Mesoscale functional organization and connectivity of color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in human second visual area

Hailin Ai[1†], Weiru Lin[2,3†], Chengwen Liu[6,7], Nihong Chen[4,1,5*], Peng Zhang[2,3,8*]

[1]Department of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

[2]State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Science, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

[3]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[4]Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

[5]THU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

[6]Department of Psychology and Cognition and Human Behavior Key Laboratory of Hunan Province, Hunan Normal University, Hunan, 410081, China.

[7]Center for Mind & Brain Sciences, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410081, China.

[8]Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Hefei Comprehensive National Science Center, Hefei, 230026, China

† H.A. and W.L. contributed equally to this work.

*Address correspondence to Nihong Chen (nihongch@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn) or Peng Zhang (zhangpeng@ibp.ac.cn)

Author contributions: N.C. and P.Z. designed the research. H.A., W.L., C.L., and P.Z. conducted the experiments. H.A., W.L., N.C., and P.Z. analyzed the data. H.A., N.C., and P.Z. wrote the manuscript.

Abstract

Although parallel processing has been extensively studied in the low-level geniculostriate pathway and the high-level dorsal and ventral visual streams, less is known at the intermediate-level visual areas. In this study, we employed high-resolution fMRI at 7 Tesla to investigate the columnar and laminar organizations for color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in the human secondary visual cortex (V2), and their informational connectivity with lower and higher order visual areas. Although fMRI activations in V2 showed reproducible interdigitated color-selective thin and disparity-selective thick "stripe" columns, we found no clear evidence of columnar organization for naturalistic textures. Cortical depth-dependent analyses revealed the strongest color-selectivity in the superficial layers of V2, along with both feedforward and feedback informational connectivity with V1 and V4. Disparity selectivity was similar across different cortical depths of V2, which showed significant feedforward and feedback connectivity with V1 and V3ab. Interestingly, the selectivity for naturalistic texture was strongest in the deep layers of V2, with significant feedback connectivity from V4. Thus, while local circuitry within cortical columns is crucial for processing color and disparity information, feedback modulations from V4 play a dominant role in processing naturalistic textures in area V2.

Keywords: V2; Naturalistic texture; Color; Disparity; 7T fMRI; Cortical columns and layers; Layer-specific informational connectivity

Introduction

A single glance at the world captures a rich amount of visual information. The initial signals hit on the retina are transformed along the visual hierarchy in a way that different aspects of information are processed in parallel streams (Nassi & Callaway, 2009). The retina-geniculate-striate pathway of the primate visual system is primarily segregated into the magnocellular (M) and parvocellular (P) streams (Kaplan et al., 1990; Lee, 1996; Merigan & Maunsell, 1993), selectively processing different spatiotemporal frequencies of achromatic and chromatic information. In the primary visual cortex (V1), the M and P information from the geniculate input are transformed into higher-level visual representations, such as motion, disparity, color, orientation, etc. (Tootell & Nasr, 2017). In area V2 of the primate visual cortex, the processing of motion/disparity, color and orientation information has been found to be organized into 'stripe'-shaped interdigitated columns (Hubel & Livingstone, 1985, 1987; Roe & Ts'o, 1995; Ts'O et al., 2001; Xiao et al., 2003), corresponding to the 'thick', 'thin', and 'pale' stripes in cytochrome oxidase (CO) staining studies (Livingstone & Hubel, 1982; Tootell et al., 1983; referred to as CO-stripes in the present study). The interdigitated columnar organizations for color and motion/disparity processing have also been found in human V2 by high-resolution fMRI at 7 Tesla (Kennedy et al., 2023; Nasr et al., 2016).

More recently, primate V2 was also found to be sensitive to high-order statistical dependencies embedded in naturalistic textures (Freeman et al., 2013), a unique type of information critical for surface and material perception. Computationally, these high-order statistical dependencies were composed of correlations across different orientations, spatial scales, and local positions, which can be calculated from the output of orientation filters in V1 (Freeman et al., 2013; Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000). Although weakly represented in V1 (Freeman et al., 2013; Okazawa et al., 2015; Ziemba et al., 2016), the neural selectivity to naturalistic statistics was found to be increasingly stronger in the downstream areas V3 (Kohler et al., 2016) and V4 (Arcizet et al., 2008; Okazawa et al., 2015, 2017). Electrophysiological recordings have also identified later and much weaker sensitivity to naturalistic texture in the superficial and deep layers of V1 compared to V2 (Ziemba et al., 2019), consistent with an effect of corticocortical feedback modulation. However, it remains unclear whether the neural representations of naturalistic textures arise from local processing within V2 or feedback modulation from higher order visual areas, such as V4.

While interdigitated stripe-shaped columnar organizations for color and motion/disparity processing have been found in primate V2, it remains unknown whether a columnar

organization also exists for texture processing. If local circuits in area V2 are essential for

processing high-order naturalistic statistics, specialized cortical columns might develop to

enhance computational efficiency (Mountcastle, 1997; Schulte to Brinke et al., 2022; Stoop et

al., 2013). A likely candidate for such computational units is the pale stripes, known for their

preferential responses to orientation information (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone &

Hubel, 1988; Lu & Roe, 2008; Malach et al., 1994). Alternatively, if feedback modulations

from higher order visual areas play a prominent role in generating the selectivity in area V2 to

high-order statistical dependencies embedded in naturalist textures, a specialized cortical

column may not be necessary for such computations. Furthermore, the integration of local

elements across various locations, orientations, and spatial scales, which is necessary for

processing high-order statistics, might pose a challenge for early visual areas like V2 to develop

a specialized computational module.

To better understand the mesoscale functional organizations and neural circuits of

information processing in area V2, the present study investigated laminar (or cortical depth-

dependent) and columnar response profiles for color, disparity, and naturalistic texture in

human V2 using 7T fMRI at 1-mm isotropic resolution. Cortical depth-dependent fMRI (also

called laminar fMRI) allows us to non-invasively measure feedforward, local, and feedback

activity in human cerebral cortex (Huber et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2021; Norris & Polimeni, 2019;

Olman et al., 2012; Polimeni & Uludağ, 2018). By combining laminar fMRI with informational

connectivity methods (Jia et al., 2020), we further investigated the feedforward and feedback

connectivity between V2 and the lower and higher order visual areas. Our results revealed

interdigitated stripe-shaped columnar organizations in V2 for color and disparity processing,

which involved both feedforward and feedback connectivity with other visual areas in the

hierarchy. In contrast, feedback modulations from V4 played a prominent role in processing

naturalistic statistics in area V2, which showed no clear evidence of modular organization in

CO-stripes.

Results

Figure 1. A**.** Visual stimuli for the fMRI experiments. Left: chromatic and achromatic gratings for the color
experiment; Middle: disparity-defined grating and zero-disparity disc from random dots for the disparity
experiment; Right: naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise for the texture experiment. B. Parallel
information processing pathways in the early visual areas. C**.** Layer-specific neural circuitry of feedforward,
feedback, and horizontal connections in the early visual areas. S: Superficial layers, M: Middle layers, D: Deep
layers.

Figure 1A shows the stimuli for the color, disparity, and texture experiments. Color-
selective activation was defined as the contrast of fMRI responses between chromatic (Chr)
and achromatic (Ach) gratings (1st column). Disparity-selective activation was the response
difference between disparity-defined sinusoidal gratings (3D) by random dot stereograms
(RDSs) and their zero-disparity (2D) counterparts (2nd column). Texture-selective activation
was the response difference between naturalistic textures (T) and spectrally matched noise (N)
(3rd column).
Figure 1B illustrates a simple model for the building blocks of parallel processing streams
in area V2 and their connections with lower (V1) and higher order visual areas (V3ab and V4).

Previous studies in anesthetized macaques found neural selectivity to binocular disparity in the layer 4B of V1, V2 thick stripes, and V3ab in the dorsal stream (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987; Tootell et al., 1983; Ts'O et al., 2001; Tsao et al., 2003), color selectivity in the color blobs in the V1 superficial layers, V2 thin stripes, and V4 in the ventral stream (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987, 1988; Lu & Roe, 2008; Zeki, 1973), and strong orientation selectivity in layer 2/3 of V1, V2 pale stripes, and V4 (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Roe & Ts'o, 1995; Tanigawa et al., 2010; Ts'O et al., 2001). Only major connections were shown here. There are also other connections, such as V1 interblobs projecting to thick stripes (Federer et al., 2021; Hu & Roe, 2022; Sincich and Horton, 2005). Given a strong dependency on the output of orientation filters (Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000; Simoncelli & Olshausen, 2001), naturalistic textures might be selectively processed by orientation-selective neurons in the pale stripes of V2.

Figure 1C illustrates a simplified model for the layer-specific neural circuitry in the early visual cortex (Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Nassi & Callaway, 2009). In addition to feedforward and local horizontal connections, feedback modulations may also play important roles in processing visual information, especially in conscious visual perception (Ge et al., 2020). Here we focused on major connections in the early visual areas. There are also other connections such as feedforward connections from V1 to V4 (Ungerleider et al., 2008), and feedback connections from the superficial layers to lower order visual areas (Briggs, 2020; Rockland & Pandya, 1979). Using cortical depth-dependent fMRI, we aim to investigate the feedforward, feedback, and local processing of color, disparity, and texture information in the human visual system.

Functional organizations on the cortical surface of V2

Figure 2. A. Activation maps in a representative subject (S09). The scale bar denotes percent signal change of BOLD response. From left to right: Chr - Ach (color), 3D - 2D (disparity), color - disparity, T – N (texture). The bottom panels show enlarged activations in the black square. The highlighted region in the bottom panels represents area V2. Color-selective and disparity-selective stripe-shaped activations arranged perpendicular to the V1-V2 border. Red arrowheads denote the location of color-selective (thin) stripes and blue arrowheads denote the location of disparity-selective (thick) stripes. Black arrowheads in the fourth column highlight the texture-selective activations in anterior V2 (corresponding to peripheral visual field). The ROIs for pale stripes were defined as vertices in-between adjacent thin and thick stripes (see methods for details). B. Inter-session correlations for the color- and disparity-selective functional maps in S09. Each blue dot represents one vertex on V2 surface. C. Selectivity indices for color, disparity and naturalistic texture in different types of columns. Error bar indicates 1 SEM across subjects. **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$. n.s.: none significance. Circles represent data from individual participants. D. Texture selectivity at different eccentricities. Error bars represent 1 SEM across participants. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$.

As shown in figure 2A in a representative subject, color-selective (Chr - Ach, 1st column, red arrows) and disparity-selective activations (3D - 2D, 2nd column, blue arrows) show stripe-shaped organizations in area V2. These interdigitated stripes can be more clearly seen on the

differential map between color and disparity activations ((Chr – Ach) – (3D – 2D), 3rd column).

However, the texture-selective activation map does not exhibit a clear columnar organization

(T - N, 4th column). Stronger texture-selective activations can be found from the more anterior

part of V2, corresponding to the peripheral visual field. Similar functional organizations can

be found from other subjects (Supplementary Figure S1 and Table S1).

In 5 out of 10 subjects, both color and disparity experiments were conducted in two

sessions. To evaluate the test-retest reliability of the interdigitated columnar organizations, we

calculated the inter-session pattern correlations for the color- and disparity-selective functional

maps. For the representative subject (Figure 2B), the correlation coefficients for both color- (r

= 0.66) and disparity-selective activation maps (r = 0.53) were highly significant (both p <

0.001, FWE corrected; activation patterns from the two sessions were shown in supplementary

Figure S2). The functional maps from the other four subjects also demonstrate highly

significant pattern correlations between sessions (Supplementary Figure S3). These findings

demonstrate that the interdigitated columnar organizations for color and disparity processing

are highly reproducible.

To further demonstrate whether there is a difference in texture selectivity within different

functional modules of the parallel processing streams in area V2, we performed an ROI analysis

with the thick, thin, and pale stripes. The ROIs for disparity-selective thick and color-selective

thin stripes were defined by the differential map between color- and disparity-selective

activations (color-disparity, the 3rd column in figure 2A), while the ROIs for the pale stripes

were defined as vertices in-between adjacent thin- and thick-stripe ROIs (see methods for

details about ROI-definition, and Supplementary Figure S4 for the ROIs in a representative

subject). From the columnar response profile (Figure 2D), thin- and thick-stripe ROIs show the

strongest selectivity to color and disparity information, respectively. This is as expected and

validated our ROI definition approach. We further conducted repeated-measures ANOVA and

Bayesian ANOVA to examine whether there is difference in texture-selectivity index across

three different stripes. The results were statistically non-significant ($F(2,9) = 1.88$, p = 0.18,

$BF_{10} = 0.65$). A non-parametric bootstrap method also revealed no significant difference

between the responses to naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise (see bootstrapped

distributions in Supplementary Figure S5). Further analysis shows that the results were

independent of the threshold used to define the stripe ROIs (Supplementary Figure S6).

Although none of the CO-stripes demonstrated a preference for naturalistic textures, the

anterior part of V2 showed some texture-selective activations (Figure 2A and Supplementary

Figure S1). To further demonstrate this observation, we divided V2 into three parts based on
eccentricity: 0-3° (central), 3-6° (parafoveal), and 6-18° (peripheral). Texture selectivity
increased significantly with eccentricity (Figure 2D, $F(2,9) = 17.74$, $p < 0.001$, $BF_{10} = 345.83$;
peripheral vs. parafoveal: $t(9) = 4.12$, $p < 0.01$; parafoveal vs. central: $t(9) = 3.20$, $p < 0.05$).
These findings suggest that texture selectivity in V2 is stronger in more peripheral visual fields.
Texture-selective activations in our results are weaker compared to those in the previous
study (Freeman et al., 2013). There also appears to be residual texture patterns in some of the
noise stimuli (Figure 1A). Thus, to demonstrate the efficacy and specificity of our stimuli, we
performed a 3T fMRI experiment using the same stimuli as in Freeman et al.'s study. Different
texture families and noise stimuli were presented in separate blocks. Figure S7 shows the 3T
results for stimuli that were also used in the 7T experiment. All texture families showed
significantly stronger activation in V2 compared to the corresponding noise patterns, even for
those that 'appeared' to have residual texture information (e.g., the third texture family). These
results demonstrate that our stimuli are effective in activating texture-selective neural
populations in area V2. The 3T data also showed a notable increase in texture-selective
activations compared to the 7T experiment, likely due to the increased stimulus presentation
speed.

Cortical depth-dependent response selectivity

227
Figure 3. Layer-specific response selectivity for color (A), disparity (B) and naturalistic texture (C). Error bars
indicate 1 SEM across subjects. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. See Figure S8 for the original BOLD response across
cortical depth.

A response selectivity index was calculated for each stimulus contrast (see method for
details). The original BOLD responses were also provided (see Figure S8). Within each ROI,
repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted on each type of selectivity index with cortical
depth (deep/middle/superficial) as the within-subject factor, followed by post-hoc t-tests
between different depths. Color selectivity was significantly stronger in the superficial cortical
depth compared to the middle and deep cortical depths in both V1 ($F(2,9) = 15.08$, $p < 0.001$,
$BF_{10} = 133.72$; S vs. M: $t(9) = 4.70$, $p < 0.01$; S vs. D: $t(9) = 4.12$, $p < 0.01$) and V2 ($F(2,9) =$
12.93, $p < 0.001$, $BF_{10} = 64.83$; S vs. M: $t(9) = 3.77$, $p < 0.01$; S vs. D: $t(9) = 3.85$, $p < 0.01$).
No significant difference was observed across different depths in other visual areas (Figure
3A). According to the hierarchical model, the strongest color selectivity in the superficial
cortical depth is consistent with the fact that color blobs locate in the superficial layers of V1

(Figure 1B, Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Nassi & Callaway,
2009). The strongest color selectivity in superficial V2 suggests that both local and feedforward
connections are involved in processing color information (Figure 1C).

Disparity selectivity was significantly higher in the superficial cortical depth compared to
the middle and deep cortical depths in V3ab (Figure 3B) (F(2,9) = 9.06, p < 0.01, BF$_{10}$ = 16.81;
S vs. M: t(9) = 3.11, p < 0.05; S vs. D: t(9) = 3.75, p < 0.01). No significant difference was
found across cortical depths in other ROIs (all F(2,9) < 2.85, p > 0.08). The absence of laminar
difference in disparity selectivity may suggest that both feedforward, feedback, and local
mechanisms are involved in processing disparity information in area V2.

Response selectivity to naturalistic texture was strongest in the deep cortical depth in both
V1 (F(2,9) = 12.91, p < 0.001, BF$_{10}$ = 63.57; D vs. M: t(9) = 2.28, p < 0.05; D vs. S: t(9) =
4.76, p < 0.01) and V2 (F(2,9) = 8.6, BF$_{10}$ = 14.08, p < 0.01; D vs. M: t(9) = 2.49, p < 0.05; D
vs. S: t(9) = 4.29 p < 0.01). Texture selectivity showed no significant difference across cortical
depths in the higher level visual areas V4 (F(2,9) = 1.64, p = 0.22) or V3ab (F(2,9) = 3.53, p =
0.051), which were significantly larger than those in V1 and V2 (all paired comparisons
between ROIs, p < 0.001, BF$_{10}$ > 1106.79). V1 responses to naturalistic textures were also
significantly weaker compared to spectrally matched noise, in line with the top-down feedback
hypothesis of predictive coding (Friston, 2005; Murray et al., 2002; Rao & Ballard, 1999). The
strongest selectivity in the deep layers of V2 suggests that feedback modulations from higher-
level visual areas play a crucial role in processing naturalistic statistical information in this
region.

Cortical depth-dependent informational connectivity

Figure 4. Layer-specific feedforward and feedback informational connectivity of color, disparity, and naturalistic
texture. Numbers denote the mean values of connection (Pearson's r) across all subjects. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$,

***: $p < 0.001$ after FDR correction.

To further investigate the information flow in the visual hierarchy, we conducted layer-
specific informational connectivity analysis among V1, V2, V3ab, and V4 (Aly & Turk-
Browne, 2016; Coutanche & Thompson-Schill, 2014; Haxby et al., 2001; Huffman & Stark,
2017; Jia et al., 2020; Koster et al., 2018). For each pair of stimuli, an SVM classifier was
trained to decode the stimulus type (e.g., chromatic or achromatic gratings). Block-by-block
multivariate distances to the decision boundary were used to calculate the co-variability of
stimulus representations between two brain regions. Feedforward connectivity was defined as
the connection between the superficial layer of a lower-level area and the middle layer of a
higher-level area, whereas feedback connectivity was defined as the connection between the
deep layers of two brain regions.

As shown in figure 4, for color-selective processing, significant feedforward (t(9) = 5.64,
p < 0.001) and feedback connections (t(9) = 10.39, p < 0.001) were found between V1 and V2,
and between V2 and V4 (both t(9) > 4.96, p < 0.01). For disparity-selective processing,
significant feedforward (t(9) = 3.77, p < 0.05) and feedback connections (t(9) = 3.06, p < 0.05)
were found between V1 and V2, and also between V2 and V3ab (both t(9) > 2.90, p < 0.05).
In contrast, for naturalistic texture-selective processing, a significant feedback connection was
found from V4 to V2 (t(9) = 4.28, p < 0.05). No significant correlation was found for other
connections (all t(9) < 1.7, p > 0.25).

Discussion

Utilizing 7T BOLD fMRI at 1-mm isotropic resolution, we investigated laminar and
columnar response profiles for color, disparity, and naturalistic textures in human V2 by
presenting three stimulus contrasts. Color- and disparity-selective activations revealed clear
stripe-shaped columnar organizations in area V2, oriented perpendicular to the V1-V2 border
(Figure 2A). These columnar patterns were reproducible between different scanning sessions
(Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure S3), and are consistent with previous findings from intrinsic
optical imaging studies in monkeys (Lu & Roe, 2008; Ts'O et al., 2001) and 7T fMRI studies
in humans (Kennedy et al., 2023; Nasr et al., 2016). However, we found no clear evidence for
modular organization for naturalistic textures. CO-stripes in V2 exhibit similar texture
selectivity. Cortical depth-dependent analysis revealed that compared to color and disparity
information, the processing of naturalistic statistics in V2 is more dependent on feedback

modulation from V4.

The laminar profiles of response selectivity revealed both inter- and intra-areal
hierarchical processing of visual information. Color selectivity was strongest in the superficial
depth of V1 and V2. This result is consistent with the findings that color blobs are primarily
located in the superficial layers of primate V1 (Livingstone & Hubel, 1982), and that the local
processing of color information is most prominent in the superficial layers of the early visual
cortex (Hubel & Livingstone, 1987; Lu & Roe, 2008). For disparity processing, no significant
difference was identified across cortical depths in the early visual cortex. This result suggests
that feedforward, feedback, and local mechanisms all contribute to generating disparity-defined
3D perception, as the middle layer is recognized as the primary termination of feedforward
inputs, and the superficial and deep layers are considered as the output layers to higher-order
areas and the primary recipients of feedback projections, respectively (Callaway, 2004;
Felleman & Van Essen, 1991). Consistent with the laminar response profiles, cortical depth-
dependent informational connectivity analyses showed that both feedforward and feedback
signals play important roles in color and disparity processing in the ventral (i.e., V2-V4) and
dorsal (i.e., V2-V3ab) visual streams, respectively.

A previous electrophysiological study investigated laminar neural activity in V1 and V2
to naturalistic textures in anesthetized macaques (Ziemba et al., 2019). Their findings suggest
that the superficial and deep layers of V1 are subject to top-down modulation from higher-
order visual areas during processing of naturalistic textures. Consistent with this study, we
found the strongest selectivity to naturalistic textures in the deep V1, suggesting feedback
modulation from higher-order visual areas. V1 responses to naturalistic textures were also
significantly weaker compared to spectrally matched noise, consistent with the framework of
predictive coding: top-down hypotheses from higher level area "explain away" or reduce the
prediction error signals in the lower visual area (Friston, 2005; Murray et al., 2002; Rao &
Ballard, 1999). This could also explain the strongest signal reduction in the superficial cortical
depth in our results, since the error signals should be mainly represented in the output layers
according to the model of canonical microcircuits for predictive coding (Bastos et al., 2012).
In V2, Ziemba and colleagues (2019) found stronger texture selectivity in the superficial
and middle layers, which potentially emerged from local processing within this area. In contrast,
our data revealed the highest selectivity to naturalistic textures in the deep V2, along with a
significant feedback modulation from V4. The amount of texture selectivity in V4 was also

stronger compared to V2. This is consistent with previous macaque studies showing stronger texture selectivity in V4 than in V2 (Okazawa et al., 2017) and local clustered neurons in V4 that shared preferred image statistics (Hatanaka et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022). In addition, our pilot analyses also suggest modular organizations for naturalistic texture, in addition to those for color and disparity in V4 and V3ab (Figure S9). Altogether, these findings suggest an important role of feedback modulation from V4 in generating selectivity to naturalistic textures in V2. In the monkey study, it is possible that general anesthesia substantially reduced feedback modulation from high-order brain areas. There is evidence showing that V4 activity is more closely related to conscious visual perception than the early visual areas (Mehta et al., 2000; Tong, 2003).

Our fMRI data demonstrate a significant contribution of feedback signals in generating the selectivity for naturalistic textures in area V2. Nonetheless, this does not preclude the possibility that such selectivity might arise from local processing within this area and become progressively stronger along the feedforward pathway. In accordance with the predictive coding hypothesis discussed above, top-down feedback might reduce the neural activity representing prediction errors in the superficial layers of lower-order areas, counteracting the effect of neural response evoked by local processing. Furthermore, recent macaque studies have shown that the cortical processing of naturalistic textures depends on the type of statistical regularities (Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015). Compared to previous studies (Freeman et al., 2013; Okazawa et al., 2015; Ziemba et al., 2016), our V2 results showed much weaker selectivity to naturalistic textures. This could be due to different textures used in the current study. As suggested by the previous findings, texture selectivity across neurons in V2 and V4 can be highly diversified (Figure 2e in Freeman et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2022): some texture families are much more or less effective in driving neural activity than others, with distinct temporal dynamics.

Our data didn't show clear evidence of a "stripe"-shaped columnar organization for naturalistic textures within area V2. A cortical column is formed by many mini-columns bound together by short-range horizontal connections (Mountcastle, 1997), supporting efficient information processing via local circuitry. Thus, the absence of a columnar organization in area V2 is consistent with a dominant role of feedback modulation, rather than local or feedforward processing in generating texture selectivity within this area. Considering the complex computations required for processing naturalistic information, it is likely that V4 neurons are

more suitable for this task than those in V2. High-order statistics in naturalistic textures are
computed via integrating local elements across different locations, orientations, and spatial
scales (Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000), presenting a challenge for an early visual area such as V2
to develop a specialized computational module. In line with this idea, the neural tunings in V4
are distributed in a way suitable for categorizing textures and predicting texture discrimination
abilities (Hatanaka et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015). Consistently, our pilot
results also revealed modular organizations for textures in V4 and V3ab (Figure S9). These
texture-selective organizations may be related to surface representations in these higher order
visual areas (Wang et al., 2024). Although cortical columns in V2 are much larger than those
in V1 (Lu & Roe, 2007), our results do not rule out the possibility that smaller modules of
texture processing might exist beyond our fMRI resolution at 1-mm isotropic voxels, especially
at farther eccentricities (Figure 2A and 2D).

Finally, the critical period for the formation of cortical columns in lower-level visual areas
might close at an earlier stage during development (Kiorpes, 2015; Levi, 2005). It is possible
that the emergence of selectivity to naturalistic textures requires extensive visual experience
with the ability to actively explore the natural environment. After the closure of the critical
period in V2 for forming color- and disparity-selective columns, V4 may still be in its critical
period with high neural plasticity, allowing it to develop neuronal clusters with strong
preference for naturalistic textures (Hatanaka et al., 2022). Subsequently, feedback
modulations from V4 may further increase the selectivity for naturalistic textures in V2.

In summary, the present study demonstrated parallel pathways for color, disparity, and
texture processing in the human visual cortex. Unlike color and disparity, no clear evidence of
columnar organization or response preference across thick, pale, and thin stripes was found for
naturalistic texture in area V2. Consistent with this finding, our results further suggest that
feedback processing from V4 plays a dominant role in generating texture selectivity within V2.
These results underscore the critical involvement of higher-order visual areas in texture
processing. Given the diversity of naturalistic textures, different cortical mechanisms may be
involved at various processing stages (Kim et al., 2022; Okazawa et al., 2015). In future studies,
it would be important to characterize columnar and laminar fMRI responses using different
texture types to obtain a comprehensive picture of naturalistic texture processing along the
visual hierarchy.

Due to the limitations of the T2*w GE-BOLD signal in its sensitivity to large draining

veins (Fracasso et al., 2021; Parkes et al., 2005; Uludag & Havlicek, 2021), the original BOLD responses were strongly biased towards the superficial depth in our data (Figure S8). Compared to GE-BOLD, VASO-CBV and SE-BOLD fMRI techniques have higher spatial specificity but much lower sensitivity (Huber et al., 2019). As shown in a recent study (Qian et al., 2024), using differential BOLD responses in a continuous stimulus design can significantly enhance the laminar specificity of the feature selectivity measures in our results (Figure 3). Compared to the submillimeter voxels, as used in most laminar fMRI studies, our fMRI resolution at 1-mm isotropic voxel may have a stronger partial volume effect in the cortical depth-dependent analysis. However, consistent with our results, previous studies have also shown that 7T fMRI at 1-mm isotropic resolution can resolve cortical depth-dependent signals in human visual cortex (Roefs et al., 2024; Shao et al., 2021). Finally, the interpretations of cortical depth-dependent results are based on the canonical models of cortical microcircuitry. Alternative connections exist in addition to these major pathways. Therefore, our findings on the cortical microcircuitry in humans would require further support from invasive neuroscience methods, such as laminar electrophysiological recordings in non-human primates.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Ten participants (four females; age range 21-40 years) were recruited for this study. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and reported no history of neuropsychological or visual disorders. The experimental procedures were approved by the ethical review board of Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation in the study.

General procedures

Each participant underwent three fMRI experiments in the 7T scanner. Six subjects participated in color, disparity, and texture experiments in three daily sessions (five subjects scanned both color and disparity experiments in two sessions, and the texture experiment in a single session; one subject scanned one experimental condition in each session). For each experiment, ten runs of fMRI data were collected. The remaining four participants completed all three experiments in a single session, consisting of twelve runs in total (four runs for each experiment). The order of experimental conditions was counterbalanced across participants. Visual stimuli subtended 46.7° × 35.9° in visual angle, with a fixation point (0.3° in diameter)

431   in the center. During fMRI scans, each run started and ended with 16-s fixation periods. In the
432   remaining periods, visual stimuli were presented in 24-second stimulus blocks. Participants
433   were required to maintain fixation and to detect sparsely and randomly presented color changes
434   of the fixation point.

Stimuli and apparatus

Visual stimuli were presented through an MRI-safe projector (1024 × 768 pixel resolution,
60 Hz refresh rate) onto a rear-projection screen. The experiment was conducted using
MATLAB 2016a (MathWorks) based on Psychophysics toolbox extensions Version 3.0
(Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997). Participants viewed the screen via a mirror mounted inside the
head coil.

Color experiment. The MRI-safe projector was calibrated using a PR-655 photometer to
have a linear luminance output. To account for changes in isoluminance at different
eccentricities (Nasr et al., 2016; Bilodeau & Faubert, 1997; Livingstone & Hubel, 1987; Mullen,
1985), we measured blue-red and blue-gray isoluminance for each participant at three
eccentricity ranges (0°-3°, 3°-8°, and 8°-16°). Blue was set as the reference color, because the
project has lower light intensity for blue compared with red and gray. A minimal motion
procedure was used to match the perceived luminance between blue and red/gray (Anstis &
Cavanagh, 1983). During isoluminance adjustment, achromatic and chromatic gratings were
presented in alternating frames, with pi/2 phase difference between adjacent frames. Blue
luminance was fixed at the maximum level, participants adjusted the match-color luminance
until no consistent apparent motion was seen (i.e., bi-stable motion directions with equal
durations). For each eccentricity range, the isoluminance adjustment was repeated four times
and the results were averaged. Supplementary Figure S10A and S10B illustrate the blue-
matched luminance levels (in RGB index) of gray and red, respectively, at the three eccentricity
ranges. Consistent with previous findings (Nasr et al., 2016; Bilodeau & Faubert, 1997;
Livingstone & Hubel, 1987; Mullen, 1985), the isoluminance level varied significantly as
eccentricity (blue-gray: $F(2,9) = 87.9$, $p < 0.001$, FWE corrected; blue-red: $F(2,9) = 35.71$, $p <$
0.001, FWE corrected). During fMRI scans, chromatic and achromatic gratings (0.2 cycles-
per-degree concentric rings, 46.7° × 35.9° in size, Figure 1A, left panel) moved in either
centrifugal or centripetal direction with a speed of 0.8 cycles/s, alternating in 24-s blocks (5
blocks per stimulus condition). A 16-second fixation period with uniform gray background was
presented at the beginning and the end of each run. Ten runs of fMRI data were collected for

six subjects and four runs for the other four subjects.

Disparity experiment. The binocular disparity stimulus (46.7°×35.9°) was random

red/green dot stereograms (RDSs) presented against a black background. Subjects viewed the

stimulus through custom anaglyph spectacles (red and cyan). The RDSs generated a

stereoscopic percept, with the depth of each dot sinusoidally modulating between -2.2° ~ 2.2°

in front of and behind the frontoparallel plane of fixation (Figure 1A, middle panel). In the

zero-disparity 2D control condition, randomly moving dots formed a frontoparallel plane

intersecting the fixation point (i.e., zero depth at that point). Each run began and ended with a

16-second fixation period. The disparity-defined grating and zero-disparity disc stimuli were

presented in alternation every 24 s. Ten runs of fMRI data were collected for six subjects and

four runs for the other four subjects.

Texture experiment. The naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise (Figure 1A,

right panel) were synthesized using the Portilla-Simoncelli model (Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000).

Thirty image pairs were generated. The stimuli (46.7° × 35.9°) were presented in the middle of

the screen, centered on the fixation point. Each fMRI run consisted of ten 24-s stimulus blocks,

starting and ending with 16-s fixation periods. Each stimulus block consisted of thirty pictures

in a random order, with a duration of 0.6 s for each picture. Ten runs of fMRI data were

collected for six subjects and four runs for the other four subjects. Although the number of runs

were not equal across participants, there were at least four runs (twenty blocks for each stimulus

condition) of data in each experiment, which should be sufficient to investigate within-subject

effects.

MRI Data Acquisition

MRI data were acquired on a 7T MAGNETOM MRI scanner (Siemens Healthineers,

Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel receive 4-channel transmit open-face surface coil, in

the Beijing MRI center for Brain Research (BMCBR). Functional data were collected with a

T2*-weighted 2D GE-EPI sequence (1.0 mm isotropic voxels, 39 slices, TR = 2400 ms, TE =

25 ms, image matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 128 × 128 mm^2, GRAPPA acceleration factor = 3,

nominal flip angle = 80°, partial Fourier factor = 7/8, phase encoding direction from Head to

Foot, receiver bandwidth = 1148 Hz/Pix). Slices were oriented perpendicular to the calcarine

sulcus. After each fMRI run, five EPI images with reversed phase encoding direction (F to H)

were also acquired for EPI distortion correction. High-resolution anatomical volumes were

acquired with a T1-weighted MP2RAGE sequence at 0.7 mm isotropic resolution (256 sagittal

slices, centric phase encoding, acquisition matrix = 320 × 320, FOV = 224 × 224 mm^2,

GRAPPA = 3, TR = 4000 ms, TE = 3.05 ms, TI1 = 750ms, flip angle = 4°, TI2 = 2500 ms, flip

angle = 5°). A bite-bar was settled for each subject to minimize head motion to ensure high

data quality.

MRI data analysis

Preprocessing

The anatomical data were preprocessed using FreeSurfer Version 6.0 (Fischl, 2012),

which involved the segmentation and reconstruction of inflated and flattened cortical surfaces

based on high-resolution anatomical data. We inspected visually and edited manually the

surface segmentation to eliminate dura matter, sinus, etc., ensuring correct gray matter

boundaries. The functional data were preprocessed and analyzed with AFNI (Cox, 1996),

ANTs (Avants et al., 2011), and the mripy package developed in our lab

(https://github.com/herrlich10/mripy). Preprocessing steps included head motion correction,

de-spiking, slice timing correction, EPI distortion correction (non-linear warping with blip-

up/down method), and per-run scaling as percent signal change. All spatial transformations

were combined and applied in a single interpolation step (sinc interpolation) to minimize the

loss of spatial resolution (Wang et al., 2022). No spatial smoothing was applied to the main

functional imaging data. We aligned the anatomical volume as well as the reconstructed

surfaces to the mean of preprocessed EPI images. General linear models (GLMs) were used to

estimate the BOLD responses (β values) to visual stimuli with a canonical hemodynamic

response function (BLOCK4 in AFNI). Slow baseline drift and motion parameters were

included as nuisance regressors in GLMs.

Cortical depth definition

To perform the cortical depth-dependent analysis, we resampled the functional volumes

to 0.5 mm isotropic resolution using cubic interpolation (3dresample in AFNI). An equi-

volume method was used to calculate the relative cortical depth of each voxel to the white

matter and pial surface (0: white matter surface, 1: pial surface, Supplementary Figure S11A),

using mripy (https://github.com/herrlich10/mripy). The voxels in each ROI were sorted and

divided into three bins: deep depth (0-0.33), middle depth (0.33-0.67), and superficial depth

(0.67-1.00) (Ge et al., 2020; Kemper et al., 2018).

ROI definition

ROIs were defined on the inflated cortical surface. Surface ROIs for V1, V2, V3ab, and V4 were defined based on the polar angle atlas from the 7T retinotopic dataset of Human Connectome Project (Benson et al., 2014, 2018). Moreover, the boundary of V2 was edited manually based on columnar patterns. All ROIs were constrained to regions where mean activation across all stimulus conditions exceeded 0. In V2, ROIs for the thin and thick "stripe"-shaped columns were manually defined in two stages. Firstly, we defined thin stripes by contrast between the chromatic and achromatic stimuli, and thick stripes by contrast between binocular disparity and 2D control stimuli. Secondly, we defined final stripes by contrast between these two, resulting in interdigitated thin and thick stripes distributed without overlap. The pale stripes were defined as the regions located between the thin and thick stripes. We compared the fMRI signal changes elicited by the three stimulus contrasts in each stripe. For the cortical depth-dependent analyses in Figure 3, we used all voxels in the retinotopic ROI. Pooling all voxels in the ROI avoids the problem of double-dipping and also increases the signal-to-noise ratio of ROI-averaged BOLD responses.

Stimulus-selectivity index

The ROI-averaged BOLD responses were calculated for each stimulus condition. We defined a selectivity index (SI) for color, disparity, and texture processing, respectively:

$$SI_{color} = (\beta_{chr} - \beta_{ach}) / (\beta_{chr} + \beta_{ach})$$

$$SI_{disparity} = (\beta_{3D} - \beta_{2D}) / (\beta_{3D} + \beta_{2D})$$

$$SI_{texture} = (\beta_T - \beta_N) / (\beta_T + \beta_N)$$

Here, β_{chr}, β_{ach}, β_{3D}, β_{2D}, β_T and β_N represent the beta estimates of BOLD responses for the chromatic, achromatic, binocular disparity, 2D control, naturalistic texture, and spectrally matched noise stimuli, respectively.

Test-retest reliability of columnar organizations

For five subjects who participated in both color and disparity experiments across two daily scan sessions, we generated color ($\beta_{chr} - \beta_{ach}$) and disparity ($\beta_{3D} - \beta_{2D}$) selective functional maps on the cortical surface in area V2. Pearson's correlations were computed to evaluate the test-retest reliability of color- and disparity-selective response patterns between the two scan sessions. Family-wise errors of the pattern correlations were controlled by a null distribution generated from Monte Carlo simulation (Qian et al., 2023). In this procedure, the first session's

GLM residual volumes were used to estimate the spatial auto-correlation function (3dFWHMx

in AFNI), and it was then used to generate a simulated GLM volume for the second session

(3dClustSim in AFNI). We then projected the first and second sessions' GLM volumes onto

the cortical surface and calculated the inter-session correlations of color- and disparity-

selective response patterns in V2. This process was repeated 10,000 times (Supplementary

Figure S12). Finally, the measured correlation coefficients were compared to the critical value

of the null distribution.

Pial vein removal

To mitigate the strong BOLD effect from large pial veins on layer-specific signals in the

gray matter (Cheng et al., 2001; Gati et al., 1997; Kay et al., 2019; Yacoub et al., 2005), we

excluded vertices with extremely large signal changes and their corresponding voxels in the

gray matter. Specifically, the top 5% cortical vertices with large signal changes from baseline

(all stimulus conditions vs. fixation) and the corresponding voxels across all cortical depths

were excluded from analysis in V1, V2, V4, and V3ab (Supplementary Figure S11B).

According to our previous study (Liu et al., 2021), this large vein removal approach can

effectively reduce the superficial bias in laminar response profiles of the visual cortex.

Cortical depth-dependent informational connectivity

To investigate stimulus-specific information flow in the visual processing hierarchy, we

calculated informational connectivity between the input and output layers of two brain regions

(Aly & Turk-Browne, 2016; Coutanche & Thompson-Schill, 2014; Haxby et al., 2001;

Huffman & Stark, 2017; Jia et al., 2020; Koster et al., 2018). This approach is analogous to the

functional connectivity method in multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA), where connectivity

is inferred from shared changes (covariation) in decoding accuracy between regions over time

(i.e., across blocks). The layer-specific neural circuitry was further used to define the direction

of informational connectivity (Jia et al., 2020). Specifically, feedforward connectivity was

defined as the connection between the superficial layer of the lower visual area and the middle

layer of the higher visual area, whereas feedback connectivity was defined as the connection

between two deep layers (Figure 1C).

In the analysis, a separate GLM regressor was first used to estimate the activation pattern

(t scores of voxels) for each stimulus block. For each stimulus condition per cortical layer, 50

activation patterns were obtained for six subjects and 20 activation patterns for the other four

subjects. We trained linear support vector machine (SVM) classifiers (www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm) using these patterns and extracted the distance from the hyperplane for each stimulus block, following a leave-one-run-out cross-validation procedure. Before each training, feature selection was performed with K-1 runs of data to select voxels with high visual sensitivity and stimulus selectivity. We first selected the top 20% most visually responsive voxels by the t distribution of activations from baseline for a stimulus condition (e.g., Chromatic + Achromatic - Fixation). Then 200 voxels with strong stimulus selectivity were selected from each side of the t distribution of differential responses (e.g., Chromatic - Achromatic). The activation patterns of these 400 voxels were normalized to have a unitary Euclidean norm (L2-norm). An SVM classifier of stimulus type (e.g., Chromatic vs. Achromatic) was trained from K-1 runs of data, and the distance to the decision boundary was calculated for each stimulus block from the remaining run. Pearson's correlation between the block-by-block distance timeseries of two brain regions was calculated to estimate the layer-specific informational connectivity. Then we averaged the correlation coefficients across all folds.

The correlation coefficients were Fisher z-transformed before statistical analysis. One-sample t-test against 0 was conducted on each connectivity value, and the results were submitted to FDR (False Discovery Rate) correction. The reported correlations are the original r values to facilitate interpretation and visualization.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB 2021a, JASP (v0.17.1), and custom Python code. Repeated-measures ANOVA and paired t-tests were used for most of the statistical analyses of ROI data. A family-wise-error (FWE) corrected threshold of $p < 0.05$ was used for each group of ANOVA analysis. We further performed an FWE correction for paired t-tests only when the corrected p-value from ANOVA analysis exceeded threshold, according to the Fisher's logic (Fisher, 1936; Levin et al., 1994). We conducted Bayesian repeated-measures ANOVA to complement the classical null-hypothesis test with JASP (Wagenmakers et al., 2018). The calculated Bayes factor (BF_{10}) falling into 0.33-1 indicates anecdotal evidence for the null hypothesis (H_0), whereas a value between 10-30 and >100 refers to strong and extreme evidence for the alternative hypothesis (H_1) respectively. Moreover, we used the non-parametric permutation test to test if selectivity indices differ across stripes. For each selectivity index, we resampled with replacement and computed the

629 mean value within each type of stripe, and calculated the difference between each pair of stripes.
630 This process was repeated 10,000 times to derive the null distribution. The critical value was
631 set to 0.
632

Data and code availability

Data and code to reproduce the main findings of this study can be downloaded from Open
Science Framework (OSF, https://doi.org10.17605/OSF.IO/VBTQS/).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by STI2030-Major Projects (2022ZD0211900, 2021ZD0203600,
2021ZD0204200), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31971031, 31871107,
31930053), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (2024JJ6313).

References

Aly, M., & Turk-Browne, N. B. (2016). Attention promotes episodic encoding by stabilizing
hippocampal representations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America*, *113*(4), E420–E429. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518931113

Anstis, S., & Cavanagh, P. (1983). A minimum Motion Technique for Judging
Equiluminance. In J. Mollon & L. T. Sharpe (Eds.), *Colour vision: Physiology and
psychophysics* (pp. 155–166). Academic Press.

Arcizet, F., Jouffrais, C., & Girard, P. (2008). Natural textures classification in area V4 of the
macaque monkey. *Experimental Brain Research*, *189*(1), 109–120.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1406-9

Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Song, G., Cook, P. A., Klein, A., & Gee, J. C. (2011). A
reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image
registration. *NeuroImage*, *54*(3), 2033–2044.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025

Bastos, A. M., Usrey, W. M., Adams, R. A., Mangun, G. R., Fries, P., & Friston, K. J.
(2012). Canonical Microcircuits for Predictive Coding. *Neuron*, *76*(4), 695–711.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.038

Benson, N. C., Butt, O. H., Brainard, D. H., & Aguirre, G. K. (2014). Correction of
Distortion in Flattened Representations of the Cortical Surface Allows Prediction of V1-

V3 Functional Organization from Anatomy. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *10*(3).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003538

Benson, N. C., Jamison, K. W., Arcaro, M. J., Vu, A. T., Glasser, M. F., Coalson, T. S., Van

Essen, D. C., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Winawer, J., & Kay, K. (2018). The Human

Connectome Project 7 Tesla retinotopy dataset: Description and population receptive

field analysis. *Journal of Vision*, *18*(13), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1167/18.13.23

Bilodeau, L., & Faubert, J. (1997). Isoluminance and chromatic motion perception

throughout the visual field. *Vision Research*, *37*(15), 2073–2081.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(97)00012-6

Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spatial Vision*, *10*, 433–436.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00357

Briggs, F. (2020). Role of feedback connections in central visual processing. *Annual review*

of vision science, 6, 313-334. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-vision-121219-081716

Callaway, E. M. (2004). Feedforward, feedback and inhibitory connections in primate visual

cortex. *Neural Networks*, *17*(5–6), 625–632.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2004.04.004

Cheng, K., Waggoner, R. A., & Tanaka, K. (2001). Human Ocular Dominance Columns as

Revealed by High-Field Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Neuron*, *32*, 1–16.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00477-9

Coutanche, M. N., & Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2014). Using Informational Connectivity to

Measure the Synchronous Emergence of fMRI Multi-voxel Information Across Time.

Journal of Visualized Experiments, *89*, e51226. https://doi.org/10.3791/51226

Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic

resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research*, *29*(29), 162–173.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2342435

Federer, F., Ta'afua, S., Merlin, S., Hassanpour, M. S., & Angelucci, A. (2021). Stream-

specific feedback inputs to the primate primary visual cortex. *Nature Communications,*

12(1), 228. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20505-5

Felleman, D. J., & Van Essen, D. C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the

primate cerebral cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, *1*(1), 1–47.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/1.1.1-a

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. *NeuroImage*, *62*(2), 774–781.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Fisher, R. A. (1936). Design of Experiments. *British Medical Journal*, *1*(3923), 554.
https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.3923.554-a

Fracasso, A., Dumoulin, S. O., & Petridou, N. (2021). Point-spread function of the BOLD
response across columns and cortical depth in human extra-striate cortex. *Progress in*
Neurobiology, 207, 102187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2021.102187

Freeman, J., Ziemba, C. M., Heeger, D. J., Simoncelli, E. P., & Movshon, J. A. (2013). A
functional and perceptual signature of the second visual area in primates. *Nature*
Neuroscience, *16*(7), 974–981. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3402

Friston, K. (2005). A theory of cortical responses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
Society B: Biological Sciences, *360*(1456), 815–836.
https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.2005.1622

Gati, J. S., Menon, R. S., Uğurbil, K., & Rutt, B. K. (1997). Experimental determination of
the BOLD field strength dependence in vessels and tissue. *Magnetic Resonance in*
Medicine, *38*(2), 296–302. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910380220

Ge, Y., Zhou, H., Qian, C., Zhang, P., Wang, L., & He, S. (2020). Adaptation to feedback
representation of illusory orientation produced from flash grab effect. *Nature*
Communications, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17786-1

Hatanaka, G., Inagaki, M., Takeuchi, R. F., Nishimoto, S., Ikezoe, K., & Fujita, I. (2022).
Processing of visual statistics of naturalistic videos in macaque visual areas V1 and V4.
Brain Structure and Function, *227*(4), 1385–1403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-
02468-z

Haxby, J. V., Gobbini, M. I., Furey, M. L., Ishai, A., Schouten, J. L., & Pietrini, P. (2001).
Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal
cortex. *Science*, *293*(5539), 2425–2430. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1063736

Hu, J. M., & Roe, A. W. (2022). Functionally specific and sparse domain-based micro-
networks in monkey V1 and V2. *Current Biology, 32*(13), 2797-2809.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.04.095

Hubel, D. H., & Livingstone, M. S. (1985). Complex-unoriented cells in a subregion of
primate area 18. *Nature*, *315*(6017), 325–327. https://doi.org/10.1038/315325a0

Hubel, D. H., & Livingstone, M. S. (1987). Segregation of form, color, and stereopsis in
primate area 18. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *7*(11), 3378–3415.
https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.07-11-03378.1987

Huber, L., Handwerker, D. A., Jangraw, D. C., Chen, G., Hall, A., Stüber, C., Gonzalez-

Castillo, J., Ivanov, D., Marrett, S., Guidi, M., Goense, J., Poser, B. A., & Bandettini, P.

A. (2017). High-Resolution CBV-fMRI Allows Mapping of Laminar Activity and

Connectivity of Cortical Input and Output in Human M1. *Neuron*, *96*(6), 1253-1263.e7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2017.11.005

Huber, L., Uludağ, K., & Möller, H. E. (2019). Non-BOLD contrast for laminar fMRI in

humans: CBF, CBV, and CMRO2. *Neuroimage, 197*, 742-760.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.041

Huffman, D. J., & Stark, C. E. L. (2017). The influence of low-level stimulus features on the

representation of contexts, items, and their mnemonic associations. *NeuroImage*, *155*,

513–529. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.04.019

Jia, K., Zamboni, E., Kemper, V., Rua, C., Goncalves, N. R., Ng, A. K. T., Rodgers, C. T.,

Williams, G., Goebel, R., & Kourtzi, Z. (2020). Recurrent Processing Drives Perceptual

Plasticity. *Current Biology*, *30*(21), 4177-4187.e4.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.016

Kaplan, E., Lee, B. B., & Shapley, R. M. (1990). Chapter 7 New views of primate retinal

function. *Progress in Retinal Research*, *9*, 273–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-

4327(90)90009-7

Kay, K., Jamison, K. W., Vizioli, L., Zhang, R., Margalit, E., & Ugurbil, K. (2019). A critical

assessment of data quality and venous effects in sub-millimeter fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *189*,

847–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.02.006

Kemper, V. G., De Martino, F., Emmerling, T. C., Yacoub, E., & Goebel, R. (2018). High

resolution data analysis strategies for mesoscale human functional MRI at 7 and 9.4 T.

NeuroImage, *164*, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.058

Kennedy, B., Bex, P., Hunter, D. G., & Nasr, S. (2023). Two fine-scale channels for encoding

motion and stereopsis within the human magnocellular stream. *Progress in*

Neurobiology, *220*, 102374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2022.102374

Kim, T., Bair, W., & Pasupathy, A. (2022). Perceptual Texture Dimensions Modulate

Neuronal Response Dynamics in Visual Cortical Area V4. *Journal of Neuroscience*,

42(4), 631–642. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0971-21.2021

Kiorpes, L. (2015). Visual development in primates: Neural mechanisms and critical periods.

Developmental Neurobiology, *75*(10), 1080–1090. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22276

Kohler, P. J., Clarke, A., Yakovleva, A., Liu, Y., & Norcia, A. M. (2016). Representation of

maximally regular textures in human visual cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *36*(3),

714–729. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2962-15.2016

Koster, R., Chadwick, M. J., Chen, Y., Berron, D., Banino, A., Düzel, E., Hassabis, D., & Kumaran, D. (2018). Big-Loop Recurrence within the Hippocampal System Supports Integration of Information across Episodes. *Neuron*, *99*(6), 1342-1354.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2018.08.009

Lee, B. B. (1996). Receptive field structure in the primate retina. *Vision Research*, *36*(5), 631–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(95)00167-0

Levi, D. M. (2005). Perceptual learning in adults with amblyopia: A reevaluation of critical periods in human vision. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *46*(3), 222–232. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20050

Levin, J. R., Serlin, R. C., & Seaman, M. A. (1994). A controlled, powerful multiple-comparison strategy for several situations. *Psychological Bulletin*, *115*(1), 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.115.1.153

Liu, C., Guo, F., Qian, C., Zhang, Z., Sun, K., Wang, D. J., He, S., & Zhang, P. (2021). Layer-dependent multiplicative effects of spatial attention on contrast responses in human early visual cortex. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *207*, 101897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2020.101897

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1982). Thalamic inputs to cytochrome oxidase-rich regions in monkey visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *79*(19), 6098–6101. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.19.6098

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1987). Psychophysical evidence for separate channels for the perception of form, color, movement, and depth. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *7*(11), 3416–3468. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.07-11-03416.1987

Livingstone, M. S., & Hubel, D. H. (1988). Segregation of Form, Color, Movement, and Depth: Anatomy, Physiology, and Perception. *Science*, *240*(4853), 740–749.

Lu, H. D., & Roe, A. W. (2007). Optical imaging of contrast response in macaque monkey V1 and V2. *Cerebral Cortex, 17*(11), 2675-2695. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl177

Lu, H. D., & Roe, A. W. (2008). Functional organization of color domains in V1 and V2 of Macaque monkey revealed by optical imaging. *Cerebral Cortex*, *18*(3), 516–533. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm081

Malach, R., Tootell, R. B. H., & Malonek, D. (1994). Relationship between orientation domains, cytochrome oxidase stripes, and intrinsic horizontal connections in squirrel

monkey area V2. *Cerebral Cortex*, *4*(2), 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/4.2.151

Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., & Schroeder, C. E. (2000). Intermodal selective attention in

monkeys I: Distribution and timing of effects across visual areas. *Cerebral Cortex*,

10(4), 343–358. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/10.4.343

Merigan, W. H., & Maunsell, J. H. R. (1993). How parallel are the primate visual pathways?

Annual Review of Neuroscience, *16*, 369–402.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.16.030193.002101

Mountcastle, V. B. (1997). The columnar organization of the neocortex. *Brain*, *120*(4), 701–

722. https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/120.4.701

Mullen, K. T. (1985). Bornstein changes in brightness matches may have produced artifacts

in previous isoluminant. *The Journal of Physiology*, *359*(1), 381–400.

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1985.sp015591

Murray, S. O., Kersten, D., Olshausen, B. A., Schrater, P., & Woods, D. L. (2002). Shape

perception reduces activity in human primary visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *99*(23), 15164–15169.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192579399

Nasr, S., Polimeni, J. R., & Tootell, R. B. H. (2016). Interdigitated color- and disparity-

selective columns within human visual cortical areas V2 and V3. *Journal of*

Neuroscience, *36*(6), 1841–1857. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3518-15.2016

Nassi, J. J., & Callaway, E. M. (2009). Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual

system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *10*(5), 360–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2619

Norris, D. G., & Polimeni, J. R. (2019). Laminar (f)MRI: A short history and future

prospects. *NeuroImage*, *197*, 643–649.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2019.04.082

Okazawa, G., Tajima, S., & Komatsu, H. (2015). Image statistics underlying natural texture

selectivity of neurons in macaque V4. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

of the United States of America, *112*(4), E351–E360.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415146112

Okazawa, G., Tajima, S., & Komatsu, H. (2017). Gradual Development of Visual Texture-

Selective Properties between Macaque Areas V2 and V4. *Cerebral Cortex*, *27*(10),

4867–4880. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw282

Olman, C. A., Harel, N., Feinberg, D. A., He, S., Zhang, P., Ugurbil, K., & Yacoub, E.

(2012). Layer-Specific fMRI Reflects Different Neuronal Computations at Different

Depths in Human V1. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(3), e32536.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0032536

Parkes, L. M., Schwarzbach, J. V., Bouts, A. A., Deckers, R. H. R., Pullens, P., Kerskens, C. M., & Norris, D. G. (2005). Quantifying the spatial resolution of the gradient echo and spin echo BOLD response at 3 Tesla. *Magnetic resonance in medicine, 54*(6), 1465-1472. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20712

Pelli, D. G. (1997). The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: Transforming numbers into movies. *Spatial Vision*, *10*(4), 437–442. https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00366

Polimeni, J. R., & Uludağ, K. (2018). Neuroimaging with ultra-high field MRI: Present and future. *NeuroImage*, *168*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2018.01.072

Portilla, J., & Simoncelli, E. P. (2000). Parametric texture model based on joint statistics of complex wavelet coefficients. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *40*(1), 49–71. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026553619983

Qian, C., Chen, Z., de Hollander, G., Knapen, T., Zhang, Z., He, S., & Zhang, P. (2023). Hierarchical and fine-scale mechanisms of binocular rivalry for conscious perception. *BioRxiv*, 2023.02.11.528110. http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/03/02/2023.02.11.528110.abstract

Qian, C., Chen, Z., Hollander, G., Knapen, T., Zhang, Z., He, S., & Zhang, P. (2024). Mesoscale cortical mechanisms of perceptual conflict resolution in binocular rivalry. *Preprint*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4490689/v1

Rao, R. P. N., & Ballard, D. H. (1999). Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects. *Nature Neuroscience 1999 2:1*, *2*(1), 79–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/4580

Roefs, E. C., Schellekens, W., Báez-Yáñez, M. G., Bhogal, A. A., Groen, I. I., van Osch, M. J., ... & Petridou, N. (2024). The Contribution of the Vascular Architecture and Cerebrovascular Reactivity to the BOLD signal Formation across Cortical Depth. *Imaging Neuroscience, 2*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1162/imag_a_00203

Rockland, K. S., & Pandya, D. N. (1979). Laminar origins and terminations of cortical connections of the occipital lobe in the rhesus monkey. *Brain research, 179*(1), 3-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(79)90485-2

Roe, A. W., & Ts'o, D. Y. (1995). Visual topography in primate V2: Multiple representation across functional stripes. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *15*(5), 3689–3715.

https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.15-05-03689.1995

Schulte to Brinke, T., Duarte, R., & Morrison, A. (2022). Characteristic columnar

connectivity caters to cortical computation: Replication, simulation, and evaluation of a

microcircuit model. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, *16*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2022.923468

Shao, X., Guo, F., Shou, Q., Wang, K., Jann, K., Yan, L., Toga, A. W., Zhang, P., & Wang,

D. J. J. (2021). Laminar perfusion imaging with zoomed arterial spin labeling at 7 Tesla.

NeuroImage, 245, 118724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118724

Simoncelli, E. P., & Olshausen, B. A. (2001). Natural Image Statistics And Neural

Representation. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*, 1193–1216.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1193

Sincich, L. C., & Horton, J. C. (2005). The circuitry of V1 and V2: integration of color, form,

and motion. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 28*, 303-326.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135731

Stoop, R., Saase, V., Wagner, C., Stoop, B., & Stoop, R. (2013). Beyond scale-free small-

world networks: Cortical columns for quick brains. *Physical Review Letters*, *110*(10), 1–

5. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.108105

Tanigawa, H., Lu, H. D., & Roe, A. W. (2010). Functional organization for color and

orientation in macaque V4. *Nature Neuroscience*, *13*(12), 1542–1549.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2676

Tong, F. (2003). Cognitive neuroscience: Primary visual cortex and visual awareness. *Nature

Reviews Neuroscience*, *4*(3), 219–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1055

Tootell, R. B. H., & Nasr, S. (2017). Columnar segregation of magnocellular and

parvocellular streams in human extrastriate cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(33),

8014–8032. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0690-17.2017

Tootell, R. B. H., Silverman, M. S., De Valois, R. L., & Jacobs, G. H. (1983). Functional

organization of the second cortical visual area in primates. *Science*, *220*(4598), 737–739.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6301017

Ts'O, D. Y., Roe, A. W., & Gilbert, C. D. (2001). A hierarchy of the functional organization

for color, form and disparity in primate visual area V2. *Vision Research*, *41*(10–11),

1333–1349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(01)00076-1

Tsao, D. Y., Vanduffel, W., Sasaki, Y., Fize, D., Knutsen, T. A., Mandeville, J. B., Wald, L.

L., Dale, A. M., Rosen, B. R., Van Essen, D. C., Livingstone, M. S., Orban, G. A., &

Tootell, R. B. H. (2003). Stereopsis activates V3A and caudal intraparietal areas in
macaques and humans. *Neuron*, *39*(3), 555–568. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-
6273(03)00459-8

Uludag, K., & Havlicek, M. (2021). Determining laminar neuronal activity from BOLD fMRI
using a generative model. *Progress in Neurobiology, 207*, 102055.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2021.102055

Ungerleider, L. G., Galkin, T. W., Desimone, R., & Gattass, R. (2008). Cortical connections
of area V4 in the macaque. *Cerebral Cortex, 18*(3), 477-499.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm061

Wagenmakers, E. J., Love, J., Marsman, M., Jamil, T., Ly, A., Verhagen, J., Selker, R.,
Gronau, Q. F., Dropmann, D., Boutin, B., Meerhoff, F., Knight, P., Raj, A., van
Kesteren, E. J., van Doorn, J., Šmíra, M., Epskamp, S., Etz, A., Matzke, D., … Morey,
R. D. (2018). Bayesian inference for psychology. Part II: Example applications with
JASP. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, *25*(1), 58–76. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-
017-1323-7

Wang, J., Du, X., Yao, S., Li, L., Tanigawa, H., Zhang, X., & Roe, A. W. (2024). Mesoscale
organization of ventral and dorsal visual pathways in macaque monkey revealed by 7T
fMRI. *Progress in Neurobiology, 234*, 102584.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2024.102584

Wang, J., Nasr, S., Roe, A. W., & Polimeni, J. R. (2022). Critical factors in achieving fine-
scale functional MRI: Removing sources of inadvertent spatial smoothing. *Human Brain
Mapping, 43*(11), 3311-3331. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/hbm.25867

Xiao, Y., Wang, Y., & Felleman, D. J. (2003). A spatially organized representation of colour
in macaque cotical area V2. *Nature*, *421*(6922), 535–539.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01372

Yacoub, E., Van De Moortele, P. F., Shmuel, A., & Uğurbil, K. (2005). Signal and noise
characteristics of Hahn SE and GE BOLD fMRI at 7 T in humans. *NeuroImage*, *24*(3),
738–750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.09.002

Zeki, S. M. (1973). Colour coding in rhesus monkey prestriate cortex. *Brain Research*, *53*(2),
422–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(73)90227-8

Ziemba, C. M., Freeman, J., Movshon, J. A., & Simoncelli, E. P. (2016). Selectivity and
tolerance for visual texture in macaque V2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America*, *113*(22), 3140–3149.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510847113

Ziemba, C. M., Perez, R. K., Pai, J., Kelly, J. G., Hallum, L. E., Shooner, C., & Anthony

Movshon, J. (2019). Laminar differences in responses to naturalistic texture in macaque

V1 and V2. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *39*(49), 9748–9756.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1743-19.2019

Supplementary figures

Figure S1. The functional maps in V2 for all ten subjects. The scale bar denotes percent signal change of BOLD response. Color-selective thin and disparity-selective thick stripes were denoted by red and blue arrows, respectively. The number of stripes in each category were summarized in Table S1. LH: left hemisphere; RH: right hemisphere.

944   Table S1. The number of stripes in manually defined ROIs

Subjects	Thin stripe	Thick stripe	Pale stripe
S01	15	17	23
S02	21	20	34
S03	20	21	34
S04	16	16	23
S05	19	22	33
S06	18	17	28
S07	20	18	27
S08	17	17	29
S09	27	20	40
S10	15	15	23

946
947 Figure S2. Test-retest activation patterns for color and disparity experiments in a subject (S09).

948 Color-selective thin and disparity-selective thick stripes were denoted by red and blue arrows,

949 respectively.

Figure S3. Inter-session correlations for the color- and disparity-selective activation maps in four subjects who scanned both color and disparity experiments in two days. The results for S09 were shown in figure 2.

Figure S4. The manually defined ROIs for disparity-selective thick, color-selective thin stripes,

and the pale stripes in-between in a representative subject (S09). The scale bar denotes percent

signal change of BOLD response. The stripes are framed with dashed lines. Black: thin and

thick stripes (Figure 2A); purple: pale stripes.

Figure S5. The bootstrapped distributions of stimulus-selectivity indices in different types of

column ROIs. Dashed lines indicate zero selectivity. Color- and disparity-selective indices both

show significant differences across three stripes. Texture-selective index shows non-significant

difference across different stripes.

Figure S6: Feature selectivity in CO-stripes under different ROI-definition thresholds. From
left to right: 10%, 20% and 30% of extreme voxels. We defined the stripe ROIs using different
thresholds to investigate whether the results were independent of the threshold for ROI
definition. Specifically, we ranked the voxels in manually defined stripe ROIs by the color-
disparity response. We then defined the lowest 10% as the thick stripe voxels, the highest 10%
as thin stripe voxels, and the middle 10% as pale stripe voxels. Additionally, we adjusted the
thresholds to select 20% or 30% of extreme voxels to define the three stripes (with 30% being
the least strict threshold). Notably, in all threshold conditions, there was no significant
difference in texture selectivity across different stripes.

Figure S7. The response difference between texture and noise in the 3T fMRI experiment. The six naturalistic textures were used as stimuli in both 3T and 7T fMRI experiments. MRI data were collected using a 3T Siemens scanner (Prisma) with a 32-channel phased-array coil. Stimuli were back- projected via an MRI-safe projector (spatial resolution: 1024 × 768, refresh rate: 60 Hz). Anatomical volumes were acquired with a T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence at 1-mm isotropic resolution. Functional data were collected with a gradient echo planar imaging sequence (TE = 34 ms; TR = 2 s; FOV = 200 × 200 mm2; matrix = 100 × 100; flip angle = 90◦; slice thickness = 2 mm; 72 axial slices). Each run consisted of thirty 24-s stimulus blocks, starting and ending with 16-s fixation periods. Blocks of naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise stimuli were presented alternatively. In each block, a random sequence of images from one texture family (naturalistic texture or spectrally matched noise) were presented at 5 Hz. There were 15 pairs of naturalistic texture and spectrally matched noise in one run. Eight runs were collected for two subjects while four runs for the other three subjects. MRI data analyses were similar as those in the 7T experiment.

996 Figure S8. Raw BOLD signal changes for calculating layer-specific selectivity indices in color

997 (A), disparity (B) and texture experiment (C). Error bars indicate 1 SEM across subjects.

1000

Figure S9. Maps showing selectivity for color, disparity and naturalistic texture in V4 and V3ab

in a subject (S01). Selectivity maps for three experiments were initially thresholded at $p < 0.05$

and then normalized by linearly scaling the values from 0 to 1. A vertex was classified as

selective for a particular feature (i.e. either color, disparity or texture) if its normalized value

was $\sqrt{2}$ times greater than the value for any other feature. Inter-session correlations were also

calculated for the activation maps showing selectivity for color-selective, disparity-selective

and texture-selective in V4 (left panel) and V3ab (right panel).

1008

1009

1010
1011
1012 Figure S10. Results of isoluminance adjustment.

1013 RGB indices of gray (A) and red (B) that match the luminance of maximum blue values across

1014 three eccentricities.

1015

1016

1017

1018   Figure S11. Illustrations of depth map (A) and pial vein removal (B). Red pixels in Figure B

1019   represent vertices with extremely large signal changes (top 5%) that were excluded.

1020

1021

1022

Figure S12. Null distributions of pattern correlation coefficients from Monto-Carlo simulation.