A Reinterpretation of the Relationship Between Persistent and Resurgent Sodium Currents

Condensed Title: Na$^+$ currents role in repetitive neuronal firing

Samuel P. Brown[1], B.S.; Ryan J. Lawson[1], B.S.; Jonathan D. Moreno, M.D., Ph.D.[2]; Joseph L.
Ransdell[1,4], Ph.D.

[1]Department of Biology
Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056
10

11   [2]Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine
12   Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130

14   [4]Correspondence: ransdejl@miamioh.edu

16   Number of Figures: 8

17   Tables: 2

Extended Data Figures: 3

Abstract: 151 words

Introduction: 592 words

Discussion: 2206 words

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by startup funds from the Miami University College of Arts and
Sciences and by the NINDS at the National Institutes of Health: Award 1R15NS125560

Abstract

The resurgent sodium current (I_{NaR}) activates on membrane repolarization, such as during the

downstroke of neuronal action potentials. Due to its unique activation properties, I_{NaR} is thought

to drive high rates of repetitive neuronal firing. However, I_{NaR} is often studied in combination with

the persistent or non-inactivating portion of sodium currents (I_{NaP}). We used dynamic clamp to

test how I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} individually affect repetitive firing in adult cerebellar Purkinje neurons from

male and female mice. We learned I_{NaR} does not scale repetitive firing rates due to its rapid

decay at subthreshold voltages, and that subthreshold I_{NaP} is critical in regulating neuronal firing

rate. Adjustments to the Nav conductance model used in these studies revealed I_{NaP} and I_{NaR}

can be inversely scaled by adjusting occupancy in the slow inactivated kinetic state. Together

with additional dynamic clamp experiments, these data suggest the regulation of sodium

channel slow inactivation can fine-tune I_{NaP} and Purkinje neuron repetitive firing rates.

Significance Statement

48   Across neuronal cell types, the resurgent sodium current (I_{NaR}) is often implicated in driving high

49   rates of repetitive firing. Using dynamic clamp, we determined I_{NaR} is ineffective at driving

50   subsequent action potentials, and that the subthreshold persistent sodium current (I_{NaP}) is the

51   critical parameter for scaling repetitive firing rates. We propose I_{NaR} measured in native neurons

52   may reflect a mechanism by which the magnitude of I_{NaP} is fine-tuned.

Introduction

Purkinje neurons are the exclusive output cells of the cerebellar cortex, delivering GABA-mediated inhibitory signals to neurons in the deep cerebellar nuclei (Ito, 1984; Ito et al., 1964; Obata et al., 1967, 1970). In the absence of synaptic drive, Purkinje neurons have a unique capacity to fire action potentials repetitively at high frequencies (Häusser & Clark, 1997; Raman & Bean, 1997; Thach, 1968), properties which rely on voltage-gated sodium (Nav) channels and the depolarizing currents these channels mediate (Llinás & Sugimori, 1980; Raman & Bean, 1999; Ransdell & Nerbonne, 2018). Beyond the fast inactivating transient sodium current (I_{NaT}), Nav channels can generate a persistent sodium current (I_{NaP}) component, which was first measured and described in cerebellar Purkinje neurons (Llinás & Sugimori, 1980). Nav channels may also generate a resurgent Nav current (I_{NaR}) component, also first characterized in cerebellar Purkinje neurons, which has now been measured in over twenty neuronal cell types throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems (Lewis & Raman, 2014; Raman & Bean, 1997). I_{NaR} is distinct from the transient and persistent Nav currents in that it activates on membrane repolarization and involves the recovery of fast inactivated Nav channels into an open/conducting state (see Figure 1A). In the context of neuronal firing, this type of repolarization would occur during the downstroke of the action potential.

On its discovery, I_{NaR} was proposed to support high rates of neuronal firing by reducing the Nav channel refractory period (Khaliq et al., 2003; Raman & Bean, 1997, 1999), and this assumption continues to be widely accepted (Quattrocolo et al., 2021; Raman, 2023). During an action potential repolarization, if a subset of Nav channels recover into the open state allowing resurgent Na^+ influx, the depolarizing current should drive subsequent action potentials. After its discovery, perturbations to I_{NaR} were also associated with changes in repetitive firing (Khaliq et al., 2003; Lewis & Raman, 2014; Raman et al., 1997; Ransdell et al., 2017). For instance, targeted knockout of Navβ4, a sodium channel accessory subunit, was found to result in

significantly reduced I_{NaR} in mouse Purkinje neurons (Ransdell et al., 2017) as well as striatal

medium spiny neurons (Miyazaki et al., 2014). The loss of Navβ4 was additionally associated

with reduced repetitive firing rates compared to wild type controls in these cell types (Miyazaki

et al., 2014; Ransdell et al., 2017, 2022). The isolated contribution of I_{NaR} to neuronal repetitive

firing, however, has not been directly tested in native neurons.

We use dynamic clamp and multiple (10) Nav conductance models to systematically assess

how adjusting properties of I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} affect the firing properties of mouse cerebellar Purkinje

neurons. We learned that scaling the proportion and/or kinetic properties of I_{NaR} does not

significantly affect Purkinje neuron firing frequency, nor does the addition of I_{NaR} meaningfully

impact the action potential waveform in Purkinje neurons. Using voltage-clamp simulation

studies, we determined I_{NaR} does not influence repetitive firing rates due to its rapid decay at

subthreshold voltages. Subthreshold I_{NaP}, however, was found to be a critical parameter in

tuning Purkinje neuron repetitive firing rates. In examining the Nav Markov models, we

determined peak I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} can be inversely scaled by adjusting occupancy in the slow

inactivated kinetic state, an inactivation pathway which is distinct from the conventional fast

inactivation pathway. Combined, these data suggest I_{NaR} may reflect a population of Nav

channels which would, in the absence of slow inactivation, contribute to I_{NaP}; suggesting Nav

channel slow inactivation may function to fine tune the amount of Nav channels that contribute

to I_{NaP} in order to regulate repetitive firing rates.

Methods

Animals

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by Miami

University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. All experiments

utilized male and female C57BL/6J wild type mice 4-8 weeks old.

Preparation of acute cerebellar slices

Mice were anaesthetized using an intraperitoneal injection of 1 mL/kg ketamine (10 mg/mL)/xylazine (0.25 mg/mL) cocktail and perfused transcardially with 25 mL cutting solution containing in mM: 240 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, and 7 $MgCl_2$. The brain was rapidly dissected, superglued to a specimen tube, and submerged in warmed agarose dissolved in cutting solution. The cerebellum was sliced sagittally in ice-cold cutting solution saturated with 95% O_2/5% CO_2 using a Compresstome VF-300 (Precisionary Instruments) at 350 µm thickness. Slices were placed in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$, and 25 dextrose (pH 7.4, ~300 mOsM) on stretched nylon for 25 minutes at 33°C and then at room temperature for at least 35 minutes before electrophysiological recordings. Purkinje neurons were identified in the Purkinje neuron layer of sagittal cerebellar sections using a SliceScope Pro 3000 (Scientifica).

Electrophysiological recordings

Whole-cell current-clamp and dynamic clamp recordings were obtained from adult mouse Purkinje neurons (4-8 weeks old) in acutely isolated sagittal cerebellar slices that were constantly perfused with ACSF warmed to ~34°C and continuously bubbled with 95% O_2/5% CO_2. Recording pipettes (borosilicate standard wall, 1.5 mm outer diameter, 0.86 mm inner diameter) were made using a P-1000 Flaming/Brown Micropipette Puller (Sutter Instruments) and filled with internal solution containing in mM: 0.2 EGTA, 3 $MgCl_2$, 10 HEPES, 8 NaCl, 4 Mg-ATP, and 0.5 Na-GTP. Patch pipette resistances were 2-4 MΩ. Prior to patching, pipette tip potentials were zeroed. During current-clamp and dynamic clamp experiments, recorded voltages were corrected for a 17.5 mV liquid junction potential in real-time using SutterPatch software. After a gigaseal was obtained, spontaneous action potentials were recorded using a dPatch Amplifier and SutterPatch software (Sutter Instruments). Input resistance and

129 capacitance calculations were obtained through a whole-cell voltage-clamp protocol in which the

130 membrane voltage was stepped from a -80 mV holding potential to -90 mV (for 100 ms) and to -

131 70 mV (for 100 ms) in a second sweep. This voltage-clamp protocol was applied in each cell

132 prior to current-clamp or dynamic clamp measurements. For membrane capacitance, the

133 integrated area of the capacitive transient current was divided by the change in membrane

134 voltage (10 mV) during each sweep. Action potential properties were analyzed using

135 SutterPatch software (Sutter Instruments). Action potential events were detected if a transient

136 change in membrane potential exceeded 20 mV. Threshold potential was calculated in

137 SutterPatch as the membrane potential prior to an action potential event when the dV/dt is >1

138 mV/100 μs, or when 25% of the maximum action potential dV/dt is reached, whichever is

139 smaller. Action potential duration was calculated as the time interval from the pass of threshold

140 voltage during action potential upstroke until the pass of threshold voltage during action

141 potential downstroke. Each action potential measurement from a given cell was recorded as the

142 average of the measurement across 20 spontaneous action potentials. Dynamic clamp current

143 injection values, measured during the interspike interval (presented in Figures 5D, 6B), were

144 obtained by measuring the dynamic clamp current injection value 3 ms before the action

145 potential threshold was reached for the subsequent action potential. Action potential peak

146 values were taken at the maximum voltage of the action potential being analyzed. These

147 measurements were made 1 second into each dynamic clamp recording. Recordings were

148 acquired and analyzed using SutterPatch (Sutter Instruments), Microsoft Excel (Microsoft), and

149 Prism (GraphPad) software.

150 **Markov modeling**

151 A previously developed Markov kinetic state model of Nav channel gating (Ransdell et al., 2022)

152 was used as the base/control model, and is referred to as the 'nominal model'. To develop the

153 'I_{NaR} increased', 'I_{NaR} decreased', 'τdecay increased', 'τdecay decreased', 'I_{NaR} reduced', and 'I_{NaP}

reduced' models (Figures 2-4), rate constants in the nominal model were altered to cause

selective changes in Nav current properties. Each of these models consist of a nine state

Markov matrix that includes three closed states (C1, C2, C3), two inactivated-closed states (IC1,

IC2), two fast inactivated states (IF1, IF2), a slow inactivated state (IS), and an open state (O).

The hand-tuned 'I_{NaR} increased' and 'I_{NaR} decreased' models, presented in Figure 2, were

generated by altering rate constant equations (see Table 1) which resulted in voltage dependent

rate constant alterations. For instance, the b3s rate constant changed from 0.94767 to 3.76696

at -45 mV in the 'I_{NaR} increased' model and in the 'I_{NaR} decreased' model, the b2 rate constant

changed from 0.1081 to 3.884 at -45 mV. In order to generate additional models, properties of

the originally developed (nominal) model were modified by adjusting values in a parameter

optimization algorithm scripted in MATLAB (MathWorks) and previously described in (Moreno et

al., 2016; Ransdell et al., 2022). The rate constant values for the nominal and each manipulated

model are described in Table 1. To generate the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model (Figure 4), state transition

rate calculations a3s, b3s, a2s, and b2s were removed from the Q_Matrix.m script prior to

parameter optimization. To generate the 'I_{NaP} reduced' model (Figure 4), the variable

(ResT_line) within the Na_Matrix_DrugFree.m script was changed from 0.18678*V + 22.92 to

0.18678*V + 38.00. Additionally, in line 113 the Err_Late minimization variable was multiplied by

200. To generate the 'τ_{decay} decreased' model, the ResT_line variable was changed to

0.18678*V + 38.00. Both Err_ACT and Err_SSA variables in line 113 of the error minimization

equation (part of the parameter optimization script) were also multiplied by a value of 10. To

generate the 'τ_{decay} increased' model, the ResT_line variable was changed to 0.18678*V + 15.00

and the error minimization variables Err_ACT and Err_SSA were multiplied by 90.

With the above alterations, each model was generated by running a minimization routine using

a Nelder-Mead "amoeba" algorithm-based optimization procedure (Lagarias et al., 1998;

Moreno et al., 2016; Nelder & Mead, 1965) to determine the final transition rate constants

associated with each manipulated model (presented in Table 1). All models were simulated

using a sodium reversal potential of 71.5 mV. All kinetic state occupancy simulations and

optimizations were completed in MATLAB 2022a. To verify the Nav currents generated by each

model (I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP}) had appropriate voltage-dependent and kinetic properties, currents

from each model were evoked and measured using steady-state voltage-clamp protocols.

Voltage-clamp protocols were applied to an RC circuit (mimicking a passive cell membrane),

which was attached to the head-stage while a simulated model conductance was applied via

SutterPatch dynamic clamp software. The voltage dependance of activation of I_{NaT} and I_{NaP}

were obtained by stepping the model cell (from a -90 mV holding potential) to -70 mV – 0 mV in

5 mV increments for 80 ms. I_{NaR} voltage-dependence of activation was obtained by stepping the

model cell from a holding potential of -90 mV to 0 mV for 5 ms, and subsequently applying a

repolarizing step to -70 mV to 0 mV (in 5 mV increments) for 80 ms. Prior to analysis of I_{NaT} or

I_{NaR}, I_{NaP} was digitally subtracted. MATLAB scripts used for the optimization procedure and the

nominal   Markov   state   matrix   are   available   on   GitHub   at

https://github.com/morenomdphd/Resurgent_INa. Net charge for Markov model generated

currents was measured at steady-state voltage steps by integrating the area under the curve for

I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP}. I_{NaP} was subtracted prior to net charge calculations of I_{NaT} and I_{NaR}. Net I_{NaT}

charge was calculated from the beginning of the depolarizing pulse until full decay of the current

transient. Net I_{NaR} charge was measured from the beginning of the repolarizing voltage step

(after the 5 ms depolarizing prepulse) until 4 * τ (time constant of I_{NaR} decay). Net I_{NaP} charge

was also measured during these repolarizing voltage steps across a fixed 10 ms duration,

beginning at 4 * τ (time constant of I_{NaR} decay).

Action potential clamp gating simulations

To visualize gating state probability over time with an action potential as the voltage command,

the MATLAB script Fig_4H_4I.m was adjusted (Ransdell et al., 2022). Prior to plotting, the

parameter optimization procedure (see previous section) was run to populate the MATLAB

workspace with the required variables. An action potential recording, captured from an adult

mouse Purkinje neuron firing spontaneous action potentials (at 60.8 Hz) was exported to a

Microsoft Excel spreadsheet that contained the time and voltage values of the action potential

record. The AP-clamp script was utilized as follows: The script loaded the excel file, which was

iterated through the Q_Matrix.m script using the voltage values as the voltage command and

the time values as the length of the protocol. Through this script, the values for each gating

state as well as I_{Na} and the voltage command were written to the NASIM matrix and then were

plotted over time.

Hodgkin-Huxley modeling

A previously developed Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) model of I_{NaP} in Golgi cells was adopted for

dynamic clamp experiments (Solinas et al., 2007) and is available on SenseLab ModelDB (ID:

112685). The conductance value of 3.4 nS was selected for this model because peak HH-

generated I_{NaP} values were similar to the peak I_{NaP} from nominal model-generated values at the

400 nS conductance used in other dynamic clamp experiments. The alpha and beta equations

for the HH I_{NaP} model are presented below.

α	β
5/((0.0421*(V+50)/(1-exp(-1*(V+50)/5)))+(-0.287*(V+50)/(1-exp((V+50)/5))))	1/(1+exp(-1*(V+53)/5))

Dynamic clamp

Model conductances were applied to whole-cell-patched adult mouse Purkinje neurons through

SutterPatch software and a Sutter dPatch amplifier. Markov model conductances were applied

in dynamic clamp experiments using a sodium reversal potential of 71.5 mV and a conductance

value of 400 nS. The Hodgkin Huxley model, described above, was applied using the same

reversal potential and a 3.4 nS conductance. In all dynamic clamp experiments, a model

conductance was only applied after a gap-free current-clamp recording (10 second duration) of

action potential firing (with dynamic clamp turned off). These intermittent recordings (between

applications of model Nav conductances) served as control measurements of Purkinje neuron

firing rate without the addition of a model Nav conductance. In all cells included in these

analyses, baseline repetitive firing frequencies, with no dynamic clamp current injection, did not

vary by more than 10% throughout the duration of the experiment.

Results

Dynamic clamp addition of a modeled Nav conductance increases the spontaneous firing

frequency of adult cerebellar Purkinje neurons

Purkinje neuron voltage-gated sodium (Nav) currents include fast-transient (I_{NaT}), persistent

(I_{NaP}), and resurgent (I_{NaR}) components, which can be isolated and measured using steady state

voltage-clamp steps. Voltage-clamp records presented in Figure 1A (*middle traces*) were

acquired from an acutely isolated Purkinje neuron (P16) and reflect the I_{NaT} and I_{NaR}

components. A brief depolarizing voltage step to 0 mV (from a -90 mV holding potential) activate

Nav channels, the majority of which quickly inactivate resulting in the transient Nav current

(I_{NaT}), and a repolarization voltage step to more negative potentials enable a portion of the fast

inactivated Nav channels to recovery into an open/conducting state, which results in 'resurgent'

sodium influx (I_{NaR}). I_{NaR} (at fixed voltages) decays much slower than I_{NaT}. At voltages that

enable peak I_{NaR} activation (typically around -45 mV), the time constant of I_{NaR} decay is ~12 ms,

and at more hyperpolarized voltages, the time constant of I_{NaR} decay falls to 2-3 ms (Raman &

Bean, 1997; Zemel et al., 2021). I_{NaR} decay eventually results in a steady-state depolarizing Nav

current in which a population of Nav channels remain in the open/conducting state. This

remaining persistent current component reflects I_{NaP}. I_{NaR} was first measured in cerebellar

Purkinje neurons (Raman & Bean, 1997) and because I_{NaR} is a depolarizing current activated by

membrane repolarization, such as the downstroke of an action potential, this depolarizing Nav

current is hypothesized to be associated with supporting high rates of repetitive firing. To test

this role directly, we used a Markov kinetic state model (depicted in Figure 1B), which accurately

reproduces the voltage and time dependent properties of Purkinje neuron Nav currents

(Ransdell et al., 2022), to test directly how I_{NaR} properties affect Purkinje neuron repetitive firing.

Development of the Nav conductance model is published in Ransdell et al. (2022) and is based

on Nav current properties recorded from C57BL/6 mouse Purkinje neurons. The kinetic state

Markov model has a single open/conducting state along with two parallel inactivation pathways

which are separated as fast (IF1+IF2) and slow (IS) inactivation kinetic states.

To test and verify the dynamic clamp configuration (depicted in Figure 1C), we used SutterPatch

dynamic clamp software to apply the model Nav conductance to a passive model cell (Sutter

Instruments). Voltage-clamp commands were applied to the model cell with the Markov model

conductance added using dynamic clamp software, and the model-generated currents were

recorded. Nav currents generated by this conductance model have similar voltage-dependent

and kinetic properties as those measured in mouse Purkinje neurons (Ransdell et al., 2022).

Figure 1A (bottom) current traces show the performance of the Nav conductance model under

an identical voltage command. The nominal conductance model produces $I_{NaT,}$ I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP}

current components. We utilized this model throughout our dynamic clamp experiments as a

baseline addition of Nav conductance and refer to it as the 'nominal' model throughout the rest

of this manuscript. The nominal model conductance was applied to adult (4-7-week-old)

Purkinje neurons in acute sagittal brain slices at physiological temperatures. The nominal model

applied at 400 nS (see Methods) significantly (P < 0.0001, paired Student's t-test, n = 30)

increased the spontaneous firing frequency of Purkinje neurons, compared to spontaneous

firing without dynamic clamp (Figure 1E). Addition of the nominal model conductance also

resulted in significant (P < 0.001, RM one-way ANOVA, n = 24) increases in evoked firing

frequency during depolarizing current injections (see extended data Figure 1-1).

Adjusting peak I_{NaR} does not scale the repetitive firing frequency of Purkinje neurons

We developed and tested Nav conductance models that have varying levels of peak I_{NaR}. To

alter peak I_{NaR}, individual rate constants in the nominal Markov model (presented in Figure 1)

were adjusted. By increasing the rate constant b2, which is responsible for transiting channel

occupancy from the fast inactivated state into the open state, peak I_{NaR} was increased to a peak

value of 1.38 nA (measured at -45 mV), compared to 680 pA in the nominal model (see Figure

2A, B, *green*). Alternatively, by increasing the b3s rate constant, which is responsible for

transiting channel occupancy from the closed state into the slow inactivated state, peak I_{NaR} was

reduced to 350 pA (measured at -45 mV) (Figure 2A, B, *red*). Voltage-clamp records of Nav

currents produced by these models, along with peak I_{NaR} I-V plots for these models are shown in

Figures 2B, and C. To test how scaling I_{NaR}, using these new models, affects the repetitive firing

properties of Purkinje neurons, we added the model variants to Purkinje neurons using dynamic

clamp. The nominal model, along with the 'I_{NaR} decreased' (*red*) and 'I_{NaR} increased' (*green*)

models were applied to the same Purkinje neurons using 400 nS conductance for each model.

Consistent with the hypothesis that I_{NaR} supports high rates of repetitive firing, we found adding

the 'I_{NaR} decreased' model conductance resulted in significantly reduced repetitive firing

frequencies compared to addition of the nominal model conductance (Figure 2D, *left*). However,

when the 'I_{NaR} increased' conductance model was added, there was no significant change in the

repetitive firing rates compared to addition of the nominal model conductance (Figure 2D, *right*).

The 'I_{NaR} increased' conductance model has a peak I_{NaR} value that is 2.3 times larger than the

nominal model (see Figure 2C). We reasoned that additional Nav current properties, other than

peak I_{NaR}, were likely altered in creating the 'I_{NaR} increased' and 'I_{NaR} decreased' models, which

may have influenced the effects of each model conductance on repetitive firing frequencies.

Analysis of the voltage-clamp simulation traces revealed the time constant of I_{NaR} decay was

reduced in both the 'I_{NaR} decreased' and 'I_{NaR} increased' models, compared to the nominal

model. Additionally, we noted I_{NaP} was much smaller in the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model compared to the

nominal model. Measures of these Nav current components are presented under the I-V plot in

Figure 2C.

308   Purkinje neuron repetitive firing rates are not affected by adjusting the time constant of

309 I_{NaR} decay

Because altering a single rate constant was found to alter multiple Nav conductance

parameters, notably the tau of I_{NaR} decay and the proportion of I_{NaP}, we utilized a numerical

optimization procedure (see schematic in Fig. 3A and description in Methods) to develop

additional Nav conductance Markov models that exhibit more targeted changes to the nominal

model properties, while working to conserve other Nav conductance parameters in the nominal

model. Our first goal was to test if the rate of I_{NaR} decay influences how a Nav conductance

affects repetitive firing. To develop models with either a longer or shorter I_{NaR} decay, compared

to the nominal model, we adjusted the constraint for the decay of I_{NaR} before applying the rate

constant optimization (amoeba method) procedure (Figure 3A). This strategy allowed us to

develop two new conductance models that, compared to the nominal model in Figure 3B-C,

have a tau of I_{NaR} decay that is either longer ('τdecay increased', *blue*) or shorter ('τdecay

decreased', *green*). The time constant of I_{NaR} decay is plotted against voltage for each model in

Figure 3B. While these models have different peak I_{NaT}, I_{NaP}, and I_{NaR} values (using a 400 nS

conductance) than the nominal model (see Figures 3C1-C3), the values of these current

components were similar to one another. This enabled us to test the effect of altered I_{NaR} decay

rates directly using dynamic clamp experiments.

When the two newly developed models, 'τdecay increased' and 'τdecay decreased' were added

at 400 nS to adult Purkinje neurons, both models were found to cause significant increases in

repetitive firing, compared to no model. However, the increased repetitive firing rates (under

both models) were not significantly different from one another (Figure 3D, E), indicating that

while adding the modeled Nav conductance increases repetitive firing rates, applying substantial

changes to the tau of I_{NaR} decay does not affect these firing rates (see Figure 3D). Both of the

new models resulted in significantly lower firing rates than the nominal model (Figure 3E),

however, we also noted that both the 'τdecay increased' and 'τdecay decreased' models

generate lower peak I_{NaP} values than the nominal model (Figure 3C2).

Peak I_{NaP}, not peak I_{NaR}, is critical in scaling Purkinje neuron repetitive firing rates.

We hypothesized that I_{NaP} may be the critical parameter for scaling Purkinje neuron repetitive

firing rates. To test this hypothesis, we again used the constrained numerical optimization

method, (presented in Figure 3A) to develop a Nav conductance with reduced I_{NaP}. This model

is referred to as the 'I_{NaP} reduced' model. Even utilizing numerical optimization methods, it was

difficult to develop the 'I_{NaP} reduced' model without also significantly reducing peak I_{NaR} values

(found in the nominal model, see Figure 4A1, *red* and *black*). For this reason, we developed an

additional Nav model which has similar levels of I_{NaP} and I_{NaT} as the nominal model (Figure 4A2,

4A3, *orange*), but that also has a reduced peak I_{NaR} compared to the nominal model (Figure

4A1, *orange*). We refer to this model as 'I_{NaR} reduced'. Dynamic clamp-mediated addition of

these models could then be used to compare the effects of reduced I_{NaP} or reduced I_{NaR} on

Purkinje neuron firing. Results from these dynamic clamp studies, presented in Figure 4B, C,

reveal addition of the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model has no effect on repetitive firing rate compared to the

nominal model (Figure 4C, *left*) and addition of the 'I$_{NaP}$ reduced' model results in significantly (P

< 0.0001) lower repetitive firing rates than addition of the nominal model (Figure 4C, *right*).

Applying or subtracting I$_{NaP}$ conductance alone scales repetitive firing

The nominal model, and subsequent iterations of the Nav conductance models developed in

Figures 3 and 4, have a persistent component that activates maximally at around -60 mV (see

Figures 3C2, 4A2). However, I$_{NaP}$ measured in Purkinje neurons typically activates at more

depolarized voltages, with peak persistent currents evoked at around -40 mV (Ransdell et al.,

2017). To test how this shift in the voltage-dependence of I$_{NaP}$ activation affects the contribution

of I$_{NaP}$ to repetitive firing in Purkinje neurons, and to also examine how sole application of I$_{NaP}$

affects repetitive firing in Purkinje neurons, we utilized an I$_{NaP}$ Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) model

(previously published in Solinas et al., 2007) for dynamic clamp experiments. We tested the I$_{NaP}$

HH model using voltage-clamp simulations and adjusted the conductance of the model to match

the peak I$_{NaP}$ values measured from the nominal model (see Figure 5A, B). The HH I$_{NaP}$

conductance model applied in dynamic clamp using 3.4 nS conductance was found to be

sufficient to increase Purkinje neuron repetitive firing frequency (Figure 5C, *left*). Additionally,

we tested if subtracting this conductance via dynamic clamp reduces repetitive firing. We found

that dynamic clamp-mediated subtraction of I$_{NaP}$ results in a significant reduction in repetitive

firing frequency (Figure 5C, *right*). As is evident in Figure 5C, the HH I$_{NaP}$ conductance, applied

across cells at either 3.4 nS or -3.4 nS, has variable effects on firing frequency. In some cells,

adding 3.4 nS I$_{NaP}$ caused only slight increases in firing frequency, while in other cells, adding

this conductance drove a nearly 3-fold increase in firing frequency.

We investigated if passive membrane properties might influence the contribution of I$_{NaP}$ to firing

frequency. No correlation was found between the input resistance of the cell or capacitance with

the increase or decrease in firing frequency after applying 3.4 nS or -3.4 nS, respectively, of the

I$_{NaP}$ conductance model (data not shown). These passive membrane properties also did not

correlate with the change in cell firing after applying the nominal model conductance (see

extended data Figure 1-2). However, dynamic clamp current injection values during the

interspike interval, measured 3 ms prior to action potential threshold (see Methods), were found

to correlate with the percent increase or decrease in firing frequency after applying the 3.4 nS

I_{NaP} model (r^2 = 0.68) or -3.4 nS I_{NaP} model (r^2 = 0.36), respectively. These data suggest the

steady-state depolarizing current provided by I_{NaP} during interspike intervals is a critical

determinant in scaling the repetitive firing rates of Purkinje neurons.

When applied using dynamic clamp, peak Nav current components (I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP}) do

not correlate with changes in the action potential waveform.

Application of the nominal model to spontaneously firing Purkinje neurons resulted in significant

alterations to the action potential duration (increased), peak (increased), amplitude (increased),

and threshold voltage (decreased) (see Table 2). The amplitude of the action potential after-

hyperpolarization and the maximum dV/dt, taken from a first derivative phase plot of the action

potential waveform, were not significantly affected by applying the nominal model conductance

(Table 2). Other Markov conductance models had varying effects on these action potential

properties. To test if the magnitude of the Nav current components (I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP}),

measured across models, scales or consistently alters a given action potential property, we

plotted the current value of I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP} from each Markov model against the mean change

in the action potential parameter after addition of the respective model. These plots are shown

in the extended data Figure supporting Table 2 (labeled as Table 2-1), and indicate the size of

these Nav current components across models do not consistently affect or scale any of the

measured parameters of the action potential waveform.

Across dynamic clamp Nav conductance models, I_{NaP} is positively correlated with

changes in repetitive firing frequency.

Using a similar approach as Figure 5D, we examined how dynamic clamp current injection

values relate to changes in repetitive firing frequency across Markov Nav conductance models.

We reasoned that during application of a model conductance, the contribution of I_{NaP} is most

localized during the interspike interval immediately preceding the subsequent action potential.

For each of the seven Markov conductance models, I_{DynC} was measured during the interspike

interval (3 ms prior to the threshold potential of the subsequent action potential), and the mean

I_{DynC} was calculated for each model. Across the seven Markov models, these mean I_{DynC} values

were plotted against the mean percent increase in repetitive firing (Figure 6B) for each model.

This plot revealed a strong positive correlation (r^2 = 0.93), suggesting the steady-state Nav

current, which occurs at subthreshold voltages, is a critical parameter for Nav conductance

mediated increases in repetitive firing frequency. In contrast, if the I_{DynC} value was measured at

the peak of the action potential waveform (see Figure 6A schematic), there was no correlation

(r^2 = 0.004) with each model's effect on repetitive firing frequency (Figure 6C).

To examine more directly how each current component affected firing, we plotted for each

model the net charge calculated from I_{NaT}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaP} records. Net charge (for each Nav

current component) was calculated from the current evoked by a single steady-state voltage-

step (see Methods). These net charge values were plotted against the mean percent increase in

repetitive firing frequency after the model was applied in dynamic clamp. These plots again

reveal a strong correlation between I_{NaP} measured at -65 mV and the change in repetitive firing

rates (Figure 6D), while I_{NaR} (Figure 6E), and I_{NaT} (Figure 6F) values were not significantly

correlated to the mean increases in repetitive firing rate. Similar plots, which compared the

mean percent change in repetitive firing rates with the measured peak I_{NaP}, I_{NaR}, and I_{NaT}, values

for each model resulted in a similar strong correlation with I_{NaP} (r^2 = .96) and weak correlations

with I_{NaR} and I_{NaT} (r^2 =.41, and .38, respectively, data not shown).

Markov model open state occupancy reveals steady-state I_{NaP} is the primary depolarizing drive of subsequent action potentials

Across models, we hoped to determine why scaling peak I_{NaR} does not affect the repetitive firing properties of Purkinje neurons. To analyze this more directly, we used voltage-clamp simulation experiments in which the voltage command consisted of two consecutive action potential waveforms previously recorded from a Purkinje neuron firing at ~60 Hz. Under this voltage-clamp paradigm, we analyzed the performance of the nominal model in addition to the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model (orange), and the 'I_{NaP} reduced model' (red) presented in Figure 4. In addition to examining the current evoked by this voltage command for each model, we measured and plotted occupancy in the open/conducting kinetic state. Time-locked traces from this examination are presented in Figure 7. In comparing the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model (*orange*) traces with the nominal (*black*) traces, there was only a slight difference in the open-state occupancy (middle traces) and evoked steady-state I_{Na} traces during the interspike interval. This is consistent with the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model having a slightly smaller I_{NaP} component than the nominal model (see Figure 4A2). In the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model's current trace and open-state occupancy traces (*orange traces*), we found no evidence of the reduced I_{NaR} component. This is likely because I_{NaR} is very small at subthreshold voltages and because the decay of I_{NaR} is relatively rapid at hyperpolarized membrane potentials. However, the 'I_{NaP} reduced' model (*red traces*) has significantly less open-state-occupancy corresponding to less steady-state evoked I_{Na} during the interspike interval, revealing why the 'I_{NaP} reduced' model, when applied using dynamic clamp, results in significantly lower firing frequencies than the nominal model and the 'I_{NaR} reduced' model (see Figure 4C).

Peak I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} can be inversely adjusted by adjusting occupancy in the slow inactivated kinetic state

In developing the various models with alterations in I_{NaP} and I_{NaR}, it became evident that

increased occupancy in the slow inactivated kinetic state, shown as IS in the Markov kinetic

state models (Figure 8A), was necessary to increase peak I_{NaR}. On reflection, this is

unsurprising because the decay of the I_{NaR} current is simulated in these Markov models by

transiting open channels into the IS state. Any simulated channels that fail to transit from the

open state into IS, which is absorbing (Ransdell et al., 2022), are available to contribute to I_{NaP}.

According to these model properties, we reasoned that we should be able to inversely scale

peak I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} by adjusting the rate constants that affect Open and IS state occupancy.

These rate constants are labeled b2s and a2s and are highlighted in panel 8A. We conducted

voltage-clamp simulation studies to test this hypothesis and indeed found that reducing the b2s

rate constant results in an increase in the proportion of I_{NaR} and reduces I_{NaP} (Figure 8B).

Alternatively, if the a2s rate constant is reduced, peak I_{NaP} is increased and I_{NaR} is diminished.

As current traces in Figure 8B traces reveal, inversely adjusting I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} in this way does

not affect recovery of fast inactivated channels into the open state, i.e., channels are still able to

recover from fast inactivation into an open/conducting state on repolarization of the membrane.

Previous dynamic clamp experiments suggest peak I_{NaR} has no significant impact on the

firing rate or action potential waveform properties of cerebellar Purkinje neurons. However, in

adjusting rate constants that affect occupancy in the slow inactivated state, we inversely scale

I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}. These data indicate the presence of I_{NaR} may reflect the degree of Nav channel

slow inactivation, which could regulate or fine-tune the population of Nav channels available to

participate in I_{NaP}. We hypothesized that adjusting slow inactivation occupancy, and increasing

or decreasing I_{NaP}, as we have done if Figure 8B, will result in increased or decreased repetitive

firing rates. To test this hypothesis, we applied the models developed in Figure 8B in dynamic

clamp experiments, using application of the nominal model in dynamic clamp as a baseline.

Indeed, as panels 8C and 8D show, adjusting the transition of open channels into the IS state

can be used to inversely scale I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}, which results in significant (compared to the

nominal model) increases or decreases in both spontaneous (Fig. 8C) and evoked (Fig. 8D)

repetitive firing frequencies. Plotting a2s (Fig. 8E) or b2s (Fig 8F) rate constants against the

resulting Nav conductance models' peak I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} further reveal this relationship. By

decreasing the a2s state transition rate, and thereby decreasing accumulation into the slow

inactivated (IS) state, peak I_{NaP} is increased while peak I_{NaR} is decreased. Alternatively, if the

b2s state transition rate is decreased, accumulation into the slow inactivated state is increased,

resulting in increased peak I_{NaR} and reduced I_{NaP}.

Discussion

We used dynamic clamp to apply several Nav conductance models (10), which have varying

resurgent (I_{NaR}) and persistent (I_{NaP}) Nav current properties, to test how these current

components contribute to the firing of adult cerebellar Purkinje neurons. Results from these

experiments indicate peak I_{NaR} does not directly contribute to the repetitive firing rates of

Purkinje neurons. Instead, the amplitude of I_{NaP}, particularly at subthreshold voltages, reliably

scales firing frequency regardless of I_{NaR} amplitude. Modeling work revealed I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} can be

inversely scaled by adjusting occupancy in the slow inactivated kinetic state, whereby slow

inactivation removes Nav channels from contributing to I_{NaP}.

I_{NaR} and excitability

In our initial experiments, we constructed two Markov kinetic state models with decreased or

increased I_{NaR} by adjusting the properties of two pairs of state transition rate constants of a

previously developed Nav conductance model (Ransdell et al., 2022). These hand-tuned Nav

models were individually added to Purkinje neurons via dynamic clamp. Surprisingly, after

adding a model Nav conductance with an increased I_{NaR} component, there were no significant

changes in firing frequency compared to the base (nominal) model (Figure 2). Additional

dynamic clamp studies utilized Nav models which differ in the peak amplitude of I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}, or

that vary in the time constant of I_{NaR} decay. These studies revealed I_{NaP} is the critical Nav

conductance parameter for tuning Purkinje neuron repetitive firing frequency, while peak I_{NaR}

has very little effect on firing frequency or the action potential waveform. Of course, this

provokes an important question— what role does I_{NaR} serve in regulating Purkinje neuron

function if it has no effect on action potential firing? We propose I_{NaR}, or more specifically the

decay of I_{NaR} (which is rapid at subthreshold voltages), may reflect the removal of non-

inactivating Nav channels into a slow inactivated kinetic state, and thus, I_{NaR} reflects a

mechanism by which Purkinje neuron I_{NaP} is regulated. Alternative and/or additional potential

contributions of I_{NaR} to Purkinje neuron excitability exist. Outside of the sustained repetitive

simple spike firing characterized here, Purkinje neurons in the acute slice preparation have

been shown to fire in trimodal patterns of activity which include trains of brief (<10 spikes) bursts

of action potentials (M. D. Womack & Khodakhah, 2004; M. Womack & Khodakhah, 2002).

Additionally, in response to excitatory drive from inferior olive climbing fibers (Eccles et al.,

1966; Fujita, 1968), Purkinje neurons intermittently fire complex spikes, which involve an initial

axonal spike followed by high frequency spikelets lasting several milliseconds (Stuart &

Häusser, 1994). The sustained depolarization necessary for both Purkinje neuron bursting and

complex spikes may rely on the contribution of $I_{NaR.}$

There is a long-standing hypothesis that I_{NaR} increases membrane excitability and scales

neuronal repetitive firing rates since its discovery by Raman and Bean in 1997. *Scn8a* mutant

mice (*Scn8a* null) have reduced I_{NaR} (by 90%) and reduced Purkinje neuron firing frequencies,

which suggests I_{NaR} contributes to repetitive action potential generation (Raman et al., 1997).

However, it was also noted that the targeted deletion of *Scn8a* results in reduced (by ~70%)

I_{NaP}, which may have caused the attenuated repetitive firing rates (see Figures 4, 8). *Scn8a* null

mice were also found to have hyperpolarized interspike voltages, which also might reflect

attenuated subthreshold I_{NaP} (Raman et al., 1997).

The decay of I_{NaR} at subthreshold voltages is rapid (~3 ms) (Raman & Bean, 1997; Zemel et al.,

2021), suggesting the majority of depolarizing Nav current during interspike intervals, and the

Nav current immediately preceding action potentials during repetitive firing, is a result of

subthreshold I_{NaP}. Subthreshold Nav current has previously been shown to play a critical role in

setting the rate of interspike interval depolarization as well as the action potential threshold

voltage in mouse Purkinje neurons as well as CA1 hippocampal neurons (Carter et al., 2012).

The Markov conductance models developed here and dynamic clamp studies presented in

Figure 3 reveal that even if the time constant of I_{NaR} decay is increased (nearly doubled at -65

mV) or decreased, compared to the nominal model (Figure 3B), the effects of I_{NaR} on repetitive

firing are similar (Figure 3E), revealing I_{NaR} does not directly influence repetitive firing frequency

even with much slower decay kinetics.

Targeted deletion of *Scn4b*, which encodes the Nav channel β4 (Navβ4) subunit, results in

reduced firing rates, as well as reduced (by ~50%) peak I_{NaR} in Purkinje neurons (Ransdell et

al., 2017). Importantly, this result was consistent with an earlier study which found the deletion

of *Scn4b* causes reduced I_{NaR} and evoked firing rates in rat striatal medium spiny neurons

(Miyazaki et al., 2014). To test if the deficits in *Scn4b*^{−/−} Purkinje neuron firing could be rescued,

Ransdell et al. (2017) applied a modeled I_{NaR} conductance via dynamic clamp to these cells and

found that firing rates of *Scn4b*^{−/−} Purkinje neurons could be rescued to wild type levels (Ransdell

et al., 2017). Importantly, however, the model Nav conductance utilized in Ransdell et al., (2017)

included a persistent Nav component and the effect of targeted *Scn4b* deletion on I_{NaP} was not

investigated. In RA projection neurons (RAPNs) of the adult zebra finch, Zemel and colleagues

present a collection of data showing that RAPN maturation includes paired increases in firing

frequency and peak I_{NaR}, which corresponded with increased levels of Navβ4 expression (Zemel

et al., 2021). In these studies, dynamic clamp was again used to test the role of I_{NaR} on RAPN

firing frequencies, however, similar to Ransdell et al. (2017), the I_{NaR} model used included a

persistent Nav current component.

Scaling I_{NaR} across conductance models did not drive consistent changes in action potential

duration (extended data Table 2-1). Previous work has shown Purkinje neurons express large

and fast activating voltage-gated potassium currents which quickly repolarize the membrane

during the action potential downstroke (Raman & Bean, 1999). These large hyperpolarizing

conductances likely shunt I_{NaR} depolarization and prevent any consistent effect of I_{NaR} on action

potential duration. This point underscores the reasonable assumption that I_{NaR} contribution to

membrane excitability will vary across neuronal cell types with unique firing and ion channel

expression properties (Lewis & Raman, 2014).

As with any dynamic clamp investigation which focuses on intact neurons, complex architecture

and distinct neuronal compartments result in testing the effects of a conductance on a non-

isopotential membrane. This may cause erroneous voltage measures, and as a result,

erroneous dynamic clamp current injections. In our studies, we patched the broad end of the

somatic Purkinje neuron membrane toward the axonal projection. Previously, it was shown

through focal TTX application to either the peri-somatic region of the cell or at the first node of

Ranvier along the axon, that action potential initiation and spontaneous firing in Purkinje

neurons relies on somatic and peri-somatic Nav channels (Khaliq & Raman, 2006), suggesting

the voltage measurements and corresponding dynamic clamp current injections in our studies

were sufficient in affecting the action potential initiation zone of Purkinje neurons. Across cells

included in the study, passive membrane properties did not correlate with the effect of the

nominal Nav conductance on repetitive firing (see extended data Figure 1-2), suggesting firing

was not impacted by variations in Purkinje neuron morphology. However, adding modeled Nav

conductance (via a current injecting electrode in dynamic clamp) at a single point in the somatic

membrane will not perfectly recapitulate the contribution of expressed Nav channels along the

axon initial segment, which drive repetitive action potentials in Purkinje neurons. The results

from the experiments performed here, however, were consistent in the indication that

subthreshold I_{NaP} is the critical Nav current component for scaling repetitive firing rates across

cells.

Persistent current and its relationship with resurgent current

Since the discovery of the persistent sodium current in Purkinje neurons (Llinás & Sugimori,

1980), I_{NaP} has been implicated in promoting repetitive and complex firing in several neuronal

cell types (Carter et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Lee & Heckman, 2001; Taddese & Bean, 2002;

Yamanishi et al., 2018). Experiments here demonstrate subthreshold I_{NaP} is critical in scaling

Purkinje neuron firing rate (Figure 6D), but also that I_{NaR} may reflect an important mechanism by

which Nav channels are removed from contributing to I_{NaP}. Increased levels of I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} have

often been identified as a result of gain-of-function mutations in Nav channel α subunits which

are associated with human diseases such as paroxysmal extreme pain disorder (PEPD), long

QT syndrome, and epilepsies (Eberhardt et al., 2014; Hargus et al., 2013; Jarecki et al., 2010;

Pan & Cummins, 2020). In addition to the increased levels of I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}, several of the cells in

these studies were found to have increased action potential firing (Estacion et al., 2008; Hargus

et al., 2013; Pan & Cummins, 2020). Mutations in Nav channel pore-forming α subunits, which

drive singular or dual changes in I_{NaR} and/or I_{NaP}, provide important clues regarding how

changes in Nav channel activation, fast inactivation, and slow inactivation processes affect

these current components, and additionally, how alterations in I_{NaR} and/or I_{NaP} affect membrane

excitability. For instance, PEPD-associated mutations in Nav1.7 typically impact the voltage-

dependence of fast inactivation resulting in enhanced I_{NaP} (Dib-Hajj et al., 2013; Eberhardt et al.,

2014). It will be interesting to examine how mutations in Nav channel pore-forming or accessory

subunits affect I_{NaR} and I_{NaP} along with Nav channel slow inactivation processes in native

neuronal cell types.

Slow inactivation and adjusting the ratio of I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}

Nav channel slow inactivation is distinct from conventional fast inactivation and occurs during

prolonged periods of Nav channel activation, generally tens to hundreds of milliseconds (Aman

& Raman, 2007; Silva, 2014). The Markov models (Ransdell et al., 2022) used here have

parallel inactivation pathways, a fast inactivation pathway and slow inactivation pathway, used

to accurately reproduce the fast inactivation kinetics of I_{NaT} and slower decay of I_{NaR} at steady-

state voltages (see Figures 1A, B). This model topography (depicted in Figure 1B) is unique in

that it does not rely on an 'open-channel block' kinetic state, which has previously been used to

model and explain the gating mechanism of I_{NaR} (Raman & Bean, 2001). In the open-channel

block hypothesis, I_{NaR} generation is thought to involve an extrinsic blocking particle that, on

membrane depolarization, competes directly with the intrinsic fast inactivation gate of Nav

channel α subunits. During membrane repolarization, the increased driving force on extracellular

sodium is thought to knock this extrinsic blocking particle out of the channel pore allowing

'resurgent' sodium influx (I_{NaR}) and an eventual accumulation of Nav channels into the

conventional fast inactivated state (reflected as I_{NaR} decay) (Aman & Raman, 2010; Lewis &

Raman, 2014; Raman & Bean, 2001). However, efforts to abolish I_{NaR} through the targeted

deletion of potential extrinsic Nav channel blocking particles have not been successful (Miyazaki

et al., 2014; Ransdell et al., 2017; White et al., 2019). Additionally, experimental analysis of how

extracellular sodium concentration, as well as the voltage and duration of the initial membrane

depolarization affect I_{NaR} have revealed inconsistencies with the open-channel block hypothesis

(Ransdell et al., 2022).

The Markov models used here preserve I_{NaR} gating in the absence of an open-channel

block kinetic state. On membrane repolarization, simulated channels in the nominal model

recover from the conventional fast inactivated state into an open state, producing I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}.

The decay of I_{NaR} during sustained depolarization is driven by an accumulation of open and fast

inactivated Nav channels into an absorbing slow inactivated kinetic state. Under this

topography, channels failing to undergo conventional fast inactivation, a process which is most

probable at around -45 mV, is responsible for both I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}. Adjusting rate constants that

determine entry into and out of the slow inactivated kinetic state readily tunes the portion of

channels contributing to I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}, which determines the model's effect on Purkinje neuron

firing (Figure 8). From these results, it seems Nav channels can be regulated in at least two

domains in order to regulate repetitive firing frequency: 1. the proportion of channels that are

activated and fail to undergo fast inactivation (I_{NaR} + I_{NaP}) and 2. the proportion of these non-

inactivating channels which undergo slow inactivation (I_{NaR}). These domains of Nav channel

regulation would regulate the level of subthreshold I_{NaP} during interspike intervals, which, as our

dynamic clamp studies show, scales Purkinje neuron firing rates.

Slow inactivation of Nav currents has previously been shown to be essential in the

regulation of pacemaking activity in STN neurons (Do & Bean, 2003) and interspike voltage

changes (Carter et al., 2012). Our results further suggest the magnitude of I_{NaP} may be

regulated by Nav channel slow inactivation in Purkinje neurons. Enhancing cAMP-dependent

protein kinase and protein kinase C activity has been shown to rapidly enhance Nav channel

slow inactivation in heterologously expressed Nav1.2 channels (Chen et al., 2006), suggesting

post-translational regulation of Nav channels can quickly modify this inactivation pathway.

Reduced extracellular Na^+ concentrations have also been found to increase entry into the slow

inactivated kinetic state (Afshari et al., 2004; Aman & Raman, 2007; Townsend & Horn, 1997).

Moving forward, it will be interesting to test how the regulation of slow inactivation processes in

native neuronal cell types affect Nav current components, particularly I_{NaR} and I_{NaP}, and to

determine if post-translational regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation is capable/necessary

for the fine-tuning of I_{NaP} as well as firing rates in spontaneously active cells.

Author Contributions

SPB and JLR conceived and planned the studies; SPB and RJL performed dynamic clamp

experiments; SPB and JDM developed Nav conductance models for dynamic clamp; SPB and

JLR wrote the manuscript; SPB and JLR revised the manuscript.

References

Afshari, F. S., Ptak, K., Khaliq, Z. M., Grieco, T. M., Slater, N. T., McCrimmon, D. R., & Raman, I. M. (2004). Resurgent Na Currents in Four Classes of Neurons of the Cerebellum. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *92*(5), 2831–2843. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00261.2004

Aman, T. K., & Raman, I. M. (2007). Subunit dependence of Na channel slow inactivation and open channel block in cerebellar neurons. *Biophysical Journal*, *92*(6), 1938–1951. https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.093500

Aman, T. K., & Raman, I. M. (2010). Inwardly permeating Na ions generate the voltage dependence of resurgent Na current in cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *30*(16), 5629–5634. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0376-10.2010

Carter, B. C., Giessel, A. J., Sabatini, B. L., & Bean, B. P. (2012). Transient sodium current at subthreshold voltages: Activation by EPSP waveforms. *Neuron*, *75*(6), 1081–1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.08.033

Chen, Y., Yu, F. H., Surmeier, D. J., Scheuer, T., & Catterall, W. A. (2006). Neuromodulation of Na+ channel slow inactivation via cAMP-dependent protein kinase and protein kinase C. *Neuron*, *49*(3), 409–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.01.009

Dib-Hajj, S. D., Yang, Y., Black, J. A., & Waxman, S. G. (2013). The Na(V)1.7 sodium channel: From molecule to man. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *14*(1), 49–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3404

Do, M. T. H., & Bean, B. P. (2003). Subthreshold sodium currents and pacemaking of subthalamic neurons: Modulation by slow inactivation. *Neuron*, *39*(1), 109–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00360-x

Eberhardt, M., Nakajima, J., Klinger, A. B., Neacsu, C., Hühne, K., O'Reilly, A. O., Kist, A. M., Lampe, A. K., Fischer, K., Gibson, J., Nau, C., Winterpacht, A., & Lampert, A. (2014). Inherited pain: Sodium channel Nav1.7 A1632T mutation causes erythromelalgia due to

a shift of fast inactivation. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 289*(4), 1971–1980.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.502211

Eccles, J. C., Llinás, R., & Sasaki, K. (1966). The excitatory synaptic action of climbing fibres on
the Purkinje cells of the cerebellum. *The Journal of Physiology, 182*(2), 268–296.
https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1966.sp007824

Estacion, M., Dib-Hajj, S. D., Benke, P. J., Te Morsche, R. H. M., Eastman, E. M., Macala, L. J.,
Drenth, J. P. H., & Waxman, S. G. (2008). NaV1.7 gain-of-function mutations as a
continuum: A1632E displays physiological changes associated with erythromelalgia and
paroxysmal extreme pain disorder mutations and produces symptoms of both disorders.
*The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience,
28*(43), 11079–11088. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3443-08.2008

Fujita, Y. (1968). Activity of dendrites of single Purkinje cells and its relationship to so-called
inactivation response in rabbit cerebellum. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 31*(2), 131–141.
https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1968.31.2.131

Han, C., Estacion, M., Huang, J., Vasylyev, D., Zhao, P., Dib-Hajj, S. D., & Waxman, S. G.
(2015). Human Nav1.8: Enhanced persistent and ramp currents contribute to distinct
firing properties of human DRG neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 113*(9), 3172–
3185. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00113.2015

Hargus, N. J., Nigam, A., Bertram, E. H., & Patel, M. K. (2013). Evidence for a role of Nav1.6 in
facilitating increases in neuronal hyperexcitability during epileptogenesis. *Journal of
Neurophysiology, 110*(5), 1144–1157. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00383.2013

Häusser, M., & Clark, B. A. (1997). Tonic synaptic inhibition modulates neuronal output pattern
and spatiotemporal synaptic integration. *Neuron, 19*(3), 665–678.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80379-7

Ito, M. (1984). *The Cerebellum and Neural Control* (1st ed.). Raven Press.

Ito, M., Yoshida, M., & Obata, K. (1964). Monosynaptic inhibition of the intracerebellar nuclei

induced from the cerebellar cortex. *Experientia*, *20*(10), 575–576.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02150304

Jarecki, B. W., Piekarz, A. D., Jackson, J. O., & Cummins, T. R. (2010). Human voltage-gated

sodium channel mutations that cause inherited neuronal and muscle channelopathies

increase resurgent sodium currents. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, *120*(1), 369–

378. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI40801

Khaliq, Z. M., Gouwens, N. W., & Raman, I. M. (2003). The contribution of resurgent sodium

current to high-frequency firing in Purkinje neurons: An experimental and modeling

study. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for*

Neuroscience, *23*(12), 4899–4912. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-12-

04899.2003

Khaliq, Z. M., & Raman, I. M. (2006). Relative Contributions of Axonal and Somatic Na

Channels to Action Potential Initiation in Cerebellar Purkinje Neurons. *The Journal of*

Neuroscience, *26*(7), 1935–1944. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4664-05.2006

Lagarias, J. C., Reeds, J. A., Wright, M. H., & Wright, P. E. (1998). Convergence Properties of

the Nelder—Mead Simplex Method in Low Dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*,

9(1), 112–147. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1052623496303470

Lee, R. H., & Heckman, C. J. (2001). Essential role of a fast persistent inward current in action

potential initiation and control of rhythmic firing. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *85*(1), 472–

475. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2001.85.1.472

Lewis, A. H., & Raman, I. M. (2014). Resurgent current of voltage-gated Na+ channels. *The*

Journal of Physiology, *592*(Pt 22), 4825–4838.

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2014.277582

Llinás, R., & Sugimori, M. (1980). Electrophysiological properties of in vitro Purkinje cell somata

in mammalian cerebellar slices. *The Journal of Physiology*, *305*, 171–195.

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1980.sp013357

Miyazaki, H., Oyama, F., Inoue, R., Aosaki, T., Abe, T., Kiyonari, H., Kino, Y., Kurosawa, M.,

Shimizu, J., Ogiwara, I., Yamakawa, K., Koshimizu, Y., Fujiyama, F., Kaneko, T.,

Shimizu, H., Nagatomo, K., Yamada, K., Shimogori, T., Hattori, N., … Nukina, N. (2014).

Singular localization of sodium channel β4 subunit in unmyelinated fibres and its role in

the striatum. *Nature Communications*, *5*, 5525. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6525

Moreno, J. D., Lewis, T. J., & Clancy, C. E. (2016). Parameterization for In-Silico Modeling of

Ion Channel Interactions with Drugs. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(3), e0150761.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150761

Nelder, J. A., & Mead, R. (1965). A Simplex Method for Function Minimization. *The Computer*

Journal, *7*(4), 308–313. https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308

Obata, K., Ito, M., Ochi, R., & Sato, N. (1967). Pharmacological properties of the postsynaptic

inhibition by Purkinje cell axons and the action of γ-aminobutyric acid on Deiters

neurones. *Experimental Brain Research*, *4*(1), 43–57.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00235216

Obata, K., Takeda, K., & Shtnozaki, H. (1970). Further study on pharmacological properties of

the cerebellar-induced inhibition of Deiters neurones. *Experimental Brain Research*,

11(4), 327–342. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00237907

Pan, Y., & Cummins, T. R. (2020). Distinct functional alterations in SCN8A epilepsy mutant

channels. *The Journal of Physiology*, *598*(2), 381–401. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP278952

Quattrocolo, G., Dunville, K., & Nigro, M. J. (2021). Resurgent Sodium Current in Neurons of the

Cerebral Cortex. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *15*, 760610.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2021.760610

Raman, I. M. (2023). The Hodgkin-Huxley-Katz Prize Lecture: A Markov model with permeation-

dependent gating that accounts for resurgent current of voltage-gated Na channels. *The*

Journal of Physiology. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP285166

Raman, I. M., & Bean, B. P. (1997). Resurgent sodium current and action potential formation in

dissociated cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official*

Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, *17*(12), 4517–4526.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-12-04517.1997

Raman, I. M., & Bean, B. P. (1999). Ionic currents underlying spontaneous action potentials in

isolated cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal*

of the Society for Neuroscience, *19*(5), 1663–1674.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-05-01663.1999

Raman, I. M., & Bean, B. P. (2001). Inactivation and recovery of sodium currents in cerebellar

Purkinje neurons: Evidence for two mechanisms. *Biophysical Journal*, *80*(2), 729–737.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(01)76052-3

Raman, I. M., Sprunger, L. K., Meisler, M. H., & Bean, B. P. (1997). Altered subthreshold

sodium currents and disrupted firing patterns in Purkinje neurons of Scn8a mutant mice.

Neuron, *19*(4), 881–891. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80969-1

Ransdell, J. L., Dranoff, E., Lau, B., Lo, W.-L., Donermeyer, D. L., Allen, P. M., & Nerbonne, J.

M. (2017). Loss of Navβ4-Mediated Regulation of Sodium Currents in Adult Purkinje

Neurons Disrupts Firing and Impairs Motor Coordination and Balance. *Cell Reports*,

19(3), 532–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.068

Ransdell, J. L., Moreno, J. D., Bhagavan, D., Silva, J. R., & Nerbonne, J. M. (2022). Intrinsic

mechanisms in the gating of resurgent Na+ currents. *eLife*, *11*, e70173.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.70173

Ransdell, J. L., & Nerbonne, J. M. (2018). Voltage-gated sodium currents in cerebellar Purkinje

neurons: Functional and molecular diversity. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences:*

CMLS, *75*(19), 3495–3505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2868-y

Silva, J. (2014). Slow inactivation of Na(+) channels. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*,

221, 33–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41588-3_3

Solinas, S., Forti, L., Cesana, E., Mapelli, J., De Schutter, E., & D'Angelo, E. (2007).

Computational reconstruction of pacemaking and intrinsic electroresponsiveness in

cerebellar Golgi cells. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *1*, 2.

https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.03.002.2007

Stuart, G., & Häusser, M. (1994). Initiation and spread of sodium action potentials in cerebellar

Purkinje cells. *Neuron*, *13*(3), 703–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90037-x

Taddese, A., & Bean, B. P. (2002). Subthreshold Sodium Current from Rapidly Inactivating

Sodium Channels Drives Spontaneous Firing of Tuberomammillary Neurons. *Neuron*,

33(4), 587–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00574-3

Thach, W. T. (1968). Discharge of Purkinje and cerebellar nuclear neurons during rapidly

alternating arm movements in the monkey. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *31*(5), 785–797.

https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1968.31.5.785

Townsend, C., & Horn, R. (1997). Effect of alkali metal cations on slow inactivation of cardiac

Na+ channels. *The Journal of General Physiology*, *110*(1), 23–33.

https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.110.1.23

White, H. V., Brown, S. T., Bozza, T. C., & Raman, I. M. (2019). Effects of FGF14 and NaVβ4

deletion on transient and resurgent Na current in cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *Journal of*

General Physiology, *151*(11), 1300–1318. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201912390

Womack, M. D., & Khodakhah, K. (2004). Dendritic Control of Spontaneous Bursting in

Cerebellar Purkinje Cells. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *24*(14), 3511–3521.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0290-04.2004

Womack, M., & Khodakhah, K. (2002). Active Contribution of Dendrites to the Tonic and

Trimodal Patterns of Activity in Cerebellar Purkinje Neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*,

22(24), 10603–10612. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-24-10603.2002

Yamanishi, T., Koizumi, H., Navarro, M. A., Milescu, L. S., & Smith, J. C. (2018). Kinetic

properties of persistent Na+ current orchestrate oscillatory bursting in respiratory

neurons. *The Journal of General Physiology*, *150*(11), 1523–1540.

https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201812100

Zemel, B. M., Nevue, A. A., Dagostin, A., Lovell, P. V., Mello, C. V., & von Gersdorff, H. (2021).

Resurgent Na+ currents promote ultrafast spiking in projection neurons that drive fine

motor control. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 6762. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-

26521-3

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Dynamic clamp addition of a modeled Nav conductance increases the spontaneous

firing frequency of adult cerebellar Purkinje neurons **A.** Voltage-clamp step protocol (top) and

current traces of the evoked fast-transient (I_{NaT}) and resurgent (I_{NaR}) Nav currents in an acutely

dissociated Purkinje neuron (*middle*). The same voltage protocol was used to evoke and

measure Nav currents generated by a Markov Nav conductance model. Labeled arrows depict

peak I_{NaT}, $I_{NaR,}$ and I_{NaP} on the Nav conductance model trace. **B.** This simulated Nav current was

generated by a nine-state gating Markov matrix that includes three closed states (C1, C2, C3),

two inactivated-closed states (IC1, IC2), two fast inactivated states (IF1, IF2), a slow inactivated

state (IS), and an open state (O). Transition rate constant values are denoted by variables

between states (see Methods). Panel **C.** shows a schematic of the dynamic clamp setup used to

interface Nav conductance models with a current injection electrode that is patched on an adult

Purkinje neuron in a sagittal brain section. A computer running SutterPatch acquisition and

dynamic clamp software is interfaced with a Sutter digidata/amplifier, which is connected to the

patch electrode. The computer running SutterPatch software uses voltage signals measured in

the Purkinje neuron to calculate, in real-time (via the Nav conductance model) current injection

values. Current injections representing the model Nav conductance are then applied to the

patched cell. **D.** Spontaneous action potentials recorded from an adult Purkinje neuron before

and after (**D1**) the dynamic clamp-mediated addition of the Nav conductance represented in

panel B. Dynamic clamp-mediated current injections are shown in red. **D2.** Shows an expanded

time trace of a single action potential (*black*) and its corresponding dynamic clamp current

injection (*red*). **E.** Firing frequency of adult Purkinje neurons (*open circles*) was significantly (P <

0.0001, paired Student's t-test, n = 30) increased after dynamic clamp-mediated addition of the

nominal Nav conductance (*closed circles*). Dynamic clamp-mediated addition of Nav

conductances also caused an increase in evoked repetitive firing frequencies (shown in

extended data Figure 1-1). Changes in repetitive firing frequency after the addition of the

nominal Nav conductance did not correlate with cell passive membrane properties (extended

data Figure 1-2).

Figure 2. Adjusting peak I_{NaR} does not scale the repetitive firing frequency of Purkinje neurons

A. To generate the 'I_{NaR} decreased' and 'I_{NaR} increased' models, transition rate constant values

b2 (*green box*) and b3s (*red box*) were selectively adjusted. Values of the nominal and

manipulated state transition variables at -45 mV are listed below the matrix. **B.** A simulated

voltage-clamp recording of evoked I_{NaR} (at -45 mV) is shown for the nominal model (black), the

'I_{NaR} increased' model (*green*), and the 'I_{NaR} decreased' model (*red*). **C.** Peak I_{NaR} values were

measured at various repolarizing voltage steps after a 5 ms depolarization step to 0 mV. These

peak current values are plotted against voltage for the nominal model (black), 'I_{NaR} increased'

model (*green*), and the 'I_{NaR} decreased' model (*red*). **D.** Spontaneous firing frequency of adult

Purkinje neurons after dynamic clamp-mediated addition of the nominal (*center, black circles*),

'I_{NaR} increased' model (*left, green triangles*), and 'I_{NaR} decreased' model (*right, red squares*).

Spontaneous firing frequency of the 'I_{NaR} decreased' model is significantly reduced compared to

spontaneous firing frequencies measured during application of the nominal model. Applying the

'I_{NaR} increased' model resulted in no significant change in firing frequency (paired Student's t-

test, 'I_{NaR} decreased' vs nominal: $P = 0.0042$, $n = 13$; 'I_{NaR} increased' vs nominal: $P = 0.21$, $n = $

13).

Figure 3. Purkinje neuron repetitive firing rates are not affected by adjusting the time constant

of I_{NaR} decay. An automated optimization procedure was used to apply targeted changes to Nav

conductance models while preserving other aspects of the nominal Nav conductance model

(see Methods). A schematic of this process is shown in panel **A.** A MATLAB script was used to

apply the 'amoeba' algorithm, checking the difference between the simulation prediction and the

target experimental values with each iteration, and 'crawling' through potential rate constant

values in order to minimize total error. **B.** This amoeba method was used to generate two new

Markov conductance models with targeted changes to the time constant of I_{NaR} decay. The time

constant of I_{NaR} decay (τdecay) is plotted against voltage for the original nominal model and the

two newly generated models, 'τdecay decreased' (*green squares*) and 'τdecay increased' (*blue*

triangles). **C.** A simulated voltage-clamp recording of model generating I_{NaR} is shown for the

nominal (*black*), 'τdecay decreased' (*green*), and 'τdecay increased' (*blue*) models. **C1.** For each

model, peak I_{NaR} values are normalized to the max I_{NaR} generated by the nominal model and are

plotted against voltage. Similar plots of normalized I_{NaP} and I_{NaT} are presented in panels **C2.** and

C3., respectively. **D.** Representative traces showing dynamic clamp-mediated addition of the

'τdecay reduced' (*green*) and 'τdecay increased' (*blue*) conductances in the same cell. Voltage

records are shown in black with the corresponding dynamic clamp current injections shown

below. **E.** Spontaneous firing frequencies measured in Purkinje neurons after the addition of the

nominal (*black circles*), 'τdecay decreased' (*green squares*), and 'τdecay increased' (*blue*

triangles) model conductances (400 nS) are plotted with lines connecting measurements taken

from the same cell. Adding the 'τdecay decreased' and the 'τdecay increased' model

conductances resulted in spontaneous firing frequencies that were significantly reduced

compared to firing frequencies measured after adding the nominal model conductance,

however, the addition of 'τdecay decreased' and the 'τdecay increased' model conductances

resulted in similar repetitive firing frequencies (paired Student's t-test- τdecay decreased vs

nominal, P < 0.0001, n = 29; τdecay increased vs nominal, P < 0.0001, n = 29; τdecay

increased vs τdecay decreased, P = 0.94, n = 29).

Figure 4. Reducing the amount of I_{NaP} in the modeled conductance significantly decreases

spontaneous firing frequency compared to the nominal model, while reducing I_{NaR} does not. **A.**

Voltage-clamp simulation traces showing Nav currents generated by the nominal (*black*), 'I_{NaP}

reduced' (*red*), and 'I_{NaR} reduced' (*orange*) models are shown with the common voltage

command shown above. **A1-A3.** Peak I_{NaR} (A1), I_{NaP} (A2), and I_{NaT} (A3) were normalized to the

peak Nav currents generated by the nominal model and are plotted against voltage. **B.**

Representative action potential firing during dynamic clamp-mediated addition of the 'I_{NaR}

reduced' (*upper*) or 'I_{NaP} reduced' (*lower*) conductance models is shown from the same Purkinje

neuron. Dynamic clamp current injections are presented as orange or red traces. **C.** The

spontaneous firing frequency of Purkinje neurons with addition of the nominal (*black circles*)

conductance model was not significantly changed after addition of the 'I_{NaR} reduced'

conductance model (*left, orange triangles*). However, this firing frequency was significantly

decreased after the addition of the 'I_{NaP} reduced' conductance model (*right, red diamonds*).

(Paired Student's t-test. nominal vs 'I_{NaR} reduced', $P = 0.21$, $n = 29$; nominal vs 'I_{NaP} reduced', P

< 0.0001, $n = 29$).

Figure 5. Applying or subtracting an isolated I_{NaP} conductance scales repetitive firing in Purkinje

neurons **A.** A Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) modeled conductance (using 3.4 nS conductance) was used

to simulate isolated I_{NaP}. The current traces presented in panel A. show voltage-clamp of this

modeled conductance. **B.** Simulated peak I_{NaP} values versus voltage steps generated by the

nominal conductance model (*black*, 400 nS) are compared directly to the HH model (*blue*, 3.4

nS). The HH model's conductance values were adjusted so that peak I_{NaP} measurements would

match the peak I_{NaP} generated by 400 nS of the nominal model. An example of applying the HH

model in dynamic clamp experiments is shown to the right; the dynamic clamp current injection

is presented in blue. **C.** Spontaneous firing frequency of Purkinje neurons with no dynamic

clamp (*open circles*) was significantly increased after dynamic clamp addition of 3.4 nS of the

HH conductance model (*left, blue squares*) and significantly decreased after addition of -3.4 nS

of the HH conductance model (*right, red triangles*) (Paired Student's t-test. no model vs 3.4 nS,

$P = 0.0009$, $n = 13$; no model vs -3.4 nS, $P = 0.047$, $n = 14$). **D.** The percent change in repetitive

firing frequency after addition (*left*, 3.4 nS) or subtraction (*right*, -3.4 nS) of the HH conductance

model is plotted against the amplitude of dynamic clamp current injected during the interspike

interval (see Methods) (3.4 nS, r^2 = 0.68, n = 16; -3.4 nS, r^2 = 0.36, n = 15).

Figure 6. Across models used for dynamic clamp, I_{NaP} is positively correlated with the changes

in repetitive firing frequency. The action potential waveform shown in panel **A.** depicts how

dynamic clamp current injection values were obtained during the interspike intervals and action

potential peaks (see Methods). **B.** A strong correlation exists between the percent increase in

spontaneous firing frequency, driven by the addition of each Markov conductance model, and

the dynamic clamp current injection during the interspike interval of an action potential (r^2 =

0.93). If the dynamic clamp current injection is measured at the peak of the action potential, the

correlation coefficient (r^2) falls to 0.004 (**C.**). **D.** There is a strong correlation between the

percent increase in spontaneous firing frequency after addition of each Markov conductance

model and the net I_{NaP} charge (for each model) during a -65 mV steady-state voltage-clamp step

(r^2 = .96; P = 0.0001). This positive correlation is absent if measurements of net I_{NaR} charge

(measured at -45 mV) (**E.**) or net I_{NaT} charge (measured at 0 mV) (**F.**) are used (r^2 = 0.05, P =

0.61; r^2 = 0.31, P = 0.19, respectively). Pearson's correlation tests were used to determine

significance. Net charge calculations for each current component are described in Methods.

Figure 7. Simulation action potential clamp experiments were conducted using a voltage

command (dashed trace, *upper*) that includes two action potentials that were originally recorded

from a Purkinje neuron firing at ~60 Hz. The nominal (*shown in black*), 'I_{NaR} reduced' (*shown in*

orange), and 'I_{NaP} reduced' (*shown in red*) Markov conductance models (see Figure 4) were

applied to a model cell in MATLAB (see Methods). Kinetic state occupancy plots, showing

occupancy in the open state, are shown below (*middle traces*) and are time-locked with the

voltage command. The bottom traces show the time-locked evoked currents produced by the

voltage command for each of these models.

Figure 8. Peak I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} can be inversely adjusted by changing rate constants responsible

for slow inactivation occupancy **A.** To develop models with inversely scaled I_{NaP} and I_{NaR}, the

state transition rate constants between the open (O) and slow inactivated (IS) states were

individually altered. Decreasing the b2s (*blue box*) transition rate constant (in the nominal

model) resulted in a conductance model with increased I_{NaR} and decreased I_{NaP}. Decreasing the

a2s (*red box*) transition rate constant resulted in a conductance model with decreased I_{NaR} and

increased I_{NaP}. These changes resulted in the simulated voltage-clamp traces that elicit the b2s

reduced (*blue*) and a2s reduced (red) models, which are compared to the nominal model (*black*)

in panel **B. C.** When compared to the nominal conductance model (black circles), spontaneous

firing frequency of adult Purkinje neurons was significantly *increased* after addition of the a2s

reduced model (*red triangles, left*), and significantly *decreased* after addition of the b2s reduced

model (*blue triangles, right*) (paired Student's t-test. 400 nS a2s reduced, P = 0.0004, n = 8; b2s

reduced, P = 0.0029, n = 9;). **D.** Representative recordings of action potentials during addition of

the nominal model (*black, top*), the a2s decreased (*red, middle*), and b2s decreased (*blue,*

bottom) models (*left*) reveal scaling in spontaneous and evoked (see F-I plots, *right*) firing rates

in a single Purkinje neuron. **E. F.** 3D plots were created in which the a2s (E.) or b2s (F.) state

transition rate constants are decreased across 14 iterations and plotted as log10 against the

resulting peak I_{NaP} and I_{NaR} values (measured at -45 mV).

Extended Data Figure Legends

Extended Data Figure 1-1. Frequency-current relationship for Purkinje neurons with no

dynamic clamp (open circles; n = 24) and after application of all Markov models (nominal, black

circles, n = 24; τdecay decreased, green squares, n = 31; τdecay increased, blue triangles, n =

33; INaR reduced, orange triangles, n = 34; INaP reduced, red diamonds, n = 34; INaR reduced

(hand-tuned), open grey circles, n = 10; INaR increased (hand-tuned), open squares, n = 9).

Extended Data Figure 1-2. Correlation between cell properties and the percent increase in

firing. Capacitance (left) and resistance (right) is plotted against the percent increase in firing

frequency after the nominal dynamic clamp model was applied. Each correlation is fitted with a

simple linear regression and the correlation coefficients for capacitance and resistance are

0.024 (n = 28) and 0.012 (n = 27), respectively.

Extended Data Table 2-1. Correlations between the change in action potential threshold,

duration, peak, amplitude, afterhyperpolarization, and max dv/dt before and after addition of

dynamic clamp models plotted against the peak simulated values of INaP at -65 mV (left

column), INaR at -45 mV (middle column), and INaT at -30 mV (right column) for each model.

Correlation coefficients (r2) are generated using a simple linear regression. The asterisk

denotes the r2 value of a linear regression fit of the data that includes the 'INaR increased

(hand-tuned)' model, while the r2 value above is after removal of the 'INaR increased (hand-

tuned)' data point.

Figure 1. Brown et al.

A.

	Nominal	I_{NaR} decreased
b3s	0.948	3.884

	Nominal	I_{NaR} increased
b2	0.108	3.787

C.

τdecay of I_{NaR}	I_{NaT} at -45mV	I_{NaP} at -45mV
11.9ms	-3531.1pA	-119.7pA
4.3ms	-2496.6pA	-27.2pA
4.5ms	-3840.5pA	-127.8pA

Figure 2. Brown et al.

Figure 3. Brown et al.

Figure 4. Brown et al.

Figure 5. Brown et al.

Figure 6. Brown et al.

20mV

5ms

Nominal
I$_{NaP}$ reduced
I$_{NaR}$ reduced

Kinetic state occupancy

Open

0.06
0.04
0.02
0

I$_{Na}$

Current (pA/pF)

0
-10
-20
-30
-40
-50

Figure 7. Brown et al.

Figure 8. Brown et al.

Table 1. Table of rate constants for Markov Nav conductance models

Variable	Nominal	τdecay Reduced	τdecay Increased	I_{NaR} Reduced	I_{NaP} Reduced
a11 var1	0.023989	0.02288	0.0234922	0.024273	0.017821
a11 var2	961.08	962.9323	955.288069	961.1444	955.74551
a12	856.13	861.1349	855.83844	855.6402	943.691619
a13	72.682	70.27949	75.02708	72.05751	45.1006
b11 var1	0.17233	0.13391	0.1957689	0.145184	0.162969
b11 var2	19.691	18.23159	18.08988	20.02451	17.5219955
b12	88.549	87.66816	90.404	88.7198	77.35325
b13	148.41	162.7141	230.9623	174.5183	160.84845
a3 var1	0.36734	0.514279	0.391971	0.308533	1.006506
a3 var2	980.34	964.714	979.85264	980.6689	985.713
b3 var1	53.241	53.5899	53.2644	53.28371	74.161099
b3 var2	14.204	13.08476	13.65378	14.39238	14.43037
a2 var1	87.852	84.88214	99.3117	87.57206	83.96448
a2 var2	999.72	998.5897	998.65724	999.7462	999.9939
a6 var1	609.21	589.9252	621.0904	610.1094	619.312417
a6 var2	86.49	84.00415	88.18935	101.533	96.412457
b6 var1	156.45	224.2441	150.19414	156.3997	147.127477
b6 var2	30.317	28.8311	39.98108	58.02996	56.26988
a2s var 1	15.817	37.25738	12.52364	15.71892	22.593552
a2s var 2	999.82	999.9025	999.95304	999.7914	987.9528
b2s var1	0.001001	0.001207	0.001026	0.001003	0.001002
b2s va2	11.963	10.81732	13.212289	11.96024	15.16399
a3s var1	0.00447733	0.001042	0.003335	0.005083	0.00100109
a3s var2	999.93	999.2872	999.97387	999.9429	999.97908

Transition rates are of the form: a11 = Tfactor*1/(Input(1)*exp(-V/Input(2)));
a12=Input(3)*a11; a13= Input(4)*a11; b11=Tfactor*1/(Input(5)*exp(V/Input(6)));
b12=Input(7)*b11; b13=Input(8)*b11; a3 = Tfactor*Input(9)*exp(-V/ Input(10));
b3=Tfactor*Input(11)*exp((V)/Input(12)); a2=Tfactor*(Input(13)*exp(V/Input(14)));
b2=((a13*a2*a3)/(b13*b3)); a6=Tfactor*(Input(15)*exp(V/ Input(16)));
b6=Tfactor*Input(17)*exp(-V/Input(18)); a2s = Tfactor*(Input(19)*exp(V/Input(20)));
b2s=Tfactor*(Input(21)*exp(-V/Input(22))); a3s=Tfactor*Input(23)*exp(-V/Input(24));
b3s = (a2s*a3s*a13)/ (b2s*b13);
Q10 = 3; T = 295;
Tfactor = 1.0/(Q10^((37.0-(T-273))/10.0))

Table 1. Brown et al.

Table 2. Action potential waveform properties after adding each Markov Nav conductance

Model	Mean AP duration (100%) (µs)	Mean AHP (mV)	Mean AP Peak (mV)	Mean AP Threshold (mV)	Mean AP Amplitude (mV)	Max dV/dt (V/µs)
No model (n = 29)	411.8 ± 11.95	-73.10 ± 0.561	1.024 ± 1.089	-59.11 ± 0.546	60.14 ± 1.242	587.7 ± 20.35
Nominal (n = 29)	475.3 ± 13.20 (p < 0.0001)	-72.70 ± 0.615 (p = 0.4499)	5.662 ± 1.044 (p < 0.0001)	-56.86 ± 0.578 (p = 0.0026)	62.52 ± 1.329 (p = 0.0011)	582.2 ± 25.62 (p = 0.7291)
I_{NaP} Reduced (n = 37)	488.6 ± 11.82 (p = 0.0015)	-73.41 ± 0.588 (p = 0.9242)	5.544 ± 1.342 (p < 0.0001)	-57.08 ± 0.628 (p < 0.0001)	62.62 ± 1.720 (p < 0.0001)	573.7 ± 26.18 (p = 0.0001)
I_{NaR} Reduced (n = 35)	523.9 ± 33.22 (p = 0.0153)	-72.93 ± 0.755 (p = 0.6177)	5.769 ± 1.213 (p = 0.8196)	-56.60 ± 0.807 (p = 0.0022)	62.37 ± 1.513 (p = 0.6351)	555.3 ± 24.62 (p = 0.0282)
τdecay decreased (n = 34) *Figure 3*	513.4 ± 17.43 (p = 0.0009)	-74.34 ± 0.501 (p < 0.0001)	10.93 ± 1.245 (p < 0.0001)	-58.84 ± 0.463 (p = 0.1665)	69.78 ± 1.410 (p = 0.0003)	670.6 ± 24.89 (p < 0.0001)
τdecay increased (n = 36) *Figure 3*	497.9 ± 13.32 (p < 0.0001)	-73.48 ± 0.700 (p = 0.0601)	7.036 ± 1.320 (p < 0.0001)	-56.98 ± 0.717 (p = 0.0318)	64.02 ± 1.484 (p < 0.0001)	589.5 ± 24.63 (p < 0.0001)
I_{NaR} decreased (n = 17) *Figure 2*	488.3 ± 28.81 (p = 0.0183)	-72.50 ± 1.321 (p = 0.6783)	1.364 ± 1.046 (p = 0.9883)	-56.29 ± 1.812 (p = 0.2675)	57.65 ± 2.402 (p = 0.5275)	502.7 ± 33.32 (p = 0.0968)
I_{NaR} increased (n = 15) *Figure 2*	508.9 ± 50.16 (p = 0.2171)	-72.14 ± 1.498 (p = 0.3844)	3.745 ± 1.112 (p = 0.0281)	-52.79 ± 1.872 (p = 0.0088)	56.53 ± 1.817 (p = 0.2323)	478.4 ± 20.75 (p = 0.0005)

Mean ± standard deviation is presented across models for each action potential (AP) waveform parameter. P values are the result of paired Student's t-tests which compare action potential data with no dynamic clamp and action potential data after adding the Markov conductance model (see Methods). AHP refers to action potential after hyperpolarization (see Methods).

Table 2. Brown et al.