* isolates with known avirulence alleles was inoculated on

cotyledons of *B. napus* cv. Westar (devoid of *Rlm* gene) using standard protocols (Gautier et

al., 2023; Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Fourteen days after inoculation, leaf spots that

developed on cotyledons were cut as described above. One spot per isolate was placed in a

50 mL Falcon tube, to constitute a pool of 32 leaf spots of known *AvrLm* allele composition.

The pool was freeze-dried during 24 hours before DNA extraction.

DNA extraction and manipulation

For each of the 71 *L. maculans* isolates, fungal DNA was extracted independently from 1 mL

of crude conidial suspensions (between 10^8 and 10^9 spores mL^{-1}) using the DNeasy 96 Plant

Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions (Gautier et al., 2023). Freeze-dried

pools of leaf spots, whether from inoculations or from the fields, were crushed with a tungsten

carbide bead (3 mm, Qiagen) on a Retsch MM400 mixer mill for 60 seconds at 30 Hz and DNA

was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen). DNA was eluted in 100 µL of elution

buffer AE (Qiagen). DNA quality was assessed with NanoDrop™ One (Thermo Scientific™) and

DNA concentration was measured with Qubit™ 2.0 fluorimeter (Invitrogen™).

Control DNA samples

Four different controls were included, in triplicate, in each sequencing run: (i), multiplex PCR

of JN3 DNA (termed JN3_DNA) used as a positive control; (ii), multiplex PCR of the pool of 32

leaf spots from inoculations with known isolates (termed Mix_LSpot); (iii), multiplex PCR of a

pool of 71 *L. maculans* DNA (termed Mix_LM); and (iv), multiplex PCR of the 11 points of a

dilution series for deletion quantification (termed C_dil_ser). The Mix_LM was prepared by

mixing 50ng of DNA of each isolate and used to test the ability to detect all allelic variants in a

pool (Supplementary Table S2). The dilution series was prepared by mixing DNA of isolates

JN3 and X83.51 in proportions varying from 0 % to 100% (with a 10% increment).

Multiplex PCR and MiSeq sequencing

Primers were designed using the Primer3 website https://primer3.ut.ee/ (Table 2). The PCR

reaction contained 40 ng of DNA, 1X Master Mix of Type-it Microsatellite PCR Kit (Qiagen), 4

µL Q-Solution, and 0.25 µM of each primer (except *AvrLm2* primers at 0.2 µM and *AvrLmS-*

Lep2 primers at 0.4 µM) in a total volume of 40 µL. PCR reactions were performed using an

Applied Biosystems 9700 thermocycler. The amplification parameters consisted of an initial

denaturation step at 95˚C for 5 min; then 35 cycles of denaturation at 95˚C for 60 s, annealing

at 60˚C for 90 s, and elongation at 72˚C for 60 s; and a final extension step at 72˚C for 10 min.

Three independent PCRs were performed for each sample to test the repeatability and

robustness of the method. The PCRs were verified on 3% agarose gels and sent to the

GenoToul platform (INRAE Toulouse) for Illumina MiSeq sequencing using the chemistry V3

(2x250pb).

AvrLm allele database

At the start of the project, the *L. maculans AvrLm* database included the 87 alleles and 63

isoforms identified following the sequencing of 11 avirulence genes in a collection of French

and reference isolates (Gautier et al., 2023) and available at

https://bioinfo.bioger.inrae.fr/portal/data-browser/public/leptosphaeria/avirulence_genes.

Additional *AvrLm3* and *AvrLm4-7* alleles described in Balesdent et al. (2022) were added to

the database.

Analysis of sequence dataset: pairing, filtering, processing and assigning an allelic

classification to sequences

First, for each sample, forward (R1) and reverse (R2) reads were paired using the tool Pear -

Paired-End read merger (Galaxy Version 0.9.6.2) of Galaxy pipeline (https://vm-galaxy-

prod.toulouse.inrae.fr/galaxy_main). Then, the data were processed gene by gene. For each

gene, only sequences with the expected primer sequences were retained. A stringent pipeline (Boutigny et al., 2019) was used to filter and process these paired sequences, utilising MOTHUR v.1.36.1 (Schloss et al., 2009) and parameters adapted to each gene (Supplementary Text T1). Sequences with low quality (shorter or including low-quality scores) were removed (Supplementary Text T1). After preprocessing the sequences, sequence files were merged, and unique sequences represented by singletons or 2 reads were removed. Chimeric sequences were removed with the Uchime tool (Edgar et al., 2011) available in MOTHUR, using self as the reference. Uncorrected pairwise distances were determined between the remaining sequences with the Needleman alignment method (Needleman et al., 1970) with match 1.0, mismatch -1.0, gapopen -2.0 and gapextend 1.0 using 1000 iterations. The distance matrix was then clustered with the average neighbor algorithm to assign sequences to operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Using MOTHUR's classifier (Wang et al., 2007), the representative sequence for each OTU was assigned to the allele of the corresponding *AvrLm* gene from the custom *Lmb_avrlm_act genes* reference database using no divergence. An OTU count table was obtained containing OTU number, read count per OTU per sample, representative sequence name, representative sequence, and OTU representative assignation (Figure 1). In a final step, the Galaxy blastn tool was used, to compare of the OTUs sequences retained against *Lmb_avrlm_act genes* references database and distinguish the known alleles with 100% identity in length and sequence homology to new alleles with less than 100% identity in sequence.

Strategy for the selection of relevant OTUs and database cleaning

After the mapping step, a very high number of OTUs were identified (Table 3), most of which correspond to artefacts due to PCR or the MiSeq technology. Three filters were sequentially

applied (Figure 1) to keep only reliable OTUs as follows : (i), keep only OTUs present in at least

three samples, due to the use of triplicates for each DNA sample; (ii), remove all OTUs for

which, whatever the sample analysed, the read numbers were below a threshold. This

threshold is named "Maximum read number threshold" and is gene-dependent (Table 3) (iii),

remove OTUs that were detected in controls but should not be present. After this OTU

selection step, we calculated the mean read number of the three replicates for each OTU and

DNA sample. Finally, the resulting count table was simplified by considering an OTU absent in

one sample if its proportion in this sample (i.e., read count of the OTU divided by total read

counts for all OTUs for this gene) was lower than a threshold ("proportion" threshold), specific

for each gene. This percentage threshold is determined based on the expected and observed

OTU proportions in controls (Table 3, Figure 1).

Analysis of new AvrLm alleles

When a new OTU was detected, the missing parts of the gene sequence, if any, (i.e., when

primers were within the genes) were completed by the corresponding 5' or 3' sequences of

the JN3 reference isolate to generate a new putative allele. Introns were removed and the

sequence was translated with the Expasy translate tool (https://web.expasy.org/translate/)

to generate an extrapolated, putative new isoform (Figure 1).

RESULTS

Primer design and reproducibility of multiplex PCR and sequencing

Two technical criteria governed primer design ensuring the reliability of the sequencing

results: (i) the amplified region must be smaller than 460 bp for correct paired-end subsequent

analysis; (ii) all amplified sequences in the multiplex PCR must be of similar length to avoid

over-representation of the shortest sequences (maximum of 100 pb difference). The amplified

region also must contain a maximum of known polymorphic sites and all codons encoding a

cysteine. Based on these constraints, we designed a set of primers fitting these criteria (Table

2). These primers amplified part of the genes containing between 66% and 100 % of the known

mutations (Table 2, Supplementary Figure S2). A few known variants were not detected, with

no or low consequences for the diagnosis of the allele as an avirulent or a virulent one. For

instance, four *AvrLm3* variants cannot be detected as mutations are outside the amplified

region (Table 2). However, these mutations are either in the last intron or in the peptide signal-

coding region, without impact on the mature protein (Supplementary Fig S2-C).

Using these primers, the MPSeqM tool was first tested with the concomitant amplification of

four, five, or nine genes in a single PCR. Sequencing results were similar whatever the number

of genes amplified together (data not shown) and we thus choose the nonaplex amplification

in all further experiments. MPSeqM of control DNAs (JN3_DNA, Mix_LSpot, and Mix_Lm)

revealed an efficient and reproducible amplification level of all genes (Figure 2 and data not

shown). The less amplified gene was *AvrLm6*, followed by *AvrLmS*, still representing more than

1250 reads each in each control replicate.

Detection and quantification of deletions

To estimate the proportion of deleted alleles of one *AvrLm* gene in an environmental sample,

we used serial dilutions of the DNA of an isolate deleted for this gene with the DNA of an

isolate with the gene present. The theoretical proportions of the *AvrLm* gene in the dilution

series were compared with the estimated proportions calculated as the total number of reads

mapping to this gene over the total number of reads mapping to the *L. maculans* actin gene,

which is a single copy and invariant gene. The two values were proportional and allowed us to

define standard curves of variable shapes depending on the gene (Figure 3). We approximated

the curves to a polynomial equation, whose parameters were used to calculate the proportion

of undeleted events and, by difference, the deleted ones, in a pooled sample. For instance,

the expected proportion of deleted *AvrLm1* in the Mix_LSpot is 87.5% ([exact confidence

interval [71-96]) and the estimated proportion of the deletion calculated with the standard

curve equation is 84.5% (Supplementary Table S3).

Threshold determination for OTUs assignation

The use of triplicates for each sample and four types of controls of known allele composition

were essential to determine the thresholds used to keep only reliable OTUs and suppress

potential PCR or sequencing artefacts. Mainly, the "Maximum number of reads" threshold

allowed us to reduce drastically the number of OTUs (1204 OTUs in total, starting from 81024

OTUs kept with the criteria of presence in at least three sequencing samples, Table 3). The

controls with the reference JN3 isolate or with DNA mixes of known isolates allowed also us

to remove all OTUs still unexpectedly found in these controls, which represented 3/4 of the

remaining OTUs. Altogether, 300 reliable OTUs (all genes) were kept, among which 206 were

not in the database, 74 of which corresponded to highly mutated versions of the genes by RIP

(Table 3). Lastly, depending on the gene, OTUs representing less than 0.1 to 0.5% of the total

read counts for this gene in a field sample ("proportion" threshold) were considered absent

from this sample (Table 3).

Validation of the method on control samples

The previous steps allowed us to select reliable OTUs and to determine the proportion of

deleted alleles for *AvrLm1*, *AvrLm11*, and *AvrLm4-7*. Using this cleaned dataset, we compared

the proportion of alleles (OTUs) detected in control samples to the expected ones (Figure 4,

Supplementary Table S3). In the Mix_Lm control, including 71 isolates, 20 alleles out of 90

were not detected, but 19 of them corresponded to a rare occurrence (only one isolate among

71). In addition, most of them (13) were RIPped alleles. In the Mix_LSpot control, only 3 out

of 47 expected alleles were not detected, all corresponding to RIPped alleles for *AvrLm1* or

AvrLm4-7. All other alleles, including those present in only one isolate out of 32, were detected

(Supplementary Table S3). Overall, observed and expected proportions correlated very well

(R^2>0.98). Only one *AvrLm4-7* allele (the deleted allele) was overestimated in the Mix_Lm

control, possibly due to the lack of amplification of some RIPped alleles. Apart from this allele,

all estimated values were within the confidence interval determined for sample sizes of 32

(Mix_LSpot) or 71 (Mix_Lm) individuals (Figure 4).

Analysis of unknown environmental samples: identification of new alleles

The MPSeqM tool, validated on controls, was then used on 42 environmental samples of

pooled leaf spots corresponding to 1337 *L. maculans* symptoms from eight French regions

(Table 1). This analysis first revealed several still undescribed alleles for most of the *AvrLm*

genes studied. Such new alleles were considered as real alleles, not sequencing artefacts,

when they combined the following characteristics: (i) they were detected in some samples

only, not as a background signal in all samples including controls; (ii) they were consistently

detected in the three independent technical replicates of one given environmental sample;

(iii) their read numbers were higher than the gene-dependent threshold value determined for

each gene; and (iv) they were detected both in one "pool of 32 leaf spots" and in the

corresponding "pool of pools", when available, with consistent proportions (Figure 5). Using

these criteria, a total of 206 new alleles were detected.

Analysis of unknown environmental samples: gene-by-gene analysis

AvrLm1

Only one out of the five previously described *AvrLm1* alleles was detected in field samples,

allele *AvrLm1_0_A.* In addition, we detected two new alleles, one RIPped allele with 14

substitutions and one allele with a stop codon in the middle of the sequence. These two new

alleles were considered as virulent, as were deleted isolates whose proportions were

estimated using the deletion curve. Altogether, the MPSeqM analysis of environmental

samples correlated well with pathotyping; 1.8% of the population was found avirulent on *Rlm1*

by pathotyping (Balesdent et al., 2023), while the MPSeqM analysis of populations from the

same sites detected 2.14% of such isolates. With both approaches, the site with the highest

proportion of avirulent isolates was that of Le Rheu (Supplementary Figure S3). With the

MPSeqM tool, it was possible to reproducibly detect low percentages of avirulent isolates in

all sites, while, by phenotyping 30 isolates per site, such isolates were not detected (Balesdent

et al., 2023).

AvrLm2

No new *AvrLm2* allele was detected in field samples. The *AvrLm2_0_A* was largely prevalent,

with proportions ranging from 91% to 100% (average across all pools = 97.3%, Supplementary

Figure S4) depending on the pool. The *AvrLm2_1_A* allele was found in all sites at a low

frequency (0 to 8% per pool, average across all pools = 2.2%). *AvrLm2_2_A* was found in six

sites (10 pools out of 42; between 0 and 6.9%; average across all pools = 0.52%). The other

known alleles, including all avirulent ones, were never detected in the pools.

AvrLm3

From the 24 previously described *AvrLm3* alleles, 13 were detected in the environmental

samples. Twenty-eight new OTUs were also detected, being either rare (present in one sample

only) or more frequent (present in up to 23 samples), allowing us to identify in total 41

different OTUs for *AvrLm3*. The new alleles could represent up to 8.5% of the OTUS in a given

sample. These new alleles encoded for ten putative new isoforms of the AvrLm3 protein. Based on their alignment with previously described AvrLm3 isoforms, we inferred that six among them potentially correspond to avirulent isoforms and four to virulent ones (Supplementary Figure S5). Despite the allelic diversity detected in field samples, four alleles only represented 96% of the population, with allele *AvrLm3_A1* being by far the most abundant (80.2%), followed by *AvrLm3_C* (11.8%) (Supplementary Figure S6), consistent with previous surveys and sequencing (Gautier et al., 2023).

AvrLm4-7

MPSeqM results for environmental samples displayed 110 new *AvrLm4-7* alleles (Table 3). Most of them (66) corresponded to RIPped alleles with mutations introducing stop codons in the sequence and thus corresponding to virulent alleles, also unmasking *AvrLm3*. Seven sequences displayed one or two bp deletions, also encoding for truncated (virulent) proteins. A few (17) were new alleles of known (virulent or avirulent) isoforms, however, 25 new isoforms were detected. The amino acid (AA) sequence of these new isoforms was compared to previously described ones (Balesdent et al., 2023) and the corresponding phenotypes were more or less easily deduced depending on the AA substitutions. One isoform putatively corresponded to an A4A7 (conferring avirulence on both *Rlm4* and *Rlm7*) isoform, six alleles for a3a7 isoforms (conferring virulence on *Rlm4* and *Rlm7* and on *Rlm3* by masking effect), four for a3A7 (avirulent on *Rlm7* only) isoforms. For the remaining 14 isoforms, it remained impossible to conclude.

AvrLm5-9

Due to primer design, alleles *AvrLm5-9_0_A* and *AvrLm5-9_0_C* (encoding for the same isoform) cannot be distinguished. In field samples, seven new variants were detected. Only

three of them corresponded to putative new isoforms of the protein; one displayed one AA

change in the signal peptide compared to the AvrLm5-9_0 isoform without any modification

of its secretory properties (data not shown). The two others displayed only one AA change

each (R63L and K101Q, respectively), whose impact on the phenotype cannot be determined.

Among the two alleles encoding avirulent isoforms on *Rlm9*, isoform *AvrLm5-9_4* was never

detected in any of the 42 pools, and *AvrLm5-9_1* was found in only nine pools with very low

proportions (<0.27%, close to the 0.2% detection limit, 0.06% on average over all pools),

confirming the near-fixation of the virulence phenotype toward *Rlm9* in French *L. maculans*

populations (Balesdent et al., 2023 Gautier et al., 2023). Similarly, alleles with stop codons in

the coding sequence were never detected. Depending on the site, from two to six alleles were

detected (Supplementary Figure S7).

AvrLm6

Environmental samples displayed five new OTUs. They were however rare, present in one or

two sites only with proportions ranging from 0.6% to 4.6% (Supplementary Figure S8). Each

new OTU displayed only one mutation. One was in an intron; three were silent and only one

of them introduced a G47C substitution, and thus a new isoform. The impact of this mutation

on the phenotype cannot be determined yet. Allele *AvrLm6_0_A* was largely prevalent,

ranging from 91.7% to 100% depending on the sample, followed by allele *AvrLm6_1_A* or

AvrLm6_3_A, two alleles that cannot be discriminated with the current primers (between 0%

and 4.1%).

AvrLm11

For *AvrLm11*, two new OTUS displayed a shorter-than-expected size (one or two bp). These

gaps were either in the intron or after the stop, thus without impact on the isoform of the

protein. In addition, 27 new OTUs were detected in at least one environmental sample,

displaying from one to seven mutations along the gene. These new OTUs were often specific

to one sampling site (Figure 5) and, depending on the site, from one to 14 new OTUs were

present. Most of the mutations (72%) in these OTUs were typical of RIP mutations (C->T and

G-> A). In these OTUs however, the number of mutations was limited (from 1 to 7 mutations

per *AvrLm11* OTU) compared to what is seen in RIPped alleles for other genes like *AvrLm4-7*

(from 1 to 44 mutations, mean=20.2, data not shown). Despite this low number of mutations

per allele, these RIP mutations introduced stop codons in seven OTUs at positions 29 or 74 of

the protein leading to truncated versions of the protein that thus corresponded to virulent

alleles. For 11 OTUs, the mutations were silent, including one mutation in the intron or three

mutations after the stop codon, and corresponded to the avirulent AvrLm11_0 isoform. The

remaining OTUs corresponded to 13 new isoforms. One of them displayed a mutation in a

codon encoding a cysteine, therefore generating a de-structured protein and the probable

loss of avirulence. For the other 12 new isoforms, we could not determine yet the impact of

these mutations on the interaction phenotype. However, they represented only 0.7% of the

detected alleles in average, but could reach up to 7.8% in pools displaying numerous new

alleles. Altogether, alleles encoding the AvrLm11_0 isoform were largely prevalent in the

population (94.6% in average), while isoforms AvrLm11_1 or AvrLm11_2, previously described

in Canada, USA or New Zealand (Chen et al., 2020; Van de Wouw et al., 2023) were never

detected. *AvrLm11* deletion was estimated at 3.1% in average, ranging from 0% to 15.4%

depending on the sample.

AvrLmS

As already observed following the sequencing of individual isolates (Gautier et al., 2023; Van

de Wouw et al., 2023), a high level of polymorphism was detected for *AvrLmS*. Forty-one

alleles were detected here, with 27 new alleles compared to previous data (Gautier et al.,

2023). The proportions of each *AvrLmS* allele greatly varied between samples including within

one given site (Supplementary Figure S9). Two new alleles displayed one mutation introducing

a stop codon in the sequence, as previously detected in virulent isolates (Gorse M. and Gautier

A., unpublished data; Van de Wouw et al., 2023). These virulent alleles were detected in only

one pool from the Boisseau site at low frequency. Nineteen new alleles displayed silent

mutations and encoded avirulent isoforms. Three alleles displayed non-synonymous

mutations leading to AA substitutions in the signal peptide without modification of the mature

(avirulent) protein. Only three alleles displayed non-synonymous mutations (R41Q, S49L and

E71G) for which the impact on the phenotype could not be determined. They represented in

average 0.56% of the detected alleles (data not shown).

Analysis of unknown environmental samples: comparison between 32 or 96 leaf spots in a

pool

We compared the proportions of each detected allele in pools of 32 leaf spots or in "pools of

pools" containing up to 96 leaf spots. As exemplified for *AvrLm3* for which a high level of alleles

were detected, the proportion of each allele estimated from both pool sizes were highly

correlated (R^2=0.9996, Supplementary Figure S10). However, when focusing on alleles present

at low percentages in the pools (>2%) the correlation was lower (R^2=0.859), due to OTUs that

were not detected in pools of 96 leaf spots (detection limit) but also to OTUs detected in pools

of 96 but not in pools of 32, probably corresponding to sequencing artefacts (Supplementary

Figure S10).

Analysis of unknown environmental samples: comparison between genotyping and

phenotyping for virulence.

Using leaf samples from the same site and the same sampling date, we compared the

percentages of avirulent isolates in *L. maculans* populations as estimated following inoculation

tests on a plant differential set (Balesdent et al., 2023) or using the MPSeqM tool (this study).

For most avirulence loci, the inference of the phenotype (virulence or avirulence) from the

allele sequences or deletion proportions was possible (see above). As regards *AvrLm3*, the

situation is more complex since the avirulence phenotype is masked whenever *AvrLm4-7* is

present, at least for some *AvrLm4-7* alleles (Balesdent et al., 2023). To determine the

proportion of avirulent isolates on *Rlm3*, we multiplied, for each pool, the percentage of

AvrLm3 avirulent alleles by the percentage of *AvrLm4-7* alleles unable to mask the *AvrLm3-*

Rlm3 interaction. Altogether, phenotypic or MPSeqM analyses gave very close estimates of

the proportions of avirulent isolates for the interactions investigated, including the complex

AvrLm3-Rlm3 interaction (Figure 6A). For instance, site by site comparison of MPSeqM or

phenotypic estimation of virulent isolates on *Rlm7* perfectly correlated (Supplementary Figure

11).

Analysis of unknown environmental samples: comparison between Sanger sequencing

and the MPSeqM tool

We previously estimated *AvrLm* allele frequencies in French *L. maculans* population by Sanger

sequencing of full-length avirulence genes in a representative population of 89 isolates

collected in 2017 (Gautier et al., 2023). These proportions were compared with those

determined by the MPSeqM tool using averaged frequencies of alleles over all 42

environmental samples. Proportions of alleles that are undistinguishable with the MiSeq

primers (Like *AvrLm3_A_01* and *AvrLm3_A_09*) were first merged, and similarly, proportions

of RIPped and deleted alleles for *AvrLm1* were merged. Altogether, 44 distinct alleles were

detected for the eight *AvrLm* genes in the 2017 population. The percentages of these alleles

estimated with the MPSeqM tool in the 2019 population or by Sanger sequencing of

avirulence genes in the 2017 populations perfectly correlated (R^2=0.995, Figure 6B). The

difference between the two estimates of a given allele was low (1.8% in average). For only two

AvrLm4-7 alleles, percentages between the two analyses were higher than 5%, *AvrLm4-7_2_A*

(an avirulent allele) being lower in 2019 (16.3%) compared to 2017 (22.5%) and the deleted

allele being higher in 2019 (45.0%) compared to 2017 (39.3%; Figure 6B). Indeed, these

differences may simply reflect the current evolution of French populations toward virulence

due to the *Rlm7* selection pressure in France (Balesdent et al., 2022).

Analysis of unknown environmental samples with the MPSeqM **tool: allelic diversity per**

site

Considering all genes, from 80 to 118 distinct OTUs were detected per site. Relative to the

number of leaf spots per site analysed, the site showing the highest diversity (number of

distinct OTUs) was that of Frelinghein (Supplementary Figure S12). Depending on the sites,

from 40% (Frelinghein) to 53% of the detected OTUs were new OTUs, the site with highest

number of new OTUs being that of Coullons, mainly due to the high number of new *AvrLm11*

OTUS found at that site (Supplementary Figure S12).

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we describe the design, validation, and use of a molecular tool, termed MPSeqM,

for large-scale analysis of polymorphism of eight avirulence genes of *L. maculans* intending to

determine the proportion of virulent isolates toward the corresponding *B. napus* resistance

genes in field samples. This tool exploits the potentiality of high-throughput sequencing

technologies and the in-depth knowledge of *L. maculans AvrLm* polymorphism. It combines four steps: bulk DNA extraction of *L. maculans* symptoms from the field; a multiplex-PCR step amplifying eight *AvrLm* genes and *Actin* as a control, invariant gene; Illumina sequencing of the PCR products, and lastly, blasting reads on an *AvrLm* allelic variant database to finally calculate proportions of each variant in the bulks. The tool was first evaluated on DNA pools of known samples. Whether using pool of DNA from purified and individually extracted isolates or DNA from leaf symptoms developed by defined isolates and extracted in bulks, the MPSeqM tool was found to be versatile, reproducible, quantifiable, and efficient for the detection of small proportions of allelic variants.

As regards versatility, the possibility to characterize simultaneously from 4 to 8 avirulence genes with identical results opens the possibility to modify the set of genes analysed depending on the characteristics of the populations to be surveyed. For instance, here, *AvrLm2* is nearly invariant with fixed virulent alleles in the French populations, rendering the *Rlm2* resistance genes useless, as previously described (Balesdent et al., 2023, Gautier et al., 2023). The MPSeqM tool could thus be adapted by replacing *AvrLm2* amplification with other genes of interest, like *AvrLm10* or *AvrLm14*. Alternatively, fewer genes could be analysed simultaneously, to reach deeper sequencing for other genes or to analyse more samples for the same sequencing cost.

As regards reproducibility, we showed, using the MPSeqM tool with three independent PCR replicates (different PCR mixes and independent amplifications), that the sequencing results were very reproducible both qualitatively and quantitatively within one sequencing run. In addition, independent runs also gave identical estimates of proportions of each allele (data not shown). The presence of plant material in bulks of leaf spots did not interfere with the MPSeqM results. The quantitative dimension of the tool was clearly established not only when comparing the MPSeqM results with expected proportions of each alleles in bulks of known composition, but also when comparing MPSeqM results from bulks of unknown field symptoms with previously established data, whatever the criteria compared (proportion of virulent isolates per site, or proportions of allelic variants per gene).

Three main questions had to be address to validate the tool: (i) what could be the best sample

size (number of leaf spots) within each pool for field surveys, (ii) whether gene deletions could

be quantified, and (iii) whether new alleles could be detected.

MPSeqM results for control DNA with known isolates were used to determine the best

number of individual samples in one bulk. In the Mix_LM control containing DNA of 71 isolates,

many alleles (>20%) present in one isolate only were not detected. This value dropped to

around 6% in the bulk with 32 leaf spots and, more importantly, 100% of alleles encoding non-

RIPped sequences were detected. Lack of detection of a RIPped allele present at low

frequency is not a problem if the deletions (i.e. lack of amplification) can be accurately

detected, because both RIPped and deleted alleles correspond to virulent versions of the

gene. Comparison of pools of 32 or 96 leaf spots confirmed a better detection of alleles at low

frequencies with pools of 32 leaf spots. Therefore, pools of 32 leaf spots were selected to

assess the MPSeqM on unknown samples.

Thanks to the use of a dilution series with varying proportions of DNA of two isolates differing

by the presence or absence of some avirulence genes, we showed that it was possible to

quantify deletions. For that purpose, however, we first had to normalize the data (number of

sequences for a given avirulence gene) with the number of reads obtained for a single-copy,

conserved gene. Here we used the actin gene for normalization, as it is reliable for species-

specific identification of *L. maculans* within the *Leptosphaeria* species complex (Vincenot et

al., 2009; Voigt et al., 2005). The normalized read numbers for the deleted genes in the dilution

series correlated with the expected proportions, demonstrating again the quantitative

dimension of the tool. Using the dilution curves, the proportions of deleted isolates in known

bulks were accurately quantified for either high proportions (*AvrLm1*), low proportions

(*AvrLm11*), or intermediate proportions (*AvrLm4-7*) of deletion events.

Unexpected alleles were detected at low frequencies in bulks with known *AvrLm* allele

composition. These sequences corresponded to artefacts generated at the PCR or sequencing

steps. Thus, the proportions of such reads in controls were used to establish the thresholds

under which MiSeq reads were suppressed. These thresholds were gene-dependent. Once

these thresholds were established and applied, the datasets from field samples comprised a

reduced number of allelic variants per gene, but still numerous unknown alleles. We defined

rules to select reliable alleles among these new sequences, and at this step, the use of

independent triplicates was particularly useful. Only unknown sequences consistently present

in the three replicates of one field sample and in a few samples only were kept, allowing us to

identify 206 new alleles including 74 new RIPped alleles. Depending on the mutations present

in these new alleles, the inference of the corresponding phenotype (virulent or avirulent) was

more or less easy. RIPped sequences, sequences with frameshift or stop codons were

considered virulent. Other variants may display silent mutations or mutations without impact

on the mature protein and thus, were easily converted into avirulent or virulent alleles.

However, a non-negligible amount of new alleles (9.2% of new alleles detected in pools) could

not be converted into a phenotype. These new alleles usually represented low proportions of

reads per sample (1.5% on average), thus without a high impact on the survey result. If an

unknown allele reaches high proportions in specific sites, it will be necessary either to go back

to isolation campaigns at this site, with the aim of recovering and phenotyping isolates with

that allelic variant, or to assess the corresponding phenotype following the transformation of

a virulent isolate with a synthetic construct displaying this new allele.

Although field leaf spot bulks contained 32 leaf spots only, the proportions of reliable alleles

in one bulk were frequently lower than 1/32 (3.12%), the expected proportion in case of the

presence of this allele in one leaf spot of the bulk only. Two main hypothesis can explain this

data. First, despite the care taken to choose individualized leaf spots on the leaves, some leaf

spots may have merged into one, or latent and multiple infections may be present around the

selected leaf spot without being visible. One leaf spot may thus contain more than one *L.*

maculans individual. Second, gene or large genomic region duplications in one isolate may

occur. Two copies of *AvrLm4-7* or *AvrLm11,* or duplication of their genomic regions were

already described (Daverdin et al., 2009; Gautier et al., 2013; Leclair et al., 1996) and the two

copies may display polymorphism (Gautier et al., 2023). Whatever the hypothesis, and

because the proportions of virulent isolates calculated on the bases of OTUs proportions in

bulks or by phenotyping correlated well, we think the percentage of each OTU in one bulk

gives a good estimate of its proportion in the natural population.

The MPSeqM tool has one technical limitation. Because of the rather short sequence length,

only part of the full CDS length is amplified for some *AvrLm* genes, possibility preventing us

from detecting mutations in the 5' or 3' regions of the genes. Despite this limitation, the

MPSeqM tool enabled us to determine frequencies of virulent isolates from field samples highly correlated with those previously determined by pathotyping. This good correlation is probably due to the reasoned choice of the amplified region, including a maximum number of the polymorphic sites previously identified. However, this limitation has to be kept in mind, and regular (for instance, every five years) surveys based on isolation, individual pathotyping, and full-length CDS sequencing will still have to be done in the future, to detect potential new virulent alleles, to enrich the sequence database, and to adapt the primer pairs to current prevalent alleles. Another specific difficulty concerns *AvrLm4-7*. It has been shown previously that some virulent isolates toward *Rlm7* did not amplify the gene with primers located outside to the CDS, but could amplify some internal parts of the gene with a sequence matching avirulent alleles (Daverdin et al., 2012; Gautier et al., 2023). Such virulent isolates, representing 6.7% of the 2017 sampling (Gautier et al., 2023), will be miss-identified as avirulent with the MPSeqM tool. This phenomenon could explain why the MPSeqM tool detects more avirulent isolates toward *Rlm7* than the biological pathotyping (Figure 6).

Our MPSeqM field survey revealed highly contrasted levels of polymorphism depending on the avirulence gene. This observation confirms previous data obtained by sequencing avirulence genes in individual isolates, either from France (Gautier et al., 2023) or worldwide (Van de Wouw et al., 2023), with highly polymorphic genes like *AvrLm3*, *AvrLm4-7* or *AvrLmS-Lep2*, or low polymorphic ones like *AvrLm2* or *AvrLm6*. Here, by analysing a total of 1337 leaf spots, we not only confirmed these trends but detected a number of new, rare alleles, most of them being site or even plot-specific. This work thus revealed an unexpected diversity of *AvrLm* sequences for at least some genes. Whether this polymorphism is pre-existing everywhere at a basal level, or whether it is locally generated at each generation through meiosis as previously suggested (Daverdin et al., 2012), is still in question. The high number of new RIPped alleles detected here for *AvrLm4-7* (66 new sequences), but not for other genes suggests RIP is still an active mechanism particularly frequent for this gene, generating new alleles every year at meiosis.

Finally, the MPSeqM tool gives a detailed and precise characterization of the diversity of a set of *L. maculans* genes in populations present in a define location (geographical location, specific time-point, plant genotype, plant organ). Although the field trials were not designed for statistical analyses of population diversity, we were nevertheless able to highlight

contrasting situations between sites, with some sites showing greater diversity but few new alleles, and others being less diverse but richer in new alleles. The MPSeqM tool thus offers new perspectives for additional research questions, such as: do some plant genotypes select some allelic variants along the cropping season? How plant genotypes affect allelic variants? How different are populations present in very close or distant fields? How some resistance management strategies could affect the allelic diversity of avirulence genes? The MPSeqM tool could also be adapted to other genes for which we miss polymorphism information, such as *L. maculans* effector genes expressed at later stages of the infection cycle. Finally, this tool could help bringing extensive field data to determine a "critical percentage of virulent isolates" at which the resistance is in danger of being rapidly overcome, an information currently not available for the *L. maculans* / *B. napus* pathosystem.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the CASDAR project C2018-11 (Atipical). We thank all contributors from the CTPS field experiments that provided infected oilseed rape leaves and Julie Noah for her contribution to leaf spot recovery. The "Effectors and Pathogenesis of *L. maculans*" group benefits from the support of Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-17-EUR-0007). We thank the GeT Genotoul platform in Toulouse, Midi-Pyrénées for the MiSeq sequencing experiments. We are grateful to the Genotoul Bioinformatics platform in Toulouse, Midi-Pyrénées for providing help in computing and data storage.

Data availability statement

Data available on request from the authors

References

Alnajar, D., von Tiedemann, A. & Koopmann, B. (2022) Efficacy of blackleg major resistance genes in *B. napus* in Germany. *Pathogens* 11, 461.

Balesdent, M.H., Gautier, A., Plissonneau, C., Le Meur, L., Loiseau, A., Leflon, M. et al. (2022) Twenty years of *Leptosphaeria maculans* population surveys in France suggest

pyramiding *Rlm3* and *Rlm7* in rapeseed is a risky resistance management strategy.

Phytopathology 112, 2126-2137.

Balesdent, M.H., Laval, V., Bagot, P., Rouxel, T (2023) Large-scale population survey of

Leptosphaeria maculans in France highlights both on-going breakdowns and potentially

effective resistance genes in oilseed rape. *Pest Management Sciences*

https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7401

Balesdent, M.H., Louvard, K., Pinochet, X. & Rouxel, T. (2006) A large-scale survey of races of

Leptosphaeria maculans occurring on oilseed rape in France. *European Journal of Plant*

*Pathol*ogy 114, 53-65.

Borhan, M.H., Van de Wouw, A.P. & Larkan, N.J. (2022) Molecular interactions between

Leptosphaeria maculans and *Brassica* species. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 60,

11.1–11.21

Boutigny, A.L., Gautier, A., Basler, R., Dauthieux, F., Leite, S., Valade, R. et al. (2019)

Metabarcoding targeting the EF1 alpha region to assess *Fusarium* diversity on cereals.

PLoS ONE 14(1): e0207988. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.020798.

Carpezat, J., Bothorel, S., Daverdin, G., Balesdent, M.H. & Leflon, M. (2014) Use of high

resolution melting analysis to genotype the avirulence *AvrLm4-7* gene of *Leptosphaeria*

maculans, a fungal pathogen of *Brassica napus. Annals of Applied Biology* 164, 430–444.

Chen, Q., Peng, G., Kutcher, R., & Yu, F. (2020) Identification of genome-wide DNA variants

and SNP haplotypes associated with avirulence genes in *Leptosphaeria maculans* in

Western Canada. *Research Square:* https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-24766/v1.

Daverdin, G., Rouxel, T., Gout, L., Aubertot, J.N., Fudal, I., Meyer, M. et al. (2012) Genome

structure and reproductive behaviour influence the evolutionary potential of a fungal

phytopathogen. *PLoS Pathogens* 8, e1003020.

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011) UCHIME improves

sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194–2200.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.

Fudal, I., Ross, S., Brun, H., Besnard, A.L., Ermel, M., Kuhn, M.L. et al. (2009) Repeat-induced

point mutation (RIP) as an alternative mechanism of evolution towards virulence in

Leptosphaeria maculans. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interaction* 22, 932-941.

Gautier, A., Laval, V., Faure, S., Rouxel, T. & Balesdent, M.H. (2023) Polymorphism of

avirulence genes and adaptation to Brassica resistance genes is gene dependent in the

phytopathogenic fungus *Leptosphaeria maculans*. *Phytopathology*, 113, 1222-1232.

Ghanbarnia, K., Ma, L., Larkan, N.J., Haddadi, P., Fernando, D.W.G. & Borhan, M.H. (2018)

Leptosphaeria maculans AvrLm9: a new player in the game of hide and seek with

AvrLm4-7. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 19, 1754-1764.

Gladyshev, E. (2017) Repeat-Induced Point Mutation (RIP) and other genome defense

mechanisms in fungi. *Microbiology Spectrrum* 5, 10.1128.

Gout, L., Eckert, M., Rouxel, T. & Balesdent, M.H. (2006) Genetic variability and distribution of

mating-type alleles in field populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans* from France. *Applied*

and Environmental Microbiology 72, 185-191.

Gout, L., Kuhn, M.L., Vincenot, L., Bernard-Samain, S., Cattolico, L., Barbetti, M. et al. (2007)

Genome structure impacts molecular evolution at the *AvrLm1* avirulence locus of the

plant pathogen *Leptosphaeria maculans*. *Environmental Microbiology* 9, 2978-2992.

Leclair, S., Ansan-Melayah, D., Rouxel, T., & Balesdent, M.H. (1996) Meiotic behaviour of the

minichromosome in the phytopathogenic ascomycete *Leptosphaeria maculans. Current*

Genetics 30, 541-548.

McCulloch, G. A., & Waters, J. M. (2023) Rapid adaptation in a fast-changing world: emerging

insights from insect genomics. *Global Change Biology* 29, 943–954.

Needleman, S.B., & Wunsch, C.D. (1970) A general method applicable to the search for

similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. *Journal of Molecular Biol*ogy 48,

443–453.

Parlange, F., Daverdin, G., Fudal, I., Kuhn, M.L., Balesdent, M.H., Blaise, F. et al. (2009)

Leptosphaeria maculans avirulence gene *AvrLm4-7* confers a dual recognition specificity

by the *Rlm4* and *Rlm7* resistance genes of oilseed rape, and circumvents *Rlm4*-mediated

recognition through a single amino acid change. *Molecular Microbiology* 71, 851-863.

Plissonneau, C., Blaise, F., Ollivier, B., Leflon, M., Carpezat, J., Rouxel, T. et al. (2017) Unusual

evolutionary mechanisms to escape Effector-Triggered-Immunity in the fungal

phytopathogen *Leptosphaeria maculans. Molecular Ecol*ogy 26, 2183-2198.

Rouxel, T. & Balesdent, M.H. (2017) Life, death and rebirth of avirulence effectors in a fungal

pathogen of Brassica crops, *Leptosphaeria maculans. New Phytologist* 214, 526-532.

Rouxel, T., Penaud, A., Pinochet, X., Brun, H., Gout, L., Delourme, R. et al. (2003) A ten-year

survey of populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans* in France indicates a rapid adaptation

towards the *Rlm1* resistance gene in oilseed rape. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*

109, 871-881.

Schloss, P.D., Westcott, S.L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J.R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E.B. et al. (2009)

Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported

software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology 75, 7537–7541.

Sprague, SJ, Balesdent, M-H, Brun, H, Hayden, HL, Marcroft, SJ, Pinochet, X. et al. (2006) Major

gene resistance in *Brassica napus* (oilseed rape) is overcome by changes in virulence of

populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans* in France and Australia. *European Journal of*

Plant Pathology 114, 33–40.

Stachowiak, A., Olechnowicz, J., Jedryczka, M., Rouxel, T., Balesdent, M.H., Happstadius, I., et

al. (2006) Frequency of avirulence alleles in field populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans*

in Europe. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 114, 67-75.

Van de Wouw, A.P., Howlett, B.J. (2012) Estimating frequencies of virulent isolates in field

populations of a plant pathogenic fungus, *Leptosphaeria maculans*, using high-

throughput pyrosequencing. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 113, 1145–53.

Van de Wouw, A.P., Scanlan, J.L., Al-Mamun, H.A., Balesdent, M.H., Bousset, L., Burketová, L.

et al. (2023) A new set of international *Leptosphaeria maculans* isolates as a resource

for elucidation of the basis and evolution of blackleg disease on *Brassica napus*. *Plant*

Pathology, DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13801.

Van de Wouw, A.P., Stonard, J.F., Howlett, B.J., West, J.S., Fitt, B.D.L. & Atkins, S.D. (2010)

Determining frequencies of avirulent alleles in airborne *Leptosphaeria maculans*

inoculum using quantitative PCR. *Plant Pathology* 59, 809–818.

Vincenot, L., Balesdent, M.H., Li, H., Barbetti, M.J., Sivasithamparam, S., Gout, L. et al. (2008)

Occurrence of a new subclade of *Leptosphaeria biglobosa* in Western Australia.

Phytopathology 98, 321-329

Voigt, K., Cozijnsen, A.J., Kroymann, J., Pöggeler, S. & Howlett, B.J. (2005) Phylogenetic

relationships between members of the crucifer pathogenic *Leptosphaeria maculans*

species complex as shown by mating type (MAT1-2), actin, and beta-tubulin sequences.

Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution 37, 541-57.

Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., & Cole, J.R. (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid

assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology 73, 5261–5267.

Zhang, X., Peng, P., Kutcher, R., Balesdent, M.H., Delourme, R. & Fernando, D.W.G. (2016)

Breakdown of *Rlm3* resistance in the *Brassica napus–Leptosphaeria maculans*

pathosystem in western Canada. *European Journal of Plant Pathol*ogy 145, 659-674.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic representation of sequence analyses and dataset cleaning. Grey boxes
correspond to output files at specific stages of the analysis. [a], this threshold ("maximum read
number threshold") removes background OTUs due to sequencing errors and is based on
unexpected values observed for control DNAs; [b], this threshold ("percentage threshold") is
based on the relative proportion of an OTU in an environmental sample and its value is based
on relative proportions observed in control DNAs.

Figure 2. Efficiency and reproducibility of MiSeq sequencing following multiplex PCR amplification on single isolate DNA (A) or pooled leaf symptoms from 32 known isolates (B). Replicates (REP) correspond to independent PCR experiments. Values are percentages of reads matching each amplified gene.

Figure 3. Serial dilution curves for *AvrLm* deletion quantification. A mix of DNA of two isolates differing by the presence or absence of four avirulence genes created deletion proportions ranging from 0% to 100%. The observed proportion of the gene in the mix was estimated with the ratio between the read numbers for this gene in the sample over the Actin read numbers. In blue, *AvrLm1*; orange, *AvrLm4-7*; grey, *AvrLm11*; green, *AvrLmS-Lep2*. Polynomial regression curves and R^2 are displayed for each gene.

Figure 4. Comparison between expected and observed proportions of each allele for eight *L. maculans* avirulence genes in DNA pools. A/ DNA of a pool of 32 leaf spots from known isolates and B/ Pool of DNA of 71 known isolates. The eight *AvrLm* genes were amplified in multiplex PCR with three independent PCR replicates, and then sequenced with MiSeq. Each dot correspond to the mean proportion in the pools of each allele (OTU) over all alleles of every *AvrLm* gene, including deleted alleles, and the colour code on the left refers to the gene. The lower and upper limits correspond to the exact confidence interval around the expected value for a mix of 32 (A) or 71 (B) individuals. Detailed values are in Supplementary Table S3.

Figure 5. An example of detection of new alleles. Percentages of new OTUs over all *AvrLm11* OTUs are given for the three control samples (left) and the 52 environmental samples from nine sites, separated by the vertical blue lines. Bars in dark orange are those of pools of 32 leaf spots that are also in the "pools of pools "of 96 leaf spots (pale orange).

Figure 6. Validation of the MPSeqM tool on environmental samples. **A**, Comparison between percentages of avirulent isolates in French populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans,* estimated by phenotyping or genotyping. Black, data from phenotyping 690 isolates from 9 sites (Balesdent et al, 2023); grey, data from MultiplexPCR-Miseq analysis of 42 pools of leaf spots from the same sites (including a total of 1337 leaf spots). Values are means and standard errors over all sites. **B**, Comparison between allele frequencies (all genes) estimated by Sanger sequencing of individual isolates (2017 population, Gautier et al, 2013) and by the MPSeqM tool of pools of leaf spots (2019 population)

Supplementary Table S1. List and characteristics of *Leptosphaeria maculans* isolates used in this study

Supplementary Table S2. Genotypes of reference *Leptosphaeria maculans* isolates at eight avirulence loci. Isolates in bold are those present in the poolof 32 *Brassica napus* leaf spots used as control.

Supplementary Table S3. List and proportions of *AvrLm* alleles present in the Mix_Lm and Mix_LSpot controls and the corresponding estimated proportions with after MiSeq sequencing.

Supplementary Figure S1. Experimental design for environmental samples analyses. 1, Leaves at reception in the lab, cleaned and air-dried; 2, one leaf spot per leaf is cut and placed in humid conditions for pycnidia production, and 3, mono-pycnidia isolation for 4, inoculation on a plant differential set to determine the phenotype. 5, standardized leaf spot cutting (one per leaf) and long-term storage in 24-well plates. 7, a view of a full plate before storage until 8, pool DNA extraction, multiplex PCR and MiSeq sequencing

Supplementary Figure S2. Alignment of known alleles of eight *L. maculans* avirulence genes with positions of forward and reverse MiSeq primers. A, *AvrLm1*; B, *AvrLm2*; C, *AvrLm3*; D, *AvrLm4-7*; E, *AvrLm5-9*; F, *AvrLmS-Lep2*; G, *AvrLm6*; H, *AvrLm11*. The F-primers are located before the start for *AvrLm5-9* and *AvrLm6* and the R-primer is after the stop for *AvrLm11*.

Supplementary Figure S3. Box plot of the frequency per sampling site of avirulent alleles for *AvrLm1,* as estimated by MiSeq sequencing in 42 pools of leaf spots.

Supplementary Figure S4. Proportions of the three *AvrLm2* alleles detected in 42 pools of leaf spots from 9 fields

Supplementary Figure S5 Maximum likelihood tree of all *AvrLm3* isoforms identified here. Isoforms underlined in green are known avirulent isoforms while those underlined in red are known virulent isoforms**.**

Supplementary Figure S6. Percentages of the 41 *AvrLm3* alleles as determined following multiplex-PCR and MiSeq sequencing of 42 environmental samples

Supplementary Figure S7. Proportions of *AvrLm5-9* alleles detected in 42 pools of leaf spots from nine fields

Supplementary Figure S8. Proportions of *AvrLm6* alleles detected in 42 pools of leaf spots from nine fields

Supplementary Figure S9. Proportions of *AvrLmS-Lep2* alleles detected in 42 pools of leaf spots from nine fields

Supplementary Fig. 10. Relationships between percentages of each *AvrLm3* OTU per site estimated in 32 leaf spots or from the "pools of pools" (64 or 96 leaf spots). Each dot corresponds to the percentage of one OTU in one site. A, all data; B, C and D, focus on high percentages (>60%), low percentages (<20%) or very low percentages (<2%). The green circle highlights OTUs that are detected at low frequencies in 24 or 32 leaf spot pools but not in 64 or 96 leaf spots. The red circle highlights OTUs that are not detected in pools of 32 leaf spots but found in pools of 96, thus probably corresponding to sequencing artefacts.

Supplementary Figure S11. Correlation between estimates of percentage of avirulent isolates on *Rlm7* by pathotyping and with the MPSeqM tool. Each dot corresponds to one geographical location. Samplings were done at the same place and same time but on different leaves. Pathotyping was described in Balesdent et al. (2023) and was done using around 80 isolates per site, while the genotyping was done on 3 to 6 pools of 32 leaf spots per site. The Spearman correlation coefficient is indicated.

Supplementary Figure S12. Relative *AvrLm* allele diversity per site as described with the MpSeqM tool. A, diversity for all genes; B, diversity for *AvrLm11* only. The radar diagrams represent, for each site, the relative proportion of alleles (no. of alleles at this site over total no. of alleles detected in the survey) averaged by the relative proportion of leaf spots analysed at this site. Dark line, overall diversity; dark grey line, diversity for "new" alleles; pale grey line, diversity for site-specific new alleles. Sites as follows: Co, Coullons; Ja, Janville; Th1, Thiverval-Grignon field 1; Th2, Thiverval-Grignon field 2; Boi, Boisseau; Er, Erdre-en-Anjou; Bou, Bouges-le-Château; Fr, Frelinghein; LeR, Le Rheu

Supplementary Text T1. Parameters used for the Mothur pipeline.

828   Table 1 Characteristics of the sites sampled in autumn 2019 and 2020, and number of samples

829   analysed per site

Location	French department	No. of pools of 32 leaf spots	No. of leaf spots (no. of pools) in the "pool of pools"
Boisseau	41	6	96 (3)
Bouges le château	36	5	96 (3) and 64 (2)
Coullons	45	6	96 (3)
Erdre-en-Anjou	49	4	96 (3)
Frelinghien	59	3[a]	88 (3)
Janville	28	4	96 (3)
Le Rheu	35	6	96 (3)
Thiverval Grignon (field 1)	78	4	64 (2)
Thiverval Grignon (field 2)	78	4	64 (2)

830   [a] except for one pool with only 24 leaf spots

832   Table 2. Characteristics of the eight *AvrLm* and actin gene regions amplified with MiSeq primers

Gene	Gene size (bp) for JN3	No. of known polymorphic sites[a]	Forward Primer for MiSeq (5'-> 3') [b]	Reverse Primer for MiSeq (5'-> 3') [c]	Amplicon size (bp)[d]	Length of the analysed part of the gene (bp)	No. (%) of known polymorphic sites in the amplicon[e]
AvrLm1	667	3	TCCGTCCAGATAAGCCCTGT	CACGAATGATGCACCAGAGGC	455	399	3 (100%)
AvrLm2	699	5	CCCAGCAACAATTTTCGGAACTCC	TTCAACTGTGTATTGGCCCTGG	433	377	5 (100%)
AvrLm3	539	25	CCAAATCTTGTTTCTATTATTTACCGCAT	GATGATCGCAGTGTACCTCGTCTTT	511	455	21 (84%)
AvrLm4-7	478	13	ACTCGCTCTCTCTATCCCTACA	CAACCACGAGTCCTTGCTTTCA	495	439	13 (100%)
AvrLm5-9	426	6	ACAACCACTCTTCTTCACAGTTT	TTAGAGATAACTAAGCTCCAATCCG	486	430	5 (83.3%)
AvrLm6	581	3	GCACGTTCCAAAGCCCTATTTA	ATCGTCATGGGCTTGGATGTTA	506	450	2 (66.7%)
AvrLm11	365	3	GCTTCATCATGCGTTTCTTGCT	CCACAGCACACTATTCACTTTAGC	504	448	3 (100%)
AvrLmS-Lep2	426	15	GGCCAACTTTATCATGCTCTACCT	GGCAACTGATGGGACCGCTC	487	431	15 (100%)
Actin partial (512F/CA14R)	374	-	ATGTGCAAGGCCGGTTTCG	GCCAGATCTTCTCCATGTCATCCCAGTT	430	374	-

[a] From Start to Stop, data from Gautier et al. (2023)

[b] all forward primers were tagged in 5' with MiSeq specific sequence CTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT

[c] all reverse primers were tagged in 5' with MiSeq specific sequence GGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT

[d] including the 5' and 3' tags and for JN3 isolate

[e] except RIP mutations; known mutations as described by Gautier et al. (2023).

Table 3. Sequencing statistics including numbers of OTUs retained at each filtering step

Gene	Mean read no. per sample (min;max)[a]	No. of OTUs in at least 3 samples	Max. read no. threshold[b]	No. of OTUs above the threshold	No. of 0TUs retained after analysis of control DNA[c]	Threshold for the detection of an OTU in a field sample[d]	Final no. of new OTUs	Final no. of new RIPed OTUs[e]	Final no. of new isoforms (full lenght)
AvrLm1	429 (0;6886)	1045	12	50	5	0.10%	2	1	0
AvrLm2	7977 (5971;14799)	18548	25	22	6	0.20%	0	0	0
AvrLm3	4251 (3045;6594)	12383	10	194	46	0.20%	28	0	10
AvrLm4-7	2183 (1242;4377)	10147	10	278	139	0.20%	110	66	25
AvrLm5-9	4398 (3283;8029)	8643	9	198	14	0.20%	7	0	3
AvrLm6	2330 (1523;4686)	4488	9	75	8	0.15%	5	0	1
AvrLm11	3815 (2692;6196)	7656	10	172	36	0.40%	27	7	13
AvrLmS-Lep2	3965 (2896;5498)	18114	8	215	46	0.50%	27	0	7
Total		81024		1204	300		206	74	59

[a] Data including control samples (JN3_DNA; Mix_LSpot; Mix_LM) and environmental samples (pools of leaf spots).

[b] All OTUs for which read counts are below these values in all samples are removed. These values are gene- and run- dependent

[c] based on unexpected OTUs observed in controls and with similar read counts in field samples

[d] This "percentage threshold" represents the percentage of read counts for an *AvrLm* OTU over all read counts for this gene in a given sample. All values
with OTU read counts below this threshold are replaced by zero in the final dataset.

[e] A sequence is considered as RIPped if it displays a few mutations from C$\rightarrow$T or G$\rightarrow$ A, introducing stop codons in the sequence.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of sequence analyses and dataset cleaning. Grey boxes correspond to output files at specific stages of the analysis. [a], this threshold ("maximum read number threshold") removes background OTUs due to sequencing errors and is based on unexpected values observed for control DNAs; [b], this threshold ("percentage threshold") is based on the relative proportion of an OTU in an environmental sample and its value is based on relative proportions observed in control DNAs.

Figure 2. Efficiency and reproducibility of MiSeq sequencing following multiplex PCR amplification on single isolate DNA (A) or pooled leaf symptoms from 32 known isolates (B). Replicates (REP) correspond to independent PCR experiments. Values are percentages of reads matching each amplified gene.

Figure 3. Serial dilution curves for *AvrLm* deletion quantification. A mix of DNA of two isolates differing by the presence or absence of four avirulence genes created deletion proportions ranging from 0% to 100%. The observed proportion of the gene in the mix was estimated with the ratio between the read numbers for this gene in the sample over the Actin read numbers. In blue, *AvrLm1*; orange, *AvrLm4-7*; grey, *AvrLm11*; green, *AvrLmS-Lep2*. Polynomial regression curves and R^2 are displayed for each gene.

Figure 4. Comparison between expected and observed proportions of each allele for eight *L. maculans* avirulence genes in DNA pools. A/ DNA of a pool of 32 leaf spots from known isolates and B/ Pool of DNA of 71 known isolates. The eight *AvrLm* genes were amplified in multiplex PCR with three independent PCR replicates, and then sequenced with MiSeq. Each dot correspond to the mean proportion in the pools of each allele (OTU) over all alleles of every *AvrLm* gene, including deleted alleles, and the colour code on the left refers to the gene. The lower and upper limits correspond to the exact confidence interval around the expected value for a mix of 32 (A) or 71 (B) individuals. Detailed values are in Supplementary Table S3.

Figure 5. An example of detection of new alleles. Percentages of new OTUs over all *AvrLm11* OTUs are given for the three control samples (left) and the 52 environmental samples from nine sites, separated by the vertical blue lines. Bars in dark orange are those of pools of 32 leaf spots that are also in the "pools of pools "of 96 leaf spots (pale orange).

Figure 6. Validation of the MPSeqM tool on environmental samples. A, Comparison between percentages of avirulent isolates in French populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans,* estimated by phenotyping or genotyping. Black, data from phenotyping 690 isolates from 9 sites (Balesdent et al, 2023); grey, data from MultiplexPCR-Miseq analysis of 42 pools of leaf spots from the same sites (including a total of 1337 leaf spots). Values are means and standard errors over all sites. B, Comparison between allele frequencies (all genes) estimated by Sanger sequencing of individual isolates (2017 population, Gautier et al, 2013) and by the MPSeqM tool of pools of leaf spots (2019 population).