Title page

Manuscript title

Prdm1 Positively Regulates Liver Group 1 ILCs Cancer Immune Surveillance and Preserves Functional Heterogeneity

Authors and affiliations

Jitian He[1], Le Gao[1], Peiying Wang[1], Wing Keung Chan[4], Yiran Zheng[1], Yumo Zhang[1], Jiming Wang[5],

Huaiyong Chen[3,6,7], Zhouxin Yang[2], Youwei Wang[1]

[1]Institute of Medical Engineering & Translational Medicine, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China.

[2]Zhejiang Provincial Key Lab of Geriatrics and Geriatrics Institute of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang Hospital, Hangzhou 310030, China.

[3]College of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, 8th Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

[4]Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

[5]Tianjin Economic-Technological Development Area (TEDA) Hospital, Tianjin 300451, China

[6]Department of Basic Medicine, Haihe Hospital, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China.

[7]Tianjin Key Laboratory of Lung Regenerative Medicine, Tianjin 300350, China.

Authorship notes: JH, LG, and PW contributed equally to this work.

Co-corresponding authors: Huaiyong Chen (huaiyong.chen@foxmail.com), Zhouxin Yang

(yangzhouxin@hotmail.com), Youwei Wang (youwei.wang@tju.edu.cn)

Address correspondence to: Youwei Wang, Institute of Medical Engineering & Translational

Medicine, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China. Email: youwei.wang@tju.edu.cn. Or to

Zhouxin Yang, Zhejiang Provincial Key Lab of Geriatrics and Geriatrics Institute of Zhejiang

Province, Zhejiang Hospital, Hangzhou 310030, China. Email: yangzhouxin@hotmail.com. Or to

Huaiyong Chen, Department of Basic Medicine, Haihe Hospital, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350,

China. Email: huaiyong.chen@foxmail.com.

Abstract

Group 1 innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) comprise conventional natural killer (cNK) cells and type 1 innate lymphoid cells (ILC1s). The main functions of liver cNK cells and ILC1s not only include directly killing target cells but also regulating local immune microenvironment of the liver through the secretion of cytokines. Uncovering the intricate mechanisms by which transcriptional factors regulate and influence the functions of liver cNK cells and ILC1s, particularly within the context of liver tumors, presents a significant opportunity to amplify the effectiveness of immunotherapies against liver malignancies. Using Ncr1-drived conditional knockout mouse model, our study reveals the regulatory role of *Prdm1* in shaping the composition and maturation of cNK cells. Although *Prdm1* did not affect the killing function of cNK cells in an *in vivo* cytotoxicity model, a significant increase in cancer metastasis was observed in *Prdm1* knockout mice. Interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), granzyme B, and perforin secretion decreased significantly in *Prdm1* deficient cNK cells and liver ILC1s. scRNA sequencing data also provided evidences that Prdm1 maintains functional subsets of cNK cells and liver ILC1s and facilitates communications between cNK cells, liver ILC1s and macrophages. The present study unveiled a novel regulatory mechanism of Prdm1 in cNK cells and liver ILC1s, showing promising potential for developing innovative immune therapy strategies against liver cancer.

Main text

Introduction

Group 1 ILCs consist of cNK cells and ILC1s (1, 2), with distinct developmental trajectories and effect molecules (3). Both cNK cells and ILC1s play indispensable roles in combatting viral infections (4), maintaining local immune homeostasis (5), eradicating malignant transformed cells (6), and fostering cross-talk with adaptive immunity (7). cNK cells demonstrate potent cellular cytotoxicity, facilitating direct elimination of target cells (8). On the contrary, a defining attribute of ILC1s is their predominant cytokine-mediated functions, with limited cellular killing capacity (9). In a state of homeostasis, liver group 1 ILCs ($CD45^+CD3^-NK1.1^+NKp46^+$) can be discriminated into cNK cells and ILC1s by the differential expression of CD49a and CD49b (2): cNK cells are marked by the expression of CD49b, while liver ILC1s exhibit a distinctive positivity for CD49a. Tumor Necrosis Factor Related Apoptosis Inducing Ligand (TRAIL) is also expressed on liver ILC1s, but not on cNK cells (10). Transcriptional factors (TFs) such as T-bet, Nfil3, PLZF, and ID2 are required for cNK cells and liver ILC1s development or generation of their progenitors (11-14). Eomes is considered to be necessary for NK cell maturation, but not for ILC1s (15). Liver environment facilitated T-bet expression in the early stage of NK cells development, which results in Eomes repression. The repression of T-bet is required for Eomes$^+$ NK cells (16). On the contrary, deficiency of Hobit results in the depletion of ILC1s, while only leaving little impact on liver NK cells (17). These studies suggest that some TFs may, or usually, play different roles in NK cells and ILC1s.

During the development of liver cancers, the functions of immune cells are often inhibited,

resulting in the formation of an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, and sometimes even

systemic immune suppression (18). Transforming a cold tumor into a hot tumor with a stronger

immune response is one of the goals of many cancer immunotherapies (19). Research targeting the

immune system is showing increasing clinical promise in liver cancer treatment (20). One of our

recent studies also found that Toll-like receptor agonists can significantly enhance the anti-tumor

effect of Sorafenib by reconstructing the tumor immune microenvironment and reshaping the

vascular system (21).

The anti-tumor activity of cNK cells in the liver is relatively well-established, whereas the

relationship between liver ILC1 and tumors is still a topic of controversy. Clinical data showed that

liver tumors with a higher infiltration of NK cells are associated with a better prognosis (22).

Decreased NK cell activity is closely correlated with the malignancy of liver tumors and represents

a significant risk factor for recurrence (23). The tumor microenvironment orchestrates the

transformation of cNK cells into ILC1s in a TGF-β-dependent manner, resulting in their diminished

capacity to control tumor growth and metastasis. This process ultimately promotes tumor

immunoevasion (24). However, researches also revealed that, distinct from directly inhibiting tumor

growth, the primary function of ILC1 is to suppress the seeding of metastatic tumor cells in liver

tissue (6). Comprehensive research in this field is essential to harness the precision of group 1 ILCs

targeted immunotherapy for liver cancers.

The transcription factor network governs the function of group 1 ILCs and the balance between

cNK and ILC1. Our research team, along with others, has observed that one of the transcription

factor in TGF-β pathway, Smad4, promotes the shift in balance from cNK cells towards ILC1 in a

TGF-beta-independent pathway, and simultaneously, it positively regulates the expression of

another transcription factor, PR domain 1 (Prdm1/Blimp1) (25, 26). Prdm1 plays a crucial role in

the differentiation of B cells into plasma cells and the homeostasis of T cells (27-29). The function

and regulatory network of Prdm1 in NK cells is distinct from B cells and T cells, for its expression

is independent of B-cell lymphoma 6 (Bcl-6) and Interferon Regulatory actor 4 (IRF4) but relies on

T-bet. In the study that identified Prdm1 as an essential transcriptional factor for NK cell maturation

(30), no significant differences were observed in IFN-γ production or cytotoxicity between Prdm1-

deficient and wild-type NK cells. Although Prdm1 expression is dependent on IL-15 in immature

NK cells and can be further upregulated by IL-12 or IL-21, it plays a role in downregulating the

expression of certain cytokine receptors, such as CD25, consequently diminishing the

responsiveness of NK cells to IL-2 (31). Notably, some studies even suggested that Prdm1

suppressed the secretion of IFN-γ in NK cells (32). These findings imply that, although Prdm1

promotes NK cell development, it may function as a negative regulator of their activity. However,

direct evidence supporting the impact of Prdm1 on NK cell anti-tumor capabilities is still lacking.

Furthermore, there has been no investigation into its influence on the homeostasis of cNK cells and

ILC1s in the liver, nor has there been an exploration of the underlying mechanisms.

In the current study, we found that the deletion of *Prdm1* in Ncr1^{+} cells resulted in an imbalance

in the homeostasis of liver group 1 ILCs, with a shift towards cNK cells. The data also support the

essential role of Prdm1 in the cancer surveillance mediated by cNK cells. While Prdm1 positively

regulates genes associated with cellular cytotoxicity, it concurrently exerts inhibitory control over

certain positive regulators of NK cell development and functionality, such as JunB. Using single-

cell RNA sequencing, we have identified a subset of cNK cells within the liver characterized by

elevated JunB expression. These cells exhibit decreased expression of genes associated with cellular

cytotoxicity, and their abundance significantly increases following Prdm1 knockout. Furthermore,

our data also support that Prdm1 promotes the cross-talk between group 1 ILCs and macrophages.

Results

***Prdm1* promotes group 1 ILCs homeostasis and terminal maturation**

Examination of 363 liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) patient samples from The Cancer

Genome Atlas (TCGA) revealed a positive correlation between the expression of NK cell-associated

genes (33) (*NCR1*, *KLRB1*, *CD160*, *PRF1,* etc.) and *PRDM1* expression (Figure 1A). The patients

are ordered from highest to lowest based on the expression of NK-Prdm1 for survival analysis

(Figure 1B). Notably, patients exhibiting higher levels of NK-PRDM1 expression (above the median)

experienced better survival outcomes compared to those with lower levels of NK-PRDM1

expression (below the median) (Figure 1C). Similar results were also found in skin cutaneous

melanoma (SKCM, n=454) and lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD, n=497) patients (Supplemental

Figure 1, A-F). Patients within the highest quartile of NK-PRDM1 signature expression

demonstrated enhanced overall survival, a result that achieved statistical significance in LUAD and

SKCM patients (Supplemental Figure 1, G-I). These data suggested that *PRDM1* in NK cells might

be essential for immune surveillance in solid tumors, including liver cancer, and prompted us to

investigate the function and mechanism of *PRDM1* in NK cells and ILC1 within the context of liver

cancer.

Ncr1-Cre mice were crossed with *Prdm1$^{fl/fl}$* mice to generate *Ncr1-cre Prdm1$^{fl/fl}$* mice, which

specifically knockout exons 6-8 of *Prdm1* (34) in NKp46 positive cells (Figure 1D). The mice

carrying *Ncr1$^{Cre/+}$Prdm1$^{fl/fl}$* were referred to as *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice, and the mice carrying

Ncr1$^{+/+}$Prdm1$^{fl/fl}$ were referred to as *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice or wild-type (WT) mice. To further validate the

deletion of *Prdm1* in NKp46$^+$ cells of *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$ cells were sorted and

the expression of *Prdm1* was measured by real-time RT-PCR. The expression of *Prdm1* was almost

undetectable in CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$ cells of *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, while was similar between total

splenocytes in *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* and *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}*mice (Figure 1, E and F) indicating successful, and

specific knockout of *Prdm1* in NKp46$^+$ cells.

Proportion and absolute number of cNK cells in blood, bone marrow, lung, liver, spleen, and

lymph nodes were analyzed by flow cytometry. Compared with *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice, the percentage and

absolute number of cNK cells (CD45$^+$CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$) among lymphocytes was decreased in

all of these tissues, whereas increased number of NK cells were observed in bone marrow (Figure

1G; Supplemental Figure 2A). NK cell terminal maturation can be divided into four stages according

to the expression of CD11b and CD27 (35). Stage IV (CD11b$^+$CD27$^-$) NK cells show higher level

of effector molecules than any other stages and are considered as the most mature NK cells (36).

The maturation of cNK cells (gated by CD45$^+$CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$CD49b$^+$) from blood, bone

marrow, lung, liver, spleen, and lymph nodes were assessed, based on the expression of CD11b and

CD27. Compared with *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice, the proportion of the most mature CD11b$^+$CD27$^-$ NK cells

were significantly decreased in all of the analyzed tissues in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice (Figure 1H;

Supplemental Figure 2B). Killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G member 1 (KLRG1) is a

lectin-like receptor which was considered as another marker of NK cell maturation (37). In both

Prdm1$^{+/+}$ and *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, cNK cells from the liver and lung had the highest expression of

KLRG1, following by blood and spleen (Supplemental Figure 2C). The lowest KLRG1 expression

was observed in cNK cells derived from lymph nodes and bone marrow, indicating the presence of

the most immature cNK cells in these tissues. Consistent with CD11b/CD27 based maturation

analysis, a significant loss of KLRG1$^+$ NK cells in cNK cells was observed in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice

(Supplemental Figure 2C). Together, these data comfired that *Prdm1* is required for the terminal

maturation of cNK cells among various tissues.

***Prdm1* is required for group 1 ILCs to control tumor metastasis**

Two subpopulations of liver group 1 ILCs (gated by CD45$^+$CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$) were further

analyzed based on the expression of CD49a and CD49b (Figure 2A). Compared with *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice,

the *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice exhibited an increased percentage of cNK cells (CD49a$^-$CD49b$^+$) and reduced

proportion of ILC1s (CD49a$^+$CD49b$^-$) (Figure 2A). Of note, the absolute number of both cNK cells

and ILC1s were decreased in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice, with a more robustly reduction in ILC1s (Figure 2A),

which underscored the crucial role of *Prdm1* in maintaining the quantity of both liver cNK cells and

ILC1s. Expression level of CD49b was slightly upregulated in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* cNK cells and NKp46$^+$

cells in the liver and other tissues (Supplemental Figure 2, D and E). Increased CD49a expression

was also observed in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* liver ILC1s, while it showed decreased expression in NKp46$^+$ cells

in the liver, bone marrow, and lymph nodes (Supplemental Figure 2, F and G). These results

indicated the essential role of Prdm1 in maintaining the balance and hemostasis of cNK cells and

ILC1s.

The decreased quantity of NK cell homeostasis and maturation of cNK cells due to *Prdm1* loss

motivated us to further explore whether deficiency of Prdm1 impaired NK cell cytotoxicity. A B2M-

deficient cell-based *in vivo* cytotoxicity assay was used to evaluate the effect of *Prdm1* on the

cytotoxicity of NK cells (38, 39). B2M-deficient cells do not have detectable Major

Histocompatibility Complex I (MHC-I) on the cell surface, making them the target of NK cells (40).

Health NK cells will reject B2M-deficient donor cells efficiently and the elimination was used to

quantify the cytotoxicity of NK cells. Although significant impaired homeostasis and maturation of

NK cells were observed in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice, no significant difference in the *in vivo* cytotoxicity

assay were observed between $Prdm1^{+/+}$ and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Supplemental Figure 2, H and I).

Besides their direct cytotoxic capabilities, NK cells' anti-tumor potential is also influenced by

additional factors. These include their ability to counteract tumor-induced immune suppression and

exhaustion, enhancing their effectiveness against cancer cells. Furthermore, NK cells can secrete

cytokines that activate other immune cells, thereby orchestrating a broader immune response for the

elimination of tumors. Moreover, the cytotoxicity assay, due to its relatively short duration, might

not fully represent the anti-tumor activity of NK cells when continuously exposed to immune

inhibitory signals in the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, we initiated an *in vivo* tumor model

to further investigate the impact of *Prdm1* on NK cell anti-tumor capability. B16F10 is a melanoma

cell line with low expression of MHC-I, which was susceptible to NK cell killing and usually used

to evaluate NK cell anti-tumor capacity (41-43). The B16F10 cells were intravenously (for lung

metastasis) or intrasplenic (for liver metastasis) administrated in the mice. The melanoma nodes

were quantified three (intravenous injection) or two (intrasplenic injection) weeks after tumor

inoculation. Compared with $Prdm1^{+/+}$ mice, deficiency of *Prdm1* resulted in more metastasis

nodules in both lung (~ 2-fold) and liver (~ 4-fold) (Figure 2, B and D). Histological analysis further

confirmed the increased frequency of metastasis tumor foci in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Figure 2, C and E).

In agreement with our *in vivo* data, we also observed decreased IFN-γ secretion in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice-

derived splenic cNK cells, liver cNK cells, and liver ILC1s when stimulated by IL-18 alone or IL-

12/IL-18 (Figure 2F; Supplemental Figure3; Supplemental Figure 12B), which indicated that *Prdm1*

is required for full activation of cNK cells and ILC1s in the context of IFN-γ production. These data

implying that *Prdm1* is indispensable for NK cell mediated tumor surveillance.

Bulk RNA-seq depicts *Prdm1*-mediated functions in cNK cells

Bulk RNA sequencing of splenic cNK cells (CD3$^-$NK1.1$^+$NKp46$^+$) was conducted to uncover

the molecular mechanisms by which *Prdm1* regulates NK cell anti-tumor immunity (Figure 3A).

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* and *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice were determined

using a criterion of $\log_2$ (fold change) > 0.5 and *P* < 0.05. 445 DEGs were identified out of 17434

protein-coding genes, which consisted of 223 upregulated genes and 222 downregulated genes

(Figure 3B).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed the enrichment of glucuronate metabolism and

lymphocyte differentiation in upregulated genes in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice derived NK cells (Figure 3C),

both of which were associated with cellular growth and development. In contrast, leukocyte mediate

cytotoxicity, immune receptor activity, and integrin binding was enriched in the genes which

decreased their expression level in in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice (Figure 3C).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) showed that NF-kappa B signaling pathway enriched

in *Prdm1*-deficient cNK cells (Figure 3D), suggesting the potential targets by *Prdm1* to regulate NK

cell function. Increased expression of multiple TFs such as *Junb*, *Batf3*, *Nfkb1*, *Tcf7*, and *Nr4a2* was

observed in *Prdm1* knockout cNK cells, suggesting they might be suppressed by *Prdm1* (Figure 3E).

Downregulation of granzyme B (*Gzmb*), Perfroin (*Prf1*) were observed in *Prdm1* deficient NK cells

(Figure 3E), implied decreased anti-tumor ability, which was consistent with increased melanoma

metastasis in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice (Figure 2, B and D). CXCR6 and CX3CR1 was considered to play

an important role in promoting the egress of NK cells from bone marrow. Decreased expression of

Cxcr6 and *Cx3cr1* in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* NK (Figure 3E) might be the reason for the increased quantity of

NK cells in bone marrow and decreased number in peripheral tissues (Figure 1G). As a result of the

reduced expression levels of *Cxcr6* and *Cx3cr1*, NK cells may not be able to egress from the bone

marrow and accumulated therein. Consistent with decreased production of IFN-γ after stimulated

by IL-12/IL-18 (Figure 2F), decreased expression of *Il18rap* and *Il12rb2* were observed in

Prdm1^{ΔNcr1} cNK cells (Figure 3E), implying impaired response to cytokine stimulation.

To confirm the result of RNA-sequencing, the expression of fractalkine receptor (CX3CR1),

granzyme B and perforin were analyzed by flow cytometry. The percentage of CX3CR1$^+$ cNK cells

was significantly decreased in multiple tissues of *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, while the proportion of

CX3CR1$^+$ ILC1 was increased in the liver (Figure 3, F and G). Lower GZMB and PRF1 production

was observed in *Prdm1*-deficient splenic cNK cells, liver cNK cells and ILC1s (Figure 3, H-K;

Supplemental Figure 4, A-I). Notably, the proportion of GZMB$^+$ and PRF1$^+$ cNK cells was

decreased among almost all of the maturation stages of cNK cells (Figure 3, J and K). The relative

mean fluorescent intensities (MFIs) of GZMB and PRF1 consistently show a reduction across all

developmental stages in *Prdm^{ΔNcr1}* NK cells (Supplemental Figure 4, H and I). Yet, no statistical

difference of PRF1 was found within the CD11b$^-$CD27+ and CD11b$^+$CD27$^+$ subsets, likely due to

the relatively lower perforin levels in these populations (Supplemental Figure 4I). These findings

suggest that Prdm1 may directly influence cytotoxic molecule in NK cells, rather than impacting

their anti-tumor abilities solely by affecting the maturation phenotype of Prdm1-deficient NK cells.

scRNA-seq reveals distinct properties of two clusters from liver group I ILCs following *Prdm1*

knockout

To further investigate the effect of *Prdm1* in liver cNK cells and ILC1s and the changes in the

haptic immune microenvironment caused by the deficiency of *Prdm1* in group 1 ILCs, single-cell

RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) was performed for liver CD45$^+$ cells (Figure 4A; Supplemental

Figure 5A). Initial quality control revealed high-quality of cell purity, library assembly, and

sequencing (Supplemental Figure 5B). 10978 cells passed the quality criteria and were selected for

further analysis (6,161 from *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice, 4,817 from *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice). Unsupervised clustering

of all sequenced cells based on transcript signatures identified twelve distinct clusters (Supplemental

Figure 5C), including B cells, epithelial cells (ECs), CD4$^+$ T cells, CD8$^+$ T cells, NKT cells, ILC1s,

cNK cells, dendritic cells (DCs), monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs), Monocytes, Kupffer

cells (KCs), Neutrophils, and a small number of undefined cells (Figure 4A). In *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice,

an increased proportion of MDMs, CD4$^+$ T cells, CD8$^+$ T cells, Monocytes, and DCs was observed,

alongside a decreased proportion of ECs, cNK cells, ILC1s, and NKT cells (Supplemental Figure

5D). Liver cNK cells and ILC1s were identified and discriminated based on the expression of

surface markers and distinctive TFs (Supplemental Figure 5, E and F). Compared with other clusters,

cNK cells and ILC1s highly expressed *Ncr1* and *Klrb1c* (NK1.1) (Supplemental Figure 5E). cNK

cells expressed high levels of *Itga2* (CD49b) and Eomes, while ILC1s had high levels expression

of *Itga1* (CD49a) and *Tnfsf10* (Supplemental Figure 5, F and G). Consistent with our flow cytometry

data, both cNK cells and ILC1s have significant reduced proportion in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mouse

(Supplemental Figure 5H). In group 1 ILCs from *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice, there was an increase in the

proportion of cNK cells accompanied by a decrease in ILC1s (Figure 4, B and C).

265 To better understand the specific function of *Prdm1* in liver cNK cells and ILC1s, the two

266 subpopulations of liver group 1 ILCs were further analyzed separately using unsupervised clustering

267 and visualized by Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) (Figure 4, D and E).

268 Based on the cluster specific gene expression signature (Supplemental Figure 6A), the

269 subpopulation of liver cNK cells were referred as "*Prf1*hi", "*Junb*hi", and "*Cxcr3*hi" cNK cells

270 (Figure 4D), with different distribution in *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ and *Prdm1*$^{+/+}$ genotype (Figure 4F;

271 Supplemental Figure 6B).

272 The *Prf1*hi cNK cell cluster was defined by high expression of cytolysis-related genes,

273 including *Ncr1*, *Gzma*, *Gzmb*, *Prf1*, and *Fgl2* (Figure 4G; Supplemental Figure 6, C and D),

274 indicating the strong target-killing ability of this cluster. Although this cluster is present in both

275 *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ and *Prdm1*$^{+/+}$ mice, there is a significant reduction in *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Figure 4F),

276 indicating the importance of Prdm1 in maintaining this group of cells. GO analysis further revealed

277 the enrichment signatures of cytolysis, response to virus, and lymphocyte mediated immunity in the

278 genes upregulated in *Prf1*hi cNK cell cluster, further confirming the cytotoxic effects of this cluster

279 (Figure 4H). These data underscore the crucial role of *Prdm1* in maintaining NK cells with immune

280 effector functions.

281 The *Junb*hi liver cNK cell cluster distinguished themselves by higher expression of *Junb*

282 compared to other clusters (Figure 4G; Supplemental Figure 6, C and D). The predominant majority

283 (92.98%) of *Junb*hi liver cNK cells are derived from *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice, with less than ten percent

284 (7.02%) originating from *Prdm1*$^{+/+}$ mice (Figure 4F). Many signal transduction elements, gene

285 expression regulator, and transcriptional factors, such as *Nfkbia*, *Tnfaip3*, *Nr4a1/2/3*, *Batf3*, *Fos*,

286 *Fosb*, *Tcf7*, and *Kit* were upregulated in the *Junb*hi liver cNK cells (Figure 4G; Supplemental Figure

6D). The expression of cytotoxicity related genes, such as *Gzmb* and *Prf1*, in *Junb*hi cluster was also

lower than other cNK cell clusters (Figure 4G). GO analysis showed that the genes upregulated in

*Junb*hi liver cNK cells enriched in cell differentiation, cell activation, and transcriptional regulation

(Figure 4H). GSEA indicates that the NF-kappa B, IL-17, MAPK, and TNF signaling pathways

were upregulated in this clusters (Figure 4, I-L). GSEA also showed that mitochondrial related

pathways, such as mitochondrial protein, oxidative phosphorylation, and respiratory electron

transport chain were suppressed in *Junb*hi cNK cell cluster (Supplemental Figure 6, E-G). Increased

proportion of *Junb*hi cluster in *Prdm1* deficient cNK cells suggested impaired anti-tumor activity,

which was consistent with more melanoma metastasis in *Prdm1*^ΔNcr1^ mice and lower expression of

cytotoxicity-related genes in splenic cNK cells based on bulk RNA-sequencing.

The *Cxcr3*hi cNK cell cluster was characterized with high expression of *Cxcr3*, *Ccr2*, and some

genes encoding ribosomal subunits such as *Rps7* (Supplemental Figure 6A). Expression of tissue-

resident markers Cd69 was also highly expressed in this clusters (Supplemental Figure 6D). The

enrichment of chemokine receptors in the genes upregulated in the *Cxcr3*hi cluster implying a greater

likelihood of this cluster being tissue-resident compared with other cNK cell clusters (Figure 4H).

To further confirmed tissue-resident properties of this clusters, we calculated the module score based

on top30 DEGs in ILC1 versus cNK clusters, including *Cxcr6*, *Itga1*, *Cd160*, *Cd226*, etc. *Cxcr3*hi

cNK clusters have the highest score among all cNK clusters (Supplemental Figure 6H), indicating

the similarity with liver ILC1s. In the tumor microenvironment, reports indicated that NK cells

could transform into ILC1s (24). If this conversion of cNK cells into ILC1s also occurred under

normal physiological conditions, then *Cxcr3*hi cNK cell cluster might be the most susceptible to

such transformation.

The significant enrichment of ribosomal subunits and cytoplasmic translation in $Cxcr3^{hi}$ cluster

(Figure 4H) implied their distinct and active metabolic profile and the capability to mount immune

responses. The remarkably decreased proportion of $Cxcr3^{hi}$ cNK cell cluster in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice

(Figure 4F), which emphasized the critical role of $Prdm1$ in maintaining this cluster of liver cNK

cells, consistent with the flow cytometry result that showed an increase in the number of NK cells

in the bone marrow and a decrease in NK cells in peripheral tissues (Figure 1G). To confirm the

regulatory effect of Prdm1 on the migration of NK cells and ILC1 through chemokine receptors,

and to validate the scRNA-seq data, we further evaluated the expression of CX3CR1 by flow

cytometry. A decrease in the proportion of CX3CR1$^+$ NK cells was observed across all tested organs

following Prdm1 knockout. However, it is noteworthy that, in contrast to the trend in NK cells,

CX3CR1 expression was increased in liver ILC1s after Prdm1 knockout (Figure 3, F and G). This

not only substantiates the involvement of Prdm1 in managing NK cell migration but also

underscores its distinctive regulatory impacts on the chemokine receptor expressions within NK

cells and ILC1 populations. Bulk RNA sequencing data also found that the expression of $Cx3cr1$

and $Cxcr6$ decreased in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ cNK cells (Figure 3E). These findings supported the hypothesis

that $Prdm1$, through regulating chemokine receptor expression levels, influenced the distribution of

NK cells in the bone marrow and peripheral tissues, particularly within the liver tissue.

Three clusters of ILC1s were identified from liver ILC1s (Figure 5A), comprising "$Il7r^{hi}$",

"$Klra^{hi}$", and "$Gzmb^{hi}$" ILC1s. $Prdm1^{+/+}$ and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ ILC1s seemed to co-cluster largely and

have minor difference within the proportion of clusters (Figure 5, B and C; Supplemental Figure

7B). The first two clusters of ILC1s were characterized by higher expression of $Il7r$ (CD127) and

$Klra5$ separately, while the $Gzmb^{hi}$ ILC1 cluster was identified by elevated expression of both $Gzma$

and *Gzmb* (Figure 5D; Supplemental Figure 7, A, C and D). Additionally, both *Gzma* and *Gzmb*

expression were downregulated, and *Junb* was upregulated, in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice derived cNK cells

and ILC1s compared to those from *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* mice (Figure 5E). The *Il7r*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1s cluster was

distinguishable from other ILC1 clusters by its high expression of *Il7r*, IL18RA (*Il18r1*), and IFN-

γ (*Ifng*) (Figure 5B; Supplemental Figure 7C). The high expression of *Il18r1* and *Ifng* in *Il7r*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1s

indicated this cluster of cells was highly responsive to IL-18 (Supplemental Figure 7D). GSEA and

GO analysis showed that IL-17, NF-kappa B, TNF, MAPK signaling pathway and T cell

differentiation were activated in the *Il7r*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1 cluster (Figure 5, F-H; Supplemental Figure 7, E-

G). Module scores, calculated based on the expression of feature genes within the *Junb*$^{\text{hi}}$ cNK cell

cluster, revealed a comparable *Junb*$^{\text{hi}}$ signature expression pattern within the *Il7r*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1 cluster

(Figure 5I). Several ILC3 signature genes, such as *Rora*, *Tmem176a,* and *Tmem176b* (44), highly

expressed in this cluster (Supplemental Figure 7D). Considering the close relationship between IL-

17 mediated immunity response and ILC3 (1, 45), it is plausible that *Il7r*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1 cluster may be

attributed, at least in part, to potential plasticity between ILC1 and ILC3 subsets.

The second liver ILC1 cluster, characterized by high expression of Ly49E (*Klra5*) and Ly49G

(*Klra7*), was designated as the *Klra*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1 cluster (Figure 5D; Supplemental Figure 7D). Notably,

there was an elevated proportion of *Klra*$^{\text{hi}}$ ILC1s in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* ILC1s (39.7%) compared to

Prdm1$^{+/+}$ ILC1s (28.1%) (Figure 5C). Liver Ly49E^{+} ILC1s have been identified as possessing

greater cytotoxic potential and a more robust viral response compared to liver Ly49E^{-} ILC1s (46).

The *Klra*$^{\text{hi}}$ cluster exhibited notably high expression of *Ccl5* (Supplemental Figure 7D). Previous

research has underscored the pivotal role of CCL5, produced by both cNK cells and ILC1s, in

facilitating the accumulation of DCs within the tumor microenvironment, thereby impeding tumor

immune evasion, as highlighted in studies (47, 48). The expression of *Ccl5* was reduced in the *Klra*^hi

cluster of *Prdm1*^*ΔNcr1* ILC1s compared to *Prdm1*^*+/+* ILC1s (Supplemental Figure 7D), which could

potentially have a detrimental impact on the ability of *Klra*^hi ILC1s to develop a connection between

innate and adaptive immune responses.

The *Gzmb*^hi ILC1 cluster was identified according to the high expression of *Gzma*, *Gzmb*, and

Fgl2 (Supplemental Figure 7D) compared to other ILC1 clusters. GO analysis also revealed the

enrichment in cytolysis and stimulus-response capacity (Figure 5H) of the *Gzmb*^hi ILC1 cluster.

Consistent with the *Prf1*^hi cNK cell cluster, the proportion of the *Gzmb*^hi cluster among liver ILC1s

exhibited a considerable reduction in *Prdm1*^*ΔNcr1* mice compared to *Prdm1*^*+/+* mice, and the

expression of *Gzma* also downregulated in *Prdm1*^*ΔNcr1* mice (Figure 5B). Previous reports showed

that GzmA^+ ILC1 constituted the main population of liver ILC1s at birth, with the potential target-

killing ability (49, 50). Within cNK cells, *Il12rb2*, *Il18r1* and *Il18rap* was highly expressed in *Prf1*^*hi*

and *Cxcr3*^*hi* cNK clusters (Supplemental Figure 6I), indicating the IL-18 receptor expression

correlated with the NK cell maturation. While in ILC1, these receptors mostly expressed on *Il7r*^hi

and *Gzmb*^hi ILC1 clusters (Supplemental Figure 7D). Significant decreased of *Il18r1* expression in

Prdm1^*ΔNcr1* cNK cells and ILC1s may associated with the impaired ability to produce IFN-γ.

To investigate the universal transcriptional program between group 1 ILCs across liver and

spleen, we have explored DEGs in *Prdm1*^*ΔNcr1* liver cNK cells and ILC1s using our scRNA-seq data.

Compared with liver ILC1s, more valuable DEGs was observed in liver cNK cells, including *Junb*,

Kit, *Tcf7*, *Gzmb*, *Prf1*, etc. (Supplemental Figure 8, A and B). Through the integration of the bulk

RNA-seq and scRNA-seq data, we identified 17 DEGs that are regulated by Prdm1 among liver

cNK cells, splenic cNK cells, and liver ILC1s. *Batf3*, *Junb*, *Tcf7*, and *Nfkb1* was upregulated,

whereas *Gzmb*, *Prf1*, and *Fgl2* downregulated, in both *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ liver and splenic cNK cells

(Figure 5J). Cxcr6 was downregulated in liver ILC1s and splenic cNK cells in *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice

(Figure 5J). Previous research found that spleen NK cells could be divided into three distinct groups

based on their expression levels of CD27, CD62L, CD49a, and CD49b (51). CD27$^+$CD62L$^-$ NK

cells have remarkable high expression of Batf3, while it was only barely expressed in

CD27$^+$CD62L$^+$ and CD27$^-$CD62L$^+$ NK cells (51). Based the sequencing data published by

Flommersfeld et al., (GSE180978), a notable negative correlation was observed between the

expression levels of Prdm1 and Batf3 (Supplemental Figure 8I). On top of that, our findings

unveiled the negative regulatory influence of Prdm1 on Batf3 within both spleen and liver NK cells.

This discovery highlights a potential upstream mechanism that may influence the hemostasis of the

spleen NK cell subpopulations through Batf3.

We also compared the gene expression patterns between *Prdm1* and *Hobit* (homologue of

Blimp1) with two published scRNA-seq data (50, 52). Following the knockout of Hobit, the DEGs

were primarily identified within ILC1s. Conversely, after the knockout of Prdm1, a greater number

of DEGs were observed in cNK cells. This indicates that Prdm1 likely possesses a broader range of

target genes within cNK cells, whereas Hobit appears to have a more pronounced impact on gene

expression within ILC1s (Supplemental Figure 8, C-F). There are some overlaps between the

downstream transcriptional profile of *Prdm1* and *Hobit* in liver cNK cells and ILC1s (Supplemental

Figure 8, G and H), such as *Junb*, *Fosb*, *Tcf7*, *Kit*, *Gzmb*, *Prf1*, and *Cxcr6* was simultaneously

upregulated or downregulated in both *Prdm1*$^{\Delta Ncr1}$ and *Hobit*^{KO} liver cNK cells or ILC1s, indicating

the similar regulatory networks of Prdm1 and Hobit.

396 ***Prdm1* facilitates the intercellular communication between liver group 1 ILCs and**

397 **macrophages**

398   The reciprocal crosstalk between group 1 ILCs and macrophages plays a critical role in

399 maintaining liver immune homeostasis and anti-cancer immune surveillance (53, 54). The scRNA

400 sequencing analysis identified two well-established subpopulations of liver macrophages: the

401 resident Kupffer Cells (KCs) and the Monocyte-Derived Macrophages (MDMs) (Figure 6, A-C;

402 Supplemental Figure 9A). When comparing the total proportion of macrophages within the immune

403 cell population of the liver between WT and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* mice, there is an increase in *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^*

404 mice (Figure 6C). To confirm these findings, we utilized flow cytometry to define macrophages,

405 including both KCs and MDMs, gating by $CD45^+Ly6G^-F4/80^+CD11b^+$ (Figure 6D). Our analysis

406 showed that, following the deletion of *Prdm1* in Group 1 ILCs, there is a significant increase in both

407 the proportion and number of macrophages in the liver (Figure 6D).

408   According to the transcriptional profile, liver macrophages further clustered and were labeled

409 as "*Ly6c2*^hi"^; "*Cxcl2*^hi"^; "*Ear2*^hi"^ MDMs, and "*Mrc1*^hi"^; "*C1q*^hi"^ KCs (Figure 6A, Supplemental

410 Figure 9, A-E). Increased proportion of MDMs and KCs was observed in *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* cells

411 (Supplemental Figure 9B). Within MDMs clusters, *Ly6c2*^hi^ MDMs mainly compose of *Prdm1*^+/+^

412 cells, while *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* cells concentrated in *Cxcl2*^hi^ cluster (Figure 6C). The scRNA-seq data reveal

413 that following Prdm1 knockout in NKp46^+^ cells, there is a decrease in the proportion of KCs within

414 the macrophage population, while the proportion of MDMs increases (Figure 6D). CX3CR1, a

415 chemokine receptor, is extensively utilized to distinguish KCs and MDMs within macrophages.

416 Cells expressing CX3CR1 are identified as MDMs, whereas those without CX3CR1 expression are

417 categorized as KCs (55). Employing flow cytometry and leveraging CX3CR1 expression, we

assessed the ratios of KCs and MDMs. However, diverging from the scRNA-seq findings, flow

cytometry indicates that post-Prdm1 knockout in group 1 ILCs, there is a minor increase in the

proportion of KCs within the total liver macrophages, and a decrease in the proportion of MDMs

(Figure 6D; Supplemental Figure 9B). This discrepancy could stem from the different bases of

classification: scRNA-seq defines KCs based on gene expression profiles, whereas flow cytometry

differentiates between KCs and MDMs using the single surface marker, CX3CR1. Analysis of the

macrophage subsets identified by scRNA-seq reveals that, while MDM clusters generally show high

CX3CR1 expression, there exists a subset within MDMs, labeled $Mrc1^{hi}$, that also exhibits high

levels of CX3CR1 (Supplemental Figure 9C). Consequently, if flow cytometry solely employs

CX3CR1 for differentiating between KCs and MDMs, it could result in disparities when compared

to scRNA-seq outcomes. Both KCs and MDMs has significantly increased in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice,

which was consist with the scRNA-seq data (Supplemental Figure 9, B and F). Despite the decrease

in the proportion of $Ly6c2^{hi}$ MDMs in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice, the expression levels of $Ly6c2$ exhibited

minimal variation between WT and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Supplemental Figure 9D). Intriguingly, within

certain cellular subsets, notably the $Ear2^{hi}$ cluster, the $Ly6c2$ expression levels in KO mice were

found to be higher than those in WT mice. Additionally, we employed flow cytometry to examine

Ly6C expression within the macrophages. Similar with the scRNA-seq findings, there were no

notable differences in Ly6C expression levels between WT and KO mice (Figure 6E; Supplemental

Figure 9G).

High-resolution interactions among liver cNK cells, ILC1s, and macrophages were established

and compared between $Prdm1^{+/+}$ and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice using the CellChat program (56). Interactions

between ILC1s and total macrophages were higher than that between cNK cells and macrophages

(Supplemental Figure 10, A, C, E, and G). Cross-talk between liver group 1 ILCs with macrophages

enriched in macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), MHC-I, CXC chemokine ligand (CXCL),

Thy-1 cell surface antigen (THY1), and C-type lectin (CLEC) pathways (Supplemental Figure 10,

B, D, F, and H). Although the quantity of macrophages significantly increases in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice,

there is a significant decrease in the interaction number and interaction strength between liver group

1 ILCs and macrophages (~1.5 fold) in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Figure 6, F and G). The reduction of

interaction mostly occurred in the cross-talk of ILC1-MDM and ILC1-KC, whereas no difference

was observed in cNK-MDM and cNK-KC interaction (Supplemental Figure 10, A-H). A reduction

in the interaction of ligand-receptor, such as Mif-CD74, Cxcl16-Cxcr6, and Cxcl10-Cxcr3 was

observed in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice compared to $Prdm1^{+/+}$ mice (Supplemental Figure 11). Compared to

$Prdm1^{+/+}$ mice, the information flow of CXCL and MIF pathways significantly decreased in

$Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice (Figure 6, H and I; Supplemental Figure 10, B, D, F, and H). These pathways play

a crucial role in facilitating macrophage migration. The CXCL signaling was sent from $Ly6c2^{hi}$

$Cxcl2^{hi}$ MDMs and $C1q^{hi}$ KC, targeting all ILC1 clusters and $Cxcr3^{hi}$ cNK cell clusters (Figure 6J).

Of note, although the population of $Cxcl2^{hi}$ macrophage primarily comprised cells from $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$

mice, the interaction within the CXCL pathway between macrophages and group 1 ILCs was

obviously less than $Prdm1^{+/+}$ sample (Figure 6J). These changes could be linked to a decreased

population of ILC1s and $Cxcr3^{hi}$ cNK cell cluster in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice, implying that the homeostasis

of $Cxcl2^{hi}$ macrophages required sufficient signals from cNK cells and ILC1s. The impaired CXCL-

CXCR interactions might subsequently lead to reduced recruitment and activation of group 1 ILCs

and macrophages within the tumor microenvironment.

461 ***Prdm1* safeguards group 1 ILCs from exhaustion-like phenotypes in the tumor**

462 **microenvironment**

463   The suppression of mitochondrial related pathways in *Junb*^hi cNK cell cluster, along with a

464 significant increase of this cNK cell cluster in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, encouraged us to explore

465 mitochondrial function through flow cytometry. MitoTracker, MitoSOX, and

466 Tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM) were used to assess the mitochondrial mass,

467 superoxide production, and mitochondrial membrane potential. A substantial decrease in MFIs of

468 MitoTracker was observed in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* splenic cNK cells, liver cNK cells, and liver ILC1s when

469 compared to their *Prdm1^{+/+}* counterparts (Figure 7A). This observation aligns with the enrichment

470 of downregulated genes from *Prdm1* deficient sample in mitochondrial related pathway, as revealed

471 by RNA sequencing data (Supplemental Figure 6, D-F). There was no significant difference in

472 MitoSOX and TMRM between *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* and *Prdm1^{+/+}* mice (Figure 7, B and C), which suggested

473 that the ATP synthesize capacity was minimally affected by *Prdm1*.

474   IFN-γ is a critical cytokine for NK cells mediated cancer surveillance(57, 58) and impaired

475 production of IFN-γ was considered as a key hallmark of exhausted NK cells (59, 60). To evaluate

476 the IFN-γ secreting capacity of liver cNK cells and ILC1s in tumor microenvironment, B16F10

477 tumor cells were inoculated to the liver via splenic injection and the IFN-γ levels in response to

478 stimulation of IL-12 and/or IL-18 were assessed by flow cytometry (Supplemental Figure 12A).

479 The proportion changes of cNK cells and ILC1s in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice was similar with the no tumor-

480 burden condition, while the number of both cNK cells and ILC1s have significant decreased in

481 tumor-bearing liver (Figure 7D). Compared with *Prdm1^{+/+}* mice, significant deceased of IFN-γ were

482 observed in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice liver cNK cells and ILC1s under the combinate stimulation of IL-

483 12/IL-18 (Figure 7E; Supplemental Figure 12B), which was more remarkable in liver ILC1s. Similar

484 trends were observed when IL-12 or IL-18 was used alone, although only liver ILC1s showed a

485 significant decrease in response to IL-18 stimulation (Figure 7E). These findings were consistent

486 with the heavy tumor burden observed in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice.

Discussion

488 Prdm1 is a pivotal transcription factor that has attracted substantial research interest due to its

489 role in lymphocytes. In a study involving systemic knockout combined with competitive

490 transplantation, it was found that Prdm1 promotes NK cell maturation and the expression of Gzmb.

491 On the contrary, the same study also found that NK cells with Prdm1 deficiency exhibit heightened

492 proliferation, increased survival, enhanced migratory abilities towards tumors, and greater

493 cytotoxicity against subcutaneously implanted RMAS tumors (30). Using Ncr1-driven conditional

494 knockout transgenic mice, which specifically delete $Prdm1$ in group 1 ILCs, we not only validated

495 $Prdm1$'s positive regulation of NK cell maturation, but also demonstrated its indispensable role in

496 NK cell anti-tumor activity. The compromised mitochondrial function and reduced IFN-γ, granzyme

497 B, and perforin production appear to be potential contributing factors to Prdm1-mediated cancer

498 surveillance. Reduction of CX3CR1$^+$ NK cells in multiple tissues, and decreased expression of

499 $Cx3cr1$ and $Cxcr6$ was observed in $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ splenic cNK cells (Figure 3F), both of which are

500 essential for NK cells egressing from bone marrow (61, 62). Our results not only confirmed a

501 decrease in cytotoxic molecules in $Prdm1$-deficient NK cells (30) but also showed that the reduction

502 in Gzmb and perforin is not solely attributable to the diminished maturation of these cells. Mature

503 NK cells in bone marrow obtained the expression of CX3CR1 and acquired ability to enter the

circulation (61, 62). The quantity of cNK cells increased exclusively in the bone marrow, with

reductions observed in all other tissues (Figure 1G), indicating *Prdm1* might regulate chemokine

receptors to facilitate the egression of cNK cells from the bone marrow to peripheral tissues. In

addition, higher expression of *Cxcr6* compared to cNK cells is also a key factor for liver tissue

residence of ILC1s (63). Decreased expression of *Cxcr6* in *Prdm1* deficient group 1 ILCs may also

contribute to the balance shift towards cNK cells. Furthermore, although both liver NK cells and

liver ILC1s require Prdm1 to maintain their quantity, liver ILC1s demonstrate a more pronounced

dependency on Prdm1. However, it is currently widely believed that liver NK cells and liver ILC1s

originate from different progenitors. It is worth noting that while we observed changes in the NK

and ILC1 proportions after *Prdm1* knockout, our data does not support the hypothesis that Prdm1

affects progenitor differentiation decisions, thereby influencing the fate selection of NK and ILC1.

Further research may be needed to elucidate how Prdm1 regulates the balance between NK cells

and ILC1s.

scRNA sequencing analysis reveals that both liver cNK cells and ILC1s can be further divided

into three subgroups based on their gene expression patterns. Junb is a crucial transcriptional factor

for the cytotoxic function of CD8^{+} T cells and NK cells. However, excessive *Junb* expression has

been found to promote T cell exhaustion (64). Our previous study showed that as NK cells mature,

the expression level of *Prdm1* increased while the expression level of *Junb* gradually decreased (25).

The current study demonstrated that in NK cells, the expression level of *Junb* significantly increases

upon the deletion of Prdm1, indicating that *Junb* expression is suppressed by Prdm1. As *Junb*

expression decreases with NK cell maturation, and it is inhibited by the gradually increasing Prdm1

during maturation. This implies that constraining *Junb* expression is likely a fundamental

prerequisite for NK cell maturation. However, the precise mechanism by which Prdm1

downregulates *Junb* in NK cells still needs further research. Furthermore, *Junb*^{hi} NK cells exhibit

lower expression levels of cytotoxic genes and reduced mitochondrial-related signaling pathways.

Mitochondria are pivotal organelles crucial for cellular metabolism. Disruptions in mitochondrial

function have been linked to T Cell exhaustion, attributed to glycolytic reprogramming (65).

Similarly, mitochondrial fragmentation has been closely associated with NK cell exhaustion (66).

However, the concept of NK cell exhaustion isn't as firmly established as it is for T cells. Exhausted

NK cells should primarily exhibit diminished functions. This is characterized by a diminished ability

to destroy tumor cells, a reduced capability to activate other components of the immune system, and

compromised proliferation and survival rates. Additionally, this reduced functionality is associated

with a decline in the expression of molecules responsible for cytotoxic activity, lower production of

IFN-γ, and metabolic disturbances that may arise from mitochondrial dysfunction. While our current

data is not sufficient to definitively classify these cells as exhausted NK cells, it supports that a

subpopulation, referred to *Junb*[hi] cluster, demonstrates an exhaustion-like phenotype. The

significant increase in this cell population following *Prdm1* knockout in NK cells may potentially

be one of the reasons why *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1] mice lose their tumor-killing capacity. Whether the excessive

expression of JunB in NK cells is also a contributing factor to their exhaustion, similar to T cells(64),

requires further investigation.

The scRNA-seq data revealed that *Prdm1* plays distinct roles in regulating cNK cells and ILC1s

despite being required for the quantity of both lineages. Specifically, *Prdm1* appears to be more

involved in promoting the resistance against exhaustion in cNK cells, whereas in ILC1s, it may play

a role in the plasticity between ILC1s and ILC3s. In both our previous study and a study by Colonna

et al. (25, 67), it was demonstrated that *Smad4*, a transcriptional factor involved in TGF-β signal

pathway, upregulated *Prdm1* in NK cells and depletion of *Smad4* resulted in a decreased ratio of

NK cells to ILC1s in the liver. However, knocking out *Prdm1* in Ncr1^{+} cells increased the ratio of

NK cells to ILC1s in liver group 1 ILCs (Figure 2A). These findings suggest the possibility of a

Smad4-independent pathway through which *Prdm1* promotes the maintenance of ILC1s, or that

Prdm1 plays a more significant role in maintaining ILC1s compared to its role in NK cells.

Previous studies have identified Hobit and Prdm1 as central regulators instructing tissue-

dependent programs and retention of diverse tissue-resident lymphocytes (17, 50, 52). Liver ILC1s

required Hobit, but not necessary for cNK cells (6). Expression of Prdm1 was remarkably higher in

cNK cells versus ILC1s (17). While in our study, cNK cells and liver ILC1s reduced simultaneously

in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, and even more significant in ILC1s. This indicates that while Prdm1 is

expressed at lower levels in ILC1s, its role in preserving the quantity of ILC1s may be more crucial.

Thus, Prdm1 and Hobit may have parallel program in instructing ILC1s functional development and

maturation. Prdm1 and Hobit directly bound and repressed *Tcf7* (17), which encoded TCF-1, a TF

binding and limiting the activity of Gzmb regulatory element (68). Gzmb has been demonstrated

directly bound and activated by Junb in NK cells, which suggested Gzmb expression regulated by

multiple Prdm1/Hobit downstream signals (25). In human T cells, binding motif of JUNB was

enriched in the binding sites of PRDM1 (69), indicating the essential role of PRDM1-JUNB axis

during NK cell and T cell development. In NK cells deficient in Prdm1 expression, we noted a

decrease in Gzmb levels alongside with an elevation in Junb expression. This indicates that Prdm1

not only facilitates the expression of Gzmb in NK cells but also suppresses Junb expression. Given

that Junb is recognized as a positive regulator of Gzmb (70), this presents a complex interplay that

seems contradictory. Therefore, it is imperative to develop a theoretical framework to comprehensively understand and interpret this paradoxical relationship. Our previous research has demonstrated that with the progression of NK cell maturation, there is a gradual increase in Prdm1 expression, accompanied by a subtle reduction in JunB levels (25). Overexpression of JunB can induce lymphocyte exhaustion (64). Based on these findings, we hypothesize that during the initial stages of NK cell development, JunB might enhance the expression of certain molecules associated with cytotoxicity, thereby aiding NK cells in acquiring the capacity to eliminate target cells. During these initial stages, Prdm1 level are comparatively low, thus exerting a weaker inhibitory effect on JunB. As NK cells proceed to maturity, there's a progressive increase in Prdm1 level, which then exerts a stronger inhibitory influence on JunB, contributing to the reduced JunB level in terminal matured NK cells. Consequently, this reduces the potential for NK cell exhaustion caused by elevated levels of JunB.

Chronic inflammation is a crucial factor in promoting tumorigenesis, and macrophages play a significant role in this process. Macrophages interact with both cNK cells and ILC1s. However, the TFs that regulate these interactions are poorly understood. Fortunately, recent advances in scRNA-seq technology and the CellChat software tool (56) have allowed us to gain a better understanding of the *Prdm1* signaling pathway in group 1 ILCs and its impact on macrophages at the transcriptional level. Increased metastasis in *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice may be due to a decrease in the killing ability of NK cells, making them more prone to exhaustion, or it could be due to abnormalities in group 1 ILCs, leading to a decrease in the anti-tumor abilities of macrophages and an enhancement of their pro-tumor capabilities. It is worth noting that normal ILC1-macrophage interactions are more prevalent than the interaction between NK cells and macrophages (Supplemental Figure 10). We also found

that CXCL signaling based interaction remarkably diminished in *Prdm1^{ΔNcr1}* mice, which suggested

CXCL-CXCR may contribute to keep the sufficient interaction between group 1 ILC and

macrophage. Specifically, *Prdm1* in group 1ILCs may be critical in preventing the overactivation of

macrophages that can lead to cancer development. Increased population of both MDMs and KCs in

Prdm1^{ΔNcr1} mice, as well as different distribution of macrophage clusters, indicating the homeostasis

of macrophages require environment with functional cNK cells and ILC1s. Although not all

macrophage phenotypes have been verified in this study, the present research serves primarily to

offer initial insights and preliminary data for investigating the interactions between group 1 ILCs

and macrophages. It aims to inspire further research into the role of transcription factors within the

liver and the cancer microenvironment.

While our findings underscore the importance of Prdm1 in liver cNK cells and ILC1s tumor

immune surveillance, it does not be validated in human NK cells, whereas previous studies have

found that PRDM1 might inhibit the proliferation and function of human NK cells (32, 71).

Furthermore, we not provided an in-depth evaluation in multiple tumor models. Further research

may provide deeper insight into the role of PRDM1 in the anti-tumor function of human NK cells,

enabling a more direct investigation of its application in cancer therapies. Given its important role

in preserving liver cNK cells and ILC1s functional heterogeneity, enhancing Prdm1 function in

human NK cells could potentially be a strategy to promote NK cell-based immunotherapy for cancer.

Methods

Mice

Prdm1^{fl/fl} mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. *Ncr1-iCre* and *B2m^{-/-}* mice were

purchased from Shanghai Model Organisms Center, Inc.. Six- to twelve-week-old littermates were

used for the experiment.

Experimental metastasis model

For lung metastasis model, 0.3×10^6 B16F10 cells were intravenous injected into mice. Three

weeks later, mice were euthanized for analysis. For liver metastasis model, mice were inoculation

with 0.5×10^6 B16F10 via intrasplenic injection. Three weeks later, mice were euthanized for

analysis. Lung and liver from tumor-bearing mice were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in

paraffin. Sections were stained with H&E.

In vivo **cytotoxicity assay**

Donor splenocytes harvested from B2m deficient ($B2m^{-/-}$) mice were labeled with 5 μM CFDA-

SE. Donor splenocytes harvested from B2m-adequate ($B2m^{+/+}$) mice were labeled with 5 μM eF670.

Labeled splenocytes from two mouse strains were mixed in a 1:1 ratio, and 1×10^7 cells in total

were injected i.v. into $Prdm1^{+/+}$ and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice. One day after administration, spleen and liver

cells were isolated from recipient mice, and the population of labeled cells was analyzed by flow

cytometry. Rejection % was quantified according to the following formula:

$1-(\%B2m^{+/+}/\%B2m^{-/-})_{Prdm1^{+/+} \text{ or } Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}} \times 100\%$.

Cell isolation

Mice were perfused with 1◊ PBS by portal vein puncture before harvesting tissues. Liver and

lung was digested with 0.05% collagenase II for 30 minutes and filtered through 70 μm cell strainers,

and mononuclear cells were isolated after subjected to density gradient using 30% and 70% percoll.

Spleen were also removed and pressed through 70 μm filterers to obtain splenocytes. Peripheral

blood mononuclear cells were obtained from peripheral blood after lysis of red blood cells

(Biolegend, 420301). Flushing femurs and mechanical disruption of inguinal lymph nodes were

performed to obtain cells from bone marrow and lymph nodes.

Real-time RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from FACS-sorted NK cells or splenocytes using RNASimple Total RNA

Kit (TIANGEN Biotech, 4992858) and subsequently reverse transcribed to cDNA with

SuperScript VILO Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11755050) according to manufacturer's

instructions. qPCR was performed with SYBR Green Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A25742) and

CFX Opus 96 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad). The relative mRNA expression level was

calculated using 2^{-ddCt} method. Primer sequences: *Prdm1*: 5'-CAGAAACACTACTTGGTACA-3';

5'-GATTGCTTGTGCTGCTAA-3'.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry and cell sorting were performed with a Cytoflex S/SRT (Beckman Coulter).

The following antibodies were used (all purchased from BioLegend unless otherwise indicated):

CD45-PE-Cy7 (catalog 103114, clone 30-F11); CD3ε-PerCP-Cy5.5 (catalog 100327, clone 145-

2C11); NK1.1-BV421 (catalog 108741, clone PK136); CD335-AF647 (catalog 560755, clone

29A1.4, BD Bioscience); CD49a-PE (catalog 562115, clone Ha31/8, BD Bioscience); CD49b-FITC

(catalog 108906, clone DX5); CD27-BV510 (catalog 124229, clone LG.3A10); CD11b-AF700

(catalog 101222, clone M1/70); KLRG1-APC (catalog 561620, clone 2F1, BD Bioscience); CD49a-

BV421 (catalog 740046, clone Ha31/8, BD Bioscience); IFN-γ-PE (catalog 505807, clone

XMG1.2); Granzyme B (catalog 372207, clone QA16A02); Perforin (catalog 154305, clone

S16009A); CD49b-APC-Cy7 (catalog 108919, clone DX5) ; IgG-PE (catalog 402203, clone 27-35);

CD335-BV510 (catalog 137623, clone 29A1.4);; CD3ε-APC-Cy7 (catalog 100330, clone 145-

2C11); CD11b-BV421 (catalog 101235, clone M1/70); CD3ε-BV421 (catalog 100335, clone 145-

2C11); CD3ε-FITC (catalog 553061, clone 145-2C11, BD Bioscience); CX3CR1-PE (catalog

149005, clone SA011F11); Ly6G-PerCP-Cy5.5 (catalog 127615, clone 1A8); Ly6C-BV510 (catalog

108437, clone RB6-8C5); F4/80-APC-Cy7 (catalog 123118, clone BM8). For mitochondrial

metabolic assay, fresh cells were incubated in 37°C media for 30min with 100 nM MitoTracker

Green (catalog M7514, Invitrogen), 100 nM TMRM (catalog T668; Invitrogen), and 10 uM

MitoSOX Red (catalog M36008; Invitrogen), respectively. Surface-stained after washing with PBS

and then detected by flow cytometry. For intracellular IFN-γ staining, Cells freshly obtained from

liver and spleen were stimulated 12 hours with or without cytokine. GolgiStop (BD Biosciences)

was added 4 hours before intracellular staining.

Bulk RNA sequencing

Total RNA from FACS sorted splenic NK cells of $Prdm1^{+/+}$ and $Prdm1^{\Delta Ncr1}$ mice was extracted

by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), then reverse transcribed into cDNA. Library construction was

prepared using Illumina mRNA Library kit, and sequencing was performed by the BGISEQ-500.

Standard methods were used to analyze the RNA-seq data, including aligning the reads to the

genome by HISAT2 (v2.1.0) (72), and gene expression values (Counts) were calculated using

RSEM (v1.3.1) (73). DEGs were identified using DEseq2 (v1.4.5) (74) with a cutoff of $\log_2$(fold

change) > 0.5 and P < 0.05. The "clusterProfiler" package (v4.4.4) (75) and gene sets from molecular

signatures database (MSigDB) were used for GSEA and GO analysis. The heatmap was plotted

using the "Pheatmap" package (v1.0.12).

Single-cell RNA sequencing

FACS-sorted liver CD45$^+$ cells with more than 80% cell viability were used for library

preparation. Each sample contained cells from three *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* or *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice. Gel Bead-in-

Emulsions (GEMs) were generated using the 10X Genomics Chromium system, which combinates

Master Mix, Single Cell 3' v3.1 Gel Beads, and Partitioning Oil with single cells. GEMs were mixed

with cell lysate and reverse transcription reagent to produce full-length cDNA. After incubation, the

GEMs were broken, and recovered cDNA were amplified via PCR. Fragmentation, End repair, A-

tailing, and Adaptor Ligation were performed to obtain final libraries, which contain P5 and P7

sequences. The 3' library was sequenced on Novaseq 6000 with approximately 50k read pairs/cell

sequencing depth. The "Seurat" R package (v4.2.0) (76) was used for data analysis. Initial quality

control was performed to filter out the low-quality cells and cell doublets. Cells with 200-5500

expressed genes and no more than 10% mitochondrial genes were considered for high-quality.

Doublets were filtered with the "scDblFinder" Package (v1.10.0) (77). After quality control, we

totally recovered 6161 cells and 4817 cells from *Prdm1$^{+/+}$* and *Prdm1$^{\Delta Ncr1}$* mice, respectively.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used for cluster analysis. The first 15 PCs were picked for

clustering and further visualized by UMAP. Clusters-specific marker was defined using the

"FindAllMarkers" function, and clusters were manually annotated based on the top 30 or 15 markers.

The "clusterProfiler" package and gene set from MSigDB were used for GSEA and GO analysis.

"CellChat" package (v1.4.0) (56) was utilized to predict the cell-to-cell communication from

scRNA-seq data.

TCGA datasets assay

The normalized gene expression and survival datasets of cancer patients collected in The

Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) were downloaded from UCSC Xena (http://xena.ucsc.edu/) (78).

NK cell-associated genes including *CD160*, *CD244*, *CTSW*, *FASLG*, *GZMA*, *GZMB*, *GZMH*,

IL18RAP, *IL2RB*, *KIR2DL4*, *KLRB1*, *KLRC3*, *KLRD1*, *KLRF1*, *KLRK1*, *NCR1*, *NKG7*, *PRF1*,

XCL1, *XCL2*, according to the previous study (33). NK cell-associated genes, together with *PRDM1*,

constitute the *NK-PRDM1* signature in this study. The mean expression of per genes was ordered

from high-to-low and plotted by heatmap using the "Pheatmap" package. The overall survival of

patients in the high and low expression of *NK-PRDM1* signature was selected for analysis. Kaplan-

Meier curves were plotted by GraphPad Prism.

Statistics

For experiment results, two-tailed t tests were used to measure the continuous and normally

distributed between the two independent groups. Paired t-tests were used to determine the statistical

significance between two paired groups. Log-rank tests were used to compare the overall survival

distribution between the two groups of patients. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant

and data were presented as mean ± SEM.

Study approval

All animal experiments were approved by The Tianjin University Animal Care and Use

Committee. No human subjects were performed in this study.

Author contributions

718   Jitian He, and Youwei Wang designed experiments, performed experiments, analyzed the data

719 and wrote the manuscript. Jitian He, Le Gao, Peiying Wang, Yiran Zheng, and Yumo Zhang

720 performed experiments. Wing Keung Chan, Jiming Wang, Huaiyong Chen, and Zhouxin Yang

721 analyzed the data, interpreted results and reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Plan of China (2022YFF1202901); National Natural Science Foundation of China (82372801); and The Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY21H150002).

Declaration of interests

729 The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

References

1. Spits H, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate lymphoid cells-
-a proposal for uniform nomenclature. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2013;13(2):145-9.

2. Peng H, Jiang X, Chen Y, Sojka DK, Wei H, Gao X, et al. Liver-resident NK cells confer
adaptive immunity in skin-contact inflammation. *J Clin Invest.* 2013;123(4):1444-56.

3. Bai L, Vienne M, Tang L, Kerdiles Y, Etiennot M, Escaliere B, et al. Liver type 1 innate
lymphoid cells develop locally via an interferon-gamma-dependent loop. *Science.*
2021;371(6536).

4. Weizman OE, Adams NM, Schuster IS, Krishna C, Pritykin Y, Lau C, et al. ILC1 Confer Early
Host Protection at Initial Sites of Viral Infection. *Cell.* 2017;171(4):795-808 e12.

5. Schuster IS, Wikstrom ME, Brizard G, Coudert JD, Estcourt MJ, Manzur M, et al. TRAIL+ NK
cells control CD4+ T cell responses during chronic viral infection to limit autoimmunity.
Immunity. 2014;41(4):646-56.

6. Ducimetiere L, Lucchiari G, Litscher G, Nater M, Heeb L, Nunez NG, et al. Conventional NK
cells and tissue-resident ILC1s join forces to control liver metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2021;118(27).

7. Fumagalli V, Venzin V, Di Lucia P, Moalli F, Ficht X, Ambrosi G, et al. Group 1 ILCs regulate
T cell-mediated liver immunopathology by controlling local IL-2 availability. *Science*
immunology. 2022;7(68):eabi6112.

8. Kiessling R, Klein E, and Wigzell H. "Natural" killer cells in the mouse. I. Cytotoxic cells with
specificity for mouse Moloney leukemia cells. Specificity and distribution according to
genotype. *European journal of immunology.* 1975;5(2):112-7.

9. Nabekura T, Riggan L, Hildreth AD, O'Sullivan TE, and Shibuya A. Type 1 Innate Lymphoid
Cells Protect Mice from Acute Liver Injury via Interferon-gamma Secretion for Upregulating
Bcl-xL Expression in Hepatocytes. *Immunity.* 2020;52(1):96-108 e9.

10. Takeda K, Hayakawa Y, Smyth MJ, Kayagaki N, Yamaguchi N, Kakuta S, et al. Involvement of
tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in surveillance of tumor metastasis by
liver natural killer cells. *Nat Med.* 2001;7(1):94-100.

11. Townsend MJ, Weinmann AS, Matsuda JL, Salomon R, Farnham PJ, Biron CA, et al. T-bet
regulates the terminal maturation and homeostasis of NK and Valpha14i NKT cells. *Immunity.*
2004;20(4):477-94.

12. Yokota Y, Mansouri A, Mori S, Sugawara S, Adachi S, Nishikawa S, et al. Development of
peripheral lymphoid organs and natural killer cells depends on the helix-loop-helix inhibitor Id2.
Nature. 1999;397(6721):702-6.

13. Gascoyne DM, Long E, Veiga-Fernandes H, de Boer J, Williams O, Seddon B, et al. The basic
leucine zipper transcription factor E4BP4 is essential for natural killer cell development. *Nature*
immunology. 2009;10(10):1118-24.

14. Constantinides MG, McDonald BD, Verhoef PA, and Bendelac A. A committed precursor to
innate lymphoid cells. *Nature.* 2014;508(7496):397-401.

15. Gordon SM, Chaix J, Rupp LJ, Wu J, Madera S, Sun JC, et al. The transcription factors T-bet
and Eomes control key checkpoints of natural killer cell maturation. *Immunity.* 2012;36(1):55-
67.

16. Daussy C, Faure F, Mayol K, Viel S, Gasteiger G, Charrier E, et al. T-bet and Eomes instruct
the development of two distinct natural killer cell lineages in the liver and in the bone marrow.
J Exp Med. 2014;211(3):563-77.

17. Mackay LK, Minnich M, Kragten NA, Liao Y, Nota B, Seillet C, et al. Hobit and Blimp1 instruct
a universal transcriptional program of tissue residency in lymphocytes. *Science.*
2016;352(6284):459-63.

18. Lee JC, Green MD, Huppert LA, Chow C, Pierce RH, and Daud AI. The Liver-Immunity Nexus
and Cancer Immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2022;28(1):5-12.

19. Galon J, and Bruni D. Approaches to treat immune hot, altered and cold tumours with
combination immunotherapies. *Nature reviews Drug discovery.* 2019;18(3):197-218.

20. Ruff SM, Shannon AH, Beane JD, and Pawlik TM. Highlighting novel targets in
immunotherapy for liver cancer. *Expert review of gastroenterology & hepatology.* 2022;16(11-
12):1029-41.

21. He Y, Zhan L, Shi J, Xiao M, Zuo R, Wang C, et al. The Combination of R848 with Sorafenib
Enhances Antitumor Effects by Reprogramming the Tumor Immune Microenvironment and
Facilitating Vascular Normalization in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Adv Sci (Weinh).*
2023:e2207650.

22. Nersesian S, Schwartz SL, Grantham SR, MacLean LK, Lee SN, Pugh-Toole M, et al. NK cell
infiltration is associated with improved overall survival in solid cancers: A systematic review
and meta-analysis. *Transl Oncol.* 2021;14(1):100930.

23. Lee HA, Goh HG, Lee YS, Jung YK, Kim JH, Yim HJ, et al. Natural killer cell activity is a risk
factor for the recurrence risk after curative treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC
Gastroenterol.* 2021;21(1):258.

24. Gao Y, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Bald T, Ng SS, Young A, Ngiow SF, et al. Tumor
immunoevasion by the conversion of effector NK cells into type 1 innate lymphoid cells. *Nature
immunology.* 2017;18(9):1004-15.

25. Wang Y, Chu J, Yi P, Dong W, Saultz J, Wang Y, et al. SMAD4 promotes TGF-beta-independent
NK cell homeostasis and maturation and antitumor immunity. *J Clin Invest.* 2018;128(11):5123-
36.

26. Cortez VS, Ulland TK, Cervantes-Barragan L, Bando JK, Robinette ML, Wang Q, et al. SMAD4
impedes the conversion of NK cells into ILC1-like cells by curtailing non-canonical TGF-beta
signaling. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(9):995-1003.

27. Keller AD, and Maniatis T. Identification and characterization of a novel repressor of beta-
interferon gene expression. *Genes Dev.* 1991;5(5):868-79.

28. Kallies A, Hawkins ED, Belz GT, Metcalf D, Hommel M, Corcoran LM, et al. Transcriptional
repressor Blimp-1 is essential for T cell homeostasis and self-tolerance. *Nat Immunol.*
2006;7(5):466-74.

29. Martins GA, Cimmino L, Shapiro-Shelef M, Szabolcs M, Herron A, Magnusdottir E, et al.
Transcriptional repressor Blimp-1 regulates T cell homeostasis and function. *Nat Immunol.*
2006;7(5):457-65.

30. Kallies A, Carotta S, Huntington ND, Bernard NJ, Tarlinton DM, Smyth MJ, et al. A role for
Blimp1 in the transcriptional network controlling natural killer cell maturation. *Blood.*
2011;117(6):1869-79.

31. Akman B, Hu X, Liu X, Hatipoglu T, You H, Chan WC, et al. PRDM1 decreases sensitivity of

817   human NK cells to IL2-induced cell expansion by directly repressing CD25 (IL2RA). *Journal*
818   *of leukocyte biology.* 2021;109(5):901-14.

819  32. Smith MA, Maurin M, Cho HI, Becknell B, Freud AG, Yu J, et al. PRDM1/Blimp-1 controls
820   effector cytokine production in human NK cells. *J Immunol.* 2010;185(10):6058-67.

821  33. Cursons J, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Foroutan M, Anderson A, Hollande F, Hediyeh-Zadeh
822   S, et al. A Gene Signature Predicting Natural Killer Cell Infiltration and Improved Survival in
823   Melanoma Patients. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2019;7(7):1162-74.

824  34. Shapiro-Shelef M, Lin K-I, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Liao J, McHeyzer-Williams MG, and
825   Calame K. Blimp-1 Is Required for the Formation of Immunoglobulin Secreting Plasma Cells
826   and Pre-Plasma Memory B Cells. *Immunity.* 2003;19(4):607-20.

827  35. Hayakawa Y, and Smyth MJ. CD27 dissects mature NK cells into two subsets with distinct
828   responsiveness and migratory capacity. *J Immunol.* 2006;176(3):1517-24.

829  36. Chiossone L, Chaix J, Fuseri N, Roth C, Vivier E, and Walzer T. Maturation of mouse NK cells
830   is a 4-stage developmental program. *Blood.* 2009;113(22):5488-96.

831  37. Huntington ND, Tabarias H, Fairfax K, Brady J, Hayakawa Y, Degli-Esposti MA, et al. NK cell
832   maturation and peripheral homeostasis is associated with KLRG1 up-regulation. *J Immunol.*
833   2007;178(8):4764-70.

834  38. Bix M, Liao NS, Zijlstra M, Loring J, Jaenisch R, and Raulet D. Rejection of class I MHC-
835   deficient haemopoietic cells by irradiated MHC-matched mice. *Nature.* 1991;349(6307):329-
836   31.

837  39. Bern MD, Parikh BA, Yang L, Beckman DL, Poursine-Laurent J, and Yokoyama WM. Inducible
838   down-regulation of MHC class I results in natural killer cell tolerance. *The Journal of*
839   *experimental medicine.* 2019;216(1):99-116.

840  40. Karre K, Ljunggren HG, Piontek G, and Kiessling R. Selective rejection of H-2-deficient
841   lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. *Nature.* 1986;319(6055):675-
842   8.

843  41. Viant C, Fenis A, Chicanne G, Payrastre B, Ugolini S, and Vivier E. SHP-1-mediated inhibitory
844   signals promote responsiveness and anti-tumour functions of natural killer cells. *Nat Commun.*
845   2014;5:5108.

846  42. Cillo C, Dick JE, Ling V, and Hill RP. Generation of drug-resistant variants in metastatic B16
847   mouse melanoma cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 1987;47(10):2604-8.

848  43. Sathe P, Delconte RB, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Seillet C, Chopin M, Vandenberg CJ, et al.
849   Innate immunodeficiency following genetic ablation of Mcl1 in natural killer cells. *Nat*
850   *Commun.* 2014;5:4539.

851  44. Robinette ML, Fuchs A, Cortez VS, Lee JS, Wang Y, Durum SK, et al. Transcriptional programs
852   define molecular characteristics of innate lymphoid cell classes and subsets. *Nat Immunol.*
853   2015;16(3):306-17.

854  45. Klose CS, Kiss EA, Schwierzeck V, Ebert K, Hoyler T, d'Hargues Y, et al. A T-bet gradient
855   controls the fate and function of CCR6-RORgammat+ innate lymphoid cells. *Nature.*
856   2013;494(7436):261-5.

857  46. Chen Y, Wang X, Hao X, Li B, Tao W, Zhu S, et al. Ly49E separates liver ILC1s into embryo-
858   derived and postnatal subsets with different functions. *The Journal of experimental medicine.*
859   2022;219(5).

860  47. Bottcher JP, Bonavita E, Chakravarty P, Blees H, Cabeza-Cabrerizo M, Sammicheli S, et al. NK

Cells Stimulate Recruitment of cDC1 into the Tumor Microenvironment Promoting Cancer
Immune Control. *Cell.* 2018;172(5):1022-37 e14.

48. Kirchhammer N, Trefny MP, Natoli M, Brucher D, Smith SN, Werner F, et al. NK cells with
tissue-resident traits shape response to immunotherapy by inducing adaptive antitumor
immunity. *Sci Transl Med.* 2022;14(653):eabm9043.

49. Di Censo C, Marotel M, Mattiola I, Muller L, Scarno G, Pietropaolo G, et al. Granzyme A and
CD160 expression delineates ILC1 with graded functions in the mouse liver. *European journal*
of immunology. 2021;51(11):2568-75.

50. Friedrich C, Taggenbrock R, Doucet-Ladeveze R, Golda G, Moenius R, Arampatzi P, et al.
Effector differentiation downstream of lineage commitment in ILC1s is driven by Hobit across
tissues. *Nat Immunol.* 2021;22(10):1256-67.

51. Flommersfeld S, Bottcher JP, Ersching J, Flossdorf M, Meiser P, Pachmayr LO, et al. Fate
mapping of single NK cells identifies a type 1 innate lymphoid-like lineage that bridges innate
and adaptive recognition of viral infection. *Immunity.* 2021;54(10):2288-304 e7.

52. Yomogida K, Bigley TM, Trsan T, Gilfillan S, Cella M, Yokoyama WM, et al. Hobit confers
tissue-dependent programs to type 1 innate lymphoid cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2021;118(50).

53. Tu Z, Bozorgzadeh A, Pierce RH, Kurtis J, Crispe IN, and Orloff MS. TLR-dependent cross
talk between human Kupffer cells and NK cells. *J Exp Med.* 2008;205(1):233-44.

54. Park MD, Reyes-Torres I, LeBerichel J, Hamon P, LaMarche NM, Hegde S, et al. TREM2
macrophages drive NK cell paucity and dysfunction in lung cancer. *Nat Immunol.*
2023;24(5):792-801.

55. Heymann F, Peusquens J, Ludwig-Portugall I, Kohlhepp M, Ergen C, Niemietz P, et al. Liver
inflammation abrogates immunological tolerance induced by Kupffer cells. *Hepatology.*
2015;62(1):279-91.

56. Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan CH, et al. Inference and analysis
of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12(1):1088.

57. Takeda K, Nakayama M, Sakaki M, Hayakawa Y, Imawari M, Ogasawara K, et al. IFN-gamma
production by lung NK cells is critical for the natural resistance to pulmonary metastasis of B16
melanoma in mice. *Journal of leukocyte biology.* 2011;90(4):777-85.

58. Lin Q, Rong L, Jia X, Li R, Yu B, Hu J, et al. IFN-gamma-dependent NK cell activation is
essential to metastasis suppression by engineered Salmonella. *Nature communications.*
2021;12(1):2537.

59. Roe K. NK-cell exhaustion, B-cell exhaustion and T-cell exhaustion-the differences and
similarities. *Immunology.* 2022;166(2):155-68.

60. Zhang Q, Bi J, Zheng X, Chen Y, Wang H, Wu W, et al. Blockade of the checkpoint receptor
TIGIT prevents NK cell exhaustion and elicits potent anti-tumor immunity. *Nature Immunology.*
2018;19(7):723-32.

61. Sciume G, De Angelis G, Benigni G, Ponzetta A, Morrone S, Santoni A, et al. CX3CR1
expression defines 2 KLRG1+ mouse NK-cell subsets with distinct functional properties and
positioning in the bone marrow. *Blood.* 2011;117(17):4467-75.

62. Chea S, Possot C, Perchet T, Petit M, Cumano A, and Golub R. CXCR6 Expression Is Important
for Retention and Circulation of ILC Precursors. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2015;2015:368427.

63. Hudspeth K, Donadon M, Cimino M, Pontarini E, Tentorio P, Preti M, et al. Human liver-

resident CD56(bright)/CD16(neg) NK cells are retained within hepatic sinusoids via the engagement of CCR5 and CXCR6 pathways. *Journal of autoimmunity.* 2016;66:40-50.

64. Lynn RC, Weber EW, Sotillo E, Gennert D, Xu P, Good Z, et al. c-Jun overexpression in CAR T cells induces exhaustion resistance. *Nature.* 2019;576(7786):293-300.

65. Wu H, Zhao X, Hochrein SM, Eckstein M, Gubert GF, Knopper K, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction promotes the transition of precursor to terminally exhausted T cells through HIF-1alpha-mediated glycolytic reprogramming. *Nature communications.* 2023;14(1):6858.

66. Zheng X, Qian Y, Fu B, Jiao D, Jiang Y, Chen P, et al. Mitochondrial fragmentation limits NK cell-based tumor immunosurveillance. *Nature immunology.* 2019;20(12):1656-67.

67. Cheng HP, Huang CJ, Tsai ML, Ong HT, Cheong SK, Choo KB, et al. MicroRNA-362 negatively and positively regulates SMAD4 expression in TGF-beta/SMAD signaling to suppress cell migration and invasion. *Int J Med Sci.* 2021;18(8):1798-809.

68. Jeevan-Raj B, Gehrig J, Charmoy M, Chennupati V, Grandclement C, Angelino P, et al. The Transcription Factor Tcf1 Contributes to Normal NK Cell Development and Function by Limiting the Expression of Granzymes. *Cell reports.* 2017;20(3):613-26.

69. Guo H, Wang M, Wang B, Guo L, Cheng Y, Wang Z, et al. PRDM1 Drives Human Primary T Cell Hyporesponsiveness by Altering the T Cell Transcriptome and Epigenome. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13:879501.

70. Babichuk CK, and Bleackley RC. Mutational analysis of the murine granzyme B gene promoter in primary T cells and a T cell clone. *J Biol Chem.* 1997;272(30):18564-71.

71. Kucuk C, Iqbal J, Hu X, Gaulard P, De Leval L, Srivastava G, et al. PRDM1 is a tumor suppressor gene in natural killer cell malignancies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011;108(50):20119-24.

72. Kim D, Langmead B, and Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat Methods.* 2015;12(4):357-60.

73. Li B, and Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2011;12:323.

74. Love MI, Huber W, and Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 2014;15(12):550.

75. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation (Camb).* 2021;2(3):100141.

76. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Zheng S, Butler A, et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell.* 2021;184(13):3573-87 e29.

77. Germain PL, Lun A, Garcia Meixide C, Macnair W, and Robinson MD. Doublet identification in single-cell sequencing data using scDblFinder. *F1000Res.* 2021;10:979.

78. Caicedo HH, Hashimoto DA, Caicedo JC, Pentland A, and Pisano GP. Overcoming barriers to early disease intervention. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2020;38(6):669-73.

Graphical abstract

Figure 1. *Prdm1* promotes NK cell homeostasis and terminal maturation.
(**A**) Correlation between the average expression of NK cell-associated signature and *PRDM1* in LIHC (Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma; n=363) patients sourced from TCGA datasets. (**B**) Heatmap of the ordered, z-score normalized expression values for *PRDM1* and NK cell-associated genes in liver cancer patients. High and low expression of *NK-PRDM1* signature are indicated. (**C**) Prognostic value of the *NK-PRDM1* signature for overall survival of liver cancer patients comparing high and low samples with a median cutoff. (**D**) Schematic representation of the Prdm1-conditional knockout mouse model. Targeted exon 6-8 of *Prdm1* (top) is flanked with *loxP* sites (middle). Ncr1-expressed Cre recombinase was used to generate the *Prdm1ΔNcr1* allele (bottom). (**E** and **F**) Real-time RT-PCR quantification of *Prdm1* expression in NKp46+ cells (**E**) and splenocytes (**F**) to determine the presence of *Prdm1* (n=5). (**G**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and quantification (right) of the proportion and absolute number of CD45+CD3-NKp46+ cells among lymphocytes in liver, lung, and bone marrow (n=10). (**H**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) of the CD11b and CD27 expression within CD3-NK1.1+NKp46+CD49b+ cells in liver, lung, and bone marrow (n=10). Right panel showed the percentage of distinct maturation stages of NK cells. Data are presented as the mean±SEM and were analyzed by 2-tailed, paired t-test. Differences were evaluated between littermates. Each circle and square on graphs represents an individual mouse; *P*, *P*-value; r, pearson correlation coefficient; *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01, ns, not significant.

Figure 2. *Prdm1* **is required for group 1 ILCs to control tumor metastasis.**
(**A**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) of liver cNK cells (CD49a⁻CD49b⁺) and ILC1s (CD49a⁺CD49b⁻) from *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1ᐃNcr1* mice. The two bar graphs (right) quantitate the percentages and absolute numbers of cells respectively (n=7). (**B** and **D**) Representative image (left) and quantification (right) of tumor nodes on the livers (n=7) (**B**) and lungs (n=10) (**D**) of *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1ᐃNcr1* mice at day 14 or 21 after inoculation with B16F10 melanoma cells. (**C** and **E**) Representative histopathological images of liver (**C**) and lung (**E**) tissues stained by hematoxylin-eosin to detect tumor metastasis. Red bar indicates 500 μm distance under the microscope. (**F**) Liver cells and splenocytes were co-stimulated in the presence or absence of IL-12 and IL-18 for 12 hours. GolgiStop was added 4 hours before intracellular staining of IFN-γ. The graphs showed percentage of IFN-γ⁺ splenic cNK cells, liver cNK cells and ILC1s from *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1ᐃNcr1* mice (n=5). Data are presented as the mean±SEM and were analyzed by 2-tailed, paired t-test. Differences were evaluated between littermates. Each circle and square on graphs represents an individual mouse; *P*, *P*-value; *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01, ns, not significant.

Figure 3. Bulk RNA-seq reveals *Prdm1*-mediated functions in splenic cNK cells.
(**A**) Splenic cNK cells and liver CD45+ cells were sorted from *Prdm1+/+* and *Prdm1ΔNcr1* mice using flow cytometry, and prepared for bulk RNA-seq and single-cell RNA-seq analysis. (**B**) Volcano plot of the bulk RNA-seq differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (log2|fold change|>0.5; *P*<0.05) in splenic cNK cells between *Prdm1+/+* and *Prdm1ΔNcr1* mice. Upregulated and downregulated genes in *Prdm1ΔNcr1* cells were highlighted in red and blue. (**C**) Enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms of DEGs in *Prdm1ΔNcr1* cells compared *Prdm1+/+* cells. The Enrichment gene set in upregulated (red) and downregulated (blue) genes were indicated in different colour. Bar length represents statistical significance. (**D**) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) showing the enrichment of NF-kappa B signaling pathway of DEGs in *Prdm1ΔNcr1* cells compared *Prdm1+/+* cells. NES, normalized enrichment score. (**E**) Heatmap of selected genes from DEGs. Shown is z-score transformed expression of DEGs. (**F**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) of the percentage and absolute numbers of CX3CR1+ cells in liver cNK cells and ILC1s (n=5). (**G**) Quantification of CX3CR1+ cells in NK cells in blood, bone marrow, lung, liver, spleen, and lymph nodes (n=5). (**H** and **I**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) showing the proportion of GZMB+ (**H**) and PRF1+ (**J**) liver cNK cells and ILC1s from *Prdm1+/+* and *Prdm1ΔNcr1* mice (n=5). (**J** and **K**) Proportion of GZMB+ (**J**) and PRF1+ (**K**) splenic NK cells at different maturation stages was analyzed by flow cytometry (n=5). Data are presented as the mean±SEM and were analyzed by 2-tailed, paired t-test. Differences were evaluated between littermates. Each circle and square on graphs represents an individual mouse; *P*, *P*-value; *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01, ns, not significant.

Figure 4. Different properties of cNK clusters following *Prdm1* knockout.
(**A**) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) visualization of liver CD45^{+} cells from *Prdm1*^{+/+} and *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1] mice. Twelve clusters were defined and indicated by distinct colours. Each dot represents a single cell. (**B**) UMAP visualization of liver cNK and ILC1 clusters. Cells were colored by genotypes (*Prdm1*^{+/+}-blue; *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1]-red). (**C**) Percentages of cNK cells and ILC1s in total group 1 ILCs (left), and their distribution in each cluster (right). (**D** and **E**) UMAP visualization of three different liver cNK clusters from two mouse strains. (**F**) Proportions of cNK cells among total cNK cells (left; 211 cells in *Prdm1*^{+/+}, and 141 cells in *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1]) and within clusters (right). (**G**) Violin plots showing the normalized expression of select genes in different cNK clusters. (**H**) Enriched GO term of marker genes in three cNK clusters. Dot size represents enriched gene number, and color intensity represents significance. (**I-L**) GSEA plots (left) depicting the enrichment of NF-kappa B (**I**), TNF (**J**), IL-17 (**K**), and MAPK (**L**) signaling pathway in *Junb*[hi] cNK cluster compared with clusters of *Prf1*^{hi} and *Cxcr3*^{hi} cNK cells. Right panel showed dynamic relative expression of the given gene sets from cluster1 to cluster3 between *Prdm1*^{+/+} and *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1]. Dots represent the average expression of given gene set in each cell, which was calculated through the sum of normalized expression of each individual gene within the designated gene set in every single cell. NES, normalized enrichment score.

Figure 5. Different properties of ILC1 clusters following *Prdm1* knockout..
(**A and B**) UMAP visualization of three different liver ILC1 clusters from two mouse strains. (**C**) Proportions of ILC1s among total ILC1s in different genotypes (left; 114 cells in *Prdm1⁺/⁺*, and 63 cells in *Prdm1^ΔNcr1*) and within each cluster (right). (**D**) Violin plots showing the normalized expression of select genes in different ILC1 clusters. (**E**) Ridge plots showing the normalized expression of *Gzmb, Prf1*, and *Junb* in cNK and ILC1 clusters between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1* cells. (**F** and **G**) GSEA plots (left) depicting the enrichment of IL-17 signaling pathway (**F**) and T cell differentiation (**G**) in *Il7r*^hi ILC1 cluster compared with clusters of *Klra*^hi and *Gzmb*^hi ILC1s. Right panel showed dynamic relative expression of the given gene sets from cluster1 to cluster3 between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1*. Dots represent the average expression of given gene set in each cell, which was calculated through the sum of normalized expression of each individual gene within the designated gene set in every single cell. NES, normalized enrichment score. (**H**) Enriched GO term of marker genes in three ILC1 clusters. Dot size represents enriched gene number, and color intensity represents significance. (**I**) Violin plot showing the *Junb*^hi signature score for cNK cell and ILC1 clusters, calculated using the signature genes of *Junb*^hi cNK cluster. (**J**) Venn diagram showing overlapping and unique DEGs in comparisons within liver cNK cells, ILC1s and splenic cNK cells between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1*(left), and 17 overlapped DEGs were shown at the right panel.

Figure 6. *Prdm1* facilitates the intercellular communication between liver group 1 ILCs and macrophages.
(**A** and **B**) UMAP visualization of monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) and Kupffer cells (KCs) cluster (**A**) between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* (**B**). (**C**) Proportions of total macrophages in liver immune cells (left), and proportions of MDMs and KCs among total macrophages in different genotypes (510 cells in *Prdm1⁺/⁺*, and 624 cells in *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^*). (**D**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) of the percentage and absolute numbers of liver total macrophages (CD45⁺Ly6G⁻CD11b⁺F4/80⁺), MDMs (CX3CR1⁻), and KCs (CX3CR1⁺) between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* (n=7). (**E**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) of the percentage and absolute numbers of Ly6C⁻ and Ly6C⁺ cells in MDMs. (**F** and **G**) Circle plots (**F**) and summary data (**G**) illustrating the interaction numbers and strength of significant enriched ligand–receptor pairs among cluster of liver cNK cells, ILC1s, and macrophages from *Prdm1⁺/⁺* (left) and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* (right) cells. The thickness of the line indicates the number of enrich pairs, and the arrow reflects the direction of the interaction. (**H**) Heatmap of overall signaling pattern recognized from ligand-receptor pairs, which contained the sum of signaling from the sender and target cells. (**I**) Bar graphs showing the information flow in selected active signaling patterns between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* cells. Relative information flow was calculated as the sum of the communication probability in given signaling patterns. (**J**) Chord plot of the CXCL signaling interaction network among cluster of liver cNK cells, ILC1s, and macrophages between *Prdm1⁺/⁺* and *Prdm1^ΔNcr1^* cells. Data are presented as the mean±SEM and were analyzed by 2-tailed, paired t-test. Differences were evaluated between littermates. Each circle and square on graphs represents an individual mouse; *P*, *P*-value; *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01, ns, not significant.

Figure 7. *Prdm1* safeguards group 1 ILCs from exhaustion-like phenotypes in the tumor microenvironment.
(A-C) The Mitochondrial mass (MitoTracker Green staining; n=8) (**A**), Mitochondrial ROS (MitoSOX staining; n=5) (**B**), and Mitochondrial membrane potential (TMRM staining; n=5) (**C**) of splenic cNK cells, liver cNK cells and ILC1s were analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) showing the relative mean fluorescence intensities (MFIs) of each group. (**D**) Representative flow cytometric plots (left) and cumulative data (right) showing the percentage and absolute number of liver cNK cells and ILC1s in *Prdm1*^{+/+} and *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1] tumor-bearing mice at day 14 after inoculation with B16F10 melanoma cells via intrasplenic injection(n=5). (**E**) Percentages of IFN-γ[+] liver cNK cells and ILC1s from *Prdm1*^{+/+} and *Prdm1*[ΔNcr1] tumor-bearing mice (n=5). Data are presented as the mean±SEM and were analyzed by 2-tailed, paired t-test. Differences were evaluated between littermates. Each circle and square on graphs represents an individual mouse; *P*, *P*-value; *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01, ns, not significant.