Premature endocycling of *Drosophila* follicle cells causes pleiotropic defects in
oogenesis

Hunter C. Herriage[1] and Brian R. Calvi[1,2,3,4]

1: Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

2: Melvin and Bren Simon Cancer Center, Indianapolis, IN

3: Indiana University School of Medicine, Bloomington, IN

4: Corresponding author, bcalvi@indiana.edu

Keywords: endocycling, border cells, polyploidy, oogenesis, epithelium, *Drosophila*

Summary Statement

A premature switch to polyploid endocycles in *Drosophila* ovarian follicle cells caused

pleiotropic defects in oogenesis and compromised female fertility, revealing new ways in which

unscheduled endocycles cause developmental defects.

Abstract

Endocycling cells grow and repeatedly duplicate their genome without dividing. Cells switch

from mitotic cycles to endocycles in response to developmental signals during the growth of

specific tissues in a wide range of organisms. The purpose of switching to endocycles,

however, remains unclear in many tissues. Additionally, cells can switch to endocycles in

response to conditional signals, which can have beneficial or pathological effects on tissues.

However, the impact of these unscheduled endocycles on development is underexplored.

Here, we use *Drosophila* ovarian somatic follicle cells as a model to examine the impact of

unscheduled endocycles on tissue growth and function. Follicle cells normally switch to

endocycles at mid-oogenesis. Inducing follicle cells to prematurely switch to endocycles

resulted in lethality of the resulting embryos. Analysis of ovaries with premature follicle cell

endocycles revealed aberrant follicular epithelial structure and pleiotropic defects in oocyte

growth, developmental gene amplification, and the migration of a special set of follicle cells

known as border cells. Overall, these findings reveal how unscheduled endocycles can disrupt

tissue growth and function to cause aberrant development.

Introduction

Many tissues grow via a variant cell cycle known as the endocycle. Endocycles are

characterized by alternating G and S phases without mitotic division, resulting in the growth of

large polyploid cells (Calvi 2013; Ovrebo and Edgar 2018; Fox *et al.* 2020). A regulated switch

from mitotic cycles to endocycles is part of the normal developmental growth programs of

specific tissues across *Eukarya* (Ovrebo and Edgar 2018; Fox *et al.* 2020). In contrast, cells

can also switch to unscheduled endocycles in response to stress and other conditional signals

(Hassel *et al.* 2014; Rotelli *et al.* 2019; Bailey *et al.* 2021; Besen-McNally *et al.* 2021). These

induced endocycling cells can be beneficial for wound healing and regeneration, but they can

also have pathological effects, including the promotion of genome instability and cancer (Shu

et al. 2018; Stormo and Fox 2019; Bailey *et al.* 2021; Almeida Machado Costa *et al.* 2022;

Pienta *et al.* 2022; Herriage *et al.* 2023). Much remains unknown, however, about how

unscheduled endocycling affects tissue structure and function.

In this study we used the somatic follicle cells of the *Drosophila* ovary as a model to

evaluate the effects of unscheduled endocycles on tissue function. A *Drosophila* ovary consists

of ~16 ovarioles, each composed of one germarium stem cell compartment followed by an

"assembly line" of progressively developing egg chambers in 14 stages of oogenesis (Fig. 1A)

(King 1970; Duhart *et al.* 2017). These developing egg chambers contain the germline (15

endocycling nurse cells and one oocyte) ensheathed by an epithelium of somatic follicle cells

(Fig. 1A) (King 1970; Jia *et al.* 2015; Duhart *et al.* 2017). Follicle cells originate from a

population of two to four somatic stem cells in the germarium and proliferate mitotically over

the course of three days until stage 6 of oogenesis (Margolis and Spradling 1995; Sun and

Deng 2007; Fadiga and Nystul 2019). In response to Notch signaling from the germline at

stages 6 / 7, follicle cells switch to the endocycle and subsequently complete three genome

duplications over the next ~24 hours (Fig. 1A) (Spradling 1993; Calvi *et al.* 1998; Deng *et al.*

2001; Lopez-Schier and St Johnston 2001). After achieving a DNA copy number (C) of 16C,

follicle cells asynchronously arrest endocycles at different times during late stage 9 (Calvi *et al.*

1998). Follicle cells then synchronously initiate a specialized DNA rereplication program in

stage 10B that selectively amplifies the DNA copy number of eggshell (chorion) protein genes,

a process known as chorion gene amplification (Fig. 1A) (Spradling and Mahowald 1980; Calvi

et al. 1998). Disruption of this developmental gene amplification causes thin eggshells and

embryonic lethality (Spradling and Mahowald 1980; Calvi 2006; Hua and Orr-Weaver 2017). It

has been shown that the endocycle to amplification transition requires the downregulation of

Notch signaling and the modification of several transcription factor and cell cycle protein

activities (Calvi *et al.* 1998; Royzman *et al.* 1999; Bosco *et al.* 2001; Cayirlioglu *et al.* 2001;

Beall *et al.* 2002; Beall *et al.* 2004; Calvi 2006; Sun *et al.* 2008; Huang *et al.* 2013; Jia *et al.*

2015). Much remains unknown, however, about the molecular mechanism of endocycle arrest

and the selective activation of amplification origins at precise times of oogenesis. Follicle cells

also support egg growth by mediating transport of yolk proteins into the oocyte and participate

in the axial patterning of the egg and embryo (Brennan *et al.* 1982; Raikhel and Dhadialla

1992; Duhart *et al.* 2017; Merkle *et al.* 2020). While it is known that follicle cells have these

important roles in oogenesis, it remains unclear what biological function is served by the

endocycle switch occurring specifically at stage 6. In this study, we find that inducing

endocycles to switch to endocycles earlier than stage 6 has severe negative consequences for

oogenesis and female fertility, with broader impacts on understanding how unscheduled

endocycles may contribute to human disease.

Results

<u>Premature endocycles cause structural defects in the follicular epithelium</u>

To address whether a switch to follicle cell endocycles before stage 6 would affect

oogenesis, we induced premature endocycling by expressing the protein Roughex (Rux), a

repressor of Cyclin A / CDK1 activity and mitotic entry that has been shown to induce

endocycles in other tissues (Thomas *et al.* 1994; Sprenger *et al.* 1997; Avedisov *et al.* 2000;

Vidwans *et al.* 2002). We used a *tj-GAL4* transgene to induce expression of a *UAS-Rux*

transgene in all ovarian somatic cells, and a temperature-sensitive GAL80[ts] repressor of GAL4

to control the timing of expression (Brand and Perrimon 1993; McGuire *et al.* 2004; Weaver *et*

al. 2020). The *tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80ts / UAS-Rux* experimental and *tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80[ts] / +*

control animals were raised at 18°C to repress GAL4 activity during development. Adult flies

were then shifted to 29°C to induce *UAS-Rux* expression and ovaries were examined one and

two days thereafter. Given that expression of *Cyclin A* is repressed in endocycling follicle cells

after stage 6, it was expected Rux would only impact follicle cell mitotic cycles (Sun and Deng

2005). Consistent with this, within one day after *UAS-Rux* expression, stage 1-6 follicle cells

ceased labeling for the mitotic marker phosphorylated Histone H3 (pH3) but continued to

incorporate the nucleotide analog 5-ethynyl 2′-deoxyuridine (EdU), indicating that they had

switched to G / S endocycles (Fig. S1A-F).

To determine the effects of induced endocycling on early stages of oogenesis, we

examined the structure of the follicular epithelium. To do this, we immunolabeled the cell

periphery with antibodies against the membrane-associated cell-cell junction protein E-

cadherin and stained nuclei with DAPI (Tepass *et al.* 1996; Uemura *et al.* 1996; Oda *et al.*

1997; Godt and Tepass 1998). After two days at 29°C, follicle cells in control ovaries had the

normal uniform, cuboidal structure and E-cadherin labeling that was greatest on their apical

surface adjacent to the germline (Fig. 1B-B″) (Godt and Tepass 1998; Gonzalez-Reyes and St

Johnston 1998). In contrast, after two days of Rux expression, follicle cells were all larger with

wide variations in their size and shape (Fig. 1C-C″). Some follicle cells had highly irregular

shapes, with different parts of the cell having unequal thicknesses in the apical-basal axis, and

many with highly irregular E-cadherin labeling (Fig. 1C-C″). In confocal sections through the

middle of egg chambers, the interface between the follicular epithelium and germline appeared

wavy instead of the normal smooth circular interface, indicating global deformations of tissue

morphology (Fig. 1B′, C′).

Measurement of the DNA content and diameter of the follicle cells on the surface of

stage 4 / 5 egg chambers revealed that premature endocycling follicle cells were polyploid and

larger than mitotic cycling control follicle cells consistent with their growth through hypertrophy

(Fig. 1D-G). These measurements also showed that these premature endocycling cells have a

large variance in their size and shape (Fig. 1D-G). Immunolabeling for the cell junction protein

beta-catenin, which is known as Armadillo (Arm) in *Drosophila,* or the membrane-associated

protein Discs large 1 (Dlg1), also supported the conclusion that premature endocycles caused

aberrant cell and epithelial structure and irregular cell-cell junctions (Figs. S2 and S3).

Although cell morphology was highly aberrant, Dlg1 had an overall normal basolateral

localization, suggesting that there was not a severe disruption of cell polarity (Fig. S3) (Woods

et al. 1996; Khoury and Bilder 2020). Induction of premature endocycles by RNAi knockdown

of *cyclin A* or over-expression of the Cdh1 ubiquitin ligase, *fizzy-related* (*fzr*) also resulted in

aberrant cell and epithelial structure, indicating that these effects are not specific to *Rux*

overexpression (Fig S4). Overall, these data indicate that induction of unscheduled follicle cell

endocycles earlier in oogenesis results in extremely aberrant cell and epithelial structure.

<u>Premature endocycling increases follicle cell terminal ploidy and causes cell cycle defects in</u>

<u>later stages of oogenesis</u>

We next asked what effect premature endocycles would have on follicle cells and egg

chambers as they matured to later stages of oogenesis (Fig. 2A). Two days after a shift to

29°C, control stage 10B egg chambers had the normal ~641 follicle cells and DNA content of

~16C (Fig. 2B-B′, D, E) (Calvi *et al.* 1998; Sun and Deng 2007). In contrast, the induction of

premature endocycles two days earlier in *UAS-Rux* expressing ovaries resulted in stage 10B

egg chambers that had four-fold fewer follicle cells (~179) with a nearly a four-fold increase in

average DNA content (mean ploidy ~56 C) (Fig. 2C-E). These stage 10B follicle cells were

larger than normal, consistent with the known scaling of cell size with DNA ploidy (Fig. 2B′, C′)

(Tepass *et al.* 1996; Uemura *et al.* 1996; Cadart and Heald 2022). The four-fold decrease in

cell number together with a four-fold increase in DNA content suggested that Rux-expressing

follicle cells had skipped two mitotic divisions and instead underwent two extra endocycle

genome doublings. This conclusion is consistent with the known rate of oogenesis at 29°C

(Gandara and Drummond-Barbosa 2022). These stage 10B egg chambers would have been in

approximately stage 4 / 5 two days earlier at the time of temperature shift (Gandara and

Drummond-Barbosa 2022) (Fig. 2A). Normally, follicle cells in a stage 4 / 5 egg chamber

undergo an average of two mitotic divisions over one day as it matures to stage 6 / 7, after

which follicle cells undergo a programmed developmental switch to endocycles up until stage

10A (Margolis and Spradling 1995; Calvi *et al.* 1998). Given that there were two extra

endocycle genome doublings instead of the normal two mitotic divisions during the ~24 hours

from stage 4 / 5 to stage 6 / 7, these data also suggest that the duration of each induced

endocycle is approximately equal to that of the mitotic cycles that they supplanted (Margolis

and Spradling 1995). Moreover, the increased DNA content of these follicle cells at stage 10

suggests that starting endocycles early can override the undefined cell-autonomous

mechanisms that arrest endocycles to limit follicle cell ploidy to 16C.

We then examined the coordination of follicle cell cycles with later stages of oogenesis.

in wild type, different follicle cells arrest endocycles at different times of stage 9-10A when they

reach 16C. At the onset of stage 10B, follicle cells over the oocyte synchronously begin a

specialized DNA replication program of developmental gene amplification (Fig. 2A) (Spradling

and Mahowald 1980; Calvi *et al.* 1998). This selective gene amplification entails site-specific

rereplication of specific loci that encode eggshell and other proteins, a process that is required

for normal eggshell synthesis (Calvi and Spradling 1999; Calvi 2006; Hua and Orr-Weaver

2017). These rereplicating loci can be detected as distinct nuclear foci of EdU incorporation

beginning in stage 10B (Calvi *et al.* 1998). EdU labeling of *tj-GAL4 GAL80^{ts}* / + control ovaries

at 29°C showed that all follicle cells over the oocyte had the normal nuclear foci of EdU in

stage 10B, indicating that the onset of amplification had the normal synchrony among follicle

cells (Fig. 2F, H, H') (Calvi *et al.* 1998; Calvi and Lilly 2004). Follicle cells that had been

expressing Rux for 24 hours also had the normal transition to synchronous amplification in

stage 10B (Fig. 2G). At the time of temperature shift one day earlier, most of these follicle cells

would have already undergone the developmental switch to endocycles in stage 6 / 7, and

therefore do not represent premature endocycling cells (Fig 2A). In contrast, two days after a

temperature shift, the follicle cells in a stage 10B egg chamber are those that prematurely

switched to endocycles in stage 4 / 5 (Fig. 2A). These premature endocycles resulted in some

stage 10B egg chambers with a mixture of follicle cells that had either the normal amplification

foci or pan-nuclear EdU incorporation indicative of continued genomic replication (Fig. 2I-J).

The two-day delay in the onset of this phenotype suggests that starting endocycles early

disrupts the later arrest of endocycle genomic replication and transition to developmental gene

amplification.

Premature endocycling inhibits border cell migration

During stage 9, most follicle cells migrate posteriorly to a position over the oocyte.

Despite disruptions to cell structure and developmental amplification, this migration was

normal in egg chambers with prematurely endocycling follicle cells, with most follicle cells

achieving their normal position over the oocyte by stage 10A (Fig. 2C, C'). Unlike these main

body follicle cells, a small group of follicle cells delaminates from the anterior epithelium and

migrates posteriorly between the nurse cells (Montell *et al.* 1992; Spradling 1993; Montell *et al.*

2012; Mishra *et al.* 2019b). This collective migration event is initiated in early stage 9 when two

specialized follicle cells, known as the polar cells, recruit 4-6 neighboring follicle cells to

become border cells via JAK-STAT signaling (Montell *et al.* 1992; Silver and Montell 2001).

After arriving at their final destination at the anterior of the growing oocyte in stage 10A, this

migrating border cell cluster forms the sperm entry port known as the micropyle (King 1970;

Bishop and King 1984; Horne-Badovinac 2020). Because these border cells have been a

powerful model for collective cell migration during metastasis, we wished to investigate the

effect of premature endocycling on them (Stuelten *et al.* 2018).

We identified border cells based on their elevated E-cadherin levels and measured their

migration in both control and Rux-expressing stage 9-10A egg chambers two days after

induction at 29°C (Oda *et al.* 1997; Niewiadomska *et al.* 1999). We normalized border cell

migration to that of main body follicle cells on the surface of the same egg chamber during

stage 9-10A. In controls, the migration of the border cell cluster between the nurse cells stayed

on-pace with the anterior of the main body follicle cells, with both populations of follicle cells

reaching the oocyte by the onset of stage 10A (Fig. 3A, A′, C). In contrast, Rux expression

resulted in border cells lagging behind the main body follicle cells to varying extents (Fig. 3B-

C). In some egg chambers, border cells had failed to even delaminate from the epithelium at

the anterior pole of the egg chamber by stage 10 (Fig. 3C). Border cell migration was also

impaired when premature endocycles were induced by *cyclin A* RNAi or *fzr* over-expression

(Fig. S5A-C"). Moreover, using either *fru-GAL4* or *slbo-GAL4* drivers to induce high levels of

Rux expression specifically in border cells after the developmental transition of follicle cells to

endocycles in stage 6/7 did not impair border cell migration (Fig. S5D-I). These results indicate

that the border cell migration defect is not because of unknown, off-target activities of the Rux

protein, but rather a result of premature endocycling.

We used a *10XStat92E-DsRed* JAK-STAT transcriptional reporter to determine whether

the failure to delaminate and migrate was because of a disruption of JAK-STAT signaling from

polar cells to border cells (Silver *et al.* 2005; Bach *et al.* 2007). Both controls and *UAS-Rux*

expressing ovaries had DsRed expression in follicle cells at the anterior and posterior ends of

chambers, with the anterior signal narrowing to include only the border cell cluster by stages 9-

10 of oogenesis, consistent with previous reports (Bach *et al.* 2007; Mallart *et al.* 2022) (Figure

3D-E"). The border cells in Rux-expressing ovaries had higher DsRed expression, which is

likely due to higher copy number of the *10XStat92E-DsRed* reporter in these polyploid cells

(Fig. 3E-E″). These DsRed-positive border cell clusters had impaired migration, with some

failing to delaminate from the epithelium, suggesting that these defects are not because of a

failure in JAK-STAT signaling from polar cells to border cells.

To further investigate the border cell migration defect, we examined the morphology and

number of cells in the border cell clusters. Labeling for the polar cell marker protein Fasciclin III

(Fas III) showed that after two days of Rux expression most egg chambers had the normal two

polar cells, including those in which border cells migrated slowly or failed to delaminate from

the epithelium (Fig. 3F-G''') (Ruohola *et al.* 1991). Co-labeling against E-cadherin suggested

that these migrating clusters had an overall normal structure and cell polarity (Fig. 3F-G''').

Rux-expressing egg chambers often had border cell clusters with a reduced number of border

cells that failed to migrate normally (Fig. 3H). Given that it has been shown that a similar deficit

of cells can inhibit border cell cluster delamination and migration, this observation suggests

that the migration defect in these clusters is at least partially attributable to a reduced number

of border cells (Silver and Montell 2001; Ghiglione *et al.* 2008). However, some clusters with

the normal number of border cells also failed to migrate, suggesting that other properties of

premature endocycling cells also inhibit migration (Fig. 3H).

Premature endocycling impairs oocyte growth

We next examined whether premature follicle cell endocycles affected the growth of

late-stage egg chambers. As mentioned previously, the overall morphology of stage 10B egg

chambers from Rux-expressing females appeared similar to those from controls with main

body follicle cells undergoing the normal migration posteriorly to over the growing oocyte (Fig

4A-D). One day after temperature shift stage 10B egg chambers from Rux-expressing females

had oocytes of a similar size to controls (Fig. 4A, B, E). At the time of temperature shift one

day earlier, the follicle cells in these stage 10B egg chambers would have been in stage 6/7

and already switched to developmental endocycles. In contrast, two days after temperature

shift the oocytes in Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers was significantly smaller (Fig.

4C-E). The follicle cells in these stage 10B egg chambers represent those that had

prematurely switched to endocycles in stage 4 / 5, suggesting that unscheduled endocycles

impair oocyte growth. The egg chambers with smaller oocytes had a corresponding increase in

the size of the nurse cell compartment (Fig. 4F). The ploidy of these nurse cells was not

increased, suggesting that the enlarged nurse cell compartment was not because of enhanced

nurse cell growth through additional endocycles (Fig. 4G). Labeling with the lipophilic dye Nile

Red indicated that egg chambers with premature endocycling follicle cells had the normal

accumulation of lipid droplets in nurse cells during stage 10 and their normal rapid transfer into

the oocyte with the rest of the nurse cell contents during stages 11-12 (Fig. 4H-K). These

results suggest that the two extra follicle cell endocycles had non-autonomous effects on

oocyte growth before stage 11.

Premature endocycling disrupts eggshell synthesis and causes embryo lethality and female

infertility

We evaluated whether the observed effects of premature endocycles on oogenesis

would impact female fertility. It is known that defects in developmental gene amplification like

those observed in Rux-expressing ovaries result in thin eggshells and a defective underlying

vitelline membrane, ultimately causing dehydration and death of embryos (Calvi 2006). We

therefore examined whether premature endocycles impaired eggshell synthesis. Some of the

eggs laid by *UAS-Rux* females had thin and unhardened shells that resembled those from

females with known defects in gene amplification, a phenotype that increased in frequency

over one to three days (Fig. 5A, C, E; Fig. S6A, B) (Calvi 2006). Unlike wild type eggs, those

from *UAS-Rux* females with abnormal shells were permeable to the dye Neutral Red,

confirming a defect in eggshell and vitelline membrane synthesis (Figure 5B, D). Expression of

Rux in females also resulted in reduced egg hatching, a phenotype that increased in severity

over subsequent days of maternal Rux expression (Fig. 5F). This decrease in egg hatching

correlated with the increase in collapsed, dehydrated eggs, suggesting that defects in vitelline

membrane and eggshell synthesis were a significant cause of embryo lethality (Fig. 5E, F).

Prolonged expression of Rux also had a negative effect on egg production during oogenesis.

Finally, after three days of Rux expression there was a high frequency of ovarioles with

degenerating stage 8-9 egg chambers, a phenotype diagnostic of an ovarian stress response

known as the vitellogenic checkpoint (Fig. S6C, D) (Peterson *et al.* 2015; Serizier and McCall

2017). This timing suggests that in these ovaries a very early entry into endocycles during

stage 1 / 2 triggers a general stress response three days later in stage 8. All together, these

data indicate that premature follicle cell endocycles have deleterious effects on eggshell

synthesis, embryo viability, and female fertility.

Discussion

There is a growing appreciation that cells can switch from mitotic cycles to endocycles

in response to conditional signals, but the impact of this unscheduled switch on tissue growth

and function is only beginning to be understood. We have found that premature follicle cell

endocycles cause pleiotropic defects in oogenesis and severely compromise female fertility

(Fig. 6). Although follicle cells normally switch to endocycles at stage 6 / 7 of oogenesis,

inducing them to switch one day earlier in stage 4 / 5 resulted in aberrant cell and epithelial

morphology, impaired oocyte growth, and perturbed the cessation of endocycles and transition

to developmental gene amplification. These aberrant cell and tissue phenotypes are an inroad

to defining the mechanisms by which cell growth and cell cycles are normally coordinated with

stages of oogenesis. They also reveal the ways in which unscheduled endocycles can have

deleterious consequences for tissue function that may apply across organisms, including in

human disease.

Starting follicle cell endocycles early disrupted the later asynchronous, cell-autonomous

arrest of endocycles during stage 9 and the synchronous onset of amplification at stage 10B

(Calvi *et al.* 1998). Previous analyses of the endocycle to amplification transition has defined

conserved mechanisms that regulate cell cycles and DNA replication during development. This

transition is regulated by the E2F, RB, and Myb transcription factor families and the synchronous

onset of amplification in stage 10B requires activity of Cyclin E / CDK2 (Calvi *et al.* 1998;

Royzman *et al.* 1999; Bosco *et al.* 2001; Cayirlioglu *et al.* 2001; Beall *et al.* 2002; Beall *et al.*

2004; Calvi 2006). Further insight into the coordination of the endocycle to amplification

transition with stages of oogenesis came from the finding that it requires downregulation of Notch

signaling, which results in an obligate increase in the activity of the steroid hormone ecdysone

and downstream expression of the transcription factor *tramtrack*, whose expression before stage

10 is further repressed by the micro-RNA *mir7* (Sun *et al.* 2008; Huang *et al.* 2013; Jia *et al.*

2015)*.* Despite these key findings, much remains unknown about the molecular mechanism of

endocycle arrest and the selective activation of amplification origins at precise times of

oogenesis. Our finding that prematurely endocycling follicle cells can exceed their normal 16C

DNA content by stage 10 suggests that their cell-autonomous endocycle arrest is not dependent

on a strict genomic DNA counting mechanism. Some of these follicle cells increased their DNA

content further through continued genomic replication past stage 10, which comprised the

amplification of chorion and other genes that are required for eggshell synthesis. This disruption

of amplification is likely the cause of the thin eggshells and embryo lethality that we observed,

which phenocopies mutants that are known to impair gene amplification (Calvi 2006). A

continued analysis of these induced endocycles may provide further insights into the molecular

mechanisms that coordinate the timely transitions of cell cycle and DNA replication programs

during development.

Although there was no evidence for a cell autonomous restriction on DNA content, there

was a conservation of total DNA among all follicle cells. In wild type, the main body follicle cells

in stage 10 collectively represented ~10,256 haploid genome equivalents (641 cells * 16 C),

whereas inducing premature endocycles resulted in egg chambers with fewer but more highly

polyploid cells that represented a comparable ~10,024 haploid genome equivalents (179 cells *

56 C). This DNA equivalency may simply be the result of swapping two mitotic cycles for two

premature endocycles of equal duration. However, a similar conservation of genome

equivalents has also been noted when damaged tissue is regenerated by unscheduled

endocycles, a process termed compensatory cellular hypertrophy (CGH) (Tamori and Deng

2013; Cohen *et al.* 2018). Thus, there may be constraints on tissue growth that are

proportional to genome equivalents, as previously suggested (Cohen *et al.* 2018).

Premature endocycles resulted in severely aberrant follicle cell morphology and an

irregular follicular epithelium. These phenotypes contrast with the uniform cell and epithelial

morphology of endocycling follicle cells from wild type ovaries. The basis for this difference

between induced and developmental endocycles is currently unclear. One possibility is that

induced and developmental endocycling cells differ in the pathways that coordinate their

morphology with their hypertrophic growth to large cell size. For example, it is known that the

activity of the Hippo-Yorkie growth pathway is coupled to multiple cell polarity and cell-cell

junction protein complexes (Zheng and Pan 2019). Although premature endocycles resulted in

aberrant cell shapes, sizes, and cell-cell contacts, we did not find clear evidence for a direct

effect of induced endocycles on cell polarity. However, this last question was difficult to

address given the highly aberrant cell morphology and a further investigation into the effects of

unscheduled endocycles on cell polarity may be warranted.

The aberrant follicular epithelium may be related to the global effects of premature

endocycles on egg chamber morphology. The deformations of the somatic follicle cell-germline

interface before stage 6 suggests that premature endocycles may uncouple the coordinated

growth of soma and underlying germline nurse cells, a process that is incompletely understood

(Vachias *et al.* 2014; Giedt and Tootle 2023). Premature follicle cell endocycles also had non-

autonomous effects on oocyte growth. Although stage 10 oocytes were smaller, the rapid

transfer of lipid droplets and other nurse cell contents during stage 11 was normal, as was final

egg size. These observations are consistent with a model wherein a somatic epithelium of

fewer and more highly polyploid follicle cells impedes the slow transport of nurse cell contents

into the growing oocyte before stage 11, but not the rapid transfer of nurse cell contents that

occurs during stage 11 (Giedt and Tootle 2023). Smaller stage 10 oocytes may also be the

result of a defect in vitellogenesis. This process entails paracellular transport of yolk protein

across the follicular epithelium via a process that is enabled by a regulated increase in

epithelial permeability beginning in stage 8, a state known as patency (Isasti-Sanchez *et al.*

2021; Riechmann 2021). Patency requires remodeling of cell-cell junctions through a

mechanism that includes E-cadherin, whose labeling was altered in premature endocycling

follicle cells (Horne-Badovinac and Bilder 2005; Isasti-Sanchez *et al.* 2021). Thus, the aberrant

cell and epithelial morphology of induced endocycling cells may disturb the remodeling of cell-

cell contacts that is required for patency and oocyte growth. More broadly, these results

suggest that unscheduled endocycles may affect the coordinated growth and regulated

permeability of epithelia in other tissues.

365   The highly penetrant negative effect of premature endocycles on border cell migration is

likely due, in part, to a reduced number of border cells in the clusters, which has previously

been shown to impair migration (Silver and Montell 2001; Silver *et al.* 2005; Ghiglione *et al.*

2008; Mishra *et al.* 2019a). Nonetheless, some clusters with the normal number of border cells

also failed to migrate, indicating that there are other mechanisms that inhibit delamination and

migration. Our observation of impaired collective border cell migration contrasts with evidence

that polyploidy enhances migration of cancer cells *in vitro* and may promote collective cell

migration during metastasis *in vivo* (Godinho *et al.* 2014; Wang *et al.* 2019; Mallin *et al.*

2023). An important implication of our observations, therefore, is that the effects of

unscheduled endocycles on cell migration may be dependent on cell type and tissue context. It

is also possible that oncogenic mutations in cancer cells may synergize with the polyploid state

to enhance migratory properties.

377   Overall, our study has revealed that unscheduled endocycles can have severe

pleiotropic effects on tissue growth and function. Further characterization of these effects will

lead to a greater understanding of how endocycling, both scheduled and unscheduled, can

promote tissue regeneration or contribute to disease.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila genetics: _w⁻; tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80ᵗˢ / CyO TwistGFP_ flies were crossed to _w¹¹¹⁸_

(control) or _w⁻; UAS-Rux / SM6a_ (experimental) flies, and their offspring were reared at 18°C

during larval and early adult development. The resulting adult female offspring were fed wet

yeast with males for 2 days at 18°C before being transferred to new wet yeast and shifted to

29°C for 1, 2, or 3 days (as noted in the text). The females and males were transferred to new

wet yeast every 2 days during the experiments. This process was replicated in the _UAS-_

CycA^{RNAi,} UAS-fzr, and the _10XStatDsRed_ experiments. Experiments with the _slbo-GAL4_ and

fru-GAL4 border-cell-specific drivers were carried out at 25°C. The _w⁻; tj-GAL4 tub-GAL80ᵗˢ /_

CyO TwistGFP strain was a generous gift from L. Weaver. The _w⁻; UAS-Rux / SM6a_ strain was

obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC #9166). The _w⁻;_

10XStatDsRed strain was a generous gift from E. Bach. The _w⁻; slbo-GAL4 10XUAS-CD8GFP_

strain was obtained from the BDSC (BDSC #76363). The _w¹¹¹⁸; fru-GAL4_ strain was obtained

from the BDSC (BDSC #30027). The _w⁻; UAS-CycA^{RNAi}_ strain was obtained from the Vienna

Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC v32421). The _w⁻; hsp70-GAL4 UAS-fzr / CyO; UAS-fzr_

(_2XUAS-fzr_) strain is available upon request, and its components are available from the BDSC

(BDSC #91688 for _UAS-fzr_ on chromosome II and BDSC #91689 for _UAS-fzr_ on chromosome

III). All fly strains are also enumerated in the Reagent Table.

Immunofluorescence microscopy: Ovaries were fixed and labeled as previously described

(Schwed _et al._ 2002). For E-cadherin labeling, fixation buffers were supplemented with 1 mM

$CaCl_2$. The following antibodies and dilutions were used: rat anti-Shg (fly E-cadherin), 1:20

(DSHB DCAD2); mouse anti-Arm (fly β-catenin), 1:100 (DSHB N2 7A1); rabbit anti-

phosphorylated histone H3 (pH3), 1:1000 (Millipore 06-570); mouse anti-Dlg1, 1:200 (DSHB

4F3); mouse anti-FasIII, 1:50 (DSHB 7G10); rabbit anti-GFP, 1:1000 (Invitrogen A-11122). EdU

incorporation _in vitro_ was for 1 hour as previously described (Calvi and Lilly, 2004). For lipid

droplet detection, 10mg/mL Nile Red stock was diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer, and ovaries

were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with nutating, as previously described (Giedt _et_

_al._ 2023). Asynchronous chorion gene amplification was defined as stage 10B egg chambers

with both punctate and pan-nuclear EdU labeling of follicle cell nuclei. Egg chambers with

more than one pan-nuclear EdU labeled nucleus were scored as "asynchronous." For the dye

exclusion assay, eggs were incubated in 1mL of 5 mg/mL of Neutral Red for 10 minutes, as

previously described (LeMosy and Hashimoto 2000). Fluorescence micrographs were taken on

a Leica SP8 confocal or a Leica DM5500 B. DIC images of stage 10B egg chambers were

taken using a Leica DM5500 B. Brightfield images of laid eggs were taken using a Zeiss

SteREO Discovery.V12. Nuclear DNA content was quantified using ImageJ/FIJI by measuring

mean DAPI fluorescence per pixel in a nucleus, subtracting local mean background DAPI

fluorescence per pixel, and then multiplying the difference by the number of pixels per nucleus

to obtain the corrected total DAPI fluorescence intensity. To quantify the number of follicle cells

per egg chamber, z-stacks of entire egg chambers were imaged, then DAPI-labeled nuclei

were manually counted for the top part of the egg chamber where nuclei were most

distinguishable from each other. This follicle cell count was then doubled to estimate the total

number of follicle cells per egg chamber. The number of pH-positive follicle cells in early-stage

egg chambers, total number of follicle cells in late-stage egg chambers, oocyte area, nurse cell

compartment length, follicle cell diameter (along their longest axis), and border cell migration

were quantified using ImageJ/FIJI.

<u>Eggshell scoring and egg hatch rate:</u> Eggs from well-fed and mated females were collected on

grape plates with a thin layer of yeast paste for 2-4 hours for each respective day of 29°C

transgene induction. Eggs were scored as having "thin" chorions if they met the following

criteria: 1) The eggshell appeared mucosal with no obvious follicle cell footprint pattern, 2) they

had flaccid dorsal appendages, and / or 3) they were dehydrated and burst easily after a light

touch with a paintbrush. The validity of these criteria and permeability of eggshell and vitelline

membrane were independently confirmed by the Neutral Red staining described above. For

hatch rates, eggs were lined up and incubated at 18°C to ensure no GAL4-driven transgene

expression affected their viability. They were then scored for hatching over four subsequent

days.

<u>Statistical Analysis:</u> Data graphing and statistical analyses were carried out in GraphPad

Prism. Data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity to determine correct analyses.

Sample size (n) is defined as the total of independent observations / measurements used for

the chosen analysis across multiple biological replicates. All averages shown are mean ± s.d.,

except for Figure 2J, which is mean ± s.e.m, and Figure 4G, which is median. Two-tailed

Mann-Whitney tests were used to test for significance in Figs. 1F, S1E-F, 2D, 4E, and 4G.

Figure 4E was corrected for multiple comparisons. A two-tailed Welch's t-test was used to test

for significance in Figs. 1G & 2E. A two-tailed unpaired t-test was used to test for significance

in Fig. 2J. A Mixed Effects Two-Way ANOVA was used to test for significance in Fig. 4F.

Data availability

Fly strains used are enumerated in the article. All fly strains used in the study are publicly
available at the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, or upon request. All reagents used in
this study are publicly available.

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Brown and Y.T. Huang for experimental assistance. We are appreciative of the
thoughtful feedback from S. Brown, L. Hesting, Y.T. Huang, P. Rangarajan, and C. Walczak, as
well as from the editor and anonymous reviewers. We thank A. Kun and J. Powers of the IU
Light Microscopy Imaging Center. Special thanks to D. Montell for advice and E. Bach and L.
Weaver for fly strains

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

H.C.H. performed experiments and statistical analyses. Both authors wrote and edited the
manuscript. B.R.C. secured funding and provided mentorship and supervision.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant NIH R01GM113107 to B.R.C.

References

Almeida Machado Costa, C., X. F. Wang, C. Ellsworth and W. M. Deng, 2022 Polyploidy in
development and tumor models in Drosophila. Semin Cancer Biol 81**:** 106-118.
Avedisov, S. N., I. Krasnoselskaya, M. Mortin and B. J. Thomas, 2000 Roughex mediates G(1)
arrest through a physical association with cyclin A. Mol Cell Biol 20**:** 8220-8229.
Bach, E. A., L. A. Ekas, A. Ayala-Camargo, M. S. Flaherty, H. Lee *et al.*, 2007 GFP reporters
detect the activation of the Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway in vivo. Gene Expr Patterns
7**:** 323-331.
Bailey, E. C., S. Kobielski, J. Park and V. P. Losick, 2021 Polyploidy in Tissue Repair and
Regeneration. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 13.
Beall, E. L., M. Bell, D. Georlette and M. R. Botchan, 2004 Dm-myb mutant lethality in
Drosophila is dependent upon mip130: positive and negative regulation of DNA
replication. Genes Dev 18**:** 1667-1680.
Beall, E. L., J. R. Manak, S. Zhou, M. Bell, J. S. Lipsick *et al.*, 2002 Role for a Drosophila Myb-
containing protein complex in site-specific DNA replication. Nature 420**:** 833-837.
Besen-McNally, R., K. J. Gjelsvik and V. P. Losick, 2021 Wound-induced polyploidization is
dependent on Integrin-Yki signaling. Biol Open 10.
Bishop, D. L., and R. C. King, 1984 An ultrastructural study of ovarian development in the otu-7
mutant of Drosophila melanogaster. J. Cell Sci. 67**:** 87-119.
Bosco, G., W. Du and T. L. Orr-Weaver, 2001 DNA replication control through interaction of
E2F-RB and the origin recognition complex. Nat Cell Biol 3**:** 289-295.
Brand, A., and N. Perrimon, 1993 Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates
and generating dominant phenotypes. Development 118**:** 401-415.
Brennan, M. D., A. J. Weiner, T. J. Goralski and A. P. Mahowald, 1982 The follicle cells are a
major site of vitellogenin synthesis in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol 89**:** 225-236.
Cadart, C., and R. Heald, 2022 Scaling of biosynthesis and metabolism with cell size.
Molecular Biology of the Cell 33**:** pe5.
Calvi, B. R., 2006 Developmental DNA amplification, pp. 233-255. in *DNA replication and*
human disease, edited by M. L. DePamphilis. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press,
Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
Calvi, B. R., 2013 Making big cells: one size does not fit all. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110**:**
9621-9622.
Calvi, B. R., and M. A. Lilly, 2004 BrdU labeling and nuclear flow sorting of the Drosophila
ovary, pp. 203-213 in *Drosophila Cytogenetics Protocols*, edited by D. Henderson.
Humana Press, Totowa.
Calvi, B. R., M. A. Lilly and A. C. Spradling, 1998 Cell cycle control of chorion gene
amplification. Genes Dev 12**:** 734-744.
Calvi, B. R., and A. C. Spradling, 1999 Chorion gene amplification in Drosophila: A model for
metazoan origins of DNA replication and S-phase control. Methods 18**:** 407-417.
Cayirlioglu, P., P. C. Bonnette, M. R. Dickson and R. J. Duronio, 2001 Drosophila E2f2
promotes the conversion from genomic DNA replication to gene amplification in ovarian
follicle cells. Development 128**:** 5085-5098.
Cohen, E., S. R. Allen, J. K. Sawyer and D. T. Fox, 2018 Fizzy-Related dictates A cell cycle
switch during organ repair and tissue growth responses in the Drosophila hindgut. Elife
7.

Deng, W. M., C. Althauser and H. Ruohola-Baker, 2001 Notch-Delta signaling induces a
508   transition from mitotic cell cycle to endocycle in Drosophila follicle cells. Development
509   128**:** 4737-4746.
510   Duhart, J. C., T. T. Parsons and L. A. Raftery, 2017 The repertoire of epithelial morphogenesis
511   on display: Progressive elaboration of Drosophila egg structure. Mech Dev 148**:** 18-39.
512   Fadiga, J., and T. G. Nystul, 2019 The follicle epithelium in the Drosophila ovary is maintained
513   by a small number of stem cells. Elife 8.
514   Fox, D. T., D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, T. L. Ashman and Y. Van de Peer, 2020 Polyploidy: A
515   Biological Force From Cells to Ecosystems. Trends Cell Biol 30**:** 688-694.
516   Gandara, A. C. P., and D. Drummond-Barbosa, 2022 Warm and cold temperatures have
517   distinct germline stem cell lineage effects during Drosophila oogenesis. Development
518   149.
519   Ghiglione, C., O. Devergne, D. Cerezo and S. Noselli, 2008 Drosophila RalA is essential for
520   the maintenance of Jak/Stat signalling in ovarian follicles. EMBO Rep 9**:** 676-682.
521   Giedt, M. S., J. M. Thomalla, R. P. White, M. R. Johnson, Z. W. Lai *et al.*, 2023 Adipose
522   triglyceride lipase promotes prostaglandin-dependent actin remodeling by regulating
523   substrate release from lipid droplets. Development 150.
524   Giedt, M. S., and T. L. Tootle, 2023 The Vast Utility of Drosophila Oogenesis. Methods Mol Biol
525   2626**:** 1-36.
526   Godinho, S. A., R. Picone, M. Burute, R. Dagher, Y. Su *et al.*, 2014 Oncogene-like induction of
527   cellular invasion from centrosome amplification. Nature 510**:** 167-171.
528   Godt, D., and U. Tepass, 1998 Drosophila oocyte localization is mediated by differential
529   cadherin-based adhesion. Nature 395**:** 387-391.
530   Gonzalez-Reyes, A., and D. St Johnston, 1998 The Drosophila AP axis is polarised by the
531   cadherin-mediated positioning of the oocyte. Development 125**:** 3635-3644.
532   Hassel, C., B. Zhang, M. Dixon and B. R. Calvi, 2014 Induction of endocycles represses
533   apoptosis independently of differentiation and predisposes cells to genome instability.
534   Development 141**:** 112-123.
535   Herriage, H. C., Y. T. Huang and B. R. Calvi, 2023 The antagonistic relationship between
536   apoptosis and polyploidy in development and cancer. Semin Cell Dev Biol.
537   Horne-Badovinac, S., 2020 The Drosophila micropyle as a system to study how epithelia build
538   complex extracellular structures. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 375**:** 20190561.
539   Hua, B. L., and T. L. Orr-Weaver, 2017 DNA Replication Control During Drosophila
540   Development: Insights into the Onset of S Phase, Replication Initiation, and Fork
541   Progression. Genetics 207**:** 29-47.
542   Huang, Y. C., L. Smith, J. Poulton and W. M. Deng, 2013 The microRNA miR-7 regulates
543   Tramtrack69 in a developmental switch in Drosophila follicle cells. Development 140**:**
544   897-905.
545   Jia, D., Y. C. Huang and W. M. Deng, 2015 Analysis of Cell Cycle Switches in Drosophila
546   Oogenesis. Methods Mol Biol 1328**:** 207-216.
547   Khoury, M. J., and D. Bilder, 2020 Distinct activities of Scrib module proteins organize epithelial
548   polarity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117**:** 11531-11540.
549   King, R. C., 1970 *Ovarian Development in Drosophila melanogaster*. Academic Press, New
550   York.
551   LeMosy, E. K., and C. Hashimoto, 2000 The nudel protease of Drosophila is required for
552   eggshell biogenesis in addition to embryonic patterning. Dev Biol 217**:** 352-361.

553   Lopez-Schier, H., and D. St Johnston, 2001 Delta signaling from the germ line controls the
554   proliferation and differentiation of the somatic follicle cells during Drosophila oogenesis.
555   Genes Dev 15**:** 1393-1405.
556   Mallart, C., F. Chalvet, S. Netter, A. Y. Torres, M. Poidevin *et al.*, 2022 E-cadherin acts as a
557   positive regulator of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway during Drosophila oogenesis.
558   Front Cell Dev Biol 10**:** 886312.
559   Mallin, M. M., N. Kim, M. I. Choudhury, S. J. Lee, S. S. An *et al.*, 2023 Cells in the
560   polyaneuploid cancer cell (PACC) state have increased metastatic potential. Clin Exp
561   Metastasis 40**:** 321-338.
562   Margolis, J., and A. Spradling, 1995 Identification and behavior of epithelial stem cells in the
563   Drosophila ovary. Development 121**:** 3797-3807.
564   McGuire, S. E., Z. Mao and R. L. Davis, 2004 Spatiotemporal gene expression targeting with
565   the TARGET and gene-switch systems in Drosophila. Sci STKE 2004**:** pl6.
566   Merkle, J. A., J. Wittes and T. Schupbach, 2020 Signaling between somatic follicle cells and
567   the germline patterns the egg and embryo of Drosophila. Curr Top Dev Biol 140**:** 55-86.
568   Mishra, A. K., J. P. Campanale, J. A. Mondo and D. J. Montell, 2019a Cell interactions in
569   collective cell migration. Development 146.
570   Mishra, A. K., J. A. Mondo, J. P. Campanale and D. J. Montell, 2019b Coordination of
571   protrusion dynamics within and between collectively migrating border cells by myosin II.
572   Mol Biol Cell 30**:** 2490-2502.
573   Montell, D. J., P. Rorth and A. C. Spradling, 1992 slow border cells, a locus required for a
574   developmentally regulated cell migration during oogenesis, encodes Drosophila C/EBP.
575   Cell 71**:** 51-62.
576   Montell, D. J., W. H. Yoon and M. Starz-Gaiano, 2012 Group choreography: mechanisms
577   orchestrating the collective movement of border cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13**:** 631-
578   645.
579   Niewiadomska, P., D. Godt and U. Tepass, 1999 DE-Cadherin is required for intercellular
580   motility during Drosophila oogenesis. J Cell Biol 144**:** 533-547.
581   Oda, H., T. Uemura and M. Takeichi, 1997 Phenotypic analysis of null mutants for DE-cadherin
582   and Armadillo in Drosophila ovaries reveals distinct aspects of their functions in cell
583   adhesion and cytoskeletal organization. Genes Cells 2**:** 29-40.
584   Ovrebo, J. I., and B. A. Edgar, 2018 Polyploidy in tissue homeostasis and regeneration.
585   Development 145.
586   Peterson, J. S., A. K. Timmons, A. A. Mondragon and K. McCall, 2015 The End of the
587   Beginning: Cell Death in the Germline. Curr Top Dev Biol 114**:** 93-119.
588   Pienta, K. J., E. U. Hammarlund, R. H. Austin, R. Axelrod, J. S. Brown *et al.*, 2022 Cancer cells
589   employ an evolutionarily conserved polyploidization program to resist therapy. Semin
590   Cancer Biol 81**:** 145-159.
591   Raikhel, A. S., and T. S. Dhadialla, 1992 Accumulation of yolk proteins in insect oocytes. Annu
592   Rev Entomol 37**:** 217-251.
593   Rotelli, M. D., R. A. Policastro, A. M. Bolling, A. W. Killion, A. J. Weinberg *et al.*, 2019 A Cyclin
594   A-Myb-MuvB-Aurora B network regulates the choice between mitotic cycles and
595   polyploid endoreplication cycles. PLoS Genet 15: e1008253.
596   Royzman, I., R. J. Austin, G. Bosco, S. P. Bell and T. L. Orr-Weaver, 1999 ORC localization in
597   Drosophila follicle cells and the effects of mutations in *dE2F* and *dDP*. Genes Dev 13**:**
598   827-840.

Ruohola, H., K. Bremer, D. Baker, J. Swedlow, L. Jan *et al.*, 1991 Role of neurogenic genes in
establishment of follicle cell fate and oocyte polarity during oogenesis in Drosophila.
Cell 66**:** 433-449.
Schwed, G., N. May, Y. Pechersky and B. R. Calvi, 2002 Drosophila minichromosome
maintenance 6 is required for chorion gene amplification and genomic replication. Mol
Biol Cell 13**:** 607-620.
Serizier, S. B., and K. McCall, 2017 Scrambled Eggs: Apoptotic Cell Clearance by Non-
Professional Phagocytes in the Drosophila Ovary. Front Immunol 8**:** 1642.
Shu, Z., S. Row and W. M. Deng, 2018 Endoreplication: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly.
Trends Cell Biol 28**:** 465-474.
Silver, D. L., E. R. Geisbrecht and D. J. Montell, 2005 Requirement for JAK/STAT signaling
throughout border cell migration in Drosophila. Development 132**:** 3483-3492.
Silver, D. L., and D. J. Montell, 2001 Paracrine signaling through the JAK/STAT pathway
activates invasive behavior of ovarian epithelial cells in Drosophila. Cell 107**:** 831-841.
Spradling, A., and A. Mahowald, 1980 Amplification of genes for chorion proteins during
oogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 77**:** 1096-1100.
Spradling, A. C., 1993 Developmental Genetics of Oogenesis in *The Development of*
Drosophila melanogaster, edited by M. B. a. A. Martinez-Arias. Cold Spring Harbor
Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
Sprenger, F., N. Yakubovich and P. H. O'Farrell, 1997 S-phase function of Drosophila cyclin A
and its downregulation in G1 phase. Curr Biol 7**:** 488-499.
Stormo, B. M., and D. T. Fox, 2019 Interphase cohesin regulation ensures mitotic fidelity after
genome reduplication. Mol Biol Cell 30**:** 219-227.
Stuelten, C. H., C. A. Parent and D. J. Montell, 2018 Cell motility in cancer invasion and
metastasis: insights from simple model organisms. Nat Rev Cancer 18**:** 296-312.
Sun, J., and W. M. Deng, 2005 Notch-dependent downregulation of the homeodomain gene
cut is required for the mitotic cycle/endocycle switch and cell differentiation in
Drosophila follicle cells. Development 132**:** 4299-4308.
Sun, J., and W. M. Deng, 2007 Hindsight mediates the role of notch in suppressing hedgehog
signaling and cell proliferation. Dev Cell 12**:** 431-442.
Sun, J., L. Smith, A. Armento and W. M. Deng, 2008 Regulation of the endocycle/gene
amplification switch by Notch and ecdysone signaling. J Cell Biol 182**:** 885-896.
Tamori, Y., and W. M. Deng, 2013 Tissue repair through cell competition and compensatory
cellular hypertrophy in postmitotic epithelia. Dev Cell 25**:** 350-363.
Tepass, U., E. Gruszynski-DeFeo, T. A. Haag, L. Omatyar, T. Torok *et al.*, 1996 shotgun
encodes Drosophila E-cadherin and is preferentially required during cell rearrangement
in the neurectoderm and other morphogenetically active epithelia. Genes Dev 10**:** 672-
685.
Thomas, B. J., D. A. Gunning, J. Cho and L. Zipursky, 1994 Cell cycle progression in the
developing Drosophila eye: roughex encodes a novel protein required for the
establishment of G1. Cell 77**:** 1003-1014.
Uemura, T., H. Oda, R. Kraut, S. Hayashi, Y. Kotaoka *et al.*, 1996 Zygotic Drosophila E-
cadherin expression is required for processes of dynamic epithelial cell rearrangement
in the Drosophila embryo. Genes Dev 10**:** 659-671.
Vachias, C., C. Fritsch, P. Pouchin, O. Bardot and V. Mirouse, 2014 Tight coordination of
growth and differentiation between germline and soma provides robustness for
drosophila egg development. Cell Rep 9**:** 531-541.

Vidwans, S. J., P. J. DiGregorio, A. W. Shermoen, B. Foat, J. Iwasa *et al.*, 2002 Sister
chromatids fail to separate during an induced endoreplication cycle in Drosophila
embryos. Curr Biol 12**:** 829-833.
Wang, X., M. Zheng, F. Fei, C. Li, J. Du *et al.*, 2019 EMT-related protein expression in
polyploid giant cancer cells and their daughter cells with different passages after
triptolide treatment. Med Oncol 36**:** 82.
Weaver, L. N., T. Ma and D. Drummond-Barbosa, 2020 Analysis of Gal4 Expression Patterns
in Adult Drosophila Females. G3 (Bethesda) 10**:** 4147-4158.
Woods, D. F., C. Hough, D. Peel, G. Callaini and P. J. Bryant, 1996 Dlg protein is required for
junction structure, cell polarity, and proliferation control in Drosophila epithelia. J Cell
Biol 134**:** 1469-1482.
Zheng, Y., and D. Pan, 2019 The Hippo Signaling Pathway in Development and Disease. Dev
Cell 50**:** 264-282.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Premature endocycling disrupts early-stage follicular epithelial structure. (A)

Drawing of an ovariole with select stages of oogenesis and follicle cell cycle transitions

indicated below. Follicle cells are pink. (B-C″) DAPI staining and immunolabeling against E-

cadherin in control (B-B″) or Rux-expressing (C-C″) early-stage egg chambers after two days

of incubation at 29°C. Stages (S) of oogenesis and germarium (G) are indicated. Scale bar =

15 μm. (D-E″) DAPI staining and immunolabeling against E-cadherin in cross-section images

through the follicle cell epithelium on the surface of control (D-D″) or Rux-expressing (E-E″)

stage 4 / 5 egg chambers after two days of incubation at 29°C. (F) Quantification of DNA

Content (C) from control and Rux-expressing stage 4 / 5 egg chambers after two days of

incubation at 29°C; ****$p < 0.0001$; n = 25 nuclei for control and n = 15 nuclei for *UAS-Rux*

across three biological replicates. (G) Quantification of cell diameter from control and Rux-

expressing stage 4 / 5 egg chambers after two days of incubation at 29°C. ****$p < 0.0001$; n =

49 cells for control and n = 28 cells for *UAS-Rux* across three biological replicates.

Figure 2: Premature endocycling increases follicle cell polyploidy and disrupts the

endocycle-amplification transition. (A) An experimental timeline of the temperature shift

experiments in relationship to the timing of egg chamber maturation. Select stages of

oogenesis, follicle cell cycle transitions, and their approximate duration during oogenesis are

indicated below. Follicle cells are pink. (B-C′) DAPI staining and immunolabeling against E-

cadherin in control (B, B′) and Rux-expressing stage 10B egg chambers (C, C′); scale bar = 30

μm. (D) Quantification of DNA Content in control and Rux-expressing stage 10B egg

chambers.; ****$p < 0.0001$; n = 85 nuclei in control and n = 104 nuclei in *UAS-Rux* across four

biological replicates. (E) Quantification of number of follicle cells in control and Rux-expressing

stage 10B egg chambers; ****$p < 0.0001$; n = 12 egg chambers in both across four biological

replicates. (F-I′) DAPI staining and EdU labeling of DNA replication in control (F, H, H′) and

Rux-expressing (G, I, I′) stage 10B egg chambers after one (F, G) or two (H-I′) days of

incubation at 29°C; scale bar = 25 μm (F, G, H, I) or 10 μm (H′, I′). (J) Quantification of percent

stage 10B egg chambers that had follicle cells with pan-nuclear EdU labeling in control and

Rux-expressing ovaries after 2 days of Rux expression. ***$p = 0.0003$; n = 26 egg chambers

for control and n = 19 egg chambers for *UAS-Rux* across three biological replicates.

Figure 3: Premature endocycling inhibits border cell migration. (A-B′) DAPI staining and
immunolabeling against E-cadherin in control (A, A′) and Rux-expressing (B, B′) egg chambers
after two days of incubation at 29°C; scale bar = 30 μm (A, B) or 15 μm (A′, B′). White arrows
indicate border cell clusters. White lines indicate extent of migration of border cell clusters, and
red lines indicate extent of migration of main body cells. (C) Quantification of border cell
migration at different times of stage 9 from control and Rux-expressing females after two days
of incubation at 29°C across five biological replicates. Each dot represents one egg chamber
with the distance migrated by its main body and border cells plotted on the x- and y-axis,
respectively. (D-E″) Expression of a JAK-STAT transcriptional reporter, *10XStatDsRed*, in
control ovaries (D-D″) and ovaries expressing *UAS-Rux* (E-E″) after two days of incubation at
29°C. White arrows indicate anterior follicle cells and migrating border cell clusters in controls
while red arrows indicate anterior follicle cells and delayed border cell clusters in Rux-
expressing egg chambers. Scale bar = 50 μm. (F-G‴) High magnification images of control (F-
F‴) or Rux-expressing (G-G‴) border cell clusters after two days of incubation at 29°C.
Clusters are visualized with DAPI staining and immunolabeling against FasIII and E-cadherin;
scale bar = 10 μm. (H) Quantification of border cell number vs. border cell percent migration
relative to main body cells in control and Rux-expressing ovaries. Each dot represents one egg
chamber.

Figure 4: Premature endocycling of the follicular epithelium compromises germline
growth. (A-D) Differential interference contrast images of control (A, C) and Rux-expressing
(B, D) stage 10B egg chambers after one (A, B) or two (C, D) days of incubation at 29°C. The
arrows indicate the posterior of egg chambers with the growing oocyte. scale bar = 100 μm.
(E) Quantification of oocyte area in control and Rux-expressing stage 10 egg chambers.; *p <
0.05; for day one, n = 13 oocytes for control and n = 15 oocytes for *UAS-Rux* across three
biological replicates; for day 2, n = 20 oocytes for control and n = 24 oocytes for *UAS-Rux*
across five biological replicates. (F) Quantification of nurse cell compartment length; **p =
0.0021, ***p = 0.0002, ****p < 0.0001. For day 1, n = 20 egg chambers for control and UAS-
Rux across three biological replicates; for day 2, n = 27 egg chambers for control and n = 30
egg chambers for *UAS-Rux* across five biological replicates. (G) Quantification of Stage 10
nurse cell ploidy. For control, n = 53 nurse cell nuclei; for Rux, n = 59 nurse cell nuclei. (H-K)

DAPI staining of nuclei and Nile Red staining of lipid droplets in Stage 10B (H, I) or Stage 13

(J, K) egg chambers. Scale bar = 50 µm (H, I) or 100 µm (J, K).

Figure 5: Premature endocycling disrupts eggshell synthesis and causes embryo

lethality. (A-D) Brightfield images of eggs laid by control (A, B) and Rux-expressing females

(C, D) after two days of incubation at 29°C; scale bar = 200 µm. Neutral red labeling is shown

in grayscale (B, D). Quantification of percent of eggs with thin shells (E) and hatch rate (F)

across three biological replicates.

Figure 6: Premature endocycling causes pleiotropic defects in oogenesis. Premature

entry of follicle cells (pink) into the endocycle causes aberrant cell and epithelial structure in

early stages of oogenesis. These egg chambers with premature endocycles develop into later

stages of oogenesis and have multiple defects including failure of border cell migration,

disrupted endocycle to amplification transition, and non-autonomous effects on germline

growth. The delay in these later defects after temperature shift indicate that they are the result

a premature switch to endocycles in earlier.

Figure 2

FIGURE 4

2 Days at 29°C