1

Suppression of epithelial proliferation and tumourigenesis by immunoglobulin A

Gregory P. Donaldson[1*], Gabriella L. Reis[1], Marwa Saad[1], Christopher Wichmann[1,2], Izabela

Mamede [1], Guo Chen[1], Nicole L. DelGaudio[1], Dayu Zhang[3], Begüm Aydin[1], Caroline E. Harrer[1], Tiago

B.R. Castro[2], Sergei Grivennikov[4], Bernardo S. Reis[1], Beth M. Stadtmueller[3,5,6], Gabriel D. Victora[2,7],

and Daniel Mucida[1,7*]

[1]Laboratory of Mucosal Immunology, The Rockefeller University, New York, United States

[2]Laboratory of Lymphocyte Dynamics, The Rockefeller University, New York, United States

[3]Department of Biochemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, United States

[4]Departments of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Cedars-Sinai Cancer, Cedars-Sinai Medical

Center, Los Angeles, United States

[5]Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, USA

[6]Department of Biomedical and Translational Sciences, Carle Illinois College of Medicine,

University of Illinois, Urbana, USA

[7]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University, New York, United States

*Materials & Correspondence: gdonaldson@rockefeller.edu (G.P.D.) or mucida@rockefeller.edu

(D.M.)

Immunoglobulin A (IgA) is the most abundant antibody isotype produced across mammals and plays a specialized role in mucosal homeostasis[1]. Constantly secreted into the lumen of the intestine, IgA binds commensal microbiota to regulate their colonization and function[2,3] with unclear implications for health. IgA deficiency is common in humans but is difficult to study due to its complex aetiology and comorbidities[4–8]. Using genetically and environmentally controlled mice, here we show that IgA-deficient animals have increased susceptibility to endogenous colorectal tumours. Cellular and molecular analyses revealed that, in the absence of IgA, colonic epithelial cells induce antibacterial factors and accelerate cell cycling in response to the microbiota. Oral treatment with IgA was sufficient to both reduce steady-state proliferation and protect mice from tumours, but this function was due to antibody structure rather than binding specificity. In both organoid and monolayer culture systems, IgA directly suppressed epithelial growth. Co-immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry and a targeted CRISPR screen identified DMBT1 as an IgA-binding epithelial surface protein required for IgA-mediated suppression of proliferation. Together, IgA and DMBT1 regulate Notch signalling and tune the normal cycling of absorptive colonocyte progenitors. In mice, deleting the transmembrane and cytoplasmic signalling portions of DMBT1 or blocking Notch signalling was sufficient to reverse both the increased proliferation and tumour susceptibility of IgA knockouts. These experiments establish a homeostatic function for IgA in tempering physiological epithelial responses to microbiota to maintain mucosal health.

In the absence of infection or disease, IgA is constantly produced in the intestine, where it is secreted into the lumen in the form of secretory IgA (SIgA) and binds commensal microbiota[9]. In humans, selective IgA deficiency is the most common primary immunodeficiency, clinically defined as low (<7 mg/dL) serum IgA levels with normal levels of IgM and IgG[10]. These patients have an increased risk of respiratory/gastrointestinal infections, inflammatory/autoimmune disorders[10], and gastrointestinal cancers[11]. IgA is known to control mucosal pathogens[12–14]; however, the impact of IgA on inflammatory diseases and malignancy remains unclear. Recent studies have indicated that IgA deficiency is associated with changes in the microbiota and systemic inflammation[4,6,7,15], which may be more pronounced in patients with undetectable IgA[8]. A compensatory increase in the other major secreted antibody isotype, IgM, is insufficient to rescue these effects[4]. The association with malignancy, first observed clinically decades ago[16,17], may be related to the influence of specific species of gut microbiota on colon cancer[18–20]. The largest study on IgA deficiency (2,495 patients matched to 24,509 controls) showed double all-cause mortality and an increased risk of colon and prostate cancers[21]. An inverse analysis of patients with gastrointestinal cancer found an increased frequency of IgA deficiency[22]. Among patients with combined variable immunodeficiency, those with colon cancer were more likely to have a deficiency in IgA[23]. Despite these associations, the polygenic nature of IgA deficiency, clinical criteria based on an arbitrary cutoff for serum (not mucosal) concentration, and various comorbidities thwart the attribution of these health effects to isotype-specific functions.

Most known functions of intestinal IgA have been discovered using mouse models, showing that these antibodies prevent mucosal infections[12–14] and affect the form and function of the gut microbiome[24–31]. IgA can be polyreactive[32,33], cross-reactive[34,35], or species-specific[36], allowing binding to a substantial fraction of the mammalian microbiota at the cellular level. The effects on bacteria can vary; IgA controls *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* gene expression[37,38], *Salmonella enterica* swimming motility[14], *Bacteroides fragilis* mucosal anchoring[39], and *Escherichia coli* surface receptor activities[40]. However, beyond limiting invasive pathogenesis, mechanisms connecting microbiota-IgA interactions to health and disease are lacking. An isotype-specific knockout mouse model (*Igha*$^{-/-}$, hereafter referred to as IgA$^{-/-}$) causing total IgA deficiency was established more than 20 years ago[41]. This mutation causes an increase in serum and mucosal IgM[41], which is often observed in human IgA deficiency[4]. IgA$^{-/-}$ mice have a few described phenotypes[13] and are largely indistinguishable from wild-type controls in a dextran sodium sulfate colitis model[42], suggesting that IgA is dispensable for the normal intestinal barrier. In contrast, polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (pIgR) knockouts, which are unable to actively

transport antibodies to the lumen of the intestine, are more susceptible to colitis[42], highlighting

the importance of compensatory IgM or other functions of pIgR in supporting the barrier. pIgR

binds dimeric IgA or pentameric IgM and directs their transcytosis to the apical surface, where

proteases cleave the receptor to release the antibody into the lumen. The antibody-binding

ectodomain of pIgR, known as the secretory component, is secreted even in the absence of IgA and

may have independent functions[43,44]. While many secreted host factors interact with bacteria to

reinforce the epithelial barrier, IgA may have more nuanced roles, as reflected in the perplexing

epidemiology of human IgA deficiency.

Impact of IgA deficiency during steady state and cancer models

To study the direct physiological consequences of IgA deficiency and the fundamental isotype-

specific functions of IgA in the gut, female IgA$^{+/-}$ mice were bred with IgA$^{-/-}$ males to control for

the effects of maternal milk IgA on normal immune development[45]. IgA$^{+/-}$ mice had comparable

levels of faecal IgA to IgA$^{+/+}$ littermates (**Extended Data Fig. 1a-b**), indicating that heterozygotes

can serve as a normal control. Co-housed littermates were used in all experiments to minimize

environmental variables and normalize the microbiome through both vertical and horizontal

transmission[46]. For an unbiased analysis of possible IgA effects on the region of the gut with the

highest concentration of gut bacteria[47], mid-colon tissues were sampled at steady state for bulk

RNA-Seq (**Fig. 1a**). Overall, the number of differentially expressed genes was modest and there

was no evidence of overt inflammation in the IgA$^{-/-}$ colon. Nevertheless, IgA$^{-/-}$ mice showed

alterations in many epithelial-related genes, including a decrease in goblet cell markers (*Spink4*,

Fcgbp, *Capn9,* and *Tff3*) and other cell type-specific markers such as *SpiB* (M cell transcription

factor) and *Rgs4* (enteroendocrine cell marker) (**Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 1**). This coincided

with an increase in *Nox1*, a specific marker for the highly proliferative transit-amplifying cells[48],

with concordant increases in general cell cycling-related pathways and specific genes (*Mcc* and

Sass6) (**Fig. 1a**). These results point to a physiological role for IgA in balancing proliferation and

differentiation in the intestinal epithelium in steady state conditions.

Next, we used a murine model of endogenous colon cancer as a perturbation to test for further

effects of IgA revealed by the disease state. IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were crossed with a strain harbouring a

Cdx2 promoter-driven, tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase and loxP-flanked alleles of the

tumour suppressor *APC*, which is mutated in more than 90% of human colorectal tumours[49,50].

This allows for temporally controlled homozygous knockout of *APC* in a fraction of colonic

epithelial cells (**Fig. 1b**). To compare the steady-state transcriptome changes observed in IgA$^{-/-}$

Fig. 1 | IgA⁻ᐟ⁻ mice have pro-tumour alterations to colon tissue and increased susceptibility to endogenous colorectal cancer models. a, Bulk RNA-Seq of colon tissue from IgA⁺ᐟ⁻ and IgA⁻ᐟ⁻ mice during steady state revealed upregulation of transit-amplifying cell markers and downregulation of goblet cell markers (n = 5). **b**, Experimental design for studies of IgA in the context of epithelial-specific loss of *APC*. **c**, Gene set enrichment analyses indicated a pro-cancerous phenotype of IgA⁻ᐟ⁻ mice that was exacerbated after APC loss (n = 5 steady, 4 APC, visible dots only for gene sets where adjusted p < 0.05). **d-h**, On day 28, mice were sacrificed and assessed for colon length, number of colon tumours, total tumour load, and average tumour size (pooled, 4 cohorts, n = 19, 17). **i**, Experimental design for azoxymethane (AOM) and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) model of colorectal cancer. **j-m**, Mice were sacrificed at day 100 to assess tumour outcomes as in **e-h** (pooled 2 cohorts, n = 9, 8 except panel m includes only mice with tumours: n = 3, 7). **n**, Experimental design and example images for colonoscope-guided implant of quadruple-knockout (*APC*, *Kras*, *P53*, *Smad4*) organoid cells. **o**, Tumour growth was tracked longitudinally by colonoscope and scored based on the size of the tumour relative to the colon circumference (pooled 2 cohorts, n = 8, 6, details in methods). **p**, After 8 weeks, mice were sacrificed to assess the average number of tumours per injection (not all injections result in implantation) and **q**, tumour size (n = 10). (t-tests, p values hidden when p > 0.1)

colon samples, we harvested tissue for the same analysis at an early, pre-tumour time point (**Fig.**

1b-c). Despite the synchronized loss of APC in these co-housed littermates, there were substantial

(hundreds of genes) differences in gene expression patterns between IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ animals

(**Extended Data Fig. 1c, Supplementary Table 2**). Specifically, pathways related to epithelial cell

proliferation, APC mutation, and human colorectal cancer were enhanced in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice (**Fig. 1c**).

At the pathway level, modest alterations at steady state in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice mirrored the more dramatic

phenotype induced by the APC mutation (**Fig. 1c**). Analysis of the specific genes altered during

steady state showed that most of these IgA-modulated genes were also modulated by *APC* loss,

with nearly perfect directional concordance between IgA deficiency and *APC* loss (**Extended Data**

Fig. 1d). These molecular results were predictive of gross morphological outcomes (**Fig. 1d**).

While IgA$^{+/+}$ and IgA$^{+/-}$ mice were indistinguishable (**Extended Data Fig. 1e**), IgA$^{-/-}$ mice had

shortened colons (**Fig. 1e**), increased number of tumours (**Fig. 1f**), and increased total tumour

load (**Fig. 1g**) when compared to cohoused IgA$^{+/-}$ littermates. The size of the tumours appeared to

slightly increase (**Fig. 1h**), but the effect size on the number of tumours was much more

substantial. Though this model is dependent on inflammation-driven tumour growth[49,50], faecal

lipocalin as a measure of inflammation (**Extended Data Fig. 1f**), and expression levels of

cytokines in bulk RNA-Seq (**Extended Data Fig. 1g**) showed no differences in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice,

suggesting that the impact of IgA was not related to general increases in inflammatory signals.

These molecular and tumour analyses indicate that IgA$^{-/-}$ mice are more susceptible to

endogenous colorectal cancer. This effect may be due to alterations in the steady state that

promote tumourigenesis.

To expand on these findings, IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were subjected to an independent colorectal

cancer model in which injection of the mutagen azoxymethane is followed by three rounds of

exposure to dextran sodium sulfate (AOM-DSS) in their drinking water (**Fig. 1i**), mimicking colitis-

associated CRC[50]. IgA$^{-/-}$ mice had similar colon length (**Fig. 1j**) but showed more tumours (**Fig.**

1k) and higher overall tumour load (**Fig. 1l**) than IgA$^{+/-}$ controls. As previously reported[42], IgA$^{-/-}$

mice were slightly more susceptible to DSS-induced weight loss (**Extended Data Fig. 1h**). While

most IgA$^{-/-}$ mice developed tumours even when exposed to a relatively low dose of DSS (1.5%), a

minority of IgA$^{+/-}$ mice developed tumours (**Extended Data Fig. 1i**). The average tumour size was

comparable among the tumour-bearing animals (**Fig. 1m**). These results are consistent with the

APC-driven model, suggesting a specific role for IgA in tumourigenesis, but not growth. To directly

test whether IgA affects tumour growth, IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were subjected to a model that

bypasses the transformation stage. Mouse colon organoids with four cancer-related gene

mutations (*APC*, *Kras*, *P53*, *Smad4*) were dissociated into single cells, injected by colonoscope directly into the colon wall, and monitored every two weeks by colonoscopy (**Fig. 1n**). These implanted tumours grew at a similar rate (**Fig. 1o**), with a similar implantation success frequency (**Fig. 1p**), and were similar in size at the endpoint (**Fig. 1q**). Overall, our data indicate that IgA has no apparent role in controlling tumour progression but does actively regulate tumourigenesis in the colon.

The role of IgA-bacteria interactions on tumour susceptibility

As the primary function of IgA at steady state is to regulate the composition and function of the microbiota, we investigated whether IgA$^{-/-}$ mice harbour a pro-tumour microbiome despite being cohoused with littermates. The role of bacteria was first assessed by treating mice with a cocktail of broad-spectrum antibiotics (ampicillin, vancomycin, metronidazole, and neomycin) throughout the experiment (**Fig. 2a**). This treatment reduced tumour numbers in all mice, as previously reported in this model[49], but also prevented the heightened tumour phenotype observed in the untreated IgA$^{-/-}$ mice (**Fig. 2b**). To profile the bacterial microbiome and identify IgA-dependent changes that might explain the observations in Fig. 1, 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing was performed in healthy mice at steady state and two weeks after *APC* deletion. IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were indistinguishable from littermate controls when co-housed throughout the experiment (**Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 2a**). *APC* loss caused a shift in the microbiome, with a loss of diversity, including the depletion of species within the common mouse commensal family *Muribaculaceae* (**Fig. 2c**). This coincided with the outgrowth of *Shigella* and *Helicobacter* species (**Fig. 2c**), both of which have been implicated in colorectal cancer[18–20]. During this dramatic change, IgA still had no apparent influence on the cohoused conditions of these experiments (**Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 2a**). As some IgA-mediated alterations have previously been shown to be mucus-specific[26,39], colon mucus was sampled aseptically for microbiome profiling. This analysis successfully resolved the spatial differences in lumen and mucosal community compositions (**Extended Data Fig. 2a-b**)[47] and revealed that some commensal mucosal species of *Akkermansia* and *Bacteroides* were resilient to *APC* loss (**Extended Data Fig. 2b**). However, even in mucus, no differences were apparent between IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ samples (**Extended Data Fig. 2a-b**). A statistical test for differential abundance of amplicon sequence variants in microbial communities (ANCOM)[51] revealed no differences between IgA genotypes in the colon lumen or mucus, at steady state, or in the context of *APC* loss (**Extended Data Fig. 2c**). To further test whether the regulation of specific bacterial species is responsible for the effect of IgA on tumour susceptibility, IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ *Cdx2^{CreER}APC$^{fl/fl}$* mice were c-section re-derived in germ-free isolators, bred to generate littermate

Fig. 2 | Role of the microbiome and IgA structure in tumourigenesis. a, Experimental design for broad spectrum antibiotic treatment (ampicillin, vancomycin, neomycin, metronidazole) to assess the role of microbiome in tumour development in the context of IgA deficiency and *APC* loss. **b**, Total tumour load was dramatically reduced by antibiotic treatment in both IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ mice to similar levels (pooled 2 cohorts, n = 9, 8). **c**, Heatmap of amplicon sequence variants (columns) identified in colon lumen samples from indicated mice (rows) demonstrates dramatic shift caused by *APC* loss, but no effect of IgA (pooled 2 cohorts, n = 9, 6, 7, 6). **d**, Experimental design for gnotobiotic colon cancer model with a defined microbial community (oligo-MM12)[52] and **e**, corresponding tumour load. **f**, Experimental design for gavage treatments to prevent tumourigenesis in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice in the context of *APC* loss. **g**, Denaturing gel of sterile faecal extract and resulting purified faecal IgA. **h-k**, Total tumour load following *APC* loss in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice treated with **h**, indicated sterile faecal extracts from healthy donor mice (pooled 2 cohorts, n = 6), **i**, purified faecal IgA from healthy donor mice (pooled two cohorts, n = 4, 5), **j**, purified faecal IgA from germfree mice mono-colonized with *Bacteroides fragilis* (pooled two cohorts, n = 6), **k**, recombinant CR9114 anti-influenza HA SIgA (n = 3, 6), or **l**, structural variants of recombinant antibody (n = 9, 5, 5, 3, 5, 4, 5, 5). (Shaded areas in tumour load plots represent the 95% confidence interval of tumour load for IgA$^{+/-}$, blue, and IgA$^{-/-}$, yellow, mice from Fig. 1, t-tests or one-way ANOVA, p values hidden when p > 0.1)

controls that all received maternal IgA (**Extended Data Fig. 1b**), and acutely colonized with a

twelve species defined gut microbiome[52] prior to inducing *APC* loss (**Fig. 2d**). Although all mice

developed fewer tumours with this defined microbiome compared to SPF mice, IgA^{−/−} littermates

still developed comparatively more tumours (**Fig. 2e**). Collectively, these experiments involving

both measurement and manipulation of the microbiome are consistent with IgA acting on a

pathway that is dependent on bacterial colonization but does not relate to the control of particular

pro- or anti-tumour species.

To begin to dissect the mechanism by which IgA prevents tumourigenesis, sufficiency experiments

were performed to rescue IgA^{−/−} mice by oral treatment (**Fig. 2f**). First, sterile-filtered faecal

extracts (containing proteins and soluble metabolites, **Fig. 2g**) from healthy mice were used for

daily oral gavage starting prior to the induction of *APC* loss. Although treatment was ceased 3

weeks prior to measuring tumours, extracts from IgA^{+/−} but not IgA^{−/−} mice were sufficient to

reduce the tumour load in IgA^{−/−} recipients (**Fig. 2h**). To test whether this impact is conferred by

the antibodies or other components of the extract, secretory IgA was purified from the faeces of

healthy mice (**Fig. 2g**) and gavaged daily in the same timeframe. The polyclonal IgA preparation

from healthy mouse faeces was also sufficient to protect IgA^{−/−} mice from increased tumours (**Fig.**

2i). This IgA oral treatment model allowed for the manipulation of antigen specificity, first by

purifying IgA from the faeces of germ-free Swiss Webster mice mono-colonized with the model

commensal species *Bacteroides fragilis*. Despite the restricted mucosal antigen exposure under

these conditions, IgA purified from these mice was also sufficient to protect IgA^{−/−} mice from

increased tumour load (**Fig. 2j**). Additionally, analyses of recipient faeces during this treatment

revealed no coating of the microbiota (**Extended Data Fig. 3a**), raising the possibility that the

effect on tumourigenesis is independent of bacterial binding. Consistent with this, recombinant

monoclonal secretory IgA specific for influenza HA (CR9114) protected IgA^{−/−} mice from increased

tumour formation (**Fig. 2k**). The functionality of this recombinant antibody allowed the

investigation of the structural requirements for tumourigenesis suppression. While either

secretory or dimeric IgA treatments were sufficient to provide protection, neither the secretory

component nor truncated secretory IgA without Fabs, IgG, or monomeric IgA was sufficient (**Fig.**

2l). Oral treatments that reduced tumour load mainly reduced the number rather than the size of

tumours (**Extended Data Fig. 3b-e**). The dependence of this impact on structure, but not antigen

specificity, suggests that IgA might more directly interact with cells that become tumours: the

colon epithelium.

Impact of IgA on epithelial cells

To further investigate how IgA may affect the steady-state epithelium to influence the probability of tumourigenesis, mid-colon epithelial cells were sorted (live CD45- EPCAM+, **Extended Data Fig. 4a**) for single-cell transcriptomics from three IgA$^{+/-}$ mice and three IgA$^{-/-}$ littermates (**Fig. 3a-b**). Clusters were identified based on known markers, such as stem (*Lgr5+*) and transit-amplifying (*Mki67*) cells partially overlapping in clusters 0, 5, and 9, and goblet cells in clusters 6, 8, and 10 expressing the secretory progenitor marker *Atoh1* (**Fig 3a-b**, **Extended Data Fig. 4b**). In terms of cellular composition, IgA$^{-/-}$ mice had more cells in clusters 1 and 5 (**Fig. 3c-d**). Cluster 5 represents cycling or early post-mitotic progenitors, as they express the cycling markers *Mki67* and *Nox1* and the canonical Notch target *Hes1* (**Fig. 3b**), which further identifies them as progenitors of the absorptive lineage[53]. The lack of *Muc2* expression is further evidence of their undifferentiated state (**Extended Data Fig. 4b**). Cluster 1 represents a more mature population of colonocytes, however they lack expression of *Aqp8*, the canonical marker for the absorptive cells. Instead, these clusters, as well as their intermediate cluster 4, were marked by the expression of antimicrobials such as *Dmbt1*, *Reg3b*, and *Reg3g* (**Fig. 3b, d**), indicating that they play a more immune-related role. In terms of gene expression, while only a handful of differentially expressed genes distinguished cells from mice by the IgA genotype (**Supplementary Table 3**), these three antimicrobials were the most differentially expressed, with colonocytes from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice expressing higher levels (**Fig. 3e-f, Extended Data Fig. 4c**). These specific genes were previously found to be induced at the single-cell level by bacterial colonization of germ-free mice[54]. At the pathway level, epithelial cells from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice showed a decrease in interferon signalling and an increase in antimicrobial responses, but not a general inflammatory response (**Fig. 3g, Extended Data Fig. 4d**). Pathways related to proliferation and central metabolism were upregulated in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice, while adhesion and tight junction pathways had cell type-specific changes (**Fig. 3g**). The highly proliferative cluster 9 exhibited enrichment of the pre-notch reactome in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice (**Fig. 3g**), indicating these cells are beginning to express Notch proteins, steering the cycling cells toward an absorptive fate in the expanded cluster 5. Collectively, analysis of the colon epithelial transcriptome at the single-cell level in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice revealed specific changes in epithelial development related to the expansion of the absorptive progenitor population and enhanced antimicrobial functions.

Fig. 3 | Cellular changes in the colon epithelium of IgA⁻/⁻ mice. a, UMAP and clustering of sorted single colon epithelial cell (live, CD45-, EPCAM+) transcriptomes from IgA⁺/⁻ and IgA⁻/⁻ littermates (n = 3 mice per group). **b**, Enrichment of genes of interest across clusters. **c**, Frequency of each cluster of epithelial cells, revealing an increase in cluster 1 and 5 in IgA⁻/⁻ mice. **d**, Expression pattern of *Reg3b*, the most differentially expressed gene between IgA⁺/⁻ and IgA⁻/⁻ littermates is also associated with cluster 1 and 5. **e-f**, Expression of antimicrobials *Reg3b* and *Dmbt1* in all cells by genotype. **g**, Gene set enrichment analyses across all cells and within individual clusters based on IgA genotype revealed a switch from interferon signalling to anti-bacterial pathways, increases in cell cycling, and alterations in intracellular signalling processes. Dots are visible where adjusted p value < 0.05, size of dot represents the number of genes in the pathway, and color represents the normalized enrichment score of that pathway (IgA⁻/⁻-enriched is positive, red). **h**, Fluorescence microscopy of frozen sections of swiss-rolled mid-colon from mice injected with EdU at 24 and 4 hours prior. **i**, Quantification of EdU positive cells per crypt, and **j**, maximum distance of EdU positive cells from the crypt base, averaged across at least 20 crypts and 5 frames of view per animal (n = 4). **k**, Flow cytometry of colon epithelial cells (CD45-, EPCAM+) with intracellular staining of the cell cycle marker Ki67. **l**, Quantification of the frequency of Ki67+ epithelial cells depending on IgA genotype (n = 4). **m**, ELISA of lysate of isolated colon epithelial cells specific for phosphorylated ERK normalized to the level of total ERK (n = 4). **n**, Ki67 assessment by flow cytometry as before, but IgA⁻/⁻ mice were gavaged daily with 2.5 μg SIgA for one week (n = 3, 4), or **o**, were rederived germfree (n = 3) (t-tests, p values hidden when p > 0.1).

We directly tested whether the developmental and cellularity changes observed by single-cell transcriptomics are due to an overall alteration in the rate of epithelial proliferation in the absence of IgA. Mice were injected with 5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU), which is incorporated into the DNA of dividing cells, 24 h and 4 h before tissue harvest to label all cells proliferating within the timeframe. Using a counterstain of EPCAM (green) to visualize crypt structures, EdU+ cells (pink) were quantified in the frozen sections (**Fig. 3h**). Sections from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice had increased numbers of EdU+ cells per crypt (**Fig. 3i**), as well as a greater distance travelled by EdU+ cells away from their origin at the crypt base (**Fig. 3j**), both consistent with an increased overall rate of epithelial proliferation. Isolated epithelial cells from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice also had a higher frequency of intracellular Ki67 measured by flow cytometry (**Fig. 3k-l**), and a higher ratio of phosphorylated ERK normalized to total ERK measured by ELISA (**Fig. 3m**), both independently confirming accelerated epithelial proliferation. Gavage treatment of IgA$^{-/-}$ mice with monoclonal anti-influenza SIgA was sufficient to reduce Ki67 staining to the level observed in IgA$^{+/-}$ littermates (**Fig. 3n**). As colon epithelial cycling was previously shown to be a physiological response to microbial stimulation through TLR signalling[55], IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were rederived germ-free to test whether enhanced epithelial proliferation was dependent on the microbiota. In these animals, no IgA-dependent difference was observed in Ki67+ cells measured by flow cytometry (**Fig. 3o**) or in EdU+ cells imaged in frozen sections (**Extended Data Fig. 5a**). This set of experiments establishes that IgA controls colon epithelial cell cycling in mice through a pathway that is dependent on microbiota but not antigen-specificity.

Plausibly, IgA might impact epithelial proliferation through a direct interaction, which would make antigen specificity irrelevant. To test this possibility, an *in vitro* entero-organoid culture system starting with primary isolated colon crypts was used to mimic normal epithelial developmental processes. Despite the lack of microbial stimulation in culture, high Wnt media and Matrigel optimized to support entero-organoid cultures provide many growth-enhancing signals[56,57]. Colonic crypts isolated from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were cultured as 3-dimensional organoids, and subsequent treatment with SIgA, but not IgG, reduced the number of organoids recovered (**Fig. 4a-b**). Although independent of bacteria in this sterile culture, this effect of IgA is reciprocal to the physiological stimulation provided by the microbiota that accelerates epithelial proliferation *in vivo*[55]. An anti-proliferative effect of IgA was also observed in a mouse colon epithelial cell line, CMT-93, enabling easier testing of various treatments. Treatment with SIgA, but not IgG, monomeric IgA, secretory component, or no-fab SIgA, was sufficient to slow cell growth (**Fig. 4c**).

Fig. 4 | SIgA suppresses epithelial proliferation and tumourigenesis via DMBT1. a, Primary mouse colon organoids were cultured from isolated crypts in the presence of indicated antibody isotypes imaged by brightfield microscopy. **b**, Organoid counting from four independent experiments pooled and normalized to the IgG treatment control (n = 5, 20, 19). **c**, CMT-93 mouse colon epithelial cell line cultured with recombinant antibodies for 5 days (n = 4, representative of two independent experiments). **d**, Purified secretory IgA pulldown and mass spectrometry with epithelial organoid lysate (n = 3). **e-f**, Targeted CRISPR screen to discover epithelial surface proteins that are required for regulation of proliferation identifies DMBT1. **g**, Exogenous expression of *Dmbt1* in HEK293 cells confers the ability to bind secretory IgA on the cell surface as assessed by flow cytometry in cells grown in suspension or **h**, imaging of adherent cells (representative of 3 experiments). **i**, Immunofluorescence of DMBT1 in frozen sections of colon (representative of 3 mice). **j**, Flow cytometry measurement of surface DMBT1 and intracellular Ki67 in epithelial cells (live, CD45-EPCAM+) from SPF mice, germfree mice, and tumours isolated from mice 4 weeks after *APC* loss, summarized as the DMBT1+ frequency out of **k**, all epithelial cells, or **l**, proliferating epithelial cells (n = 10, 5, 10). **m**, Growth rate (as a fraction of wildtype) of CMT-93 cells with CRISPR knockouts of indicated genes (n = 4, 6, 6, 6). **n**, qPCR of canonical Notch target, *Hes1*, in CMT-93 cells treated with indicated antibodies for 24 hours (n = 5, 4, 5, 4, 5, 3). **o**, *Dmbt1* last exon genetic structure, intracellular signalling potential, and strategy for a novel mouse knockout of the transmembrane and signalling domains. **p**, Colon epithelial cell proliferation as assessed by flow cytometry of intracellular Ki67 staining in isolated colon epithelial cells from *Dmbt1* signalling knockout mice (pooled two cohorts, n = 5, 4, 4). **q**, Assessment of tumour outcomes four weeks after epithelial-specific loss of *APC* induced in IgA and *Dmbt1* single and double knockouts (pooled two cohorts, n = 7, 5, 5, 4). **r**, total tumour load of mice treated with the Notch inhibitor, dibenzazepine (pooled two cohorts, n = 7, 11, 7)(one-way ANOVA, p values hidden when p > 0.1).

Several putative immunoglobulin receptors have been reported to be expressed on colon

epithelial cells, but none are known to either bind the secretory form of IgA or regulate

proliferation. For an unbiased look at the scope of secretory IgA interacting partners expressed in

epithelia, mouse colon organoid lysates were probed with SIgA, which was then purified using

magnetic beads. The co-precipitated proteins were analysed by mass spectrometry (**Fig. 4d**).

Compared to the control without SIgA, 54 proteins were significantly enriched (**Supplementary**

Table 4), including the primary components of SIgA: the alpha heavy chain, kappa light chain,

secretory component, and jchain. In total, 26 enriched proteins were immunoglobulin-derived. Of

the remaining proteins, most were intracellular, but two surface proteins were highly enriched:

the antimicrobial DMBT1 and glycoprotein receptor GP2. Interestingly, *Dmbt1* was also one of the

three most highly upregulated genes in colon epithelial cells of IgA$^{-/-}$ mice (*see* **Fig. 3f**), and its

expression was associated with the absorptive progenitor and anti-microbial colonocyte

populations that were expanded in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice (*see* **Fig. 3b, c**). To test whether these candidate

immunoglobulin-binding surface proteins are responsible for SIgA-dependent growth

suppression, guide RNAs were designed for each in a targeted CRISPR screen that included *Pigr*,

Dmbt1, and *Gp2* (**Fig. 4e**). As expected, most of the generated CMT93 cells exhibited slower

growth when incubated with SIgA compared to IgG (**Fig. 4f**), but two guide RNAs eliminated this

phenotype, both of which targeted *Dmbt1*.

DMBT1, a regulator of epithelial proliferation that binds IgA

Dmbt1 (deleted in malignant brain tumours) encodes several large (~200 kDa), highly

glycosylated mucin-like proteins in various animal tissues. The human protein was originally

described as a bacterial agglutinin and was co-purified as a complex with IgA in saliva[58]. The gene

was independently discovered years later and was found to encode a single transmembrane

domain on the final exon in both mice and humans[59]. To test whether the expression of membrane

DMBT1 is sufficient to confer cell surface IgA binding, HEK293 cells were engineered to

overexpress the protein, including the transmembrane domain. Surface expression of the protein

was detected by flow cytometry (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**), and this was sufficient to confer cell

surface binding of SIgA (**Fig. 4g-h**). In mice, DMBT1 protein was evident in the colon epithelium by

immunofluorescence staining (**Fig. 4i**), although the staining was seemingly ubiquitous in the

tissue, likely due to secretion. Flow cytometry confirmed the presence of DMBT1 on the surface of

the colon epithelial cells (**Fig. 4j**). Expression was induced by microbiota, as colonocytes from

germ-free mice exhibited reduced protein levels, as measured by both methods (**Fig. 4i-k**). This is

consistent with the notion that IgA controls proliferation via a microbiota-dependent pathway,

potentially explaining why, in germ-free conditions, IgA$^{-/-}$ mice display no difference in colon

epithelial proliferation (*see* **Fig. 3o**). Cell surface DMBT1 expression was also strongly associated

with proliferation, as almost all Ki67+ epithelial cells were DMBT1+ (**Fig. 4l**). This association was

lost in tumour cells isolated from the *APC*-driven model (**Fig. 4l**), which is consistent with the

inability of IgA to regulate tumour growth. Next, we explored the functional relationship between

DMBT1 and epithelial proliferation using *in vitro* and novel mouse models.

Dmbt1 $^{-/-}$ epithelial cell lines had slowed growth, indicating that DMBT1 is an activator of

proliferation (**Fig. 4m**). As our analysis of IgA impacts on colon epithelial cells implicated the

Notch-dependent growth of absorptive progenitors[60] (**Fig. 3b-c**), we assessed the expression of

the canonical Notch target, *Hes1*, in the reductionist *in vitro* model. *Hes1* expression was

substantially decreased upon DMBT1 knockout, linking DMBT1 to Notch ligand binding or signal

transduction (**Fig. 4n**). Additionally, treatment of wild-type cells with SIgA, but not IgG, had the

same effect on Notch signalling (**Fig. 4n**). However, treatment of *Dmbt1*$^{-/-}$ cells with SIgA had no

further effect, placing DMBT1 downstream of SIgA in a pathway regulating Notch-dependent

proliferation. Thus, DMBT1 has potential intracellular signalling activity that is likely distinct from

its antimicrobial functions.

To specifically interfere with its role as a signalling receptor, we designed a mouse carrying a

mutation in the last exon of *Dmbt1* that removed its transmembrane domain and cytoplasmic tail

(**Fig. 4o**). To test the role of DMBT1 in specific IgA-related phenotypes *in vivo*, we crossed this

transmembrane knockout to IgA$^{-/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ *Cdx2^{CreER}APC$^{fl/fl}$* mice. Compared to littermate

heterozygous controls, *Dmbt1* $^{-/-}$ mice showed decreased proliferation (**Fig. 4p**), consistent with

its role as an activator of proliferation. IgA$^{-/-}$ mice in this background showed no increase in

proliferation, indicating that DMBT1 activation of proliferation is required for IgA modulation

(**Fig. 4p**). Finally, we tested the role of DMBT1 in IgA$^{-/-}$ susceptibility to *APC*-driven tumours.

While IgA$^{-/-}$ mice still exhibited increased tumours in a *Dmbt1$^{+/-}$* context, knockout of *Dmbt1*

signalling in littermates completely reverted IgA$^{-/-}$ susceptibility (**Fig. 4q**). The epistatic nature of

interfering with DMBT1 signalling *in vivo* is consistent with this being the mechanism whereby IgA

suppresses epithelial proliferation and tumourigenesis.

We are left with a pathway in which IgA binds to and suppresses functions of DMBT1, which itself

stimulates Notch-dependent epithelial proliferation, increasing the probability of tumour

initiation. This would predict that the susceptibility of IgA$^{-/-}$ mice to colorectal tumours is

effectively Notch-dependent. Gamma secretase inhibitors such as dibenzazepine (DBZ) prevent

Notch receptor cleavage and have been shown to reduce proliferation of intestinal epithelial

cells[61]. We designed an experiment to inhibit Notch in IgA$^{+/-}$ or IgA$^{-/-}$ *Cdx2^{CreER}APC$^{fl/fl}$* mice within

the early therapeutic window used for oral treatment with IgA (**Extended Data Fig. 5c**). While

this low-dose treatment just 5 days before and 5 days after APC loss did not affect the tumour

outcome in IgA$^{+/-}$ mice, IgA$^{-/-}$ littermates were now indistinguishable (**Fig. 4r**). This confirms that

Notch-dependent outgrowth of absorptive progenitors during steady state, initially observed by

single-cell RNA-Seq (**Fig. 3**), is responsible for increased tumourigenesis in IgA$^{-/-}$ mice.

Discussion

We found that by modulating DMBT1-induced proliferation of colon epithelial cells, IgA in the

lumen of the gut lowers the risk of colon tumour initiation in mouse models. IgA was previously

reported to suppress the growth of ovarian tumours through interactions with immune cells and

pIgR, which is highly expressed in ovarian tumours[62]. In contrast, pIgR is dramatically

downregulated in human colorectal tumours[63], and we observed an effect of IgA only on

physiological cell growth with no dependence on *Pigr*, supporting the concept of distinct functions

of IgA in each tissue context. Faecal IgA levels have been reported to be elevated in colorectal

cancer patients[64], although the functional roles of IgA in colorectal cancer have been mostly

unexplored. Consistent with our model, a recent study independently reported the susceptibility

of IgA$^{-/-}$ mice to AOM-DSS-induced tumours[65]. Unlike other body sites, there is substantial

evidence for a bacterial role in tumour initiation in the colon[66], which may be related to

physiological interactions between the microbiota and epithelium and mediator molecules such as

IgA and DMBT1. Interventions that can prevent tumour emergence in gastrointestinal cancers are

lacking beyond general guidance regarding healthy diet and lifestyle, although post-polypectomy

patients present a potential therapeutic window that has yet to be exploited. In an extensive

proteomics analysis of colon polyp biopsies, DMBT1 loss at the protein level distinguished

dysplasia from normal epithelium and predicted future progression to cancer[67], confirming earlier

reports that DMBT1 protein loss in tissue was associated with poor prognosis in both colorectal[68]

and prostate[69] cancers. This is also consistent with our findings in animal models that DMBT1 is

associated with physiological proliferation and absorptive lineage development, whereas

transformed adenomas with dysregulated Wnt will escape DMBT1-IgA regulation of Notch-

dependent growth.

Despite these effects on tumourigenesis, the main function of IgA-DMBT1 in the regulation of cell cycling seems to be homeostatic. Just as IgA is produced in response to microbial colonization, DMBT1 is known as an antimicrobial that is regulated by bacterial signals transduced via NOD2 and Toll-like Receptors[70]. Induction of epithelial expression of DMBT1 by bacteria has been demonstrated not only in mammals[54] but also in corals[71], suggesting an evolutionarily ancient (preceding immunoglobulin) relationship between this receptor and epithelial responses to microbiota. DMBT1 was previously shown to promote proliferation of endothelial cells (also Notch-dependent)[72] and was correlated with epithelial proliferation in the context of *Citrobacter rodentium* pathogenesis[73]. As part of an inflammatory response, DMBT1 is upregulated in both Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis, and total DMBT1 knockout mice were found to be more susceptible to mouse models of colitis[74]. This and other induced antimicrobial functions of epithelial cells must be regulated at steady state to avoid overreaction to the commensal microbiota. The present study establishes a homeostatic feedback mechanism whereby IgA inhibits the ability of DMBT1 to activate epithelial proliferation. In the absence of IgA, DMBT1 induces the proliferation of absorptive progenitors with antimicrobial functions. This mechanism may also explain early microarray experiments of small intestinal samples from B cell-deficient, IgA$^{-/-}$, and *Pigr*$^{-/-}$ mice, which detected increased expression of genes encoding antimicrobial peptides[24,75]. While the role of IgA in shaping microbiota form and function is well established, this direct interaction with epithelial cells via DMBT1 seems to be a parallel function. As microbial stimulation of epithelial cells provides an "accelerate" signal for proliferation and antimicrobial function, IgA feedback appears to be a "brake" to modulate these behaviours, closing the homeostatic loop of host responses to microbiota.

The incredible quantity of IgA secreted into the lumen at steady state is sufficient to support multiple functions. Despite coating a substantial fraction of the microbiota at the cellular level, IgA remains in excess at the luminal surface. It is estimated that approximately 95% of IgA in the intestinal lumen is unbound[76] and is, therefore, presumably available for epithelial feedback. Alterations in epithelial responses to microbiota may be a primary consequence of total mucosal IgA deficiency, a human condition that remains mysterious and difficult to study. The impacts of IgA on microbiota, as well as on epithelia described here, may intersect with other genetic and environmental factors to manifest in patient-specific outcomes[4,7,10,11,77].

Author contributions

G.P.D. and D.M. conceived and initiated the project. G.P.D. designed and performed most of the experiments, with guidance from D.M.. G.L.R. performed organoid experiments, purified IgA, performed the pull-down assay, and assisted with most of the experiments. M.S. performed the colonoscopy procedures and cultured organoids. C.W. assisted with tissue culture and binding experiments. G.P.D. analyzed 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, I.M. analyzed single-cell RNA-Seq, and G.C. analyzed bulk RNA-Seq, with guidance and analysis oversight from T.B.R.C. N.L.D. assisted with flow cytometry and tissue culture experiments and C.H. assisted with fluorescence imaging. D.Z. and B.M.S. designed and produced recombinant secretory component and IgA variants. G.P.D., B.A., and B.S.R designed and executed the epithelial cell sorting and single-cell RNA-Seq. S.G., B.S.R., S.F.T., B.M.S., G.D.V., and D.M. supervised and guided the experimental design and interpretation. G.P.D. and D.M. wrote the manuscript, and all authors edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank S. Tavazoie (Rockefeller), J. Faith (Mt. Sinai), D. Wesemann (Brigham and Women's), M. Koch (Fred Hutchinson), L. Mesin (Rockefeller), and members of the Mucida and Victora labs at Rockefeller University for useful discussions. S. Leonard assisted with protein purifications at University of Illinois. Germ-free mice were generated and maintained by A. Rogoz at Rockefeller University. DMBT1 transmembrane-knockout mice were generated by J. Bortolatto at Rockefeller University. All animals were monitored and cared for by the staff of the Comparative Bioscience Center at Rockefeller University. Sequencing was performed at the Genomics Resource Center of Rockefeller University (RRID:SCR_020986). Proteomics data were generated by the Proteomics Resource Center at Rockefeller University (RRID:SCR_017797) with assistance from H. Molina using instrumentation funded by the Sohn Conferences Foundation and the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. G.P.D. was a Robert Black Fellow of the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (DRG-2362-19). G.L.R. was supported by a scholarship from Fundação Maria Emília (Brazil). Project initiation was supported by pilot grants from the Rockefeller University Center for Basic and Translational Research on Disorders of the Digestive System through the generosity of the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust and the Shapiro-Silverberg Fund for the Advancement of Translational Research to G.P.D. The project was funded by grants from the NIH to the B.M.S.. (R01AI165570) from the NIH to G.D.V. and D.M. (R01AI157137), and D.M. (U54CA261701). G.D.V. and D.M. are investigators of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Mice

All mice were housed at the Rockefeller University and handled in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and under the ethical guidelines within the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. C57BL/6J (000664) and *Cdx2^{CreER}* (022390) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. *IgA^{−/−}* mice on a C57BL/6J background were provided by Margaret Conner (Baylor) and *APC^{fl/fl}* mice on a C57BL/6J background were provided by Sergei Grivennikov (Cedars-Sinai). These mice were housed in Specific Pathogen Free conditions on a fixed 12 hour light-dark cycle with food and water provided *ad libitum*. *IgA^{−/−}* mice were rederived by c-section into germfree flexible film isolators (Class Biologically Clean) that were routinely monitored by quantitative real time PCR. Germfree mice were housed with a fixed 12 hour light-dark cycle with autoclaved food and water provided *ad libitum*. The genotype of mice was determined by quantitative real time PCR analyses by Transnetyx. The IgA genotype of mice was routinely cross-checked using ELISA or flow cytometry. For experiments comparing IgA^{+/−} to IgA^{−/−}, littermate, sex-matched controls were co-housed from weaning through the duration. During data collection, mice were identified only by an experiment name, cage number, and ear punch, which was matched to genotype post hoc. Experiments were initiated when mice were aged 8-10 weeks, unless otherwise noted. Both male and female mice were used for all experiments, analyzed separately, and then pooled if no sex differences emerged. Estrous cycle was not controlled for.

Murine cancer models

For all cancer models, animals were monitored daily for sickness behavior and weighed at least twice per week to assess health and maintain a humane endpoint if weight loss exceeded 20% of their initial weight. For the APC model, *Cdx2^{CreER}APC^{fl/fl}* mice were injected intraperitoneally at day 0 and day 3 with 0.8 mg tamoxifen (Sigma) from a stock solution at 4 mg/ml dissolved in corn oil (Sigma). After 4 weeks (day 28), mice were sacrificed to count and measure tumours. For the AOM-DSS model, mice were injected intraperitoneally with 12.5 mg/kg azoxymethane (AOM) at day 0. Exposure to 1.5% dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) in drinking water replaced their normal water for 1 week starting at day 0. This was followed by a 2 week break, a second DSS exposure for 1 week, a 2 week break, and a third exposure for 1 week. Animals were sacrificed at day 100 to

measure and count tumours. Lipocalin-2 was measured using the Mouse Lipocalin-2/NGAL

DuoSet ELISA kit from R&D Systems (Cat. DY1857) according to manufacturer's protocol. For the

pre-cancerous organoid implant model, IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were injected with 1.5 x10^5 cells of

AKPS organoids (*APC*, *Kras*(G12D), *P53*, *Smad4*; generated in Sergei Grivennikov's laboratory).

Cells were injected over 3 injections (5 x10^4 cells in 40 uL each), spaced $\sim$ 0.5 cm apart in the

distal colon and rectum wall using a colonoscope (Karl Storz, Model TC300) with a custom-made

33-gauge needle (Hamilton Company). AKPS organoids were cultured by resuspending organoid

cells in 50% matrigel (Corning) in cold ADF$^+$ media (Advanced DMEM F12 (Invitrogen)

supplemented with 5% heat inactivated FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin-

Glutamine (Invitrogen), 1% HEPES (Invitrogen)). In a 6-well plate, 40 uL droplets of cell

suspension were plated and incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes, followed by adding 3 mL of pre-

warmed ADF$^+$ media. To prepare cells for injections, AKPS organoids were dissociated with cold

ADF$^+$ media to break down matrigel, and spun down at 350g for 5 minutes. Organoid pellet was

resuspended in Tryple Express Enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated at 37°C for 30

minutes with shaking at 120 rpm. Organoids were further broken down into cells by pipetting

multiple times with P1000, followed by washing with ADF$^+$ media and spinning down at 350g for

5 minutes. Cells were resuspended in ADF$^+$ media for counting, then volume was further adjusted

to keep cells at 1250 cells/uL in 10% matrigel, 1% filter-sterilized Methylene Blue (Biopharm,

Amazon), and 10 uM Y27632 (Stemcell Technologies). Implanted tumours were monitored every

two weeks using the colonoscope and were scored according to a previously published system (1:

small but detectable tumour, 2: tumour covering one-eighth of colon circumference, 3: tumour

covering one-fourth of colon circumference, 4: tumour covering one-half of colon

circumference)[78].

Interventions in APC cancer model

For antibiotic depletion of the microbiome, mice were provided with sterile-filtered drinking

water with 1 mg/ml ampicillin, 1 mg/ml neomycin, 0.5 mg/ml vancomycin, and 0.5 mg/ml

metronidazole, supplemented with 10 mg/ml sucralose for palatability. For oral gavage

treatments to rescue IgA deficiency, mice were subjected to a daily intra-gastric gavage with 2.5 µg

of IgA (or the equivalent) in 200 µl PBS. For Notch inhibition, mice were given a daily 3 µmol/kg

intraperitoneal injection of dibenzazepine (DBZ) (StemCell Technologies) which was first

dissolved as a 10 µmol/ml in DMSO stock solution (kept frozen at -20C) and then diluted in 0.5%

hydroxypropyl methylcellulose for injection.

Bulk RNA-Seq

Working on ice with one animal at a time until samples were homogenized by bead beating to

stabilize RNA, mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, the colon was dissected, cut open

longitudinally, and washed 3 times in PBS to remove lumen contents. An approximately 0.5 cm x

0.5 cm sample of tissue from the mid-colon (3 cm distal from the cecal junction) was collected in a

Lysing Matrix D tube (MP Biomedicals) with 1 ml Trizol (Invitrogen) and beat at max speed for 60

seconds (MP Biomedicals FastPrep 24 Bead Beater), twice, with 5 minutes rest on ice between.

Total RNA was purified according to the manufacturer's instructions for Trizol, followed by

further purification using a Qiagen RNeasy column with on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was assessed using an Agilent

Bioanalyzer and only samples with RIN > 8.0 were used for poly-A selected mRNA-Seq. Libraries

were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 high-throughput flow cell, with a single-end 75 bp

read, yielding between 23 and 30 million high quality paired-end reads per sample. STAR aligner

2.7.10a and biomaRt 2.52.0 were used to align reads and annotate genes with the GRCm39 mouse

genome and M32 mouse transcriptome. Differential expression analyses was performed using

DESEq2 1.36.0 and gene set enrichment analyses using fgsea 1.22.0 with gene sets

c2.cgp.v7.5.1.symbols and c5.go.bp.v7.5.1.symbols.

16S rRNA amplicon sequencing

Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the colon was dissected and opened longitudinally

on ice using autoclaved tools and aseptic technique. Lumen samples were taken from the mid

colon, then the tissue was washed thoroughly 3 times in sterile PBS on ice, dabbed several times

on a sterile petri dish to dry, and mucus was gently scraped from the tissue using a plastic cell

scraper. All samples were frozen and -80C prior to further processing. DNA extraction was

performed using the QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA Kit (Qiagen) with bead beating on an MP

Biomedicals Fastprep 24, according to manufacturer's protocols. To avoid contaminating the

lower biomass samples, mucus samples were processed separately, with decontamination of

benches, racks, pipettes, and microcentrifuge prior to processing. 16S rRNA was amplified and

libraries were prepared and pooled according to the Earth Microbiome Project 16S Illumina

Amplicon protocol (earthmicrobiomeproject.org). Sequencing was performed with an Illumina

MiSeq 150 bp paired-end run. The data were analyzed using the Qiime 2 (v2022.8) package.

Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were identified and chimeric sequences were removed using

Deblur. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the Qiime 2 classifier trained on the SILVA

database (v138) from 515F to 806R to match the amplicon sequencing. Following the Deblur

processing, only samples with more than 1000 reads were included and only features with at least

100 reads across all samples that were present in at least 5 different samples were included.

Faecal IgA purification

Similar to a previously published method[79], the small intestine, cecum, and colon were dissected

from three healthy animals, and the contents were pooled into a 15 mL conical tube. To the pooled

contents, 6 mL of 1× PBS-T (PBS with 1% Tween 20, prepared from 10× stock (AlfaAesar))

containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) was added. The mixture was vortexed at

maximum speed for 5 minutes. After vortexing, the suspension was centrifuged at 3000 g for 10

minutes. The supernatant was then divided into six 2 mL tubes, which were centrifuged again at

10,000 g for 10 minutes. During this second centrifugation, Protein L magnetic beads (Pierce™

Protein L Magnetic Beads, Thermo Scientific) were prepared. The bottle of beads was gently

vortexed to mix, and 200 μL of Protein L beads was added to each of the six 2 mL tubes, followed

by the addition of 300 μL of PBS-T. The tubes were vortexed gently for 1 minute, after which the

beads were collected using a magnet, and the supernatant was removed. This wash step was

repeated by adding 1 mL of PBS-T, vortexing gently for another minute, and collecting the beads

with a magnet to remove the supernatant. Following the beads' preparation, the IgA supernatant

was transferred into the tubes with the washed Protein L beads. The mixture was shaken gently at

room temperature for 1 hour to allow for binding. After incubation, the beads were collected using

a magnet rack, and the supernatant was discarded. The beads were then washed three times with

1 mL of PBS-T, gently vortexed for 1 minute during each wash, followed by collection of the beads

with a magnet and removal of the supernatant. To elute the bound IgA, 200 μL of elution buffer

(0.1 M glycine at pH 2-2.5) was added to the beads. The tubes were gently vortexed and incubated

at room temperature for 10 minutes, with occasional gentle vortexing. The beads were then

collected with a magnet, and the supernatant containing the eluted IgA was combined into a new

tube. To neutralize the pH of the eluted IgA, an appropriate volume of neutralization buffer (10%

1 M Tris-HCl at pH 8) was added. Concentration was checked using a Nanodrop (for crude

measurement) or an ELISA assay. Finally, purified IgA was sterile filtered through a 0.22 μm filter.

Monoclonal IgA and structural variant generation

Recombinant secretory component, CR9114 SIgA, and all structural variants were produced

following previously published methods[80]. Briefly, genes encoding the *mus musculus* IgA heavy

chain constant region (Uniprot P01878) and the lambda light chain constant region (Uniprot

A0A0G2JE99) were fused with the CR9114 VH and VL domain sequences[81] to create complete

heavy chain and light chain sequences. Alternatively, the *mus musculus* IgA heavy chain constant

region domains CH3 and CH4 were fused to an N-terminal hexahistine tag to create a Fc(no fabs)

expression construct. The TPA signal sequence (residues MDAMKRGLCCVLLLCGAVFVSPAGA) was

encoded at the start of the heavy chain sequences and the mouse IgKappa signal sequence

(residues METDTLLLWVLLLWVPGSTG) was encoded at the start of the light chain sequence.

These sequences, along with *mus musculus* JC (Uniprot P01592; native signal peptide) and *mus*

musculus pIgR ectodomain (SC) residues 1-567 (Uniprot O70570; native signal peptide) with C-

terminal hexahistine affinity tag sequence, were cloned into mammalian expression vector

pD2610v1 (Atum). Resulting expression constructs were transiently transfected or co-transfected

into HEK Expi-293-F cells with the ExpiFectamine 293 transfection kit (ThermoFisher, A14525),

according to company protocol. Resulting proteins were purified via CaptureSelect LC-lambda

(mouse) affinity matrix (ThermoFisher, 194323010) or Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, 30210), and by

Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva Life Sciences, 29091596) size exclusion chromatography

using an ÄKTA pure 25 chromatography system (Cytiva Life Sciences). Purified proteins were

exchanged into 1x phosphate buffered saline buffer (HyClone, SH30258.01) prior to use in

experiments.

Colon epithelial cell isolation

Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The colon was dissected on ice and opened

longitudinally. Lumen content was gently removed and the tissue was washed 3 times in ice cold

PBS. The mid colon was taken for further processing (a length of the tissue starting from 3 cm

distal from the cecal junction and continuing for 4 cm toward the rectum). The tissue was cut into

1 cm pieces into PBS with 30 mM EDTA and 1.5 mM DTT and incubated on ice for 20 minutes with

a brief shake at the end to remove mucus. The tissue was moved to DMEM with 30 mM EDTA and

2% fetal calf serum and incubated at 37C in a waterbath for 10 minutes with an aggressive shake

for 30 seconds at the end to remove epithelial cells from the tissue. The cells in media were

collected after passage through a metal sieve to remove remaining tissue. Pelleted cells were

washed once with HBSS with 10% FCS then resuspended in HBSS (with divalent cations) with 200

µg/ml dispase II (Sigma D4693) and incubated for 10 minutes at 37C in a water bath with brief

shaking every 2 minutes to dissociate epithelia into a single cell solution (confirmed by light

microscopy). The reaction was stopped by adding FCS to 10% and mixing by inversion. Cells were

filtered through a 70 µm filter and washed with HBSS 10% FCS. Cells were stained for flow

cytometry in a complete T cell media: RPMI-1640 (Gibco 21870) plus 10% fetal bovine serum

(Gibco10437), 1% Pen/Strep (Gibco15140), 1% L-glutamine (Gibco25030), 1% NaPyruvate

(Gibco113690), 1% Nonessential amino acids (Gibco 11140), 1% HEPES (Gibco 15630) and 2-

Mercaptoethanol [50uM] (Aldrich M6250). For intracellular staining, cells were fixed,

permeabilized, and stained using the eBioscience Foxp3 Transcription Factor Staining Buffer set

according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Single cell RNA-Seq

Epithelial cells isolated as described above (Colon epithelial cell isolation) were stained with

individual Biolegend TotalSeq C antibodies (1:100) to allow for sample-level barcoding. Epithelial

cells (SSC, FSC, live, CD45-, EPCAM+) were sorted on a BD FACSAria III Cell Sorter using a 70 μm

nozzle at 45 psi, pooling 20,000 cells per sample together in one tube (total 120,000 cells). Post-

sort viability was estimated to be 90% by trypan blue staining. A sample of the cell mixture was

loaded into a single well of the 10X Genomics Chromium microfluidic device. A single-cell gene

expression library was generated following the manufacturer's protocol for a Chromium Next

GEM Single Cell 5' Reagent Kit v2 (Dual Index) with Feature Barcode technology for Cell Surface

Protein (to capture the TotalSeq C sample barcodes). Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq S1

flow cell. Primary analysis, encompassing alignment and generation of feature barcodes matrices,

was performed on Cell Ranger (v7.0.1), which showed 2,764 cells captured with a mean of

152,000 reads and 2,915 genes per cell. Cell Ranger was run with the option `--feature-ref=` to add

the sequence information of the first six barcodes on TotalSeq C, the first three corresponding to

IgA$^{+/-}$ samples and the last three to IgA−/− mice, according to the manufacturer recommendations.

Filtered feature barcode matrices were then imported to the R environment using Seurat (v4.3.0)

and all further analyses were done in R. Cells were filtered by singlet hashtag status, percentual of

mitochondrial gene expression less than 10% and maximum RNA count per cell to avoid doublets

and dying cells, then were scaled by SCT transform and all the PCA, UMAP and clustering

possibilities were calculated. Final clustering resolution was decided according to biological

difference between epithelial cell types and cell type annotation was performed using enrichment

packages as ClusterProfiler (v4.6.2) and fgsea (v1.24.0) and manual curation. Differential

Expression was perfomed using the FindMarkers function in Seurat. Graphs were plotted using

Seurat functions, iSEE visualization package (v2.10.0) and ggplot2 (v3.4.3). Final functional

enrichment for figures was done using fgsea (v1.24.0) against GO BP and msigdb mice c2

databases (c2.cgp.v7.5.1.symbols and c5.go.bp.v7.5.1.symbols).

Measurements of *in vivo* epithelial proliferation (EdU, Ki67, pERK)

Mice received 500 μg of 5-ethynyl 2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) (Thermo Fisher A10044) in a 100 μl PBS

intraperitoneal injection at 24 hours and 4 hours prior to sacrifice. The colon was dissected on ice,

opened longitudinally, and lumen content was gently removed with forceps. Swiss rolls of colon

tissue were fixed in PBS-buffered 2% PFA for 2 hours at 4C, washed three times with PBS, and

frozen in OCT. A cryostat was used to cut 16 μm sections which were adhered to Superfrost Plus

White slides (Fisherbrand). Edu was visualized using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 647 Imaging Kit

(Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol, including a counterstain with 1:200

AF488 anti-mouse CD326 (EPCAM) (Biolegend Cat#118210). Stained sections were mounted with

Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech) and imaged on a Keyence BZ-X800 epifluorescence

microscope. Crypts were assessed in the mid-colon region (only the middle third of the length of

the colon) and only counted when both the bottom and top of the same crypt was clearly

discernable by EPCAM staining. At least 5 fields of view and at least 20 crypts were counted for

each sample. For Ki67 staining, epithelial cells were prepared from mid colon samples as

described above (Colon epithelial cell isolation) and analyzed on a BD FACSymphony.

Phosphorylated ERK was measured by preparing epithelial cells as described above (Colon

epithelial cell isolation) and using a Phospho-Erk1 (pThr202 / pTyr204 + Erk2 (pTyr185/187)

and pan-Erk1 / 2 ELISA Kit from Sigma (Cat. RAB0349) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Isolation and culture of mouse-derived colon organoids

Crypts were isolated from the colons of IgA knockout mice through successive 30-minute and 1-

hour incubations at 4°C in PBS containing 10 mM EDTA, according to a published method[82]. After

isolation, the cells were cultured in a hybrid medium composed of 50% primary medium

(DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1% HEPES (Gibco 15630), 1% L-glutamine (Gibco25030), 1%

Pen/Strep (Gibco15140), 20% fetal bovine serum (Gibco10437)) and 50% conditioned L-WRN

medium, further enriched with 50 ng/mL EGF (Sigma SPR 3196-500). Crypts in hybrid media

were mixed with matrigel (Fisher Scientific CB40230C) (30-50%) and dispensed into a 48-well

plate, with each well receiving 200 μL of the mixture. The plate was incubated for 20 minutes at

37°C. After this period, 300 μL of enriched hybrid media was added to the top of each well.

Following three days, 200 uL of enriched hybrid media was added to each well, and slow growth

of cells was observed for two more days. For passaging, organoids were dissociated by incubation

in TrypLE™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific 12605010). For subsequent culture, the cells were placed in

the enriched hybrid media mixed with matrigel (30-50%). A volume of 40 μL of the cell-matrigel

suspension was dispensed into 5 distributed drops per well in a 6-well plate. The plate was

incubated to polymerize the matrigel at 37°C for 5 minutes and then inverted for 15 minutes to

prevent cell attachment to the bottom of the plate surface. Treatments with antibodies [10ug/mL],

including IgA purified from faeces and mouse IgG2a isotype control (BioXCell BE0085), were

embedded in supplemented hybrid media and placed in their respective wells. The media was

changed after 3 days. Imaging was performed for 4 days after adding treatments using Z-stack on

a Keyence BZ-X800 epifluorescence microscope. Image quantifications were made manually using

ImageJ 2.14.0.

Tissue culture:

CMT-93 cells (CCL-223, ATCC), adherent HEK293 cells, and Expi293F cells were grown in high-

glucose DMEM (4 mM L-glutamine, 4500 g/L glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 1500 mg/L

sodium bicarbonate) supplemented with 10% FBS. Media was changed every two days. HEK293

cells were transfected using X-tremeGENE9 (Roche) with a pCMV6 mammalian expression vector

carrying a full-length *Dmbt1* gene (Origene MR212101). Cells were analysed 3 days post-

transfection by staining with recombinant anti-HA SIgA labelled with alexa fluor 647 for imaging

or with a rat anti-mouse DMBT1/GP340 antibody (clone 548031) for flow cytometry. The CMT-93

cells were used between passage number 5 and 20 post-ATCC handling. Treatments with

antibodies were initiated immediately upon plating cells and were kept in media for five days,

with one media change. At the endpoint, cells were counted using a flow cytometer analyser with

counting beads and a live/dead stain (which we found to be more reproducible than manual or

automated counting of trypan blue). CRISPR knockouts were performed using the lentiCRISPR

system as previously described[83]. Briefly, guide RNAs were cloned into lentiCRISPR v2 plasmid

(addgene #52961), adherent HEK293 cells were transfected using X-tremeGENE9 (Roche) reagent

with individual lentiCRISPR plasmids and packaging vectors (VSV-G and Delta-VPR) to produce

virus particle-containing supernatants. CMT-93 cells at 30-50% confluence were infected with

individual viral supernatant by centrifuging for 90 minutes at 2200 rpm at room temperature and

then incubating for 24 hours at 37C. Cells were selected with 5 μg/ml puromycin for the following

24 hours, after which they were provided with fresh media and treatment was initiated. RNA was

isolated from tissue-cultured cells using Trizol and Qiagen RNeasy kits as described above (bulk

RNA-Seq), first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the BioRad iScript kit, and

quantitative real-time PCR was performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied

Biosystems) on a QuantStudio 3 (Applied Biosystems). All primers and guide RNAs are listed in

Supplementary Table 5.

IgA co-purification and mass spectrometry

Colon organoids derived from IgA knockout mouse, $Apc\Delta/\Delta;Kras^{G12D/+};Trp53\Delta/\Delta$ (AKP)[84] and

metastatic organoids (both generated in Omer Yilmaz's laboratory) were cultured for use in

cellular lysate preparation. The IgA knockout mouse-derived organoids were isolated as

previously described in this methods section, but this time cultured with IntestiCult™ Organoid

Growth Medium (Mouse) (#06005 STEMCELL) supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep (Gibco 15140).

After isolation, the organoids were maintained for two passages by resuspending them in cold

media mixed with Matrigel (Fisher Scientific CB40230C) at a 1:1 ratio. In each passage, five 40 μL

droplets of the cell suspension were plated in a 6-well plate, incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes, and

then 3 mL of pre-warmed media was added. AKP and metastatic organoids were cultured in ADF+

media, composed of Advanced DMEM F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated

FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Glutamine (Invitrogen), and 1% HEPES

(Invitrogen). These organoids were plated in droplets, following the same procedure as for the

mouse-derived organoid passages. To prepare the cells for lysis, all organoids were dissociated in

cold ADF+ media to break down the Matrigel. The cell suspensions were centrifuged at 1000 rpm

for 5 minutes. After removing the supernatant, 1 mL of TrypLE (Thermo Fisher Scientific

12605010) was added to the cell pellet, followed by incubation in a 37°C water bath for 25

minutes. To stop the dissociation and wash the cells, 5 mL of ADF+ media was added to each

organoid type. Cells were then pelleted again by centrifugation at 1500 rpm, and the supernatant

was carefully removed. For the pulldown, cells were lysed using a lysis buffer consisting of 0.50%

Igepal CA-630 in 1x PBS supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The cell pellet

was resuspended in 500 μL of this lysis buffer and briefly vortexed. The lysate was incubated on

ice for 30 minutes to ensure complete lysis and then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C.

Meanwhile, Pierce™ Protein L Magnetic Beads (Thermo Scientific) were washed by adding 100 μL

of beads to 300 μL of PBS-T in six tubes. The beads were gently vortexed for 1 minute and

collected using a magnet. After removing the supernatant, the beads were washed again with 1 mL

of PBS-T, followed by gentle vortexing and removal of the supernatant. The resulting lysis

supernatant of each organoid was transferred to two different tubes containing the washed beads

- 240 μL of supernatant added to each tube. The division was made to achieve two conditions: one

that received the faecal SIgA and one negative control, where the supernatant was incubated with

beads in the absence of SIgA. Next, 100 μL of SIgA (at a concentration of 600 μg/mL) was

introduced into each designated tube, and the positive and negative mixtures were incubated for 2

hours at 4°C with gentle agitation. The beads were then collected using a magnet, and the

supernatant was removed. The beads were washed three times with 200 μL of PBS-T, vortexed

briefly and carefully between washes, and collected using a magnet to remove the supernatant

each time. Finally, 22.5 μL of elution buffer (0.1M glycine at pH 2-2.5) was added to the beads, and

the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes with occasional vortexing. The

eluate was combined with 2.5 μL of 1M Tris-HCl at pH 8. Proteins bound to magnetic beads were

eluted through partial trypsin digestion (Promega). The supernatants were reduced with DTT,

alkylated using iodoacetamide, and subjected to overnight redigestion with trypsin and

Endopeptidase LysC (Wako/Fuji). Digestion was halted with TFA, followed by peptide cleanup via

solid-phase extraction (SPE'ed). The peptides were then analyzed by LC-MS/MS, utilizing a 70-

minute analytical gradient (2%B to 38%B) on a 12 cm built-in emitter column with high

resolution and mass accuracy (EasyLC1200 and Lumos Fusion, Thermo Fisher). The resulting data

was searched against the UniProt mouse database, concatenated with common contaminants,

using Proteome Discoverer (v1.4.1.14) and MASCOT (v2.8.01). Data were filtered with a

Percolator-calculated false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% or better. Further processing was done by

filtering peptide-spectrum match (PSM) counts for each protein and organizing them into a count

matrix. Missing values were imputed as zero. The matrix was used to generate a DESeq2 object,

and results were obtained without fold change (FC) shrinkage. Differential analysis was

performed to identify significant changes in protein abundance between IgA+ and IgA- groups.

Results were visualized using a volcano plot, where proteins with Log2FC ≥ 1 or ≤ -1 and adjusted

p-values < 0.05 were considered for downstream analysis.

Statistical methods

Unless otherwise state, mean and standard error are plotted for all figures. For comparisons

between two groups, two-tailed unpaired t-tests were performed. For three or more groups,

ordinary one-way ANOVA tests were performed with Dunnett's correction for multiple

comparisons. Exact p values are reported on graphs when the p value or adjusted p value is less

than 0.1. For sequencing analyses, application-specific statistical methods are described in the

respective methods sections or figure legends.

Data Availability

All raw sequencing data for bulk RNA-Seq, 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, and single cell RNA-

Seq are available on the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under a unified project:

PRJNA1023250.

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Additional data related to Fig. 1. a, ELISA of faecal IgA in homozygotes

and heterozygotes of the IgA$^{-/-}$ strain crossed with C57BL6/J (n = 8, 8, 4). **b**, Breeding scheme for

littermate controls. **c**, Differentially expressed genes in bulk RNA-Seq from mid-colon tissue of

IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ littermates fourteen days after inducing colon epithelial-specific loss of *APC* (n =

4). **d**, Set of genes identified as modulated by both *APC* loss (steady state vs. APC Day 14

comparison) and IgA deficiency at steady state (IgA$^{+/-}$ vs. IgA$^{-/-}$). Interestingly, the direction of the

effect of IgA deficiency is toward the direction caused by *APC* loss (n = 5, 5, 4, 4). **e**, Endpoint

analyses of the APC-driven cancer model using IgA$^{+/+}$ and IgA$^{+/-}$ littermates (n = 4). **f**, ELISA for

faecal lipocalin in the APC-driven cancer model (n = 3, from one cohort, representative). **g**,

Cytokine gene expression in the bulk colon tissue RNA-Seq shows no IgA-dependent alterations in

inflammatory pathways (n = 5, 5, 4, 4). **h**, Body weight change during AOM-DSS (n = 4, from one

cohort, representative). **i**, Tumour frequency in the AOM-DSS cancer model (n = 9, 8).

Extended Data Fig. 2 | Additional microbiome profiling data. a, Beta diversity (weighted

unifrac distance) of microbiome measured by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing of samples from

IgA$^{+/-}$ (blue) and IgA$^{-/-}$ (red) mice showing no genotype-specific alterations (lumen n = 9, 6, 7, 6,

mucus n = 4, 8, 7, 6). **b**, Heatmap of amplicon sequence variants (columns) identified in colon

mucus samples from indicated mice (rows) demonstrates dramatic shift caused by *APC* loss, but

no effect of IgA. **c**, ANCOM differential abundance testing of IgA$^{+/-}$ vs. IgA$^{-/-}$ littermates in the

indicated sample types identified no IgA-dependent alterations in the microbiome.

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Additional results related to IgA gavage treatments. a, Gating strategy

for flow cytometry for IgA coating of bacterial cells in faecal samples and summary data showing a

lack of IgA binding to bacteria when IgA$^{-/-}$ mice were gavaged with faecal IgA purified from

germfree mice monocolonized with *Bacteroides fragilis* (n = 5, 3, 4). **b-e**, Counts and sizes of

tumours from IgA$^{-/-}$ mice treated with **b**, faecal extracts (n = 6, 7), **c**, purified faecal IgA from SPF

mice (n = 4, 5), **d**, purified faecal IgA from mice mono-colonized with *Bacteroides fragilis* (n = 4), or

e, recombinant CR9114 anti-influenza HA monoclonal secretory IgA (n = 3, 5).

Extended Data Fig. 4 | Additional data related to colon epithelial single cell RNA-Seq. a,

Gating strategy for sorting live EPCAM+, CD45- epithelial cells for single-cell transcriptomics (n =

3). **b**, Various markers to aid in identifying clusters: *Lgr5* (stem), *Nox1* (transit-amplifying), *Mki67*

(cycling), *Hao2* (immature), *Muc2* (low: mature, high: goblet), *Neurod1* (enteroendocrine), *Dclk1*

(Tuft), and *Epcam* (pan-epithelial). **c**, Expression level of the antimicrobial *Reg3g* in all cells. **d**, Full

version of Fig. 3g with all clusters: Gene set enrichment analyses in individual clusters based on

IgA genotype. Dots are visible where adjusted p value < 0.05, size of dot represents the number of

genes int he pathway, and color represents the normalized enrichment score of that pathway

(IgA$^{-/-}$-enriched is positive, red).

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Additional data related to epithelial proliferation. a, EdU (pink) and

EPCAM (green) staining in germfree mice after two injections (-24 hours and -4 hours) of EdU

showed no difference between IgA$^{+/-}$ and IgA$^{-/-}$ littermates (n = 4). **b**, Flow cytometry of surface

DMBT1 on HEK293 cells: wildtype (left) and expressing a membrane isoform of *Dmbt1* (right). **c**,

Experimental design for Notch inhibition to suppress epithelial proliferation in the context of IgA

deficiency and *APC* loss.

References

1. Fagarasan, S. Evolution, development, mechanism and function of IgA in the gut. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **20**, 170–177 (2008).

2. Pabst, O. & Slack, E. IgA and the intestinal microbiota: the importance of being specific. *Mucosal Immunol.* **13**, 12–21 (2020).

3. Huus, K. E., Petersen, C. & Finlay, B. B. Diversity and dynamism of IgA–microbiota interactions. *Nat Rev Immunol* 1–12 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41577-021-00506-1.

4. Catanzaro, J. R. *et al.* IgA-deficient humans exhibit gut microbiota dysbiosis despite secretion of compensatory IgM. *Scientific reports* **9**, 13574–10 (2019).

5. Yel, L. Selective IgA deficiency. *Journal of clinical immunology* (2010) doi:10.1007/s10875-009-9357-x.

6. Fadlallah, J. *et al.* Microbial ecology perturbation in human IgA deficiency. *Science Translational Medicine* **10**, eaan1217 (2018).

7. Conrey, P. E. *et al.* IgA deficiency destabilizes homeostasis toward intestinal microbes and increases systemic immune dysregulation. *Sci Immunol* **8**, eade2335 (2023).

8. Moll, J. M. *et al.* Gut microbiota perturbation in IgA deficiency is influenced by IgA-autoantibody status. *Gastroenterology* (2021) doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2021.02.053.

9. Shroff, K. E., Meslin, K. & Cebra, J. J. Commensal enteric bacteria engender a self-limiting humoral mucosal immune response while permanently colonizing the gut. *Infection and immunity* **63**, 3904–3913 (1995).

10. Singh, K., Chang, C. & Gershwin, M. E. IgA deficiency and autoimmunity. *Autoimmunity reviews* **13**, 163–177 (2014).

11. Ludvigsson, J. F., Neovius, M., Ye, W. & Hammarström, L. IgA deficiency and risk of cancer: a population-based matched cohort study. *Journal of clinical immunology* **35**, 182–188 (2015).

12. Langford, T. D. *et al.* Central Importance of Immunoglobulin A in Host Defense against Giardia spp. *Infect Immun* **70**, 11–18 (2002).

13. Blutt, S. E., Miller, A. D., Salmon, S. L., Metzger, D. W. & Conner, M. E. IgA is important for clearance and critical for protection from rotavirus infection. *Mucosal immunology* **5**, 712–719 (2012).

14. Moor, K. *et al.* High-avidity IgA protects the intestine by enchaining growing bacteria. *Nature* **544**, 498–502 (2017).

15. Jørgensen, S. F. *et al.* Selective IgA deficiency in humans is associated with reduced gut microbial diversity. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* **143**, 1969-1971.e11 (2019).

16. Gatti, R. A. & Good, R. A. Occurrence of malignancy in immunodeficiency diseases: A literature
review. *Cancer* **28**, 89–98 (1971).

17. Filipovich, A. H., Mathur, A., Kamat, D., Kersey, J. H. & Shapiro, R. S. Lymphoproliferative disorders
and other tumors complicating immunodeficiencies. *Immunodefic* **5**, 91–112 (1994).

18. Tjalsma, H., Boleij, A., Marchesi, J. R. & Dutilh, B. E. A bacterial driver–passenger model for
colorectal cancer: beyond the usual suspects. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**, 575–582 (2012).

19. Cullin, N., Antunes, C. A., Straussman, R., Stein-Thoeringer, C. K. & Elinav, E. Microbiome and cancer.
Cancer Cell (2021) doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2021.08.006.

20. Clay, S. L., Fonseca-Pereira, D. & Garrett, W. S. Colorectal cancer: the facts in the case of the
microbiota. *J Clin Invest* **132**, e155101 (2022).

21. Ludvigsson, J. F., Neovius, M. & Hammarström, L. IgA Deficiency & Mortality: A Population-Based
Cohort Study. *J Clin Immunol* **33**, 1317–1324 (2013).

22. Cunningham-Rundles, C., Pudifin, D. J., Armstrong, D. & Good, R. A. Selective IgA Deficiency and
Neoplasia. *Vox Sang* **38**, 61–67 (1980).

23. Pulvirenti, F. *et al.* Gastric Cancer Is the Leading Cause of Death in Italian Adult Patients With
Common Variable Immunodeficiency. *Front Immunol* **9**, 2546 (2018).

24. Shulzhenko, N. *et al.* Crosstalk between B lymphocytes, microbiota and the intestinal epithelium
governs immunity versus metabolism in the gut. *Nature medicine* **17**, 1585–1593 (2011).

25. Kawamoto, S. *et al.* The inhibitory receptor PD-1 regulates IgA selection and bacterial composition
in the gut. *Science* **336**, 485–489 (2012).

26. Kubinak, J. L. *et al.* MyD88 signaling in T cells directs IgA-mediated control of the microbiota to
promote health. *Cell host & microbe* **17**, 153–163 (2015).

27. Suzuki, K. *et al.* Aberrant expansion of segmented filamentous bacteria in IgA-deficient gut.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **101**, 1981–1986
(2004).

28. Fagarasan, S. *et al.* Critical roles of activation-induced cytidine deaminase in the homeostasis of gut
flora. *Science* **298**, 1424–1427 (2002).

29. Gopalakrishna, K. P. *et al.* Maternal IgA protects against the development of necrotizing
enterocolitis in preterm infants. *Nat Med* **25**, 1110–1115 (2019).

30. Kawamoto, S. *et al.* Foxp3(+) T cells regulate immunoglobulin a selection and facilitate
diversification of bacterial species responsible for immune homeostasis. *Immunity* **41**, 152–165
(2014).

31. Nagashima, K. *et al.* Identification of subepithelial mesenchymal cells that induce IgA and diversify
gut microbiota. *Nat Immunol* **18**, 675–682 (2017).

32. Bunker, J. J. *et al.* Natural polyreactive IgA antibodies coat the intestinal microbiota. *Science* **358**,
eaan6619 (2017).

33. Shimoda, M., Inoue, Y., Azuma, N. & Kanno, C. Natural polyreactive immunoglobulin A antibodies
produced in mouse Peyer's patches. *Immunity* **97**, 9–17 (1999).

34. Rollenske, T. *et al.* Cross-specificity of protective human antibodies against Klebsiella pneumoniae
LPS O-antigen. *Nature immunology* **19**, 617–624 (2018).

35. Kabbert, J. *et al.* High microbiota reactivity of adult human intestinal IgA requires somatic
mutations. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **217**, (2020).

36. Nowosad, C. R. *et al.* Tunable dynamics of B cell selection in gut germinal centres. *Nature* **588**, 321–
326 (2020).

37. Peterson, D. A. *et al.* Characterizing the Interactions Between a Naturally-primed Immunoglobulin
A and its Conserved Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron Species-specific Epitope in Gnotobiotic Mice. *The
Journal of biological chemistry* (2015) doi:10.1074/jbc.m114.633800.

38. Nakajima, A. *et al.* IgA regulates the composition and metabolic function of gut microbiota by
promoting symbiosis between bacteria. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **67**, jem.20180427-2034
(2018).

39. Donaldson, G. P. *et al.* Gut microbiota utilize immunoglobulin A for mucosal colonization. *Science*
360, 795–800 (2018).

40. Rollenske, T. *et al.* Parallelism of intestinal secretory IgA shapes functional microbial fitness.
Nature 1–5 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03973-7.

41. Harriman, G. R. *et al.* Targeted deletion of the IgA constant region in mice leads to IgA deficiency
with alterations in expression of other Ig isotypes. *The Journal of Immunology* **162**, 2521–2529 (1999).

42. Murthy, A. K., Dubose, C. N., Banas, J. A., Coalson, J. J. & Arulanandam, B. P. Contribution of
polymeric immunoglobulin receptor to regulation of intestinal inflammation in dextran sulfate
sodium-induced colitis. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology* **21**, 1372–1380 (2006).

43. Mathias, A. & Corthésy, B. N-Glycans on secretory component: mediators of the interaction
between secretory IgA and gram-positive commensals sustaining intestinal homeostasis. *Gut microbes*
2, 287–293 (2011).

44. Kaetzel, C. S. The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor: bridging innate and adaptive immune
responses at mucosal surfaces. *Immunol. Rev.* **206**, 83–99 (2005).

45. Ramanan, D. *et al.* An Immunologic Mode of Multigenerational Transmission Governs a Gut Treg
Setpoint. *Cell* **181**, 1276-1290.e13 (2020).

46. Robertson, S. J. *et al.* Comparison of Co-housing and Littermate Methods for Microbiota
Standardization in Mouse Models. *Cell Rep.* **27**, 1910-1919.e2 (2019).

47. Donaldson, G. P., Lee, S. M. & Mazmanian, S. K. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. *Nature
reviews. Microbiology* **14**, 20–32 (2015).

48. Post, S. van der, Birchenough, G. M. H. & Held, J. M. NOX1-dependent redox signaling potentiates
colonic stem cell proliferation to adapt to the intestinal microbiota by linking EGFR and TLR activation.
Cell Reports **35**, 108949 (2021).

49. Grivennikov, S. I. *et al.* Adenoma-linked barrier defects and microbial products drive IL-23/IL-17-
mediated tumour growth. *Nature* **491**, 254–258 (2012).

50. Grivennikov, S. I. Inflammation and colorectal cancer: colitis-associated neoplasia. *Seminars in
immunopathology* **35**, 229–244 (2013).

51. Mandal, S. *et al.* Analysis of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial
composition. *Microb. Ecol. Heal. Dis.* **26**, 27663 (2015).

52. Brugiroux, S. *et al.* Genome-guided design of a defined mouse microbiota that confers colonization
resistance against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Nature microbiology* **2**, 16215 (2016).

53. Beumer, J. & Clevers, H. Cell fate specification and differentiation in the adult mammalian intestine.
Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **22**, 39–53 (2021).

54. Tsang, D. K. L. *et al.* A single cell survey of the microbial impacts on the mouse small intestinal
epithelium. *Gut Microbes* **14**, 2108281 (2022).

55. Rakoff-Nahoum, S., Paglino, J., Eslami-Varzaneh, F., Edberg, S. & Medzhitov, R. Recognition of
Commensal Microflora by Toll-Like Receptors Is Required for Intestinal Homeostasis. *Cell* **118**, 229–
241 (2004).

56. Sato, T. *et al.* Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal
niche. *Nature* **459**, 262–265 (2009).

57. Merenda, A., Fenderico, N. & Maurice, M. M. Wnt Signaling in 3D: Recent Advances in the
Applications of Intestinal Organoids. *Trends Cell Biol.* **30**, 60–73 (2020).

58. Rundegren, J. & Arnold, R. R. Differentiation and interaction of secretory immunoglobulin A and a
calcium-dependent parotid agglutinin for several bacterial strains. *Infect. Immun.* **55**, 288–292 (1987).

59. Mollenhauer, J. *et al.* The genomic structure of the DMBT1 gene: evidence for a region with
susceptibility to genomic instability. *Oncogene* **18**, 6233–6240 (1999).

60. Fre, S. *et al.* Notch signals control the fate of immature progenitor cells in the intestine. *Nature* **435**,
964–968 (2005).

61. Es, J. H. van *et al.* Notch/γ-secretase inhibition turns proliferative cells in intestinal crypts and
adenomas into goblet cells. *Nature* **435**, 959–963 (2005).

62. Biswas, S. *et al.* IgA transcytosis and antigen recognition govern ovarian cancer immunity. *Nature*
591, 464–470 (2021).

63. Pelka, K. *et al.* Spatially organized multicellular immune hubs in human colorectal cancer. *Cell* **184**,
4734-4752.e20 (2021).

64. Chalkias, A. *et al.* Patients with colorectal cancer are characterized by increased concentration of
fecal hb-hp complex, myeloperoxidase, and secretory IgA. *American Journal of Clinical Oncology* **34**,
561–566 (2011).

65. Tang, Y. *et al.* MZB1-mediated IgA secretion suppresses the development and progression of
colorectal cancer triggered by gut inflammation. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2023)
doi:10.1016/j.mucimm.2023.12.002.

66. Pleguezuelos-Manzano, C. *et al.* Mutational signature in colorectal cancer caused by genotoxic
pks+E. coli. *Nature* **580**, 269–273 (2020).

67. Kabatnik, S. *et al.* Spatial characterization and stratification of colorectal adenomas by Deep Visual
Proteomics. *medRxiv* 2023.11.25.23298987 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.11.25.23298987.

68. Park, H. S. *et al.* Deleted in malignant brain tumor 1 is a novel prognostic marker in colorectal
cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* **39**, 2279–2287 (2018).

69. Du, J., Guan, M., Fan, J. & Jiang, H. Loss of DMBT1 Expression in Human Prostate Cancer and Its
Correlation with Clinical Progressive Features. *Urology* **77**, 509.e9-509.e13 (2011).

70. Rosenstiel, P. *et al.* Regulation of DMBT1 via NOD2 and TLR4 in Intestinal Epithelial Cells
Modulates Bacterial Recognition and Invasion. *J. Immunol.* **178**, 8203–8211 (2007).

71. Fuess, L. E., C., J. H. P., Weil, E. & Mydlarz, L. D. Associations between transcriptional changes and
protein phenotypes provide insights into immune regulation in corals. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **62**, 17–28
(2016).

72. Müller, H. *et al.* Deleted in Malignant Brain Tumors 1 is Present in the Vascular Extracellular Matrix
and Promotes Angiogenesis. *Arter., Thromb., Vasc. Biol.* **32**, 442–448 (2012).

73. Hopkins, E. G. D., Roumeliotis, T. I., Mullineaux-Sanders, C., Choudhary, J. S. & Frankel, G. Intestinal
Epithelial Cells and the Microbiome Undergo Swift Reprogramming at the Inception of Colonic
Citrobacter rodentium Infection. *mBio* **10**, e00062-19 (2019).

74. Renner, M. *et al.* DMBT1 Confers Mucosal Protection In Vivo and a Deletion Variant Is Associated
With Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 1499–1509 (2007).

75. Reikvam, D. H., Derrien, M. & Islam, R. Epithelial-microbial crosstalk in polymeric Ig receptor
deficient mice. *European journal of …* (2012) doi:10.1002/eji.201242543.

76. Tsuruta, T. *et al.* The amount of secreted IgA may not determine the secretory IgA coating ratio of
gastrointestinal bacteria. *Fems Immunol Medical Microbiol* **56**, 185–189 (2009).

77. Burrows, P. D. & Cooper, M. D. IgA Deficiency. *Advances in Immunology*.

78. Dmitrieva-Posocco, O. *et al.* β-Hydroxybutyrate suppresses colorectal cancer. *Nature* **605**, 160–165
(2022).

79. Earley, Z. M. *et al.* GATA4 controls regionalization of tissue immunity and commensal-driven
immunopathology. *Immunity* **56**, 43-57.e10 (2023).

80. Bharathkar, S. K. *et al.* The structures of secretory and dimeric immunoglobulin A. *Elife* **9**, e56098
(2020).

81. Dreyfus, C. *et al.* Highly Conserved Protective Epitopes on Influenza B Viruses. *Science* **337**, 1343–
1348 (2012).

82. Miyoshi, H. & Stappenbeck, T. S. In vitro expansion and genetic modification of gastrointestinal
stem cells in spheroid culture. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 2471–2482 (2013).

83. Sanjana, N. E., Shalem, O. & Zhang, F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR
screening. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 783–784 (2014).

84. Roper, J. *et al.* In vivo genome editing and organoid transplantation models of colorectal cancer and
metastasis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 569–576 (2017).

109