Mesoscale functional organization and connectivity of color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in human second visual area

Hailin Ai[1†], Weiru Lin[2,3†], Chengwen Liu[5,6], Nihong Chen[1,4*], Peng Zhang[2,3,7*]

[1]Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

[2]State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Science, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

[3]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[4]THU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

[5]Department of Psychology and Cognition and Human Behavior Key Laboratory of Hunan Province, Hunan Normal University, Hunan, 410081, China.

[6]Center for Mind & Brain Sciences, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410081, China.

[7]Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Hefei Comprehensive National Science Center, Hefei, 230026, China

† H.A. and W.L. contributed equally to this work.

*Address correspondence to Nihong Chen (nihongch@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn) or Peng Zhang (zhangpeng@ibp.ac.cn)

Author contributions: N.C. and P.Z. designed the research. H.A., W.L., C.L., and P.Z. conducted the experiments. H.A., W.L., N.C., and P.Z. analyzed the data. H.A., N.C., and P.Z. wrote the manuscript.

Abstract

Although parallel processing has been extensively studied in the low-level geniculostriate pathway and the high-level dorsal and ventral visual streams, much less is known at the intermediate-level visual areas. In this study, we employed high-resolution fMRI at 7 Tesla to investigate the columnar and laminar organizations for color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in the human secondary visual cortex (V2), and its informational connectivity with lower and higher order visual areas. Although fMRI activations in V2 showed clear and reproducible color-selective thin and disparity-selective thick "stripe" columns, we found no evidence for a columnar organization for naturalistic textures. Cortical depth-dependent analyses revealed the strongest color-selectivity in the superficial layers of V2, along with both feedforward and feedback informational connectivity with V1 and V4. Disparity selectivity was similar across different cortical depths of V2, which showed significant feedforward and feedback connectivity with V1 and V3ab. Interestingly, the selectivity for naturalistic texture was strongest in the deep layers of V2, with significant feedback connectivity from V4. Thus, while local circuitry within cortical columns is crucial for processing color and disparity information, feedback modulations from V4 play a dominant role in processing naturalistic statistics in area V2, which lacks a clear columnar organization.

Keywords: V2; Naturalistic texture; Color; Disparity; 7T fMRI; Cortical columns and layers; Layer-specific informational connectivity

Introduction

A single glance at the world captures a rich amount of visual information. The initial signals hit on the retina are transformed along the visual hierarchy in a way that different aspects of information are processed in parallel streams (Nassi & Callaway, 2009). The retina-geniculate-striate pathway of the primate visual system is primarily segregated into the magnocellular (M) and parvocellular (P) streams (Kaplan et al., 1990; Lee, 1996; Merigan & Maunsell, 1993), selectively processing different spatiotemporal frequencies of achromatic and chromatic information. After the input layers of the primary visual cortex (V1), the M and P information are transformed into higher-level visual representations, such as motion, disparity, color, orientation, etc. (Tootell & Nasr, 2017). In area V2 of the primate visual cortex, the processing of motion/disparity, color and orientation information has been found to be organized into "stripe"-shaped interdigitated columns (Hubel & Livingstone, 1985, 1987; Roe & Ts'o, 1995; Ts'O et al., 2001; Xiao et al., 2003), corresponding to the 'thick', 'thin', and 'pale' stripes in cytochrome oxidase (CO) staining studies (Livingstone & Hubel, 1982; Tootell et al., 1983). The interdigitated columnar organizations for color and motion/disparity processing have also been found in human V2 by high-resolution fMRI at 7 Tesla (Kennedy et al., 2023; Nasr et al., 2016).

More recently, primate V2 was also found to be sensitive to high-order statistical dependencies embedded in naturalistic textures (Freeman et al., 2013), a unique type of information critical for surface and material perception. Computationally, these high-order statistical dependencies were composed of correlations across different orientations, spatial scales, and local positions, which can be calculated from the output of orientation filters in V1 (Freeman et al., 2013; Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000). Although weakly represented in V1 (Freeman et al., 2013; Okazawa et al., 2015; Ziemba et al., 2016), the neural selectivity to naturalistic statistics was found to be increasingly stronger in the downstream areas V3 (Kohler et al., 2016) and V4 (Arcizet et al., 2008; Okazawa et al., 2015, 2017). Electrophysiological recordings have also identified later and much weaker sensitivity to naturalistic texture in the superficial and deep layers of V1 compared to V2 (Ziemba et al., 2019), consistent with an effect of corticocortical feedback modulation. However, it remains unclear whether the neural

representations of naturalistic textures arise from local processing within V2 or feedback modulation from higher order visual areas, such as V4.

While interdigitated stripe-shaped columnar organizations for color and motion/disparity processing have been found in primate V2, it remains unknown whether a columnar organization also exists for the processing of naturalistic textures. If local circuits in area V2 are essential for processing high-order naturalistic statistics, specialized cortical columns might develop to enhance computational efficiency (Mountcastle, 1997; Schulte to Brinke et al., 2022; Stoop et al., 2013). A likely candidate for such computational units is the pale stripes, known for their preferential responses to orientation information (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone & Hubel, 1988; Lu & Roe, 2008; Malach et al., 1994). Alternatively, if feedback modulations from higher order visual areas play a prominent role in generating the selectivity in area V2 to high-order statistical dependencies embedded in naturalist textures, a specialized cortical column may not be necessary for such computations. Furthermore, the integration of local elements across various locations, orientations, and spatial scales, which is necessary for processing high-order statistics, might pose a challenge for early visual areas like V2 to develop a specialized computational module.

To better understand the functional organizations and neural circuits of information processing in area V2, the present study investigated laminar and columnar response profiles for color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in human V2 using 7T fMRI at 1-mm isotropic resolution. Cortical depth-dependent fMRI (also called layer fMRI) allows us to non-invasively measure feedforward, local, and feedback activity in human cerebral cortex (Huber et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2021; Norris & Polimeni, 2019; Olman et al., 2012; Polimeni & Uludağ, 2018). By combining laminar fMRI with informational connectivity method (Jia et al., 2020), we further investigated the feedforward and feedback connectivity between V2 and lower/higher order visual cortical areas. Our results revealed interdigitated stripe-shaped columnar organizations in V2 for color and disparity processing, which involved both feedforward and feedback connectivity with other visual areas in the hierarchy. In contrast, feedback modulations from V4 played a prominent role in processing naturalistic statistics in area V2, which did not exhibit a clear columnar organization.

Results

Figure 1. A. Visual stimuli for the fMRI experiments. Left: chromatic and achromatic gratings for the color experiment; Middle: disparity-defined grating and zero-disparity disc from random dots for the disparity experiment; Right: naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise for the texture experiment. B. Parallel information processing pathways in the early visual areas. C. Layer-specific neural circuitry of feedforward, feedback, and horizontal connections in the early visual areas. S: Superficial layers, M: Middle layers, D: Deep layers.

Figure 1A shows the stimuli for the color, disparity, and texture experiments. Color-selective activation was defined as the contrast of fMRI responses between chromatic (Chr) and achromatic (Ach) gratings (1st column). Disparity-selective activation was the response difference between disparity-defined sinusoidal gratings (3D) by random dot

stereograms (RDSs) and their zero-disparity (2D) counterparts (2nd column). Texture-selective activation was the response difference between naturalistic textures (T) and spectrally matched noise (N) (3rd column).

Figure 2B illustrates a simple model for the building blocks of parallel processing streams in area V2 and their connections with lower (V1) and higher order visual areas (V3ab and V4). Previous studies in anesthetized macaques found neural selectivity to binocular disparity in the layer 4B of V1, V2 thick stripes, and V3ab in the dorsal stream (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987; Tootell et al., 1983; Ts'O et al., 2001; Tsao et al., 2003), color selectivity in the color blobs in the V1 superficial layers, V2 thin stripes, and V4 in the ventral stream (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987, 1988; Lu & Roe, 2008; Zeki, 1973), and strong orientation selectivity in layer 2/3 of V1, V2 pale stripes, and V4 (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Roe & Ts'o, 1995; Tanigawa et al., 2010; Ts'O et al., 2001). Given a strong dependency on the output of orientation filters (Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000; Simoncelli & Olshausen, 2001), naturalistic textures might be selectively processed by orientation-selective neurons in the pale stripes of V2.

Figure 1C illustrates a simplified model for the layer-specific neural circuitry in the early visual cortex (Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Nassi & Callaway, 2009). In addition to feedforward and local horizontal connections, feedback modulations may also play important roles in processing visual information, especially in conscious visual perception (Ge et al., 2020). Using cortical-depth dependent fMRI, we aim to investigate the feedforward, feedback, and local processing of color, disparity, and texture information in the human visual system.

Functional organizations on the cortical surface of V2

Figure 2. A**.** Activation maps in a representative subject (S09). The scale bar denotes percent signal change of
BOLD response. From left to right: Chr - Ach (color), 3D - 2D (disparity), color - disparity, T – N (texture). The
bottom panels show enlarged activations in the black square. The highlighted region in the bottom panels
represents area V2. Color-selective and disparity-selective stripe-shaped activations arranged perpendicular to the
V1-V2 border. Red arrowheads denote the location of color-selective (thin) stripes and blue arrowheads denote the
location of disparity-selective (thick) stripes. The ROIs for pale stripes were defined as vertices in-between
adjacent thin and thick stripes (see methods for details). B**.** Selectivity indices for color, disparity and naturalistic
texture in different types of columns. Error bar indicates 1 SEM across subjects. **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$. n.s.:
none significance. C**.** Inter-session correlations for the color- and disparity-selective functional maps in S09. Each
blue dot represents one vertex on V2 surface.

In a representative subject (Figure 2A), color-selective (Chr - Ach, 1st column, red arrows)

and disparity-selective activations (3D - 2D, 2nd column, blue arrows) show stripe-shaped

organizations in area V2. These interdigitated stripes can be more clearly seen on the

differential map between color and disparity activations ((Chr – Ach) – (3D – 2D), 3rd column).

However, the texture-selective activation map does not exhibit a clear columnar organization

(T - N, 4th column). Stronger texture-selective activations can be found from the more anterior

part of V2, corresponding to the peripheral visual field. Similar functional organizations can be

found from other subjects (Supplementary Fig. S1).

To further demonstrate whether there is a difference in texture selectivity within different

functional modules of the parallel processing streams in area V2, we performed an ROI

analysis with the thick, thin, and pale stripes. The ROIs for disparity-selective thick and

color-selective thin stripes were defined by the differential map between color- and

disparity-selective activations (color-disparity, the 3rd column in figure 2A), while the ROIs for

the pale stripes were defined as vertices in-between adjacent thin- and thick-stripe ROIs (see

methods for details about ROI-definition, and Supplementary Fig. S2 for the ROIs of a

representative subject). From the columnar response profile (Figure 2B), thin- and thick-stripe

ROIs show the strongest selectivity to color and disparity information, respectively. This is as

expected and validated our ROI definition approach. We further conducted repeated-measures

ANOVA and Bayesian ANOVA to examine whether there is difference in texture-selectivity

index across three different stripes. The results were statistically non-significant (F(2,9) = 1.88,

p = 0.18, BF_{10} = 0.65). A non-parametric bootstrap method also revealed no significant

difference between the responses to naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise (see

bootstrapped distributions in Supplementary Fig. S3).

In 5 out of 10 subjects, both color and disparity experiments were conducted in two

sessions. To evaluate the test-retest reliability of the interdigitated columnar organizations, we

calculated the inter-session pattern correlations for the color- and disparity-selective functional

maps. For the representative subject (Figure 2C), the correlation coefficients for both color- (r

= 0.66) and disparity-selective activation maps (r = 0.53) were highly significant (both p <

0.001, FWE corrected). The functional maps from the other four subjects also demonstrate

highly significant pattern correlations between sessions (Supplementary Fig. S4). These

findings demonstrate that the interdigitated columnar organizations for color and disparity

processing are highly reproducible.

Layer-specific response selectivity

Figure 3. Layer-specific response selectivity for color (A), disparity (B) and naturalistic texture (C). Error bars

indicate 1 SEM across subjects. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$.

A response selectivity index was calculated for each stimulus contrast (see method for

details). Within each ROI, repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted on each type of

selectivity index with cortical depth (deep/middle/superficial) as the within-subject factor,

followed by post-hoc t-tests between different depths. Color selectivity was significantly

stronger in the superficial cortical depth compared to the middle and deep cortical depths in

both V1 ($F(2,9) = 15.08$, $p < 0.001$, $BF_{10} = 133.72$; S vs. M: $t(9) = 4.78$, $p < 0.01$; S vs. D: $t(9)$

$= 3.98$, $p < 0.01$) and V2 ($F(2,9) = 12.93$, $p < 0.001$, $BF_{10} = 64.83$; S vs. M: $t(9) = 3.80$, $p < 0.01$;

S vs. D: $t(9) = 3.78$, $p < 0.01$). No significant difference was observed across different depths in

other visual areas (Figure 3A). According to the hierarchical model in figure 1B and 1C, the

strongest color selectivity in the superficial cortical depth is consistent with the fact that color

blobs mainly locate in the superficial layers of V1 (Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Hubel &

Livingstone, 1987; Nassi & Callaway, 2009), suggesting that both local and feedforward

connections are involved in processing color information in area V2.

Disparity selectivity was significantly higher in the superficial cortical depth compared to

the middle and deep cortical depths in V3ab (Figure 3B) ($F(2,9) = 8.3$, $p < 0.01$, $BF_{10} = 12.43$;

S vs. M: $t(9) = 2.85$, $p < 0.05$; S vs. D: $t(9) = 3.68$, $p < 0.01$). No significant difference was

found across cortical depths in other ROIs (all $F(2,9) < 2.85$, $p > 0.08$). The absence of laminar

difference in disparity selectivity may suggest that both feedforward, feedback, and local

mechanisms are involved in processing disparity information in area V2.

Response selectivity to naturalistic texture was strongest in the deep cortical depth in both

V1 ($F(2,9) = 8.6$, $p < 0.01$, $BF_{10} = 63.57$; D vs. M: $t(9) = 2.28$, $p < 0.05$; D vs. S: $t(9) = 4.76$, p

< 0.01) and V2 ($F(2,9) = 12.91$, $BF_{10} = 14.08$, $p < 0.001$; D vs. M: $t(9) = 2.49$, $p < 0.05$; D vs. S:

$t(9) = 4.29$ $p < 0.01$). Although texture selectivity showed no significant difference across

cortical depths in the higher level visual areas V4 ($F(2,9) = 0.48$, $p = 0.63$) and V3ab ($F(2,9) =$

3.53, $p = 0.051$), which were significantly larger than those in V1 and V2 (all paired

comparisons between ROIs, $p < 0.001$, $BF_{10} > 1106.79$). V1 responses to naturalistic textures

were also significantly weaker compared to spectrally matched noise, in line with the top-down

feedback hypothesis of predictive coding (Friston, 2005; Murray et al., 2002; Rao & Ballard,

1999). The strongest selectivity in the deep layers of V2 suggests that feedback modulations

from higher-level visual areas play a crucial role in processing naturalistic statistical

information in this region.

Layer-specific informational connectivity

Figure 4. Layer-specific feedforward and feedback informational connectivity of color, disparity, and naturalistic

texture. Numbers denote the mean values of connection (Pearson's r) across all subjects. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$,

***: $p < 0.001$

To further investigate the information flow in the visual hierarchy, we conducted

layer-specific informational connectivity analysis among V1, V2, V3ab, and V4 (Aly &

Turk-Browne, 2016; Coutanche & Thompson-Schill, 2014; Haxby et al., 2001; Huffman &

Stark, 2017; Jia et al., 2020; Koster et al., 2018). For each pair of stimuli, an SVM classifier

was trained to decode the stimulus type (e.g., chromatic or achromatic gratings).

Block-by-block multi-variate distances to the decision boundary were used to calculate the

co-variability of stimulus representations between two brain regions. Feedforward connectivity

was defined as the connection between the superficial layer of a lower level area and the middle

layer of a higher level area, whereas feedback connectivity was defined as the connection

between the deep layers of two brain regions.

As shown in figure 4, for color-selective processing, significant feedforward (t(9) = 5.64, p

< 0.001) and feedback connections (t(9) = 10.39, p < 0.001) were found between V1 and V2,

and between V2 and V4 (both $t(9) > 4.96$, $p < 0.01$). For disparity-selective processing,

significant feedforward ($t(9) = 3.77$, $p < 0.05$) and feedback connections ($t(9) = 3.06$, $p < 0.05$)

were found between V1 and V2, and also between V2 and V3ab (both $t(9) > 2.90$, $p < 0.05$). In

contrast, for naturalistic texture-selective processing, a significant feedback connection was

found from V4 to V2 ($t(9) = 4.28$, $p < 0.05$). No significant correlation was found for other

connections (all $t(9) < 1.7$, $p > 0.25$).

Discussion

Utilizing 7T BOLD fMRI at 1-mm isotropic resolution, we investigated laminar and

columnar response profiles for color, disparity, and naturalistic textures in human V2 by

presenting three stimulus contrasts. Color- and disparity-selective activations revealed clear

stripe-shaped columnar organizations in area V2, oriented perpendicular to the V1-V2 border

(Fig. 2A). These columnar patterns were reproducible between different scanning sessions (Fig.

2C, Supplementary Fig. S4), and are consistent with previous findings from intrinsic optical

imaging studies in monkeys (Lu & Roe, 2008; Ts'O et al., 2001) and 7T fMRI studies in

humans (Kennedy et al., 2023; Nasr et al., 2016). However, V2 does not exhibit a clear

columnar organization for naturalistic textures. Cortical depth-dependent analysis revealed that

compared to color and disparity information, the processing of naturalistic statistics in V2 is

more dependent on feedback modulation from V4.

The laminar profiles of response selectivity revealed both inter- and intra-areal

hierarchical processing of visual information. Color selectivity was strongest in the superficial

layers of V1 and V2. This result is consistent with the findings that color blobs are primarily

located in the superficial layers of primate V1 (Livingstone & Hubel, 1982), and that the local

processing of color information is most prominent in the superficial layers of the early visual

cortex (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Lu & Roe, 2008). For disparity processing, no significant

difference was identified across cortical depths in the early visual cortex. This result suggests

that feedforward, feedback, and local mechanisms all contribute to generating

disparity-defined 3D perception, as the middle layer is recognized as the primary termination

of feedforward inputs, and the superficial and deep layers are considered as the output layers to

higher-order areas and the primary recipients of feedback projections, respectively (Callaway,

2004; Felleman & Van Essen, 1991). Consistent with the laminar response profiles,

layer-specific informational connectivity analyses showed that both feedforward and feedback

signals play important roles in color and disparity processing in the ventral (i.e., V2-V4) and

dorsal (i.e., V2-V3ab) visual streams, respectively.

A previous electrophysiological study investigated laminar neural activity in V1 and V2 to

naturalistic textures in anesthetized macaques (Ziemba et al., 2019). Their findings suggest that

the superficial and deep layers of V1 are subject to top-down modulation from higher-order

visual areas during processing of naturalistic textures. Consistent with this study, we found the

strongest selectivity to naturalistic textures in the deep layer of V1, suggesting feedback

modulation from higher-order visual areas. V1 responses to naturalistic textures were also

significantly weaker compared to spectrally matched noise, consistent with the framework of

predictive coding: top-down hypotheses from higher level area "explain away" or reduce the

prediction error signals in the lower visual area (Friston, 2005; Murray et al., 2002; Rao &

Ballard, 1999). This could also explain the strongest signal reduction in the superficial layers in

our results, since the error signals should be mainly represented in the output layers according

to the model of canonical microcircuits for predictive coding (Bastos et al., 2012).

In V2, Ziemba and colleagues (2019) found stronger texture selectivity in the superficial

and middle layers, which potentially emerged from local processing within this area. In

contrast, our data revealed the highest selectivity to naturalistic textures in the deep layers of

V2, along with a significant feedback modulation from V4. The amount of texture selectivity in

V4 was also stronger compared to V2. This is consistent with previous macaque studies

showing stronger texture selectivity in V4 than in V2 (Okazawa et al., 2017) and local clustered

neurons in V4 that shared preferential image statistics (Hatanaka et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022).

Altogether, these findings suggest an important role of feedback modulation from V4 in

generating selectivity to naturalistic textures in V2. In the monkey study, it is possible that

general anesthesia substantially reduced feedback modulation from high-order brain areas.

There is evidence showing that V4 activity is more closely related to conscious visual

perception than the early visual areas (Mehta et al., 2000; Tong, 2003).

Our fMRI data demonstrate a significant contribution of feedback signals in generating the

selectivity for naturalistic textures in area V2. Nonetheless, this does not preclude the

possibility that such selectivity might arise from local processing within this area and become

progressively stronger along the feedforward pathway. In accordance with the predictive

coding hypothesis discussed above, top-down feedback might reduce the neural activity

representing prediction errors in the superficial layers of lower-order areas, counteracting the

effect of neural response evoked by local processing. Furthermore, recent macaque studies

have shown that the cortical processing of naturalistic textures depends on the type of statistical

regularities (Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015). Compared to previous studies (Freeman et

al., 2013; Okazawa et al., 2015; Ziemba et al., 2016), our V2 results showed much weaker

selectivity to naturalistic textures. This could be due to different textures used in the current

study. As suggested by the previous findings, texture selectivity across neurons in V2 and V4

can be highly diversified (Fig. 2e in Freeman et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2022): some texture

families are much more or less effective in driving the neural activity than others, with distinct

temporal dynamics.

We found no evidence of a columnar organization for naturalistic texture information

within area V2. A cortical column is formed by many mini-columns bound together by

short-range horizontal connections (Mountcastle, 1997), supporting efficient information

processing via local circuitry. Thus, the absence of a columnar organization in area V2 is

consistent with a dominant role of feedback modulation, rather than local or feedforward

processing in generating texture selectivity within this area. Considering the complex

computations required for processing naturalistic information, it is likely that V4 neurons are

more suitable for this task than those in V2. High-order statistics in naturalistic textures are

computed via integrating local elements across different locations, orientations, and spatial

scales (Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000), presenting a challenge for an early visual area such as V2

to develop a specialized computational module. In line with this idea, the neural tunings in V4

are distributed in a way suitable for categorizing textures and predicting texture discrimination

abilities (Hatanaka et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015).

Finally, the critical period for the formation of cortical columns in lower-level visual area

might close at an earlier stage during development (Kiorpes, 2015; Levi, 2005). It is possible

that the emergence of selectivity to naturalistic textures requires extensive visual experience

with the ability to actively explore the visual environment. After the closure of critical period in

V2 for forming color- and disparity-selective columns, V4 may still be in its critical period

with high neural plasticity, allowing it to develop neuronal clusters with strong preference for

naturalistic textures (Hatanaka et al., 2022). Subsequently, feedback modulations from V4 may

further increase the selectivity for naturalistic textures in V2.

In summary, the present study demonstrated parallel pathways for color, disparity, and

texture processing in human visual cortex. Unlike color and disparity, no evidence of columnar

organization or response preference across thick, pale, and thin stripes was found for

naturalistic texture in area V2. Consistent with this finding, our results further suggest that

feedback processing from V4 plays a dominant role in generating texture selectivity within V2.

These results underscore the critical involvement of higher-order visual area in texture

processing. Given the diversity of naturalistic textures, different cortical mechanisms may be

involved at various processing stages (Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015). In the future, it

would be important to characterize columnar and laminar fMRI responses using different

texture types to obtain a comprehensive picture of naturalistic texture processing along the

visual hierarchy.

Materials and Methods

Participants

354   Ten participants (four females; age range 21-40 years) were recruited for this study. All

355 participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and reported no history of

356 neuropsychological or visual disorders. The experimental procedures were approved by the

357 ethical review board of Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Written

358 informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation in the study.

General procedures

Each participant underwent three fMRI experiments in the 7T scanner. Six subjects

participated color, disparity, and texture experiments in three daily sessions (five subjects

scanned both color and disparity experiments in two sessions, and the texture experiment in a

single session; one subject scanned one experimental condition in each session). For each

experiment, ten runs of fMRI data were collected. The remaining four participants completed

all three experiments in a single session, consisting of twelve runs in total (four runs for each

experiment). The order of experimental conditions was counterbalanced across participants.

Visual stimuli subtended $46.7° \times 35.9°$ in visual angle, with a fixation point (0.3° in diameter)

in the center. During fMRI scans, each run started and ended with 16-s fixation periods. In the

remaining periods, visual stimuli were presented in 24-second stimulus blocks. Participants

were required to maintain fixation and to detect sparsely and randomly presented color changes

of the fixation point.

Stimuli and apparatus

Visual stimuli were presented through an MRI-safe projector (1024×768 pixel resolution,

60 Hz refresh rate) onto a rear-projection screen. The experiment was conducted using

MATLAB 2016a (MathWorks) based on Psychophysics toolbox extensions Version 3.0

(Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997). Participants viewed the screen via a mirror mounted inside the

head coil.

Color experiment. The MRI-safe projector was calibrated using a PR-655 photometer to

have a linear luminance output. To account for changes in isoluminance at different

eccentricities (Nasr et al., 2016; Bilodeau & Faubert, 1997; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987;

Mullen, 1985), we measured blue-red and blue-gray isoluminance for each participant at three

eccentricity ranges (0°-3°, 3°-8°, and 8°-16°). Blue was set as the reference color, because the

project has lower light intensity for blue compared with red and gray. A minimal motion

procedure was used to match the perceived luminance between blue and red/gray (Anstis &

Cavanagh, 1983). During isoluminance adjustment, achromatic and chromatic gratings were

presented in alternating frames, with pi/2 phase difference between adjacent frames. Blue

luminance was fixed at the maximum level, participants adjusted the match-color luminance

until no consistent apparent motion was seen (i.e., bi-stable motion directions with equal

durations). For each eccentricity range, the isoluminance adjustment was repeated four times

and the results were averaged. Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B illustrate the blue-matched

luminance levels (in RGB index) of gray and red, respectively, at the three eccentricity ranges.

Consistent with previous findings (Nasr et al., 2016; Bilodeau & Faubert, 1997; Livingstone &

Hubel, 1987; Mullen, 1985), the isoluminance level varied significantly as eccentricity

(blue-gray: $F(2,9) = 87.9$, $p < 0.001$, FWE corrected; blue-red: $F(2,9) = 35.71$, $p < 0.001$, FWE

corrected). During fMRI scans, chromatic and achromatic gratings (0.2 cycles-per-degree

concentric rings, $46.7° \times 35.9°$ in size, Fig. 1A, left panel) moved in either centrifugal or

centripetal direction with a speed of 0.8 cycles/s, alternating in 24-s blocks (5 blocks per

stimulus condition). A 16-second fixation period with uniform gray background was presented

at the beginning and the end of each run. Ten runs of fMRI data were collected for six subjects

and four runs for the other four subjects.

Disparity experiment. The binocular disparity stimulus ($46.7°\times35.9°$) was random

red/green dot stereograms (RDSs) presented against a black background. Subjects viewed the

stimulus through custom anaglyph spectacles (red and cyan). The RDSs generated a

stereoscopic percept, with the depth of each dot sinusoidally modulating between $-2.2° \sim 2.2°$

in front of and behind the frontoparallel plane of fixation (Fig. 1A, middle panel). In the

zero-disparity 2D control condition, randomly moving dots formed a frontoparallel plane

intersecting the fixation point (i.e., zero depth at that point). Each run began and ended with a

16-second fixation period. The disparity-defined grating and zero-disparity disc stimuli were

presented in alternation every 24 s. Ten runs of fMRI data were collected for six subjects and

four runs for the other four subjects.

Texture experiment. The naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise (Figure 1A,

right panel) were synthesized using the Portilla-Simoncelli model (Portilla & Simoncelli,

2000). Thirty image pairs were generated. The stimuli ($46.7° \times 35.9°$) were presented in the

middle of the screen, centered on the fixation point. Each fMRI run consisted of ten 24-s

stimulus blocks, starting and ending with 16-s fixation periods. Each stimulus block consisted

of thirty pictures in a random order, with a duration of 0.6 s for each picture. Ten runs of fMRI

data were collected for six subjects and four runs for the other four subjects.

MRI Data Acquisition

MRI data were acquired on a 7T MAGNETOM MRI scanner (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel receive 4-channel transmit open-face surface coil, in the Beijing MRI center for Brain Research (BMCBR). Functional data were collected with a T2*-weighted 2D GE-EPI sequence (1.0 mm isotropic voxels, 39 slices, TR = 2400 ms, TE = 25 ms, image matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 128 × 128 mm^2, GRAPPA acceleration factor = 3, nominal flip angle = 80°, partial Fourier factor = 7/8, phase encoding direction from Head to Foot, receiver bandwidth = 1148 Hz/Pix). Slices were oriented perpendicular to the calcarine sulcus. After each fMRI run, five EPI images with reversed phase encoding direction (F to H) were also acquired for EPI distortion correction. High-resolution anatomical volumes were acquired with a T1-weighted MP2RAGE sequence at 0.7 mm isotropic resolution (256 sagittal slices, centric phase encoding, acquisition matrix = 320 × 320, FOV = 224 × 224 mm^2, GRAPPA = 3, TR = 4000 ms, TE = 3.05 ms, TI1 = 750ms, flip angle = 4°, TI2 = 2500 ms, flip angle = 5°). A bite-bar was settled for each subject to minimize head motion to ensure high data quality.

MRI data analysis

Preprocessing

The anatomical data were preprocessed using FreeSurfer Version 6.0 (Fischl, 2012), which involved the segmentation and reconstruction of inflated and flattened cortical surfaces based on high-resolution anatomical data. We inspected visually and edited manually the surface segmentation to eliminate dura matter, sinus, etc., ensuring correct gray matter boundaries. The functional data were preprocessed and analyzed with AFNI (Cox, 1996), ANTs (Avants et al., 2011), and the mripy package developed in our lab (https://github.com/herrlich10/mripy). Preprocessing steps included head motion correction, de-spiking, slice timing correction, EPI distortion correction (non-linear warping with blip-up/down method), and per-run scaling as percent signal change. All spatial transformations were combined and applied in a single interpolation step (sinc interpolation) to minimize the loss of spatial resolution. No spatial smoothing was applied to the main functional imaging data. We aligned the anatomical volume as well as the reconstructed

surfaces to the mean of preprocessed EPI images. General linear models (GLMs) were used to

estimate the BOLD responses (β values) to visual stimuli with a canonical hemodynamic

response function (BLOCK4 in AFNI). Slow baseline drift and motion parameters were

included as nuisance regressors in GLMs.

Cortical depth definition

To perform the cortical depth-dependent analysis, we resampled the functional volumes to

0.5 mm isotropic resolution using cubic interpolation (3dresample in AFNI). The equi-volume

method was used to calculate the relative cortical depth of each voxel to the white matter and

pial surface (0: white matter surface, 1: pial surface) (Supplementary Figure S6A). The voxels

in each ROI were sorted and divided into three bins: deep depth (0-0.33), middle depth

(0.33-0.67), and superficial depth (0.67-1.00) (Ge et al., 2020; Kemper et al., 2018).

ROI definition

ROIs were defined on the inflated cortical surface. Surface ROIs for V1, V2, V3ab, and

V4 were defined based on the polar angle atlas from the 7T retinotopic dataset of Human

Connectome Project (Benson et al., 2014, 2018). Moreover, the boundary of V2 was edited

manually based on columnar patterns. All ROIs were constrained to regions where mean

activation across all stimulus conditions exceeded 0. In V2, ROIs for the thin and thick

"stripe"-shaped columns were manually defined in two stages. Firstly, we defined thin stripes

by contrast between the chromatic and achromatic stimuli, and thick stripes by contrast

between binocular disparity and 2D control stimuli. Secondly, we defined final stripes by

contrast between these two, resulting in interdigitated thin and thick stripes distributed without

overlap. The pale stripes were defined as the regions located between the thin and thick stripes.

We compared the fMRI signal changes elicited by the three stimulus contrasts in each stripe.

Stimulus-selectivity index

The ROI-averaged BOLD responses were calculated for each stimulus condition. We

defined a selectivity index (SI) for color, disparity, and texture processing, respectively:

$$SI_{color} = (\beta_{chr} - \beta_{ach}) / (\beta_{chr} + \beta_{ach})$$

$$SI_{disparity} = (\beta_{3D} - \beta_{2D}) / (\beta_{3D} + \beta_{2D})$$

$$SI_{texture} = (\beta_{T} - \beta_{N}) / (\beta_{T} + \beta_{N})$$

Here, β_{chr}, β_{ach}, β_{3D}, β_{2D}, β_{T} and β_{N} represent the beta estimates of BOLD responses for the chromatic, achromatic, binocular disparity, 2D control, naturalistic texture, and spectrally matched noise stimuli, respectively.

Test-retest reliability of columnar organizations

For five subjects who participated in both color and disparity experiments across two daily scan sessions, we generated color ($\beta_{chr} - \beta_{ach}$) and disparity ($\beta_{3D} - \beta_{2D}$) selective functional maps on the cortical surface in area V2. Pearson's correlations were computed to evaluate the test-retest reliability of color- and disparity-selective response patterns between the two scan sessions. Family-wise errors of the pattern correlations were controlled by a null distribution generated from Monte Carlo simulation (Qian et al., 2023). In this procedure, the first session's GLM residual volumes were used to estimate the spatial auto-correlation function (3dFWHMx in AFNI), and it was then used to generate a simulated GLM volume for the second session (3dClustSim in AFNI). We then projected the first and second sessions' GLM volumes onto the cortical surface and calculated the inter-session correlations of color- and disparity-selective response patterns in V2. This process was repeated 10,000 times (Supplementary Figure S7). Finally, the measured correlation coefficients were compared to the critical value of the null distribution.

Pial vein removal

To mitigate the strong BOLD effect from large pial veins on layer-specific signals in the gray matter (Cheng et al., 2001; Gati et al., 1997; Kay et al., 2019; Yacoub et al., 2005), we excluded vertices with extremely large signal changes and their corresponding voxels in the gray matter. Specifically, the top 5% cortical vertices with large signal changes from baseline (all stimulus conditions vs. fixation) and the corresponding voxels across all cortical depths were excluded from analysis in V1, V2, V4, and V3ab (Supplementary Figure S6B). According to our previous study (Liu et al., 2021), this large vein removal approach can effectively reduce the superficial bias in laminar response profiles of the visual cortex.

Layer-specific informational connectivity

To investigate stimulus-specific information flow in the visual processing hierarchy, we calculated informational connectivity between the input and output layers of two brain regions (Aly & Turk-Browne, 2016; Coutanche & Thompson-Schill, 2014; Haxby et al., 2001; Huffman & Stark, 2017; Jia et al., 2020; Koster et al., 2018). This approach is analogous to the functional connectivity method in multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA), where connectivity is inferred from shared changes (covariation) in decoding accuracy between regions over time (i.e., across blocks). The layer-specific neural circuitry was further used to define the direction of informational connectivity (Jia et al., 2020). Specifically, feedforward connectivity was defined as the connection between the superficial layer of the lower visual area and the middle layer of the higher visual area, whereas feedback connectivity was defined as the connection between two deep layers (Figure 1C).

In the analysis, a separate GLM regressor was first used to estimate the activation pattern (t scores of voxels) for each stimulus block. For each stimulus condition per cortical layer, 50 activation patterns were obtained for six subjects and 20 activation patterns for the other four subjects. We trained linear support vector machine (SVM) classifiers (www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm) using these patterns and extracted the distance from the hyperplane for each stimulus block, following a leave-one-run-out cross-validation procedure. Before each training, feature selection was performed with K-1 runs of data to select voxels with high visual sensitivity and stimulus selectivity. We first selected the top 20% most visually responsive voxels by the t distribution of activations from baseline for a stimulus condition (e.g., Chromatic + Achromatic - Fixation). Then 200 voxels with strong stimulus selectivity were selected from each side of the t distribution of differential responses (e.g., Chromatic - Achromatic). The activation patterns of these 400 voxels were normalized to have a unitary Euclidean norm (L2-norm). An SVM classifier of stimulus type (e.g., Chromatic vs. Achromatic) was trained from K-1 runs of data, and the distance to the decision boundary was calculated for each stimulus block from the remaining run. Pearson's correlation between the block-by-block distance timeseries of two brain regions was calculated to estimate the layer-specific informational connectivity. Then we averaged the correlation coefficients across

all folds.

The correlation coefficients were Fisher z-transformed before statistical analysis.
One-sample t-test against 0 was conducted on each connectivity value, and the results were
submitted to FDR (False Discovery Rate) correction. The reported correlations are the original
r values to facilitate interpretation and visualization.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB 2021a, JASP (v0.17.1), and custom
Python code. Repeated-measures ANOVA and paired t-tests were used for most of the
statistical analyses of ROI data. A family-wise-error (FWE) corrected threshold of $p < 0.05$ was
used for each group of ANOVA analysis. We further performed an FWE correction for paired
t-tests only when the corrected p-value from ANOVA analysis exceeded threshold, according
to the Fisher's logic (Fisher, 1936; Levin et al., 1994). We conducted Bayesian
repeated-measures ANOVA to complement the classical null-hypothesis test with JASP
(Wagenmakers et al., 2018). The calculated Bayes factor (BF_{10}) falling into 0.33-1
indicates anecdotal evidence for the null hypothesis (H_0), whereas a value between 10-30
and >100 refers to strong and extreme evidence for the alternative hypothesis (H_1) respectively.
Moreover, we used the non-parametric permutation test to test if selectivity indices differ
across stripes. For each selectivity index, we resampled with replacement and computed the
mean value within each type of stripe, and calculated the difference between each pair of
stripes. This process was repeated 10,000 times to derive the null distribution. The critical
value was set to 0.

Data availability

All data and code used in this study will be made publicly available upon the publication
of the paper.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by National Science and Technology Innovation 2030 Major

Program (2021ZD0203600, 2022ZD0211900, 2021ZD0204200), National Natural Science

Foundation of China (31971031, 31871107, 31930053), Strategy Priority Research Program

(XDB32020200).

References

Aly, M., & Turk-Browne, N. B. (2016). Attention promotes episodic encoding by stabilizing

hippocampal representations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the

United States of America*, *113*(4), E420–E429. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518931113

Anstis, S., & Cavanagh, P. (1983). A minimum Motion Technique for Judging

Equiluminance. In J. Mollon & L. T. Sharpe (Eds.), *Colour vision: Physiology and

psychophysics* (pp. 155–166). Academic Press.

Arcizet, F., Jouffrais, C., & Girard, P. (2008). Natural textures classification in area V4 of the

macaque monkey. *Experimental Brain Research*, *189*(1), 109–120.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1406-9

Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Song, G., Cook, P. A., Klein, A., & Gee, J. C. (2011). A

reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image

registration. *NeuroImage*, *54*(3), 2033–2044.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025

Bastos, A. M., Usrey, W. M., Adams, R. A., Mangun, G. R., Fries, P., & Friston, K. J. (2012).

Canonical Microcircuits for Predictive Coding. *Neuron*, *76*(4), 695–711.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.038

Benson, N. C., Butt, O. H., Brainard, D. H., & Aguirre, G. K. (2014). Correction of

Distortion in Flattened Representations of the Cortical Surface Allows Prediction of

V1-V3 Functional Organization from Anatomy. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *10*(3).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003538

Benson, N. C., Jamison, K. W., Arcaro, M. J., Vu, A. T., Glasser, M. F., Coalson, T. S., Van

Essen, D. C., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Winawer, J., & Kay, K. (2018). The Human

Connectome Project 7 Tesla retinotopy dataset: Description and population receptive

field analysis. *Journal of Vision*, *18*(13), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1167/18.13.23

Bilodeau, L., & Faubert, J. (1997). Isoluminance and chromatic motion perception

throughout the visual field. *Vision Research*, *37*(15), 2073–2081.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(97)00012-6

Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spatial Vision*, *10*, 433–436.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00357

Callaway, E. M. (2004). Feedforward, feedback and inhibitory connections in primate visual

cortex. *Neural Networks*, *17*(5–6), 625–632.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2004.04.004

Cheng, K., Waggoner, R. A., & Tanaka, K. (2001). Human Ocular Dominance Columns as

Revealed by High-Field Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Neuron*, *32*, 1–16.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00477-9

Coutanche, M. N., & Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2014). Using Informational Connectivity to

Measure the Synchronous Emergence of fMRI Multi-voxel Information Across Time.

Journal of Visualized Experiments, *89*, e51226. https://doi.org/10.3791/51226

Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic

resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research*, *29*(29), 162–173.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2342435

Felleman, D. J., & Van Essen, D. C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the

primate cerebral cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, *1*(1), 1–47.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/1.1.1-a

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. *NeuroImage*, *62*(2), 774–781.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Fisher, R. A. (1936). Design of Experiments. *British Medical Journal*, *1*(3923), 554.

https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.3923.554-a

Freeman, J., Ziemba, C. M., Heeger, D. J., Simoncelli, E. P., & Movshon, J. A. (2013). A

functional and perceptual signature of the second visual area in primates. *Nature*

Neuroscience, *16*(7), 974–981. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3402

Friston, K. (2005). A theory of cortical responses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences, *360*(1456), 815–836.

https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.2005.1622

Gati, J. S., Menon, R. S., Uğurbil, K., & Rutt, B. K. (1997). Experimental determination of

the BOLD field strength dependence in vessels and tissue. *Magnetic Resonance in*

Medicine, *38*(2), 296–302. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910380220

Ge, Y., Zhou, H., Qian, C., Zhang, P., Wang, L., & He, S. (2020). Adaptation to feedback

representation of illusory orientation produced from flash grab effect. *Nature*

Communications, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17786-1

Hatanaka, G., Inagaki, M., Takeuchi, R. F., Nishimoto, S., Ikezoe, K., & Fujita, I. (2022).

Processing of visual statistics of naturalistic videos in macaque visual areas V1 and V4.

Brain Structure and Function, *227*(4), 1385–1403.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02468-z

Haxby, J. V., Gobbini, M. I., Furey, M. L., Ishai, A., Schouten, J. L., & Pietrini, P. (2001).

Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal

cortex. *Science*, *293*(5539), 2425–2430. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1063736

Hubel, D. H., & Livingstone, M. S. (1985). Complex-unoriented cells in a subregion of

primate area 18. *Nature*, *315*(6017), 325–327. https://doi.org/10.1038/315325a0

Hubel, D. H., & Livingstone, M. S. (1987). Segregation of form, color, and stereopsis in

primate area 18. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *7*(11), 3378–3415.

https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.07-11-03378.1987

Huber, L., Handwerker, D. A., Jangraw, D. C., Chen, G., Hall, A., Stüber, C.,

Gonzalez-Castillo, J., Ivanov, D., Marrett, S., Guidi, M., Goense, J., Poser, B. A., &

Bandettini, P. A. (2017). High-Resolution CBV-fMRI Allows Mapping of Laminar

Activity and Connectivity of Cortical Input and Output in Human M1. *Neuron*, *96*(6),

1253-1263.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2017.11.005

Huffman, D. J., & Stark, C. E. L. (2017). The influence of low-level stimulus features on the

representation of contexts, items, and their mnemonic associations. *NeuroImage*, *155*,

513–529. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.04.019

Jia, K., Zamboni, E., Kemper, V., Rua, C., Goncalves, N. R., Ng, A. K. T., Rodgers, C. T.,

Williams, G., Goebel, R., & Kourtzi, Z. (2020). Recurrent Processing Drives Perceptual

Plasticity. *Current Biology*, *30*(21), 4177-4187.e4.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.016

Kaplan, E., Lee, B. B., & Shapley, R. M. (1990). Chapter 7 New views of primate retinal

function. *Progress in Retinal Research*, *9*, 273–336.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4327(90)90009-7

Kay, K., Jamison, K. W., Vizioli, L., Zhang, R., Margalit, E., & Ugurbil, K. (2019). A critical

assessment of data quality and venous effects in sub-millimeter fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *189*,

847–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.02.006

Kemper, V. G., De Martino, F., Emmerling, T. C., Yacoub, E., & Goebel, R. (2018). High

resolution data analysis strategies for mesoscale human functional MRI at 7 and 9.4 T.

NeuroImage, *164*, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.058

Kennedy, B., Bex, P., Hunter, D. G., & Nasr, S. (2023). Two fine-scale channels for encoding

motion and stereopsis within the human magnocellular stream. *Progress in*

Neurobiology, *220*, 102374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2022.102374

Kim, T., Bair, W., & Pasupathy, A. (2022). Perceptual Texture Dimensions Modulate

Neuronal Response Dynamics in Visual Cortical Area V4. *Journal of Neuroscience*,

42(4), 631–642. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0971-21.2021

Kiorpes, L. (2015). Visual development in primates: Neural mechanisms and critical periods.

Developmental Neurobiology, *75*(10), 1080–1090. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22276

Kohler, P. J., Clarke, A., Yakovleva, A., Liu, Y., & Norcia, A. M. (2016). Representation of

maximally regular textures in human visual cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *36*(3),

714–729. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2962-15.2016

Koster, R., Chadwick, M. J., Chen, Y., Berron, D., Banino, A., Düzel, E., Hassabis, D., &

Kumaran, D. (2018). Big-Loop Recurrence within the Hippocampal System Supports

Integration of Information across Episodes. *Neuron*, *99*(6), 1342-1354.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2018.08.009

Lee, B. B. (1996). Receptive field structure in the primate retina. *Vision Research*, *36*(5),

631–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(95)00167-0

Levi, D. M. (2005). Perceptual learning in adults with amblyopia: A reevaluation of critical

periods in human vision. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *46*(3), 222–232.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20050

Levin, J. R., Serlin, R. C., & Seaman, M. A. (1994). A controlled, powerful

multiple-comparison strategy for several situations. *Psychological Bulletin*, *115*(1), 153–

159. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.115.1.153

Liu, C., Guo, F., Qian, C., Zhang, Z., Sun, K., Wang, D. J., He, S., & Zhang, P. (2021).

Layer-dependent multiplicative effects of spatial attention on contrast responses in

human early visual cortex. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *207*, 101897.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2020.101897

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1982). Thalamic inputs to cytochrome oxidase-rich

regions in monkey visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

the United States of America, *79*(19), 6098–6101.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.19.6098

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1987). Psychophysical evidence for separate channels

for the perception of form, color, movement, and depth. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *7*(11),

3416–3468. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.07-11-03416.1987

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1988). Segregation of Form, Color, Movement, and

Depth: Anatomy, Physiology, and Perception. *Science*, *240*(4853), 740–749.

Lu, H. D., & Roe, A. W. (2008). Functional organization of color domains in V1 and V2 of

Macaque monkey revealed by optical imaging. *Cerebral Cortex*, *18*(3), 516–533.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm081

Malach, R., Tootell, R. B. H., & Malonek, D. (1994). Relationship between orientation

domains, cytochrome oxidase stripes, and intrinsic horizontal connections in squirrel

monkey area V2. *Cerebral Cortex*, *4*(2), 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/4.2.151

Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., & Schroeder, C. E. (2000). Intermodal selective attention in

monkeys I: Distribution and timing of effects across visual areas. *Cerebral Cortex*, *10*(4),

343–358. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/10.4.343

Merigan, W. H., & Maunsell, J. H. R. (1993). How parallel are the primate visual pathways?

Annual Review of Neuroscience, *16*, 369–402.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.16.030193.002101

Mountcastle, V. B. (1997). The columnar organization of the neocortex. *Brain*, *120*(4), 701–

722. https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/120.4.701

Mullen, K. T. (1985). Bornstein changes in brightness matches may have produced artifacts

in previous isoluminant. *The Journal of Physiology*, *359*(1), 381–400.

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1985.sp015591

Murray, S. O., Kersten, D., Olshausen, B. A., Schrater, P., & Woods, D. L. (2002). Shape

perception reduces activity in human primary visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *99*(23), 15164–15169.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192579399

Nasr, S., Polimeni, J. R., & Tootell, R. B. H. (2016). Interdigitated color- and

disparity-selective columns within human visual cortical areas V2 and V3. *Journal of*

Neuroscience, *36*(6), 1841–1857. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3518-15.2016

Nassi, J. J., & Callaway, E. M. (2009). Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual

system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *10*(5), 360–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2619

Norris, D. G., & Polimeni, J. R. (2019). Laminar (f)MRI: A short history and future prospects.

NeuroImage, *197*, 643–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2019.04.082

Okazawa, G., Tajima, S., & Komatsu, H. (2015). Image statistics underlying natural texture

selectivity of neurons in macaque V4. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

of the United States of America, *112*(4), E351–E360.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415146112

Okazawa, G., Tajima, S., & Komatsu, H. (2017). Gradual Development of Visual

Texture-Selective Properties between Macaque Areas V2 and V4. *Cerebral Cortex*,

27(10), 4867–4880. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw282

Olman, C. A., Harel, N., Feinberg, D. A., He, S., Zhang, P., Ugurbil, K., & Yacoub, E.

(2012). Layer-Specific fMRI Reflects Different Neuronal Computations at Different

Depths in Human V1. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(3), e32536.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0032536

Pelli, D. G. (1997). The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: Transforming

numbers into movies. *Spatial Vision*, *10*(4), 437–442.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00366

Polimeni, J. R., & Uludağ, K. (2018). Neuroimaging with ultra-high field MRI: Present and

future. *NeuroImage*, *168*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2018.01.072

Portilla, J., & Simoncelli, E. P. (2000). Parametric texture model based on joint statistics of

complex wavelet coefficients. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *40*(1), 49–71.

https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026553619983

Qian, C., Chen, Z., de Hollander, G., Knapen, T., Zhang, Z., He, S., & Zhang, P. (2023).

Hierarchical and fine-scale mechanisms of binocular rivalry for conscious perception.

BioRxiv, 2023.02.11.528110.

http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/03/02/2023.02.11.528110.abstract

Rao, R. P. N., & Ballard, D. H. (1999). Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional

interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects. *Nature Neuroscience 1999*

2:1, *2*(1), 79–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/4580

Roe, A. W., & Ts'o, D. Y. (1995). Visual topography in primate V2: Multiple representation

across functional stripes. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *15*(5), 3689–3715.

https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.15-05-03689.1995

Schulte to Brinke, T., Duarte, R., & Morrison, A. (2022). Characteristic columnar

connectivity caters to cortical computation: Replication, simulation, and evaluation of a

microcircuit model. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, *16*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2022.923468

Simoncelli, E. P., & Olshausen, B. A. (2001). Natural Image Statistics And Neural

Representation. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*, 1193–1216.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1193

Stoop, R., Saase, V., Wagner, C., Stoop, B., & Stoop, R. (2013). Beyond scale-free

small-world networks: Cortical columns for quick brains. *Physical Review Letters*,

110(10), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.108105

Tanigawa, H., Lu, H. D., & Roe, A. W. (2010). Functional organization for color and

orientation in macaque V4. *Nature Neuroscience*, *13*(12), 1542–1549.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2676

Tong, F. (2003). Cognitive neuroscience: Primary visual cortex and visual awareness. *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience, *4*(3), 219–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1055

Tootell, R. B. H., & Nasr, S. (2017). Columnar segregation of magnocellular and

parvocellular streams in human extrastriate cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(33),

8014–8032. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0690-17.2017

Tootell, R. B. H., Silverman, M. S., De Valois, R. L., & Jacobs, G. H. (1983). Functional organization of the second cortical visual area in primates. *Science*, *220*(4598), 737–739. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6301017

Ts'O, D. Y., Roe, A. W., & Gilbert, C. D. (2001). A hierarchy of the functional organization for color, form and disparity in primate visual area V2. *Vision Research*, *41*(10–11), 1333–1349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(01)00076-1

Tsao, D. Y., Vanduffel, W., Sasaki, Y., Fize, D., Knutsen, T. A., Mandeville, J. B., Wald, L. L., Dale, A. M., Rosen, B. R., Van Essen, D. C., Livingstone, M. S., Orban, G. A., & Tootell, R. B. H. (2003). Stereopsis activates V3A and caudal intraparietal areas in macaques and humans. *Neuron*, *39*(3), 555–568. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00459-8

Wagenmakers, E. J., Love, J., Marsman, M., Jamil, T., Ly, A., Verhagen, J., Selker, R., Gronau, Q. F., Dropmann, D., Boutin, B., Meerhoff, F., Knight, P., Raj, A., van Kesteren, E. J., van Doorn, J., Šmíra, M., Epskamp, S., Etz, A., Matzke, D., … Morey, R. D. (2018). Bayesian inference for psychology. Part II: Example applications with JASP. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, *25*(1), 58–76. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-017-1323-7

Xiao, Y., Wang, Y., & Felleman, D. J. (2003). A spatially organized representation of colour in macaque cotical area V2. *Nature*, *421*(6922), 535–539. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01372

Yacoub, E., Van De Moortele, P. F., Shmuel, A., & Uğurbil, K. (2005). Signal and noise characteristics of Hahn SE and GE BOLD fMRI at 7 T in humans. *NeuroImage*, *24*(3), 738–750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.09.002

Zeki, S. M. (1973). Colour coding in rhesus monkey prestriate cortex. *Brain Research*, *53*(2), 422–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(73)90227-8

Ziemba, C. M., Freeman, J., Movshon, J. A., & Simoncelli, E. P. (2016). Selectivity and tolerance for visual texture in macaque V2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *113*(22), 3140–3149. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510847113

809   Ziemba, C. M., Perez, R. K., Pai, J., Kelly, J. G., Hallum, L. E., Shooner, C., & Anthony

810   Movshon, J. (2019). Laminar differences in responses to naturalistic texture in macaque

811   V1 and V2. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *39*(49), 9748–9756.

812   https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1743-19.2019

Supplementary figures

 Figure S1. The functional maps in V2 for all ten subjects. The scale bar denotes percent signal

 change of BOLD response. Color-selective thin and disparity-selective thick stripes were

 denoted by red and blue arrows, respectively. LH: left hemisphere; RH: right hemisphere.

Figure S2. The manually defined ROIs for disparity-selective thick, color-selective thin stripes,

and the pale stripes in-between in a representative subject (S09). The scale bar denotes percent

signal change of BOLD response. The stripes are framed with dashed lines. Black: thin and

thick stripes (Figure 2A); purple: pale stripes.

Figure S3. The bootstrapped distributions of stimulus-selectivity indices in different types of

column ROIs. Dashed lines indicate zero selectivity. Color- and disparity-selective indices

both show significant difference across three stripes. Texture-selective index shows

non-significant difference across different stripes.

Figure S4. Inter-session correlations for the color- and disparity-selective activation maps in

four subjects who scanned both color and disparity experiments in two days. The results for

S09 were shown in figure 2.

Figure S5. Results of isoluminance adjustment.

The measured luminance values of gray (A) and red (B) that match the luminance of maximum

blue values across three eccentricities.

Figure S6. Illustrations of depth map (A) and pial vein removal (B). Red pixels in Figure B

represents vertices with extremely large signal changes (top 5%) that were excluded.

Figure S7. Null distributions of pattern correlation coefficients from Monto-Carlo simulation.

852