**A Protein Blueprint of the Diatom CO$_2$-Fixing Organelle**

Onyou Nam[1], Caroline McKenzie[1], Adam Dowle[2], Matthew Dowson[1], James
Barrett[1], Luke C. M. Mackinder[1]

[1]Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of
York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom
[2]Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom
9
Author for Correspondence: luke.mackinder@york.ac.uk

**Abstract:**

Diatoms are central to the global carbon cycle. At the heart of diatom carbon fixation is an
overlooked organelle called the pyrenoid, where concentrated CO$_2$ is delivered to densely
packed Rubisco. Diatom pyrenoids fix approximately one-fifth of global CO$_2$ but virtually
nothing is known about this organelle in diatoms. Using large-scale fluorescence protein
tagging and affinity purification-mass spectrometry we generate a high-confidence spatially-
defined protein-protein interaction network for the diatom pyrenoid. Within our pyrenoid
interactome are 10 proteins with no known function. Six form a static shell encapsulating the
Rubisco matrix of the pyrenoid, with the shell critical for pyrenoid structural integrity and
potentially acting as a CO$_2$ diffusion barrier. Although no conservation at a sequence level, the
diatom pyrenoid shares some structural similarities to prokaryotic carboxysomes. Collectively,
our results support the convergent evolution of pyrenoids across the two main plastid lineages
and uncovers a major structural and functional component of global CO$_2$ fixation.

**Introduction**

Approximately one-third of global carbon fixation takes place in pyrenoids[1]. Pyrenoids are
biomolecular condensates of the principle CO$_2$-fixing enzyme Rubisco found in the
chloroplasts of algae.[2] There are two major chloroplast lineages, the green and red plastids
with algae within these lineages proposed to have convergently evolved pyrenoids.[3,4] Nearly
all of our knowledge of pyrenoid function and composition comes from the model terrestrial
green plastid alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.[2,5] *C. reinhardtii* is a powerful model organism[6]
but global carbon fixation is primarily driven by oceanic red plastid containing algae, such as
diatoms, where our knowledge is still in its infancy.[7–9] Diatoms are responsible for up to 20%
of global net primary production in the modern ocean, are estimated to fix ~70 gigatons CO$_2$
yr$^{-1}$, and are fundamental for the long-term storage of carbon by driving the flux of organic
material from the ocean surface to sediments.[10,11]
Pyrenoids are found at the heart of algal CO$_2$ concentrating mechanisms (CCMs).[2,7]
CCMs overcome the slow diffusion of CO$_2$ in water and the catalytic limitations of Rubisco by
actively pumping inorganic carbon from the external environment into the cell and releasing it
as CO$_2$ in the pyrenoid where it can be fixed by tightly packaged Rubisco. In *C. reinhardtii* a
disordered linker protein, EPYC1, condenses Rubisco to form the liquid-liquid phase
separated matrix of the pyrenoid.[1,12,13] A shared Rubisco binding motif found in EPYC1 and

numerous other pyrenoid components enables targeting to and structural organization of the
pyrenoid. With specialized proteins containing this motif proposed to link the matrix to
specialized traversing thylakoids called pyrenoid tubules and link the matrix to the surrounding
starch sheath.[14] Inorganic carbon in the form of $HCO_3^-$ is shuttled into the pyrenoid tubules by
bestrophin-like proteins[15] where a carbonic anhydrase converts it to membrane permeable
$CO_2$ that can leak out and be fixed by Rubisco in the pyrenoid matrix.[5,15,16] The surrounding
starch acts as a diffusion barrier to minimize $CO_2$ leakage out of the pyrenoid.[17,18] Fluorescent
protein tagging,[14,19] affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry (APMS)[19] and proximity
labeling[20] have enabled a high-confidence pyrenoid proteome to be determined with multiple
components now functionally characterized. This has enabled *C. reinhardtii* proto-pyrenoid
engineering in plants[21] and a parts-list of components that should theoretically enable the
engineering of a functional pyrenoid-based CCM to enhance plant photosynthesis.[17,22]

Diatom pyrenoids have some shared features with the *C. reinhardtii* pyrenoid including
condensed Rubisco and traversing thylakoids, it is also proposed that they may function
analogously with some, although limited, conservation of inorganic carbon delivery proteins.[8]
However, it is still unclear the level of conservation of structural proteins.[7,8] The only structural
component identified so far for the diatom pyrenoid is PYCO1 a Rubisco linker protein found
in the pyrenoid of the pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and suggested to be
responsible for phase separating Rubisco to form the pyrenoid matrix.[9] However, PYCO1 is
not widely conserved, being absent in centric diatoms, and its functional importance is yet to
be determined. Outside of PYCO1 most previous diatom CCM research has focused on
inorganic carbon uptake. In *P. tricornutum* several candidates belonging to the SLC4 family of
transporters have been proposed for $HCO_3^-$ uptake at the plasma and chloroplast
membranes.[23,24] Bestrophin-like proteins are also implicated in the shuttling of $HCO_3^-$ into the
thylakoid lumen where a θ-type carbonic anhydrase has been identified that is thought to
function by releasing $CO_2$ from the thylakoid membranes that traverse the pyrenoid matrix.[25,26]
Less is known about inorganic carbon uptake in the centric diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*.
SLC4 candidates have been implicated in inorganic carbon uptake across both the plasma
and thylakoid membranes.[8,27] Recently two bestrophin-like proteins were localized to the *T.
pseudonana* pyrenoid, likely in the pyrenoid penetrating thylakoid (PPT)[28,29] and a θ-type
carbonic anhydrase 2 confirmed to be located in the PPT.[8] Although, supporting functional
data is missing for these proteins. Absent from diatom pyrenoids is a starch sheath
encapsulating the pyrenoid. In *C. reinhardtii* flux balance modeling of pyrenoid function[17] and
analysis of starch mutants[18] indicate that a diffusion barrier is essential for efficient pyrenoid
function to minimize $CO_2$ leakage. How the diatom pyrenoid minimizes $CO_2$ leakage is a
substantial outstanding question.

Here we rapidly advance our knowledge of the diatom pyrenoid by developing an
iterative fluorescent protein tagging followed by APMS approach in *T. pseudonana* that
belongs to a global biogeochemically important genus. These data enabled us to build a high-
confidence diatom pyrenoid interactome, identifying multiple new pyrenoid proteins, many with
no previously known functional domains. A family of these proteins form a static shell that
encapsulates the Rubisco matrix and are critical for pyrenoid structural integrity and potentially
act as a $CO_2$ diffusion barrier. Our findings give novel insight into a global biogeochemically
important organelle and provide additional molecular parts for engineering a CCM into crop
plants to improve productivity.

**Results and discussion:**

**Rubisco co-immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry to identify diatom pyrenoid components**

Although diatoms play a central role in global biogeochemical cycles, very little is known about the diatom pyrenoid. In *T. pseudonana* cells have two chloroplasts surrounded by 4 membranes, with each chloroplast containing a single centrally positioned lenticular-shaped pyrenoid (Fig. 1A). As a starting point to understand *T. pseudonana* pyrenoid composition, we performed co-immunoprecipitation coupled with mass spectrometry (coIPMS) with the main pyrenoid component, Rubisco, as a bait protein (Fig. 1B). To capture Rubisco, we used an antibody raised to a conserved 12 amino acid surface-exposed peptide on the Rubisco large subunit (rbcL) (Fig. S1). By comparing two independent coIPMS experiments, consisting of 2 and 3 technical replicates respectively, against non-antibody control experiments, we identified 36 putative pyrenoid components out of a total of 1167 number of detected proteins identified with two or more spectral counts (Table S1). For these exploratory experiments, we applied a relaxed cut-off based on the fold-change enrichment of bestrophin-like protein 2 (BST2) that we had previously localized to the pyrenoid[28] and would expect to only have a weak enrichment due to it predicted to be membrane bound (Fig. 1B). Top hits from our rbcL coIPMS experiments were then fed into an iterative tagging, localization, and APMS framework to rapidly build a spatially defined pyrenoid proteome (Fig. 1C).

113
**Figure 1. Identification of candidate diatom pyrenoid components.**
A. The diatom *T. pseudonana* has two chloroplasts each containing a single pyrenoid traversed by a specialized membrane continuous with the thylakoid membranes called the pyrenoid penetrating thylakoid (PPT).
B. Rubisco co-immunoprecipitation followed by mass spectrometry (coIPMS) to identify candidate pyrenoid components. Left: example Rubisco large subunit (rbcL) coIP with different steps ran on an SDS-PAGE stained with coomassie. Right: Two separate experiments each consisting of either two or three technical coIPs we performed and the antibody bound fractions submitted for mass spectrometry. Cut-offs (dashed lines) were determined based on bestrophin-like protein 2 (BST2) that was previously shown to be pyrenoid localized.
C. An iterative pipeline to determine the pyrenoid spatial interactome. Rubisco coIP data fed into a high-throughput fluorescence protein tagging pipeline. Pyrenoid confirmed proteins were then used for affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry (APMS). Interactors were tagged to confirm pyrenoid localization and used in subsequent APMS rounds.

**Development of a high-throughput tagging pipeline in *T. pseudonana* identifies multiple novel pyrenoid components**

To enable rapid cycling through our iterative pipeline, we set out to establish high-throughput fluorescent protein tagging and screening in diatoms. We initially adapted our Golden Gate

Modular Cloning-based episomal assembly framework[28] to be 96-well compatible and
combined it with multi-well diatom transformation via bacterial conjugation. We coupled this
with 48-well plate strain maintenance and 96-well plate flow cytometry screening for clonal
fluorophore-fusion expressing lines (Fig. 2A). As coIPMS data on a small-scale is inherently
noisy with both false positives and false negatives[30] we applied our tagging pipeline to confirm
if our coIPMS hits were bona fide pyrenoid proteins. Nourseothricin-positive transformants
were picked and screened for positive fluorescence using flow cytometry. Due to typical
mosaic colony presence[31] either multiple rounds of screening or screening of several
independent colonies was completed. We found that screening 8–12 colonies would typically
yield a stable cell population with >90% of cells mEGFP positive (Fig. S2). Positive lines were
subsequently imaged by confocal microscopy (Fig. 2B and Fig. S3). From the initially identified
Rubisco-interacting proteins, we saw multiple uncharacterized proteins localizing to distinct
sub-regions of the pyrenoid (Fig. 2C; see below for further discussion). However, several
candidates were localized to organelles adjacent to the pyrenoid, such as the chloroplast
stroma, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. S3) indicating that our coIPMS data
contains false positives.
To accurately determine sub-pyrenoid localization of components we developed a
dual-tagging approach using two spectrally compatible fluorophores. We first developed a
pyrenoid matrix marker for co-localization. In green algae, nuclear-encoded rbcS-fluorescent
protein fusions have been powerful for understanding sub-pyrenoid spatial organization [19] and
for determining the liquid-like properties of the pyrenoid.[12] As the rbcS of diatoms is chloroplast
encoded and no *T. pseudonana* chloroplast transformation protocol is available, we wondered
if we could target an episomal expressed rbcS-mEGFP to the pyrenoid. Using the N-terminal
signal and transit peptide sequences from the nuclear encoded chloroplast localized BST2
protein,[32] we successfully targeted rbcS to the pyrenoid, allowing us to clearly define the
pyrenoid matrix (Fig. 2C). Second, we tested assembling two target genes with different
fluorophores on the same episome. We decided to initially validate the BST2 localization by
making an episome with BST2-mEGFP and rbcS-mScarlet-I, a fluorophore we had previously
validated using our episome system.[28] This gave a clear pyrenoid localization with the rbcS
signal extending outside of the BST2 signal supporting a PPT localization of BST2 (Fig. 2D).
163

164
**Figure 2. High-throughput fluorescence protein tagging identifies multiple new diatom pyrenoid**
**components.**
A. Candidate genes are rapidly cloned using Golden Gate modular cloning, transformed into *T.*
*pseudonana* via bacterial conjugation and screened by flow cytometry for fluorescence prior to imaging.
B. Multiple proteins localized to the canonical pyrenoid region within the chloroplast. Newly identified
pyrenoid components with no sequence-predicted function are termed Diatom Pyrenoid Components
(DPCs) or Shells. Green: mEGFP fusion protein; Magenta: chlorophyll autofluorescence. Scales bars:
2 µm.
C. Development of a pyrenoid matrix marker. By using the BST2 signal and transit peptide sequences
we could express chloroplast encoded rbcS from nuclear episomes and target it to the chloroplast.
Scale bar: 5µm.

D. Co-localization of BST2-mEGFP (green) and rbcS-mScarlet-I (red) expressed from the same
episome. Scale bar: 2 μm.

**Establishing large-scale APMS to build a pyrenoid interactome**
To rapidly expand the pyrenoid proteome we developed and optimized an APMS pipeline in
*T. pseudonana* and used our GFP-tagged pyrenoid proteins as bait proteins in this pipeline.
Lines expressing GFP-tagged proteins were typically grown at ambient-$CO_2$, where the CCM
is fully active.[33] In triplicate, GFP-trap nanobodies were used to enrich for target proteins from
cell lysate and their interactors determined via LC-MS/MS (Fig. 3A, Table S2). Protein-protein
interactions were stringently determined by comparing both CompPASS[19,34] and SAINT
analysis[35] scores (Fig. 3A, Table S3) that use different weighting criteria to identify true
interactors from non-specific background using label-free proteomic quantitation data. We set
interaction confidence thresholds based on the known interaction of rbcS with rbcL, which
resulted in proteins in the top 2.2% for CompPASS and top 1% for SAINT being designated
as high confidence interactors.
From our initial Rubisco coIPMS we GFP tagged 22 proteins and 13 localized to the
pyrenoid. These included the Rubisco small subunit rbcS; BST1 and BST2 that are bestrophin-
like proteins proposed to be involved in $HCO_3^-$ uptake into the PPTs;[28] θCA2 most likely
involved in $CO_2$ release from $HCO_3^-$ within the PPTs;[25,26] cbbX a nuclear encoded red-type
Rubisco activase[36] that until now has not been localized in algae with red plastids;[37] and 8
uncharacterized proteins with no clear function. These newly identified GFP-tagged pyrenoid
components were called Diatom Pyrenoid Components 1 and 2 (DPC1 and DPC2) and Shell
1-6. Whilst DPC1 and DPC2 were predominantly in the pyrenoid matrix the initial tagging of
Shell1 and Shell4 showed that they may encapsulate the pyrenoid (Fig. 1C; see below). A
subset of these pyrenoid localized components were utilized for APMS using our iterative
pipeline (Fig. 1C and 3). Subsequently, two additional components, DPC3 and DPC4, that had
strong interactions with Shell4 and rbcS but again with no sequence predictable function were
localized to the pyrenoid and also fed into our pipeline. Combining the data and using our
stringent scoring approach enabled us to expand and build a high-confidence pyrenoid
interactome for *T. pseudonana* built from 11 baits and containing 46 additional protein nodes
linked by 57 interaction edges (Fig. 3B). In the network interaction confidence can be further
interpreted by CompPASS and SAINT score magnitude (line thickness and color respectively
in Fig. 3B) as well as the number of connecting edges with baits.
RbcS and DPC2 appear to be key hub proteins, each linking four nodes that further
link to confirmed pyrenoid components. Although shell proteins were identified in our initial
rbcL coIPMS data, and detected in our rbcS APMS they did not fall above the stringent
thresholds set to be defined as high-confidence interactors with either rbcS or rbcL. This
indicates that shell proteins may interact with the Rubisco matrix via an intermediary protein.
The shell-like pattern displayed by DPC3 (Fig. 3C) suggests that this protein could be acting
as a Rubisco matrix-shell adaptor or potentially an additional shell component. How Rubisco
is packaged into the pyrenoid is unknown in *T. pseudonana*. With the absence of an EPYC1
or PYCO1 homolog to phase separate Rubisco, it was hypothesized that an alternative repeat
protein could be fulfilling this role. Unexpectedly none of the pyrenoid proteins identified in our
study contain a repeated sequence with the expected frequency of ~60 amino acids,[1]
potentially indicating that pyrenoid assembly in *T. pseudonana* could be based on different
biophysical principles to both green algal and pennate diatom pyrenoids.

**Figure 3. A spatial interactome of the diatom pyrenoid.**

A. mEGFP tagged proteins were affinity purified using GFP nanobodies, interactors identified using mass spectrometry and high-confidence protein-protein interactions determined using SAINT and CompPASS analysis. RbcS is not present in the plot due to bait spectral counts being set to zero prior to SAINT and CompPASS analysis.

B. Spatially determined protein-protein interactome of the pyrenoid. Positioning is based on localization determined by confocal microscopy. Due to 96% similarity of the mature proteins of Shell1 and Shell2 all peptides were assigned to Shell1.

C. Confocal localization of DPC3 and DPC4 confirming pyrenoid localization (max intensity z-stack projections). Green: mEGFP fusion protein; Magenta: chlorophyll. Scale bars: 2µm.

## Six proteins encapsulate the pyrenoid matrix

In our initial tagging we were intrigued to see that two proteins with no annotated functional domains appeared to encapsulate the pyrenoid matrix (Fig. 2C: Shell1, Shell4). BLAST analysis identified four additional homologs in the *T. pseudonana* genome that all contain a predicted beta-sheet domain (Fig. 4A and Fig. S4). We explored the distribution of these proteins across different evolutionary lineages. BLAST analysis against the NCBI database identified homologs in the stramenopiles (including other diatoms), pelagophytes and haptophytes; all of which are photosynthetic algae that contain secondary endosymbiotic red plastids (Fig. 4B). However, Shell proteins are absent in the rhodophytes, the primary endosymbiotic red plastid lineage, suggesting that Shell proteins were not present in the engulfed red alga but may have been present in the genome of the heterotrophic host prior to endosymbiosis or evolved after engulfment. Further supporting a role in pyrenoid function of shell proteins, TEM data from available literature indicates that algae found within the Shell protein containing clades all contain pyrenoids.[2]

To elucidate the precise sub-regions of the six Shell proteins we co-expressed rbcS-mScarlet-I with GFP-tagged Shell proteins (Fig. 4C and Fig. S5). Analysis of fluorescence

intensity of perpendicular transects of the pyrenoid (Fig. 4D and Fig. S5) and max intensity z-
stack projections (Fig. 4E and Fig. S5) indicate that all six proteins encapsulate the Rubisco
matrix of the pyrenoid. Intriguingly, they can be grouped into two localization patterns.
Generalizing the pyrenoid shape to be an elliptic cylinder with curved ends (Fig. S6), Shell1,2,3
and 6 encapsulate the pyrenoid matrix around the high-curvature edges, whereas Shell4 and
5 radially encapsulate the pyrenoid matrix (Fig. 4C,D,E and Fig. S5 and S6). Further
supporting different pyrenoid surface localizations AlphaFold2 model comparisons of Shell1
with each of the other Shell proteins indicates that Shell2,3 and 6 are structurally closer to
Shell1 than Shell4 and 5 (Fig. S7). With small differences in rotational angles potentially
enabling different curvature.

In the well-characterized green algal pyrenoid, chloroplast synthesized starch forms a
sheath that encapsulates the Rubisco matrix and acts as a $CO_2$ leakage barrier to enhance
CCM efficiency.[17,18] In diatoms the main carbohydrate storage molecule is chrysolaminaran
that is stored in cytosolic vacuoles[38] with no clear carbohydrate barrier surrounding the diatom
pyrenoid. We hypothesize that the shell proteins, instead of starch, could be forming a diffusion
barrier in diatoms to minimize $CO_2$ leakage. This has potential structural analogies to the
cyanobacterial carboxysomes where a protein shell encapsulates Rubisco and is proposed to
act as a $CO_2$ barrier to minimize leakage.[39,40] In addition, carboxysome shell proteins are
essential for carboxysome biogenesis and shape.[41,42] We set out to further understand the
importance of the shell proteins for pyrenoid function and more broadly understand diatom
pyrenoid functionality.
272
273

274
**Figure 4. Six proteins form a shell that encapsulates the diatom pyrenoid matrix**
A. *T. pseudonana* has six Shell protein homologs that all contain two beta-sheet regions. Top: domain
alignment of Shell homologs, Green is signal peptide, yellow is transit peptide. Bottom: AlphaFold2
structure prediction of Shell1. pLDDT: predicted local distance difference test.
B. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that shell proteins are found in red plastid secondary endosymbionts
including stramenopiles, pelagophytes and haptophytes. Bootstrap values are shown. Cartoon inset
represents the two main plastid lineages and where Shell proteins are present.
C. Co-localization of Shell proteins with Rubisco. Scale bars: 2µm.

D. Fluorescence intensity cross-sections of Shell and rbcS fluorescence across the pyrenoid. Level of
Zoom changes between images.
E. Z-stack max intensity projections of C.
286
287

## The pyrenoid matrix and shell proteins have minimal mobility in the pyrenoid

To understand the dynamics of pyrenoid components, we leveraged our generated tagged
lines. If the shell proteins act as a $CO_2$ barrier we would expect them to form a static assembly
around the Rubisco matrix. We investigated the mobility of Shell1 and Shell4 by fluorescence
recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Supporting the role of the Shell proteins forming a
structural barrier around the pyrenoid matrix both Shell1 and Shell4 showed minimal mixing
after photobleaching (Fig. 5A, B).
In *C. reinhardtii* the pyrenoid matrix has liquid-like properties with Rubisco, EPYC1 and
Rubisco activase (RCA1) all showing rapid recovery in FRAP experiments on the timescale of
~30 s after photobleaching.[12] Surprisingly, FRAP experiments on both *T. pseudonana* rbcS
and cbbX showed minimal mixing (Fig. 5C, D). This aligns with recent *in vitro* and *in vivo* data
where *in vitro* phase separated Rubisco from the pennate diatom *P. tricornutum* has slow
mixing within droplets with full recovery not seen after 30 minutes and PYCO1 is immobile *in*
*vivo.*[9] This opens a considerable question on how cbbX can sufficiently access inhibited
Rubisco to reactivate it. Collectively, this indicates that the diatom pyrenoid has different
mesoscale properties to the Chlamydomonas pyrenoid and that once Rubisco is assembled
into the pyrenoid there is minimal mixing. This aligns with the cyanobacterial carboxysome
where upon Rubisco packing it forms ordered arrays.[43,44]
306

**Figure 5: Shell proteins are static on the pyrenoid surface and essential for pyrenoid**
**morphology**
A-D: Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments for different diatom
pyrenoid components. Arrows indicate photobleached regions. Scale bars: 1 μm. Plots show
S.E.M. and S.D. of the mean.

E: Tagged rbcS in WT (top) and a Shell1/2 knock-out line. Scale bars: 2 μm. Bar charts indicate
pyrenoid number per chloroplast in WT::rbcS-mEGFP and Shell1/2 knock-out::rbcS-mEGFP
lines.
F: Growth rate of WT, WT::rbcS-mEGFP and Shell1/2 knock-out::rbcS-mEGFP lines.
Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t-test (**$p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$).
318

**Shell1 and Shell2 are essential for pyrenoid structure**
In addition to the Shell proteins potentially providing a barrier to minimize $CO_2$ leakage from
the pyrenoid matrix we hypothesized they may also be required for pyrenoid structural integrity.
To test this, we used our MoClo Golden Gate system to simultaneously GFP tag rbcS and
delete Shell1/2. Due to Shell1/2 being abundant shell components and having 93% DNA
sequence similarity sgRNAs were designed that targeted both genes (Fig. S8). Edited lines
were grown under high $CO_2$ conditions, selected for by GFP fluorescence, and gene editing
confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Fig. S8). Microscopy images of a Shell1/2 knock-out line
that showed biallelic editing of both Shell1 and Shell2 failed to form a lenticular pyrenoid and
instead typically possessed a single spherical pyrenoid per chloroplast (Fig. 5E). This
suggests that Shell1/2 are required for the lenticular shape of the pyrenoid and in their absence
the pyrenoid assembles into a sphere. Although speculative, spherical condensation of
Rubisco suggests that liquid-liquid phase separation may have a role in pyrenoid matrix
assembly.  The *shell1/2* mutant had significantly reduced growth at atmospheric $CO_2$ that
could be fully rescued by supplying elevated $CO_2$ (Fig. 5F). Interestingly, the mutant grew
faster than wild-type at high $CO_2$ suggesting that the Shell may be minimizing $CO_2$ diffusion to
Rubisco in the pyrenoid when there is an excess of $CO_2$ in the surrounding environment.
These data support that the diatom Shell is critical for pyrenoid structural integrity and function
and may act as a $CO_2$ diffusion barrier.
338

**A model of the diatom pyrenoid-based $CO_2$ concentrating mechanism**
Combining our data with previous experimental data we propose a structural and functional
model for the pyrenoid (Fig. 6). The SLC4 family proteins contribute to sodium dependent
$HCO_3^-$ transport at the plasma membrane.[7,23,24] Ci transport across the four chloroplast
membranes is still unknown, SLC4 transporters are also proposed to play a role here[27] along
with the carbonic anhydrase LCIP63[45] and the V-type ATPase.[46] Ci delivery to the pyrenoid is
potentially analogous to *C. reinhardtii* via channeling of $HCO_3^-$ into the thylakoid lumen via
BST1 and BST2.[28] $HCO_3^-$ is then dehydrated to $CO_2$ via  θCA2[25,26] where it can diffuse to
Rubisco packaged within the pyrenoid. A doublet of thylakoids enter the pyrenoid where they
often branch within the pyrenoid matrix, potentially to increase surface area for $CO_2$ delivery.
$CO_2$ leakage out of the pyrenoid is then minimized by the proteinaceous shell that
encapsulates the pyrenoid. With the shell also critical for maintaining the lenticular shape of
the pyrenoid.
352

353
**Figure 6: Structural and functional model of the _T. pseudonana_ pyrenoid-based $CO_2$-**
**concentrating mechanism.** Proposed model based on localization data and availbale
literature.

**Perspective**
The development of a high-throughput tagging and APMS pipeline in a model diatom has
enabled us to generate a spatial interactome of the diatom pyrenoid, providing novel molecular
insight into how diatoms drive the global carbon cycle. We have identified and confirmed via
localization 13 new pyrenoid components, of which a large number have no conserved
functional domains. Six of these new components constitute a protein Shell that encapsulates
the pyrenoid and is found across diverse red plastid secondary endosymbionts. Simultaneous
knock-out of Shell1 and Shell2 resulted in large pyrenoid structural changes and poor growth
at atmospheric levels of $CO_2$. Moving forward it will be important to understand the importance
of the additional four Shell proteins, especially Shell4 and Shell5 that localize to different
surface regions of the pyrenoid than Shell1 and Shell2. Four additional pyrenoid components,
DPC1-4, have no clear sequence predictable function. As yet the condensation of Rubisco to
form the pyrenoid matrix is unknown, with no EPYC1 or PYCO1 homolog or functional analog
identified in our study. This suggests that pyrenoid matrix formation maybe different in centric
diatoms. The matrix localization and interaction partners of DPC2 and DPC4 are suggestive
that they may have a central role in pyrenoid matrix assembly/function. DPC3 showed a more
Shell-like localization pattern and may mediate Shell-Rubisco matrix interactions. DPC2,
DPC3 and DPC4 are prime targets for future characterization.
Close to 50% of global carbon fixation is performed by biomolecular condensates of
Rubisco. This includes prokaryotic cyanobacterial carboxysomes and eukaryotic algal
pyrenoids. Nearly all of our data of pyrenoid structure and function comes from the green
plastid lineage alga, _C. reinhardtii_, with pyrenoids both between plastid lineages and within

plastid lineages proposed to have convergently evolved.[2,4] Insights from our data suggest that
diatom pyrenoids have similarities to both green plastid pyrenoids and prokaryotic
carboxysomes. Similarities between the *T. pseudonana* and *C. reinhardtii* pyrenoids include
dense Rubisco packaging around specialized thylakoid membranes (PPTs) for $CO_2$ delivery.
With $CO_2$ delivery to the PPTs via bestrophin family channels and $CO_2$ release within the acidic
lumen driven by constrained localization of a carbonic anhydrase within the PPTs. However,
the dynamics of the pyrenoid and structural aspects of the pyrenoid have more similarities to
carboxysomes. The encapsulation by a protein shell layer composed of homologs, some with
different sub-shell localization patterns is analogous to carboxysome shell proteins.[39]
Additionally, the static nature of Rubisco, cbbX and shell proteins contrasts to dynamic
properties of the *C. reinhardtii* pyrenoid and aligns more with carboxysomes. Outside of
Rubisco, there are no homology or structural similarities between carboxysome and *T.*
*pseudonana* pyrenoid components. This supports the convergent evolution of pyrenoids and
that a broad range of biophysical and structural properties, some previously associated with
carboxysomes, can be expected as more pyrenoids are characterized across diverse alga.
A core structural component of pyrenoids is a $CO_2$ leakage barrier, with the absence
of a starch sheath in *C. reinhardtii* shown both experimentally and theoretically to be required
for efficient CCM function.[17,18] As engineering of a pyrenoid in plants progresses a major future
challenge will be $CO_2$ diffusion barrier engineering.[17,22] This is thought to require multiple
starch synthesis related steps correctly localized to the pyrenoid periphery. The diatom Shell
proteins could provide an alternative biotechnology solution to this challenge.
401
## Materials and methods

403
### Strains and Culturing

405
The background *Thalassiosira pseudonana* strain for all experiments was wild-type (WT)
CCAP1085/12 (Scottish Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa, equivalent to CCMP1335).
WT cells were axenically maintained in artificial sea water (ASW) (32 g $L^{-1}$, Instant Ocean
SS15-10) supplemented with half-strength (F/2) Guillard F solution[47,48] at 20°C under
continuous illumination of ~50 μmol photons $m^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$. All strains were grown at ambient $CO_2$
except *shell1/2* knock-out and Shell1-mEGFP lines, which were maintained under 1% $CO_2$.

### Episome Assemblies using Golden Gate Cloning

413    Level 0, 1, and 2 (L0, L1, and L2) plasmids were assembled by Golden Gate (GG) cloning[49]
414    using the custom parts from the diatom MoClo framework.[28] The target genes without stop
415    codon were synthesized from Twist Bioscience (Table S4).

### *T. pseudonana* Transformation via Bacterial Conjugation

Episomes were delivered to *T. pseudonana* via bacterial conjugation according to[50] with minor
modifications. Episome plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* (TransforMax EPI300)
harboring the pTA_Mob[51] mobility plasmid (gift from R. Lale) via electroporation (Bio-Rad).
Transformed cells were spread onto LB agar plates containing both gentamycin (10 μg $mL^{-1}$)
and kanamycin (25 μg $mL^{-1}$) for selection overnight at 37°C. Colonies were inoculated for
subsequent conjugation. Cultures (150 mL) grown at 37°C to OD600 of 0.3-0.4 were harvested

by centrifugation (3,000 ×g, 5 min) and resuspended in 800 µL of SOC media. Liquid grown
*T. pseudonana* WT culture was harvested by centrifugation (3,000 ×g, 5 min) and
resuspended at a concentration of $2\times10^8$ cells mL$^{-1}$ in ½ASW-F/2. Equal volume (200 µL) of
*E. coli* and *T. pseudonana* WT cells were gently mixed by pipetting. Next the mixture of cells
was plated on ½ASW-F/2, 5% LB, 1% agar plates and incubated in the dark for 90 min at
30°C. The plates were transferred to 20°C with continuous illumination (~50 µmol photons·m$^{-}$
$^2$·s$^{-1}$) and grown overnight. Next day, 500 µL of ½ASW-F/2 medium was added to the plate for
scraping and resuspending the cells. Up to 200 µL of resuspended cells were spread onto 1%
(w/v) ½ASW-F/2 agar plates with 100 µg mL$^{-1}$ nourseothricin for selection. Colonies appeared
after 6-14 days.

**Fluorescence Screening by Flow Cytometry**

mEGFP and mScarlet-I expression was analyzed by flow cytometry using either CytoFLEX
LX355 or 375 (Beckman Coulter) analyzers. Forward scattered (FSC) and side scattered
photons by the 488 nm laser were used to distinguish diatoms from cell culture debris. FSC-
height versus FSC-area signal was used to separate single events from sample aggregates.
Chlorophyll autofluorescence excited by 561 nm laser and emitted photons detected with
675/25 filter was used to ensure all the diatom cells were fully intact. mEGFP fluorescence
excited by the 488 nm laser was detected by an avalanche photodiode detector with 525/40
bandpass filter. All the data analysis was done using CytExpert software (Beckman Coulter).

**Microscopy**

All super-resolution imaging was performed using Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope in
Airyscan mode with a 63x objective, 1.4 numerical aperture (NA) Plan-Apo oil-immersion lens
(Carl Zeiss). The rbcS-mEGFP line was imaged in confocal mode. The 20 µL of cell
suspension were pipetted on 8 well µ-Slide chambered coverslips (ibidi) overlaid with 180 µL
of 1.5% F/2-low-melting point agarose (Invitrogen) for imaging. Excitation lasers and emission
filters were as follows: mEGFP excitation 488 nm, emission 481-541 nm; mScarlet-I excitation
561 nm, emission 561-633 nm; and chlorophyll excitation 633 nm, emission 642-712 nm. All
the microscopic images were processed using Fiji.[52]

**Co-immunoprecipitation and affinity purification**

For rbcL coIP, 50 mL of WT *T. pseudonana* cells grown in log phase ($2\text{-}3\times10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$) were
harvested by centrifugation (3,000 ×g, 10 min). The pellets were resuspended in a CoIP buffer
(20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 12.5% glycerol) containing 5 mM DTT
and protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (PIs, cOmplete EDTA-free, Roche). Cells were lysed by
sonication for 3 min (ON 3 sec, OFF 12 sec). The lysates were centrifuged for 20 min (full
speed, 4°C) to separate the supernatant (soluble lysate) from the pellet. 200 µL of Protein A
(Dynabeads Protein A, Invitrogen) beads were washed twice in coIP buffer containing PIs. 32
µg of anti-rbcL antibody in 500 µL coIP buffer containing PIs was added to the washed beads
and incubated at 4°C for 2 hours. After incubation beads were washed twice in coIP buffer
containing PIs. For blocking, 500 µL of BSA (2 mg mL$^{-1}$) was added and incubated at 4°C for

1 hour. After incubation beads were washed twice in coIP buffer containing PIs. Subsequently,

the soluble lysates were added to protein A beads primed with antibody and incubated at 4°C

for 3 hours. After incubation, beads were washed three times with coIP buffer containing PIs

and 0.1% digitonin (SigmaAldrich). For elution, 200 µL of 1x SDS loading dye was added and

boiled at 95°C for 5 min. The supernatant was collected without any beads and ran on an

SDS-PAGE gel for ~1.5 cm. Gels were sliced for further in-gel digestion for LC-MS/MS (see

below).

For mEGFP tagged lines AP, 50 mL of GFP tagged *T. pseudonana* lines grown in exponential

phase ($2\text{-}3\text{x}10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$) were harvested by centrifugation (3,000 ×g, 10 min). The pellets

were resuspended in an immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer (200 mM D-sorbitol, 50 mM HEPES,

50 mM KOAc, 2 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 1 mM CaCl$_2$) containing protease inhibitor cocktail tablets

(cOmplete EDTA-free, Roche), 2% digitonin (SigmaAldrich), 1mM PMSF, 0.5 mM NaF and

0.15 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$. Cells were lysed by sonication for 30 sec (On 3 sec, Off 15 sec) twice. The

lysates were centrifuged for 20 min (full speed, 4°C) and the supernatant was incubated with

mEGFP-Trap Agarose beads (ChromoTek) for 1 hour according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Subsequently, beads were washed twice with an IP buffer containing 0.1%

digitonin and a final wash without digitonin. All steps were performed at 4°C.

**Mass Spectrometry**

For rbcL coIPMS, samples were in-gel digested with 0.2 µg Sequencing-grade, modified

porcine trypsin (Promega), following reduction with 1.5 mg ml$^{-1}$ dithioerythritol and alkylation

with 9.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ iodoacetamide. Digests were incubated overnight at 37°C. Peptides were

extracted by washing three times with aqueous 50% (v:v) acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v:v)

trifluoroacetic acid, before drying in a vacuum concentrator and reconstituting in aqueous 0.1%

(v:v) trifluoroacetic acid. Peptides were loaded onto an mClass nanoflow UPLC system

(Waters) equipped with a nanoEaze M/Z Symmetry 100 Å C$_{18}$, 5 µm trap column (180 µm x

20 mm, Waters) and a PepMap, 2 µm, 100 Å, C 18 EasyNano nanocapillary column (75 mm

x 500 mm, Thermo). The trap wash solvent was aqueous 0.05% (v:v) trifluoroacetic acid and

the trapping flow rate was 15 µL min$^{-1}$. The trap was washed for 5 min before switching flow

to the capillary column. Separation used gradient elution of two solvents: solvent A, aqueous

0.1% (v:v) formic acid; solvent B, acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v:v) formic acid. The flow rate

for the capillary column was 330 nL min$^{-1}$ and the column temperature was 40°C. The linear

multi-step gradient profile was: 3-10% B over 5 mins, 10-35% B over 85 mins, 35-99% B over

10 mins and then proceeded to wash with 99% solvent B for 5 min. The column was returned

to initial conditions and re-equilibrated for 15 min before subsequent injections. The nanoLC

system was interfaced with an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo) with an

EasyNano ionisation source (Thermo). Positive ESI-MS and MS2 spectra were acquired using

Xcalibur software (version 4.0, Thermo). Instrument source settings were: ion spray voltage,

1900-2100 V; sweep gas, 0 Arb; ion transfer tube temperature; 275°C. MS 1 spectra were

acquired in the Orbitrap with: 120,000 resolution, scan range: *m/z* 375-1,500; AGC target, $4e^5$;

max fill time, 100 ms. Data dependant acquisition was performed in top speed mode using a

1 s cycle, selecting the most intense precursors with charge states >1. Easy-IC was used for

internal calibration. Dynamic exclusion was performed for 50 s post precursor selection and a

minimum threshold for fragmentation was set at $5e^3$. MS2 spectra were acquired in the linear

ion trap with: scan rate, turbo; quadrupole isolation, 1.6 *m/z*; activation type, HCD; activation
energy: 32%; AGC target, $5e^3$; first mass, 110 *m/z*; max fill time, 100 ms. Acquisitions were
arranged by Xcalibur to inject ions for all available parallelizable time. Tandem mass spectra
peak lists were extracted from Thermo .raw files to .mgf format using MSConvert
(ProteoWizard 3.0). Mascot Daemon (version 2.6.0, Matrix Science) was used to submit
searches to a locally-running copy of the Mascot program (Matrix Science Ltd., version 2.7.0).
Peak lists were searched against the *Thalassiosira pseudonana* subsets of UniProt and NCBI
with common proteomic contaminants appended. Search criteria specified: Enzyme, trypsin;
Max missed cleavages, 2; Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications,
Oxidation (M); Peptide tolerance, 3 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 0.5 Da; Instrument, ESI-TRAP.
Peptide identifications were collated and filtered using Scaffold (5.2.0, Proteome Software
Inc). Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 51.0%
probability to achieve an FDR less than 1.0% by the Percolator posterior error probability
calculation. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than
5.0% probability to achieve an FDR less than 1.0% and contained at least 2 identified peptides.

For mEGFP tagged lines APMS, samples were on-bead digested using Chromotek's
recommended procedure for NanoTraps: protein was digested overnight at $37^{\circ}C$ with 25 μL
50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 M urea, 1mM DTT, 5 μg $ml^{-1}$ Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin
(Promega). Peptides were eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 M urea, 5 mM iodoacetamide
before loading onto EvoTip Pure tips for desalting and as a disposable trap column for
nanoUPLC using an EvoSep One system. A pre-set EvoSep 60 SPD gradient was used with
a 8 cm EvoSep $C_{18}$ Performance column (8 cm x 150 μm x 1.5 μm). The nanoUPLC system
was interfaced to a timsTOF HT mass spectrometer (Bruker) with a CaptiveSpray ionisation
source (Source). Positive PASEF-DDA, ESI-MS and $MS^2$ spectra were acquired using
Compass HyStar software (version 6.2, Thermo). Instrument source settings were: capillary
voltage, 1,500 V; dry gas, 3 l $min^{-1}$; dry temperature; 180°C. Spectra were acquired between
*m/z* 100-1,700. The following TIMS settings were applied as: 1/K0 0.6-1.60 V.s $cm^{-2}$; Ramp
time, 100 ms; Ramp rate 9.42 Hz. Data dependent acquisition was performed with 10 PASEF
ramps and a total cycle time of 1.17 s. An intensity threshold of 2,500 and a target intensity of
20,000 were set with active exclusion applied for 0.4 min post precursor selection. Collision
energy was interpolated between 20 eV at 0.5 V.s $cm^{-2}$ to 59 eV at 1.6 V.s $cm^{-2}$. Pick picking,
database searching, significance thresholding and peak area integration was performed using
FragPipe (version 19.1). Data were searched against UniProt reference proteome
UP000001449, appended with common contaminants and concatenated with reversed
sequences for false discovery calculation. Search criteria specified: Enzyme, trypsin; Max
missed cleavages, 2; Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications,
Oxidation (M), Acetylation (Protein N-term); Peptide tolerance, 10 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 10
ppm; Instrument, IM-MS. Peptide identifications were filtered using Percolator and
ProteinProphet to 1% PSM FDR, protein probabilities >99%, best peptide probability >99%
and a minimum of two unique peptides. Peak area quantification was extracted using IonQuant
with match between run applied. Feature detection tolerances were set to: MS1 mass <10
ppm; RT < 0.4 min; and IM (1/k0) <0.05.

**Interactome analysis**

Protein abundances quantified using MS2 spectral count measurements of fragment ions from
all sample triplicates were run through a CompPASS package in R Studio
(https://github.com/dnusinow/cRomppass/blob/master/R/cRomppass.R) and a control IP
inclusive variation of SAINT analysis in Ubuntu using standard parameters.[35] The WD and
AvgP scores respectively generated were used as measures of interaction strength between
bait and prey proteins. Only interactions which fell in both the top 2.2% WD score and 1%
AvgP score were filtered as high confidence interactors. Prior to analysis bait spectral count
data was set to zero to minimize data skewing due to the typically high spectral counts and
the inability to distinguish between mEGFP tagged bait and untagged native protein.

***In vivo* fluorescence recovery after photobleaching**

FRAP experiments were performed using a Zeiss LSM980 confocal microscope with a 63x
objective 1.4 numerical aperture (NA) Plan-Apo oil-immersion lens (Carl Zeiss). Samples were
prepared as in confocal microscopy and overlaid with 200 µL of ibidi anti-evaporation oil. 20
pre-bleach images were taken prior to bleaching (60% 488 nm intensity, 1 cycle). All the
images were processed by Fiji.[52] The Image Stabilizer plugin (4 pyramid levels, 0.99 template
update coefficient) output of the brightfield images were used to stabilize the fluorescence
images. The mean gray values were measured for the bleached, unbleached and background
ROIs. Background values were subtracted from bleached and unbleached values before
photobleach normalization using the unbleached reference was completed. The average pre-
bleach intensity was used for full-scale normalization.

**Data Visualization**

Network visualization of the interactome was done using Cytoscape (version 3.10.0)
(https://cytoscape.org/). Phylogenetic tree was done in Geneious Prime (2023.2.1). Adobe
illustrator (2023) was used to generate the figures.

**Alphafold Structure Prediction**

The alphafold structure for Shell1 protein without signal peptide and chloroplast transit peptide
was predicted using ColabFold v1.5.2-patch: AlphaFold2 using MMseqs2
(https://colab.research.google.com/github/sokrypton/ColabFold/blob/main/AlphaFold2.ipynb).

577
**Protein model for Rubisco**

*Thalassiosira antarctica* Rubisco model (PDB: 5MZ2) was used to predict the peptide regions
utilized for raising the anti-rbcL antibody using ChimeraX.[53]

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

50 mL of cell culture grown in log phase were harvested by centrifugation (3,000 ×g, 5 min).
Cells were fixed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (SigmaAldrich), pH 7.4, containing 2.5%
glutaraldehyde (SigmaAldrich), 2% formaldehyde (Polysciences) for 1 hour at room
temperature. After this, they were rinsed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) for
15 min by removing the liquid at the top of the tube and leaving the cells undisturbed at the

bottom of the tube. The cells were secondary fixed with Osmium Tetroxide (1%, in buffer pH
7.2, 0.1M) for 1 hour. After rinsing twice with buffer, again removing the liquid above the cells
whilst leaving the cells undisturbed, the cells were dehydrated through an alcohol series (30%,
50, 70 90%) until it was in 100% ethanol. Each rinse for 15 min. To ensure thorough
dehydration the 100% ethanol step was repeated 3 times. Then the alcohol was replaced by
Agar low viscosity resin by placing it in increasing concentrations of resin (30% resin: 70 %
ethanol. 50:50, 70:30 each change was left for at least 12 hours) until it was in 100% resin.
Again to ensure complete resin infiltration, the 100% resin step was repeated 3 times leaving
it overnight between changes. The Eppendorf tubes were then placed in an embedding oven
and the resin polymerized at 60°C overnight. The resulting blocks were sectioned with a Leica
Ultracut E ultra microtome using a diatome diamond knife. The sections were then stained
using a saturated solution of Uranyl acetate (for 15 min) and Reynold's Lead citrate (15 min).
The sections were examined using a JEOL 1400 TEM.

**Blast search**

Full amino acid sequence of Shell 1-6 was used for Blastp with default settings: 'Standard
databases', 'Non-redundant protein sequences (nr)', 'blastp (protein-protein BLAST)' 'Max
target sequences=100' 'Word size =5 ' Max matches in a query range = 0' 'Matrix =
BLOSUM62' 'Gap Costs= Existence: 11 Extension: 1' 'Compositional adjustments =
Conditional compositional score matrix adjustment' and 'Expect threshold =1'. Hits were
sorted by highest to lowest alignment length, and a cut-off length of 100 amino acids was
employed.

**Phylogeny**

The phylogenetic tree was build using Geneious Prime (2023.2.1). Selection of 136 proteins
for MAFFT alignment defaults (Algorithm = 'Auto', Scoring matrix = 'BLOSUM62', Gap open
penalty = '1.53', Offset value = '0.123') Selection of MAFFT alignment. Generated
phylogenetic tree selecting RAxML: Protein Model = 'GAMMA BLOSUM62', Algorithm =
'Rapid Bootstrapping', Number of starting trees or bootstrap replicates = '1000', Parsimony
random seed = '1'. Generated a consensus tree selecting Consensus Tree Builder, Create
Consensus Tree, Support Threshold % = 0, Topology Threshold % = 0, Burn-in % = 0, Save
tree(s) separately. Added *C. reinhardteii* BST1-3 sequences (Cre16.g662600 (BST1)
Cre16.g663400 (BST2) Cre16.g663450 (BST3) to root the tree.

**Data availability**

All mass spectrometry and proteomic identification data is referenced in ProteomeXchange
(PXD045418) and can be accessed via MassIVE (MSV000092867).

**Author contributions:** O.N. and L.C.M.M. designed and supervised the study. O.N. carried
out the experiments. C.M. and O.N. analyzed the phylogenetic tree. A.D., M.D. and J.B.
provided bioinformatics and data analysis support. A.D. oversaw the mass spectrometry and
peptide mapping. O.N., C.M., and L.C.M.M. analyzed and interpreted the data. O.N. created
the figures. O.N. and L.C.M.M. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

**Acknowledgements:** Authors would like to thank Oliver Mueller-Cajar for kindly sharing the
anti-rbcL antibody and members of the Mackinder Lab for fruitful discussions. The Technology
Facility in the Department of Biology for the access/support for microscopy and flow cytometry.
Thanks to Glenn Harper for optimizing the TEM. The York Centre of Excellence in Mass
Spectrometry was created thanks to a major capital investment through Science City York,
supported by Yorkshire Forward with funds from the Northern Way Initiative, and subsequent
support from EPSRC (EP/K039660/1; EP/M028127/1). L.C.M.M. was supported by a UKRI
Future Leader Fellowship (MR/T020679/1), EPSRC funding (EP/W024063/1) as part of the
York Physics of Pyrenoids Project (YP3), and BBSRC funding (BB/S015337/1 and
BB/X004953/1).

**References:**

1.  Mackinder, L.C.M., Meyer, M.T., Mettler-Altmann, T., Chen, V.K., Mitchell, M.C.,
Caspari, O., Freeman Rosenzweig, E.S., Pallesen, L., Reeves, G., Itakura, A., et al.
(2016). A repeat protein links Rubisco to form the eukaryotic carbon-concentrating
organelle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *113*, 5958–5963.

2.  Barrett, J., Girr, P., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2021). Pyrenoids: CO2-fixing phase
separated liquid organelles. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res. *1868*, 118949.

3.  Raven, J.A., Cockell, C.S., and De La Rocha, C.L. (2008). The evolution of inorganic
carbon concentrating mechanisms in photosynthesis. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B
Biol. Sci. *363*, 2641–2650.

4.  Meyer, M.T., Goudet, M.M.M., and Griffiths, H. (2020). The Algal Pyrenoid. In
Photosynthesis in Algae: Biochemical and Physiological Mechanisms, A. W. D. Larkum,
A. R. Grossmann, and J. A. Raven, eds. (Springer International Publishing), pp. 179–
203.

5.  He, S., Crans, V.L., and Jonikas, M.C. (2023). The pyrenoid: the eukaryotic CO2-
concentrating organelle. Plant Cell. 10.1093/plcell/koad157.

6.  Findinier, J., and Grossman, A.R. (2023). Chlamydomonas: Fast tracking from
genomics. J. Phycol. 10.1111/jpy.13356.

7.  Tsuji, Y., Nakajima, K., and Matsuda, Y. (2017). Molecular aspects of the biophysical
CO2-concentrating mechanism and its regulation in marine diatoms. J. Exp. Bot. *68*,
3763–3772.

8.  Nawaly, H., Tanaka, A., Toyoshima, Y., Tsuji, Y., and Matsuda, Y. (2023). Localization
and characterization θ carbonic anhydrases in Thalassiosira pseudonana.
Photosynthesis Research. 10.1007/s11120-023-01007-z.

9.  Oh, Z.G., Ang, W.S.L., Poh, C.W., Lai, S.-K., Sze, S.K., Li, H.-Y., Bhushan, S., Wunder,
T., and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2023). A linker protein from a red-type pyrenoid phase
separates with Rubisco via oligomerizing sticker motifs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
*120*, e2304833120.

10. Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T., and Falkowski, P. (1998). Primary
production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. Science
*281*, 237–240.

11. Benoiston, A.-S., Ibarbalz, F.M., Bittner, L., Guidi, L., Jahn, O., Dutkiewicz, S., and
Bowler, C. (2017). The evolution of diatoms and their biogeochemical functions. Philos.
Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *372*. 10.1098/rstb.2016.0397.

12. Freeman Rosenzweig, E.S., Xu, B., Kuhn Cuellar, L., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Schaffer,
M., Strauss, M., Cartwright, H.N., Ronceray, P., Plitzko, J.M., Förster, F., et al. (2017).
The Eukaryotic CO2-Concentrating Organelle Is Liquid-like and Exhibits Dynamic
Reorganization. Cell *171*, 148-162.e19.

13. Wunder, T., Cheng, S.L.H., Lai, S.-K., Li, H.-Y., and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2018). The
phase separation underlying the pyrenoid-based microalgal Rubisco supercharger. Nat.
Commun. *9*, 5076.

14. Meyer, M.T., Itakura, A.K., Patena, W., Wang, L., and He, S. (2020). Assembly of the
algal CO2-fixing organelle, the pyrenoid, is guided by a Rubisco-binding motif. Science.

15. Mukherjee, A., Lau, C.S., Walker, C.E., Rai, A.K., Prejean, C.I., Yates, G., Emrich-Mills,
T., Lemoine, S.G., Vinyard, D.J., Mackinder, L.C.M., et al. (2019). Thylakoid localized
bestrophin-like proteins are essential for the CO2 concentrating mechanism of
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *116*, 16915–16920.

16. Sinetova, M.A., Kupriyanova, E.V., Markelova, A.G., Allakhverdiev, S.I., and Pronina,
N.A. (2012). Identification and functional role of the carbonic anhydrase Cah3 in
thylakoid membranes of pyrenoid of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Biochim. Biophys.
Acta *1817*, 1248–1255.

17. Fei, C., Wilson, A.T., Mangan, N.M., and Wingreen, N.S. (2022). Modelling the
pyrenoid-based CO2-concentrating mechanism provides insights into its operating
principles and a roadmap for its engineering into crops. Nature Plants.

18. Toyokawa, C., Yamano, T., and Fukuzawa, H. (2020). Pyrenoid Starch Sheath Is
Required for LCIB Localization and the CO2-Concentrating Mechanism in Green Algae.
Plant Physiol. 10.1104/pp.19.01587.

19. Mackinder, L.C.M., Chen, C., Leib, R.D., Patena, W., Blum, S.R., Rodman, M.,
Ramundo, S., Adams, C.M., and Jonikas, M.C. (2017). A Spatial Interactome Reveals
the Protein Organization of the Algal CO$_2$-Concentrating Mechanism. Cell *171*, 133-
147.e14.

20. Lau, C.S., Dowle, A., Thomas, G.H., Girr, P., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2023). A phase-
separated CO2-fixing pyrenoid proteome determined by TurboID in Chlamydomonas
reinhardtii. Plant Cell. 10.1093/plcell/koad131.

21. Atkinson, N., Mao, Y., Chan, K.X., and McCormick, A.J. (2020). Condensation of
Rubisco into a proto-pyrenoid in higher plant chloroplasts. Nat. Commun. *11*, 6303.

22. Adler, L., Díaz-Ramos, A., Mao, Y., Pukacz, K.R., Fei, C., and McCormick, A.J. (2022).
New horizons for building pyrenoid-based CO2-concentrating mechanisms in plants to
improve yields. Plant Physiol. *190*, 1609–1627.

23. Nakajima, K., Tanaka, A., and Matsuda, Y. (2013). SLC4 family transporters in a marine
diatom directly pump bicarbonate from seawater. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*,
1767–1772.

24. Nawaly, H., Matsui, H., Tsuji, Y., Iwayama, K., Ohashi, H., Nakajima, K., and Matsuda,
Y. (2023). Multiple plasma membrane SLC4s contribute to external HCO3- acquisition

during CO2 starvation in the marine diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. J. Exp. Bot. *74*, 296–307.

25. Kikutani, S., Nakajima, K., Nagasato, C., Tsuji, Y., Miyatake, A., and Matsuda, Y. (2016). Thylakoid luminal θ-carbonic anhydrase critical for growth and photosynthesis in the marine diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *113*, 9828–9833.

26. Shimakawa, G., Okuyama, A., Harada, H., Nakagaito, S., Toyoshima, Y., Nagata, K., and Matsuda, Y. (2023). Pyrenoid-core CO2-evolving machinery is essential for diatom photosynthesis in elevated CO2. Plant Physiol. 10.1093/plphys/kiad475.

27. Matsuda, Y., Hopkinson, B.M., Nakajima, K., Dupont, C.L., and Tsuji, Y. (2017). Mechanisms of carbon dioxide acquisition and CO2 sensing in marine diatoms: a gateway to carbon metabolism. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *372*. 10.1098/rstb.2016.0403.

28. Nam, O., Grouneva, I., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2022). Endogenous GFP tagging in the diatom Thalassiosira pseudonana. bioRxiv, 2022.09.30.510313. 10.1101/2022.09.30.510313.

29. Nigishi, M., Shimakawa, G., Yamagishi, K., Amano, R., Ito, S., Tsuji, Y., Nagasato, C., and Matsuda, Y. (2023). Low-CO2 inducible bestrophins in diatom thylakoid membranes sustain high photosynthetic efficacy at distant locations from the pyrenoid. bioRxiv, 2023.09.20.558591. 10.1101/2023.09.20.558591.

30. Wang, S., Wu, R., Lu, J., Jiang, Y., Huang, T., and Cai, Y.-D. (2022). Protein-protein interaction networks as miners of biological discovery. Proteomics *22*, e2100190.

31. Huang, and Daboussi (2017). Genetic and metabolic engineering in diatoms. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *372*, 20160411.

32. Huesgen, P.F., Alami, M., Lange, P.F., Foster, L.J., Schröder, W.P., Overall, C.M., and Green, B.R. (2013). Proteomic amino-termini profiling reveals targeting information for protein import into complex plastids. PLoS One *8*, e74483.

33. Clement, R., Dimnet, L., Maberly, S.C., and Gontero, B. (2016). The nature of the CO2-concentrating mechanisms in a marine diatom, Thalassiosira pseudonana. New Phytol. 10.1111/nph.13728.

34. Sowa, M.E., Bennett, E.J., Gygi, S.P., and Harper, J.W. (2009). Defining the human deubiquitinating enzyme interaction landscape. Cell.

35. Choi, H., Larsen, B., Lin, Z.-Y., Breitkreutz, A., Mellacheruvu, D., Fermin, D., Qin, Z.S., Tyers, M., Gingras, A.-C., and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2010). SAINT: probabilistic scoring of affinity purification–mass spectrometry data. Nat. Methods *8*, 70–73.

36. Mueller-Cajar, O., Stotz, M., Wendler, P., Hartl, F.U., Bracher, A., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2011). Structure and function of the AAA+ protein CbbX, a red-type Rubisco activase. Nature *479*, 194–199.

37. Meyer, M.T., Whittaker, C., and Griffiths, H. (2017). The algal pyrenoid: key unanswered questions. J. Exp. Bot. *68*, 3739–3749.

38. Kroth, P.G., Chiovitti, A., Gruber, A., Martin-Jezequel, V., Mock, T., Parker, M.S., Stanley, M.S., Kaplan, A., Caron, L., Weber, T., et al. (2008). A model for carbohydrate

metabolism in the diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum deduced from comparative whole
genome analysis. PLoS One *3*, e1426.

39. Rae, B.D., Long, B.M., Badger, M.R., and Price, G.D. (2013). Functions, compositions,
and evolution of the two types of carboxysomes: polyhedral microcompartments that
facilitate $CO_2$ fixation in cyanobacteria and some proteobacteria. Microbiol. Mol. Biol.
Rev. *77*, 357–379.

40. Kerfeld, C.A., and Melnicki, M.R. (2016). Assembly, function and evolution of
cyanobacterial carboxysomes. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. *31*, 66–75.

41. Cameron, J.C., Wilson, S.C., Bernstein, S.L., and Kerfeld, C.A. (2013). Biogenesis of a
bacterial organelle: the carboxysome assembly pathway. Cell *155*, 1131–1140.

42. Long, B.M., Rae, B.D., Rolland, V., Förster, B., and Price, G.D. (2016). Cyanobacterial
CO2-concentrating mechanism components: function and prospects for plant metabolic
engineering. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. *31*, 1–8.

43. Metskas, L.A., Ortega, D., Oltrogge, L.M., Blikstad, C., Lovejoy, D.R., Laughlin, T.G.,
Savage, D.F., and Jensen, G.J. (2022). Rubisco forms a lattice inside alpha-
carboxysomes. Nat. Commun. *13*, 4863.

44. Ni, T., Sun, Y., Burn, W., Al-Hazeem, M.M.J., Zhu, Y., Yu, X., Liu, L.-N., and Zhang, P.
(2022). Structure and assembly of cargo Rubisco in two native α-carboxysomes. Nat.
Commun. *13*, 4299.

45. Jensen, E.L., Clement, R., Kosta, A., Maberly, S.C., and Gontero, B. (2019). A new
widespread subclass of carbonic anhydrase in marine phytoplankton. ISME J. *13*,
2094–2106.

46. Yee, D.P., Samo, T.J., Abbriano, R.M., Shimasaki, B., Vernet, M., Mayali, X., Weber,
P.K., Mitchell, B.G., Hildebrand, M., Decelle, J., et al. (2023). The V-type ATPase
enhances photosynthesis in marine phytoplankton and further links phagocytosis to
symbiogenesis. Curr. Biol. 10.1016/j.cub.2023.05.020.

47. Guillard, R.R., and Ryther, J.H. (1962). Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I.
Cyclotella nana Hustedt, and Detonula confervacea (cleve) Gran. Can. J. Microbiol. *8*,
229–239.

48. Guillard, R.R.L. (1975). Culture of Phytoplankton for Feeding Marine Invertebrates. In
Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals: Proceedings — 1st Conference on Culture of
Marine Invertebrate Animals Greenport, W. L. Smith and M. H. Chanley, eds. (Springer
US), pp. 29–60.

49. Weber, E., Engler, C., Gruetzner, R., Werner, S., and Marillonnet, S. (2011). A modular
cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PLoS One *6*,
e16765.

50. Karas, B.J., Diner, R.E., Lefebvre, S.C., McQuaid, J., Phillips, A.P.R., Noddings, C.M.,
Brunson, J.K., Valas, R.E., Deerinck, T.J., Jablanovic, J., et al. (2015). Designer diatom
episomes delivered by bacterial conjugation. Nat. Commun. *6*, 6925.

51. Strand, T.A., Lale, R., Degnes, K.F., Lando, M., and Valla, S. (2014). A new and
improved host-independent plasmid system for RK2-based conjugal transfer. PLoS One
*9*, e90372.

52. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source
platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods *9*, 676–682.

53. Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Couch, G.S., Croll, T.I.,
Morris, J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. (2021). UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for
researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci. *30*, 70–82.

**Figure S1. RbcL antibody**

A. An antibody was raised against a surface exposed region (yellow) of the rbcL (green). RbcS is shown in red.

B. Immunoblot using the rbcL antibody against the soluble and insoluble cell fractions of *T. pseudonana*.

809
810 **Figure S2. Flow cytometry screening to identify fluorophore fusion lines.** Example data
811 for Diatom Pyrenoid Component 2 (DPC2). Red box denotes cell line used for subsequent
812 imaging and APMS.
813

B8BW88: Aspartate/glutamate/uridylate kinase domain-containing protein

B8BXJ7: Uncharacterized protein

B8C8L9: Gfo/Idh/MocA-like oxidoreductase N-terminal domain-containing protein

B8C9Q9: Oxoglutarate/matate translocator

B8BZ40: Phosphoribulokinase

B8C2A9: S-malonyltransferase

B8BU77: Nucleoside-diphosphate kinase

B8LDK2: Glycoside hydrolase family 5 domain-containing protein

B8BRI7: 2-isopropylmalate synthase

815 **Figure S3. mEGFP fusion proteins that did not localize to the pyrenoid.** Green: mEGFP

816 fusion protein; Magenta: chlorophyll. Scales bar: 2 µm.

818
819 **Figure S4. Shell protein amino acid alignment.**
820

825
826 **Figure S5 (continued from previous page). Co-localization of Shell proteins with**
827 **Rubisco.** Image columns 1-4: additional images of co-localization of Shell proteins with
828 Rubisco. Scale bars: 2µm. Image columns 5-8: Fluorescence intensity cross-sections of Shell
829 and rbcS fluorescence across the pyrenoid. Level of Zoom changes between images. Image
830 column 9: Z-stack max intensity projections.
831
832

Shell5  Shell4  Shell1,2,3  Shell6

Pyrenoid

Representative image of shell protein(s)
rbcS

Shell1,2,3  Shell1,2,3

835  **Figure S6. Shell proteins localize to different sub-regions of the pyrenoid.**
836  Representative images from Figure 4 illustrating how different shell proteins are organized
837  around the pyrenoid.

839
840 **Figure S7. AlphaFold2 model comparisons of Shell proteins.** Root mean square
841 deviation values relative to Shell1 are shown.
842

844
845 **Figure S8. Shell1/2 knock out genotyping.** Due to 93% DNA sequence similarity between
846 Shell1 and Shell2 both genes we knocked out simultaneously by designing two sgRNAs that
847 targeted conserved sequences in Shell1 and Shell2.