1 Title:

Phylogenomics reveals the deep ocean as an accelerator for evolutionary diversification in anglerfishes

- 5
- Elizabeth Christina Miller*^{1,2,3,4,5}, Rose Faucher*⁶, Pamela B. Hart^{1,2,7}, Melissa Rincon-
- 8 Sandoval¹, Aintzane Santaquiteria¹, William T. White⁸, Carole C. Baldwin⁹, Masaki Miya¹⁰,
- 9 Ricardo Betancur-R^{1,2,5}, Luke Tornabene^{3,4}, Kory Evans⁶, Dahiana Arcila^{1,2,5}
- 10
- 11 * = these authors contributed equally
- 12
- 13 1: Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 73019, USA
- 14 2: Department of Ichthyology, Sam Noble Museum of Natural History, Norman, OK 73072,
- 15 USA
- 16 3: School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 17 4: Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
- 18 98195, USA
- 19 5: Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of
- 20 California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
- 21 6: Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005
- 22 7: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487 USA
- 23 8: CSIRO Australian National Fish Collection, National Research Collections Australia, Castray
- 24 Esplanade, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 25 9: Department of Vertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian
- 26 Institution, 10th & Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20560, USA
- 27 10: Department of Zoology, Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, 955-2 Aoba-cho,
- 28 Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8682, Japan
- 29
- 30
- 31

32 Corresponding author:

- 33
- 34 Elizabeth Miller
- 35 ecmiller@ou.edu
- 36
- 37

38 Keywords:

- 39
- Adaptive radiation, CT scanning, phylogeny, geometric morphometrics, divergence times, coral
 reefs
- 42
- 43
- 44

45 ABSTRACT

46

47

48 Colonization of a novel habitat is often followed by radiation in the wake of ecological 49 opportunity. Alternatively, some habitats should be inherently more constraining than others if 50 the challenges of that environment have few evolutionary solutions. We examined the push-and-51 pull of these factors on evolution following habitat transitions, using anglerfishes (Lophiiformes) 52 as a model. Deep-sea fishes are notoriously difficult to study, and poor sampling has limited 53 progress thus far. Here we present a new phylogeny of anglerfishes with unprecedented 54 taxonomic sampling (1,092 loci and 40% of species), combined with three-dimensional 55 phenotypic data from museum specimens obtained with micro-CT scanning. We use these datasets to examine the tempo and mode of phenotypic and lineage diversification using 56 57 phylogenetic comparative methods, comparing lineages in shallow and deep benthic versus 58 bathypelagic habitats. Our results show that anglerfishes represent a surprising case where the 59 bathypelagic lineage has greater taxonomic and phenotypic diversity than coastal benthic 60 relatives. This defies expectations based on ecological principles since the bathypelagic zone is 61 the most homogeneous habitat on Earth. Deep-sea anglerfishes experienced rapid lineage 62 diversification concomitant with colonization of the bathypelagic zone from a continental slope 63 ancestor. They display the highest body, skull and jaw shape disparity across lophilforms. In 64 contrast, reef-associated taxa show strong constraints on shape and low evolutionary rates, 65 contradicting patterns suggested by other shallow marine fishes. We found that Lophiiformes as 66 a whole evolved under an early burst model with subclades occupying distinct body shapes. We 67 further discuss to what extent the bathypelagic clade is a secondary adaptive radiation, or if its 68 diversity can be explained by non-adaptive processes.

69

70 INTRODUCTION

71

72	How does evolution proceed after the colonization of novel but harsh environments? The
73	bathypelagic zone of the deep sea (>1,000 m) is characterized by a lack of solar light, food
74	limitation, high pressure, low temperatures, and large expanses of homogeneous space ¹⁻⁴ . Fishes
75	living at this depth converged on specializations including large jaws and teeth, reduced
76	metabolic rate, reduced musculature and skeletal density, sensitive eyes, and
77	bioluminescence ^{1,2,5–13} . The repeated evolution of these adaptations across distantly related
78	lineages may be an indication that there are a limited number of potential solutions to overcome
79	the challenges of this environment ¹⁴ . In contrast to the deep sea, coastal marine environments
80	such as coral reefs and estuaries are diverse, productive and topologically complex ^{15,16} . Due to
81	their sharper biotic and abiotic clines, and presumably greater number of niches, we should
82	expect coastal habitats to promote ecological, morphological, and lineage diversification relative
83	to open ocean or deep sea settings ^{17–24} . Yet, recent studies using phylogenetic comparative
84	methods have shown that fishes from the latter habitats can have greater phenotypic
85	diversification rates and disparity in body shape ^{25–29} . The reasons for this remain unclear, but
86	nonetheless contradict expectations based on first principles ³⁰ .
87	The order Lophiiformes is an iconic clade of marine fishes whose members are
88	characterized by a lure on their head that is used for sit-and-wait hunting. Lophiiformes contains

89 ~350 species among five well-supported suborders: Lophioidei (monkfishes), Ogcocephaloidei

- 90 (hand batfishes), Antennarioidei (frogfishes), Chaunacoidei (sea toads), and Ceratioidei
- 91 (dreamers and sea devils)³¹. Four of the five suborders are benthic and occupy the continental
- 92 shelf, slope and rise, while the ceratioids are bathypelagic. The ceratioids are known for their

93 extreme sexual size dimorphism and varying degrees of sexual parasitism in which males fuse to 94 a female, a phenomenon not found in any other vertebrate³². In addition to their habitat diversity, anglerfishes also exhibit diverse body shapes ranging from laterally compressed, dorsoventrally 95 96 compressed, globose, and elongated. Specializations of benthic lophiiforms include extreme oral gape expansion³³, a tetrapod-like walking gait³⁴, and extremely slow breathing in low-oxygen 97 settings^{35,36}. It is believed that their shape diversity is related to the evolution of restricted gill 98 openings, which frees constraints on cranial morphology³⁷ and allows the body to fill with water 99 100 to perform these specialized functions. 101 How have habitat transitions shaped the evolution of anglerfishes? First, we hypothesize 102 that shallow and/or benthic species will have faster rates of phenotypic and lineage 103 diversification than bathypelagic anglerfishes. Even deep benthic environments are more 104 heterogeneous than the deep pelagic zone^{3,38,39}, and substrate preferences are evident from videos of deep benthic chaunacids and lophiids^{40–42}. In contrast, the homogeneity of the bathypelagic 105 106 zone is unparalleled on Earth². There are few barriers to dispersal which should limit 107 speciation^{43–45} (but see ^{46–49}). Further, the environmental challenges in the deep pelagic zone should impose constraints on evolution, limiting the number of viable phenotypes¹⁴ and thereby 108 reducing rates of phenotypic evolution⁵⁰. Phenotypic constraints associated with a particular 109 110 habitat can be detected using a model-fitting approach, with an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) model 111 being most consistent with this type of constraint (Table 1). 112 Alternatively, we hypothesize that the bathypelagic anglerfishes could have faster rates of 113 diversification and be less evolutionarily constrained than shallow-water or deep-benthic

spatial scales in the deep sea, often facilitated by bioluminescence^{2,9,51}. This presumably reduces

relatives. Specifically, due to the lack of solar light, predator-prey interactions occur over short

selection for the fusiform body shapes common among shallow-water pelagic fishes^{22,23,26,29,52–55},
allowing ceratioids to explore new areas of morphospace. If this ecological release is associated
with an increase in phenotypic diversity, speciation, and the filling of novel ecological
niches^{56,57}, then ceratioids would fit the search image of an adaptive radiation incited by the
colonization of a novel habitat^{58–60}. If this hypothesis is supported, we would expect ceratioid
morphological disparity to be higher than that of benthic relatives.

122 We can further divide this latter hypothesis into two sub-hypotheses, distinguishable by 123 the mode of evolution (Table 1). First, phenotypes in ceratioids may be continuously diversifying 124 over time. This could occur if the radiation is still in its early stages, if ecological opportunity has 125 not been exhausted, or if phenotypic diversity accumulates via non-adaptive processes such as 126 genetic drift in addition to adaptive evolution. In this case, we would expect phenotypes to be 127 evolving under an unbounded Brownian motion (BM) model of evolution. Alternatively, we may 128 expect to see a slowdown in phenotypic evolution in ceratioids following their initial radiation 129 from the benthos. This could indicate that the radiation is in its late stages, that competition for 130 similar resources prevents lineages from overlapping in morphology, or that there are few 131 ecological niches in the bathypelagic zone to begin with. Under this sub-hypothesis, ceratioid 132 phenotypes would be evolving under an "early burst" (EB) model, in which phenotypic and 133 lineage diversification is fastest early in a clade's history as subclades occupy new adaptive 134 zones free from negative ecological interactions, but slows with time as diversification proceeds 135 within these adaptive zones. Unlike BM, the EB model enforces a constraint on phenotypic 136 evolution; unlike OU models, the constraint is time-dependent⁶¹. While some authors associate the EB model with diagnosing adaptive radiation sensu Simpson^{61,62} (i.e., process-based 137 138 definition), we prefer a broader definition of adaptive radiation as a lineage that has evolved

139 taxonomic and phenotypic diversity associated with different ecologies^{20,59,63,64} (i.e., outcome-140 based definition). The EB model might therefore be interpreted as an "ecological limits" model 141 instead of an adaptive radiation model. 142 Sampling of deep-sea fishes for phylogenetic analysis is stymied by the difficulty of 143 collecting^{3,65,66}. Dense species sampling is needed to gain power for phylogenetic comparative 144 methods⁶⁷, ultimately limiting what we can learn about the evolution of deep-sea fishes. Here we 145 present a novel phylogenomic hypothesis of anglerfishes (Lophiiformes) based on 1,092 single-146 copy exon markers. Due to contributions from many natural history collections and government agencies^{68,69}, our taxonomic sampling greatly improves upon predecessors^{70–72}, with nearly 40% 147 148 of species and all deep-sea families sampled. This advance allowed us to apply phylogenetic 149 comparative methods largely reserved for well-sampled terrestrial and shallow-water organisms 150 to test hypotheses about evolution in the deep sea. 151 152 153 RESULTS 154 155 Phylogenomic inference and divergence times 156 157 We generated new genomic data for 152 lophiiform individuals from 120 species using 158 exon capture approaches proven successful for fishes^{55,73–75} (Table S1). Sampling was

augmented by mining exons from published $UCEs^{71,72}$ and legacy markers from NCBI (Tables

160 S2, S3). Final taxonomic sampling after quality control included 132 species of Lophiiformes

161 (37.8% of species) and 20 of 21 families (all but Lophichthyidae). Sampling of ceratioids

included all 11 families and 32.1% of species. Relationships were largely in agreement between
concatenation- and coalescent-based phylogenomic analyses (Appendix A1). These relationships
strongly suggest that obligate sexual parasitism (found in Ceratiidae, Neoceratiidae, and
Linophrynidae) evolved more than once^{32,70}. Detailed systematic results are given in Appendix
A2.

167 We assembled a set of 21 node calibrations, including eight outgroup and ten ingroup 168 fossils and three geologic calibrations (Appendix A3). Our calibration scheme is novel and includes six lophiiform fossils from the Eocene Monte Bolca communities⁷⁶ (Fig. 1). To 169 170 incorporate uncertainty in topology and divergence times for comparative analyses, we produced 171 eight alternative time trees using either the IQ-TREE or ASTRAL tree, the calibration scheme with or without the controversial fossil *†Plectocretacicus*^{75,77}, and using either MCMCtree^{78,79} or 172 173 RelTime^{80,81} as the calibration method. The methodological choice with the largest impact on 174 divergence times was MCMCTree versus RelTime (Fig. 1, Appendix A4). For this reason, some 175 comparative analyses involving complex visualizations were repeated on two designated 176 "master" trees: the IQ-TREE calibrated with the scheme including †Plectocretacoidea using 177 either MCMCTree or RelTime (hereafter "master MCMCTree" or "master RelTime tree"). 178 Six out of eight time trees inferred a Cretaceous origin of crown Lophiiformes (92–61 Ma 179 across trees) (Fig. 1). In the MCMCTrees, Ceratioidei split from Chauancoidei near the K/Pg 180 boundary (67 Ma), whereas in the RelTime trees this divergence occurred in the Eocene (47–40 181 Ma). Similarly, the two methods result in a >20 million-year difference in the age of crown 182 Ceratioidei, either in the Paleocene (~58 Ma using MCMCTree) or late Eocene (40–34 Ma using 183 RelTime). Detailed discussion of divergence times is given in Appendix A4.

1	QL
-	.05

186 Habitat transitions:

188	Ancestral habitat reconstructions (Table S4) based on the best-fitting biogeographic model
189	(BAYAREA+J; Table S5) indicated that the MRCA of all Lophiiformes had a widespread depth
190	range spanning the continental shelf and slope ⁸² (Fig. 2A). The bathypelagic ceratioids originated
191	from a benthic continental slope ancestor. In other words, the most significant habitat transition
192	associated with the ceratioids was benthic-to-pelagic, not shallow-to-deep. There were two
193	independent transitions to a shallow-only habitat associated with frogfishes (Antennarioidei) and
194	the hand batfish genus Ogcocephalus.
195	
196	
197	Lineage diversification rates:
198	
199	We estimated branch-specific net diversification rates using the MiSSE framework (missing state
200	speciation and extinction) ⁸³ . MiSSE models with $1-7$ rate classes were supported with >5% of
201	the relative Akaike weight across the alternative trees (Table S6). There was little consensus on
202	the best-fit model for any tree, therefore we model-averaged rates ⁸⁴ . The backbone of Ceratioidei
203	had elevated net diversification rates following the benthic-to-pelagic transition at the base of the
204	clade (Fig. 2B, Fig. S2). The distributions of recent (tip-associated) rates of net diversification
205	overlapped among suborders and habitats (Fig. S1). Five genera had particularly high net
206	diversification rates: the deep benthic Chaunax, the ceratioids Gigantactis, Oneirodes, and
207	Himantolophus, and the shallow-water batfishes Ogcocephalus (Fig. 2B). Rates were higher

208	overall in the RelTime trees compared to the MCMCTrees due to the generally shorter branch
209	lengths of the former (Figs. S1, S2). Pruning for suspected taxonomic inflation in certain genera
210	(Appendix A2) reduced rate variation overall, but the general patterns remained (Fig. 1).
211	
212	
213	Phenotypic disparity:
214	
215	Phylomorphospace analyses ⁸⁵ showed that the five lophiiform suborders generally occupied
216	distinct regions of morphospace associated with different body plans (Fig. 3, Fig. S3). The first
217	principal component (PC1) explained 45.0% of the variation in body shape. Taxa with laterally
218	compressed bodies and small eyes had negative values, while dorsoventrally compressed, large-
219	eyed taxa had positive values (Fig. 3A). The second PC axis explained 21.3% of the variation
220	and corresponded to body elongation, mouth width, and jaw length, with short bodies and small
221	mouths having low values and elongate bodies and large mouths having high values. By habitat,
222	the body shape of female ceratioids were generally restricted to low values of PC1 and high
223	values of PC2. Benthic species found on the continental slope were restricted to high values of
224	PC1 but were distributed throughout PC2. Both continental shelf clades (Antennarioidei and

225 *Ogcocephalus*) were restricted to low values of PC2. Thus, the transition from deep benthic to

deep pelagic habitats incurred a relative increase in jaw size and decrease in eye size. Shallow-

water species generally exhibit more truncated bodies and mouths compared to deep-sea species.
Morphospace analyses based on micro-CT scans of skulls (Fig. S4, Table S7) showed
greater overlap in shapes among suborders compared to analyses based on body shape (Fig. 3B).
The first PC axis explained 19.9% of skull shape variation and was related to elongation of the

231 skull and the relative size and position of the jaws and orbit (with *Ogcocephalus* having the 232 lowest values and *Thaumatichthys* having the highest values). The second PC axis explained 233 11.7% of the variation and was generally related to size and compression of the neurocranium 234 (with Lophiocharon having the smallest values and Ogcocephalus having the highest values). 235 We found a strong split in skull shape morphospace by habitat, with all continental shelf taxa 236 exhibiting negative values along PC1 while the bathypelagic taxa exhibit positive values along 237 this axis. Continental shelf habitats are generally associated with shorter and narrower skulls 238 with the orbit positioned high on the head. Deep benthic taxa were widely distributed in 239 morphospace.

240 Convergence emerged as a theme in jaw shape morphospace (Fig. 3C). The first PC axis 241 explained 37.0% of the variance, with positive values corresponding to foreshortened, front-242 facing jaws with truncate premaxillae relative to the dentaries (e.g. *Brachionichthys*) and 243 negative values corresponding to more laterally-positioned jaws and elongate premaxillae 244 relative to the dentaries (e.g. *Linophryne*). The second PC axis explained 16.2% of the variance 245 and corresponded to lateral versus dorsoventral compression of the jaws (with *Lophiomus* having 246 the most negative values and *Tetrabrachium* having the most positive values). Ceratioids were 247 nearly all restricted to negative values of PC1 with exception of Ceratiidae, whose jaws more 248 closely resembled chauancids and shallow-water antennarioids. Similarly, the antennarioid 249 brachionichthyids (handfishes) converged with batfishes in jaw shape. By habitat, continental 250 shelf taxa tended towards average or high values of PC1 and PC2, while deep benthic taxa were 251 widely distributed across the morphospace.

We quantified shape disparity⁸⁶ for suborder (Table S8) and habitat categories (Table
S9). Across the three phenotypic datasets, the bathypelagic ceratioids had the greatest disparity

263	Tempo and mode of phenotypic evolution
262	
261	
260	in general contains more disparity than the pelagic state.
259	combined they account for 59-63% of the disparity of Lophiiformes, meaning the benthic state
258	while the four benthic suborders individually contain less disparity than ceratioids, when
257	30%), Antennarioidei (13–25%), Lophioidei (9–12%), and Chaunacoidei (4–6%). Note that
256	(6–11%). Disparity among the remaining suborders was distributed as: Ogcocephaloidei (23–
255	each accounted for less disparity: shelf only (22–31%), shelf and slope (22–27%) and slope only
254	accounting for 37–41% of the total disparity of Lophiiformes (Fig. S5). The remaining habitats

264

265 We used an evolutionary model fitting approach to identify the mode of body, skull and jaw 266 shape evolution for Lophiiformes as a whole and within each suborder individually. Multivariate model-fitting analyses performed using mvMORPH⁸⁷ found that the EB model had the best fit 267 268 for body shape evolution for Lophiiformes (Fig. 4A). There was some support for EB dynamics 269 for jaw shape as well, as this model had a Δ GIC (generalized information criterion) within 0–2 270 for all trees. The best-fit model for skull evolution was uncertain, and all three models were 271 typically within 2 Δ GIC units across trees. Multivariate model fitting for suborders revealed 272 clade-specific evolutionary dynamics. Shallow-water antennarioids were unique among 273 suborders in that the OU model had the best fit for body shape evolution, and the attractor 274 parameter was inferred to be high indicating strong stabilizing selection on shape. There was 275 support for the EB model on antennarioid jaw shape evolution across all trees (likely driven by 276 divergence of small-mouthed handfishes from large-mouthed frogfishes). For bathypelagic

ceratioids, the best-fit model of body shape evolution was BM across all trees, though other
models were within 2 units of GIC. For lophioids, the EB model was strongly supported as the
best fit model of body shape evolution, driven by the divergence of the globose *Sladenia* from
the strongly dorsoventrally flattened lophiids (Fig. 3A). There was strong support for an OU
model for skull shape in ogcocephalids (Fig. 4B), as the skull of batfishes is very different from
all other lophiiforms (Fig. 3).

283 We also performed univariate model fitting for the ten body shape linear measurements 284 individually, revealing additional nuances (Fig. 5). As with multivariate analyses, the OU model 285 had the best fit for all ten dimensions of antennarioid body shape indicating stabilizing selection. 286 The OU model was also favored for most body shape dimensions in ceratioids, except standard 287 length and interorbital length, for which BM was favored. As standard length becomes a 288 reflection of body elongation when size-corrected with log shapes ratios⁸⁸, this indicates that 289 body elongation is less constrained than other shape dimensions in ceratioids. EB models did not 290 have strong support in any of these analyses. This suggests that EB evolution detected with 291 multivariate analyses (Fig. 4) was driven by the organization of trait combinations among clades. Disparity-through-time analyses⁸⁹ suggested that body shape disparity for Lophiiformes 292

was relatively low within subclades early in the history of the clade but increased over time (Fig.
S6), a signature of an early burst pattern of evolution for the order overall. Notably, ceratioids
and antennarioids had high average subclade disparity in body, skull and jaw shapes throughout
their entire history. This pattern indicates that subclades within these groups overlap greatly in
morphology, a departure from the ordinal-level pattern.

PhyloEM models⁹⁰ (Fig. S7) confirmed that adaptive peaks in body, skull and jaw shape
reflected the same groups visible in morphospace (Fig. 3). Shifts in major body plans were

generally associated with suborders, corroborating the early burst dynamics detected for body
shape using other analyses (Fig. 4). An ancestral adaptive peak in overall skull shape was shared
by the lophioids, ceratioids, and chaunacoids, with separate peaks for ogcocephalioids and
anntenarioids. Lophioids and ceratioids each had unique adaptive peaks in jaw shape. Additional
adaptive peaks were supported depending on which master tree was used, such as separate
adaptive peaks in antennarioid and brachionichthyid jaw shapes when using MCMCTree (Fig.
S7).

307 We inferred branch-specific evolutionary rates of body, skull, and jaw shape evolution across Lophiiformes using BayesTraits V4⁹¹ while fitting ten alternative models of trait evolution 308 309 available within the software. Variable-rate models with a lambda transformation had the best fit 310 in all cases. The slowest tip-associated evolutionary rates belonged to continental shelf taxa. 311 Bathypelagic taxa had the highest rates of body shape evolution, and similar rates of skull and 312 jaw evolution to deep benthic taxa (Fig. 6). Rate variation by branch revealed more complex 313 patterns of trait evolution (Fig. 6). Evolutionary rates were generally low within the 314 antennarioids across all three phenotypic datasets, with the exception of a few specialized species 315 and along the stem branch leading to Brachionichthyidae. The ceratioids and ogcocephalids had 316 several lineages with elevated rates corresponding to morphologically unique deep-sea genera. 317 Therefore, we did not find that evolutionary rates slowed through time in deep-sea taxa, as 318 predicted if ecological limits are driving the diversification process (Table 1). Rates of body 319 shape evolution were high on the stem branches leading to Ceratioidei, Ogcocephalidae, and the 320 dorsoventrally flattened lophiids, suggesting high rates are related to evolution of new body 321 plans. Patterns were generally consistent between the two master trees (Fig. S8).

322

323

324 **DISCUSSION**

326 In this study we asked whether colonization of a novel but harsh environment should promote or 327 constrain evolution. Colonization of new environments is generally believed to be a precursor to evolutionary radiation⁵⁸. Yet, some environments should be inherently more constraining than 328 329 others, potentially because there are few available niches or the challenges of that habitat only have a few viable solutions^{14,50}. We examined the push-and-pull of these factors on evolution in 330 331 the anglerfishes (Lophiiformes) with three guiding hypotheses (Table 1). We discuss the 332 evidence for each of these hypotheses below. 333 334 335 Early burst of lophiiform phenotypes: 336 337 We found strong evidence that evolutionary dynamics for the order Lophiiformes as a whole 338 evolved under early-burst dynamics. We found that an EB model had the best fit for body shape 339 evolution (Fig. 4). The five suborders generally occupy distinct regions of the body shape 340 morphospace, which was confirmed by phyloEM models (Fig. 3A, Fig. S7). Since four of five 341 suborders are benthic, this supports the idea that benthic habitats in general contain more body 342 shape diversity. This is potentially due to the greater topographic complexity of benthic versus pelagic habitats, which should promote niche evolution^{22,55}. For example, the dorsoventrally 343 compressed body plan only evolves in benthic fishes^{22,92}, represented in Lophiiformes by the 344 345 lophiids and ogcocephalids. These two clades diverged further in diet, with ogcocephalids eating

small invertebrates⁹³ and lophiids eating fishes⁹, explaining additional shape variation related to
mouth size and position (Fig. 3). The early appearance of diverse body plans is also preserved in
the fossil record: Monte Bolca fossils closely resemble living lophiids, antennarioids, and
batfishes^{94–99}.

350	Of all benthic environments, we should expect coastal shelf habitats, especially coral
351	reefs, to promote phenotypic evolution ^{17,19,20,23,100} . Yet, the most reef-associated clade of
352	lophiiforms, the antennarioids, was the most constrained in shape, fitting a pattern of "branch
353	packing" ⁸⁵ (Fig. 3, Fig. S6). Unique among the five suborders, the OU model had the strongest
354	support for multivariate body shape of antennarioids (Fig. 4) as well as for nearly all individual
355	body shape variables (Fig. 5). Antennarioids also had the lowest rates of phenotypic evolution
356	among Lophiiformes (Fig. 6, Fig. S8). The other lophiiform clade that specialized on continental
357	shelf habitats, the genus Ogcocephalus, was also restricted in morphospace relative to
358	ogcocephalids from deep-sea habitats (Fig. 3). Therefore, shelf habitats alone cannot explain the
359	higher diversity of benthic lophiiforms, but rather the entire spectrum of benthic habitats
360	including deep-sea environments must have played a role in generating this diversity.
361	
362	
363	Evidence for adaptive radiation in the bathypelagic zone
364	

Within ceratioids, most individual body shape variables evolved under an OU model (Fig. 5),
and ceratioids were generally confined to a region of morphospace associated with small eyes
and large jaws (Fig. 3), suggesting that these features are a response to bathypelagic conditions.
For example, at these depths all light comes from bioluminescent point sources, which are bright

369 enough for small eyes to detect¹¹. Despite these constraints, we found that the bathypelagic 370 ceratioids had the highest disparity when considering suborders individually, comprising 37-371 41% of the total disparity of Lophiiformes (Tables S8, S9; Fig. S5). Ceratioids have been able to 372 diversify as long as general constraints related to a bathypelagic existence are satisfied. This 373 diversification includes instances of convergence on shallow-water shapes (Fig. 3C), as well as 374 the evolution of entirely novel phenotypes related to predation (Fig. 6). Most strikingly, the 375 "wolftrap" phenotype, in which the upper jaw and teeth are enlarged to ensnare prey, evolved 376 twice independently (in *Lasiognathus* and *Thaumatichthys*) and is associated with high rates of 377 evolution (Fig. 6). Ceratioids especially show a lot of diversity on the spectrum of body 378 elongation, which was found to be evolving under BM (Fig. 5). Even though the "archetypical" 379 ceratioid in popular imagination is globose, elongate forms have evolved repeatedly such as 380 Ceratias, Gigantactis, Lasiognathus, and Thaumatichthys (Fig. 5).

381 Are ceratioids an adaptive radiation themselves (nested within the lophilform radiation), 382 or a different type of evolutionary radiation generated through non-adaptive processes^{63,101,102}? 383 This is not a pedantic exercise⁶³, but is crucial for understanding fundamental questions about 384 deep sea evolution. For example, given the paucity of resources, is adaptive radiation even 385 possible in the deep sea? If so, does it conform to patterns described for terrestrial, freshwater and shallow marine adaptive radiations⁶⁰? Ecological opportunity, the kindling that incites 386 387 adaptive radiation, is thought to be highest upon colonizing a novel habitat that lacks 388 competitors, especially when coupled with a key innovation that provides access to novel 389 resources^{56,58,60}. Ceratioids are by far the most diverse vertebrate clade in the bathypelagic zone 390 today⁶. Their lure, large jaws, low metabolism, and extensible stomachs are shared with their benthic relatives^{33,36}, which may have predisposed them for ecological success in the food-391

limited deep sea relative to non-lophilform competitors^{5,49}. They colonized this habitat from a
deep-benthic ancestor and shortly after experienced a burst in lineage diversification rates (Fig.
and evolved novel phenotypes (Figs. 3, 6). Their sister group, the benthic chaunacids, have
comparably low taxonomic and phenotypic diversity¹⁰² (Fig. S5). These pieces of evidence paint
the picture of a potential adaptive radiation¹⁰³.

397 While the EB model was developed to characterize adaptive radiation based on 398 Simpson's conceptualization 62,104 , in practice this model seems to be a poor representation of many adaptive radiations⁶¹ including the ceratioids. Despite rapid lineage diversification early on 399 400 (Fig. 2B), there is little evidence for a similar early burst of phenotypic evolution (Figs. 4, 5). 401 Phylomorphospace analyses (Fig. 3) and diversity-through-time plots (Fig. S6) showed 402 phenotypic overlap in body, skull and jaw shapes throughout the entire history of ceratioids, 403 distinct from the early burst pattern seen for Lophiiformes as a whole (Fig. S6). BayesTraits 404 analyses showed that relatively young lineages have experienced rapid rates of evolution (Fig. 405 6). The wolftrap and whipnose anglers are examples of lineages that have evolved novel prey 406 capture strategies relatively recently in the context of the ceratioid radiation. Although ceratioids 407 are at least 30 million years old (Fig. 1), it seems unlikely that they are exhausting ecological opportunity such that they can no longer diversify^{105,106}. We know very little about what 408 409 ecological opportunity looks like in the deep sea. On one hand, the bathypelagic zone is the most 410 food-limited and environmentally homogeneous habitat on Earth. On the other hand, population 411 density of ceratioids is very low, and populations are spread across the globe^{6,45}. Environments 412 with patchy resources should promote coexistence by preventing any species from becoming dominant¹⁰⁷. Therefore, resources are very limited, but competition should also be very low¹⁰⁸. 413

414 A remaining mystery is the degree to which non-adaptive processes contributed to the 415 diversity of ceratioids. Relaxed selection due to ecological release is believed to play an 416 important role in the initial stages of adaptive radiation by broadening phenotype diversity, giving way to a later stage of disruptive selection among these phenotypes^{56,57,60}. Yet, some 417 418 authors hypothesize that selection on body shape is perennially relaxed in the bathypelagic 419 zone²⁹. Bathypelagic fishes have neither the demands of shallow-water pelagic predators for pursuing prey⁵², nor the challenges of navigating obstacles like benthic fishes^{22,26}. Therefore, 420 421 shape disparity may have accumulated over time in this habitat if new shapes are neutral with 422 respect to selection. Ceratioid body elongation may fit this pattern of evolution (Fig. 5). While elongation is also a common theme for benthic-to-pelagic transitions in shallow-water fish 423 424 clades^{22,53,55}, the difference is that elongation in these groups is under selection for reducing drag for sustained swimming. Videos in-life suggest that globular¹⁰⁹ and elongate¹¹⁰ ceratioids are 425 426 both incapable of sustained swimming due to their reduced skeletal and muscular architecture. It 427 is unclear why elongation would be under selection for some ceratioids but not others. Similarly, ceratioids have diverse jaw and tooth shapes which yield differences in function¹¹¹, yet they 428 429 seem to be opportunistic generalist carnivores based on largely anecdotal evidence^{9,112}. We know from videos and trawl records that ceratioids show some differences in hunting behavior¹¹¹, and 430 431 a few genera inhabit the benthic boundary layer with demersal prey making up some portion of their diet^{6,39,110}. Otherwise, evidence of phenotype-ecology matching is lacking for ceratioids, 432 433 whereas this has been a crucial piece of evidence for the adaptive radiation process in terrestrial and shallow-water organisms that are easier to study^{59,103,113}. Without this evidence, it is difficult 434 435 to understand why so many body and jaw shapes have evolved in ceratioids and the strength of 436 disruptive selection on these different shapes.

437

438

439 Phenotypic constraint in shallow-water lophiiforms

440

Phenotypic stasis could arise from the lack of ecological opportunity (external constraints) or 441 functional limitations (internal constraints)^{24,114,115}. Slow and constrained evolution of shallow-442 443 water frogfishes is unexpected because it contradicts the trend seen in other fish clades. Wrasses 444 (Labridae) show higher diversification on reefs which is partially driven by exploration of novel phenotypes to acquire new resources^{19,116}. Grunts (Haemulidae) are not as trophically diverse as 445 wrasses yet still have faster phenotypic diversification on reefs, probably due to finer partitioning 446 of existing niches¹⁰⁰. Unlike wrasses and grunts, frogfishes did not evolve novel diets nor 447 448 partition dietary resources more finely than other lophilforms. No lophilform has evolved 449 herbivory or planktivory, so frogfishes are not taking advantage of the full array of opportunities provided by coastal habitats^{19–21,23}. They are indiscriminate carnivores with extensible 450 451 stomachs³³ capable of the largest volume of oral expansion known among reef fishes, allowing 452 them to catch prey from long distances using suction feeding. Their prey capture success rate is therefore much higher than other reef fishes³³. Evolutionary innovations may result in 453 454 specialization instead of diversification if the innovation does not broaden the array of potential resources^{117,118}. We might therefore conclude that the frogfish bauplan functions in a variety of 455 456 coastal environments by increasing their success as a generalist carnivore, and there is little 457 external incentive to modify it even with the genetic or developmental ability to do so. Note that 458 while frogfishes are constrained in shape, they are highly variable in color allowing them to mimic sponges, corals and urchins³³; they likely have very high rates of color evolution. 459

4(50
----	----

461

462 The timeline of lophilform evolution

463

464	A novel result from our study is that the crown age of Lophiiformes is well within the
465	Cretaceous (Fig. 1). Even our trees with the youngest estimates have confidence intervals
466	extending to ~76 Ma (Appendix A4, Table A4). Yet, other studies found that Lophiiformes have
467	a Cenozoic origin as part of a post-K/Pg diversification event affecting spiny-rayed fishes
468	broadly ^{72,119} . The primary reason for the older age estimates in our study is our use of six fossil
469	calibrations from Monte Bolca which included crown representatives of Lophoidei and
470	Antennarioidei (Fig. 1). Older age estimates were not limited to analyses using
471	[†] Plectocretacoidea, a controversial Cretaceous fossil ⁷⁷ . We believe that at minimum, the age of
472	lophiiform subclades were underestimated by prior studies (discussed in detail in Appendix A4).
473	Past studies used at most three Monte Bolca calibrations for Lophiiformes (Appendix A4, Table
474	A5). This was most likely due to lower taxonomic sampling compared to our study, providing
475	fewer nodes to place calibrations.
470	

The fossil record gives no direct evidence of lophiiforms prior to the Eocene. Yet, the presence of several lineages in Monte Bolca, including crown representatives of two suborders, strongly suggests that Lophiiformes were already diverse by then. A Cenozoic crown age of Lophiiformes would require that suborders diversified rapidly in the intervening 17.5 million years between the K/Pg boundary and Monte Bolca⁹⁴. Yet, no such rapid radiation is visible in our phylograms (Appendix A1). Therefore, we suggest that a Cretaceous origin of Lophiiformes is the best explanation to reconcile molecular data with the fossil record.

483	Notably, Hughes et al. ⁷⁴ recently found a crown age of Labridae of ~79 Ma using an
484	expanded fossil calibration list compared to past studies, which found a Cenozoic crown age.
485	Both Lophiiformes and Labridae are members of Eupercaria, one of nine series within
486	Percomorpha ¹²⁰ and one of the groups implicated in the post-K/Pg radiation of acanthomorphs. It
487	remains to be seen whether an older age of labrids and lophiiforms changes the finding of rapid
488	post-K/Pg radiation of acanthomorphs found by recent studies ^{72,119} . Regardless, it is clear that
489	improved taxonomic sampling made possible by collections ⁶⁸ combined with paleontological
490	systematics ^{77,95,97} stands to transform our understanding of the timescale of fish evolution.
491	
492	Conclusions
493	
494	We combined a well-sampled phylogenomic hypothesis with three-dimensional morphometric
495	data to examine the tempo and mode of evolution following habitat transitions in anglerfishes.
496	The bathypelagic anglerfishes experienced a burst of lineage diversification and now contain the
497	greatest phenotypic diversity of all lophiiform clades, whereas continental shelf lineages are
498	relatively constrained in morphology. These findings contradict ecological expectations, since
499	we expect complex coastal habitats to promote niche evolution relative to the homogeneous
500	bathypelagic zone. Our findings prompt new questions about deep-sea ecology and evolution,
501	such as to what extent radiation is possible in harsh environments, as well as the role of adaptive
502	versus neutral processes for generating diversity in these settings.
503	

504 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

505

506

We are grateful to the following museums and personnel for providing voucher specimens and/or
tissues: UWFC (Katherine Maslenikov), FLMNH (Robert Robins), SIO (Ben Frable and Phil
Hastings), AM (Amanda Hay), CSIRO (Alastair Graham and John Pogonoski), MCZ (Andrew
Williston and Meaghan Sorce), FSBC (Eric Post), YPM (Gregory Watkins-Colwell and Tom
Near), KU (Andrew Bentley and Leo Smith), LSUMZ (Prosanta Chakrabarty and Seth Parker),
LACM (Todd Clardy and Bill Ludt), and USNM (Chris Huddleston and Diane Pitassy). Tracey
Sutton kindly gifted tissues to UWFC.

513 514

515 We thank Adam Summers, Karly Cohen, and Zach Heiple for help with CT scanning at Friday

- 516 Harbor Laboratories. Scanning was supported by the oVert TCN (NSF DBI-1701665). Sarah
- 517 Panciroli, Divinity Patterson, Leo MacLeod, Jonathan Huie, and Jenny Gardner assisted with
- 518 collecting and processing CT scans and were funded by the William W. and Dorothy T. Gilbert
- 519 Ichthyology Research Fund. Sarah Friedman and Julien Clavel gave advice on morphometric
- 520 approaches.
- 521

522 We thank the MERLAB at University of Washington for assistance with wet lab work. Exon

- 523 capture and sequencing was performed by Arbor Biosciences and funded by FishLife (NSF
- 524 DEB-1541554). Computational resources were provided by the University of Oklahoma
- 525 Supercomputing Center for Education and Research (OSCER). Liam Ward assisted with data
- 526 curation and quality control.
- 527

528 ECM was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship (DBI-1906574) and NSF DEB-

5292015404. PBH was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship (DBI-2109469). KE was

supported by NSF DEB 2237278. DA was supported by NSF DEB-2144325 and NSF DEB-

- **531** 2015404.
- 532

- 535
- 536
- 537
- 538
- 539
- 540

Table 1. Summary of hypotheses and predictions.

Hypothesis	Description	Mechanisms	Predictions		
			Evolutionary	Phenotypic	Evolutionary
			mode	Disparity	rates
1	Benthic	More niches	Ceratioid	Shallow-	Ceratioids
	habitats	and	evolution	water and/or	with slower
	promote	opportunities	best	benthic	rates of
	evolution	for allopatry in	described by	suborders	evolution and
	while the	benthic habitats;	bounded	with greater	lineage
	bathypelagic	harsh conditions	(OU) models	morphologic	diversificatio
	zone	with few		al disparity	n than
	constrains	evolutionary		than	benthic
	evolution	solutions, and		ceratioids	suborders
		few barriers to			
		dispersal, in the			
		bathypelagic			
2a	Bathypelagic	Ceratioids have	Ceratioid	Ceratioids	Ceratioids
	zone	not exhausted	evolution	with greater	with faster
	promotes	ecological	best	morphologic	rates of
	evolution	opportunity in	described by	al disparity	evolution and
	relative to	the bathypelagic	unbounded	than	lineage
	benthic	zone, or	(BM) models	shallow-	diversificatio
	habitats;	phenotypic		water and/or	n than
	ceratioid	change is non-		benthic	benthic
	diversification	adaptive as well		suborders	suborders;
	is ongoing	as adaptive			rates do not
					slow through
1		a		a	time
26	Bathypelagic	Ceratioids have	Ceratioid	Ceratioids	Ceratioids
	zone	exhausted	evolution	with greater	with faster
	promotes	ecological	best	morphologic	rates of
	evolution	opportunity in	described by	al disparity	evolution and
	relative to	the bathypelagic	bounded	than	lineage
	benthic	zone	(EB) models	shallow-	diversificatio
	nabitats;			water and/or	n than
	ceratioid			benthic	benthic
	diversification			suborders	suborders;
	has slowed				rates slow
	down				through time

546 MAIN TEXT FIGURE CAPTIONS

547

548

549 Figure 1: Time-calibrated phylogeny of Lophiiformes. Inset shows the range of dates for key 550 nodes inferred across the eight alternative time trees. This tree was inferred using IO-TREE and 551 calibrated using MCMCTree with the scheme including †Plectocretacoidea (master 552 MCMCTree); for the master RelTime tree see Appendix A1. Grey shading indicates the 553 Cretaceous and the mid-Miocene (~15 Ma) to present, the latter period identified as having elevated rates of speciation across deep-sea fishes⁴⁹. Line art was digitized from FAO fisheries 554 555 guides. 556 557 558 Figure 2: Timing of habitat transitions and lineage diversification rates. (A) Habitat 559 reconstructions inferred using BioGeoBEARS. (B) Branch-specific net diversification rates 560 inferred using MiSSE. For tip-associated rates across all trees see Fig. S1. Here the master 561 MCMCTree is shown; for comparison with the master RelTime tree see Fig. S2. 562 563 564 Figure 3: Phylomorphospace analyses of (A) body shape, (B) skull shape and (C) jaw shape. 565 Body shape was inferred from ten linear measurements (Fig. S3). Skull and jaw shapes were 566 inferred using geometric morphometrics from CT scans (Fig. S4). Sladenia image from NOAA. 567 568 569 Figure 4: Results from multivariate model fitting using mvMORPH. (A) Akaike weight of three 570 models of body, skull and jaw shape evolution across the eight trees. (B) Attractor strength 571 (alpha) for OU models. (C) Attractor strength (alpha) for EB models. For panels B and C, poorly 572 fitting models are not shown (i.e., only models within 2 AGIC units of the best-fitting model are 573 shown). 574 575

Figure 5: Univariate model fitting for individual body shape variables (Fig. S3). (A) Akaike
weight support for three models across the eight time trees. (B) Attractor strength (alpha) for
cases where the OU model had the best fit (greatest proportion of Akaike weight support).

579

Figure 6: Rates of body, skull and jaw shape evolution inferred by BayesTraits. Panels A–C
show branch-specific rates on the master MCMCTree. See Fig. S8 for a comparison between the
master trees. Panel D shows tip-associated rates by habitat. See Fig. S8 for tip-associated rates by
suborder. *Haplophryne* and *Brachionichthys* images from Fishes of Australia¹²¹.

585

586





A) Ancestral habitats (BioGeoBEARS)



B) Lineage diversification (MiSSE)





A) Multivariate model fit (mvMORPH)



A) Univariate model fit

Examples of body elongation in ceratioids:



mouth width

min cp depth min cp width eye diameter

interorbital length

Thaumatichthys (Thaumatichthyidae)

archetypical

globose ceratioid

Oneirodes (Oneirodidae)

Ceratias

(Ceratiidae)

Lasiognathus (Oneirodidae)

Lophodolos

(Oneirodidae)

Gigantactis (Gigantactinidae)

weak attraction

pauciradiatus Antenn Ogco coelophrvs Lasiognathus ¢, Neoceratias haumatichthys Cerat -Gigantactis Chaun -6 Loph denia 60 20 0 80 40 mean rate 0.001 0.003





Morphologically unique lophiiforms:



D) Tip rates









588 METHODS

589

590 Data acquisition:

591

592 We generated new genomic data from tissue samples associated with museum specimens (Table 593 S1). New data was collected from 152 individuals from 120 species of Lophiiformes. DNA was 594 extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). We shipped DNA 595 extractions to Arbor Biosciences (Ann Arbor, MI) for library preparation, target enrichment, and 596 sequencing. Sequencing of pair end 150 bp reads was completed on a HiSeq 4000 with a total of 597 192 samples multiplexed per lane. Target capture probes were based on a set of 1,105 single-598 copy nuclear exon markers designed for fish phylogenomics (Eupercaria bait set of Hughes et al. ⁷³). An additional 19 nuclear legacy markers, as well as mitochondrial DNA, were also targeted 599 600 using this probe set. Information for individuals with new genomic data can be found in Table 601 S1. We mined exons from genomes available on NCBI for eight additional outgroup and two 602 ingroup species. Our outgroup sampling (Table S1) included one holocentrid (representing the 603 sister lineage to Percomorpha), one ophidiid (the earliest diverging member of Percomorpha), 604 one pelagiarian, two syngnatharians, 18 tetraodontiforms and 15 additional eupercarians⁷⁵. 605 Taxonomic sampling was improved using two approaches. First, we mined exons from published UCE alignments^{71,72}. We assembled the raw reads from these studies into loci using 606

the FishLife Exon Capture pipeline described below. Between 5–357 exons (mean 40.3 per
individual) were successfully mined for 93 individuals representing 48 species. After quality
control steps, 12 species were retained in the "final" alignment (see below) on the basis of these
mined exons. Information for individuals with exons mined from UCEs can be found in Table
S2. Second, we downloaded legacy markers for 10 species available from GenBank (Table S3).

612 These species had between 1-5 markers available. Due to the large amounts of missing data 613 introduced in the alignment, we only pursued legacy markers for species that would be new to 614 our dataset. After quality control steps, two genera and six species not available elsewhere were 615 retained in the "final" alignment on the basis of these legacy markers (Table S3). Our final taxonomic sampling when combining all data and remaining after all quality 616 617 control steps (see below) was 132 ingroup species (37.8% of species and 78.1% of genera in 618 Lophiformes) and 20 of 21 families (all but the monotypic Lophichthyidae). Suborder-level 619 sampling is as follows: 9 species of Lophioidei (32.1% of species and all four genera), 21 species 620 of Ogcocephaloidei (28.7% of species and eight of ten genera), 40 species of Antennarioidei (62.5% of species and 77.3% of genera [17 of 22 genera]), eight species of Chaunacoidei (50% 621 622 of species and both genera), and 54 species of Ceratioidei (32.1% of species and 74.3% of genera 623 [26 of 35 ceratioid genera]). 624 625 626 Assembly, alignment and quality control: 627 628 Assembly, initial raw data quality control steps, and alignment were conducted using the pipeline⁷³ available at https://github.com/lilychughes/FishLifeExonCapture. Low quality raw 629 reads and adapter contamination were trimmed using Trimmomatic v.0.39¹²². Trimmed reads 630

631 were mapped against the reference sequences used for probe design with BWA v. $0.7.17^{123}$ and

632 PCR duplicates were removed using SAMtools $v.1.9^{124}$. An initial sequence for each marker was

633 assembled with Velvet v.1.2. 10^{125} , and the longest contig was used as a reference sequence to

extend contigs using aTRAM 2.2^{126} with the Trinity v.2.2 as the assembler¹²⁷. Redundant contigs

were excluded with CD-HIT-EST v.4.8.1^{128,129}, and open reading frames for the remaining
contigs were identified using Exonerate v.2.4.0¹³⁰. Redundant contigs with reading frames
exceeding 1% sequence divergence were discarded.

638 New data, mined exons from UCEs, and legacy markers were aligned using MACSE 639 v.2.03¹³¹ with the -cleanNonHomologousSequences option. After alignment, we discarded 26 640 exons with low capture efficiency (those with <50 taxa). Next, some legacy markers can retain paralogues when obtained using our target capture probe set and deserve additional scrutiny⁷³. 641 642 For these markers, we checked their gene trees by eye for pseudogenes. Five exons had 643 pseudogenes (rhodopsin, zic1, sh3px3, plag2, and ENC1) and were excluded from our dataset. 644 After these steps, the dataset contained 1,092 markers. This number included 1,077 FishLife 645 exons, 13 additional nuclear legacy markers, and two mitochondrial legacy markers (CO1 and 646 ND1).

Further quality control steps follow those described by Arcila et al. ¹³². We performed 647 branch length correlation (BLC) tests¹³³ to detect within-gene contamination that may not be 648 649 easily detectable once genes are concatenated. The logic of this test is that contaminated 650 sequences will show very long branches once constrained to a reference topology. We generated a reference phylogeny using the program IQ-TREE MPI multicore v. 2.0^{134} based on the 651 concatenated alignment of all 1,092 genes and using mixture models¹³⁵. We then generated gene 652 653 trees for each marker with the topology constrained to match the reference phylogeny. We 654 generated a branch-length ratio for every taxon in every gene tree, which was the length of the 655 branch in the gene tree over the length of the corresponding branch in the reference tree (after 656 pruning the reference tree to the same individuals contained by the gene tree). All branches with 657 a ratio >5 were flagged, and all flagged branches were then checked by eye. Ultimately, 1,416

sequences (taxa in gene trees) were discarded from our dataset due to suspected contamination
(very long branches in the gene trees). In addition, two taxa were later dropped entirely from the
dataset because we observed them to have extremely long branches across many gene trees
(Table S1).

Species identifications of sequences were confirmed with two complimentary 662 663 approaches. First, for species with more than one individual sampled, we checked the phylogram 664 produced containing all individuals (see below) by eye with the assumption that species should 665 be monophyletic. Second, we referenced CO1 sequences against the BOLD (Barcode of Life 666 Data System) database¹³⁶ using scripts from the "fishlifeqc" package available at: 667 https://github.com/Ulises-Rosas/fishlifeqc. For genera with short branch lengths (specifically 668 Ogcocephalus, Chaunax, Oneirodes, Gigantactis, and Himantolophus), we could not obtain 669 confident species identifications using BOLD, and species were often non-monophyletic. This is 670 potentially due to incomplete lineage sorting after rapid speciation, low substitution rates, and/or 671 misidentification. We checked the literature for evidence of "taxonomic inflation" in these 672 genera (in which more species are described from morphology than exist based on molecular 673 divergence), and believed this scenario to potentially apply to *Ogcocephalus* and *Himantolophus* 674 (discussed in Appendix A2). For individuals outside of these five genera that failed our checks, 675 we checked the voucher specimen whenever possible. This resulted in the re-identification of 676 two museum specimens. We also flagged four previously published sequences from UCE studies 677 as misidentified. If we could not confirm an individual's identification because there was no 678 CO1 sequence and no conspecific replicate, we referred to the literature to check if the position 679 of the species in the phylogeny was as expected compared to prior hypotheses, or at least within 680 the expected genus or family. We preferred to retain individuals for the "final" alignment (see

681	below) of which we could be reasonably confident of their species identification. Quality control
682	results for all individuals can be found in Table S1 (new genomic data) and Table S2 (individuals
683	taken from UCE alignments).

684

685

686 Phylogenomic inference:

687

688 We produced trees from two sets of alignments made from the 1,092-marker-dataset. The first 689 "all individuals" set contained all sequences that made it past the BLC step of quality control 690 (n=258 ingroup individuals). The tree made from this alignment (Appendix A1, Figure A1) was 691 checked by eye to confirm species identity of sequences (for those species with multiple 692 individuals in the dataset) as the final step of quality control (see above). The second "final" 693 alignment was produced by choosing one individual to represent each species (n=132 ingroup 694 species). When multiple conspecific individuals were available, this representative was always 695 the individual with the greatest number of genes assuming no quality control flags (Tables S1-696 S3). This "final" alignment was the one used to produce the phylograms used for time calibration 697 and comparative methods. After pruning down to nearly half the number of individuals between 698 the "all-individuals" and the final alignment, genes were un-aligned using the "unalign.md" script within the Goalign toolkit¹³⁷, then re-aligned. The final alignment was 457,635 base pairs 699 700 long, and alignments for individual markers varied in length from 105–2,682 bp (mean 420 bp). 701 All 1,092 markers were concatenated using utility scripts in the AMAS package¹³⁸. Trees were constructed with maximum likelihood using the program IQ-TREE MPI multicore v.2.0134 702 703 implementing mixture models¹³⁵ (option -m set to "MIX{JC,K2-,HKY,GTR}). Support was

704	measured using 1000 Ultrafast bootstrap replicates ¹³⁹ with the "-bnni" option to reduce the risk
705	of overestimating support due to severe model violations.

To account for potential incomplete lineage sorting, we also performed a multi-species
coalescent analysis using ASTRAL-II v.5.7.1¹⁴⁰ based on gene trees estimated using IQ-TREE
with the same settings as above. Prior to use with ASTRAL, nodes within gene trees with
bootstrap values <33% were collapsed into polytomies to reduce noise¹⁴¹. Support was evaluated
using local posterior probabilities¹⁴² (option "-t 3"). *Divergence time estimation:*

714 We assembled a list of 21 node calibrations from the literature, including 8 outgroup and 10 715 ingroup fossil calibrations based on well-preserved articulated skeletal remains, as well as 716 geologic calibrations based on the Isthmus of Panama to constrain the divergence time of three 717 sister-species pairs. Calibration details and justifications are given in Appendix A3. Following 718 the recommendations by Parham et al. ¹⁴³, we established minimum age constraints (i.e., the 719 youngest fossil ages) to determine lower bounds for each calibration. 720 We used two calibration schemes including or excluding the controversial fossil 721 *†Plectocretacicus clarae*, which we placed on the MRCA of Tetraodontiformes and Lophiiformes ⁷⁵. The extinct superfamily †Plectocretacoidea is purportedly a stem 722 723 tetraodontiform, and phylogenetic analyses using morphological characters place it as the sister to all remaining Tetraodontiformes^{77,144,145}. The earliest plectocretacicoid fossils are 94 million 724 years old¹⁴⁴. Therefore, due to the apical position of Tetraodontiformes within acanthomorphs, 725

and the sister group relationship between Tetraodontiformes and Lophiiformes, this fossil has

potential to greatly increase the age of early nodes in the phylogeny of Lophiiformes. However,
some authors do not believe †Plectocretacoidea are related to Tetraodontiformes, or at least that

the evidence for such a relationship is uncompelling 119,146-148.

731 ASTRAL (coalescent) trees, the fossil calibration scheme with or without *†Plectocretacicus*, and

We produced eight alternative time trees using either the IQ-TREE (concatenated) or

vising either MCMCtree or RelTime as the calibration method. Both MCMCTree and RelTime

are feasible for use with genomic-scale datasets, but these approaches are otherwise quite

different. MCMCTree uses a birth-death tree prior and an independent rates clock model in

which rates follow a log-normal distribution in a Bayesian framework^{78,79}. RelTime does not use

priors on lineage rates, and instead computes relative time and lineage rates directly from branch

rate framework")^{80,81}. Note that RelTime tends to

vunderestimate divergence times for branches with very few molecular substitutions, unlike

739 methods that include a tree prior 149,150 .

730

For MCMCTree, fossil calibrations used uniform distributions and geologic calibrations used Cauchy distributions (Appendix A3, Table A3). We used distribution densities based on the algorithm proposed by Hedman¹⁵¹. This approach uses a list of fossil outgroup age records based on the oldest minima to produce a probable distribution of the origin of a given clade (details in Appendix A3). From the distribution estimated for each calibration, we extracted the 95% confidence interval to set the soft upper bound (maximum age) for MCMCTree, and to calculate the mean and standard deviation for log-normal distributions in RelTime.

We implemented MCMCTree analyses using the PAML v.4.9h package¹⁵². We divided
the alignment into two partitions: 1st and 2nd codon position, and 3rd codon position. We used the
HKY85 substitution model and the independent rate relaxed clock model. Additional prior

750	parameters were set as follows: BDparas: 1, 1, 0.38; kappa_gamma: 6, 2; alpha_gamma = 1, 1;
751	rgene_gamma = 2, 200, 1; sigma2_gamma = 2, 5, 1. To improve computation time, we first used
752	the approximate method to calculate the likelihood ⁷⁹ . MCMC chains were run twice
753	independently for 20 or 30 million generations as needed to converge (number of samples=
754	200000, sample frequency= 100 or 150, and burnin= 2000). We used Tracer v1.7.1 ^{153} to check
755	for convergence.
756	RelTime uses a maximum likelihood framework implemented in the software
757	MEGAX ¹⁵⁴ . For the IQ-TREEs, we applied the RelTime-Branch Lengths approach, employing a
758	Max Relative Rate Ratio of 20, with the tree topology serving as the input. For the ASTRAL
759	trees, we used RelTime-ML with the GTR+I model while maintaining the default settings to
760	optimize branch lengths. The ASTRAL topology along with the concatenated alignment were
761	used as inputs. This is necessary because the ASTRAL tree was made from gene trees and not
762	estimated directly from the alignment.
763	Some analyses were repeated for all eight time-calibrated trees in order to incorporate
764	variation in topology and divergence times. Analyses involving complex visualizations were
765	repeated on two designated "master" trees: the IQ-TREE calibrated with the scheme including
766	†Plectocretacoidea using either MCMCTree or RelTime (hereafter "master MCMCTree" or
767	"master RelTime tree"). This was because of the three methodological choices for time
768	calibration, the decision with the largest impact was MCMCTree versus RelTime (Fig. 1;
769	Appendix A4).

770

771 Ancestral habitat and lineage diversification rates:

773	Following Miller et al. ⁴⁹ , we used BioGeoBEARS v.1.1.3 ¹⁵⁵ to infer ancestral habitats. This
774	approach allowed us to code species as occurring in more than one "region". Our analysis
775	included three regions: benthic continental shelf, benthic continental slope to abyssal plain, and
776	the bathypelagic zone. Habitats were coded based on: FishBase ¹⁵⁶ , Fishes of Australia ¹²¹ ,
777	Pietsch ⁶ , and Friedman et al. ²² (Table S4). The maximum number of regions allowed per species
778	was set to two. We compared the fit of six alternative models using Akaike weights ¹⁵⁷ . These
779	were: DEC ¹⁵⁸ , DIVA-LIKE ¹⁵⁹ , BAYAREA-LIKE ¹⁶⁰ , and their equivalents with the +J parameter
780	(Table S5). We performed these analyses on the two master trees, with results being nearly
781	identical; therefore, only results using the master MCMCtree are shown (Fig. 2).
782	We estimated lineage diversification rates using the MiSSE framework (missing state
783	speciation and extinction) ⁸³ implemented in the hisse R package v2.1.1. MiSSE operates like
784	HiSSE ¹⁶¹ but does not consider the influence of any characters chosen by the researcher, instead
785	modelling rate shifts agnostic of any a priori hypothesis. We performed analyses for all eight
786	time trees individually. We were concerned that taxonomic inflation could inflate speciation
787	rates in the genera Himantolophus and Ogcocephalus (Appendix A2). Therefore, we also
788	performed analyses on a set of eight trees with these genera pruned to two species (to retain the
789	crown age), for a total of sixteen sets of analyses (Table S6). We compared the fit of models with
790	1-10 rate classes, setting a global sampling fraction of 38%. Following recommended
791	practices ⁸⁴ , we model-averaged rates among the set of models with $>5\%$ of the relative Akaike
792	weight, where the contribution of each model towards the mean was proportional to its Akaike
793	weight. We plotted model-averaged rates onto the branches of the tree using the gghisse package
794	v.0.1.1 ¹⁶² . Note that SSE models avoid issues of identifiability raised by Louca and Pennell ¹⁶³
795	because they incorporate multiple information sources to infer rates ¹⁶⁴ .

796

797

798 Phenotypic datasets:

799

800 Body shape was measured using linear measurements from museum specimens. We took eight measurements following Price et al.⁸⁸ (standard length, maximum body depth, maximum fish 801 802 width, head depth, lower jaw length, mouth width, minimum caudal peduncle depth, and 803 minimum caudal peduncle width) plus two additional measurements (eye diameter and 804 interorbital distance). Measurements are shown in Fig. S3. We took measurements using digital 805 calipers with a minimum resolution of 0.1 mm. Measurements were size corrected using log-806 shapes ratios^{88,165}: each variable was divided by the geometric mean of standard length, 807 maximum body depth, and maximum fish width (a more realistic way to approximate size for 808 globular fishes versus using a single measurement like standard length), and then log-809 transformed. For quality control, we flagged measurements that were outside the inter-quartile 810 range for the genus, and specimens with flags were excluded. The final dataset after quality control contained measurements for 327 individuals from 112 species (representing 84.8% of 811 812 tips in the phylogeny), in which 1–9 individuals per species were measured (mean 2.9 813 individuals per species). No male ceratioids were used. The dataset with voucher information is 814 available in the Dryad package associated with this study. The species means for each trait were 815 used for phylogenetic comparative methods.

Skull shape was measured using three-dimensional geometric morphometrics collected
from micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) scans of museum specimens¹⁶⁶. Scans were
collected at the Karel F. Liem Bio-Imaging Center at the University of Washington Friday

819	Harbor Laboratories and Rice University. Skulls were segmented from scales and the rest of the
820	body using Amira v.2020.3 ¹⁶⁷ and exported as mesh files. Mesh files were digitized with 111
821	three-dimensional landmarks (41 point and 70 semi-sliding; Fig. S4) in the software Stratovan
822	Checkpoint ¹⁶⁸ . Landmarks were treated as bilaterally symmetrical and thus only placed on the
823	left side of the skull ¹⁶⁹ . Our CT scan dataset contained 100 species of Lophiiformes ($n=1$ scan
824	per species) representing 75.7% of the tips in our phylogeny (Table S7). Of these, 38 are new to
825	this study, 33 were previously published ¹¹¹ , and 29 were downloaded from the online
826	repositories MorphoSource (https://www.morphosource.org/) or Virtual Natural History
827	Museum (http://vnhm.de/VNHM/index.php).
828	The highly mobile and interconnected nature of the teleost fish skull can increase the
829	likelihood of preservation artifacts ^{21,170,171} . To reduce these artifacts, we performed a local
830	superimposition to standardize the position of individual skull elements ¹⁷² before any
831	downstream analyses using shape data.
832	
833	Phenotypic evolution:
834	
835	We performed all analyses of phenotypic evolution on three datasets: body shape, whole skulls,
836	and the oral jaws, with the latter two based on CT scans. To measure jaw shape, we isolated the
837	41 (13 point and 28 semi-sliding; Fig. S4) landmarks placed on the premaxilla, angular, and
838	dentary. The same set of bones were isolated by Heiple et al. ¹¹¹ in their analysis of jaw and tooth
839	shape using linear measurements.
840	We visualized shape variation using a phylomorphospace analysis ⁸⁵ performed with the
841	function "gm.prcomp" from the geomorph R package v.4.0.5 ¹⁷³ . For use with downstream

analyses, we exported the PC scores for the number of axes summing to 95% (body shape) or
85% (skull and jaws) of the variance. For example, when using our two master trees this number
was six axes for body shape, 28 axes for skulls, and 12 axes for jaws. We did this for all eight
time trees, as well as the phylogeny for each suborder isolated from the eight trees, for a total of
48 sets of phylogenetically-corrected PC scores.

We calculated disparity by suborder and habitat category using a test of morphological
partial disparities for the overall mean⁸⁶ (Tables S8, S9). We plotted disparity-through-time using
the "dtt" function in the geiger package v.2.0.11¹⁷⁴. The observed disparity was compared to a
Brownian motion null model that was simulated 1,000 times across the master MCMCTree ⁸⁹.

We performed univariate model fitting analyses for the ten body shape variables
individually using the "FitContinuous" function in geiger, inputting all 48 trees, for a set of 480
analyses. We compared the fit of three models using Akaike weights: Brownian motion (BM),
single-peak Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU), and Early Burst (EB)⁶¹.

855 We performed multivariate model fitting using PC scores from the three phenotypic 856 datasets, inputting all 48 trees, summing to 144 sets of analyses. Following Clavel et al. ⁸⁷, we fit models using penalized likelihood with the "fit t pl" function in RPANDA v2.2¹⁷⁵ using the 857 858 rotation-invariant ridge quadratic null penalty (method="RidgeAlt") and accounting for 859 measurement errors (option SE=TRUE). The fit of the same three models (BM, OU, EB) was 860 assessed using the generalized information criterion with the "GIC" function in mvMORPH 861 v.1.1.7¹⁷⁶, as GIC is appropriate for penalized likelihood. The relative model support was then 862 compared using Akaike weights. In addition, we fit multiple-peak OU models to detect Simpsonian adaptive regimes using the PhylogeneticEM package v.1.6.0⁹⁰, performing these 863

analyses on the two master trees. We compared the fit of models with 0–20 regime shifts using
the selection criterion adapted by Bastide et al.⁹⁰ (Fig. S7).

866 To infer branch-specific evolutionary rates we performed reversible-jump MCMC 867 analyses within BayesTraits V4⁹¹. We investigated rates of evolution in body, skull and jaw 868 shape, for our two master trees, for a set of six analyses. Following Coombs et al.¹⁷⁷, we used 869 Bayes Factors to evaluate the relative support of ten models: Brownian motion, kappa, delta, 870 lambda, and OU tree transformations, each with single- and variable-rate alternatives. We 871 accounted for correlated trait evolution with the setting "TestCorrel" which constrains the 872 correlation between trait axes to zero. Chains were run for 200 million generations with a burnin 873 of 30%. A stepping stone sampler was used to estimate the marginal likelihood with 100 stones 874 to run for 1,400,000 generations after convergence. Analyses were run twice, and convergence of 875 the runs was confirmed based on trace plots and Gelman diagnostics near 1, using the packages coda v.0.1.9-4¹⁷⁸. BayesTraits output was processed using utility functions from the packages 876 BTProcessR v.0.0.1¹⁷⁹, BTRTools 0.0.0.9¹⁸⁰ and scripts written by R. Felice¹⁸¹. The output of 877 878 variable-rate analyses is a set of phylogenies where each branch was scaled by its Brownian 879 motion rate of evolution. We plotted the mean rate for each branch based on the best-fit model, 880 and extracted tip-associated rates to compare rates by habitat.

881

883 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Marshall, N.B. (1971). Explorations in the Life of Fishes (Harvard University Press).
- 2. Childress, J.J. (1995). Are there physiological and biochemical adaptations of metabolism in deep-sea animals? Trends Ecol. Evol. *10*, 30–36. 10.1016/S0169-5347(00)88957-0.
- McClain, C.R., and Hardy, S.M. (2010). The dynamics of biogeographic ranges in the deep
 sea. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 277, 3533–3546. 10.1098/rspb.2010.1057.
- Woolley, S.N.C., Tittensor, D.P., Dunstan, P.K., Guillera-Arroita, G., Lahoz-Monfort, J.J.,
 Wintle, B.A., Worm, B., and O'Hara, T.D. (2016). Deep-sea diversity patterns are shaped
 by energy availability. Nature *533*, 393–396. 10.1038/nature17937.
- Source Strain Strain
- 895 6. Pietsch, T.W. (2009). Oceanic Anglerfishes: Extraordinary Diversity in the Deep Sea (University of California Press).
- Neat, F.C., and Campbell, N. (2013). Proliferation of elongate fishes in the deep sea. J. Fish
 Biol. *83*, 1576–1591. 10.1111/jfb.12266.
- 8. Sutton, T.T. (2013). Vertical ecology of the pelagic ocean: classical patterns and new perspectives. J. Fish Biol. *83*, 1508–1527. 10.1111/jfb.12263.
- 901 9. Drazen, J.C., and Sutton, T.T. (2017). Dining in the deep: The feeding ecology of deep-sea fishes. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 9, 337–366. 10.1146/annurev-marine-010816-060543.
- 903 10. Priede, I.G. (2017). Deep-Sea Fishes: Biology, Diversity, Ecology and Fisheries
 904 (Cambridge University Press).
- 905 11. de Busserolles, F., Fogg, L., Cortesi, F., and Marshall, J. (2020). The exceptional diversity
 906 of visual adaptations in deep-sea teleost fishes. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. *106*, 20–30.
 907 10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.05.027.
- 908 12. Gerringer, M.E., Dias, A.S., von Hagel, A.A., Orr, J.W., Summers, A.P., and Farina, S.
 909 (2021). Habitat influences skeletal morphology and density in the snailfishes (family
 910 Liparidae). Front. Zool. *18*, 16. 10.1186/s12983-021-00399-9.
- 911 13. McGonagle, R.P., Kerstetter, D.W., Fenolio, D., and Sutton, T.T. (2023). Ecomorphology
 912 of a predatory deep-sea fish family: does trophic specialization drive hyperspeciation?
 913 Front. Mar. Sci. 10.
- Myers, E.M.V., Anderson, M.J., Eme, D., Liggins, L., and Roberts, C.D. (2020). Changes
 in key traits versus depth and latitude suggest energy-efficient locomotion, opportunistic

- feeding and light lead to adaptive morphologies of marine fishes. J. Anim. Ecol. 89, 309–
 322. 10.1111/1365-2656.13131.
- 918 15. Gray, J.S. (1997). Marine biodiversity: patterns, threats and conservation needs. Biodivers.
 919 Conserv. 6, 153–175.
- 920 16. Gratwicke, B., and Speight, M.R. (2005). The relationship between fish species richness,
 921 abundance and habitat complexity in a range of shallow tropical marine habitats. J. Fish
 922 Biol. 66, 650–667. 10.1111/j.0022-1112.2005.00629.x.
- 923 17. Alfaro, M.E., Santini, F., and Brock, C.D. (2007). Do reefs drive diversification in marine
 924 teleosts? Evidence from the pufferfish and their allies (order Tetraodontiformes). Evolution
 925 61, 2104–2126. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00182.x.
- 18. Kiessling, W., Simpson, C., and Foote, M. (2010). Reefs as Cradles of Evolution and
 Sources of Biodiversity in the Phanerozoic. Science *327*, 196–198.
 10.1126/science.1182241.
- Price, S.A., Holzman, R., Near, T.J., and Wainwright, P.C. (2011). Coral reefs promote the
 evolution of morphological diversity and ecological novelty in labrid fishes. Ecol. Lett. *14*,
 462–469. 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01607.x.
- Burress, E.D., and Wainwright, P.C. (2019). Adaptive radiation in labrid fishes: A central role for functional innovations during 65 My of relentless diversification. Evolution *73*, 346–359. 10.1111/evo.13670.
- 21. Evans, K.M., Williams, K.L., and Westneat, M.W. (2019). Do Coral Reefs Promote
 Morphological Diversification? Exploration of Habitat Effects on Labrid Pharyngeal Jaw
 Evolution in the Era of Big Data. Integr. Comp. Biol. *59*, 696–704. 10.1093/icb/icz103.
- 938 22. Friedman, S.T., Price, S.A., Corn, K.A., Larouche, O., Martinez, C.M., and Wainwright,
 939 P.C. (2020). Body shape diversification along the benthic–pelagic axis in marine fishes.
 940 Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 287, 20201053. 10.1098/rspb.2020.1053.
- 23. Corn, K.A., Friedman, S.T., Burress, E.D., Martinez, C.M., Larouche, O., Price, S.A., and
 Wainwright, P.C. (2022). The rise of biting during the Cenozoic fueled reef fish body shape
 diversification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *119*, e2119828119. 10.1073/pnas.2119828119.
- 944 24. Jablonski, D. (2022). Evolvability and Macroevolution: Overview and Synthesis. Evol.
 945 Biol. 49, 265–291. 10.1007/s11692-022-09570-4.
- 946 25. Frédérich, B., Marramà, G., Carnevale, G., and Santini, F. (2016). Non-reef environments impact the diversification of extant jacks, remoras and allies (Carangoidei, Percomorpha).
 948 Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283, 20161556. 10.1098/rspb.2016.1556.
- 26. Larouche, O., Benton, B., Corn, K.A., Friedman, S.T., Gross, D., Iwan, M., Kessler, B.,
 Martinez, C.M., Rodriguez, S., Whelpley, H., et al. (2020). Reef-associated fishes have

- more maneuverable body shapes at a macroevolutionary scale. Coral Reefs *39*, 1427–1439.
 10.1007/s00338-020-01976-w.
- 27. Maile, A.J., May, Z.A., DeArmon, E.S., Martin, R.P., and Davis, M.P. (2020). Marine
 Habitat Transitions and Body-Shape Evolution in Lizardfishes and Their Allies
 (Aulopiformes). Copeia *108*. 10.1643/CG-19-300.
- 28. Carrington, V.G., Papa, Y., Beese, C.M., Hall, J., Covain, R., Horn, P., Ladds, M.A., and
 Rogers, A. (2021). How functionally diverse are fish in the deep? A comparison of fish
 communities in deep and shallow-water systems. Divers. Distrib. 27, 1208–1223.
 10.1111/ddi.13268.
- 960 29. Martinez, C.M., Friedman, S.T., Corn, K.A., Larouche, O., Price, S.A., and Wainwright,
 961 P.C. (2021). The deep sea is a hot spot of fish body shape evolution. Ecol. Lett. 24, 1788–
 962 1799. 10.1111/ele.13785.
- 30. May, R.M. (1994). Biological Diversity: Differences between Land and Sea. Philos. Trans.
 Biol. Sci. 343, 105–111.
- 965 31. Pietsch, T.W. (1984). Lophiiformes: Development and relationships. In Ontogeny and
 966 Systematics of Fishes Special Publication Number 1., H. G. Moser, W. J. Richards, D. M.
 967 Cohen, M. P. Fahay, A. W. Kendall, and S. L. Richardson, eds. (American Society of
 968 Ichthyogists and Herpetologists), pp. 320–325.
- 32. Swann, J.B., Holland, S.J., Petersen, M., Pietsch, T.W., and Boehm, T. (2020). The immunogenetics of sexual parasitism. Science *369*, 1608–1615.
- 971 33. Pietsch, T.W., and Arnold, R.J. (2020). Frogfishes: Biodiversity, Zoogeography, and
 972 Behavioral Ecology (John Hopkins University Press).
- 973 34. Dickson, B.V., and Pierce, S.E. (2018). How (and why) fins turn into limbs: insights from anglerfish. Earth Environ. Sci. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. *109*, 87–103.
 975 10.1017/S1755691018000415.
- 976 35. Farina, S.C., and Bemis, W.E. (2016). Functional morphology of gill ventilation of the
 977 goosefish, Lophius americanus (Lophiiformes: Lophiidae). Zoology *119*, 207–215.
 978 10.1016/j.zool.2016.01.006.
- 36. Long, N.P., and Farina, S.C. (2019). Enormous gill chambers of deep-sea coffinfishes
 (Lophiiformes: Chaunacidae) support unique ventilatory specialisations such as breath
 holding and extreme inflation. J. Fish Biol. 95, 502–509. 10.1111/jfb.14003.
- Farina, S.C., Near, T.J., and Bemis, W.E. (2015). Evolution of the branchiostegal
 membrane and restricted gill openings in Actinopterygian fishes: EVOLUTION OF
 RESTRICTED GILL OPENINGS. J. Morphol. 276, 681–694. 10.1002/jmor.20371.
- 38. Sanders, H.L. (1968). Marine Benthic Diversity: A Comparative Study. Am. Nat. 102, 243–
 282.

- 39. Angel, M.V., and Boxshall, G.A. (1990). Life in the Benthic Boundary Layer: Connections to the Mid-Water and Sea Floor [and Discussion]. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. Math.
 989 Phys. Sci. 331, 15–28.
- 40. Caruso, J.H., Ross, S.W., Sulak, K.J., and Sedberry, G.R. (2007). Deep-water chaunacid
 and lophiid anglerfishes (Pisces: Lophiiformes) off the south-eastern United States. J. Fish
 Biol. 70, 1015–1026. 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01360.x.
- 41. Lundsten, L., Johnson, S.B., Cailliet, G.M., DeVogelaere, A.P., and Clague, D.A. (2012).
 Morphological, molecular, and in situ behavioral observations of the rare deep-sea
 anglerfish Chaunacops coloratus (), order Lophiiformes, in the eastern North Pacific. Deep
 Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 68, 46–53. 10.1016/j.dsr.2012.05.012.
- 997 42. Pietsch, T.W., Ross, S.W., Caruso, J.H., Saunders, M.G., and Fisher, C.R. (2013). In-Situ
 998 Observations of the Deep-sea Goosefish Sladenia shaefersi Caruso and Bullis
 999 (Lophiiformes: Lophiidae), with Evidence of Extreme Sexual Dimorphism. Copeia 2013,
 1000 660–665. 10.1643/CI-13-023.
- 1001 43. Palumbi, S.R. (1992). Marine speciation on a small planet. Trends Ecol. Evol. 7.
- 44. Norris, R.D. (2000). Pelagic species diversity, biogeography, and evolution. Paleobiology
 26, 236–258.
- 45. Gaither, M.R., Bowen, B.W., Rocha, L.A., and Briggs, J.C. (2016). Fishes that rule the
 world: circumtropical distributions revisited. Fish Fish. *17*, 664–679. 10.1111/faf.12136.
- 46. Miya, M., and Nishida, M. (1997). Speciation in the open ocean. Nature *389*, 803–804.
 1007 10.1038/39774.
- 47. Yamanoue, Y., Miya, M., Matsuura, K., Miyazawa, S., Tsukamoto, N., Doi, H., Takahashi,
 H., Mabuchi, K., Nishida, M., and Sakai, H. (2008). Explosive Speciation of Takifugu:
 Another Use of Fugu as a Model System for Evolutionary Biology. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26,
 623–629. 10.1093/molbev/msn283.
- 48. Denton, J.S.S. (2018). Diversification patterns of lanternfishes reveal multiple rate shifts in a critical mesopelagic clade targeted for human exploitation. Curr. Biol. 28, 933–940.
 1014 10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.082.
- 49. Miller, E.C., Martinez, C.M., Friedman, S.T., Wainwright, P.C., Price, S.A., and
 Tornabene, L. (2022). Alternating regimes of shallow and deep-sea diversification explain a
 species-richness paradox in marine fishes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *119*, e2123544119.
 1018 10.1073/pnas.2123544119.
- 50. Evans, K.M., Larouche, O., West, J.L., Gartner, S.M., and Westneat, M.W. (2022).
 Burrowing constrains patterns of skull shape evolution in wrasses. Evol. Dev. 25, 73–84.
 1021 10.1111/ede.12415.

- 1022 51. Childress, J.J., and Mickel, T.J. (1985). Metabolic rates of animals from the hydrothermal
 1023 vents and other deep-sea habitats. Bull. Biol. Soc. Wash. *6*, 249–260.
- Scollar, D.C., O'Meara, B.C., Wainwright, P.C., and Near, T.J. (2009). Piscivory Limits
 Diversification of Feeding Morphology in Centrarchid Fishes. Evolution *63*, 1557–1573.
 1026 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00626.x.
- 53. Friedman, S.T., Price, S.A., Hoey, A.S., and Wainwright, P.C. (2016). Ecomorphological
 convergence in planktivorous surgeonfishes. J. Evol. Biol. 29, 965–978. 10.1111/jeb.12837.
- 54. Friedman, M., Feilich, K.L., Beckett, H.T., Alfaro, M.E., Faircloth, B.C., Černý, D., Miya,
 M., Near, T.J., and Harrington, R.C. (2019). A phylogenomic framework for pelagiarian
 fishes (Acanthomorpha: Percomorpha) highlights mosaic radiation in the open ocean. Proc.
 R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 286, 20191502. 10.1098/rspb.2019.1502.
- 1033 55. Rincon-Sandoval, M., Duarte-Ribeiro, E., Davis, A.M., Santaquiteria, A., Hughes, L.C.,
 1034 Baldwin, C.C., Soto-Torres, L., Acero P., A., Walker, H.J., Carpenter, K.E., et al. (2020).
 1035 Evolutionary determinism and convergence associated with water-column transitions in
 1036 marine fishes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *117*, 33396–33403. 10.1073/pnas.2006511117.
- 1037 56. Yoder, J.B., Clancey, E., Des Roches, S., Eastman, J.M., Gentry, L., Godsoe, W., Hagey,
 1038 T.J., Jochimsen, D., Oswald, B.P., Robertson, J., et al. (2010). Ecological opportunity and
 1039 the origin of adaptive radiations. J. Evol. Biol. 23, 1581–1596. 10.1111/j.14201040 9101.2010.02029.x.
- 1041 57. McCune, A.R. (1990). EVOLUTIONARY NOVELTY AND ATAVISM IN THE
 1042 SEMIONOTUS COMPLEX: RELAXED SELECTION DURING COLONIZATION OF
 1043 AN EXPANDING LAKE. Evolution 44, 71–85. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1990.tb04280.x.
- Stroud, J.T., and Losos, J.B. (2016). Ecological Opportunity and Adaptive Radiation. Annu.
 Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47, 507–532. 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032254.
- 1046 59. Glor, R.E. (2010). Phylogenetic Insights on Adaptive Radiation. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.
 1047 Syst. 41, 251–270.
- 60. Gillespie, R.G., Bennett, G.M., De Meester, L., Feder, J.L., Fleischer, R.C., Harmon, L.J.,
 Hendry, A.P., Knope, M.L., Mallet, J., Martin, C., et al. (2020). Comparing Adaptive
 Radiations Across Space, Time, and Taxa. J. Hered. *111*, 1–20. 10.1093/jhered/esz064.
- Harmon, L.J., Losos, J.B., Jonathan Davies, T., Gillespie, R.G., Gittleman, J.L., Bryan
 Jennings, W., Kozak, K.H., McPeek, M.A., Moreno-Roark, F., Near, T.J., et al. (2010).
 Early bursts of body size and shape evolution are rare in comparative data. Evolution *64*,
 2385–2396. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01025.x.
- 1055 62. Simpson, G.G. (1959). Major features of evolution (Columbia University Press).
- 1056 63. Olson, M.E., and Arroyo-Santos, A. (2009). Thinking in continua: beyond the "adaptive radiation" metaphor. BioEssays *31*, 1337–1346. 10.1002/bies.200900102.

64. Givnish, T.J. (2015). Adaptive radiation versus 'radiation' and 'explosive diversification':
why conceptual distinctions are fundamental to understanding evolution. New Phytol. 207,
297–303. 10.1111/nph.13482.

- 1061 65. Webb, T.J., Vanden Berghe, E., and O'Dor, R. (2010). Biodiversity's Big Wet Secret: The
 1062 Global Distribution of Marine Biological Records Reveals Chronic Under-Exploration of
 1063 the Deep Pelagic Ocean. PLoS ONE *5*, e10223. 10.1371/journal.pone.0010223.
- 1064 66. Ludt, W.B., and Clardy, T.R. (2022). First detection of biofluorescence in a deep-sea anglerfish. J. Fish Biol. *100*, 843–846. 10.1111/jfb.14988.
- 1066 67. Davis, M.P., Midford, P.E., and Maddison, W. (2013). Exploring power and parameter
 1067 estimation of the BiSSE method for analyzing species diversification. BMC Evol. Biol. 13,
 1068 38. 10.1186/1471-2148-13-38.
- 1069 68. Card, D.C., Shapiro, B., Giribet, G., Moritz, C., and Edwards, S.V. (2021). Museum
 1070 Genomics. Annu. Rev. Genet. 55, 633–659. 10.1146/annurev-genet-071719-020506.
- 1071 69. Maslenikov, K.P. (2021). Specimens by the Millions: Managing Large, Specialized
 1072 Collections at the University of Washington Burke Museum Fish Collection. Ichthyol.
 1073 Herpetol. 109. 10.1643/t2019314.
- 1074 70. Miya, M., Pietsch, T.W., Orr, J.W., Arnold, R.J., Satoh, T.P., Shedlock, A.M., Ho, H.-C.,
 1075 Shimazaki, M., Yabe, M., and Nishida, M. (2010). Evolutionary history of anglerfishes
 1076 (Teleostei: Lophiiformes): a mitogenomic perspective. BMC Evol. Biol. *10*, 58.
 1077 10.1186/1471-2148-10-58.
- 1078 71. Hart, P.B., Arnold, R.J., Alda, F., Kenaley, C.P., Pietsch, T.W., Hutchinson, D., and
 1079 Chakrabarty, P. (2022). Evolutionary relationships of anglerfishes (Lophiiformes)
 1080 reconstructed using ultraconserved elements. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. *171*, 107459.
 1081 10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107459.
- 1082 72. Ghezelayagh, A., Harrington, R.C., Burress, E.D., Campbell, M.A., Buckner, J.C.,
 1083 Chakrabarty, P., Glass, J.R., McCraney, W.T., Unmack, P.J., Thacker, C.E., et al. (2022).
 1084 Prolonged morphological expansion of spiny-rayed fishes following the end-Cretaceous.
 1085 Nat. Ecol. Evol., 1–10. 10.1038/s41559-022-01801-3.
- Hughes, L.C., Ortí, G., Saad, H., Li, C., White, W.T., Baldwin, C.C., Crandall, K.A.,
 Arcila, D., and Betancur-R, R. (2021). Exon probe sets and bioinformatics pipelines for all
 levels of fish phylogenomics. Mol. Ecol. Resour. *21*, 816–833. 10.1111/1755-0998.13287.
- 1089 74. Hughes, L.C., Nash, C.M., White, W.T., and Westneat, M.W. (2022). Concordance and
 1090 Discordance in the Phylogenomics of the Wrasses and Parrotfishes (Teleostei: Labridae).
 1091 Syst. Biol. 72, 530–543. 10.1093/sysbio/syac072.
- 1092 75. Troyer, E.M., Betancur-R, R., Hughes, L.C., Westneat, M., Carnevale, G., White, W.T.,
 1093 Pogonoski, J.J., Tyler, J.C., Baldwin, C.C., Ortí, G., et al. (2022). The impact of

- paleoclimatic changes on body size evolution in marine fishes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 119,
 e2122486119. 10.1073/pnas.2122486119.
- 1096 76. Friedman, M., and Carnevale, G. (2018). The Bolca Lagerstätten: shallow marine life in the
 1097 Eocene. J. Geol. Soc. 175, 569–579. 10.1144/jgs2017-164.
- 1098 77. Arcila, D., and Tyler, J.C. (2017). Mass extinction in tetraodontiform fishes linked to the
 Palaeocene–Eocene thermal maximum. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284, 20171771.
 1100 10.1098/rspb.2017.1771.
- 1101 78. Rannala, B., and Yang, Z. (2007). Inferring Speciation Times under an Episodic Molecular
 1102 Clock. Syst. Biol. 56, 453–466. 10.1080/10635150701420643.
- 1103 79. dos Reis, M., and Yang, Z. (2019). Bayesian Molecular Clock Dating Using Genome-Scale
 1104 Datasets. In Evolutionary Genomics: Statistical and Computational Methods Methods in
 1105 Molecular Biology., M. Anisimova, ed. (Springer), pp. 309–330.
- 1106 80. Tamura, K., Battistuzzi, F.U., Billing-Ross, P., Murillo, O., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S.
 1107 (2012). Estimating divergence times in large molecular phylogenies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 1108 109, 19333–19338. 10.1073/pnas.1213199109.
- 1109 81. Tamura, K., Tao, Q., and Kumar, S. (2018). Theoretical Foundation of the RelTime Method
 1110 for Estimating Divergence Times from Variable Evolutionary Rates. Mol. Biol. Evol. *35*,
 1111 1770–1782. 10.1093/molbev/msy044.
- 1112 82. Colmenero, A., Aguzzi, J., Lombarte, A., and Bozzano, A. (2010). Sensory constraints in temporal segregation in two species of anglerfish, Lophius budegassa and L. piscatorius.
 1114 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 416, 255–265. 10.3354/meps08766.
- 1115 83. Vasconcelos, T., O'Meara, B.C., and Beaulieu, J.M. (2022). A flexible method for
 1116 estimating tip diversification rates across a range of speciation and extinction scenarios.
 1117 Evolution 76, 1420–1433. 10.1111/evo.14517.
- 1118 84. Caetano, D.S., O'Meara, B.C., and Beaulieu, J.M. (2018). Hidden state models improve
 1119 state-dependent diversification approaches, including biogeographical models. Evolution
 1120 72, 2308–2324. 10.1111/evo.13602.
- 1121 85. Sidlauskas, B. (2008). CONTINUOUS AND ARRESTED MORPHOLOGICAL
 1122 DIVERSIFICATION IN SISTER CLADES OF CHARACIFORM FISHES: A
 1123 PHYLOMORPHOSPACE APPROACH. Evolution 62, 3135–3156. 10.1111/j.15581124 5646.2008.00519.x.
- 1125 86. Foote, M. (1993). Contributions of individual taxa to overall morphological disparity.
 1126 Paleobiology *19*, 403–419. 10.1017/S0094837300014056.
- 1127 87. Clavel, J., Aristide, L., and Morlon, H. (2019). A Penalized Likelihood Framework for
 1128 High-Dimensional Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and an Application to New-World
 1129 Monkeys Brain Evolution. Syst. Biol. 68, 93–116. 10.1093/sysbio/syy045.

1130 1131 1132	88.	Price, S.A., Friedman, S.T., Corn, K.A., Martinez, C.M., Larouche, O., and Wainwright, P.C. (2019). Building a Body Shape Morphospace of Teleostean Fishes. Integr. Comp. Biol. <i>59</i> , 716–730. 10.1093/icb/icz115.
1133 1134 1135	89.	Harmon, L.J., Schulte, J.A., Larson, A., and Losos, J.B. (2003). Tempo and Mode of Evolutionary Radiation in Iguanian Lizards. Science <i>301</i> , 961–964. 10.1126/science.1084786.
1136 1137	90.	Bastide, P., Ané, C., Robin, S., and Mariadassou, M. (2018). Inference of Adaptive Shifts for Multivariate Correlated Traits. Syst. Biol. 67, 662–680. 10.1093/sysbio/syy005.
1138 1139	91.	Pagel, M. (2023). BayesTraits V4. http://www.evolution.reading.ac.uk/BayesTraitsV4.0.1/BayesTraitsV4.0.1.html.
1140 1141 1142	92.	Ribeiro, E., Davis, A.M., Rivero-Vega, R.A., Ortí, G., and Betancur-R, R. (2018). Post- Cretaceous bursts of evolution along the benthic-pelagic axis in marine fishes. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 285, 20182010. 10.1098/rspb.2018.2010.
1143 1144	93.	Nagareda, B.H., and Shenker, J.M. (2008). Dietary Analysis of Batfishes (Lophiiformes: Ogcocephalidae) in the Gulf of Mexico. Gulf Mex. Sci. 26. 10.18785/goms.2601.03.
1145 1146 1147	94.	Carnevale, G., and Pietsch, T.W. (2009). An Eocene Frogfish from Monte Bolca, Italy: The Earliest Known Skeletal Record for the Family. Palaeontology <i>52</i> , 745–752. 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2009.00874.x.
1148 1149 1150 1151	95.	Carnevale, G., and Pietsch, T.W. (2010). Eocene handfishes from Monte Bolca, with description of a new genus and species, and a phylogeny of the family Brachionichthyidae (Teleostei: Lophiiformes). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. <i>160</i> , 621–647. 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00623.x.
1152 1153	96.	Carnevale, G., and Pietsch, T.W. (2011). Batfishes from the Eocene of Monte Bolca. Geol. Mag. <i>148</i> , 461–472. 10.1017/S0016756810000907.
1154 1155 1156	97.	Carnevale, G., and Pietsch, T.W. (2012). †Caruso, a new genus of anglerfishes from the Eocene of Monte Bolca, Italy, with a comparative osteology and phylogeny of the teleost family Lophiidae. J. Syst. Palaeontol. <i>10</i> , 47–72. 10.1080/14772019.2011.565083.
1157 1158 1159	98.	Pietsch, T.W., and Carnevale, G. (2011). A New Genus and Species of Anglerfish (Teleostei: Lophiiformes: Lophiidae) from the Eocene of Monte Bolca, Italy. Copeia 2011, 64–71. 10.1643/CI-10-080.
1160 1161 1162	99.	Carnevale, G., Pietsch, T.W., Bonde, N., Leal, M.E.C., and Marramà, G. (2020). †Neilpeartia ceratoi, gen. et sp. nov., a new frogfish from the Eocene of Bolca, Italy. J. Vertebr. Paleontol. <i>40</i> , e1778711. 10.1080/02724634.2020.1778711.
1163 1164	100.	Price, S.A., Tavera, J.J., Near, T.J., and Wainwright, Peter.C. (2013). ELEVATED RATES OF MORPHOLOGICAL AND FUNCTIONAL DIVERSIFICATION IN REEF-

- 1165 DWELLING HAEMULID FISHES: ELEVATED RATES OF MORPHOLOGICAL
 1166 EVOLUTION IN REEF FISH. Evolution 67, 417–428. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01773.x.
- 101. Czekanski-Moir, J.E., and Rundell, R.J. (2019). The Ecology of Nonecological Speciation
 and Nonadaptive Radiations. Trends Ecol. Evol. *34*, 400–415. 10.1016/j.tree.2019.01.012.
- 102. Moen, D.S., Ravelojaona, R.N., Hutter, C.R., and Wiens, J.J. (2021). Testing for adaptive radiation: A new approach applied to Madagascar frogs*. Evolution *75*, 3008–3025.
 1171 10.1111/evo.14328.
- 1172 103. Schluter, D. (2000). The Ecology of Adaptive Radiation (OUP Oxford).
- 104. Slater, G.J., and Friscia, A.R. (2019). Hierarchy in adaptive radiation: A case study using
 the Carnivora (Mammalia). Evolution *73*, 524–539. 10.1111/evo.13689.
- 105. Cooper, W.J., and Westneat, M.W. (2009). Form and function of damselfish skulls: rapid
 and repeated evolution into a limited number of trophic niches. BMC Evol. Biol. 9, 24.
 10.1186/1471-2148-9-24.
- 106. Muschick, M., Indermaur, A., and Salzburger, W. (2012). Convergent Evolution within an
 Adaptive Radiation of Cichlid Fishes. Curr. Biol. 22, 2362–2368.
 10.1016/j.cub.2012.10.048.
- 1181 107. Hutchinson, G.E. (1961). The Paradox of the Plankton. Am. Nat. 95, 137–145.
- 108. Grassle, J.F. (1991). Deep-Sea Benthic Biodiversity. BioScience *41*, 464–469.
 10.2307/1311803.
- 109. Luck, D.G., and Pietsch, T.W. (2008). In-situ Observations of a Deep-Sea Ceratioid
 Anglerfish of the Genus Oneirodes (Lophiiformes: Oneirodidae). Copeia 2008, 446–451.
- 1186 110. Moore, J.A. (2002). Upside-Down Swimming Behavior in a Whipnose Anglerfish
 1187 (Teleostei: Ceratioidei: Gigantactinidae). Copeia 2002, 1144–1146. 10.1643/00451188 8511(2002)002[1144:UDSBIA]2.0.CO;2.
- 1189 111. Heiple, Z., Huie, J.M., Medeiros, A.P.M., Hart, P.B., Goatley, C.H.R., Arcila, D., and
 1190 Miller, E.C. (2023). Many ways to build an angler: diversity of feeding morphologies in a
 1191 deep-sea evolutionary radiation. Biol. Lett. *19*, 20230049. 10.1098/rsbl.2023.0049.
- 1192 112. Bertelsen, E. (1951). The ceratioid fishes. Ontogeny, taxonomy, distribution and biology.
- 113. Stroud, J.T., Moore, M.P., Langerhans, R.B., and Losos, J.B. (2023). Fluctuating selection maintains distinct species phenotypes in an ecological community in the wild. Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. *120*, e2222071120. 10.1073/pnas.2222071120.
- 114. Schwenk, K., and Wagner, G.N.P. (2001). Function and the Evolution of Phenotypic
 Stability: Connecting Pattern to Process. Am. Zool. *41*, 552–563.

1198	115. Buser, T.J., Finnegan, D.L., Summers, A.P., and Kolmann, M.A. (2019). Have Niche, Will
1199	Travel. New Means of Linking Diet and Ecomorphology Reveals Niche Conservatism in
1200	Freshwater Cottoid Fishes. Integr. Org. Biol. 1, obz023. 10.1093/iob/obz023.
1201	116. Evans, K.M., Larouche, O., Gartner, S.M., Faucher, R.E., Dee, S.G., and Westneat, M.W.
1202	(2023). Beaks promote rapid morphological diversification along distinct evolutionary
1203	trajectories in labrid fishes (Eupercaria: Labridae). Evolution 77, 2000–2014.
1204	10.1093/evolut/qpad115.
1205 1206	117. Todd Streelman, J., and Danley, P.D. (2003). The stages of vertebrate evolutionary radiation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 126–131. 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)00036-8.
1207	 Wainwright, P.C., and Price, S.A. (2016). The Impact of Organismal Innovation on
1208	Functional and Ecological Diversification. Integr. Comp. Biol. 56, 479–488.
1209	10.1093/icb/icw081.
1210	119. Alfaro, M.E., Faircloth, B.C., Harrington, R.C., Sorenson, L., Friedman, M., Thacker, C.E.,
1211	Oliveros, C.H., Černý, D., and Near, T.J. (2018). Explosive diversification of marine fishes
1212	at the Cretaceous–Palaeogene boundary. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2, 688–696. 10.1038/s41559-018-
1213	0494-6.
1214	120. Betancur-R, R., Wiley, E.O., Arratia, G., Acero, A., Bailly, N., Miya, M., Lecointre, G.,
1215	and Ortí, G. (2017). Phylogenetic classification of bony fishes. BMC Evol. Biol. 17, 162.
1216	10.1186/s12862-017-0958-3.
1217	121. Fishes of Australia (2018). http://fishesofaustralia.net.au/.
1218 1219	122. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics <i>30</i> , 2114–2120. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
1220	123. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–
1221	Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
1222 1223 1224 1225	124. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
1226 1227	125. Zerbino, D.R., and Birney, E. (2008). Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. <i>18</i> , 821–829. 10.1101/gr.074492.107.
1228	126. Allen, J.M., Boyd, B., Nguyen, N., Vachaspati, P., Warnow, T., Huang, D.I., Grady, P.G.S.,
1229	Bell, K.C., Cronk, Q.C.B., Mugisha, L., et al. (2017). Phylogenomics from Whole Genome
1230	Sequences Using aTRAM. Syst. Biol., syw105. 10.1093/sysbio/syw105.
1231 1232	127. Grabherr, M.G., Haas, B.J., Yassour, M., Levin, J.Z., Thompson, D.A., Amit, I., Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly

- from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 644–652.
 10.1038/nbt.1883.
- 1235 128. Li, W., and Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large
 1236 sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22, 1658–1659.
 1237 10.1093/bioinformatics/bt1158.
- 1238 129. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., and Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the
 next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28, 3150–3152.
 1240 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565.
- 1241 130. Slater, G.S.C., and Birney, E. (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for biological
 1242 sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics *6*, 31. 10.1186/1471-2105-6-31.
- 1243 131. Ranwez, V., Douzery, E.J.P., Cambon, C., Chantret, N., and Delsuc, F. (2018). MACSE v2:
 1244 Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop
 1245 Codons. Mol. Biol. Evol. *35*, 2582–2584. 10.1093/molbev/msy159.
- 1226 132. Arcila, D., Hughes, L.C., Meléndez-Vazquez, B., Baldwin, C.C., White, W.T., Carpenter,
 1247 K.E., Williams, J.T., Santos, M.D., Pogonoski, J.J., Miya, M., et al. (2021). Testing the
 1248 Utility of Alternative Metrics of Branch Support to Address the Ancient Evolutionary
 1249 Radiation of Tunas, Stromateoids, and Allies (Teleostei: Pelagiaria). Syst. Biol., syab018.
 1250 10.1093/sysbio/syab018.
- 133. Simion, P., Philippe, H., Baurain, D., Jager, M., Richter, D.J., Di Franco, A., Roure, B.,
 Satoh, N., Quéinnec, É., Ereskovsky, A., et al. (2017). A Large and Consistent
 Phylogenomic Dataset Supports Sponges as the Sister Group to All Other Animals. Curr.
 Biol. 27, 958–967. 10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.031.
- 1255 134. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: A Fast
 1256 and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol.
 1257 Biol. Evol. *32*, 268–274. 10.1093/molbev/msu300.
- 1258 135. Kapli, P., Yang, Z., and Telford, M.J. (2020). Phylogenetic tree building in the genomic
 1259 age. Nat. Rev. Genet. 21, 428–444. 10.1038/s41576-020-0233-0.
- 1260 136. Ratnasingham, S., and Hebert, P.D.N. (2007). bold: The Barcode of Life Data System
 (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 355–364. 10.1111/j.1471 1262 8286.2007.01678.x.
- 1263 137. Lemoine, F., and Gascuel, O. (2021). Gotree/Goalign: toolkit and Go API to facilitate the
 1264 development of phylogenetic workflows. NAR Genomics Bioinforma. *3*, lqab075.
 1265 10.1093/nargab/lqab075.
- 1266 138. Borowiec, M.L. (2016). AMAS: a fast tool for alignment manipulation and computing of
 1267 summary statistics. PeerJ *4*, e1660. 10.7717/peerj.1660.

UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 518–522.

139. Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., and Vinh, L.S. (2018).

1268 1269

10.1093/molbev/msx281. 1270 1271 140. Mirarab, S., and Warnow, T. (2015). ASTRAL-II: coalescent-based species tree estimation 1272 with many hundreds of taxa and thousands of genes. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 31, i44-52. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv234. 1273 1274 141. Zhang, C., Rabiee, M., Sayyari, E., and Mirarab, S. (2018). ASTRAL-III: polynomial time 1275 species tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. BMC Bioinformatics 19, 15– 1276 30. 10.1186/s12859-018-2129-y. 1277 142. Sayyari, E., and Mirarab, S. (2016). Fast Coalescent-Based Computation of Local Branch 1278 Support from Quartet Frequencies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1654–1668. 10.1093/molbev/msw079. 1279 1280 143. Parham, J.F., Donoghue, P.C.J., Bell, C.J., Calway, T.D., Head, J.J., Holroyd, P.A., Inoue, 1281 J.G., Irmis, R.B., Joyce, W.G., Ksepka, D.T., et al. (2012). Best Practices for Justifying Fossil Calibrations. Syst. Biol. 61, 346–359. 10.1093/sysbio/syr107. 1282 1283 144. Santini, F., and Tyler, J.C. (2003). A phylogeny of the families of fossil and extant tetraodontiform fishes (Acanthomorpha, Tetraodontiformes), Upper Cretaceous to Recent. 1284 1285 Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 139, 565-617. 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2003.00088.x. 1286 145. Arcila, D., Alexander Pyron, R., Tyler, J.C., Ortí, G., and Betancur-R., R. (2015). An evaluation of fossil tip-dating versus node-age calibrations in tetraodontiform fishes 1287 1288 (Teleostei: Percomorphaceae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 82, 131-145. 1289 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.10.011. 1290 146. Friedman, M., Keck, B.P., Dornburg, A., Eytan, R.I., Martin, C.H., Hulsey, C.D., 1291 Wainwright, P.C., and Near, T.J. (2013). Molecular and fossil evidence place the origin of 1292 cichlid fishes long after Gondwanan rifting. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 280, 20131733. 1293 10.1098/rspb.2013.1733. 1294 147. Santini, F., Sorenson, L., and Alfaro, M.E. (2013). A new phylogeny of tetraodontiform 1295 fishes (Tetraodontiformes, Acanthomorpha) based on 22 loci. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 69, 1296 177-187. 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.05.014. 1297 148. Dornburg, A., Townsend, J.P., Friedman, M., and Near, T.J. (2014). Phylogenetic 1298 informativeness reconciles ray-finned fish molecular divergence times. BMC Evol. Biol. 1299 14, 169. 10.1186/s12862-014-0169-0. 1300 149. Mello, B., Tao, Q., Barba-Montoya, J., and Kumar, S. (2021). Molecular dating for 1301 phylogenies containing a mix of populations and species by using Bayesian and RelTime 1302 approaches. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 21, 122–136. 10.1111/1755-0998.13249.

- 1303 150. Costa, F.P., Schrago, C.G., and Mello, B. (2022). Assessing the relative performance of fast
 1304 molecular dating methods for phylogenomic data. BMC Genomics 23, 798.
 1305 10.1186/s12864-022-09030-5.
- 1306 151. Hedman, M.M. (2010). Constraints on clade ages from fossil outgroups. Paleobiology *36*, 16–31. 10.1666/0094-8373-36.1.16.
- 1308 152. Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Mol. Biol.
 1309 Evol. 24, 1586–1591. 10.1093/molbev/msm088.
- 1310 153. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., and Suchard, M.A. (2018). Posterior
 1311 Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67, 901–904.
 1312 10.1093/sysbio/syy032.
- 1313 154. Stecher, G., Tamura, K., and Kumar, S. (2020). Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
 1314 (MEGA) for macOS. Mol. Biol. Evol. *37*, 1237–1239. 10.1093/molbev/msz312.

1315 155. Matzke, N.J. (2014). Model Selection in Historical Biogeography Reveals that Founder1316 Event Speciation Is a Crucial Process in Island Clades. Syst. Biol. *63*, 951–970.
1317 10.1093/sysbio/syu056.

- 1318 156. Froese, R., and Pauly, D. (2023). FishBase. https://www.fishbase.org/.
- 1319 157. Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R. (2002). Model selection and multimodel inference: a
 pratical information-theoretic approach. 2nd ed. (Springer).
- 1321 158. Ree, R.H., and Smith, S.A. (2008). Maximum Likelihood Inference of Geographic Range
 1322 Evolution by Dispersal, Local Extinction, and Cladogenesis. Syst. Biol. 57, 4–14.
 1323 10.1080/10635150701883881.
- 1324 159. Ronquist, F. (1997). Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis: A New Approach to the Quantification
 1325 of Historical Biogeography. Syst. Biol. 46, 195–203. 10.1093/sysbio/46.1.195.
- 160. Landis, M.J., Matzke, N.J., Moore, B.R., and Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2013). Bayesian Analysis
 of Biogeography when the Number of Areas is Large. Syst. Biol. *62*, 789–804.
 10.1093/sysbio/syt040.
- 161. Beaulieu, J.M., and O'Meara, B.C. (2016). Detecting Hidden Diversification Shifts in
 Models of Trait-Dependent Speciation and Extinction. Syst. Biol. 65, 583–601.
 10.1093/sysbio/syw022.
- 1332 162. Nakov, T. (2023). gghisse.
- 163. Louca, S., and Pennell, M.W. (2020). Extant timetrees are consistent with a myriad of
 diversification histories. Nature *580*, 502–505. 10.1038/s41586-020-2176-1.
- 1335 164. O'Meara, B., and Beaulieu, J.M. (2021). Potential survival of some, but not all,
 1336 diversification methods. EcoEvoRxiv. 10.32942/osf.io/w5nvd.

- 1337 165. Claude, J. (2013). Log-Shape Ratios, Procrustes Superimposition, Elliptic Fourier Analysis:
 1338 Three Worked Examples in R. Hystrix Ital. J. Mammal. 24. 10.4404/hystrix-24.1-6316.
- 1339 166. Buser, T.J., Boyd, O.F., Cortés, Á., Donatelli, C.M., Kolmann, M.A., Luparell, J.L.,
 1340 Pfeiffenberger, J.A., Sidlauskas, B.L., and Summers, A.P. (2020). The Natural Historian's
 1341 Guide to the CT Galaxy: Step-by-Step Instructions for Preparing and Analyzing Computed
 1342 Tomographic (CT) Data Using Cross-Platform, Open Access Software. Integr. Org. Biol. 2,
 1343 obaa009. 10.1093/iob/obaa009.
- 1344 167. Amira v.2020.3 (2020). (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
- 1345 168. Stratovan Checkpoint version 2020.10.13.0859 (2020). (Stratovan Corporation).
- 169. Mardia, K.V., Bookstein, F.L., and Moreton, I.J. (2005). Statistical assessment of bilateral
 symmetry of shapes. Biometrika *92*, 249–250. 10.1093/biomet/92.1.249-a.
- 1348 170. Westneat, M.W. (2004). Evolution of Levers and Linkages in the Feeding Mechanisms of
 1349 Fishes. Integr. Comp. Biol. 44, 378–389. 10.1093/icb/44.5.378.
- 1350 171. Vidal-García, M., Bandara, L., and Keogh, J.S. (2018). ShapeRotator: An R tool for
 1351 standardized rigid rotations of articulated three-dimensional structures with application for
 1352 geometric morphometrics. Ecol. Evol. *8*, 4669–4675. 10.1002/ece3.4018.
- 1353 172. Rhoda, D., Segall, M., Larouche, O., Evans, K., and Angielczyk, K.D. (2021). Local
 1354 Superimpositions Facilitate Morphometric Analysis of Complex Articulating Structures.
 1355 Integr. Comp. Biol., icab031. 10.1093/icb/icab031.
- 1356 173. Baken, E.K., Collyer, M.L., Kaliontzopoulou, A., and Adams, D.C. (2021). geomorph v4.0
 1357 and gmShiny: enhanced analytics and a new graphical interface for a comprehensive
 1358 morphometric experience. Methods Ecol. Evol. *12*, 2355–2363. 10.1111/2041-210X.13723.
- 1359 174. Pennell, M.W., Eastman, J.M., Slater, G.J., Brown, J.W., Uyeda, J.C., FitzJohn, R.G.,
 1360 Alfaro, M.E., and Harmon, L.J. (2014). geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for
 1361 fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics *30*, 2216–2218.
 1362 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu181.
- 1363 175. Morlon, H., Lewitus, E., Condamine, F.L., Manceau, M., Clavel, J., and Drury, J. (2016).
 1364 RPANDA: an R package for macroevolutionary analyses on phylogenetic trees. Methods
 1365 Ecol. Evol. 7, 589–597. 10.1111/2041-210X.12526.
- 1366 176. Clavel, J., Escarguel, G., and Merceron, G. (2015). mvmorph: an r package for fitting
 1367 multivariate evolutionary models to morphometric data. Methods Ecol. Evol. *6*, 1311–1319.
 1368 10.1111/2041-210X.12420.
- 1369 177. Coombs, E.J., Felice, R.N., Clavel, J., Park, T., Bennion, R.F., Churchill, M., Geisler, J.H.,
 1370 Beatty, B., and Goswami, A. (2022). The tempo of cetacean cranial evolution. Curr. Biol.
 1371 32, 2233-2247.e4. 10.1016/j.cub.2022.04.060.

- 1372 178. Plummer, M., Best, N., and Cowles, K. (2006). CODA: Convergence Diagnosis and Output
 1373 Analysis for MCMC. 6.
- 1374 179. Ferguson-Gow, H. (2020). BTprocessR Set Tools Help Interpret. Anal. Output BayesTraits
 1375 MCMC Anal. https://github.com/hferg/BTprocessR.
- 1376 180. Ferguson-Gow, H. (2017). Btrtools Set Tools Process. Anal. Output BayesTraits.
 1377 https://github.com/hferg/btrtools.
- 1378 181. Felice, R.N. (2021). https://github.com/rnfelice/macroevo.plots/tree/master/R.