Dynamic localization of the chromosomal passenger complex is controlled by

the orphan kinesin KIN-A in the kinetoplastid parasite _Trypanosoma brucei_

Daniel Ballmer[1] and Bungo Akiyoshi[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QU, United

Kingdom

[2]The Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology, Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences,

Edinburgh, EH9 3BF, United Kingdom

[*]Correspondence to bungo.akiyoshi@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

The chromosomal passenger complex (CPC) is an important regulator of cell division, which shows

dynamic subcellular localization throughout mitosis, including kinetochores and the spindle midzone.

In traditional model eukaryotes such as yeasts and humans, the CPC consists of the catalytic subunit

Aurora B kinase, its activator INCENP, and the localization module proteins Borealin and Survivin.

Intriguingly, Aurora B and INCENP as well as their localization pattern are conserved in kinetoplastids,

an evolutionarily divergent group of eukaryotes that possess a unique set of kinetochore proteins and

lack homologs of Borealin or Survivin. It is not understood how the kinetoplastid CPC assembles or

how it is targeted to its subcellular destinations during the cell cycle. Here, we identify two orphan

kinesins, KIN-A and KIN-B, as bona fide CPC proteins in _Trypanosoma brucei_, the causative agent of

African sleeping sickness. By employing biochemical, structural, and cell biological approaches, we

demonstrate that KIN-A and KIN-B serve as the scaffold for the assembly of the remaining CPC

subunits. Kinetochore localization of the CPC depends on the KKT7 – KKT8 complex pathway, with the

C-terminal unstructured tail of KIN-A serving as a key interaction partner for the KKT8 complex. Our

data therefore show that, unlike other eukaryotes that take advantage of histone modifications for

centromere recruitment, trypanosomes rely on kinetochore proteins to recruit the CPC onto

kinetochores. Furthermore, the kinesin motor activity of KIN-A promotes chromosome alignment in

prometaphase and CPC translocation to the central spindle upon anaphase onset. Thus, KIN-A

constitutes a unique 'two-in-one' CPC localization module, directing the CPC to kinetochores (from S

phase until metaphase) via its C-terminal tail, and to the central spindle (in anaphase) via its N-terminal

kinesin motor domain. Our findings highlight the evolutionary diversity of CPC proteins and raise the

possibility that kinesins may have served as the original transport vehicles for Aurora B kinases in early

eukaryotes.

Introduction

During cell division, duplicated genetic material must be distributed equally into two daughter cells. The Aurora B kinase is a key mitotic regulator widely conserved among eukaryotes (Hochegger et al., 2012). It undergoes dynamic localization changes throughout mitosis to enable the spatially restricted phosphorylation of substrates involved in chromosome alignment, chromosome bi-orientation, spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) signalling and cytokinesis (Carmena et al., 2012). In early mitosis, Aurora B is first detected on chromosome arms and during prometaphase becomes enriched at centromeres, where it destabilizes incorrect kinetochore-microtubule attachments (Krenn and Musacchio, 2015). Upon anaphase onset, Aurora B translocates to the spindle midzone, and during cytokinesis associates with the equatorial cortex to regulate cell abscission (Adams et al., 2000; Cooke et al., 1987; Trivedi and Stukenberg, 2016).

The dynamic localization pattern of the Aurora B kinase is in part achieved through its association with a scaffold comprised of inner centromere protein (INCENP), Borealin and Survivin (Adams et al., 2001, 2000; Gassmann et al., 2004; Romano et al., 2003; Sampath et al., 2004; Vader et al., 2006; Wheatley et al., 2001). Together, these proteins form a tetrameric complex referred to as the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC). The CPC can be partitioned into two functional modules: The 'catalytic module' and the 'localization module'. The catalytic module is composed of Aurora B in complex with the IN-box at the INCENP C-terminus, which is required for full activation of the Aurora B kinase (Bishop and Schumacher, 2002). The localization module comprises Borealin and Survivin, which form a three-helical bundle with the N-terminal region of INCENP (Jeyaprakash et al., 2007, 2011; Klein et al., 2006). In vertebrates, recruitment of the CPC to centromeric chromatin depends on two pathways, involving the Haspin and Bub1 kinases. Haspin phosphorylates histone H3 on Thr3 (H3T3ph), which is recognized by the baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) domain of Survivin (Kelly et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Yamagishi et al., 2010). H3T3ph is initially found along the entire length of chromosomes between sister chromatids but becomes enriched at the inner centromere (the space between sister kinetochores) during late prophase. In contrast, the kinetochore-associated Bub1 kinase phosphorylates histone H2A on Thr120 (H3AT120ph) (Kawashima et al., 2010). H2AT120ph recruits Shugoshin-like proteins (Sgo1 and Sgo2), which in turn are bound by Borealin (Tsukahara et al., 2010; Yamagishi et al., 2010). Recently, Sgo1 has also been demonstrated to interact with the BIR domain of Survivin through an N-terminal histone H3-like motif (Abad et al., 2022; Jeyaprakash et al., 2011). The interactions of Borealin and Survivin with Sgo1 form the basis for a kinetochore-proximal pool of the CPC which is distinct from the inner centromere pool (Broad et al., 2020; Hadders et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020).

In most studied eukaryotes, ranging from yeast to humans, kinetochore assembly is scaffolded
by a centromere-specific histone H3 variant, CENP-A (Allshire and Karpen, 2008; Black and Cleveland,
2011; Hori and Fukagawa, 2012; Maddox et al., 2012; Westhorpe and Straight, 2013). An assembly of
inner kinetochore protein complexes, referred to as the constitutive centromere-associated network
(CCAN), interacts with centromeric CENP-A chromatin throughout the cell cycle and provides a
platform for recruitment of the outer kinetochore KNL1/Mis12 complex/Ndc80 complex (KMN)
network that has microtubule-binding activity (Cheeseman et al., 2006; Foltz et al., 2006; Izuta et al.,
2006; Okada et al., 2006). Some of these kinetochore proteins are present in nearly all sequenced
eukaryotes, suggesting that key principles of chromosome segregation are widely shared among
eukaryotes (Drinnenberg and Akiyoshi, 2017; Je Van Hooff et al., 2017; Meraldi et al., 2006; Tromer et
al., 2019). However, a unique set of kinetochore proteins (KKT1–20, KKT22–25, KKIP1–12) are present
in the evolutionarily divergent lineage called kinetoplastids (Akiyoshi, 2020; Akiyoshi and Gull, 2014;
D'Archivio and Wickstead, 2017; Nerusheva et al., 2019; Nerusheva and Akiyoshi, 2016).
Kinetoplastids are flagellated protists that are highly divergent from commonly studied eukaryotes
(Cavalier-Smith, 2010). *Trypanosoma brucei*, *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Leishmania* spp. are causative
agents of African trypanosomiasis, Chagas disease and leishmaniasis, respectively, and as such pose a
serious threat to public health and prosperity across the tropics and subtropics (Stuart et al., 2008;
WHO, 2017).

Despite the absence of canonical kinetochore components (Berriman et al., 2005; Lowell and
Cross, 2004), Aurora kinases are conserved in kinetoplastids. Early studies suggested that the Aurora
B homolog (Aurora B^{AUK1}) in *T. brucei* forms a complex with c̲hromosomal p̲assenger c̲omplex proteins
1 and 2 (CPC1 and CPC2) and plays a crucial role in mitosis and cytokinesis (Li et al., 2008; Tu et al.,
2006). CPC1 was later found to be a divergent INCENP homolog (hereafter referred to as INCENP^{CPC1})
based on the presence of a conserved C-terminal IN-box (Hu et al., 2014). However, INCENP^{CPC1} lacks
the central SAH domain and N-terminal residues, which in other eukaryotes interact with Survivin and
Borealin. Although homologs of the 'localization module' proteins Survivin and Borealin have not been
identified in kinetoplastids (Komaki et al., 2022), the trypanosome CPC displays a dynamic localization
pattern similar to that of the metazoan CPC (Li et al., 2008): Aurora B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1} and CPC2 localize
to kinetochores in early mitosis and then translocate to the central spindle upon anaphase onset. From
late anaphase onwards, an additional population of CPC proteins is detectable at the tip of the new
flagellum attachment zone (FAZ), the point of cytokinesis initiation in *T. brucei*. It is presently not
understood how the CPC assembles in these evolutionarily divergent eukaryotes nor how its
localization dynamics are regulated during the cell cycle.

Here, by combining biochemical, structural and cell biological approaches, we show that the

trypanosome CPC is a pentameric complex comprising Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1], CPC2 and the two

orphan kinesins KIN-A and KIN-B. KIN-A and KIN-B interact via their coiled-coil domains to form a

subcomplex within the CPC, which serves as a scaffold for assembly of the catalytic module (Aurora

B[AUK1] + INCENP[CPC1]). The C-terminal unstructured tail of KIN-A directs kinetochore localization of the

CPC from S phase to metaphase, while the N-terminal motor promotes the central spindle enrichment

in anaphase. Furthermore, we identify the KKT7 – KKT8 complex pathway as the main kinetochore

recruitment arm of the trypanosome CPC.

Results

KIN-A and KIN-B are bona fide CPC proteins in trypanosomes

To identify additional interactors of the CPC in trypanosomes, we performed immunoprecipitation

followed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (IP-MS) of endogenously YFP-tagged

Aurora B[AUK1] (Fig. 1A and Table S2). Besides Aurora[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2, we observed notable

enrichment of two orphan kinesins, KIN-A and KIN-B (Wickstead and Gull, 2006), as reported

previously (Li et al., 2008). Both KIN-A and KIN-B were also highly enriched in immunoprecipitates of

ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-INCENP[CPC1]. Furthermore, IP-MS against GFP-NLS-KIN-A and GFP-NLS-

KIN-B identified Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 as top hits (Fig. S1A and Table S2).

We next assessed the localization dynamics of fluorescently tagged KIN-A and KIN-B over the

course of the cell cycle (Figs. 1, B-E). Unexpectedly, both KIN-A-YFP and GFP-KIN-B exhibited a CPC-

like localization pattern similar to that of Aurora B[AUK1]: Both kinesins localized to kinetochores from S

phase to metaphase, and then translocated to the central spindle in anaphase (Figs. 1, C-E). Moreover,

similarly to Aurora B[AUK1], a population of KIN-A and KIN-B localized at the new FAZ tip from late

anaphase onwards (Figs. S1, B and C). These data show that KIN-A and KIN-B are bona fide CPC proteins

in trypanosomes, associating with Aurora[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 throughout the cell cycle.

A bioinformatic search for homologs of CPC proteins within Euglenozoa revealed that both

KIN-A and KIN-B are present in trypanosomatids and bodonids, with KIN-A homologs detectable even

in prokinetoplastids (Fig. S1D) (Materials and Methods). CPC2, on the other hand, was detectable only

within trypanosomatids. Aurora B[AUK1] and INCENP[CPC1] are present in kinetoplastids as well as in

diplonemids and euglenids (sister groups of kinetoplastids). Interestingly, homologs of Borealin,

Survivin, KIN-A, or KIN-B were not detectable in diplonemids or euglenids, raising a possibility that

these organisms may also possess 'non-canonical' CPC proteins. We conclude that the KIN-A and KIN-

B kinesins are highly conserved within kinetoplastids, constituting integral components of the CPC in

this evolutionary divergent group of eukaryotes.

KIN-A promotes kinetochore localization of the CPC

To investigate which subunits of the CPC are responsible for its kinetochore targeting, we performed

a series of RNAi experiments (Figs. 2, A-E). As previously reported (Li et al., 2008), depletion of Aurora

B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1}, KIN-A or KIN-B resulted in a prominent growth defect (Fig. S2A) with cells arresting

in G2/M (2K1N) (Fig. S2B). Depleting CPC2 using two different hairpin RNAi constructs (Materials and

Methods) had only a moderate impact on cell growth and cell cycle progression, and did not noticeably

affect the kinetochore localization of the other CPC subunits (Figs. S2, A-D). For this reason together

with to its limited conservation among kinetoplastids (Fig. S1D), we did not include CPC2 for further

analysis in this study. Knockdown of Aurora B^{AUK1} did not affect the kinetochore localization of YFP-

tagged INCENP^{CPC1}, KIN-A or KIN-B (Figs. 2, A and C-E). Knockdown of INCENP^{CPC1} caused delocalization

of Aurora B^{AUK1} but not of KIN-A or KIN-B (Figs. 2, A and B, D and E). In contrast, both Aurora B^{AUK1} and

INCENP^{CPC1} were delocalized upon depletion of KIN-A or KIN-B (Figs. 2, A-C). RNAi against KIN-A

affected KIN-B levels and vice versa (Figs. 2, A, D and E), indicating that KIN-A and KIN-B are not stable

in the absence of KIN-B or KIN-A, respectively.

We next ectopically expressed GFP-tagged truncations of KIN-A and KIN-B to assess which

domains promote their kinetochore targeting. Both KIN-A and KIN-B contain an N-terminal kinesin

motor domain followed by several predicted coiled-coil motifs (Li et al., 2008), although KIN-B is

predicted to be an inactive motor (Wickstead and Gull, 2006). In addition, KIN-A has a long C-terminal

tail. Unlike full-length KIN-B, KIN-B$^{2-316}$ (inactive motor domain) failed to enrich at kinetochores and

was instead found in the nucleolus (Fig. S2, E and F). KIN-A$^{2-309}$ (motor domain) was also primarily

detected in the nucleolus, although we observed additional spindle and weak kinetochore-like signal

in some metaphase cells (Fig. 2G). By contrast, both KIN-A$^{310-862}$ (coiled-coil domain + C-terminal

disordered tail) and KIN-B$^{317-624}$ (coiled-coil domain) clearly localized to kinetochores from S phase to

metaphase (Fig. 2H; Fig. S2G). Intriguingly, unlike endogenously YFP-tagged KIN-A, ectopically

expressed GFP-NLS fusions of both full-length KIN-A and KIN-A$^{310-862}$ were detectable at kinetochores

even in anaphase (Figs. 2, F and H), implying that KIN-A is primarily responsible for kinetochore

targeting of the CPC. GFP-NLS fusions of the central coiled-coil domain or the C-terminal disordered

tail of KIN-A did not localize to kinetochores (data not shown). These results show that kinetochore

localization of the CPC is mainly mediated through KIN-A and requires both the central coiled-coil

domain as well as the C-terminal disordered tail.

Structural model of the trypanosome CPC

To gain structural insights into the trypanosome CPC, we performed bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate (BS[3]) cross-linking of native CPC complexes isolated by immunoprecipitation of endogenously tagged YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] from cells arrested prior to anaphase, followed by mass spectrometry analysis (IP-CLMS) (Fig. 3A and Table S2). Our IP-CLMS data revealed high-score crosslinks between the predicted coiled-coil domains of KIN-A and KIN-B, suggesting that the two kinesins form a parallel heterodimer. As expected, Aurora B[AUK1] formed contacts with the C-terminal IN-box of INCENP[CPC1], consistent with these two proteins constituting the catalytic module of the CPC. The N-terminal region of INCENP[CPC1] interacted mainly with KIN-B and to a lesser degree with KIN-A, with most contacts confined to the N-terminal ends of the predicted coiled-coil domains of the kinesins close to their motor domains. The N-terminus of CPC2, on the other hand, formed crosslinks with the coiled-coil domain of KIN-A. In summary, our crosslinking data on native CPCs suggest that Aurora B[AUK1] forms a subcomplex with the C-terminus of INCENP[CPC1], and that INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 interact with the coiled-coil domain of KIN-A:KIN-B via their N-terminal domains.

We next used AlphaFold2 (AF2) (Jumper et al., 2021; Mirdita et al., 2022) to predict the overall structure of the trypanosome CPC by testing combinations of full-length Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1], CPC2, KIN-A and KIN-B, and truncations thereof (Figs. S3, A-D). In line with our IP-CLMS data, AF2 confidently predicted parallel coiled coils between KIN-A and KIN-B, with the main region of interaction contained within the central region of KIN-A (residues ~310–550) and the C-terminal region of KIN-B (residues ~320–580). The C-terminal tail (residues ~550–862) of KIN-A is predicted to be intrinsically disordered (pLDDT scores <20, Fig. S3, A and B). Consistent with our crosslinking data, the first ~55 residues of INCENP[CPC1], predicted to form two α-helices (residues ~7–21 and ~37–55), interact with the coiled coils of KIN-A:KIN-B in close proximity to the kinesin motors. A flexible central linker in INCENP[CPC1] bridges the N-terminus of INCENP[CPC1] and the catalytic module of the CPC (Aurora B[AUK1] + INCENP[CPC1] IN-box). Similarly to INCENP[CPC1], an N-terminal α-helical region in CPC2 (residues ~19–75) interacts with the KIN-A:KIN-B coiled coils immediately downstream of the INCENP[CPC1] binding site. Consistent with these predictions, INCENP[CPC1 2-147] and CPC2[2-120] displayed normal localization dynamics indistinguishable from the corresponding full-length constructs (Figs. S3, E-F and H-I). In contrast, GFP-NLS fusions of INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 lacking their N-terminal domains failed to localize to kinetochores (Figs. S3, G and J).

We then used PyXLinkViewer (Schiffrin et al., 2020) to map our IP-CLMS data onto the assembled AF2 model of the trypanosome CPC (Fig. 3B). Using a Euclidian distance cut-off of 30 Å, ~80% of crosslinks were compatible with the model, providing confidence in the AF2 predictions. The few crosslinks that violated the distance constraints mainly represent intra-protein contacts between

the INCENP[CPC1] N- and C-terminal domains or inter-protein contacts between the INCENP[CPC1] C-terminal domain and the kinesin motor domain of KIN-A. The central domain of INCENP[CPC1] is predicted to be unstructured (pLDDT scores <20, Figs. S3, B-D) and may act as a flexible linker, permitting multiple orientations of the catalytic module relative to the KIN-A:KIN-B scaffold. Taken together, these data indicate that KIN-A and KIN-B interact via their coiled-coil domains, which serve as a scaffold for the assembly of the remaining CPC subunits.

The CPC is recruited to kinetochores through the KKT7 – KKT8 complex pathway

Core components of the Haspin-H3T3ph and Bub1-H2AT120ph-Sgo1 pathways that control CPC recruitment to the centromere in other model eukaryotes are not found in kinetoplastids (Berriman, 2005), and so far, no centromere-specific histone modifications and/or histone variants have been uncovered in *T. brucei*. We reasoned that the centromere receptor(s) of the trypanosome CPC may lie within the repertoire of unconventional kinetochore proteins present in kinetoplastids. Our IP-CLMS approach failed to detect crosslinks between CPC subunits and other kinetochore components (Table S2), possibly due to the transient nature of these interactions. Nevertheless, several KKT proteins were commonly enriched in the immunoprecipitates of Aurora B[AUK1], CPC1, KIN-A and KIN-B, the most abundant ones being KKT6, KKT7, KKT8, KKT9, KKT10, KKT11 and KKT12 (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1A and Table S2). KKT7 is detected at kinetochores from S phase until the end of anaphase and recruits the KKT8 complex (comprising KKT8, KKT9, KKT11 and KKT12) (Akiyoshi and Gull, 2014; Ishii and Akiyoshi, 2020). The KKT8 complex localizes at kinetochores from S phase and dissociates at the metaphase-anaphase transition.

Using previously validated RNAi constructs (Akiyoshi and Gull, 2014; Llauró et al., 2018; Marcianò et al., 2021), we found that knockdown of KKT7 or KKT9 resulted in dispersal of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] from kinetochores in ~70% of cells (Figs. 4, A-D). In contrast, depletion of KKT1, KKT2, KKT3, KKT4, KKT6, KKT10/19, KKT14 and KKT16 had little or no effect on YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] localization (Figs. S4, A and B). KIN-A-YFP was also lost from kinetochores upon RNAi-mediated depletion of KKT8 complex subunits (Figs. S4, C and D). We next tested whether KKT7 or the KKT8 complex were able to recruit Aurora B[AUK1] to an ectopic locus using the LacI-LacO system (Landeira and Navarro, 2007). For these experiments, we expressed GFP-NLS tagged KKT7[2-261] or KKT8 fused to the Lac repressor (LacI) in trypanosomes containing an ectopic Lac operator (LacO) array stably integrated into rDNA repeats. Consistent with the finding that KKT7 lies upstream of the KKT8 complex (Ishii and Akiyoshi, 2020), GFP-NLS-KKT7[2-261]-LacI recruited tdTomato-KKT8, -KKT9 and -KKT12 (Fig. S4E). Expression of both GFP-NLS-KKT7[2-261]-LacI and GFP-NLS-KKT8-LacI resulted in robust recruitment of tdTomato-Aurora B[AUK1] to LacO foci in S phase (Figs. 4, E and F). Intriguingly, we also noticed that, unlike endogenous KKT8 (which

is not present in anaphase), ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KKT8-LacI remained at kinetochores during

anaphase (Fig. 4F). This resulted in a fraction of tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1} being trapped at kinetochores

during anaphase instead of migrating to the central spindle (Fig. 4F). We observed a comparable

situation upon ectopic expression of GFP-NLS-KIN-A, which is retained on anaphase kinetochores

together with tdTomato-KKT8 (Fig. S4F). In contrast, Aurora B^{AUK1} was not recruited to LacO foci

marked by GFP-NLS-KKT7$^{2-261}$-LacI in anaphase (Fig. 4E). These data suggest that the KKT8 complex

rather than KKT7 is the main kinetochore receptor of the CPC.

KKT7 recruits the KKT8 complex via the KKT9:KKT11 subcomplex

To gain further insight into the structure and assembly hierarchy within the KKT7 − KKT8 complex

pathway, we performed CLMS on native complexes isolated by immunoprecipitation of endogenously

YFP-tagged kinetochore proteins (Fig. 4G, Table S2) (Materials & Methods). We detected extensive

contacts between KKT8 and KKT12 as well as between KKT9 and KKT11, suggesting that KKT8:KKT12

and KKT9:KKT11 each form distinct subcomplexes. Additional crosslinks were detected between

KKT9:KKT11 and KKT8. To validate these findings, we co-expressed combinations of 6HIS-KKT8, KKT9,

KKT11 and KKT12 in *E. coli* and performed metal affinity chromatography (Fig. 4H). 6HIS-KKT8

efficiently pulled down KKT9, KKT11 and KKT12, as shown previously (Ishii and Akiyoshi, 2020). In the

absence of KKT9, 6HIS-KKT8 still pulled down KKT11 and KKT12. Removal of both KKT9 and KKT11 did

not impact formation of the KKT8:KKT12 subcomplex. In contrast, 6HIS-KKT8 was largely insoluble

without KKT12. Thus, KKT12 is required for formation of the full KKT8 complex. These results support

the idea that the KKT8 complex consists of KKT8:KKT12 and KKT9:KKT11 subcomplexes.

We next examined localization dependency of KKT8 complex components in cells. Using RNAi

constructs against individual subunits of the KKT8 complex (Akiyoshi and Gull, 2014; Ishii and Akiyoshi,

2020; Marcianò et al., 2021), we assessed localization of endogenously YFP-tagged KKT8, KKT9 and

KKT12 (Figs. S4, G-J). We found that knockdown of any subunit of the KKT8 complex affected protein

levels and kinetochore localization of the other subunits, indicating that presence of all subunits is

required to stabilize the full complex. YFP-KKT9 was least affected and was still detectable at

kinetochores in ~50% of cells depleted of KKT8, KKT11 or KKT12 (Fig. S4J). Thus, KKT9:KKT11 may lie

upstream of KKT8:KKT12. Indeed our IP-CLMS data suggest that the KKT9:KKT11 subcomplex directly

interacts with the N-terminus of KKT7 (Fig. 4G, Table S2). KKT7 also formed robust crosslinks with the

KKT10/19 kinases (Table S2), supporting our previous finding that KKT7 and KKT10 form a stable

complex (Ishii and Akiyoshi, 2020).

Consistent with our experimental data, AF2 predicted coiled coils between KKT8 and KKT12

and between KKT9 and KKT11 (Fig. 4I). The two subcomplexes are connected to each other through

interactions between the C-terminal end of the KKT8:KKT12 coiled coils and the C-terminus of KKT11.

Two alpha helices in KKT7[2-261] (residues ~149–181) are predicted to interact with KKT9:KKT11. Using a

distance cut-off of 30 Å, ~70% of crosslinks were compatible with the model. Of the crosslinks that

failed to meet the distance criteria, ~90% involved unstructured regions within KKT7 or the C-terminal

tail of KKT8. Collectively, our results reveal that KKT7 recruits the KKT8 complex through interaction

with the KKT9:KKT11 subcomplex (Fig. 4J). We propose that the KKT7 – KKT8 complex pathway serves

as the main CPC recruitment arm in trypanosomes.

The KIN-A C-terminal tail interacts with the KKT8 complex through a conserved domain

By IP-CLMS we failed to detect reliable crosslinks between the CPC and the KKT7 – KKT8 complex or,

in fact, any kinetochore proteins (Table S2). This suggests that the IP-CLMS approach, although well-

suited for characterizing stable protein complexes, may not be sensitive enough to detect transient or

lower affinity interactions. To overcome this, we used AF2 to probe for potential interactions between

the KKT8 and CPC (sub)complexes. AF2 did not predict interactions between the KKT8 complex and

INCENP[CPC1], CPC2 or KIN-B (data not shown). Intriguingly, AF2 predicted with high confidence

interactions between KKT9:KKT11 and a conserved region (residues ~722–741) within the KIN-A C-

terminal tail, which we termed <u>c</u>onserved <u>d</u>omain 1 (CD1) (Figs. 5, A and B). This interaction involves

a triple helix formed from KIN-A CD1, KKT9 and KKT11 in a region close to the KKT7 binding site. pLDDT

scores improved significantly for KIN-A CD1 in complex with KKT9:KKT11 (>80) compared to KIN-A CD1

alone (~20) (Figs. S3, A and B), suggesting that CD1 forms a helical structure upon binding to

KKT9:KKT11. CD1 can therefore be thought of as 'molecular recognition features' (Van Der Lee et al.,

2014), which undergo disorder-to-order transitions upon binding to their receptors.

Sequence alignment revealed the presence of a second conserved domain (residues ~816–

862) within the C-terminal tail of KIN-A, hereafter referred to as CD2 (Fig. 5B). To assess the

contributions of CD1 and CD2 for kinetochore recruitment of KIN-A in vivo, we ectopically expressed

GFP-NLS fusions of the central coiled coils and C-terminal tail of KIN-A (residues ~310–862) lacking

either CD1, CD2, or both (Figs. S5, A-D). GFP-NLS-KIN-A[310-862 ΔCD2] showed a moderate reduction in

kinetochore localization in metaphase and was completely lost from kinetochores in anaphase (Figs.

S5, B and E). By contrast, GFP-NLS-KIN-A[310-862 ΔCD1] was largely dispersed in metaphase but reappeared

at kinetochores in anaphase (Figs. S5, C and E). GFP-NLS-KIN-A[310-716] lacking both CD1 and CD2 failed

to localize at kinetochores both in metaphase and anaphase (Figs. S5, D and E). These data suggest

that CD1 and CD2 synergistically promote kinetochore localization of KIN-A, with CD1 interacting with

KKT9:KKT11 and CD2 likely interacting with another receptor at the kinetochore.

We next tested the relevance of KIN-A CD1 and CD2 for CPC localization and function by

replacing one allele of KIN-A with C-terminally tagged wild-type or mutant constructs lacking either

CD1 or CD2 and performed RNAi against the 3'UTR of KIN-A to deplete the untagged allele. We were

unable to obtain a rescue cell line lacking both CD1 and CD2 as the double-mutant protein was not

properly expressed. Wild-type KIN-A-YFP along with Aurora B^{AUK1} robustly localized to kinetochores

from S phase until anaphase onset (Figs. 1, C and D; Fig. 5C). KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$-YFP was detectable at

kinetochores in G2 but predominantly localized to the mitotic spindle from (pro)metaphase onwards

(Figs. 5, D and F), indicating that removal of CD1 severely weakens the affinity of KIN-A for

kinetochores and instead shifts the balance towards microtubule binding. Interestingly, expression of

KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$-YFP similarly affected the localization of Aurora B^{AUK1} (Figs. 5, D and G; Fig. S5F). We also

detected partial spindle localization of Aurora B^{AUK1} in a small population (~25%) of metaphase cells

expressing KIN-A$^{\Delta CD2}$-YFP (Figs. 5, E-G). Central spindle localization of KIN-A in anaphase was

unaffected by deletion of either CD1 or CD2 (Figs. 5, D and E). Remarkably, despite a substantial loss

of Aurora B^{AUK1} from kinetochores in metaphase, ΔCD1 cells exhibited normal cell cycle profiles (Fig.

S5G) and showed only a modest decrease in proliferation rates (Fig. 5H). This parallels the situation in

budding yeast, which can promote error-free chromosome segregation even when inner centromere

localization of Aurora B is largely abolished (Campbell and Desai, 2013; García-Rodríguez et al., 2019).

CPC targeting to the central spindle in anaphase depends on KIN-A motor activity

Finally, we asked how translocation of the CPC to the spindle midzone in anaphase is achieved in

trypanosomes. In mammalian cells, dephosphorylation of INCENP and the kinesin MKLP2 upon

anaphase onset allows formation of a transient CPC-MKLP2 complex (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Hümmer

and Mayer, 2009; Kitagawa et al., 2014; Serena et al., 2020). MKLP2 activity then drives plus-directed

movement of this complex along microtubules of the anaphase spindle. We therefore speculated that

anaphase translocation of the kinetoplastid CPC to the central spindle may be accomplished through

the kinesin motor domain of KIN-A. KIN-B is unlikely to be a functional kinesin based on the absence

of several well-conserved residues and motifs within the motor domain (Li et al., 2008). In line with

these possibilities, GFP-NLS fusions of the motor domain of KIN-A, but not KIN-B, localized to the

mitotic spindle (Fig. S2F). Microtubule co-sedimentation assays using recombinant proteins confirmed

that the kinesin motor domain of KIN-A but not KIN-B can bind microtubules in vitro (Fig. S6A).

Of note, ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KIN-A and -KIN-A$^{2-309}$ failed to concentrate at the

spindle midzone during anaphase (Figs. 2, F and G), suggesting that N-terminal tagging of the KIN-A

motor domain may perturb ATPase activity of the motor domain. To address whether the motor

activity of KIN-A is required for central spindle localization of the CPC, we replaced one allele of KIN-A

with a C-terminally YFP-tagged G209A ATPase-defective rigor mutant and used an RNAi construct

directed against the 3'UTR of KIN-A to deplete the untagged allele. We noticed that expression of the

rigor mutant slowed cell proliferation even in the presence of wild-type protein (Fig. 6G). The rigor

mutation did not affect recruitment of YFP-tagged KIN-A to kinetochores (Figs. S6, B and C). However,

KIN-A[G209A]-YFP marked kinetochores were misaligned in ~50% of cells arrested in metaphase,

suggesting that motor activity of KIN-A promotes chromosome congression to the metaphase plate

(Figs. S6, D-G). In anaphase, the KIN-A rigor mutant failed to concentrate at the central spindle and

instead widely decorated the mitotic spindle, with increased signal observed at spindle poles possibly

due to poleward flux (Fig. 6, A-C). Expression of the KIN-A[G209A] rigor mutant prevented Aurora B[AUK1]

from translocating to the central spindle and caused lagging chromosomes (Figs. 6, D-F). The KIN-A

rigor mutation also slowed cell proliferation and caused accumulation of cells in anaphase (Figs. 6, G

and H). We conclude that central spindle localization of the CPC depends on KIN-A motor activity and

is required for proper chromosome segregation.

Discussion

Whereas astonishing diversity in kinetochore composition is seen among eukaryotes (Hooff et al.,

2017; Komaki et al., 2022; Tromer et al., 2019), the proteins of the regulatory circuitry underlying

chromosome segregation, such as the APC/C, SAC and CPC, are more widely conserved. Homologs of

the CPC proteins Aurora B kinase and its associated partner INCENP have been detected in almost all

sequenced eukaryotes, including kinetoplastids. The dynamic localization pattern exhibited by the CPC

(e.g. transferring from centromeres to the central spindle upon metaphase-anaphase transition) is

likewise highly conserved across eukaryotes but appears to be achieved through a variety of

mechanisms. For instance, while CPC recruitment to the centromeres in higher eukaryotes is governed

by two histone phosphorylation marks (Haspin-mediated H3T3ph and Bub1-mediated H2AT120ph),

budding yeasts employ a combination of histone modifications (Bub1-mediated H2AT121ph) and

kinetochore proteins as CPC receptors. Borealin[Bir1] not only recognizes Sgo1 but also interacts with

the CBF3 complex through Ndc10 (Cho and Harrison, 2011; Yoon and Carbon, 1999). Furthermore,

INCENP[Sli15]/Aurora B[Ipl1] interact with the Ctf19 subunit of the COMA complex at kinetochores

(Fischböck-Halwachs et al., 2019). The proteins that form the localization module of the CPC in

different species appear to mirror the diversity in centromeric CPC receptors. In fact, many phyla lack

Borealin or Survivin homologs (Komaki et al., 2022). Komaki et al. recently identified two 'Survivin-
like' proteins, Borealin Related Interactor 1 and 2 (BORI1 and 2) as CPC proteins in *Arabidopsis*. Like
Survivin in other model eukaryotes, BORI1/2 engage in a triple helix bundle with INCENP and Borealin
but employ an FHA domain instead of a BIR domain to read H3T3ph (Komaki et al., 2022).

In this study, we have identified KIN-A and KIN-B as components of the CPC in trypanosomes,
and delineated a novel pathway for centromeric recruitment of the CPC in this evolutionary divergent
group of eukaryotes. In agreement with our work, an early study on the CPC in *T. brucei* found that
KIN-A and KIN-B co-purified with Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 based on a pull-down of an Aurora
B[AUK1]-PTP fusion protein followed by mass spectrometry analysis (Li et al., 2008). However, HA-tagged
KIN-A and KIN-B were not detected at kinetochores (from late interphase until metaphase) nor at the
new FAZ tip (from late anaphase), and hence were not interpreted as CPC proteins. Contrary to this
report, our data clearly show that KIN-A and KIN-B are constitutive components of the CPC in *T. brucei*.
First, YFP-tagged KIN-A and KIN-B co-localize with Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 throughout the
cell cycle. Second, KIN-A and KIN-B are readily detected within native CPC complexes isolated by
immunoprecipitation of CPC subunits from cells arrested prior to anaphase and form robust crosslinks
with the other CPC subunits. Finally, YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] and INCENP[CPC1]-YFP are crucially dependent on
KIN-A and KIN-B for localizing both to metaphase kinetochores and to the anaphase central spindle.
Thus, the KIN-A:KIN-B subcomplex constitutes the localization module of the trypanosome CPC.

Biochemical, cell biological and in silico modelling approaches indicate that the kinesins KIN-
A and KIN-B form coiled coils between their central and C-terminal domains, respectively, which then
serve as a scaffold onto which INCENP[CPC1] and CPC2 assemble via their N-terminal α-helical domains.
The catalytic module of the trypanosomes CPC, consisting of Aurora B[AUK1] bound to the C-terminal IN-
box of INCENP[CPC1], is connected to the KIN-A:KIN-B scaffold through a flexible linker in INCENP[CPC1].
While our on-beads cross-linking of native CPCs suggests that the catalytic module is positioned in
close proximity to the kinesin heads, this may not necessarily be true in vivo. For example, the catalytic
module may exist in both 'locked' and 'open' conformations with regard to its association with the
kinesin motor domains. We speculate that the interaction of the KIN-A motor domain with
microtubules from prometaphase onwards may cause the catalytic module to disengage from its
kinesin head-associated state. In analogy to the SAH domain of INCENP in other model eukaryotes
which has been proposed to function as a dog leash (Samejima et al., 2015; Santaguida and Musacchio,
2009), the INCENP[CPC1] flexible linker in trypanosomes may then permit Aurora B[AUK1] to roam across a
larger but nevertheless spatially constrained target area to phosphorylate its substrates while still
being anchored to the kinetochore via KIN-A:KIN-B. Such models dealing with alternative
conformations of the CPC in various cellular context will require further testing in the future.

We propose that multiple weak interactions of the KIN-A C-terminal unstructured tail with

kinetochore components act in synergy to stabilize the CPC at kinetochores. Critically, these

interactions need to be of transient and reversible nature to permit the dynamic release of the CPC

upon anaphase onset. Three mechanisms are likely to play a role in this context. First, removal of the

KKT8 complex (the 'CD1 receptor') at the metaphase-anaphase transition effectively eliminates one

of the key CPC-kinetochore interfaces. Secondly, the affinity of the KIN-A C-terminal tail for its binding

partners at the kinetochore may be further finetuned through reversible post-translational

modifications. Interestingly, the KIN-A C-terminal tail is stuffed full of conserved CDK1^{CRK3} sites and is

also heavily phosphorylated by Aurora B^{AUK1} in vitro (data not shown), suggesting that the binding

properties the KIN-A C-terminus may be finely regulated. Finally, the high affinity of the N-terminal

motor domain of KIN-A for microtubules, coupled to the force generating ATP hydrolysis and plus-end

directed motion, eventually outcompetes the weakened interactions of the CPC with the kinetochore

and facilitates the extraction of the CPC from chromosomes onto spindle microtubules during

anaphase. Indeed, deletion of the KIN-A motor domain or impairment of its motor activity through N-

terminal GFP-NLS tagging causes the CPC to be trapped at kinetochores in anaphase. Central spindle

localization is additionally dependent on the ATPase activity of the KIN-A motor domain as illustrated

by the KIN-A rigor mutant. The exact details of how plus-end directed motion of KIN-A is achieved

remain to be investigated. Since KIN-B does not possess a functional kinesin motor domain, it is

unlikely that the KIN-A:KIN-B heterodimer moves hand-over-hand along microtubules as do

conventional (kinesin-1 family) kinesins. Rather, the KIN-A motor domain may function as a

monomeric unit and drive processive plus-end directed motion using a mechanism similar to kinesin-

3 family kinesins (such as KIF1A). Formation of transient complexes between the CPC and kinesins or

other microtubule plus-end tracking proteins upon anaphase onset appears to be a common theme

underlying the central spindle translocation of the CPC. For instance, the CPC interacts with MKLP2 or

Bim1^{EB1} in human and yeast cells, respectively (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Zimniak et al., 2012). Thus,

deeper understanding of the CPC regulation in trypanosomes is bound to provide evolutionary insights

into fundamental principles of chromosome segregation in eukaryotes and can lead to the discovery

of druggable targets to combat kinetoplastid diseases (Saldivia et al., 2020).

Materials and Methods

Cloning

All primers, plasmids, bacmids, and synthetic DNA used in this study as well as their source or construction details are described in Supplemental Table S1. All constructs were sequence verified.

Trypanosome culture

All trypanosome cell lines used in this study were derived from *T. brucei* SmOxP927 procyclic form cells (TREU 927/4 expressing T7 RNA polymerase and the tetracycline repressor to allow inducible expression; Poon *et al.*, 2012) or from PCF1339 procyclic form cells (TREU 927/4 expressing T7 RNA polymerase, tetracycline repressor and the Cas9 nuclease, Beneke et al., 2017) and are described in Supplemental Table S1. Cells were grown at 28°C in SDM-79 medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, 7.5 µg/ml hemin (Brun and Schönenberger, 1979), and appropriate selection drugs. Cell growth was monitored using a CASY cell counter (Roche). PCR products or plasmids linearized by NotI were transfected into cells by electroporation (Biorad). Transfected cells were selected by the addition of 30 µg/ml G418 (Sigma), 25 µg/ml hygromycin (Sigma), 5 µg/ml phleomycin (Sigma), or 10 µg/ml blasticidin S (Insight biotechnology). To obtain endogenously tagged clonal strains, transfected cells were selected by the addition of appropriate drugs and cloned by dispensing dilutions into 96-well plates. Endogenous YFP tagging was performed using the pEnT5-Y vector (Kelly et al., 2007) or a PCR-based method (Dean et al., 2015). Endogenous tdTomato tagging was performed using pBA148 (Akiyoshi and Gull, 2014) and its derivatives. For doxycycline inducible expression of head-to-head (pBA3-based) and hairpin (pBA310-based) RNAi constructs, GFP-NLS (pBA310-based) and GFP-NLS-LacI fusion proteins (pBA795-based), the linearized plasmids were integrated into 177-bp repeats on minichromosomes. Expression of GFP-NLS or GFP-NLS-LacI fusions was induced by the addition of 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. RNAi was induced by the addition of 1 µg/ml doxycycline. LacO–LacI tethering experiments were carried out as described previously using the LacO array inserted at the rDNA locus (Ishii and Akiyoshi, 2020; Landeira and Navarro, 2007).

Immunoprecipitation followed by mass spectrometry (IP-MS)

For standard IPs, 400-ml cultures of asynchronously growing cells were grown to ∼5 – 10 million cells/ml. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (800 g, 10 min), washed once with PBS, and extracted in PEME (100 mM Pipes-NaOH, pH 6.9, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, and 0.1 mM EDTA) with 1% NP-40, protease inhibitors (10 µg/ml leupeptin, 10 µg/ml pepstatin, 10 µg/ml E-64, and 0.2 mM PMSF) and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 2 mM Na-β-glycerophosphate, 0.1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 5 mM NaF, and 100 nM microcystin-LR) for 5 min at RT, followed by centrifugation at 1,800 g for 15 min. Samples were kept on ice from this point on. The pelleted fraction containing kinetochore proteins was resuspended in modified buffer H (BH0.15: 25 mM Hepes, pH 8.0, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM

EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EGTA, pH 8.0, 1% NP-40, 150 mM KCl, and 15% glycerol) with protease and

phosphatase inhibitors. Samples were sonicated to solubilize kinetochore proteins (12 s, three times

with 1-min intervals on ice). 12 µg of mouse monoclonal anti-GFP antibodies (11814460001; Roche)

preconjugated with 60 µl slurry of Protein-G magnetic beads (10004D; Thermo Fisher Scientific) with

dimethyl pimelimidate (Unnikrishnan et al., 2012) were incubated with the extracts for 2.5 h with

constant rotation, followed by four washes with modified BH0.15 containing protease inhibitors,

phosphatase inhibitors and 2 mM DTT. Beads were further washed three times with pre-elution buffer

(50 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8.3, 75 mM KCl, and 1 mM EGTA). Bound proteins were eluted from the beads

by agitation in 60 µl of elution buffer (0.1% RapiGest [186001860; Waters] and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH

8.3) for 25 min at RT. Eluates were then incubated at 100°C for 5 min. Proteins were reduced with 5

mM DTT at 37°C for 30 min and alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction

was quenched by adding 10 mM DTT at 37°C for 30 min, and 100 µl of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3) was

added. Proteins were digested overnight at 37°C with 0.2 µg trypsin (Promega). Formic acid was then

added to 2% and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min to cleave RapiGest, followed by

centrifugation for 10 min. The supernatant was desalted over a C18 column and analyzed by

electrospray tandem mass spectrometry over a 60-min gradient using Q-Exactive (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) at the Advanced Proteomics Facility (University of Oxford). Peptides were identified by

searching tandem mass spectrometry spectra against the *T. brucei* protein database with MaxQuant

(version 2.0.1) with carbamidomethyl cysteine set as a fixed modification and oxidization (Met),

phosphorylation (Ser, Thr, and Tyr), and acetylation (Lys) set as variable modifications. Up to two

missed cleavages were allowed. The first peptide tolerance was set to 10 ppm. Results were filtered

to remove contaminants and reverse hits. Proteins identified with at least two peptides were

considered as significant and shown in Table S2 (protein FDR 1%).

Ex vivo cross-linking of the native CPC and kinetochore complexes (IP-CLMS)

For cross-linking IP-MS experiments, cell cultures were scaled up to 1,600 mL. Cell cultures were

treated with 10 µM MG132 for 4 h to enrich for cells in metaphase. Cell lysis and immunoprecipitation

steps were carried out as described above. After four washes with modified BH0.15 containing

protease inhibitors, phosphatase inhibitors and 2 mM DTT, beads were washed three times with 25

mM HEPES pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl. Proteins were then cross-linked on beads with 0.4 mM BS^3

(bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min at RT with agitation, followed by

three washes in 25 mM HEPES pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl and a further three washes in 0.1 M ammonium

bicarbonate. Samples were then incubated in 8 M urea dissolved in 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate for

10 min at RT with agitation. Proteins were reduced with 10 mM TCEP for 20 min and alkylated with 10

mM iodoacetamide for 40 min at RT. Proteins were then then pre-digested with 0.4 µg LysC for 2 h at

37°C. The urea concentration in the sample was brought down to < 1 M by addition of 0.1 M

ammonium bicarbonate before adding CaCl$_2$ (to 2 mM) and 0.7 µg of trypsin for overnight digestion

at 37°C. Formic acid was then added to 2% and the samples were frozen. The cross-linked samples

were further processed and analyzed at the proteomics core facility at EMBL Heidelberg. Digested

peptides were concentrated and desalted using an OASIS HLB µElution Plate (Waters) according to

manufacturer instructions. Crosslinked peptides were enriched using size exclusion chromatography

(Leitner et al., 2012). In brief, desalted peptides were reconstituted with SEC buffer (30% (v/v) ACN in

0.1% (v/v) TFA) and fractionated using a Superdex Peptide PC 3.2/30 column (GE) on a 1200 Infinity

HPLC system (Agilent) at a flow rate of 0.05 ml/min. Fractions eluting between 50-70 µl were

evaporated to dryness and reconstituted in 30 µl 4% (v/v) ACN in 1% (v/v) FA. Collected fractions were

analyzed by liquid chromatography (LC)-coupled tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) using an

UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano LC system (Dionex) fitted with a trapping cartridge (µ-Precolumn C18

PepMap 100, 5µm, 300 µm i.d. x 5 mm, 100 Å) and an analytical column (nanoEase M/Z HSS T3 column

75 µm x 250 mm C18, 1.8 µm, 100 Å, Waters). Trapping was carried out with a constant flow of

trapping solvent (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in water) at 30 µl/min onto the trapping column for 6

minutes. Subsequently, peptides were eluted and separated on the analytical column using a gradient

composed of Solvent A (3% DMSO, 0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (3% DMSO, 0.1% formic

acid in acetonitrile) with a constant flow of 0.3 µl/min. The outlet of the analytical column was coupled

directly to an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos (Thermo Scientific, SanJose) mass spectrometer using the

nanoFlex source. The peptides were introduced into the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos via a Pico-Tip Emitter

360 µm OD x 20 µm ID; 10 µm tip (CoAnn Technologies) and an applied spray voltage of 2.1 kV,

instrument was operated in positive mode. The capillary temperature was set at 275°C. Only charge

states of 4-8 were included. The dynamic exclusion was set to 30 sec. and the intensity threshold was

$5e^4$. Full mass scans were acquired for a mass range 350-1700 m/z in profile mode in the orbitrap with

resolution of 120000. The AGC target was set to Standard and the injection time mode was set to

Auto. The instrument was operated in data dependent acquisition (DDA) mode with a cycle time of 3

sec between master scans and MSMS scans were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 30000,

with a fill time of up to 100 ms and a limitation of $2e^5$ ions (AGC target). A normalized collision energy

of 32 was applied. MS2 data was acquired in profile mode. RAW MS files were searched by the pLink2

software (Chen et al., 2019), with carbamidomethyl cysteine set as a fixed and oxidization (Met) set

as variable modifications. Up to two missed cleavages were allowed. Precursor tolerance was set to

10 ppm. All the identified cross-links are shown in Table S2 (FDR 5%). Cross-links were plotted using

xiView (Graham et al., 2019). All raw mass spectrometry files and custom database files used in this

Fluorescence microscopy

Cells were washed once with PBS, settled onto glass slides, and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 5 min as described previously (Nerusheva and Akiyoshi, 2016). Cells were then permeabilized with 0.1% NP-40 in PBS for 5 min and embedded in mounting media (1% wt/vol 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane, 90% glycerol, 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0) containing 100 ng/ml DAPI. Images were captured on a Zeiss Axioimager.Z2 microscope (Zeiss) installed with ZEN using a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash4.0 camera with 63× objective lenses (1.40 NA). Typically, ~20 optical slices spaced 0.2 or 0.24 μm apart were collected. Images were analysed in ImageJ/Fiji (Schneider et al., 2012). Kinetochore localization of endogenously tagged kinetochore proteins or ectopically expressed constructs were examined manually by quantifying the number of cells that clearly had detectable kinetochore-like dots at indicated cell cycle stages. Shown images are central slices.

In silico structure and interaction predictions

Structures and interactions were predicted with AlphaFold2-Multimer-v2 (Evans et al., 2022; Jumper et al., 2021) through ColabFold version 1.3.0 using MMseqs2 (UniRef+Environmental) (Mirdita et al., 2022). All structure figures were made using PyMOL version 2.5.2 (Schrödinger, LLC). The following command was used to map pLDDT score onto the AF2 predicted structure models: spectrum b, rainbow_rev, maximum=100, minimum=50.

Protein purification from _E. coli_

Recombinant 6HIS-KKT8, KKT9, KKT11, KKT12 (pBA457) and derivatives were expressed in Rosetta 2(DE3)pLys _E. coli_ cells (Novagen). 6HIS-KIN-A$^{2-309}$ (pBA2519) and 6HIS-KIN-B$^{2-316}$ (pBA2513) were expressed in BL21(DE3) cells. Proteins were purified and eluted from TALON beads as previously described (Llauró et al., 2018). Briefly, cells were grown in 2xTY media at 37°C to an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.8, at which point protein expression was induced by 0.1 mM IPTG, and then incubated overnight at 20°C. Cells were pelleted at 3,400 g at 4°C and pellets were resuspended in P500 buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole and 10% glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitors (20 μg/ml leupeptin, 20 μg/ml pepstatin, 20 μg/ml E-64, 0.4 mM PMSF) and 1 mM TCEP, and were sonicated on ice. Lysates were treated with benzonase nuclease (500 U/1 l culture) and spun at 48,000 g at 4°C for 30 min. Supernatant was incubated with TALON beads (Takara Clontech) for 1 h at 4°C, rotating. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer and proteins were then eluted

with elution buffer (P500 buffer containing 250 mM imidazole with 1 mM TCEP). For microtubule co-sedimentation assays, 6HIS-KIN-A$^{2-309}$ and 6HIS-KIN-B$^{2-316}$ were buffer exchanged into BRB80 (80 mM Pipes-KOH, pH 6.9, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM MgCl$_2$) with 100 mM KCl using a Zeba columns (Thermo Fisher) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for -80°C storage. Polyacrylamide gels were stained with SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen).

Microtubule co-sedimentation assay

Microtubule co-sedimentation assays were performed as described previously (Ludzia et al., 2021). Briefly, taxol-stabilised microtubules were prepared by mixing 2.5 ml of 100 µM porcine tubulin (Cytoskeleton) resuspended in BRB80 with 1 mM GTP (Cytoskeleton), 1.25 µl BRB80, 0.5 µl of 40 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5 µl of 10 mM GTP, and 0.25 µl DMSO, and incubated for 20 min at 37C. 120 ml of pre-warmed BRB80 containing 12.5 µM Taxol (paclitaxel; Sigma) was added to the sample to bring the microtubule concentration to ~2 µM. 20 µl of 6HIS-KIN-A$^{2-309}$ or 6HIS-KIN-B$^{2-316}$ (at final concentration of 4 µM) in BRB80 with 100 mM KCl were mixed with 20 µl of microtubules (final, 1 µM) and incubated for 45 min at room temperature. As a control, we incubated 6HIS-KIN-A$^{2-309}$ or 6HIS-KIN-B$^{2-316}$ with BRB80 (with 12.5 µM Taxol). The samples were spun at 20,000 g at room temperature for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. To the tubes containing pelleted fractions, we added 40 µl of chilled BRB80 with 5 mM CaCl$_2$ and incubated on ice for 5 min to depolymerise microtubules. Following the incubation, samples were boiled for 5 min before SDS-PAGE. Gels were stained with SimplyBlue Safe Stain (Invitrogen). Co-sedimentation assays were performed at least twice with similar results.

Multiple sequence alignment

Protein sequences and accession numbers for Aurora B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1}, CPC2, KIN-A and KIN-B used in this study were retrieved from the TriTryp database (Aslett et al., 2010), UniProt (Bateman, 2019), or a published study (Butenko et al., 2020). Searches for homologous proteins were done using BLAST in the TriTryp database (Aslett et al., 2010) or using hmmsearch using manually prepared hmm profiles (HMMER version 3.0; Eddy, 1998). Multiple sequence alignment was performed with MAFFT (L-INS-i method, version 7) (Katoh et al., 2019) and visualised with the Clustalx colouring scheme in Jalview (version 2.10) (Waterhouse et al., 2009).

Acknowledgements

We thank Miguel Navarro (Instituto de Parasitologiá y Biomedicina López-Neyra, Consejo Superior de

Investigaciones Cientificas, Spain) for providing pMig75 and pMig96 plasmids and Midori Ishii

Kanazawa and William Carter for helping trypanosome strain construction. We thank the Micron

Advanced Bioimaging Unit and the Advanced Proteomics Facility at the University of Oxford, and the

Proteomics Core Facility at the EMBL in Heidelberg, especially Mandy Rettel and Jennifer Schwarz, for

their support. We also thank Patryk Ludzia and Midori Ishii Kanazawa for comments on our

manuscript. D. Ballmer was supported by the Berrow Foundation. B. Akiyoshi was supported by a

Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship (grant 210622/Z/18/Z).

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Author contributions: D. Ballmer performed essentially all experiments except for some LacI/LacO

tethering experiments that were performed by B. Akiyoshi. D. Ballmer wrote the manuscript. B.

Akiyoshi edited the manuscript.

Figure legends

Figure 1. KIN-A and KIN-B are bona fide CPC proteins in *T. brucei*. (A) Heatmap showing enrichment

(log2 intensity based absolute quantification (IBAQ)) of the top 30 proteins, excluding ribosomal

proteins, co-purifying with YFP-Aurora B[AUK1]. Cell line: BAP73. Immunoprecipitation was performed

using anti-GFP antibodies. See Table S2 for all proteins identified by mass spectrometry. (B) Cartoon

depicting the kinetoplast (K) / nucleus (N) configuration throughout the cell cycle in procyclic *T. brucei*,

with K* denoting an elongated kinetoplast. The kinetoplast is an organelle found uniquely in

kinetoplastids, which contains the mitochondrial DNA and replicates and segregates prior to nuclear

division. The KN configuration serves as a cell cycle marker (Siegel et al., 2008; Woodward and Gull,

1990). (C) to (E) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the dynamic localization of YFP-

Aurora B[AUK1] (C), KIN-A-YFP (D) and GFP-KIN-B (E) over the course of the cell cycle. Kinetochores are

marked with tdTomato-KKT2. DNA was stained with DAPI. Cell lines: BAP1515, BAP3066, BAP2288.

Scale bars, 2 µm.

Figure 2. Kinetochore localization of the CPC depends on KIN-A. (A) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of YFP-tagged Aurora B[AUK1], INCENP[CPC1], KIN-A and KIN-B upon RNAi-mediated knockdown of indicated CPC subunits. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 24 h (KIN-B RNAi) or 16 h (all others). Cell lines: BAP3092, BAP2552, BAP2557, BAP3093, BAP2906, BAP2900, BAP2904, BAP3094, BAP2899, BAP2893, BAP2897, BAP3095, BAP3096, BAP2560, BAP2564, BAP3097. Scale bars, 2 μm. (B) to (E) Quantification of 2K1N cells that have kinetochore-like dots of YFP-tagged Aurora B[AUK1] (B), INCENP[CPC1] (C), KIN-A (D) and KIN-B (E) upon RNAi-mediated depletion of indicated CPC components. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of at least two replicates (shown as dots). A minimum of 100 cells per replicate were quantified. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-test). (F) to (H) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KIN-A[fl] (F), -KIN-A[2-309] (G) and -KIN-A[310-862] (H). Expression of GFP-NLS fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Kinetochores are marked with tdTomato-KKT2. Arrowheads indicate KIN-A[fl] and KIN-A[310-862] signals at kinetochores. KIN-A[2-309] is found at mitotic spindle during metaphase. Cell lines: BAP2286, BAP2297, BAP2287. Scale bars, 2 μm

Figure 3. Structural model of the trypanosome CPC. (A) Circular view of the BS[3] crosslinks observed between the subunits of the trypanosome CPC, obtained from native complexes isolated by immunoprecipitation of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] (Cell line: BAP2198b). pLink2 (Chen et al., 2019) was used to obtain crosslinks from mass spectrometry data. xiView (Graham et al., 2019) was used for data visualization. Only crosslinks with a score better than E^{-4} are shown. See Table S2 for all crosslinks identified by mass spectrometry. (B) Cartoon representation of the trypanosome CPC, comprising Aurora B[AUK1] (crimson), INCENP[CPC1] (green), CPC2 (cyan), KIN-A[1-599] (magenta) and KIN-B (yellow), assembled from AlphaFold2 predictions shown in Figure S3. BS[3] crosslinks in (A) were mapped onto the model using PyXlinkViewer (blue = distance constraints satisfied, red = distance constraints violated, Cα-Cα Euclidean distance threshold = 30 Å).

Figure 4. The CPC is recruited to kinetochores via the KKT7 – KKT8 complex pathway. (A) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] upon RNAi-mediated knockdown of KKT7. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Cell line: BAP577. Scale bars, 2 μm. (B) Quantification of 2K1N cells that have kinetochore-like dots of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] upon knockdown of KKT7. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of three replicates (shown as dots). A minimum of 50 cells per replicate were quantified. *** $P \leq 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-test). (C) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] upon RNAi-

 mediated knockdown of KKT9. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Kinetochores are

marked with tdTomato-KKT2. Cell line: BAP2276. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D) Quantification of 2K1N cells

that have kinetochore-like dots of YFP-Aurora B[AUK1] upon knockdown of KKT9. All graphs depict the

means (bar) ± SD of three replicates (shown as dots). A minimum of 50 cells per replicate were

quantified. *** $P \leq 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-test). (E) and (F) Representative micrographs of cells

in S phase and anaphase showing recruitment of tdTomato-Aurora B[AUK1] to LacO foci marked by

ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KKT7[2-261]-LacI (E) or -KKT8-LacI (F). The insets show the magnification

of the boxed region. Expression of LacI fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24

h. Arrowheads in (F) indicate anaphase kinetochore localization of GFP-NLS-KKT8-LacI and tdTomato-

Aurora B[AUK1]. Anaphase kinetochore localization of tdTomato-Aurora B[AUK1] was observed in 75% of

anaphase cells expressing GFP-NLS-KKT8-LacI (n = 28). Cell lines: BAP1395, BAP2640. Scale bars, 2 μm.

Of note, LacI fusions with INCENP[CPC1], KIN-A and KIN-B constructs robustly localized to kinetochores

like their endogenous counterparts and failed to form distinct LacI foci and could therefore not be

used to assess ectopic recruitment of KKT proteins. (G) Circular view of the BS[3] crosslinks observed

among KKT7 and KKT8 complex subunits, obtained from native complexes isolated by

immunoprecipitation of YFP-tagged KKIP1 (Cell line: BAP710a). pLink2 (Chen et al., 2019) was used to

obtain crosslinks from mass spectrometry data and xiView (Graham et al., 2019) was used for data

visualization. Only crosslinks with a score better than E^{-3} are shown. See Table S2 for all crosslinks

identified by mass spectrometry. (H) Indicated combinations of 6HIS-tagged KKT8, KKT9, KKT11 and

KKT12 were co-expressed in *E. coli*, followed by metal affinity chromatography and SDS-PAGE. I) Left:

AlphaFold2 model of the KKT7 – KKT8 complex, composed of KKT7[1-261] (green), KKT8 (blue), KKT12

(pink), KKT9 (cyan) and KKT11 (orange). BS[3] crosslinks in (G) were mapped onto the model using

PyXlinkViewer (blue = distance constraints satisfied, red = distance constraints violated, Cα-Cα

Euclidean distance threshold = 30 Å). Right: Predicted Aligned Error (PAE) plot of model shown on the

left (rank_1) and predicted lDDT per position for models rank_1 to rank_5. (J) Summary of the KKT7 –

KKT8 complex – CPC assembly pathway. Solid arrows indicate direct interactions as demonstrated by

crosslinking IP-MS.

Figure 5. Two conserved domains within the C-terminal tail of KIN-A promote kinetochore

recruitment of the CPC. (A) Left: AlphaFold2 model of KKT9:KKT11 in complex with KIN-A[700-862].

Cartoon representations of the proteins are coloured according to their pLDDT values (blue = high

confidence, red = low confidence). Right: Predicted Aligned Error (PAE) plot of model (rank_1)

predicted by AlphaFold2 (blue = high confidence, red = low confidence in the relative positions of the

domains to one another). CD1 of KIN-A was predicted to interact with KKT9:KKT11 in all five

AlphaFold2 models (rank_1 to rank_5). (B) Multiple sequence alignment of KIN-A CD1 and CD2

showing conservation. (C) to (E) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of

tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1} and YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt} (C), KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1\,(717–743)}$ (D) and KIN-A$^{\Delta CD2\,(816–862)}$ (E). RNAi

was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for 24 h to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele. Cell lines:

BAP3067, BAP3128, BAP3127. Scale bars, 2 µm. (F) Stacked bar charts showing the percentage of YFP-

tagged KIN-A^{wt}, KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$ and KIN-A$^{\Delta CD2}$ on kinetochores, kinetochores + spindle and spindle only in

metaphase cells. A minimum of 50 cells per condition were quantified. (G) Stacked bar charts showing

the percentage of tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1} on kinetochores, kinetochores + spindle and spindle only in

metaphase cells upon rescue with YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt}, KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$ or KIN-A$^{\Delta CD2}$. A minimum of 50 cells

per condition were quantified. (H) Growth curves for indicated cell lines and conditions. RNAi was

induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele in the knockdown

conditions and cultures were diluted at day 2. Cell lines: BAP3067, BAP3128, BAP3127.

Figure 6. KIN-A motor activity is required for central spindle localization of the CPC in anaphase. (A)

and (B) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of tdTomato-MAP103

(spindle marker) and YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt} (A) or KIN-A^{G209A} (rigor mutant) (B). RNAi was induced with 1

µg/mL doxycycline for 24 h to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele. Cell lines: BAP3068, BAP3071. Scale

bars, 2 µm. (C) Quantification showing the percentage of anaphase cells that have YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt}

or KIN-A^{G209A} localized at the central spindle. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of three replicates

(shown as dots). A minimum of 40 cells per replicate were quantified. *** $P \le 0.001$ (two-sided,

unpaired t-test). (D) and (E) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of

tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1} and YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt} (D) or KIN-A^{G209A} (E). RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL

doxycycline for 24 h to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele. Cell lines: BAP3067, BAP3070. Scale bars, 2

µm. (C) Quantification showing the percentage of anaphase cells that have tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1}

localized at the central spindle upon rescue with YFP-tagged KIN-A^{wt} or KIN-A^{G209A}. Graphs for the KIN-

A^{G209} rescue conditions (grey) depict the means (bar) ± SD of three replicates (shown as dots). A

minimum of 30 cells per replicate were quantified. (G) Growth curves for indicated cell lines and

conditions. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele in the

knockdown conditions and cultures were diluted at day 2. Cell lines: BAP3064, BAP3065. (H) Cell cycle

profiles for the indicated cell lines and conditions. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline to

deplete the untagged KIN-A allele in the knockdown conditions and cells were fixed after 24 h. All

graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of at least two replicates. A minimum of 300 cells per replicate

were quantified. Cell lines: BAP3064, BAP3065. *** $P \le 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-test).

Figure S1. KIN-A and KIN-B are bona fide CPC proteins in *T. brucei*. (A) Clustered heatmap showing

enrichment (log2 intensity based absolute quantification (IBAQ)) of mitotic proteins co-purifying with

ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-INCENP^{CPC1}, GFP-NLS-KIN-A and GFP-NLS-KIN-B. The heatmap was

generated using the Python Seaborn library using WPGMA clustering. Cell lines: BAP2190, BAP2286,

BAP2288. See Table S2 for all proteins identified by mass spectrometry. (B) and (C) Representative

fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1} and KIN-A-YFP (B) and

YFP-KIN-B (C) in late anaphase cells. Arrows indicate the population of CPC proteins localized to the

new FAZ tip. DNA was stained with DAPI. Cell lines: BAP3067, BAP2528. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D)

Phylogenetic tree of kinetoplastids, diplonemids and euglenids along with the presence (black dots) /

absence (white dots) patterns of CPC components. The phylogenetic tree of Euglenozoa is based on

(Butenko et al., 2020).

Figure S2. Depletion of CPC proteins causes growth defects and cell cycle arrest. (A) Growth curves

upon RNAi-mediated knockdown of indicated CPC subunits. Data are presented as the mean ± SD of

at least three replicates. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline and cultures were diluted at day

2. CDS stands for coding sequence, UTR for untranslated region. Cell lines: BAP941, BAP2250,

BAP2251, BAP2252, BAP2253, BAP2557, BAP2558, BAP3076. (B) Cell cycle profiles upon knockdown

of indicated CPC subunits. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline and cells were fixed at 16h (for

Aurora B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1} and KIN-A RNAi) or 24 h (CPC2 and KIN-B RNAi). All graphs depict the means

(bar) ± SD of at least two replicates. A minimum of 150 cells per replicate were quantified. Cell lines:

BAP2552, BAP3092, BAP2554, BAP2557, BAP3093. (C) Representative fluorescence micrographs

showing the localization of YFP-tagged Aurora B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1}, KIN-A and KIN-B upon RNAi-mediated

knockdown of CPC2. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Cell lines: BAP2554,

BAP2902, BAP2895, BAP2561. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D) Quantification of 2K1N cells that have kinetochore-

like dots of YFP-tagged Aurora B^{AUK1}, INCENP^{CPC1}, KIN-A or KIN-B upon knockdown of CPC2. All graphs

depict the means (bar) ± SD of at least two replicates (shown as dots). A minimum of 90 cells per

replicate were quantified. (E) to (G) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization

of ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KIN-B^{fl} (E), -KIN-B$^{2-316}$ (F) and -KIN-B$^{317-624}$ (G). Expression of GFP-NLS

fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Kinetochores are marked with

tdTomato-KKT2. Cell lines: BAP2288, BAP2289, BAP2290. Scale bars, 2 μm.

Figure S3. AlphaFold2 models of CPC subcomplexes and localization of CPC1 and CPC2 truncations.

(A) to (D) Cartoon representations (rank_1 models) (left), PAE plots (center) and plDDT plots (right) of

AlphaFold2 predictions of indicated CPC subcomplexes. (E) to (J) Representative fluorescence

micrographs showing the localization of ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-INCENP$^{CPC1\ fl}$ (E), -INCENP$^{CPC1\ 2-}$

^{147} (F), -INCENP$^{CPC1\ 2-147}$ (G), -CPC2^{fl} (H), -CPC2$^{2-120}$ (I) and -CPC2$^{121-250}$ (J) in different cell cycle stages.

Expression of GFP-NLS fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Kinetochores

are marked with tdTomato-KKT2. Cell lines: BAP2190, BAP2191, BAP2193, BAP2194, BAP2195,

BAP2196. Scale bars, 2 µm.

Figure S4. Kinetochore localization of Aurora B^{AUK1} and KIN-A depends on the KKT7 – KKT8 complex

pathway. (A) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of YFP-Aurora B^{AUK1}

upon RNA-mediated knockdown of indicated KKT proteins. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL

doxycycline for 24 h. Cell lines: BAP3132, BAP576, BAP2551, BAP2550, BAP3133, BAP1019, BAP2549,

BAP2446. Scale bars, 2 µm. (B) Quantification of 2K1N cells that have kinetochore-like dots of YFP-

Aurora B^{AUK1} upon knockdown of indicated KKT proteins. A minimum of 40 cells per replicate were

examined. (C) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of KIN-A-YFP upon

RNA-mediated knockdown of KKT8 complex subunits. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for

24 h. Cell lines: BAP2970, BAP2968, BAP2969, BAP2971. Scale bars, 2 µm. (D) Quantification of 2K1N

cells that have kinetochore-like dots of KIN-A-YFP upon knockdown of indicated KKT8 complex

subunits. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of at least two replicates (shown as dots). A minimum

of 50 cells per replicate were quantified. (E) Representative micrographs showing recruitment of

tdTomato-tagged KKT8, KKT9 and KKT12 to LacO foci marked by ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KKT7^{2-}

^{261}-LacI. Expression of GFP-NLS fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Cell

lines: BAP871, BAP873, BAP874. Scale bars, 2 µm. (F) Representative fluorescence micrograph of an

anaphase cell showing localization of tdTomato-KKT8 and ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-KIN-A. The

insets show the magnification of the boxed region. Expression of GFP-NLS-KIN-A was induced with 10

ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Cell line: BAP3080. Scale bars, 2 µm. (G) Representative fluorescence

micrographs showing localization of YFP-tagged KKT8, KKT12 and KKT9 upon RNAi-mediated

knockdown of indicated KKT8 complex subunits. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for 24 h.

Cell lines: BAP2954, BAP2952, BAP2953, BAP2955, BAP2966, BAP2964, BAP2965, BAP2967, BAP2958,

BAP2956, BAP2957, BAP2959. Scale bars, 2 µm. (H) to (J) Quantification of 1K*1N and 2K1N cells that

have kinetochore-like dots of YFP-tagged KKT8 (H), KKT12 (I) and KKT9 (J) upon knockdown of

indicated KKT8 complex subunits. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of at least three technical

replicates (shown as dots) from one experiment. A minimum of 100 cells per replicate were quantified.

** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-test).

Figure S5. CD1 and CD2 contribute synergistically to kinetochore localization of KIN-A. (A) to (D)

Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the localization of ectopically expressed GFP-NLS-

KIN-A$^{310-862}$ (A), -KIN-A$^{310-862\ \Delta CD2}$ (B) and -KIN-B$^{310-862\ \Delta CD1}$ (C) and -KIN-A$^{310-716}$ (D). Expression of GFP-NLS

fusion proteins was induced with 10 ng/mL doxycycline for 24 h. Kinetochores are marked with

tdTomato-KKT2. Cell lines: BAP2287, BAP2948, BAP2949, BAP2947. Scale bars, 2 μm. (E)

Quantification of metaphase (left) and anaphase (right) cells that have kinetochore-like dots of

indicated GFP-NLS fusion proteins. All graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of three replicates (shown

as dots). A minimum of 150 cells per replicate were quantified. *** $P \leq 0.001$ (two-sided, unpaired t-

test). (F) Stacked bar charts showing the percentage of KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$-YFP (left) or tdTomato-Aurora B^{AUK1}

(right) on kinetochores, kinetochores + spindle and spindle in KIN-A$^{\Delta CD1}$ metaphase cells with and

without KIN-A RNAi. A minimum of 70 cells per condition were quantified. Cell line: BAP3128. (G) Cell

cycle profiles for the indicated cell lines and conditions. RNAi was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline

to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele in the knockdown conditions and cells were fixed after 24 h. All

graphs depict the means (bar) ± SD of three replicates. A minimum of 500 cells per replicate were

quantified. Cell lines: BAP3067, BAP3127, BAP3128.

Figure S6. Motor activity of KIN-A promotes kinetochore alignment at the metaphase plate. (A)

Microtubule co-sedimentation assay with 6HIS-KIN-A$^{2-309}$ (upper) and 6HIS-KIN-B$^{2-316}$ (lower). (B) and

(C) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing localization of YFP-tagged KIN-A (B) and KIN-

A^{G209A} (rigor mutant) (C) in G2 and metaphase. Kinetochores are marked with tdTomato-KKT2. Cell

lines: BAP3066, BAP3069. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D) and (E) Upper: Representative fluorescence

micrographs showing localization of YFP-tagged KIN-A (D) and KIN-A^{G209A} (rigor mutant) (E) in

metaphase. The mitotic spindle is marked with tdTomato-MAP103. Bounding boxes indicate area used

for generation of intensity profiles in FIJI/Image J. Cell lines: BAP3068, BAP3071. Scale bars, 2 μm.

Lower: Example of 1D intensity profiles and corresponding heatmaps from the example cells shown

above. (F) and (G) Kinetochore alignment profiles for YFP-tagged KIN-A (F) and KIN-A^{G209A} (G). RNAi

was induced with 1 μg/mL doxycycline for 24 h to deplete the untagged KIN-A allele and cells were

treated with 10 μM MG132 for 4 h prior to fixing to prevent anaphase entry (Hayashi and Akiyoshi,

2018). WPGMA clustering was performed using the Python Seaborn library. Cell lines: BAP3064,

BAP3065.

References

Abad MA, Gupta T, Hadders MA, Meppelink A, Wopken JP, Blackburn E, Zou J, Gireesh A,
Buzuk L, Kelly DA, McHugh T, Rappsilber J, Lens SMA, Jeyaprakash AA. 2022.
Mechanistic basis for Sgo1-mediated centromere localization and function of the CPC.
Journal of Cell Biology **221**. doi:10.1083/JCB.202108156/213318
Adams RR, Maiato H, Earnshaw WC, Carmena M. 2001. Essential Roles of Drosophila Inner
Centromere Protein (Incenp) and Aurora B in Histone H3 Phosphorylation, Metaphase
Chromosome Alignment, Kinetochore Disjunction, and Chromosome Segregation.
Journal of Cell Biology **153**:865–880. doi:10.1083/JCB.153.4.865
Adams RR, Wheatleya SP, Gouldsworthy AM, Kandels-Lewis SE, Carmena M, Smythe C,
Gerloff DL, Earnshaw WC. 2000. INCENP binds the Aurora-related kinase AIRK2 and is
required to target it to chromosomes, the central spindle and cleavage furrow. *Current*
Biology **10**. doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00673-4
Akiyoshi B. 2020. Analysis of a Mad2 homolog in Trypanosoma brucei provides possible
hints on the origin of the spindle checkpoint. *bioRxiv*.
Akiyoshi B, Gull K. 2014. Discovery of Unconventional Kinetochores in Kinetoplastids. *Cell*
156. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.049
Allshire RC, Karpen GH. 2008. Epigenetic regulation of centromeric chromatin: old dogs, new
tricks? *Nat Rev Genet* **9**. doi:10.1038/nrg2466
Aslett M, Aurrecoechea C, Berriman M, Brestelli J, Brunk BP, Carrington M, Depledge DP,
Fischer S, Gajria B, Gao X, Gardner MJ, Gingle A, Grant G, Harb OS, Heiges M, Hertz-
Fowler C, Houston R, Innamorato F, Iodice J, Kissinger JC, Kraemer E, Li W, Logan FJ,
Miller JA, Mitra S, Myler PJ, Nayak V, Pennington C, Phan I, Pinney DF, Ramasamy G,
Rogers MB, Roos DS, Ross C, Sivam D, Smith DF, Srinivasamoorthy G, Stoeckert CJ,
Subramanian S, Thibodeau R, Tivey A, Treatman C, Velarde G, Wang H. 2010.
TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae. *Nucleic Acids Res*
38. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKP851
Bateman A. 2019. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res*
47:D506–D515. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKY1049
Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. 2017. A CRISPR Cas9 high-
throughput genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. *R Soc Open Sci* **4**:1–16.
doi:10.1098/RSOS.170095
Berriman M. 2005. The Genome of the African Trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei. *Science*
(1979) **309**. doi:10.1126/science.1112642
Berriman M, Ghedin E, Hertz-Fowler C, Blandin G, Renauld H, Bartholomeu DC, Lennard NJ,
Caler E, Hamlin NE, Haas B, Böhme U, Hannick L, Aslett MA, Shallom J, Marcello L, Hou
L, Wickstead B, Alsmark UCM, Arrowsmith C, Atkin RJ, Barron AJ, Bringaud F, Brooks K,
Carrington M, Cherevach I, Chillingworth TJ, Churcher C, Clark LN, Corton CH, Cronin A,
Davies RM, Doggett J, Djikeng A, Feldblyum T, Field MC, Fraser A, Goodhead I, Hance Z,
Harper D, Harris BR, Hauser H, Hostetler J, Ivens A, Jagels K, Johnson D, Johnson J,
Jones K, Kerhornou AX, Koo H, Larke N, Landfear S, Larkin C, Leech V, Line A, Lord A,
MacLeod A, Mooney PJ, Moule S, Martin DMA, Morgan GW, Mungall K, Norbertczak H,
Ormond D, Pai G, Peacock CS, Peterson J, Quail MA, Rabbinowitsch E, Rajandream MA,
Reitter C, Salzberg SL, Sanders M, Schobel S, Sharp S, Simmonds M, Simpson AJ, Tallon
L, Turner CMR, Tait A, Tivey AR, Van Aken S, Walker D, Wanless D, Wang S, White B,
White O, Whitehead S, Woodward J, Wortman J, Adams MD, Embley TM, Gull K, Ullu E,
Barry JD, Fairlamb AH, Opperdoes F, Barrell BG, Donelson JE, Hall N, Fraser CM, Melville

SE, El-Sayed NM. 2005. The genome of the African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei.
Science **309**:416–422. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1112642

Bishop JD, Schumacher JM. 2002. Phosphorylation of the Carboxyl Terminus of Inner
Centromere Protein (INCENP) by the Aurora B Kinase Stimulates Aurora B Kinase
Activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**. doi:10.1074/jbc.C200307200

Black BE, Cleveland DW. 2011. Epigenetic Centromere Propagation and the Nature of CENP-
A Nucleosomes. *Cell* **144**. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.002

Broad AJ, DeLuca KF, DeLuca JG. 2020. Aurora B kinase is recruited to multiple discrete
kinetochore and centromere regions in human cells. *J Cell Biol* **219**.
doi:10.1083/JCB.201905144

Brun R, Schönenberger M. 1979. Cultivation and in vitro cloning or procyclic culture forms of
Trypanosoma brucei in a semi-defined medium. *Acta Trop* **36**:289–292.

Butenko A, Opperdoes FR, Flegontova O, Horák A, Hampl V, Keeling P, Gawryluk RMR,
Tikhonenkov D, Flegontov P, Lukeš J. 2020. Evolution of metabolic capabilities and
molecular features of diplonemids, kinetoplastids, and euglenids. *BMC Biology 2020*
18:1 **18**:1–28. doi:10.1186/S12915-020-0754-1

Campbell CS, Desai A. 2013. Tension sensing by Aurora B kinase is independent of survivin-
based centromere localization. *Nature 2013 497:7447* **497**:118–121.
doi:10.1038/nature12057

Carmena M, Wheelock M, Funabiki H, Earnshaw WC. 2012. The chromosomal passenger
complex (CPC): from easy rider to the godfather of mitosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**.
doi:10.1038/nrm3474

Cavalier-Smith T. 2010. Kingdoms Protozoa and Chromista and the eozoan root of the
eukaryotic tree. *Biol Lett* **6**:342–345. doi:10.1098/RSBL.2009.0948

Cheeseman IM, Chappie JS, Wilson-Kubalek EM, Desai A. 2006. The Conserved KMN
Network Constitutes the Core Microtubule-Binding Site of the Kinetochore. *Cell* **127**.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.039

Chen ZL, Meng JM, Cao Y, Yin JL, Fang RQ, Fan SB, Liu C, Zeng WF, Ding YH, Tan D, Wu L,
Zhou WJ, Chi H, Sun RX, Dong MQ, He SM. 2019. A high-speed search engine pLink 2
with systematic evaluation for proteome-scale identification of cross-linked peptides.
Nature Communications 2019 10:1 **10**:1–12. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11337-z

Cho US, Harrison SC. 2011. Ndc10 is a platform for inner kinetochore assembly in budding
yeast. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**:48–56. doi:10.1038/NSMB.2178

Cooke CA, Heck MMS, Earnshaw WC. 1987. The inner centromere protein (INCENP)
antigens: movement from inner centromere to midbody during mitosis. *J Cell Biol*
105:2053–2067. doi:10.1083/JCB.105.5.2053

D'Archivio S, Wickstead B. 2017. Trypanosome outer kinetochore proteins suggest
conservation of chromosome segregation machinery across eukaryotes. *Journal of Cell*
Biology **216**. doi:10.1083/jcb.201608043

Dean S, Sunter J, Wheeler RJ, Hodkinson I, Gluenz E, Gull K. 2015. A toolkit enabling
efficient, scalable and reproducible gene tagging in trypanosomatids. *Open Biol* **5**.
doi:10.1098/RSOB.140197

Deutsch EW, Bandeira N, Sharma V, Perez-Riverol Y, Carver JJ, Kundu DJ, García-Seisdedos D,
Jarnuczak AF, Hewapathirana S, Pullman BS, Wertz J, Sun Z, Kawano S, Okuda S,
Watanabe Y, Hermjakob H, Maclean B, Maccoss MJ, Zhu Y, Ishihama Y, Vizcaíno JA.
2020. The ProteomeXchange consortium in 2020: enabling 'big data' approaches in
proteomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**:D1145. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKZ984

Drinnenberg IA, Akiyoshi B. 2017. Evolutionary Lessons from Species with Unique
Kinetochores. *Prog Mol Subcell Biol* **56**:111–138. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-58592-
5_5/TABLES/1

Eddy SR. 1998. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* **14**:755–763.
doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/14.9.755

Evans R, O'neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R, Blackwell S,
Yim J, Ronneberger O, Bodenstein S, Zielinski M, Bridgland A, Potapenko A, Cowie A,
Tunyasuvunakool K, Jain R, Clancy E, Kohli P, Jumper J, Hassabis D. 2022. Protein
complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. doi:10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

Fischböck-Halwachs J, Singh S, Potocnjak M, Hagemann G, Solis-Mezarino V, Woike S,
Ghodgaonkar-Steger M, Weissmann F, Gallego LD, Rojas J, Andreani J, Köhler A, Herzog
F. 2019. The COMA complex interacts with Cse4 and positions Sli15/Ipl1 at the budding
yeast inner kinetochore. *Elife* **8**. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.42879

Foltz DR, Jansen LET, Black BE, Bailey AO, Yates JR, Cleveland DW. 2006. The human CENP-A
centromeric nucleosome-associated complex. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**. doi:10.1038/ncb1397

García-Rodríguez LJ, Kasciukovic T, Denninger V, Tanaka TU. 2019. Aurora B-INCENP
Localization at Centromeres/Inner Kinetochores Is Required for Chromosome Bi-
orientation in Budding Yeast. *Current Biology* **29**:1536-1544.e4.
doi:10.1016/J.CUB.2019.03.051

Gassmann R, Carvalho A, Henzing AJ, Ruchaud S, Hudson DF, Honda R, Nigg EA, Gerloff DL,
Earnshaw WC. 2004. Borealin: a novel chromosomal passenger required for stability of
the bipolar mitotic spindle. *Journal of Cell Biology* **166**. doi:10.1083/jcb.200404001

Graham M, Combe C, Kolbowski L, Rappsilber J. 2019. xiView: A common platform for the
downstream analysis of Crosslinking Mass Spectrometry data. *bioRxiv* 561829.
doi:10.1101/561829

Gruneberg U, Neef R, Honda R, Nigg EA, Barr FA. 2004. Relocation of Aurora B from
centromeres to the central spindle at the metaphase to anaphase transition requires
MKlp2. *J Cell Biol* **166**:167–172. doi:10.1083/JCB.200403084

Hadders MA, Hindriksen S, Truong MA, Mhaskar AN, Pepijn Wopken J, Vromans MJM, Lens
SMA. 2020. Untangling the contribution of Haspin and Bub1 to Aurora B function
during mitosis. *Journal of Cell Biology* **219**. doi:10.1083/JCB.201907087/133700

Hayashi H, Akiyoshi B. 2018. Degradation of cyclin B is critical for nuclear division in
Trypanosoma brucei. *Biol Open*. doi:10.1242/bio.031609

Hochegger H, Hégarat N, Pereira-Leal JB. 2012. Aurora at the pole and equator: overlapping
functions of Aurora kinases in the mitotic spindle. *Open Biol* **3**.
doi:10.1098/RSOB.120185

Hooff JJ, Tromer E, Wijk LM, Snel B, Kops GJ. 2017. Evolutionary dynamics of the
kinetochore network in eukaryotes as revealed by comparative genomics. *EMBO Rep*
18. doi:10.15252/embr.201744102

Hori T, Fukagawa T. 2012. Establishment of the vertebrate kinetochores. *Chromosome
Research* **20**. doi:10.1007/s10577-012-9289-9

Hu H, Yu Z, Liu Y, Wang T, Wei Y, Li Z. 2014. The Aurora B kinase in *Trypanosoma brucei*
undergoes post-translational modifications and is targeted to various subcellular
locations through binding to TbCPC1. *Mol Microbiol* **91**. doi:10.1111/mmi.12458

Hümmer S, Mayer TU. 2009. Cdk1 Negatively Regulates Midzone Localization of the Mitotic
Kinesin Mklp2 and the Chromosomal Passenger Complex. *Current Biology* **19**:607–612.
doi:10.1016/J.CUB.2009.02.046

Integrating Neglected Tropical Diseases into Global Health and Development: Fourth World
Health Organization Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases (WHO, 2017). 2017.
Ishii M, Akiyoshi B. 2020. Characterization of unconventional kinetochore kinases KKT10/19
in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Cell Sci*. doi:10.1242/jcs.240978
Izuta H, Ikeno M, Suzuki N, Tomonaga T, Nozaki N, Obuse C, Kisu Y, Goshima N, Nomura F,
Nomura N, Yoda K. 2006. Comprehensive analysis of the ICEN (Interphase Centromere
Complex) components enriched in the CENP-A chromatin of human cells. *Genes to Cells*
11. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2443.2006.00969.x
Je Van Hooff J, Tromer E, Van Wijk LM, Snel B, Geert &, Kops J. 2017. Evolutionary dynamics
of the kinetochore network in eukaryotes as revealed by comparative genomics. *EMBO*
Rep **18**:1559–1571. doi:10.15252/EMBR.201744102
Jeyaprakash AA, Basquin C, Jayachandran U, Conti E. 2011. Structural Basis for the
Recognition of Phosphorylated Histone H3 by the Survivin Subunit of the Chromosomal
Passenger Complex. *Structure* **19**:1625–1634. doi:10.1016/J.STR.2011.09.002
Jeyaprakash AA, Klein UR, Lindner D, Ebert J, Nigg EA, Conti E. 2007. Structure of a Survivin–
Borealin–INCENP Core Complex Reveals How Chromosomal Passengers Travel
Together. *Cell* **131**. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.045
Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates
R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-
Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M,
Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior
AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure
prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature 2021 596:7873* **596**:583–589. doi:10.1038/s41586-
021-03819-2
Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment,
interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform* **20**:1160–1166.
doi:10.1093/BIB/BBX108
Kawashima SA, Yamagishi Y, Honda T, Lshiguro KI, Watanabe Y. 2010. Phosphorylation of
H2A by Bub1 prevents chromosomal instability through localizing shugoshin. *Science*
(1979) **327**:172–177. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1180189/SUPPL_FILE/KAWASHIMA-
SOM.REVISION.1.PDF
Kelly AE, Ghenoiu C, Xue JZ, Zierhut C, Kimura H, Funabiki H. 2010. Survivin reads
phosphorylated histone H3 threonine 3 to activate the mitotic kinase Aurora B. *Science*
330:235–239. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1189505
Kelly S, Reed J, Kramer S, Ellis L, Webb H, Sunter J, Salje J, Marinsek N, Gull K, Wickstead B,
Carrington M. 2007. Functional genomics in Trypanosoma brucei: A collection of
vectors for the expression of tagged proteins from endogenous and ectopic gene loci.
Mol Biochem Parasitol **154**. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2007.03.012
Kitagawa M, Fung SYS, Hameed UFS, Goto H, Inagaki M, Lee SH. 2014. Cdk1 coordinates
timely activation of MKlp2 kinesin with relocation of the chromosome passenger
complex for cytokinesis. *Cell Rep* **7**:166–179. doi:10.1016/J.CELREP.2014.02.034
Klein UR, Nigg EA, Gruneberg U. 2006. Centromere targeting of the chromosomal passenger
complex requires a ternary subcomplex of Borealin, Survivin, and the N-terminal
domain of INCENP. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**:2547–2558. doi:10.1091/MBC.E05-12-1133
Komaki S, Tromer EC, De Jaeger G, De Winne N, Heese M, Schnittger A. 2022. Molecular
convergence by differential domain acquisition is a hallmark of chromosomal

passenger complex evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**.
doi:10.1073/PNAS.2200108119/-/DCSUPPLEMENTAL
Krenn V, Musacchio A. 2015. The Aurora B Kinase in Chromosome Bi-Orientation and
Spindle Checkpoint Signaling. *Front Oncol* **5**. doi:10.3389/FONC.2015.00225
Landeira D, Navarro M. 2007. Nuclear repositioning of the VSG promoter during
developmental silencing in Trypanosoma brucei. *Journal of Cell Biology* **176**.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200607174
Leitner A, Reischl R, Walzthoeni T, Herzog F, Bohn S, Förster F, Aebersold R. 2012. Expanding
the chemical cross-linking toolbox by the use of multiple proteases and enrichment by
size exclusion chromatography. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **11**.
doi:10.1074/MCP.M111.014126
Li Z, Lee JH, Chu F, Burlingame AL, Günzl A, Wang CC. 2008. Identification of a Novel
Chromosomal Passenger Complex and Its Unique Localization during Cytokinesis in
Trypanosoma brucei. *PLoS One* **3**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002354
Liang C, Zhang Z, Chen Q, Yan H, Zhang M, Zhou L, Xu J, Lu W, Wang F. 2020. Centromere-
localized Aurora B kinase is required for the fidelity of chromosome segregation. *J Cell
Biol* **219**. doi:10.1083/JCB.201907092
Llauró A, Hayashi H, Bailey ME, Wilson A, Ludzia P, Asbury CL, Akiyoshi B. 2018. The
kinetoplastid kinetochore protein KKT4 is an unconventional microtubule tip–coupling
protein. *Journal of Cell Biology* **217**. doi:10.1083/jcb.201711181
Lowell JE, Cross GAM. 2004. A variant histone H3 is enriched at telomeres in Trypanosoma
brucei. *J Cell Sci* **117**:5937–5947. doi:10.1242/JCS.01515
Ludzia P, Lowe ED, Marcianò G, Mohammed S, Redfield C, Akiyoshi B. 2021. Structural
characterization of KKT4, an unconventional microtubule-binding kinetochore protein.
Structure **29**:1014-1028.e8. doi:10.1016/J.STR.2021.04.004
Maddox PS, Corbett KD, Desai A. 2012. Structure, assembly and reading of centromeric
chromatin. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **22**. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2011.11.005
Marcianò G, Ishii M, Nerusheva OO, Akiyoshi B. 2021. Kinetoplastid kinetochore proteins
KKT2 and KKT3 have unique centromere localization domains. *J Cell Biol* **220**.
doi:10.1083/JCB.202101022
Meraldi P, McAinsh AD, Rheinbay E, Sorger PK. 2006. Phylogenetic and structural analysis of
centromeric DNA and kinetochore proteins. *Genome Biol* **7**:1–21. doi:10.1186/GB-
2006-7-3-R23/FIGURES/11
Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold:
making protein folding accessible to all. *Nature Methods 2022 19:6* **19**:679–682.
doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1
Nerusheva OO, Akiyoshi B. 2016. Divergent polo box domains underpin the unique
kinetoplastid kinetochore. *Open Biol* **6**. doi:10.1098/rsob.150206
Nerusheva OO, Ludzia P, Akiyoshi B. 2019. Identification of four unconventional
kinetoplastid kinetochore proteins KKT22–25 in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Open Biol* **9**.
doi:10.1098/rsob.190236
Okada M, Cheeseman IM, Hori T, Okawa K, McLeod IX, Yates JR, Desai A, Fukagawa T. 2006.
The CENP-H–I complex is required for the efficient incorporation of newly synthesized
CENP-A into centromeres. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**. doi:10.1038/ncb1396
Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, Inuganti A,
Griss J, Mayer G, Eisenacher M, Pérez E, Uszkoreit J, Pfeuffer J, Sachsenberg T, Yilmaz Ş,
Tiwary S, Cox J, Audain E, Walzer M, Jarnuczak AF, Ternent T, Brazma A, Vizcaíno JA.

2019. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support
for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:D442–D450. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKY1106
Romano A, Guse A, Krascenicova I, Schnabel H, Schnabel R, Glotzer M. 2003. CSC-1: a
subunit of the Aurora B kinase complex that binds to the survivin-like protein BIR-1 and
the incenp-like protein ICP-1. *Journal of Cell Biology* **161**. doi:10.1083/jcb.200207117
Saldivia M, Fang E, Ma X, Myburgh E, Carnielli JBT, Bower-Lepts C, Brown E, Ritchie R,
Lakshminarayana SB, Chen Y-L, Patra D, Ornelas E, Koh HXY, Williams SL, Supek F,
Paape D, McCulloch R, Kaiser M, Barrett MP, Jiricek J, Diagana TT, Mottram JC, Rao SPS.
2020. Targeting the trypanosome kinetochore with CLK1 protein kinase inhibitors. *Nat*
Microbiol **5**:1207–1216. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0745-6
Samejima K, Platani M, Wolny M, Ogawa H, Vargiu G, Knight PJ, Peckham M, Earnshaw WC.
2015. The Inner Centromere Protein (INCENP) Coil Is a Single α-Helix (SAH) Domain
That Binds Directly to Microtubules and Is Important for Chromosome Passenger
Complex (CPC) Localization and Function in Mitosis. *J Biol Chem* **290**:21460–21472.
doi:10.1074/JBC.M115.645317
Sampath SC, Ohi R, Leismann O, Salic A, Pozniakovski A, Funabiki H. 2004. The Chromosomal
Passenger Complex Is Required for Chromatin-Induced Microtubule Stabilization and
Spindle Assembly. *Cell* **118**. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.06.026
Santaguida S, Musacchio A. 2009. The life and miracles of kinetochores. *EMBO J* **28**:2511–
2531. doi:10.1038/EMBOJ.2009.173
Schiffrin B, Radford SE, Brockwell DJ, Calabrese AN. 2020. PyXlinkViewer: A flexible tool for
visualization of protein chemical crosslinking data within the PyMOL molecular graphics
system. *Protein Sci* **29**:1851–1857. doi:10.1002/PRO.3902
Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. *Nature Methods 2012 9:7* **9**:671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089
Serena M, Bastos RN, Elliott PR, Barr FA. 2020. Molecular basis of MKLP2-dependent Aurora
B transport from chromatin to the anaphase central spindle. *Journal of Cell Biology* **219**.
doi:10.1083/JCB.201910059/151730
Siegel TN, Hekstra DR, Cross GAM. 2008. Analysis of the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle by
quantitative DAPI imaging. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **160**.
doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2008.04.004
Stuart K, Brun R, Croft S, Fairlamb A, Gürtler RE, McKerrow J, Reed S, Tarleton R. 2008.
Kinetoplastids: related protozoan pathogens, different diseases. *Journal of Clinical*
Investigation **118**. doi:10.1172/JCI33945
Trivedi P, Stukenberg PT. 2016. A centromere-signaling network underlies the coordination
among mitotic events. *Trends Biochem Sci* **41**:160. doi:10.1016/J.TIBS.2015.11.002
Tromer EC, van Hooff JJE, Kops GJPL, Snel B. 2019. Mosaic origin of the eukaryotic
kinetochore. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:12873–12882.
doi:10.1073/PNAS.1821945116/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1821945116.SD05.XLSX
Tsukahara T, Tanno Y, Watanabe Y. 2010. Phosphorylation of the CPC by Cdk1 promotes
chromosome bi-orientation. *Nature 2010 467:7316* **467**:719–723.
doi:10.1038/nature09390
Tu X, Kumar P, Li Z, Wang CC. 2006. An Aurora Kinase Homologue Is Involved in Regulating
Both Mitosis and Cytokinesis in Trypanosoma brucei. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*
281. doi:10.1074/jbc.M511504200

Unnikrishnan A, Akiyoshi B, Biggins S, Tsukiyama T. 2012. An Efficient Purification System for
Native Minichromosome from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-
477-3_8
Vader G, Kauw JJW, Medema RH, Lens SMA. 2006. Survivin mediates targeting of the
chromosomal passenger complex to the centromere and midbody. *EMBO Rep* **7**.
doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400562
Van Der Lee R, Buljan M, Lang B, Weatheritt RJ, Daughdrill GW, Dunker AK, Fuxreiter M,
Gough J, Gsponer J, Jones DT, Kim PM, Kriwacki RW, Oldfield CJ, Pappu R V., Tompa P,
Uversky VN, Wright PE, Babu MM. 2014. Classification of intrinsically disordered
regions and proteins. *Chem Rev* **114**:6589–6631.
doi:10.1021/CR400525M/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/CR-2013-00525M_0006.JPEG
Wang F, Dai J, Daum JR, Niedzialkowska E, Banerjee B, Stukenberg PT, Gorbsky GJ, Higgins
JMG. 2010. Histone H3 Thr-3 phosphorylation by Haspin positions Aurora B at
centromeres in mitosis. *Science* **330**:231–235. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1189435
Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--a
multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**:1189–
1191. doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTP033
Westhorpe FG, Straight AF. 2013. Functions of the centromere and kinetochore in
chromosome segregation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **25**. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2013.02.001
Wheatley SP, Carvalho A, Vagnarelli P, Earnshaw WC. 2001. INCENP is required for proper
targeting of Survivin to the centromeres and the anaphase spindle during mitosis.
Current Biology **11**. doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00238-X
Wickstead B, Gull K. 2006. A "Holistic" Kinesin Phylogeny Reveals New Kinesin Families and
Predicts Protein Functions □ D. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**:1734–1743. doi:10.1091/mbc.E05-11-
1090
Woodward R, Gull K. 1990. Timing of nuclear and kinetoplast DNA replication and early
morphological events in the cell cycle of Trypanosoma brucei. *J Cell Sci* **95**.
doi:10.1242/jcs.95.1.49
Yamagishi Y, Honda T, Tanno Y, Watanabe Y. 2010. Two histone marks establish the inner
centromere and chromosome bi-orientation. *Science* **330**:239–243.
doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1194498
Yoon HJ, Carbon J. 1999. Participation of Bir1p, a member of the inhibitor of apoptosis
family, in yeast chromosome segregation events. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:13208–
13213. doi:10.1073/PNAS.96.23.13208
Zimniak T, Fitz V, Zhou H, Lampert F, Opravil S, Mechtler K, Stolt-Bergner P, Westermann S.
2012. Spatiotemporal regulation of Ipl1/Aurora activity by direct Cdk1 phosphorylation.
Curr Biol **22**:787–793. doi:10.1016/J.CUB.2012.03.007
1157

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

B

Aurora B[AUK1]
INCENP[CPC1]
CPC2
KIN-A[1-599]
KIN-B

— satisfied
— not satisfied

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

A KIN-A + KIN-B

PAE plots

rank_1

pIDDT plots

Predicted lDDT per position

B INCENP^{CPC1} + CPC2 + KIN-A³¹⁰⁻⁸⁶² + KIN-B³¹⁷⁻⁶²⁴

C INCENP^{CPC1} + CPC2 + KIN-A³⁰⁰⁻⁵⁹⁹ + KIN-B³¹⁷⁻⁶²⁴

D Aurora B^{AUK1} + INCENP^{CPC1} + CPC2 + KIN-A³¹⁰⁻⁸⁶² + KIN-B³¹⁷⁻⁶²⁴

E DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC1^{fl} | merge
metaphase
anaphase

F DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC1²⁻¹⁴⁷ | merge
metaphase
anaphase

G DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC1¹⁴⁸⁻²⁶³ | merge
metaphase
anaphase

H DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC2^{fl} | merge
metaphase
anaphase

I DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC2²⁻¹²⁰ | merge
metaphase
anaphase

J DAPI | tdTomato-KKT2 | GFP-NLS-CPC2¹²¹⁻²⁵⁰ | merge
metaphase
anaphase

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6