Acquisition of auditory discrimination mediated by different processes through two distinct circuits linked to the lateral striatum

Susumu Setogawa[1,2,3,4,5,*], Takashi Okauchi[4], Di Hu[4], Yasuhiro Wada[6], Keigo Hikishima[7], Hirotaka Onoe[8], Kayo Nishizawa[3], Nobuyuki Sakayori[9], Hiroyuki Miyawaki[1,2], Takuma Kitanishi[2], Kenji Mizuseki[1,2], Yilong Cui[4], Kazuto Kobayashi[3*]

[1]Department of Physiology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

[2]Department of Physiology, Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

[3]Department of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

[4]Laboratory for Biofunction Dynamics Imaging, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[5]Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo 102-0083, Japan

[6]Laboratory for Pathophysiological and Health Science, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[7]Medical Devices Research Group, Health and Medical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba 305-8564, Japan

[8]Human Brain Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

[9]Department of Physiology and Oral Physiology, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

*Address for correspondence:

Kazuto Kobayashi, Ph.D.

E-mail: kazuto@fmu.ac.jp

Department of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Fukushima Medical

University, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan.

Tel. +81-24-547-1667

Susumu Setogawa, Ph.D.

E-mail: setogawa@omu.ac.jp

Department of Physiology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka

545-8585, Japan

Tel. +81-6-6645-3717

Abstract

The striatum, the central hub of cortico-basal ganglia loops, contains functionally heterogeneous subregions distinguished by the topographic patterns of structural connectivity. These subregions mediate various processes of procedural learning. However, it remains unclear when and how striatal subregions engage in the acquisition of sensory stimulus-based decision-making. A neuroimaging of regional brain activity shows that the anterior dorsolateral striatum (aDLS) and posterior ventrolateral striatum (pVLS) in rats are activated in a different temporal pattern during the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination. Chronic and transient pharmacologic manipulations show that the aDLS promotes the behavioral strategy driven by the stimulus-response association while suppressing that by the response-outcome association, and that the pVLS contributes to forming and maintaining the stimulus-response strategy. Electrophysiological recording indicates that subpopulations of aDLS neurons predominantly represent the outcome of specific behaviors at the initial period of discrimination learning, and that pVLS subpopulations encode the beginning and ending of each behavior according to the progress of learning. In addition, other subpopulations of striatal neurons indicate sustained activation after obtaining reward with distinct patterns reflecting the stimulus-response associations. Our findings demonstrate that aDLS and pVLS neurons integrate the new learning of auditory discrimination in spatiotemporally and functionally different manners.

Introduction

The neural network connecting the cerebral cortex, basal ganglia, and thalamus forms functionally heterogeneous loops distinguished by the topographic patterns of connectivity[1,2], and is implicated in various learning processes by the integration of cognitive, sensorimotor, and reward information[3,4]. The striatum is a key node in the cortico-basal ganglia loops, and its segregated subregions are considered to mediate procedural learning, such as motor skills and instrumental behavior, through parallel processing via different loops[5-7]. Previous studies in humans and non-human primates have reported that the neural activity within the striatum shifts from the associative subregions (caudate and anterior putamen) to the sensorimotor subregions (posterior putamen) as motor learning progresses[8-11]. In rodents, an instrumental behavior initiates as goal-directed actions and then transits to stimulus-response habits[12,13], requiring the dorsomedial striatum (DMS; a homologue to the caudate in primates) and the dorsolateral striatum (DLS; a homologue to the putamen in primates), respectively[14,15]. Based on these results, a model of procedural learning has been proposed, in which the functional dominance changes from the associative to sensorimotor subregions in the striatum during the learning phases[16].

In contrast, deviations from the prior model are evident in other behavioral tasks on decision-making based on external sensory cues. For example, the neural activity in the caudate in humans is associated with learning of a visuomotor association task and the execution of learned behavior[17,18]. The tail of the caudate in non-human primates contributes to the automatic, habitual behavior in decision-making task using complex visual stimuli[19]. In rodents, the DLS is involved in the acquisiton and execution of the discrimination task with visual and auditory cues, wheras the DMS madiates the performance of learned behavior[20,21]. Moreover, other striatal subregions, such as the posterior striatum and ventrolateral striatum in rodents are also engaged in the auditory discrimination[22-24]. Based on these results, a hypothesis has arisen that the acquisition of sensory cue-based decision-making requires wide-range spatiotemporal processing within the striatum. However, the neural mechanism by which the functional circuits linked to striatal subregions

change throughout learning processes is not yet fully understood.

To investigate a large-scale striatal reorganization in accordance with the acquisition of

external cue-dependent decisions, we conducted a two-alternative auditory discrimination task with

rats[25]. Using a small-animal neuroimaging technique, we found that the anterior dorsolateral

striatum (aDLS) and posterior ventrolateral striatum (pVLS) are activated to the highest level at the

middle and late stages during the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination, respectively. Then,

chronic pharmacologic manipulations confirmed that the aDLS and pVLS are necessary for

discrimination learning, and the transient manipulations also indicated the function of these

subregions in the learning at the corresponding stages. The resultant effects of the manipulations on

the behavioral strategy showed that the aDLS promotes the strategy based on the stimulus-response

association, while suppressing that based on response-outcome associations. Additionally, the pVLS

engages in the formation and maintenance of the stimulus-response association strategy.

Electrophysiological data of striatal neurons indicated the presence of aDLS subpopulations, mainly

representing the outcome of specific behaviors at the initial period of auditory discrimination and

pVLS subpopulations encoding the beginning and ending of behavior in association with the

development of the discrimination. There were other subpopulations in the aDLS and pVLS

showing long-term increased activity following reward with different patterns of the combination

between the stimulus and response. These findings revealed that the two striatal subregions are

involved in the acquisition of auditory discrimination through distinct spatiotemporal and functional

fashions, challenging the prior model which proposed a transition from the associative to

sensorimotor striatal subregions during learning.

Results

Leaning processes of auditory discrimination

We employed a two-alternative auditory discrimination task, in which rats are required to make new

associations between a tone instruction cue (2 or 10 kHz) and a response (pressing the left or right

lever)[25], setting the interval between the stimulus presentation and lever insertion to 3 s (Figure 1A). The success rate gradually increased, reaching a plateau around Day 13, which persisted through Day 24 (Figure 1B). Based on changes observed in the success rate, we categorized the learning processes into two phases: the acquisition phase, which lasted until Day 13; and the learned phase, which began after Day 13 and then continued at least until Day 24. In addition, we divided the acquisition phase into three stages depending on the success rate that included the early ($< 60\%$), middle ($60–80\%$), and late ($80–100\%$) stages. We chose Days 2, 6, and 10 at the early, middle, and late stages in the acquisition phase, respectively, as well as Days 13 and 24 in the learned phase for statistical comparison of the success rate. There were significant differences between Days 2 and 6, Days 6 and 10, as well as Days 10 and 13, showing no significant difference between Days 13 and 24 (Figure 1C). In contrast, the response time and omission rate did not vary among the training days (Figures 1D and 1E), and the variation of response bias decreased along with the progress of auditory discrimination (Figure 1F).

Distinct brain activity patterns in striatal subregions during learning processes

To investigate dynamic changes in regional brain activity in the entire striatum during auditory discrimination in the same animals, we conducted the positron emission tomography (PET) for small animals with 2-deoxy-2-[^{18}F]fluoro-D-glucose (^{18}F-FDG), which measures cerebral glucose metabolism correlated to brain activity[26-28] (Figure 2A). Before the auditory discrimination task, we performed the single lever press task, in which a lever pseudorandomly presented on either the left or right side, and the rats learned to press a lever associated with receiving a reward. This task was used as a control that does not require the decision process based on the auditory stimulus. ^{18}F-FDG-PET scanning was carried out on Day 4 of the single lever press task, and then during a series of training sessions including the acquisition (Days 2, 6, and 10) and learned (Day 24) phases (Figure 2B). The average number of lever presses in the single lever press task exceeded 80 times (81.6 ± 1.3, mean $\pm$ s.e.m.) on Day 2 and maintained that level until Day 4 (Figure 2C). In the

following auditory discrimination task, the success rate gradually increased along with the training days (Figure 2D), showing a significant difference among sessions in which we performed the ^{18}F-FDG-PET scanning (Figure 2E). The response time and omission rate were consistent among the days, and the variation of response bias became smaller as the task progresses (Figure 2E). These performances were similar to those in the intact rats (compared to Figures 1C–1F), suggesting that the procedure for ^{18}F-FDG-PET scans does not affect the acquisition of discrimination.

We investigated the brain regions, in which ^{18}F-FDG uptake significantly increased or decreased during the learning processes, applying a statistical significance threshold ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected) and an extent threshold, by using a voxel-based statistical parametric analysis[26]. We first analyzed task-related brain activity by comparing ^{18}F-FDG uptake on either day of the discrimination task with that on Day 4 of the single lever press task (summarized in Table S1). In the striatal subregions, there were significant increases of the activity in the unilateral aDLS and the bilateral pVLS on Days 6 and 10, respectively (Figures 2F and 2G). However, no significant change was observed in the DMS during the acquisition phase except a unilateral decrease on Day 24 (Figure 2F). Next, to evaluate the activity related to the progress of learning, ^{18}F-FDG uptake on Days 6, 10, and 24 was compared with that on Day 2. The learning-dependent brain activity was significantly increased in the bilateral aDLS and the unilateral pVLS on Days 6 and 10, respectively (Figure 2H), whereas the activity decreased in the bilateral DMS on Day 24 (Figure 2H). This decrease was also detected when ^{18}F-FDG uptakes were compared between Days 10 and 24 (Figure 2H), suggesting the down-regulation of the DMS activity in the learned phase.

To quantitatively validate changes in the brain activity in striatal subregions, we analyzed the amount of ^{18}F-FDG uptake in the voxel of interest of these subregions (Figure 2I). The ^{18}F-FDG uptake in the bilateral aDLS reached a peak on Day 6, and it decreased during the subsequent days (Figure 2J), whereas the uptake in the bilateral pVLS gradually increased along with the progress of learning, showing the maximal value on Day 10 (Figure 2K). The uptake in the DMS did not alter during the acquisition phase, and it decreased on Day 24 (Figure 2L). These results suggest that the

aDLS and pVLS contribute to learning processes in a different temporal pattern during the

acquisition phase, whereas the DMS may be engaged to the behavior in the learned phase.

Excitotoxic lesion of the aDLS and pVLS, but not the DMS, disrupts the acquisition of

auditory discrimination

To study whether the changed activity of striatal subregions is involved in learning processes, rats

received a bilateral injection of solution containing ibotenic acid (IBO; 8 mg/mL, 0.3 μL/site) or

phosphate buffered saline (PBS) into the subregions, and we conducted for the behavioral study.

The range of IBO lesion in the subregions was analyzed by immunostaining with a neuronal marker

NeuN after the behavioral tests (Figures 3A–3C). The number of single lever presses increased

similarly along with the training in both the PBS- and IBO-injected groups into the aDLS, pVLS, or

DMS along with the training (Figures 3D–3F). For the aDLS injections, increases in success rate

were impaired during the acquisition phase in the IBO group, compared to the PBS group, and the

impairments were mainly observed at the middle stage (Figures 3G). For the pVLS injection,

success rate impairments were observed in the IBO group showed compared to the PBS group,

although the period showing a significant difference was unclear (Figure 3H). The response time in

the aDLS-lesioned group did not alter during the discrimination training, whereas that in the pVLS-

lesioned group was continuously prolonged (Figure. S1A and S1B), and the omission rate and

response bias were similar between the lesioned and the corresponding control groups (Figures

S1D/S1G for aDLS lesion and Figures S1E/S1H for pVLS lesion). In contrast, for the DMS

injection the success rate in the discrimination task was comparable between the PBS and IBO

groups (Figure 3I). The DMS lesion lengthened the response time through the learning (Figure

S1C), whereas it did not alter the omission rate and response bias (Figures S1F and S1I). These

results indicate that the aDLS and pVLS are necessary for the acquisition of auditory

discrimination, and that the aDLS appears to be mainly involved in the learning process at the

middle stage. The results also reveal that the DMS contributes to the execution, but not learning, of

discriminative behavior.

Previous studies have reported that the dorsal striatum is involved in both behavioral

strategies based on the stimulus-response association and the response-outcome association and

during instrumental learning[12,13]. To assess the impact of striatal lesions on the behavioral strategies,

we analyzed the proportions of responses attributed to two strategies in all responses of each

session. One is the "win-shift-win" strategy, which is considered to reflect the behavioral strategy

based on the stimulus-response association[29,30]. In this strategy, after a correct response in the

previous trial, the rats press the opposite lever in the current trial in response to a shift of the

instruction cue, resulting in the correct response. Another strategy is the "lose-shift-lose" strategy,

which is considered to appear as a consequence of the behavioral strategy based on the response-

outcome association[29]. In this strategy, after an error response in the previous trial, the rats press the

opposite lever in the current trial, despite a shift of the instruction cue, leading to another error

response. In the control group into either of three striatal subregions, the proportions of win-shift-

win and lose-shift-lose strategies increased and decreased, respectively, during the acquisition phase

(Figures 3J–3L). The changes in the proportion of the two strategies were similar to those in the

intact rats (Figure S2). For the aDLS injection, the increases in the win-shift-win proportion were

markedly impaired in the IBO group compared to the PBS group with significant differences

mainly at the middle stage, and the decrease in the lose-shift-lose proportion was delayed compared

to the same group (Figure 3J). For the pVLS-injection, the increasing win-shift-win proportion was

also impaired in the IBO group compared to the PBS group, although the change in the lose-shift-

lose proportion was comparable between the two groups (Figure 3K). In contrast, for the DMS

lesion both win-shift-win and lose-shift-lose proportions in the IBO group did not show significant

differences from the corresponding values in their control group (Figure 3L). These results indicate

that the aDLS promotes the win-shift-win strategy through stimulus-response association and

suppresses the lose-shift-lose strategy rooted in response-outcome association, and that the pVLS

selectively facilitates the win-shift-win strategy acquisition. Thus, these two subregions not only

play roles in the acquisition of auditory discrimination but also control distinct behavioral strategies during the learning processes.

After the completion of discrimination training, we tested locomotion and feeding behavior of rats with aDLS and pVLS lesions. There was no difference in locomotor activity in the open field and the amount of food intake in both lesioned groups (Figures S1J-S1M), suggesting that learning deficits following excitotoxic lesions of the striatal subregions cannot be attributable to abnormalities in these general motor behaviors.

Inhibiting aDLS and pVLS activity impairs discriminative behavior at different stages of the acquisition phase

Our ^{18}F-FDG-PET imaging study indicated that the brain activity in the aDLS and pVLS was increased in a different temporal pattern during the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination. To examine whether neuronal activity in striatal subregions is linked with the processes at different stages, we temporarily inhibited the activity in each subregion by the treatment with a GABA$_A$ receptor agonist muscimol (MUS). In a pilot study, we tested the effect of the bilateral injection of several doses of MUS (0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 μg/μL) into the aDLS or pVLS on the single lever press behavior and confirmed that the administration of the lowest dose of MUS did not affect the frequency of single lever presses and the quantity of food intake (Figures S3A–S3D). In addition, to achieve the stage-specific inactivation of the aDLS or pVLS neurons, rats were given bilateral injections of MUS or saline (SAL) at three different timings during a series of the training. The first injection was conducted on Day 2 to target the early stage. The second and third injections were conducted on the day after the success rate had reached 60% and 80% for the first time through the training, respectively, to target the middle or late stage. After the injections, the rats were used for the behavioral test. Placement locations of the injection cannula into the striatal subregions were assessed by cresyl violet staining after the behavioral tests (Figures 4A and 4B). The success rates on the day before the injection (day N-1) at the early, middle, and late stages were similar between

the two groups that received the bilateral injections of MUS or SAL (Figures S3E and S3F). Rats

were then administered injections into the aDLS on the next day (Day N), and the success rate in

the MUS-injected groups significantly decreased at the middle stage compared to the corresponding

SAL-injected groups, but not at the early and late stages (Figure 4C, left). In contrast, the success

rate in the MUS groups into the pVLS significantly decreased at the late stage, but not at the early

and middle stages, compared with the respective SAL groups (Figure 4D, left). To normalize the

variation of learning speed in the individual animals, we calculated the value by subtracting the

success rate on Day N-1 from that on Day N in the individual animals. There were significant

reductions in the subtracted rate at the middle stage in the MUS-injected group into the aDLS

(Figure 4C, right) and at the late stage in the MUS-injected group into the pVLS (Figure 4D, right).

These results indicate that the aDLS and pVLS mainly function at the middle and late stages,

respectively, in the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination, supporting the results obtained

from our imaging study.

Next, we analyzed the influence of temporal striatal inactivation on the behavioral strategy

at the middle and late stages of the acquisition phase. We calculated the proportions of the win-

shift-win and lose-shift-lose strategies, and subtracted the values of these proportions on Day N-1

from those on Day N. The proportion of both strategies on Day N-1 were comparable between the

MUS- and SAL-injected groups (Figures S3G and S3H). In the aDLS, the subtracted proportion of

the lose-shift-lose strategy at the middle stage significantly increased in the MUS group as

compared to the SAL group, although the subtracted proportion of the win-shift-win strategy tended

to decrease in the MUS group as compared to the control group (Figure 4E), and the subtracted

proportions of the win-shift-win or lose-shift-lose strategy at the late stage was similar between

both groups (Figure 4F). In the pVLS, the subtracted proportions of the win-shift-win and lose-

shift-lose strategies at the middle stage were similar between the MUS and SAL groups (Figure

4G), and the subtracted win-shift-win proportion at the late stage significantly decreased in the

MUS group as compared to the SAL group, although the subtracted lose-shift-lose proportion was

comparable between the two groups (Figure 4H). Our results show that transient inhibition of aDLS

activity at the middle stage affects the lose-shift-lose strategy and has a subtle effect on the win-

shift-win strategy, supporting that the aDLS mediates the acquisition process of auditory

discrimination at the middle stage at least partly through the suppression of the behavior based on

the response-outcome association. Although the impact of inhibition on the win-shift-win strategy

was subtle, excitotoxic lesion of the aDLS influenced the win-shift-win strategy in addition to the

lose-shift-lose strategy (see Figure 3J), suggesting that the aDLS acts to enhance the auditory

discrimination by promoting the stimulus-response association, together with the suppression of the

response-outcome association. The discrepancy in the extent of changes in the win-shift-win

strategy between the two treatments may be generated from the difference in the timing or

sustainability of drug inhibition of the striatal subregion. In addition, our results show that pVLS

inactivation at the late stage selectively impairs the win-shift-win strategy, supporting contribution

of the pVLS to the process via progression of the stimulus-response association.

Neuronal activity in the aDLS and pVLS during the acquisition phase

To examine the firing activity in striatal subregions during the acquisition phase of auditory

discrimination, we simultaneously recorded neuronal activity in the aDLS and pVLS at the

unilateral (left) side by using a multi-unit recording procedure (Figure 5A). Using immunostaining

for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) after the recordings, we verified the location of electrodes within the

striatal subregions (Figure 5B). After the single lever press task, we conducted the auditory

discrimination task for the recordings. In correct trials, the delay period (0.5 ± 0.2 s) was inserted

between a lever press and a sound indicating the reward to distinguish neuronal activity related to

the two events (Figure 5C). The behavioral data in individual rats were divided into three grouped

sessions corresponding to the early, middle, and late stages, based on a sigmoid function analysis[31]

of the success rate in the task. The success rates in the grouped sessions were 52.7 ± 0.8 %, $61.6 \pm$

0.7 %, and 85.3 ± 1.7 % (mean $\pm$ s.e.m.) for the early, middle, and late stages, respectively, and the

rate was significantly increased throughout the three stages (Figure S4A). However, the response

time, omission rate, and response bias were similar among the stages (Figures S4B-S4D). Thus,

these stages corresponded to the timing window defined in the acquisition phase of the transient

MUS inhibition experiment of neuronal activity.

We identified 1,062 and 423 well-isolated neurons from the aDLS and pVLS, respectively,

across the three stages (Figure S4E) and focused on the major population of striatal neurons,

putative medium spiny neurons (MSNs; Figures S4F and S4G). The total numbers of MSNs at the

early, middle, and late stages were 295, 364, and 360 neurons for the aDLS, and 87, 110, and 190

neurons for pVLS, respectively. Based on the combinatorial pattern of the tone instruction cue and

lever press in our discrimination task, we categorized the electrophysiological data into four trial

types: (1) high-frequency tone/right lever press (HR) and (2) low-frequency tone/left lever press

(LL) as correct responses; and (3) low-frequency tone/right lever press (LR) and (4) high-frequency

tone/left lever press (HL) as error responses (see Figure 1A). We identified HR or LL type neurons

showing significant changes in firing rate related to the cue onset, choice response, reward sound,

or first licking as compared to the baseline firing rate in each of two trials (Mann-Whitney U test, p

< 0.01). These neurons were further divided into two groups based on increased or decreased

activity (Figures S5A and S5B). In the following analyses, we focused on the HR and LL type

neurons with increased event-related activity to explore the firing patterns of neurons underlying

behavioral processes of auditory discrimination.

Subpopulations of aDLS neurons, but not of pVLS neurons, show firing activity related to the

behavioral outcome

Previous studies have reported that neurons in the dorsal striatum show the outcome-related activity

in the instrumental conditioning tasks[24,32]. We thus investigated whether aDLS and pVLS neurons

exhibit a response related to the behavioral outcome during the acquisition of auditory

discrimination. In the aDLS, the firing activity of reward sound-related HR type neurons showed a

higher extent in the increase during a period, when the reward sound is presented in the correct responses, in the HR trial as compared with the LR, LL, or HL trial throughout the three stages (Figure 5D, top rows). The rate of these neurons also moderately increased during the same period in the LR trial compared to the LL or HL trial across the three stages, although the extent of the increase was lower than that in the HR trial (Figure 5D, top rows). The area under the receiver operating characteristic (auROC) values revealed a significant difference of the HR trial from the LR trial during the reward sound period, whereas the values of HL and LL trials indicated a significant difference in the opposite direction from the LR trial during the same period (Figure 5D, bottom rows). The averaged firing rate during the reward sound period in the HR or LR trial appeared to modestly increase at the middle stage, but no significant difference existed among the stages (Figure 5E). To directly compare the number of neurons showing event-related activity among different stages, we calculated the number of reward sound-HR neurons by matching the trial number at each stage (Figure 5F). The proportion decreased progressively from the early to middle stages, and to the late stage. In addition, the firing rate of reward sound-related LL type neurons exhibited a higher increase in the LL trial and a modest increase in the HL trial while the averaged firing rate during the reward sound period was similar among the three stages. Furthermore, the proportion of reward sound-LL neurons reduced progressively through the stages (Figures S6A–S6C). These results indicate that the aDLS contained neurons related to the outcome of choice, showing a greater increase in the firing activity for the correct responses (HR and LL trials) than the error responses (LR and HL trials), and that the proportion of these subpopulations was gradually reduced through the progress of learning. In contrast, during the reward sound period at all stages, the firing rate and auROC values of reward sound-HR and reward sound-LL neurons in the pVLS revealed no significant differences across the four kinds of trial (Figures S6D and S6E), indicating that the firing activity of pVLS neurons did not appear to be associated with the behavioral outcome.

Subpopulations of aDLS and pVLS neurons show sustained firing activity after the reward in different manners

The dorsal striatum is known to demonstrate a response of neuronal activity after the positive outcome in the instrumental behaviors[33,34]. We thus examined whether aDLS and pVLS neurons show the response after obtaining the reward during the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination. In the aDLS, the firing rate of the first licking-related HR type neurons indicated a sustained elevation after the first licking in the HR trial as compared with any of the other three trials across the early, middle, and late stages (Figure 6A, top rows). The auROC values revealed a significant difference of the LR, LL, or HL trial from the HR trial across the three stages (Figure 6A, middle rows). In addition, we calculated the averaged firing rate and increased firing period, defined as the total number of time bins above 3 s.d. of the baseline firing rate for 5 s after the first licking in the HR trial. The averaged firing rate was comparable between the early and middle stages, but the rate was significantly lower at the late stage compared to either of the other two stages (Figure 6B). The increased firing period was also comparable between the early and middle stages, showing a significant reduction at the late stage (Figure 6C). The proportions of first licking-HR neurons were similar between the early and late stages, although they showed a slight decrease at the middle stage (Figure 6D). There were few neurons with significant correlations between the firing rate and licking performance (Figure 6E). In addition, the firing rate of the first licking-related LL type neurons exhibited the largest increase in the LL trial at the three stages, showing a tendency to reduce the average firing rate and increased firing period after the first licking across the stages (Figures S7A-S7C). The proportion of first licking-LL neurons during the learning processes displayed similar changes as observed in the first licking-HR neurons (Figure S7D). These results indicate that the aDLS contained neurons showing long-lasting, increased activity after the reward in the specific correct combination of the stimulus and response (HR or LL trial), and that the extent of firing activity and length of firing period in the response appeared to be gradually reduced with no correlation between the proportion of neurons and the progress of the

learning stages.

In the pVLS, the first licking-HR neurons showed a sustained elevation in the firing rate

after receiving a reward in the HR trial compared to that in the LR or HL trial throughout the three

stages (Figure 6F, top rows). A similar elevation in the firing rate was also observed in the LL trial

throughout the stages (Figure 6F, top rows). The auROC values revealed a significant difference of

the LR or HL trial, but not the LL trial, from the HR trial throughout the stages (Figure 6F, middle

rows). The average firing rate did not show significant changes among the three stages (Figure 6G),

and the increased firing period was also similar across the stages (Figure 6H). The proportion of

first licking-HR neurons increased at the late stage compared to the early or middle stage (Figure

6I). The firing rate showed almost no significant correlation to the licking performance (Figure 6J).

In addition, the first licking-LL neurons exhibited similar firing patterns and changes in the

proportion of neurons across the stages (Figures S7F–S7J). Therefore, the pVLS included neurons

representing the long-lasting increased activity after the reward in the two kinds of correct

responses (HR and LL trials) with the increased number of these neurons at the late stage of

learning.

Neurons in the pVLS, but not in the aDLS, exhibit firing patterns related to the beginning and

ending of the behavior

The dorsal striatum plays a key role in the acquisition and execution of behaviors based on the

stimulus-response association, and the association is accompanied by a transient activation of

neuronal firing immediately after the stimulus presentation or action in trials, which is considered to

represent the beginning and ending of a learned behavior[35-37]. We first examined whether the firing

activity of cue onset-related HR type or cue onset-related LL type neurons corresponds to the

beginning of the behavior in the auditory discrimination task. In the aDLS, the firing rate of the cue

onset-HR and cue onset-LL neurons showed a significant difference at some time points in each

trial compared to those in any of the other three trials, with a significant difference of auROC

values between the trials (Figures S8A and S8C). However, the transiently increased activity did not

emerge at the time points corresponding to the beginning of the behavior. In contrast, the cue onset-

HR neurons in the pVLS showed a transient increase in the firing rate immediately after the cue

onset, and the activity appeared at the middle and late stages (Figure 7A, top). The transient

activation was observed not only in the HR trial but also in the other three trials, being consistent

with the results of a previous report[38]. The increased firing rate showed a slight but notable

difference between the HR and LL trials with a significant difference in the auROC values between

the two trials (Figure 7A, low), suggesting that different combinations between the stimulus and

response may affect the level of firing activity. Differences in the averaged firing rate between the

two kinds of trial was observed at the middle and late stages (Figure 7B). The proportion of cue

onset-HR neurons increased at the middle and late stages compared to the early stage (Figure 7C).

In addition, the firing rate of the cue onset-LL neurons exhibited patterns similar to those observed

in the cue onset-HR neurons (Figure S8E). The data indicate that subpopulations of pVLS neurons

show firing activity related to the beginning of the behavior, with a rising number of neurons

throughout discrimination learning.

Next, we examined the firing activity of choice response-related HR type or choice

response-related LL type neurons, corresponding to the ending of a behavior in auditory

discrimination. In the aDLS, the firing rate of these neurons did not exhibit the transient increase

related to the ending of the behavior, instead, there was a modest increase before the lever press

(Figures S8B and S8D). In contrast, the choice response-HR neurons in the pVLS were transiently

activated immediately after the lever press in the four kinds of trial at the middle and late stages,

and the rate appeared to gradually decline toward the reward sound presentation (Figure 7D). The

averaged firing rate was similar between the HR and LL trials though the stages (Figure 7E). The

proportion of the choice response-HR neurons increased at the middle and late stages compared to

the early stage (Figure 7F). In addition, the rate of choice response-LL neurons displayed similar

firing patterns to those observed in the choice response-HR neurons (Figure S8F). Thus,

subpopulations of pVLS neurons also represent the activity related to the ending of the behavior in

auditory discrimination, increasing the neuron number throughout the learning stages in a similar

pattern to neurons relevant to the beginning of the behavior in the task.

Discussion

Our findings demonstrate that the aDLS and pVLS are required for the acquisition of auditory

discrimination at different stages of learning, and that the DMS is not necessary for the acquisition

of discrimination, although it appears to contribute to the execution of actions, in particular to the

regulation of response time. These results not only support some recent studies reporting no

requirement of the DMS in the procedural learning[20,39,40], but also reveal important roles of two

different subregions in the lateral striatum in the external cue-dependent decision-making. We

propose that aDLS and pVLS neurons act to integrate the new learning of auditory discrimination in

spatiotemporally and functionally distinct manners, showing a remarkable difference from the prior

learning model that proposes the functional dominance changes from the DMS to DLS subregions

during procedural learning[12-16].

Our ^{18}F-FDG-PET imaging study demonstrated that the brain activity in the aDLS reaches

a peak at the middle stage of the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination (Figure 2I). The

results of excitotoxic lesion experiment of striatal subregions suggest that the aDLS functions to

enhance the acquisition of discrimination through the promotion of behavior based on the stimulus-

response association and the suppression of behavior based on the response-outcome association

(Figure 3J). Transient inhibition experiment of neuronal activity confirmed this aDLS function at

the middle stage of the learning, although the drug inhibition tended to reduce the stimulus-response

behavior (Figures 4E and 4F). In addition, we found subpopulations of aDLS neurons (reward

sound-related neurons) which represent the behavioral outcome and differentiate the correct (HR or

LL) and incorrect (LR or HL) choices (Figures 5D and S6A). The distinct responses of these

neurons may explain the mechanism by which the aDLS regulates the stimulus-response and

response-outcome associations through distinct neural circuits leading to a differential control of the two associations. Alternatively, the responses mainly promote the behavior based on the stimulus-response association, thereby secondarily inhibiting the response-outcome association. Indeed, some previous studies have suggested that an action based on either the stimulus-response association or response-outcome association is generated as a result of competition between different striatal subregions[41]. We also found other subpopulations of aDLS neurons (first licking-related neurons) showing long-lasting increased activity after obtaining reward in response to a specific combination between the stimulus and response (HR or LL trial) (Figures 6A and S7A). This activity may function as the feedback signal to promote the stimulus and response association, resulting in the enhancement of discrimination learning. The proportion of neurons related to the behavioral outcome showed a gradual reduction through the progress of auditory discrimination (Figures 5E and S6C), and the extent of the firing activity and the length of the firing period of neurons showing sustained activation after reward were also decreased at the late stage of learning (Figures 6B and 6C). The learning-dependent changes in the properties of these subpopulations in the aDLS may explain the mechanism underlying the earlier acquisition process of the auditory discrimination.

The ^{18}F-FDG-PET imaging indicated that the pVLS activity was gradually elevated through the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination, showing the maximal value at the late stage (Figure 2J). The lesion experiment suggested that the pVLS drives the formation of discrimination learning via the selective progression of the stimulus-response association (Figure 3K), and the transient inhibition experiment ascertained the pVLS function at the late stage of learning (Figures 4G and 4H). Previous studies have shown that the stimulus-response association is encoded in the DLS or VLS as the transient neuronal activity representing the beginning and ending of a learned behavior[35-37,42]. A recent study reported that the VLS is causally related to learned performance based on the auditory stimulus, while the DLS shows a weaker relationship to the behavior[22]. In the present study, we found subpopulations of pVLS neurons that represent the

beginning and ending of a behavior (cue onset- and choice response-related neurons, respectively) (Figures 7A, 7D, S8E, and S8F), showing an increased number of neurons along with the progress of discrimination learning (Figures 7C and 7F). These data suggest that pVLS neurons contribute to the formation of the stimulus-response association through the learning processes. In addition, we found another subpopulation of pVLS neurons (first licking-related neurons) that are continuously activated after the reward outcome in response to two kinds of correct choices (HR and LL trials) (Figures 6F and S7F), and the proportion of neurons was increased at the late stage (Figures 6I and S7I). This activity may act as a feedback signal to consolidate the stimulus and response association, leading to the maintenance of associative memory at the late stage during the acquisition phase of learning.

In the present study, we identified neurons with four kinds of event-related activity in the aDLS and pVLS, and classified them into the HR and LL type neurons in which their firing activity showed a significant increase in the HR and LL trials, respectively, as compared to the baseline (Figures 5–7 and S6-S8). Parts of these two types of neurons with the respective event-related activity overlapped, and the numbers of overlapping neurons varied between striatal subregions (Figures S9A and S9B). In the pVLS, the overlapping neurons for the same event-related activities were 35.1%, 45.2%, and 67.0% in the cue onset, choice response, and first licking, respectively. In contrast, the aDLS had lower overlaps of 12.6% and 22.7% in the reward sound and first licking, respectively. Thus, distinct neurons in the aDLS tend to have properties of a single trial type while the same neurons in the pVLS seem to possess properties of both types. One possible explanation for the diversity in the proportion of overlapping neurons between the two subregions may be the difference of input patterns into striatal neurons or plastic changes in functional connectivity during learning processes. The detailed mechanism by which aDLS and pVLS neurons react to the events in a different manner should be investigated in the future.

Anatomically, striatal subregions are known to form heterogeneous cortico-basal ganglia loops in mice[2,43,44]. We examined the neural circuits linked to the aDLS and pVLS in rats by using

bidirectional tracers. The results showed that two striatal subregions receive innervations from different subregions in the cerebral cortex, intralaminar thalamus, and substantia nigra pars compacta, and send projections to different subregions in the basal ganglia nuclei, including the globus pallidus, entopeduncular nucleus, and substantia nigra pars reticulata (Figure S10), confirming that the aDLS and pVLS form the heterogenous cortico-basal ganglia loops in the rat brain. These data suggest that the aDLS and pVLS mediate the acquisition of auditory discrimination via the parallel loops, and cooperate to achieve neural processing related to the dual regulation of the stimulus-response and response-outcome associations. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism by which the two kinds of loops are processed separately and integrate the new learning of discrimination.

Limitations

In the ^{18}F-FDG PET neuroimaging study, the brain activity in the aDLS reached a peak at the middle stage of the acquisition phase of auditory discrimination (Figure 2I). In the multi-unit electrophysiological recording experiment, the firing activity of aDLS neuron subpopulations related to the behavioral outcome showed no significant differences among the three stages (Figure 5E), while the proportions of these subpopulations gradually reduced through the progress of learning stages (Figure 5F). The extent of firing activity and length of firing period of other subpopulations showing sustained activation after the reward appeared to show learning-dependent decrease (Figure 6B and 6C), although the proportions of these subpopulations indicated no correlation to the progress of the learning (Figure 6D). The patterns of the temporal changes in the brain activity of the striatal subregions during the learning stages did not match completely the time variation in the property and proportion of specific event-related neurons. In our electrophysiological analysis, we identified well-isolated neurons from striatal subregions across the behavioral task, focusing on putative MSNs (Figures S4E–S4G). Based on the combinatorial pattern of the tone instruction cue and lever press, we categorized the electrophysiological data into

the four trials, including the HR, LL. LR, and HL. We identified HR or LL type neurons showing

significant changes in the firing rate related to specific events compared to the baseline firing rate.

These neurons were further divided into two groups with increased or decreased activity relative to

the baseline firing (Figures S5A and S5B). In the present study, we focused on event-related

neurons with the increased activity. Because the analysis was limited to neuronal subpopulations

related to specific events with the increased activity, we consider that it is difficult to explain fully

dynamic shifts in the brain activity of the striatal subregions dependent on the progress of learning

by the time variation of firing activity of individual event-related neurons. The activity of other

subpopulations in the striatum may be involved in shifts in brain activity during the learning

processes. In addition, recent studies have reported that the activity of glial cells influences the

uptake of ^{18}F-FDG[28] and that these cells regulate spike timing-dependent plasticity[45]. Changes in

glial cellular activity, through the control of synaptic plasticity, may partly contribute to the pattern

formation of learning-dependent shift of brain activity.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Animal care and handling procedures were carried out in accordance with the guidelines established

by RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Fukushima Medical University, and Osaka

City University. All procedures were approved by their Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committees. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering

throughout the course of the experiments. Male Long-Evans rats (8–13 weeks old, Institute for

Animal Reproduction (Ibaraki, Japan)) were used for the present study. The rats were maintained at

22 ± 2 °C and 60% humidity in a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle, and food and water were continuously

available unless stated otherwise.

Surgery

The rats underwent a stereotaxic surgery under isoflurane anesthesia (1–5%). For excitotoxic lesion of striatal neurons, IBO was dissolved in PBS (0.8 mg/mL, Wako Pure Chemical), and IBO solution (0.3 μL per site) was injected bilaterally with the coordinates (mm) from bregma and dura for the aDLS (AP +1.6, ML ±3.3, DV -3.9), pVLS (AP -1.6, ML ±4.6, and DV -5.6), or DMS (AP -1.6, ML ±1.4, and DV -4.8) according to the atlas of rat brain[46]. Injection was performed through a glass pipette (diameter: 60 μm) at a constant velocity of 0.1 mL/min with the microinfusion pump (EICOM). For transient inactivation of striatal neurons, two guide cannulae (length: 10 mm, outer diameter: 26 gauge) were bilaterally placed on the skull and fixed using four screws and dental cement. The chip of the cannula was placed at 1 mm above the target coordinates. A solution containing MUS (Sigma-Aldrich) in saline (0.1 μg/μL, 0.25 μL per site) was injected into the target coordinates through the internal cannula (outer diameter: 30 gauge) at a constant velocity of 0.25 μL/min. For anterograde and retrograde tracing, a solution containing cholera toxin subunit B (CTb; 1.0 mg/mL) conjugated to Alexa 488 or Alexa 555 (Invitrogen) as a bidirectional tracer was injected into the aDLS and pVLS (0.3 μL/site), respectively. The coordinates for the injection into striatal subregions were the same as described above. For the electrophysiological experiments, surgery was performed as described previously[47]. A 64-channel silicon probe (Buzsaki64spl; NeuroNexus) attaching to a 3D-printed microdrive with a movable screw was chronically implanted with the coordinates (mm) from the bregma and dura into the left aDLS (AP +1.6, ML -3.3, and DV -2.5) and pVLS (AP -1.6, ML -4.6, and DV -3.6). A faraday cage composed of copper mesh was secured on the skull with dental cement to reduce electrical noise and avoid damage to the implants. The rats were allowed to recover for 1 week after the surgeries.

Behavioral analysis

Behavioral tasks were conducted in operant conditioning chambers (30.5 × 24.1 × 29.2 cm; ENV-007CT; MED Associates) equipped with two retractable levers on both sides of a reward port in the front panel (ENV-203M-45, ENV-652AM, and ENV-201A; MED Associates). A multi-tone

generator (ENV-223; MED Associates) and room light were mounted on the top of the center and corner panels on the rear side, respectively. For the electrophysical experiment, a 3D printed reward port was used to detect the licking and to prevent contact with the head attachment and front panel. The behavioral experiment in intact rats was performed using grain pellets (F0021, Bioserv) as a food reward. To prevent spillover of radioactivity into the temporal cortex as a consequence of excessive ^{18}F-FDG accumulation into the muscles by their activity to eat the pellets[48,49], the PET imaging experiments were carried out using 0.02% saccharine solution (B0131, Tokyo Chemical Industry) as a liquid reward. The electrophysiological experiment was also carried out using the saccharine solution. The pharmacological experiment was performed using the pellets.

The rats were subjected to dietary restrictions of 12 g/day (CE-2, CLEA Japan) and water access was limited to 6 to 9 h in accordance with the reward used in the experiments for 3 days before the beginning of the training schedule, and the body weights of the rats were maintained at ~85% of their normal weights. The training schedule consisted of four continuous steps. In the first step (magazine training), the rats were habituated to the operant conditioning chambers, and the reward was presented with the click every 20 s. Each daily session was started by illumination of the room light, and continued for 30 min. The sessions were conducted for 3 consecutive days. In the second step (shaping), only one lever on the left or right side was inserted. When the rats pressed the lever, they earned a reward accompanied by a click. Each daily session was started by illumination of the light, and lasted 30 min or until the criteria, in which the rats received 90 rewards. When the number of lever presses on either side reached the criteria, the lever was inserted in the opposite side on the next day. When the rats achieved the criteria on both sides for 2 or 3 days, they moved to the following step. In the third step (single lever press task), each trial was started by illumination of the light and insertion of one lever on either the left or right side in a pseudorandom manner with equal frequencies on both sides for every set of four trials. When the rats pressed the lever, the reward was presented with the sound, and the lever was immediately retracted. The room light turned off 4 s after the lever press. When they did not press the lever

within 10 s after the start, the trial was terminated when the light turned off. The trial was repeated every 20 s. Each daily session continued for 30 min, and the sessions were conducted for 4 consecutive days. The number of lever presses in each session was counted. In the final step (two-alternative auditory discrimination task), each trial was started by the presentation of a high (10 kHz) or low (2 kHz) frequency tone as an instruction cue in a pseudorandom manner. After three seconds, the room light was illuminated, and two retractable levers were inserted at the same time. The rats were required to press the left or right levers in response to the low or high tone cues, respectively. When the rats pressed the correct lever, the reward was presented with the sound, and the levers were immediately retracted. Correct response allowed the rats to access the reward: the retractable spout delivering water was inserted for 1 s for the PET imaging experiment; a pellet was delivered by a food dispenser for the pharmacological experiment; and three drops of water were delivered through a solenoid valve for the electrophysiological experiment. The room light turned off 4 s after the correct response. On the other hand, error response resulted in the retraction of levers and the turning off of the room light. No response within 10 s after the lever insertion was recorded as an omission response. The success rate in each session was calculated by dividing the number of correct response trials with the number of lever press trials minus the number of omission trials. The trial was repeated every 20 s. Each daily session continued for 60 min, and the sessions were conducted for 14 or 24 days according to the experiments.

To assess the behavioral strategies in the auditory discrimination task, a trial-by-trial analysis was conducted; the win-shift-win strategy was defined as a correct response, in which, after a correct response during the previous trial, the rats press the opposite lever during the current trial in response to the shift of the tone instruction cue; the lose-shift-lose strategy was defined as an error response, in which, after an error response during the previous trial, the rats press the opposite lever during the current trial despite the shift of the instruction cue. The proportion of both strategies was calculated by dividing the number of each strategy with the number of lever press trials minus the number of omission trials.

For the transient inactivation experiment, MUS injections were bilaterally performed 20 min before start of the session. For habituation, all rats were injected with saline bilaterally into the corresponding striatal subregions on the last day (Day 4) of the single lever press task. During the auditory discrimination task, the first injection was conducted on Day 2 of the task. The second and third injections were conducted the next day, after the success rate had exceeded 60% and 80%, respectively. Each session continued for 15 min.

For the electrophysiological experiments, a delay period (0.5 ± 0.2 s) was added in the auditory discrimination task between the lever press and reward sound to distinguish the motor- and reward-related responses of neurons. When the rats pressed the lever, the lever was immediately retracted. After the delay period, the reward was presented with a sound. The room light turned off 4 s after the lever press. The trial was repeated every 20 s, and each daily session continued for 60 min.

PET imaging analysis

An ^{18}F-FDG-PET scan was performed with a microPET Focus220 (Siemens Medical Solutions, Knoxville) designed for high-resolution imaging of small animals (spatial resolution of 1.4 mm in full width at half maximum at the center of the field of view) as described previously[26]. Serial ^{18}F-FDG-PET scans were performed on the last day of the single lever press task and on Days 2, 6, 10, and 24 of auditory discrimination task. To administer the ^{18}F-FDG under the awake rats, an indwelling catheter was attached to the tail under anesthesia with 5% induction and 2.0–2.5% maintenance of isoflurane (median [interquartile range]: 6 [5–8] min). The recovery period was set to at least 2 hours until the beginning of the behavioral session, with the aim of minimizing the impact of anesthesia as much as possible. A solution of ^{18}F-FDG (ca. 74 MBq/0.4 mL) was intravenously injected just before the beginning of each behavioral session through the indwelling catheter. After the 30-min session, the rats were returned to their home cage, and then a 30-min static PET scan was performed under anesthesia with 2.0–2.5% isoflurane 55-min after the ^{18}F-FDG

injection. During the PET scan, the body temperature of the anesthetized rats was maintained at

37°C using a small animal warmer (BWT-100A, Bio Research Center). The acquired emission data

were sorted into a single sinogram and reconstructed by a standard 2D filtered back projection

(FBP) with a ramp filter and cutoff frequency at 0.5 cycles per pixel or by a statistical maximum a

posteriori probability algorithm (MAP), 12 iterations with point spread function effect.

Voxel-based analysis of PET images was conducted according to the method described

previously[26]. Briefly, individual MAP-reconstructed FDG (MAP-FDG) images were aligned to a

FDG template image of Long Evans rats using PMOD software package (version 3.2, PMOD

Technologies), and then the aligned images were transformed into the space of a T2 weighted MRI

templates for the Long-Evans rats (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/tpm_rat/). The transformation

parameters obtained from individual MAP-FDG images were used to match the individual FBP-

reconstructed FDG (FBP-FDG) images with the MRI templates. The voxel size of the template was

magnified by 10 to a size similar to that of a human brain. All FBP-FDG images were resampled

with a voxel size of $1.2 \times 1.2 \times 1.2$ mm and spatially smoothed through an isotropic Gaussian

kernel (6-mm FWHM). Voxel-based statistical analysis was performed by using the Statistical

Parametric Mapping (SPM) software (version 8; https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). For

visualization of the results, SPM t-statistic values were overlayed on MRI templates to define the

voxels showing significantly increased or decreased brain activity, using a high threshold ($p =$

0.001, uncorrected) and an extent threshold (with the output values from the voxel-based analysis).

The voxel of interest (VOI) was determined ass voxels with more than 85% of the maximum T-

value within activated areas obtained by voxel-based statistical comparison of FBP-FDG images.

The average regional ^{18}F-FDG uptake was calculated on the basis of the values of the VOI in FBP-

FDG images.

Electrophysiological recording

Extracellular multi-unit recording was conducted at a sampling rate of 20 kHz from the striatum of

freely moving rats by using a 512-ch acquisition board (Open Ephys) via 64-ch recording

headstages (C3325; Intan Technologies). The tips of the probe were lowered by turning the screw (>

140 µm/day) until it reached the target region (the aDLS and pVLS) during the single lever press

task. The tips were sometimes lowered by the same procedure (140 to 280 µm/day) after the daily

recording session to improve cell yield.

Spike sorting was performed automatically by the Kilosort software

(https://github.com/cortex-lab/KiloSort). Clear noise clusters were removed in the first manual

sorting using the phy GUI (https://github.com/cortex-lab/phy). The remaining clusters were then re-

clustered by using the Klusta Kwik (https://klusta.readthedocs.io/en/latest/), and the clusters were

adjusted in the second manual sorting by using the phy GUI again. The sorted clusters satisfying all

the following criteria were used for further analysis: L-ratio < 0.05, isolation distance > 15, and ISI

index < 0.2[50,51]. Well-isolated single neurons (n = 1,485; aDLS, n = 1,062; pVLS, n = 423) were

classified as putative medium spiny neurons (MSNs), fast spiking interneurons (FSIs), tonically

active neurons (TANs), and unclassified interneurons (UIs). Putative MSNs and TANs were

separated from FSIs and UIs by trough-to-peak duration of mean spike waveform (> 0.6 ms)

according to previous studies, with slight modifications[52,53]. Putative FSIs were then separated from

UIs by utilizing the proportion of time associated with long inter-spike intervals. This was achieved

by summing the inter-spike intervals longer than 2 s and subsequently dividing the resulting sum by

the total recording time ($Prop_{ISIs} > 2$ s)[54]. Neurons with values of $Prop_{ISIs} > 2$ s less than 0.4 were

classified as putative FSIs, while neurons with $Prop_{ISIs} > 2$ s greater than 0.4 were classified as

putative UIs. The putative MSNs and TANs were separated by measuring the post-spike

suppression[54]. We measured the length of suppressed time during which the firing rate of a neuron

was suppressed following a spike by counting the number of 1-ms bins in its autocorrelation

function until the rate of the neuron was equal to or greater than its averaged rate over the 600-ms to

900-ms autocorrelation bins. Putative TANs were separated by post-spike suppression of less than

40 ms, and the remaining neurons were classified as putative MSNs. Because the numbers of FSIs,

TANs, and UIs were low (79 out of 1,485, 5.3%), they were excluded from further analysis.

To divide the three stages during the acquisition phase, the sigmoidal function was fitted

using the following four-parameter logistic equation:

$$f_{(x)} = \frac{1 - \gamma - (1 - \lambda)}{1 + \exp(-\beta(x - \alpha))} + \gamma$$

Where α is the inflection point, β is a variable for slope, and λ and γ are parameters related to the

lower asymptote (γ) and upper asymptote (λ) of the learning curve. The function was used to

evaluate the day when the success rate reached 20% ($t_{20\%}$) or 90% ($t_{90\%}$) of the maximum rate

change defined by the difference between the γ and λ. Three stages were defined according to the

following criteria: early stage (up to 3 days before the middle stage); middle stage (3 days including

before and after the day of $t_{20\%}$); and late stage (3 days including the day of $t_{90\%}$ and before). One rat

learned the discrimination faster, and the success rate reached $t_{90\%}$ 2 days after the day of $t_{20\%}$. One

day before the day of $t_{90\%}$ was assigned to the late stage. For the following analysis, spike data in

each striatal subregion were separated into the early, middle, and late stages ($n = 295, 364$ and 360,

respectively, for the aDLS; and $n = 87, 110$ and 190, respectively, for the pVLS).

To determine the neurons showing significant changes in firing rate related to the task

events, electrophysiological data were grouped into four trial types based on the instruction cue

(high or low tone) and the choice (right or left lever); HR, high tone and right lever press; HL, high

tone and left lever press; LR, low tone and right lever press; and LL, low tone and left lever press.

Neurons with three or more trial data were analyzed. We identified the HR or LL type neurons,

detecting significant increases or decreases of the firing rate by applying the Wilcoxon rank-sum

test ($p < 0.01$) to trial-by-trial spike number between the baseline period (BL, -1,500 to -500 ms or

-1,100 to -500 ms before presentation of the instruction cue) and any of four peri-event periods (cue

onset, 0 to +1,000 ms after the onset of the instruction cue; choice response, -700 to +300 ms

around the time of lever press; reward sound, -300 to 300 ms around the time of sound onset

instructing the reward delivery; and first licking, 0 to +1,000 ms after the time of first licking after

the choice response). Any spikes around the events were averaged in 50-ms bins. The event-related

activity was shown as averaged firing rate aligned based on the timing of an event for each trial.

The auROC values were calculated by using the spike counts between the HR or LR trials and any

of the other three trials. Bins showing significant differences in the auROC data were determined by

applying the Wilcoxon rank-sum test ($p < 0.05$) to the distribution of 200 ms bin-by-bin auROC

values and 0.5.

To compare the number of neurons showing event-related activity among different stages,

the number of HR or LL type neurons were calculated by matching the trial number at each stage.

Twenty trials were randomly selected for a neuron, and neurons with less than 20 trials were

removed from the analysis. The neurons with event-related activity were identified as described

above. The proportion (percentage) of neurons relative to the total number of neurons was

calculated at each individual stage. The same analysis was repeated 30 times and the distribution of

the proportion of neurons with event-related activity at the stage was obtained. The cumulative

probability against the proportion was calculated using cumulative distribution function (cdfplot,

MATLAB code from Mathworks).

Licking responses were detected with an infrared photobeam sensor placed in the 3D

printed reward port, and generated timestamps for the onset of each spout contact according to the

analog voltage signal. The licking responses corresponding to four kinds of trial in the first licking-

related neurons were shown as averaged rates (50-ms bins) aligned based on the timing of the

choice response. To calculate the correlation coefficient between neuronal activity and licking

responses, the spikes and licking for 5 s after the first licking were counted every trial for each first

licking-related neuron. Trials in which neurons never responded were removed from both the spike

and licking data. The correlation coefficients between the two remaining data were calculated for

each neuron (corr, MATLAB code from Mathworks), and neurons showing significant differences

were determined by applying the Pearson correlation coefficient ($p < 0.01$).

Histology

After the behavioral experiments, the rats were anesthetized with pentobarbital (50 mg/kg body weight) and isoflurane (3%), then transcardially perfused 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffer (PB). The brains were post-fixed overnight at 4 °C and cryoprotect with 10, 20, and 30% sucrose in PB. Brain tissues were cut into 30-μm sections by using a cryostat (Leica). For the lesion experiment, cresyl violet staining or immunostaining were performed to define the lesioned areas in the striatum. The sections were stained with a mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN IgG (1:1000 dilution; Millipore, Cat# MAB377, RRID:AB 2298772), and then with a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:400 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-11029, RRID:AB 2534088). The sections were counterstained with 0.3 μM 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich). For transient inactivation experiment, sections were stained by cresyl violet to visualize the positions of guide cannulae. For the electrophysiological experiments, small lesions were made by applying an electrolytic current (3 μA, 10 s) through top and bottom channels of each shank by using the stimulus isolator (A365, World Precision Instrument). Sections through the striatum were stained with a mouse monoclonal anti-TH IgG (1:1,000 dilution; Millipore, Cat# MAB318, RRID:AB 2201528), and then with a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:400 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-11029, RRID:AB 2534088). The recording sites were verified by the staining with DAPI and red fluorescent Nissl stain solution (1:200 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# N21482, RRID:AB 2620170). Fluorescence and immunostained signals were visualized under fluorescence microscopes (BZ-X800; Keyence) and confocal microscope (A1R; Nikon).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using MATLAB (MathWorks) and SPSS Statistics (Ver. 27, IBM). Comparisons of data between two groups were performed using the Student's t-test (paired), the Wilcoxon signed rank test (paired), and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (unpaired). Comparisons of

data among three groups were constructed using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA, two-way

repeated measures ANOVA, or the Kruskal-Wallis test (without assuming normal distribution and

homogeneity of variances). All statistical tests were two-sided. The Bonferroni post-hoc test and

Mann–Whitney U-test with Bonferroni adjustment were carried out as needed to compare means.

For boxplots, the data points below the lower (25th percentile) -1.5 interquartile range (IQR) and

above the upper (75th percentile) quartiles +1.5 IQR were regarded as outliers, and they are not

included in the boxplots.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Keiji Ota, Hikaru Yokoyama, and Sho Aoki for instructing the behavioral analysis

and Dr. Hideyuki Matsumoto for technical advice and discussion. We thank the Research Support

Platform, Osaka Metropolitan University Graduate School of Medicine for microscopic imaging.

This work was supported by grants-in-aid for JSPS Fellows (19J01997) and Scientific Research (C)

(21K11556) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (S.S.); a grant-in-aid for Scientific

Research on Transformative Research Areas (A) Adaptive Circuit Census (21H05244) from the

Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan (K.K.); the Grant for Casio Science

Promotion Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation; and the Nakatomi Foundation (S.S.).

Author contributions

S.S., Y.C., and K.K. designed the study. S.S. performed the small-animal neuroimaging, multi-unit

recordings, tracing studies, and pharmacological experiments with technical support from all

authors; T.O., D.H., Y.W., H.O., K.H., and Y.C. assisted with the neuroimaging studies. K.N.

performed the tracing study, and N.S. assisted with the immunostaining. H.M., T.K., and K.M.

assisted with the multi-unit extracellular recordings. S.S. and K.K. wrote the manuscript with

assistance from all coauthors.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

Data availability

All source data underlying the graphs and charts are available in Supplementary Data. All other data

are available upon request. The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are deposited on

Mendeley data (DOI: 10.17632/ghzr838bdk.1).

References

1. Alexander, G.E. & Crutcher, M.D. Functional architecture of basal ganglia circuits: neural substrates of parallel processing. *Trends Neurosci* **13**, 266-271 (1990).

2. Foster, N.N.*, et al.* The mouse cortico-basal ganglia-thalamic network. *Nature* **598**, 188-194 (2021).

3. Kim, H.F. & Hikosaka, O. Parallel basal ganglia circuits for voluntary and automatic behaviour to reach rewards. *Brain* **138**, 1776-1800 (2015).

4. Yin, H.H. & Knowlton, B.J. The role of the basal ganglia in habit formation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **7**, 464-476 (2006).

5. Yin, H.H.*, et al.* Dynamic reorganization of striatal circuits during the acquisition and consolidation of a skill. *Nat Neurosci* **12**, 333-341 (2009).

6. Kupferschmidt, D.A., Juczewski, K., Cui, G., Johnson, K.A. & Lovinger, D.M. Parallel, but dissociable, processing in discrete corticostriatal inputs encodes skill learning. *Neuron* **96**, 476-489 e5 (2017).

7. Thorn, C.A., Atallah, H., Howe, M. & Graybiel, A.M. Differential dynamics of activity changes in dorsolateral and dorsomedial striatal loops during learning. *Neuron* **66**, 781-795 (2010).

8. Doyon, J.*, et al.* Contributions of the basal ganglia and functionally related brain structures to motor learning. *Behav Brain Res* **199**, 61-75 (2009).

9. Lehéricy, S.*, et al.* Distinct basal ganglia territories are engaged in early and advanced motor sequence learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 12566-12571 (2005).

10. Miyachi, S., Hikosaka, O. & Lu, X. Differential activation of monkey striatal neurons in the early and late stages of procedural learning. *Exp Brain Res* **146**, 122-126 (2002).

11. Miyachi, S., Hikosaka, O., Miyashita, K., Kárádi, Z. & Rand, M.K. Differential roles of monkey striatum in learning of sequential hand movement. *Exp Brain Res* **115**, 1-5 (1997).

12. Dickinson, A. Actions and habits: the development of behavioural autonomy. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B* **308**, 67-78 (1985).

13. O'Hare, J.K.*, et al.* Pathway-specific striatal substrates for habitual behavior. *Neuron* **89**, 472-
479 (2016).

14. Yin, H.H., Knowlton, B.J. & Balleine, B.W. Lesions of dorsolateral striatum preserve outcome
expectancy but disrupt habit formation in instrumental learning. *Eur J Neurosci* **19**, 181-189
(2004).

15. Yin, H.H., Ostlund, S.B., Knowlton, B.J. & Balleine, B.W. The role of the dorsomedial striatum
in instrumental conditioning. *Eur J Neurosci* **22**, 513-523 (2005).

16. Redgrave, P.*, et al.* Goal-directed and habitual control in the basal ganglia: implications for
Parkinson's disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **11**, 760-772 (2010).

17. Toni, I. & Passingham, R.E. Prefrontal-basal ganglia pathways are involved in the learning of
arbitrary visuomotor associations: a PET study. *Exp Brain Res* **127**, 19-32 (1999).

18. Seger, C.A. & Cincotta, C.M. The roles of the caudate nucleus in human classification learning.
J Neurosci **25**, 2941-2951 (2005).

19. Kim, H.F. & Hikosaka, O. Distinct basal ganglia circuits controlling behaviors guided by flexible
and stable values. *Neuron* **79**, 1001-1010 (2013).

20. Featherstone, R.E. & McDonald, R.J. Dorsal striatum and stimulus-response learning: lesions of
the dorsolateral, but not dorsomedial, striatum impair acquisition of a simple discrimination task.
Behav Brain Res **150**, 15-23 (2004).

21. Featherstone, R.E. & McDonald, R.J. Lesions of the dorsolateral striatum impair the acquisition
of a simplified stimulus-response dependent conditional discrimination task. *Neuroscience* **136**,
387-395 (2005).

22. Lee, J., Wang, W. & Sabatini, B.L. Anatomically segregated basal ganglia pathways allow
parallel behavioral modulation. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 1388-1398 (2020).

23. Xiong, Q., Znamenskiy, P. & Zador, A.M. Selective corticostriatal plasticity during acquisition
of an auditory discrimination task. *Nature* **521**, 348-351 (2015).

24. Guo, L., Weems, J.T., Walker, W.I., Levichev, A. & Jaramillo, S. Choice-selective neurons in the

auditory cortex and in its striatal target encode reward expectation. *J Neurosci* **39**, 3687-3697

(2019).

25. Nishizawa, K.*, et al.* Striatal indirect pathway contributes to selection accuracy of learned motor

actions. *J Neurosci* **32**, 13421-13432 (2012).

26. Cui, Y.*, et al.* A voxel-based analysis of brain activity in high-order trigeminal pathway in the rat

induced by cortical spreading depression. *Neuroimage* **108**, 17-22 (2015).

27. Kornblum, H.I.*, et al.* In vivo imaging of neuronal activation and plasticity in the rat brain by

high resolution positron emission tomography (microPET). *Nat Biotechnol* **18**, 655-660 (2000).

28. Zimmer, E.R.*, et al.* [18 F] FDG PET signal is driven by astroglial glutamate transport. *Nat*

Neurosci **20**, 393-395 (2017).

29. Bergstrom, H.C.*, et al.* Dorsolateral striatum engagement interferes with early discrimination

learning. *Cell Rep* **23**, 2264-2272 (2018).

30. Packard, M.G., Hirsh, R. & White, N.M. Differential effects of fornix and caudate nucleus lesions

on two radial maze tasks: evidence for multiple memory systems. *J Neurosci* **9**, 1465-1472 (1989).

31. Bathellier, B., Tee, S.P., Hrovat, C. & Rumpel, S. A multiplicative reinforcement learning model

capturing learning dynamics and interindividual variability in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

110, 19950-19955 (2013).

32. Nonomura, S.*, et al.* Monitoring and updating of action selection for goal-directed behavior

through the striatal direct and indirect pathways. *Neuron* **99**, 1302-1314. e5 (2018).

33. Williams, Z.M. & Eskandar, E.N. Selective enhancement of associative learning by

microstimulation of the anterior caudate. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 562-568 (2006).

34. Smith, K.S. & Graybiel, A.M. Habit formation coincides with shifts in reinforcement

representations in the sensorimotor striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **115**, 1487-1498 (2016).

35. Jog, M.S., Kubota, Y., Connolly, C.I., Hillegaart, V. & Graybiel, A.M. Building neural

representations of habits. *Science* **286**, 1745-1749 (1999).

36. Barnes, T.D., Kubota, Y., Hu, D., Jin, D.Z. & Graybiel, A.M. Activity of striatal neurons reflects

dynamic encoding and recoding of procedural memories. *Nature* **437**, 1158-1161 (2005).

37. Jin, X. & Costa, R.M. Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits during sequence learning.

Nature **466**, 457-462 (2010).

38. Barnes, T.D.*, et al.* Advance cueing produces enhanced action-boundary patterns of spike activity

in the sensorimotor striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **105**, 1861-1878 (2011).

39. Smith, A.C.W.*, et al.* Opposing roles for striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons in dorsolateral

striatum in consolidating new instrumental actions. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5121 (2021).

40. Wolff, S.B.E., Ko, R. & Ölveczky, B.P. Distinct roles for motor cortical and thalamic inputs to

striatum during motor skill learning and execution. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabk0231 (2022).

41. Gremel, C.M.*, et al.* Endocannabinoid modulation of orbitostriatal circuits gates habit formation.

Neuron **90**, 1312-1324 (2016).

42. Chen, Z.*, et al.* Direct and indirect pathway neurons in ventrolateral striatum differentially

regulate licking movement and nigral responses. *Cell Rep* **37**, 109847 (2021).

43. Hunnicutt, B.J.*, et al.* A comprehensive excitatory input map of the striatum reveals novel

functional organization. *eLife* **5**, e19103 (2016).

44. Hintiryan, H.*, et al.* The mouse cortico-striatal projectome. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1100-1114 (2016).

45. Valtcheva, S. & Venance, L. Astrocytes gate Hebbian synaptic plasticity in the striatum. *Nature*

communications **7**, 13845 (2016).

46. Paxinos, G. & Watson, C. The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates IV Ed (Academic Press, 1998).

47. Kitanishi, T., Umaba, R. & Mizuseki, K. Robust information routing by dorsal subiculum

neurons. *Sci Adv* **7**, eabf1913 (2021).

48. Endepols, H.*, et al.* Effort-based decision making in the rat: an [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose micro

positron emission tomography study. *J Neurosci* **30**, 9708-9714 (2010).

49. Marx, C.*, et al.* Conflict processing in the rat brain: behavioral analysis and functional μPET

imaging using [F]fluorodeoxyglucose. *Front Behav Neurosci* **6**, 4 (2012).

50. Fee, M.S., Mitra, P.P. & Kleinfeld, D. Automatic sorting of multiple unit neuronal signals in the

924 presence of anisotropic and non-Gaussian variability. *J Neurosci Methods* **69**, 175-188 (1996).

925 51. Schmitzer-Torbert, N., Jackson, J., Henze, D., Harris, K. & Redish, A.D. Quantitative measures

926   of cluster quality for use in extracellular recordings. *Neuroscience* **131**, 1-11 (2005).

927 52. Bakhurin, K.I., Mac, V., Golshani, P. & Masmanidis, S.C. Temporal correlations among

928   functionally specialized striatal neural ensembles in reward-conditioned mice. *J Neurophysiol*

929   **115**, 1521-1532 (2016).

930 53. Kim, N.*, et al.* A striatal interneuron circuit for continuous target pursuit. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2715

931   (2019).

932 54. Peters, A.J., Fabre, J.M.J., Steinmetz, N.A., Harris, K.D. & Carandini, M. Striatal activity

933   topographically reflects cortical activity. *Nature* **591**, 420-425 (2021).

Figures and legends

Figure 1. Behavioral performance of two-alternative auditory discrimination learning.

(A) Schematic diagram of the auditory discrimination task. Each trial started by presentation of a

tone instruction cue with the high (10 kHz) or low (2 kHz) frequency. Three seconds later, the room

light was illuminated, and two retractable levers were inserted at the same time. The rats were

required to press the right and left levers in response to the high and low tones, respectively. (B)

Learning curve of the auditory discrimination in intact rats ($n = 14$). Inset indicates the cumulative

curve of number achieved the success rate of more than 90%. (C) Success rate at Days 2, 6, 10, 13,

and 24 (one-way repeated ANOVA, $F[1.897, 24.659] = 106.706$, $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-12}$, *post hoc*

Bonferroni test, Day 2 vs. Day 6, $p = 0.001$, Day 6 vs. Day 10, $p = 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$, Day 10 vs. Day 13, p

$= 0.040$, and Day 13 vs. Day 24, $p = 0.080$). (D) Response time (one-way repeated ANOVA,

$F[2.203, 28.642] = 2.386$, $p = 0.105$). (E) Omission rate ($F[4,52] = 0.699$, $p = 0.596$). (F) Response

bias (one-way repeated ANOVA, $F[1.129, 14.674] = 0.250$, $p = 0.653$). Data are indicated as the

mean ± s.e.m., and individual data are overlaid. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, and ***$p < 0.001$.

Figure 2. Dissociable brain activity patterns during auditory discrimination learning among three striatal subregions. (A) Schematic illustration of an awake rat received intravenously ^{18}F-FDG injection through an indwelling catheter attached to the tail. The rat was conducted for the behavioral experiment and then used for microPET imaging. (B) Schedule for the behavioral training and ^{18}F-FDG-PET scan. After ^{18}F-FDG injection, rats ($n = 14$ rats) were subjected to the

behavioral experiment (30 min) and returned to the home cage (15 min). Ten min before the PET

scan started, the rats were anesthetized and then the scan was started (30 min). (C and D) Learning

curves of the single lever press task (C) and auditory discrimination task (D). Arrowheads indicate

the PET scan days. (E) Behavioral performance on the scan days during the auditory discrimination.

Success rate (one-way repeated ANOVA, $F[3,39] = 125.012$, $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-20}$, *post hoc* Bonferroni

test; Day 2 vs. Day 6, $p = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$; Day 6 vs. Day 10, $p = 0.001$; Day 10 vs. Day 24, $p = 0.003$),

response time (one-way repeated ANOVA, $F[3,39] = 0.156$, $p = 0.926$), omission rate (one-way

repeated ANOVA, $F[3,39] = 1.559$, $p = 0.215$), and response bias (one-way repeated ANOVA,

$F[1.779,23.130] = 17.734$, $p = 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$) are shown. (F) Representative images of coronal sections

that compared the brain activity in the single lever press task with the activity on Day 2, 6, 10, or 24

in the discrimination task. Left panels show schematic illustrations of striatal subregions. (G)

Horizontal (top) and coronal (bottom) images of striatal activation areas shown on Day 10 vs. single

lever in (F). (H) Representative images of coronal section that compared the brain activity on Day 2

with that on Day 6, 10, or 24 in the discrimination task. Color bars indicate the T-values, and a

value of 3.8 was used as the threshold corresponding to the uncorrected threshold ($p < 0.001$). (I)

Schematic pictures showing voxel of interests for the aDLS, pVLS, and DMS (green, left

hemisphere; and purple, right hemisphere). (J-L) Regional ^{18}F-FDG uptakes in the aDLS (J, one-

way repeated ANOVA, left aDLS, $F[4,52] = 10.322$, $p = 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$, *post hoc* Bonferroni test; single

lever vs. Day 6, $p = 0.016$; Day 2 vs. Day 6, $p = 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$; Day 2 vs. Day 10, $p = 0.019$; Day 2 vs.

Day 24, $p = 0.017$; Day 6 vs. Day 24, $p = 0.041$; right aDLS, $F[4,52] = 7.462$, $p = 7.9 \times 10^{-5}$, *post*

hoc Bonferroni test, Day 2 vs. Day 6, $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$; Day 2 vs. Day 10, $p = 0.036$; Day 6 vs. Day

24, $p = 0.005$), pVLS (K, one-way repeated ANOVA, left pVLS, $F[2.368,30.784] = 4.152$, $p =$

0.020, *post hoc* Bonferroni test; single lever vs. Day 10, $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$; right pVLS, $F[4,52] =$

5.995, $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$, *post hoc* Bonferroni test, single lever vs. Day 10, $p = 0.001$; Day 2 vs. Day 10,

$p = 0.011$; Day 6 vs. Day 10, $p = 0.032$), and DMS (L, one-way repeated ANOVA, left DMS,

$F[4,52] = 12.836$, $p = 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$, *post hoc* Bonferroni test; Day 2 vs. Day 24, $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$; Day 6

vs. Day 24, $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$; Day 10 vs. Day 24, $p = 5.5 \times 10^{-5}$; right DMS, $F[4,52] = 10.717$, $p = 2.0$

$\times 10^{-6}$, *post hoc* Bonferroni test; single lever vs. Day 24, $p = 0.036$; Day 2 vs. Day 24, $p = 0.011$;

Day 6 vs. Day 24, $p = 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$; Day 10 vs. Day 24, $p = 0.011$). Arrowheads indicate the day with

the most activations throughout the learning process. Data are indicated as the mean ± s.e.m., and

individual data are overlaid. The anteroposterior coordinates from bregma (mm) are shown (F–I).

Scale bar; 2 mm (I). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, and ***$p < 0.001$.

Figure 3. **Impacts of excitotoxic lesion of striatal subregions on the acquisition of auditory**

discrimination. Rats were given intracranial injection of PBS or IBO solution into the aDLS ($n = 8$

for each injection), pVLS ($n = 8$ for each injection), or DMS ($n = 7$ for PBS injection, and $n = 6$ for

IBO injection) before start of the single lever press task. (A-C) Representative images of NeuN

immunostaining and individual schematic illustrations showing lesioned area in the aDLS (A),

pVLS (B), or DMS (C). Dotted lines in the images indicate the range of the lesioned area. ac,

anterior commissure; cc, corpus callosum; and LV, lateral ventricle. (D-F) Learning curves during

the single lever press task in the groups injected into the aDLS (D, two-way repeated ANOVA,

group, $F[1,14] = 1.275$, $p = 0.278$, day, $F[1.512,21.171] = 26.281$, $p = 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$, group × day,

$F[1.512,21.171] = 0.834$, $p = 0.418$), pVLS (E, two-way repeated ANOVA, group, $F[1,14] = 2.542$,

$p = 0.133$, day, $F[1.547,21.658] = 40.183$, $p = 2.2 \times 10^{-7}$, group × day, $F[1.547,21.658] = 0.953$, $p =$

0.380), or DMS (F, two-way repeated ANOVA, group, $F[1,11] = 0.025$, $p = 0.876$, day,

$F[1.205,13.252] = 14.818$, $p = 0.001$, group × day, $F[1.205,13.252] = 0.610$, $p = 0.478$). (G-I)

Learning curves during the auditory discrimination task in the groups injected into the aDLS (G,

two-way repeated ANOVA, group, $F[1,14] = 11.578$, $p = 0.004$, day, $F[2.805,39.274] = 60.733$, $p =$

1.8×10^{-14}, group × day, $F[2.805,39.274] = 3.863$, $p = 0.018$, simple main effect, PBS vs. IBO, Day

1, $p = 0.140$, Day 2, $p = 0.085$, Day 3, $p = 0.284$, Day 4, $p = 0.068$, Day 5, $p = 0.011$, Day 6, $p = 9.5$

$\times 10^{-5}$, Day 7, $p = 0.001$, Day 8, $p = 0.002$, Day 9, $p = 0.012$, Day 10, $p = 0.059$, Day 11, $p = 0.034$,

Day 12, $p = 0.046$, Day 13, $p = 0.136$, Day 14, $p = 0.096$), pVLS (H, two-way repeated ANOVA,

group, $F[1,14] = 7.221$, $p = 0.018$, day, $F[3.646,51.041] = 51.794$, $p = 9.2 \times 10^{-17}$, group × day,

$F[3.646,51.041] = 1.612$, $p = 0.190$), or DMS (I, two-way repeated ANOVA, group, $F[1,11] =$

0.469, $p = 0.507$, day, $F[2.677,29.452] = 48.860$, $p = 3.9 \times 10^{-11}$, group × day, $F[2.677,29.452] =$

1.094, $p = 0.362$). (J-L) Changes in the proportions of the win-shift-win or lose-shift-lose strategies

through the discrimination learning in the groups treated into the aDLS (J, two-way repeated

ANOVA, group, $F[1,14] = 13.451$, $p = 0.003$, day, $F[3.048,42.673] = 54.777$, $p = 9.1 \times 10^{-15}$, group

× day, $F[3.048,42.673] = 3.288$, $p = 0.029$, simple main effect, PBS vs. IBO, Day 1, $p = 0.728$, Day

2, $p = 0.225$, Day 3, $p = 0.165$, Day 4, $p = 0.044$, Day 5, $p = 0.015$, Day 6, $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$, Day 7, $p =$

0.002, Day 8, $p = 5.1 \times 10^{-4}$, Day 9, $p = 0.004$, Day 10, $p = 0.060$, Day 11, $p = 0.053$, Day 12, $p =$

0.081, Day 13, $p = 0.198$, Day 14, $p = 0.075$ for win-shift-win; group, $F[1,14] = 5.039$, $p = 0.041$,

day, $F[3.866,54.119] = 14.578$, $p = 5.2 \times 10^{-8}$, group × day, $F[3.866,54.119] = 2.471$, $p = 0.057$ for

lose-shift-lose), pVLS (K, two-way repeated ANOVA, group, $F[1,14] = 9.251$, $p = 0.009$, day,

$F[3.206,44.881] = 47.168$, $p = 2.7 \times 10^{-14}$, group × day, $F[3.206,44.881] = 1.862$, $p = 0.146$ for win-

shift-win; group, $F[1,14] = 0.762$, $p = 0.397$, day, $F[4.773,66.815] = 24.018$, $p = 1.9 \times 10^{-13}$, group

× day, $F[4.773,66.815] = 1.338$, $p = 0.260$ for lose-shift-lose), or DMS (L, two-way repeated

ANOVA, group, $F[1,11] = 0.703$, $p = 0.420$, day, $F[3.052,33.568] = 48.424$, $p = 2.3 \times 10^{-12}$, group ×

day, $F[3.052,33.568] = 0.846$, $p = 0.480$ for win-shift-win; group, $F[1,11] = 0.002$, $p = 0.965$, day,

$F[3.442,37.866] = 13.787$, $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$, group $\times$ day, $F[3.442, 37.866] = 0.581$, $p = 0.654$ for lose-

shift-lose). Data are indicated as the mean $\pm$ s.e.m., and individual data are overlaid (except for

panels J–L). The anteroposterior coordinates from bregma (mm) are shown (A–C). Scale bars; 2

mm (A–C). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$ and ***$p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: WSW. win-shift-win; and LSL,

lose-shift-lose.

Figure 4. Influence of transient inhibition of striatal subregions at different timings on the performance of auditory discrimination. Rats received intracranial injection of SAL or MUS solution into the aDLS (*n* = 8 for each injection) or pVLS (*n* = 6 for each injection). (A and B) Representative images of cresyl violet staining and schematic illustrations showing placement sites of the tip of guide cannula in the aDLS (A) or pVLS (B). Dotted lines in the images indicate the position of the cannula placement. Ac, anterior commissure; cc, corpus callosum; and LV, lateral ventricle. (C and B) Effects of transient striatal inhibition on the performance. For aDLS inhibition (C), success rate (left, two-way repeated ANOVA, stage, *F*[2,28] = 28.708, *p* = 1.7 × 10⁻⁷, group, *F*[1,14] = 8.840, *p* = 0.010, stage × group, *F*[2,28] = 0.757, *p* = 0.478; unpaired Student's t-test, early, *t*[14] = 0.558, *p* = 0.586; middle, *t*[14] = 2.764, *p* = 0.015; Welch's t-test, late, *t*[12.010] = 1.617, *p* = 0.132, *p* = 0.016 after a Bonferroni correction method) and subtracted success rate on day N-1 from that on day N (right, two-way repeated ANOVA, stage, *F*[2,28] = 1.231, *p* = 0.307, group, *F*[1,14] = 10.797, *p* = 0.005, stage × group, *F*[2,28] = 2.360, *p* = 0.113; unpaired Student's t-test, early, *t*[14] = 0.285, *p* = 0.780, late, *t*[14] = 1.271, *p* = 0.225, Welch's t-test, middle, *t*[9.603] = 3.331, *p* = 0.008, *p* = 0.016 after a Bonferroni correction method). For pVLS inhibition (D), success rate (left, two-way repeated ANOVA, stage, *F*[2,20] = 17.642, *p* = 3.8 × 10⁻⁵, group, *F*[1,10] =

43.942, $p = 5.9 \times 10^{-5}$, stage × group, $F[2,20] = 4.729$, $p = 0.012$; simple main effect, early, $p =$

0.055, middle, $p = 0.109$, late, $p = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$) and subtracted success rate on day N-1 from that on

day N (right, two-way repeated ANOVA, stage, $F[2,20] = 12.105$, $p = 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$, group, $F[1,10] =$

16.310, $p = 0.002$, stage × group, $F[2,20] = 8.500$, $p = 0.002$; simple main effect, early, $p = 0.595$,

middle, $p = 0.085$, late, $p = 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$). (E and F) Subtracted proportion of the win-shift-win or lose-

shift-lose strategies in the groups injected into the aDLS at the middle stage (E, unpaired Student's

t-test, $t[14] = 2.038$, $p = 0.061$ for win-shift-win, and $t[14] = 2.714$, $p = 0.017$ for lose-shift-lose)

and the late stage (F, unpaired Student's t-test, $t[14] = 0.898$, $p = 0.384$ for win-shift-win, and $t[14]$

$= 0.226$, $p = 0.824$ for lose-shift-lose). (G and H) Subtracted proportion of the win-shift-win or lose-

shift-lose strategies in the groups injected into the pVLS at the middle stage (G, unpaired Student's

t-test, $t(10) = 1.924$, $p = 0.083$ for win-shift-win, and Welch's t-test, $t[6.095] = 1.364$, $p = 0.221$ for

lose-shift-lose) and the late stage (H, unpaired Student's t-test, $t[10] = 3.629$, $p = 0.005$ for win-

shift-win, and $t[10] = 1.577$, $p = 0.146$ for lose-shift-lose). Data are indicated as the mean ± s.e.m.,

and individual data are overlaid. The anteroposterior coordinates from bregma (mm) are shown (A

and B). Scale bars; 2 mm (A and B). $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, and $***p < 0.001$. Abbreviations:

WSW, win-shift-win; and LSL, lose-shift-lose.

Figure 5. Multi-unit recording of neurons in striatal subregions and firing activity related to the behavioral outcome of reward sound-related HR type neurons in the aDLS. (A) Schematic illustration of a freely moving rat used for simultaneous multi-unit recordings in the aDLS and pVLS. Six rats were used for the following analysis. (B) Representative images showing positions

of electrode tips (arrows) in the aDLS and pVLS (left rows). The recording sites estimated by

electrode tracks and electrical marks in individual rats are shown (center and right rows). ac,

anterior commissure; LV, lateral ventricle. (C) Sequence of some events in correct and error trials.

The delay periods were pseudorandomly added between a correct lever press and the reward sound

$(0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ s})$. The room light turned off the extended time (4 s) after the correct response or

immediately after the error response. (D) Mean firing rate (top rows) and auROC values (bottom

rows) of reward sound-related HR type neurons in the aDLS at the early ($n = 43$ neurons), middle

($n = 37$ neurons), and late ($n = 44$ neurons) stages. These data are aligned to the choice response.

Green shadows show the period when the reward sound is presented (500 ± 200 ms after the lever

press). Time bins with significant differences between the mean firing rate in the HR or LR trial and

any of the rates in other three trials (Wilcoxon signed rank test) or the distribution of auROC values

and 0.5 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) are represented by the circles at the top. (E) Averaged firing rate

during the reward sound period in the HR and LR trials at the early, middle, and late stages

(Kruskal-Wallis test: HR trial, $\chi^2 = 3.028$, $p = 0.220$; and LR trial, $\chi^2 = 1.249$, $p = 0.536$). (F)

Cumulative probability of the proportion of reward sound-related HR type neuron number at the

three stages (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 45.378$, $p = 1.4 \times 10^{-10}$; *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, $p = 7.1 \times$

10^{-5} for early vs. middle, $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ for early vs. late, and $p = 0.040$ for middle vs. late). Data are

indicated as the mean $\pm$ s.e.m. (D) or the median and quartiles with the maximal and minimal

values (E). The anteroposterior coordinates from bregma (mm) are shown (B). Scale bars; 2 mm

(B). *$p < 0.05$ and ***$p < 0.001$.

Figure 6. Sustained activity after the reward of first licking-related HR type neurons in the aDLS and pVLS. (A) Firing rate (top rows), auROC (middle rows), and licking rate (bottom rows) after the reward of first licking-related HR type neurons in the aDLS at the early (n = 27 neurons), middle (n = 31 neurons), and late (n = 49 neurons) stages. These data are aligned to the choice response. Time bins with significant differences between the mean firing rate in the HR trial and any of the rate in other three trials (Wilcoxon signed rank test) or the distribution of auROC values and 0.5 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) are represented by the circles at the top. Timings of the reward sound and first licking are shown. (B) Averaged firing rate for 5 s after the first licking in the HR trial (Kruskal-Wallis test, χ^2 = 16.396, p = 2.8 × 10^{-4}, *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, p = 0.726, early vs. late, p = 7.2 × 10^{-4}, middle vs. late, p = 0.009). (C) Averaged total number of time bins above 3 S.D. of the baseline firing of aDLS neurons for 5 s after the first licking in the HR trial (Kruskal-Wallis test, χ^2 = 12.803, p = 0.002, *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, p = 0.173, early vs. late, p = 0.001, middle vs. late, p = 0.219). (D) Cumulative probability of the

proportion of first licking-related HR type neuron number (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 39.280$, $p = 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$, *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, $p = 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$, early vs. late, $p = 0.995$, middle vs. late, $p = 2.3 \times 10^{-7}$). (E) Distribution of the correlation coefficient between the numbers of spikes of first licking-related HR type neurons and licking. Closed column indicates the number of neurons showing significant correlations between the two parameters (5 out of 107 neurons). (F) Firing rate (top rows), auROC (middle rows), and licking rate (bottom rows) after the reward of FL-HR neurons in the pVLS at the early ($n = 17$ neurons), middle ($n = 11$ neurons), and late ($n = 64$ neurons) stages. These data are aligned to the choice response. Time bins with significant differences between the rate in the HR trial and any of the rate in other trials (Wilcoxon signed rank test) or the distribution of auROC values and 0.5 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) are represented by the circles at the top. The timings of the reward sound and first licking are shown. (G) Averaged firing rate of pVLS neurons after the first licking in the HR trial (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 7.652$, $p = 0.022$; *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, $p = 0.975$, early vs. late, $p = 0.076$, and middle vs. late, $p = 0.096$). (H) Averaged total number of time bins above 3 S.D. of the baseline firing after the first licking in the HR trial (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 0.023$, $p = 0.989$). (I) Cumulative probability of the proportion of first licking-related HR type neuron number (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 47.922$, $p = 3.9 \times 10^{-11}$; *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, $p = 0.011$, early vs. late, $p = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$, and middle vs. late, $p = 9.7 \times 10^{-10}$). (J) Distribution of the correlation coefficient between the number of spikes in the pVLS and the licking. Closed column indicates the number of neurons showing significant correlations between the two parameters (5 out of 92 neurons). Data are indicated as the mean ± s.e.m. (A and F) or the median and quartiles with the maximal and minimal values (B, C, G, and H). **$p < 0.01$ and ***$p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: RS, reward sound; and FL, first licking.

Figure 7. Transient activity related to the beginning and ending of a behavior of cue onset-HR and choice response-related HR type neurons in the pVLS. (A) Firing rate (top rows) and auROC values (bottom rows) of cue onset-related HR type neurons in the pVLS at the early ($n = 4$ neurons), middle ($n = 21$ neurons), and late ($n = 24$ neurons) stages. These data are aligned to the cue onset. Time bins with significant differences between the mean firing rate in the HR trial and either of the rate in other three trials (Wilcoxon signed rank test) or the distribution of auROC values and 0.5 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) are represented by the circles at the top. (B) Averaged firing rate of the cue onset-related HR type neurons in HR and LL trials (0-600 ms after the cue onset) (Wilcoxon signed rank test; early, $p = 0.875$, middle, $p = 0.006$, and late, $p = 0.004$). (C) Cumulative probability of the proportion of the cue onset-related HR type neuron number (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 51.114$, $p = 8.0 \times 10^{-12}$; *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, $p = 9.6 \times 10^{-10}$, early vs. late, $p = 0.002$, and middle vs. late, $p = 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$). (D) Firing rate (top rows) and auROC values (bottom rows) of the choice response-related HR type neurons in the pVLS at the early ($n = 11$ neurons), middle ($n = 22$ neurons), and late ($n = 41$ neurons) stages. These data are aligned to the choice response. Time bins with significant differences between the mean rate in the HR trial and any of the rate in other trials (Wilcoxon signed rank test) or the distribution of auROC values and 0.5 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) are represented by the circles at the top. The timing of the

reward sound is presented. (E) Averaged firing rate of the choice response-related HR type neurons

in HR and LL trials (0-600 ms after the choice response) (Wilcoxon signed rank test; early, $p =$

0.278, middle, $p = 0.758$, and late, $p = 0.279$). (F) Cumulative probability of the proportion of the

choice response-related HR type neuron number (Kruskal-Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 13.786$, $p = 0.001$; *post*

hoc Tukey-Kramer test, early vs. middle, $p = 0.003$, early vs. late, $p = 0.005$, and middle vs. late, p

$= 0.976$). Data are indicated as the mean $\pm$ s.e.m. (A and B) or the median and quartiles with the

maximal and minimal values (B and E). **$p < 0.01$ and ***$p < 0.001$. Abbreviation: RS, reward

sound.