Evolution of genotypic and phenotypic diversity in multispecies biofilms

Cristina I. Amador[1], Sofia Zoe Moscovitz[1], Lorrie Maccario[1], Jakob Herschend[1 2], Isabel-Sophie Kramer[1],

Hannah Jeckel[3], Vaughn S. Cooper[4], Knut Drescher[3], Thomas R. Neu[5], Mette Burmølle[1] and Henriette L.

Røder[6 #]

[1]Section of Microbiology, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[2]Present address: Functional Food, Novozymes A/S, 2800, Lyngby, Denmark

[3]Biozentrum, University of Basel, Switzerland

[4]University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA

[5]Department of River Ecology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Magdeburg, Germany

[6]Section for Food Microbiology, Gut Health, and Fermentation. Department of Food Science, University of Copenhagen. Rolighedsvej 26, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark.

Author correspondence to h.lyng.roeder@food.ku.dk

Running title: Evolution in structured and non-structured communities

Keywords: multispecies, bacterial interactions, biofilm, matrix, adaptive evolution, sporulation

Author contributions

CIA is first author. Conceptualization and planning: HLR. Execution of experiments: CIA, HLR. SZM, ISK. Data analysis: CIA, HLR and LM. Manuscript preparation: CIA, HLR and MB, in consultation with JH, HJ, VSC, KD, TRN.

Abstract

Bacterial fitness and adaptability in microbial communities are influenced by interspecies interactions and spatial organization. This study investigated how these factors shape the evolutionary dynamics of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. A distinct phenotypic variant of *B. thuringiensis* emerged consistently under both planktonic and biofilm conditions, as well as in monospecies and mixed-species settings, but was strongly selected in biofilms and during coexistence with *Pseudomonas defluvii* and/ or *Pseudomonas brenneri*. Compared to its ancestor, the variant exhibited shorter generation times, reduced sporulation, auto-aggregation, and lower biomass in mixed-species biofilms. Mutations in the *spo0A* regulator, which controls sporulation and biofilm matrix production, were identified in all variants. Proteomics revealed a reduction in TasA, a key matrix protein, in the variant but increased levels in co-culture with *P. brenneri*. These findings highlight how interspecies interactions drive *B. thuringiensis* diversification, promoting traits like reduced matrix production and species coexistence, with implications for microbial consortia applications in agriculture and biopesticides.

Introduction

When encountering new environmental challenges, bacterial populations can adapt their physiological state (phenotype) through different mechanisms: expressing specific traits, acquiring new advantageous mutations (*de novo* genetic variation), or utilizing the pre-existing genetic diversity within the population (standing genetic variation) [1–3]. Besides intraspecific variation, natural communities contain many species that might either constrain adaptation by competing for resources, e.g., via niche exclusion [4], or facilitate adaptation by making new niches available [5, 6]. In biofilms, microbial cells are in close proximity and can influence each other´s phenotypes and evolutionary trajectories through social interactions [7]. Spatial segregation in biofilms increases the frequency of interactions between cells of the same genotype and favors cooperative behaviors but can also support variants that are otherwise outcompeted in unsegregated environments [8–10] and facilitate coexisting populations [11, 12]. Several studies have reported that competitive interactions within biofilms are dominant, including competition for limited space and resources [10, 13–16]. However, evidence shows that competition can subside locally over time, often leading to stable coexistence [17]. We have previously described that interspecies interactions can lead to evolved variants that display enhanced mutualism in biofilms [18–20]. Thus, to better understand bacterial adaptation mechanisms in natural environments, investigations of adaptation mechanisms in the presence of spatial structure and multiple species that co-occur in natural communities are crucial.

The evolution of social interactions within biofilms has been extensively studied in various Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas spp*. and *Burkholderia cenocepacia* [21–23]. However, comparatively less attention has been devoted to investigating evolutionary processes of Gram-positive bacteria, especially in the presence of multiple species. While both bacterial groups may be subjected to similar evolutionary forces, they possess distinct biological and ecological features, which may lead to divergent adaptive dynamics. Although some studies have explored the adaptive evolution of Gram-positive bacteria in diverse biofilm systems, their focus has primarily been on adaptive genotypes [24–26] or co-

culture [20, 27]. Such limited focus leaves a gap in our understanding of how Gram-positive bacteria evolve

within complex, multispecies biofilms.

In this study, we aim to investigate how cultivation conditions—specifically spatial structure

(biofilm *vs.* planktonic settings) and interspecies interactions (mono-, dual- or multispecies)— influence

the diversification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (BT), a Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium renowned for

its insecticidal capabilities [28]. BT was cultured in isolation and in combination with *Pseudomonas defluvii*

(PD) and *Pseudomonas brenneri* (PB), both of which were co-isolated with BT from a wastewater treatment

facility in Kalundborg, Denmark [29]. *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. are known for their utility as

plant growth enhancers and biopesticides [30]. Importantly, research has demonstrated that such bacterial

consortia manifest unique, emergent properties not attainable by individual species alone [31]. Additionally,

the rationale behind selecting these species for our investigation stemmed from their potential synergistic

contributions to biofilm formation, a premise supported by preliminary laboratory findings. Other studies

on laboratory adaptive evolution employed biofilm settings focusing on biofilm dispersal and

recolonization over short evolutionary spans [20, 32]. However, our work aimed at investigating the

dynamics of cells that remained in the biofilm, attached to plastic slides. This led to the identification of a

phenotypic variant of BT, termed "light variant," from its reduced Congo red dye binding in colonies on

agar, compared to the ancestral strain. Morphotypic colony diversification often reflects underlying

genotypic changes, which play a crucial role in shaping biofilm formation and adaptation [18, 33, 34].

Therefore, we isolated and characterized such light variants, which arose frequently. We found that the light

variant colony morphotype was linked to mutations in sporulation genes, and that these variants

demonstrated a remarkable ability to dominate and replace the wild-type phenotype in most conditions

examined. Crucially, the fitness of this evolved phenotype was enhanced in mixed-species cultures and

within biofilm contexts, underlining the effects of both biotic and abiotic complexity on evolutionary

dynamics. This finding sheds light on the adaptive strategies of BT within microbial consortia.

Results

Emergence of a BT phenotypic variant after short-term evolution assay

We investigated how the presence of other species influenced the selection of new phenotypic variants of BT under biofilm or planktonic conditions. For the biofilm condition, cells were incubated in TSB with a submerged polycarbonate slide. Prior to transferring the slides, we removed and discarded the floating pellicle, when present, since our evolution experiment targeted surface-adhered cells. The polycarbonate slides were then removed from the well and washed with PBS to remove unattached cells, and transferred to new wells containing fresh media, followed by incubation for another 24 h. This process was repeated for eight consecutive cycles. On day 8, we plated and enumerated the cultures based on distinct colony morphology (Figure 1a). Although not all genetic changes manifest in noticeable physical properties, genetic variation can drive morphotypic colony variation, especially in biofilm settings [18, 33, 34]. In this study, we focused on selecting variants of BT forming colonies of varying morphotypes, by employing TSA Congo Red. Congo red binds different biofilm matrix components, including amyloids and polysaccharides [35, 36]. Thus, altered colony morphology on Congo red agar could provide a visual marker of the underlying genetic changes.

A particular BT phenotypic variant was detected in all replicate evolution experiments, which we termed "light variant" as it bound less Congo red compared to the ancestor (Figure 1a). Colonies exhibiting phenotypic traits indistinguishable from those of the ancestral BT strain— derived from the evolution experiment—are referred to as wild-type phenotype throughout this study. When comparing within colony phenotype depending on the culture condition (wild-type mono-culture *vs.* co-culture; or variant mono-culture *vs.* co-culture), no significant differences in population size were detected ($p > 0.05$, Dunn's post-hoc test; Figure 1b and Supplementary Table 1). However, when comparing BT wild-type and light variant colony phenotypes per culture condition, the latter emerged independently in each evolved biofilm population where it consistently grew to significantly higher CFU counts than the wild-type phenotype ($p < 0.05$, Dunn's post-hoc test; Figure 1b and Supplementary Table 1).

109
Figure 1. Short-term evolution assay of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (BT) in the presence/absence of
***Pseudomonas* species. (a)** Experimental setup: BT was cultured alone or with *Pseudomonas brenneri* (PB)
and/or *Pseudomonas defluvii* (PD) in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) medium, either as planktonic or biofilm
cultures, for 24 hours. Biofilm samples were transferred daily onto fresh medium for eight consecutive
days, followed by plating on day 8 and CFU counting on TSB agar supplemented with 40 µg/mL Congo
red and 20 µg/mL Coomassie brilliant blue (G). **(b)** BT cell counts (Log_{10}(CFU/mL)) of variant (red) and
wild-type (blue) phenotypes in different strain combinations after the evolution experiment, presented for
biofilm (left) and planktonic (right) cultures. Box plots represent ≥ 3 biological replicates, represented as
individual points, each averaged from 3 technical replicates. Different letters denote significance per facet
(Dunn´s post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$, Bonferroni correction). Gray boxes represent CFU/mL ratios of variant *vs.*
wild-type phenotypes within each setting (biofilm or planktonic) and statistical significance of such ratios
(Wilcoxon's test comparing variant and wild-type phenotypes per setting, $p < 0.05$). **(c)** Cell counts
(Log_{10}(CFU/mL)) of PD (green) and PB (purple) in various strain combinations and culture conditions after
the evolution experiment. Box plots represent ≥ 7 biological replicates, represented as in (b). Different
letters denote significance per facet (Dunn´s post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$, Bonferroni correction).

On the contrary, analogous evolution experiments in planktonic culture only showed significant

difference in CFU counts between the wild-type and light variant phenotypes in co-culture with PB ($p =$

$5.07 \times 10^{-04,}$ Dunn's post-hoc test; Figure 1b and Supplementary Table 1). Still, the variant phenotype was

found to be significantly more prevalent than the wild-type phenotype regardless of the cultivation

settings— biofilm or planktonic— when not considering the species combination (Wilcoxon's test, p_{Biofilm}

$= 2.74 \times 10^{-11}$; $p_{\text{Plank}} = 5.7 \times 10^{-05}$, Figure 1b). However, the CFU/ mL ratio of variant to wild-type phenotype

was substantially higher in biofilm compared to planktonic settings (Ratio variant-wt: biofilm = 18.2-fold;

planktonic = 3.2-fold, Figure 1b). To ensure statistical robustness, we re-analyzed the data excluding the

BT + PD + PB treatment from the biofilm condition. Nonetheless, the variant still emerged at significantly

higher frequency than the wild-type phenotype under biofilm conditions (Ratio variant-wt = 12.2-fold,

$p_{\text{Biofilm}} = 2.32 \times 10^{-08}$, Wilcoxon's test), supporting the conclusion that biofilm evolution enhances variant

emergence. Hence, our results from short-term evolution experiments suggest that the BT light variant

exhibited higher fitness under biofilm conditions and in the presence of PB and/or PD when compared to

the wild-type colony phenotype.

During the evolution experiment, all species were maintained. However, the trajectories of the

Pseudomonas species varied depending on the culture condition and design, as shown in Figure 1c. BT

showed a competitive interaction with both *Pseudomonas* species in planktonic settings (Figure 1c, right

panel). Specifically, the presence of BT reduced the number of PB cells by 1.92 Log_{10} (Dunn's post-hoc

test; $p = 2.7 \times 10^{-05}$, Log_{10} CFU/mL: BT+PB = 6.88; PB = 8.8). These findings indicate that the lack of spatial

structure and resulting intermixing may limit the co-existence of different species. However, this was not

observed under biofilm conditions, where no significant differences were observed in *Pseudomonas*

populations, despite the presence/ absence of BT ($p = 1.0$, Dunn's post-hoc test; Figure 1c). This suggests

that the adapted BT phenotype may facilitate the co-existence of BT and PB in biofilm settings.

The BT "light variant" exhibited distinct phenotypic traits compared to its ancestor

We isolated 150 BT colonies of both light and wild-type phenotype (50% of each) from

independent evolution experiments and conditions. All colonies within each phenotype group (light or wild

type) displayed similar phenotypic traits. The BT light variant displayed different colony morphology

compared to the ancestral BT strain, because of reduced Congo red binding (Figure 1a). Congo red binds

to different biofilm matrix components, including amyloids and polysaccharides [35, 36]. Furthermore, the

wild-type and light variant phenotypes also had visibly different phenotypes during growth in planktonic

cultures: under non-shaking conditions, the ancestral BT cells sank to the bottom of the culture, while cells

of the light variant remained in suspension (Figure 2a). Auto-aggregation, where bacteria attach to each

other rather than to a surface, is observed in many bacterial species. This behavior involves the formation

of macroscopic cell aggregates that settle at the bottom of culture tubes. [37, 38]. Moreover, some

environmental *Bacillus licheniformis* have been documented to undergo aggregation during suspension

culture under carbon limitation or glucose-free conditions [39, 40].

161
Figure 2. Phenotypic features of *B. thuringiensis* ancestor and adapted variant strains. (a)
Sedimentation pattern of BT ancestor and V1 variant strains in TSB planktonic cultures. Sedimentation
dynamics was measured as the decay of initial OD_{600} over time, represented as the mean OD_{600} percentage
relative to initial OD_{600} (t_0). Data based on 3 biological replicates. In the absence of shaking, sedimentation
can be observed only for the ancestor strain. (**b**) Auto-aggregative phenotype of BT ancestral strain
compared to the adapted variant. Images show top and bottom phases of planktonic cultures in TSB stained

with 10 µM SYTO 16 nucleic acid cell stain. Images were acquired with a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1
epifluorescence microscope with a LD-NEOFLUAR 20x objective. Scale bars represent 50 µm. **(c)** Spore
formation ability of *B. thuringiensis* ancestral (BT) and variant strains under control (vegetative cells, non-
heated) and boiling (germinating spores, heated) conditions in planktonic monocultures over 1-4 days
incubation. $Log_{10}(CFU/mL)$ values were adjusted by adding 0.1 to accommodate zero values (represented
as -1). $n \geq 5$ biological replicates. Different letters denote significant differences ($p < 0.05$, Dunn´s post-
hoc test, Bonferroni correction). Black letters denote significant differences between strains only
considering non-heated conditions, while turquoise letters indicate comparisons within BT (heated *vs.* non-
heated). **(d)** Spore formation ability of BT ancestral strain in biofilm settings in different strain
combinations after one-day incubation; $n \geq 10$ biological replicates.

We quantified aggregation by measuring the percentage of initial OD_{600} remaining in suspension

over time, using the variant and ancestral BT strains. The variant cells remained mainly in suspension

(95%), whereas the ancestral BT strain showed higher cell sedimentation, retaining only 37% of its initial

OD_{600} (Figure 2a). Additionally, we also investigated aggregation in both strains by microscopic analysis.

For this, we stained top and bottom phases of planktonic cultures for both strains with the nucleic acid stain

SYTO 16. We found that the ancestral BT strain predominantly formed cell clusters in the bottom phase,

whereas the variant consisted mainly of single cells regardless of the visualized phase (Figure 2b). Thus,

we confirm that the observed sedimentation by the BT ancestor is linked to auto-aggregation, whereas cells

of the variant phenotype do not aggregate to the same extent.

In addition to these phenotypic traits, the BT light variant was unable to produce spores unlike the

ancestral BT strain, as evidenced by optical microscopy (not shown). Given that all variant phenotype

colonies displayed similar phenotypic traits, we focused on four light variants, namely V1, V2, V3, and V6,

and further investigated their characteristics. These light variants were selected from different culture

conditions and evolutionary lineages (independent evolutionary experiments): V1 and V2 were isolated

from biofilm co-cultures with PB in different lineages (B and C, respectively), whereas V3 and V6 were

isolated from BT biofilm mono-cultures (lineages B and C, respectively) (Supplementary Table 2).

We measured the capacity for spore formation of both ancestral and variant strains in planktonic

settings over four days. These assays demonstrated that the variants did not produce spores, whereas the

ancestral BT strain consistently produced spores regardless of the incubation time (Figure 2c). Next, we

investigated whether other species may influence spore formation in the ancestor. The ability to form spores

was assessed in mono-, two-species-, or multispecies biofilm conditions for 24-hour biofilms; however, no

significant difference was observed between the tested combinations (Dunn´s post-hoc test, $p > 0.05$; Figure

2d).

We hypothesized that selection for faster growth may have favored the loss of spore production in

BT light variants, as sporulation is an energy-intensive process. Growth curves were performed for the BT

ancestral and light variant strain in TSB. We found that the four BT light variants consistently showed a

significantly faster doubling time (t_{gen}) and growth rate (r) compared to their ancestor (Supplementary Table

3). Consequently, the time required to reach half of the maximum culture yield was significantly lower (t_{mid}

or ½ k). This data suggests that the BT light variants have a growth advantage compared to the ancestral

BT when competing for resources with the *Pseudomonas* species. This may also have led to the higher CFU

counts observed in mixed-species cultures during our short-term evolution experiments in biofilms and

planktonic cultures.

210 BT light variants displayed mutations in sporulation-related genes

Whole genome sequencing of BT light variants and the BT ancestor revealed that the light variants

displayed mutations in sporulation-related genes. This correlated with the observed lack of sporulation in

all variants. Variants V1, V3, and V6 contained distinct mutations resulting in loss of function of the *spo0A*

gene, as shown in Supplementary Figure 1a). The *spo0A* gene encodes a response regulator that, in its

phosphorylated active form, activates the transcription of early sporulation genes and, consequently, the

initiation of sporulation (Supplementary Figure 1b) [41, 42]. For variants V1 and V3, large deletions were

observed in this region. Specifically, V1 lacked a 1.5 kb fragment containing most of *spo0A* and CDS0080

genes, whereas V3 had a 4.5 kb deletion that also included the *spoIVB* and *recN* genes (Supplementary

Figure 1a). V6 only contained a non-synonymous mutation in the *spo0A* gene (C151>T), resulting in a

change in the amino acid sequence Q51>stop, leading to early termination and, thus, loss of function of

Spo0A. These mutations, confirmed by Sanger sequencing using specific primers (data not shown),

undoubtedly explain the non-sporulating phenotype for variants V1, V3, and V6, given the central role of

spo0A and *spoIVB* in the sporulation process. In regards to variant V2, we didn´t find mutations in *spo0A*

but structural variation in the *soj* gene, that is, large-scale changes in the structure of DNA [43]. Soj exerts

a dual role in chromosome partitioning and sporulation, particularly in regulating chromosome dynamics

as the cell undergoes significant structural changes [44]. All the mutations found in the variants are listed

in Supplementary Table 4.

Additionally, variant V1 lacked 83 Kb region, including 40 hypothetical proteins and 6 IS family

transposases, among other genes. However, none of the genes comprised in such region seemed to be related

to sporulation (Supplementary Table 4). Furthermore, this variant had identical phenotypic traits to all other

selected variants.

Reduced biofilm matrix production in mixed biofilms with the adapted variant

The BT light variant phenotype showed increased CFU counts compared to the wild-type

phenotype after the eight-day evolution experiment, especially in biofilm settings (adhesion to slides).

However, the ancestral strain showed auto-aggregative phenotype in liquid cultures, unlike the variants,

suggesting a different pattern for the formation of cell aggregates (non-associated to a surface). We thus

wondered whether population size in biofilm settings may correlate with biofilm formation of the evolved

phenotype. We measured the total amount of biofilm formed (including cells and matrix) on the slides after

24 hours by quantification of crystal violet (CV) retention as an overall estimate of biofilm biomass. We

also quantified the specific prevalence of each strain by CFU counts of both the BT ancestral and V1 variant

strains (as shown in Figure 3). Biofilm biomass was similar for the BT ancestor and variant in mono-culture

while co-cultivation of ancestor and variant strains with PB, or in multispecies conditions, induced biofilm

biomass in both. However, when comparing mono-culture *vs.* mixed-culture conditions, such biomass

induction was only significant for the ancestral strain (Dunn´s post-hoc test, BT *vs.* BT+PB, $p = 0.0021$;

BT *vs.* BT+PD+PB, $p = 0.0106$; or V1 *vs.* V1+PB, $p = 0.0766$; V1 *vs.* V1+PD+PB, $p = 0.1104$; Figure 3a).

These results suggest differential adhesion or matrix production in mixed cultures. Mono- and co-culture

biofilms of variants V2, V3, and V6 reproduced the observed results for the ancestral and V1 variant strains,

indicating that the origin of the variants did not influence biofilm formation (Supplementary Figure 2a).

Figure 3. Biofilm formation of *B. thuringiensis* ancestor, V1 variant and *Pseudomonas* in mono- or

mixed-species cultures after 24 h. BT: *B. thuringiensis* ancestral strain (blue); V1: *B. thuringiensis* V1

variant (red); PD: *P.defluvii*; PB: *P.brenneri*. Adhesion was measured in mono-culture biofilms or in co-

culture with *P. defluvii*, *P. brenneri* or both (multispecies) in TSB medium after 24 h. K-W: significance of

a Kruskal-Wallis test, testing all combinations within each panel ($p < 0.05$). Dissimilar letters are indicative

of significant differences per panel, with $p < 0.05$ (Dunn´s post-hoc test). W-test$_{(BT\ vs.\ variant)}$: indicates

significance of a Wilcoxon´s rank sum test, comparing biomass (A_{590}) or CFUs based on BT phenotype.

(a) Mean biofilm measured as crystal violet stained biomass (A_{590}). Data based on ≥ 4 biological replicates, represented as individual points. Each biological replicate consisted of 6 technical replicates. Horizontal lines represent the predicted multispecies biofilm biomass, expected in case no biofilm induction or reduction occur in co-cultures. This "predicted" value is derived from the sum of the monospecies biofilm biomass by the constituent strains. **(b)** Biofilm CFU counts per mL of *B. thuringiensis, P. defluvii* and *P. brenneri*. Data based on ≥ 9 biological replicates, represented as biological replicates, each consisting of ≥ 3 technical replicates. Dissimilar letters show significance per species.

The CV results suggested differential adhesion or matrix production in mixed cultures with the variant strain. Thus, we next quantified CFU counts for each strain in the different combinations to measure specific adhesion. Cell counts of the BT ancestral and V1 variant strains within culture condition (i.e., monoculture, co-culture, or multispecies) were not significantly different (Dunn´s post-hoc test, Figure 3b, left panel), indicating similar surface colonization for both strains. However, V1 cell numbers significantly increased in co-culture with PB compared to mono-culture (V1 *vs.* V1+PB) (Dunn´s post-hoc test $p = 2.06 \times 10^{-05}$, Figure 3b). Given that PB counts were unchanged despite the BT genotype, we hypothesize that the adapted variant benefitted from co-cultivation with PB. CFU counts of the other variants replicated the observations found for V1 in mono- and co-culture with PB (Supplementary Figure 2b).

In contrast, neither *Pseudomonas* species grew to a higher density in co-culture compared to mono-culture conditions. Rather, PD experienced a reduction in cell counts when co-cultured with PB or in multispecies biofilms with the variant phenotype, indicating an antagonistic effect of the adapted phenotype, and PB, on PD cell numbers (Figure 3b).

To evaluate fitness of the ancestor and the V1 variant, we performed competition experiments that included both strains, in the absence or presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. and in biofilm *vs.* planktonic settings. We mimicked the selection pressure applied in a whole serial transfer during the adaptive evolution, including co-cultivation, washing and regrowth in new media. The variant was significantly more abundant under all conditions tested, except in biofilms in the absence of *Pseudomonas* ($p = 0.064$, Dunn's post-hoc test; Figure 4). Interestingly, under biofilm settings, the ratio of variant to wild-type phenotype increased from 4.8-fold to 25-48-fold when *Pseudomonas* spp. was present, highlighting the superior fitness of the variant in the presence of other species (Figure 4, left panel).

Figure 4. **Competition of *B. thuringiensis* ancestor and V1 variant under biofilms or planktonic settings, with or without *Pseudomonas* spp.** BT: *B. thuringiensis*; V1: BT V1 variant; PB: *P. brenneri*; PD: *P. defluvii*. Planktonic samples were cultivated for 24 h in TSB, diluted 1:1000 into fresh medium, and incubated for another 24 h before plating. Biofilm samples were cultured for 24 h, washed thrice, transferred to fresh media, and regrown for 24 h before plating. Plots show mean CFU counts (horizontal crossbars) of wild-type and variant colony phenotypes (Log$_{10}$(CFU/mL)). Data represent five biological replicates (points), each with three technical replicates. Dissimilar letters denote significant difference in multiple comparisons per combination ($p < 0.05$, Dunn's post-hoc test). Ratios of variant *vs.* wild-type phenotypes and their corresponding p-values (Dunn's post-hoc test) are shown in grey boxes for each sample type (culture condition) and setting (biofilm or planktonic).

Although the dynamics and ratios of wild-type and variant phenotypes varied in planktonic settings, prevalence of the variant phenotype was consistently enhanced in each mixture (Figure 4, right panel). These results confirm that the variant phenotype is fitter in the short term, not just after eight days of evolution, suggesting that it outcompetes the wild-type phenotype even early in the selection process. Furthermore, we evaluated the impact of the specific *Pseudomonas* spp. on the selection of variant or wild-type phenotypes in a triple culture system under both planktonic and biofilm settings. The abundance of the wild-type phenotype was significantly lower in biofilm settings compared to planktonic in the presence of PB, whereas abundance of the variant phenotype was unchanged (Two-sample t-test: $p$wt = 0.0119, $p$variant = 0.0923; Supplementary Figure 3a). In contrast, the abundance of both the wild-type and variant

phenotypes was enhanced in planktonic conditions compared to biofilms when PD was present (Two-

sample t-test: $p$wt $=2.17\text{x}10^{-05}$, $p$variant $= 0.00794$; Supplementary Figure S3b). These results suggest that

PD and PB interact differently with *B. thuringiensis*, which may result in different selective pressures posed

by the two *Pseudomonas* spp. Specifically, PD may facilitate nutrient availability or alter competition

dynamics in ways that favor planktonic growth of *B. thuringiensis*, regardless of its phenotype.

In conclusion, these findings suggest that the evolutionary adaptations in these variants may specifically

affect biofilm matrix production and aggregation without significantly impairing their ability to adhere to

surfaces. The observed reduction in matrix production in mixed-species biofilms with the variant could

stem either from the inability of *B. thuringiensis* light variant to induce matrix production in *Pseudomonas*

spp. or from intrinsic diminished matrix production in such variants.

316 Matrix glycan composition altered by co-cultivation and BT light variant

We observed a synergistic effect on biofilm biomass in mixed species, compared to mono-culture,

though only significantly different with BT ancestor (Figure 4a). However, no correlation was found

between such induction and CFU counts of either of the strains. We hypothesized that such differences in

biofilm biomass between ancestral and variant strains in mixed species might imply altered production of

biofilm matrix, either in BT variants or in the *Pseudomonas* species. Thus, we investigated the influence of

interspecies interactions and/or acquired mutations on the amount and types of glycans within the biofilm

matrix.

We screened multispecies biofilms (BT+PD+PB) with 78 different fluorescent lectins binding to

carbohydrates of varying nature (Supplementary Table 5). After filtering the binding results by fluorescence

signal (data not shown), three lectins with strong binding in multispecies biofilms were identified for further

analysis: AAL, WGA, and RCA. AAL binds α-fucose-containing polymers, WGA binds N-

acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), and RCA binds galactose and N-acetylgalactosamine (Gal/GalNAc) glycans

[45]. Considering the minimal difference in biofilm biomass between co-culture and multispecies biofilms

(Figure 3a), we used co-culture conditions as it closely resembled multispecies conditions. Therefore, we

assessed binding of the three lectins to BT mono- and co-culture biofilms with PB, for both ancestral and

variant strains.

AAL lectin bound to BT cells and certain matrix-like structures in BT mono-culture biofilms, while

the variants displayed reduced lectin signal (Figure 5a). This indicates that the composition of

glycoconjugates in the cell wall or surface differed between the variants, leading to differential AAL

binding. Image quantification of lectin binding supported visual observations, significantly reducing lectin

binding in the variants (Figure 5b). However, the amount of lectin binding per biofilm volume (biovolume)

unit was not significantly changed in the variants (Figure 5c). We cannot exclude the possibility that

intrinsic heterogeneity of biofilm and differences among slide replicates, even within biological replicate,

could account for these results. Moreover, some of the replicates also showed some unspecific lectin

binding that could influence the analysis.

Co-cultivation of BT ancestral or variant strains with PB however showed similar AAL binding,

(Supplementary Figure 4), suggesting a change in glycoconjugates triggered by interspecies interactions.

Moreover, PB also showed AAL binding in mono-culture and, thus, association of lectin signal with the

specific matrix producer may be cumbersome in co-culture conditions (Supplementary Figure 4, BT and

PB panels).

Unlike AAL lectin binding, no differential binding was observed for RCA lectin, regardless of the

BT genotype or cultivation (mono- *vs.* co-culture with PB). Moreover, in PB biofilms, this lectin bound

thin filaments that revealed a galactose/N-Acetylgalactosamine polymer network (Supplementary Figure

5a). On the other hand, WGA bound to BT cell surfaces (Supplementary Figure 5b), likely the cell wall

because this lectin binds to the sugar GlcNAc, which is a major component of peptidoglycan [46]. However,

there was no visible difference observed in either the variants or mixed-species combinations (data not

shown).

Figure 5. AAL lectin staining in mono-culture biofilms of *B. thuringiensis* ancestor and variant strains. BT: Ancestral *B. thuringiensis* strain; V1: variant1, V2: variant 2; V3: variant 3; V4: variant 4; PB: *P. brenneri*. Biomass: red fluorescent signal of biofilms stained with the cell biomass stain SYTO60. Lectin AAL: green fluorescent signal of biofilms stained with the fluorescent lectin AAL-FITC. Merge: combined fluorescent signal of cell biomass and lectin stains. **(a)** Maximum intensity projection (MIP) images of Z-stacks recorded by confocal laser scanning microscopy for 24-hour biofilms. The scale of the images is 124 μm across. **(b)** Biofilm volume (Biovolume, μm³) of lectin (green) and cell biomass fluorescence (red). Biovolume was calculated using BiofilmQ [86] per channel, based on ≥ three biological replicates (represented as points) and two images per replicate. Bars show mean values per channel. Dissimilar letters denote significant p-value (Dunn´s post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$) per channel (green = lectin; red = cell biomass). **(c)** Ratios of lectin biovolume/ biomass biovolume for each strain. Data based on ≥ three biological replicates, represented by different symbols, and two images per replicate. Crossbars indicate the mean ratio for each strain. Dissimilar letters denote significant p-value (Dunn´s post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$).

368   In conclusion, AAL lectin staining showed a tendency of reduced production of α-fucose polymers

369   by BT variants but lectin-biomass ratios were not conclusive. However, other matrix components may be

370   influenced by the distinct phenotypic biofilm formation by BT genotype, such as protein abundance.

371   Matrix protein abundance of BT light variant decreased in response to co-cultivation and genotype

We next investigated the effects of interspecies interactions and acquired mutations in BT on the

proteomes of biofilm matrices, hoping to explain the reduced biofilm matrix production observed in either

the BT variants or the *Pseudomonas* species. We specifically examined variants V1 and V6 due to their

distinct origin of isolation (V1 from co-culture with PB and V6 from mono-culture), to eliminate the

possibility that the isolation origin influenced the abundance of matrix proteins. Our proteomic experiments

utilized a chemical extraction of biofilm matrix as input material, which results in a lower number of

expected proteins compared to total biofilm samples.

First, we performed a comparative analysis of BT proteomes by genotype (variants *vs.* ancestral

strains) and culture condition (mono-culture *vs.* co-culture with PB) (Figure 6). It is important to note that

co-culture proteomes likely contain BT and PB derived proteins from the extracted matrices. The analysis

showed that BT ancestor mono-culture samples were the most distinct from the rest, clustering together on

the right of PC1 (Figure 6a), as evidenced by probabilistic principal component analysis (PPCA). The

variation in PC1 was driven by the BT genotype (ancestor *vs.* variant), while the cultivation condition

(mono-culture *vs.* co-culture) determined PC2. Light variant co-culture or mono-culture samples could not

be discriminated, while BT ancestor co-culture samples were grouped in a distinct cluster compared to

mono-culture. Interestingly, BT co-culture proteomes overlapped with variant mono- and co-culture

proteomes. Consistently, comparison of BT ancestor *vs.* variants in mono-culture evidenced the largest

difference in proteomes. Only 20 proteins out of 187 were common to all three BT strains (Figure 6c and

Supplementary Table 6) and 11 significantly changed, related to amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism

energy production, among others. In contrast, 150 proteins were exclusively detected in the ancestor

(Supplementary Table 7), indicating a major reduction in protein abundance in both variants. Among those,

different proteins involved in sporulation or regulated by the Stage 0 sporulation protein, Spo0A, were only

detected in the ancestor, consistent with sporulation-defective phenotypes observed in the variants.

395
Figure 6. Differential protein abundance in *B. thuringiensis* ancestor *or variants* in mono-culture *vs.*
co-culture biofilms with *P. brenneri*. BT = Ancestral *B. thuringiensis* strain; PB = *P. brenneri*; V1 = *B.*
thuringiensis variant 1; V6 = *B. thuringiensis* variant 6. **(a)** Probabilistic PCA plot of all monoculture and
co-culture proteomes, based on Log_2 transformed protein intensity data with 5 biological replicates per
sample. Color indicates the sample type (strain and culture condition) and shape the genotype: ancestral
(triangles) or variant (circles). Ellipses indicate sample group clustering based on the principal components.
(b) Density plots of Log_2 transformed protein intensity for mono-culture *vs.* co-culture samples representing
5 biological replicates. Percentile 0.05 is indicated as the leftmost vertical line, while middle lines denote
protein intensity means for each sample excluding NA values (no protein detected). **(c-g)** Venn diagrams
of *B. thuringiensis* mono-culture proteomes (c), co-culture proteomes (d), or mono-culture *vs.* co-culture
proteomes (e-f), considering proteins detected in ≥ 60 % of each sample (3/5 biological replicates). **(c)**
Mono-culture proteomes **(d)** Co-culture proteomes **(e)** BT *vs.* BT+PB proteomes **(f)** V1 *vs.* V1+PB
proteomes **(g)** V6 *vs.* V6+PB proteomes. n = total proteins detected per comparison.

To discard unique expressions due to detection limits, we calculated the mean and 0.05 percentile

of each proteome to use as a cutoff (Figure 6b and Supplementary Table 8). However, DivIVA, SpoVG,

immune inhibitor A (CDS_04360 and CDS_05099), neutral protease B (CDS_00401) and the major biofilm

matrix component TasA(CDS_04363 and CDS_04365) were still above the expression cutoff in the

ancestral BT strain, compared to the light variants. Remarkably, the four latter proteins were also more

abundant in the ancestral co-culture (Supplementary Table 9), suggesting that their abundance is influenced

by BT genotype —ancestor — and the presence of PB.

Co-cultivation of PB with BT ancestral or variant strains reduced biofilm matrix protein abundance

by 90 % (17 proteins co-culture *vs.* 187 in mono-culture), suggesting that PB minimized the differences of

BT proteomes (Figure 6d). However, 15 proteins were uniquely detected in the ancestor co-culture,

including the four mentioned above (Supplementary Table 9). Mono-culture *vs.* co-culture comparisons

rendered highly different results depending on the genotype compared. In the ancestor pairwise analysis,

111 out of 163 proteins were only detected in mono-culture (Figure 6e and Supplementary Table 10),

supporting the previous observation that PB decreased abundance of biofilm matrix-associated proteins in

BT. However, comparisons between the V1 and V6 variants resulted in reduced matrix proteomes, with 15

and 5 proteins detected, respectively (Figures 6f-g and Supplementary Tables 11-12). Importantly, these

findings reflect the proteomes of extracted matrices rather than global proteomes, as we would anticipate a

broader range of differentially abundant proteins in global proteomes due to *spo0A* mutations, considering

its pivotal regulatory role.

We hypothesize that the acquired mutations may switch off the expression of multiple genes

involved in sporulation, a highly complex and tiered-regulated process linked to biofilm formation. There

is previous evidence that *spo0A* mutations in *Bacillus subtilis* enhanced maintenance metabolism [47] and

increased glucose consumption and acetate formation [48]. This would be consistent with the faster

doubling time observed for the variants, given that fewer proteins and consequently a lower amount of

energy are required in the absence of sporulation.

We investigated whether matrix proteins were differentially abundant in PB based on culture

condition and BT genotype (Supplementary Figure 6). Out of 169 detected proteins, mono- and co-culture

proteomes showed similar mean abundance and 0.05 quantiles (Supplementary Table 8 and Supplementary

Figure 6a). Unlike BT results, co-cultivation did not strongly affect the number of detected proteins, with

64% shared among all samples (n = 108) (Supplementary Table 13 and Supplementary Figure 6b). PB

mono-culture samples clustered separately to co-culture samples, indicating that cultivation drove PC1

(Supplementary Figure 6c). Seventeen proteins were uniquely detected in co-culture with BT ancestor

(Supplementary Table 13), though co-culture samples of BT, V1, or V6 could not be discriminated in the

PPCA. While no unique or differentially abundant matrix proteins were found in PB, multiple proteins

involved in amino acid transport and metabolism varied based on culture condition. Co-cultivation reduced

the abundance of several amino acid transporters in PB regardless of BT genotype (arginine, branched-

chain, cysteine, glutamate/aspartate, and putrescine, Supplementary Figure 6d). Other proteins involved in

branched-changed transport (braC_1), glycine, tyrosine or arginine/ornithine metabolism were only

detected in PB monoculture (Supplementary Table 13). These findings suggest that PB optimizes amino

acid utilization differently depending on environmental conditions. In co-culture with BT, ecological

dynamics might shift, altering metabolic priorities and protein abundance. This aligns with our previous

observation that V1 variant CFU counts increased in co-culture with PB, suggesting that the variant benefits

more from co-cultivation with PB than the ancestral strain (Figure 4b).

Based on all proteomics results, we hypothesize that the lower abundance of matrix-associated

proteins in BT light variants correlates with the previously observed reduction in biofilm biomass in the

variant mixtures. Furthermore, the absence of differential or unique abundance of matrix proteins in PB

suggests a deficiency in BT's production of matrix components associated with *spo0A* mutations. These

results are also consistent with the observed tendency of reduced AAL lectin binding in the variants.

Discussion

Microbial interactions influence the fitness, survival, and evolution of interacting species, enabling

better resource utilization, mitigating competition, enhancing mutual dependencies, or optimizing nutrient

exchange. Despite their predominance and significance, adaptive evolution experiments focusing on

multispecies biofilms remain limited. This study aimed to address this gap by examining effects of

interspecies dynamics and spatial structuring on trait selection within the Gram-positive bacterium BT.

Here, we used short-term evolution assays where BT, PD, and PB were cultured individually or in

combination, under both planktonic and biofilm conditions (Figure 1a). Throughout, these assays, a distinct

phenotypic variant of BT, which we termed "light variant", consistently emerged. Notably, this variant was

more prevalent than the wild-type phenotype in biofilm environments (Figure 1b), and its selection further

amplified by interactions with *Pseudomonas* species—indicating a potentially fitter phenotype under such

conditions. While previous experimental evolution studies in biofilms have documented the emergence of

adapted variants exhibiting enhanced biofilm characteristics relative to their ancestors, our findings diverge

[18, 20, 49, 50]. For instance, Lin and collaborators identified a "fuzzy spreader" phenotype of *B.*

thuringiensis in a bead-transfer evolution study, where all adapted lineages resulted in enhanced biofilm

production compared to its ancestor [26]. In contrast, the BT variants identified in our study produced less

biofilm within mixed-species contexts, which was associated with a reduction in biofilm matrix components

like TasA. Despite this, the adapted phenotype prevailed across different environments (planktonic or

biofilm, Fig. 1b), suggesting a fitness advantage over the ancestral form. Moreover, the adapted variant

displayed reduced auto-aggregation compared to the ancestral strain (Figure 2a-b). This decrease in

aggregation could optimize the distribution of cells within the environment, facilitating better access to

nutrients and, possibly, enhancing survival and growth. This advantage is consistent with the observed

shorter generation time of the non-sporulating variants and higher prevalence of the light variant V1 when

co-cultured with the ancestor, especially in the presence of other species (Figure 4). These traits together

would confer a substantial fitness benefit, especially in competitive environments with other species, where

the ability to outcompete other organisms and rapidly access resources could be crucial for survival and

proliferation (Figure 7). However, considering the comparable biofilm characteristics between the ancestor

and the variants, our findings suggest that BT adaptation in biofilms may be driven by factors beyond

adhesion or biofilm formation, such as nutrient competition and subsequent metabolic optimization, which

may also be more compatible with *Pseudomonas* co-existence.

Biofilm formation and spore production are two different survival strategies of bacteria facing

adverse environmental stresses. However, intertwined regulatory pathways between biofilm formation and

sporulation have been proposed for both *B. subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus* [51, 52]. *spo0A* mutants in other

Bacilli such as *Bacillus velezensis*, *B. subtilis* or *B. cereus* are impaired in biofilm formation, since Spo0A

de-represses EPS production and promotes biofilm [53–56]. Whole-genome sequencing of the variants

linked lack of sporulation with mutations in key genes regulating sporulation (Supplementary Figure 1).

V1, V3 and V6 variants harbored mutations in *spo0A*, which has a central role in sporulation [41, 42].

However, BT variants lacking Spo0A showed comparable CFU counts and matrix production to the

ancestor in mono-culture (Figure 3). Our results demonstrate that, even though the variant displayed

reduced capacity for auto-aggregation (surface-independent aggregation), it does not entirely lose the ability

to attach to surfaces, distinguishing it from other *spo0A* mutants in Bacilli such as *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus*.

On the other hand, V2 variant showed structural variation (SV) affecting the *soj* gene. SV can confer change

in gene copy number, creation of new genes, altered gene expression and many other functional

consequences [57]. Other studies have demonstrated that certain mutations creating structural variants can

enable new adaptive phenotypes to arise in laboratory populations of microorganisms, that may be

inaccessible single-nucleotide mutations [43, 58]. Soj has been associated to correct chromosome

segregation and partitioning during both growth and initiation of sporulation in *B. subtilis* [44]. The

regulation of chromosome structure by Soj is particularly important during sporulation, when the bacterial

cell undergoes significant changes in chromosome architecture. Thus, structural variation affecting this

gene may explain the non-sporulating phenotype of this variant.

A recent study established a link between spore formation and biofilm dispersal in *B. subtilis* [59]. Under unfavorable conditions, spores may detach from the biofilm, dispersing through air, water, or other means, and potentially establishing new biofilms elsewhere, thereby promoting bacterial survival and spread. Our findings indicate that, under the controlled conditions of the laboratory where environmental stressors are minimal or absent, sporulation might become dispensable for BT (Figure 7). However, non-sporulating variants have also been reported in other Bacilli in more relevant ecological contexts, such as deep nutrient or energy deprivation, food surfaces or insect cadavers, where they survived from days to months [60–62]. This suggests that our findings can have ecological relevance and that such mutations can be selected and fit in more extreme conditions.

Irrespective of the specific mutations, all BT light variants shared identical phenotypic traits: grew faster than the ancestor (Supplementary Table 3), displayed reduced auto-aggregation (Figure 2a-b), did not sporulate (Figure 2c), and bound the AAL lectin less, which pointed towards decreased matrix glycoconjugates (Figure 5). Moreover, proteomic analysis revealed that different matrix proteins were uniquely detected in the ancestor. Among these, two TasA/CalY orthologues and SpoVG were detected in BT ancestor but not in the variants (Supplementary Table 7). TasA is a major matrix component in *Bacillus* biofilms and its absence results in significant defects in biofilm and pellicle formation in *B. subtilis* [63, 64]. Its paralog in *B. cereus* is needed for root colonization, while in *B. thuringiensis*, the TasA-orthologue CalY has been identified as a cell-surface adhesin and described as a major virulence factor and biofilm matrix protein [65, 66]. Interestingly, both CalY orthologues (*tasA_3* and *tasA_4*) are located in the same operon as *sipW*, regulated by SinR and, consequently, indirectly controlled by Spo0A in *B. cereus* (Supplementary Figure 7). Such regulatory circuit would explain the lack of TasA in *spo0A*-deficient variants. On the other hand, SpoVG plays a critical role in the spore-coat formation of *Bacillus anthracis* and is a regulator of both sporulation and biofilm formation in *B. cereus* 0-9 that activates the levels of Spo0A and, thus, the fate of *B. cereus* cells: biofilm or sporulation [67–69]. Proteomic results also evidenced the unique detection of two metalloproteases only in BT ancestor: NprB and InhA (immune inhibitor A), linked with protein recycling during sporulation and indirectly regulated by Spo0A [41, 70,

71]. InhA coding gene, *ina_4*, is also located in the same genomic region than the two *calY* orthologues and

regulated by the SinI-R regulon in other Bacilli [72, 73]. We speculate that the lack of expression of TasA

and the biofilm regulatory protein SpoVG could contribute to the differences observed between CFU counts

and total biofilm biomass for the variant phenotype (Crystal Violet).

Apart from BT genotype, co-cultivation was another factor influencing protein abundance, yet

differently depending on the target species. Co-cultivation significantly altered the proteome of BT but only

in the ancestral strain (Figure 6a). Meanwhile, for PB the presence of BT greatly impacted protein

abundance regardless of the phenotype (Supplementary Figure 6c), showing consistent lower abundance of

amino acid transporters in PB co-culture (Supplementary Figure 6d). This could indicate competition for

resources or utilization or distinct metabolic pathways in co-culture. We have previously reported that

cooperative interactions between community members can facilitate metabolic cross-feeding on specific

amino acids, though in a different multispecies biofilm model [74, 75]. Additionally, previous studies have

shown that coexistence can remain stable over time, for instance, by oppositely altering the pH or secreting

costless by-products to the other species' benefit [18, 76–80]. Most reported *Bacillus-Pseudomonas* co-

cultures demonstrate either competition or amensalism, driven by bioactive natural products, while positive

interactions are usually associated with cross-feeding or shared resources [31]. Our evolution experiment

revealed significant reduction of PB CFU counts in planktonic co-culture with BT, unlike biofilm

conditions, suggesting that spatial structure facilitates co-existence of these species. Furthermore, higher

abundance of the variant phenotype was observed in co-culture with PB in both planktonic and biofilm

settings, suggesting that the adapted variant benefits from PB, compared to its ancestor. This pattern is

consistent with a non-exploitable dynamic, with the BT variant benefiting through improved growth

without negatively impacting PB.

555
Figure 7. Proposed model for adaptation of the evolved variants. *B. thuringiensis* non-sporulating
variants emerged in all conditions, but biofilm settings and co-cultivation with either or both *P. brenneri*
and *P. defluvii* enhanced selection of the variant (thicker arrows). In adverse conditions, spores might aid
in dispersing biofilms in the ancestral strain. However, adapted variants, lacking sporulation, could
experience restricted dispersal. The adapted variant lacks sporulation, displays reduced auto-aggregation,
faster doubling time than its ancestor and can outcompete it in co-culture biofilms. Variants lack proteins
SpoVG, the matrix amyloid TasA and two extracellular metalloproteases involved in matrix recycling.
Indirect Spo0A regulation is indicated by dashed arrows [41, 54, 67, 68, 73, 99].

In summary, our study evidences the ecological role of non-sporulating variants of *B. thuringiensis*

in multispecies communities, demonstrating that prevalence of the variant phenotype is likely influenced

by its lower auto-aggregation capacity, its competitive advantage in the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. and

its ability to thrive in both planktonic and biofilm environments (Figure 7). However, our results showed

enhanced prevalence of the variant phenotype over the wild type in biofilm settings and in the presence of

Pseudomonas species, suggesting that selection of the non-sporulating variants is strongly influenced by

such factors (Figure 7). Our findings challenge the traditional view that biofilm evolution invariably selects

for stronger biofilm-forming phenotypes. Instead, we demonstrate that adaptation may favor traits that

optimize resource competition or metabolic efficiency over biofilm reinforcement. These results have

important ecological implications, as similar evolutionary dynamics may occur in natural microbial

communities where competition and cooperation among species influence community structure. Hence,

this study evidences the importance of considering population diversity—both intra- and interspecific, along

with spatial structure as key determinants of bacterial adaptation.

Methods

Strains and materials

The three bacterial strains PD, PB, BT were isolated from water samples collected at a wastewater treatment plant in Kalundborg, Denmark, and their genome s were sequenced in another study [29]. All strains were grown at 24 °C in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; 17 g pancreatic digest of casein, 3 g papaic digest of soybean meal, 5 g sodium chloride, 2.5 g dextrose, 2.5 g dibasic potassium phosphate in 1 L distilled water, pH 7.3), supplemented with 1.5 % agar when needed. To distinguish the bacterial strains and/or species variants, 40 µg/mL of Congo Red (Merck) and 20 µg/mL Coomassie Brilliant Blue G (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the TSB base, referred to as TSB Congo Red plates. In previous studies, this media has facilitated identification of adapted variants and differentiation among species [18, 77].

Short-term evolution assay with spatial structure

Overnight cultures in TSB medium were adjusted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.15 in fresh TSB prior to usage. The experiment was conducted in 24-well plates, where each well contained a polycarbonate chip (12 x 12 x 0.78 mm^3) (PC) that was diagonally tilted so that bacteria could adhere to both sides of the chip. In each well, 2 mL of OD_{600} 0.15 cultures were added, either as mono-species culture or mixed-species culture. For mixed-species conditions, 1:1 or 1:1:1 OD_{600} ratios of the species were used, that is, 1 mL or 0.66 mL of each species at OD = 0.15, for dual- and multispecies, respectively. Every 24 hours (h), the floating pellicle was gently removed and discarded before the polycarbonate chip, containing adhered cells, was transferred to a new well, and the chip was washed thrice in 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove any leftover planktonic/pellicle cells. This was done consecutively for 8 days, followed by washing the PC chips before transferring to a 5-mL Eppendorf tube containing 2 mL 1x PBS. The biofilm was then removed from the PC surface by shaking at 600 rpm for 5 minutes (min) (Titramax1000, Heidolph). The resulting suspension was then plated on TSB Congo Red plates with three technical replicates to estimate colony forming units (CFUs) and to screen for new variants with different colony morphology.

Short-term evolution assay without spatial structure

To test selection pressure in conditions not favoring spatial structure, a short-term evolution assay was also performed with well-mixed planktonic cultures. Cultures were adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.15$ as described above, and 5 mL of this culture was incubated overnight, with shaking at 250 rpm (KS 250 basic, IKA). After 24 h, 5 μL of this culture was transferred to new fresh media. The transfer was repeated for 8 days, followed by plating on TSB Congo Red plates with three technical replicates.

Sedimentation dynamics in planktonic cultures of BT ancestor and V1 variant

The sedimentation dynamics of the BT ancestor and V1 variant strains were assessed in planktonic cultures grown in TSB at 24 °C and 250 rpm for 20 h. A 1-mL aliquot of each strain culture was transferred to a spectrophotometer cuvette. Culture aliquots were maintained under static conditions to observe natural sedimentation patterns. OD_{600} was measured at time zero (t0) and for 60 minutes (min) in 5 min intervals using a GENESYS UV10 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), to quantify sedimentation. Values were expressed as the percentage of initial OD_{600} (t0). Measurements were performed for three biological replicates of each strain, and mean percentage of initial OD_{600} calculated per time point.

Microscopic analysis of auto-aggregation in planktonic cultures of BT ancestor and V1 variant

The auto-aggregative properties of the BT ancestor and V1 variant strains were analyzed using fluorescence microscopy. Planktonic cultures of both strains were grown in 5 mL TSB for 20 h at 24 °C and 250 rpm. Cultures were left for 1 h without shaking and 20 μL of top or bottom phases stained with 10 μM SYTO 16 (nucleic acid stain) to visualize cellular distribution. Images of the top and bottom phases of the cultures were captured using a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 epifluorescence microscope equipped with a LD Plan-NEOFLUAR 20x objective (numerical aperture 0.4).

Quantification of biofilm formation

Assessment of the biofilm formation on the polycarbonate surfaces was performed using a modified

crystal violet (CV) assay [81]. Biofilms were allowed to grow on PC chips for 24 h as described above,

washed thrice in 1x PBS and then transferred to a well of a 24-well plate containing 2 mL of 1 % CV

aqueous solution and incubated for 20 min in the dark. Then, the chips were carefully removed and rinsed

five times in 1x PBS. CV-stained chips were then incubated in 2 mL of 96 % ethanol for 30 min. The

biofilm biomass was then quantified as the absorbance of CV solution at 590 nm (A_{590}). To avoid

measurement saturation, samples were diluted in 96% ethanol when A_{590} was higher than 1.

Growth curves in liquid cultures

Cultures of BT strains were grown overnight in liquid TSB medium. Then, 1:100 dilutions of the

overnight cultures in TSB were prepared, and these cultures were used to inoculate 96-well plates, using 3

biological and 3 technical replicates. Growth was monitored for 24 h at 24 °C with 282 rpm double orbital

shaking and OD_{600} readings every 10 min. Growth data was integrated to calculate different growth

parameters using the Growthcurver R package [82] to determine different parameters. These included:

t_mid, the point of inflection of the growth curve; K, carrying capacity or maximum size of the population;

t_gen, generation time of a strain; r, intrinsic growth rate of a population; sigma, the fit goodness of the

model. The resulting calculations can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Whole-genome sequencing and analysis of variants

BT ancestor and isolated variants V1, V2, V3, and V6 (Supplementary Table 2) were genome-

sequenced by extracting the DNA using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) from overnight cultures. The

samples were sequenced with short reads using Truseq libraries on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 and 2x150

cycles (Novogene, UK).

Data was analyzed using breseq (v0.35.0) to call variants using the –p polymorphism flag when

analyzing population sequences [83]. As the reference genome, we used the previously constructed hybrid

assembly of long and short reads from BT, available online (NCBI) with ID JARTVI000000000. Mutations

detected between our ancestral strain and the reference genome were not considered. The breseq software

also predicts new junctions within the genome which may occur due to mobile element insertions, large

deletions, structural rearrangements and prophage excision. These analyses were also included. A full list

of the mutations can be found in Supplementary Table 4.

Optical microscopy

Liquid cultures of the different strains were incubated for 24 h in TSB at 24 °C while shaking at

250 rpm. From these 24-h cultures, 10 µl were pipetted onto a microscope glass slide, and a coverslip was

applied on top. Samples were observed with an Olympus BH2 optical microscope with 10x and 40x

magnification objectives, with 0.25 and 0.65 numerical apertures, respectively.

Sporulation assay

To compare the sporulation capacity of *B. thuringiensis* and its variants, a sporulation assay was

performed similarly to the description by Toukabri et al. [84]. Firstly, overnight cultures (24 h) of the

ancestor and variants were serially diluted and plated on TSB Congo Red to quantify the concentration

vegetative cells in each culture. Similarly, an aliquot of such cultures was heat-shocked at 80 ˚C for 20 min

before plating on TSB Congo Red plates to quantify sporulating cells. Plates were incubated two days at

24 ˚C before counting. This was repeated for 3 consecutive days (48-, 72- and 96-h cultures) to assess

whether incubation time influenced spore formation. Furthermore, the sporulation assay was performed for

the biofilms grown on PC chips to estimate the number of spores produced in mono or mixed-species

cultures.

Competition assay of BT ancestor and V1 variant in biofilm and planktonic settings with or without

Pseudomonas **spp.**

To assess fitness of the ancestral BT and V1 variant, they were grown in the absence or presence

of *Pseudomonas* spp. under planktonic or biofilm conditions simulating a full serial transfer of the evolution

experiment. Overnight cultures of each strain were adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.15$ in fresh TSB and mixed in

equal volume ratios: 1:1 (BT+V1), 1:1:1 (BT+V1+PB; BT+V1+PD) and 1:1:1:1 (BT+V1+PB+PD).

Inoculation of biofilm and planktonic samples was done as detailed in the short-term evolution

assay with and without spatial structure, respectively, however with only one daily transfer. Specifically,

planktonic samples were cultivated in TSB for 24 h at 24 °C and 250 rpm, diluted 1:1000 in fresh medium

and incubated for another 24 h before plating. For biofilm samples, they were cultivated in TSB for 24 h at

24 °C under static conditions, washed three times with fresh medium, transferred to new media, and

regrown for another 24 hours before plating. Colony-forming unit (CFU) counts of BT ancestor and the V1

variant were determined by plating and counting on TSA Congo Red. Each condition included five

biological replicates, with each replicate consisting of three technical replicates.

Biofilm matrix staining with fluorescent lectins

Matrix glycoconjugate characterization in the three species community was performed using 78

different fluorescently labeled lectins (using FITC, AlexaFluor488, or Fluorescein) in combination with

confocal laser scanning microscopy (TCS SP5X, Leica Germany, controlled by the software program LAS-

AF vers. 2.41) as described previously by Neu and Kuhlicke [45, 85]. The lectins and fluorescent conjugates

used are listed in Supplementary Table 5. Briefly, biofilms were grown on polycarbonate chips for 24 h,

followed by washing three times with 1x PBS, as described above. Staining solutions containing fluorescent

lectins were prepared at a concentration of 100 µg/mL (in filter-sterilized ultrapure water). 100 µL was then

added to a biofilm on a polycarbonate chip, followed by incubation for 30 min in the dark. The excess

staining solution was washed twice with dH_2O before adding SYTO60 to the sample, prior to confocal

imaging. Excitation of FITC, AlexaFluor488, and Fluorescein was performed at 488 nm, and the emission

signals were recorded from 500–580 nm. Excitation of SYTO60 was at 652 nm, and emission was recorded

from 665-750 nm. For lectins conjugated to Rhodamine, excitation was done at 525 nm, and emission was

recorded from 570-650 nm. Image data sets were recorded using 63x NA 0.9 and 63x NA 1.2 objective

lenses with a step size of 0.5 µm.

Based on the screening, three lectins, *Aleuria aurantia* Lectin (AAL), Wheat Germ Agglutinin

(WGA), and *Ricinus communis* Agglutinin (RCA), were chosen for further testing. Here, monospecies and

dual species biofilms of PB and BT ancestral or variant strains were used to better assess the effects of BT

genotype and co-cultivation on AAL lectin binding. Images of AAL-lectin-stained biofilms of BT,variants

V1, V2, V3 and V6 strains were analyzed using the biofilm image analysis software suite BiofilmQ [86].

Biofilm volume (biovolume) was calculated for each fluorescent channel: lectin (FITC channel) and

biomass (SYTO60 channel) with a minimum of 6 images per sample (3 biological replicates and 2 images

per replicate). Moreover, biovolume ratios (AAL-lectin-stained biovolume divided by the total biovolume)

were calculated per image.

Biofilm matrix proteome measurements

Mono-cultures and co-culture biofilms were grown for 24 h in TSB medium on PC chips as

described above, followed by washing 3 times in 1x PBS to remove planktonic cells and biofilm pellicle.

Five biological replicates per condition were processed, each composed of five PC chips that were

combined to extract enough biofilm matrix material for proteomics analysis (technical replicates). The

biofilm was then resuspended in 5 mL of 1x PBS by shaking at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The biofilm matrix

was extracted from biofilms grown in either mono-culture or co-culture, resulting in a mixture of matrix

components from both species, by the formaldehyde and NaOH extraction method [87, 88]. Briefly, 0.006

V formaldehyde 37 % (30 μl) was added to the biofilm samples and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C while mixing,

followed by addition of 0.4 V NaOH 1 N (2 mL) and incubation for 3 h at 4 °C while mixing. Samples were

then dialyzed using 3500 MWCO 22 mm SnakeSkin™ Dialysis Tubing (ThermoFisher Scientific) against

2.5 l milliQ water, overnight at 4 °C with stirring. Protein content in dialyzed samples was quantified using

the Bio-Rad Protein assay, a modified Bradford assay [89], before freezing with liquid nitrogen. Finally,

the extracted biofilm matrix was lyophilized using an ALPHA 1-4 LDplus freeze dryer (Christ, VWR) and

kept at -80 °C until sample preparation for proteomics.

The proteomics workflow included sample preparation, trypsin digestion, mass spectrometry, and

data analysis conducted as described in Herschend et al. [75] with some modifications.

Matrix proteomics

Freeze-dried samples were resuspended in 100 µL lysis buffer (6M Guanidinium Hydrochloride,

10mM TCEP, 40mM CAA, 50mM HEPES pH8.5) and sonicated for 2 minutes in a sonication bath

(Ultrasonic cleaner, VWR) at level 7-8. Samples were boiled for 5 min at 95 °C, spun down 10 min at

10000 rpm and 4 °C and supernatants transferred to Lo-bind microtiter plate. Protein content was quantified

with Pierce™ Rapid Gold BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific) and 10 µg protein material was used

for digestion. The samples were three-fold diluted in digestion buffer (50 mM HEPES Ph 8.5 and 10%

acetonitrile) containing LysC (1:50 enzyme:protein ratio), briefly vortexed and incubated for 1 hour at 37

°C. Then samples were diluted 10x in digestion with trypsin (1:100 trypsin-to-protein ratio), briefly

vortexed and incubated at 37 °C temperature overnight. Inactivation of trypsin was achieved by adding

trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to 2% and debris was removed by centrifugation (x g, 1 min 4 °C). Acidified

peptides were loaded onto a SOLAµ HRP 96-well plate for desalting, which was previously activated with

100% methanol, followed by 80% acetonitrile (AcN) complemented with 0.1% TFA and centrifugation at

1500 rpm for 1 min. SOLAµ HRP 96-well plate was then washed twice with 0.3% and 1% TFA and samples

loaded and washed twice using 200 µL 0.1% TFA before being eluted with 2 x 30 µl 40% AcN

complemented with 0.1% TFA. AcN was removed from eluted samples in a SpeedVac for at least 1 hour

and 60 °C. Dry peptide samples were resuspended in 12 µL A*iRT solution, sonicated for 60 seconds and

quantified using a DS-11 drop spectrophotometer. Desalted and purified peptide samples were kept at -80

°C until subjected to analysis by mass spectrometry.

Mass Spectrometry analysis

For each sample, peptides were loaded onto a 2cm C18 trap column (ThermoFisher 164705), connected in-line to a 15cm C18 reverse-phase analytical column (Thermo EasySpray ES803) using 100% Buffer A (0.1% Formic acid in water) at 750bar, using the Thermo EasyLC 1000 HPLC system, and the column oven operating at 45 °C. Peptides were eluted over a 190 minute gradient ranging from 10 to 60% of 80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid at 250 nl/min, and the Q-Exactive instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was run in a DD-MS2 top10 method. Full MS spectra were collected at a resolution of 70,000 with an AGC target of 3×10^6 or maximum injection time of 20 ms and a scan range of 300–1750 m/z. The MS2 spectra were obtained at a resolution of 17,500, with an AGC target value of 1×10^6 or maximum injection time of 60 ms, a normalised collision energy of 25 and an intensity threshold of $1.7e^4$. Dynamic exclusion was set to 60 s, and ions with a charge state <2 or unknown were excluded. MS performance was verified for consistency by running complex cell lysate quality control standards, and chromatography was monitored to check for reproducibility.

Proteomics data analysis

Peptides identified by mass spectrometry, representing a mixture from both species, were mapped to the reference proteomes of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Pseudomonas brenneri* using MaxQuant v1.6.10.43 [90] with the built-in Andromeda search engine [91]. A target decoy search that allowed for a maximum of 1% FDR on both peptide and protein level and a minimum length of 7 amino acids per peptide was performed. Quantification was performed using the label-free quantification (LFQ) algorithm [92] in MaxQuant with a minimum ratio count of 2 and applying the "match between runs" function. The Andromeda search engine was supplemented with the reference proteome of *Bacillus thuringiensis,* and/or *Pseudomonas brenneri*. The genomes had been prepared during a previous study [29] and are available online (NCBI) with the following IDs: IDs JARTVI000000000 (*B. thuringiensis*) and CP122540.1 (*P. brenneri*).

Statistical analysis of proteomics data was performed with the Perseus software v1.6.15.0 [93] and

differentially expressed proteins were identified using a modified version of Welch's t-test with an S0

parameter of 0.5 [94] and a permutation-based FDR cut-off of 0.05 and valid values in at least 60% of the

samples in both sample groups, depending on the comparison made. In this software, pairwise comparisons

were performed for each species using the software Perseus: for *Bacillus thuringiensis*, monoculture versus

co-culture (for both ancestral and variant genotypes); and for *Pseudomonas brenneri*, monoculture versus

co-culture (including ancestral, V1, and V6 genotypes).

Prediction of subcellular localization of detected proteins was done using subcellular localization

prediction software PSORTb version 3.0.3 (https://www.psort.org/psortb/) [95]. Similarly, prediction

signal peptides and the location of their cleavage sites in the detected proteins was done using SignalP 6.0

(https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?SignalP-6.0) [96].

Statistical analysis and visualization

All analyses and plotting were done in RStudio v4.1.1 [97] and package "ggplot2" v3.4.2. All datasets were

tested for normality and equal variance using the Shapiro-Wilk (base R) and Levene's (package "car"

v3.1.2) tests, respectively. Most datasets were not normally distributed and thereby a Kruskal-Wallis test

(base R) was used when comparing more than two groups, unless otherwise specified. A general expression

was kruskal.test(log10_CFUmL~Combination), however the quantitative variable and categorical variables

changed depending on the dataset. A Dunn´s post-hoc test was performed using package "FSA" v0.9.4 to

consider multiple comparisons when the Kruskal-Wallis test was significant, with a Bonferroni correction.

When comparing only two groups, a Wilcoxon´s rank test t-test was applied, usually comparing ancestor

and variant phenotype/genotype (i.e. wilcox.test (CFU~Phenotype)). A p-value of 0.05 was used as a

threshold for statistical significance ($p < 0.05$). Compact letter display for multiple comparisons was

calculated using the R package "rcompanion" v2.4.35.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sara Olsen and Anette Løth for technical assistance, the DTU Proteomics core facility for technical assistance in proteomics sample preparation. Support by Ute Kuhlicke with lectin analysis and confocal laser scanning microscopy is highly appreciated. We thank the Villum Foundation for grants to MB (no. 10098) and HR (no. 34434) and the US Office of Naval Research, for grant N629091812174 to MB, as well as the Swiss National Science Foundation Consolidator Grant TMCG-3_213801 to KD, and the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung grant TARGET-Biofilms 16GW0245 to KD, funding this work.

Data availability statement

B. thuringiensis and *P. brenneri* genomes are available online at NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/) with the following IDs: JARTVI000000000 and CP122540.1, respectively. The mass spectrometry proteomics raw data and results from analysis by MaxQuant have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [98] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD046156 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive). The data is currently private and can only be accessed with a single reviewer account that has been created. The data will be publicly available when accepted for publication. For reviewer access, use the username reviewer_pxd046156@ebi.ac.uk and password kkAMO14X.

References

1. Agrawal AA. Phenotypic plasticity in the interactions and evolution of species. *Science* 2001; **294**: 321–326.

2. Barrett RDH, Schluter D. Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2008; **23**: 38–44.

3. Barrick JE, Lenski RE. Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. *Nat Rev Genet 2013 1412* 2013; **14**: 827–839.

4. Scheuerl T, Hopkins M, Nowell RW, Rivett DW, Barraclough TG, Bell T. Bacterial adaptation is constrained in complex communities. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**: 1–8.

5. Calcagno V, Jarne P, Loreau M, Mouquet N, David P. Diversity spurs diversification in ecological communities. *Nat Commun* 2017; **8**.

6. Emerson BC, Kolm N. Species diversity can drive speciation. *Nature* 2005; **434**: 1015–1017.

7. Nadell CD, Xavier JB, Foster KR. The sociobiology of biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2009; **33**: 206–224.

8. Gross K, Cardinale BJ. Does species richness drive community production or vice versa? Reconciling historical and contemporary paradigms in competitive communities. *Am Nat* 2007; **170**: 207–220.

9. Cardinale BJ, Wright JP, Cadotte MW, Carroll IT, Hector A, Srivastava DS, et al. Impacts of plant diversity on biomass production increase through time because of species complementarity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**: 18123–18128.

10. Nadell CD, Drescher K, Foster KR. Spatial structure, cooperation and competition in biofilms. *Nat Rev Microbiol 2016 149* 2016; **14**: 589–600.

11. Rainey PB, Travisano M. Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment. *Nature* 1998; **394**: 69–72.

12. Habets MGJL, Rozen DE, Hoekstra RF, De Visser JAGM. The effect of population structure on the adaptive radiation of microbial populations evolving in spatially structured environments. *Ecol Lett* 2006; **9**: 1041–1048.

13. Foster KR, Bell T. Competition, not cooperation, dominates interactions among culturable microbial species. *Curr Biol* 2012; **22**: 1845–1850.

14. Xavier JB, Foster KR. Cooperation and conflict in microbial biofilms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**: 876–881.

15. Rao D, Webb JS, Kjelleberg S. Competitive interactions in mixed-species biofilms containing the marine bacterium Pseudoalteromonas tunicata. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005; **71**: 1729–1736.

16. Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB. Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the

microbial jungle. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010; **8**: 15.

17. Ghoul M, Mitri S. The Ecology and Evolution of Microbial Competition. *Trends Microbiol* 2016; **24**: 833–845.

18. Røder HL, Herschend J, Russel J, Andersen MF, Madsen JS, Sørensen SJ, et al. Enhanced bacterial mutualism through an evolved biofilm phenotype. *ISME J* 2018; **12**: 2608.

19. Liu W, Jacquiod S, Brejnrod A, Russel J, Burmølle M, Sørensen SJ. Deciphering links between bacterial interactions and spatial organization in multispecies biofilms. *ISME J* 2019; **13**: 3054–3066.

20. Henriksen NNSE, Hansen MF, Kiesewalter HT, Russel J, Nesme J, Foster KR, et al. Biofilm cultivation facilitates coexistence and adaptive evolution in an industrial bacterial community. *NPJ biofilms microbiomes* 2022; **8**.

21. McDonald MJ, Gehrig SM, Meintjes PL, Zhang XX, Rainey PB. Adaptive Divergence in Experimental Populations of Pseudomonas fluorescens. IV. Genetic Constraints Guide Evolutionary Trajectories in a Parallel Adaptive Radiation. *Genetics* 2009; **183**: 1041.

22. Traverse CC, Mayo-Smith LM, Poltak SR, Cooper VS. Tangled bank of experimentally evolved Burkholderia biofilms reflects selection during chronic infections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013; **110**: E250–E259.

23. Thöming JG, Tomasch J, Preusse M, Koska M, Grahl N, Pohl S, et al. Parallel evolutionary paths to produce more than one Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm phenotype. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* 2020; **6**.

24. Leiman SA, Arboleda LC, Spina JS, McLoon AL. SinR is a mutational target for fine-tuning biofilm formation in laboratory-evolved strains of Bacillus subtilis. *BMC Microbiol* 2014; **14**.

25. Dragoš A, Lakshmanan N, Martin M, Horváth B, Maróti G, García CF, et al. Evolution of exploitative interactions during diversification in Bacillus subtilis biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2018; **94**.

26. Lin Y, Xu X, Maróti G, Strube ML, Kovács ÁT. Adaptation and phenotypic diversification of Bacillus thuringiensis biofilm are accompanied by fuzzy spreader morphotypes. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes 2022 81* 2022; **8**: 1–14.

27. Pomerleau M, Charron-Lamoureux V, Léonard L, Grenier F, Rodrigue S, Beauregard PB. Adaptive laboratory evolution reveals regulators involved in repressing biofilm development as key players in Bacillus subtilis root colonization. *mSystems* 2024; **9:e00843**.

28. Ehling-Schulz M, Koehler TM, Lereclus D. The Bacillus cereus Group: Bacillus species with Pathogenic Potential. *Microbiol Spectr* 2019; **7**.

29. Maccario L, Silva AF, Nesme J, Amador CI, Sørensen SJ, Cooper VS, et al. Draft genomes of seven isolates from Danish wastewater facilities belonging to Pseudomonas , Bacillus , Pseudochrobactrum , Brevundimonas , and Pandoraea . *Microbiol Resour Announc* 2023; **12**.

30. Muthukumar A, Raj TS, Prabhukarthikeyan SR, Naveen R, Keerthanab U. Pseudomonas and

Bacillus: A biological tool for crop protection. *New and Future Developments in Microbial*

Biotechnology and Bioengineering: Sustainable Agriculture: Advances in Microbe-based

Biostimulants. 2022. Elsevier, pp 145–158.

31. Lyng M, Kovács ÁT. Frenemies of the soil: Bacillus and Pseudomonas interspecies interactions.

Trends Microbiol 2023; **31**: 845–857.

32. Poltak SR, Cooper VS. Ecological succession in long-term experimentally evolved biofilms produces

synergistic communities. *ISME J* 2011; **5**: 369.

33. Koh KS, Lam KW, Alhede M, Queck SY, Labbate M, Kjelleberg S, et al. Phenotypic Diversification

and Adaptation of Serratia marcescens MG1 Biofilm-Derived Morphotypes. *J Bacteriol* 2006; **189**:

119.

34. Johns BE, Purdy KJ, Tucker NP, Maddocks SE. Phenotypic and Genotypic Characteristics of Small

Colony Variants and Their Role in Chronic Infection. *Microbiol Insights* 2015; **8**: 15.

35. Zhou Y, Blanco LP, Smith DR, Chapman MR. Bacterial Amyloids. *Methods Mol Biol* 2012; **849**:

303.

36. Jones CJ, Wozniak DJ. Congo red stain identifies matrix overproduction and is an indirect

measurement for c-di-GMP in many species of bacteria. *Methods Mol Biol* 2017; **1657**: 147–156.

37. Trunk T, Khalil HS, Leo JC. Bacterial autoaggregation. *AIMS Microbiol* 2018; **4**: 140.

38. Amador CI, Canosa I, Govantes F, Santero E. Lack of CbrB in Pseudomonas putida affects not only

amino acids metabolism but also different stress responses and biofilm development. *Environ*

Microbiol 2010; **12**: 1748–1761.

39. Lopes Da Silva T, Reis A, Kent CA, Roseiro JC, Hewitt CJ. The use of multi-parameter flow

cytometry to study the impact of limiting substrate, agitation intensity, and dilution rate on cell

aggregation during Bacillus licheniformis CCMI 1034 aerobic continuous culture fermentations.

Biotechnol Bioeng 2005; **92**: 568–578.

40. Ojima Y, Matano S, Azuma M. Characterization of self-aggregation in Bacillus licheniformis strain

RK14. *Ann Microbiol* 2023; **73**: 1–7.

41. Fawcett P, Eichenberger P, Losick R, Youngman P. The transcriptional profile of early to middle

sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000; **97**: 8063–8068.

42. Molle V, Fujita M, Jensen ST, Eichenberger P, González-Pastor JE, Liu JS, et al. The Spo0A regulon

of Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 2003; **50**: 1683–1701.

43. Deatherage DE, Traverse CC, Wolf LN, Barrick JE. Detecting rare structural variation in evolving

microbial populations from new sequence junctions using breseq. *Front Genet* 2015; **5**.

44. Roberts DM. A new role for monomeric ParA/Soj in chromosome dynamics in Bacillus subtilis.

Microbiologyopen 2023; **12**: e1344.

45. Neu TR, Kuhlicke U. Matrix glycoconjugate characterization in multispecies biofilms and

bioaggregates from the environment by means of fluorescently-labeled lectins. *Front Microbiol* 2022;

13.

46. Vollmer W, Blanot D, De Pedro MA. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*

2008; **32**: 149–167.

47. Tännler S, Decasper S, Sauer U. Maintenance metabolism and carbon fluxes in Bacillus species.

Microb Cell Fact 2008; **7**: 19.

48. Fischer E, Sauer U. Large-scale in vivo flux analysis shows rigidity and suboptimal performance of

Bacillus subtilis metabolism. *Nat Genet 2005 376* 2005; **37**: 636–640.

49. Hansen SK, Rainey PB, Haagensen JAJ, Molin S. Evolution of species interactions in a biofilm

community. *Nature* 2007; **445**: 533–536.

50. Cosetta CM, Niccum B, Kamkari N, Dente M, Podniesinski M, Wolfe BE. Bacterial–fungal

interactions promote parallel evolution of global transcriptional regulators in a widespread

Staphylococcus species. *ISME J 2023 179* 2023; **17**: 1504–1516.

51. Vishnoi M, Narula J, Devi SN, Dao HA, Igoshin OA, Fujita M. Triggering sporulation in Bacillus

subtilis with artificial two-component systems reveals the importance of proper Spo0A activation

dynamics. *Mol Microbiol* 2013; **90**: 181–194.

52. Huang Y, Flint SH, Palmer JS. Bacillus cereus spores and toxins – The potential role of biofilms.

Food Microbiol 2020; **90**: 103493.

53. Hamon MA, Lazazzera BA. The sporulation transcription factor Spo0A is required for biofilm

development in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 2001; **42**: 1199–1209.

54. Xu S, Yang N, Zheng S, Yan F, Jiang C, Yu Y, et al. The spo0A-sinI-sinR Regulatory Circuit Plays

an Essential Role in Biofilm Formation, Nematicidal Activities, and Plant Protection in Bacillus cereus

AR156. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 2017; **30**: 603–619.

55. Huang R, Shao J, Xu Z, Chen Y, Liu Y, Wang D, et al. A toxin-mediated policing system in Bacillus

optimizes division of labor via penalizing cheater-like nonproducers. *Elife* 2023; **12**: 84743.

56. Sharipova M, Rudakova N, Mardanova A, Evtugyn V, Akosah Y, Danilova I, et al. Biofilm

Formation by Mutant Strains of Bacilli under Different Stress Conditions. *Microorganisms* 2023;

11(6), **148**.

57. Periwal V, Scaria V. Insights into structural variations and genome rearrangements in prokaryotic

genomes. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**: 1–9.

58. Raeside C, Gaffé J, Deatherage DE, Tenaillon O, Briska AM, Ptashkin RN, et al. Large chromosomal

rearrangements during a long-term evolution experiment with Escherichia coli. *MBio* 2014; **5**: 1377–

1391.

59. Nishikawa M, Kobayashi K. Calcium Prevents Biofilm Dispersion in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol*
2021; **203**.

60. Rousset L, Alpha-Bazin B, Château A, Armengaud J, Clavel T, Berge O, et al. Groundwater promotes
emergence of asporogenic mutants of emetic Bacillus cereus. *Environ Microbiol* 2020; **22**: 5248–
5264.

61. Antequera-Gómez ML, Díaz-Martínez L, Guadix JA, Sánchez-Tévar AM, Sopeña-Torres S,
Hierrezuelo J, et al. Sporulation is dispensable for the vegetable-associated life cycle of the human
pathogen Bacillus cereus. *Microb Biotechnol* 2021; **14**: 1550–1565.

62. Dubois T, Faegri K, Gélis-Jeanvoine S, Perchat S, Lemy C, Buisson C, et al. Correction:
Necrotrophism Is a Quorum-Sensing-Regulated Lifestyle in Bacillus thuringiensis. *PLoS Pathog* 2016;
12.

63. Romero D, Aguilar C, Losick R, Kolter R. Amyloid fibers provide structural integrity to Bacillus
subtilis biofilms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010; **107**: 2230–2234.

64. Branda SS, Chu F, Kearns DB, Losick R, Kolter R. A major protein component of the Bacillus
subtilis biofilm matrix. *Mol Microbiol* 2006; **59**: 1229–1238.

65. Lakshmanan V, Kitto SL, Caplan JL, Hsueh Y-H, Kearns DB, Wu Y-S, et al. Microbe-Associated
Molecular Patterns-Triggered Root Responses Mediate Beneficial Rhizobacterial Recruitment in
Arabidopsis 1[C][W][OA]. *Plant Physiol Ò* 2003; **160**: 1642–1661.

66. Candela T, Fagerlund A, Buisson C, Gilois N, Kolstø AB, Økstad OA, et al. CalY is a major virulence
factor and a biofilm matrix protein. *Mol Microbiol* 2019; **111**: 1416–1429.

67. Chen M, Lyu Y, Feng E, Zhu L, Pan C, Wang D, et al. SpoVG Is Necessary for Sporulation in
Bacillus anthracis. *Microorganisms* 2020; **8(4)**, **548**.

68. Huang Q, Zhang Z, Liu Q, Liu F, Liu Y, Zhang J, et al. SpoVG is an important regulator of
sporulation and affects biofilm formation by regulating Spo0A transcription in Bacillus cereus 0–9.
BMC Microbiol 2021; **21**: 1–17.

69. Lin Y, Briandet R, Kovács ÁT. Bacillus cereus sensu lato biofilm formation and its ecological
importance. *Biofilm* . 2022. , **4**, **100070**

70. Livingston ET, Mursalin MH, Coburn PS, Astley R, Miller FC, Amayem O, et al. Immune inhibitor a
metalloproteases contribute to virulence in Bacillus endophthalmitis. *Infect Immun* 2021; **89**.

71. Harwood CR, Kikuchi Y. The ins and outs of Bacillus proteases: activities, functions and commercial
significance. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2022; **46**: 1–20.

72. Grandvalet C, Gominet M, Lereclus D. Identification of genes involved in the activation of the
Bacillus thuringiensis inhA metalloprotease gene at the onset of sporulation. *Microbiology* 2001; **147**:

1805–1813.

73. Pflughoeft KJ, Sumby P, Koehler TM. Bacillus anthracis sin locus and regulation of secreted
proteases. *J Bacteriol* 2011; **193**: 631–639.

74. Hansen LBS, Ren D, Burmølle M, Sørensen SJ. Distinct gene expression profile of Xanthomonas
retroflexus engaged in synergistic multispecies biofilm formation. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 300–303.

75. Herschend J, Damholt ZBV, Marquard AM, Svensson B, Sørensen SJ, Hägglund P, et al. A meta-
proteomics approach to study the interspecies interactions affecting microbial biofilm development in
a model community. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 16483.

76. Ratzke C, Gore J. Modifying and reacting to the environmental pH can drive bacterial interactions.
PLoS Biol 2018; **16(3)**: e2004248.

77. Herschend J, Koren K, Røder HL, Brejnrod A, Kühl M, Burmølle M. In Vitro Community Synergy
between Bacterial Soil Isolates Can Be Facilitated by pH Stabilization of the Environment. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol 2018; **84**: e01450-18.

78. Pacheco AR, Segrè D. A multidimensional perspective on microbial interactions. *FEMS Microbiol*
Lett 2019; **366**: 125.

79. Aranda-Díaz A, Obadia B, Dodge R, Thomsen T, Hallberg ZF, Güvener ZT, et al. Bacterial
interspecies interactions modulate pH-mediated antibiotic tolerance. *Elife* 2020; **9**.

80. Fritts RK, McCully AL, McKinlay JB. Extracellular Metabolism Sets the Table for Microbial Cross-
Feeding. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2021; **85**.

81. O'Toole G, Kolter R. Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds
via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. *Mol Microbiol* 1998; **28**: 449–461.

82. Sprouffske K, Wagner A. Growthcurver: An R package for obtaining interpretable metrics from
microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2016; **17**: 1–4.

83. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-
generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol Biol* 2014; **1151**: 165–188.

84. Toukabri H, Lereclus D, Slamti L. A Sporulation-Independent Way of Life for Bacillus thuringiensis
in the Late Stages of an Infection. *MBio* 2023; **14**.

85. Neu T, Kuhlicke U. Fluorescence Lectin Bar-Coding of Glycoconjugates in the Extracellular Matrix
of Biofilm and Bioaggregate Forming Microorganisms. *Microorganisms* 2017.

86. Hartmann R, Jeckel H, Jelli E, Singh PK, Vaidya S, Bayer M, et al. Quantitative image analysis of
microbial communities with BiofilmQ. *Nat Microbiol 2021 62* 2021; **6**: 151–156.

87. Liu H, Fang HHP. Extraction of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of sludges. *J Biotechnol*
2002; **95**: 249–256.

88. Pan X, Liu J, Zhang D, Chen XI, Li L, Song W, et al. A comparison of five extraction methods for

extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) from biofilm by using three-dimensional excitation-emission matrix (3DEEM) fluorescence spectroscopy. *undefined* 2010; **36**: 111–116.

89. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 1976; **72**: 248–254.

90. Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* 2008; **26**: 1367–1372.

91. Cox J, Neuhauser N, Michalski A, Scheltema RA, Olsen J V., Mann M. Andromeda: a peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. *J Proteome Res* 2011; **10**: 1794–1805.

92. Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Accurate Proteome-wide Label-free Quantification by Delayed Normalization and Maximal Peptide Ratio Extraction, Termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2014; **13**: 2513.

93. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat Methods 2016 139* 2016; **13**: 731–740.

94. Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G. Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001; **98**: 5116–5121.

95. Yu NY, Wagner JR, Laird MR, Melli G, Rey S, Lo R, et al. PSORTb 3.0: improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* 2010; **26**: 1608–1615.

96. Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, et al. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat Biotechnol 2022 407* 2022; **40**: 1023–1025.

97. Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. *Rstudio, BMC* 2021.

98. Perez-Riverol Y, Bai J, Bandla C, García-Seisdedos D, Hewapathirana S, Kamatchinathan S, et al. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2022; **50**: D543–D552.

99. Grandvalet C, Gominet M, Lereclus D. Identification of genes involved in the activation of the Bacillus thuringiensis inhA metalloprotease gene at the onset of sporulation. *Microbiology* 2001; **147**: 1805–1813.

a

b

a

1 Kb

BT — *yycC* CHJEDGHO_01317 **spo0A** **spoIVB** **recN**

V1 — 1.5 Kb deletion

V3 — 4.5 Kb deletion

V6 — Q51>*

b

Spo0A ⓟ

Activation

0: Chromosome replication

I: Chromosome condensation and anchoring

II: Asymetric septation