1    **Single-cell RNA sequencing unveils the hidden powers of zebrafish kidney for**
2    **generating both hematopoiesis and adaptive antiviral immunity**
3
Chongbin Hu[1], Nan Zhang[1], Yun Hong[1], Ruxiu Tie[4], Dongdong Fan[1], Aifu Lin[1], Ye
Chen[1,3*], Lixin Xiang[1*], Jianzhong Shao[1,2*]

[1]College of Life Sciences, Key Laboratory for Cell and Gene Engineering of Zhejiang
Province, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China. [2]Laboratory
for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine
Science and Technology, Qingdao, People's Republic of China. [3]Division of Medical
Genetics and Genomics, the Children's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of
Medicine, Hangzhou, China. [4]Bone Marrow Transplantation Center, the First
Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.

[*]For correspondence: shaojz@zju.edu.cn (J.-z.S.), xianglx@zju.edu.cn (L.-x.X.) and
yechency@zju.edu.cn (Y. C)

**Abstract**
The vertebrate kidneys play two evolutionary conserved roles in waste excretion
and osmoregulation. Besides, the kidney of fish is considered as a functional ortholog
of mammalian bone marrow that serves as a hematopoietic hub for generating blood
cell lineages and immunological responses. However, knowledge about the properties
of kidney hematopoietic cells, and the functionality of kidney in fish immune systems
remain to be elucidated. To this end, our present study generated a comprehensive
atlas with 59 hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell (HSPC) and immune-cell types from
zebrafish kidney via single-cell transcriptome profiling analysis. These populations
included almost all known cells associated with innate and adaptive immunity, and
displayed differential responses to viral infection, indicating their diverse functional
roles in antiviral immunity. Remarkably, HSPCs were found to have extensive
reactivities to viral infection, and the trained immunity can be effectively induced in
certain HSPCs. In addition, the antigen-stimulated adaptive immunity can be fully
generated in kidney, suggesting kidney acting as a secondary lymphoid organ. These
results indicated that fish kidney is a dual-functional entity with functionalities of both
primary and secondary lymphoid organs. Our findings illustrated the unique features
of fish immune system, and highlighted the multifaced biology of kidney in ancient
vertebrates.

**Keywords**
single-cell transcriptome profiling, zebrafish kidney, HSPCs, immune cell types,

hematopoiesis and antiviral immunity

**Abbreviations:** SVCV, spring viremia of carp virus; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA
sequencing; HSPCs, hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells; AID,
activation-induced cytidine deaminase; Igs, immunoglobulins; APCs,
antigen-presenting cells; IFNs, interferons; MAST, Model-based Analysis of
Single-cell Transcriptomics; DEs, dual expressers; SHM, somatic hypermutation;
DCs, dendritic cells; EPC, Epithelioma papulosum cyprinid; CPE, cytopathic effect;
$TCID_{50}$, 50% tissue culture infective dose; PCA, principal component analysis; t-SNE,
t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding; GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto
Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; cDC, classical DC; pDC, plasmacytoid DCs;
DEGs, differentially expressed genes; BCG, Bacillus Calmette-Guerin; HSCs,
hematopoietic stem cells; MPPs, multipotent progenitors; CMLPs, common
myeloid-lymphoid progenitors; CMMEPs, common
myeloid-megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors; MACS, magnetic-activated cell
sorting; RT-qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR.

**Introduction**
Teleost fish are a group of indispensable model organisms for comprehending the
evolutionary history and general principles underlying the design of the vertebrate
immune system, since they stand as the earliest living jawed vertebrates known as an
important link in vertebrate evolution[1]. Over the recent decades, the field of fish
immunology has made significant strides, notably hastening the identification and
characterization of functional genes and signaling pathways intricately associated
with both piscine innate and adaptive immunities. These advances stem from the
progress in genome projects encompassing diverse fish species, and have markedly
enriched our comprehension of the molecular underpinnings of fish immunity[2-4].
Some breakthroughs have not only challenged established paradigms about the
immune system but have also unveiled novel dimensions of mammalian immunity[1,2].
Thus far, it was generally accepted that teleost fish possess the fundamental
components of both innate and adaptive immune systems, akin to those seen in
humans and other mammalian counterparts[5,6]. However, our grasp of the precise
architectural layout, cellular coordination, and functional attributes of the fish immune
system, particularly the adaptive facet, remains incomplete[6,7]. Evolutionarily speaking,
bony fish represent the earliest living organisms endowed with a rudimentary adaptive
immune system encompassing basic molecular and cellular constituents. These
encompass immunoglobulins (Igs), antigen-specific receptors (TCR/BCR) driven by
the recombination-activating genes, major histocompatibility complex class I and II
(MHC-I/II) molecules, as well as T and B lymphocytes. These cellular elements

populate the primary and secondary lymphoid organs of fish, such as the thymus and spleen[8-10]. Notwithstanding, the fish adaptive immune system is characterized by its own set of specializations and distinctive attributes. Notably absent in fishes are the bone marrow, histologically discernible lymph nodes, Peyer's patches, and germinal centers—entities that define primary and secondary lymphoid organs in mammals[11,12]. Additionally, fishes lack antibody class-switch recombination activity, albeit retaining the ability to express activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID)[13,14]. These revelations underscore the substantial diversity inherent in immune systems across distinct vertebrate taxonomic groups. Consequently, teleost fish emerge as invaluable primitive animal models, illuminating previously uncharted events during the emergence and phylogenetic progression of adaptive immune systems. Such endeavors stand to elucidate the distinct principles governing the immunology of ancient vertebrates, thereby furnishing scientific substantiation for a broader comprehension of the evolutionary trajectory of vertebrate immune systems.

In light of these considerations, we have recently generated a comprehensive atlas of immune cell types within the spleen of zebrafish, employing a single-cell transcriptome profiling approach[15]. Our work has entailed the classification of splenic leukocytes into 11 principal categories and the identification of over 50 subset populations within these categories. Moreover, we have delineated the differential responses of various subset cells—both innate and adaptive—to infection by the spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV). Particularly noteworthy was our discovery of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) existed within the spleen. This observation intimates that the fish spleen may well function as a hematopoietic site, transcending its established role as a secondary lymphoid organ. These insights offer fresh perspectives into the intricacies and distinctive attributes of the fish immune system, setting it apart from its mammalian counterparts. Seeking to attain a deeper understanding of the fish immune system, our present study has undertaken a further exploration of immune cell types and their functional characteristics within the kidney of zebrafish. This investigation places special emphasis on the hematopoietic activity of kidney HSPCs and the adaptive immune responses of kidney immune cells towards viral infections. As a result, our classification efforts have yielded 13 categories of cells from kidney leukocyte preparations, unearthing 59 potential subset cells spanning HSPCs and immune cell-associated categories. The observed subset populations manifest disparate reactions to SVCV infection. Most notably, some HSPC subsets exhibit a robust proliferative response to viral infection, capable of inducing trained immunity. Furthermore, we have successfully identified a comprehensive array of cells—encompassing diverse antigen-presenting cells (APCs) as well as effector T and B cells—integral for the full activation of adaptive immunity within the kidney. These adaptive immune-associated cells display marked

responsiveness to SVCV infection and antigenic stimulation. Evidently, the complete
process of adaptive immunity unfolds within the kidney, as evidenced by the
substantial expansion, activation, and somatic hypermutation (SHM) of
antigen-specific T and B cells upon exposure to cognate antigens. These results
strongly affirmed the kidney's dual role as a lymphoid organ, boasting both
hematopoietic functionality and adaptive immune reactivity in adult fish.
Consequently, our findings cast the fish kidney in the role of a secondary lymphoid
organ, supplementing its recognized status as a primary lymphoid organ. This
advancement significantly improved our current comprehension of fish hematopoietic
and immune systems, thus advancing our insights into the multifaceted biology of the
kidney in ancient vertebrates.

**Results**
**Categories of immune-associated cells in kidney**
In order to profile distinct immune-cell categories within the kidneys, leukocytes
were extracted from zebrafish kidney tissues. The experimental groups consisted of
fish treated with PBS (control), fish infected with SVCV (infected), and fish that
underwent both vaccination with inactivated SVCV and subsequent SVCV infection
(vaccinated+infected). Each sample comprised leukocytes from 60 fish and was
subjected to scRNA-seq using the $10\times$ Chromium platform. Following sequencing,
the control group exhibited mean reads/median genes per cell of 34,501/1,090, the
infected group showed 29,706/1,383, while the vaccinated+infected group displayed
37,611/1,379. The total number of detected genes in leukocyte samples from the
control, infected, and vaccinated+infected groups were 26,345, 26,865, and 25,342,
respectively. Employing an unsupervised cluster detection algorithm (SEURAT), we
identified 13 distinct clusters of cells exhibiting similar gene expression profiles
(**Figure 1A**). Moreover, specific genes were pinpointed within each cluster. Notably,
clear demarcation boundaries were evident for each cluster in the heatmap, while dot
plot and violin plot analyses indicated that a PCA-based cell separation approach was
indeed favorable for our investigation (**Figure 1B and C, Figure 1—figure**
**supplement 2**).
The 13 clusters were classified as neutrophils, macrophage/myeloid cells, B cells,
T/NK cells, and three categories of HSPCs (HSPCs 1–3), along with renal cells
(kidney multiciliated cells, kidney mucin cells, kidney distal tubule and proximal
tubule cells) (**Figure 1A–C**). Clusters 0, 2, and 9 were identified as neutrophils based
on their expression of lineage marker genes including *mpx*, *lyz*, *cpa5*, *cd11b*, *adgrg3*
and *nccrp1*. Notably, cluster 0 exhibited high expression of *scpp8*, *mmp13a.1*, *mmp9*,
*tlr5b*, and *gpr84*, while cluster 2 was actively expressing interferon-inducible genes
like *zgc:152791* (*ifi27.2*, interferon alpha inducible protein 27.2) and *si:dkey-188i13.6*

(*ifi27.3*, interferon alpha inducible protein 27.3). Cluster 9, on the other hand,
displayed elevated expression of *hmgn2*, *hmgb2a*, and *top2a*. The functional
implications of these genes in neutrophils necessitate further exploration (**Figure 1C**
**and D**). Cluster 1 was identified as a macrophage/myeloid population due to the
expression of lineage-specific genes including *mpeg1.1*, *ctss2.2*, *mpeg1.2*, *grn1*,
*marco* and *grna*. Additionally, cluster 1 exhibited expression of *s100a10b*, *lgals3bpb*,
and *c1qb*, implicated in classical complement pathway activation (**Figure 1C and E**).
Cluster 3 represented B cells, expressing lineage marker genes like *ighm*, *ighd*, *ighz*,
*igl3v5*, *cd79a*, *cd79b* and *cd37* (**Figure 1C and F**). Consistent with the existence of
Mpeg1.1^{+} B cells in zebrafish kidney, gut, skin and spleen tissues[16], this cluster
displayed expression of *mpeg1.1*. Notably, cluster 3 also highly expressed *igic1s1*,
*zgc:194275*, *igl1c3* and *cxcr4a*, the latter harbors major chemotactic activity to
lymphocytes. Clusters 4 and 6 corresponded to HSPCs 1 and HSPCs 2 populations,
marked by the expression of pertinent signature genes like *nanos1*, *spi2*, *meis1b*,
*foxp4*, *csf1rb*, *gtpbp4*, *rpl5b*, *rplp2l*, *rpl18*, *rps7*, *rsl1d1* in cluster 4, and *mki67*, *mcm5*,
*dut*, *npm1a*, *snu13b*, *cnbpa* in cluster 6 (**Figure 1C, I and J**)[17]. Cluster 5 represented
T and NK cells, expressing T-cell signature genes including *trac*, *trbc*, *cd3ζ*, *lck*,
*zap70*, *cd4-1*, *cd8a*, *runx3*, *il2rb*, *bcl11ba* and *il7r*, as well as NK lineage genes like
*nkl.2*, *cd56*, *cd94*, *cd27* and *zbtb32*. Additionally, cluster 5 also highly expressed
*ccl34b.4*, *ccl33.3*, *ccl36.1*, *nk-lysin*, *sla2*, *tnfrsf18*, and *tnfrsf9*, the latter contributes to
the clonal expansion, survival, and development of T cells (**Figure 1C and G**)[18,19].
Cluster 8 denoted the HSPCs 3 population, expressing *zfpm1*, *meis1b*, *gata1a*, *zeb2a*,
*tal1*, *mef2aa*, *mpl*, *pbx1a* and *hdr* marker genes (**Figure 1C, K**). This HSPC
population also actively expressed *hsp70* (*hsp70.1*, *hsp70l*, *hsp70.3*), *itga2b*, *thbs1b*,
*hbaa1*, *hbaa2*, *hbba1*, *hbba1.1*, and *rgcc*, among which *hsp70* members are essential
for the differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into erythroids[20]. Clusters 7, 10,
11, and 12, characterized by lineage-specific genes like *tuba7l*, *dnai1.1*, *kif17*; *clcnk*,
*hoxb8a*, *cldnh*; *muc2.2*, *agr2*, *itln1*; and *slc26a1*, *slc5a12*, *slc13a3* respectively,
represented kidney multiciliated cells, kidney distal tubule cells, kidney mucin cells,
and kidney proximal tubule cells (**Figure 1C and L–O**)[21]. These renal cells are
remnants in the preparation of leukocytes. It's noteworthy that cluster 11 exhibited
high expression of genes like *zmp:0000001323*, *CABZ01058647.1*, *si:dkey-203a12.2*
(*spink2.7*), *spink2.5*, *spink2.10*, and *spink2.4*, resembling a unique serpin-secreting
cell population recently identified in zebrafish spleen tissues[15]. The detailed
information about the marker genes used to classify each cluster of cells is provided in
the **Supplementary files 1** and **2**.
191

192 **Characterization of kidney HSPCs**
193 To achieve a more comprehensive understanding of kidney hematopoietic cell

populations, subclustering was conducted exclusively on the three HSPC clusters depicted in Figure 1. This analysis unveiled 11 enrichments (designated as H0–H10) (**Figure 2A**). To validate our outcomes, we aligned the subset-specific genes of each cluster and the ensuing heatmap indicated a distinct categorization for each cluster (**Figure 2B**). Within this context, H0 manifested as a Nanos1$^+$Spi2$^+$ phenotypic cluster expressing signature genes *nanos1* and *spi2*, alongside specific expressions of *sla2*, *csf1rb*, *rn7sk*, *sik1* and *irf1b*, forming a collection of potential new marker genes (**Figure 2A-C**). Meanwhile, H1 was identified as a group of cycling HSPCs, characterized by the expression of the marker gene *mki67*. This cluster also exhibited pronounced expression of *hist1h4l.12*, *tubb2b*, *hmgb2a*, *hmgb2b*, *zgc:110425*, *grn1*, *BX640512.2* and *si:ch73-281n10.2* genes (**Figure 2A-C**). It's noteworthy that the presence of H0 and H1 populations has been corroborated in previous research[17]. H2, H3, and H4 clusters demonstrated high expression of potential signature genes: *blf*, *hdr*, *rhag*, *mef2aa*, *rgcc*, *pmp22b*, *fth1a*, *prdx2*, *mych*, *igfbp1a* in H2; *CR318588.2*, *zgc:152791*, *si:busm1-266f07.2*, *CR318588.3*, *CR936442.1*, *tnfrsf9a*, *tat* in H3; and *BX640512.2*, *grn1*, *BX323596.2*, *cxcl11.1*, *marcksl1b*, *lgals2a*, *txn*, *psma3*, *atp5mc1* in H4 (**Figure 2A-C**), respectively. The functional characteristics and developmental potential of these three clusters require further elucidation. H5 was categorized as a group of NK progenitors, exhibiting high expression of *gata3*, *nkl.3*, *nkl.4*, *ccl34b.4*, *CR388164.2*, *ccl33.3*, *dusp2*, *BX005105.1*, *icn*, *bhlhe40*, and *coro1a* (**Figure 2A-C**). Cells within H6 represented myeloid progenitors, showing extensive expression of *mmp13a.1*, *si:ch1073-429i10.1*, *il1b*, *cpa5*, *mpx*, *timp2b*, *BX908782.2*, *npsn*, *lect2l* and *lyz* marker genes (**Figure 2A-C**). H7 and H8 were identified as erythrocyte and lymphoid progenitor populations, denoted by their expression of *hbba1.1*, *hbaa1*, *hbba1*, *si:ch211-5k11.8*, *hbba2*, *hbaa2*, *si:ch211-103n10.5*, *cahz*, *hemgn* in H7, and *pbx1a*, *pdpk1a*, *rag1*, *rag2*, *cxcr4b*, *h2afx*, *ccr9a*, *sid1*, *zgc:77784*, *nme3*, *kdm6bb* in H8, respectively (**Figure 2A-C**). H9 and H10 clusters were discerned as endothelial and thrombocyte progenitors due to their expression of marker genes such as *cdh5*, *kdrl*, *pecam1*, *igfbp5b*, *rbp4*, *cxcl18b*, *cxcl12a*, *selenop*, *jun*, *fosl1a*, *jdp2b*, *fosab*, *si:ch73-335l21.4* in H9, and *itga2b*, *fn1b*, *thbs1b*, *sele*, *aldob*, *apln*, *tpm4a*, *hbegfb*, *cald1a*, *fgl2a*, *pim2*, *atp2b1a* in H10 (**Figure 2A-C**). The endothelial progenitors were also found in the bone marrow of mouse, and they are developmentally associated with a subset of CD34$^+$ hematopoietic stem cells, and can differentiate ex vivo to an endothelial phenotype[22,23]. The detailed information about the signature genes used to characterize each cluster of HSPCs is provided in the **Supplementary files 1** and **3**.

Subsequently, pseudotime trajectory analysis revealed that a significant portion of HSPCs were positioned within a primary trajectory characterized by five bifurcations and five stages (**Figure 3A-C**). The endothelial (H9) and thrombocyte (H10) progenitors were situated towards the trajectory's origin. This arrangement

partially validated the constructed trajectory (**Figure 3C**). H4 cells were positioned
towards the trajectory's terminus (**Figure 3C**). H2 cells, along with erythrocyte (H7)
and lymphoid (H8) progenitors, were positioned towards the trajectory's midpoint. H0
and H3 populations, along with NK (H5) and myeloid (H6) progenitors, were located
towards both the origin and midpoint of the trajectory. Furthermore, an analysis of the
pseudotime dynamics of significantly changed genes in the 11 HSPC clusters revealed
three modules delineated by their pseudotemporal expression patterns (**Figure 3D**
**and E**). In addition, RNA velocity analysis showed the differentiation potential of H0,
H1, H2, H3 and H4 subclusters into myeloid, thrombocyte/erythrocyte and lymphoid
progenitors (**Figure 3F**). These findings collectively suggested that H0-H4 clusters
potentially represent a group of stem and early progenitors that lead to late
progenitors responsible for differentiation into erythroid, myeloid, and lymphocyte
lineages. Notably, we recently detected the presence of HSPCs in zebrafish spleen
tissues, indicating the spleen's role as a hematopoietic organ in fish[15]. To compare
HSPC characteristics between the kidney and spleen, we integrated scRNA-seq data
from both organs and subjected the merged dataset to cell classification. This
assessment demonstrated that the newly generated atlas shared overall consistency
with the kidney and spleen, merging proportional HSPCs and immune cells from the
two organs to varying extents (**Figure 4A**). These observations underscored the
presence of substantial overlapping HSPCs and immune cell types in both the kidney
and spleen. Furthermore, pseudotime trajectory analysis highlighted similar cell
differentiation trajectories shared between the kidney and spleen (**Figure 4B-E**).
Pseudotime dynamics of significantly altered genes across all categories were
analyzed and organized into three modules based on their pseudotemporal expression
patterns (**Figure 4F and G**). In aggregate, our findings reveal overall similarities in
HSCPs' composition and differentiation potentials between the kidney and spleen,
suggesting analogous functionalities in hematopoiesis and immunity across both
organs. Nevertheless, the precise correlation between the fish kidney and spleen
requires further clarification.
262
**T and NK subsets in kidney**
Subdividing the T/NK category in Figure 1 led us to observe 23 subset clusters
(designated as T0–T22) enriched within the T/NK population through iterative
subclustering (**Figure 5A**). To validate the classification results, we assessed the most
variably expressed genes among cell clusters, and the heatmap demonstrated effective
classification for each cluster (**Figure 5B**). Subsequently, we analyzed the DEGs
within the 23 clusters. Notably, clusters T5, T17, and T18 were identified as NKT
subsets due to their widespread expression of NKT lineage genes, including *cd3ζ*, *trbc*,
*cd8a/cd4-1*, *zbtb32*, *nkl.2*, *il2rb* and *cd94* (**Figure 5C**). These NKT subset clusters

exhibited substantial heterogeneity, as evident from their distinct transcriptional
profiles, including the high expression of *vmp1*, *BX649554.1*, *nkl.2*, *stim1a*, *ccl36.1*,
*CABZ01044746* in T5; *gata3*, *cpa5*, *tppp*, *si:dkey-78l4.7*, *si:dkey-78l4.4*, *cfbl*,
*si:dkey-21e2.3.1*, *BX322787.1*, *si:dkey-9i23.4*, *si:dkey-78l4.6* in T17; and *ccl39.7*,
*ccl39.6*, *nfil3−4*, *ccl35.2* in T18. Cluster T0 represented the $CD3^{low}CD4^{low}CD8^{low}$ T
subset due to its low expression of *cd3ζ*, *cd4-1*, and *cd8a*. This subset likely
represents an early developmental population of double positive (DP) T cells (**Figure**
**5C**). Clusters T1 and T2 were identified as $CD8^+$-nkl.2 subsets exhibiting cytotoxic
activity, as evidenced by the expression of *cd8a* and *nkl.2* (**Figure 5C**). T3 was
classified as a Th1 subset based on its expression of Th1-specific genes, including
*cd4-1*, *ifng1*, *il2*, *cxcr3.1.1*, *tnfa*, and *tnfb*. Additionally, T3 expressed the cytotoxic T
cell-related *gzmk* gene, suggesting its potential for both helper and cytotoxic activities
(**Figure 5C**). T4 was designated as a $CD4^-CD8^-$-nkl.4 subset due to its high
expression of *nkl.4* and *trbc*, coupled with the absence of *cd4*/*cd8* expression and low
*cd3ζ* expression. Continuing the narrative, clusters T6, T7, T10, T13, T19, T20, and
T21 were categorized as NK subsets due to their expression of NK signature genes,
including *zbtb32*, *nkl.2*, *il2rb*, and *cd94* (**Figure 5C**). Among these, T19 exhibited no
expression of *cd11b* or *cd27*, T7 expressed both *cd11b* and *cd27*, and T6, T10, T13,
T20, and T21 expressed *cd11b* but not *cd27* (**Figure 5C**). These observations
suggested that T19, T7, and T6/10/13/20/21 represented $CD11b^-CD27^-$,
$CD11b^+CD27^+$, and $CD11b^+CD27^-$ subset NK cells, demonstrating high
differentiation potential, cytokine secretion, and cytolytic functions as observed in
humans[24]. Notably, each NK subset displayed distinct gene expression profiles,
including the expression patterns of *her6*, *txn*, *id2a*, *mef2cb*, *zgc:162939* in T6;
*hsp70.3*, *nkl.3*, *dicp1.1*, *nkl.4*, *ccl33.3* in T7; *cxcr4a*, *id1*, *phlda2*, *gnb5b*, *rasgef1ba*,
*lgals2a*, *ctsbb*, *BX649442.1*, *enpp1* in T10; *nkl.2*, *nkl.3*, *nkl.4*, *fa2h*, *flt3* in T13; and
*ctss1*, *eomesa*, *mafbb*, *mafa*, *si:ch73−180n10.1*, *CR388164.3*, *CR388164.2* in T19.
Additionally, T20 and T21 cells specifically expressed *grn2* and *diabloa*, respectively.
These findings underscored the heterogeneity and functional diversity of zebrafish
NK subsets. Clusters T8, T9, and T15 were identified as a group of $CD8^+$ CTL subsets,
expressing $CD8^+$ CTL-specific genes, including *cd8a*, *cd8b*, *gzma*, *gzmk*, *ifng1*, and
*tnfb* (**Figure 5C**). T11 was labeled as a γδ T subset due to its high expression of *trgc*,
*trdc*, *sox13*, and *cd3ζ*, combined with low expression of *cd4-1* and *cd8a*. This γδ T
subset also exhibited high expression of *il2*, a pivotal cytokine gene involved in
diverse immune activities (**Figure 5C**). T12 was classified as a Th17 subset due to its
expression of lineage-specific genes, including *roraa*, *stat3*, *il17a/f3*, *il21*, *cd4-1*,
*ccr6a*, *rorc*, *cd3ζ*, *il22*, *tgfb1a* and *il17a/f1*. T14 represented a distinctive $CD4^+IgM^+$
subset characterized by the concurrent expression of *ighm* and *cd4-2.2*. Cluster T16
was designated as a regulatory T (Treg) subset based on the expression of *foxp3a*,

*foxp3b*, *ctla4*, *cd4-1*, and *il17ra1a* marker genes (**Figure 5C**). T21 was indicative of a

Th2-like subset, demonstrated by its expression of Th2-associated genes, including

*cd3ζ*, *il4*, *gata3*, *il13*, *stat6*, *batf* and *cxcr4b*. Notably, this subset exhibited elevated

expression of *faslg*, a pivotal apoptotic inducer contributing to T cell cytotoxicity

(**Figure 5C, Figure 9D**). The detailed information about the marker genes used to

characterize each subset of T and NK cells is provided in the **Supplementary files 1**

and **4**.

**B cell subsets in kidney**

Nine subclusters of B cells (designated as B0–B8) were classified through

unsupervised clustering of all B cells, based on their expression of distinct B-subset

signature genes (**Figure 6A and B**). B0 and B3 were designated as immature/mature

B cells (im/mat. B) due to their expression of *zfpm1* and *gata1a* genes (**Figure 6A**

**and B**). B1 was categorized as a canonical IgM$^+$IgD$^+$ B subset, characterized by its

high expression of *ighm* and *ighd* genes. B2 and B7 were identified as pro/pre B

subsets, exhibiting significant expression of *pclaf*, *pdia4*, *pdia6*, *rag1*, and *rag2* genes.

B4 likely represented a population of plasma cells that highly expressed *ighm* or *ighz*

(**Figure 6A and B**). B5 displayed expression of genes related to germinal-center B

cells, including *aicda* (AID), *mki67*, *top2a*, *stmn1a*, *bach2b*, *bcl6aa*, *bcl6ab*, *cd81a*,

*cd9a*, *irf8*, *lmo2*, *pclaf* and *nfkb2*, which exhibited a notable increase following virus

infection (**Figure 6A-C**). Cluster B6 comprised activated B cells and plasmablasts

(act. B/PBs), actively expressing *dscamb*, *cpa5*, *fcer1g*, *mmp13a.1*, *mpx*, *timp2b*, *npsn*,

*il1b* and *lect2l*. It's worth noting that B6 also expressed *il10* and *tgfb1a/b*, suggesting

the potential presence of a regulatory B cell type within this subcluster (**Figure 6A**

**and B**). B8 was recognized as a B1-like subset with heightened immune activities,

including chemokine production, based on its expression of *cd5*, *ccl33.3*, *ccl34b.4*,

*nkl.4*, *tnfrsf18*, *nkl.2*, *sla2* and *hmgn3* genes (**Figure 6A and B**). Interestingly, a

distinct subset of IgM$^+$β$^+$ cells was identified within B8 (**Figure 6A and B**). This

lineage, known as dual expresser (DE), simultaneously expressing TCR and BCR, has

been reported in humans and is also present in the spleen of zebrafish[15,25]. The top 10

subset-specific genes with the greatest significance for each B-cell subset are

visualized in a heatmap (**Figure 6—figure supplement 1B**). An analysis of the top 10

significantly enriched GO terms within the biological process (BP) for each B-cell

subset was conducted. The results revealed varying metabolic processes enriched in

each subset. For example, protein export emerged as a major BP category and the

most notable KEGG pathway in plasma cells (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2E**).

This outcome aligns with the understanding that plasma cells are actively engaged in

antibody synthesis and secretion, underscoring the importance of protein synthetic

metabolism in humoral immune responses[26,27]. Moreover, (im)mat. B subset cells

exhibited significant enrichment in antigen processing and presentation BP pathways
(**Figure 6—figure supplement 2F**). This finding is congruent with prior evidence
highlighting the robust antigen presentation capability of teleost fish B cells,
contributing to the initiation of naïve CD4^{+} T cell activation in adaptive humoral
immunity[28,29]. Additionally, the translation process displayed enrichment across all
B-cell subsets, indicative of ongoing protein synthesis during B cell development and
maturation (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2A-D**). These observations provide
substantive support for subset classification from the perspective of cellular
metabolism. Subsequently, Monocle2 pseudotime analysis was performed on B-cell
subsets using Monocle to unveil potential relationships among different subsets
during B-cell development. Distinct pseudotime trajectory states of the B-cell subsets
were delineated and are presented in **Figure 6D-F**. Among these subsets, pro/pre B
(B2), B1-like (B8), and germinal center B-like cells (B5) occupied state 1,
representing the initial differentiation phase, followed by the im/mat. B (B0 and B3),
IgM[+]IgD[+] B (B1), act. B/PBs (B6), and plasma (B4) cells. Notably, the identified B2,
B5, and B8 clustered together in a single branch, while B0, B3, and B7 progressively
differentiated into B6 and eventually into B4 (**Figure 6F**). To explore the regulation
of B-cell differentiation, a pseudotime analysis was conducted on the genes
significantly altered within these clusters. These genes were categorized into three
modules based on their pseudotemporal expression patterns, thereby yielding potential
key regulators of teleost B-cell development (**Figure 6G, Figure 6—figure**
**supplement 1E**). The detailed information about the marker genes used to classify
each B cell subset is provided in the **Supplementary files 1** and **5**.
373
**Heterogeneity of kidney macrophage/myeloid cells**
We categorized kidney macrophage/myeloid cells into 10 distinct subclusters
(designated as M0-M9) using a resolution of 0.3, as depicted in **Figure 7A-C**. A
heatmap showcasing the top ten most significant subset-specific genes for each
subcluster is provided in **Figure 7B**. M0, M1 and M7 cells were identified as
M1-typical macrophages expressing marker genes such as *tnfa*, *il6*, *il1b*, *il12a*, *stat1a*,
*mpeg1.2*, *mhc1zba*, *mhc2dab*, *cd83*, *cd74a*, *cd68*, *ccr7*, *selp* and *il15ra*, suggesting
their engagement in inflammatory responses (**Figure 7A-C**). Both M2 and M5
subclusters exhibited active expression of genes associated with common APC
macrophages, including *mhc1zba*, *mhc2dab*, *cd83*, *cd74a* for M2, and *mpeg1.1*,
*mpeg1.2*, *mhc1zba*, *mhc2dab*, *cd83*, *cd74a*, *CR318588.1*, *CR318588.2*, *CR318588.3*
for M5, implying their role in antigen presentation (**Figure 7A-C**). M3 and M8 were
characterized as M2-typical macrophages, demonstrated by their high expression of
M2 macrophage-related genes like *cd209*, *il10*, *tgfb1a*, *tgfb1b*, *ly86* (*cd80/86*), *cd4-1*,
*mpeg1.1*, *mpeg1.2*, *mhc2b*, *mhc2dab*, *cd83* and *cd74a* (**Figure 7A-C**). M4 was

classified as a macrophage-*isg15* population, expressing *mpeg1.1*, *mpeg1.2*, *mhc1zba*,

*mhc2dab*, *cd83*, *cd74a*, along with interferon-inducible genes *ifi45*, *isg15* and *ifi27.2*

(*zgc:152791*), underscoring its significant role in IFN-mediated immune responses

(**Figure 7A-C**). M6 cells exhibited the expression of genes related to eosinophils,

including *fabp3*, *gtpbp4*, *ddx21*, *cldni*, *cd11b*, *cd44b*, *ackr3b*, indicating their

resemblance to eosinophil population (**Figure 7A-C**). M9 cells represented a group of

dendritic cells (DCs) extensively expressing lineage marker genes such as *zbtb46*,

*scpp8*, *mmp13a.1*, *mmp9*, *mpx* and *cpa5*. This DC group encompassed various DC

populations, including classical DC (cDC), plasmacytoid DCs (pDC), and a distinct

C1q$^+$DC (highly expressing *c1qa*, *c1qb*, and *c1qc*), each expressing their respective

subset marker genes independently (**Figure 7A-C**)[30]. The detailed information about

the marker genes used to characterize each subcluster of macrophage/myeloid cells is

provided in the **Supplementary files 1** and **6**.

**Heterogeneity of kidney neutrophils**

Neutrophils comprise the most prevalent subset of kidney leukocytes,

constituting 45.00% within the immune-cell categories (clusters 0, 2, and 9 in Figure

1), and accounting for 38.15% of the total sequenced cells in both the control and

SVCV-infected groups (**Figure 9A and B**). Subsequently, we undertook an analysis of

neutrophil heterogeneity. Through iterative subclustering within the neutrophil

category alone, we derived six distinct subgroups (designated as N0–N5) (**Figure 8A**).

A heatmap was constructed to display the top 10 most significant DEGs within each

subcluster (**Figure 8C**). Subsequent annotation of each subcluster was performed

based on their unique biological functions and signaling pathways utilizing GO and

KEGG analyses (**Figure 8D and E, Figure 8—figure supplement 1**). N0 exhibited

functional enrichment in the C-type lectin receptor pathway. This subset displayed

heightened expression of *tcnbb*, *marcksl1b*, *pglyrp5*, *irf1b*, *f3b*, *afap1l1b* and *stat1a*,

implying its engagement in innate antiviral immune responses (**Figure 8D**). The

biological focus of N1 centered on the endocytosis pathway (**Figure 8E**). Furthermore,

this population prominently expressed *scpp8*, *rab44*, *s1pr4*, *hbba1*, *crip1*, *hbaa1* and

*hbba1.1*, underscoring its pivotal role in the respiratory processes of neutrophils. N2

neutrophils were notably linked with the ribosome pathway (**Figure 8—figure**

**supplement 1**), actively manifesting expression of *cfd*, *fabp3*, *ssr3*, *rpl22l1*, *ssr2*,

*eef1g*, *rpl7*, *rplp2l*, *rpl15* and *rpl7a* genes that are indispensable for the alternative

pathway of complement activation, cytoplasmic translation, intracellular metabolism,

and other facets of innate immune response. N3 subpopulation exhibited evident

involvement in oxidative phosphorylation and interferon-mediated antiviral responses,

as discerned from robust expression of genes such as *txn* (thioredoxin), *ifi45* and *ifi46*

(interferon alpha-inducible protein 27.1 and 27.4), *si:dkey−188i13.6* (interferon

alpha-inducible protein 27.3), *zgc:152791* (interferon alpha-inducible protein 27.2),

*CR318588.1*, *CR318588.2*, *CR318588.3*, alongside other IFN-induced genes (**Figure**

**8—figure supplement 1**). N4 subcluster was typified by elevated expression of

diverse immune-relevant genes, including *jdp2b*, *fosab*, and *nr4a1*, with primary

functional enrichment in the MAPK signaling pathway (**Figure 8—figure**

**supplement 1**). Finally, N5's functional enrichment revolved around the cell cycle

process, evidenced by the prominent expression of *h2afx* (H2A.X variant histone),

*tuba8l* (tubulin alpha 5), *top2a* (DNA topoisomerase II alpha), *mki67* (marker of

proliferation Ki-67), *hist1h4l.12*, and *hmgb2b* (high mobility group box 2b), aligning

closely with the architectural organization of genomic DNA (**Figure 8—figure**

**supplement 1**). The detailed information about the marker genes used to characterize

each subgroup of neutrophils is provided in the **Supplementary files 1** and **7**.

**Response of kidney HSPCs and immune-cells to SVCV infection**

We subsequently investigated alterations in HSPCs and immune cell categories

following SVCV infection to assess the potential involvement of these cells in

antiviral immunity. The results revealed considerable changes in the abundance of

most categories after seven days of SVCV challenge. Specifically,

macrophage/myeloid cells (cluster 1), neutrophils 2 (cluster 2), and HSPCs 2 (cluster

6) exhibited an overall increase from 12.61% to 17.30%, 3.40% to 11.70%, and

1.64% to 9.72%, respectively (**Figure 9A and B**). Conversely, neutrophils 1 (cluster

0), T/NK (cluster 5), and HSPCs 3 (cluster 8) demonstrated an overall decrease from

39.72% to 23.51%, 11.29% to 5.16%, and 6.27% to 2.08% (**Figure 9A and B**).

Notably, several subset cells within the neutrophil category displayed marked changes

following SVCV infection. For instance, N0, N2, and N3 cells exhibited increases

from 3.45% to 41.26%, 6.75% to 17.31%, and 0.10% to 26.05%, respectively,

significantly contributing to the overall rise in neutrophils 2 (cluster 2 in Figure 1). By

contrast, N1 and N4 cells decreased from 71.87% to 1.30% and 13.64% to 6.69%

(**Figure 8A and B**). In the T/NK cell category, the abundance of NK (T6, T7, T13,

T21), NKT (T5, T17), Th2-like (T22), and Th17 (T12) cells increased from 3.64% to

6.58%, 3.82% to 5.97%, 2.78% to 3.52%, 0.52% to 1.23%, 5.38% to 7.50%, 1.82% to

2.30%, 0.69% to 2.60%, and 1.65% to 3.52%, respectively (**Figure 9C and E**).

Conversely, Th1 (T3) and Treg (T16) cells decreased from 11.01% to 5.36% and

2.43% to 1.68%, accompanied by a decrease in CD8$^+$ CTLs (T8, T9, and T15)

(**Figure 9C and E**), whose activation is regulated by Th1 cells[31,32]. Simultaneously,

the expression of these cell related genes was significantly downregulated (**Figure**

**9F**). In the macrophage/myeloid category, populations such as M1, M2, M4, and M5

experienced significant increases from 3.24% to 14.72%, 0.44% to 10.60%, 0.09% to

22.97%, and 0.09% to 23.26%, respectively, contributing to the overall category cell

increase (**Figure 7D and E**). By contrast, M1-type (M0, M7) and M2-type (M3, M8)
macrophages, decreased from 30.56% to 11.43%, 27.50% to 2.59%, 23.12% to 8.01%
and 9.02% to 0.65% (**Figure 7D and E**). Among B-cell subsets, the abundance of
(im)mat. B (B0) cells exhibited a significant decline from 51.08% to 22.10%, leading
to a partial decrement in total B cells (**Figure 6A and C**). Correspondingly, KEGG
analysis indicated that DEGs in (im)mat. B cells were primarily associated with
apoptosis, ferroptosis, necroptosis, phagosome, and mitophagy, as reflected by the
significant transcriptional up-regulation of related genes including *gsdmea*, *bcl2l1*,
*acsl4b*, *alox5a*, *prf1.7.1*, *il1b*, *gpx4a*, *gpx4b*, *atf3*, *aco1*, *atg13*, *casp3a*, *casp3b*, *apaf1*,
*casp9* and *casp8l2* (**Figure 6—figure supplement 1C and D**), suggesting that the B0
subset experienced severe cell death upon infection. However, the proportion of
common IgM$^+$IgD$^+$ B (B1), pro/pre B (B2), and germinal center B-like (B5) subsets
increased from 12.74% to 27.46%, 5.29% to 20.57%, and 2.05% to 10.83%,
respectively (**Figure 6A and C**). Within HSPC categories, cycling HSPCs (H1),
HSPCs 3 (H3), and HSPCs 4 (H4) exhibited increases from 6.06% to 24.59%, 0.32%
to 15.25%, and 0.32% to 16.22%, respectively. Conversely, HSPCs 1 (H0), HSPCs 2
(H2), myeloid progenitors (H6), erythrocyte progenitors (H7), lymphoid progenitors
(H8), and endothelial progenitors (H9) experienced significant declines from 27.81%
to 19.87%, 12.43% to 6.93%, 9.24% to 4.26%, 17.69% to 1.18%, 6.14% to 1.54%,
and 11.00% to 2.10%, respectively (**Figure 2D and E**). These observations
underscore the extensive response of kidney HSPCs and immune cells to SVCV
infection.
489
**Interferon-producing cells and key virus-responsive genes**
Interferons (IFNs) play pivotal roles in the defense against viral infections. In
this study, we delved into the transcriptional profiles of zebrafish IFNs, specifically
IFNφ1-3 (*ifnphi1-3*), IFN-γ (*ifng1-2*), and IFNγ1R (*ifng1-1*), across various kidney
HSPCs and immune cells[33,34]. The findings revealed varying degrees of detection for
*ifnphi1*, *ifnphi2*, *ifnphi3*, *ifng1-1*, and *ifng1-2* in most HSPCs and immune cells before
and after SVCV infection (**Figure 10A and B**). Pre-SVCV infection, *ifng1-1*, *ifng1-2*,
and *ifnphi2* were primarily expressed in T/NK cells (cluster 5) and HSPCs 2 (cluster 6)
at different basal levels, with the highest expression seen in T/NK cells (**Figure 10C**).
Conversely, *ifnphi1* exhibited widespread expression in such as HSPCs 2 (cluster 6),
B cells (cluster 3), HSPCs 3 (cluster 8), and macrophages/myeloid cells (cluster 1),
with its peak in HSPCs 2 (cluster 6), followed by B cells (**Figure 10C**). Additionally,
*ifnphi3* showed extensive expression in HSPCs 2 (cluster 6), HSPCs 3 (cluster 8),
neutrophils 2 (cluster 2), B cells (cluster 3), and kidney mucin cells (cluster 11)
(**Figure 10C**). Post-SVCV infection, *ifng1-1*, *ifng1-2*, *ifnphi1*, and *ifnphi3* were
notably up-regulated to varying extents in most corresponding cells. Specifically,

*ifnphi1* and *ifnphi3* exhibited increased expression in kidney multiciliated cells
(cluster 7) and neutrophils 3 (cluster 9) upon infection (**Figure 10C**). However, the
expression of *ifng1-2* in HSPCs 1 (cluster 4), HSPCs 2 (cluster 6), and HSPCs 3
(cluster 8), *ifnphi3* in kidney mucin cells (cluster 11), neutrophils 2 (cluster 2), and
HSPCs 2 (cluster 6), and *ifng1-1* in HSPCs 2 (cluster 6) experienced a decline
(**Figure 10C**). Overall, *ifnphi1* displayed the most significant up-regulation, while
*ifng1-2* exhibited the highest expression upon challenge of SVCV, despite its
downregulation trend under infection. This latter response indicated that IFN-γ
actively participates in antiviral immunity alongside the previously recognized
predominant role of type I IFN in antiviral defense[35,36]. Notably, *ifnphi4* and *ifnu* were
barely detectable post-SVCV infection, suggesting that IFNϕ4 and IFN-υ may play
distinct functional roles in zebrafish defense against different pathogens.

We next investigated the key virus-responsive genes beyond interferons. By
employing Model-based Analysis of Single-cell Transcriptomics (MAST), we
identified the most significantly up-regulated genes in the DEGs of all kidney
immune-cell categories. The results demonstrated a robust response of most immune
cells to SVCV infection, evident from the considerable up-/down-regulation of
numerous genes in these cells (**Figure 10D and E**). From the list of top up-regulated
genes, six stood out as displaying the strongest responsiveness to SVCV infection.
These genes included *isg15* (ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifier), *CR318588.1*,
*zgc:152791* (interferon alpha-inducible protein 27.2, *ifi27.2*), *ifi45* (interferon
alpha-inducible protein 27.1, *ifi27.1*), *CR318588.2*, and *CR318588.3* (**Figure 10F-J,
Figure 10—figure supplement 1**). Remarkably, *isg15*, *CR318588.1*, *ifi45*, and
*zgc:152791* were prominent across almost every cluster (**Figure 10F**). These findings
underscore the pivotal roles of these six genes in zebrafish antiviral immunity. To
validate the scRNA-seq analysis, we examined the expression levels of *isg15*,
*CR318588.3*, *zgc:152791*, *ifi45*, and *CU984600.2* in kidney T-/B-cell populations
using RT-qPCR. Consistently, these genes exhibited significant upregulation upon
SVCV insult, confirming their responsiveness to viral infection (**Figure 10K and L**).
Notably, the *isg15*, *ifi45*, and *ifi27* homologs are recognized to play crucial roles in
host antiviral immunity through interaction with IFN-α/β in mammals[37,38]. This
identification of *isg15*, *ifi45*, and *ifi27* in zebrafish suggests their conserved roles in
IFN-associated immunity across vertebrate evolution from fish to mammals. However,
the functional roles of *CR318588.1*, *CR318588.2*, *CR318588.3*, and *zgc:152791*
(*ifi27.2*, another variant of *ifi27*) in host antiviral immunity remain understudied.
Consequently, further investigation is warranted to fully grasp their contributions to
host immune defense against viral infections.
543
**Trained immune response of HSPCs to SVCV**

Numerous studies have documented the phenomenon of enhanced resistance in
innate immune cells such as macrophages and neutrophils upon reinfection with the
same or unrelated pathogens, a phenomenon termed trained immunity[39-41].
Furthermore, Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) has been found to induce trained
immunity in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and multipotent progenitors (MPPs),
promoting augmented myelopoiesis at the expense of lymphopoiesis[42,43]. In this study,
we investigated the potential induction of trained immunity in innate immune cells
and HSPCs within the kidney upon stimulation by SVCV. To this end, zebrafish were
immunized with the SVCV vaccine for 14 days and subsequently exposed to virulent
SVCV for another 7 days. The outcomes demonstrated a substantial reduction in the
proportion of neutrophils within cluster 0 following SVCV infection. However, this
population exhibited minimal reduction in vaccinated zebrafish (referred to as
"trained zebrafish") upon the second SVCV challenge (**Figure 11—figure**
**supplement 1**). Furthermore, the abundance of HSPCs 1 within cluster 4 remained
unchanged after SVCV infection, yet experienced significant expansion during the
second SVCV challenge in trained zebrafish (**Figure 11—figure supplement 1**).
Notably, the H0 subset exhibited the most pronounced response to trained immunity
to SVCV within the HSPC categories (**Figure 11A**). Specifically, the proportion of
H0 decreased from 27.81% in the PBS group to 19.87% in the SVCV group, then
rapidly surged to 41.43% in the vaccinated + SVCV group. This trend was supported
by the significant upregulation of numerous immune-related genes within H0 subset
cells of trained zebrafish (**Figure 11B**). Thus, the shift in the H0 subset played a
pivotal role in the overall heightened activity of the HSPCs 1 category within trained
zebrafish. Collectively, these findings underscore an intensified immune response in
kidney HSPCs of zebrafish subsequent to SVCV vaccination, suggesting the
inducement of trained immunity in zebrafish kidney HSPCs for the generation of a
memory response to SVCV infection. Furthermore, the neutrophils within the kidney
of trained zebrafish exhibited enhanced antiviral immunity, showcasing potential
innate immune memory characteristics.
574

**Infection of SVCV in kidney immune cells**
To delineate the potential immune cells susceptible to SVCV infection, we
investigated the transcription of five subgenomic RNAs/genes (sgRNAs) encoding N,
P, M, G, and L proteins during the SVCV replication cycle across diverse kidney
immune-cell categories, following our recently described approach[15]. Applying an
unsupervised cluster detection algorithm, we identified 10 clusters characterized by
similar gene expression profiles. Importantly, the integration of viral sgRNA
sequences into the kidney scRNA-seq datasets revealed an overall preservation of the
composition of immune-cell categories (**Figure 12A**). Subsequently, we scrutinized

the transcripts of the five sgRNAs in scRNA-seq datasets from both control and
SVCV-infected zebrafish. As anticipated, the five sgRNAs were present in cells from
infected fish but absent in those from control fish, validating the effectiveness of our
integration method (**Figure 12B**). The representation of cells transcribing N-, M-, P-,
G-, and L-protein encoding sgRNAs exhibited proportional variation, with
approximately 0.15% of cells carrying sgRNA for N protein, followed by M (0.12%),
P (0.12%), G (0.06%), and L (0.03%) proteins, respectively (**Figure 12C**). This
distribution aligns with prior observations, confirming the heightened prevalence of N
protein transcripts in SVCV-infected cells, followed by those for M, P, G, and L
proteins[44-46]. Refining our analysis to specific cell types, we discerned that viral
sgRNAs were predominantly detected in macrophage/myeloid cells within cluster 3
and 9. This observation strongly implies that macrophage/myeloid cells are the
prevailing cell types supporting productive viral replication in zebrafish kidney
(**Figure 12D**).
598
**Experimental assay for adaptive immunity occurring in kidney**
The aforementioned observations underscore the immunologically responsive
nature of the fish kidney, revealing innate and adaptive immune activities that extend
beyond its previously recognized role in hematopoiesis. Among these activities,
adaptive immunity has traditionally been attributed to secondary lymphoid organs
such as the spleen and lymph nodes[9,47]. This study offers experimental confirmation
for the occurrence of adaptive immunity in the zebrafish kidney following viral
stimulation. To this end, zebrafish were exposed to inactivated SVCV ($10^6$
TCID50/fish) for 7 days, and the activation of kidney T and B cells was assessed by
quantifying their heightened proliferation and increased expression of CD154 and
CD40 costimulatory molecules through flow cytometry and RT-qPCR. As anticipated,
$CD4^+$ T, $CD8^+$ T, and $IgM^+$ B cells exhibited significant proliferation in the kidneys of
zebrafish stimulated with SVCV compared to control fish that received mock PBS
(**Figure 13A-D**). In tandem, the transcriptional levels of CD154 and CD40 genes
displayed marked upregulation, concurrent with the proliferation of $CD4^+$ T, $CD8^+$ T,
and $IgM^+$ B cells (**Figure 13E and F**). Importantly, parallel activation of $CD4^+$ T,
$CD8^+$ T, and $IgM^+$ cells was also discerned in the spleens of SVCV-stimulated
zebrafish (**Figure 13G**). These results suggested that the zebrafish kidney assumes a
central role in orchestrating adaptive immune responses to viral infection, a role that
may be comparable to that of the spleen, a well-known secondary lymphoid organ
crucial for initiating and advancing adaptive immunity. To substantiate this
perspective, we proceeded to investigate the occurrence of SHM, a hallmark event
driving antibody affinity maturation in adaptive humoral immunity, within kidney B
cells upon antigenic stimulation. We employed RT-qPCR to examine the expression of

the zebrafish AID gene (*aicda*), a pivotal initiator of SHM. As anticipated, AID
transcript presence was evident in the zebrafish kidney, and its expression
significantly increased in response to SVCV stimulation (**Figure 13H**).
Correspondingly, SHM was identified in kidney B cells through PCR-based
high-throughput sequencing. Notably, the frequency of mutagenesis within V regions
(V4-9) of IgM genes demonstrated a substantial antigen-dependent increase (**Figure
13I**). These findings offer novel insights into the dual-functionality of the zebrafish
kidney, encompassing both hematopoietic and adaptive immunological
responsiveness. This suggests that the fish kidney operates as a unique organ
amalgamating the roles typically associated with primary and secondary lymphoid
tissues.
634

## Discussion

The immune system constitutes a complex evolutionary entity marked by
significant heterogeneity and structural-functional diversity across various taxa. The
origin and phylogenetic evolution of the vertebrate immune system captivates the
attention of comparative immunologists, particularly concerning teleost fish due to
their pivotal phylogenetic standing. In human and other mammalian organisms, the
immune system encompasses primary and secondary lymphoid organs[11,47], the former
including bone marrow and thymus, facilitating hematopoiesis, lymphocyte
maturation, and functional development[47,48]. Secondary lymphoid organs, including
lymph nodes, spleen, and sections of mucosal immune systems, trigger the activation
of naïve lymphocytes by antigens, thereby initiating and advancing adaptive immune
responses[11,49,50]. In contrast to mammals, teleost fish lack bone marrow and lymph
nodes. Instead, the anterior section of the fish kidney (referred to as the head kidney)
emerges as an operational analog of mammalian bone marrow in adult fish.
Accordingly, the fish kidney functions as the primary hematopoietic site, overseeing
the generation of circulating blood cell lineages and B cell maturation[51-53].
Furthermore, the fish kidney is also thought to be an immunologically responsive
organ capable of eliciting immune responses against a spectrum of pathogens,
including bacteria, viruses, and parasites[26,27]. These findings establish the kidney's
pivotal role in fish immunity, although a comprehensive understanding of the cellular
components and their attributes within the hematopoietic process and immune
responses in the fish kidney remains elusive.
To address these gaps, we conducted a single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)
analysis of kidney leukocytes in zebrafish, unraveling the spectrum of hematopoietic
and immune-associated cell types in adult fish. We identified 13 distinct cell
categories, spanning three groups of HSPCs, six cohorts of innate and adaptive

immune-related cells, and four renal cell types, which emerged as byproducts of
cellular preparation. Further subdivisions of the three HSPC groups led to the
identification of 11 potential subpopulations, each marked by unique gene expression
profiles. Notable subsets, such as Nanos1$^+$Spi2$^+$ HSPCs and cycling HSPCs, bore
resemblances to previously described counterparts[17]. Moreover, six subsets exhibited
the characteristics of progenitor cells, demonstrating both marker gene expression and
the capacity to differentiate into diverse blood cell lineages encompassing NK cells,
myeloid cells, lymphoid cells, erythrocytes, and thrombocytes. Yet, the properties of
certain HSPC subsets, like H2, H3, and H4, remain undefined. Such subsets exhibit
distinct gene expression profiles, which could potentially serve as candidate
signatures for future subset classification. Correspondingly, numerous committed or
terminal cell lineages, intricately associated with these HSPCs, were detected in the
kidney. These lineages encompass a range of innate and adaptive immune-related
cells at various developmental stages, prominently featuring neutrophils, macrophages,
dendritic cells, NK cells, and various T and B cell subsets. Moreover, early
developmental cells such as double negative (DN) T cells, double positive (DP) T
cells, pro/pre B cells, and immature/mature B cells were found in the kidney. These
cells are major committed immature or partially mature T and B lymphocytes at early
stage of hematopoiesis, among them, the DN and DP T cells will enter the thymus,
where they complete their maturation and maturing selection[17], while pro/pre B and
im/mat B cells may continue to mature or partially mature in the kidney. These
insights underscore the presence of diverse HSPCs fostering various blood cell
lineages and reinforce the concept that the fish kidney functions as a primary
lymphoid organ, mirroring the role of mammalian bone marrow (**Supplementary file**
**8**)[54,55].

Comparatively, human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are characterized by
surface markers (such as CD34 and c-kit), coupled with the absence of
lineage-specific markers typical of mature cells[56,57]. HSCs yield common
myeloid-lymphoid          progenitors          (CMLPs)          and          common
myeloid-megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors (CMMEPs). While CMLPs give rise to
committed T and B cell precursors, ILCs, and certain myeloid cells, CMMEPs
produce precursors for erythroid, megakaryocytic, monocytic, and granulocytic
lineages. These precursors subsequently develop into mature erythrocytes, platelets,
monocytes, and granulocytes, as well as dendritic cells and mast cells[58,59]. Notably,
zebrafish exhibited detectable elementary progenitors responsible for generating
principal blood cell lineages, suggesting the presence of conserved cellular
components and developmental pathways underlying adult hematopoiesis across
species. However, unresolved matters and fish-specific events within adult fish
hematopoiesis persist, such as distinguishing HSCs from multiple HSPCs.

Additionally, the existence of pluripotent common progenitors akin to CMLPs and CMMEPs, as well as committed precursors for lymphocytic, erythroid, megakaryocytic, monocytic, and granulocytic lineages, warrant clarification. Although pseudotime trajectory and RNA velocity analyses have shed preliminary light on relationships among partial HSPCs, the precise phenotypic features, developmental trajectories, and correlations of these HSPCs necessitate thorough elucidation. Unique HSPCs with unknown properties, like H0, H2, H3, and H4, accentuate the high heterogeneity within HSPCs in adult fish. Given the considerable number of fish-specific lineages, probing the relationship between these uncharacterized HSPCs and fish-specific lineages remains a promising avenue of exploration.

The extensive presence of immune cells associated with the kidney signifies the central role of this organ in fish immunity, extending beyond its hematopoietic function. Indeed, the kidney exhibited robust responsiveness to SVCV infection, evidenced by significant changes in the abundance of diverse immune cells, including both upregulated and downregulated subsets. Prominent upregulated populations consisted of neutrophils (N0, N2, N3), NK (T6, T7, T13, T21), NKT (T5, T17), Th17 (T12), Th2-like (T22), common $IgM^+IgD^+$ B (B1), pro/pre B (B2), germinal center B-like (B5) cells, and macrophages (M1, M2, M4, M5), indicating their engagement in host defense against SVCV. Conversely, downregulated populations encompassed subsets of neutrophils (N1, N4), $CD8^+$ T (T8, T9, T15), Th1 (T3), Treg (T16), im/mat. B (B0), and M1-/M2-macrophages (M0, M3, M7, M8), implying their impairment during SVCV infection. For example, the impairment of im/mat. B (B0) cells may be caused by undergoing severe cell death under infection in this case, as determined by their highly expression of death-associated genes related to apoptosis, ferroptosis, and necroptosis. The reduction in this antibody-producing subset will attenuate the humoral adaptive immune protection of fish from SVCV infection. Notably, we found that the abundance of three HSPC subsets (H1, H3, and H4) were markedly upregulated by 24.09-, 255.22-, 258.44-folds, respectively. By contrast, six other HSPC subsets (H0, H2, H6, H7, H8 and H9) were downregulated, potentially hampering the differentiation of downstream lineage cells and attenuating antiviral immunity. Encouragingly, two HSPC subsets demonstrated the induction of trained immunity upon stimulation with SVCV vaccine, subsequently challenged by virulent SVCV. These findings not only underscore the role of HSPCs in viral responses but also signify a novel dimension of HSPC involvement in viral infections. Previous studies have highlighted the profound impact of infections on host immune homeostasis in various viral disease models[60-62]. The consequences range from excessive inflammation due to hyperactive immune responses to immune deficiency resulting from ineffective responses, the latter of which potentially favoring immune

escape by viruses[61]. In the current study, multiple HSPCs and immune cell subset
disturbances were observed under SVCV infection, suggesting a potential role in
disease pathogenesis[63]. However, the exact mechanisms of the disturbance of HSPCs
and immune cells under infection remains to be studied, which will help clarify the
pathogenesis of viremia during SVCV infection from the perspective of
immunopathology.

The broader overview of kidney immune cell shifts in response to SVCV
challenge reveals extensive innate and adaptive immune responses within the kidney
during viral infections. This robust immune responsiveness aligns with the concept of
the fish kidney as a potent immune organ[64]. Particularly noteworthy, our investigation
revealed a diverse range of adaptive immune-associated cells inhabiting the zebrafish
kidney. These cells encompass various APCs, along with naïve T and B cells,
plasmablasts, and plasma cells—key cell types crucial for initiating, activating, and
executing adaptive immune responses. The profiles of adaptive immune cells within
the kidney, alongside their responses, closely resemble those observed in the
spleen—an evolutionarily conserved secondary lymphoid organ spanning vertebrates
from fish to mammals. Consequently, our findings strongly imply that the zebrafish
kidney might function as a secondary lymphoid organ, fostering the generation of
adaptive immunity through interactions among APCs and antigen-specific T and B
cells. To empirically substantiate this hypothesis, we examined the occurrence of
antigen-stimulated adaptive immune responses in the kidney. As anticipated, the $CD4^+$
T, $CD8^+$ T, and $IgM^+$ B cells exhibited pronounced activation in the zebrafish kidney
under SVCV challenge. This activation was underscored by significant proliferation
in $CD4^+$ T, $CD8^+$ T, and $IgM^+$ B cell populations, followed by upregulated
transcriptional expression of CD154 and CD40 genes on these cells. Furthermore, we
also observed the occurrence of antigen-induced expression of AID and SHM in the
kidney $IgM^+$ B cells, two hallmark events for the affinity maturation of antibodies in
adaptive humoral immunity. These results collectively establish the zebrafish kidney
as a distinct entity encompassing attributes of both primary and secondary lymphoid
organs, thus offering fresh insights into the dual functional roles of the fish kidney,
spanning hematopoiesis and adaptive immunity. While peripheral lymph nodes are
absent, the spleen has historically stood as the predominant secondary lymphoid organ
in fish. However, our current study introduces a novel member to the array of
secondary lymphoid organs. The intricate interplay between the roles of the kidney
and spleen in hematopoiesis and immune responses within fish warrants further
elucidation. Moreover, unraveling the structural foundations accommodating diverse
HSPCs and immune cell types and precisely orchestrating hematopoiesis and immune
responses within the kidney and spleen emerges as a compelling area of inquiry.
Addressing these queries necessitates the utilization of advanced techniques, such as

single-cell spatiotemporal transcriptomic analysis at the level of cross-organ
single-cell transcriptome profiling.

In summary, our study presents a comprehensive panorama of HSPCs and
immune cell types within the zebrafish kidney under steady-state conditions, viral
emergencies, and vaccine training. Our identification of a multitude of HSPCs, along
with developmentally-linked progenitors and immune cell types, and their
modifications under emergency and training scenarios, underscores the pivotal role of
the kidney in fish defense against viral infections. Moreover, the revelation of trained
immunity establishment within HSPCs holds significant implications for
comprehending the novel pathways and mechanisms governing trained immunity
formation. The paradigm shift—where the kidney, traditionally viewed as a primary
lymphoid organ facilitating hematopoiesis—emerges as a secondary lymphoid organ,
marks a pivotal transformation in understanding fish biology. Our study offers
insights into the intricate and heterogeneous nature of the fish immune system,
shedding light on the multifunctionality of the fish kidney, encompassing
hematopoiesis, immune defense, waste excretion, and osmoregulation—mainstays of
kidney function across diverse vertebrates.

**Materials and methods**
**Experimental fish**
Wild-type AB zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) of both sexes, 3–12 months of age with body
weights ranging from 0.5 to 1.0 g and lengths of 3–4 cm, were reared under standard
laboratory conditions. The fish were raised in recirculating water at a temperature of
28°C and exposed to a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle, as previously described[10,65].
Prior to their use in experiments, all fish were acclimated for a minimum of 2 weeks
to ensure their overall health. Only zebrafish exhibiting robust general appearance and
activity were selected for experimentation. All animal experiments were conducted in
accordance with the guiding principles for the care and use of laboratory animals and
were approved by a local ethics committee.

**Cell line and virus**
Epithelioma papulosum cyprini (EPC) cells were cultured in Medium 199 (M199,
Gibco), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), and maintained at
28°C in a 5% $CO_2$. The spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV), provided as a gift by
Prof. Yibing Zhang from the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
was propagated in EPC cells at 28°C. The virus was subsequently titrated in 96-well
plates until full cytopathic effect (CPE) was observed. Purification of SVCV from
EPC cell culture was accomplished through sucrose gradient centrifugation at 100,000
g for 2 hours, as previously described[66]. The purified virus was suspended in sterile

PBS and stored at -80°C until utilization. The viral titer was quantified as $1.58 \times 10^7$
$TCID_{50}$/ml, employing the 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) assay on EPC
cells via the Reed-Müench method[67]. For the purpose of vaccination, SVCV was
inactivated with a final concentration of 0.4% (v/v) formaldehyde, followed by the
protocol as described[68].
822
**SVCV challenge**

Adult zebrafish were randomly divided into three groups, i.e. PBS-administered group
(control), SVCV-infected group (infected), and inactivated SVCV-vaccinated plus
virulent SVCV-infected group (vaccinated+infected). Each zebrafish in these groups
received an intraperitoneal injection of 10 µl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS,
pH=7.0), 10 µl of virulent SVCV ($10^3$ $TCID_{50}$/fish), or 10 µl of inactivated SVCV
followed by 10 µl of virulent SVCV ($10^3$ $TCID_{50}$/fish). In the context of vaccination,
zebrafish were immunized with 10 µl of inactivated SVCV vaccine ($10^5$ $TCID_{50}$/fish)
for two weeks, succeeded by infection with virulent SVCV ($10^3$ $TCID_{50}$/fish) for an
additional week. Subsequently, kidney samples were collected at 7 days post-infection
(dpi) for the control and SVCV-infected groups and at 21 dpi for the
vaccinated+infected group. Equivalent quantities of tissue were pooled from each
group, and these samples underwent subsequent leukocyte isolation.
836
**Isolation of leukocytes**

Leukocytes were obtained from kidney samples utilizing Ficoll-Hypaque
density-gradient centrifugation, as previously described[10,28]. After 7 days of SVCV
challenge, zebrafish were euthanized with MS222. Kidney tissues were meticulously
excised and passed through a 40 µm stainless nylon mesh (Greiner Bio-One GmbH,
Germany). The resulting cell suspension was suspended in Leibovitz's L-15 Medium
(L-15, Gibco), supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml, Sigma-Aldrich), streptomycin
(100 µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich), and heparin sodium (10 U/ml, Sigma-Aldrich). This
suspension was then introduced into a Ficoll-Hypaque (1.080 g/mL) density-gradient
centrifugation, and subsequently centrifuged at 1,200 g for 25 minutes. The cellular
interface layer was cautiously aspirated, followed by a wash with ice-cold PBS at 400
g for 10 minutes. The viability and quantity of cells were assessed using 0.4% trypan
blue (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), confirming the viability of over 95% of the cells.
Enumeration of cells for each group was executed using a cell counting plate.
851
**Single-cell RNA sequencing**

The library synthesis and RNA-sequencing were completed by the LC-Bio
Technology Co., Ltd (Hangzhou, China). In brief, the isolated leucocytes from
zebrafish kidney were applied to Red Blood Cell Lysis Buffer and the magnetic bead

separation method was used to remove red blood cells and dead cells. After cell
counting, an appropriate volume for capturing 10,000 cells was calculated. These cells
were mixed into a sample and adjusted to 700~1,200 cell/μl. Libraries were
constructed using the Chromium™ Controller and Chromium™ Single Cell 3'
Library & Gel Bead Kit v2 (10× Genomics) as per the manufacturer's protocol.
Cellular suspension was added to the master mix containing nuclease-free water, RT
Reagent Mix, RT Primer, Additive A and RT Enzyme Mix. Master mix with cells was
transferred to the wells in the row labelled 1 on the Chromium™ Single Cell A Chip
(10× Genomics). Single Cell 3' Gel Beads and Partitioning Oil were placed in specific
rows. The chip was loaded onto the Chromium™ Controller to create single-cell
GEMs. GEM-RT was conducted in a C1000 Touch Thermal cycler (Bio-Rad),
followed by cleanup with DynaBeads MyOne Silane Beads (Thermo Fisher
Scientific). Subsequent cDNA amplification and library construction adhered to
standard protocols. The library was multiplexed and sequenced on an Illumina
NextSeq-500 lane using a high-output (400 m) kit[69]. Quality control of 10× Genomics
single-cell RNA-seq was executed (**Figure 1—figure supplement 1**). For mapping,
sequences from the 10× Genomics single-cell RNA-seq platform were demultiplexed
and mapped to the zebrafish genome (GRCz11) through the Cell Ranger package
(10× Genomics). The DoubletFinder R package identified and filtered doublets
(multiplets)[70]. The raw digital gene expression matrix (UMI counts per gene per cell)
from Cell Ranger analyses was filtered and normalized using the R package Seurat
(Version 3.1.1)[71]. Cells with fewer than 500 unique genes, over 50,000 UMI counts,
or more than 10% mitochondrial reads were removed. Undetected genes in any cell
were excluded. Post-filtration, cell counts were 9,004 in the PBS-administered group
(control), 9,890 in the SVCV-infected group (infected), and 8,487 in the
SVCV-vaccinated plus SVCV-infected group (vaccinated+infected).
882

**Downstream analysis of 10× Genomics data**
After log normalizing the data, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed
to reduce dimension. The identification of significant clusters was performed using
the Find Clusters algorithm in the Seurat package, which uses a shared
nearest-neighbour modularity optimization-based clustering algorithm. Marker genes
for each significant cluster were found using the Seurat function FindAllMarkers. Cell
types were determined using a combination of marker genes identified from the
literature and gene ontology for cell types. Expression of selected genes was plotted
with the Seurat function FeaturePlot and VlnPlot. Hierarchical clustering and heat
map generation were performed for single cells based on log-normalized (with scale
factor 10,000 and pseudocount 1) expression values of marker genes obtained from
the literature or identified as highly differentially expressed. Heat maps were

generated using the heatmap.2 function from the gplots v3.5.2 R package using the
default complete-linkage clustering algorithm[72].

## Pseudotime trajectory analysis

Pseudotime trajectory was plotted by using the R package monocle Version 2.22.0
with the default settings given there. Pseudotime ordering was performed using the
function "reduce dimension" with max_components set at 2 and reduction_method set
as DDRTree. Next, the significantly affected genes were obtained from the top 50
markers among the clusters by using the function differentialGeneTest
(fullModelFormulaStr = ~Pseudotime) and were plotted with the function
plot_pseudotime_heatmap. The num_cluster was set at 3 to obtain three modules of
significantly changed genes that had similar trends according to their pseudotemporal
expression patterns.

## RNA velocity analysis

Cell RNA velocity analysis was performed using the velocyto (V0.17.17) program[73].
This approach uses the relative proportions of unspliced and spliced mRNA
abundance to provide insights into the anticipated future state of cells. Initial
annotation of spliced and unspliced reads was performed through the utilization of the
"velocyto.py" commandline tools. Subsequently, downstream analysis was performed
using the same "velocyto.py" framework. The resulting RNA velocity data represents
a vector that predicts the transition dynamics of individual cells, with the direction
and speed of each transition inferred from the amplitude and direction of the
individual cell velocity arrows plotted on a t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor
Embedding (t-SNE) plot. By examining the directional flow within the RNA velocity
vector field, the hierarchical relationship between two cell populations can be
inferred.

## Pathway enrichment analysis

Functional enrichment analyses, including Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto
Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), were conducted to determine which
differentially expressed genes (DEGs) significantly enriched GO terms or metabolic
pathways. Given that GO is an international standard classification system for gene
function, DEGs are mapped to the GO terms (biological functions) in the database.
The number of genes in each term was calculated, and a hypergeometric test was
performed to identify significantly enriched GO terms in the gene list out of the
background of the reference gene list. GO terms and KEGG pathways with false
discovery rates $p < 0.05$ were considered as significantly different.

**Magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) and quantitative real-time PCR**

MACS was employed to isolate T and B cells from kidney leukocytes in both
SVCV-infected and PBS-administered (control) zebrafish. In this procedure,
leukocytes were initially blocked with 5% normal goat serum for 15 minutes at 10°C,
then incubated with rabbit anti-TCRα/β (1:200, produced in our previous studies) or
mouse anti-IgM Ab (1:200, produced in our previous studies) for an additional 15
minutes at 10°C[10,28]. Subsequent washing with MACS buffer (PBS containing 2 mM
EDTA and 0.5% BSA) was followed by a 15-minute incubation at 10°C with anti-IgG
magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). The cell suspension was introduced to a LS
separation column following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was
extracted from positive cells, kidney, and spleen tissues using an RNAiso Plus kit
(Takara Bio), and subsequently reverse transcribed into cDNAs. Quantitative
real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was employed to analyze the transcript abundance of target
genes on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The PCR
protocol encompassed a total volume of 10 μl with iTaq Universal SYBR Green
Supermix (Bio-Rad). Reaction mixtures underwent an initial incubation at 95°C for 2
minutes, succeeded by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C, 15 seconds at 60°C, and 20
seconds at 72°C. Relative expression levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method,
with β-actin as the reference gene. Each PCR trial comprised triplicate parallel
reactions, and each experiment was independently repeated at least three times. The
RT-qPCR primer sequences are detailed in **Supplementary file 9**.

**ScRNA-Seq differential expression analysis and SVCV reads enrichment**

Seurat package FindMarkers function with default parameters was used to perform
differential gene expression analysis between the control and treatment groups of the
same cell type. Within the FindAllMarkers function, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was
applied to assess single-cell differential gene expression for identified cell subsets.
Marker genes for each cluster were determined by contrasting them with all other
cells in the experiment. Differential gene expression, reflecting diverse levels of
upregulation and downregulation in different comparisons, was depicted through
volcano plots. Enrichment of reads across SVCV genes was analyzed using the
Integrative Genome Viewer to identify read pileups[74,75]. Cells containing any counts
to viral genes were categorized as positive for viral RNA.

**Flow cytometry (FCM) analysis**

For FCM analysis, cells underwent blocking with 5% normal goat serum at 4°C for 1
hour, followed by incubation with corresponding primary antibodies: rabbit
anti-CD4-1 (1:200), rabbit anti-CD8α (1:200), and mouse anti-CD154 (1:200), at 4°C
for 2 hours. As a control, non-specific mouse or rabbit IgG served as an isotype

control. Subsequently, cells were washed and further incubated with secondary
antibodies: PE-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG and FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit
IgG, for 1 hour at 4°C. Fluorescence signals were detected using a FACScan flow
cytometer (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences) at 488 nm. FCM analysis was based on
forward/side scatter (FSC/SSC) characteristics and PE/FITC-conjugated fluorescence
with CellQuest program as previously described[10,76,77]. A minimum of 10,000 events
were collected from the lymphocyte gate. Data processing was executed using FlowJo
7.6 software (BD Biosciences). To examine $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell proliferation,
zebrafish under PBS/inactivated SVCV treatment received intraperitoneal injections
of 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine, Alexa Fluor 488 (EdU, Beyotime, 8 µg/fish) twice
within a 24-hour interval two days before euthanization. Seven days after SVCV
stimulation, leukocytes from the kidney were collected and incubated with rabbit
anti-CD4/CD8 (1:500), followed by APC-conjugated rabbit anti-IgG secondary
antibody (1:1,000; Thermo Fisher). Subsequently, cells were fixed with 4%
paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, and underwent
click reaction according to the manufacturer's instructions (BeyoClick EdU Cell
Proliferation Kit with Alexa Fluor 488, Beyotime). The proliferation of $CD4^+$ and
$CD8^+$ T cells was subsequently analyzed using FCM (FACSCalibur, BD
Biosciences)[78].
992

**Immunofluorescence staining**
For kidney staining, cryosections were prepared and fixed for 10 minutes in 95%
ethyl alcohol, followed by incubation with primary antibodies. Background
autofluorescence was eradicated by treating cryosections with 0.1 M glycine (pH 2.3)
for 10 minutes. After washing, kidney tissues were incubated with primary antibodies:
rabbit anti-CD4-1 (1:200), rabbit anti-CD8α (1:200), and mouse anti-IgM (1:200), at
4°C for 2 hours. A control was established using non-specific mouse or rabbit IgG as
an isotype control. Subsequently, cryosections were washed and further incubated
with secondary antibodies: APC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit/mouse IgG for 1 hour at
4°C. The cryosections were then permeabilized using 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, and
underwent click reaction according to the manufacturer's instructions (BeyoClick
EdU Cell Proliferation Kit with Alexa Fluor 488, Beyotime). Prior to
photomicrography, additional staining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI,
100 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) was performed. Samples were captured utilizing a Laser
Scanning Confocal Microscope (Olympus FV3000) with a 60 × oil-immersion
objective lens[10,78].
1009

**High-throughput IgM antibody repertoire analysis**
Zebrafish were intraperitoneally immunized with TNP-KLH plus CpG-ODNs or

CpG-ODNs alone (as a control). TNP-KLH (Biosearch Technologies, T5060) was
dissolved in PBS and administered at a final dose of 2.5 μg per fish. CpG-ODNs,
specifically CpG-2007 (TCGTCGTTGTCGTTTTGTCGTT) and CpG-D
(ACCGATAACGTTGCCAACGTTGGT), were synthesized with a phosphorothioate
modification by Generay Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China). These two
CpG-ODNs were dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0),
mixed in a 1:1 ratio, and administered at a final dose of 1 μg per fish. After14 days of
immunization, cDNA samples extracted from the kidneys of three representative fish
were subjected to individual amplification. This was achieved by utilizing specific
forward primers corresponding to distinct subgroups of IGHV genes, combined with
reverse primers that are specific to the IGHM gene (Cμ)[79]. After the PCR reaction, the
PCR product was mixed with 2 μl of loading buffer and loaded into a 1.0% agarose
gel stained for visualization. Concurrently, 3 μl aliquots of all PCR products obtained
from the same tissue were pooled together for subsequent RNaseq analysis. DNA
concentrations were measured using the QuBit DNA quantification system
(Invitrogen) and the quality checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent
Technologies). A library was constructed per individual tissues with the TruSeq DNA
PCR-Free Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
Libraries were pooled together and paired-end sequencing was performed on an
Illumina MiSeq with a MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (2 × 300 cycles) cartridge (Illumina).
The primers are listed in **Supplementary file 9**.
1033

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis and graphical presentation were performed with GraphPad Prism
and Origin Pro. All data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of each
group. Statistical evaluation of differences was assessed using one-way ANOVA,
followed by an unpaired two-tailed t-test. Statistical significance was defined as $^*p <$
$0.05$, $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$. All experiments were replicated at least three times.
1040

1041 **Acknowledgements**
1042 This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of
1043 China, and the National Key Research and Development Program of China.
1044

1045 **Additional information**
1046

1047 **Funding**

| Funder | Grant reference number | Author |
|---|---|---|
| National Natural Science | 32173003 | Lixin Xiang |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Foundation of China | | |
| National Natural Science Foundation of China | 31630083 | Jianzhong Shao |
| National Key Research and Development Program of China | 2018YFD0900503 | Jianzhong Shao |
| National Key Research and Development Program of China | 2018YFD0900505 | Lixin Xiang |

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

**Author contributions**

Chongbin Hu, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Nan Zhang, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review and editing; Yun Hong, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing; Ruxiu Tie, Provided consultation, reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dongdong Fan, Provided material support; Aifu Lin, Provided consultation, reviewed and revised the manuscript; Ye Chen, Methodology, Supervision, Provided consultation, reviewed and revised the manuscript; Lixin Xiang, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing; Jianzhong Shao, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

**Author ORCIDs**

Ye Chen: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3671-2504

Lixin Xiang: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7136-7729

Jianzhong Shao: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3483-1817

**Ethics**

All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Committee on Animal Care and Use and the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Zhejiang University (ZU_0325/2021; Hangzhou, China).

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

**Supplementary files**

Supplementary file 1. Gene sets for all immune cell and HSPCs annotation.

Supplementary file 2. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of 13 immune-cell

and renal cell categories.

Supplementary file 3. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of HSPC subsets.

Supplementary file 4. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of T/NK cell

subsets.

Supplementary file 5. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of B cell subsets.

Supplementary file 6. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of

macrophages/myeloid cell subsets.

Supplementary file 7. Marker gene list and gene expression tables of neutrophil

subsets.

Supplementary file 8. Similarities and differences in HSPC and immune cell

populations between zebrafish and humans.

Supplementary file 9. Primers used in this study for Real-time quantitative PCR and

IgM antibody repertoire analysis.

1092

1093      **Data availability**

1094      The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in GEO at

1095      https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GEO accession number GSE242133.

1096      The following dataset was generated:

| Author(s) | Year | Dataset title | Dataset URL | Database and Identifier |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chongbin Hu | 2023 | Single-cell RNA sequencing unveils the hidden powers of zebrafish kidney for generating both hematopoiesis and adaptive antiviral immunity | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc= GSE242133 | NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE242133 |

1097

1098

**References**

1    Sunyer, J. O. Fishing for mammalian paradigms in the teleost immune system. *Nature*

*immunology*. 2013;14: 320-326.

2    Zhu, L.-y., Nie, L., Zhu, G., Xiang, L.-x. & Shao, J.-z. Advances in research of fish

immune-relevant genes: a comparative overview of innate and adaptive immunity in

teleosts. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*. 2013;39: 39-62.

3    Volff, J. Genome evolution and biodiversity in teleost fish. *Heredity*. 2005;94:

280-294.

4    Fan, G., Song, Y., Yang, L. *et al.* Initial data release and announcement of the 10,000

Fish Genomes Project (Fish10K). *GigaScience*. 2020;9: giaa080.

5    Rauta, P. R., Nayak, B. & Das, S. Immune system and immune responses in fish and

| 1110 | | their role in comparative immunity study: a model for higher organisms. *Immunology letters*. 2012;148: 23-33. |
| 1112 | 6 | Secombes, C. & Wang, T. in *Infectious disease in aquaculture*    3-68 (Elsevier, 2012). |
| 1114 | 7 | Mokhtar, D. M., Zaccone, G., Alesci, A. *et al.* Main components of fish immunity: An overview of the fish immune system. *Fishes*. 2023;8: 93. |
| 1116 | 8 | Smith, N. C., Rise, M. L. & Christian, S. L. A comparison of the innate and adaptive immune systems in cartilaginous fish, ray-finned fish, and lobe-finned fish. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2019;10: 2292. |
| 1119 | 9 | Cooper, M. D. & Alder, M. N. The evolution of adaptive immune systems. *Cell*. 2006;124: 815-822. |
| 1121 | 10 | Feng, W., Chong-Bin, H., Jun-Xia, M. *et al.* Characterization of γδ T Cells from Zebrafish Provides Insights into Their Important Role in Adaptive Humoral Immunity. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2016;7. |
| 1124 | 11 | Neely, H. R. & Flajnik, M. F. Emergence and evolution of secondary lymphoid organs. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology*. 2016;32: 693-711. |
| 1126 | 12 | Matz, H., Taylor, R. S., Redmond, A. K. *et al.* Organized B cell sites in cartilaginous fishes reveal the evolutionary foundation of germinal centers. *Cell Reports*. 2023;42. |
| 1128 | 13 | Barreto, V. M., Pan-Hammarstrom, Q., Zhao, Y. *et al.* AID from bony fish catalyzes class switch recombination. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2005;202: 733-738. |
| 1131 | 14 | Wakae, K., Magor, B. G., Saunders, H. *et al.* Evolution of class switch recombination function in fish activation-induced cytidine deaminase, AID. *International immunology*. 2006;18: 41-47. |
| 1134 | 15 | Hu, C. B., Wang, J., Hong, Y. *et al.* Single‐cell transcriptome profiling reveals diverse immune cell populations and their responses to viral infection in the spleen of zebrafish. *The FASEB Journal*. 2023;37: e22951. |
| 1137 | 16 | Ferrero, G., Gomez, E., Lyer, S. *et al.* The macrophage‐expressed gene (mpeg) 1 identifies a subpopulation of B cells in the adult zebrafish. *Journal of leukocyte biology*. 2020;107: 431-443. |
| 1140 | 17 | Rubin, S. A., Baron, C. S., Pessoa Rodrigues, C. *et al.* Single-cell analyses reveal early thymic progenitors and pre-B cells in zebrafish. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2022;219: e20220038. |
| 1143 | 18 | Philipson, B. I., O'Connor, R. S., May, M. J. *et al.* 4-1BB costimulation promotes CAR T cell survival through noncanonical NF-κB signaling. *Science signaling*. 2020;13. |
| 1146 | 19 | van Asten, S. D., de Groot, R., van Loenen, M. M. *et al.* T cells expanded from renal cell carcinoma display tumor-specific CD137 expression but lack significant IFN-γ, TNF-α or IL-2 production. *OncoImmunology*. 2021;10: 1860482. |
| 1149 | 20 | Ribeil, J.-A., Zermati, Y., Vandekerckhove, J. *et al.* Hsp70 regulates erythropoiesis by preventing caspase-3-mediated cleavage of GATA-1. *Nature*. 2007;445: 102-105. |
| 1151 | 21 | Tang, Q., Iyer, S., Lobbardi, R. *et al.* Dissecting hematopoietic and renal cell heterogeneity in adult zebrafish at single-cell resolution using RNA sequencing. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2017;214: 2875-2887. |

22 Asahara, T., Murohara, T., Sullivan, A. *et al.* Isolation of putative progenitor
endothelial cells for angiogenesis. *Science*. 1997;275: 964-966.
23 Shi, Q., Rafii, S., Wu, M. H.-D. *et al.* Evidence for circulating bone marrow-derived
endothelial cells. *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology*. 1998;92:
362-367.
24 Fu, B., Wang, F., Sun, R. *et al.* CD11b and CD27 reflect distinct population and
functional specialization in human natural killer cells. *Immunology*. 2011;133:
350-359.
25 Ahmed, R., Omidian, Z., Giwa, A. *et al.* A public BCR present in a unique
dual-receptor-expressing lymphocyte from type 1 diabetes patients encodes a potent T
cell autoantigen. *Cell*. 2019;177: 1583-1599. e1516.
26 Zwollo, P., Cole, S., Bromage, E. & Kaattari, S. B cell heterogeneity in the teleost
kidney: evidence for a maturation gradient from anterior to posterior kidney. *The
Journal of Immunology*. 2005;174: 6608-6616.
27 Bromage, E. S., Kaattari, I. M., Zwollo, P. & Kaattari, S. L. Plasmablast and plasma
cell production and distribution in trout immune tissues. *The Journal of Immunology*.
2004;173: 7317-7323.
28 Zhu, L.-y., Lin, A.-f., Shao, T. *et al.* B cells in teleost fish act as pivotal initiating
APCs in priming adaptive immunity: an evolutionary perspective on the origin of the
B-1 cell subset and B7 molecules. *The Journal of Immunology*. 2014;192: 2699-2714.
29 Wu, L., Gao, A., Li, L. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptome profiling of anterior kidney
leukocytes from Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021:
5399.
30 Zhou, Q., Zhao, C., Yang, Z. *et al.* Cross-organ single-cell transcriptome profiling
reveals macrophage and dendritic cell heterogeneity in zebrafish. *Cell Reports*.
2023;42.
31 Huang, H., Hao, S., Li, F. *et al.* CD4+ Th1 cells promote CD8+ Tc1 cell survival,
memory response, tumor localization and therapy by targeted delivery of interleukin 2
via acquired pMHC I complexes. *Immunology*. 2007;120: 148-159.
32 Ahrends, T., Spanjaard, A., Pilzecker, B. *et al.* CD4+ T cell help confers a cytotoxic T
cell effector program including coinhibitory receptor downregulation and increased
tissue invasiveness. *Immunity*. 2017;47: 848-861. e845.
33 Aggad, D., Mazel, M., Boudinot, P. *et al.* The two groups of zebrafish virus-induced
interferons signal via distinct receptors with specific and shared chains. *The Journal
of Immunology*. 2009;183: 3924-3931.
34 Sullivan, C., Soos, B.-L., Millard, P. J., Kim, C. H. & King, B. L. Modeling
Virus-Induced Inflammation in Zebrafish: A Balance Between Infection Control and
Excessive Inflammation. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021;12: 1635.
35 Langevin, C., Aleksejeva, E., Passoni, G. *et al.* The antiviral innate immune response
in fish: evolution and conservation of the IFN system. *Journal of molecular biology*.
2013;425: 4904-4920.
36 Gan, Z., Chen, S. N., Huang, B., Zou, J. & Nie, P. Fish type I and type II interferons:
composition, receptor usage, production and function. *Reviews in Aquaculture*.
2020;12: 773-804.

37    Perng, Y.-C. & Lenschow, D. J. ISG15 in antiviral immunity and beyond. *Nature*
1199           *Reviews Microbiology*. 2018;16: 423-439.

1200    38    Langevin, C., Van Der Aa, L., Houel, A. *et al.* Zebrafish ISG15 exerts a strong
1201           antiviral activity against RNA and DNA viruses and regulates the interferon response.
1202           *Journal of virology*. 2013;87: 10025-10036.

1203    39    Mitroulis, I., Ruppova, K., Wang, B. *et al.* Modulation of myelopoiesis progenitors is
1204           an integral component of trained immunity. *Cell*. 2018;172: 147-161. e112.

1205    40    Netea, M., Joosten, L., Latz, E. *et al.*     (2016).

1206    41    Yao, Y., Jeyanathan, M., Haddadi, S. *et al.* Induction of autonomous memory alveolar
1207           macrophages requires T cell help and is critical to trained immunity. *Cell*. 2018;175:
1208           1634-1650. e1617.

1209    42    de Laval, B., Maurizio, J., Kandalla, P. K. *et al.* C/EBPβ-dependent epigenetic
1210           memory induces trained immunity in hematopoietic stem cells. *Cell stem cell*.
1211           2020;26: 657-674. e658.

1212    43    Kaufmann, E., Sanz, J., Dunn, J. L. *et al.* BCG educates hematopoietic stem cells to
1213           generate protective innate immunity against tuberculosis. *Cell*. 2018;172: 176-190.
1214           e119.

1215    44    Riedel, C., Hennrich, A. A. & Conzelmann, K.-K. Components and architecture of the
1216           rhabdovirus ribonucleoprotein complex. *Viruses*. 2020;12: 959.

1217    45    Kiuchi, A. & Roy, P. Comparison of the primary sequence of spring viremia of carp
1218           virus M protein with that of vesicular stomatitis virus. *Virology*. 1984;134: 238-243.

1219    46    Roy, P. Phosphoproteins of spring viremia of carp virus. *Virology*. 1981;112: 274-281.

1220    47    Boehm, T. & Bleul, C. C. The evolutionary history of lymphoid organs. *Nature*
1221           *immunology*. 2007;8: 131-135.

1222    48    Chinn, I. K., Blackburn, C. C., Manley, N. R. & Sempowski, G. D. in *Seminars in*
1223           *immunology*.    309-320 (Elsevier).

1224    49    Malhotra, D., Fletcher, A. L. & Turley, S. J. Stromal and hematopoietic cells in
1225           secondary lymphoid organs: partners in immunity. *Immunological reviews*. 2013;251:
1226           160-176.

1227    50    Randall, T. D., Carragher, D. M. & Rangel-Moreno, J. Development of secondary
1228           lymphoid organs. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2008;26: 627-650.

1229    51    Stachura, D. L., Reyes, J. R., Bartunek, P. *et al.* Zebrafish kidney stromal cell lines
1230           support multilineage hematopoiesis. *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of*
1231           *Hematology*. 2009;114: 279-289.

1232    52    Diep, C. Q., Ma, D., Deo, R. C. *et al.* Identification of adult nephron progenitors
1233           capable of kidney regeneration in zebrafish. *Nature*. 2011;470: 95-100.

1234    53    Page, D. M., Wittamer, V., Bertrand, J. Y. *et al.* An evolutionarily conserved program
1235           of B-cell development and activation in zebrafish. *Blood, The Journal of the*
1236           *American Society of Hematology*. 2013;122: e1-e11.

1237    54    Catton, W. Blood cell formation in certain teleost fishes. *Blood*. 1951;6: 39-60.

1238    55    Zapata, A. Ultrastructural study of the teleost fish kidney. *Developmental &*
1239           *Comparative Immunology*. 1979;3: 55-65.

1240    56    Yang, L., Bryder, D., Adolfsson, J. r. *et al.* Identification of Lin–Sca1+ kit+ CD34+
1241           Flt3–short-term hematopoietic stem cells capable of rapidly reconstituting and

rescuing myeloablated transplant recipients. *Blood*. 2005;105: 2717-2723.

57  Shin, J. Y., Hu, W., Naramura, M. & Park, C. Y. High c-Kit expression identifies
hematopoietic stem cells with impaired self-renewal and megakaryocytic bias.
*Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2014;211: 217-231.

58  Woolthuis, C. M. & Park, C. Y. Hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell commitment to
the megakaryocyte lineage. *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of
Hematology*. 2016;127: 1242-1248.

59  Kondo, M. Lymphoid and myeloid lineage commitment in multipotent hematopoietic
progenitors. *Immunological reviews*. 2010;238: 37-46.

60  Sumbria, D., Berber, E., Mathayan, M. & Rouse, B. T. Virus infections and host
metabolism—can we manage the interactions? *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021;11:
594963.

61  Rouse, B. T. & Sehrawat, S. Immunity and immunopathology to viruses: what
decides the outcome? *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2010;10: 514-526.

62  Perelson, A. S. & Ribeiro, R. M. Introduction to modeling viral infections and
immunity. *Immunological reviews*. 2018;285: 5.

63  Spellberg, B. & Edwards Jr, J. E. Type 1/Type 2 immunity in infectious diseases.
*Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2001;32: 76-102.

64  García-Valtanen, P., Martínez-López, A., López-Muñoz, A. *et al.* Zebra fish lacking
adaptive immunity acquire an antiviral alert state characterized by upregulated gene
expression of apoptosis, multigene families, and interferon-related genes. *Frontiers in
immunology*. 2017;8: 121.

65  Li, J. Y., Wang, Y. Y., Shao, T., Fan, D. D. & Shao, J. Z. The zebrafish NLRP3
inflammasome has functional roles in ASC-dependent interleukin-1β maturation and
gasdermin E–mediated pyroptosis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2019;295:
jbc.RA119.011751.

66  Chen, Z. Y., Liu, H., Li, Z. Q. & Zhang, Q. Y. Development and characterization of
monoclonal antibodies to spring viraemia of carp virus. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*.
2008;123: 266-276.

67  Reed, L. J. & Muench, H. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints.
*American journal of epidemiology*. 1938;27: 493-497.

68  Dong, C., Xiong, X., Luo, Y. *et al.* Efficacy of a formalin-killed cell vaccine against
infectious spleen and kidney necrosis virus (ISKNV) and immunoproteomic analysis
of its major immunogenic proteins. *Vet Microbiol*. 2013;162: 419-428.

69  Hernandez, P. P., Strzelecka, P. M., Athanasiadis, E. I. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptional
analysis reveals ILC-like cells in zebrafish. *Sci Immunol*. 2018;3.

70  McGinnis, C. S., Murrow, L. M. & Gartner, Z. J. DoubletFinder: doublet detection in
single-cell RNA sequencing data using artificial nearest neighbors. *Cell systems*.
2019;8: 329-337. e324.

71  Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell
transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat
Biotechnol*. 2018;36: 411-420.

72  Xu, Z., You, W., Chen, W. *et al.* Single-cell RNA sequencing and lipidomics reveal
cell and lipid dynamics of fat infiltration in skeletal muscle. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia*

*Muscle*. 2021;12: 109-129.

73   La Manno, G., Soldatov, R., Zeisel, A. *et al.* RNA velocity of single cells. *Nature*.
2018;560: 494-498.

74   Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer.
*Nature biotechnology*. 2011;29: 24-26.

75   Speranza, E., Williamson, B. N., Feldmann, F. *et al.* Single-cell RNA sequencing
reveals SARS-CoV-2 infection dynamics in lungs of African green monkeys. *Science*
*Translational Medicine*. 2021;13.

76   Shi, W., Shao, T., Li, J.-y. *et al.* BTLA–HVEM checkpoint axis regulates hepatic
homeostasis and inflammation in a ConA-induced hepatitis model in zebrafish. *The*
*Journal of Immunology*. 2019;203: 2425-2442.

77   Shao, T., Shi, W., Zheng, J.-y. *et al.* Costimulatory function of Cd58/Cd2 interaction
in adaptive humoral immunity in a zebrafish model. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2018;9:
1204.

78   Hong, Y., Hu, C.-b., Bai, J. *et al.* Essential role of an ERV-derived Env38 protein in
adaptive humoral immunity against an exogenous SVCV infection in a zebrafish
model. *PLoS Pathogens*. 2023;19: e1011222.

79   Weinstein, J. A., Jiang, N., White III, R. A., Fisher, D. S. & Quake, S. R.
High-throughput sequencing of the zebrafish antibody repertoire. *Science*. 2009;324:
807-810.

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Categorization of the HSPCs and immune cell types in zebrafish kidney. (**A**)
Nonlinear t-SNE clustering visually categorized populations of zebrafish kidney

leukocytes. PCA was performed following expression data normalization, with
subsequent PCA dimension reduction to streamline variables. The cell clustering
algorithm based on graph theory was then employed. (**B**) Heatmap displaying marker
genes specific to each cluster. Columns depict distinct cell subtypes, while rows
correspond to genes. Expression levels are color-coded, with intense yellow indicating
high expression and deep purple representing low expression. (**C**) Dot plots
illustrating marker gene expression levels and the respective percentages of
cluster-expressing cells. (**D–O**) t-SNE results depicting molecular marker distribution
for immune and kidney cell types. Each dot signifies a cell, with dark dots indicating
elevated expression of the marker gene in a given cell. Notably, Neutrophil marker
gene in (**D**), Macrophage/myeloid cells marker gene in (**E**), B-cells marker gene in
(**F**), T-cells marker gene in (**G**), NK-cells marker gene in (**H**), HSPCs 1 marker gene
in (**I**), HSPCs 2 marker gene in (**J**), HSPCs 3 marker gene in (**K**), kidney multiciliated
cells marker gene in (**L**), kidney distal tubule cells marker gene in (**M**), kidney mucin
cells marker gene in (**N**), and kidney proximal tubule cells marker genes in (**O**).
1346
1347

1348

**Figure 1-figure supplement 1.** Single-cell sequencing quality control. (**A**)
Identification of the effective cell number of the sample. The X_axis is the number of
barcodes, and the Y_axis is the UMI counts. Blue line is the effective cells
corresponding to barcodes, and the gray line is the background noise. (**B**) The basic
information of sample cell before and after filtering, including the total number of
genes (nFeature_RNA), the total number of UMIs (nCount_RNA) and the percentage
of reads mapping mitochondrial genes (Percent.mito). (**C**) The scatter plot of sample
cell basic information before and after filtering, showing the relationship between
nCount_RNA and nFeature_RNA. The numbers above the graph are Pearson
correlation coefficients.

1359

**Figure 1-figure supplement 2.** Violin plots showing the expression of marker genes
for per immune cell and renal cell categories at the single cell level. (**A**) neutrophil; (**B**)
macrophage/myeloid cells; (**C**) B cells; (**D**) T cells; (**E**) Kidney multiciliated cells; (**F**)
Kidney distal tubule cells; (**G**) Kidney mucin cells; (**H**) HSPCs 3; (**I**) NK cells; (**J**)
HSPCs 2; (**K**) Kidney proximal tubule cells; (**L**) HSPCs 1.

**Figure 2.** HSPCs subtype analysis based on single-cell gene expression. (**A**) Graph-based clustering revealed 11 subclusters of HSPCs within both the control group (PBS) and SVCV-infected group. (**B**) Heatmap presenting marker gene expression for each HSPC subcluster. (**C**) Dot plots of differentially expressed selected markers across HSPCs subtypes. Dot size indicates the percentage of cells within a population expressing a specific marker, while dot color indicates average expression. (**D**) Histogram demonstrating differences in HSPC subset ratios between

1374    the control group (PBS) and SVCV-infected group. (**E**) Histogram illustrating

1375    discrepancies in HSPC numbers across clusters between the control group and the

1376    SVCV-infected group.

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

**Figure 3.** Pseudotemporal and RNA velocity analyses of zebrafish kidney HSPCs development. (**A**) Pseudotime single-cell trajectory of HSPCs reconstructed using Monocle2. Pseudotime is represented in a gradient from dark to light blue, with its starting point indicated. (**B-C**) Distribution of the 11 HSPC subsets within the trajectory. (**D**) Pseudotemporal heatmap demonstrating gene expression dynamics of significant marker genes. Genes (rows) were grouped into three modules, while cells (columns) were ordered according to pseudotime. (**E**) Gene expression dynamics over

1390     pseudotime computed in Monocle2, color-coded by cluster assignment for 16 genes

1391     linked to HSPCs development. (**F**) Single cell velocities of the HSPCs subclusters

1392     visualized as streamlines in a t-SNE plot. Black arrows indicate direction and

1393     thickness indicates speed along the HSPCs development trajectory.

1394

**Figure 4.** Integrated clustering and pseudotemporal analysis of zebrafish kidney and

spleen HSPCs and immune cells development. (**A**) Nonlinear t-SNE clustering illustrated the divergence in classification outcomes of zebrafish leukocyte populations between kidney and spleen. (**B**) Pseudotime single-cell trajectory of zebrafish kidney marrow and spleen immune cells reconstructed using Monocle2. (**C**) Pseudotime single-cell trajectories of HSPCs and immune cells, with pseudotime depicted in a gradient from dark to light blue, marking its inception. (**D-E**) Distribution of subsets within the trajectory. (**F**) Pseudotemporal heatmap revealing gene expression dynamics of significant marker genes. Genes (rows) were organized into three modules, while cells (columns) followed pseudotime order. (**G**) Gene expression dynamics over pseudotime computed in Monocle2, colored by cluster assignment for 16 genes associated with immune cell development.

1413

**Figure 5.** T/NK cell subtype analysis based on single-cell gene expression. (**A**)
Graph-based clustering unveiled 23 T/NK cell subclusters within the control group.
Nonlinear t-SNE clustering highlighted the differing classification outcomes of T/NK
cell populations in zebrafish kidney. (**B**) Heatmap showcasing marker gene expression
for each cluster. Columns represent cell subtypes, while rows depict genes,
color-coded according to expression levels. (**C**) Dot plots demonstrating marker gene
expression levels and the respective percentages of cells per cluster expressing the
gene of interest.

1422

1423

1424    **Figure 6.** B-cell subtype and pseudotemporal analysis based on single-cell gene

1425    expression. (**A**) Graph-based clustering disclosed nine B cell subclusters in both the

control group (PBS) and SVCV-infected group. (**B**) Dot plots of differentially expressed selected markers across B cell subtypes. Dot size indicates the percentage of cells within a population expressing a specific marker, while dot color indicates average expression. (**C**) Histogram highlighting differences in cell ratios between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. (**D**) Pseudotime single-cell trajectory of B cells reconstructed using Monocle2, pseudotime shown in a gradient from dark to light blue, marking its starting point. (**E-F**) Distribution of the nine B cell subsets within the trajectory. (**G**) Pseudotemporal heatmap revealing gene expression dynamics of significant marker genes. Genes (rows) were grouped into three modules, while cells (columns) were ordered based on pseudotime.

1438
**Figure 6-figure supplement 1.** Identification of B cell subtype clusters and the
expression patterns of marker genes. (**A**) Histogram presenting differences in cell
numbers within each cluster between the control group and the SVCV-infected group.
(**B**) The top 10 differently expressed genes (DEGs) for each cluster were shown in
heatmap. (**C**) Top 20 most significant KEGG pathways for the cluster 0 B-cell subset.

(**D**) Heatmap of the differentially expressed genes for apoptosis, ferroptosis,
necroptosis, and autophagy in the cluster 0 B-cell subset between the control group
and the SVCV-infected group. (**E**) Gene expression dynamics over pseudotime
computed in Monocle2, color-coded by cluster assignment for 16 genes linked to B
cells development.

**Figure 7.** Identification of macrophage/myeloid cell subtypes. (**A**) Graph-based clustering identified 10 macrophage/myeloid cell subclusters within the control group. (**B**) Heatmap displaying marker genes for each cluster. (**C**) Dot plots illustrating marker gene expression levels and the percentages of macrophages/myeloid cells per cluster expressing specific genes. (**D**) Histogram presenting variations in cell ratios between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. (**E**) Histogram illustrating differences in cell numbers within each cluster between the control group and the SVCV-infected group.

1481

**Figure 8.** Neutrophil subtype analysis based on single-cell gene expression. (**A**) Graph-based clustering of neutrophils revealed six subclusters within both the control group (PBS) and the SVCV-infected group. Increased and decreased neutrophil subtypes were significant in the SVCV-infected group, with numeric labels indicating cluster percentages. (**B**) Histogram depicting the differing neutrophil ratios between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. (**C**) Heatmap presenting marker gene expression for each cluster. (**D**) KEGG analysis outcomes for cluster 0. RichFactor signifies the ratio of differentially expressed transcripts within the pathway entry to total transcripts located in the entry, indicating enrichment. Q value represents *p* value post multiple hypothesis test correction, with a lower value indicating significant enrichment. The graph sorts top 20 pathways based on ascending Q values. (**E**) KEGG analysis outcomes for cluster 1.

1494

1495

**Figure 8-figure supplement 1.** KEGG analysis of neutrophil subsets (N2-N5). (**A-D**)

1496

Top 20 most significant KEGG pathways of neutrophil subclusters (Cluster 2, 3, 4, 5).

1497

1498

1499

Figure 9. Zebrafish kidney immune-cell and T/NK cell subtype changes upon SVCV infection. (**A**) Nonlinear t-SNE clustering depicted variations in zebrafish kidney leukocyte classification between control (PBS) and SVCV-infected groups. (**B**) Histogram showcasing differences in cell ratios between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. (**C**) Graph-based clustering of T/NK cells demonstrated 23 subclusters within both the control group (PBS) and the SVCV-infected group. Nonlinear t-SNE clustering displayed differences in zebrafish kidney leukocyte classification between control and SVCV-infected groups. (**D**) Violin plots of Th2 cell marker genes across all clusters, displaying normalized gene expression. (**E**) Histogram showing differences in T/NK cell ratios between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. (**F**) Heatmap of significantly differentially expressed marker genes in T/NK cells between the control group and the SVCV-infected group. Gene names are indicated on the right.

1515

**Figure 10.** Analysis of IFN-producing cell types and key virus-responsive genes upon
SVCV infection. (**A**) Dot plots illustrating five significantly up-/down-regulated IFNs
during viral infection in zebrafish kidney immune cells. (**B**) Dot plots showing five
differentially expressed IFN genes in each immune cell cluster between control and
SVCV-infected zebrafish. (**C**) Heatmap of five differentially expressed IFN genes in
each immune cell cluster between control and SVCV-infected zebrafish. (**D-E**) Venn
diagram depicting overlapping up-regulated (**D**) and down-regulated (**E**) genes among
five major kidney immune-cell categories. (**F**) Top 10 up-regulated genes in each
cluster of immune cells and kidney cells. (**G-J**) Volcano plot of DEGs in neutrophils 1
(cluster 0), macrophage/myeloid cells (cluster 1), B cells (cluster 3), and T/NK cells
(cluster 5) between the control and SVCV-infected groups. (**K**) RT-qPCR examination
of four key virus-responsive genes (*isg15*, *CR318588.3*, *zgc:152791*, and *ifi45*) in T

cells upon SVCV stimulation. (**L**) RT-qPCR examination of four key virus-responsive genes (*isg15*, *CR318588.3*, *zgc:152791*, and *CU984600.2*) in B cells upon SVCV stimulation. Error bars represent SD. Data collected from a minimum of three independent experiments. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$.

1535

**Figure 10-figure supplement 1.** Volcano plots showing the DEGs in zebrafish
kidney immune-cell and renal cell categories between control (PBS) and
SVCV-infected groups (up-regulated: red; down-regulated: blue). (**A**) Neutrophils 1;
(**B**) Macrophage/myeloid cells; (**C**) Neutrophils 2; (**D**) B cells; (**E**) HSPCs 1; (**F**)
T/NK cells; (**G**) HSPCs 2; (**H**) Kidney multiciliated cells; (**I**) HSPCs 3; (**J**)
Neutrophils 3; (**K**) Kidney distal tubule cells; (**L**) Kidney mucin cells; (**M**) Kidney

1542     proximal tubule cells. Some key up-regulated genes are displayed in bold, including

1543     *isg15*, *ifi45*, *zgc:152791*, *CR318588.1*, *CR318588.2* and *CU984600.2*.

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

**Figure 11.** Induction of trained immunity in HSPCs through zebrafish vaccination with inactivated SVCV. (**A**) Nonlinear t-SNE clustering displayed the proportions of HSPCs 1 (H0) in the PBS-administered (control), SVCV-infected (infected), and SVCV-vaccinated plus SVCV-infected (vaccinated+SVCV) groups. (**B**) Heatmap showcasing significant immune gene expression differences in HSPCs 1 (H0) among the PBS-administered, SVCV-infected, and vaccinated+SVCV groups.

1559

**Figure 11-figure supplement 1.** Percentage and number changes in zebrafish kidney
HSPCs and immune-cell categories in response to SVCV infection. (**A**) Histogram
showing the differences in cell ratios between the control group, the SVCV-infected
group and the SVCV-vaccinated plus SVCV-infected group. (**B**) Histogram
presenting the differences in the cell numbers of each cluster between the control
group, the SVCV-infected group and the SVCV-vaccinated plus SVCV-infected
group.

1567

1568

1569

**Figure 12.** Single-cell sequencing reveals SVCV-infected cells in kidney tissue. (**A**) Nonlinear t-SNE clustering depicted the zebrafish kidney leukocyte classification results after integrating SVCV genes into the zebrafish genome. PCA analysis followed data normalization, and dimension reduction was achieved via principal component analysis and graph theory-based clustering. The infected cell populations are displayed in red dashed circles. (**B**) Dot plots illustrating expression levels of five SVCV genes and percentage of cells per cluster expressing these genes. (**C**) Percentage of scRNA-seq-identified cells positive for reads aligning to the viral genome in SVCV-infected cases. (**D**) Dot plots showing differential expression of five SVCV genes per immune cell cluster in SVCV-infected zebrafish.

1580

1581

**Figure 13.** Examination of zebrafish kidney acting as a secondary immune organ involved in the antiviral immune response. (**A**) In vivo proliferation assessment of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells in kidney of zebrafish stimulated with inactivated SVCV ($10^6$ TCID$_{50}$), determined by CD4$^+$EdU$^+$ and CD8$^+$EdU$^+$ T cell percentages via FCM

analysis. (**B-D**) Analysis of proliferative CD4$^+$ T, CD8$^+$ T and IgM$^+$ B cells by

immunofluorescence staining. Kidney cryosections were stained for EdU (green),

CD4 (red, B), CD8 (red, C) and IgM (red, D), and nuclei (blue) detection.

Fluorescence images were captured using Laser scanning confocal microscope

(FV3000, $60 \times$ oil). White arrowheads point to cells double stained for EdU with CD4,

CD8 or IgM. Scale bars, 10 µm. (**E**) In vivo activation examination of CD4$^+$ and

CD8$^+$ T cells after SVCV stimulation, determined by CD4$^+$CD154$^+$ and CD8$^+$CD154$^+$

T cell percentages via FCM analysis. (**F-G**) RT-qPCR analysis of transcriptional

expression of T/B cell activation-related genes in kidney and spleen under SVCV

stimulation. (**H**) RT-qPCR analysis of B cell SHM-related gene AID (*aicda*)

transcriptional expression in kidney and spleen under SVCV stimulation. (**I**) SHM

analysis in IgM antibody V genes through multiple PCR-based high-throughput

sequencing. Experiments were independently repeated at least three times (\**p* < 0.05,

\*\**p* < 0.01, \*\*\**p* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**p* < 0.0001).