Pervasive relaxed selection on spermatogenesis genes coincident with the evolution of polygyny in gorillas

Jacob Bowman[1,*], Neide Silva[2,3,*], Erik Schüftan[4,7], Joana M. Almeida[2], Rion Brattig-Correia[3], Raquel A. Oliveira[3,5], Frank Tüttelmann[4], David Enard[6,**], Paulo Navarro-Costa[2,3,**], and Vincent J. Lynch[1,**]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, University at Buffalo, SUNY, 551 Cooke Hall, Buffalo, NY, USA.

[2] EvoReproMed Lab. Environmental Health Institute (ISAMB), Associate Laboratory TERRA, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Portugal

[3] Gulbenkian Science Institute, Oeiras, Portugal

[4] Institute of Reproductive Genetics, Centre of Medical Genetics, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

[5] Católica Biomedical Research Centre, Católica Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal

[6] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

[7] Present address: Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany

* and ** Equal contribution

*Correspondence: vjlynch@buffalo.edu, navarro-costa@medicina.ulisboa.pt, denard@arizona.edu

Abstract

Gorillas have a polygynous social system in which the highest-ranking male has almost exclusive access to females and sires most of the offspring in the troop. Such behavior results in a dramatic reduction of sperm competition, which is ultimately associated with numerous traits that cause low efficacy of gorilla spermatogenesis. However, the molecular basis behind the remarkable erosion of the gorilla male reproductive system remains unknown. Here, we explored the genetic implications of the polygynous social system in gorillas by testing for altered selection intensity across 13,310 orthologous protein-coding genes from 261 Eutherian mammals. We identified 578 genes with relaxed purifying selection in the gorilla lineage, compared with only 96 that were positively selected. Genes under relaxed purifying selection in gorillas have accumulated numerous deleterious amino acid substitutions, their expression is biased towards male germ cells and are enriched in functions related to meiosis and sperm biology. We tested the role of gorilla relaxed genes previously not implicated in male reproductive function using the *Drosophila* model system and identified 41 novel spermatogenesis genes required for normal fertility. Furthermore, by exploring exome/genome sequencing data of infertile men with severe spermatogenic impairment, we found that the human orthologs of the gorilla relaxed genes are enriched for loss-of-function variants in infertile men. These data provide compelling evidence that reduced sperm competition in gorillas is associated with relaxed purifying selection on genes related to male reproductive function. The accumulation of deleterious mutations in these genes likely provides the mechanistic basis behind the low efficacy of gorilla spermatogenesis and uncovers new candidate genes for human male infertility.

Introduction

Sexual selection is a mechanism of evolutionary change based on direct interactions within and between males and females for access to reproductive opportunities. Darwin first proposed this mechanism to explain paradoxical traits that

Natural Selection seemed unable to account for because of their obvious fitness costs.

Sexual selection can take many forms and is associated with diverse behavioral and

morphological characteristics. Among the most common is male-male competition, in

which males directly compete for access to females. This intrasexual selection is

associated with the evolution of traits that facilitate competition, such as antler in

Cervidae (deer) and horn in Artiodactyla (even-toed ungulates). Likewise, female choice,

in which females actively select mates, also drives the evolution of male traits such as

elaborate behaviors and ornaments, including gaudy feather colors, sizes, and shapes,

as observed in peacocks and birds-of-paradise. Conversely, cryptic female choice, in

which females exert physical or chemical control over fertilization, implantation, or

otherwise reduce the rate at which offspring are produced (Eberhard, 1996; Firman et al.,

2017; Parker, 1970), is associated with the evolution of female traits such as anatomical

features that allow female control over cellular processes occurring in the reproductive

tract (Brennan et al., 2007), and the Bruce effect, in which females terminate pregnancy

when exposed to an unrelated male (Bruce, 1959).

In polygamous (multimale–multifemale) species, multiple males frequently mate

with a single female in a relatively short time, leading to competition not only for the

opportunity to mate but for sperm to fertilize the egg ("sperm competition") and for

females to select the best sperm from among the males she has mated with ("cryptic

female choice"). In these mating systems, sperm from different males compete within the

female reproductive tract (Dixson, 2012; Short, 1979). This can affect the behavior,

morphology, and functional anatomy of male and female reproductive systems, among

other functions. In primates with high sperm competition, for example, sexual selection

has led to the evolution of larger testis relative to body weight (Harcourt, 1997, 1995;

Harcourt et al., 1981), high sperm production rates, large sperm reserves, and large

numbers of sperm per ejaculate (Møller, 1998). In addition, the *vas deferens* are shorter

and have a thicker muscular structure in males from species with polygamous mating

systems than in males from single-partner mating systems, presumably to facilitate

ejaculation (Anderson et al., 2004). Male gametes in polygamous mating systems also

tend to have a larger sperm midpiece volume (Anderson and Dixson, 2002) and higher

swimming speed than those found in single-partner mating systems (Gómez Montoto et

al., 2011). Female-female competition for mating opportunities can also alter the intensity

of male-male competition, selecting longer sperm and less variable sperm traits (Lipshutz

et al., 2023).

These and other data indicate that the action of sexual selection on the structure

and function of the male reproductive system, semen, and sperm traits is particularly

intense in polygamous primate species. In contrast, some species have reproductive

systems in which nearly all male-male competition occurs before mating, and there is

little to no sperm competition. Most gorillas, for example, live in groups with age-graded

dominance structures where the oldest, highest-ranking (alpha) silverback male is

dominant or in groups with multiple females and a single resident adult male. In both

systems, the dominant or sole adult male has nearly exclusive mating access to in-group

females (effective polygyny) and sires the majority, but not necessarily all, offspring

(Bradley et al., 2005; Inoue et al., 2013; Nsubuga et al., 2008). Thus, in most gorillas,

competition between males for reproductive success occurs before copulation, through

social and group dynamics, rather than between sperm from different males within the

female reproductive tract.

Consistent with this pattern of male-male competition, sexual selection in gorillas

has led to the evolution of very large bodies and behaviors to protect their reproductive

access rather than post-copulatory traits that facilitate sperm competition. Indeed, the

dramatic reduction in sperm competition is associated with the evolution of many derived

traits in the gorilla male reproductive system (**Box 1**), including relatively small testicles

with few spermatogenic cells (Harcourt et al., 1981; Hill and Harrison-Matthews, 1949),

low sperm counts (Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011; Gould, 1990; Martinez and Garcia, 2020),

and a large proportion of morphologically abnormal (Martinez and Garcia, 2020; Platz et

al., 1980; Seuanez et al., 1977) and immotile sperm (Martinez and Garcia, 2020). Along

with these phenotypic changes, gene expression patterns have diverged in the gorilla

testis (Yapar et al., 2021), and several genes with male reproductive functions have

become nonfunctional (pseudogenes). Examples of these include the testis-specific

histone H3 (*H3t*), which plays a role in orchestrating the histone-protamine transition,

several genes involved in semen coagulation and liquefaction, including *TGM4*, *KLK2*,

SEMG1, and *SEMG2* (Carnahan and Jensen-Seaman, 2008; Clark and Swanson, 2005;

Jensen-Seaman and Li, 2003), and *TEX14* which encodes an intercellular bridge protein

essential for spermatogenesis (Greenbaum et al., 2006; Krausz et al., 2020; Scally et al.,
2012).

These data illustrate the pervasive impact sexual selection can have on
morphology, physiology, behavior, genetics, and the genome. However, the true extent
of the latter remains largely unknown. Here, we used a suite of evolutionary methods to
characterize the strength and direction of selection acting on 13,310 protein-coding
genes across 261 mammals, explicitly testing for genes that experienced adaptive
evolution and relaxed purifying selection in the gorilla lineage. We identified only 96 genes
that experienced an episode of positive selection but 578 that evolved under relaxed
selection intensity in gorillas. These 578 genes have accumulated putatively deleterious
amino acid substitutions, are preferentially expressed during male germ cell
development, and are enriched in functions related to testis and sperm development.
Taking advantage of the conservation of the genetic program responsible for male germ
cell development across metazoans (Brattig-Correia et al., 2024), we functionally
characterize the reproductive functions of genes with relaxed selection in gorillas using a
high throughput *Drosophila* screen and identify 41 new spermatogenesis genes. Finally,
we show that the human orthologs of gorilla relaxed genes are enriched for loss-of-
function variants in men with severe spermatogenic impairment. These data illustrate the
complex relationship between life history traits, such as mating system type and form of
sexual selection, and the evolution of reproduction-related genes. Furthermore, the deep
conservation of genes with spermatogenic functions across species suggests that genes
under relaxed selection intensity in gorillas can reveal unknown aspects of male germ cell
development in general and male infertility in humans.

Results

Assembling alignments of orthologous coding genes from 261 Eutherians

We used a reciprocal best BLAT hit (RBBH) approach to assemble a dataset of
orthologous coding gene alignments from the genomes of 261 Eutherian ("Placental")
mammals (**Figure 1A**). We began by using RBBH with query sequences from human
CDSs in the Ensembl v99 human coding sequence dataset and BLAT searching the

genomes of 260 other Eutherians, followed by searching the top hit to the human query

back to the human genome; we used BLAT matching all possible reading frames, with a

minimum identity set at 30% and the "fine" option activated (Kent, 2002), and excluded

genes with fewer than 251 best reciprocal hits out of the 261 (human+other mammals)

species included in the analysis. Orthologous genes were aligned with Macse v2 (Ranwez

et al., 2018), a codon-aware multiple sequence aligner that identifies frameshifts and

readjusts reading frames accordingly. Alignments generated by Macse v2 were edited by

HMMcleaner with default parameters (Di Franco et al., 2019) to remove species-specific

substitutions that are likely genome sequencing errors and "false exons" that might have

been introduced during the Blat search. Finally, we excluded incomplete codons and the

flanks of indels, which usually have more misaligned substitutions. We thus generated a

dataset of 13,491 orthologous coding gene alignments from the genomes of 261

Eutherian mammals, corresponding to 62.7% of all protein-coding genes in the gorilla

genome. Of the 13,491 alignments, 13,310 had an identifiable HUGO gene symbol and

were used in all subsequent analyses.

Few genes experienced episodic positive selection in gorillas

We first used ABSREL to identify genes with evidence of positive selection in the

gorilla lineage (**Figure 1B**). The ABSREL model is a variant of the branch-sites random

effect likelihood (BSREL) model of coding sequence evolution (Kosakovsky Pond et al.,

2011; Smith et al., 2015), which allows for variation in d_N/d_S (ω) rates across lineages and

sites, selects the optimal number of rate categories for each gene, and accommodates

synonymous rate variation across sites [S] (Pond and Muse, 2005; Wisotsky et al., 2020),

multi-nucleotide mutations per codon [MH] (Lucaci et al., 2021), and both synonymous

rate variation and multi-nucleotide mutations [SMH]. Positive selection is inferred for a

gene when the c-AIC selected best-fitting model includes a class of sites with $\omega>1$ and

a likelihood ratio test $P\leq0.05$ compared to a null model that does not allow for $\omega>1$ (**Figure**

1B). The base ABSREL model identified 240 genes with a class of sites with $\omega>1$ in the

gorilla lineage. However, after selecting the best [S], [MH], and [SMH] model, only 96

genes were identified with a class of sites with $\omega>1$ at $P\leq0.05$ (**Figure 1C**). Of these 96

genes, 26 were positively selected only in the gorilla lineage (**Figure 1D**). Gene ontology

enrichment analysis did not identify any statistically significant over-representation of GO

terms or pathways (FDR q-value≤1), which likely reflects low statistical power associated

with a small gene set.

Hundreds of genes experienced relaxed selection intensity in gorillas

We used RELAX to identify genes with evidence of relaxed selection intensity in

the gorilla lineage (**Figure 1E**). The RELAX model is also a variant of the BSREL model of

coding sequence evolution, which includes a selection intensity parameter (K) that scales

the distribution of d_N/d_S rate categories (ω_1^k, ω_2^k, ω_3^k). When selection is relaxed, d_N/d_S

rates move toward one and/or the proportion of sites increases in rate classes with d_N/d_S

values close to 1 (Wertheim et al., 2015). Relaxed selection intensity is inferred for a gene

when it includes a class of sites with K<1 in the foreground lineage compared to

background branches with a likelihood ratio test $P \leq 0.05$ (**Figure 1E**). In contrast,

increased selection intensity is inferred for a gene when it includes a class of sites with

K>1 at $P \leq 0.05$. We thus identified 578 genes with relaxed selection intensity (K<1) and

144 genes with increased selection intensity (K>1) in the gorilla lineage (henceforth

referred to as K<1 and K>1 genes, respectively, **Figure 1F**). K≠1 genes had slightly longer

coding sequence lengths, transcript lengths, and genome spans but similar GC content

as the background gene set; this indicates that the RELAX test likely has more statistical

power to detect deviation from K≈1 in longer genes (**Figure 1 – figure supplement 1**).

The 4-fold disparity between K<1 and K>1 genes is statistically significant (Yates'

corrected Chi-square $P<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), indicating more genes have experienced an episode

of relaxed than intensified selection in the gorilla lineage.

To infer whether the altered selection intensity on these 722 genes is unique to

gorillas or also occurs in other mammalian lineages, we ran a version of RELAX (RELAX-

Scan) that iteratively tests for K≠1 on each branch compared to all other branches

(Kosakovsky Pond, 2021). Of the 578 genes with gorilla K<1, 32.7% (189/578) was K<1

in at least one other lineage but never K>1, 50% (289/578) were K<1 and K>1 in at least
two other lineages, and 13.3% (77/578) were K>1 in at least one other lineage but only
K<1 in gorilla; the remaining 23 genes failed to converge on parameter estimates in the
RELAX-Scan model. Similarly, of the 144 gorilla K>1 genes, 59% (85/144) were K<1 and
K>1 in at least one other lineage, 25% (36/144) were K<1 in at least one other lineage but
were only K>1 in gorilla, and 16% (23/144) were K>1 in at least one other lineage but
never were K<1 (**Figure 1G**). Thus, we conclude that while the vast majority of protein-
coding genes evolve under similar selection intensity in gorillas and other Eutherian
mammals (94.6%), a small percentage of genes in gorillas experienced either an episode
of relaxed (4.3%) or intensified (1.1%) selection.

Gorilla K<1 genes harbor deleterious amino acid substitutions

To explore the putative functional consequences of amino acid changes in genes

under relaxed purifying selection in the gorilla lineage, we reconstructed the ancestral

Homininae sequence for each gorilla K≠1 gene with IQTREE2 (Minh et al., 2020) and used

these ancestral sequences to identify 20,230 amino acid changes in the gorilla lineage

(**Figure 1A**). We then used a fixed effect likelihood (FEL) model to estimate the strength

and direction of selection (Kosakovsky Pond and Frost, 2005) acting on each codon site

(across mammals) in gorilla K≠1 genes. In the FEL model, codons with $d_N/d_S<1$ evolve

under selective constraints (i.e., selection against amino acid changes). Thus,

substitutions at these sites are likely deleterious. Concurrently, codons with $d_N/d_S>1$

evolve under diversifying selection (i.e., selection favors amino acid changes), and

codons with $d_N/d_S≈1$ evolve under very weak or absent selective constraints. We found

that 75% (2,756/3,695) of gorilla-specific amino acid changes in gorilla K<1 genes

occurred at sites with $d_N/d_S<1$, whereas only 5% (187/3,695) occurred at sites with d_N/d_S

> 1, and 20% (752/3,695) occurred at sites with $d_N/d_S≈1$ (**Figure 2A**). Similarly, 53%

(112/210) of gorilla-specific amino acid changes in genes with K>1 occurred at sites with

$d_N/d_S<1$, only 8% (17/210) occurred at sites with $d_N/d_S>1$, and 39% (81/210) occurred at

sites with $d_N/d_S \approx 1$ (**Figure 2A**). These data indicate that most amino acid changes in

gorilla K≠1 genes, especially those under relaxed selection intensity, occur at sites that

evolve under purifying selection and are thus likely deleterious.

To explore this possibility, we first compared the physicochemical properties of

gorilla-specific substitutions in K≠1 genes and found that many have negative BLOSUM

scores, which indicates they are relatively uncommon amino acid changes and likely

function-altering (**Figure 2B**). Next, we used PolyPhen-2 (Adzhubei et al., 2013) to infer

the functional consequences of amino acid substitutions in the gorilla lineage; PolyPhen-

2 predicts the functional impact of amino acid substitutions using structural data, the

physicochemical properties of amino acids, and comparative evolutionary data, and

assigns each substitution a score in the range of 0 (benign) to 1 (probably deleterious).

Out of the 20,753 gorilla-specific amino acid changes, 20,230 had PolyPhen-2

predictions. The mean PolyPhen-2 score of K≈1 genes was 0.21 (95% CI 0.21-0.22),

while the mean score for K<1 genes was 0.29 (95% CI 0.28-0.30), and for K>1 genes was

0.28 (95% CI 0.22-0.33; **Figure 2C**). There was a statistically significant difference in the

mean score between the K≈1 and K<1 sets (Holm adjusted Welch's *t*-test $P=1.22 \times 10^{-7}$)

and the K≈1 and K>1 sets (Holm adjusted Welch's *t*-test $P=0.09$). A larger proportion of

substitutions were predicted to be "probably damaging" for the K<1 gene set (13.38%,

450/3,253) compared to K≈1 genes (10.69%, 1,791/16,758; binomial test $P<1.00 \times 10^{-6}$),

but fewer substitutions were "probably damaging" for the K>1 gene set (4.57%, 10/219)

than for the K≈1 (binomial test $P=6.10 \times 10^{-4}$; **Figure 2D**). Thus, we conclude that amino

acid substitutions in gorilla K<1 genes show an increased propensity to be deleterious (or

at least functionally altering) compared to K>1 and K≈1 genes.

Gorilla K<1 genes are enriched in sperm-related functions

We next explored the possible functional significance of altered selection intensity

on gorilla genes. We started by determining which GO biological process terms were

enriched in the K≠1 gene set compared to the 13,310 background set using the over-

representation (ORA) test implemented in WebGestalt (Liao et al., 2019). We observed

that 29% (35/119) of terms enriched among genes with K<1 were related to reproduction,

meiosis, or sperm biology (**Figure 3A**). In contrast, only 2% (1/45) of terms enriched

among genes with K>1 were related to these processes. This 14.5-fold disparity is

statistically significant (one-sided Fisher's exact test $P=4.0\times10^{-4}$), indicating a functional

bias in the type of genes that experience relaxed selection intensity in the gorilla lineage.

Among the GO terms related to spermatogenesis for which the K<1 genes are enriched

were "male gamete generation" (hypergeometric $P=1.0\times10^{-3}$), "spermatogenesis"

($P=2.0\times10^{-3}$), "synaptonemal complex assembly" ($P=3.0\times10^{-3}$), "spermatid development"

($P=3.0\times10^{-3}$), "meiotic chromosome condensation" ($P=0.012$), and "flagellated sperm

motility" ($P=0.026$), as well as "female meiosis chromosome segregation" ($P=1.4\times10^{-3}$).

The latter may reflect the involvement of some K<1 genes in the core meiotic program

common to both sexes (Villeneuve and Hillers, 2001). Genes with K<1 were also enriched

in numerous GO cellular component terms related to the cytoskeleton, cilia,

centrosomes, septin ring complexes, and the cytoskeleton (**Figure 3B**). In contrast, K>1

genes were not enriched in cellular component terms related to these structures.

To further characterize the association of gorilla K≠1 genes with male reproductive

functions, we analyzed their expression pattern across different cell types and tissues.

Since comprehensive gene expression data are not available for most gorilla organ

systems, we took advantage of the fact that humans and gorillas diverged recently (Scally

et al., 2012) to use human transcriptomic datasets as a proxy for the expression pattern

of their gorilla orthologs. Specifically, we used WebCSEA (Dai et al., 2022) to test for

enriched expression of the human orthologs of the K≠1 genes across a panel of 111

single-cell RNA-seq datasets from 61 different somatic tissues representative of various

human organ systems except testis, which is not included in the WebCSEA dataset. While

the expression of K≠1 genes was enriched in numerous tissues, the most notable case

was the prostate, where the expression of the K<1, but not of the K>1 genes, was

significantly enriched, particularly in the prostate parenchyma cells (**Figure 3C**). Given the

high enrichment scores observed in the seminal fluid-producing epithelial cells of the

prostate, it is likely that the enriched expression of K<1 genes in this organ has a

functional impact on the level of male reproductive fitness. Next, we used a published

gorilla testis single nucleus RNA-Seq dataset (Murat et al., 2023) to explore the

expression pattern of K≠1 genes. We observed that the expression of K<1 genes was

enriched both in meiotic (leptotene, zygotene, and pachytene spermatocytes) and post-

meiotic (early and late round spermatids) cells at FDR q-values≤0.10 (**Figure 3D**). This

observation is particularly noteworthy as, in the mammalian germ line, the expression of

spermatogenesis genes is activated from meiosis onwards (Alavattam et al., 2019;

Maezawa et al., 2020).

Finally, we tested if proteins encoded by gorilla K≠1 genes were enriched in

proteome datasets generated from seminal plasma (Wu et al., 2019), whole sperm cells

(Wang et al., 2016), sperm tails (Amaral et al., 2013), sperm nuclei (de Mateo et al., 2011),

the soluble and insoluble fractions of sperm chromatin (Castillo et al., 2014), sperm

perinuclear theca (Zhang et al., 2022), sperm acrosomal matrix (Guyonnet et al., 2012),

sperm centrioles (Firat-Karalar et al., 2014), and accessory structures of the sperm

flagellum including the fibrous sheath, outer dense fibers, and mitochondrial sheath (Cao

et al., 2006). We found that proteins encoded by K<1 genes were significantly enriched

in sperm cells, either in whole cells or in several of its subcellular structures (**Figure 3E**).

The highest enrichment was found in the annulus, an essential element for the correct

function and organization of the sperm tail (Lehti and Sironen, 2017). The annulus is

supported by a core complex of septin ring units (Kuo et al., 2015), one of the enriched

terms found in the GO analysis of the K<1 genes (**Figure 3A-B**). Consistent with our

observation that the expression of K<1 genes was enriched in the prostate, we also

detected an overrepresentation of proteins encoded by the K<1 genes in the seminal

plasma (FDR q-value≤0.10). In contrast, proteins encoded by the K>1 genes were

significantly enriched only in whole sperm, the perinuclear theca, and the sperm tail (FDR

q-value≤0.10 for all cases; **Figure 3E**). These data indicate that genes with relaxed

selection intensity in the gorilla lineage are preferentially involved in male reproductive

functions, tend to be expressed at the meiotic and post-meiotic germ cell stages, and

are particularly enriched in multiple components of mature sperm and the seminal

plasma.

Gorilla K<1 genes are associated with male reproductive impairment in mice

We next tested if gorilla K<1 genes harboring putatively deleterious amino acid

substitutions have been previously associated with male reproductive phenotypes. As

we observed for all K<1 genes, the subset defined only by those with "probably

damaging" substitutions was enriched in sperm-related GO terms (**Figure 4A**). Gorilla

K<1 genes with "probably damaging" substitutions were enriched in many mouse

knockout phenotypes related to sperm biology annotated in the Mouse Genome

Database (Blake et al., 2021). Multiple phenotypes associated with sperm motility were

significantly enriched, as were infertility-associated defects such as asthenozoospermia,

teratozoospermia, and morphological abnormalities of the sperm tail (**Figure 4B**). The

expression of gorilla K<1 genes with "probably damaging" substitutions was particularly

enriched in meiotic cells (**Figure 4C**), and their proteins were over-represented (FDR q-

values≤0.10) in male gametes (**Figure 4D**). Curiously, this subset of K<1 genes was also

enriched in mouse knockout phenotypes related to the assembly and function of cell

protrusions across different developmental contexts, such as defects in hair cells and

enterocytes, which are characterized by extensive apical cell surface specializations.

These data highlight the functional significance of gorilla K<1 genes for male reproductive

function and suggest that deleterious mutations in these genes may cause at least some

of the adverse sperm traits in gorillas.

Gorilla K<1 genes have evolutionarily conserved functions in spermatogenesis

Our observation that gorilla K<1 genes are enriched for sperm- and testis-related

functions suggests that they may contain other, yet uncharacterized, spermatogenesis

genes that can broaden our understanding of the genetic basis of male germ cell
development. Unfortunately, functionally validating this hypothesis is obviously not
possible using traditional forward and reverse genetic approaches in gorillas, and the
generation and functional characterization of large numbers of mouse knockouts is not
feasible. Therefore, we harnessed the substantial evolutionary conservation of the
genetic program of spermatogenesis (Brattig-Correia et al., 2024; Murat et al., 2023;
Shami et al., 2020) and used a high throughput genetic screen in *Drosophila*
melanogaster to determine the reproductive functions of the fruit fly orthologs of gorilla
K<1 genes with still unknown roles in spermatogenesis.

We first identified which gorilla K<1 genes had previously been associated with
male fertility/spermatogenesis in humans, mice, or fruit flies. By using data from a
systematic review of validated monogenic causes of human male infertility (Houston et
al., 2021) and from the MGD (Blake et al., 2021) and Flybase (Larkin et al., 2021)
repositories, we identified 75 gorilla K<1 genes that were previously associated with male
reproductive impairment (**Supplementary dataset 1**). Given the widespread
transcriptional activity of male germ cells (Brattig-Correia et al., 2024) and its expected
contribution to increased genetic redundancy in the spermatogenic program, we
employed a functionality-oriented approach to associate a gene with male reproduction.
More specifically, all associations required phenotypical evidence linking the gene to
abnormal male reproductive capacity and/or some degree of spermatogenic impairment.
We acknowledge that the selected species represent a very small subset of the diversity
found in metazoan lineages. However, they are also some of the most extensively studied
in the context of reproductive genetics. Hence, they likely contain a sizable fraction of all
known genotype-phenotype correlations in male reproduction.

To determine if the remaining 503 genes had conserved functions in
gametogenesis, we used a cross-species comparative transcriptomics platform (Brattig-
Correia et al., 2024) to identify which of the gorilla K<1 genes had an ortholog expressed
in the *Drosophila* testis. This led to the identification of 144 gorilla K<1 genes without any
previous association with male reproductive function in any species, that were also
expressed in the *Drosophila* testis (**Supplementary dataset 1**). We then used *Drosophila*
in vivo RNAi to silence each gene specifically from the onset of meiotic entry onwards
and measured the functional consequences of this silencing for male fertility. Specifically,

we used the GAL4/UAS system, under the control of the *bam*-GAL4 driver (White-

Cooper, 2012), to ensure the germ cell-specific silencing of each gene in a developmental

time frame equivalent to that observed in gorilla spermatogenesis (i.e., expression

enrichment during the meiotic and post-meiotic stages). Of 144 tested genes

(corresponding to 156 *Drosophila* orthologs), we identified 41 (43 *Drosophila* orthologs)

that were associated with significantly decreased male reproductive fitness upon mating

gene-silenced males with wildtype females (average egg hatching rates below the cut-

off of 75%: >2 standard deviations of the mean observed in negative controls:

94.2±4.8%; **Figure 5A**). The frequency of K<1 genes associated with decreased male

fitness in this screen (27.6%) was 2.9-fold higher than the association with male fertility

and/or spermatogenesis observed in a random selection of 156 *Drosophila* genes (9.6%),

and 2.4-fold higher to a similarly random set of testis-expressed Drosophila genes

(11.5%), both according to Flybase gene annotation data (see **Methods**). We thus

conclude that gorilla K<1 genes are significantly enriched in male reproductive functions

in *Drosophila* (Chi-square *P*<3.6×10^{-4}).

Next, we used phase-contrast microscopy to identify the earliest developmental

stage affected by the silencing of the 41 newly identified spermatogenesis genes (either

pre-meiotic, meiotic, post-meiotic, or cytologically undetectable; **Figure 5B**). By merging

this information with published data on the human, mouse, and fruit fly orthologs of the

75 previously described spermatogenesis genes, we observed that 52.6% (61/116) of

gorilla K<1 genes with validated functions in spermatogenesis were required for post-

meiotic development, i.e., spermatid development or mature gamete function (**Figure**

5C). These observations suggest that deleterious mutations in these 116 K<1 genes may

be an important factor for the decreased number of post-meiotic cells in the gorilla testis

(Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011) and for the high proportion of abnormal sperm cells in this

species (Martinez and Garcia, 2020; Platz et al., 1980; Seuanez et al., 1977). Furthermore,

they identify 41 novel evolutionarily conserved spermatogenesis genes that expand our

understanding of the male germ line genetic program.

Gorilla K<1 genes are associated with human male infertility

Our observations that gorilla K<1 genes are enriched in male reproductive

functions, particularly related to sperm biology, suggest that they may also be involved

in human male infertility. To explore this possibility, we performed a literature review and

identified 24 gorilla K<1 genes (**Table 1**) that are associated with a broad spectrum of

human phenotypes, such as sperm head defects, oligoasthenoteratozoospermia, and

azoospermia, among others (Kosova et al., 2012, 2014; Houston et al., 2021; Wang et al.,

2023; Dacheux et al., 2023). Next, we used Fisher's exact test (FET) to determine if human

orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes (*n*=568) were enriched with predicted loss-of-function

(LoF) variants in the Male Reproductive Genomics (MERGE) cohort (Wyrwoll et al., 2020),

which includes 2,100 infertile men with azoospermia (no sperm in the ejaculate, 69%),

cryptozoospermia (very few sperm in the ejaculate only identified after centrifugation,

22%), or severe oligozoospermia (<5 million/mL sperm concentration, 9%), compared to

gnomAD (Karczewski et al., 2020) as proxy for a cohort of fertile men. The average LoF

enrichment *P*-value for K<1 genes was significantly lower than 568 randomly selected

K≈1 genes (FET *P*=0.58 vs. *P*=0.67; Mann-Whitney *U* test *P*=9.98×10^{-5}; **Figure 6A**). In

contrast, the mean enrichment *P*-value for non-LoF missense variants between K<1 and

the 568 randomly selected K≈1 genes was the same (FET *P*=0.58; **Figure 6A**), indicating

that the burden of predicted LoF variants in infertile men is greater in K<1 than K≈1 genes.

Indeed, the QQ-plot of expected *vs.* observed *P*-values from the burden test suggests

K<1 genes are enriched in low *P*-values compared to the 568 randomly selected K≈1

genes (**Figure 6B**), and that there is no evidence of systematic biases (such as population

structure, errors in analytical approach, genotyping artifacts, etc.) in the MERGE cohort

leading to erroneously inflated *P*-values.

In total, 109 K<1 genes were significantly enriched for LoF variants (FET *P*<0.05),

indicating these genes are likely associated with human male infertility. In contrast, 84 of

the 568 randomly selected K≈1 genes had a significant enrichment of LoF variants; this

1.3-fold disparity in the burden of LoF mutations is statistically significant (Chi-square

P=0.048). Conversely, the number of genes with no enrichment of predicted LoF variants

(FET *P*>0.95) was 267 for K<1 genes and 334 for K≈1 genes; this 1.25-fold disparity is

also statistically significant (Chi-square *P*=6.8×10^{-5}). Of note, while the number of genes

significantly enriched in non-LoF missense variants was higher for K<1 (84) than K≈1 (68)

genes, the difference was not statistically significant (Chi-square P=0.16; **Figure 6A**).

These data indicate that K<1 genes harbor more LoF variants, but not missense variants,

than K≈1 genes in infertile men from the MERGE cohort.

To further explore if the results of the burden tests might be related to population-

specific differences in the frequency of deleterious mutations, particularly of rare variants,

we repeated the burden test of the gorilla K<1 *vs.* K≈1 genes selecting only those

individuals from the MERGE cohort that were also scored by EthSEQ (Romanel et al.,

2017) to be a near 100% match for European ethnicity (1,344 infertile men). This

population was compared to 31,805 European individuals from gnomAD (excluding

Finnish individuals). This analysis confirmed the previously observed higher burden of

predicted LoF variants in infertile men in K<1 than K≈1 genes (FET P=0.66 vs. P=0.74;

Mann-Whitney U test P=0.0001). The mean enrichment P-value for non-LoF missense

variants between K<1 and the K≈1 genes deviated slightly between the two gene sets,

and while effect size differences were small they are statistically significant (FET P=0.83

vs. P=0.80; Mann-Whitney U test P=0.02).

To investigate the extent to which K<1 genes are constrained within humans, we

compared gnomAD gene-level constraint metrics for the human orthologs of the gorilla

K<1 and K≈1 genes, including the probability of loss-of-function intolerance (pLI), and

missense and synonymous Z-scores. pLI scores closer to one indicate increased

intolerance to LoF variants, whereas more positive and negative Z-scores indicate

increased constraint (fewer variants than expected) and relaxed constraint (more variants

than expected), respectively. We found statistically significant differences between pLI

(Welch's t-test P=0.01) and missense Z-scores (Welch's t-test P=0.02) for the human

orthologs of gorilla K<1 and K≈1 genes (**Figure 6C**). Although the effect size differences

were small, Hedge's $\hat{g}$=0.11 (95% CI 0.02-0.19) for pLI and Hedge's $\hat{g}$=0.10 (95% CI

0.02-0.19) for missense Z-scores suggest that K<1 genes are less constrained than K≈1

genes in humans. In contrast, there was no difference between synonymous Z-scores for

K<1 and K≈1 genes (Hedge's $\hat{g}$=0.03, Welch's t-test P=0.52), as expected for mutations

that are unlikely to alter the function of protein-coding genes (**Figure 6C**).

These data suggest that human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes harbor more amino

acid-changing variants than K≈1 genes. To explore this possibility further, we used a

variant of the McDonald-Krietman (MK) test (ABC-MK) that accounts for background

selection (i.e., weak selection at linked sites) to jointly estimate the strength and rate of

adaptation (α) of human genes (Murga-Moreno et al., 2023; Uricchio et al., 2019). The

latter were estimated from 661 human genomes with African ancestry at the 1000

Genomes Project (1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015). We found that while

the α of K≈1 genes was similar to previous estimates for human protein-coding genes

(Uricchio et al., 2019), the human orthologs of the gorilla K<1 genes had a significantly

lower α than K≈1 genes, suggestive of particularly weak adaptation (**Appendix Figure**

1A). These data are consistent with both recent balancing selection, which would inflate

the frequency of nonsynonymous polymorphisms (P_N) and therefore decrease α, and

recent relaxed selection, which would also inflate P_N/P_S compared to the long-term P_N/P_S

that shapes d_N/d_S, acting on the human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes (for a more detailed

discussion of these results see **Appendix**). Thus, we conclude that the human orthologs

of the gorilla K<1 genes are characterized by decreased adaptation and reduced

constraint and are enriched for LoF variants in infertile men.

Discussion

Sexual selection can profoundly affect many organismal traits, including the

evolution of extreme morphologies and behaviors such as long eyestalks in stalk-eyed

flies (Diopsidae) and elaborately choreographed mating rituals in birds-of-paradise

(Paradisaeidae). The evolution of polygyny in gorillas, a life history strategy in which a

single male has nearly exclusive mating access and sires the vast majority of offspring

(Bradley et al., 2005; Inoue et al., 2013; Nsubuga et al., 2008), occurred coincident with

the origin of large bodies and the majority of male-male competition taking place before

mating. This change in the dynamics of sexual selection is associated with a near

absence of sperm competition and dramatic anatomical changes in the male
reproductive system and sperm biology (**Box 1**), which have likely affected the genes that
underlie male reproductive traits. For example, several genes with male reproductive
functions have become nonfunctional (pseudogenes), including genes involved in the
histone-protamine transition (*H3t*), semen coagulation and liquefaction (*TGM4*, *KLK2*,
SEMG1, and *SEMG2*) (Carnahan and Jensen-Seaman, 2008; Clark and Swanson, 2005;
Jensen-Seaman and Li, 2003), and the formation of germ cell intercellular bridges (*TEX14*)
(Greenbaum et al., 2006; Krausz et al., 2020; Scally et al., 2012). Genes previously shown
to have accelerated rates of evolution in the gorilla lineage were also enriched for several
GO terms related to developmental processes, including male gonad development
(Scally et al., 2012).

These previous studies, however, focused on specific genes (Carnahan and
Jensen-Seaman, 2008; Clark and Swanson, 2005; Jensen-Seaman and Li, 2003) or used
models of molecular evolution that were not designed specifically to test for relaxed
selection intensity (Scally et al., 2012). Accelerated evolution, for example, can result from
both positive and relaxed selection. An expectation of relaxed selection intensity is a
decrease in the strength of selection acting on sites that, in other lineages, experience
both directional or diversifying selection and purifying selection (**Figure 1B/E**). We used
an unbiased, gene-wide approach to test whether the shift in male-male competition from
sperm competition to social dynamics was associated with changes in the direction and
intensity of selection acting on genes in the gorilla genome using methods specifically
designed to test for positive selection (ABSREL) and relaxed selection intensity (RELAX).
We identified 574 genes, 4.3% of all protein-coding alignments tested, that experienced
an episode of relaxed selection intensity in the gorilla lineage. Consistent with the shift in
male-male competition strategy, the expression of these genes is enriched in the testis,
particularly in male germ cells and in cells of the prostate that produce seminal plasma.

Enrichment tests depend on previously annotated gene functions and can only
identify known gene-function associations. Thus, they cannot discover new biology. To
overcome this limitation, we took advantage of the evolutionary conservation of the
spermatogenic program across metazoans to explore the reproductive functions of
gorilla K<1 genes in a high throughput genetic screen in *Drosophila melanogaster*. We
found that fly orthologs of 144 gorilla K<1 genes were expressed in *Drosophila* testis, of

which 75 had been previously functionally associated with male reproductive impairment and 41 were discovered as new male fertility genes in our screen. Approximately half of the 116 gorilla K<1 genes with functions in spermatogenesis (61/116; 52.6%) were required for post-meiotic processes such as spermatid development and mature gamete function, providing a likely functional basis for the particularly weak spermatogenic output of gorillas. We also transformed humans into a model organism to study gorilla biology by using the Male Reproductive Genomics (MERGE) cohort, which includes 2,100 infertile men with 0–5 million/mL sperm concentration (69% azoospermia, 22% cryptozoospermia, 9% severe oligozoospermia), to identify K<1 genes associated with male infertility. Consistent with a reproductive function in both humans and gorillas, we found that the human orthologs of the gorilla K<1 genes had an increased burden of loss-of-function (LoF) variants in the MERGE cohort: K<1 genes were 1.3-fold enriched for genes associated with human male infertility compared to K≈1 genes. These data suggest that (deleterious) amino acid changes in K<1 genes are similarly associated with low sperm counts in gorillas.

Despite the remarkably high prevalence of male infertility, which affects 5-7% of couples worldwide (Cox et al., 2022), only 30% of cases can be attributed to a specific genetic cause (Tüttelmann et al., 2018). Male infertility is a complex, polygenic trait with diverse genetic causes that manifest as a similar phenotype, contributing to the difficulty in determining its origins (O'Flynn O'Brien et al., 2010; Shah et al., 2003). In addition to identifying genes likely associated with adverse male reproductive traits in gorillas, our data provide compelling candidate genes for human male infertility. Indeed, we identified 24 gorilla K<1 genes associated with a broad spectrum of abnormal sperm traits and infertility in humans (**Table 1**), suggesting that other gorilla K<1 genes will be associated with human male infertility. Conversely, mutations in many of these genes cause multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella, leading to immotile sperm. This suggests that gorilla-specific amino acid changes in these genes may underlie poor sperm motility in gorillas.

Furthermore, genome-wide association studies have implicated gorilla K<1 genes

with sperm motility in Italian Holstein (*ATP13A2, CPLANE2, ZNF800, LCORL, PACC1,*

EFHC1) (Ramirez-Diaz et al., 2023) and Holstein-Friesian bulls (*CORIN, ARFIP1*) (Abril-

Parreño et al., 2023), semen volume in Assaf breed sheep (*SCAPER*) (Serrano et al., 2021)

and Holstein-Friesian bulls (*DCP1A*) (Hering et al., 2014), and sperm number and motility

in Holstein bulls (*DMRT3, ANKRD29*) (Yin et al., 2019). Collectively, these data are strong

circumstantial evidence that the K<1 genes have reproductive functions in gorillas and

that the accumulation of deleterious amino acid substitutions in these genes is at least

partly responsible for the decreased number of post-meiotic cells in the gorilla testis

(Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011), and the low sperm count and high proportion of abnormal

sperm in this species (Martinez and Garcia, 2020; Platz et al., 1980; Seuanez et al., 1977),

among other derived traits in the reproductive system that reduce male reproductive

function (**Box 1**).

Polygyny and reduced male post-copulatory sexual selection are found among

many species. Reduced sperm competition in Old World mice and rats (Murinae) is

associated with smaller testis mass, sperm morphologies, and signals of relaxed purifying

selection on protein-coding genes expressed in the late stages of spermatogenesis and

seminal vesicles (Kopania et al., 2025; Murat et al., 2023). These results are consistent

not only with our observations in gorilla, but also with studies of other mouse lineages

(Good and Nachman, 2005; Kopania et al., 2022), a broad selection of mammals (Murat

et al., 2023), and even among promiscuous Tanganyikan cichlids (*Ophthalmotilapia*

ventralis) (Morita et al., 2023). Thus, the pattern of relaxed selection intensity on genes

involved in male reproductive function and the associated accumulation of deleterious

amino acid changes we observed in gorillas may be generalizable across species with

reduced, or nearly absent, sperm competition.

Ideas and speculation

The absence of strong sexual selection on male reproductive functions in gorillas

is associated with an accumulation of numerous traits that decrease the likelihood of their

reproductive success (**Box 1**), including very low sperm counts and a high proportion of

(nearly) immotile and abnormal sperm, traits that should be counterbalanced by natural

selection acting to increase reproductive fitness. Our data is compatible with a scenario
where a near absence of sperm competition in gorillas dramatically relaxed selection on
genes involved in male reproduction. It is possible that the process of relaxed selection
has not yet reached its limits and may spread to additional genes or become even more
pronounced in others leading to their complete loss (pseudogenization). However,
additional studies are needed to infer direction and causation, as well as to characterize
gene losses and the ongoing selective pressures acting on gorilla genomes.

This study raises several interesting questions: Does the female reproductive tract
compensate for reduced sperm function in gorillas? While sperm are propelled by their
flagella, they do so with the active assistance of the female reproductive tract (Suarez
and Pacey, 2006; Tung and Suarez, 2021). Oviductal cilia, for example, create a fluid flow
that controls sperm migration to the site of fertilization by binding and holding sperm until
the periovulatory period, at which point they are released (Suarez, 2016; Suarez and
Wolfner, 2021; Yuan et al., 2021). In addition, peristalsis of oviductal muscles actively
moves sperm up to the ampulla, while ciliated cells that line the cervical canal likely
generate a fluid flow against which sperm can orient to guide their migration into the
uterus (Hino and Yanagimachi, 2019; Ishikawa et al., 2016; Mullins and Saacke, 1989;
Suarez, 1987). The composition of cervical mucus, particularly sialic acid and *O*-glycans
which bind sperm and reduce their ability to traverse the cervix, also affects sperm
transport (Abril-Parreño et al., 2022; Richardson et al., 2019). Compensatory adaptations
in these and other functions of the female reproductive tract may counteract the slow
swimming speed and weak swimming force of gorilla sperm (Nascimento et al., 2008)
and thus improve the likelihood of fertilization.

Second, is the erosion of genes with male reproductive functions
counterbalanced by the pleiotropic functions of these genes in other contexts? Or,
conversely, do the pleiotropic functions of K<1 genes impose additional costs beyond
reduced male reproductive function? Most genes are expressed at multiple
developmental stages and in multiple tissues (and thus may be functional in multiple
contexts), and many genes have more than one distinct function. These data suggest
that gorilla K<1 genes cannot be entirely free from purifying selection because they have
pleiotropic functions outside the male reproductive system and that relaxed selection on
their male reproductive functions may have effects (costs) in other contexts. For example,

K<1 genes are enriched in phenotypes not related to male reproduction, including those

associated with hair cells, which are the primary sensory receptor cells within the inner

ear that are essential for the perception of sound, and female meiosis, which likely reflects

that some of these genes function both in the male and female germ lines (Villeneuve and

Hillers, 2001). Thus, relaxed selection and the deleterious effects of amino acid changes

in gorilla K<1 genes may spread to other anatomic systems and female meiosis. These

pleiotropic effects may prevent the complete loss of purifying selection on K<1 genes or

limit the effects of deleterious amino acid changes in K<1 genes to residues that

contribute mostly to male reproductive function. It is also possible that the negative

consequences of deleterious pleiotropy become less pronounced at later stages of

spermatogenesis as meiotic and post-meiotically expressed genes are enriched for

testis-specific functions (Murat et al., 2023).

Finally, gorillas and humans share several sperm traits that are (likely) detrimental

to male reproductive fitness, including slow swimming speed and force and a high

proportion of abnormal sperm (**Box 1**). Similar to the signal of relaxed selection intensity

we observed in gorillas, human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes harbor more amino acid

variants and have significantly lower ABC-MK α than K≈1 genes. These data are

consistent with recent balancing and/or relaxed selection; unfortunately, disentangling

these scenarios is extremely difficult. However, our observation that the human orthologs

of gorilla K<1 genes are significantly enriched in predicted LoF variants associated with

infertility in the Male Reproductive Genomics (MERGE) cohort suggests that balancing

selection, which maintains deleterious variants, is an unlikely scenario unless deleterious

variants are in linkage disequilibrium with adaptive variants. Thus, gorilla K<1 genes may

experience very recent relaxed selection intensity in the human lineage, so recent that

methods like the RELAX test cannot identify deviations from the null model of K≈1. If so,

human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes are also likely to acquire deleterious variants that

reduce spermatogenic potential and male reproductive success.

Caveats and limitations

One of the major limitations of this study is that we cannot perform the kinds of forward and reverse genetic methods used to establish genotype-phenotype relationships and genetic causation that is standard in model organisms. For example, we cannot introduce ancestral amino acid changes into gorilla genes and determine if they improve sperm quality or reproductive success. Conversely, we cannot introduce putatively deleterious amino acid changes from gorilla genes into a closely related species and test for decreased sperm quality or reproductive success. Even if these experiments were possible, male reproductive fitness and sperm quality are complex polygenic traits, and the effect size of most evolutionarily relevant amino acid substitutions is likely relatively small. Thus, introducing one or even a few putatively deleterious amino acid changes into a closely related species or attempting to rescue reproductive function with one or a few changes may not be sufficient to observe a small effect on sperm function or male fitness. To circumvent this limitation, we have shown that silencing *Drosophila* orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes in the testis has deleterious consequences for male reproductive function and that human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes are enriched in LoF mutations in infertile men from the MERGE cohort. These data suggest that, in gorillas, relaxed selection in such genes may have adverse consequences for male reproductive function. A potential solution to this limitation is genome editing in iPSC and organoid models of spermatogenesis; however these models, although promising, do not yet generate mature functional sperm *in vitro* (Easley et al., 2012; Hayashi et al., 2011; Robinson et al., 2021).

Importantly, we cannot establish the direction of evolutionary and developmental causation (Lewontin, 2002; Svensson, 2020; Wagner, 2000). Indeed, such inferences may not be possible (Wagner, 2001). For example, did large bodies evolve before and lead to a polygynous mating system and, therefore, reduced sperm competition? Did the formation of female groups evolve before and lead to the evolution of a polygynous mating system, large bodies, and reduced sperm competition? Did the development of reduced sperm function lead to the origins of a polygynous mating system? Did these events occur simultaneously, or in some other order? Theoretical models suggest a central role for female choice and ecological factors in the evolution of polygynous mating systems (Altmann et al., 1977; Ptak and Lachmann, 2003; Verner, 1964). Previous studies

in pinnipeds found that sexual size dimorphism likely evolved before polygynous mating

systems, either as a consequence of niche partitioning or in combination with sexual

selection on males to enforce copulations on females; with the origins of polygyny then

leading to intensified sexual selection (Cullen et al., 2014; Krüger et al., 2014).

Unfortunately, there is little data to disentangle these scenarios in gorillas. Gorillas also

have reduced effective population sizes, which can lead to an increased load of

deleterious mutations and relaxed selection intensity. However, we do not believe that it

substantially affects our observations. Indeed, relatively few genes have K<1 and those

are enriched in sperm biology. Given that a reduced effective population size will plausibly

increase the load of deleterious mutations and relaxed selection across many genes, it is

unlikely that such a broad phenomenon would result in a specific enrichment in genes

related to male reproductive biology.

Other limitations are technical. Methods to detect statistical evidence of positive

selection and relaxed selection intensity, such as the ABSREL and RELAX methods we

used, can accommodate synonymous rate variation across sites [S] (Pond and Muse,

2005; Wisotsky et al., 2020) and multi-nucleotide mutations per codon [MH] (Lucaci et

al., 2021), but assume synonymous substitutions are (nearly) neutral; while this is likely

true for most synonymous substitutions, some will have negative fitness effects (Chamary

et al., 2006; Niu et al., 2025; Zhang and Qian, 2025). Thus, synonymous substitutions that

are deleterious will decrease the synonymous substitution rate, inflating the d_N/d_S rate,

leading to false inferences of positive selection. We note, however, that the ABSREL and

RELAX methods are conservative and would generally require more than one or a few

non-neutral synonymous sites to falsely infer positive or relaxed selection. These

methods also test for selection in protein-coding genes, if some genes with K<1 are in

the process of pseudogenization they may retain function as transcripts. In addition, we

note that the use of a permutation test might provide stronger support to the reported

enrichment in LoF mutations in K<1 genes in infertile men from the MERGE cohort.

Another potential limitation of our approach is that we used a single species tree,

rather than gene trees. This could bias ancestral sequence reconstruction (ASR), as well

as inferences of positive and relaxed selection intensity, if the gene and species tree are

different. For example, previous studies found that ~30% of the genome in the human-

chimp-gorilla clade had evidence for incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) (Rivas-González et

al., 2023; Scally et al., 2012). However, we do not believe our results are affected by ILS

or gene-species tree discordance. In a previous analysis using the same dataset we used

here, we inferred gene trees with IQTREE and a species tree with ASTRAL; while that

study was focused on the relationships between elephants, hyraxes, and sea cows, the

analyses also found that 97.7% (13108/13388) of gene trees matched the species tree

we used. While this estimate is higher than one might expect, given the genome-wide

level of ILS, ILS is lower in genes than in non-genic regions of the genome (Scally et al.,

2012).

Conclusions

Sexual selection can take many forms and is associated with the evolution of

diverse behavioral, physiological, and morphological characteristics, including extreme

sexual size dimorphism, polygynous mating systems, and reduced sperm competition.

Here, we have shown that the polygynous mating system of gorillas is associated with

dramatic shifts in the direction and intensity of selection acting on genes related to the

development and function of the male reproductive system, including traits directly

related to sperm competition. While functionally characterizing the consequences of

relaxed purifying selection on gorilla genes is technically challenging, we have shown that

these genes have conserved functions in *Drosophila* testis and sperm biology and are

enriched for loss-of-function variants in infertile men. Thus, the accumulation of

deleterious mutations in genes associated with male reproductive function is likely

directly related to the low efficacy of gorilla spermatogenesis and may also underlie

human male infertility.

Methods

Generation of multiple sequence alignments

The multiple sequence alignment pipeline started with Ensembl v99 human

coding sequences, using the longest isoforms for each gene. We then searched for the

orthologs of these human coding sequences within the genome assemblies of 260 other

mammals with a contig size greater than 30kb present in the NCBI assembly database

as of July 2020. We selected a minimum 30kb contig size to avoid an excessive number

of truncated orthologous coding sequences. To extract the orthologous coding

sequences (CDS) to the human CDS, we used best Blat reciprocal hits from the human

CDS to each other mammalian genome, and back to the human genome. We used Blat

matching all possible reading frames, with a minimum identity set at 30% and the "fine"

option activated (Kent, 2002). We excluded genes with less than 251 best reciprocal hits

out of the 261 (human+other mammals) species included in the analysis. In total, we

found 13,491 human CDS genes with best reciprocal hits orthologs in at least 250 other

mammals.

We aligned each orthologous gene with Macse v2 (Ranwez et al., 2018). Macse

v2 is a codon-aware multiple sequence aligner that can explicitly identify frameshifts, and

readjusts reading frames accordingly. This feature of Macse is particularly important

because erroneous indels introduced in coding sequences during genome sequencing

and assembly process can be common and can cause frameshifts that many aligners do

not take into account. These sequencing and alignment errors can result in substantial

misalignments of coding sequences due to incomplete codons. Note that we started from

the human CDS because they are likely to be of the highest quality in terms of sequencing

and annotations. We used Macse v2 with maximum accuracy settings.

The alignments generated by Macse v2 were edited by HMMcleaner with default

parameters (Di Franco et al., 2019). HMMcleaner is designed to remove, in a species-

specific fashion, "false" substitutions that are likely genome sequencing errors.

HMMcleaner also removes "false exons" that might have been introduced during the Blat

search. "False exons" are intronic segments that by chance have some similarity with

exons that are missing from an assembly due to a sequencing gap. When looking for the

most similar non-human CDS using Blat, Blat can sometimes "replace" the missing exon

with a similar intronic segment.

After using HMMcleaner to remove suspicious parts of the CDS alignments in

each species, we only selected those codons that are still complete to remain in the

alignments. In alignments of coding sequences, the flanks of indels usually include a

higher number of misaligned substitutions. In each separate species, we further remove

from the alignments the x upstream or downstream codons if more than x/2 of these

codons code for amino acids that are different from the consensus amino acid in the

whole alignment, with x varying from 1 to 20. For example, if eight of the 12 amino acids

just on the left side of an indel are different from the consensus in a given species, we

remove the 12 corresponding codons in that species. If two of the three amino acids just

on the right side of an indel are different from the consensus in a given species, we

remove the three corresponding codons in that species. Visual inspection showed that

the 13,491 resulting orthologous CDS alignments were of very high quality, with a very

low number of visibly ambiguous or erroneous segments.

To build the species phylogenetic tree of the 261 selected mammals, we used the

third (4,444) of the 13,491 orthologous CDS alignments with the lowest GC content. CDS

with a high GC content have been shown to give poor tree consensus in mammals due

to a higher number of homoplasies than in AT-rich CDS, caused by more frequent Biased

Gene Conversion and CpG hypermutable sites (Romiguier et al., 2013). We used

IQTREE2 (Nguyen et al., 2015) to generate the consensus, maximum likelihood tree from

the 4,444 AT rich CDS, with a GTR substitution model with six parameters (GTR-6) for

each CDS (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2015; Romiguier et al., 2013).

The GTR-6 model was the best fit for more than 85% of the 4,444 genes in a preliminary

comparison of different substitution models.

ABSREL branch-site selection tests

Methods to infer the strength and direction of selection acting on molecular

sequences, such as those to identify pervasive and episodic adaptive evolution ("positive

selection"), are often based on estimating the ratio of non-synonymous (d_N) to

synonymous (d_S) substitution rates (d_N/d_S or ω) in an alignment of homologous genes.

These methods can be applied to entire genes or regions within genes (Hughes and Nei,

1988), sites (Nielsen and Yang, 1998), branches (Messier and Stewart, 1997; Muse and

Gaut, 1994; Yang, 1998), or sites along a specific branch - the latter often called "branch-

site" (BSREL) models (Kosakovsky Pond et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2015; Yang and

Nielsen, 2002). A key advantage of the BSREL models is that they allow for a group of

sites to evolve under the action of positive selection, i.e., with $\omega>1$, while the remaining

sites can evolve under purifying selection ($\omega<1$) or relatively neutrally ($\omega=1$) in specific

branches, and thus can detect positive selection that is episodic (limited to a subset of

branches) and restricted to a subset of sites in a gene. Positive selection is inferred when

a class of sites is identified with $\omega>1$, with a LRT P-value ≤ 0.05. Subsequently, these

methods have been modified (ABSREL) to allow for the number of d_N/d_S rate categories

to be inferred from the alignment rather than imposed *a priori* (Smith et al., 2015), as well

as to accommodate both synonymous rate variation across sites [S] (Pond and Muse,

2005; Wisotsky et al., 2020) and multi-nucleotide mutations per codon [MH] (Lucaci et

al., 2021). We tested for selection using the base ABSREL model, a model that accounts

for synonymous rate variation across sites (ABSREL[S]), a model that accounts for multi-

nucleotide mutations per codon (ABSREL[MH]), and a model that accounts for both

synonymous rate variation across sites and multi-nucleotide mutations per codon

(ABSREL[SMH]). Positive selection is inferred when the best-fitting ABSREL model,

determined from a small sample AIC test, includes a class of sites with $\omega>1$ at a likelihood

ratio test (LRT) P-value ≤ 0.05.

RELAX selection tests

Standard methods for estimating the strength and direction of selection acting on

molecular sequences based on estimating the ratio of non-synonymous (d_N) to

synonymous (d_S) substitution rates (d_N/d_S or ω) are not suitable for detecting relaxed

purifying selection because they lack power for this test and can mistake an increase in

the intensity of positive selection for relaxation of both purifying and positive selection

(Wertheim et al., 2015). For example, if most sites within a protein-coding gene

experience purifying selection ($d_N/d_S < 1$) while only a subset of sites experience an

episode of relaxation in the intensity of purifying selection ($d_N/d_S \approx 1$), the average d_N/d_S

across sites will almost always still be below one (Wertheim et al., 2015). A relaxation in

the intensity of selection may also be associated with the release of some sites from the

action of diversifying selection, shifting these sites from $d_N/d_S > 1$ to $d_N/d_S \leq 1$. Again, the

average d_N/d_S across sites will be below one, and the episode of reduced selection

intensity masked.

To overcome these limitations, we used a general hypothesis testing framework

for detecting episodic relaxed selection intensity that utilizes codon-based maximum-

likelihood models that are an extension of the BSREL model (RELAX) (Wertheim et al.,

2015). The RELAX method is a variant of the branch-site random effects likelihood (BS-

REL) model (Smith et al., 2015), which allows each lineage in a phylogeny to have a class

of sites that evolve near neutrality ($d_N/d_S \approx 1$), a class of sites that evolve under diversifying

selection ($d_N/d_S > 1$), and a class of sites that evolve under purifying selection ($d_N/d_S < 1$).

The RELAX test is based on the differential effects that reduced selection intensity is

expected to have on these site-specific d_N/d_S rates: When selection is relaxed, smaller

d_N/d_S values increase toward 1, whereas d_N/d_S values above 1 decrease (Wertheim et al.,

2015). In the context of BSREL models, this trend can have two different effects: The

d_N/d_S values inferred for the selection categories can move toward 1 and/or the

proportions of sites belonging to the different classes can change in such a way that

more sites are assigned to categories with d_N/d_S values closer to 1. To test whether

selection is relaxed (or intensified) on a subset of *a priori* defined branches relative to the

other branches, RELAX compares a null model in which a selection intensity parameter

(K) is set to 1 for all branches (equivalent to the standard BSREL mode with three rate

classes) to an alternative model in which the test branches share a K value inferred from

the data. A LRT using the standard χ2 asymptotic distribution with 1 degree of freedom

is used to determine significance: If the LRT rejects the null model, it indicates that

selection on the test branches is intensified (K > 1) or relaxed (K < 1) compared with the

reference branches (Wertheim et al., 2015). In our implementation of the RELAX test, the

gorilla lineage was defined as the foreground ("test") lineage and all other lineages were

assigned to the background ("reference") set.

RELAX-Scan selection tests

The standard RELAX method described above requires that foreground ("test")

lineages are defined *a priori*. The RELAX-Scan analysis modifies the RELAX method to

iteratively scan branches to identify individual branches with evidence of

relaxation/intensification relative to the gene background inferred from all other branches.

The RELAX-Scan test uses the General Descriptive model, where variation in d_N/d_S across

sites and branches is handled via a k-bin distribution ($\omega_1 \leq 1$, $\omega_2 \leq 1$, $\omega_3 \geq 1$). Each branch

gets an additional selection intensity parameter (K), which scales the gene distribution to

ω_1^k, ω_2^k, ω_3^k. Like the standard RELAX test, if K < 1, we infer that selection is relaxed on

branch b relative to gene average (shrunk towards $\omega = 1$), otherwise it is intensified (pulled

away from $\omega = 1$). After fitting the general exploratory model, RELAX-Scan fits a series of

null hypotheses (one per branch), where K=1 is enforced at a branch, and the significance

of K≠1 is tested with a LRT using the standard χ2 asymptotic distribution with 1 degree.

Note that in this test there is no *a priori* defined hypothesis to test and P-values are

corrected for multiple testing using the Holm-Bonferroni procedure. The tests can be

carried out using one of two approaches: "fast", in which all global model parameters are

fixed at their maximum likelihood estimated from the general exploratory model, and

"slow", in which all global model parameters are refitted during each branch-level test.

While the "slow" approach will more accurately estimate significance, it runs very slowly.

In contrast, the "fast" approach runs more quickly but will generally overestimate statistical

significance. We ran RELAX-Scan on the 722 genes that had significant K≠1 in the gorilla

lineage, testing for K≠1 in each of the other 521 branches using RELAX-Scan "slow"

mode.

Fixed Effects Likelihood (FEL) sitewise selection tests

For each gene with K≠1 in the gorilla lineage, we characterized the strength and

direction of selection acting on each codon site with a fixed effects likelihood model (FEL)

that included synonymous rate variation across sites (Kosakovsky Pond and Frost, 2005).

This model estimates separate d_N/d_S rates for each site in an alignment and quantifies

the strength of selection. We used these per site d_N/d_S rates to estimate the likely

functional consequences of amino acid substitutions at those sites, such that

substitutions at sites with $d_N/d_S < 1$ are likely to be function-altering and deleterious,

substitutions at sites with $d_N/d_S = 1$ are likely to be non-function altering, and substitutions

at sites with $d_N/d_S > 1$ are likely to be function altering and adaptive.

Identification of gorilla-specific amino acid changes

We used IQTREE2 (Nguyen et al., 2015) to reconstruct ancestral amino acid

sequences of all 13,310 genes to identify gorilla-specific amino acid changes. The input

was each gene's MSA (in fasta format), with the following options: for IQTREE2 to

translate nucleotide sequences to amino acid sequences (-st NT2AA), to use the species

phylogeny (-te species.tree) rather than infer a gene tree, to infer the best model of amino

acid substitution and rate variation across sites for each gene (-m TESTONLY)

(Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017), and to infer ancestral protein sequences (--ancestral).

Note that identical protein sequences were maintained in the analyses (--keep-ident), to

ensure consistent internal node numbering across genes. After the reconstructions for

each gene were completed, the predicted ancestral Homininae (AncHomininae) primate

(i.e., the last common ancestor of *Gorilla gorilla*, *Pan troglodytes*, *Pan paniscus*, and

Homo sapiens) of each gene was compared to the gorilla sequence to identify amino acid

changes in gorilla. We thus identified 20,753 amino acid changes in 13,310 proteins in

the gorilla lineage.

PolyPhen-2 amino acid classification

We used PolyPhen-2 (Adzhubei et al., 2013) to classify the functional

consequences of amino acid changes in the gorilla lineage using the AncHomininae

reconstructed protein sequences as input and "wildtype" amino acid, and the 20,753

amino acid changes in gorilla lineage as the "mutant" amino acid. The Classifier model

was set to "HumDiv", and the other parameters to the GRCh37/hg19 genome, canonical

transcripts, and missense annotations.

Over Representation Analyses (ORA)

We used WebGestalt v. 2019 (Liao et al., 2019) to identify enriched gene ontology

(Biological Process and Cellular Component) terms and human phenotype ontology

(Köhler et al., 2021), DisGeNET (Piñero et al., 2020), and GLAD4U (Jourquin et al., 2012)

terms using over-representation analysis (ORA). Statistical significance of over-

representation was assessed using the set of genes with K<1 or K>1 as the foreground

gene set, the 13,310 genes tested for relaxed selection as the background gene set, and

a hypergeometric test. The minimum number of genes for a category was set to 3 and

the maximum to 200, and the number of categories expected from set cover was 10.

False discovery of terms related to reproduction was controlled with the Benjamini-

Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR q-value).

Mouse knockout phenotype enrichment

We used the Gene List Analysis and Visualization (VLAD) tool

(http://proto.informatics.jax.org/prototypes/vlad/) to test if genes with K≠1 were enriched

in the Mouse Genome Informatics database (Bult et al., 2019). Genes with K<1 or K>1

and probably damaging amino acid substitutions were used as the foreground gene sets,

with the 13,491 genes tested for relaxed selection as the background gene set, and a

hypergeometric test using default settings.

Gorilla testis cell-type enrichment

We used WebGestalt v. 2019 (Liao et al., 2019) to test if genes with K≠1 were

enriched in specific cell-types in the gorilla testis. Genes with K<1 or K>1 were used as

the foreground gene set, with the 13,310 genes tested for relaxed selection as the

background gene set, and a hypergeometric test using the settings described above.

Gene expression data for specific cell-types in the gorilla testis were generated from a

previously published single-nucleus RNA-Seq dataset (Murat et al., 2023). Note that we

did not reanalyze the gorilla single nucleus RNA-Seq data and used gene expression

dataset generated from the original study (in Supplementary table 4). Readers are referred

to Murat et al., 2023 for specifics of the single nucleus RNA-Seq data analyses.

Proteome enrichment

We also used WebGestalt v. 2019 (Liao et al., 2019) to test if genes with K≠1 were

enriched in the either the human seminal plasma (Wu et al., 2019), human whole sperm

proteome (Wang et al., 2016), the human sperm tail proteome (Amaral et al., 2013), the

human sperm nucleus (de Mateo et al., 2011), isolated soluble and insoluble sperm

chromatin fractions (Castillo et al., 2014), the boar perinuclear theca (Zhang et al., 2022),

and the mouse sperm acrosomal matrix (Guyonnet et al., 2012). Note that we did not

reanalyze these proteomic datasets and used protein abundance estimates generated

from the original studies and available in the supplementary materials for each study.

Genes with K<1 or K>1 were used as the foreground gene set, with the 13,310 genes

tested for relaxed selection as the background gene set, and a hypergeometric test using

the settings described above.

Drosophila *in vivo* RNAi screen

The *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs of the 578 gorilla K<1 genes were

identified based on eggNOG orthogroups (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019). Orthologs were

selected for the RNAi screen based on two criteria. First, only orthologs lacking reported

evidence of being functionally associated with male fertility/spermatogenesis in humans,

mice and fruit flies were considered. For each species, previous associations were

identified based on systematic review of validated monogenic causes of human male

infertility (Houston et al., 2021), on information available on the Mouse Genome Database

[all genes associated with the phenotype code MP:0001925 (male infertility); (Blake et al.,

2021)], and by manual curation of all reported phenotypes listed for each gorilla K<1

ortholog in the Flybase repository (Larkin et al., 2021). For both the mouse and fruit fly

databases, information reflects data available in the repositories as of April 2023. Second,

only orthologs that were reliably expressed in the fruit fly testis (transcripts per million >1;

based on the analysis of the RNAseq dataset of (Vedelek et al., 2018) were included.

The GAL4/UAS system was used to silence the selected 156 fruit fly orthologs

specifically from the onset of the mitosis-to-meiosis transition onwards (Brand and

Perrimon, 1993), via the use of the *bam*-GAL4 driver (White-Cooper, 2012). UAS-hairpin

lines targeting the selected genes were purchased from the Bloomington Drosophila

Stock Centre (BDSC) and the Vienna Drosophila Resource Centre (VDRC). Lines

previously associated with a phenotype in the literature, regardless of the tissue, were

preferentially chosen for this experiment. In the single case (CG33977) for which no UAS-

hairpin lines were available, this reagent was generated in-house following standard

procedures (Perkins et al., 2015). Briefly, shRNA were designed using DSIR (Vert et al.,

2006), with the corresponding sequences being cloned into the pWalium 20 vector, and

with the constructs being injected into fruit flies carrying an attp site on the third

chromosome (BDSC stock #24749).

For assessing the requirement of each tested gene for male fertility, gene-silenced

males (3 to 7 days post-eclosion) were mated with wildtype Oregon-R virgin females for

12 hours at 25ºC (Brattig-Correia et al., 2024). Laid eggs were left to develop for 24 hours

at 25ºC before the percentage of egg hatching was determined. This percentage (fertility

rate) served as a measure of the male reproductive fitness associated with each tested

gene. Fertility rate corresponds to the average of four independent experiments, with 25

- 100 eggs scored per replicate. Every batch of experiments included a negative control

[RNAi against the mCherry fluorophore (BDSC stock #35785) - a sequence absent in the

fruit fly genome], and a positive control [RNAi against Ribosomal protein L3 (BDSC stock

#36596) - an essential unit of the ribosome]. A cut-off of <75% fertility rate was

established to define impaired reproductive fitness based on the rate observed in the

negative controls (>2 standard deviations of the mean observed in negative controls: 94.2

± 4.8%). All Drosophila lines were maintained at 25ºC in polypropylene bottles containing

enriched medium (cornmeal, molasses, yeast, beet syrup, and soy flour). To compare the

observed hit rate of our RNAi screen with that of a random selection of genes, we used

the Mersenne Twister pseudorandom number generator (Molbiotools.com) to unbiasedly

define a set of 156 Drosophila genes. An additional comparison was made to a random

selection of 156 Drosophila testis-expressed genes (transcripts per million >1), selected

using the RNAseq dataset of Vedelek *et al.*, 2018 and a random number generator

algorithm. Both lists were manually curated to identify all that had been previously

functionally associated with male fertility and/or spermatogenesis, based on information

available in the Flybase repository (Larkin et al., 2021). This information is available in

Supplementary dataset 2.

Drosophila testicular imaging

991   Squash preparations of freshly dissected Drosophila testes were performed as

992 previously described (Bonaccorsi et al., 2011) and examined using a phase contrast

993 microscope (Nikon Eclipse E400). Phenotypes of all silenced genes associated with

994 decreased reproductive fitness (43 in total) were assigned to one of four classes based

995 on the earliest stage in which the cytological defects were detected: 1- pre-meiotic

996 (abnormal late spermatogonia); 2- meiotic (failure to progress through meiosis

997 successfully); 3- post-meiotic (abnormal spermiogenesis and/or low numbers of mature

998 sperm); and 4- undetectable (no observable cytological defects). Images from at least 2

999 pairs of testes were acquired per genotype and were corrected for background

1000 illumination as previously described (Brattig-Correia et al., 2024). Representative

1001 examples can be found in **Figure 5B**.

1002 **Burden analyses**

1003   We compared the number of rare, putative high-impact variants in the 568 human

1004 orthologs of the gorilla K<1 genes between a cohort of infertile men and a control cohort.

1005 As a baseline, we also tested 568 human orthologs of randomly selected K≈1 genes using

1006 the same approach. More specifically, we queried variants in both gene sets in

1007 exome/genome data of 1) 2,100 infertile men with 0 - 5 million/mL sperm concentration

1008 from the Male Reproductive Genomics (MERGE) cohort (69% azoospermia, 22%

1009 cryptozoospermia, 9% severe oligozoospermia) (Wyrwoll et al., 2020), and 2) 67,961 men

1010 from gnomAD v2.1.1 (Karczewski et al., 2020). While no individual-level information is

1011 available in gnomAD that would allow us to exclude infertile individuals, gnomAD

1012 approximates a general population of average health and fertility. Stringent filtering criteria

1013 were applied: rarity (gnomAD maximum population allele frequency [AF] ≤ 1%) quality

1014 (gnomAD PASS filter or MERGE quality score ≥ 30, read depth ≥ 10, MERGE AF ≤ 1%)

1015 and predicted high impact (LoF: stop, frameshift, splice donor/acceptor and/or CADD

1016 score ≥ 20). For each of the 568 K<1 and 568 K≈1 genes, we compared the proportion

1017 of variants fulfilling the selection criteria in the two cohorts using the one-sided Fisher's

1018 exact test. The two resulting non-parametric distributions of p-values of these gene sets

1019 were then evaluated by a two-sided Mann-Whitney U test with continuity correction. All

1020 statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software, and variants were

1021 annotated for filtering with Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (McLaren et al., 2016).

1022

Acknowledgments

Frank Tüttelmann was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

(DFG, German Research Foundation) within the Clinical Research Unit 'Male Germ Cells'

(CRU326, project number 329621271). Paulo Navarro-Costa is partially supported by

Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (grants EXPL/MEC-AND/0676/2021 and

2024.04983.RESTART). Computational support was provided by the Center for

Computational Research at the University at Buffalo.

1030

Data availability

1032 All alignments, selection test results (ABSREL, RELAX, and FEL), and PolPhen-2

1033 scores are available from Dryad as fasta and json files, respectively

1034 (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.5dv41nsbc). Json files are viewable by uploading

1035 individual files to HyPhy Vision (http://vision.hyphy.org).

1036

Appendix

Comparison to previous studies of rapid evolution in gorillas

A previous study reporting the sequencing, annotation, and analysis of the gorilla

genome identified genes with evidence of rapid evolution in the gorilla lineage (Scally et

al., 2012). This study included five other primates (human, chimpanzee, orangutan,

macaque, and marmoset) and the widely used two-ratio test implemented in PAML v4.4c

(Yang, 2007). The two ratio test compares to models, the null hypothesis that one d_N/d_S

ratio applies to all lineages in the phylogeny to a two ratio alternative model in which the

foreground lineage (in this case gorilla) is allowed to have a separate d_N/d_S ratio compared

to all other branches which share a single d_N/d_S rate. The likelihood of these two scenarios

are compared with a likelihood ratio test and a difference in selective regime is observed

when the alternate model has a higher likelihood with a chi-square P-value<=1. Under

this hypothesis test, one can indirectly infer that the gorilla foreground is under relaxed

selection intensity if its d_N/d_S is less than the background d_N/d_S. However, this test can be

biased if one or more lineages experience an increased in d_N/d_S driving up the average

d_N/d_S ratio. In this scenario, selection intensity hasn't changed in the gorilla lineage even

if the null model is rejected. Similarly, a decrease in d_N/d_S under the PAML model can

arise because the strength of positive selection is weaker in the foreground lineage than

the background lineage even if there is still positive selection acting on those sites. While

the two-ratio test requires the average d_N/d_S across all sites be greater than one to infer

positive selection, the branch-site test bins sites into three rate classes that constrains

the first two rate classes to be $d_N/d_S \leq 1$ and one in free class that allows for $d_N/d_S > 1$.

Positive selection is inferred if the likelihood of a model that fixes the third d_N/d_S rate class

to be one is significantly worse than the likelihood of a model in which it is inferred from

the data and when that d_N/d_S rate class is greater than one.

Neither of these methods is well-suited to detect episodic relaxed selection

intensity like the RELAX test (see our previous description of the RELAX test). We also

note that none of the methods implemented in PAML account for synonymous rate

variation across sites or multiple simultaneous codon mutations; failure to account for

these pervasive mutational processes can lead to both false-positive and false-negative-

inferences of positive selection in branch-site-like tests, but are expected to have less

dramatic impacts on RELAX-like analyses. Of the 280 genes identified by Scally et al.,

2012 with evidence of rapid evolution in the gorilla lineage from the two-ratio test, i.e.,

those with a foreground d_N/d_S greater than the background d_N/d_S at LRT *P*≤0.05, 213 were

also included in our study; 41 (19.24%) had K<1 in the RELAX test (LRT *P*≤0.05) and two

(0.93%) had $d_N/d_S > 1$ in the ABSREL[SMH] test (LRT *P*≤0.05). While the overlap in genes

inferred to be positively selected and under relaxed selection between our datasets is

small, Scally et al., 2012 also found that rapidly evolving genes were enriched in male

reproductive functions.

Human orthologs of gorilla K<1 genes may evolve under recent relaxed selection

The observed differences between the human orthologs of the K<1 and K≈1

genes for pLI and missense Z-scores suggest that gorilla K<1 genes are *less* constrained

than K≈1 genes in humans (**Appendix figure 1A**). This observation could result from: 1)

Population-specific positive selection regimes acting on the human orthologs of the K<1

genes, i.e., increasing the number of amino acid polymorphisms; 2) Long-term relaxed

selection intensity in both gorillas and humans, i.e., these genes are K<1 in both species;

3) Balancing selection, i.e., the active maintenance of multiple alleles of the K<1 genes in

humans; or 4) Recent relaxed (rather than long-term) purifying selection in humans. To

explore these possibilities, we first ran the ABSREL test on the human lineage and found

that only one of the gorilla K<1 genes was identified as positively selected in the human

lineage (LRT P≤0.05). Furthermore, using the RELAX test, we observed that only 24 genes

were inferred to be K<1 in both human and gorilla (LRT P≤0.05). Thus, we concluded that

it is unlikely that the signal for reduced constraint in humans results either from the gorilla

K<1 genes having experienced an episode of adaptive evolution in the human lineage, or

from long-term relaxed selection intensity in both gorillas and humans. Indeed, these

results of the ABC-MK test are consistent with balancing selection acting on the human

orthologs of the gorilla K<1 genes (which would inflate P_N and therefore decrease α) or

with recent relaxed selection in humans (which would also inflate P_N/P_S compared to the

long-term P_N/P_S that shaped the d_N/d_S).

To disentangle the two scenarios, we compared our results to several studies that

have used complementary methods to characterize the strength and direction of

selection acting on great ape (Hominidae) genomes (Cagan et al., 2016). These included:

1) The standard McDonald–Kreitman test [MK test; (McDonald and Kreitman, 1991)],

which can detect lineage-specific positive selection; 2) The Hudson–Kreitman–Aguadé

test [HKA; (Hudson et al., 1987)], which can detect long-term positive and balancing

selection including balancing selection that predates the emergence of the Hominidae

(Hedrick, 1998); 3) The Extended Lineage Sorting test [ELS; (Green et al., 2010)], which

can detect lineage-specific positive selection that occurred after the divergence of an

ancestral population into two species; 4) Fay and Wu's H statistic [FWH; (Fay and Wu,

2000)], which can detect recent selective sweeps; and 5) The Z test (Soni et al., 2022), a

more recent method to detect balancing selection based on the application of the MK

test to human population data from the 1000 Genomes Project. Although the gorilla K<1

genes were significantly enriched for candidate genes to be under balancing selection,

only 79 of these genes were inferred to be under balancing or positive selection in gorillas,

humans, or other Hominids (**Appendix figure 1B**). These data suggest that the signal for

reduced constraint in humans most likely results from recent relaxed purifying selection

rather than long-term balancing selection within Hominids or humans.

Comparisons to elephant seal, another species with a highly polygynous mating

system

To determine if the patterns of reduced selection intensity we observed in gorillas

may be similar to other species with a polygynyous mating system, we ran the RELAX

analysis with elephant seal as the foreground. Although elephant seals are a polygynous

species, they differ from gorillas in that their spermatogenesis does not undergo

persistent deterioration, but instead follows a seasonal pattern (Laws, 1956). Indeed, in

this species, male gamete production is upregulated during the mating season and is

mostly inactive throughout the rest of the year. Of the 573 genes with K<1 in gorillas only

14 also have K<1 in elephant seals, which had 350 genes with K<1 (**Appendix figure 2A**).

A GO analysis of the 350 elephant seal K<1 genes does not identify enrichment in

spermatogenesis-related terms. In fact, the list of GO terms is quite broad (**Appendix**

figure 2B). A potential, if admittedly speculative, interpretation of these findings is that

although polygynous, the selective pressure on elephant seal spermatogenesis is not

relaxed (unlike in gorillas) because of the seasonal nature of their mating period. In other

words, by having a temporally narrower window for reproductive success than gorillas,

the selective constraint on male gametogenesis in seals is not weakened. Regardless,

the low overlap in relaxed genes between the two tested polygynous species support the

view that this reproductive strategy is probably associated with different evolutionary

signatures in the genome (depending on the species), a likely reflection of the complex,

nuanced and multi-factorial aspects of such strategies.

References

1135

1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP,
Kang HM, Korbel JO, Marchini JL, McCarthy S, McVean GA, Abecasis GR. 2015.
A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**:68–74.
doi:10.1038/nature15393

Abril-Parreño L, Carthy TR, Keogh K, Štiavnická M, O'Meara C, Lonergan P,
Kenny DA, Fair S. 2023. Genome-wide association study reveals candidate
markers related to field fertility and semen quality traits in Holstein-Friesian bulls.
Animal **17**:100841. doi:10.1016/j.animal.2023.100841

Abril-Parreño L, Wilkinson H, Krogenæs A, Morgan J, Gallagher ME, Reid C,
Druart X, Fair S, Saldova R. 2022. Identification and characterization of O-linked
glycans in cervical mucus as biomarkers of sperm transport: A novel sheep model.
Glycobiology **32**:23–35. doi:10.1093/glycob/cwab085

Adzhubei I, Jordan DM, Sunyaev SR. 2013. Predicting functional effect of human
missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* **Chapter**
7:Unit7.20. doi:10.1002/0471142905.hg0720s76

Akbari A, Pipitone GB, Anvar Z, Jaafarinia M, Ferrari M, Carrera P, Totonchi M.
2019. ADCY10 frameshift variant leading to severe recessive asthenozoospermia
and segregating with absorptive hypercalciuria. *Hum Reprod* **34**:1155–1164.
doi:10.1093/humrep/dez048

Alavattam KG, Maezawa S, Sakashita A, Khoury H, Barski A, Kaplan N,
Namekawa SH. 2019. Attenuated chromatin compartmentalization in meiosis and
its maturation in sperm development. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **26**:175–184.
doi:10.1038/s41594-019-0189-y

Altmann SA, Wagner SS, Lenington S. 1977. Two models for the evolution of
polygyny. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **2**:397–410. doi:10.1007/BF00299508

Amaral A, Castillo J, Estanyol JM, Ballescà JL, Ramalho-Santos J, Oliva R. 2013.
Human sperm tail proteome suggests new endogenous metabolic pathways. *Mol*
Cell Proteomics **12**:330–342. doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.020552

Anderson MJ, Chapman SJ, Videan EN, Evans E, Fritz J, Stoinski TS, Dixson AF,
Gagneux P. 2007. Functional evidence for differences in sperm competition in
humans and chimpanzees. *Am J Phys Anthropol* **134**:274–280.
doi:10.1002/ajpa.20674

Anderson MJ, Dixson AF. 2002. Motility and the midpiece in primates. *Nature*
416:496–496. doi:10.1038/416496a

Anderson MJ, Nyholt J, Dixson AF. 2004. Sperm competition affects the structure
of the mammalian vas deferens. *Journal of Zoology* **264**:97.

Avidan N, Tamary H, Dgany O, Cattan D, Pariente A, Thulliez M, Borot N, Moati
L, Barthelme A, Shalmon L, Krasnov T, Ben-Asher E, Olender T, Khen M, Yaniv I,
Zaizov R, Shalev H, Delaunay J, Fellous M, Lancet D, Beckmann JS. 2003.

CATSPER2, a human autosomal nonsyndromic male infertility gene. *Eur J Hum Genet* **11**:497–502. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200991

Ayhan Ö, Balkan M, Guven A, Hazan R, Atar M, Tok A, Tolun A. 2014. Truncating mutations in TAF4B and ZMYND15 causing recessive azoospermia. *Journal of Medical Genetics* **51**:239–244. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2013-102102

Bashamboo A, Ferraz-de-Souza B, Lourenço D, Lin L, Sebire NJ, Montjean D, Bignon-Topalovic J, Mandelbaum J, Siffroi J-P, Christin-Maitre S, Radhakrishna U, Rouba H, Ravel C, Seeler J, Achermann JC, McElreavey K. 2010. Human Male Infertility Associated with Mutations in NR5A1 Encoding Steroidogenic Factor 1. *Am J Hum Genet* **87**:505–512. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.09.009

Blake JA, Baldarelli R, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Smith CL, Bult CJ, Mouse Genome Database Group. 2021. Mouse Genome Database (MGD): Knowledgebase for mouse-human comparative biology. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:D981–D987. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1083

Bonaccorsi S, Giansanti MG, Cenci G, Gatti M. 2011. Preparation of live testis squashes in Drosophila. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* **2011**:prot5577. doi:10.1101/pdb.prot5577

Bonache S, Martínez J, Fernández M, Bassas L, Larriba S. 2007. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in succinate dehydrogenase subunits and citrate synthase genes: association results for impaired spermatogenesis. *International Journal of Andrology* **30**:144–152. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2006.00730.x

Bradley BJ, Robbins MM, Williamson EA, Steklis HD, Steklis NG, Eckhardt N, Boesch C, Vigilant L. 2005. Mountain gorilla tug-of-war: silverbacks have limited control over reproduction in multimale groups. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**:9418–9423. doi:10.1073/pnas.0502019102

Brand AH, Perrimon N. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**:401–415. doi:10.1242/dev.118.2.401

Brattig-Correia R, Almeida JM, Wyrwoll MJ, Julca I, Sobral D, Misra CS, Di Persio S, Guilgur LG, Schuppe H-C, Silva N, Prudêncio P, Nóvoa A, Leocádio AS, Bom J, Laurentino S, Mallo M, Kliesch S, Mutwil M, Rocha LM, Tüttelmann F, Becker JD, Navarro-Costa P. 2024. The conserved genetic program of male germ cells uncovers ancient regulators of human spermatogenesis. *eLife* **13**:RP95774. doi:10.7554/eLife.95774

Brennan PLR, Prum RO, McCracken KG, Sorenson MD, Wilson RE, Birkhead TR. 2007. Coevolution of Male and Female Genital Morphology in Waterfowl. *PLOS ONE* **2**:e418. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000418

Bruce HM. 1959. An Exteroceptive Block to Pregnancy in the Mouse. *Nature* **184**:105–105. doi:10.1038/184105a0

Bult CJ, Blake JA, Smith CL, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Mouse Genome Database Group. 2019. Mouse Genome Database (MGD) 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:D801–D806. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1056

Cagan A, Theunert C, Laayouni H, Santpere G, Pybus M, Casals F, Prüfer K,
Navarro A, Marques-Bonet T, Bertranpetit J, Andrés AM. 2016. Natural Selection
in the Great Apes. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**:3268–3283. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw215

Cao W, Gerton GL, Moss SB. 2006. Proteomic profiling of accessory structures
from the mouse sperm flagellum. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **5**:801–810.
doi:10.1074/mcp.M500322-MCP200

Carnahan SJ, Jensen-Seaman MI. 2008. Hominoid seminal protein evolution and
ancestral mating behavior. *Am J Primatol* **70**:939–948. doi:10.1002/ajp.20585

Castillo J, Amaral A, Azpiazu R, Vavouri T, Estanyol JM, Ballescà JL, Oliva R.
2014. Genomic and proteomic dissection and characterization of the human sperm
chromatin. *Mol Hum Reprod* **20**:1041–1053. doi:10.1093/molehr/gau079

Chamary JV, Parmley JL, Hurst LD. 2006. Hearing silence: non-neutral evolution
at synonymous sites in mammals. *Nat Rev Genet* **7**:98–108. doi:10.1038/nrg1770

Chen Y, Liu B, Tao S, Liu L, Gao J, Liang Y, Dong W, Zhou D. 2025. CITED2
Binding to EP300 Regulates Human Spermatogonial Stem Cell Proliferation and
Survival Through HSPA6. *Stem Cells Int* **2025**:2362489. doi:10.1155/sci/2362489

Christensen GL, Wooding SP, Ivanov IP, Atkins JF, Carrell DT. 2006. Sequencing
and haplotype analysis of the Activator of CREM in the Testis (ACT) gene in
populations of fertile and infertile males. *Mol Hum Reprod* **12**:257–262.
doi:10.1093/molehr/gal006

Clark NL, Swanson WJ. 2005. Pervasive adaptive evolution in primate seminal
proteins. *PLoS Genet* **1**:e35. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0010035

Cox CM, Thoma ME, Tchangalova N, Mburu G, Bornstein MJ, Johnson CL, Kiarie
J. 2022. Infertility prevalence and the methods of estimation from 1990 to 2021: a
systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Open* **2022**:hoac051.
doi:10.1093/hropen/hoac051

Cullen TM, Fraser D, Rybczynski N, Schröder-Adams C. 2014. Early evolution of
sexual dimorphism and polygyny in Pinnipedia. *Evolution* **68**:1469–1484.
doi:10.1111/evo.12360

Dacheux D, Martinez G, Reix CEB, Beurois J, Lorès P, Tounkara M, Dupuy J-W,
Robinson DR, Loeuillet C, Lambert E, Wehbe Z, Amiri-Yekta A, Daneshipour A,
Hosseini S-H, Zouari R, Mustapha SFB, Halouani L, Jiang X, Shen Y, Liu C,
Thierry-Mieg N, Septier A, Bidart M, Satre V, Cazin C, Kherraf Z-E, Arnoult C, Ray
PF, Touré A, Bonhivers M, Coutton C. 2023. Novel axonemal protein ZMYND12
interacts with TTC29 and DNAH1, and is required for male fertility and flagellum
function. *eLife* **12**. doi:10.7554/eLife.87698.1

Dai Y, Hu R, Liu A, Cho KS, Manuel AM, Li X, Dong X, Jia P, Zhao Z. 2022.
WebCSEA: web-based cell-type-specific enrichment analysis of genes. *Nucleic
Acids Res* **50**:W782–W790. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac392

de Mateo S, Castillo J, Estanyol JM, Ballescà JL, Oliva R. 2011. Proteomic
characterization of the human sperm nucleus. *Proteomics* **11**:2714–2726.
doi:10.1002/pmic.201000799

Di Franco A, Poujol R, Baurain D, Philippe H. 2019. Evaluating the usefulness of
alignment filtering methods to reduce the impact of errors on evolutionary
inferences. *BMC Evol Biol* **19**:21. doi:10.1186/s12862-019-1350-2

Dixson AF. 2018. Copulatory and Postcopulatory Sexual Selection in Primates.
Folia Primatol (Basel) **89**:258–286. doi:10.1159/000488105

Dixson AF. 2012. Primate Sexuality: Comparative Studies of the Prosimians,
Monkeys, Apes, and Humans, Second Edition, Second Edition. ed. Oxford, New
York: Oxford University Press.

Dixson AF. 2009. Sexual Selection and the Origins of Human Mating Systems.
Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.

Dixson AF, Moore HDM, Holt WV. 1980. Testicular atrophy in captive gorillas
(Gorilla g. gorilla). *Journal of Zoology* **191**:315–322. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
7998.1980.tb01461.x

Easley CA, Phillips BT, McGuire MM, Barringer JM, Valli H, Hermann BP, Simerly
CR, Rajkovic A, Miki T, Orwig KE, Schatten GP. 2012. Direct differentiation of
human pluripotent stem cells into haploid spermatogenic cells. *Cell Rep* **2**:440–
446. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2012.07.015

Eberhard WG. 1996. Female Control: Sexual Selection by Cryptic Female Choice.
Princeton University Press. doi:10.2307/j.ctvs32rx1

Enomoto T, Matsubayashi K, Nakano M, Fujii-Hanamoto H, Kusunoki H. 2004.
Testicular histological examination of spermatogenetic activity in captive gorillas
(Gorilla gorilla). *Am J Primatol* **63**:183–199. doi:10.1002/ajp.20051

Fay JC, Wu CI. 2000. Hitchhiking under positive Darwinian selection. *Genetics*
155:1405–1413. doi:10.1093/genetics/155.3.1405

Firat-Karalar EN, Sante J, Elliott S, Stearns T. 2014. Proteomic analysis of
mammalian sperm cells identifies new components of the centrosome. *J Cell Sci*
127:4128–4133. doi:10.1242/jcs.157008

Firman RC, Gasparini C, Manier MK, Pizzari T. 2017. Postmating Female Control:
20 Years of Cryptic Female Choice. *Trends Ecol Evol* **32**:368–382.
doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.010

Fujii-Hanamoto H, Matsubayashi K, Nakano M, Kusunoki H, Enomoto T. 2011. A
comparative study on testicular microstructure and relative sperm production in
gorillas, chimpanzees, and orangutans. *Am J Primatol* **73**:570–577.
doi:10.1002/ajp.20930

Gómez Montoto L, Varea Sánchez M, Tourmente M, Martín-Coello J, Luque-
Larena JJ, Gomendio M, Roldan ERS. 2011. Sperm competition differentially

affects swimming velocity and size of spermatozoa from closely related muroid
rodents: head first. *Reproduction* **142**:819–830. doi:10.1530/REP-11-0232

Good JM, Nachman MW. 2005. Rates of protein evolution are positively correlated
with developmental timing of expression during mouse spermatogenesis. *Mol Biol*
Evol **22**:1044–1052. doi:10.1093/molbev/msi087

Gould KG. 1990. Techniques and significance of gamete collection and storage in
the great apes. *J Med Primatol* **19**:537–551.

Gould KG, Kling OR. 1982. Fertility in the male gorilla (Gorilla gorilla): Relationship
to semen parameters and serum hormones. *Am J Primatol* **2**:311–316.
doi:10.1002/ajp.1350020310

Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, Patterson N, Li
H, Zhai W, Fritz MH-Y, Hansen NF, Durand EY, Malaspinas A-S, Jensen JD,
Marques-Bonet T, Alkan C, Prüfer K, Meyer M, Burbano HA, Good JM, Schultz R,
Aximu-Petri A, Butthof A, Höber B, Höffner B, Siegemund M, Weihmann A,
Nusbaum C, Lander ES, Russ C, Novod N, Affourtit J, Egholm M, Verna C, Rudan
P, Brajkovic D, Kucan Ž, Gušic I, Doronichev VB, Golovanova LV, Lalueza-Fox C,
de la Rasilla M, Fortea J, Rosas A, Schmitz RW, Johnson PLF, Eichler EE, Falush
D, Birney E, Mullikin JC, Slatkin M, Nielsen R, Kelso J, Lachmann M, Reich D,
Pääbo S. 2010. A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science* **328**:710–
722. doi:10.1126/science.1188021

Greenbaum MP, Yan W, Wu M-H, Lin Y-N, Agno JE, Sharma M, Braun RE,
Rajkovic A, Matzuk MM. 2006. TEX14 is essential for intercellular bridges and
fertility in male mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**:4982–4987.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0505123103

Guyonnet B, Zabet-Moghaddam M, SanFrancisco S, Cornwall GA. 2012. Isolation
and proteomic characterization of the mouse sperm acrosomal matrix. *Mol Cell*
Proteomics **11**:758–774. doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.020339

Hall-Craggs ECB. 1962. The Testis of Gorilla Gorilla Beringei. *Proceedings of the*
Zoological Society of London **139**:511–514. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
7998.1962.tb01845.x

Harcourt AH. 1997. Sperm Competition in Primates. *The American Naturalist*
149:189–194.

Harcourt AH. 1995. Sexual selection and sperm competition in primates: What are
male genitalia good for? *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*
4:121–129. doi:10.1002/evan.1360040404

Harcourt AH, Harvey PH, Larson SG, Short RV. 1981. Testis weight, body weight
and breeding system in primates. *Nature* **293**:55–57. doi:10.1038/293055a0

Hayashi K, Ohta H, Kurimoto K, Aramaki S, Saitou M. 2011. Reconstitution of the
mouse germ cell specification pathway in culture by pluripotent stem cells. *Cell*
146:519–532. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.052

He X, Liu C, Yang X, Lv M, Ni X, Li Q, Cheng H, Liu W, Tian S, Wu H, Gao Y, Yang C, Tan Q, Cong J, Tang D, Zhang J, Song B, Zhong Y, Li H, Zhi W, Mao X, Fu F, Ge L, Shen Q, Zhang M, Saiyin H, Jin L, Xu Y, Zhou P, Wei Z, Zhang F, Cao Y. 2020. Bi-allelic Loss-of-function Variants in *CFAP58* Cause Flagellar Axoneme and Mitochondrial Sheath Defects and Asthenoteratozoospermia in Humans and Mice. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **107**:514–526. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.07.010

Hedrick PW. 1998. Balancing selection and MHC. *Genetica* **104**:207–214. doi:10.1023/a:1026494212540

Hering DM, Oleński K, Ruść A, Kaminski S. 2014. Genome-wide association study for semen volume and total number of sperm in Holstein-Friesian bulls. *Anim Reprod Sci* **151**:126–130. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2014.10.022

Hill WCO, Harrison-Matthews L. 1949. The male external genitalia of the Gorilla, with remarks on the os penis of other Hominoidea. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* **119**:363–378. doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.1949.tb00886.x

Hino T, Yanagimachi R. 2019. Active peristaltic movements and fluid production of the mouse oviduct: their roles in fluid and sperm transport and fertilization†. *Biol Reprod* **101**:40–49. doi:10.1093/biolre/ioz061

Houston BJ, Riera-Escamilla A, Wyrwoll MJ, Salas-Huetos A, Xavier MJ, Nagirnaja L, Friedrich C, Conrad DF, Aston KI, Krausz C, Tüttelmann F, O'Bryan MK, Veltman JA, Oud MS. 2021. A systematic review of the validated monogenic causes of human male infertility: 2020 update and a discussion of emerging gene–disease relationships. *Human Reproduction Update* **28**:15–29. doi:10.1093/humupd/dmab030

Hudson RR, Kreitman M, Aguadé M. 1987. A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide data. *Genetics* **116**:153–159. doi:10.1093/genetics/116.1.153

Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, Mende DR, Letunic I, Rattei T, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P. 2019. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:D309–D314. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1085

Hughes AL, Nei M. 1988. Pattern of nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class I loci reveals overdominant selection. *Nature* **335**:167–170. doi:10.1038/335167a0

Hwang JY, Nawaz S, Choi J, Wang H, Hussain S, Nawaz M, Lopez-Giraldez F, Jeong K, Dong W, Oh J-N, Bilguvar K, Mane S, Lee C-K, Bystroff C, Lifton RP, Ahmad W, Chung J-J. 2021. Genetic Defects in DNAH2 Underlie Male Infertility With Multiple Morphological Abnormalities of the Sperm Flagella in Humans and Mice. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**:662903. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.662903

Inoue E, Akomo-Okoue EF, Ando C, Iwata Y, Judai M, Fujita S, Hongo S, Nze-Nkogue C, Inoue-Murayama M, Yamagiwa J. 2013. Male genetic structure and paternity in western lowland gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla). *Am J Phys Anthropol* **151**:583–588. doi:10.1002/ajpa.22312

Ishikawa Y, Usui T, Yamashita M, Kanemori Y, Baba T. 2016. Surfing and
Swimming of Ejaculated Sperm in the Mouse Oviduct. *Biol Reprod* **94**:89.
doi:10.1095/biolreprod.115.135418

Jacobs SC, Beehler BA, Boese G, Story MT, Clowry LJ, Lawson RK. 1984. The
prostate of the gorilla. *Prostate* **5**:597–603. doi:10.1002/pros.2990050605

Jensen-Seaman MI, Li W-H. 2003. Evolution of the hominoid semenogelin genes,
the major proteins of ejaculated semen. *J Mol Evol* **57**:261–270.
doi:10.1007/s00239-003-2474-x

Jourquin J, Duncan D, Shi Z, Zhang B. 2012. GLAD4U: deriving and prioritizing
gene lists from PubMed literature. *BMC Genomics* **13 Suppl 8**:S20.
doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-S8-S20

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017.
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat*
Methods **14**:587–589. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285

Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, Collins RL,
Laricchia KM, Ganna A, Birnbaum DP, Gauthier LD, Brand H, Solomonson M,
Watts NA, Rhodes D, Singer-Berk M, England EM, Seaby EG, Kosmicki JA,
Walters RK, Tashman K, Farjoun Y, Banks E, Poterba T, Wang A, Seed C, Whiffin
N, Chong JX, Samocha KE, Pierce-Hoffman E, Zappala Z, O'Donnell-Luria AH,
Minikel EV, Weisburd B, Lek M, Ware JS, Vittal C, Armean IM, Bergelson L,
Cibulskis K, Connolly KM, Covarrubias M, Donnelly S, Ferriera S, Gabriel S,
Gentry J, Gupta N, Jeandet T, Kaplan D, Llanwarne C, Munshi R, Novod S, Petrillo
N, Roazen D, Ruano-Rubio V, Saltzman A, Schleicher M, Soto J, Tibbetts K,
Tolonen C, Wade G, Talkowski ME, Neale BM, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG. 2020. The
mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans.
Nature **581**:434–443. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7

Kent WJ. 2002. BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool. *Genome Res* **12**:656–664.
doi:10.1101/gr.229202

Köhler S, Gargano M, Matentzoglu N, Carmody LC, Lewis-Smith D, Vasilevsky
NA, Danis D, Balagura G, Baynam G, Brower AM, Callahan TJ, Chute CG, Est JL,
Galer PD, Ganesan S, Griese M, Haimel M, Pazmandi J, Hanauer M, Harris NL,
Hartnett MJ, Hastreiter M, Hauck F, He Y, Jeske T, Kearney H, Kindle G, Klein C,
Knoflach K, Krause R, Lagorce D, McMurry JA, Miller JA, Munoz-Torres MC,
Peters RL, Rapp CK, Rath AM, Rind SA, Rosenberg AZ, Segal MM, Seidel MG,
Smedley D, Talmy T, Thomas Y, Wiafe SA, Xian J, Yüksel Z, Helbig I, Mungall CJ,
Haendel MA, Robinson PN. 2021. The Human Phenotype Ontology in 2021.
Nucleic Acids Res **49**:D1207–D1217. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1043

Kopania EEK, Larson EL, Callahan C, Keeble S, Good JM. 2022. Molecular
Evolution across Mouse Spermatogenesis. *Mol Biol Evol* **39**:msac023.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msac023

Kopania EEK, Thomas GWC, Hutter CR, Mortimer SME, Callahan CM, Roycroft
E, Achmadi AS, Breed WG, Clark NL, Esselstyn JA, Rowe KC, Good JM. 2025.
Sperm competition intensity shapes divergence in both sperm morphology and

reproductive genes across murine rodents. *Evolution* **79**:11–27. doi:10.1093/evolut/qpae146

Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2021. RELAX-scan. https://github.com/veg/hyphy-analyses/tree/master/RELAX-scan

Kosakovsky Pond SL, Frost SDW. 2005. Not so different after all: a comparison of methods for detecting amino acid sites under selection. *Mol Biol Evol* **22**:1208–1222. doi:10.1093/molbev/msi105

Kosakovsky Pond SL, Murrell B, Fourment M, Frost SDW, Delport W, Scheffler K. 2011. A random effects branch-site model for detecting episodic diversifying selection. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**:3033–3043. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr125

Kosova G, Hotaling JM, Ohlander S, Niederberger C, Prins GS, Ober C. 2014. Variants in DPF3 and DSCAML1 are associated with sperm morphology. *J Assist Reprod Genet* **31**:131–137. doi:10.1007/s10815-013-0140-9

Kosova G, Scott NM, Niederberger C, Prins GS, Ober C. 2012. Genome-wide association study identifies candidate genes for male fertility traits in humans. *Am J Hum Genet* **90**:950–961. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2012.04.016

Krausz C, Riera-Escamilla A, Moreno-Mendoza D, Holleman K, Cioppi F, Algaba F, Pybus M, Friedrich C, Wyrwoll MJ, Casamonti E, Pietroforte S, Nagirnaja L, Lopes AM, Kliesch S, Pilatz A, Carrell DT, Conrad DF, Ars E, Ruiz-Castañé E, Aston KI, Baarends WM, Tüttelmann F. 2020. Genetic dissection of spermatogenic arrest through exome analysis: clinical implications for the management of azoospermic men. *Genet Med* **22**:1956–1966. doi:10.1038/s41436-020-0907-1

Krüger O, Wolf JBW, Jonker RM, Hoffman JI, Trillmich F. 2014. Disentangling the contribution of sexual selection and ecology to the evolution of size dimorphism in pinnipeds. *Evolution* **68**:1485–1496. doi:10.1111/evo.12370

Kuo Y-C, Shen Y-R, Chen H-I, Lin Y-H, Wang Y-Y, Chen Y-R, Wang C-Y, Kuo P-L. 2015. SEPT12 orchestrates the formation of mammalian sperm annulus by organizing core octameric complexes with other SEPT proteins. *J Cell Sci* **128**:923–934. doi:10.1242/jcs.158998

Lanzendorf SE, Holmgren WJ, Johnson DE, Scobey MJ, Jeyendran RS. 1992. Hemizona assay for measuring zona binding in the lowland gorilla. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* **31**:264–267. doi:10.1002/mrd.1080310407

Larkin A, Marygold SJ, Antonazzo G, Attrill H, dos Santos G, Garapati PV, Goodman JL, Gramates LS, Millburn G, Strelets VB, Tabone CJ, Thurmond J, FlyBase Consortium. 2021. FlyBase: updates to the Drosophila melanogaster knowledge base. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:D899–D907. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1026

Laws RM. 1956. The Elephant Seal (Mirounga leonina, Linn.): III. The physiology of reproduction. *Falkland Islands Dependencies Survey Scientific Reports* **15**.

Lee C-H, Wu C-C, Wu Y-N, Chiang H-S. 2009. Gene copy number variations in
Asian patients with congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens. *Hum Reprod*
24:748–755. doi:10.1093/humrep/den413

Lehti MS, Sironen A. 2017. Formation and function of sperm tail structures in
association with sperm motility defects. *Biol Reprod* **97**:522–536.
doi:10.1093/biolre/iox096

Lewis RW, Kim JC, Irani D, Roberts JA. 1981. The prostate of the nonhuman
primate: normal anatomy and pathology. *Prostate* **2**:51–70.
doi:10.1002/pros.2990020106

Lewontin RC. 2002. Directions in evolutionary biology. *Annu Rev Genet* **36**:1–18.
doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.36.052902.102704

Liao Y, Wang J, Jaehnig EJ, Shi Z, Zhang B. 2019. WebGestalt 2019: gene set
analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W199–W205.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkz401

Lipshutz SE, Torneo SJ, Rosvall KA. 2023. How Female-Female Competition
Affects Male-Male Competition: Insights into Postcopulatory Sexual Selection from
Socially Polyandrous Species. *Am Nat* **201**:460–471. doi:10.1086/722799

Liu M, Wang L, Li Y, Zhi E, Shen G, Jiang X, Li D, Zhao X, Ruan T, Jiang C, Wang
X, Zhang X, Zheng Y, Wu B, Ou N, Zhao G, Dai S, Zhou R, Yang L, Yang Y, Liu
H, Shen Y. 2024. HSF5 Deficiency Causes Male Infertility Involving Spermatogenic
Arrest at Meiotic Prophase I in Humans and Mice. *Advanced Science* **11**:2402412.
doi:10.1002/advs.202402412

Liu S, Zhang J, Kherraf ZE, Sun S, Zhang X, Cazin C, Coutton C, Zouari R, Zhao
S, Hu F, Fourati Ben Mustapha S, Arnoult C, Ray PF, Liu M. 2021. CFAP61 is
required for sperm flagellum formation and male fertility in human and mouse.
Development **148**:dev199805. doi:10.1242/dev.199805

Lucaci AG, Wisotsky SR, Shank SD, Weaver S, Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2021. Extra
base hits: Widespread empirical support for instantaneous multiple-nucleotide
changes. *PLoS One* **16**:e0248337. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248337

Maezawa S, Sakashita A, Yukawa M, Chen X, Takahashi K, Alavattam KG, Nakata
I, Weirauch MT, Barski A, Namekawa SH. 2020. Super-enhancer switching drives
a burst in gene expression at the mitosis-to-meiosis transition. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*
27:978–988. doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0488-3

Margolin DH, Kousi M, Chan Y-M, Lim ET, Schmahmann JD, Hadjivassiliou M,
Hall JE, Adam I, Dwyer A, Plummer L, Aldrin SV, O'Rourke J, Kirby A, Lage K,
Milunsky A, Milunsky JM, Chan J, Hedley-Whyte ET, Daly MJ, Katsanis N,
Seminara SB. 2013. Ataxia, Dementia, and Hypogonadotropism Caused by
Disordered Ubiquitination. *N Engl J Med* **368**:1992–2003.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1215993

Martinez G, Garcia C. 2020. Sexual selection and sperm diversity in primates.
Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology **518**:110974.
doi:10.1016/j.mce.2020.110974

McDonald JH, Kreitman M. 1991. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in Drosophila. *Nature* **351**:652–654. doi:10.1038/351652a0

McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GRS, Thormann A, Flicek P, Cunningham F. 2016. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* **17**:122. doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4

Messier W, Stewart CB. 1997. Episodic adaptive evolution of primate lysozymes. *Nature* **385**:151–154. doi:10.1038/385151a0

Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**:1530–1534. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015

Møller AP. 1998. 2 - Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection In: Birkhead TR, Møller A. P., editors. Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection. San Diego: Academic Press. pp. 55–90. doi:10.1016/B978-012100543-6/50027-1

Morita M, Satoh S, Ito T, Kohda M, Awata S. 2023. Sperm competition influences sperm quality and seminal plasma protein expression among territorial males of the courtship cichlid. *bioRxiv: The Preprint Server for Biology* 2023.09.07.556763. doi:10.1101/2023.09.07.556763

Mullins KJ, Saacke RG. 1989. Study of the functional anatomy of bovine cervical mucosa with special reference to mucus secretion and sperm transport. *Anat Rec* **225**:106–117. doi:10.1002/ar.1092250205

Murat F, Mbengue N, Winge SB, Trefzer T, Leushkin E, Sepp M, Cardoso-Moreira M, Schmidt J, Schneider C, Mößinger K, Brüning T, Lamanna F, Belles MR, Conrad C, Kondova I, Bontrop R, Behr R, Khaitovich P, Pääbo S, Marques-Bonet T, Grützner F, Almstrup K, Schierup MH, Kaessmann H. 2023. The molecular evolution of spermatogenesis across mammals. *Nature* **613**:308–316. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05547-7

Murga-Moreno J, Casillas S, Barbadilla A, Uricchio L, Enard D. 2023. An efficient and robust ABC approach to infer the rate and strength of adaptation. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2023.08.29.555322

Muse SV, Gaut BS. 1994. A likelihood approach for comparing synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates, with application to the chloroplast genome. *Mol Biol Evol* **11**:715–724. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040152

Nabi S, Askari M, Rezaei-Gazik M, Salehi N, Almadani N, Tahamtani Y, Totonchi M. 2022. A rare frameshift mutation in SYCP1 is associated with human male infertility. *Mol Hum Reprod* **28**:gaac009. doi:10.1093/molehr/gaac009

Nascimento JM, Shi LZ, Meyers S, Gagneux P, Loskutoff NM, Botvinick EL, Berns MW. 2008. The use of optical tweezers to study sperm competition and motility in primates. *J R Soc Interface* **5**:297–302. doi:10.1098/rsif.2007.1118

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and
effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol
Biol Evol* **32**:268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300

Nielsen R, Yang Z. 1998. Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino
acid sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. *Genetics* **148**:929–936.
doi:10.1093/genetics/148.3.929

Niu X, Tang W, Liu Yongshuo, Mo B, Yu Y, Liu Ying, Wei W. 2025. Prime editor-
based high-throughput screening reveals functional synonymous mutations in
human cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 1–13. doi:10.1038/s41587-025-02710-z

Nsubuga AM, Robbins MM, Boesch C, Vigilant L. 2008. Patterns of paternity and
group fission in wild multimale mountain gorilla groups. *Am J Phys Anthropol*
135:263–274. doi:10.1002/ajpa.20740

O'Flynn O'Brien KL, Varghese AC, Agarwal A. 2010. The genetic causes of male
factor infertility: a review. *Fertil Steril* **93**:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.10.045

Parker GA. 1970. Sperm Competition and Its Evolutionary Consequences in the
Insects. *Biological Reviews* **45**:525–567. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1970.tb01176.x

Perkins LA, Holderbaum L, Tao R, Hu Y, Sopko R, McCall K, Yang-Zhou D,
Flockhart I, Binari R, Shim H-S, Miller A, Housden A, Foos M, Randkelv S, Kelley
C, Namgyal P, Villalta C, Liu L-P, Jiang X, Huan-Huan Q, Wang X, Fujiyama A,
Toyoda A, Ayers K, Blum A, Czech B, Neumuller R, Yan D, Cavallaro A, Hibbard
K, Hall D, Cooley L, Hannon GJ, Lehmann R, Parks A, Mohr SE, Ueda R, Kondo
S, Ni J-Q, Perrimon N. 2015. The Transgenic RNAi Project at Harvard Medical
School: Resources   and   Validation.   *Genetics*   **201**:843–852.
doi:10.1534/genetics.115.180208

Piñero J, Ramírez-Anguita JM, Saüch-Pitarch J, Ronzano F, Centeno E, Sanz F,
Furlong LI. 2020. The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019
update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**:D845–D855. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz1021

Platz   CC,   Wildt   DE,   Bridges   CH,   Seager   SW,   Whitlock   BS.   1980.
Electroejaculation and semen analysis in a male lowland gorilla,Gorilla gorilla
gorilla. *Primates* **21**:130–132. doi:10.1007/BF02383831

Pond SK, Muse SV. 2005. Site-to-site variation of synonymous substitution rates.
Mol Biol Evol **22**:2375–2385. doi:10.1093/molbev/msi232

Ptak SE, Lachmann M. 2003. On the evolution of polygyny: a theoretical
examination of the polygyny threshold model. *Behavioral Ecology* **14**:201–211.
doi:10.1093/beheco/14.2.201

Ramirez-Diaz J, Cenadelli S, Bornaghi V, Bongioni G, Montedoro SM, Achilli A,
Capelli C, Rincon JC, Milanesi M, Passamonti MM, Colli L, Barbato M, Williams
JL, Marsan PA. 2023. Identification of genomic regions associated with total and
progressive sperm motility in Italian Holstein bulls. *J Dairy Sci* **106**:407–420.
doi:10.3168/jds.2021-21700

Ranwez V, Douzery EJP, Cambon C, Chantret N, Delsuc F. 2018. MACSE v2:
Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and
Stop Codons. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**:2582–2584. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy159

Richardson L, Hanrahan JP, Tharmalingam T, Carrington SD, Lonergan P, Evans
ACO, Fair S. 2019. Cervical mucus sialic acid content determines the ability of
frozen-thawed ram sperm to migrate through the cervix. *Reproduction* **157**:259–
271. doi:10.1530/REP-18-0547

Rivas-González I, Rousselle M, Li F, Zhou L, Dutheil JY, Munch K, Shao Y, Wu D,
Schierup MH, Zhang G. 2023. Pervasive incomplete lineage sorting illuminates
speciation   and   selection   in   primates. *Science* **380**:eabn4409.
doi:10.1126/science.abn4409

Robinson M, Witherspoon L, Willerth S, Flannigan R. 2021. A Novel Organoid
Model of In Vitro Spermatogenesis Using Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells.
bioRxiv: The   Preprint   Server   for   Biology   2021.06.04.447122.
doi:10.1101/2021.06.04.447122

Romanel A, Zhang T, Elemento O, Demichelis F. 2017. EthSEQ: ethnicity
annotation from whole exome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **33**:2402–2404.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx165

Romiguier J, Ranwez V, Delsuc F, Galtier N, Douzery EJP. 2013. Less is more in
mammalian phylogenomics: AT-rich genes minimize tree conflicts and unravel the
root   of   placental   mammals. *Mol   Biol   Evol* **30**:2134–2144.
doi:10.1093/molbev/mst116

Roucher-Boulez F, Mallet-Motak D, Samara-Boustani D, Jilani H, Ladjouze A,
Souchon P-F, Simon D, Nivot S, Heinrichs C, Ronze M, Bertagna X, Groisne L,
Leheup B, Naud-Saudreau C, Blondin G, Lefevre C, Lemarchand L, Morel Y. 2016.
NNT mutations: a cause of primary adrenal insufficiency, oxidative stress and
extra-adrenal defects. *Eur J Endocrinol* **175**:73–84. doi:10.1530/EJE-16-0056

Ruan T, Zhou R, Yang Y, Guo J, Jiang C, Wang X, Shen G, Dai S, Chen S, Shen
Y. 2024. Deficiency of IQCH causes male infertility in humans and mice. *eLife*
12:RP88905. doi:10.7554/eLife.88905

Scally A, Dutheil JY, Hillier LW, Jordan GE, Goodhead I, Herrero J, Hobolth A,
Lappalainen T, Mailund T, Marques-Bonet T, McCarthy S, Montgomery SH,
Schwalie PC, Tang YA, Ward MC, Xue Y, Yngvadottir B, Alkan C, Andersen LN,
Ayub Q, Ball EV, Beal K, Bradley BJ, Chen Y, Clee CM, Fitzgerald S, Graves TA,
Gu Y, Heath P, Heger A, Karakoc E, Kolb-Kokocinski A, Laird GK, Lunter G,
Meader S, Mort M, Mullikin JC, Munch K, O'Connor TD, Phillips AD, Prado-
Martinez J, Rogers AS, Sajjadian S, Schmidt D, Shaw K, Simpson JT, Stenson
PD, Turner DJ, Vigilant L, Vilella AJ, Whitener W, Zhu B, Cooper DN, de Jong P,
Dermitzakis ET, Eichler EE, Flicek P, Goldman N, Mundy NI, Ning Z, Odom DT,
Ponting CP, Quail MA, Ryder OA, Searle SM, Warren WC, Wilson RK, Schierup
MH, Rogers J, Tyler-Smith C, Durbin R. 2012. Insights into hominid evolution from
the gorilla genome sequence. *Nature* **483**:169–175. doi:10.1038/nature10842

Seager SWJ, Wildt DE, Schaffer N, Platz CC. 1982. Semen collection and
evaluation in Gorilla gorilla gorilla. *American Journal of Primatology* **3**:13–13.
doi:10.1002/ajp.1350030506

Serrano M, Ramón M, Calvo JH, Jiménez MÁ, Freire F, Vázquez JM, Arranz JJ.
2021. Genome-wide association studies for sperm traits in Assaf sheep breed.
Animal **15**:100065. doi:10.1016/j.animal.2020.100065

Seuanez HN, Carothers AD, Martin DE, Short RV. 1977. Morphological
abnormalities in spermatozoa of man and great apes. *Nature* **270**:345–347.
doi:10.1038/270345a0

Shah K, Sivapalan G, Gibbons N, Tempest H, Griffin DK. 2003. The genetic basis
of infertility. *Reproduction* **126**:13–25. doi:10.1530/rep.0.1260013

Shami AN, Zheng X, Munyoki SK, Ma Q, Manske GL, Green CD, Sukhwani M,
Orwig KE, Li JZ, Hammoud SS. 2020. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Human,
Macaque, and Mouse Testes Uncovers Conserved and Divergent Features of
Mammalian Spermatogenesis. *Developmental Cell* **54**:529-547.e12.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.05.010

Short RV. 1979. Sexual Selection and Its Component Parts, Somatic and Genital
Selection, as Illustrated by Man and the Great Apes* In: Rosenblatt JS, Hinde RA,
Beer C, Busnel M-C, editors. Advances in the Study of Behavior. Academic Press.
pp. 131–158. doi:10.1016/S0065-3454(08)60035-2

Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Weaver S, Murrell B, Scheffler K, Kosakovsky Pond SL.
2015. Less is more: an adaptive branch-site random effects model for efficient
detection of episodic diversifying selection. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**:1342–1353.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msv022

Soni V, Vos M, Eyre-Walker A. 2022. A new test suggests hundreds of amino acid
polymorphisms in humans are subject to balancing selection. *PLoS Biol*
20:e3001645. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001645

Suarez SS. 2016. Mammalian sperm interactions with the female reproductive
tract. *Cell Tissue Res* **363**:185–194. doi:10.1007/s00441-015-2244-2

Suarez SS. 1987. Sperm transport and motility in the mouse oviduct: observations
in situ. *Biol Reprod* **36**:203–210. doi:10.1095/biolreprod36.1.203

Suarez SS, Pacey AA. 2006. Sperm transport in the female reproductive tract.
Hum Reprod Update **12**:23–37. doi:10.1093/humupd/dmi047

Suarez SS, Wolfner MF. 2021. Cilia take the egg on a magic carpet ride. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **118**:e2108887118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2108887118

Svensson EI. 2020. O Causation, Where Art Thou? *BioScience* **70**:264–268.
doi:10.1093/biosci/biaa009

Tian S, Faheem M, Satti HS, Xiao J, Zhang F, Khan TN, Liu C. 2024. A
homozygous missense variant in YTHDC2 induces azoospermia in two siblings.
Mol Genet Genomics **299**:84. doi:10.1007/s00438-024-02168-9

Tu C, Cong J, Zhang Q, He X, Zheng R, Yang X, Gao Y, Wu H, Lv M, Gu Y, Lu S, Liu C, Tian S, Meng L, Wang W, Tan C, Nie H, Li D, Zhang H, Gong F, Hu L, Lu G, Xu W, Lin G, Zhang F, Cao Y, Tan Y-Q. 2021. Bi-allelic mutations of DNAH10 cause primary male infertility with asthenoteratozoospermia in humans and mice. *Am J Hum Genet* **108**:1466–1477. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.06.010

Tung C-K, Suarez SS. 2021. Co-Adaptation of Physical Attributes of the Mammalian Female Reproductive Tract and Sperm to Facilitate Fertilization. *Cells* **10**:1297. doi:10.3390/cells10061297

Tüttelmann F, Ruckert C, Röpke A. 2018. Disorders of spermatogenesis: Perspectives for novel genetic diagnostics after 20 years of unchanged routine. *Med Genet* **30**:12–20. doi:10.1007/s11825-018-0181-7

Uricchio LH, Petrov DA, Enard D. 2019. Exploiting selection at linked sites to infer the rate and strength of adaptation. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**:977–984. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-0890-6

Vedelek V, Bodai L, Grézal G, Kovács B, Boros IM, Laurinyecz B, Sinka R. 2018. Analysis of Drosophila melanogaster testis transcriptome. *BMC Genomics* **19**:697. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5085-z

Verner J. 1964. Evolution of Polygamy in the Long-Billed Marsh Wren. *Evolution* **18**:252–261. doi:10.2307/2406398

Vert J-P, Foveau N, Lajaunie C, Vandenbrouck Y. 2006. An accurate and interpretable model for siRNA efficacy prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**:520. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-520

Villeneuve AM, Hillers KJ. 2001. Whence meiosis? *Cell* **106**:647–650. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00500-1

Wagner GP. 2001. What is the promise of developmental evolution? Part II: A causal explanation of evolutionary innovations may be impossible. *J Exp Zool* **291**:305–309. doi:10.1002/jez.1130

Wagner GP. 2000. What is the promise of developmental evolution? Part I: why is developmental biology necessary to explain evolutionary innovations? *J Exp Zool* **288**:95–98. doi:10.1002/1097-010x(20000815)288:2%3C95::aid-jez1%3E3.0.co;2-5

Wang W, Su L, Meng L, He J, Tan C, Yi D, Cheng D, Zhang H, Lu G, Du J, Lin G, Zhang Q, Tu C, Tan Y-Q. 2023. Biallelic variants in KCTD19 associated with male factor infertility and oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. *Hum Reprod* **38**:1399–1411. doi:10.1093/humrep/dead095

Wang Y, Wan J, Ling X, Liu M, Zhou T. 2016. The human sperm proteome 2.0: An integrated resource for studying sperm functions at the level of posttranslational modification. *Proteomics* **16**:2597–2601. doi:10.1002/pmic.201600233

Wertheim JO, Murrell B, Smith MD, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Scheffler K. 2015. RELAX: Detecting Relaxed Selection in a Phylogenetic Framework. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**:820–832. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu400

White-Cooper H. 2012. Tissue, cell type and stage-specific ectopic gene
expression and RNAi induction in the Drosophila testis. *Spermatogenesis* **2**:11–
22. doi:10.4161/spmg.19088

Wislocki GB. 1942. Size, Weight, and Histology of the Testes in the Gorilla. *Journal*
of Mammalogy **23**:281–287. doi:10.2307/1374995

Wisotsky SR, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Shank SD, Muse SV. 2020. Synonymous
Site-to-Site Substitution Rate Variation Dramatically Inflates False Positive Rates
of Selection Analyses: Ignore at Your Own Peril. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**:2430–2439.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa037

Wu Y, Yuan Y, Chen L, Wang M, Yang Y, Wang Y, Quan C, Chen D, Chen Y,
Huang X, Zhou T. 2019. Quantitative Proteomic Analysis of Human Seminal
Plasma from Normozoospermic and Asthenozoospermic Individuals. *Biomed Res*
Int **2019**:2735038. doi:10.1155/2019/2735038

Wyrwoll MJ, Temel ŞG, Nagirnaja L, Oud MS, Lopes AM, Heijden GW van der,
Heald JS, Rotte N, Wistuba J, Wöste M, Ledig S, Krenz H, Smits RM, Carvalho F,
Gonçalves J, Fietz D, Türkgenç B, Ergören MC, Çetinkaya M, Başar M, Kahraman
S, McEleny K, Xavier MJ, Turner H, Pilatz A, Röpke A, Dugas M, Kliesch S,
Neuhaus N, Aston KI, Conrad DF, Veltman JA, Friedrich C, Tüttelmann F. 2020.
Bi-allelic Mutations in M1AP Are a Frequent Cause of Meiotic Arrest and Severely
Impaired Spermatogenesis Leading to Male Infertility. *The American Journal of*
Human Genetics **107**:342–351. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.06.010

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol*
Evol **24**:1586–1591. doi:10.1093/molbev/msm088

Yang Z. 1998. Likelihood ratio tests for detecting positive selection and application
to primate lysozyme evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* **15**:568–573.
doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025957

Yang Z, Nielsen R. 2002. Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular
adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. *Mol Biol Evol* **19**:908–917.
doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004148

Yapar E, Saglican E, Dönertaş HM, Özkurt E, Yan Z, Hu H, Guo S, Erdem B,
Rohlfs RV, Khaitovich P, Somel M. 2021. Convergent evolution of primate testis
transcriptomes reflects mating strategy. *bioRxiv* 010553. doi:10.1101/010553

Yin H, Zhou C, Shi S, Fang L, Liu J, Sun D, Jiang L, Zhang S. 2019. Weighted
Single-Step Genome-Wide Association Study of Semen Traits in Holstein Bulls of
China. *Front Genet* **10**:1053. doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.01053

Yuan S, Wang Z, Peng H, Ward SM, Hennig GW, Zheng H, Yan W. 2021.
Oviductal motile cilia are essential for oocyte pickup but dispensable for sperm and
embryo transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**:e2102940118.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2102940118

Zhang J, Qian W. 2025. Functional synonymous mutations and their evolutionary
consequences. *Nat Rev Genet* 1–16. doi:10.1038/s41576-025-00850-1

Zhang M, Chiozzi RZ, Skerrett-Byrne DA, Veenendaal T, Klumperman J, Heck
AJR, Nixon B, Helms JB, Gadella BM, Bromfield EG. 2022. High Resolution
Proteomic Analysis of Subcellular Fractionated Boar Spermatozoa Provides
Comprehensive Insights Into Perinuclear Theca-Residing Proteins. *Front Cell Dev*
Biol **10**:836208. doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.836208

Zhang P, Jiang W, Luo N, Zhu W, Fan L. 2019. IQ motif containing D (IQCD), a
new acrosomal protein involved in the acrosome reaction and fertilisation. *Reprod*
Fertil Dev **31**:898–914. doi:10.1071/RD18416

Zhang X, Zheng R, Liang C, Liu H, Zhang Xiaozhen, Ma Y, Liu Mohan, Zhang W,
Yang Yang, Liu Man, Jiang C, Ren Q, Wang Y, Chen S, Yang Yihong, Shen Y.
2022. Loss-of-function mutations in CEP78 cause male infertility in humans and
mice. *Sci Adv* **8**:eabn0968. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn0968

Zielen A. 2017. Primate proteomic composition of seminal plasma and prostate-
specific transglutaminase activity in relation to sexual selection. *Electronic Theses*
and Dissertations.

1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

1770

1771

1772

1773

Box 1. Erosion of the male reproductive system and sperm function in gorillas

The near absence of sperm competition in gorillas is associated with the degeneration of

many male reproductive system traits associated with postcopulatory male-male and

sperm competition (Dixson, 2018). Anatomical changes include small testis (**Box 1—**

figure 1A) and penis (**Box 1—figure 1B**) sizes relative to their body size compared to

other primates (Harcourt et al., 1981; Hill and Harrison-Matthews, 1949). Gorillas also

have abnormal testis ultrastructure, organization, and cell-type composition (**Box 1—**

figure 1C) characterized by a smaller seminiferous tubule diameter and length and an

increased proportion of interstitial (non-gametogenic) tissue than other apes (Dixson et

al., 1980; Enomoto et al., 2004; Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011; Hall-Craggs, 1962; Jacobs

et al., 1984; Wislocki, 1942). Other derived traits include a small epididymis (Dixson et al.,

1980), an apparent lack of seminal vesicles (Dixson et al., 1980), and a small prostate that

appears to lack a distinct cranial lobe, i.e., the coagulating gland (Jacobs et al., 1984;

Lewis et al., 1981); these latter two traits are likely related to the observation that gorillas

have low semen volume (Martinez and Garcia, 2020; Seager et al., 1982) and seminal

plasma that lacks many proteins involved in semen coagulation and liquefaction (Lewis

et al., 1981; Zielen, 2017). In addition, gorillas have a smaller sperm midpiece than many

other primates [**Box 1—figure 1D**; (Anderson and Dixson, 2002)]; the midpiece is a

mitochondria-enriched subcellular component of the sperm that provides energy for

sperm motility. This trait is likely related to gorilla sperm having extremely low

mitochondrial function (Anderson et al., 2007) and slow swimming speed and weak

swimming force [**Box 1—figure 1E**; (Nascimento et al., 2008)]. Gorillas also have low

sperm counts (Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011; Gould, 1990; Martinez and Garcia, 2020), and

a large proportion of immotile (Martinez and Garcia, 2020) and morphologically abnormal

sperm [**Box 1—figure 1F**; (Martinez and Garcia, 2020; Platz et al., 1980; Seuanez et al.,

1977)]. Gorilla sperm also bind the egg's zona pellucida more weakly than other species

(Lanzendorf et al., 1992). While many of these traits could result from abnormal hormone

levels, circulating levels of steroid and protein hormones are normal and not associated

with aspermatogenesis or infertility in gorillas (Gould and Kling, 1982). Therefore,

abnormalities in the structure and function of the male reproductive system and sperm

biology in gorillas likely result from changes in the expression or function of genes

responsible for the development and function of the male reproductive system and
sperm, rather than being secondary to endocrinological causes.

1807

Box 1 – figure 1. Derived male reproductive characteristics in gorillas.

A. Correlation between testis weight and body weight in primates. Adapted from (Harcourt
et al., 1981).

B. Correlation between erect penis length and body weight in primates. Adapted from
(Dixson, 2009).

C. Diagram of testis histology in gorilla and other primates. Note that gorillas have fewer
seminiferous tubules (T) and more interstitial tissue (I) than other primates. Adapted from
(Fujii-Hanamoto et al., 2011).

D. Correlation between the sperm midpiece volume and residual testis size (relative testis
size accounting for body mass). Adapted from (Anderson and Dixson, 2002).

E. Boxplots showing the distribution of sperm swimming speeds (VCL, μms^{-1}) and escape
forces (F_{esc}, pN). Adapted from (Nascimento et al., 2008).

F. Proportion of morphologically abnormal sperm in Hominids. Adapted from (Seuanez et
al., 1977).

1822

Appendix figure 1. Patterns of selection acting on human and ape orthologs of gorilla K<1
genes.

A. ABC-MK test results from 1000 Genomes Project. The rate of adaptation (alpha) as a
function of derived allele frequency for human orthologs of K<1 (blue line) and K≈1 genes
(gray line with 95% CI).

B. UpSet plot showing the intersection between gorilla K<1 and K>1 genes and genes
inferred to be under positive and balancing selection for the ELS, HKA, FWH, standard
MK, and Z tests. The inset tree shows the Hominoid phylogeny and number of individuals
used for tests from Cagan et al., 2016. The total number of genes in each set, overlap with

K<1 and K>1, expected overlap, enrichment ratio, hypergeometric *P*-value, and FDR q-

value for enrichment of K<1 genes in each gene set are shown in a table.

1834

1835   **Appendix figure 2. Comparison between gorilla and elephant seal K<1 and K>1 genes.**

1836   **A.** Venn diagram showing gorilla and elephant seal K<1 and K>1 statistically significant (P≤

1837   0.05) genes.

1838   **B.** Top 20 gene ontology (GO) and Reactome (R-HSA-pathway name) terms in which

1839   elephant seal K<1 genes) are enriched.

1840

1841   **Table 1. Gorilla relaxed genes associated with abnormal sperm biology and**

1842   **infertility in humans.** PolyPhen-2 predictions of gorilla amino acid substitutions

1843   (probably damaging | possibly damaging | benign, or NA for unable to determine), K and

1844   *P*-values from the RELAX test, and associated human phenotypes are shown for each

1845   gene.

Gene	PolyPhen-2	K	P	Phenotype
ADCY10	3|1|9	0.053	0.012	Asthenozoospermia (Akbari et al., 2019)
STRC	2|5|10	0.305	0.018	Asthenozoospermia with deafness (Avidan et al., 2003)
CREM	NA	0.019	0.047	Azoospermia (Christensen et al., 2006)
SDHA	1|0|3	0.257	0.014	Azoospermia and oligozoospermia (Bonache et al., 2007)
PANK2	NA	0.010	0.049	Congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens (Lee et al., 2009)
NR5A1	1|0|2	0.000	0.023	Isolated spermatogenic failure (Bashamboo et al., 2010)

OTUD4	0|1|5	0.032	0.032	Kallmann syndrome (Margolin et al., 2013)
DNAH2	5|2|13	0.305	0.002	Multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella (Hwang et al., 2021)
CFAP61	0|1|13	0.130	0.005	Multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella (Liu et al., 2021)
CFAP58	2|1|3	0.104	0.007	Multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella (He et al., 2020)
ZMYND15	NA	0.021	0.001	Non-obstructive azoospermia (Ayhan et al., 2014)
SEPTIN12	3|0|2	0.118	0.019	Teratozoospermia (Kuo et al., 2015)
CEP78	0|1|7	0.048	0.050	Oligoasthenoteratospermia in combination with cone-rod dystrophy and hearing loss (Zhang et al., 2022)
DNAH10	5|7|14	0.399	0.002	Oligoasthenoteratozoospermia (Tu et al., 2021)
NNT	0|0|1	0.130	0.000	Primary Adrenal Insufficiency with precocious puberty (Roucher-Boulez et al., 2016)
DSCAML1	1|3|1	0.014	0.044	Abnormal sperm morphology and fertility (Kosova et al., 2014)
ZMYND12	0|0|3	0.000	0.042	Multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella (Dacheux et al., 2023)
KCTD19	1|0|6	0.16	0.032	Oligoasthenoteratozoospermia (Wang et al., 2023)
EP300	0|1|6	0.561	0.040	Reduced proliferation of spermatogonial stem cells (Chen et al., 2025)

HSF5	1|1|7	0.014	0.005	Meiotic arrest (Liu et al., 2024)
IQCH	2|2|10	0.012	0.026	Flagellar morphological abnormalities (Ruan et al., 2024)
IQCD	0|2|2	0.005	0.023	Impaired acrosome reaction (Zhang et al., 2019)
SYCP1	1|1|2	0.053	1.57e-10	Severe oligozoospermia (Nabi et al., 2022)
YTHDC2	0|0|3	0.296	0.040	Non-obstructive azoospermia (Tian et al., 2024)

1846

Figure 1. Episodic adaptive evolution and relaxed selection intensity on protein-coding
genes in gorillas.

A. Consensus phylogeny of 261 mammals from which protein-coding gene alignments were
generated. The ape (Hominoidea) part of the tree is expanded, and the ancestral
Homininae node used to reconstruct ancestral sequences is labeled. Branch lengths are
scaled to the number of amino acid substitutions in each branch (see inset branch scale).

B. The ABSREL model detects episodic adaptive evolution. Under the null model of no
positive selection, a gene can have one or more classes of sites with $d_N/d_S \leq 1$. When a
gene experiences an episode of positive selection, most sites still have $d_N/d_S \leq 1$ but also
includes an additional rate class with $d_N/d_S > 1$; positive selection is inferred with a gene
includes a class of sites with $d_N/d_S > 1$ with a likelihood ratio test (LRT) P≤0.05.

C. Volcano plot showing the d_N/d_S value (Log$_2$) and likelihood ratio test (LRT) P-value (–Log$_{10}$)
for the ABSREL test in the gorilla lineage. 96 genes were identified with 2 rate classes
where one had $d_N/d_S > 1$ (LRT P≤0.05), 55 genes were identified with 2 rate classes where
one had $d_N/d_S < 1$ (LRT P≤0.05), the remaining 13,336 genes had one rate class with
$d_N/d_S < 1$.

D. Stacked bar chart showing the proportion of genes with d_N/d_S >1 (LRT P≤0.05) in the

gorilla lineage. Pie charts show the proportion of genes with d_N/d_S >1 (LRT P≤0.05) in

gorilla that are also d_N/d_S >1 (LRT P≤0.05) in at least one other lineage; 26 genes have

evidence for positive selection only in the gorilla lineage.

E. The RELAX model detects intensified and relaxed selection. When selection is relaxed,

d_N/d_S rates move toward 1 and/or the proportion of sites increases in rate classes with

d_N/d_S values close to 1. In contrast, intensified selection drives d_N/d_S rates away from

neutrality to more extreme values in the foreground than background lineages. Each

branch in the RELAX model includes a selection intensity parameter (K), which scales the

distribution of d_N/d_S rate categories (ω_1^k, ω_2^k, ω_3^k) such that genes with K<1 are inferred to

evolve under relaxed selection intensity, and genes with K>1 are inferred to evolve under

enhanced selection intensity.

F. Volcano plot showing the K-value (Log_2) and likelihood ratio test (LRT) P-value ($-Log_{10}$) for

the RELAX test in the gorilla lineage. 578 genes were identified with K<1 and 144 with K>1

(LRT P≤0.05); the remaining 12,769 genes had K≈1. The 25 genes with the most extreme

K<1 and P-values and the two genes with the most extreme K>1 values are labeled.

G. Stacked bar chart showing the proportion of genes with K<1, K>1, and K≈1 in the gorilla

lineage. Pie charts show the proportion of genes with K>1 in gorilla that are also K≠1 in at

least one other lineage (upper) or K<1 in gorilla that are also K≠1 in at least one other

lineage (lower). Blue: proportion of genes with K<1 in at least one other lineage. Red:

proportion of genes with K>1 in at least one other lineage. Purple: proportion of genes

with K<1 and K>1 in other lineages.

Figure 1 – source data 1. Genomes used in selection tests.

Figure 1 – source data 2. List of positively selected genes from ABSREL.

Figure 1 – source data 3. List of K<1 genes from RELAX.

Figure 1 – source data 4. List of K>1 genes from RELAX.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Genomic features of genes with K<1 (blue) compared to all genes

tested for relaxed selection intensity (red). *P*-values derived from a Chi-square test.

1891

Figure 2. Amino acid substitutions in gorilla relaxed genes are likely deleterious.

A. Volcano plot showing the d_N/d_S (Log$_2$) and likelihood ratio test (LRT) P-value (–Log$_{10}$) for

codons with gorilla-specific amino acid changes in genes with K≠1 in gorilla. d_N/d_S rates

and *P*-values were estimated with the fixed effect likelihood (FEL) model, the *P*-value is

for the test of d_N/d_S ≠ 1 at each codon. Sites with d_N/d_S ≠ 1 at *P*≤0.05 in K<1 genes are

shown in blue and K>1 genes in red.

B. Bubble plot showing the percent of each amino acid substitution in the gorilla lineage,

colored according to the BLOSUM 250 score for that transition. Note that, unlike amino

acid substitution matrices, this matrix is not symmetric because the direction of amino

acid substitution is inferred from the ancestral reconstruction.

C. Stripchart showing the distribution of PolyPhen-2 scores for gorilla-specific amino acid

changes in genes with K≈1 (12,769 genes), K<1 (578 genes), and K>1 (144 genes).

PolyPhen-2 scores for each amino acid change are shown as jittered dots, a summary of

the data for each gene set is shown as boxplot with the mean score indicated, and a violin

plot reflecting the distribution of PolyPhen-2 scores. The number of amino acid

substitutions is given in parenthesis for each gene set. The range of scores corresponding

to benign, possibly damaging, and probably damaging mutations is highlighted. Multiple

hypotheses (Holm) corrected p values (p$_{Holm-adj.}$) are shown for comparisons of K<1 and

K>1 to K≈1, and K>1 to K>1.

D. The percentage of probably damaging amino acid substitutions in K<1, K=1, and K>1

genes. Binomial tests for differences between K<1 and K=1, and K=1 and K>1 genes are

shown. These data indicate there is a greater percentage of probably damaging

substitutions in K<1 genes, and a lower percentage of probably damaging substitutions in

K>1 genes compared to K=1 genes.

1916

Figure 3. Gorilla relaxed genes are preferentially expressed from meiosis onwards and are
enriched in sperm-related functions.

A. Word cloud showing gene ontology (GO) biology process terms for which the gorilla K<1
genes are enriched. Note that only terms related to reproduction, meiosis, or sperm
biology are shown. Inset size scale shows word size for an enrichment P-value of 0.01,
words are colored according to their enrichment ratios.

B. Bar chart showing GO cellular component terms in which genes with K<1 in gorilla are
enriched. Only terms related to the cytoskeleton, cilia, centrosomes, and septin ring
complexes are shown. Terms are colored according to their enrichment ratios (scale
shown in panel A).

C. Enrichment of genes with K<1 (blue) and K>1 (red) in the prostate, based on human
scRNA-seq datasets (WebCSEA).

D. Testis cell types in which the gorilla K≠1 genes are enriched. Left, UMAP plot of single-cell

RNA-Seq data generated from gorilla testis. Major cell types are colored and named, the

arrow indicates direction of sperm differentiation. Right, volcano plot showing the

enrichment ratio (Log_2) and hypergeometric P-value ($–Log_{10}$) for the expression of genes

with K≠1 in gorilla testis cell types. Cell types with statistically significant (FDR q-

value≤0.10) enrichment or depletion of the K≠1 gene set are labeled (blue for enrichment

of genes with K<1 and red for enrichment of genes with K>1). Inset diagram shows

enrichment ratios for the expression of K<1 genes in each cell type, ordered by direction

of germ cell differentiation (bottom to top). Bar widths are scaled according to the

enrichment ratio in the gorilla lineage; the background gray box indicates a ratio of 1.

Boxes and cell-type labels are colored according to cell population labels in the UMAP

plot. Labels are in bold if the expression of K<1 genes is statistically enriched or depleted

in that cell type (FDR q-value≤0.10).

1942  **E.** Enrichment ratio of proteins encoded by the K<1 (blue) and the K>1 (red) genes in different
1943    fractions of the sperm cell proteome (FDR q-value≤0.10).

1944  **Figure 3 – source data 1.** Enriched GO terms.

1945

1946  **Figure 4. Gorilla relaxed genes with probably damaging amino acid substitutions are**
1947  **associated with multiple sperm abnormalities.**

1948  **A.** Word cloud showing GO biological process and molecular function terms in which genes
1949    with K<1 and probably damaging substitutions are enriched. Note that only terms related
1950    to reproduction, meiosis, or sperm biology are shown. Inset size scale shows word size
1951    for an enrichment P-value of 0.01, phenotypes are colored according to their enrichment
1952    ratios.

1953  **B.** Word cloud showing the top 40 mouse knockout phenotypes in which genes with K<1
1954    and probably damaging substitutions are enriched. Inset size scale shows word size for
1955    an enrichment P-value of 0.01, phenotypes are colored according to their enrichment
1956    ratios.

1957  **C.** Testis cell types in which the expression of gorilla K<1 genes with probably damaging
1958    amino acid substitutions are enriched or depleted. Bar chart shows the enrichment ratio
1959    for each cell-type, ordered by direction of germ cell differentiation (bottom to top). Bar
1960    widths are scaled according to the enrichment ratio; the background gray box indicates a
1961    ratio of 1. Boxes and cell-type labels are colored according to cell population labels in the
1962    UMAP plot in Figure 2 panel D, labels are bold if the expression of genes with K<1 is
1963    statistically enriched or depleted in that cell-type at FDR q-value≤0.10.

1964  **D.** Enrichment ratio of proteins encoded by the K<1 genes with probably damaging amino
1965    acid changes in different fractions of the sperm cell proteome (FDR q-value≤0.10).

1966

1967  **Figure 5. Relaxed section intensity identifies new spermatogenesis genes.**

1968  **A.** *Drosophila in vivo* germ cell-specific RNAi screen uncovers new spermatogenic functions
1969    for orthologs of the gorilla K<1 genes. Silencing of 156 *Drosophila* orthologs expressed in
1970    the testis with no previous association with male fertility/spermatogenesis was induced at

the onset of the mitosis-to-meiosis transition using the *bam*-GAL4 driver. Results reflect a total of four independent experiments (mean±standard deviation). Threshold for impaired reproductive fitness (red horizontal line) corresponds to a 75% fertility rate or >2 standard deviations of the mean observed in negative (-ve) controls (in black). The red data point corresponds to the positive (+ve) control (ribosomal protein L3). Testicular phenotypes of the 43 hits were defined by phase-contrast microscopy and assigned to four color-coded classes based on the earliest manifestation of the phenotype (see B and C).

B. Representative images of the four phenotypical classes of spermatogenic impairment defined in the Drosophila RNAi screen (see A). Phase-contrast microscopy of testis segments or of mature male gametes. Pre-meiotic phenotypes were characterized by a smaller testis with severely compromised germ cell growth and differentiation. Meiotic phenotypes were defined by an accumulation of spermatocytes (arrowheads) as well as by the lack of post-meiotic stages (arrows indicate elongating spermatid bundles). Post-meiotic (I) phenotypes corresponded to severe spermiogenesis defects, leading to irregular or altogether collapsed spermatid bundles (asterisk). Post-meiotic (II) phenotypes were characterized by a substantial reduction in the amount of mature male gametes observed, without any overt defects in spermiogenesis. For simplicity, the Drosophila gene names refer to the human ortholog (dIARS1 is CG11471, dGPAA1 is CG3033, dCDK12 is CG7597, and dAGK is CG31873). Scale bars: 20 μm.

C. Half (61/116) of all gorilla K<1 genes involved in male fertility are functionally required for the post-meiotic stages of spermatogenesis (spermatid development and/or mature gamete function). Data include results from the Drosophila RNAi screen (n= 41; see A) and published evidence on human, mice and fruit fly orthologs (n= 75; see **Methods**). Phenotype classes follow the same color code as in A, with the addition of a class ("Other") corresponding to non-spermatogenic phenotypes reported in the literature (either unspecified or of somatic nature).

Figure 6. Gorilla relaxed genes are associated with human male infertility.

A. Burden of predicted loss-of-function (LoF) and missense variants for human orthologs of gorilla K<1 and K≈1 genes in the MERGE cohort of infertile men. Data are shown as Fisher's exact test (FET) *P*-values for enrichment of LoF and missense variants in the MERGE cohort compared to gnomAD as proxy for a cohort of fertile men.

2004   **B.** QQ-plot of expected versus observed LoF FET *P*-values in the MERGE cohort.

2005   **C.** Gene-level constraint metrics for human orthologs of gorilla K<1 and K≈1 genes, including

2006   the probability of loss-of-function intolerance (pLI), and missense and synonymous Z-

2007   scores from gnomAD data. pLI scores closer to one indicate more intolerance to protein-

2008   truncating variation whereas higher (more positive) Z-scores indicate more intolerance to

2009   variation. Statistics for each comparison are given above each panel.

A

abnormal sperm mitochondrial sheath morphology   teratozoospermia

abnormal fertility/fecundity   necrospermia   abnormal sperm axoneme morphology   decreased sperm progressive motility

decreased germ cell number   immotile sperm   abnormal sperm midpiece morphology   abnormal spermiogenesis

abnormal germ cell morphology   short sperm flagellum   abnormal sperm progressive motility

abnormal gametogenesis

abnormal cilium morphology   abnormal spermatogenesis

abnormal male reproductive system physiology

abnormal motile cilium morphology   abnormal gametes

abnormal sperm motility   infertility   male infertility

asthenozoospermia

kinked sperm flagellum   abnormal sperm flagellum morphology

abnormal sperm physiology   abnormal male germ cell morphology

coiled sperm flagellum   decreased male germ cell number   oligozoospermia

abnormal reproductive system physiology   hearing/vestibular/ear phenotype   abnormal sperm number

−Log$_{10}$P=2   Enrichment Ratio   1   12

C

Mature Sperm
Elongated spermatids
Elongated spermatids
Elongated spermatids
Elongated spermatids
Late Round spermatids
Late Round spermatids
Late Round spermatids
Early Round spermatids
Early Round spermatids
Early Round spermatids 1
Pachytene Spermatocytes
Pachytene Spermatocytes
Pachytene Spermatocytes
Zygotene Spermatocytes 2
Zygotene Spermatocytes 1
Leptotene Spermatocyt
Differentiated Spermatogon
Differentiated Spermatogon
Undifferentiated Spermatogon
Undifferentiated Spermatogon
Sertoli
Other Somatic

Enrichment Ratio = 1

B

decreased male germ cell number   oligozoospermia   fused inner hair cell stereocilia   abnormal sperm number

abnormal male reproductive system physiology   abnormal gametogenesis   decreased sperm progressive motility

abnormal orientation of inner hair cell stereociliary bundles   abnormal sperm progressive motility   abnormal gametes

abnormal hair cell physiology   abnormal cochlear hair cell morphology

abnormal sperm physiology   abnormal cell motility   abnormal germ cell morphology

male infertility   deafness   abnormal outer hair cell stereociliary bundle morphology

abnormal hair cell mechanoelectric transduction   abnormal intestinal iron level

abnormal cochlear hair cell stereociliary bundle morphology   increased intestinal iron level   infertility

abnormal sperm flagellum morphology   abnormal spermatid morphology

abnormal motile cilium morphology   asthenozoospermia

coiled sperm flagellum   abnormal sperm motility

teratozoospermia   abnormal cilium morphology

abnormal male germ cell morphology   abnormal spermatogenesis   short sperm flagellum

abnormal somatic nervous system physiology   abnormal inner hair cell stereociliary bundle morphology

abnormal sarcoplasmic reticulum morphology   increased liver iron level   abnormal sensory neuron physiology

decreased small intestinal microvillus size

−Log$_{10}$P=2   Enrichment Ratio   1   30

D

Annulus
Centrioles
Nucleus
Soluble Chromatin
Tail
Whole Sperm
Perinuclear Theca
Acrosome Membrane

0   20   40   60   80

Enrichment Ratio

A

B

R-HSA-2262752: Cellular responses to stress
GO:0051603: proteolysis involved in protein catabolic process
R-HSA-199991: Membrane Trafficking
GO:0033365: protein localization to organelle
GO:0016197: endosomal transport
GO:1903050: regulation of proteolysis involved in protein catabolic process
GO:0098662: inorganic cation transmembrane transport
GO:0060322: head development
GO:0090066: regulation of anatomical structure size
GO:0051668: localization within membrane
GO:1902600: proton transmembrane transport
GO:0045185: maintenance of protein location
GO:0032392: DNA geometric change
GO:0044092: negative regulation of molecular function
GO:0034976: response to endoplasmic reticulum stress
GO:0000075: cell cycle checkpoint signaling
GO:1902532: negative regulation of intracellular signal transduction
GO:0017015: regulation of transforming growth factor beta receptor signaling pathway
GO:0016236: macroautophagy
WP2005: miR targeted genes in muscle cell