Iterative spatial protein and RNA analysis on the same tissue section using MICS technology

Authors

Emily Neil[1], Dongju Park[1], Rebecca C. Hennessey[1], Eric C. DiBiasio[1], Michael DiBuono[1], Hanna Lafayette[1], Erica Lloyd[1], Hsinyi Lo[1], Julia Femel[2], Alex Makrigiorgos[1], Sameh Soliman[1], Dominic Mangiardi[1], Paurush Praveen[2], Silvia Rüberg[2], Fabian Staubach[2], Ryan Hindman[3], Thomas Rothmann[2], Hansueli Meyer[1], Tanya Wantenaar[2], Jinling Wang[1], Werner Müller[2], Robert Pinard[1], and Andreas Bosio[2]*

*Corresponding author

Affiliation

[1]Miltenyi Biotec Inc, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA
[2]Miltenyi Biotec B.V. & Co. KG, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany
[3]Miltenyi Biotec Inc, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Abstract

Spatial Biology has evolved from the molecular characterization of microdissected cells to high throughput spatial RNA and protein expression analysis at scale. The main limitation of spatial technologies so far is the inability to resolve protein and RNA information in the same histological section. Here, we report for the first time the integration of highly multiplexed RNA and protein detection on the same tissue section. We developed a new, automated, spatial RNA detection method (RNAsky™), which is based on targeted rolling circle amplification and iterative staining. We combined RNAsky with the MACSima™ Imaging Cyclic Staining (MICS) based protein analysis and showed compatibility with subsequent standard H&E staining. We demonstrated our multiomics MICS workflow by characterizing key immune-oncology markers at subcellular resolution across normal and diseased tissues, analyzed using both open-source tools and our recently developed software suite MACS® iQ View.

Introduction

Understanding the composition and architecture of cells within tissues is fundamental to studying tissue function, and more broadly, human biology. The complex dynamics of cellular functions and interactions between cell populations drive normal physiology, with dysregulation of these mechanisms underlying disease progression. Advances in bulk and single cell sequencing have facilitated the establishment of cell atlas initiatives, which aim to map all cells in the human body in healthy and diseased states [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Although sequencing methods allow for transcriptome wide analyses of individual cells with high sensitivity and accuracy, they require dissociation of cells, thereby destroying all contextual information [11, 12].

Image-based, spatially resolved transcriptomics methods have been extensively reviewed, and fall primarily into two categories: fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) based or rolling circle amplification (RCA) based approaches [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. With FISH based approaches, complementary probes are hybridized to mRNAs of interest, and repeatedly interrogated to generate optical barcodes associated with each gene [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. While optical barcoding allows for high multiplexing, it requires long imaging times and advanced algorithms for barcode decoding and downstream analyses. For RCA approaches, a circular template is required for amplification and is achieved either by using targeted padlock probes or circularizing templates *in situ* [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Rolling circle amplicons can be interrogated in a number of ways, including sequencing by ligation or hybridization based readouts. A combination of low plex *in situ* fluorescence mRNA analysis with MALDI mass spectrometry imaging or immunofluorescent protein detection has been reported in literature [30, 31, 32]. However, spatially resolved and highly multiplexed RNA detection methods have so far shown limited compatibility for same-slide iterative immunofluorescence based protein detection methods.

Spatial proteomics is a vital counterpart to spatial transcriptomics, as it provides direct assessments of functional information. Numerous multiplex proteomics technologies have been developed, including iterative and simultaneous detection methods [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. One such advance is MACSima™ imaging cyclic staining (MICS), which is a fully automated, cyclic immunofluorescence technology performed on the MACSima platform utilizing directly conjugated antibodies combined with gentle signal erasure methods, thereby allowing the application of hundreds of antibodies to a single sample [33]. Due to the non-destructive nature of this process, the MACSima platform is an ideal system for combining multiplex proteomics with spatial transcriptomics.

Here, we introduce RNAsky™, a targeted, RCA-based gene expression profiling assay designed for the MACSima platform. We combined this assay with multiplex protein imaging to generate multiomic datasets in normal and diseased tissues. To demonstrate the capabilities of our multiomics platform, we profiled multiple tissues using a targeted RNAsky Immuno-Oncology (I-O) Core panel with additional customized gene targets and a selection of protein markers.

Results

The MACSima Platform enables same-section multiplex analysis of proteins and mRNA

A comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions between cells in a spatial context requires multiple information modalities. Recently, MICS technology was introduced for spatial analysis of epitopes such as proteins, glycolipids, and complex sugars at subcellular resolution using hundreds of immunofluorescent antibodies on the same specimen [33]. To add the capability of transcript detection, we developed RNAsky, which is based on the same principle of iterative staining, sample washing, multi-field imaging, and signal erasure. Like protein detection, the RNAsky assay is performed on the MACSima Platform, a fully automated, fluorescence microscopy instrument. We specifically optimized transcript amplification and detection chemistries to be compatible with subsequent epitope detection in order to combine the analysis of RNA and protein targets on a single formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue section (Fig. 1a, Methods). We chose a workflow starting with RNA target probe amplification, followed by iterative detection probe hybridization, and ending with immunofluorescent detection of epitopes. Similar to directly-conjugated antibodies, each gene displayed a unique emission spectrum during a single cycle via the hybridization of gene-specific detection probes. Transcripts were detected across four wavelengths (FITC, PE, APC, near infrared), and proteins were detected with three wavelengths (FITC, PE, APC). This technology supports simultaneous analyses of protein markers and RNA transcripts, enabling the characterization of the spatial architecture and expression topography of tissues.

RNAsky is a reproducible, sensitive, specific, and spatially resolved gene expression assay

RNAsky is a spatial gene expression assay designed to complement the proteomic capabilities of the MACSima platform. Briefly, the assay is based on targeted padlock probe hybridization, ligation, and amplification. Padlock probes are oligonucleotides whose ends are complementary to adjacent target sequences [24, 39]. During hybridization, the two binding portions of the padlock must perfectly hybridize to the target to bring the 5' and 3' ends into contact, allowing circularization of the probes by ligation. Rolling circle amplification then generates multiple copies of the circularized probes into DNA nanoballs. To assess the performance of RNAsky, normal tonsil samples were interrogated with a Human I-O Core Panel, composed of 21 target genes, two housekeeping genes, and negative controls. Regions of interest (ROI) containing a minimum of two follicles across three serial sections were evaluated to determine intra-sample and inter-sample reproducibility, as well as cross technology comparison (Fig. 1b-e). To correct for sample to sample variation, RNAsky counts were normalized to the higher expressed housekeeping gene in the panel, *PSMB4*. RNAsky showed high concordance across serial sections of the same block (r=0.9522 or higher) (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1a) with an average of 22,782 cells profiled across the three sections. RNAsky was also highly concordant between two independent tissue samples with similar regions of interest assayed (Tonsil 1= 22,782 cells, Tonsil 2 = 21,313 cells, r=0.9370) (Fig. 1c). We then compared RNAsky data with two independent RNA quantification technologies, bulk RNA-Seq and single-cell RNA-Seq to benchmark the assay's performance (Fig. 1d-e, Supplementary Fig. 1b). RNAsky showed high correlation with both methods (Bulk RNA-Seq correlation r= 0.9329, scRNA-Seq correlation r= 0.8953).

We developed RNAsky as a flexible assay, where the number and composition of targets can be customized according to study needs. To highlight this flexibility and expand cell type characterization, four additional gene targets were added to the I-O Core Panel (*BCL6, CD68, CD14, LAMP3*, referred to as extended I-O panel) in cancer samples of interest. Specificity was evaluated by calculating the false discovery rate (FDR) across multiple replicates per tissue type (Fig. 1f). RNAsky demonstrated highly specific performance, with all

FDR's falling below 0.3%. The number of counts per cell varied across tissue types, with an
average of 10.8 counts per cell in all tissues (Fig. 1g, Supplementary Fig. 1c). To benchmark
the sensitivity of RNAsky, the ratio of mean counts per cell for each gene was calculated in
both RNAsky and scRNA-Seq tonsil data (Supplementary Fig. 1d). RNAsky showed higher
sensitivity for low and medium expressed genes, but this sensitivity dropped for highly
expressed transcripts. For highly expressed genes, switching from a discrete count-based
quantification to continuous intensity-based quantification provided a robust alternative to
assess transcript abundance (Supplementary Fig. 2). The median sensitivity was calculated
for all genes across three tonsil blocks, and showed higher sensitivity compared to scRNA-
Seq (Fig. 1h). Overall, RNAsky provides spatially resolved quantification of transcripts at
subcellular resolution with high reproducibility, specificity, and sensitivity (Fig. 1i).

The combination of RNA and protein analyses identifies major cell types within normal tonsil
tissue

The ability to capture tissue architecture on a transcriptional level was investigated by
assessing the gene expression patterns of the extended I-O panel in normal tonsil (Fig. 2). The
spatial distribution of detected genes demonstrated major characteristics of tonsil histology,
including the germinal center and marginal zone of lymphoid follicles (Fig. 2, Region 1), the
interfollicular zone (Fig. 2, Region 2), and squamous epithelium (Fig. 2, Region 3). The patterns
of gene expression were localized across all panel targets (Supplementary Figs. 3-5).

Because RNA and protein data were acquired on the same section, the relationship
between RNA and protein expression profiles could be assessed within a spatial context (Fig.
3a). For genes such as *CD3D* and *MKI67*, expression was enriched in cells that also expressed
the corresponding proteins markers. To identify canonical cell populations of the tonsil based
on gene expression profiles, a stringent gating strategy using normalized transcript counts (see
methods) was applied to pairwise gene relationships that define different cell types (Fig. 3b,
Supplementary Fig. 6a). B cells were defined by the presence of *MS4A1*, while T cells were
identified by the absence of this gene and presence of *CD3D* and/or *CD8A*. Then, additional
selection gates were applied to further characterize these two types of lymphocytes. Germinal
center cells were characterized by double expression of *MS4A1* and *BLC6*, and proliferating
cells within this region were further identified with the presence of *MKI67*. Cytotoxic T cells
showed a combination of *CD3D* and *CD8A* expression, while remaining T cells were
characterized by *CD3D* expression alone. Finally, epithelial cells were defined by the
expression of three hallmark genes *EGFR*, *EPCAM*, and *ERBB2* and the absence of *MS4A1*.

To investigate cell population dynamics of the tonsil, major cell types were determined
using hierarchical clustering based on protein signal intensities (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig.
6b). Eight major cell types were identified including B cells, T cells, germinal center B cells,
proliferating B cells, cytotoxic T cells, plasma cells, macrophages, and epithelial cells.
Clustering based on both transcript counts and protein intensities further improved cell
population annotation by refining the boundaries between populations (Fig. 3d, Supplementary
Fig. 6c). A heatmap of intensity-based quanitification of select protein and mRNAs allowed for
the visualization of expression differences between spatially-distinct cell type clusters (Fig. 3e).
For example, one of the five CD4 T cell groups (second column) may be proliferative with
higher protein and RNA levels of the proliferation markers PCNA and Ki67. Additionally, this
heatmap exhibited correlations of RNA and protein to define cell types, such as plasma
cells.This cell type showed a high correlation of *IGHG1* and *IGKC* transcripts, and CD79a and
CD138 marker expression. Thus, using the intensity-based detection method, plasma cells
were visualized by mRNA expression with cells expressing either *IGHG1* (red arrows), *IGKC*
(green arrows), or both (yellow arrows) (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Fig. 7).

*Multiomic analyses capture the dynamics of the tumor microenvironment and expression
signatures across different cancer systems*

The extended I-O panel enabled robust transcriptional analyses across cancer samples. To determine the panel's ability to capture canonical cancer and immune signatures, gene expression profiles were assessed across four cancer samples (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 8). High *GATA3* expression is commonly seen in well differentiated breast cancers (BC), and was observed in the triple positive breast cancer sample (Fig.4a) [40]. The non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma (NKSCC) sample showed high *CDKN2A* expression (Fig. 4b). This is in alignment with cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics data sets showing high *CDKN2A* levels in 97% of patients with HPV+ head and neck squamous cell carcinomas [41, 42, 43]. Immune infiltration is a key factor in understanding cancer progression and prognostic outcomes. Three of the tumor ROIs exhibited the presence of an immune infiltrate. In the breast cancer sample (Fig. 4a), T and B cells can be observed throughout the tumor. The NKSCC (Fig 4b) and colorectal cancer (CRC) (Fig. 4c), showed organization of T and B cells into tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs), with presence of *BCL6* indicating germinal center formation (Fig. 4c) [44].

The combination of high resolution transcriptomics and proteomics data enables characterization of the cell interactions and molecular mechanisms occurring within the spatial context of the tumor microenvironment (Fig. 5). A tonsil control was included in these analyses as a normal tissue reference. Each cancer system showed a distinct profile, highlighting the various levels of gene expression in different tumors (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Fig. 9). Following the Seurat pipeline, dimension reduction, clustering, and curated cluster annotion was performed (Fig. 5b, see methods) [45]. Five cell populations were defined, including epithelial cells, T cells, B cells, plasma cells, and macrophages/monocytes. (Fig. 5b bottom). Using the cluster annotations, cell population dynamics, both quantitatively and spatially, were evaluated (Fig. 5c-d). With the addition of pathological tissue assessment, the tonsil showed a low presence of the epithelial cell group, representing the tonsiliar crypt epithelial cells, while the tumor ROIs showed a significant amount of malignant epithelial cells. This is reflected in the enrichment of the epithelium population within all tumor ROIs (upaired t-test with Welch's correction, $p < 0.05$).

Adding protein information to RNA showed the functional organization of the CRC tumor microenvironment (Fig. 5e, Supplementar Figs. 10-11). Malignant epithelium (purple and green) was identified in the upper two quandrants of the image, while normal epithelium (green) was located on the bottom left quadrant. Two TLSs (red and blue) were located in the bottom right quadrant of the image, as characterized by their RNA and protein expression. Using hierarchical clustering based on protein expression, ten dominant cell populations were identified that captured epithelial cells, cancer cells, proliferating cancer cells, stromal cells, and immune cells (Fig. 5f). Because erasure methods used were gentle, samples remained intact at the completion of image acquisitions, enabling downstream hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining on the same tissue section. The H&E images were registered with DAPI images from the RNA and protein image acquisition. Once registered, RNA, protein, and histological data could be simultaneously viewed in the MACS iQ View software platform (Fig. 5g, Supplementary Fig. 12), allowing for direct comparison of classic histology with spatially valuable transcriptomic and proteomic information on the same section.

Discussion

In this study, we introduced a true multiomics approach, where the chemistry for RNA detection was compatible with subsequent immunofluorescent epitope detection, enabling the combined analysis of RNA and protein targets on a single, histological tissue section. Additionally, because the methods used were gentle, samples remained intact at the completion of all image acquisitions, enabling downstream histology staining (H&E) on the same section. Transcriptomic and proteomic approaches have identified a substantial degree of variation in both, protein and mRNA levels in most, if not all types of cancer [46, 47, 48]. This observed heterogeneity often increases as tumors progress. This observed heterogeneity often increases as tumors progress. In addition, kinetics and intensity of RNA and protein expression of the same gene can differ due to post transcriptional control. For example, miRNAs negatively regulate the expression of a large proportion of mRNAs [49, 50] and translational regulation/post translational modifications alter protein stability and abundance [51, 52]. The visualization of protein and RNA in the context of a canonical diagnostic stain provides the link between mRNA and protein expression and qualitative image analysis/interpretation (H&E). This increases the level of confidence when determining the correlation or the divergence between all three established information modalities. It also enables more accurate phenotyping, determination of cell states, tumor microenvironment profiling, cell segmentation, and clustering, all of which are key to capturing molecular changes contributing to the progression and cellular response of disease.

The approach described here is based on *in situ* hybridization and amplification of probes for RNA transcript detection. The padlock probes in RNAsky were designed to anneal directly to mRNA, eliminating the needs for cDNA synthesis and therefore improving the detection sensitivity. When we assessed the FDR, calculated across multiple replicates, for the transcript detection approach, the proportion of false positive genes in the extended I-O panel was extremely low (mainly less than <0.1%). This low FDR indicates a high degree of specificity of RNAsky, which requires the hybridization of the two arms of the padlock probes to occur, and a ligation event to generate the amplified molecule underlying the observed punctate signal. Our punctate detection approach shows a higher sensitivity for low and medium expressed genes compared to scRNA-Seq, but a lower sensitivity for highly expressed transcripts. Intuitively, this observation is in alignment with higher transcript expression being more prone to optical and physical overcrowding. To mitigate this issue, we developed a computational approach, integrated into the MACS iQ View analysis software, where the discrete count-based quantification is supplemented with a continuous intensity-based quantification, allowing for a robust quantification and an increase in the dynamic range of detection of RNAsky. Future technological iterations of the MACSima platform will enable z-stack acquisition, where multiple images are taken at different focal distances. By acquiring three dimensional data, the composite image will have a greater depth of field, and will better resolve punctate amplicon signal.

The spatial multiomics analyses performed on the same tissue section revealed the dynamics of cell populations in both healthy and diseased tissues. Distinct profiles of expression and immune signatures based on RNA, protein or a combination could be drawn. Using stringent gating strategies or hierarchical clustering followed by cluster annotation, cell population dynamics were evaluated both quantitatively and spatially. In tonsil, the corresponding mRNA and protein marker expression were enriched in specific cell type cells, allowing the identification of canonical cell populations such as T cells, germinal center B cells, and epithelial cells.

Although scRNA-Seq captures the transcriptional activity of individual cells in tissues, it lacks spatial information. Here, we investigated the spatial expression patterns of RNA and

proteins in the microenvironment of tumor. The tumor microenvironment is a complex
ecosystem that is composed of various cell types, such as tumor, immune, and stromal cells.
This unique environment varies by tumor type and tumor progression over time [53, 54]. TLS are
heterogenous ectopic lymphoid organs that form at inflammatory and cancerous sites. Without
the power of spatial immunostaining and transcriptomics information, it is difficult to assess the
activation and maturation stage of tumor-associated TLSs. Spatial analyses can identify
aggregates of T cells, B cells, and dendritic cells that are characteristic of these structures, and
additional protein and transcriptional data can elucidate maturation characteristics.

Given the complexity of studying internal tumor heterogeneity, combining proteomic
and transcriptomic data on the same tissue section would increase our understanding of
cancer dynamics and biological variations, including the discrepancy observed in mRNA to
protein ratio shown recently in multiple cancer types [46, 47, 48]. The degree to which mRNA levels
scale with protein abundances and the implications in cases where this dependency breaks
due to dysregulation, could lead to new avenues for cancer research. While we concentrated
in our study on the analysis of proteins and mRNAs it is worth noting that the technology can
in theory be expanded to other types of molecules. This could include long non-coding RNAs
and all kinds of epitopes detectable by antibodies such as cytokines, glycolipids, carbohydrates,
neurotransmitters or hormones.The combinatorial approach described here, using the
MACSima platform, overcomes a key limitation of current technologies, and could potentially
lead to the identification of new clusters or multimodal patterns of expression, further enabling
the discovery and development of predictive and prognostic cancer biomarkers.

Methods

Biospecimen Samples

PSN1 cell pellet FFPE blocks and normal tonsil FFPE blocks were purchased from AMSBIO (3120-0410, AMS6022 respectively). Cancer blocks were multi-omics grade biospecimens purchased from Indivumed Services.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) – The sample is a low grade adenocarcinoma of the ascending colon.

Breast cancer (BC) – The sample is an ER+, PR+, HER2 3+ invasive carcinoma of the breast.

Non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma (NKSCC) – The sample is an HPV+, poorly differentiated non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx.

Metastatic lymph node (Met. LN) – The sample is a lymph node metastasis of a colorectal adenocarcinoma.

Tissue Processing

For all tissue blocks, 5 µm sections were acquired on a HM355 S automatic microtome (Epredia), collected on high resolution slides (Miltenyi Biotec), and baked for one hour at 60°C in a symphony™ incubator (VWR) from each of the tissue blocks. The slides were deparaffinized in Histoclear II (National Diagnostics), following the manufacturer's instructions, and rehydrated in nuclease free water. The slides then underwent antigen retrieval in tris-EDTA citrate (TEC) buffer pH9 for 20 min at 98°C in a PT Module (Epredia). After washing twice with nuclease free water, the slides were mounted into MACSwell Imaging Frames (Miltenyi Biotec).

Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) Staining

H&E stains were performed according to the kit's instructions (Vector Laboratories, H-3502) for pathological assessment and informed Region of Interest (ROI) selection. After H&E staining, coverslips were mounted with Cytoseal XYL (Epredia). The samples that underwent H&E staining after RNA and protein acquisition were processed in the same method as serial sections.

RNAsky probes and Immuno-Oncology Core Panel design

RNAsky utilizes targeted padlock probes for gene identification. Each probe contains two arms which hybridize to two adjacent sequences of an mRNA of interest, as well as a gene specific sequence used for detection. The mRNA targeting regions of the probes were designed with Miltenyi Biotec's proprietary probe design pipeline. The pipeline was optimized to produce specific and sensitive probes by filtering candidates on multiple parameters including melting temperature, GC content, secondary structure formation, homopolymers, repetitive sequences, and off target events. The I-O Core Panel includes 21 gene targets representing canonical and actionable hallmarks across different cancer systems, two housekeeping genes, and three negative control probes. The two housekeeping genes were selected for their expression levels as previously described [55], and were used for data normalization. The negative control probes were designed to not bind anywhere in the human transcriptome and provide a

measure of specificity of the system.The two housekeeping genes were selected for their
expression levels as previously described [55], and can be used for data normalization. The
negative control probes were designed to not bind anywhere in the human transcriptome and
provide a measure of specificity of the system.The two housekeeping genes were selected for
their expression levels as previously described [55], and can be used for data normalization. The
negative control probes were designed to not bind anywhere in the human transcriptome and
provide a measure of specificity of the system. In addition to the I-O Core panel, four custom
gene targets were designed and included: *BCL6*, *CD14*, *CD68*, and *LAMP3*. A list of gene
targets can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

RNAsky Sample Preparation

RNAsky (Miltenyi Biotec) sample preparation began immediately after MACSwell Imaging
Frame assembly, following Miltenyi's RNAsky user manual for FFPE sections. In brief, probes
were hybridized overnight at 45°C in a humidified chamber. Following hybridization, samples
were washed with a stringency buffer, and then probes were ligated overnight at 37°C. After
ligation, samples were again washed, and an amplification primer was annealed. Rolling circle
amplification (RCA) was performed at 30°C overnight. Finally, samples were crosslinked for
one hour at room temperature to stabilize amplicons prior to loading samples on the MACSima
system.

MACSima Imaging Cyclic Staining (MICS) Technology

Cyclic imaging on the MACSima System was performed as previously described [56, 57, 58] with
the addition of cyclic RNA acquisition to generate multiomic data sets. The MACSima Platform
combines widefield microscopy with fully automated liquid handling to perform cyclic imaging.
The instrument iteratively stains analytes of interest, acquires multispectral images, and erases
the signal at the end of each round. For RNAsky rounds, signal was erased with Miltenyi's
proprietary RNAsky release reagent, while antibody rounds were either optically bleached or
signal was removed using the REAlease® Release Reagent (Miltenyi Biotec). Briefly, before
loading samples onto the instrument, regions of interest were selected based on a pathologist's
assessment of H&E sections. ROIs were selected by identifying well preserved tissue
representative of the anatomical structure and the pathology encountered, including normal
adjacent tissue and associated tertiary lymphoid structures when present. Areas of overt
necrosis, pigmentation, hemorrhage and artifacts were avoided. The size of ROI for all tonsil
samples and metastatic lymph node is 1.6x1.3mm, 2.6x1.8 mm for the NKSCC and breast
cancer, and 2.1x2.2 mm for the CRC. A list of gene targets and antibodies can be found in
Supplementary Table 2.

Image Analysis

All datasets contained RNA and protein images, and were analyzed in the MACS iQ View
analysis software (Miltenyi Biotec). Every field of view was assessed across two exposure
times during image preprocessing. Flatfield and distortion corrections were applied based on
calibration data from the instrument [33]. In the event of pixel overexposure with the highest
exposure time, the signal was normalized with the lower exposed image to generate a high
dynamic range image (HDR). Then, DAPI images were used for stitching and registration
across the entire ROI for each cycle. Finally, signal images had the background subtracted
based on background images acquired for every cycle. Segmentation was performed based

on DAPI images in a two-step process, with nuclear segmentation based on the watershed
method followed by a donut based cytoplasmic segmentation. A gaussian smoothing was
performed on DAPI images to improve cell segmentation for tumor tissues. After segmentation
cell features are measured across all channels.

Transcript Detection

Utilizing the integrated algorithm in MACS iQ View, transcripts were detected with default
parameters. With this algorithm, transcripts are detected in two stages for enhanced accuracy.
First, a multi-scale object detection strategy is employed utilizing the Laplacian of Gaussian
(LoG) filter. This process evaluates the maximum response over a range of Gaussian kernel
sizes, allowing for the identification of objects of various sizes and across multiple focal planes.
The outcome of this process is an image characterized by the Laplacian transformation, which
is then thresholded to create a collection of high-response regions where punctate signal has
been detected. In the second stage, in order to obtain an exact list of object positions, wavelet
filtering of the preprocessed images is performed followed by local peak detection. Any local
maxima not found within the high-response regions from stage 1 are discarded as false
positives, while the remainder form our final curated set of detected transcripts.

RNA gating cell population analysis

After transcript detection, gene counts were normalized using the MinMax algorithm in MACS
iQ View. Briefly, the MinMax normalization algorithm transforms all values for a given feature
into the range [0,1], where the minimum and maximum value for that feature are 0 and 1
respectively. Then, pairwise scatterplots were generated, and selection gates were established
based on desired gene relationships. For example, when using gene A and gene B, a user can
select a gate for double positive expression (A and B), or an exclusion gate (A not B). A very
stringent approach was used where cells were not allowed to be represented in more than one
population group.

Protein clustering analysis

For protein clustering, the fluorescence intensity of each marker was measured and a Z-
normalization used across all protein measurements. Then, principle component analysis for
4 dimensions was performed. These were then used as the input for the hierarchical clustering
within MACS iQ View with the following parameters: agglomerative hierarchical clustering
algorithm in MACS iQ View with the following parameters: number of clusters 30, Euclidean
distance (L2 norm), non-squared, and Ward's method.30 clusters were generated, and cell
types were assigned to a cluster based on the five most expressed markers. Clusters were
combined when the same cell type was assigned in separate clusters. The identified cell types
were colored and plotted in an XY plot using the nuclear segmentation mask as the underlying
map. Expression heatmaps were generated displaying both protein and RNA intensity values
across the annotated spatially-grouped protein-based cell type clusters.

Multiomic clustering analysis

414 RNA count data and protein intensity data were used as input features for the agglomerative
415 hierarchical clustering algorithm in MACS iQ View with the following parameters: number of
416 clusters 20, Euclidean distance (L2 norm), non-squared, and Ward's method. After initial
417 clustering, clusters were filtered and annotated to show cell types.

Intensity-based Transcript Quantification

The overall intensity of signals from detected RNA transcripts in segemented cells was
measured in the same way the amount of signal from fluorescent antibodies is assessed. The
intensity based method is compatible with software developed for fluorescence microscopy

and uses the same methodology as the antibody staining method. For the comparison of both
count- and intensity-based transcript quantification, the DAPI image and the RNAsky image of
the *MS4A1* (CD20) were imported into QuPath [59]. The cell nuclei were segmented using the
DAPI channel and a defined area around the nuclei was used as the cytoplasm. Using the
experimental organelle detection method within QuPath, the detected RNA transcripts were
segmented and counted per cell. From these data both the intensity and the count data were
extracted and exported into a data table and further analyzed.

MICS and H&E registration

H&E staining was performed following RNAsky and MICS run on MACSima as described
above, and whole slide images were acquired. For image registration of H&E image to DAPI
channel, selected ROI area was cropped and then stacked with inverted cycle 0 DAPI image
in Fiji. The stacked images were aligned using Fiji's plugin, Linear Stack Alignment with SIFT
[60] and merged by Z projection in Fiji. To combine transcripts, protein and H&E images, the
registered H&E image was imported into MACS iQ View analysis software. The stacked
images were aligned using Fiji's plugin, Linear Stack Alignment with SIFT [60] and merged by Z
projection in Fiji. The stacked images were aligned using Fiji's plugin, Linear Stack Alignment
with SIFT [60] and merged by Z projection in Fiji. To combine transcripts, protein and H&E images,
the registered H&E image was imported into MACS iQ View and overlaid with detected
transcripts and protein images acquired from MACSima.

RNAsky performance and cross-technology comparisons

For the following analyses, *IGHG1*, *IGKC* counts were excluded due to their very high
expression.

False Discovery Rate (FDR) Calculation

FDR (%), a measure of specificity, is the rate of calls from negative control probes (n=3) per
total number of calls for a region of interest (ROI). The FDR calculation was determined based
on the I-O Core Panel for Tonsils 1 and 2 and the extended I-O Panel for all remaining tissues.

Bulk Sequencing Correlation

Pearson correlation with 95% confidence intervals was determined on the I-O Core Panel
genes between the mean counts per ROI of a representative tonsil sample and nTPM of a bulk
RNA-Seq tonsil dataset. Two-tailed P value was also determined.

Cell Line Correlation

The counts or TPM for each gene were normalized to *PSMB4* counts or TPM. Pearson
correlation with 95% confidence intervals was determined on the I-O Core Panel genes
between the average of two PSN1 RNAsky normalized counts and a PSN1 Cancer Cell Line
Encyclopedia (CCLE) bulk RNA-Seq dataset. Two-tailed P value was also determined.

Single Cell Sequencing (scRNA-Seq) Correlation

Pearson correlation with 95% confidence intervals was determined on the I-O Core Panel
genes between the mean counts per cell of a representative tonsil sample and mean counts
per cell of a scRNA-Seq healthy young adult tonsil dataset. Two-tailed P value was also
determined.

In situ Sensitivity Calculations

Both RNAsky and scRNA-Seq are able to measure transcripts per cell allowing for the
determination of how sensitive RNAsky *in situ* transcript detection is relative to scRNA-Seq
transcript detection. In healthy tonsil RNAsky datasets and a scRNA-Seq healthy young adult
tonsil dataset, transcripts/cell was determined for each gene followed by the ratio of RNAsky
to scRNA-Seq for each gene. Median sensitivity was determined from the ratios all the I-O
Core Panel genes.

Gene expression analyses

Transcript count preprocessing: filtering and transcript count normalization

For each sample, cells with less than three RNAsky genes detected were filtered out, and
RNAsky genes detected in less than three cells were excluded from downstream analysis. The
raw transcript counts from all RNAsky samples were first merged to a single Seurat object by
Seurat (version 4.3.0) function 'merge' [45]. To normalize transcript counts across all cells, the
raw gene transcript count for each cell was divided by the total transcript count of that cell,
multiplied by scale factor 10,000, and then natural log transformed with pseudo count set as
1. Seurat function NormalizeData(normalization.method="LogNormalize", scale.factor=1e4)
was used for the log normalization. Subsequently,the log normalized counts were transformed
to Z-score by 'Seurat::ScaleData()', and finally gene expression variance was stabilized by
'Seurat::SCTransform' .

RNAsky transcript count dimensionality reduction and batch effect correction

Principle Component Analysis was performed on the merged object as described above with
all RNAsky genes included and total number of PCs set as 26 (Seurat::RunPCA(npcs=26)).
To correct batch effect, all samples in the merged object were integrated by the fast, sensitive,
and scalable integration tool Harmony, and performed by Seurat wrapper 'RunHarmony' [61, 62].
Cells from individual samples were considered as different batches (specified by RunHarmony
parameter group.by). The integration process generated harmony components, which were all
used subsequently as input for UMAP (Seurat::RunUMAP(dims=1:26)) and clustering analysis.

Clustered heatmap plotting, cell type annotation and spatial resconstruction of cell types

The single cell clustered heatmap was generated based on the above log normalized counts,
which was scaled between 0 and1. The plotting was performed by the R function
dittoSeq::dittoHeatmap(scaled.to.max=TRUE).

To cluster cells for cell type annotation, all the harmony components were utilized to construct
a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) graph. This was performed by
Seurat::FindNeighbors(dims=1:26) function with the other parameters kept at their default
values. Subsequently Louvain algorithm was employed to identify clusters by
Seurat::FindClusters(algorithm=1, resolution=0.1). The "resolution" parameter for Louvain
clustering determines the size and number of detected clusters. In this study, we tested
resolution values ranging from 0.1 to 0.5. When resolution was set at 0.1, a total of seven
clusters were identified, which aligns well with the expected number of cell types.

The resulting clusters were automatically annotated by R package SingleR (version 2.0.0)
against two general-purpose built-in references: Human Primary Cell Atlas and
Blueprint/ENCODE,which are provided by R package celldex (version 1.8.0) [63]. Additionally,
we annotated the clusters by R package Azimuth (version 0.4.6) function 'RunAzimuth' against
the Human tonsil reference [45]. Marker genes for each cluster were identified by
Seurat::FindAllMarkers(test.use = "wilcox", min.pct=0.1) function with log normalized counts
as input and the default Wilcoxon rank sum test. The average of log normalized counts for

each cluster was visualized by R function 'pheatmap::pheatmap' (version 1.0.12). The final
annotation was deterimined by considering both automatic cell annotation and marker gene
expression level.
513
514 The spatial reconstruction of cell types was achieved by the 'scatter' method in Python library
515 plotly.express (Plotly Technologies Inc.). The input data is the cell coordinates generated by
516 cell segmentation.
517

Datasets

The gene expression for all 23 targets in the RNAsky Immuno-Oncology Core Panel was
retrieved from the following data sources:

Human Protein Atlas (HPA) HealthyTonsil RNASeq

The RNA HPA tissue gene data set was downloaded from the Human Protein Atlas
(http://www.proteinatlas.org) and is based on the Human Protein Atlas version 23.0 and
Esembl version 109 [8, 64].

Single Cell Tonsil Data Set

Single cell RNA sequencing data was downloaded from the deposited Seurat objects as
described in the tonsil atlas publication [65]. The non-cell-hashed dataset from three healthy
young adult donors ages 26, 33, and 35 were used for the analysis in this studySingle cell RNA
sequencing data was downloaded from the deposited Seurat objects as described in the tonsil
atlas publication [65]. The non-cell-hashed dataset from three healthy young adult donors ages
26, 33, and 35 were used for the analysis in this studySingle cell RNA sequencing data was
downloaded from the deposited Seurat objects as described in the tonsil atlas publication [65].
The non-cell-hashed dataset from three healthy young adult donors ages 26, 33, and 35 were
used for the analysis in this study

Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) RNASeq

The CCLE data set was downloaded from the DepMap Public 22Q2 release on the DepMap
portal (http://depmap.org). The PSN1 cell line was selected as a representative cancer system
for marker assessment [66].

Statistics

Unless otherwise specified, all bar graphs show the mean with error bars representing the
standard error of the mean. Pearson correlation coefficients with 95% confidence intervals and
two-tailed P values were determined for all correlations. Unpaired t tests with Welch's
correction with two-tailed P values were performed for comparisons. Statistical analysis
performed using GraphPad Prism 10.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Christian Wöhle for bioinformatics support. We thank Rami Hayajneh for histology image acquisition support. We thank the Molecular Chemistry team in Waltham, MA for their support in RNAsky reagent and protocol developments.This publication is part of the Human Cell Atlas –www.humancellatlas.org/publications/.

Author contributions

E.N., D.P., R.C.H., R.P., W.M., T.W., A.B, J.F., S.R. designed studies.

E.N., D.P., R.C.H., M.D., E.D., H.L., E.L., H.L., S.S. performed experiments.

E.N., D.P., R.C.H., R.P., J.W., W.M., T.W., A.B., A.M. wrote manuscript.

E.N., D.P., R.C.H., J.W., W.M., T.W., A.M., F.S., D.M., P.P., H.L., E.L., J.F. analyzed the data and interpreted results

E.N., D.P., R.C.H., J.W., W.M., T.W., R.P., A.B., J.F., H.M., T.R., R.H. revised and edited the manuscript

Materials & Correspondence

Correspondence and material requests should be addressed to Robert Pinard (robertpi@miltenyi.com) or Andreas Bosio (Andreasbo@miltenyi.com).

Data availability

As examples, the RNAsky and antibody stained original stitched images of the Tonsil and the CRC tissue shown in Figures 4 and 5, are uploaded to Zenodo in the OME.TIF file format and the links will be provided after acceptance of the manuscript.

Supplementary Information

In attached Supplementary Information file.

References

1. Consortium H. The human body at cellular resolution: the NIH Human Biomolecular Atlas Program. *Nature* **574**, 187-192 (2019).

2. Cool J, Conroy RS, Hanlon SE, Hughes SK, Roy AL. Spatial and temporal tools for building a human cell atlas. *Mol Biol Cell* **30**, 2435-2438 (2019).

3. Regev A*, et al.* The Human Cell Atlas. *Elife* **6**, (2017).

4. Thul PJ, Lindskog C. The human protein atlas: A spatial map of the human proteome. *Protein Sci* **27**, 233-244 (2018).

5. Thul PJ*, et al.* A subcellular map of the human proteome. *Science* **356**, (2017).

6. Uhlen M*, et al.* A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome. *Science* **357**, (2017).

7. Börner K*, et al.* Anatomical structures, cell types and biomarkers of the Human Reference Atlas. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**, 1117-1128 (2021).

8. Karlsson M*, et al.* A single-cell type transcriptomics map of human tissues. *Sci Adv* **7**, (2021).

9. Consortium G. Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* **348**, 648-660 (2015).

10. Consortium AA. Aging Atlas: a multi-omics database for aging biology. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D825-D830 (2021).

11. Svensson V*, et al.* Power analysis of single-cell RNA-sequencing experiments. *Nat Methods* **14**, 381-387 (2017).

12. Ziegenhain C*, et al.* Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Methods. *Mol Cell* **65**, 631-643.e634 (2017).

13. M C*, et al.* Spatially resolved transcriptomics: A comprehensive review of their technological advances, applications, and challenges. *Journal of genetics and genomics = Yi chuan xue bao*, (2023).

14. Moffitt JR, Lundberg E, Heyn H. The emerging landscape of spatial profiling technologies. *Nat Rev Genet* **23**, 741-759 (2022).

15. Tian L, Chen F, Macosko EZ. The expanding vistas of spatial transcriptomics. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**, 773-782 (2023).

617   16.   Walker BL, Cang Z, Ren H, Bourgain-Chang E, Nie Q. Deciphering tissue structure and function
618   using spatial transcriptomics. *Commun Biol* **5**, 220 (2022).

620   17.   Cheng M*, et al.* Spatially resolved transcriptomics: a comprehensive review of their
621   technological advances, applications, and challenges. *J Genet Genomics* **50**, 625-640 (2023).

623   18.   Chen KH, Boettiger AN, Moffitt JR, Wang S, Zhuang X. RNA imaging. Spatially resolved, highly
624   multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells. *Science* **348**, aaa6090 (2015).

626   19.   Moffitt JR, Hao J, Wang G, Chen KH, Babcock HP, Zhuang X. High-throughput single-cell gene-
627   expression profiling with multiplexed error-robust fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Proc
628   Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 11046-11051 (2016).

630   20.   Shah S, Lubeck E, Zhou W, Cai L. In Situ Transcription Profiling of Single Cells Reveals Spatial
631   Organization of Cells in the Mouse Hippocampus. *Neuron* **92**, 342-357 (2016).

633   21.   Eng CL*, et al.* Transcriptome-scale super-resolved imaging in tissues by RNA seqFISH. *Nature*
634   **568**, 235-239 (2019).

636   22.   Goh JJL*, et al.* Highly specific multiplexed RNA imaging in tissues with split-FISH. *Nat Methods*
637   **17**, 689-693 (2020).

639   23.   He S*, et al.* High-plex imaging of RNA and proteins at subcellular resolution in fixed tissue by
640   spatial molecular imaging. *Nat Biotechnol* **40**, 1794-1806 (2022).

642   24.   Ke R*, et al.* In situ sequencing for RNA analysis in preserved tissue and cells. *Nat Methods* **10**,
643   857-860 (2013).

645   25.   Lee JH*, et al.* Fluorescent in situ sequencing (FISSEQ) of RNA for gene expression profiling in
646   intact cells and tissues. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 442-458 (2015).

648   26.   Liu S*, et al.* Barcoded oligonucleotides ligated on RNA amplified for multiplexed and parallel
649   in situ analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, e58 (2021).

651   27.   Wang X*, et al.* Three-dimensional intact-tissue sequencing of single-cell transcriptional states.
652   *Science* **361**, (2018).

654   28.   Chen X, Sun YC, Church GM, Lee JH, Zador AM. Efficient in situ barcode sequencing using
655   padlock probe-based BaristaSeq. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, e22 (2018).

657   29.   Janesick A*, et al.* High resolution mapping of the breast cancer tumor microenvironment
658   using integrated single cell, spatial and in situ analysis of FFPE tissue. (2022).

660   30.   Bourceau P, *et al.* Visualization of metabolites and microbes at high spatial resolution using
661   MALDI mass spectrometry imaging and in situ fluorescence labeling. *Nat Protoc* **18**, 3050-
662   3079 (2023).

663
664   31.   Ball JB, *et al.* Combining RNAscope and immunohistochemistry to visualize inflammatory
665   gene products in neurons and microglia. *Front Mol Neurosci* **16**, 1225847 (2023).

666
667   32.   Li X, *et al.* Combined single-molecule fluorescence. *STAR Protoc* **2**, 100555 (2021).

668
669   33.   Kinkhabwala A, *et al.* MACSima imaging cyclic staining (MICS) technology reveals

670   combinatorial target pairs for CAR T cell treatment of solid tumors *Scientific Reports* **12**, 1-16 (2022).

671
672   34.   Giesen C, *et al.* Highly multiplexed imaging of tumor tissues with subcellular resolution by
673   mass cytometry. *Nat Methods* **11**, 417-422 (2014).

674
675   35.   Lin JR, *et al.* Highly multiplexed immunofluorescence imaging of human tissues and tumors
676   using t-CyCIF and conventional optical microscopes. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

677
678   36.   Manesse M, Patel KK, Bobrow M, Downing SR. The InSituPlex. *Methods Mol Biol* **2055**, 585-
679   592 (2020).

680
681   37.   Black S, *et al.* CODEX multiplexed tissue imaging with DNA-conjugated antibodies. *Nat Protoc*
682   **16**, 3802-3835 (2021).

683
684   38.   Keren L, *et al.* A Structured Tumor-Immune Microenvironment in Triple Negative Breast
685   Cancer Revealed by Multiplexed Ion Beam Imaging. *Cell* **174**, 1373-1387.e1319 (2018).

686
687   39.   Nilsson M, Malmgren H, Samiotaki M, Kwiatkowski M, Chowdhary BP, Landegren U. Padlock
688   probes: circularizing oligonucleotides for localized DNA detection. *Science* **265**, 2085-2088
689   (1994).

690
691   40.   Perou CM, Børresen-Dale AL. Systems biology and genomics of breast cancer. *Cold Spring
692   Harb Perspect Biol* **3**, (2011).

693
694   41.   Cerami E, *et al.* The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring
695   multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov* **2**, 401-404 (2012).

696
697   42.   Gao J, *et al.* Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the
698   cBioPortal. *Sci Signal* **6**, pl1 (2013).

699
700   43.   de Bruijn I, *et al.* Analysis and Visualization of Longitudinal Genomic and Clinical Data from
701   the AACR Project GENIE Biopharma Collaborative in cBioPortal. *Cancer Res*, (2023).

703   44.   Ruffin AT*, et al.* B cell signatures and tertiary lymphoid structures contribute to outcome in
704   head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3349 (2021).

705
706   45.   Hao Y*, et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587.e3529
707   (2021).

708
709   46.   Arad G, Geiger T. Functional Impact of Protein-RNA Variation in Clinical Cancer Analyses. *Mol*
710   *Cell Proteomics* **22**, 100587 (2023).

711
712   47.   Ben-Chetrit N*, et al.* Integration of whole transcriptome spatial profiling with protein
713   markers. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**, 788-793 (2023).

714
715   48.   Chen G*, et al.* Discordant protein and mRNA expression in lung adenocarcinomas. *Mol Cell*
716   *Proteomics* **1**, 304-313 (2002).

717
718   49.   Michlewski G, Cáceres JF. Post-transcriptional control of miRNA biogenesis. *RNA* **25**, 1-16
719   (2019).

720
721   50.   Landgraf P*, et al.* A mammalian microRNA expression atlas based on small RNA library
722   sequencing. *Cell* **129**, 1401-1414 (2007).

723
724   51.   Robichaud N, Sonenberg N. Translational control and the cancer cell response to stress. *Curr*
725   *Opin Cell Biol* **45**, 102-109 (2017).

726
727   52.   Bhat M, Robichaud N, Hulea L, Sonenberg N, Pelletier J, Topisirovic I. Targeting the
728   translation machinery in cancer. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **14**, 261-278 (2015).

729
730   53.   Chen X, Song E. The theory of tumor ecosystem. *Cancer Commun (Lond)* **42**, 587-608 (2022).

731
732   54.   de Visser KE, Joyce JA. The evolving tumor microenvironment: From cancer initiation to
733   metastatic outgrowth. *Cancer Cell* **41**, 374-403 (2023).

734
735   55.   Eisenberg E, Levanon EY. Human housekeeping genes, revisited. *Trends Genet* **29**, 569-574
736   (2013).

737
738   56.   Kinkhabwala A*, et al. Scientific Reports* **12**, 1-16 (2022).

739
740   57.   Schäfer D*, et al.* Identification of CD318, TSPAN8 and CD66c as target candidates for CAR T
741   cell based immunotherapy of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1-18
742   (2021).

743
744   58.   Guilliams M*, et al.* Spatial proteogenomics reveals distinct and evolutionarily conserved
745   hepatic macrophage niches. *Cell* **185**, 379-396.e338 (2022).

59. Bankhead P*, et al.* QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. *Sci Rep*
7, 16878 (2017).

60. Lowe DG. Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints. *International Journal of*
Computer Vision **60**, 91-110 (2004).

61. Korsunsky I*, et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony.
Nat Methods **16**, 1289-1296 (2019).

62. Tran HTN*, et al.* A benchmark of batch-effect correction methods for single-cell RNA
sequencing data. *Genome Biol* **21**, 12 (2020).

63. Aran D*, et al.* Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional
profibrotic macrophage. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 163-172 (2019).

64. Sjöstedt E*, et al.* An atlas of the protein-coding genes in the human, pig, and mouse brain.
Science **367**, (2020).

65. Massoni-Badosa R*, et al.* An Atlas of Cells in the Human Tonsil. *bioRxiv*,
2022.2006.2024.497299 (2022).

66. Ghandi M*, et al.* Next-generation characterization of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia.
Nature **569**, 503-508 (2019).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Schematic workflow and performance of RNAsky.
a Overview of MACSima Imaging Cyclic Staining (MICS), an automated, multiomic approach to integrate spatial protein and RNA analysis on a single tissue section. **b** Representative correlation of RNAsky counts between independent runs (serial section replicates) of a representative normal tonsil tissue. Rep. 1 vs Rep. 2 Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) = 0.9522 (95% confidence intervals = 0.8865 to 0.9803); P value (two-tailed) <0.0001. Remaining correlations in Supplementary Fig. 1. **c** Correlation of RNAsky counts obtained in two different normal tonsil blocks (n=3 for each block). PCC = 0.9370 (95% confidence intervals = 0.8486 to 0.9745); P value (two-tailed) <0.0001. **d** Correlation of a normal tonsillar bulk RNA-Seq dataset (Human Protein Atlas) and RNAsky counts of a representative normal tonsil. PCC = 0.9329 (95% confidence intervals = 0.8392 to 0.9728); P value (two-tailed) <0.0001. **e** Correlation of a normal young adult tonsillar scRNA-Seq dataset and RNAsky counts of a representative normal tonsil. PCC = 0.8953 (95% confidence intervals = 0.7558 to 0.9571); P value (two-tailed) <0.0001. **f** False discovery rate (FDR) of RNAsky in all tissue (BC – breast cancer, NKSCC – non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma, CRC – colorectal cancer, Met. LN – metastatic lymph node) analyzed. Data represent mean ± SEM (n=3 for tonsil, BC, NKSCC, Met. LN; n=2 for CRC). **g** Average transcripts per cell in all tissues analyzed. Data represents mean ± SEM (n=3 for tonsil, BC, NKSCC, met. LN; n=2 for CRC; n = 20 for all tissues). **h** Median *in situ* sensitivity of RNAsky in three normal tonsils relative to a normal young adult tonsillar scRNA-Seq dataset. The line at 100% indicates the ratio where the sensitivity of scRNA-Seq and RNAsky are equal. **i** Subcellular resolution of RNAsky transcript detection in a representative NKSCC ROI. Colored dots indicate the genes in extended I-O panel and grey lines indicate cell segmentation. Image (scale bar = 200 µm; left) and close-up region (scale bar = 20 µm; right).

Fig. 2. Spatial RNA distribution in normal human tonsil.
RNAsky gene expression exhibits histological structure of the tonsil. Numbered boxes on top left image correspond to the three zoomed in images (top right and bottom row). Colored dots indicate location of detected transcripts and grey outlines show cellular segmentation. Scale bar = 200 µm; magnified region scale bar = 50 µm.

Fig. 3. Multiomic analyses by combining spatial information of RNA and protein expression in human tonsil.
a Display of RNA transcripts with respective proteins on the same section of a human tonsil. Box on left image is location of zoomed in image on right. Colors of protein immunostaining and detected RNAs (dots) indicated. DAPI counterstaining shown in blue. **b** Cell type annotation based on known gene relationships using stringent RNA gating strategy in human tonsil section. Cell types for all analysis strategies (b, c, d) shown below images. **c** Agglomerative hierarchical clustering using protein immunostaining intensity in human tonsil section. **d** Agglomerative hierarchical clustering using protein immunostaining intensity and RNA counts in human tonsil section. **e** Heatmap of selected protein and RNA intensities in spatially-grouped, protein-based cell type clusters. The y-axis contains spatially-group clusters, thus there can be more than one cluster of the same cell type, with number of cells in each cluster. **f** Intensity-based transcript detection of IG genes, in a manner similar to antibody-stained tissues, allows for the identification of plasma cells expressing either *IGHG1* mRNA (red arrows), *IGKC* mRNA (green arrows), or both (yellow arrows). Scale bar = 200 µm; close-up region scale bar = 50 µm.

Fig. 4. Spatial RNA distribution in multiple different cancer types.
RNAsky on a representative **a** breast cancer **b** non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma and **c** colorectal cancer. Box on left image is location of zoomed in image on right. Colored dots indicate location of selected transcripts (legend below each image) and grey outlines show cellular segmentation. Scale bar = 200 µm; close-up region scale bar = 50 µm.

Fig. 5. Multiomic analyses across cancer samples.

a Clustered heat map of normalized gene expression of all genes in the extended I-O panel across all tissue types (BC – breast cancer, NKSCC – non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma, CRC – colorectal cancer, Met. LN – metastatic lymph node). **b** UMAP plots of all tissue types analyzed. Annotated cell populations labeled in bottom image. **c** Cross sample quantification of annotated cell populations. Data represent mean ± SEM (n=3 for BC, NKSCC, Met. LN; n=2 for tonsil, CRC). **d** Spatial reconstruction of identified cell populations based on the locations of transcription expression. **e** RNAsky transcript detection and immunostaining exhibit distinct features in colorectal cancer. Colors of detected proteins and RNAs (dots) indicated in legend below image. **f** Agglomerative hierarchical clustering using protein immunostaining intensity in colorectal cancer. Clusters and associated coloring in legend below image. **g** H&E performed on the same tissue section after combined MICS run is registered and combined with DAPI counterstaining (grayscale), then overlaid with RNAsky transcripts and protein immunostaining. Colors of detected proteins and RNAs (dots) indicated in legend below image. Scale bar = 200 µm.

Figure 1

T cells: *CD3D, CD8A* Macrophages: *CD68* Proliferation: *MKI67, PCNA*
B cells: *MS4A1, BCL6* Plasma cells: *IGHG1* Epithelial: *EGFR, EPCAM, ERBB2*

Figure 2

a

RNA:
CD3D
MKI67

Protein:
CD3
Ki67
CD45RA

DAPI

b RNA Gating Analysis

c Protein Clustering Analysis

d Multiomic Clustering Analysis

B cells   CD3+ T cells   CD8+ T cells   Plasma cells   Germinal B cells
Cycling germinal B cells   Epithelium   Macrophages   Unknown

e

f

RNA: *IGHG1* *IGKC*   Protein: Ki67 HLA-DR   DAPI

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5