Title:

Rtf1-dependent transcriptional pausing regulates cardiogenesis

Authors:

Adam D. Langenbacher[1], Fei Lu[1], Luna Tsang[1], Zi Yi Stephanie Huang[1], Benjamin Keer[1], Zhiyu Tian[1], Alette Eide[1], Matteo Pellegrini[1], Haruko Nakano[1], Atsushi Nakano[1], Jau-Nian Chen[1]*

Author Affiliations:

[1]Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

Correspondence and Materials Requests:

Jau-Nian Chen

Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095

chenjn@mcdb.ucla.edu

Abstract:

Transcriptional pause-release critically regulates cellular RNA biogenesis, yet how dysregulation of this process impacts embryonic development is not fully understood. Rtf1 is a multifunctional transcription regulatory protein involved in modulating promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II. Using zebrafish and mouse as model systems, we show that Rtf1 activity is essential for the differentiation of the myocardial lineage from mesoderm. Ablation of *rtf1* impairs the formation of *nkx2.5*+/*tbx5a*+ cardiac progenitor cells, resulting in the development of embryos without cardiomyocytes. Structure-function analysis demonstrates that Rtf1's cardiogenic activity requires its Plus3 domain, which confers interaction with the

pausing/elongation factor Spt5. In Rtf1-deficient embryos, the occupancy of RNA Pol II at

transcription start sites was reduced relative to downstream occupancy, suggesting a reduction

in transcriptional pausing. Intriguingly, attenuating pause release by pharmacological inhibition

or morpholino targeting of CDK9 improved RNA polymerase II occupancy at the transcription

start sites of key cardiac genes and restored cardiomyocytes in Rtf1-deficient embryos. Thus,

our findings demonstrate the crucial role that Rtf1-mediated transcriptional pausing plays in

controlling the precise spatio-temporal transcription programs that govern early heart

development.

Keywords:

cardiogenesis, lateral plate mesoderm, transcription regulation, promoter-proximal pausing,

Rtf1, PAF1 complex, PAF1C

Introduction:

Cardiogenesis relies on tightly controlled transcriptional programs regulated at all stages of

mRNA synthesis. Decades of studies have identified an evolutionarily conserved network of

transcription factors that direct embryonic heart development. Notably, members of the GATA,

NK-2 homeobox, TBX, HAND, and MEF2 transcription factor families play crucial, conserved,

and well-characterized roles in specifying cardiac cells from the mesoderm[1-4]. Through a careful

balance of positive and negative regulatory signals, the cardiac transcription regulatory network

coordinates with other transcriptional networks to ensure that the appropriate number of cardiac

progenitors are specified. In zebrafish, this activity partitions the anterior lateral plate mesoderm

(ALPM) into multiple fates including the cardiac mesoderm, which is bounded anteriorly by

blood and endothelial progenitors and posteriorly by pectoral fin progenitors[5-8].

Along with the paradigmatic role played by cardiac transcription factors in transcriptional

initiation, accumulating evidence suggests that transcriptional control of cardiogenesis is also

accomplished by epigenetic and post-initiation mechanisms. For example, the BAF (Brg1/Brm-

associated factor) complex, an ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex that actively

positions nucleosomes, is critical for normal vertebrate cardiogenesis[9-11]. The BAF complex

directly interacts with cardiac transcription factors including Tbx5, Nkx2-5, and Gata4[12] and

controls temporal changes in chromatin accessibility during cardiac differentiation[9]. Intriguingly,

forced expression of the BAF component Baf60c along with Tbx5/Nkx2-5/Gata4 can induce

cardiac differentiation from non-cardiac mesoderm[13], suggesting that nucleosomal positioning

and chromatin accessibility are key mechanisms regulating the activity of cardiac transcription

factors during cardiogenesis.

Cardiac gene expression is also sensitive to transcription elongation regulation. Spt6

(Suppressor of Ty 6) is a histone chaperone that promotes RNA Polymerase II elongation and

co-transcriptionally modulates chromatin structure[14,15]. In zebrafish, Spt6 interacts genetically

with the transcriptional pausing/elongation factor Spt5 (Suppressor of Ty 5) to support Nkx2.5+

cardiac progenitor specification[16]. While both Spt6 and Spt5 promote transcription elongation,

they likely do so via distinct mechanisms. Spt5 directly interacts with Spt4 to form the DSIF

(DRB Sensitivity Inducing Factor) complex, which has both inhibitory and stimulatory roles in

transcription[17]. Unphosphorylated Spt5 fosters promoter-proximal pausing of RNA Polymerase

II, but phosphorylation of Spt5's C-terminal repeat region by Cdk9 (cyclin dependent kinase 9)

promotes transcriptional pause release, and converts DSIF into an elongation-promoting

factor[18-20]. How the transcription regulatory activities of these elongation and pausing factors

facilitate cardiac development is not yet understood.

The Polymerase Associated Factor 1 Complex (PAF1C) consists of five core proteins (Paf1,

Ctr9, Cdc73, Rtf1, and Leo1) and plays wide-ranging transcription regulatory roles including

promoting co-transcriptional epigenetic modification of histones, supporting both pausing and

elongation of RNA Polymerase II, influencing mRNA 3' end formation, and serving as a bridge

between specific transcription factors and RNA Polymerase II[21-23]. In zebrafish, PAF1C subunits

differentially regulate cardiac development[24]. Leo1 activity is dispensable for the formation of

cardiac progenitors, but is required for differentiation of the cardiac chambers[25]. Embryos

lacking Ctr9, Cdc73, or Paf1, on the other hand, exhibit a deficiency of cardiomyocytes and only

form small, dysmorphic hearts. Intriguingly, loss of the Rtf1 subunit of the PAF1C results in the

most dramatic defects in cardiogenesis. Rtf1-deficient embryos have severe reduction in

nkx2.5+ cardiac progenitors and fail to generate any cardiomyocytes[24].

Rtf1 is a multifunctional transcription regulatory protein that modulates pausing and elongation

of RNA polymerase II, as well as histone epigenetic modifications. Given Rtf1's numerous roles

in transcription regulation, it remains an open question how Rtf1 mechanistically regulates

cardiogenesis. In this study, we showed that zygotic *rtf1* mutants, like *rtf1* morphants, lack

expression of most cardiac progenitor marker genes and produce no cardiomyocytes in

zebrafish. We also found that knockout of Rtf1 in the cardiogenic mesoderm of mouse embryos

and knockdown of Rtf1 in mouse ESCs reduced the formation of cardiac tissue, indicating that

the role of Rtf1 in specification of the cardiac lineage is conserved among vertebrates. Using

FACS and transcriptomic profiling approaches, we show that differentiation of the lateral plate

mesoderm (LPM) into cardiac progenitors requires Rtf1 activity. We further show that Rtf1's

Plus3 domain is essential for cardiac progenitor formation, while its HMD (Histone modification

domain) is dispensable. Consistent with the Plus3 domain's function as an interaction point

between Rtf1 and the DSIF component Spt5, Rtf1-deficient embryos display a genome-wide

decrease in promoter-proximal pausing of RNA Polymerase II. Excitingly, attenuating pause

release by chemical and morpholino inhibition of CDK9 improved pausing at cardiac genes and

restored cardiac progenitor formation to Rtf1-deficient embryos. Together, our findings suggest

that during development, Rtf1 facilitates expression of the cardiac transcription program by

supporting the promoter-proximal pausing of RNA Polymerase II.

Results:

Rtf1 is essential for heart development in zebrafish

We previously showed that knockdown of *rtf1* abolishes cardiac progenitor cell formation in

zebrafish. To corroborate this finding, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate stable *rtf1* mutant

lines. We identified two independent lines (*rtf1*[LA2678] and *rtf1*[LA2679]) with small deletions in exon 3

of the *rtf1* gene that are predicted to result in frameshifts and early stop codons, which nearly

eliminate the production of Rtf1 protein (Fig. 1a-c). Like *rtf1* morphants and the previously

reported *rtf1*[KT641]allele[24,26], both *rtf1*[LA2678] and *rtf1*[LA2679] mutants have poorly defined posterior

somite boundaries but are otherwise visually indistinguishable from their wild type siblings

during early somitogenesis, the developmental timeframe when cardiac progenitors emerge

from the LPM. After one day of development, cardiac tissue is not detectable in *rtf1* mutant

embryos by visual inspection or by *myl7* expression (Fig. 2a,b) and mutant embryos display a

downwardly curved body shape and an absence of pigmentation at two days post-fertilization

(Fig. 2 Supplement 1). In situ hybridization further showed that *rtf1*[LA2678] and *rtf1*[LA2679] mutant

embryos, like *rtf1* morphants, fail to express normal levels of critical cardiac transcription factors

including *mef2ca*, *nkx2.5, tbx5a and txb20* at the time when cardiac progenitors emerge from

the ALPM (Fig. 2c-j)[24,27]. Together, these data show that morpholino knockdown faithfully

recapitulates *rtf1* mutant phenotype [24] and demonstrate that Rtf1 activity is required for the

expression of multiple cardiac transcription factors, indicating a critical role of Rtf1 in regulating

the cardiac gene program.

Conserved role of Rtf1 in mammalian cardiac development

The sequence of the Rtf1 protein is highly conserved among vertebrates (83% identity at the

amino acid level between fish and humans). To examine if the crucial role of Rtf1 in

cardiogenesis we observed in zebrafish was conserved in mammals, we expressed Cre-

recombinase under the control of the Mesp1 promoter in Rtf1 flox mouse embryos (Fig.

3a)[28]. The Mesp1 promoter drives expression of Cre in the early cardiogenic mesoderm

(E6.25)[29], allowing for knockout of Rtf1 activity prior to the onset of heart development. Rtf1

Mesp1-Cre knockout mouse embryos die prior to birth, suggesting that Rtf1 function in the

cardiogenic mesoderm is essential for survival. We examined the developing hearts of Rtf1

Mesp1-Cre knockouts and their wild type siblings by in situ hybridization and found that while

Cre+;Rtf1 flox/+ and Cre- embryos display an *Nkx2.5*+/*Tbx20*+ heart tube undergoing looping at

E8.5, Rtf1 Mesp1-Cre knockouts have little or no expression of these markers (Fig. 3b,c).

These data suggest a conservation of the critical role of Rtf1 in differentiation of the myocardial

lineage from the mesoderm.

To further investigate the conservation of Rtf1's role in cardiac differentiation, we knocked down

Rtf1 activity in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) using short hairpin RNA (Fig. 4a,b).

Unmanipulated embryoid bodies (EBs) derived from mESCs are capable of differentiating into

cardiac tissues and form beating patches (Fig. 4c,d). In sharp contrast, Rtf1-deficient mESCs

display a dramatic reduction in *Myh6*, *Nkx2.5*, and *ANF*/*Nppa* expression and produce

significantly fewer beating patches (~67% reduction) (Fig. 4a-d). Interestingly, the temporally

dynamic expression levels of *Brachyury* (mesoderm)*, Afp* (endoderm) and *Ncam1* (ectoderm)

are not significantly affected by Rtf1 knockdown (Fig. 4e-g). Together, these data show that Rtf1

is dispensable for the formation of the three germ layers but is essential for differentiation of the

cardiac lineage from mesoderm in mammals. This phenotype is similar to what we observed in

zebrafish embryos, indicating a conservation of Rtf1's function in cardiogenesis between fish

and mammals.

Rtf1 is required for expression of genes associated with differentiation of cardiac precursors

from the lateral plate mesoderm

To gain insight into how Rtf1 deficiency impacts differentiation of the cardiac lineage, we profiled

LPM transcriptomes of control and *rtf1* morphant embryos. We previously showed that loss of

Rtf1 activity does not disrupt expression of the LPM marker *hand2*[24]. We therefore took

advantage of a hand2:GFP zebrafish transgenic reporter line to isolate LPM cells from wild type

and Rtf1-deficient embryos (Fig. 5a-c and Fig. 5 Supplement 1). Compared to wild type control

samples, the *rtf1* morphant LPM displayed 1420 significantly downregulated and 1185

significantly upregulated genes (adjusted *p*-value < 0.05) at the 10-12 somite stage when

cardiac progenitor formation is underway in the LPM (Supplementary Data File 1). We

examined the most significantly differentially expressed genes and observed that many of the

significantly downregulated genes, including *wnt2bb, mef2cb*, *mef2ca*, *isl2b*, *tnnt2a*, *atp2a2a*,

rbfox1l, and *nkx2.5*, are cardiac-lineage markers or have critical known roles in cardiac

development (Fig. 5d). We further found that the most significantly downregulated genes were

highly enriched for gene ontologies related to cardiac development, embryonic heart

morphogenesis, and muscle cell development (Fig. 5e). These data show that Rtf1 activity is

required for expression of a broad cardiac gene program in the LPM that is likely under the

control of multiple cardiac transcription factors.

To further analyze the deployment of the cardiac gene program during cardiac progenitor

formation, we performed Single Cell Multiome sequencing of control and *rtf1* morphant

embryos. Clustering of control and *rtf1* morphant cells based on gene expression and

chromatin accessibility resolved 39 cell types corresponding to expected major cell lineages

(Fig. 6 Supplement 1, Fig. 6 Supplement 2, Supplementary Table 2). Interestingly, while *rtf1*

morphant cells of each cell type were present, the frequencies of cell types differed significantly

between controls and *rtf1* morphants (Fig. 6 Supplement 3). Notably, LPM cells are significantly

more abundant in *rtf1* morphants and ALPM derivative cell types (putative cardiac mesoderm,

endothelial precursors and rostral blood precursors) are less abundant, indicating that Rtf1

deficiency negatively impacts ALPM differentiation (Fig. 6 Supplement 3).

We next examined developmental trajectories within the ALPM by reclustering ALPM cells

(*sema3e*+/*gata5*+ LPM) and ALPM derivatives (Fig. 6a,b). By calculating pseudotime

trajectories, we observed a gradual increase in the expression levels of cardiac markers

including *ttn.2*, *mef2cb*, *tnnt2a*, *ryr2b*, and *myh7bb* along the ALPM-cardiac developmental

trajectory in control embryos (Fig. 6c-e). In *rtf1* morphants, the proportion of cells representing

undifferentiated ALPM was increased (37% in *rtf1* morphants vs 15.5% in controls, Fig. 6b and

Fig. 6 Supplement 4). Some cells (26.6%) did appear to differentiate along the cardiac

trajectory in *rtf1* morphants; like control cardiac progenitors, they maintained the expression of

gata5, downregulated expression of the ALPM marker *sema3e*, and initiated expression of the

early cardiac lineage genes *bmp6*, *ttn.2*, and *mef2cb* (Fig. 6d-g, Fig. 6 Supplement 4, Fig. 6

Supplement 5). However, loss of Rtf1 activity prevented the proper expression of cardiac

lineage-restricted markers that are normally activated later in the ALPM-cardiac trajectory

including *tnnt2a*, *ryr2b*, and *myh7bb* (Fig. 6d-g, Fig. 6 Supplement 4, Fig. 6 Supplement 5).

Taken together, these data suggest that Rtf1 activity is required for gene expression changes

associated with proper cardiac progenitor differentiation.

Rtf1's Plus3 functional domain is necessary for cardiac progenitor formation

The Rtf1 protein is a multifunctional platform for transcription regulation: it consists of several

defined functional domains that mediate its interaction with other transcription regulatory

proteins and serve as additional points of contact for these proteins with the transcription

apparatus (Fig. 1a and Fig. 7a)[30,31]. The N-terminal half of Rtf1 notably contains its Histone

Modification Domain (HMD), which mediates its interaction with E2 ubiquitin-conjugating

enzymes and supports the ubiquitylation of histone H2B K120[32-34]. Rtf1's C-terminus contains

the Plus3 domain, which interacts with the C-terminal repeat region of the pausing and

elongation factor Spt5[18,19,35], a Pol II-interaction domain that allosterically stimulates

transcription elongation[31], and a PAF1C interaction domain.

We investigated if Rtf1 could function in a modular fashion, and if a specific domain or domains

were required for the formation of cardiac progenitors during development. To this end, we

generated several constructs to express Flag-tagged wild type and mutant versions of Rtf1

lacking specific functional domains and tested whether they could support cardiogenesis in an

rtf1 morphant background. These constructs also carry 8 silent mutations that alter the *rtf1*

translation blocking morpholino binding site to ensure successful translation of synthesized

mRNA. Wild type (Rtf1 wt*) and mutant FLAG-tagged Rtf1 proteins lacking the HMD (ΔHMD) or

Plus3 domain (ΔPlus3) were expressed at comparable levels and properly localized to the

nucleus demonstrating that the activity of these proteins was not compromised by instability or

mislocalization (Fig. 7b). Injection of Rtf1 wt* mRNA into *rtf1* morphant embryos was able to

robustly rescue the knockdown phenotype and support normal formation of the embryonic heart

tube (Fig. 7c). Interestingly, we found that Rtf1's HMD was dispensable for its role in cardiac

progenitor formation (100% of Rtf1 wt* injected *rtf1* morphants with *nkx2.5* signal, n=25 vs.

100% of Rtf1 ΔHMD *rtf1* morphants with *nkx2.5* signal, n=25) (Fig. 7c). On the other hand,

Rtf1's Plus3 domain was essential for supporting the formation of cardiac progenitors (0% of

Rtf1 ΔPlus3 *rtf1* morphants with *nkx2.5* signal, n=25) (Fig. 7c). These data suggest that Rtf1's

domains function in a modular fashion for regulating cardiac gene expression and point to

potential specific effects on transcriptional pausing and elongation.

Rtf1 deficiency disrupts promoter-proximal transcriptional pausing

To examine the occupancy of RNA Pol II, we performed ChIP-seq on embryos at the 10-12

somite stage, when cardiac progenitors are arising from the lateral plate mesoderm. We

compared the occupancy of Pol II between uninjected control and *rtf1* morphant embryos and

found that Pol II was substantially diminished at the transcription start site (TSS) of many genes

compared to the remainder of the gene body (Fig. 8 Supplement 1 and data not shown).

Pausing of RNA Pol II at the TSS is a normal aspect of transcription in vertebrates and has

been hypothesized to regulate both the timing and expression level of genes[36,37]. To quantify

transcriptional pausing at each gene, we calculated the Pause Release Ratio (PRR), a ratio of

Pol II occupancy in the promoter proximal region of a gene (-300 to +300) to the occupancy in

the downstream region (+301 to +1301). A higher PRR indicates increased pausing of Pol II

near the transcription start site (TSS), while a lower PRR reflects a gene in a less paused

state. We found that on a genome-wide scale, pausing is diminished upon loss of Rtf1 activity

during embryonic development (Fig. 8a,b). Out of 6,078 genes with substantial Pol II ChIP

signal that were analyzed, 5,799 displayed a significantly decreased PRR (Benjamini–Hochberg

false discovery rate of 10%) in Rtf1-deficient embryos compared to 37 genes that displayed a

significantly increased PRR (Fig. 8b). The median PRR in control embryos was 3.34, while 95%

(5,793/6,078) of genes had a PRR of less than 3.34 in Rtf1-deficient embryos (Figure 8a),

reflecting a widespread decrease in promoter proximally paused Pol II. These changes in PRR

suggest that Rtf1 is critical for normal levels of pausing at transcriptionally active genes during

the developmental window when cardiac progenitor cells arise from the lateral plate mesoderm.

Attenuation of pause release permits cardiogenesis in an Rtf1-deficient background

The transition between the pausing and elongation phases of transcription is regulated by

PTEF-b, a complex consisting of a cyclin (T1, T2a, or T2b) and the kinase Cdk9[38-

40]. Phosphorylation of the negative elongation factor (NELF) complex, the pausing/elongation

factor Spt5, and the C-terminal tail of RNA Pol II by Cdk9 promotes changes in the factors

associated with RNA Pol II and triggers a switch to processive elongation[41]. Pharmacological

inhibition of Cdk9 with the small molecule flavopiridol can prevent or attenuate pause release,

leading to genome-wide increases in pausing at most genes[42]. To explore the effect of Cdk9

inhibition on Rtf1-dependent transcription, we performed ChIP-seq for RNA Pol II in flavopiridol-

treated *rtf1* morphant embryos at the 10-12 somite stage. Flavopiridol treatment caused a small

but highly significant increase in promoter-proximal pausing in an Rtf1-deficient background

(Fig. 8a), with 87.1% of genes with significantly altered PRR values displaying an increase in

PRR in flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphants compared to untreated *rtf1* morphants (Fig. 8c).

Furthermore, we found that critical LPM and cardiac genes including *hand2*, *gata5*, *aplnrb*,

bmp4, *nkx2.7*, and *rbfox1l* exhibited reduced promoter-proximal pausing in Rtf1-deficient

embryos that was partially rescued by treatment with flavopiridol (Fig. 8 Supplement 1).

If diminished promoter-proximal pausing at genes crucial for cardiogenesis is responsible for the

absence of cardiac progenitor formation in Rtf1-deficient embryos, then we would predict that

reducing pause release may restore cardiogenesis in an Rtf1-deficient background. Indeed,

flavopiridol treatment (5 µg/mL dosage) potently restored cardiomyocyte formation in *rtf1* mutant

embryos as indicated by *myl7* expression (Fig. 8d,e). Similarly, knockdown of Cdk9 by injection

of antisense morpholino oligonucleotides[43,44] also restored the formation of *myl7+*

cardiomyocytes in an Rtf1-deficient background (Fig. 8f,g), confirming that decreased activity of

the Cdk9 kinase is responsible for rescuing the *rtf1* cardiac phenotype. These dramatic results

suggest that the primary role of Rtf1 in cardiac progenitor formation is regulating promoter-

proximal pausing of critical members of the cardiac gene program.

We next performed RNA-seq to examine what transcriptional changes might account for the

rescue of *myl7+* cardiomyocyte formation in flavopiridol-treated Rtf1-deficient embryos.

Strikingly, we found that of the 4,102 genes that were significantly downregulated in *rtf1*

morphants at the 8-9 somite stage compared to stage-matched uninjected controls, the

expression of 1,020 genes was significantly rescued by treatment with flavopiridol (24.9%; Fig.

8h). Interestingly, we also observed a modest rescue effect on those genes that were

significantly downregulated in *rtf1* morphants (427 of 4,122 genes significantly rescued: 10.4%;

Fig. 8h). Those genes rescued by flavopiridol treatment were relevant to embryonic

development based on significant enrichment for gene ontologies including pattern specification,

embryonic organ development, embryonic organ morphogenesis, and cell fate commitment (Fig.

8i). We examined the expression levels of individual genes critical to cardiac development and

found that *nkx2.5*, *tbx5a*, *tbx20*, *mef2ca*, *mef2cb*, *ttn.2*, and *ryr2b* expression levels were all

significantly rescued by treatment of Rtf1-deficient embryos with flavopiridol (Fig. 8j).

Altogether, our data support a model in which loss of Rtf1 causes excessive pause release, a

dysregulation of the cardiac transcription program, and a failure in the formation of cardiac

progenitors.

Discussion:

In this study we showed that the PAF1C member Rtf1 plays a critical role in cardiogenesis in

zebrafish and mammals. Ablation of Rtf1 activity impedes mesoderm differentiation along the

myocardial trajectory resulting in a failure to produce cardiac progenitors with proper expression

of cardiac lineage-restricted genes such as *nkx2.5* and *tbx5a*, and the subsequent loss of the

cardiomyocyte population. Structure-function analysis revealed that Rtf1's cardiogenic activity

requires its Plus3 domain, a site of interaction with the pausing/elongation factor Spt5, and that

loss of Rtf1 diminishes promoter-proximal pausing of RNA Polymerase II. These observations,

together with the finding that partial inhibition of transcriptional pause release can support

cardiogenesis and restore cardiomyocyte formation in an Rtf1-deficient background,

demonstrate a crucial role for Rtf1-mediated transcriptional pausing in early heart development.

These findings also raise an intriguing possibility of potentiating cardiac differentiation through

manipulating transcriptional pausing.

How exactly pausing regulates gene expression is not fully understood and likely involves both

general and gene/locus-specific mechanisms[36,45]. By limiting the release of RNA Polymerase II

into the elongation phase of transcription, pausing can restrain gene expression. This inhibitory

role of pausing on transcription allows for another layer of transcription regulation and has been

shown to modulate the expression of heat shock and immune stimulus-induced genes[46-48].

Pausing-dependent restraint of gene expression is also important for the timing of gene

expression in a developmental context. Supporting this notion, Spt5 and NELF-deficient

zebrafish embryos exhibit aberrant upregulation of TGFβ signaling and a resulting inhibition of

hematopoiesis[44]. Intriguingly, the PAF1C has also been shown in mammalian cells to restrain

transcription from a subset of promoters by inhibiting nearby enhancer activation[49]. On the other

hand, pausing also positively regulates gene expression in some contexts. At genes where

nucleosome formation is favored in promoter regions, pausing of RNA Polymerase II can

promote a chromatin architecture that is permissive to transcription by competing with

nucleosomes for promoter occupancy[50,51]. This mechanism has been suggested to support

expression of the IFN-gamma pathway during zebrafish development[44]. Pausing may also

positively regulate gene expression during neural crest development. Loss of Paf1 activity

disrupts neural crest differentiation, but this defect can be partially rescued by inactivation of

Cdk9. Interestingly, zygotic loss of Cdk9 alone is sufficient to expand the neural crest population

in zebrafish embryos, suggesting that promoter-proximal pausing promotes the neural crest

gene program[52].

Experiments performed using a rapid protein degradation system in human cell culture has shed

light on the mechanisms by which the PAF1C regulates transcriptional pausing and gene

expression outputs[53]. In DLD-1 cells, acute depletion of PAF1 destabilizes the PAF1C and

stimulates pause release. Loss of PAF1 also decreases chromatin recruitment of INTS11, a

component of the Integrator-PP2A complex (INTAC) which interacts with PAF1C and

counteracts the activity of P-TEFb. This disruption in the balance of INTAC and P-TEFb

activities at transcribed genes results in hyperphosphorylation of SPT5 and likely explains the

increase in pause release caused by PAF1 depletion. Similar to our finding that Rtf1-deficiency

positively and negatively regulates gene expression, depletion of PAF1 resulted in both

upregulation and downregulation of many genes. Intriguingly, the gene expression changes

caused by PAF1 depletion correlated with the release frequency and processivity of RNA

Polymerase II at a given gene, with genes exhibiting low levels of release frequency tending to

display decreases in their expression level. These observations suggest that the combined

regulatory functions of pausing and elongation determine the transcriptional output of PAF1C-

regulated genes. Future studies focused on the chromatin architecture of cardiac gene

promoters and other regulatory regions, and the dynamics of pause release and elongation at

cardiac genes are needed to provide a complete picture of the manner by which promoter-

proximal pausing fosters their expression.

Materials and Methods:

Zebrafish

Zebrafish colonies were cared for and bred under standard conditions[54]. Developmental stages

of zebrafish embryos were determined based on somite number or hours of development post

fertilization at 28.5 °C[54]. Fish husbandry and experiments were performed according to the

Institutional Approval for Appropriate Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the UCLA

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocols ARC-2000-051-TR-001 and BUA-2018-

195-002-CR).

CRISPR mutagenesis

Template DNA for *rtf1* exon 3 guideRNA (rtf1-e3 gRNA) synthesis was amplified with KOD

polymerase (MilliporeSigma) using the pXTW-gRNA plasmid and the primers rtf1-e3-F (5'-

TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAAGAAGGGGAAACCGAGCAAGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC-3')

and gRNA-R (5'-AAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCAC-3'). rtf1-e3 gRNA (target sequence: 5'-

GGAAGAAGGGGAAACCGAGCAA-3') was synthesized using the MEGAshortscript T7

Transcription Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen).

Zebrafish embryos were injected at the 1-cell stage with 300 pg of Cas9 mRNA and 200 pg of

rtf1-e3 gRNA. Injected embryos were raised to adulthood and then outcrossed to wild type AB

fish to establish lines carrying specific *rtf1* mutations.

Mice

All mice were maintained in the C57BL/6 background according to the Guide for the Care and

Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institute of Health. Housing and

experiments were performed according to the Institutional Approval for Appropriate Care and

Use of Laboratory Animals by the UCLA Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

(Protocols ARC-2020-045-TR-001 and ARC-2020-043-TR-001). ES cells were obtained from

KOMP and used to rederive the Rtf1[tm1a(KOMP)Wtsi] mouse line. This line was then crossed to a

ROSA26::FLPe strain[55] (The Jackson Laboratory, JAX stock #009086) to create an Rtf1

conditional knockout allele where exon 3 of Rtf1 is flanked by two loxP sites (Rtf1 flox). These

mice were crossed into the Mesp1[tm2(cre)Ysa] background[29] to produce a strain capable of

generating Mesp-Cre[+];Rtf1 flox/flox offspring.

Whole mount in situ hybridization

Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed as described previously[56]. Embryos for in situ

hybridization were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1xPBS. After a brief proteinase K digestion,

embryos were incubated with digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes overnight at 65-70°C.

Probes were detected using an anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase

(Roche 11093274910). The zebrafish antisense RNA probes used in this study include *myl7*,

nkx2.5, *mef2ca*, *tbx20*, and *tbx5a*. The mouse probes used include *Tbx20* and *Nkx2.5*.

Genotypes of zebrafish embryos were confirmed by PCR with primers:

rtf1-e3-F: 5'-TACAGTGACATCCACCATGCTG-3'

rtf1-e3-R: 5'-GTAAATAGGGGAGAAACTAATTGAAG-3'.

Genotypes of mouse embryos were confirmed by PCR with primers:

Rtf1-loxP-F: 5'-GACTGAGAAGGGAAATCTTTGAAAC-3'

Rtf1-loxP-R: 5'-CTAGTCCTTGAACAAGTTCAGCT-3'

Cre-F: 5'-GTAGCTGATGATCCGAATAACTAC-3'

Cre-R: 5'-ATCCAGGTTACGGATATAGT-3'.

Constructs

To prevent complementarity between *rtf1* morpholino and mRNA sequences, PCR was used to

introduce 8 silent mutations to the morpholino target sequence using pCS2/3XFLAG-rtf1 as a

template[24]. The morpholino-resistant wild type *rtf1* sequence was cloned into pCS2/3XFLAG to

produce an N-terminally FLAG-tagged morpholino-resistant rtf1 construct (pCS2/3XFLAG-rtf1-

mr). Rtf1 deletion mutant sequences were amplified from pCS2/3XFLAG-rtf1-mr by SOE-PCR

to produce Rtf1ΔHMD (missing a.a. 126-211 of Rtf1) and Rtf1ΔPlus3 (missing a.a. 319-450 of

Rtf1), which were subsequently cloned into pCS2/3XFLAG to produce N-terminally tagged

constructs.

Microinjections

0.5 ng of *rtf1* morpholino (rtf1MO; 5'-CTTTCCGTTTCTTTACATTCACCAT-3') was injected at

the 1-cell stage[24,26] along with 1 ng of *p53* morpholino (5'-GCGCCATTGCTTTGCAAGAATTG-

3') to prevent p53-dependent apoptosis[57]. For *cdk9* knockdown rescue experiments, 5 ng of

cdk9 morpholino (cdk9MO; 5'-ACACACAAACATCAAATACTCACCC-3')[43,44] was injected into an

incross of *rtf1*[LA2679] heterozygotes at the 1-cell stage. 150-200 pg of *rtf1* or *rtf1* deletion mutant

mRNAs were co-injected with *rtf1* and *p53* morpholinos at the 1-cell stage for mRNA rescue

experiments.

Mouse ESC culture and differentiation

E14TG2a mESCs (ATCC) were cultured on 0.1% gelatin covered plates without feeder cells.

The feeder-free mESCs were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen)

supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA), 1% non-essential amino

acids (Invitrogen), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin-

Glutamine (ThermoFisher Scientific), and 10 µg/µL recombinant mouse leukemia inhibitory

factor (LIF; Sigma-Aldrich) in a 5% CO_2 incubator at 37°C. The ESC culture medium was

changed every two days. mESCs were subcultured every 3 days using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA

(Invitrogen). mESCs were differentiated in hanging drops (600 cells/20 µL) without LIF under

non-adherent conditions. Day 5 embryoid bodies (EBs) were plated onto 0.1% gelatin covered

plates. Media was changed every two days during adherent culture.

Lentivirus transduction

To knockdown Rtf1 activity in mESCs, Rtf1 or NT (non-target) shRNA lentivirus harboring

puromycin resistance were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. mESCs were transduced with the

indicated virus at a multiplicity of infection of 1 and selected in 1.2 µg/mL puromycin. Rtf1

shRNA knockdown efficacy was tested by Western blotting analysis that detected Rtf1 protein

level. Antibodies used were anti-Rtf1 (Bethyl Labs A301-329A) and anti-β-actin (Sigma A1978).

Relative protein levels were determined by densitometry measurements using Adobe

Photoshop.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA from NT and shRNA transduced EBs was extracted using TRIzol RNA isolation

reagents (ThermoFisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit

from Bio-Rad. Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out using the Roche LightCycler 480

Real-Time PCR System. Primers for qPCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Antibody staining

Embryos injected with mRNA encoding FLAG-tagged zebrafish Rtf1 constructs were fixed in 4%

PFA in PBS at 75% epiboly. The fixed embryos were incubated in primary antibody (1:50 mouse

anti-FLAG M2, F1804, Sigma) in blocking solution (10% goat serum in PBT) for 2 hours at room

temperature followed by detection with fluorescent secondary antibody (1:200 anti-mouse IgG-

Alexa Fluor 555, A-31570). Nuclei were stained with DAPI and embryos were embedded in 1%

low-melt agarose and imaged on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope.

Western Blotting

Embryos were lysed in Rubinfeld's Lysis Buffer at 1 day post fertilization as previously

described[24]. Two embryo equivalents were loaded per lane of an 8% polyacrylamide gel.

Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and detected

with antibodies against Rtf1 (Bethyl Labs A301-329A, 1:4000) and beta-actin (Sigma A1978,

1:5000). ImageJ was used to perform densitometry comparing the levels of Rtf1 protein

between samples using beta-actin as the control.

Chemical treatment

Flavopiridol (Cayman Chemicals) was dissolved in DMSO at a stock concentration of 10 mg/mL.

Zebrafish embryos were treated with 1.375 – 5 µg/mL flavopiridol diluted in E3 buffer beginning

at 60-70% epiboly. For *rtf1* mutant rescue experiments, genotypes were confirmed using the

primers rtf1-e3-F and rtf1-e3-R.

FACS

10-12 somite stage TgBAC(hand2:EGFP)[pd24] uninjected and *rtf1* morpholino-injected zebrafish

embryos[58] were nutated in 10 mg/mL protease (Protease from *Bacillus licheniformis*, Sigma

P5380) in DMEM/F12 media for 30 minutes at 4°C and pipetted to dissociate cells. Debris was

removed by filtering through a 40 µm cell strainer and cells were then pelleted by centrifugation

at 300 rcf for 5 minutes at 4°C. Cells were resuspended in cold FACS buffer (1xPBS, 2% FBS,

1mM EDTA) and refiltered immediately prior to sorting on a BD FACSAria II using a 100 µm

nozzle. Dead cells were excluded by staining with 0.1 µg/mL DAPI. Cells from batches of 100

embryos were sorted directly into a mixture of 350 µL Buffer RA1 and 3.5 µL 2-mercaptoethanol

from the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Machery-Nagel) for RNA isolation.

mRNA-seq

RNA was purified from FACS-sorted cells with the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Machery Nagel). Total

RNA was extracted from whole embryos using TRIzol RNA isolation reagents (ThermoFisher

Scientific) and then purified with the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Machery Nagel). Approximately 5-10

ng of input RNA was used to produce libraries with the NEBNext Single Cell/Low Input RNA

Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) which were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 to produce

50 bp single-end reads. Reads from triplicate samples were mapped to the danRer7 genome

using Tophat v2.1.1[59] with default parameters. Mapped reads were counted with

FeatureCounts v2.0.0[60] and differential expression analysis was carried out with DESeq2

v1.32.0[61]. Gene ontology enrichment was examined using the R package clusterProfiler[62] in R

v4.1.0. For gene ontology analysis in LPM FACS experiments, the most significantly

downregulated genes in *rtf1* morphant LPM were selected as those genes that were

downregulated among the 300 most significantly differentially expressed genes based on

adjusted *p*-value. For gene expression analysis of flavopiridol rescue experiments, genes were

considered significantly rescued if flavopiridol treatment resulted in a significant expression

change in the direction opposite of that caused by *rtf1* knockdown (adjusted *p*-value < 0.1).

ChIP-seq

Embryos for ChIP were dissociated with 4 mg/mL Collagenase Type IV and 0.25% Trypsin at

room temperature with intermittent pipetting. Dissociation was stopped with 10% FBS in DMEM

and cells were washed once with PBS. Fixation and preparation of chromatin was carried out

using the Covaris truChIP Chromatin Shearing Kit and 7 minutes of sonication in a Covaris

E220 ultrasonicator using the recommended settings. Immunoprecipitations were carried out as

previously described[63] on approximately 5 µg of chromatin (minimum 2.5 µg, maximum 8.8 µg)

using 5 µL of total RNA Pol II antibody (Cell Signaling D8L4Y). Libraries were prepared using

the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Kit for Illumina (NEB) and sequenced with an Illumina

Novaseq 6000 system to produce 50 bp paired-end reads. Reads from triplicate samples were

mapped to the danRer11 genome using bowtie2 v2.4.2[64] (options: --local -X 1000) and read

densities of UCSC RefSeq gene regions were quantified using the package csaw v1.24.3[65,66] in

R v4.0.3. For our pausing analyses, we defined the transcription start site (TSS) region as -300

bp to +300 bp surrounding the TSS. RNA Pol II ChIP-seq read densities for the TSS regions of

genes displayed a bimodal distribution which was assumed to be two partially overlapping

distributions of genes with high and low RNA Pol II TSS signal. We examined those genes with

a substantial level of RNA Pol II signal in the TSS region of control samples, defined as those

genes present in the upper mode of the distribution of log-transformed TSS read densities

based on a cutoff for type-I error of 10% using the R cutoff package v0.1.0

(https://github.com/choisy/cutoff). The read density in the TSS region was divided by the read

density in the region extending from +301 to +1301 bp with respect to the TSS to calculate the

pause release ratio (PRR). ChIP-seq data was visualized in Python 3.10.0 using the plotting

tool SparK v2.6.2[67].

Nuclei isolation for single cell sequencing

Nuclei were isolated from 200 11-12 somite stage zebrafish embryos for 10x Genomics

Multiome sequencing using recommended procedures with slight modifications. Embryos were

deyolked in Deyolking buffer (55 mM NaCl, 1.8 mM KCl, 1.25 mM $NaHCO_3$) and then embryonic

cells were partially dissociated by pipetting in DMEM/F12 media (Gibco 21041025). Cells were

pelleted and then lysed on ice for 5 minutes in 100 μL of chilled 0.1X Lysis buffer (10 mM Tris

pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.01% Tween-20, 0.01% IGEPAL CA-630, 0.001% digitonin,

1% BSA, 1 mM DTT). 1 mL of Wash buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$,

0.1% Tween-20, 1% BSA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 U/μL Sigma Protector RNase Inhibitor) was then

added to the cell lysis and the nuclei were pelleted by centrifuging at 500xg for 5 minutes at

4°C. Two more washes with 1 mL of Wash buffer were performed. Nuclei were then

resuspended in 50 μL of Nuclei Dilution Buffer (1X 10x Genomics Single Cell Multiome Nuclei

Buffer, 1 mM DTT, 1 U/μL Sigma Protector RNase Inhibitor) and filtered with a 40 μm Flowmi

cell strainer. Approximately 10,000 nuclei per sample were targeted for capture with the 10x

Chromium Single Cell Multiome ATAC + Gene Expression system by the UCLA Technology

Center for Genomics & Bioinformatics.

Single cell sequencing analysis

10x Chromium Single Cell Multiome ATAC + Gene Expression libraries were prepared by the

UCLA Technology Center for Genomics & Bioinformatics following the manufacturer's

recommended protocols and sequenced with an Illumina Novaseq 6000 system. Reads from

RNA-seq and ATAC-seq assays were aligned to the zebrafish GRCz11 genome with the

Lawson laboratory's improved transcriptome annotation[68] using 10x Genomics' Cell Ranger

ARC software v2.0.0 with default parameters. Mapped reads from single cell RNA-seq and

ATAC-seq assays were analyzed in R v4.2.2 using Seurat v4.3.0[69]. Good quality cells were

retained by filtering using Seurat with the following thresholds: 15000 > RNA UMI > 2000,

Genes > 1500, 20000 > ATAC UMI > 5000, TSS enrichment score > 3.5. Filtered control and

rtf1 morphant cell datasets were integrated using Harmony v0.1.1[70], and preliminary

dimensional reduction, cell clustering, and marker gene identification were carried out in Seurat.

Clusters of cells that exhibited enriched expression of mitochondrial and ribosomal transcript

expression levels but lacked enrichment for other genes were considered abnormal cells and

were manually removed from the dataset. Following manual filtering, a final dataset of 14340

control and 13839 *rtf1* morphant cells was again integrated with Harmony and multimodal

dimensional reduction (weighted-nearest neighbor method with RNA and ATAC assays), cell

clustering, and marker gene identification were carried out in Seurat. Cluster cell number

proportions and gene expression levels were visualized with Seurat and with published code[71].

Based on marker gene expression, cells that were members of clusters corresponding to

anterior lateral plate mesoderm (*sema3e*+), endothelium (*flt4*+), cardiac precursors (*mef2cb*+),

and rostral blood (*spi1b*+) were extracted, independently integrated with Harmony, and

dimensional reduction (RNA assay only), cell clustering, and marker gene identification were

carried out in Seurat. Pseudotime analysis of Harmony/Seurat cell embeddings and plotting of

gene expression over pseudotime was performed with Monocle v3.0[72].

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance for qualitative differences in gene expression in in situ hybridization

experiments was determined using Fisher's Exact Test, with a *p*-value of less than 0.05

considered to be significant. Statistical significance of gene expression changes in qPCR

analyses was determined based on 2 (for *Nkx2-5* and *Afp* expression) or 3 (for *Myh6*, *Nppa*,

Brachyury, and *Ncam1* expression) independent experiments using a two-tailed Student's *t*-test

with a *p*-value of less than 0.05 considered to be significant. Statistical significance of the

difference between beating cardiomyocyte cluster formation in control and Rtf1 shRNA mESCs

was determined based on 4 independent experiments using a two-tailed Student's *t*-test with a

p-value of less than 0.05 considered to be significant. To compare PRR means between

uninjected, *rtf1* morphant, and flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphant embryos, mean PRR values

were first log-transformed (log10) and then a Welch's paired two-tailed *t*-test was carried out

with a *p*-value of less than 0.05 considered to be significant. Significantly changed PRRs for

individual genes were determined based on 3 independent replicates per condition using the

rowttests function in the R package genefilter v1.72.1 to perform two-tailed *t*-tests. The p.adjust

function in the R stats package v4.0.3 using a Benjamini and Hochberg (false discovery rate)

correction for multiple comparisons was then applied with adjusted *p*-values of less than 0.1

considered to be significant.

Acknowledgements

We thank the UCLA Technology Center for Genomics & Bioinformatics for technical assistance

with Next Generation Sequencing and the Broad Stem Cell Research Center Flow Cytometry

Core Facility for technical assistance with cell sorting. Figures 3a, 4a, and 5c were created with

BioRender.com. This work was supported by funding from the NIH/NHLBI to J.-N.C.

(R01HL155905 and R01HL140472).

Author Contributions

A.D.L.: study design, data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, manuscript writing. F.L.:

study design, data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation. L.T.: data acquisition. Z.Y.S.H.: data

acquisition. B.K.: data acquisition. Z.T.: data acquisition. A.E.: data acquisition. M.P.: data

analysis. H.N.: study design and data interpretation. A.N.: study design and data interpretation.

J.-N.C.: study design, data interpretation, manuscript writing. All authors approved the final

manuscript.

Competing Interests

634   The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability Statement

638   The RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, and single cell-seq data presented in this study are available in the

639   NCBI SRA (BioProject PRJNA1015262).

Figures:

Figure 1. Generation of zebrafish *rtf1* null mutants with CRISPR/Cas9. (a) Schematic of

CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis of the *rtf1* gene. Two mutant alleles, *rtf1^LA2678^* and *rtf1^LA2679^*, were

recovered after targeting of *rtf1* exon 3. Both alleles are predicted to disrupt translation of the

Rtf1 protein and eliminate the HMD, Plus3, Pol II interaction, and PAF1C interaction domains.

(b) Agarose gel electrophoresis results of genotyping *rtf1* mutants by PCR. Deletions in

*rtf1^LA2678^* and *rtf1^LA2679^* alleles can be distinguished from wild type allele using primers rtf1-e3-F

and rtf1-e3-R. (c) Western blot detecting Rtf1 and β-actin (loading control) proteins in lysates of

wild type and *rtf1* mutant embryos. Image is representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 2. Characterization of early cardiac development in Rtf1-deficient embryos. (a)

Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *myl7* expression in 24 hpf

zebrafish embryos. (b) Quantification of *myl7* signal intensity in control and Rtf1-deficient

embryos at 24 hpf. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos analyzed. (c)

Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *mef2ca* expression in 8 somite

stage zebrafish embryos. (d) Quantification of *mef2ca* signal intensity in control and Rtf1-

deficient embryos at the 8 somite stage. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos

analyzed. (e) Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *nkx2.5* expression in

8 somite stage zebrafish embryos. (f) Quantification of *nkx2.5* signal intensity in control and

Rtf1-deficient embryos at the 8 somite stage. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos

analyzed. (g) Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *tbx5a* expression in

8 somite stage zebrafish embryos. (h) Quantification of *tbx5a* signal intensity in control and Rtf1-

deficient embryos at the 8 somite stage. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos

analyzed. (i) Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *tbx20* expression in 8

somite stage zebrafish embryos. (j) Quantification of *tbx20* signal intensity in control and Rtf1-

deficient embryos at the 8 somite stage. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos

analyzed. Scale bars in a, c, e, g, and i represent 0.1 mm. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p <$

0.001 based on Fisher's Exact Test.

wt sibling
d2

rtf1^{LA2679}
d2

699 **Figure 2 Supplement 1.** Bright-field microscopic images of two days post-fertilization (d2) wild

700 type (a) and *rtf1*^{LA2679} mutant (b) embryos.

Figure 3. Failure of cardiac differentiation upon loss of Rtf1 in the mammalian cardiac

mesoderm. (a) Diagram of generation of cardiac mesoderm-specific Rtf1 knockout mouse

embryos. A Mesp1:Cre insertion allele was bred into an Rtf1 exon 3 floxed background.

Heterozygous Rtf1 floxed male mice with Mesp1:Cre were bred to homozygous Rtf1 floxed

females, resulting in one quarter of embryos lacking Rtf1 activity in the cardiac mesoderm. (b)

Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *Nkx2.5* (wild type n = 7, Rtf1 CKO

n = 5) and *Tbx20* (wild type n = 16, Rtf1 CKO n = 4) gene expression in Cre-negative (wild type

siblings) and Cre-positive homozygous Rtf1 floxed (Rtf1 CKO) embryos at E8.5. (c)

Quantification of *Nkx2.5* and *Tbx20* signal intensity in Cre-negative (wild type siblings) and Cre-

positive homozygous Rtf1 floxed (Rtf1 CKO) embryos at E8.5. Numbers on bars indicate the

number of embryos analyzed. *: $p < 0.05$, ***: $p < 0.001$ based on Fisher's Exact Test.

Figure 4. Failure of cardiac differentiation from Rtf1 knockdown mouse embryonic stem

cells. (a) Diagram of shRNA knockdown of Rtf1 in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) and

differentiation of plated EBs. mESCs were transduced with non-target control or Rtf1 shRNA

lentivirus and selected with puromycin. Transduced mESCs were grown into embryoid bodies

(EBs) using the hanging drop method which were then plated to examine differentiation into cell

types including beating cardiomyocyte clusters. (b) Western blot verifying reduction of Rtf1

protein in Rtf1 shRNA mESCs (~70% reduced based on densitometry) compared to unchanged

level of the loading control protein β-actin. Image is representative of three independent

experiments. (c) qPCR analysis of *Myh6*, *Nkx2-5*, and *Nppa* expression in non-target control

(NT) and Rtf1 shRNA plated EBs. Data are normalized to the mean expression level in NT

shRNA samples and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. (d) Mean percentage (±

standard error) of plated EBs exhibiting beating cardiomyocyte differentiation (cEBs) in NT and

Rtf1 shRNA plated EBs. (e) qPCR analysis of *Brachyury* expression in non-target control (NT)

and Rtf1 shRNA plated EBs. (f) qPCR analysis of *Afp* expression in non-target control (NT) and

Rtf1 shRNA plated EBs. (g) qPCR analysis of *Ncam1* expression in non-target control (NT) and

Rtf1 shRNA plated EBs. Data in e, f, and g are normalized to the mean expression level in NT

shRNA samples at day 0 (D0) and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. *: $p <$

0.05, ***: $p < 0.001$.

Figure 5. Dysregulation of cardiac gene expression in the Rtf1-deficient lateral plate mesoderm. (a,b) Projections of confocal z-stacks of hand2:GFP signal in 11 somite stage uninjected control (a) and *rtf1* morphant (b) embryos. Scale bars represent 100 μm. (c) Diagram of lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) FACS RNA-seq experiment. Transgenic hand2:GFP embryos were injected at the 1-cell stage with *rtf1* morpholino and grown to the 10-12 somite stage prior to dissociation and sorting based on GFP expression. RNA isolated from GFP-positive LPM cells was subjected to RNA-seq and compared to RNA from uninjected embryo LPM cells. (d) Heatmap of scaled expression levels of the top 40 genes most significantly differentially expressed (based on *p*-value) between uninjected control and *rtf1* morphant

779   hand2:GFP-positive LPM. High and low z-scores represent high and low gene expression,

780   respectively. (e) Hierarchical clustering of Biological Process gene ontology (GO) terms based

781   on semantic similarity for the genes most significantly downregulated in the *rtf1* morphant

782   hand2:GFP-positive LPM.

used for analysis (0.6% of total)

Figure 5 Supplement 1. Gating strategy for 10-12 somite stage zebrafish hand2:GFP FACS. Abnormal cells or multiplets were excluded by gating out events with high side scatter (SSC) and forward scatter (FSC). Live hand2:GFP-positive cells (pink cells in panel d) were sorted based on high GFP and low DAPI signal. (a) Gating control experiment using unstained cells from non-transgenic embryos. (b) Gating control experiment using unstained cells from hand2:GFP transgenic embryos. (c) Gating control experiment using DAPI-stained cells from non-transgenic embryos. (d) Gating of sorting sample for analysis using DAPI-stained cells from hand2:GFP transgenic embryos. 0.6% of the total cells were sorted as live GFP-positive cells. Plots are representative of 4 independent experiments.

Figure 6. Rtf1 is required for transcriptional progression of cardiac precursors. (a-c)

UMAP plots of anterior lateral plate mesoderm (ALPM) and derivatives from merged and

integrated single cell RNA-seq datasets of 11-12 somite stage uninjected control and *rtf1*

morphant embryos. Cells in plots are colored by cell type (a), sample (b), and pseudotime (c).

(d,f) Expression dynamics plots displaying expression levels (y-axis) for selected genes in

uninjected control (d) and *rtf1* morphant (f) cells over the pseudotime trajectory (x-axis) from

ALPM (root) to cardiac precursor state shown in (c). (e,g) UMAP plots of uninjected control (e)

and *rtf1* morphant (g) cells colored by gene expression levels.

Figure 6 Supplement 1. UMAP plots of 11-12 somite stage control and *rtf1* morphant embryo

integrated multimodal (ATAC + Gene Expression) single cell sequencing datasets split based

on sample (control on left vs. *rtf1* morphant on right). Cells are colored based on Seurat cluster.

Predicted identities of each cell type are based on manual inspection of marker gene

expression.

841 **Figure 6 Supplement 2.** Clustered dot plot displaying expression of marker genes in cells

842 belonging to each Seurat cluster identified in the integrated multimodal single cell sequencing

843 analysis of 11-12 somite stage control and *rtf1* morphant embryos. Each cluster is further split

844 by sample (control vs. *rtf1* morphant).

Figure 6 Supplement 3. Comparison of proportions of cells belonging to each Seurat cluster

identified in the integrated multimodal single cell sequencing analysis of 11-12 somite stage

control and *rtf1* morphant embryos. Predicted identities of each cell type based on marker gene

expression are displayed to the left of the Seurat cluster IDs. Cell type names are colored

based on whether they are significantly expanded (pink) or significantly reduced (light blue) in

rtf1 morphants based on Fisher's exact test with an adjusted *p*-value cutoff of 0.05.

a uninjected control

b *rtf1* morphant

860 **Figure 6 Supplement 4.** Pie charts displaying the abundances of cell types identified in

861 analysis of re-clustered anterior lateral plate mesoderm (ALPM) and derivatives from merged

862 and integrated single cell RNA-seq datasets of 11-12 somite stage uninjected control (a) and

863 *rtf1* morphant (b) embryos.

Figure 6 Supplement 5. (a-z) Violin plots showing the expression of genes relevant to the development of the lateral plate mesoderm and its derivatives. Each dot represents the expression level of a gene in a single cell. Violin-shaped areas are color-coded based on cell types identified by re-clustering ALPM and derivative cells from merged and integrated single cell RNA-seq datasets of 11-12 somite stage uninjected control and rtf1 morphant embryos. Shaded violins are not present when statistically significant expression of a particular gene was not detected.

Figure 7. Rtf1's Plus3 domain is necessary for cardiac progenitor formation. (a)

Schematics of Rtf1 wildtype (wt*) and Rtf1 mutant constructs (ΔHMD and ΔPlus3). Domains are

indicated by colored boxes (HMD: red, Plus3: blue, PolII and PAF1C interaction: grey). Deleted

regions are represented by lines. Numbers refer to the amino acid positions in wildtype Rtf1.

Rtf1 wt*, ΔHMD, and ΔPlus3 also harbor 8 silent mutations that alter the *rtf1* translation blocking

morpholino binding site. (b) Projections of confocal z-stacks of whole mount immunostaining

detecting N-terminal FLAG-tagged Rtf1 constructs (red) expressed in 75% epiboly zebrafish

embryos. Nuclei are labeled by DAPI staining (blue). Scale bars represent 20 μm. (c)

Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *nkx2.5* expression in 10 somite

stage (10S) zebrafish embryos coinjected with *rtf1* morpholino and mRNA encoding Rtf1

wildtype (wt*) or mutant (ΔHMD and ΔPlus3) proteins. Scale bars represent 0.1 mm.

Figure 8. Rtf1-dependent transcriptional pausing regulates cardiogenesis. (a) Cumulative

frequency plot of pause release ratios (PRRs) of 6,078 genes with substantial RNA Pol II signal.

Both control (red) and flavopiridol treated *rtf1* morphant (light blue) samples displayed

significantly different PRR frequencies compared to untreated *rtf1* morphants (blue). ***: $p < 2.2$

$\times 10^{-16}$; Welch's paired two-tailed *t*-test. The median PRR of control samples is indicated by a

vertical grey dashed line. (b) Dot plot comparing PRRs in controls and *rtf1* morphants. Each dot

represents the PRR values for a single gene that differ significantly (colored point) or are not

significantly different (grey point) between controls and *rtf1* morphants. (c) Dot plot comparing

PRRs in *rtf1* morphants and flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphants. Each dot represents the PRR

values for a single gene that differ significantly (colored point) or are not significantly different

(grey point) between *rtf1* morphants and flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphants. Dot colors in (b)

and (c) are based on the density of points, with lighter colors indicating more dense points. (d)

Representative images of RNA in situ hybridization detecting *myl7* expression in 24 hpf control

and Rtf1-deficient (± flavopiridol) zebrafish embryos. (e) Quantification of *myl7* signal intensity in

control and Rtf1-deficient (± flavopiridol) embryos at 24 hpf. Numbers on bars indicate the

number of embryos analyzed. ***: $p <0.001$. (f) Representative images of RNA in situ

hybridization detecting *myl7* expression in 24 hpf control and Rtf1-deficient (± *cdk9* morpholino)

zebrafish embryos. (g) Quantification of *myl7* signal intensity in control and Rtf1-deficient (±

cdk9 morpholino) embryos at 24 hpf. Numbers on bars indicate the number of embryos

analyzed. ***: p <0.001. (h) Venn diagram of significantly altered gene expression in 8-9 somite

stage *rtf1* morphant embryos (± flavopiridol treatment). Shaded circles represent genes that are

significantly: downregulated in *rtf1* morphants vs. uninjected controls (blue), upregulated in *rtf1*

morphants vs. uninjected controls (yellow), downregulated in flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphants

vs. vehicle-treated (DMSO) *rtf1* morphants (green), and upregulated in flavopiridol-treated *rtf1*

morphants vs. vehicle-treated *rtf1* morphants (pink). Overlapping regions with thick black

outlines represent the 1,447 genes (*) with expression levels that were significantly rescued in

8-9 somite stage *rtf1* morphants by flavopiridol treatment. (i) Plot of enriched Biological Process

gene ontology terms for the set of genes that were significantly rescued in *rtf1* morphants by

flavopiridol treatment. Dot sizes indicate the number of genes associated with a given ontology

term. Dot color indicates the significance level (adjusted *p*-value). (j) Selected list of genes that

are critical to cardiac development and were significantly rescued in *rtf1* morphants by

flavopiridol treatment. Fold-changes and adjusted *p*-values were calculated with DESeq2. Scale

bars in panels d and f represent 0.1 mm.

Figure 8 Supplement 1. Representative ChIP-seq tracks displaying RNA Pol II read densities

(y-axis) for control (red), *rtf1* morphant (blue), and flavopiridol-treated *rtf1* morphant (light blue)

embryos at cardiac mesoderm-related genes including *hand2* (a), *gata5* (b), *aplnrb* (c), *bmp4*

(d), *nkx2.7* (e), and *rbfox1l* (f).

Supplementary Tables:

Supplementary Table 1. Sequences of primers used for quantitative real-time PCR analysis of

gene expression in mouse embryonic stem cells.

Supplementary Table 2. Predicted identities of cell types belonging to each Seurat cluster

identified in analysis of 11-12 somite stage control and *rtf1* morphant embryo integrated

multimodal (ATAC + Gene Expression) single cell sequencing datasets. Predicted identities

were based on manual inspection of marker gene expression.

Supplementary Data Files:

Supplementary Data File 1. DESeq2 results file containing differential gene expression

analysis data comparing FACS-isolated hand2:GFP-positive LPM from control and *rtf1*

morphant 10-12 somite stage embryos.

Supplementary Data File 2. Significantly restricted marker genes for Seurat cell clusters from

integrated multimodal (ATAC + Gene Expression) single cell sequencing dataset from control

and *rtf1* morphant embryos at the 11-12 somite stage.

References:

978   1   Bruneau, B. G. Signaling and transcriptional networks in heart development and regeneration.

979   *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5**, a008292, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a008292 (2013).

980   2   Paige, S. L., Plonowska, K., Xu, A. & Wu, S. M. Molecular regulation of cardiomyocyte

981   differentiation. *Circ Res* **116**, 341-353, doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.302752 (2015).

982   3   Rana, M. S., Christoffels, V. M. & Moorman, A. F. A molecular and genetic outline of cardiac

983   morphogenesis. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* **207**, 588-615, doi:10.1111/apha.12061 (2013).

984   4   Lu, F., Langenbacher, A. D. & Chen, J. N. Transcriptional Regulation of Heart Development in

985   Zebrafish. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis* **3**, doi:10.3390/jcdd3020014 (2016).

986   5   Schoenebeck, J. J., Keegan, B. R. & Yelon, D. Vessel and blood specification override cardiac

987   potential in anterior mesoderm. *Dev Cell* **13**, 254-267, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2007.05.012 (2007).

988   6   Mao, L. M. F., Boyle Anderson, E. A. T. & Ho, R. K. Anterior lateral plate mesoderm gives rise to

989   multiple tissues and requires tbx5a function in left-right asymmetry, migration dynamics, and

990   cell specification of late-addition cardiac cells. *Dev Biol* **472**, 52-66,

991   doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2021.01.007 (2021).

992   7   Duong, T. B., Holowiecki, A. & Waxman, J. S. Retinoic acid signaling restricts the size of the first

993   heart field within the anterior lateral plate mesoderm. *Dev Biol* **473**, 119-129,

994   doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2021.02.005 (2021).

995   8   Sorrell, M. R. & Waxman, J. S. Restraint of Fgf8 signaling by retinoic acid signaling is required for

996   proper heart and forelimb formation. *Dev Biol* **358**, 44-55, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.07.022

997   (2011).

9   Hota, S. K. *et al.* Dynamic BAF chromatin remodeling complex subunit inclusion promotes

temporally distinct gene expression programs in cardiogenesis. *Development* **146**,

doi:10.1242/dev.174086 (2019).

10   Takeuchi, J. K. *et al.* Chromatin remodelling complex dosage modulates transcription factor

function in heart development. *Nat Commun* **2**, 187, doi:10.1038/ncomms1187 (2011).

11   Lou, X., Deshwar, A. R., Crump, J. G. & Scott, I. C. Smarcd3b and Gata5 promote a cardiac

progenitor fate in the zebrafish embryo. *Development* **138**, 3113-3123, doi:10.1242/dev.064279

(2011).

12   Lickert, H. *et al.* Baf60c is essential for function of BAF chromatin remodelling complexes in

heart development. *Nature* **432**, 107-112, doi:10.1038/nature03071 (2004).

13   Takeuchi, J. K. & Bruneau, B. G. Directed transdifferentiation of mouse mesoderm to heart tissue

by defined factors. *Nature* **459**, 708-711, doi:10.1038/nature08039 (2009).

14   Endoh, M. *et al.* Human Spt6 stimulates transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in vitro.

Mol Cell Biol **24**, 3324-3336, doi:10.1128/MCB.24.8.3324-3336.2004 (2004).

15   Hartzog, G. A., Wada, T., Handa, H. & Winston, F. Evidence that Spt4, Spt5, and Spt6 control

transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genes Dev* **12**, 357-

369, doi:10.1101/gad.12.3.357 (1998).

16   Keegan, B. R. *et al.* The elongation factors Pandora/Spt6 and Foggy/Spt5 promote transcription

in the zebrafish embryo. *Development* **129**, 1623-1632, doi:10.1242/dev.129.7.1623 (2002).

17   Wada, T. *et al.* DSIF, a novel transcription elongation factor that regulates RNA polymerase II

processivity, is composed of human Spt4 and Spt5 homologs. *Genes Dev* **12**, 343-356,

doi:10.1101/gad.12.3.343 (1998).

18   Wier, A. D., Mayekar, M. K., Heroux, A., Arndt, K. M. & VanDemark, A. P. Structural basis for

Spt5-mediated recruitment of the Paf1 complex to chromatin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**,

17290-17295, doi:10.1073/pnas.1314754110 (2013).

19   Chen, J. J. *et al.* Spt5 Phosphorylation and the Rtf1 Plus3 Domain Promote Rtf1 Function through

Distinct Mechanisms. *Mol Cell Biol* **40**, doi:10.1128/MCB.00150-20 (2020).

20   Hu, S. *et al.* SPT5 stabilizes RNA polymerase II, orchestrates transcription cycles, and maintains

the enhancer landscape. *Mol Cell* **81**, 4425-4439 e4426, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.08.029

(2021).

21   Park, J., Park, S. & Lee, J. S. Role of the Paf1 complex in the maintenance of stem cell

pluripotency and development. *FEBS J* **290**, 951-961, doi:10.1111/febs.16582 (2023).

22   Van Oss, S. B., Cucinotta, C. E. & Arndt, K. M. Emerging Insights into the Roles of the Paf1

Complex in Gene Regulation. *Trends Biochem Sci* **42**, 788-798, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2017.08.003

(2017).

23   Jaehning, J. A. The Paf1 complex: platform or player in RNA polymerase II transcription? *Biochim*

Biophys Acta **1799**, 379-388, doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2010.01.001 (2010).

24   Langenbacher, A. D. *et al.* The PAF1 complex differentially regulates cardiomyocyte

specification. *Dev Biol* **353**, 19-28, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.02.011 (2011).

25   Nguyen, C. T., Langenbacher, A., Hsieh, M. & Chen, J. N. The PAF1 complex component Leo1 is

essential for cardiac and neural crest development in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **341**, 167-175,

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.02.020 (2010).

26   Akanuma, T., Koshida, S., Kawamura, A., Kishimoto, Y. & Takada, S. Paf1 complex homologues

are required for Notch-regulated transcription during somite segmentation. *EMBO reports* **8**,

858-863, doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7401045 (2007).

27   Lu, F., Langenbacher, A. & Chen, J. N. Tbx20 drives cardiac progenitor formation and

1044   cardiomyocyte proliferation in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **421**, 139-148,

1045   doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.12.009 (2017).

1046   28   Langenbacher, A. D. *et al.* Rtf1-dependent transcriptional pausing regulates cardiogenesis.

1047   *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2023.10.13.562296 (2023).

1048   29   Saga, Y. *et al.* MesP1 is expressed in the heart precursor cells and required for the formation of a

1049   single heart tube. *Development* **126**, 3437-3447, doi:10.1242/dev.126.15.3437 (1999).

1050   30   Warner, M. H., Roinick, K. L. & Arndt, K. M. Rtf1 is a multifunctional component of the Paf1

1051   complex that regulates gene expression by directing cotranscriptional histone modification. *Mol*

1052   *Cell Biol* **27**, 6103-6115, doi:10.1128/MCB.00772-07 (2007).

1053   31   Vos, S. M., Farnung, L., Linden, A., Urlaub, H. & Cramer, P. Structure of complete Pol II-DSIF-PAF-

1054   SPT6 transcription complex reveals RTF1 allosteric activation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **27**, 668-677,

1055   doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0437-1 (2020).

1056   32   Cucinotta, C. E., Hildreth, A. E., McShane, B. M., Shirra, M. K. & Arndt, K. M. The nucleosome

1057   acidic patch directly interacts with subunits of the Paf1 and FACT complexes and controls

1058   chromatin architecture in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, 8410-8423, doi:10.1093/nar/gkz549 (2019).

1059   33   Van Oss, S. B. *et al.* The Histone Modification Domain of Paf1 Complex Subunit Rtf1 Directly

1060   Stimulates H2B Ubiquitylation through an Interaction with Rad6. *Mol Cell* **64**, 815-825,

1061   doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.10.008 (2016).

1062   34   Piro, A. S., Mayekar, M. K., Warner, M. H., Davis, C. P. & Arndt, K. M. Small region of Rtf1 protein

1063   can substitute for complete Paf1 complex in facilitating global histone H2B ubiquitylation in

1064   yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 10837-10842, doi:10.1073/pnas.1116994109 (2012).

1065   35   de Jong, R. N. *et al.* Structure and DNA binding of the human Rtf1 Plus3 domain. *Structure* **16**,

1066   149-159, doi:10.1016/j.str.2007.10.018 (2008).

36   Core, L. & Adelman, K. Promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II: a nexus of gene

regulation. *Genes Dev* **33**, 960-982, doi:10.1101/gad.325142.119 (2019).

37   Adelman, K. & Lis, J. T. Promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II: emerging roles in

metazoans. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 720-731, doi:10.1038/nrg3293 (2012).

38   Peng, J., Zhu, Y., Milton, J. T. & Price, D. H. Identification of multiple cyclin subunits of human P-

TEFb. *Genes Dev* **12**, 755-762, doi:10.1101/gad.12.5.755 (1998).

39   Price, D. H. P-TEFb, a cyclin-dependent kinase controlling elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Mol*

Cell Biol **20**, 2629-2634, doi:10.1128/MCB.20.8.2629-2634.2000 (2000).

40   Paparidis, N. F., Durvale, M. C. & Canduri, F. The emerging picture of CDK9/P-TEFb: more than

20 years of advances since PITALRE. *Mol Biosyst* **13**, 246-276, doi:10.1039/c6mb00387g (2017).

41   Fujinaga, K., Huang, F. & Peterlin, B. M. P-TEFb: The master regulator of transcription elongation.

Mol Cell **83**, 393-403, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.006 (2023).

42   Jonkers, I., Kwak, H. & Lis, J. T. Genome-wide dynamics of Pol II elongation and its interplay with

promoter proximal pausing, chromatin, and exons. *Elife* **3**, e02407, doi:10.7554/eLife.02407

(2014).

43   Huang, M. *et al.* Negative Elongation Factor (NELF) Inhibits Premature Granulocytic

Development in Zebrafish. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**, doi:10.3390/ijms23073833 (2022).

44   Yang, Q. *et al.* RNA polymerase II pausing modulates hematopoietic stem cell emergence in

zebrafish. *Blood* **128**, 1701-1710, doi:10.1182/blood-2016-02-697847 (2016).

45   Gaertner, B. & Zeitlinger, J. RNA polymerase II pausing during development. *Development* **141**,

1179-1183, doi:10.1242/dev.088492 (2014).

46   Gilchrist, D. A. *et al.* Regulating the regulators: the pervasive effects of Pol II pausing on

stimulus-responsive gene networks. *Genes Dev* **26**, 933-944, doi:10.1101/gad.187781.112

(2012).

47   Adelman, K. *et al.* Immediate mediators of the inflammatory response are poised for gene

activation through RNA polymerase II stalling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 18207-18212,

doi:10.1073/pnas.0910177106 (2009).

48   Rougvie, A. E. & Lis, J. T. The RNA polymerase II molecule at the 5' end of the uninduced hsp70

gene of D. melanogaster is transcriptionally engaged. *Cell* **54**, 795-804, doi:10.1016/s0092-

8674(88)91087-2 (1988).

49   Chen, F. X. *et al.* PAF1 regulation of promoter-proximal pause release via enhancer activation.

Science **357**, 1294-1298, doi:10.1126/science.aan3269 (2017).

50   Gilchrist, D. A. *et al.* Pausing of RNA polymerase II disrupts DNA-specified nucleosome

organization to enable precise gene regulation. *Cell* **143**, 540-551,

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.004 (2010).

51   Gilchrist, D. A. *et al.* NELF-mediated stalling of Pol II can enhance gene expression by blocking

promoter-proximal nucleosome assembly. *Genes Dev* **22**, 1921-1933, doi:10.1101/gad.1643208

(2008).

52   Jurynec, M. J. *et al.* The Paf1 complex and P-TEFb have reciprocal and antagonist roles in

maintaining multipotent neural crest progenitors. *Development* **146**, doi:10.1242/dev.180133

(2019).

53   Wang, Z. *et al.* Coordinated regulation of RNA polymerase II pausing and elongation progression

by PAF1. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabm5504, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abm5504 (2022).

54   Westerfield, M. *The zebrafish book. A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio rerio).* 4th

ed. edn, (Univ. of Oregon Press, 2000).

55   Farley, F. W., Soriano, P., Steffen, L. S. & Dymecki, S. M. Widespread recombinase expression

using FLPeR (flipper) mice. *Genesis* **28**, 106-110 (2000).

56   Chen, J. N. & Fishman, M. C. Zebrafish tinman homolog demarcates the heart field and initiates

myocardial differentiation. *Development* **122**, 3809-3816 (1996).

57   Robu, M. E. *et al.* p53 activation by knockdown technologies. *PLoS Genet* **3**, e78,

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030078 (2007).

58   Yin, C., Kikuchi, K., Hochgreb, T., Poss, K. D. & Stainier, D. Y. Hand2 regulates extracellular matrix

remodeling essential for gut-looping morphogenesis in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* **18**, 973-984,

doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.05.009 (2010).

59   Kim, D. *et al.* TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions,

deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol* **14**, R36, doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36 (2013).

60   Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930,

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656 (2014).

61   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 (2014).

62   Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y. & He, Q. Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological

themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**, 284-287, doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118 (2012).

63   Chicas, A. *et al.* Dissecting the unique role of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor during

cellular senescence. *Cancer Cell* **17**, 376-387, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.01.023 (2010).

64   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9**, 357-

359, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923 (2012).

65   Lun, A. T. & Smyth, G. K. csaw: a Bioconductor package for differential binding analysis of ChIP-

seq data using sliding windows. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, e45, doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1191 (2016).

66   Lun, A. T. & Smyth, G. K. De novo detection of differentially bound regions for ChIP-seq data

using peaks and windows: controlling error rates correctly. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, e95,

doi:10.1093/nar/gku351 (2014).

67   Kurtenbach, S. & Harbour, J. W. SparK: A Publication-quality NGS Visualization Tool. *bioRxiv*,

845529, doi:10.1101/845529 (2019).

68   Lawson, N. D. *et al.* An improved zebrafish transcriptome annotation for sensitive and

comprehensive detection of cell type-specific genes. *Elife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.55792 (2020).

69   Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587 e3529,

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048 (2021).

70   Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony. *Nat*

Methods **16**, 1289-1296, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0619-0 (2019).

71   Song, M. *et al.* GATA4/5/6 family transcription factors are conserved determinants of cardiac

versus pharyngeal mesoderm fate. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabg0834, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abg0834 (2022).

72   Cao, J. *et al.* The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature* **566**,

496-502, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0969-x (2019).

1151