Functional analysis of chromatin-associated proteins in *Sordaria macrospora* reveals similar

roles for RTT109 and ASF1 in development and DNA damage response

Jan Breuer[1], David Emanuel Antunes Ferreira[1], Mike Kramer[1], Jonas Bollermann[1], Minou

Nowrousian[1]

[1]Department of Molecular and Cellular Botany, Ruhr University Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150,

44801 Bochum, Germany

author for correspondence:

Minou Nowrousian

Department of Molecular and Cellular Botany

Ruhr University Bochum

Universitätsstr. 150

44801 Bochum

minou.nowrousian@rub.de

running head: chromatin-associated proteins in fungi

keywords: *Sordaria macrospora*, fruiting body development, DNA damage response, *rtt109*,

chk2, *asf1*, chromatin-associated proteins

Abstract

We performed a functional analysis of two potential partners of ASF1, a highly conserved histone

chaperone that plays a crucial role in the sexual development and DNA damage resistance in the

ascomycete *Sordaria macrospora*. ASF1 is known to be involved in nucleosome assembly and

disassembly, and has direct and indirect roles in histone recycling and modification as well as DNA

methylation, acting as a chromatin modifier hub for a large network of chromatin-associated proteins.

Here, we functionally characterized two of these proteins, RTT109 and CHK2. RTT109 is a fungal-

specific histone acetyltransferase, while CHK2 is an ortholog to PRD-4, a checkpoint kinase of

Neurospora crassa that performs similar cell cycle checkpoint functions as yeast RAD53. Through the

generation and characterization of deletion mutants, we discovered striking similarities between

RTT109 and ASF1 in terms of their contributions to sexual development, histone acetylation and

protection against DNA damage. Phenotypic observations revealed a developmental arrest at the same

stage in Δrtt109 and Δasf1 strains, accompanied by a significant decrease in H3K56 acetylation levels,

as detected by semiquantitative western blot analysis. Deletion mutants of *rtt109* and *asf1* are

sensitive to the DNA damaging agent MMS (methylmethane sulfonate), but not HU (hydroxyurea). In

contrast, *chk2* mutants are fertile and resistant to MMS, but not HU. Our findings suggest a close

functional association between ASF1 and RTT109 in the context of development, histone modification

and DNA damage response, while indicating a role for CHK2 in separate pathways of the DNA damage

response.

Article summary

In the filamentous fungus *Sordaria macrospora*, the conserved histone chaperone ASF1, which

interacts with histones H3 and H4, was previously shown to be required for multicellular

development and DNA damage response. Here, we have analyzed two additional chromatin-

associated proteins. *rtt109* encodes a histone acetyltransferase, and deletion of the gene in *S.

macrospora* results in a phenotype similar to that of a Δasf1 mutant, whereas *chk2* is involved

in different aspects of the DNA damage response, but not in development.

Introduction

The fruiting bodies of ascomycetes are some of the most intricate structures of the fungal kingdom. While fruiting body formation represents a significant developmental process in the fungal life cycle, our understanding of the specific factors that regulate this differentiation remains limited. *Sordaria macrospora*, a homothallic ascomycete, has proven to be an excellent model to gain insight into the genetic background of sexual development and multicellular development in general (1). *S. macrospora* offers multiple advantages as a model organism, such as a very fast life cycle as it generates its complex fruiting bodies, the perithecia, in under 7 days under laboratory conditions (2). Multiple approaches, from the investigation of mutants from random mutagenesis programs (3) to transcriptomics-based reverse genetics (4), have been used to study the complex genetic network of perithecia formation. Such research has yielded a lot of information about developmental genes, some of which are known to be conserved in higher eukaryotes and are in cases like the components of the STRIPAK complex (5) or the histone chaperone ASF1 (6, 7) even relevant for human diseases. ASF1 is known to interact with histones H3 and H4, both individually and as a dimer. It is involved in histone assembly and disassembly and was the first histone chaperone discovered to be involved in these processes during DNA replication, repair and transcription and was long thought to be the only one involved in all three processes (8). During sexual development of *S. macrospora*, ASF1 has been shown to be essential for achieving fertility, and Δasf1 mutants arrest their life cycle at the protoperithecia stage (6). Effects on DNA methylation (9) and histone modification (10) have also been described in *S. macrospora asf1* deletion mutants. Since the structure of ASF1 is well characterized and no domains have been detected that would allow it to carry out such enzymatic reactions by itself (8), functions in DNA methylation and histone modification are likely to be carried out by interaction partners. Studies in *S. cerevisae* showed a loss of H3K56ac histone acetylation in *asf1* deletion mutants, and the enzyme identified as responsible for this modification was shown to be the HAT (histone acetyltransferase) Rtt109 (11). Indeed, an interaction between Asf1, Rtt109, Vps75 and the target histone H3 has been demonstrated in yeast, and it seems likely that acetylation occurs during the interaction of these proteins (12). Therefore, the loss of H3K56ac in *S. cerevisae* Δasf1 strains appears to be caused by disruption of the complex that allows Rtt109 to act on its target. The dependence of Rtt109 on interaction partners is one of its distinguishing features, as *in vitro* experiments with the *S. cerevisiae* proteins have shown that the HAT alone is unable to acetylate histones and only functions in the presence of at least one of its interaction partners Asf1 or Vps75, while the presence of both is required for full activity (11). Rtt109 is exclusively found in fungi (13) and is responsible for modifying specific sites on histone H3, namely K9, K27 and K56, as clearly demonstrated in yeast (14, 15). In addition to its role in histone acetylation, Rtt109 has been shown to be involved in a variety of processes in fungal model organisms. In *Fusarium graminearum*, it has been shown to be involved in perithecia

morphogenesis, ascospore formation, conidiation and host plant infection (16). In *Aspergillus flavus* it

is important for aflatoxin synthesis, virulence and growth (17), whereas *Aspergillus fumigatus* requires

it for normal development and DNA damage response, as well as virulence (18). In *Neurospora crassa*,

a close relative of *S. macrospora*, RTT109 has been shown to be necessary for the production of small

RNAs (19). Therefore, RTT109 can be considered a major factor in many fungal models, suggesting its

importance in fungi in general, while the fact that is only found in this group of organisms might make

it an interesting target for anti-fungal drugs.

The histone acetylations generated by RTT109 are closely associated with newly synthesized histones

and play a crucial role in the assembly of nucleosomes during DNA replication and repair processes

(14). The involvement of H3K56ac in DNA damage repair, and thus the dependence of this process on

Rtt109, has been demonstrated in *S. cerevisae rtt109* deletion mutants, which lack H3K56ac and are

sensitive to the DNA double-strand break inducer methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and the DNA

replication inhibitor hydroxyurea (HU) (20). A similar relationship between ASF1, RTT109 and H3K56ac

might exist in *S. macrospora*, since *asf1* deletion strains of this fungus show sensitivity to MMS and a

reduction in H3K56ac (10). Therefore, we hypothesized that RTT109 might be the link between ASF1,

histone acetylation and DNA damage repair in *S. macrospora* and chose SMAC_05078, the *S.*

macrospora RTT109 homologue, as a target for functional characterization.

Another potential candidate, which might contribute to the reduced genomic stability of *asf1* mutants,

could be the checkpoint kinase Rad53. Rad53 was shown to be important for DNA damage protection

under the influence of MMS and HU in *S. cerevisiae* (21). *S. cerevisiae* Rad53 is known to physically and

functionally interact with Asf1, and the activity of Rad53 is tightly regulated by its phosphorylation

state. Hypophosphorylated Rad53 is known to be bound to Asf1 and maintained in an inactive state,

while phosphorylation and release from the complex is a sign of active Rad53 (22). Deletion of *asf1* in

budding yeast causes defects in DNA damage recovery and may be due to insufficient inactivation of

Rad53 (23). In addition, *asf1* deletion mutants show similar sensitivities to MMS and HU as *rad53*

deletion mutants (22). Rad53 is the functional equivalent of checkpoint kinases Chk2 in humans and

PRD-4 in the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*, since the corresponding genes can complement

an *S. cerevisiae* Δrad53 mutant (24, 25). The *S. macrospora* ortholog to PRD-4/Chk2 is SMAC_00634

(called CHK2 hereafter), which was chosen for analysis to shed more light on the chromatin modifier

network that contributes to the DNA damage protection functions of ASF1 in *S. macrospora*.

In this work, we created deletion mutants of *rtt109* and *chk2*, and analyzed their vegetative growth

and potential for sexual development. We also performed genotoxic stress assays to check for

similarities to *asf1* mutants. Furthermore, we determined the subcellular localization of the RTT109

protein by fluorescence microscopy and estimated the global amount of H3K56Ac in Δrtt109 by

semiquantitative western blots. We discuss our findings in the context of the roles of chromatin

modifiers during DNA damage protection and regulation of complex multicellular development.

Materials and Methods

Strains, crosses and growth conditions

137 Strains utilized in this study can be found in Table S1 in File S1. These strains were cultivated at a

138 temperature of 25 °C on either solid or liquid cornmeal medium (BMM) or complete medium (CM),

139 following previously established protocols (26) (27). In order to facilitate genetic crosses, the spore

140 color mutant fus was employed as a partner strain, enabling the identification of recombinant asci (3).

141 Previously described methods were used to carry out the transformation of *S. macrospora* (27).

Cloning procedures, oligonucleotides and plasmids

144 The oligonucleotides required for generating plasmids and conducting integration tests have been

145 provided in Table S2 in File S1. Additionally, all the plasmids utilized in this study are listed in Table S3

146 in File S1. The plasmid containing the deletion sequence for *rtt109* was generated through yeast

147 recombinant cloning (28). For assembling the deletion plasmid for *chk2,* and the complementation

148 plasmids for *rtt109* and *chk2*, Golden Gate cloning methodology was employed (29, 30).

Generation of *rtt109* and *SMAC_00634* deletion mutants and complementation strains

For conducting gene deletions, homologous recombination was utilized following established

procedures (31). In brief, a deletion cassette was constructed, comprising a selection marker in the

form of a hygromycin phosphotransferase gene under control of the constitutive P*gpd* promoter and

T*trpC* terminator from *Aspergillus nidulans* (32), flanked by upstream and downstream regions of the

target gene. This cassette was then cloned into a vector and transformed into the *S. macrospora* Δku70

strain after restriction and gel elution. Since KU70 is necessary for the ectopic integration of DNA, using

a *ku70* defective strain facilitates homologous recombination (31). Transformants that exhibited

resistance to hygromycin were selected and subsequent crosses were performed with the spore color

mutant fus, since most *S. macrospora* transformants are heterokaryotic. Ascospores from such crosses

were isolated to obtain homokaryotic deletion mutants and to enable the elimination of the Δku70

background. The resulting strains were verified through PCR. To complement the *rtt109* and *chk2*

mutants, the assembled plasmid containing the respective gene fused to an eGFP tag, controlled by a

P*gpd* promoter, was introduced into the corresponding strains via transformation. Ascospores were

isolated from the transformants that reached fertility, allowing the acquisition of homokaryotic strains

carrying the complementation construct.

Growth tests and genotoxic stress assays

Growth tests were performed on BMM media and the growth front was documented every 24 hours.

All tests were performed with three biological replicates. Statistical evaluation was conducted using a

Student's t-test. Phenotypic characterization involved observing and documenting the growth and

fertility of the strains on BMM plates using a Stemi 2000-C stereomicroscope (Zeiss). To assess the

resistance against methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), all strains were inoculated on BMM plates

supplemented with 0.007% (v/v) MMS, and the growth was observed and documented over a period

of 4 days. Similarly, resistance against hydroxyurea (HU) was evaluated on BMM plates containing 8

mM HU using the same approach.

Microscopic analysis

To investigate the subcellular localization of RTT109-EGFP fusion proteins, the strains were cultivated

on glass slides along with strains expressing H3-mRFP fusion proteins, as previously described (33).

Heterokaryotic strains can therefore express both fluorescence-labelled proteins (34). Subsequently,

light and fluorescence microscopy were performed using an AxioImager microscope (Zeiss), equipped

with a Photometrix Cool SnapHQ camera (Roper Scientific). EGFP fluorescence was detected using a

Chroma filter set 41017 (HQ470/40, HQ525/50, Q495lp), while mRFP fluorescence was detected using

set 49008 (EG560/40x, ET630/75 m, T585lp). The acquired images were further processed using

MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

Semiquantitative analysis of H3K56ac

To evaluate differences in the global levels of H3K56ac between the Δrtt109 strains and the wild type,

a semi-quantitative western blot analysis was conducted using antibodies specific to this particular

modification. The wild type strain SN1693, the Δrtt109 strain S60, and the corresponding

complementation strain S60K1 were grown in liquid BMM medium (26) at 27 °C for 4 days. The

mycelium was harvested by filtration, washed with PPP (27) and subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen

before being ground to a fine powder. Protein extraction was performed by mixing the powder with

extraction buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 0.05 % NP-40, 0,3 % (v / v) Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail Set IV (Calbiochem)). After 20 min centrifugation, the protein concentrations were determined

using Bradford assays (35). Equal amounts of proteins were separated by SDS gel electrophoresis, and

transferred onto a PVDF membrane through western blotting. A H3K56ac-specific antibody (Active

Motif #39082) was used to detect the respective bands. The band intensities were compared using a

ChemiDoc XRS+ system with Image Lab 4.0 software. To normalize the results for variations in global

protein levels, a Coomassie gel run simultaneously served as control. Five biological replicates were

performed, and statistical significance was determined using a Student's t-test.

Results

Deletion of *rtt109* in *S. macrospora* leads to sterility and impairs vegetative growth

For the functional characterization of the histone acetyltransferase RTT109 in *S. macrospora*, a *ku70*

deletion strain was transformed using a deletion cassette designed for the *rtt109* sequence. The

deletion cassette consisted of a hygromycin phosphotransferase gene flanked by sequences

homologous to the *rtt109* gene, enabling the replacement of the target gene with a resistance marker.

Primary transformants that exhibited resistance to hygromycin were selected and subsequently

crossed in order to obtain homokaryotic deletion mutants without the Δku70 background. The deletion

of *rtt109* in the resulting strains was verified by PCR with primers designed to amplify a part of the

gene of interest. The correct integration of the deletion cassette was verified by PCR (Figure S1 in File

S1). Throughout the generation of the deletion strains, primary transformants did not exhibit any

noticeable defects in sexual development and demonstrated the ability to achieve fertility. Since

primary transformants are heterokaryotic in most cases, effects of gene deletions are often not directly

obvious and sometimes appear only in homokaryotic strains. Indeed, the homokaryotic Δrtt109 strains

were completely sterile (Table S4 in File S1). Microscopic examination confirmed a block in the sexual

development of Δrtt109 at the stage of young protoperithecia (Figure 1). While the ascogonia and early

protoperithecia were formed normally and at the expected time, no further structures of sexual

development were observed. Even after a week, there was no developmental progression beyond that

stage. The developmental effects of *rtt109* deletions in *S. macrospora* appeared to be even more

severe than in other ascomycete model organisms such as *F. graminearum*, where a reduction in

ascospore formation and aberrant perithecia have been previously documented (16). *rtt109* fused with

a C-terminal eGFP tag was reintroduced into the validated deletion strains through ectopic integration

to conduct complementation analysis and perform localization studies using fluorescence microscopy.

Complementation strains regained fertility and were able to grow wild type-like sexual structures

(Figure 1).

Deletion of *rtt109* also inhibited the vegetative growth rate of the respective mutants. Since RTT109 is

suspected to be an interaction partner of ASF1, we documented the growth of *rtt109* deletion mutants,

the respective complementation strain, and *asf1* deletion mutants to compare them to each other and

to the wild type (Figure 2). Deletion of *rtt109* caused a visible reduction in vegetative growth rate,

which was very similar to that of *asf1* deletion mutants, although the density of Δrtt109 mycelium
appeared higher and more wild type-like. The growth rate of *rtt109* deletion strains was fully restored
to wild type levels by reintroduction of the *rtt109* gene. We quantified this observation by measuring
the progress of the growth front in 5 biological replicates and detected a reduction of vegetative
growth speed of around 40 % in Δrtt109 and Δasf1 strains (Figure 3). The impairment of vegetative
growth in *S. macrospora* Δrtt109 is consistent with results in *F. graminearum*, where corresponding
deletion mutants were also reported to grow 40% slower than the wild type (16). This effect was
completely reversed by complementation of the *rtt109* mutant and the respective strains exhibited a
growth speed comparable to the wild type (Figure 3). In summary, the findings indicate that *rtt109*
plays a crucial role in the sexual development of *S. macrospora* and is also significant for vegetative
growth. The phenotype of *rtt109* deletion mutants appears highly similar to that of *asf1* deletion
mutants, suggesting that indeed both work in the same pathway.

***S. macrospora* Δrtt109 and Δasf1 react similarly to methyl methanesulfonate and hydroxyurea**
In previous work, we discovered a sensitivity of *S. macrospora* Δasf1 strains to the DNA damaging agent
MMS as well as a reduction in global H3K56ac levels in Δasf1 (10). The histone acetyltransferase
RTT109 interacts with the chromatin modifier ASF1 in *Candida albicans* (12) and is involved in various
mechanisms that ensure DNA stability during replication. Additionally, RTT109 is known as the primary
enzyme responsible for H3K56 acetylation in *S. cerevisiae* (14). In the case of *A. fumigatus*, deletion of
rtt109 led to heightened sensitivity to DNA damaging agents such as MMS and HU (18). MMS induces
DNA methyl adducts, which can cause double-strand breaks during replication (36), while HU inhibits
the ribonucleotide reductase and leads to replication arrest by affecting dNTP supply (37). In our study,
we exposed *S. macrospora* Δrtt109, the corresponding complementation strain, an *asf1* deletion
mutant, and the wild type to MMS and HU. We found that the deletion of *rtt109* resulted in severe
sensitivity to MMS, comparable to the sensitivity exhibited by the *asf1* mutant (Figure 4). While the
wild type and complementation strains were able to grow relatively normally on BMM media
containing MMS, the mutants failed to grow at all. Strikingly, we did not observe any significant effect
of HU on the mutants (Figure 5). These observations contrast with the effects of *rtt109* deletions in *S.*
cerevisae (14) and *A. fumigatus* (18), where *rtt109* mutants are sensitive to MMS as well as HU,
suggesting the presence of additional factors in *S. macrospora* that respond to such specific stress
conditions.

***S. macrospora* RTT109 is localized in the nucleus**
Given that RTT109 is described as a histone acetyltransferase, its targets are expected to be found
within the nuclear compartment of the cell. Consequently, it is necessary for RTT109 to localize within

the nucleus. Our complementation experiments with *rtt109* fused to an eGFP tag restored the

phenotype of the deletion mutant, so a correct localization of the fusion protein was expected. To

analyze the localization of RTT109, we employed fluorescence microscopy on the complementation

strains. These strains were cultivated alongside a marker strain that expressed histone H3 fused to an

mRFP tag. Since histones are primarily localized in the nucleus, this setup enabled us to perform co-

localization analysis in the resulting heterokaryons (33). Through the detection of both green and red

fluorescence in the same cellular compartment, we confirmed the co-localization of RTT109 and

histone H3 (Figure 6). Based on these findings, we can conclude that RTT109 in *S. macrospora* is indeed

localized within the nucleus.

The *rtt109* deletion severely reduces H3K56Ac levels in *S. macrospora*

RTT109 is widely recognized as the primary, and possibly exclusive, histone acetyltransferase

responsible for the acetylation of H3K56 in fungal organisms (13, 14, 20). This specific histone

modification has been demonstrated to play a crucial role in the cellular response to DNA damage

induced by MMS (38). Therefore, we analyzed the levels of H3K56ac in the *S. macrospora* Δrtt109

mutant. To assess changes in the levels of global H3K56ac, we conducted semiquantitative western

blot analysis in the *S. macrospora* Δrtt109 deletion mutant and compared the results to the wild type

and the complemented mutant. Our findings revealed a significant reduction in the overall abundance

of this histone modification, with levels reaching only approximately 6 % of those observed in the wild

type strain (Figure 7, Figure S2 in File S1). The complementation strain exhibited a complete restoration

of H3K56ac production, indicating the successful reinstatement of normal acetylation. Our results

differ from previous studies conducted in yeast, which reported a complete depletion of K56

acetylation following the deletion of *rtt109* (39). Intriguingly, we observed a similar effect on H3K56ac

levels in *asf1* deletion mutants (10), despite the essential role of ASF1 in the establishment of this

modification in *S. cerevisiae* (40). These results might suggest the presence of an alternative pathway

or potential persistence of H3K56ac in *S. macrospora*, despite the deletion of *rtt109* or *asf1*.

Deletion of *chk2*, a functional equivalent of *rad53*, has no effect on sexual development

The second potential partner for ASF1 during DNA damage protection we analyzed was the putative

checkpoint kinase CHK2, which is orthologous to the checkpoint kinases PRD-4 from *N. crassa* and the

human CHK2. It is the closest *S. macrospora* homolog to the *S. cerevisiae* checkpoint kinase Rad53

(Figure S3 in File S1). In contrast to the analyzed filamentous fungi and animals, there is a second

homolog in *S. cerevisiae*, Dun1, which is more similar to PRD-4 and CHK2 proteins from animals both

in sequence and domain structure than Rad53 (Figure 3B in File S1). However, PRD-4 from *N. crassa*

and the human CHK2 were both shown to be able to complement an *S. cerevisiae* Δrad53 mutant and

are therefore functionally equivalent to Rad53 (24, 25). Rad53 plays a critical role in maintaining
genomic stability and regulating cell cycle arrests during DNA damage repair, as well as histone
recycling in *S. cerevisiae* (41).

In yeast, the interaction between Asf1 and Rad53 is well documented, with Asf1 controlling Rad53 by
binding hypophosphorylated, inactive Rad53 and ensuring recovery from DNA damage repair (23). To
investigate the role of CHK2 in *S. macrospora*, we deleted the *S. macrospora chk2* using homologous
recombination. Hygromycin resistant primary transformants were crossed to obtain homokaryotic
strains, and the deletion of *chk2* was confirmed by PCR (Figure S4 in File S1). Both primary
transformants and homokaryotic deletion mutants showed normal growth and fruiting body
development without any noticeable differences compared to the wild type. Vegetative growth
appeared wild type-like (Figure 8) and Δchk2 strains achieved fertility within the expected timeframe.
To further assess potential developmental defects, we examined the morphology of perithecia and
asci in the mutant compared to the wild type and found no detectable differences (Figure 9). Thus, a
deletion of *chk2* has no discernible effect on the development of *S. macrospora*.

***S. macrospora* Δchk2 shows the opposite reaction to MMS and HU as Δrtt109 and Δasf1**
Given the known involvement of RAD53 and its functional equivalent PRD-4 in DNA damage protection
(42, 43), it was reasonable to expect a similar role for *S. macrospora* CHK2., Therefore, we conducted
sensitivity tests with the genotoxic substances MMS and HU on the *S. macrospora chk2* deletion
mutant. Surprisingly, the presence or absence of *chk2* did not have a noticeable impact on MMS
resistance in *S. macrospora* (Figure 10), in contrast to findings with the *N. crassa* ortholog PRD-4 (24).
This suggests that the relationship between ASF1 and CHK2 may not be significant in the context of
MMS-induced stress in our model system. However, under hydroxyurea stress, the deletion of *chk2*
had a clear effect, unlike the deletion of *asf1* (Figure 11). Reintroduction of *chk2* into *S. macrospora*
Δchk2 strains complemented the HU sensitivity phenotype (Table S5 in File S1). While all strains initially
exhibited slow growth under HU stress without significant differences within the first 48 hours, Δchk2
mutants ceased growing after 48 h. In contrast, the wild type and *asf1* deletion strain proved to be
resistant, consistent with previous observations comparing them to Δrtt109 strains. These results
suggest that CHK2 plays a critical role in the DNA damage response pathway specific to coping with
HU-induced stress, independent of ASF1 or RTT109.

Discussion

RTT109 might be necessary for large parts of the functions of ASF1 during DNA damage protection and sexual development

In this study, we investigated the role of two potential partners of the histone chaperone ASF1 during DNA damage protection and sexual development in the ascomycete *S. macrospora*. Our findings suggest that the histone acetyltransferase RTT109 has significant functions under normal and DNA damage stress conditions. Deletion of *rtt109* resulted in strains that exhibited similarities to *asf1* deletions in terms of vegetative growth, sexual development, histone acetylation, and DNA damage response. These observations suggest a close relationship between ASF1 and RTT109 in these processes, as supported by their documented interactions in other fungal models like *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisae* (12). The similarities between the respective deletion strains described raise the hypothesis that the severe phenotype observed in *S. macrospora* Δasf1 may be the consequence of the lack of interaction with RTT109. Studies in budding yeast have shown that Rtt109 relies on Asf1 to obtain histone H3 for acetylating K56 and the absence of Asf1 may nullify the functions of Rtt109 (44). In previous work, we demonstrated that sexual development in *S. macrospora* depends on the ability of ASF1 to bind histones and hypothesized that it acts as scaffold for H3-H4 interactions with other chromatin modifiers (10). The absence of such a scaffold might impair the ability of RTT109 to perform its functions in histone acetylation, which could cause the severe developmental defects in *asf1* deletion mutants. Thus, a reduction in H3K56ac may be the underlying issue in *S. macrospora* Δasf1 and proper acetylation of H3 may be crucial for sexual development. However, given the wide range of putative and proven functions of ASF1 (45), it may be challenging to pinpoint its role during *S. macrospora* development to a single interaction partner and its effect on H3K56ac. Interestingly, the DNA damage response functions of ASF1 do not seem to depend on its interaction with histones in the same way as sexual development, although ASF1 variants unable to bind histones showed a similar reduction of H3K56ac as full deletion mutants (10). However, our results on the sensitivity of *rtt109* deletion mutants to MMS show a dependence on RTT109 for DNA damage protection and therefore probably for the establishment of H3K56ac. More sensitive assays such as ChIP-seq are needed to fully quantify the potential differences in H3K56ac levels between *S. macrospora* Δasf1 and Δrtt109, and we hypothesize that deletion of the HAT causes an even greater decrease in acetylation levels than the loss of a putative scaffold. Other chromatin modifiers may be able to provide the low level activation for RTT109 to perform at least basic functions in *S. macrospora asf1* mutants, causing a potentially higher H3K56ac level in Δasf1. In *S. cerevisiae*, Rtt109 is known to interact not only with Asf1 but also with another histone chaperone, Vps75, which also binds H3 and H4 (13). Although a fully functional Asf1-Vps75-Rtt109 complex is known to be necessary for establishing correct acetylation patterns in budding yeast (46), the mere presence of ASF1, even without histone binding ability, might be sufficient to enable lower-level activity of RTT109, providing some form of DNA damage protection.

Therefore, the severe developmental defects observed in *S. macrospora* Δasf1, persistent when
expressing ASF1 variants unable to bind histones, may have roots not only in the misregulation of
developmental processes, but also in the accumulation of underlying DNA damage events,
compromising the respective strains as a whole. Such DNA damage accumulation might be too
insignificant to turn up during a sensitivity assay, but could potentially lead to disturbances during
tightly regulated processes, such as sexual development and fruiting body formation. While this
hypothesis requires further investigation, such as interaction studies between RTT109, ASF1 and
VPS75, the role of RTT109 during sexual development and the DNA damage response in *S. macrospora*
appears to be quite fundamental. As the primary facilitator of H3K56ac in fungi (14), RTT109 is clearly
essential for survival under MMS conditions, which can induce double-strand breaks during replication
(36). Furthermore, it is crucial during the formation of complex sexual structures, either by providing
the necessary genomic stability for such processes or by ensuring the correct transcription of
important genes through the establishment of proper acetylation patterns. Surprisingly, the deletion
of *rtt109* did not increase the sensitivity of *S. macrospora* to hydroxyurea, despite its well-known DNA
damaging properties that cause replication fork arrest by disrupting dNTP availability (37). In contrast,
other fungal model organisms like *A. fumigatus* and *S. cerevisiae* have been shown to exhibit
heightened sensitivity to HU when *rtt109* is deleted (18, 47). Interestingly, the total abolishment of
H3K56ac that results from a *rtt109* deletion appears to be specific to budding yeast, as *A. fumigatus*
H3K56 acetylation levels drop as significantly as those in *S. macrospora* (18), but low amounts of this
modification are detectable in both organisms. This might be an indicator of alternative H3K56ac
establishment pathways in multicellular fungi.
394
CHK2 is not essential for sexual development, but provides resistance to genotoxic stress
independent of ASF1
The second putative partner of ASF1 during DNA damage protection we investigated was CHK2. CHK2
is the ortholog of *N. crassa* PRD-4, which can complement a Δrad53 mutant with respect to its function
in DNA damage response in *S. cerevisiae* (24). Deletion of *chk2* did not result in any noticeable defects
in vegetative growth or developmental processes, suggesting its negligible role in the formation of
fruiting bodies. Furthermore, the mutants lacking *chk2* did not exhibit increased sensitivity to MMS-
induced DNA damage stress, unlike the highly sensitive *asf1* and *rtt109* mutants. This indicates that
CHK2 operates in a distinct damage response pathway from ASF1 and RTT109 under these specific
conditions. However, we discovered a role for CHK2 in response to a different type of genotoxic stress,
as the mutants showed sensitivity to the replication fork stressor hydroxyurea, while the *asf1* and
rtt109 mutants did not display such sensitivity. This suggests that CHK2 is involved in a genomic
protection system that functions independently of ASF1. Notably, this observation appears to be

specific for *S. macrospora*, as an *S. cerevisiae* Δasf1 mutant is sensitive to hydroxyurea (48). The precise

functions of CHK2 and its relationship with ASF1 in its broader chromatin modification network are

more challenging to elucidate, given the specific roles fulfilled by homologous checkpoint kinases like

PRD-4 or functional equivalents like RAD53 in their respective native organisms. However, it can be

inferred that any connection between ASF1 and CHK2 in DNA damage protection and sexual

development is of minor importance. Additionally, the diverse functions of ASF1 in *S. macrospora* do

not seem to include an active role in the response to hydroxyurea-induced stress.

In conclusion, our study revealed an essential role of the histone acetyltransferase RTT109 during

sexual development, vegetative growth, histone modification and genotoxic stress response in the

ascomycete *S. macrospora*. The phenotypic aberrations in *rtt109* deletion strains closely resemble

those previously observed in *asf1* deletion mutants, indicating a strong correlation between the

functions of ASF1 and RTT109. CHK2, another potential component of the ASF1-mediated chromatin

modifier network, appears to be unrelated to these processes but is instead involved in a distinct DNA

damage response system that operates independently of ASF1.

Data Availability Statement

Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The authors affirm that all data necessary for

confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Silke Nimtz for excellent technical assistance and Christopher Grefen

for support at the Department of Molecular and Cellular Botany. This work was funded by the German

Research Foundation (DFG, grant NO407/7-2 to MN).

References

1.   Teichert I, Pöggeler S, Nowrousian M. 2020. *Sordaria macrospora*: 25 years as a model
organism for studying the molecular mechanisms of fruiting body development. Appl
Microbiol Biotechnol 104:3691-3704.
2.   Lord KM, Read ND. 2011. Perithecium morphogenesis in *Sordaria macrospora*. Fungal Genet
Biol 48:388-99.
3.   Kück U, Pöggeler S, Nowrousian M, Nolting N, Teichert I. 2009. *Sordaria macrospora*, a Model
System for Fungal Development, p 17-39 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-00286-1_2.
4.   Nowrousian M. 2018. Genomics and transcriptomics to study fruiting body development: An
update. Fungal Biology Reviews 32:231-235.

5. Blank-Landeshammer B, Teichert I, Märker R, Nowrousian M, Kück U, Sickmann A. 2019.
Combination of proteogenomics with peptide *de novo* sequencing identifies new genes and
hidden posttranscriptional modifications. mBio 10:e02367-19.
6. Gesing S, Schindler D, Fränzel B, Wolters D, Nowrousian M. 2012. The histone chaperone ASF1
is essential for sexual development in the filamentous fungus *Sordaria macrospora*. Mol
Microbiol 84:748-65.
7. Yin X, Zhou M, Zhang L, Fu Y, Xu M, Wang X, Cui Z, Gao Z, Li M, Dong Y, Feng H, Ma S, Chen C.
2022. Histone chaperone ASF1A accelerates chronic myeloid leukemia blast crisis by activating
Notch signaling. Cell Death Dis 13:842.
8. English CM, Adkins MW, Carson JJ, Churchill ME, Tyler JK. 2006. Structural basis for the histone
chaperone activity of Asf1. Cell 127:495-508.
9. Schumacher DI, Lütkenhaus R, Altegoer F, Teichert I, Kück U, Nowrousian M. 2018. The
transcription factor PRO44 and the histone chaperone ASF1 regulate distinct aspects of
multicellular development in the filamentous fungus *Sordaria macrospora*. BMC Genetics
19:112.
10. Breuer J, Busche T, Kalinowski J, Nowrousian M. 2023. Histone binding of ASF1 is required for
fruiting body development, but not for genome stability in the filamentous fungus *Sordaria
macrospora*. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.06.16.545311:2023.06.16.545311.
11. Cote JM, Kuo YM, Henry RA, Scherman H, Krzizike DD, Andrews AJ. 2019. Two factor
authentication: Asf1 mediates crosstalk between H3 K14 and K56 acetylation. Nucleic Acids
Res 47:7380-7391.
12. Lercher L, Danilenko N, Kirkpatrick J, Carlomagno T. 2018. Structural characterization of the
Asf1-Rtt109 interaction and its role in histone acetylation. Nucleic Acids Res 46:2279-2289.
13. Tsubota T, Berndsen CE, Erkmann JA, Smith CL, Yang L, Freitas MA, Denu JM, Kaufman PD.
2007. Histone H3-K56 acetylation is catalyzed by histone chaperone-dependent complexes.
Mol Cell 25:703-12.
14. Han J, Zhou H, Horazdovsky B, Zhang K, Xu RM, Zhang Z. 2007. Rtt109 acetylates histone H3
lysine 56 and functions in DNA replication. Science 315:653-5.
15. Tang Y, Holbert MA, Delgoshaie N, Wurtele H, Guillemette B, Meeth K, Yuan H, Drogaris P, Lee
EH, Durette C, Thibault P, Verreault A, Cole PA, Marmorstein R. 2011. Structure of the Rtt109-
AcCoA/Vps75 complex and implications for chaperone-mediated histone acetylation.
Structure 19:221-31.
16. Kong X, van Diepeningen AD, van der Lee TAJ, Waalwijk C, Xu J, Xu J, Zhang H, Chen W, Feng J.
2018. The Fusarium graminearum Histone Acetyltransferases Are Important for
Morphogenesis, DON Biosynthesis, and Pathogenicity. Front Microbiol 9:654.
17. Sun R, Wen M, Wu L, Lan H, Yuan J, Wang S. 2021. The Fungi-specific histone Acetyltransferase
Rtt109 mediates morphogenesis, Aflatoxin synthesis and pathogenicity in *Aspergillus flavus* by
acetylating H3K9. IMA Fungus 12:9.
18. Zhang Y, Fan J, Ye J, Lu L. 2021. The fungal-specific histone acetyltransferase Rtt109 regulates
development, DNA damage response, and virulence in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Mol Microbiol
115:1191-1206.
19. Zhang Z, Yang Q, Sun G, Chen S, He Q, Li S, Liu Y. 2014. Histone H3K56 acetylation is required
for quelling-induced small RNA production through its role in homologous recombination. J
Biol Chem 289:9365-71.
20. Driscoll R, Hudson A, Jackson SP. 2007. Yeast Rtt109 promotes genome stability by acetylating
histone H3 on lysine 56. Science 315:649-52.
21. McClure AW, Diffley JFX. 2021. Rad53 checkpoint kinase regulation of DNA replication fork rate
via Mrc1 phosphorylation. eLife 10:e69726.
22. Emili A, Schieltz DM, Yates JR, Hartwell LH. 2001. Dynamic Interaction of DNA Damage
Checkpoint Protein Rad53 with Chromatin Assembly Factor Asf1. Molecular Cell 7:13-20.

23.   Tsabar M, Waterman DP, Aguilar F, Katsnelson L, Eapen VV, Memisoglu G, Haber JE. 2016. Asf1
497   facilitates dephosphorylation of Rad53 after DNA double-strand break repair. Genes Dev
498   30:1211-24.
499   24.   Pregueiro AM, Liu Q, Baker CL, Dunlap JC, Loros JJ. 2006. The *Neurospora* Checkpoint Kinase
500   2: A Regulatory Link Between the Circadian and Cell Cycles. Science 313:644-649.
501   25.   Matsuoka S, Huang M, Elledge SJ. 1998. Linkage of ATM to cell cycle regulation by the Chk2
502   protein kinase. Science 282:1893-1897.
503   26.   Esser K. Cryptogams : cyanobacteria, algae, fungi, lichens : textbook and practical guide, p. *In*
504   (ed),
505   27.   Walz M, Kück U. 1995. Transformation of *Sordaria macrospora* to hygromycin B resistance:
506   characterization of transformants by electrophoretic karyotyping and tetrad analysis. Curr
507   Genet 29:88-95.
508   28.   Oldenburg KR, Vo KT, Michaelis S, Paddon C. 1997. Recombination-mediated PCR-directed
509   plasmid construction *in vivo* in yeast. Nucleic Acids Res 25:451-2.
510   29.   Terfrüchte M, Joehnk B, Fajardo-Somera R, Braus GH, Riquelme M, Schipper K, Feldbrügge M.
511   2014. Establishing a versatile Golden Gate cloning system for genetic engineering in fungi.
512   Fungal Genet Biol 62:1-10.
513   30.   Dahlmann T, Terfehr D, Becker K, Teichert I. 2021. Golden Gate vectors for efficient gene fusion
514   and gene deletion in diverse filamentous fungi. Curr Genet 67:317-330.
515   31.   Pöggeler S, Kück U. 2006. Highly efficient generation of signal transduction knockout mutants
516   using a fungal strain deficient in the mammalian *ku70* ortholog. Gene 378:1-10.
517   32.   Punt PJ, Dingemanse MA, Kuyvenhoven A, Soede RD, Pouwels PH, van den Hondel CA. 1990.
518   Functional elements in the promoter region of the Aspergillus nidulans gpdA gene encoding
519   glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Gene 93:101-9.
520   33.   Schmidt S, Märker R, Ramšak B, Beier-Rosberger AM, Teichert I, Kück U. 2020. Crosstalk
521   Between Pheromone Signaling and NADPH Oxidase Complexes Coordinates Fungal
522   Developmental Processes. Frontiers in Microbiology 11.
523   34.   Engh I, Würtz C, Witzel-Schlömp K, Zhang Hai Y, Hoff B, Nowrousian M, Rottensteiner H, Kück
524   U. 2007. The WW Domain Protein PRO40 Is Required for Fungal Fertility and Associates with
525   Woronin Bodies. Eukaryotic Cell 6:831-843.
526   35.   Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities
527   of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical Biochemistry 72:248-254.
528   36.   Ensminger M, Iloff L, Ebel C, Nikolova T, Kaina B, Löbrich M. 2014. DNA breaks and
529   chromosomal aberrations arise when replication meets base excision repair. J Cell Biol 206:29-
530   43.
531   37.   Koç A, Wheeler LJ, Mathews CK, Merrill GF. 2004. Hydroxyurea arrests DNA replication by a
532   mechanism that preserves basal dNTP pools. J Biol Chem 279:223-30.
533   38.   Wurtele H, Kaiser GS, Bacal J, St-Hilaire E, Lee EH, Tsao S, Dorn J, Maddox P, Lisby M, Pasero P,
534   Verreault A. 2012. Histone H3 lysine 56 acetylation and the response to DNA replication fork
535   damage. Mol Cell Biol 32:154-72.
536   39.   Schneider J, Bajwa P, Johnson FC, Bhaumik SR, Shilatifard A. 2006. Rtt109 is required for proper
537   H3K56 acetylation: a chromatin mark associated with the elongating RNA polymerase II. J Biol
538   Chem 281:37270-4.
539   40.   Recht J, Tsubota T, Tanny JC, Diaz RL, Berger JM, Zhang X, Garcia BA, Shabanowitz J, Burlingame
540   AL, Hunt DF, Kaufman PD, Allis CD. 2006. Histone chaperone Asf1 is required for histone H3
541   lysine 56 acetylation, a modification associated with S phase in mitosis and meiosis. Proc Natl
542   Acad Sci U S A 103:6988-93.
543   41.   Gunjan A, Verreault A. 2003. A Rad53 kinase-dependent surveillance mechanism that
544   regulates histone protein levels in S. cerevisiae. Cell 115:537-49.
545   42.   Pellicioli A, Lucca C, Liberi G, Marini F, Lopes M, Plevani P, Romano A, Di Fiore PP, Foiani M.
546   1999. Activation of Rad53 kinase in response to DNA damage and its effect in modulating
547   phosphorylation of the lagging strand DNA polymerase. The EMBO Journal 18:6561-6572.

43.   Wakabayashi M, Ishii C, Inoue H, Tanaka S. 2008. Genetic analysis of CHK1 and CHK2
homologues revealed a unique cross talk between ATM and ATR pathways in *Neurospora*
crassa. DNA Repair (Amst) 7:1951-61.
44.   Zhang L, Serra-Cardona A, Zhou H, Wang M, Yang N, Zhang Z, Xu RM. 2018. Multisite Substrate
Recognition in Asf1-Dependent Acetylation of Histone H3 K56 by Rtt109. Cell 174:818-830.e11.
45.   Mousson F, Ochsenbein F, Mann C. 2007. The histone chaperone Asf1 at the crossroads of
chromatin and DNA checkpoint pathways. Chromosoma 116:79-93.
46.   Adkins MW, Carson JJ, English CM, Ramey CJ, Tyler JK. 2007. The Histone Chaperone Anti-
silencing Function 1 Stimulates the Acetylation of Newly Synthesized Histone H3 in S-phase*.
Journal of Biological Chemistry 282:1334-1340.
47.   Cañas JC, García-Rubio ML, García A, Antequera F, Gómez-González B, Aguilera A. 2022. A role
for the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rtt109 histone acetyltransferase in R-loop homeostasis and
associated genome instability. Genetics 222:iyac108.
48.   Lin L-j, Minard LV, Johnston GC, Singer RA, Schultz MC. 2010. Asf1 Can Promote Trimethylation
of H3 K36 by Set2. Molecular and Cellular Biology 30:1116-1129.

Figures

| | ascogonia | early protoperithecia | late protoperithecia | perithecia |

wild type

Δrtt109

Δrtt109 +*rtt109*

Figure 1: Development of *rtt109* deletion mutants compared to wild type and complementation strains. *S. macrospora* strains lacking the *rtt109* gene were found to exhibit impaired development with a block at the stage of early protoperithecia formation. Upon reintroduction of *rtt109*, normal life cycle progression was fully restored, as evidenced by the formation of late, melanized protoperithecia and the development of fully formed perithecia indistinguishable from those of the wild type strain. The scale bar for ascogonia and protoperithecia represents 20 μm, while the scale bar for perithecia represents 100 μm.

Figure 2. Comparison of vegetative growth of *rtt109* deletion and complementation strains with wild type and *asf1* deletion strains. Mycelial spread was observed over a period of 96 h and a final time after 10 d. While the wild type almost covered the entire plate in 96 h, *S. macrospora* Δrtt109 was significantly slower and grew as slowly as Δasf1 strains. This effect was reversed by reintroduction of *rtt109* in the *rtt109* deletion mutant, complementing the growth defect of the mutant. A visible difference between Δrtt109 and Δasf1 was the density of the mycelium. *S. macrospora* Δrtt109 appeared to grow as densely as the wild type, while Δasf1 appeared to be thinner overall. Scale bar represent 1 cm.

Figure 3. Quantification of the vegetative growth rates of *S. macrospora* Δrtt109, the respective complementation strain, Δasf1 and the wild type. While the wild type grew at about 2.5 cm per day, deletion of *rtt109* or *asf1* resulted in a significant decrease in growth rate to about 1.5 cm per day. Complementation of *rtt109* mutants restored the growth rate to wild type levels. Quantification was performed for 5 independent replicates and significance was assessed by Student's t-test. *** = p-value < 0.001, n.s. = p-value > 0.05.

Figure 4. MMS sensitivity test for *S. macrospora* Δrtt109. The sensitivity of *S. macrospora rtt109*
deletion mutants to the genotoxic compound MMS was observed after 4 days of growth on BMM
media and BMM media supplemented with 0.007 % MMS. While all strains exhibited normal growth
on BMM media, the addition of MMS completely halted the growth of the *rtt109* and *asf1* deletion
mutants. When *rtt109* was reintroduced into Δrtt109, its ability to survive under MMS stress was
restored. The scale bar provided represents 1 cm.

Figure 5. HU sensitivity test for *S. macrospora* Δrtt109. After a 4-day incubation on BMM media supplemented with 8 mM hydroxyurea, the sensitivity of *rtt109* deletion mutants was assessed. *S. macrospora* Δrtt109 exhibited no visible sensitivity to this DNA damaging agent, resembling the resistance observed in Δasf1. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

Figure 6. Localization analysis of RTT109 by fluorescence microscopy. RTT109 was expressed as a fusion
protein with an eGFP tag and cultivated together with a strain expressing histone H3 fused to an mRFP
tag. The visible colocalization of the green and red fluorescence indicates a nuclear localization of
RTT109. Scale bar represents 20 µm.

Figure 7. Semiquantitative assessment of H3K56ac levels in *S. macrospora* Δrtt109.

A. The level of global H3K56ac was determined by comparing equal amounts of whole protein extracts from the wild type, Δrtt109, and the respective complementation strain by SDS-Page separation and western blotting with H3K56ac-specific antibodies. Uncropped blots and the corresponding Coomassie gels are shown in Figure S2 in File S1.

B. Band intensity in Western blots was measured densitometrically and the ratio of mutant and complemented strains to the wild type was calculated. Normalization was done by comparison with a Coomassie gel run in parallel. The H3K56ac levels in the wild type strain were set at 100%. The deletion mutant showed a significant reduction in global H3K56ac levels, reaching around 6% of the wild type level. This reduction was restored upon complementation. The quantification was based on data from five independent biological replicates and the significance was confirmed using a Student's T-test. * = p-value < 0.05, ** = p-value < 0.01, n.s. = p-value > 0.05.

Figure 8: Growth comparison of *S. macrospora* Δchk2 with Δasf1 and the wild type. The growth and overall characteristics of the strains were monitored for 96 hours, with a final observation after 7 days on BMM media. There were no noticeable differences between the *chk2* deletion mutant and the wild type strain. Both strains exhibited similar growth rates and developed visible perithecia. The Δasf1 strain displayed significantly slower growth and failed to produce perithecia throughout the observation period. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

Figure 9: Morphological comparison of sexual structures generated by *S. macrospora* Δchk2 with
those of the wild type. The *chk2* deletion mutant demonstrated the ability to undergo the complete
life cycle of *S. macrospora* without any impairments. The fruiting bodies produced by the mutant
exhibited no abnormalities in comparison to the wild type strain. The perithecia were formed and
positioned in a normal manner and the overall morphology of the perithecia did not display any visible
defects. Δchk2 strains generated wild type-like asci. Scale bars represent 1 mm for top down view, 500
μm for side view and 100 μm for asci.

Figure 10: MMS sensitivity test for *S. macrospora* Δchk2. The growth of the strains was monitored on BMM media supplemented with 0.007% MMS for a duration of 96 hours. The *S. macrospora* Δchk2 strain did not display increased sensitivity to the DNA damaging agent compared to the wild type strain. Consistent with previous observations, the *asf1* deletion mutant exhibited a high level of sensitivity to MMS. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

Figure 11: HU sensitivity test for *S. macrospora* Δchk2. The growth of the strains was observed on BMM media supplemented with 8 mM hydroxyurea for a period of 96 hours. In contrast to the observations with MMS, the *chk2* deletion mutant exhibited sensitivity to the DNA damaging agent hydroxyurea. While Δchk2 strains appeared to progress normally for the first 48 hours, they stopped growing after that time. Reintroduction of the *chk2* gene restored HU resistance. In contrast, the wild type and Δasf1 strains continued to grow under the same conditions. Scale bar represents 1 cm.