Premature endocycling of *Drosophila* follicle cells causes pleiotropic defects in

oogenesis

Hunter C. Herriage[1] and Brian R. Calvi[1,2]

1: Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

2: Corresponding author, bcalvi@indiana.edu

Keywords: endocycling, border cells, polyploidy, oogenesis, epithelium, *Drosophila*

Summary Statement

A premature switch to polyploid endocycles in *Drosophila* ovarian follicle cells caused

pleiotropic defects in oogenesis and compromised female fertility, revealing new ways in which

unscheduled endocycles cause developmental defects.

Abstract

Endocycling cells grow and repeatedly duplicate their genome without dividing. Cells switch

from mitotic cycles to endocycles in response to developmental signals during the growth of

specific tissues in a wide range of organisms. The purpose of switching to endocycles,

however, remains unclear in many tissues. Additionally, cells can switch to endocycles in

response to conditional signals, which can have beneficial or pathological effects on tissues.

However, the impact of these unscheduled endocycles on development is underexplored.

Here, we use *Drosophila* ovarian somatic follicle cells as a model to examine the impact of

unscheduled endocycles on tissue growth and function. Follicle cells normally switch to

endocycles at mid-oogenesis. Inducing follicle cells to prematurely switch to endocycles

resulted in lethality of the resulting embryos. Analysis of ovaries with precocious follicle cell

endocycles revealed aberrant follicular epithelial structure and pleiotropic defects in oocyte

growth, developmental gene amplification, and the migration of a special set of follicle cells

known as border cells. Overall, these findings reveal how unscheduled endocycles can disrupt

tissue growth and function to cause aberrant development.

Introduction

Many tissues grow via the canonical mitotic division cycle while others grow via a variant cell cycle known as the endocycle. Endocycles are a cell cycle characterized by alternating G and S phases without mitotic division, with repeated endocycles resulting in the growth of large polyploid cells (Calvi, 2013). A regulated switch from mitotic cycles to endocycles is part of normal developmental growth programs of specific tissues across *Eukarya* (Fox and Duronio, 2013; Ovrebo and Edgar, 2018; Shu et al., 2018). We call these developmental endocycles. In contrast, cells can also switch to unscheduled endocycles in response to stress and other conditional signals, which we refer to as induced endocycling cells (Bailey et al., 2021; Hassel et al., 2014; Qi and Calvi, 2016; Rotelli et al., 2019). These induced endocycling cells can be beneficial for wound healing and regeneration, but they can also have pathological effects on tissue growth, including the promotion of cancer (Bailey et al., 2021; Herriage et al., 2023; Pienta et al., 2022; Shu et al., 2018). Much remains unknown, however, about why certain tissues switch to endocycles to support their growth, or how unscheduled endocycling affects tissue structure and function.

In this study we use the somatic follicle cells of the *Drosophila* ovary as a model to evaluate the effects of unscheduled endocycles on tissue function. A *Drosophila* ovary consists of ~16 ovarioles, each of which is composed of one stem cell compartment known as the germarium followed by an "assembly line" of progressively developing egg chambers in 14 stages of oogenesis (Fig. 1A) (Duhart et al., 2017; King, 1970). These developing egg chambers are composed of the germline (15 endocycling nurse cells and one oocyte) that is ensheathed by an epithelium of somatic follicle cells, whose cell cycle switches are coordinated with maturation of the egg chamber (Fig. 1A) (Jia et al., 2015; King, 1970). Follicle cells originate from a population of two to four somatic stem cells in the germarium and proliferate through mitotic cycles over the course of about three days until stage 6 of oogenesis, reaching numbers of approximately 650 follicle cells per egg chamber (Fadiga and Nystul, 2019; Margolis and Spradling, 1995; Sun and Deng, 2007). In response to Notch signaling at stages 6 / 7, follicle cells switch to the endocycle and subsequently complete three genome duplications over the next ~24 hours (Fig. 1A) (Calvi et al., 1998; Deng et al., 2001; Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001; Spradling, 1993). After achieving a DNA copy number (C) of 16C, follicle cells arrest endocycles at different times (asynchronously) during late stage

9, but the mechanism of this cell autonomous arrest is unknown. Follicle cells then

synchronously initiate a specialized DNA replication program known as chorion gene

amplification at the onset of stage 10B (Fig. 1A) (Calvi et al., 1998). During chorion gene

amplification, the genomic loci that encode eggshell proteins undergo repeated site-specific

rereplication, and disruption of this gene amplification results in thin eggshells and embryonic

lethality (Calvi, 2006; Spradling and Mahowald, 1980). Follicle cells also support egg growth by

mediating transport of yolk proteins into the oocyte and participate in patterning of the egg and

embryo (Brennan et al., 1982; Duhart et al., 2017; Merkle et al., 2020; Raikhel and Dhadialla,

1992). While it is known that follicle cells have these important roles in oogenesis, it remains

unclear what biological function is served by the endocycle switch occurring specifically at

stage 6.

We have previously shown that follicle cells in both developmental and experimentally

induced endocycles repress the apoptotic response to DNA damage (Hassel et al., 2014;

Mehrotra et al., 2008; Qi and Calvi, 2016). In this study, we find that a precocious switch to the

endocycle results in pleiotropic defects in the structure and function of the follicular epithelium

and severely compromised female fertility. Overall, our data show that unscheduled

endocycles can have severe negative consequences, with broader impacts on understanding

how they may contribute to human developmental abnormalities and disease.

Results and Discussion

<u>Induction of precocious endocycles in follicle cells</u>

To address whether a switch to follicle cell endocycles before stage 6 would affect

oogenesis, we induced precocious endocycling by expressing the protein Roughex (Rux), a

known repressor of Cyclin A / CDK1 activity and mitotic entry (Avedisov et al., 2000; Sprenger

et al., 1997). A *tj-GAL4* transgene was used to induce expression of a *UAS-Roughex* (*UAS-*

Rux) transgene in all ovarian somatic cells*,* and a temperature-sensitive GAL80^{ts} repressor of

GAL4 permitted control over the timing of expression (Brand and Perrimon, 1993; McGuire et

al., 2004; Weaver et al., 2020). The *tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80^{ts} / UAS-Rux* experimental and *tj-GAL4*

tub-GAL80^{ts} / + control animals without *UAS-Rux* were raised at 18°C to repress GAL4 activity.

Adult flies were then shifted to 29°C to induce *UAS-Rux* expression and ovaries were

examined one and two days thereafter (Fig. 1A). Within one day after *UAS-Rux* expression,

stage 1-6 follicle cells ceased labeling for the mitotic marker phosphorylated Histone H3 (pH3),

but continued to incorporate the nucleotide analog 5-ethynyl 2′-deoxyuridine (EdU), suggesting

that they had switched to G / S endocycles (Fig. S1 A-D′).

We next asked what effect precocious endocycle induction would have on follicle cells

as they matured to later stages of oogenesis (Fig. 1A). Two days after a shift to 29°C, stage

10B egg chambers from control females had a normal ~641 follicle cells, and measurement of

DAPI fluorescence indicated that they had the normal average DNA content of ~16C (Fig. 1 A-

B′, D, E) (Calvi et al., 1998; Sun and Deng, 2007). In contrast, induction of precocious

endocycles two days earlier resulted in stage 10B egg chambers that had four-fold fewer

follicle cells (~179) and nearly a four-fold increase in average DNA content (mean ploidy ~56

C) (Fig. 1 C-E). Immunolabeling for E-cadherin also indicated that these stage 10B follicle cells

were larger than normal, consistent with the known scaling of cell size with DNA ploidy (Fig.

1B′, C′) (Cadart and Heald, 2022; Tepass et al., 1996; Uemura et al., 1996). These results

suggest that Rux-expressing follicle cells had skipped two mitotic divisions and instead

underwent two extra genome doublings during endocycles. These results are consistent with

the known rate of oogenesis at 29°C (Gandara and Drummond-Barbosa, 2022). These stage

10B egg chambers would have been in approximately stage 4 / 5 two days earlier at the time

of temperature shift (Gandara and Drummond-Barbosa, 2022). In wild type, follicle cells in a

stage 4 / 5 egg chamber undergo an average of two mitotic divisions over one day as it

matures to stage 6 / 7, after which follicle cells endocycle over the next day from stage 7 to

stage 10A (Calvi et al., 1998; Margolis and Spradling, 1995). Given that there were two extra

endocycles instead of the normal two mitotic divisions during the ~24 hours from stage 4 / 5 to

stage 6 / 7, these data also suggest that the duration of each induced endocycle is

approximately equal to that of the normal mitotic cycles that they supplanted (Margolis and

Spradling, 1995). Moreover, the increased DNA content of these follicle cells at stage 10

suggests that starting endocycles early can override the undefined mechanisms that normally

limit follicle cell ploidy to 16C.

<u>Precocious endocycling has deleterious effects on egg quality and female fertility</u>

We next examined whether precocious follicle cell endocycles affected the morphology

of late-stage egg chambers. Stage 10B egg chambers from Rux-expressing females appeared

similar to those from controls (Fig 1B-C'). The main body follicle cells underwent normal

migration posteriorly during stage 9 to over the growing oocyte (Figs. 1B-C', 2A-D).

Measurements indicated that one day after temperature shift, stage 10B egg chambers from

Rux-expressing females had oocytes of a similar size to controls (Fig. 2A- E). These egg

chambers would have been in approximately stage 6 / 7 one day earlier at the time of

temperature shift, a stage when follicle cells normally enter endocycles, and, therefore, would

have begun endocycles no more than a few hours earlier than controls. Conversely, two days

after temperature shift, the Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers that had begun

endocycles in stage 4 / 5 had oocytes that were smaller than controls (Fig. 2C-E).

Correspondingly, the length of the nurse cell compartment in these stage 10B egg chambers

was larger than controls after two days of Rux expression (Fig. 2F). These results suggest that

the two extra follicle cell endocycles have non-autonomous effects on germline growth, which

may include the normal function of follicle cells to mediate oocyte yolk deposition (Brennan et

al., 1982; Horne-Badovinac and Bilder, 2005; Isasti-Sanchez et al., 2021; Raikhel and

Dhadialla, 1992).

Another important function of follicle cells is to synthesize the eggshell late in

oogenesis, a process that is supported by chorion gene amplification (Fig. 1A) (Calvi et al.,

1998; Spradling and Mahowald, 1980). This selective developmental gene amplification can be

detected as distinct foci of EdU incorporation beginning in stage 10B (Calvi et al., 1998). EdU

labeling of *tj-GAL4 GAL80^ts / +* control ovaries at 29°C showed that all follicle cells over the

oocyte had nuclear foci of EdU at the onset of stage 10B, indicating that the onset of

amplification was synchronized among follicle cells, as previously described for wild type (Fig.

2G, I-I', K) (Calvi et al., 1998). Follicle cells that had been expressing Rux for 24 hours also

had the normal transition to synchronous amplification in stage 10B (Fig. 2H). In contrast,

induction of precocious endocycles two days earlier resulted in some stage 10B egg chambers

with a mixture of follicle cells, with some having the normal amplification foci while others had

pan-nuclear EdU incorporation indicative of continued genomic replication (Fig. 2J-K). These

results suggest that premature endocycles in earlier oogenesis disrupt the normal cessation of

endocycles and the synchronous transition of follicle cells into amplification in later oogenesis.

Defects in chorion gene amplification are known to result in thin eggshells and reduced

embryo viability (Calvi, 2006). We therefore examined whether precocious endocycles

impaired eggshell synthesis. Females expressing *UAS-Rux* began laying eggs with thin shells

beginning one day after induction, a phenotype that greatly increased in frequency after two

days, with almost all laid eggs having thin shells by three days (Fig. 2L-P, Fig. S2A, B).

Expression of Rux in females also resulted in reduced viability of their embryos, as evidenced

by a reduction in egg hatching beginning on day one, which was further reduced on days two

and three (Fig. 2Q). Three or more days of Rux expression resulted in ovarioles with

degenerating stage 8-9 egg chambers, which is diagnostic of an ovarian stress response

known as the vitellogenic checkpoint (Fig. S2 C, D) (McCall, 2004; Peterson et al., 2015;

Serizier and McCall, 2017). These degenerating stage 8 egg chambers would have been in

stage 1 / 2 three days earlier at the time of induction, which suggests that very early entry into

endocycles triggers a general stress response. All together, these data indicate that induction

of precocious follicle cell endocycles has deleterious effects on egg quality and female fertility.

<u>Precocious endocycles cause structural defects in the follicular epithelium</u>

Our observations that there was a one to several day delay in oogenesis defects and

reduced embryo viability after Rux expression suggested that these defects were the

manifestation of precocious endocycle entry at earlier stages. To investigate early stage egg

chamber morphology, we examined the effects of precocious endocycles on the follicular

epithelium of early-stage egg chambers by immunolabeling for the cell-cell junction structural

protein E-cadherin and DAPI staining (Godt and Tepass, 1998; Oda et al., 1997; Tepass et al.,

1996; Uemura et al., 1996). Although EdU and pH3 labeling had indicated that follicle cells

switch to endocycles within one day of Rux expression, this duration of induced endocycling

did not result in major defects in epithelial structure (Figs. S1A-B′, 3A-B″). These follicle cells

had normal, uniform, cuboidal structure and E-cadherin labeling on their apical surface that is

adjacent to the germline (Fig. 3A-B″) (Godt and Tepass, 1998; Gonzalez-Reyes and St

Johnston, 1998). In contrast, after two days of Rux expression, follicle cells were larger with

wide variations in both their size and shape within an egg chamber (Fig. 3C-D″). Some follicle

cells had highly irregular shapes, with different parts of the cell having unequal thicknesses in

the apical / basal axis, and many with highly irregular E-cadherin labeling (Fig. 3D-D″). In

confocal sections through the middle of egg chambers, the interface between the follicular

epithelium and germline appeared wavy instead of the normal smooth circular interface,

suggesting global deformations of tissue morphology (Fig. 3C′, D′). Labeling for the

membrane-associated protein Discs large 1 (Dlg1) also revealed irregular cell sizes and

shapes, but Dlg1 had an overall normal basolateral localization, suggesting that there was not

a severe disruption of cell polarity (Fig. S3 A-D″) (Khoury and Bilder, 2020; Woods et al.,

1996). All follicle cells in these aberrant egg chambers had an increased DNA content

consistent with having proceeded through one to several precocious endocycles (Fig. 3C-E).

The correlation of these epithelial phenotypes with polyploidy suggests that precocious

endocycles have detrimental effects on follicle cell shape, epithelial structure, and egg

chamber morphology. These disruptions of epithelial structure in early stages may contribute to

the activation of the vitellogenic checkpoint and the observed defects in the transition to

amplification as egg chambers mature into later stages over subsequent days.

<u>Precocious endocycling inhibits border cell migration</u>

Unlike the main body follicle cells that migrate on the surface of the egg chamber,

another group of follicle cells delaminate from the anterior epithelium and migrate posteriorly

between the nurse cells during stage 9 (Mishra et al., 2019; Montell et al., 1992; Montell et al.,

2012; Spradling, 1993). This collective migration event is initiated in early stage 9 when two

specialized follicle cells, known as the polar cells, recruit 4-6 neighboring follicle cells to

become border cells via JAK-STAT signaling (Montell et al., 1992; Silver and Montell, 2001).

After arriving at their final destination at the anterior of the growing oocyte in stage 10A, this

migrating border cell cluster forms the sperm entry port known as the micropyle (Bishop and

King, 1984; King, 1970). Because these border cells have been a powerful model for cellular

and molecular mechanisms of collective cell migration during development and cancer

metastasis, we wished to investigate the effect of precocious endocycling on their migration.

We identified border cells based on their elevated E-cadherin levels in control and Rux-

expressing ovaries two days after induction at 29°C and measured the distance they had

migrated relative to main body follicle cells in the same egg chamber during stage 9-10A

(Niewiadomska et al., 1999; Oda et al., 1997). In controls, the migration of the border cell

cluster between the nurse cells stays on-pace with the anterior of the main body follicle cells

that are migrating on the surface of the egg chamber during stage 9, with both populations of

follicle cells reaching the oocyte at the onset of stage 10A (Fig. 4A, A', C) (Horne-Badovinac

and Bilder, 2005; Montell et al., 1992; Silver et al., 2005). In contrast, Rux expression resulted

in border cells lagging behind the main body follicle cells to varying extents (Fig. 4B-C). In

some egg chambers, border cells had failed to even delaminate from the epithelium at the

anterior pole of the egg chamber by stage 10 (Fig. 4C).

Having abnormal numbers of polar cells or border cells can inhibit border cell cluster

delamination and migration (Silver et al., 2005; Silver and Montell, 2001). Labeling for the polar

cell marker protein Fasciclin III (Fas III) showed that after two days of Rux expression egg

chambers had the normal two polar cells, including those in which border cells migrated slowly

or failed to delaminate from the epithelium (Fig. 4D-E‴) (Ruohola et al., 1991). Co-labeling

against E-cadherin indicated that these migrating clusters had normal structure and cell

polarity (Fig. 4 D-E‴). While some Rux-expressing egg chambers had a reduced number of

cells per cluster, even clusters composed of the normal two polar cells and four to six border

cells were delayed in their migration (Fig. 4 B, B′, E-E‴). Previous reports had indicated that

polyploidy enhanced the migration of cancer cells *in vitro*, leading to suggestions that

polyploidy may promote metastasis *in vivo* (Godinho et al., 2014; Mallin et al., 2023; Wang et

al., 2019). Our results modify that view and suggest that unscheduled endocycles can also

inhibit cellular migration in some contexts.

Conclusions

We have found that premature follicle cell endocycles cause pleiotropic defects in

oogenesis and severely compromise female fertility. Although follicle cells normally undergo a

cell-autonomous endocycle arrest at 16C DNA content during stage 9, starting endocycles

early resulted in a >16C DNA content by stage 10. This suggests that either there is not a DNA

counting mechanism that induces endocycle arrest, or that starting endocycles early bypassed

that mechanism. Moreover, some of these cells continued genome duplications into later

stages of oogenesis, which further increased their ploidy and disrupted the transition to chorion

gene amplification, and also resulted in thin eggshells. Several proteins have been implicated

in the transition from endocycles to the chorion gene amplification phase, but molecular

mechanisms remain incompletely defined (Calvi, 2006; Huang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2008).

Further analysis of these induced endocycles may provide insight into the mechanisms that

coordinate the timely transition from endocycles to amplification during stage 10, which may

also govern endocycle arrest and final DNA content in other cell types.

Premature endocycles disrupted cell and epithelial morphology, which may be related

to the observed reduction in oocyte growth in stage 10 egg chambers. Follicle cells mediate

the growth and nutritive content of the oocyte by mediating the deposition of yolk protein into

the oocyte beginning in stage 8. The majority of yolk protein is made in the fat body and

transported around follicle cells via a paracellular transport process that is enabled by a

regulated increase in epithelial permeability, a state known as patency (Isasti-Sanchez et al.,

2021; Riechmann, 2021). Patency involves remodeling of cell-cell junctions through a

mechanism that includes E-cadherin, whose labeling was altered in precocious endocycling

follicle cells (Horne-Badovinac and Bilder, 2005; Isasti-Sanchez et al., 2021). Thus, the

aberrant cell and epithelial morphology we observe in induced endocycling cells may disturb

the remodeling of cell-cell contacts that is required for patency and oocyte growth. More

broadly, these results suggest that disrupted epithelial structure and permeability in other

tissues may be another way for unscheduled endocycles to contribute to disease.

Precocious endocycles strongly inhibited border cell delamination and migration, but

further investigation is necessary to define the links between unscheduled endocycles and

migration. Our observation of impaired collective border cell migration contrasts with recent

evidence that polyploidy enhances migration of cancer cells *in vitro,* and suggestions that it

promotes collective cell migration during metastasis (Godinho et al., 2014; Mallin et al., 2023;

Wang et al., 2019). It remains possible, however, that the effects of unscheduled endocycles

on cell migration are dependent on cell type and tissue context. In addition, oncogenic

mutations may synergize with the polyploid state to give rise to emergent migratory properties

(Almeida Machado Costa et al., 2022).

Overall, our findings show that unscheduled endocycles can have severe pleiotropic

effects on tissue growth and function. Further characterization of these effects will lead to a

greater understanding of how endocycling, both scheduled and unscheduled, can influence

tissue growth and contribute to disease.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila genetics: Crosses of _tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80^{ts} / CyO_ to _w^{1118}_ (control) or _UAS-Rux /_

_SM6a_ (experimental) were conducted, and their offspring were reared, at 18°C during larval

and early adult development. The resulting adult female offspring were fed wet yeast with

males for 2 days at 18°C before being transferred to new wet yeast and shifted to 29°C for 1,

2, or 3 days (as noted in the text). The females and males were transferred to new wet yeast

every 2 days during the experiments. The _tj-GAL4, tub-GAL80^{ts} / CyO_ strain was a generous

gift from L. Weaver. The _UAS-Rux / SM6a_ strain was obtained from the Bloomington

Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC #9166).

Immunofluorescence microscopy: Ovaries were fixed and labeled as previously described

(Schwed et al., 2002). For E-cadherin labeling, fixation buffers were supplemented with 1 mM

$CaCl_2$. The following antibodies and dilutions were used: rat anti-shg (fly E-cadherin), 1:20

(DSHB DCAD2); rabbit anti-phosphorylated histone H3 (pH3), 1:1000 (Millipore 06-570);

mouse anti-Dlg1, 1:200 (DSHB 4F3); mouse anti-FasIII, 1:50 (DSHB 7G10). EdU incorporation

in vitro was for 1 hour as previously described (Calvi and Lilly, 2004). Asynchronous chorion

gene amplification was defined by stage 10B egg chambers with both punctate and pan-

nuclear EdU labeling of follicle cell nuclei. Egg chambers with more than one pan-nuclear EdU

labeled nucleus were scored as "asynchronous." Fluorescence micrographs were taken on a

Leica SP8 confocal. DIC images of stage 10B egg chambers were taken using a Leica

DM5500 B. Brightfield images of laid eggs were taken using a Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V12.

Nuclear DNA content was quantified using ImageJ/FIJI by measuring mean DAPI fluorescence

per pixel in a nucleus, subtracting local mean background DAPI fluorescence per pixel, and

then multiplying the difference by the number of pixels per nucleus to obtain the corrected total

DAPI fluorescence intensity. The number of follicle cells, oocyte area, nurse cell compartment

length, and border cell migration were quantified using ImageJ/FIJI.

Eggshell scoring and egg hatch rate: Eggs from well-fed and mated females were collected on

grape plates with a thin layer of yeast paste for 2-4 hours for each respective day of 29°C

transgene induction. After counting the number of eggs with thin eggshells, eggs were lined up

and incubated at 18°C to ensure no GAL4-driven transgene expression affected their viability.

They were then scored for hatching over four subsequent days.

Statistical Analysis: Data graphing and statistical analyses were carried out in GraphPad

Prism. Data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity to determine correct analyses.

Sample size (n) is defined as the total of independent observations / measurements used for

the chosen analysis across multiple biological replicates. All averages shown are mean ± s.d.,

except for Figure 2K, which is mean ± s.e.m. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests were used to test

for significance in Figs. 1D, 2E, and 3E. Fig. 2E was corrected for multiple comparisons. A two-

tailed unpaired Welch's t-test was used to test for significance in Fig. 1E. A Mixed Effects Two-

Way ANOVA was used to test for significance in Fig. 2F. A two-tailed unpaired t-test was used

to test for significance in Fig. 2K.

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Brown and Y.T. Huang for experimental assistance. We are appreciative of the

thoughtful feedback on the research and manuscript from S. Brown, L. Hesting, Y.T. Huang, P.

Rangarajan, and C. Walczak. We thank A. Kun and J. Powers of the IU Light Microscopy

Imaging Center, supported in part by the Office of the Vice Provost of Research. Special

thanks to L. Weaver for her generous gift of the *tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80^{ts} / CyO* strain.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

H.C.H. performed experiments and statistical analyses. Both authors wrote and edited the

manuscript. B.R.C. secured funding and provided mentorship and supervision.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant NIH R01GM113107 to B.R.C.

References

Almeida Machado Costa, C., Wang, X. F., Ellsworth, C. and Deng, W. M. (2022). Polyploidy in development and tumor models in Drosophila. *Semin Cancer Biol* **81**, 106-118.

Avedisov, S. N., Krasnoselskaya, I., Mortin, M. and Thomas, B. J. (2000). Roughex mediates G(1) arrest through a physical association with cyclin A. *Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 8220-8229.

Bailey, E. C., Kobielski, S., Park, J. and Losick, V. P. (2021). Polyploidy in Tissue Repair and Regeneration. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **13**.

Bishop, D. L. and King, R. C. (1984). An ultrastructural study of ovarian development in the otu-7 mutant of Drosophila melanogaster. *J. Cell Sci.* **67**, 87-119.

Brand, A. and Perrimon, N. (1993). Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**, 401-415.

Brennan, M. D., Weiner, A. J., Goralski, T. J. and Mahowald, A. P. (1982). The follicle cells are a major site of vitellogenin synthesis in Drosophila melanogaster. *Dev Biol* **89**, 225-236.

Cadart, C. and Heald, R. (2022). Scaling of biosynthesis and metabolism with cell size. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **33**, pe5.

Calvi, B. R. (2006). Developmental DNA amplification. In *DNA replication and human disease* (ed. M. L. DePamphilis), pp. 233-255. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Calvi, B. R. (2013). Making big cells: one size does not fit all. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 9621-9622.

Calvi, B. R., Lilly, M. A. and Spradling, A. C. (1998). Cell cycle control of chorion gene amplification. *Genes Dev* **12**, 734-744.

Deng, W. M., Althauser, C. and Ruohola-Baker, H. (2001). Notch-Delta signaling induces a transition from mitotic cell cycle to endocycle in Drosophila follicle cells. *Development* **128**, 4737-4746.

Duhart, J. C., Parsons, T. T. and Raftery, L. A. (2017). The repertoire of epithelial morphogenesis on display: Progressive elaboration of Drosophila egg structure. *Mech Dev* **148**, 18-39.

Fadiga, J. and Nystul, T. G. (2019). The follicle epithelium in the Drosophila ovary is maintained by a small number of stem cells. *Elife* **8**.

Fox, D. T. and Duronio, R. J. (2013). Endoreplication and polyploidy: insights into development and disease. *Development* **140**, 3-12.

Gandara, A. C. P. and Drummond-Barbosa, D. (2022). Warm and cold temperatures have distinct germline stem cell lineage effects during Drosophila oogenesis. *Development* **149**.

Godinho, S. A., Picone, R., Burute, M., Dagher, R., Su, Y., Leung, C. T., Polyak, K., Brugge, J. S., Thery, M. and Pellman, D. (2014). Oncogene-like induction of cellular invasion from centrosome amplification. *Nature* **510**, 167-171.

Godt, D. and Tepass, U. (1998). Drosophila oocyte localization is mediated by differential cadherin-based adhesion. *Nature* **395**, 387-391.

Gonzalez-Reyes, A. and St Johnston, D. (1998). The Drosophila AP axis is polarised by the cadherin-mediated positioning of the oocyte. *Development* **125**, 3635-3644.

Hassel, C., Zhang, B., Dixon, M. and Calvi, B. R. (2014). Induction of endocycles represses
apoptosis independently of differentiation and predisposes cells to genome instability.
Development **141**, 112-123.
Herriage, H. C., Huang, Y. T. and Calvi, B. R. (2023). The antagonistic relationship between
apoptosis and polyploidy in development and cancer. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*.
Horne-Badovinac, S. and Bilder, D. (2005). Mass transit: epithelial morphogenesis in the
Drosophila egg chamber. *Dev Dyn* **232**, 559-574.
Huang, Y. C., Smith, L., Poulton, J. and Deng, W. M. (2013). The microRNA miR-7 regulates
Tramtrack69 in a developmental switch in Drosophila follicle cells. *Development* **140**,
897-905.
Isasti-Sanchez, J., Munz-Zeise, F., Lancino, M. and Luschnig, S. (2021). Transient opening
of tricellular vertices controls paracellular transport through the follicle epithelium during
Drosophila oogenesis. *Dev Cell* **56**, 1083-1099 e1085.
Jia, D., Huang, Y. C. and Deng, W. M. (2015). Analysis of Cell Cycle Switches in Drosophila
Oogenesis. *Methods Mol Biol* **1328**, 207-216.
Khoury, M. J. and Bilder, D. (2020). Distinct activities of Scrib module proteins organize
epithelial polarity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 11531-11540.
King, R. C. (1970). *Ovarian Development in Drosophila melanogaster*. New York: Academic
Press.
Lopez-Schier, H. and St Johnston, D. (2001). Delta signaling from the germ line controls the
proliferation and differentiation of the somatic follicle cells during Drosophila oogenesis.
Genes Dev **15**, 1393-1405.
Mallin, M. M., Kim, N., Choudhury, M. I., Lee, S. J., An, S. S., Sun, S. X., Konstantopoulos,
K., Pienta, K. J. and Amend, S. R. (2023). Cells in the polyaneuploid cancer cell
(PACC) state have increased metastatic potential. *Clin Exp Metastasis* **40**, 321-338.
Margolis, J. and Spradling, A. (1995). Identification and behavior of epithelial stem cells in
the Drosophila ovary. *Development* **121**, 3797-3807.
McCall, K. (2004). Eggs over easy: cell death in the Drosophila ovary. *Dev Biol* **274**, 3-14.
McGuire, S. E., Mao, Z. and Davis, R. L. (2004). Spatiotemporal gene expression targeting
with the TARGET and gene-switch systems in Drosophila. *Sci STKE* **2004**, pl6.
Mehrotra, S., Maqbool, S. B., Kolpakas, A., Murnen, K. and Calvi, B. R. (2008).
Endocycling cells do not apoptose in response to DNA rereplication genotoxic stress.
Genes Dev **22**, 3158-3171.
Merkle, J. A., Wittes, J. and Schupbach, T. (2020). Signaling between somatic follicle cells
and the germline patterns the egg and embryo of Drosophila. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **140**,
55-86.
Mishra, A. K., Campanale, J. P., Mondo, J. A. and Montell, D. J. (2019). Cell interactions in
collective cell migration. *Development* **146**.
Montell, D. J., Rorth, P. and Spradling, A. C. (1992). slow border cells, a locus required for a
developmentally regulated cell migration during oogenesis, encodes Drosophila C/EBP.
Cell **71**, 51-62.
Montell, D. J., Yoon, W. H. and Starz-Gaiano, M. (2012). Group choreography: mechanisms
orchestrating the collective movement of border cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**, 631-
645.
Niewiadomska, P., Godt, D. and Tepass, U. (1999). DE-Cadherin is required for intercellular
motility during Drosophila oogenesis. *J Cell Biol* **144**, 533-547.

Oda, H., Uemura, T. and Takeichi, M. (1997). Phenotypic analysis of null mutants for DE-cadherin and Armadillo in Drosophila ovaries reveals distinct aspects of their functions in cell adhesion and cytoskeletal organization. *Genes Cells* **2**, 29-40.

Ovrebo, J. I. and Edgar, B. A. (2018). Polyploidy in tissue homeostasis and regeneration. *Development* **145**.

Peterson, J. S., Timmons, A. K., Mondragon, A. A. and McCall, K. (2015). The End of the Beginning: Cell Death in the Germline. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **114**, 93-119.

Pienta, K. J., Hammarlund, E. U., Austin, R. H., Axelrod, R., Brown, J. S. and Amend, S. R. (2022). Cancer cells employ an evolutionarily conserved polyploidization program to resist therapy. *Semin Cancer Biol* **81**, 145-159.

Qi, S. and Calvi, B. R. (2016). Different cell cycle modifications repress apoptosis at different steps independent of developmental signaling in Drosophila. *Mol Biol Cell* **27**, 1885-1897.

Raikhel, A. S. and Dhadialla, T. S. (1992). Accumulation of yolk proteins in insect oocytes. *Annu Rev Entomol* **37**, 217-251.

Riechmann, V. (2021). Paracellular transport: Opening the gates for growth. *Dev Cell* **56**, 1075-1077.

Rotelli, M. D., Policastro, R. A., Bolling, A. M., Killion, A. W., Weinberg, A. J., Dixon, M. J., Zentner, G. E., Walczak, C. E., Lilly, M. A. and Calvi, B. R. (2019). A Cyclin A-Myb-MuvB-Aurora B network regulates the choice between mitotic cycles and polyploid endoreplication cycles. *PLoS Genet* **15**, e1008253.

Ruohola, H., Bremer, K., Baker, D., Swedlow, J., Jan, L. and Jan, Y. (1991). Role of neurogenic genes in establishment of follicle cell fate and oocyte polarity during oogenesis in Drosophila. *Cell* **66**, 433-449.

Schwed, G., May, N., Pechersky, Y. and Calvi, B. R. (2002). Drosophila minichromosome maintenance 6 is required for chorion gene amplification and genomic replication. *Mol Biol Cell* **13**, 607-620.

Serizier, S. B. and McCall, K. (2017). Scrambled Eggs: Apoptotic Cell Clearance by Non-Professional Phagocytes in the Drosophila Ovary. *Front Immunol* **8**, 1642.

Shu, Z., Row, S. and Deng, W. M. (2018). Endoreplication: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *Trends Cell Biol* **28**, 465-474.

Silver, D. L., Geisbrecht, E. R. and Montell, D. J. (2005). Requirement for JAK/STAT signaling throughout border cell migration in Drosophila. *Development* **132**, 3483-3492.

Silver, D. L. and Montell, D. J. (2001). Paracrine signaling through the JAK/STAT pathway activates invasive behavior of ovarian epithelial cells in Drosophila. *Cell* **107**, 831-841.

Spradling, A. and Mahowald, A. (1980). Amplification of genes for chorion proteins during oogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **77**, 1096-1100.

Spradling, A. C. (1993). Developmental Genetics of Oogenesis. In *The Development of Drosophila melanogaster* (ed. M. B. a. A. Martinez-Arias). Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press.

Sprenger, F., Yakubovich, N. and O'Farrell, P. H. (1997). S-phase function of Drosophila cyclin A and its downregulation in G1 phase. *Curr Biol* **7**, 488-499.

Sun, J. and Deng, W. M. (2007). Hindsight mediates the role of notch in suppressing hedgehog signaling and cell proliferation. *Dev Cell* **12**, 431-442.

Sun, J., Smith, L., Armento, A. and Deng, W. M. (2008). Regulation of the endocycle/gene amplification switch by Notch and ecdysone signaling. *J Cell Biol* **182**, 885-896.

475 **Tepass, U., Gruszynski-DeFeo, E., Haag, T. A., Omatyar, L., Torok, T. and Hartenstein, V.**
476   (1996). shotgun encodes Drosophila E-cadherin and is preferentially required during cell
477   rearrangement in the neurectoderm and other morphogenetically active epithelia.
478   *Genes Dev* **10**, 672-685.
479 **Uemura, T., Oda, H., Kraut, R., Hayashi, S., Kotaoka, Y. and Takeichi, M.** (1996). Zygotic
480   Drosophila E-cadherin expression is required for processes of dynamic epithelial cell
481   rearrangement in the Drosophila embryo. *Genes Dev* **10**, 659-671.
482 **Wang, X., Zheng, M., Fei, F., Li, C., Du, J., Liu, K., Li, Y. and Zhang, S.** (2019). EMT-related
483   protein expression in polyploid giant cancer cells and their daughter cells with different
484   passages after triptolide treatment. *Med Oncol* **36**, 82.
485 **Weaver, L. N., Ma, T. and Drummond-Barbosa, D.** (2020). Analysis of Gal4 Expression
486   Patterns in Adult Drosophila Females. *G3 (Bethesda)* **10**, 4147-4158.
487 **Woods, D. F., Hough, C., Peel, D., Callaini, G. and Bryant, P. J.** (1996). Dlg protein is
488   required for junction structure, cell polarity, and proliferation control in Drosophila
489   epithelia. *J Cell Biol* **134**, 1469-1482.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Induction of precocious follicle cell endocycles. (A) Drawing of a single ovariole with select stages of oogenesis and follicle cell cycle transitions indicated below. Follicle cells are colored pink. Above is the experimental timeline in relationship to the timing of egg chamber maturation. (B-C′) DAPI staining and immunolabeling against E-cadherin in control (B, B′) and Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers (C, C′); scale bar = 30 µm. (D) Quantification of DNA Content in control and Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers.; **** p < 0.0001; n = 85 nuclei in control and n = 104 nuclei in *UAS-Rux* across four biological replicates. (E) Quantification of number of DAPI-labeled nuclei in control and Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers; **** p < 0.0001; n = 12 egg chambers in both conditions across four biological replicates.

Figure 2: Precocious endocycling compromises egg quality and female fertility. (A-D) Differential interference contrast images of control (A, C) and Rux-expressing (B, D) stage 10B egg chambers after one (A, B) or two (C, D) days of incubation at 29 °C; scale bar = 100 µm. (E) Quantification of oocyte area in control and Rux-expressing stage 10 egg chambers.; * p < 0.05; for day one, n = 13 oocytes for control and n = 15 oocytes for UAS-*Rux* across three biological replicates; for day 2, n = 20 oocytes for control and n=24 oocytes for UAS-*Rux* across five biological replicates. (F) Quantification of nurse cell compartment length; ** p = 0.0021, *** p = 0.0002, **** p < 0.0001. For day 1, n = 20 egg chambers for control and UAS-*Rux* across three biological replicates; for day 2, n = 27 egg chambers for control and n = 30 egg chambers for *UAS-Rux* across five biological replicates. (G-J′) DAPI staining and EdU labeling of DNA replication in control (G, I, I′) and Rux-expressing (H, J, J′) stage 10B egg chambers after one (G, H) or two (I-J′) days of incubation at 29 °C; scale bar = 25 µm (G-I, J) or 10 µm (I′, J′). (K) Quantification of percent egg chambers that had chorion gene amplification asynchrony in control and Rux-expressing ovaries. *** p = 0.0003; n = 26 egg chambers for control; n = 19 egg chambers for *UAS-Rux* both across three biological replicates. (L-O) Brightfield images of eggs laid by control (L, N) and Rux-expressing females (M, O) after one (L, M) or two (N, O) days of incubation at 29 °C; scale bar = 200 µm. Quantification of percent of eggs with thin shells (P) and hatch rate (Q) across three biological replicates.

Figure 3: Precocious endocycling disrupts follicular epithelial structure. (A-D″) DAPI

staining and immunolabeling against E-cadherin in control (A-A″, C-C″) or Rux-expressing (B-

B″, D-D″) early-stage egg chambers after one (A-B″) or two (C-D″) days of incubation at 29 °C;

scale bar = 15 μm (A-D″). Stages of oogenesis (S) and germarium (G) are indicated. (E)

Quantification of DNA Content in control and Rux-expressing stage 4 / 5 egg chambers after

two days of incubation at 29°C.; **** $p < 0.0001$; n = 25 nuclei for control; n = 15 nuclei for

UAS-Rux both across three biological replicates.

Figure 4: Precocious endocycling inhibits border cell migration. (A-B′) DAPI staining and

immunolabeling against E-cadherin in control (A, A′) and Rux-expressing (B, B′) stage 10 egg

chambers after two days of incubation at 29 °C; scale bar = 30 μm (A, B) or 15 μm (A′, B′).

White arrows indicate border cell clusters. White lines indicate extent of migration of border cell

clusters, and red lines indicate extent of migration of main body cells. (C) Quantification of

border cell migration during stage 9 from control and Rux-expressing females after two days of

incubation at 29°C across five biological replicates. Each dot represents one egg chamber with

the distance migrated by its main body and border cells plotted on the x- and y-axis,

respectively. (D- E‴) DAPI staining and immunolabeling against FasIII and E-cadherin in

control (D-D‴) and Rux-expressing (E-E‴) border cell clusters after two days of incubation at

29 °C; scale bar = 10 μm.

Figure 1

A ~Stage at temperature shift   S4/5 ← 2 days → Assay stage 10

Stem cells   S6   S7   S9   S10   S14

10 mitotic cycles ~3 days   3 endocycles (16C) ~24h   post-endocycle / amplification ~15hr

B *tj*-GAL4 Control   DAPI   30 µm

C *tj*-GAL4 UAS-*Rux*   DAPI   30 µm

B′ *tj*-GAL4 Control   DAPI E-cadherin   30 µm

C′ *tj*-GAL4 UAS-*Rux*   DAPI E-cadherin   30 µm

D DNA Content (C) Stage 10   ****   +   UAS-*Rux*   *tj*-GAL4

E # of follicle cells Stage 10   ****   +   UAS-*Rux*   *tj*-GAL4

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4