Do macroinvertebrate abundance and community structure depend on the quality of ponds located in peri-urban areas?

Florence D. Hulot[1*], Christophe Hanot[1], Sylvie Nélieu[2], Isabelle Lamy[2], Sara Karolak[1], Ghislaine Delarue[2], Emmanuelle Baudry[1]

[1] Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Ecologie Systematique Evolution, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[2] Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR ECOSYS, 91120 Palaiseau, France

*Corresponding author
Florence.hulot@universite-paris-saclay.fr

ABSTRACT

Contamination is one of the major threats to freshwater biodiversity. Compared to other aquatic ecosystems, peri-urban ponds are unique because they are embedded in human-dominated areas. However, it is poorly understood how different land uses such as urban or agricultural contribute multiple pollutants to ponds and thus affect pond biodiversity. In this work, 12 ponds located in a peri-urban area (Ile-de-France region, France) were monitored for 2 consecutive years in spring and fall. We surveyed macroinvertebrates and measured the physicochemical parameters and contaminants of different classes (trace elements, pharmaceuticals, pesticides and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) in both water and sediment. The objective was twofold: to explore local and regional macroinvertebrate spatiotemporal diversity and to understand the effects of contaminants on abundance and community structure. We observed 247 macroinvertebrate morphotaxa, none of which were rare or sensitive to pollutants. Local alpha diversity (within ponds) showed small differences between ponds and higher variability between years and seasons for species richness and Shannon diversity. We did not observe a relationship between land use around the pond (agricultural, urban, or semi-natural) and its alpha diversity. Regional beta diversity (between ponds) showed that differences in species composition reflected species replacement more than differences in species richness; these were primarily due to the high abundance of pollutant-tolerant species in some of the ponds. The effects of environmental parameters on community structure were studied using partial redundancy analysis based on the presence-absence of morphotaxa, showing that community assemblages are shaped by sediment levels of pharmaceuticals and trace metals, as well as chemical oxygen demand, conductivity and total nitrogen concentration in water. In conclusion, ponds in peri-urban areas are exposed to various human activities, with our results suggesting that this exposure leads to chronic and diverse contaminations that affect species communities.

Keywords: beta diversity, land use, pollutant-tolerant morphotaxa, trace elements, PAH, pharmaceuticals, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides

Introduction

Freshwater ecosystems, including lakes, reservoirs and rivers, host around 9.5% of all described species despite representing approximately 2.3% of the world's surface area and only 0.01% of its water (Reid et al., 2019). They therefore deserve special attention in terms of their protection and conservation. In a recent review, Reid et al. (2019) listed 12 emerging or intensifying threats to freshwater biodiversity. Among the different freshwater ecosystems, ponds are particularly vulnerable because of their small size (Biggs et al., 2017), although they are understudied probably on account of their presumed insignificance (Biggs et al., 2017; Cereghino et al., 2008). Several studies showed that small water bodies such as ponds, ditches and streams host a higher biodiversity than large water bodies (Biggs et al., 2017). In particular, because of their isolation or small size, they may have low local alpha diversity. However, a high dissimilarity between these aquatic systems may lead to high regional beta diversity (Clarke et al., 2008; Davies et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2004). The small habitat size of ponds may also support rare and scarce species. Scheffer et al. (2006) showed that shallow lakes and ponds support a higher richness of aquatic birds, plants, amphibians and invertebrates than large water bodies. This higher richness is accompanied by a low diversity of fish, if not their complete absence (Scheffer et al., 2006).

Peri-urban areas are characterised by complex landscapes with both agricultural and urban covers and a mixture of different uses and users (Poggi et al., 2021; Zoomers et al., 2017). They are typical zones of continuous transformation (Zoomers et al., 2017) or "restless landscape" (Friedmann, 2016). However, ponds and wetlands in peri-urban environments are understudied (Wanek et al., 2021). Although urban ponds are less diverse than rural ponds, they may host threatened species, thus advocating for good management practices (Oertli and Parris, 2019). Likewise, motorway stormwater retention ponds can play a significant role in macroinvertebrate diversity at the regional level (Le Viol et al., 2009; Meland et al., 2020).

Contamination by pollutants is one of the 12 threats to freshwater biodiversity identified by Reid et al. (2019). Ponds are subjected to multiple contaminations depending on their environment, whether in an agricultural, urban or highway setting. These stressors have been studied both independently and in combination and include, among others, pesticides (Trigal et al., 2007), major ions, nutrients and wastewater-associated micropollutants (Berger et al., 2018), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) (Uher et al., 2016), pharmaceuticals and heavy metals (Andreu et al., 2016), metals, ions and PAH in combination (Sun et al., 2018). The distinctive feature of peri-urban ponds is that they are embedded in a human-dominated matrix with different activities, which can be the source of multiple stressors. In peri-urban areas, although ponds are not located far from each other, they might be exposed to different dominant stressors or combine different categories of pollutants because of their small catchment area (Biggs et al., 2017; Cereghino et al., 2008). In addition, contaminants in ponds may change with time if the surrounding landscape is submitted to changes such as urbanisation or temporary construction sites, or if it is exposed to new materials such as nanomaterials or personal care product additives. Ponds may accumulate these contaminants, particularly in their sediments and, consequently, freshwater life is affected by changing contaminant cocktails.

Aquatic macroinvertebrates encompass a rich and diversified set of taxa that are universally found in freshwater ecosystems. They exhibit a wide range of sensitivity to environmental stressors and, as a consequence, their local diversity and abundance are commonly used as indicators of perturbations (Sumudumali and Jayawardana, 2021; Tachet et al., 2010). Aquatic macroinvertebrates are mostly sedentary, at least during their larvae stage. They inhabit different habitats and their life cycle, for the majority of macroinvertebrates, is annual (Tachet et al., 2010). For these reasons, these organisms are good indicators of pollution, as the recolonisation of perturbed areas takes time. Macroinvertebrate indices of water quality are based on the presence-absence or abundances of macroinvertebrates. However, in addition to the local diversity known as alpha diversity, spatial and temporal diversity give information respectively about the spatial structuration and temporal changes of communities (Legendre and Condit, 2019). Thus, their spatial and temporal beta diversity might prove useful to assess the impacts of stressors in changing peri-urban areas.

Here we report the results of a study in which we monitored 12 ponds in a peri-urban area located in the Ile-de-France region (France). The chosen ponds were characterised by different proportions of agricultural, urbanised, grassland and forest surfaces in a 100-m radius buffer. We therefore aimed to link

land use, contaminant concentrations in water and sediment as well as macroinvertebrate distribution in ponds. Our objective was to understand whether water and sediment pollutants and land-use characteristics are constraints to macroinvertebrate distribution in ponds. To do so, we sampled macroinvertebrates and measured pond quality parameters, including various urban and agricultural contaminants, to quantify the main pesticides, pharmaceuticals, PAH and trace elements (TE) in water and sediments. Depending on their chemical properties, contaminants may accumulate either in water or in sediment. We therefore monitored both compartments. The choice of contaminants assessed here was based on the local activities: cereals, maize, rapeseed, sunflower, orchard and vegetable crops for pesticides; nearby roads for TE and PAH; and the presence of humans, farming, and domestic pets for pharmaceuticals. Nélieu et al. (2020) showed that the water contamination profiles of these ponds different depending on their location, and that the agricultural landscape explained these differences more than urban land uses. In some of the ponds, the environmental risk exceeded the thresholds of risk quotient mainly due to pesticides (Nélieu 2020). Here, we analysed the macroinvertebrate communities and hypothesised that (1) local macroinvertebrate diversity is higher in ponds located in environments dominated by grasslands and forests than in those dominated by agriculture and urban areas; (2) ponds hosting rare and pollutant-sensitive macroinvertebrate morphotaxa make a high contribution to regional diversity; and (3) water and sediment contaminants influence morphotaxa distribution in ponds.

Material and methods

Study area

The selected study area is the Saclay Plateau (N: 48° 43' 59.99" E: 2° 10' 0.01), located in the junction zone between the Parisian agglomeration and its large surrounding plains. Until recently, the territory had a mainly agricultural vocation, although the ongoing development of a scientific and technological pole in the area has considerably increased the urban hold on the territory. At the same time, the Natural, Agricultural and Forest Protection Zone of the Saclay Plateau extending over more than 4,000 ha was created in 2010, thus perpetuating the agricultural use of land on the plateau. The Saclay Plateau thus presents major challenges in terms of the coexistence of urban and agricultural areas and biodiversity in a context of growing urbanisation. Within this plateau, we selected 12 ponds with surface areas ranging from 64 to 5,108 m^2 (mean ± SD: 896 ± 1,361 m^2) and with different potential exposures to agricultural and urban activities. The proportion of agricultural and urbanised surface around each pond was calculated in a 100 m radius buffer using the map of mainland France land cover produced by the Theia land data services using Sentinel 2 and Landsat 8 data (Theia, 2017). The proportion of urbanised land was calculated by adding together three Theia categories: dense built-up areas, diffuse built-up areas and industrial and commercial areas. The proportion of agricultural land was calculated by adding two Theia categories: winter crops and summer crops. The remaining areas consist of forest and grassland. In the following, we refer to ponds A to L.

Sampling methods for invertebrates and for water and sediment

The sampling of invertebrates as well as water and sediment was systematically performed at the same points in the 12 ponds. To ensure that water and sediment sampling and invertebrate collection did not interfere with each other, they were carried out with a time lag of about 1 week.

A preliminary analysis was conducted to determine the most appropriate timing for sampling the invertebrates in the ponds. Several ponds were sampled every month from May to October 2015 inclusive, which are the periods during which most species are active in our geographical area. Eight of these ponds were included among the 12 ponds selected in our study. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis of the collected taxa showed that sampling in June and September covered almost all the diversity of the macroinvertebrate species present in the ponds (Hanot, unpublished results). Sampling was thus performed in June and September during 2016 and 2017 in the 12 ponds selected for the analysis. In the following, we refer to the field campaigns as C1 (2016 June), C2 (2016 September), C3 (2017 June) and C4 (2017 September).

Macroinvertebrate sampling was carried out on two different main habitats on either side of each pond to best represent the biodiversity of the ponds in a standardised manner. Sampling was made using a net pond with a 77 cm fiberglass handle, a trapezoid frame measuring 39 x 14 cm x 32 cm, a 1 x 1 mm

mesh and a pocket depth of 40 cm. Samples were collected by making an infinity symbol by hand eight times (i.e., a figure eight on its side), ending with a fast move from the bottom to the top in the axis point of the symbol, to collect organisms trapped in the vortex created by the sequence of movements. During this sequence, the frame of the net pond was a few centimetres above the substratum, which allowed us to lift and collect the benthos. To sample each pond in the same way, the same operator made the movements at an arm's distance from the bank. In a small number of cases, the water level in the ponds was too low to sample from the selected habitat. In this case, no collection was made at this point or at any other to ensure that the samples were collected in the same location during the entire study period.

During the collections in 2016, the content of the net pocket was quickly placed in a white basin measuring 80 x 40 x 10 cm. All specimens were sorted by eye and fixed in absolute ethanol 99% (Fisher Chemical, CAS 64-17-5) using entomological forceps. Collection stopped when 5 min had elapsed without seeing any moving specimen. Thereafter, all samples were kept at 7°C until the identification stage. During the 2017 campaigns, to speed up the sample collection, water was squeezed from the net pocket as gently as possible to avoid destroying the specimens, and the result was then fixed in absolute ethanol 99% in suitable containers and kept at 7°C. Specimens were sorted using a Motic SMZ 171 binocular microscope and entomological forceps, fixed again in absolute ethanol 99% and then kept at 7°C. Our sampling protocol resulted in 44 invertebrate samples: 12 ponds x 2 points x 2 years x 2 seasons, minus 6 cases when the collection was not possible due to a low water level. Invertebrate identifications (see Section 2.3) were carried out separately for each sample.

In 2016 and 2017, in each of the 12 ponds, water and sediment samples were collected in spring and autumn from the same two points selected for invertebrate sampling. The water samples were taken approximately 1 m from the edge of the ponds using a stainless steel beaker with an extendable handle. The sediment sampling was carried out using the same device to collect the sediment surface.

Identification of invertebrates

Different books (Bameul, 1985; Guignot, 1947; Hansen, 1987; Holmen, 1997; Jansson, 1986; Olmi, 1976; Poisson; Tachet et al., 2010) and websites (http://www.perla.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/, http://coleonet.de) were used as a reference to perform the optical identification of all specimens under a Motic SMZ 171 stereo microscope. Identifications were made at the lowest possible taxonomic rank. Specimens that could not be identified at the species level were identified at a higher taxonomic level, while adding a numerical suffix when more than one species was present (e.g., *Microvelia* sp.2). When it was not possible to link the different stages (larvae, nymph, adult) to the same species, they were assigned to different morphotaxa. Some specimens of each taxon were kept in tubes of 2 mL, 5 mL or 40 mL according to their size, in absolute ethanol 99%, to be used as a reference. This made it possible to constitute a reference base for the invertebrates in the ponds by linking the reference specimens with their morphotaxon names. Each specimen of each sample was then identified using books, websites and the reference base. All specimens were counted by morphotaxa for each sample.

Determination of water and sediment quality parameters, including trace elements and organic pollutants

Samples were used to determine the main physicochemical parameters, including major and TE as well as organic contaminants (PAH, pesticides and pharmaceuticals) as described in Nélieu et al. (2020).

For water samples, the following determinations were measured directly on site with probes: pH, conductivity, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO) and turbidity. Other data were obtained rapidly in the laboratory (mainly within a day of sampling) using standardised methods: dissolved organic carbon (DOC) by thermic oxidation and IR analysis of carbon dioxide, chemical oxygen demand (COD, norm NF EN ISO 15705), suspended solids (SS, norm NF EN 872), nitrates (NO_3^-, norm NF EN ISO 10304-1), nitrites (NO_2^-, NF EN ISO 26777), total nitrogen (TN) from the addition of Kjeldahl nitrogen (Kjeldahl method, norms NF EN 25663 and NF EN ISO 11732) with nitrates and nitrites, total phosphorus (P, norm NF EN ISO 15681-2 and NF EN ISO 6878.), anions (norm NF EN ISO 10304-1) and cations (norm NF EN ISO 14911), as well as major and TE (norm NF EN ISO 17294-2: Al, As, B, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, U and Zn), 15 PAH, pesticides (25 herbicides, 1 safener, 7 fungicides and 2 insecticides) and 12 pharmaceuticals compatible with the multi-residue method applied after sample conservation at -20°C (see the exhaustive list in Table S1). Details on the methods used for this determination can be found in Nélieu et al. (2020).

Sediment samples were used to determine the contents in organic carbon (Corg), total nitrogen (N) and thus C/N ratio (norms ISO 10694 and ISO 13878) as well as total major and TE (after HF mineralisation and then ICP-AES or ICP-MS analysis according to the norm NF X 31-147/NF ISO 22036 - 17294-2), for the following: Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn, Co, Pb, Cd, Tl, Mo, Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P (P_2O_5), Bi, In, Sb and Sn. All measurements were made in the Laboratory of Soil Analysis of INRAe (Arras, France). The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons were analysed according to the European standard NF EN 16181 (2018) by pressurised liquid extraction and HPLC-fluorescence quantification. The same pesticides, metabolites and pharmaceuticals selected for analysis in water (Nélieu et al., 2020) were also monitored in sediments (see Appendix 1 for the analysis methods used for sediments).

Not all the measured pesticides and pharmaceuticals were detected in water and sediment (no detection or values below the quantification limits). Therefore, our study was based on fifteen herbicides (Atrazine, Atrazine-desethyl, Simazine, Terbuthylazine, Terbuthylazine-desethyl, Clomazone, Diflufenican, Napropamid, Acetochlore, Alachlore, Dimethachlore, Metolachlore, Chlorsulfuron, Metsulfuron-methyl and Nicosulfuron), seven fungicides (Boscalid, Dimoxystrobine, Epoxiconazole, Hexaconazole, Metconazole, Picoxystrobine, Tebuconazole), two insecticides (Imidacloprid and Pyrimicarb) and one pharmaceutical (Carbamazepine).

Statistical analysis

Prior to the data analysis, the invertebrate samples collected from both points in each pond were pooled. This allowed us to produce a contingency table containing the number of each morphotaxon for each pond and each sampling date. For the water quality parameters, TE and organic pollutants, we computed the mean of the two sample point values. All statistical analyses were performed with R 3.6.1 (Team, 2020).

To explore invertebrate community diversity, we computed the morphotaxa richness (alpha diversity), Shannon diversity index and evenness for each pond and each field campaign with the specnumber function in vegan 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al., 2019). We tested the effect of individual ponds and field campaign on these parameters with an analysis of variance followed by a pairwise comparison with Tukey's HSD test.

To study the dissimilarities between invertebrate communities, we computed the total beta diversity within each field campaign across all ponds using the beta.multi function of the betapart package 1.5.2 (Baselga et al., 2020). The two additive components of beta diversity namely, turnover and nestedness, reflect species replacement and species richness difference, respectively (Baselga et al., 2020). The beta.multi function partitions the total beta diversity into turnover and nestedness components.

We calculated the contribution of each pond to beta diversity, that is, the local contribution to beta diversity (hereafter LCBD) following Legendre and Caceres (2013) using the beta.div function of the adespatial package 0.3-8 (Dray et al., 2019). As pond dissimilarity may be different if computed with the abundance or presence-absence (PA) of morphotaxa, we calculated both using the Hellinger and Jaccard dissimilarity coefficients, respectively. The LCBD values, which represent the uniqueness of a pond in terms of taxa composition, were tested for significance with the null hypothesis of a random distribution of species among ponds within a sampling campaign (Legendre and De Caceres, 2013). We also computed the species contributions to beta diversity (hereafter SCBD) to identify the morphotaxa that contribute the most to beta diversity. This last index is calculated only with morphotaxa abundance. We also explored the temporal beta diversity, that is, the variation of morphotaxa diversity between two field campaigns using the temporal beta-diversity index (TBI) computed using the TBI function of the adespatial package (Dray et al., 2019). The TBI is composed of the morphotaxa losses (B) and the morphotaxa gains (C). The B-C plots were produced with the plot.TBI function. The TBI were tested for significance (Legendre, 2019).

To explore the relationship between the parameters describing diversity and land use, we run multi-factorial analysis (MFA) where the diversity group include alpha diversity, Shannon diversity and LCBD, whereas the land-use group includes absolute grasslands and forests, urbanised areas and agricultural areas in a 100 m radius buffer around the ponds. We added the pond and field campaign as supplementary variables. We used the FactoMiner package, v.2.3 (Lê et al., 2008).

Finally, we explored the relationship between the environmental parameters and the macroinvertebrate assemblages to identify the parameters that best explain the community structures. The concentrations of water TE, PAH and pharmaceuticals were very low, being at the detection limit; for this reason, we did not include them in the analyses. Prior to the analyses, to reduce the high number of TE in

the sediments, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) in all ponds for the four field campaigns. The first two axes of the PCA explain 68.67% of the variability (Figure S1). The ponds are arranged on the first axis (TE1) from low to high TE concentrations. The second axis (TE2) discriminates ponds with high concentrations of TE (Sb, Cd) and those with high concentrations of major elements (Na, Mg, Fe). We collected the coordinates of each pond-field campaign combination for the first two axes of the PCA and then used them in the following statistical analyses as summaries of each contaminant group effects on ponds. We summed the concentration of the different PAH and used the total PAH concentration in the following analysis. We used redundancy analysis (RDA) (Borcard et al., 2011) and ran two analyses, one with the Hellinger-transformed abundances of morphotaxa and another with the PA of morphotaxa. In the RDA, the response matrix is the abundance or PA of morphotaxa in all ponds and the four field campaigns, whereas the explanatory matrix is the environmental parameters, including the contaminants for the same pond-field campaign combination. We used the following parameters in the RDA: (1) for water, conductivity, suspended matter, COD, DOC, concentrations of total nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), orthophosphate, ammonium, herbicides and insecticides; and (2) for sediments, herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, pharmaceuticals, PAH and the first two PCA axes performed with TE.

We used the rda function of the vegan package v2.5-6 (Oksanen et al., 2019). We tested the significance of the two RDA results by the permutation of the overall analysis and each axis. The two RDA were significant with a threshold level of 5%. We tested for linear dependencies among the explanatory variables and computed the variance inflation factors (VIF) of the variables with the vif.cca function. We computed the adjusted R^2 with the RsquareAdj function. The VIF was very high for several explanatory variables and so to reduce the correlations between them, we computed a forward selection of the explanatory variables using the forward.sel function. The method produces parsimonious models that we tested by permutation and VIF.

Results

Morphotaxa richness, Shannon diversity index and evenness

In total, we identified 247 morphotaxa, which represent a total of 22 orders and 55 families including 15 orders and 42 families for arthropods and 9 orders and 37 families for insects (some morphotaxa could not be assigned to an order or family). The Baetidae *C. dipterum* was ubiquitous during campaigns C1, C2 and C3 but totally absent in C4. Species diversity ranged from 9 to 53 with a median value of 28. Statistical analyses show a weak effect of pond on morphotaxa richness (Table 1 and Figure 1). Morphotaxa richness was significantly higher in pond J than in ponds E ($p=0.016$) and I ($p=0.041$). Morphotaxa richness changed between field campaigns ($p< 0.0001$, Table 1), being significantly higher in C1 and C2 than in C4 (respectively $p=0.003$ and $p=0.004$). The Shannon index and evenness ranged from 1.16 to 2.9 and from 0.35 to 0.82, respectively. The field campaigns and ponds had no effect on the Shannon index and evenness.

Table 1. Effects of the ponds and field sessions on morphotaxa richness, Shannon index and evenness.

Model	df	F-value	p-value
Morphotaxa richness			
Pond	11	2.705	0.016
Field session	3	7.522	< 0.0001
Shannon index			
Pond	11	0.855	NS
Field session	3	1.760	NS
Evenness			
Pond	11	0.533	NS
Field session	3	1.979	NS

Beta diversity: Spatial and temporal dissimilarities between ponds

The total beta diversity based on morphotaxa abundances was very similar for each field campaign with values between 0.88 and 0.93 (Table S2). The turnover, which reflects the level of species replacement between ponds as opposed to species loss, represents between 89% and 93% of this total beta diversity. The LCBD of each pond based on morphotaxa abundances varied across the field campaigns (Figure 2, Table S3). The contribution of pond J was significantly higher in C1, whereas ponds C and E contributed significantly in C2 and pond L in C3, thus indicating strong dissimilarities between the communities in these ponds and those in the other ponds during these periods. The contribution of pond I is significant in C4 (Figure 2). The results of the analysis based on the PA of morphotaxa are slightly different. Beta diversity is around 0.91-0.93 and is due to a turnover at 96-97% (Table S2). The LCBD are also different (Figure 2, Table S3). Pond E makes a significant contribution to regional biodiversity in C1 and C2. Pond I makes a significant contribution during C2, C3 and C4 and ponds J and L in C4 and C3, respectively.

The analysis of morphotaxa SCBD based on morphotaxa abundances reveals that a relatively low number of morphotaxa explains most of the dissimilarities between ponds (see the morphotaxa – rank distribution in Figure S2). The comprehensive list of morphotaxa SCBD is provided in Table S4. Here we describe the 15 morphotaxa with the highest contributions for each field campaign (Table 2). These 15 morphotaxa account for 81%, 66%, 68% and 73% of the total SCBD in the C1, C2, C3 and C4 field campaigns, respectively. Some are common to all four campaigns (*Asellus* sp., *Valvata macrostoma*, two different *Chaoborus* sp., different Chironomini morphotaxa) or to three campaigns (*Cloeon dipterum* – C1, C2 and C3; Daphniidae and Clitellata – C1, C2 and C4; *Physella acuta* – C1, C3 and C4). The field campaign C1 is distinguished by the high contribution of two morphotaxa of Ceratopogoninae and Orthocladiinae as well as *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*; the field campaign C2 is distinguished by the high contribution of the morphotaxa of Tanytarsini, which is not found at such a high density in the other field campaigns. The field campaigns C3 and C4 reveal a new common high contributor: *Hyphydrus ovatus*. They are distinguished by the high contribution of *Notonecta* sp. and two Tanypodinae (C3) as well as Baetidae, *Plea minutissima and Erythromma viridulum* (C4).



Figure 1 - Morphotaxa richness (a), Shannon index (b) and evenness (c) in the 12 ponds for the four field sessions. The legend for the four field sessions is given in panel (a). The horizontal segments in panel (a) link the different ponds significantly.



Figure 2 - Local contribution to beta diversity (LCBD) of each pond for the four field sessions. In (a) the LCBD is computed with the abundances of morphotaxa and in (b) with the presence-absence of morphotaxa. The LCBD are computed independently for each field session. The symbols show the significant contributions with: ***: $p \leq 0.001$; **: $0.001<p \leq 0.01$; *: $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$; #: $0.05 < p \leq 0.1$.

The TBI allows to observe how the invertebrate community structures changed between years in the same season (C1-C3 and C2-C4) and in the same year between seasons (C1-C2 and C3-C4) (Figure 3). The between-year comparison globally shows morphotaxa losses with only two ponds gaining morphotaxa in spring (D and E) and one in autumn (pond H). However, the changes are significant only for three ponds: morphotaxa losses between C1 and C3 for ponds G ($p=0.034$) and I ($p=0.009$) and morphotaxa gains between C2 and C4 for pond E ($p=0.005$). The within-year comparison shows morphotaxa losses or no changes in 2016 (C1-C2), whereas the changes are more balanced between gains and losses in 2017. The significant changes relate to morphotaxa losses in pond C and G between C1 and C2 ($p=0.029$ and $p=0.037$, respectively).

Table 2 - Species contributions to beta diversity for the 15 most common morphotaxa and their summed contribution to the four field sessions.

C1		C2		C3		C4	
Morphotaxa	Summed contribution	Morphotaxa	Summed contribution	Morphotaxa	Summed contribution	Morphotaxa	Summed contribution
Asellus sp.	0.097	Tanytarsini 01	0.095	*Asellus* sp.	0.145	Daphniidae 02	0.137
Chaoborus sp. 01	0.188	*Chironomus* sp. 02	0.172	*Cloeon dipterum*	0.243	*Asellus* sp.	0.244
Orthocladiinae 03	0.272	*Asellus* sp.	0.245	*Chironomus* sp. 01	0.323	*Chaoborus* sp. 02	0.324
Chaoborus sp. 02	0.356	*Cloeon dipterum*	0.293	*Notonecta* sp. 01	0.371	Baetidae 02	0.398
Daphniidae 02	0.428	*Proasellus* sp.	0.341	Tanypodinae 02	0.415	*Valvata macrostoma*	0.463
Potamopyrgus antipodarum	0.498	*Hippeutis complanatus*	0.384	*Chaoborus* sp. 02	0.455	*Chaoborus* sp. 01	0.514
Chironomini 04	0.552	*Chaoborus* sp. 01	0.423	Tanypodinae 01	0.496	*Chironomus* sp. 01	0.558
Ceratopogoninae 02	0.602	Daphniidae 01	0.459	Chironomini 04	0.528	Daphniidae 01	0.594
Valvata macrostoma	0.649	Orthocladiinae 04	0.491	*Valvata macrostoma*	0.555	*Physella acuta*	0.623
Chironomus sp. 01	0.688	*Chaoborus* sp. 02	0.522	*Physella acuta*	0.579	Chironomini 04	0.651
Physella acuta	0.718	*Valvata macrostoma*	0.551	*Hyphydrus ovatus*	0.602	*Plea minutissima*	0.670
Cloeon dipterum	0.745	*Planaria torva*	0.580	*Hygrotus inaequalis*	0.625	Clitellata 01	0.687
Clitellata 01	0.770	Clitellata 01	0.607	Hesperocorixa 03	0.646	*Hyphydrus ovatus*	0.702
Daphniidae 01	0.793	Podocopida 02	0.634	*Chaoborus* sp. 01	0.665	Helobdella sp. 02	0.717
Helobdella sp. 02	0.808	*Chironomus* sp. 01	0.661	Podocopida 03	0.684	*Erythromma viridulum*	0.731

Figure 3 - Pond morphotaxa gains and losses between years (a, b) and between seasons (c, d). The solid green line with a slope of 1 represents equal gains and losses. The dashed red line, parallel to the solid green line, passes through the centroid point. The position of the red line below the green line in all comparisons shows that morphotaxa losses dominated the gains. The stars associated with the pond denote significant temporal changes.





Figure 4 - Results of the multifactorial analysis (MFA) based on morphotaxa abundances. Panel (a) shows the contribution of diversity and land-use groups to the construction of the axes as well as the position of the pond and field session in the MFA space. Panel (b) shows the correlation circle with the variables coloured according to their group. Panel (c) shows the individual ponds in the MFA space with the confidence ellipses.

Relationship between diversity parameters and land use

To explore the relationship between the parameters that characterise local and regional diversity and land use around the ponds, we ran the MFA with the pond and field campaign as the qualitative supplementary variables. The MFA explains 88.4% of the variability with the first two axes. The contributions of the diversity and land-use variable groups for the first two axes are nearly equal (Figure 4a). The field campaigns do not stand out in this MFA, whereas the ponds are clearly different. The correlation circle (Figure 4b) shows that ponds are located either in a buffer mostly covered by grasslands and forests or in urbanised and agricultural areas. The alpha diversity is independent of the buffer. The Shannon index and LCBD make a minimal and opposing contribution to the construction of the axes. The map of the ponds in this MFA space shows a clear gradient from the ponds embedded in the grassland and forest buffer (ponds A, D, H and I) to those in more urbanised and agricultural buffers (ponds B, C and E), with ponds in intermediate position with a more balanced land use (ponds F, G, J, K and L) (Figure 4c). The flattened confidence ellipses in Figure 4c reveal the changes in the alpha diversity of ponds during the four field campaigns.

Table 3 - Results of the redundancy analyses (RA): abundance and presence-absence parsimonious models

Variables	Cumulative adjusted R^2	F value	*p* value
Hellinger-transformed abundances			
Sediment fungicides	0.044	2.935	0.001
MTE axis 1	0.074	2.348	0.001
Water conductivity	0.092	1.773	0.016
Water chemical oxygen demand	0.112	1.860	0.009
Sediment pharmaceuticals	0.124	1.530	0.050
Water insecticides	0.137	1.573	0.043
Presence-absence			
Water conductivity	0.029	2.228	0.001
Sediment pharmaceuticals	0.053	2.070	0.001
Total inorganic nitrogen	0.074	1.891	0.003
Trace element axis 1	0.091	1.730	0.001
Trace element axis 2	0.102	1.485	0.015

Relationship between environmental parameters and macroinvertebrate assemblages

To clarify the relationship between the environmental parameters for water and sediment and the macroinvertebrate assemblages, we ran two redundancy analyses (RDA), one with the Hellinger-transformed abundances (abundances) and the other with the PA of morphotaxa. In both cases, the initial models with all the environmental parameters were significant. As some of these parameters had strong collinearities (as shown by the high VIF values), a forward model selection procedure was used to obtain a more parsimonious model. The adjusted R^2 of the complete models was 0.21 and 0.11 for the abundance and PA models, respectively. The parsimonious model describing the abundances contained six explanatory variables: fungicide and pharmaceutical concentrations in sediments, insecticide concentrations in water, conductivity, COD and the first PCA axis of the TE (hereafter TE1) (Table 3). The model is highly significant (Df = 5, F-value = 2.1457, p=0.001) with no strong collinearity between the variables (all VIF are below 3) and can be decomposed into two significant canonical axes (Figure 5). Ponds I and J are associated with water parameters: COD, TE1 and high conductivity, whereas ponds C and G are associated with sediment organic contaminants. Ponds, A, D, F, H and K are less affected by environmental parameters. A few morphotaxa stand out and are associated with some environmental variables (morphotaxa scores in the RDA are in Table S5). *C. dipterum* with Anophelinae 01 and *Chironomus* sp. 01 are associated with high TE concentrations in sediment and COD in water. *Chaoborus* sp. 01, *Chaoborus* sp. 02, *Chironomus* sp. 01, *Chironomus* sp. 02, Ceratopogoninae 02, *Physella acuta* and *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* are associated with high water conductivity. *Asellus*.sp., Baetidae 02, Daphniidae 02, *Helobdella* sp. 02, *Hippeutis complanatus* and *Proasellus* sp. are associated with ponds in which these environmental parameters have low values.

The parsimonious model with PA contained five explanatory variables: pharmaceutical concentration in sediment, the first and second PCA axes of sediment TE (hereafter TE1 and TE2), water conductivity and TN concentration in water (Table 3). This model is also highly significant (Df=5, F-value = 1.7865, p=0.001) with VIF below 1.5 and only the first canonical axis is significant (Figure 5). The concentration of sediment pharmaceuticals is associated with high TE concentrations (TE2). With this parsimonious RDA, ponds C, G and, to a lesser extent, E are associated with high TE2 and sediment pharmaceutical concentrations, whereas pond J and, for one field campaign, ponds A, I, K and L are associated with high TE1, TN and conductivity. Morphotaxa associated with sediment pharmaceuticals and TE are common to the previous analysis with morphotaxa abundances (*C. dipterum* and *V. macrostoma*). Similarly, Ceratopogoninae 02, *Chaoborus* sp. 01, *Chaoborus* sp. 02, *Chironomus* sp. 02 and *P. antipodarum* are associated with water parameters (i.e., high conductivity and TN concentration). Anophelinae 01 and *P. minutissima* are associated with high TE concentrations and TN concentrations. Associated with ponds A, D

and H, and for some field campaigns, ponds E, C and K, the analysis shows a group of morphotaxa including *Asellus*.sp, Baetidae 02, Daphniidae 02, *Helobdella* sp. 02, Hesperocorixa 03, *Hippeutis complanatus* and *Proasellus* sp.

Figure 5 - Parsimonious redundancy analysis (RDA) based on the abundances (a, b) and presence-absence (c, d) of morphotaxa. The biplots show the variables (blue arrows) with either the morphotaxa (numbers, panels a and c) or the ponds (letters, panels b and d). The number of morphotaxa is shown in brackets: Anophelinae 01 (17); *Asellus* sp., 20 (19); Baetidae 02 (19); Ceratopogoninae 02 (31); *Chaoborus* sp. 01 (35); *Chaoborus* sp. 02 (36); Chironomini 04 (52); *Chironomus* sp. 01 (53); *Chironomus* sp. 02 (54); Clitellata 01 (59); *Cloeon dipterum* (60); Daphniidae 02 (90); *Dugesia* sp. 2 (98); *Helobdella* sp. 02 (134); Hesperocorixa 03 (142); *Hippeutis complanatus* (144); Orthocladiinae 03 (194); *Physella acuta* (197); *Plea minutissima* (202); *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* (211); *Proasellus* sp. (212); Tanytarsini 01 (243); and *Valvata macrostoma* (247).



Discussion

Morphotaxa distribution in the ponds and effects of land use

We changed our experimental design for macroinvertebrate sampling between the 2 years of the study. The results show an effect on morphotaxa richness with greater richness in the first year compared with autumn in the second year and a weak effect on the temporal biodiversity index but not on the other tested parameters. We conclude that the change in our protocol did not strongly affect our results.

Among the 247 macroinvertebrate morphotaxa identified, we did not find endangered, vulnerable or even rare species. However, we observed exotic species: the molluscs *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* and *Physella acuta* and the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* listed as an invasive alien species in the European Union (European Union, 2016). Molluscs and crustaceans are the most frequent freshwater macroinvertebrate invaders (Oertli and Parris, 2019; Patoka et al., 2017). The pet trade is one of the main introduction pathways, and both mollusc species can "hitchhike" on intended shipments (Patoka et al., 2017). One individual *P. clarkii* was found in pond F in C3. Pond F also hosted the two exotic molluscs, and pond J hosted *P. antipodarum*. We should stress here that pond F, though in a forested area, is located on the Paris-Saclay university campus with heavily frequented paths in close vicinity. The campus is open to the public, which may favour the dissemination of invasive species.

Overall, our results show that the ponds distributed along an urbanisation gradient are quite dissimilar, as beta diversity relies mostly on morphotaxa turnover with a comparable morphotaxa diversity and a stable temporal community composition. No pond stands out consistently across the four sampling campaigns in terms of the morphotaxa contribution to regional diversity except for pond I. The LCBD indicates the uniqueness of communities either because they are rich and host typical species or because they are degraded with a limited number of common species (Legendre, 2014; Legendre and De Caceres, 2013). Here, the uniqueness highlighted by the significant LCBD points to degraded ponds. For instance, pond I has a low diversity, and its most striking feature is the absence of Baetidae in C4, whereas the mean abundance in other ponds was 81.2 individuals (± 47 SD). The lists of morphotaxa contributing the most to regional diversity support this idea, as they encompass common morphotaxa, some of which are characteristic of degraded communities such as Chironomidae, *Chaoborus* sp., *C. dipterum*, and so on. For instance, in C2, pond C was characterised by high abundances of *Chaoborus* sp. 01, *C. dipterum*, *Corixa* sp. Daphniidae and *V. macrostoma*, and pond E by *C. dipterum*, Orthocladiinae and Tanytarsini 01. Pond L in C3 had a low diversity and was dominated by *Notonecta* sp. 01. The uniqueness of a community as shown by a high LCBD may also indicate the presence of invasive species (Legendre, 2014). However, the two ponds hosting exotic species as well as an invasive species had no significant LCBD values.

We initially hypothesised that local macroinvertebrate diversity is higher in ponds located in rural areas than in those located in agricultural or urban areas. This hypothesis is supported by different studies (Blicharska et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2013; Noble and Hassall, 2015; Thornhill et al., 2017). However, our results do not support this hypothesis, as we showed that alpha and beta diversity are independent of land use. Our results likewise do not support the second hypothesis regarding rare and pollutant-sensitive morphotaxa, as we do not observe any of them. On the contrary, we found invasive and exotic species. LCBD values could help to identify these ponds (Legendre, 2014), although they only identified pond J, probably because of the very high density of *P. Antipodarum*.

Effects of environmental parameters on macroinvertebrate assemblages

Our results showed that among the numerous environmental parameters and pollutants measured in water and sediment, very few are critical for macroinvertebrate assemblages in ponds. The concentration of pharmaceuticals and TE in sediment and water conductivity are the most structuring parameters, as they are significant in both analyses based on the abundances and PA. Other parameters include the concentration of fungicides in sediment as well as insecticides, TN concentrations and COD in water. Although Nélieu et al. (2020) highlighted the high environmental risks due to water column pesticide concentrations in several ponds, pesticides other than insecticides do not seem to be critical factors to explain the macroinvertebrate assemblages observed here. In contrast to sediment pollution, pollutants measured in the water column provide a snapshot into water quality; sediment pollution is relatively stable over time, and the measurements are more reliable as an indicator of pollution level (Casey et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2019). Conductivity is a general indicator of the presence of many ions in the solution, which is

consistent with urban pollution associated with de-icing salts and TE (Brand et al., 2010; Oertli and Parris, 2019; Wu et al., 2020). Surprisingly, conductivity is not associated with TE concentrations in our study, suggesting that these two factors do not filter morphotaxa in the same way in different ponds. Conductivity is associated with forest pond J and sometimes with A in addition to the two *Chaoborus* species, a *Chironomus* sp., *Proasellus* sp., Ceratopogoninae and *P. acutella*. Though in a rural area, pond J is bordered by a road, while we found hunting cartridges in and around pond A, which may explain the high conductivity and morphotaxa assemblages dominated by Diptera in these ponds.

Based on morphotaxa abundances, we found two groups of parameters, COD and TE1, in water on the one hand, and fungicide and pharmaceuticals in sediment with dissolved insecticides on the other, generally in the same ponds but at different sampling campaigns. These ponds include G, C, B and E. Ponds B, C and E are located in an agricultural area, ponds C and G are near a farm and pond G is near a medical centre. The Chironomidae Orthocladiinae and Tanytarsini, the mayfly *C. dipterum*, the mollusc *V. macrostoma* and the Annelid *Clitellata* sp. characterise the assemblages found in these ponds. These morphotaxa, in particular Chironomidae and Annelids, are typical of aquatic systems embedded in a degraded environment (Hill and Wood, 2014; Mackintosh et al., 2015; Wood et al., 2001)

When considering the PA of morphotaxa, conductivity is associated with water TN concentration as well as ponds B, E and I. Ponds B and E, both located in agricultural areas, have eutrophic water characterised by high COD and TN concentrations (Nélieu et al., 2020).

Compared with the analysis based on abundances, the same ponds are characterised by high concentrations of pollutants (i.e., ponds C and G). Another set of ponds is associated with an eutrophic environment (high TN concentration) with high conductivity and major ion concentrations. This set includes pond J and, to a lesser extent, ponds A, K and L, characterised by the presence of the dipterans Ceratopogoninae, *Chaoborus* sp. and *Chironomus* sp., the Heteroptera *P. minutissima* and the Crustacea *Proasselus* sp. These ponds are mostly surrounded by grasslands and forest, with pond K being the most urbanised pond with nearby dwellings. This points to diffuse pollution associated with road traffic and occasional human activities. In both analyses, the ubiquitous *C. dipterum* is distinguished by its association with high dissolved ion concentrations and, to a lesser extent, by pharmaceuticals in sediment.

Legendre (2014) recommends using PA dissimilarity coefficients when community assemblages are characterised by a high turnover and quantitative indices when the assemblages differ in terms of abundances rather than species diversity. In our study, the high turnover in macroinvertebrate assemblages favours an analysis based on PA, thus concluding the RDA with the least factors: pharmaceuticals and TE in sediment and conductivity and TN concentration in water. Our third hypothesis is thus partially validated, as contaminants allow us to discriminate several ponds characterised by certain morphotaxa.

Characteristics of the peri-urban environment

The absence of a relationship between land use and morphotaxa diversity suggests that the presence of roads, buildings, or impervious surfaces in close vicinity to the ponds is not a critical parameter to explain the observed patterns of morphotaxa diversity at the regional scale. Instead, traces of particular activities influence morphotaxa diversity. For instance, pond A is located in a forest, which explains the hunting cartridges found in and around the pond. We did not find a high level of contaminants in this pond, although its high conductivity may be due to the cartridges. Situated between a forest and fields, pond L is not far from residential buildings; people walk to this pond and brush their dogs there (we found a bristle of hairs), also allowing them to swim in the water. In this pond, Nélieu and al. (2020) found high imidacloprid concentrations, which a veterinary pharmaceutical used to treat dog fleas and ticks. Ponds B and C are both located near farms. We observed that the farmer washed his tractor and equipment in one pond, with the wastewater running off into the pond. Pond G is located near a farm and medical centre where carbamazepine, a human anti-epileptic, is used. Pharmaceuticals are markers of human activities, and carbamazepine, which is resistant to biodegradation, is only used by humans (Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2009). These observations illustrate the multi-functionality of peri-urban areas with a mixture of different users (Friedmann, 2016; Zoomers et al., 2017) at the local scale. Peri-urban ponds combine contaminants typical of rural and urban environments, that is, a runoff of excess nitrogen (and phosphorus) and an influx of heavy metals and salt from road applications (Wanek et al., 2021). To this list, we may add pesticides and pharmaceuticals.

In their review, Oertli and Parris (2019) showed the diversity of criteria used to quantify the urbanisation of a site (presence of buildings, roads, etc.). We suggest here that local and recurrent human actions may blur these categories, particularly in semi-urban areas. Though embedded in a semi-natural matrix, peri-urban ponds are easily reached and may encounter small, chronic and various perturbations. Several authors have advocated for well-managed urban ponds to provide high-quality habitats and support greater biodiversity (Oertli and Parris, 2019; Perron et al., 2021). Peri-urban areas tend to be well described, and their potential contribution to sustainable development thus becomes more evident (Wandl and Magoni, 2017). As the water quality of peri-urban ponds tends to be more similar to urban ponds than rural ones (Wanek et al., 2021), efforts should be made to manage these systems, especially since they connect rural and urban systems in the blue grid. The use of the LCBD is an interesting approach to identify the ponds to restore (Legendre, 2014).

Conclusion

Our study of macroinvertebrates and water and sediment contaminants in 12 peri-urban ponds over 2 consecutive years reveals a high morphotaxa turnover with the absence of rare and pollutant-sensitive morphotaxa. The macroinvertebrate assemblages were relatively stable, and those contributing the most to regional biodiversity are typical of degraded ponds. The pollutants best describing macroinvertebrate PA in assemblages are pharmaceuticals and TE in sediment and conductivity and dissolved TN concentration in water. Although an environmental risk due to water column pesticides could be estimated, this factor is not structuring for macroinvertebrate community. Peri-urban areas are characterised by multi-functionality with a mixture of different uses and users. Ponds located in these environments are exposed to various human activities, leading to small, chronic and diverse contaminations that affect macroinvertebrate abundance and community structure.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sébastien Breuil and Amélie Trouvé (Ecosys Versailles) for their help in sampling and packaging during all the campaigns and for DOC analysis, Nathalie Bernet (Ecosys Thiverval-Grignon) for PAH analysis, and Philippe Beguinel and Henri Roche (CEA) for their advice in carrying out the project and their participation in the trace analyses. We thank Yves Levi for constructive discussions and his advices.

Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

All the data and scripts are available online: https://doi.org/10.48579/PRO/TX0PU9.
Supplementary information is available online: https://doi.org/10.48579/PRO/TX0PU9.
(Not accessible during the peer-review process)

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to the content of the article. The authors declare the following non-financial conflict of interest: Isabelle Lamy is a recommender of PCI Ecotoxicology.

Funding

This work was supported by the Region Ile-de-France (PSDR 4 IDF program, Project ID “Dynamiques”) and the labex BASC.

References

Andreu V, Gimeno-Garcia E, Pascual JA, Vazquez-Roig P, Pico Y. Presence of pharmaceuticals and heavy metals in the waters of a Mediterranean coastal wetland: Potential interactions and the influence of the environment. Science of the Total Environment 2016; 540: 278-286.

Bameul F. Les Gyrinus de la Faune de france (Col. Gyrinidae) (Première partie). 1985: 191-199.
Baselga A, Orme D, Villeger S, De Bortoli J, Leprieur F, Logez M. Betapart: Particitioning Beta Diversity Into Turnover and Nestedness components. 2020.
Berger E, Haase P, Schaefer RB, Sundermann A. Towards stressor-specific macroinvertebrate indices: Which traits and taxonomic groups are associated with vulnerable and tolerant taxa? Science of the Total Environment 2018; 619: 144-154.
Biggs J, von Fumetti S, Kelly-Quinn M. The importance of small waterbodies for biodiversity and ecosystem services: implications for policy makers. Hydrobiologia 2017; 793: 3-39.
Blicharska M, Andersson J, Bergsten J, Bjelke U, Hilding-Rydevik T, Thomsson M, et al. Is there a relationship between socio-economic factors and biodiversity in urban ponds? A study in the city of Stockholm. Urban Ecosystems 2017; 20: 1209-1220.
Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P. Numerical ecology with R: Springer, 2011.
Brand AB, Snodgrass JW, Gallagher MT, Casey RE, Van Meter R. Lethal and Sublethal Effects of Embryonic and Larval Exposure of Hyla versicolor to Stormwater Pond Sediments. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 2010; 58: 325-331.
Casey RE, Simon JA, Atueyi S, Snodgrass JW, Karouna-Renier N, Sparling DW. Temporal trends of trace metals in sediment and invertebrates from stormwater management ponds. Water Air and Soil Pollution 2007; 178: 69-77.
Cereghino R, Biggs J, Oertli B, Declerck S. The ecology of European ponds: defining the characteristics of a neglected freshwater habitat. Hydrobiologia 2008; 597: 1-6.
Clarke A, Mac Nally R, Bond N, Lake PS. Macroinvertebrate diversity in headwater streams: a review. Freshwater Biology 2008; 53: 1707-1721.
Davies B, Biggs J, Williams P, Whitfield M, Nicolet P, Sear D, et al. Comparative biodiversity of aquatic habitats in the European agricultural landscape. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 2008; 125: 1-8.
Dray S, Bauman D, Blanchet G, Borcard D, Clappe S, Guénard G, et al. adespatial: Multivariate multiscale spatial analysis. R package version 0.3–8. https://cran.r-project.org/package=adespatial., 2019.
European Union. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1141 of 13 July 2016 adopting a list of invasive alien species of Union concern pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council. In: EU, editor. 32016R1141. C/2016/4295, 2016.
Friedmann J. The future of periurban research. Cities 2016; 53: 163-165.
Guignot F. Coléoptères Hydrocanthares. Vol 48: Faune de France, 1947.
Hansen M. The Hydrophiloidea (Coleoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Vol 18: Brill, 1987.
Hill MJ, Wood PJ. The macroinvertebrate biodiversity and conservation value of garden and field ponds along a rural-urban gradient. Fundamental and Applied Limnology 2014; 185: 107-119.
Holmen M. The Aquatic Adephaga (Coleoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark, Volume I. Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Hygrobiidae and Noteridae : Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Hygrobiidae and Noteridae. Vol 20: Brill, 1997.
Jansson A. The Corixidae (Heteroptera) of Europe and some adjacent regions. Vol 47, 1986.
Johnson PTJ, Hoverman JT, McKenzie VJ, Blaustein AR, Richgels KLD. Urbanization and wetland communities: applying metacommunity theory to understand the local and landscape effects. Journal of Applied Ecology 2013; 50: 34-42.
Kasprzyk-Hordern B, Dinsdale RM, Guwy AJ. Illicit drugs and pharmaceuticals in the environment - Forensic applications of environmental data, Part 2: Pharmaceuticals as chemical markers of faecal water contamination. Environmental Pollution 2009; 157: 1778-1786.
Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 2008; 25: 1-18.
Le Viol I, Mocq J, Julliard R, Kerbiriou C. The contribution of motorway stormwater retention ponds to the biodiversity of aquatic macroinvertebrates. Biological Conservation 2009; 142: 3163-3171.
Legendre P. Interpreting the replacement and richness difference components of beta diversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 2014; 23: 1324-1334.
Legendre P. A temporal beta-diversity index to identify sites that have changed in exceptional ways in space-time surveys. Ecology and Evolution 2019; 9: 3500-3514.
Legendre P, Condit R. Spatial and temporal analysis of beta diversity in the Barro Colorado Island forest dynamics plot, Panama. Forest Ecosystems 2019; 6.

Legendre P, De Caceres M. Beta diversity as the variance of community data: dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. Ecology Letters 2013; 16: 951-963.
Mackintosh TJ, Davis JA, Thompson RM. The influence of urbanisation on macroinvertebrate biodiversity in constructed stormwater wetlands. Science of the Total Environment 2015; 536: 527-537.
Meland S, Sun Z, Sokolova E, Rauch S, Brittain JE. A comparative study of macroinvertebrate biodiversity in highway stormwater ponds and natural ponds. Science of the Total Environment 2020; 740.
Nélieu S, Lamy I, Karolak S, Delarue G, Crouzet O, Barraud C, et al. Impact of peri-urban landscape on the organic and mineral contamination of pond waters and related risk assessment. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2020.
Noble A, Hassall C. Poor ecological quality of urban ponds in northern England: causes and consequences. Urban Ecosystems 2015; 18: 649-662.
Oertli B, Parris KM. Review: Toward management of urban ponds for freshwater biodiversity. Ecosphere 2019; 10.
Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6., 2019.
Olmi M. Fauna d'Italia, Coleoptera, Dryopidae - Elminthidae. Vol XII, 1976.
Patoka J, Kopecky O, Vrabec V, Kalous L. Aquarium molluscs as a case study in risk assessment of incidental freshwater fauna. Biological Invasions 2017; 19: 2039-2046.
Perron MAC, Richmond IC, Pick FR. Plants, water quality and land cover as drivers of Odonata assemblages in urban ponds. Science of the Total Environment 2021; 773: 12.
Poggi S, Vinatier F, Hannachi M, Sanz ES, Rudi G, Zamberletti P, et al. How can models foster the transition towards future agricultural landscapes? In: Bohan DA, Vanbergen AJ, editors. Future of Agricultural Landscapes, Pt Ii. 64. Elsevier Academic Press Inc, San Diego, 2021, pp. 305-368.
Poisson R. Hétéroptères aquatiques. Vol 61: Faune de France, 1957.
Reid AJ, Carlson AK, Creed IF, Eliason EJ, Gell PA, Johnson PTJ, et al. Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. Biological Reviews 2019; 94: 849-873.
Scheffer M, van Geest GJ, Zimmer K, Jeppesen E, Sondergaard M, Butler MG, et al. Small habitat size and isolation can promote species richness: second-order effects on biodiversity in shallow lakes and ponds. Oikos 2006; 112: 227-231.
Sumudumali RGI, Jayawardana J. A Review of Biological Monitoring of Aquatic Ecosystems Approaches: with Special Reference to Macroinvertebrates and Pesticide Pollution. Environmental Management 2021; 67: 263-276.
Sun Z, Brittain JE, Sokolova E, Thygesen H, Saltveit SJ, Rauch S, et al. Aquatic biodiversity in sedimentation ponds receiving road runoff - What are the key drivers? Science of the Total Environment 2018; 610: 1527-1535.
Sun ZH, Majaneva M, Sokolova E, Rauch S, Meland S, Ekrem T. DNA metabarcoding adds valuable information for management of biodiversity in roadside stormwater ponds. Ecology and Evolution 2019; 9: 9712-9722.
Tachet H, Richoux P, Bournaud M, Usseglio-Polatera P. Invertébrés d'eau douce, systématique, biologie, écologie: CNRS Editions, 2010.
Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. : R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020.
Theia. Pôle thématique surfaces continentales, 2017.
Thornhill I, Batty L, Death RG, Friberg NR, Ledger ME. Local and landscape scale determinants of macroinvertebrate assemblages and their conservation value in ponds across an urban land-use gradient. Biodiversity and Conservation 2017; 26: 1065-1086.
Trigal C, Garcia-Criado F, Alaez C-F. Macroinvertebrate communities of mediterranean ponds (North Iberian Plateau): importance of natural and human-induced variability. Freshwater Biology 2007; 52: 2042-2055.
Uher E, Mirande-Bret C, Gourlay-France C. Assessing the relation between anthropogenic pressure and PAH concentrations in surface water in the Seine River basin using multivariate analysis. Science of the Total Environment 2016; 557: 551-561.
Wandl A, Magoni M. Sustainable Planning of Peri-Urban Areas: Introduction to the Special Issue. Planning Practice & Research 2017; 32: 1-3.

Wanek A, Hargiss CLM, Norland J, Ellingson N. Assessment of water quality in ponds across the rural, peri-urban, and urban gradient. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 2021; 193: 11.

Williams P, Whitfield M, Biggs J, Bray S, Fox G, Nicolet P, et al. Comparative biodiversity of rivers, streams, ditches and ponds in an agricultural landscape in Southern England. Biological Conservation 2004; 115: 329-341.

Wood PJ, Greenwood MT, Barker SA, Gunn J. The effects of amenity management for angling on the conservation value of aquatic invertebrate communities in old industrial ponds. Biological Conservation 2001; 102: 17-29.

Wu TH, Zhu GW, Zhu MY, Xu H, Zhang YL, Qin BQ. Use of conductivity to indicate long-term changes in pollution processes in Lake Taihu, a large shallow lake. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 2020; 27: 21376-21385.

Zoomers A, van Noorloos F, Otsuki K, Steel G, van Westen G. The Rush for Land in an Urbanizing World: From Land Grabbing Toward Developing Safe, Resilient, and Sustainable Cities and Landscapes. World Development 2017; 92: 242-252.