ORIGINAL ARTICLE (Primary Research Paper):

Mutualist-Provided Resources Increase Susceptibility to Parasites

Eunnuri Yi[1*], Nova Meng[1], and Corlett W. Wood[1*]

[1]Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Carolyn Lynch Laboratory (Room 117), University of Pennsylvania,

433 S University Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19104

*Correspondence: Eunnuri Yi, eunnuri@sas.upenn.edu

RUNNING TITLE: Mutualist-Provided Resources Increase Susceptibility to Parasites

Statement of authorship: EY and CWW designed the study, EY and NM ran the experiment

and collected data, CWW and EY analyzed data, EY wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and

all authors contributed to revisions.

Data accessibility statement: The data and code supporting results have been archived on

Figshare. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24442738

Keywords: mutualism, parasitism, indirect costs, symbiosis, nitrogen, resource use, legume,

rhizobia, root-knot nematode

Abstract: 191 words

Main Text: 5638 words

Reference Count: 102

Figures, tables, and text boxes: 6

Abstract

Background and Aims

• Mutualistic microbes often increase their host's susceptibility to parasite infection, but the

mechanism underlying this pattern remains unknown.

• We tested two competing hypotheses to identify the cause of this phenomenon.

• First, mutualist-provided resources could attract antagonists by making hosts more

resource-rich.

• Second, mutualism establishment itself might increase host vulnerability to antagonists.

Methods:

• To test which mechanism underlies increased parasite susceptibility, we experimentally

decoupled mutualism establishment and mutualist-provided resources in the legume-

rhizobia mutualism.

• We measured parasite load on *Medicago truncatula* plants infected with root-knot

nematodes in a full-factorial design, in which we independently manipulated rhizobia

nodulation (mutualism establishment) and nitrogen availability (mutualist-provided

resources).

Key Results:

• We found little effect of mutualism establishment on susceptibility, as nodulation without

nitrogen fixation did not significantly increase parasite infection.

• By contrast, nitrogen did increase parasite infection. However, its effect was non-linear

and was not explained by nitrogen assimilation into plant tissues, indicating that this

effect is not driven by parasite attraction to resource-rich hosts.

Conclusions:

• Our results suggest that mutualist-provided resources are an important driver of indirect

ecological costs of mutualism, although the mechanism linking mutualist-provided

resources and susceptibility to infection remains unknown.

Keywords: *Medicago truncatula,* mutualism, parasitism, indirect costs, symbiosis, nitrogen,

resource use, legume, rhizobia, root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne, Sinorhizobium*

Introduction

Thousands of animal and plant species depend on microbes for crucial resources (Chaston and Goodrich-Blair 2010). Animal herbivores rely on gut microbes to digest recalcitrant plant tissue, and nearly 90% of land plants receive growth-limiting nutrients from fungi or bacteria (Smith 1991). However, these host-microbe mutualisms do not take place in a vacuum. Plants and animals host thousands of other microorganisms, many of which are parasites and pathogens (Christian *et al.* 2015). While many mutualisms protect their hosts by conferring resistance or enabling defense against antagonists, in some cases microbial mutualists make their hosts more susceptible to infection by parasites and pathogens (Toth *et al.* 1990; Miller 1993; Damiani *et al.* 2012; Salem *et al.* 2015; Wood *et al.* 2018). In this study, we capitalized on unique features of the mutualism between legumes and nitrogen-fixing bacteria to determine whether mutualist-provided resources or mutualism establishment increases the host's susceptibility to infection.

Mutualistic species interactions are ubiquitous (Janzen and Boucher 1985). Mutualists provide fundamental biological functions for their partners and ecosystems (Bronstein 2001b; Traveset and Richardson 2014). These functions are diverse, spanning transportation, reproduction, nutrition, and protection against antagonists (Klepzig *et al.* 2009). For example, in the plant-mycorrhizae mutualism, mycorrhiza establishment primes plant immune responses, increasing resistance to herbivory and fungal disease (Jung *et al.* 2012; Yu *et al.* 2022). Fungal endophytes can also reduce herbivory on host grasses through the production of nitrogenous alkaloid compounds, although effects can vary in their benefit (Clay 1988; Faeth and Fagan 2002; Saikkonen *et al.* 2010).

However, mutualisms can also have adverse effects on other species interactions. Mutualisms can strengthen competition, compromise other mutualisms, or increase susceptibility

to antagonists like predators, parasites, and pathogens (Richardson *et al.* 2000; Schmitt and

Holbrook 2003; Adler and Bronstein 2004; Ness 2006; Hartley and Gange 2009; Hoek *et al.*

2016; Johnson and Bronstein 2019; Johnson 2021; Bell *et al.* 2022). Increasing susceptibility to

antagonists is an especially well-documented indirect cost of mutualism. Exploitation of

mutualism by antagonists is widespread across taxa and ecosystems (Bronstein 2001a). The

legume-rhizobia mutualism, ant-fungus nutritional mutualism, ant-plant protection mutualism,

plant-pollinator mutualism and cleaner fish-client interactions are all exploited by pathogenic

fungi, herbivores, parasites, and predators that imitate the host's mutualist partner (Johnstone and

Bshary 2002; Herrera *et al.* 2002; Frederickson and Gordon 2007; Little and Currie 2009;

Meehan *et al.* 2009; Irwin *et al.* 2010; Wood *et al.* 2018).

There are two hypotheses to explain why mutualisms increase susceptibility to

antagonists. First, resources provided by the mutualist may attract antagonists (Simonsen and

Stinchcombe 2014). When key resources are difficult to obtain, antagonists may preferentially

attack hosts with mutualists because these hosts are now a source of essential nutrients for the

antagonist. For example, the fertilization of host plants by nitrogen-fixing rhizobia increases

herbivory (Simonsen and Stinchcombe 2014). Similarly, a meta-analysis found that plants

colonized by mycorrhizal fungi that provide phosphorus, nitrogen and other nutrients for the host

were consumed more by chewing insects (Koricheva *et al.* 2009). This pattern has also been

documented in the keystone marine mutualism between corals and zooxanthellae symbionts (Rice

et al. 2021).

Alternatively, the establishment of the mutualism may in and of itself increase

vulnerability to antagonists. We refer to this hypothesis as the mutualism establishment

hypothesis. Mutualism establishment can open the door for antagonists to mimic mutualists or

otherwise evade host defenses. The ant-plant mutualism is exploited by ant and beetle species that

mimic ant mutualists and effectively function as parasites (Janzen 1975; Raine *et al.* 2004). A

similar phenomenon has been documented in marine mutualisms (Moland and Jones 2004).

Many hosts also downregulate their immune systems when establishing mutualisms, increasing

their susceptibility to infection (Salem *et al.* 2015). This may be an especially important factor in

symbiotic mutualisms, which involve the coordinated establishment of prolonged contact

between partners (Mansfield and Gilmore 2019).

The above examples implicate both mutualist-provided resources and the establishment of

mutualism in increasing the host's susceptibility to parasites. A definite test of these competing

hypotheses, however, requires de-confounding mutualism establishment from mutualist-provided

resources in a single system. This is especially important because the two mechanisms are not

mutually exclusive: both could (and probably do) operate at the same time. Nevertheless, the two

mechanisms have very different implications for the evolution of mutualism. If resources

provided by the mutualist increase susceptibility to antagonists, then selection imposed by

antagonists may constrain the evolution of resource exchange in mutualisms. Alternatively, if

establishment of the mutualism itself increases susceptibility to antagonists, then selection

imposed by antagonists may favor the evolution of mechanisms to discriminate friend from foe,

but would not affect the subsequent exchange of benefits.

The legume-rhizobia mutualism is ideal for testing these competing hypotheses because it

allows for mutualist-provided resources (nitrogen) to be decoupled from establishment of the

mutualism (nodulation). The legume *Medicago truncatula*, with its rhizobial partner

Sinorhizobium meliloti, is a model system for the study of resource exchange and symbiotic

mutualisms (Cook 1999; Rose 2008). Bacteria from the Rhizobia family fix atmospheric nitrogen

for the plant in exchange for carbon (Shanmugam *et al.* 1978; Masson-Boivin and Sachs 2018).

To fix nitrogen, the rhizobia and plant must first form specialized structures called nodules.

Nodulation is a distinct stage of mutualism establishment that necessarily precedes resource

exchange and is controlled by different plant and rhizobia genes (Fischer 1994; Lindström and

Mousavi 2020). During nodulation, the bacteria enter the cell of the host root, dramatically

changing their own development and the development of the host.

Furthermore, the rhizobia mutualism increases the host plant's susceptibility to

Meloidogyne hapla, a parasitic nematode that forms galls on plant roots and siphons resources

from the host (Wood *et al.* 2018). Both rhizobia-provided resources (nitrogen) and establishment

of the rhizobia mutualism (nodulation) could increase the susceptibility of *Medicago truncatula*

to nematodes. Nitrogen is a commonly limited resource and an essential nutrient for various

biological processes, and is known to increase attack by herbivores (Van Alstyne *et al.* 2009;

Chen *et al.* 2010). Nodulation, however, dampens the plant's defense response. Rhizobial Nod

factors, essential for nodulation, bind to plant receptors and suppress plant immunity. One of

these Nod factor receptors, previously thought to function solely during rhizobial symbiosis, is

also active during plant pathogen infection, indicating that Nod receptors may play a broader role

in discriminating between mutualists and antagonists (Gourion *et al.* 2015).

In this study, we independently manipulated mutualist-provided resources (nitrogen) and

mutualism establishment (nodulation) in the legume-rhizobia system to evaluate their relative

impact on parasite infection. We found little effect of mutualism establishment on parasite

infection; nodulation by bacteria that are unable to fix nitrogen did not significantly increase

parasite infection. By contrast, fertilization of the plant with exogenous nitrogen did increase

parasite infection. However, the resource effect was non-linear—the intensity of parasite

infection peaked at intermediate nitrogen levels—and was not explained by nitrogen assimilation

into plant tissues. Our results suggest that mutualist-provided resources are an important driver of

indirect ecological costs, but that this effect is not driven by parasite attraction to nutrient-rich

resources.

Methods

Study system

Medicago truncatula is a Mediterranean legume that is a model system for the study of

mutualisms (Rose 2008). Rhizobia form specialized nodule organs on roots through a tightly

regulated process of signaling between the plant host and bacteria (Ferguson *et al.* 2019). Inside

the nodule, rhizobia convert atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia, which is a bioavailable form of

nitrogen for the legume host. *M. truncatula* associates with rhizobia in the genus *Sinorhizobium*,

primarily *S. meliloti* and *S. medicae* (Harrison *et al.* 2017; Kearsley *et al.* 2024).

The parasite we used in our experiment is the northern root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne*

hapla, a generalist obligate parasite that establishes galls on many plant and crop species' root

systems (Castagnone-Sereno *et al.* 2013). Second-stage juvenile root-knot nematodes enter roots

in the elongation region and migrate to their chosen spot, where they become sedentary and inject

chemical effectors that modify host defense responses and cause plant cell nuclei to duplicate

without cell division. Root-knot nematodes use their stylets to secrete saliva and feed on phloem

rich in carbon and nitrogen from these giant cells. This resource extraction by root-knot nematode

parasitism leads to a decrease in reproductive fitness in *M. truncatula* (Wood *et al.* 2018). Both

rhizobia and root-knot nematodes form specialized root structures on *Medicago*, and the two

symbionts have also been shown to indirectly interact through the legume host (Catella *et al.*

2022). In cases of co-colonization with rhizobia and nematodes, nematodes tend to reduce

rhizobia nodule numbers, while rhizobia can have varying effects on nematode gall formation

(Wood *et al.* 2018; Costa *et al.* 2021; Burr *et al.* 2022).

Greenhouse Experiment

We factorially manipulated nodulation and nitrogen availability to disentangle the effects

of mutualism establishment and mutualist-provided resources on susceptibility to parasite

infection. Each treatment had between nine to 13 plant replicates. We manipulated mutualist-

provided resources via the application of three fertilizers varying in nitrogen (0, 0.625, and 5 mM

N, hereafter referred to as no-N, low-N, and high-N). Each fertilizer contained iron versenate in

combination with macro- and micro-nutrients, made in a recipe commonly used in growing *M.*

truncatula (Moreau *et al.* 2008; Batstone *et al.* 2017).

We manipulated mutualism establishment via two inoculation treatments: uninoculated

with no rhizobia (R-; no nodulation) and inoculated with rhizobia (R+; nodulation). We used four

different rhizobia strains (A145, Rm41, USDA1021, and WSM1022) in our experiment. Our

selection of rhizobia strains was intended to maximize variation in nitrogen fixation (mutualist-

provided resources) to deconfound nodulation and nitrogen fixation in inoculated plants.

Rhizobia strains A145 and Rm41 can form small white nodules but are incompatible for nitrogen

fixation with our chosen genotype, *M. truncatula* Jemalong A17, due to lacking the compatible

fix gene (Wang *et al.* 2018). Rhizobia strains USDA1021 and WSM1022 both nodulate and fix

nitrogen on *M. truncatula*.

We germinated seeds of *M. truncatula* genotype A17 ("Jemalong") under sterile

conditions in a sequence of scarification, sterilization, and stratification (Barker *et al.* 2006). We

filled 66-mL Cone-tainer pots (Stuewe & Sons, Inc., Tangent, OR, USA) for planting with a 1:4

(volume:volume) mixture of perlite and sand. These filled Cone-tainer pots were sterilized by

autoclaving at 121 C twice for 90 minutes. We planted one germinant in each Cone-tainer and

fertilized each plant with 5 mL of Fahraeus fertilizer corresponding to its respective nitrogen

treatment (Batstone *et al.* 2017). The experiment was set up in a temperature-controlled

greenhouse at the University of Pennsylvania's Carolyn Lynch Laboratory. We fertilized plants

with 5 mL of fertilizer twice a week using a peristaltic pump with tubing that was sterilized

before and after each use. We grew the plants in an incomplete block design to minimize the risk

of cross-contamination across rhizobia strains. We used 12-inch tall transparent plexiglass sheets

to separate plants inoculated with different rhizobia strains.

Two weeks after planting, we inoculated all plants with rhizobia and nematodes. To

collect M. *hapla* nematode eggs, galled roots were collected from a cv. 'Rutgers' tomato plant

infected with the LM line of *M. hapla* nematodes procured from North Carolina State University.

This line was originally collected in France, the native range of *M. truncatula* (Guo *et al.* 2017).

To extract eggs, we cut the roots, shook them in 10% bleach for two minutes, and strained them

over stacked sieves with 75 and 25 μm pore size to filter large particles and collect eggs. After

decanting the eggs off the bottom sieve with tap water, we counted samples of the collected eggs

under a microscope to calculate egg density in solution. We then diluted the culture with water to

inoculate each plant with approximately 300 nematode eggs suspended in 5 mL DI water.

To inoculate plants with rhizobia, we grew strains from glycerol stocks and stab cultures

in sterile tryptone yeast liquid media in a shaking incubator at 180 rpm and 30 °C. After two

days, we streaked the cultures onto solid tryptone yeast agar media in a biosafety cabinet. These

plates were incubated at 30 °C until distinct colonies formed, at which point we selected

individual colonies from each strain to grow in tryptone yeast liquid media. After these single-

colony rhizobia cultures grew for two days in the shaking incubator, we diluted the liquid media

to an OD600 of 0.1 using a spectrophotometer. Each plant was inoculated with 1 mL of diluted

culture containing either A145, Rm41, USDA1021, or WSM1022. Plants in the R- treatment

received 1 mL of sterile tryptone yeast liquid media as a control.

Data Collection

We harvested all plants 7.5 weeks after the start of the experiment (53 days; May 28-July

20, 2021). Shoots were dried in a drying oven at 60°C for at least a week before being weighed to

the nearest 0.0001g. We counted seed pods and flowers on dried shoots. Root tissue was stored in

plastic bags at 4°C to preserve nodules and galls.

We counted nematode galls and rhizobia nodules under a dissecting microscope to

quantify nematode infection and rhizobia colonization (Wood *et al.* 2018). We dyed root samples

with red food coloring to highlight egg sacs (Kandouh *et al.* 2019), which we also counted under

a dissecting microscope. Multiple egg sacs can be present on what looks to the human eye like a

single continuous gall, but we found that galls and egg sacs—a measure of female fecundity—

were highly correlated (r^2=0.97), such that gall number is an accurate proxy for parasite load. We

scored nodule color as a proxy for active nitrogen fixation (Ott *et al.* 2005). White nodules

indicate the absence of active leghemoglobin, which is pink in color and is necessary for N-

fixation by binding oxygen (Wang *et al.* 2016, 2019). We classified pink and yellow nodules as

N-fixing, and white nodules as non-N-fixing. We did not observe any senesced nodules, which

are typically dark brown. After classification, nodules were plucked off with dissecting tweezers

and stored in 1mL microcentrifuge tubes at 4°C. Both roots and nodules were dried in a drying

oven at 60 °C for at least a week and weighed to the nearest 0.001g.

Galls were not removed before weighing roots, but galls constituted a very small fraction

of total roots such that they were unlikely to drive any increase in root weight. Plant size was a

factor that limited colonization, with smaller roots constraining the number of galls, egg sacs, and

rhizobia nodules that could develop with support from the host plant. We interpreted the positive

correlation of root weight and galls or egg sacs as driven by larger plant size allowing for

increased nematode colonization, rather than nematode galls increasing root weight.

Of the 22 surviving plants in the uninoculated treatment, eight formed nodules, with six

plants forming fewer than 13 nodules (Figure S1A). Contamination was minimal, as most of the

rhizobia contamination was limited to a few nodules, suggesting that they were likely

contaminated late in the experiment. For comparison, 13 nodules comprised the lower bound of

the first quartile for rhizobia-inoculated plants, with a median of 28 nodules and a mean of 34

nodules. For all analyses involving rhizobia strain or nodulation, we excluded the three

uninoculated samples that formed 13 or more nodules. Contrary to our expectation, some plants

inoculated with A145 and Rm41, the "non-N-fixing" strains, formed pink (nitrogen-fixing)

nodules (Figure S1D; Table S1). It is possible that a small number of these N-fixing nodules on

plants inoculated with A145 and Rm41 may have been due to contamination by other strains.

However, the low nodule counts for the few contaminated uninoculated plants suggest that

contamination would only account for a small proportion of these N-fixing nodules.

To quantify shoot nitrogen and carbon composition, we performed elemental combustion

analysis. Dried shoot tissue was pulverized using a mortar and pestle. Samples of homogenized

shoot tissue were weighed on an ultra-micro balance (CP2P Microbalance, Sartorius GmbH,

Goettingen, Germany) in 4-5 mg increments and packaged into tin capsules. For shoots smaller

than 4 mg in weight, we packaged the entirety of the sample available, excluding samples that

were smaller than 2 mg. Elemental combustion analysis was run on a Costech ECS4010

Elemental Analyzer (Costech Analytical Technologies, Inc. Valencia, CA, USA) using atropine

as a standard. Each run consisted of about 45 shoot samples, with five atropine standards per run

which were used to generate a C:N curve. With this curve, the elemental analyzer calculated

carbon and nitrogen composition (%) for each sample. Thirty-six plant samples were replicated

across runs, which were consistent (r^2=0.999). By multiplying carbon and nitrogen composition

by shoot biomass, we calculated the estimated total nitrogen in the shoot.

Statistical Analysis

269   We fit generalized linear mixed models in R version 4.3.1 and RStudio 2023.09.0+463

using the glmmTMB package (Brooks *et al.* 2017) unless otherwise noted. In preliminary

analyses, we used rhizobia strain as a fixed effect, but found no significant variation across

rhizobia strains, leading us to use mutualism establishment (nodulation) as a fixed effect instead

(Table 1, Figure S2). For models with nematode galls (our proxy for parasite load) as the

response variable, we fit a zero-inflated negative binomial error distribution. All effects were

qualitatively similar when run with egg sacs instead of galls.

276   We performed two complementary analyses to test whether mutualist-provided resources

(nitrogen) or mutualism establishment (nodulation) increased parasite load. First, we tested for an

effect of our nitrogen and nodulation treatments on total parasite load in a model with egg sac

number as the response variable and nitrogen treatment, nodulation treatment (rhizobia presence

or absence), the nitrogen-by-nodulation interaction, and block as fixed effects. When using

nodulation as a fixed effect, we fit rhizobia strain as a nested random effect, as the four strains

formed groups that created non-independence within the broader nodulation effect. We ran a

second version of this analysis that corrected for plant size, by adding root biomass as an

additional fixed effect. We did so because greater nitrogen availability increased total biomass

(Figure S3, Table S3). We view size-adjusted parasite load (hereafter referred to as the intensity

of parasite infection) as a more appropriate estimate of the relative cost of infection. We did not

directly measure fitness costs of infection in this experiment.

Second, we tested for an association between non-nitrogen-fixing nodules and parasite

load. Because these nodules did not provide nitrogen, a significant relationship between the two

would support the mutualism establishment hypothesis. We standardized the number of non-N-

fixing and N-fixing nodules within each nitrogen treatment using a z-score (a mean of zero and a

variance of one). Nodulation is known to vary depending on nitrogen availability (Van Schreven

1959; Weese *et al.* 2015), which is why we standardized nodule counts within each nitrogen

treatment. We included nitrogen treatment, block, the number of non-N-fixing nodules, the

number of N-fixing nodules, and the interaction between nitrogen treatment and the number of

non-N-fixing nodules as fixed effects.

Finally, we tested whether the effect of nitrogen on intensity of parasite infection was

explained by nitrogen assimilation into plant tissues. We converted percent compositions of

nitrogen and carbon to z-scores (mean of zero and a variance of one), after which they were

incorporated as fixed effects for analysis. Nitrogen treatment, nitrogen composition, carbon

composition, root biomass, rhizobia strain, and block were included as fixed effects.

We confirmed all residual errors as normally distributed, homoscedastic, and properly

dispersed using the DHARMa package (Hartig 2020). Post-hoc Tukey tests and least-squares

means and confidence intervals were calculated with emmeans (Lenth 2023). All figures were

created in ggplot2 (Wickham 2016).

Results

Mutualist-provided resources, not mutualism establishment, were correlated with increased

parasite load

We found a significant effect of nitrogen on total parasite load ($p<0.001$, Figure 1A, Table

1). Increasing nitrogen increased parasite load from no-N to low- and high-N (Figure 1A, Table

S6). This effect was qualitatively similar across inoculated and uninoculated plants, and across

rhizobia strains (Table 1, Table S5).

We found a significant effect of nitrogen on the intensity of parasite infection (size-

adjusted parasite load) ($p<0.001$, Figure 1B, Table 1). Larger plants had more parasites overall,

such that size-adjusted parasite load peaked at low-N, with no-N and high-N plants having

similarly low intensities of infection (Figure 1A, Table S6).

We did not find a significant effect of nodulation (mutualism establishment) on total

parasite load (Figure 1A, Table 1). Inoculated and uninoculated plants did not significantly differ

in total parasite load (Figure 1A, Table 1). Some variation within the rhizobia strains did exist in

their proportion of N-fixing nodules, but strains did not differ significantly in nodule number,

nodule weight, resulting plant biomass, or total shoot nitrogen (Figure S1, Table S1). Rhizobia

strain had no significant effect on total parasite load (Figure 1A, Table S5). In general, we

observed little significant variation in host plant and nodule traits, especially compared to the

strong effects of the nitrogen fertilizer treatment.

We found no significant effect of non-nitrogen-fixing nodule count on total parasite load

(Figure 2, Table 2). This suggests that in the absence of nitrogen fixation, nodulation does not

increase parasite infection. This is consistent with the analysis above, in which we observed no

difference in infection between nodulation treatments. We did observe a significant effect of

nitrogen-fixing nodule count on total parasite load: more nitrogen-fixing nodules corresponded to

a higher total parasite load ($p=0.0123$, Figure 2, Table 2). Even accounting for the significant

effect of N-fixing nodules, no-N plants had a lower parasite load than low- and high-N plants

(Figure 2, Table S7).

We did not find a significant effect of rhizobia strain or symbiosis (nodulation) on

intensity of infection (Figure 1B, Table 1, Table S5).

Mechanism of the nitrogen effect

We observed a significant effect of tissue nitrogen composition on intensity of parasite

infection ($p < 0.001$; Table 1). However, contrary to our initial expectation, higher tissue nitrogen

composition led to a lower intensity of parasite infection (Table S8). Furthermore, significant

differences in parasite infection between nitrogen treatments remained even after accounting for

plant size and tissue nitrogen composition ($p < 0.001$, Table 3). There was no relationship between

tissue carbon composition and the intensity of parasite infection ($p = 0.181$, Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, we found that the resources provided by mutualistic symbionts were

correlated with increased parasite infection. By contrast, mutualism establishment had little effect

on parasitism here, despite the fact that legumes downregulate their local immune response when

forming a symbiosis with rhizobia (Cao *et al.* 2017; Grundy *et al.* 2023).

However, the resource effect was more complicated than we anticipated, in multiple ways.

First, the effect of nitrogen resources on the intensity of parasite infection was non-linear,

peaking at an intermediate nitrogen level. Second, assimilated nitrogen in plants was correlated

with *lower* infection. Third, the effect of nitrogen on parasite load was not fully explained by

nitrogen assimilation into plant tissues, as a persistent effect of nitrogen treatment remained even

after accounting for assimilation into tissues. Our results suggest that mutualist-provided

resources may impact susceptibility to parasite infection through multiple potential avenues and

physiological pathways, rather than by simply increasing attraction by parasites.

The results of this study suggest that nutritional mutualisms may increase susceptibility to

infection, with infection risk potentially tied to the traded resource itself. If this is the case, then

parasites and pathogens may be underappreciated constraints on the evolution of increased

benefits in nutritional mutualisms. We conclude by exploring alternative hypotheses that might

link mutualist-provided resources to the indirect costs of mutualism.

Mutualist-provided resources increase parasite load, to a degree

Under the mutualist-provided resource hypothesis, we predicted that because mutualist-

provided resources enrich host tissues, parasites would preferentially infect resource-rich hosts

(Koricheva *et al.* 2009; Simonsen and Stinchcombe 2014).We expected this to manifest in our

experiment as higher parasite loads at higher levels of nitrogen supplementation. As expected, the

number of nitrogen-fixing nodules on a plant was positively correlated with increased parasite

load (Figure 2B). We found, however, that nitrogen supplementation had a non-linear effect on

parasite load. Although total parasite load increased from no-N to the low- and high-N fertilizer

treatments, it leveled off between low- and high-N (Figure 1A). It is worth noting that while

nitrogen provisioned through fertilizer and rhizobia fixation in nodules showed qualitatively

similar patterns, we did not estimate the rate of nitrogen fixation in nodules and thus cannot

directly compare the relationship of nitrogen provisioning to parasite load for these two nitrogen

sources.

Furthermore, size-adjusted parasite load (i.e., the intensity of infection) peaked in the low-

N treatment, and declined in the high-N treatment (Figure 1B). This pattern suggests that the

relative cost of infection is highest at intermediate nitrogen levels, because although low- and

high-N plants had similar numbers of parasites, low-N plants were more heavily infected given

their overall size. Plants in the no-N treatment also had low parasite loads, but we suspect that

this is simply because these plants were so small that they could not support any appreciable level

of infection.

Nitrogen assimilation into tissues appears to be protective

However, tissue nitrogen composition was negatively correlated with parasite load (Figure

3, Table 3), the opposite of what would be expected if parasitic nematodes preferentially attacked

nitrogen-rich hosts. This was surprising, given the extensive literature on preferential feeding by

herbivores on nitrogen-rich tissue due to its better nutritional quality. Our experiment, however,

was a no-choice experiment (parasites were not offered a choice between nitrogen-poor and

nitrogen-rich hosts), which may explain why our results differ from these past studies.

The negative relationship between nitrogen composition and parasite load in our

experiment suggests that nitrogen is protective. This is consistent with some other studies that

have shown that nitrogen addition can decrease plant palatability in some contexts (Van Alstyne

et al. 2009; Chen *et al.* 2010; Tomas *et al.* 2011). Hosts enriched with greater nitrogen resources

may be able to mount stronger defenses, as higher nitrogen composition in tissue may be

indicative of nitrogen-rich host defense compounds. Many anti-herbivory defense compounds

utilize nitrogen, such as alkaloids, cyanogenic glycosides, glucosinolates, and benzoxazinoids

(Zenk and Juenger 2007). This might also account for the observed peak in the intensity of

parasite infection at intermediate nitrogen levels (Figure 1B). This intermediate peak could

represent a balance between parasite attraction to moderately N-rich plants and resistance to

parasites by some extremely N-rich plants.

Alternatively, hosts enriched with greater nitrogen resources may also be more tolerant of

parasites despite remaining susceptible. Larger size could reduce relative density and costs of

parasites, while N-rich compounds or forms of dense storage may have deterred parasites

outright. Plants allocate their resources differently depending on resource availability, such that

defense may only become a priority over growth or reproduction at a certain threshold of resource

richness (Herms and Mattson 1992; Züst and Agrawal 2017). Consistent with this hypothesis,

plants in the high-N treatment allocated their additional nitrogen to either growing larger in size

or increasing density of nitrogen composition (Figure S5).

The resource effect is not fully explained by nitrogen assimilation into host tissues

Assimilated nitrogen does not fully explain the effect of nitrogen on parasite infection in

our study. Even after accounting for the significant effect of tissue nitrogen composition on total

parasite load and intensity of infection, there remained a persistent difference in infection

intensity between the intermediate- and high-nitrogen treatments (Figure 3). Nitrogen affects

plants in many diverse, interlinked, and cascading ways which could impact parasite infection. It

is an essential component of chlorophyll, which is necessary for photosynthesis. Various forms of

nitrogen (nitrate and ammonium) function as signaling molecules within a complex network

regulating uptake, metabolism, developmental phase change, and plant defense and immunity

among other processes (Xuan *et al.* 2017; Vidal *et al.* 2020; Hancock 2020). Nitrate (NO_3^-)

feeding—the form of our exogenous nitrogen fertilizer treatment—is thought to facilitate

resistance through enhancing the production of defense signals, while ammonium (NH_4^+)—the

product of bacteroid nitrogen fixation—may compromise defense through increased GABA

nutrients for pathogens (Udvardi and Poole 2013; Mur *et al.* 2017). These differing forms of

nitrogen may have affected our results, as rhizobia-provided ammonium might have contributed

to higher parasite susceptibility than exogenous nitrate, which may have enhanced defense.

It is also possible that shoot nitrogen content, which we measured in this experiment, does

not paint a complete picture of the relationship between plant nitrogen assimilation and root-knot

nematode infection. Nematodes may have affected plant size and nitrogen content through their

role as nutrient sinks: assimilated nitrogen may have been siphoned off to galls and egg sacs,

increasing root biomass through gall formation and potentially reducing shoot biomass and shoot

nitrogen content. Therefore, though nitrogen content and assimilation appears to play a

significant role in parasite load, considering the various fluxes of nitrogen and its uses to clarify

the mechanisms of this correlation can contribute to a more complete understanding. Resources

can also be differentially allocated to shoots, roots, leaves, or reproductive organs like seeds and

fruit following optimal defense theory (Ohnmeiss and Baldwin 2000; Schultz *et al.* 2013). For

instance, in our experiment, nitrogen may have driven increased investment in photosynthetic

tissue (i.e., aboveground biomass) for plants growing in high-N (Figure S3, Figure S4).

Little effect of mutualism establishment on parasite load

In this study, mutualism establishment alone did not have a significant effect on parasite

load. We found no statistically significant differences in parasite load between host plants

inoculated and uninoculated with rhizobia (Figure 1). One possible explanation for this was that

our analysis was underpowered for the initial test between rhizobia-inoculated plants and

uninoculated controls. However, we also showed that there was no relationship between non-

nitrogen-fixing nodules and parasite load (Figure 2A), consistent with our conclusion that

mutualism establishment (nodulation) alone did not increase parasite load. By contrast, there was

an increase in parasite load with nitrogen-fixing nodules (Figure 2B), consistent with our

conclusion that mutualist-provided resources may increase susceptibility to parasite infection in

our system.

This finding is surprising because it is well known that legumes undergo significant

changes in their immune system while forming symbioses with rhizobia. Nodulation is tightly

regulated, with the host systematically downregulating its innate immune system to prevent plant

defense responses upon detecting rhizobial Nod factors (Grundy *et al.* 2023). Future research

should interrogate why mutualism establishment alone seems to play a minor role in

susceptibility to this particular parasite in the legume-rhizobia mutualism.

Implications for mutualism ecology and evolution

In our experiment, nitrogen had a significant and complex effect on susceptibility to

parasites. This finding has potentially wide-ranging implications because nitrogen is a common

mutualist-provided resource. Rhizobia fix nitrogen for legumes; mycorrhizal fungi also form

symbiotic associations with plant roots, benefiting plants' ability to uptake nitrogen from the soil

(Govindarajulu *et al.* 2005). Some cyanobacteria symbionts fix nitrogen for lichen (Zehr 2011).

Similarly, algal turf communities fix nitrogen on coral reefs (Williams and Carpenter 1997). In

ant-plant protection mutualisms and bird-plant pollinator mutualisms, animals will deposit

nitrogen-rich droppings to benefit the plant (Defossez *et al.* 2011; Whelan *et al.* 2015; Pinkalski

et al. 2018). Within nitrogen-limited environments, these mutualisms offset resource constraints,

providing critical padding by increasing host and ecosystem nitrogen resource budgets (Berman-

Frank *et al.* 2003; Guo *et al.* 2023). Nitrogen provisioning may be a common mechanism that

increases susceptibility to antagonists across many different mutualisms.

In addition to mutualist-provided nitrogen, human activity in the age of the Anthropocene

can also affect the ecology and outcomes of species interactions, as agricultural inputs contribute

about 100 Tg of Nitrogen per year into soil through synthetic fertilizer, with additional organic

inputs of manure and crop waste (Fowler *et al.* 2013). These human inputs have dramatic

downstream effects on ecosystems and species interactions, which are known to lead to potential

breakdown of nitrogen-provisioning mutualisms (Johnson 1993; Weese *et al.* 2015). Moving

forward, our data suggests that it would be worth investigating how anthropogenic nitrogen can

cascade to other species interactions. Could increased susceptibility to parasites be an unexpected

byproduct of resource input? It has been proposed that the ecophysiology of carbon resource

allocation can explain the ecology and evolution of mutualisms (Pringle 2016). Nitrogen resource

dynamics of resource production, exchange, and use can likewise contribute to our understanding

of indirect species interactions and ecosystem structure in a time of rapid anthropogenic change.

It is important to note that mutualist-provided resources increasing susceptibility to

parasites does not necessarily mean that mutualists impose a net cost, resulting in antagonism

(Bell *et al.* 2022). The interaction would still be considered mutualistic as long as the benefit

outweighs the cost for both partners (Bronstein 2001b). The peak in size-adjusted parasite load at

intermediate nitrogen levels may help to explain the wide range of effects of mutualisms on

parasitism; while we focused on increasing susceptibility to parasites, many mutualisms do deter

parasites (Clay 1988; González☐Teuber *et al.* 2014; Jandér 2015 p. 2023; Braquart-Varnier *et al.*

2015; Wang *et al.* 2023). Nitrogen as a resource could both attract as well as defend against

antagonists depending on the resource landscape, availability, and use. Future research should

explore at what resource level the net benefits of mutualism are maximized, integrating the effect

of resources on parasite infection as well as the direct benefit of resources.

Future research should explore the relative roles of mutualism establishment and

mutualist-provided resources in driving susceptibility to parasites in other systems. Their relative

importance likely varies across systems, because the host mounts a slightly different

physiological response to each microorganism, and because different resources will likely differ

in their cascading consequences for ecological interactions. Nevertheless, our study highlights the

potential wide-ranging significance of nitrogen—with its massive biotic and anthropogenic

inputs—for multi-species interactions and their outcomes. Finally, our results raise the intriguing

possibility that nutritional mutualisms may inherently increase susceptibility to infection, because

infection risk is tied directly to the traded resource. If this pattern extends beyond our study

system to other nutritional mutualisms, then antagonists may be important overlooked agents of

selection driving the evolution of increased benefits in nutritional mutualisms.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Chigozie Ibe and Yoon Chang for data collection and their help in maintaining the experiment. We thank Ben Glass for his work on a previous version of the experiment. We also thank McCall Calvert, Addison Buxton-Martin, Chang-Yu Chang, Kim Gallagher, and Wood Lab members for their comments and feedback on the manuscript. The Plant Seminar group at the University of Pennsylvania provided helpful feedback on the experimental analysis and interpretation of results. We are grateful to Adrienne Gorny at North Carolina State University for *M. hapla* nematode lines, Nevin Young and the *Medicago* HapMap project for seeds, Qiulin Qin and Hongyan Zhu at the University of Kentucky for Rm41 and A145 rhizobia strains, the USDA for USDA1021 and WSM1022 rhizobia strains, and David Vann and the Instrumentation Core at the University of Pennsylvania's Earth and Environmental Science Department for their assistance with elemental analysis. We are also grateful to Samara Gray and Kathryn Weber for their management of the greenhouses at the University of Pennsylvania. This work was funded by the National Science Foundation's Division of Environmental Biology (grant no. 2118397) to Corlett Wood and a Penn Undergraduate Research Mentoring Program (PURM) fellowship award to Nova Meng.

Literature Cited

Adler LS, Bronstein JL. 2004. Attracting Antagonists: Does Floral Nectar Increase Leaf Herbivory? *Ecology* 85: 1519–1526.

Barker DG, Pfaff T, Moreau D, *et al.* 2006. Growing M. truncatula: choice of substrates and growth conditions.

Batstone RT, Dutton EM, Wang D, Yang M, Frederickson ME. 2017. The evolution of symbiont preference traits in the model legume Medicago truncatula. *New Phytologist* 213: 1850–1861.

Bell CA, Magkourilou E, Urwin PE, Field KJ. 2022. Disruption of carbon for nutrient exchange between potato and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhanced cyst nematode fitness and host pest tolerance. *New Phytologist* 234: 269–279.

Berman-Frank I, Lundgren P, Falkowski P. 2003. Nitrogen fixation and photosynthetic oxygen evolution in cyanobacteria. *Research in Microbiology* 154: 157–164.

Braquart-Varnier C, Altinli M, Pigeault R, *et al.* 2015. The Mutualistic Side of Wolbachia–Isopod Interactions: Wolbachia Mediated Protection Against Pathogenic Intracellular Bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6.

Bronstein JL. 2001a. The exploitation of mutualisms. *Ecology Letters* 4: 277–287.

Bronstein JL. 2001b. The Costs of Mutualism. *American Zoologist* 41: 825–839.

Brooks M E, Kristensen K, Benthem K J ,van, *et al.* 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *The R Journal* 9: 378.

Burr AA, Woods KD, Cassidy ST, Wood CW. 2022. Priority effects alter the colonization success of a host-associated parasite and mutualist. *Ecology* 103: e3720.

Cao Y, Halane MK, Gassmann W, Stacey G. 2017. The Role of Plant Innate Immunity in the

Legume-Rhizobium Symbiosis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 68: 535–561.

Castagnone-Sereno P, Danchin EGJ, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Abad P. 2013. Diversity and Evolution

of Root-Knot Nematodes, Genus *Meloidogyne*□: New Insights from the Genomic Era.

Annual Review of Phytopathology 51: 203–220.

Catella SA, Olmsted CF, Markalanda SH, McFadden CJ, Wood CW, Kuebbing SE. 2022. A

generalist nematode destabilises plant competition: no evidence for direct effects, but

strong evidence for indirect effects on rhizobium abundance. *New Phytologist* 233: 2561–

2572.

Chaston J, Goodrich-Blair H. 2010. Common Trends in Mutualism Revealed by Model

Associations Between Invertebrates and Bacteria. *FEMS microbiology reviews* 34: 41–58.

Chen Y, Olson DM, Ruberson JR. 2010. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on tritrophic

interactions. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* 4: 81–94.

Christian N, Whitaker BK, Clay K. 2015. Microbiomes: unifying animal and plant systems

through the lens of community ecology theory. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6.

Clay K. 1988. Fungal Endophytes of Grasses: A Defensive Mutualism between Plants and Fungi.

Ecology 69: 10–16.

Cook DR. 1999. *Medicago truncatula* — a model in the making! *Current Opinion in Plant*

Biology 2: 301–304.

Costa SR, Ng JLP, Mathesius U. 2021. Interaction of Symbiotic Rhizobia and Parasitic Root-

Knot Nematodes in Legume Roots: From Molecular Regulation to Field Application.

Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions® 34: 470–490.

Damiani I, Baldacci-Cresp F, Hopkins J, *et al.* 2012. Plant genes involved in harbouring

symbiotic rhizobia or pathogenic nematodes. *New Phytologist* 194: 511–522.

Defossez E, Djiéto-Lordon C, McKey D, Selosse M-A, Blatrix R. 2011. Plant-ants feed their host

plant, but above all a fungal symbiont to recycle nitrogen. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences 278: 1419–1426.

Evans, CS. 1991. J. B. Harborne (ED.) Methods in plant biochemistry: 1. plant phenolics.

Academic Press, New York and London, 1990. £65. *Phytochem. Anal.*, 2, 48–48.

Faeth SH, Fagan WF. 2002. Fungal Endophytes: Common Host Plant Symbionts but Uncommon

Mutualists1. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 42: 360–368.

Ferguson BJ, Mens C, Hastwell AH, *et al.* 2019. Legume nodulation: The host controls the party.

Plant, Cell & Environment 42: 41–51.

Fischer HM. 1994. Genetic regulation of nitrogen fixation in rhizobia. *Microbiological Reviews*

58: 352–386.

Fowler D, Coyle M, Skiba U, *et al.* 2013. The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368: 20130164.

Frederickson ME, Gordon DM. 2007. The devil to pay: a cost of mutualism with *Myrmelachista*

schumanni ants in 'devil's gardens' is increased herbivory on *Duroia hirsuta* trees.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274: 1117–1123.

González⬜Teuber M, Kaltenpoth M, Boland W. 2014. Mutualistic ants as an indirect defence

against leaf pathogens. *New Phytologist* 202: 640–650.

Gourion B, Berrabah F, Ratet P, Stacey G. 2015. Rhizobium–legume symbioses: the crucial role

of plant immunity. *Trends in Plant Science* 20: 186–194.

Govindarajulu M, Pfeffer PE, Jin H, *et al.* 2005. Nitrogen transfer in the arbuscular mycorrhizal

symbiosis. *Nature* 435: 819–823.

Grundy EB, Gresshoff PM, Su H, Ferguson BJ. 2023. Legumes Regulate Symbiosis with

Rhizobia via Their Innate Immune System. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*

24: 2800.

Guo Y, Fudali S, Gimeno J, *et al.* 2017. Networks Underpinning Symbiosis Revealed Through

Cross-Species eQTL Mapping. *Genetics* 206: 2175–2184.

Guo K, Yang J, Yu N, Luo L, Wang E. 2023. Biological nitrogen fixation in cereal crops:

Progress, strategies, and perspectives. *Plant Communications* 4: 100499.

Hancock JT. 2020. Nitric Oxide Signaling in Plants. *Plants* 9: 1550.

Harrison TL, Wood CW, Heath KD, Stinchcombe JR. 2017. Geographically structured genetic

variation in the Medicago lupulina–Ensifer mutualism. *Evolution* 71: 1787–1801.

Hartig, F. 2022. *DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed)*

Regression Models. R package version 0.4.6. http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/

Hartley SE, Gange AC. 2009. Impacts of Plant Symbiotic Fungi on Insect Herbivores: Mutualism

in a Multitrophic Context. *Annual Review of Entomology* 54: 323–342.

Herms DA, Mattson WJ. 1992. The Dilemma of Plants: To Grow or Defend. *The Quarterly*

Review of Biology 67: 283–335.

Herrera CM, Medrano M, Rey PJ, *et al.* 2002. Interaction of pollinators and herbivores on plant

fitness suggests a pathway for correlated evolution of mutualism- and antagonism-related

traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99: 16823–16828.

Hoek TA, Axelrod K, Biancalani T, Yurtsev EA, Liu J, Gore J. 2016. Resource Availability

Modulates the Cooperative and Competitive Nature of a Microbial Cross-Feeding

Mutualism (N Balaban, Ed.). *PLOS Biology* 14: e1002540.

Irwin RE, Bronstein JL, Manson JS, Richardson L. 2010. Nectar Robbing: Ecological and

Evolutionary Perspectives. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 41:

271–292.

Jandér KC. 2015. Indirect mutualism: ants protect fig seeds and pollen dispersers from parasites.

Ecological Entomology 40: 500–510.

Janzen, DH. 1975. *Pseudomyrmex nigropilosa*□: A Parasite of a Mutualism. *Science*, 188, 936–

937.

Janzen DH, Boucher D. 1985. The natural history of mutualisms In: *The Biology of*

Mutualism. 40–99.

Johnson NC. 1993. Can Fertilization of Soil Select Less Mutualistic Mycorrhizae? *Ecological*

Applications 3: 749–757.

Johnson CA. 2021. How mutualisms influence the coexistence of competing species. *Ecology*

102: e03346.

Johnson CA, Bronstein JL. 2019. Coexistence and competitive exclusion in mutualism. *Ecology*

100: e02708.

Johnstone RA, Bshary R. 2002. From parasitism to mutualism: partner control in asymmetric

interactions. *Ecology Letters* 5: 634–639.

Jung SC, Martinez-Medina A, Lopez-Raez JA, Pozo MJ. 2012. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance

and priming of plant defenses. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 38: 651–664.

Kandouh BH, Hasan AE, Al-Hakeem AMA, Aridh ZHJ. A Complete Safe and Cost-Effective

Method For Staining Root-Knot Nematodes *Meloidogyne* spp.

Kearsley JVS, Sather LM, Finan TM. 2024. Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) meliloti. *Trends in*

Microbiology 32: 516–518.

Klepzig KD, Adams AS, Handelsman J, Raffa KF. 2009. Symbioses: A Key Driver of Insect

Physiological Processes, Ecological Interactions, Evolutionary Diversification, and

Impacts on Humans*. *Environmental Entomology* 38: 67–77.

Koricheva J, Gange AC, Jones T. 2009. Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on insect herbivores: a

meta□analysis. *Ecology* 90: 2088–2097.

Lenth, RV. 2023. *emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.* R package

version 1.8.7.

Lindström K, Mousavi SA. 2020. Effectiveness of nitrogen fixation in rhizobia. *Microbial*

Biotechnology 13: 1314–1335.

Little AE, Currie CR. 2009. Parasites may help stabilize cooperative relationships. *BMC*

Evolutionary Biology 9: 124.

Mansfield KM, Gilmore TD. 2019. Innate immunity and cnidarian-Symbiodiniaceae mutualism.

Developmental & Comparative Immunology 90: 199–209.

Masson-Boivin C, Sachs JL. 2018. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation by rhizobia-the roots of a success

story. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 44: 7–15.

Meehan CJ, Olson EJ, Reudink MW, Kyser TK, Curry RL. 2009. Herbivory in a spider through

exploitation of an ant–plant mutualism. *Current Biology* 19: R892–R893.

Miller R m.1993. Nontarget and ecological effects of transgenically altered disease resistance in

crops -possible effects on the mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Molecular Ecology* 2: 327–335.

Moland E, Jones GP. 2004. Experimental confirmation of aggressive mimicry by a coral reef fish.

Oecologia 140: 676–683.

Moreau D, Voisin A-S, Salon C, Munier-Jolain N. 2008. The model symbiotic association

between Medicago truncatula cv. Jemalong and Rhizobium meliloti strain 2011 leads to

N-stressed plants when symbiotic N2 fixation is the main N source for plant growth.

Journal of Experimental Botany 59: 3509–3522.

Mur LAJ, Simpson C, Kumari A, Gupta AK, Gupta KJ. 2017. Moving nitrogen to the centre of

plant defence against pathogens. *Annals of Botany* 119: 703–709.

Ness JH. 2006. A mutualism's indirect costs: the most aggressive plant bodyguards also deter

pollinators. *Oikos* 113: 506–514.

Ohnmeiss TE, Baldwin IT. 2000. Optimal Defense Theory Predicts the Ontogeny of an Induced

Nicotine Defense. *Ecology* 81: 1765–1783.

Ott T, Van Dongen JT, Günther C, *et al.* 2005. Symbiotic Leghemoglobins Are Crucial for

Nitrogen Fixation in Legume Root Nodules but Not for General Plant Growth and

Development. *Current Biology* 15: 531–535.

Pinkalski C, Jensen KV, Damgaard C, Offenberg J. 2018. Foliar uptake of nitrogen from ant

faecal droplets: An overlooked service to ant⬚plants (R McCulley, Ed.). *Journal of*

Ecology 106: 289–295.

Pringle EG. 2016. Integrating plant carbon dynamics with mutualism ecology. *New Phytologist*

210: 71–75.

Raine NE, Gammans N, Macfadyen IJ, Scrivner GK, Stone GN. 2004. Guards and thieves:

antagonistic interactions between two ant species coexisting on the same ant⬚plant.

Ecological Entomology 29: 345–352.

Rice MM, Baldwin DG, Fischer JN, Fuchs C, Burkepile DE. 2021. Complex interactions with

nutrients and sediment alter the effects of predation on a reef⬚building coral. *Marine*

Ecology 42: e12670.

Richardson DM, Allsopp N, D'Antonio CM, Milton SJ, Rejmánek M. 2000. Plant invasions – the

role of mutualisms. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 75: 65–

93.

Rose RJ. 2008. Medicago truncatula as a model for understanding plant interactions with other

organisms, plant development and stress biology: past, present and future. *Functional*

plant biology: FPB 35: 253–264.

Saikkonen K, Saari S, Helander M. 2010. Defensive mutualism between plants and endophytic

fungi? *Fungal Diversity* 41: 101–113.

Salem H, Onchuru TO, Bauer E, Kaltenpoth M. 2015. Symbiont transmission entails the risk of

parasite infection. *Biology Letters* 11: 20150840.

Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ. 2003. Mutualism can mediate competition and promote coexistence.

Ecology Letters 6: 898–902.

Schultz JC, Appel HM, Ferrieri A, Arnold TM. 2013. Flexible resource allocation during plant

defense responses. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4.

Shanmugam KT, O'Gara F, Andersen K, Valentine RC. 1978. Biological Nitrogen Fixation.

Annual Review of Plant Biology 29: 263–276.

Simonsen AK, Stinchcombe JR. 2014. Herbivory eliminates fitness costs of mutualism

exploiters. *New Phytologist* 202: 651–661.

Smith, DC. 1991. Foreword. In L. Margulis and R. Fester (eds.), Symbiosis as a Source of

Evolutionary Innovation, pp. ix–x. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Tomas F, Abbott JM, Steinberg C, Balk M, Williams SL, Stachowicz JJ. 2011. Plant genotype

and nitrogen loading influence seagrass productivity, biochemistry, and plant–herbivore

interactions. *Ecology* 92: 1807–1817.

Toth R, Toth D, Starke D, Smith DR. 1990. Vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in

Zea mays affected by breeding for resistance to fungal pathogens. *Canadian Journal of*

Botany 68: 1039–1044.

Traveset A, Richardson DM. 2014. Mutualistic Interactions and Biological Invasions. *Annual*

Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 45: 89–113.

Udvardi M, Poole PS. 2013. Transport and Metabolism in Legume-Rhizobia Symbioses. *Annual*

Review of Plant Biology 64: 781–805.

Van Alstyne KL, Pelletreau KN, Kirby A. 2009. Nutritional preferences override chemical

defenses in determining food choice by a generalist herbivore, Littorina sitkana. *Journal*

of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 379: 85–91.

Van Schreven DA. 1959. Effects of added sugars and nitrogen on nodulation of legumes. *Plant*

and Soil 11: 93–112.

Vidal EA, Alvarez JM, Araus V, *et al.* 2020. Nitrate in 2020: Thirty Years from Transport to

Signaling Networks. *The Plant Cell* 32: 2094–2119.

Wang Q, Liu J, Li H, *et al.* 2018. Nodule-Specific Cysteine-Rich Peptides Negatively Regulate

Nitrogen-Fixing Symbiosis in a Strain-Specific Manner in *Medicago truncatula*.

Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions® 31: 240–248.

Wang L, Rubio MC, Xin X, *et al.* 2019. CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of leghemoglobin genes in

Lotus japonicus uncovers their synergistic roles in symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *New*

Phytologist 224: 818–832.

Wang M, Wang Z, Guo M, Qu L, Biere A. 2023. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant

growth and herbivore infestation depend on availability of soil water and nutrients.

Frontiers in Plant Science 14: 1101932.

Wang Longxiang, Wang Longlong, Tan Q, *et al.* 2016. Efficient Inactivation of Symbiotic

Nitrogen Fixation Related Genes in Lotus japonicus Using CRISPR-Cas9. *Frontiers in*

Plant Science 7.

Weese DJ, Heath KD, Dentinger BTM, Lau JA. 2015. Long-term nitrogen addition causes the

evolution of less-cooperative mutualists: NITROGEN ADDITION DESTABILIZES

MUTUALISM. *Evolution* 69: 631–642.

Whelan CJ, Şekercioğlu ÇH, Wenny DG. 2015. Why birds matter: from economic ornithology to

ecosystem services. *Journal of Ornithology* 156: 227–238.

Wickham H. 2016. *ggplot2*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Williams SL, Carpenter RC. 1997. Grazing effects on nitrogen fixation in coral reef algal turfs.

Marine Biology 130: 223–231.

Wood CW, Pilkington BL, Vaidya P, Biel C, Stinchcombe JR. 2018. Genetic conflict with a

parasitic nematode disrupts the legume–rhizobia mutualism. *Evolution Letters* 2: 233–

245.

Xuan W, Beeckman T, Xu G. 2017. Plant nitrogen nutrition: sensing and signaling. *Current*

Opinion in Plant Biology 39: 57–65.

Yu L, Zhang W, Geng Y, Liu K, Shao X. 2022. Cooperation With Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi

Increases Plant Nutrient Uptake and Improves Defenses Against Insects. *Frontiers in*

Ecology and Evolution 10.

Zehr JP. 2011. Nitrogen fixation by marine cyanobacteria. *Trends in Microbiology* 19: 162–173.

Zenk MH, Juenger M. 2007. Evolution and current status of the phytochemistry of nitrogenous

compounds. *Phytochemistry* 68: 2757–2772.

Züst T, Agrawal AA. 2017. Trade-Offs Between Plant Growth and Defense Against Insect

Herbivory: An Emerging Mechanistic Synthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 68:

513–534.

Figures

Figure 1: The effect of nitrogen and nodulation treatments on A) total parasite load and B) size-

adjusted parasite load (infection intensity). For panels, smaller transparent points are raw data,

while the larger opaque points are the estimated marginal means. Error bars on the estimated

marginal means show the 95% confidence interval.

Figure 2: The relationship between nodulation (for N-fixing and non-N-fixing nodules) and gall

number. Each point consists of a single plant sample, while lines of best fit are for plotted

variables, not adjusted for the contribution of model covariates. Additional model covariates

included block. P-values are from a single model that included Nitrogen, N-fixing nodules, and

non-N-fixing nodules as main effects.

Figure 3: The relationship between percent composition of nitrogen and total parasite load. Each

point consists of one plant sample, while lines of best fit are for plotted variables, not adjusted for

the contribution of model covariates. Additional model covariates included carbon composition,

root biomass, rhizobia strain, and block.

Tables

Table 1: The effect of nitrogen and nodulation treatments on parasite load.

The effect of nodulation (mutualism establishment) and nitrogen on total parasite load			
Nodulation	1.27	1	0.259
Nitrogen	18.9	2	**<0.001**
Block	5.51	3	0.138
Nodulation:Nitrogen	1.95	2	0.377
The effect of nodulation and nitrogen on size-adjusted parasite load (intensity of infection)			
Nodulation	0.248	1	0.619
Nitrogen	14.3	2	**<0.001**
Block	1.76	3	0.623
Root biomass (z-score)	26.2	1	**<0.001**
Nodulation:Nitrogen	1.71	2	0.425

778   **Table 2:** The relationship between nodulation and gall number.

	χ^2	Df	P
Nitrogen	37.2	2	**<0.001**
Number of non-N-fixing nodules	2.05	1	0.153
Number of N-Fixing Nodules	6.27	1	**0.0123**
Block	3.57	3	0.312
Nitrogen:White Nodule	3.12	3	0.210

780   **Table 3:** The relationship between percent composition of nitrogen and total parasite load.

	χ^2	Df	P
Nitrogen	28.2	2	**<0.001**
Nitrogen Composition	14.1	1	**<0.001**
Carbon Composition	1.79	1	0.181
Root biomass	11.4	1	**<0.001**
Rhizobia	1.14	4	0.888
Block	6.35	3	0.0960