1 **Genome-wide evidence of the role of Paf1C in transcription elongation and histone**

2 **H2B monoubiquitination in *Arabidopsis***

3 Noel Blanco-Touriñán[1,#], Jaime Pérez-Alemany[1,#], Clara Bourbousse[2], David Latrasse[3],

4 Ouardia Ait-Mohamed[2], Moussa Benhamed[3], Fredy Barneche[2], Miguel A. Blázquez[1],

5 Javier Gallego-Bartolomé[1], David Alabadí[1,*]

   [1]Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (CSIC-UPV), Valencia (Spain)

   [2]Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure (CNRS), Ecole normale supérieure,
   CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, Paris, France

   [3]Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (Université Paris-Saclay-CNRS), Orsay (France)

[#]Noel Blanco-Touriñán and Jaime Pérez-Alemany contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: David Alabadí (dalabadi@ibmcp.upv.es)

Running head: Role of Paf1C in transcriptional elongation

Abstract

The evolutionarily conserved Paf1 complex (Paf1C) participates in transcription, and

research in animals and fungi suggests that it facilitates RNAPII progression through

chromatin remodeling. To obtain evidence that Paf1C acts in transcription elongation in

Arabidopsis, we examined the genomic distribution of the ELF7 and VIP3 subunits of

Paf1C. The occupancy of both subunits was confined to thousands of gene bodies and

positively correlated with RNAPII occupancy and the level of gene expression,

supporting a role as a transcription elongation factor. We found that monoubiquitinated

histone H2B, which marks most transcribed genes, was strongly reduced genome-wide

in *elf7* seedlings. Genome-wide profiling of RNAPII revealed that in *elf7* mutants, RNAPII

occupancy was reduced throughout the gene body and at the transcription end site of

Paf1C-targeted genes, suggesting a direct role for the complex in transcription

elongation. Overall, our observations suggest that there is a direct functional link

between Paf1C activity, mono-ubiquitination of histone H2B, and the transition of RNPII

to productive elongation. However, for several genes, Paf1C may also act independently

of H2Bub deposition or occupy these genes more stably than H2Bub marking, possibly

reflecting the dynamic nature of Paf1C association and H2Bub turnover during

transcription.

INTRODUCTION

During gene transcription, numerous proteins and protein complexes help RNA Polymerase II (RNAPII) to move through the gene body, including histone chaperones, chromatin remodelers, and transcription elongation factors, most of which are evolutionarily conserved in eukaryotes (Obermeyer et al., 2023). One of them is the transcription elongation complex POLYMERASE ASSOCIATED FACTOR1 (Paf1C). Paf1C was originally identified in yeast and the current view of how Paf1C acts in gene regulation is largely based on studies in yeast and mammals (Francette et al., 2021). Early genetic and biochemical evidence for a positive role of Paf1C in transcriptional elongation (Shi et al., 1997; Rondón et al., 2004) is now supported by structural studies showing the association of the complex with active RNAPII (Vos et al., 2018; Vos et al., 2020). Paf1C is also involved in posttranslational modification of histones. Histone modifications such as the monoubiquitination of histone H2B (H2Bub) or the methylation of lysines 4 or 36 of histone H3 (H3K4me, H3K36me), which influence chromatin dynamics co-transcriptionally to facilitate RNAPII progression, have been found to be dependent on Paf1C in yeast and mammals (Francette et al., 2021).

Several subunits of plant Paf1C have been genetically identified in *Arabidopsis* in genetic screens for early flowering mutants or by searching for homologous genes (Zhang and Van Nocker, 2002; Zhang et al., 2003; He et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2004; Park et al., 2010; Yu and Michaels, 2010). As in animals, the plant Paf1C contains six subunits, PLANT HOMOLOGOUS TO PARAFIBROMIN (PHP)/CELL DIVISION CYCLE73 (CDC73), VERNALIZATION INDEPENDENCE2 (VIP2; also known as EARLY FLOWERING7, ELF7), VIP3, VIP4, VIP5, and VIP6 (ELF8), which are the putative orthologs of CDC73, Paf1, SKI8, LEO1, RTF1, and CTR9, respectively (Obermeyer et al., 2023). The *Arabidopsis* Paf1C is formed *in vivo*, since at least VIP3, VIP4, and VIP6 interact with PHP/CDC73 (Park et al., 2010) and all subunits were identified in interactomic analyses using CDC73 and ELF7 as baits (Antosz et al., 2017). Furthermore, the latter study showed that Paf1C interacts with *bona fide* transcriptional elongation factors and with several subunits of RNAPII, pointing to a conserved role in transcriptional elongation.

In addition to flowering, and consistent with the pleiotropic effects of loss-of-function mutants in several subunits, plant Paf1C has been associated genetically with a variety of largely unrelated physiological processes in *Arabidopsis*, including seed

dormancy (Liu et al., 2011), shoot apical meristem activity (Fal et al., 2017; Fal et al.,

2019; Li et al., 2022), thermomorphogenesis (Zhao et al., 2023), and the response to salt

stress (Zhang et al., 2022) and DNA damaging agents (Li et al., 2023).

In *Arabidopsis*, the role of Paf1C in post-translational modification of histones is

well-documented and has been associated with several physiological processes. This

includes the regulation of flowering time by acting on the flowering repressor gene

FLOWERING LOCUS C (*FLC*) and its paralogs (Cao et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2017; Li et al.,

2019; Nasim et al., 2022). The requirement of Paf1C for histone modifications has also

been shown at the epigenomic level. Although the total amount of H3K4me3 and

H3K36me2 marks is not altered in *vip3*, *vip4*, *vip5*, or *vip6* cell extracts (Oh et al., 2004),

the *vip3* mutation triggers a slight redistribution of both marks across gene bodies (Oh

et al., 2008). Moreover, plant Paf1 subunits are required for the correct distribution of

H2A.Z and H3.3 in response to warm temperatures (Zhao et al., 2023) and for regulating

H3K27 trimethylation at a subset of genes (Oh et al., 2008; Schmitz et al., 2009).

In line with the role of Paf1C in animals and fugi in promoting H2Bub accumulation,

mutations in *Arabidopsis VIP2, VIP4, VIP5,* and *VIP6* subunits cause decreased H2Bub

accumulation at *FLC* and its paralog genes (Cao et al., 2015). More recently, the

dependence of H2Bub on Paf1C has been linked to the DNA damage response (Li et al.,

2023). In *Arabidopsis*, as in yeast and animals, H2Bub accumulates across the gene

bodies of actively transcribed genes (Roudier et al., 2011; Bourbousse et al., 2012;

Nassrallah et al., 2018). However, it is not known whether Paf1C is present at many

transcribed genes where it might contribute to H2Bub deposition or whether it

indifferently affects this mark at all *loci*. To gain insight into transcription-associated

chromatin mechanisms and their regulatory roles in plant development and stress

responses, we here examined the involvement of Paf1C in transcription elongation by

RNAPII and in monoubiquitination of histone H2Bub throughout the *Arabidopsis*

genome.

RESULTS

The subunits of Paf1C ELF7 and VIP3 are recruited to gene bodies

First, we investigated the genomic distribution of Paf1C by profiling the chromatin

association of the ELF7 and VIP3 subunits by ChIP-seq in one-week-old *Arabidopsis*

seedlings. ChIP-seq analyses were performed using the *Flag-ELF7* and *GFP-VIP3* transgenic lines, respectively (Dorcey et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2015) and non-transgenic WT plants as negative controls. The resulting analysis indicated a high correlation between biological replicates (Fig. S1, A and B) and identified 9,791 and 6,845 Flag-ELF7 and GFP-VIP3 peaks, respectively (usually one peak per protein-coding gene; see examples in Fig. S1C), of which the vast majority was common (Fig. 1, A and B and Supplemental Table). A heatmap representation of Flag-ELF7 and GFP-VIP3 ChIP signal over unique and shared targeted genes confirmed that most of them were in fact bound by both proteins, albeit with different intensities (Fig. S2A). Thus, for the next analyses we considered all the peaks identified for the two subunits as *bona fide* Paf1C target loci (10,579) (Fig. 1A).

The genomic profile of Paf1C showed a strongest enrichment over gene bodies that slightly extends in 3' over the transcription end site (TES) (Fig. 1, B-D, and Fig. S2A). Comparison with RNAPII profiles showed that the overlap of the distributions of Paf1C and RNAPII was restricted to gene bodies (Fig. 1B). The ELF7 distribution observed in this work was very similar to that found in a recent study (Fig. S2B) (Wang et al., 2023b). In accordance with Paf1C's role in transcription elongation, the occupancy of ELF7 and VIP3 exhibited a positive correlation with RNAPII occupancy (Fig. S1B) and transcript levels (Fig. 1, C and D). To further substantiate this perspective, we examined the accumulation of different histone marks and histone variants at Paf1C target genes (Jamge et al., 2023). Paf1C target genes were enriched in histone marks associated with active gene transcription, such as H3K4me3 and H3K36me3, while being depleted of the *Polycomb*-based H3K27me3 mark (Fig. S2A). Also consistent with Paf1C being associated with expressed genes and not with *Polycomb*-repressed genes, histone variant H2A.Z was enriched at the 5' end but mostly absent across the gene bodies of Paf1C targets (Fig. S2A) (Coleman-Derr and Zilberman, 2012; Gómez-Zambrano et al., 2019).

To investigate the impact of Paf1C depletion on gene expression, we determined the transcriptome in wild-type and *elf7-3* one-week-old seedlings by RNA-seq. We identified 4,002 differentially expressed genes (DEGs; *p* adj. < 0.05) in *elf7-3* seedlings (Fig. 1, E and F, and Supplemental Table). More than half of the identified DEGs (2,265) were *bona fide* Paf1C targets (Fig. 1E and Fig. S2C). Interestingly, when we plotted the average signal of Flag-ELF7 or GFP-VIP3 across DEGs in the "Other expressed" category

(Fig. 1F), we found enrichment in gene bodies for both subunits compared with gene

bodies of non-expressed genes, which correlates with the RNAPII signal (Fig. S2C). This

result suggests that the DEGs of the "Other expressed" category are also target genes

of Paf1C, although they are only weakly bound by the complex in accordance with their

low expression level. The weak binding may be the reason that these genes were below

the threshold to be detected by peak calling as true Paf1C targets. In any case, the DEGs

represent a fraction of all loci bound to Paf1C in the genome, a situation similar to that

observed in human cells knocked-down for the ortholog Paf1 subunit (Yu et al., 2015).

Among the *bona fide* Paf1C target DEGs, 1,040 were downregulated consistent with a

positive role of the complex on transcription elongation. Intriguingly, 1,225 DEGs were

upregulated in the mutant, suggesting a negative impact of Paf1C on their expression or

the existence of indirect effects mediating their induction in the absence of Paf1C.

Defects in the Paf1C complex affect H2Bub mark genome-wide

Paf1C is required for efficient monoubiquitination of H2B in various organisms, including

plants (Wood et al., 2003; Schmitz et al., 2009; Hou et al., 2019; Li et al., 2023). To

determine whether reduction of H2Bub deposition is detected at specific genes or on a

global scale along the genome upon Paf1C loss-of-function, we examined the H2Bub

dependence in wild-type and *elf7-3* seedlings by ChIP-seq with spike-in of exogenous

chromatin (ChIP-Rx). Following the protocol described in Nassrallah et al. (2018) for

Arabidopsis, spike-in based normalization enables for accurate quantitative

comparisons of samples with genome-wide differences in chromatin mark abundances

In our analyses, H2Bub was exclusively enriched in the transcribed regions (Fig. 2, A and

B), in agreement with previous reports in *Arabidopsis* (Roudier et al., 2011; Bourbousse

et al., 2012; Nassrallah et al., 2018). In addition, H2Bub-occupied genes were also co-

ocupied by Paf1C and RNAPII (Fig. S3A), as expected for a co-transcriptionally deposited

mark.

In the absence of Paf1C, H2Bub levels were strongly affected at multiple genes (Fig.

2, A and B, and Fig. S3, B and C). More precisely, identification of differentially

ubiquitinated genes showed a general tendency for reduced H2Bub enrichment over

most H2Bub-marked genes in *elf7-3* seedlings (Fig. 2C and Supplemental Table). Hence,

given the frequent local co-occurrence of Flag-ELF7/GFP-VIP3 and the H2Bub mark,

these observations suggest the existence of direct functional links between Paf1c
activity and histone H2B monoubiquitination.

However, the association of Flag-ELF7 and GFP-VIP3 with chromatin was also found
in genes enriched in RNAPII but not H2Bub (Fig. 2B, and Fig. S3C and Supplemental
Table). We found that these genes were smaller compared with the genes that were co-
ocuppied by Paf1C and H2Bub (Fig. S3D). As previously identified using transcriptome
data (Bourbousse et al., 2012), this observation suggests that optimal transcription of
small genes in *Arabidopsis* is less dependent on H2Bub. Furthermore, it indicates that
Paf1C may function independently of H2Bub deposition at multiple genes or that it may
occupy these genes more persistently than H2Bub. *Vice versa*, H2Bub enrichment was
detected at genes in which we could not detect Paf1C (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, H2Bub was
also reduced at these genes in *elf7-3* seedlings, possibly indicating that Paf1C acted on
histone H2B monoubiquitination transiently, or earlier, at these loci. In summary, these
observations suggest that histone H2B monoubiquitination is tightly linked to Paf1C
activity at many genes but may also reflect the dynamic nature of Paf1C association
dynamics and H2Bub turn-over during transcription. However, we cannot exclude that
the lack of a complete correlation between the presence of Paf1C and the H2Bub mark
observed in some of these genes may be due to technical limitations linked to stringent
peak calling for detecting them or to Paf1C-independent H2Bub dynamics.

The H2Bub mark preferentially accumulates in longer genes in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. S3D)
(Roudier et al., 2011). In addition, in mouse muscle myoblast cells loss of Paf1C reduces
H2Bub mark over the 5' of long genes, while the entire gene body is affected in medium
and small size genes (Hou et al., 2019). On this basis, we decided to determine whether
the requirement of Paf1C for histone H2B monoubiquitination is affected by gene size
in plants. We ranked the H2Bub- and Paf1C-marked genes by gene size and compared
the H2Bub signal in wild-type and *elf7-3* seedlings. This showed that the impact of Paf1C
on H2Bub level is independent of gene size in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. S4).

Studies in yeast and mammals provided molecular insights into the functional
relationship between Paf1C and histone H2B monoubiquitination by the E2 conjugating
enzyme Rad6 and the E3 ligase Bre1 in yeast and RNF20/RNF40 in mammals. In yeast,
Paf1C promotes monoubiquitination through direct interaction with Rad6/Bre1 and
does not appear to affect Rad6 recruitment to chromatin (Ng et al., 2003; Wood et al.,

2003; Kim and Roeder, 2009), whereas in mammals, RNF20/40 recruitment to

chromatin is dependent on Paf1C (Wu et al., 2014). To investigate the situation in

Arabidopsis, we examined whether the reduction in H2Bub mark in the *elf7-3* mutant

was due to impaired recruitment to chromatin of HISTONE MONOUBIQUITINATION1

(HUB1) and HUB2, the two E3 ubiquitin ligases mediating H2Bub deposition in

Arabidopsis (Liu et al., 2007). To this end, we fractionated cell extracts of the wild type

and the *elf7-3* mutant and used a custom-made antibody to determine HUB1/2 levels

(Fig. 3A) and their association with chromatin compared with cytoplasmic and

nucleoplasmic fractions by immunoblotting. We observed a band corresponding to

HUB1/2 in the chromatin fraction of wild-type cell extracts, and these levels were not

affected by the *elf7-3* mutation (Fig. 3B). This result suggests that Paf1C promotes

histone H2B monoubiquitination independently of HUB1/2 recruitment to chromatin in

Arabidopsis.

Paf1C and histone H2B monoubiquitination regulate common processes

The functional relationship between Paf1C and H2Bub should manifest itself in common

DEGs in mutants defective for Paf1C or for the machinery that monoubiquitinates

histone H2B. We compared the transcriptomes of *elf7-3* and *hub1-4* mutant (Liu et al.,

2007) seedlings grown side-by-side. We identified 413 DEGs (*p* adj. < 0.05) in *hub1-4*

seedlings, a reduced number compared to *elf7-3* (Fig. 4, A and B). More than half of

DEGs in *hub1-4* were also misregulated in *elf7-3* seedlings (Fig. 4B) and, importantly, this

subset showed the same trend in gene expression change, in line with the functional

link between Paf1C and H2Bub (Fig. 4, C and D). The majority of DEGs in *hub1-4* and

those common to both mutants were occupied by Paf1C (Fig. S5), suggesting direct

functional links between Paf1C and H2Bub in gene expression.

elf7 mutation causes RNAPII stalling at the 5' end of genes

We next examined the effect of impaired Paf1C on RNAPII occupancy. ChIP-seq profiling

of the NRPB1 subunit, which indiscriminately captures all active RNAPII states, detected

peaks at 18,339 genes, half of which were also occupied by Paf1C. In wild-type plants,

RNAPII distribution showed a typical pattern with peaks immediately after the

transcription start site (TSS) and at the TES and lower levels throughout the gene body,

where RNAPII processivity is high and residence time is low (Figs. 1B, 2B, and 5A). The

peak after the TSS reflected RNAPII stalled at the +1 nucleosome (Fig. 5B), as previously

reported (Kindgren et al., 2019). Importantly, we observed a redistribution of RNAPII

signal in the *elf7-3* mutant compared with wild type plants, with reduced accumulation

over gene bodies and TES and increased accumulation across the TSS region (Fig. 5, A

and C). Overall, these defects most likely reflect a more frequent stalling of RNAPII in

elf7-3 plants.

Several lines of evidence pointed to a direct contribution of Paf1C to RNAPII

redistribution. Reduction of RNAPII occupancy at gene bodies and TES in *elf7-3* seedlings

was observed preferentially for Paf1C target genes (Fig. 5C). This suggests that Paf1C

contributes locally to transcription elongation. Indeed, Paf1C target genes were

enriched in histone marks associated with active transcription (Fig. 5C and Fig. S2A).

However, the increased accumulation of RNAPII at TSS observed in *elf7-3* seedlings also

occurred in genes where no Paf1C peak was detected (Fig. 5C). This result suggests that

the effect of the *elf7-3* mutation on stalled RNAPII may result from direct and indirect

consequences of defective Paf1C, such as reduced H2B monoubiquitination (Fig. 5C).

Interestingly, the accumulation of stalled RNAPII in *elf7-3* mutant plants was shifted

toward the 5' end of the genes compared with wild type (Fig. 5B). However, it is unclear

whether this shift is due to the +1 nucleosome moving toward the 5' end of the genes

in the mutant.

Finally, the possibility that Paf1C is involved in transcription elongation was further

supported by comparing the RNAPII pausing index of wild-type and *elf7-3* mutant plants.

Similar to previous studies (Wang et al., 2023a), we calculated the pausing index as the

ratio of RNAPII occupancy across the TSS to RNAPII occupancy in the gene body (Fig. 5D).

As shown in Fig. 5E, the RNAPII pausing index increased in plants of the Paf1C mutant.

This is consistent with the idea that Paf1C contributes to the productive elongation of

RNAPII.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide genome-wide evidence for the function of Paf1C as a

transcriptional elongation factor in plants. First, the Paf1C subunits ELF7 and VIP3 are

exclusively distributed in gene bodies and their accumulation positively correlates with that of RNAPII and gene expression, suggesting that the complex actively promotes gene transcription (Fig. 1, B-D and Fig. S2). Second, monoubiquitination of histone H2B, a chromatin mark that is deposited co-transcriptionally, is severely reduced in virtually all genes in Paf1C-defective plants, a defect that is particularly pronounced in Paf1C-targeted genes (Figs. 2 and 4C). Third, RNAPII appears to stall more frequently at the 5' end of genes, and the overall gene body occupancy is reduced in Paf1C-targeted genes in *elf7-3* mutant plants (Fig. 4A-C). This likely reflects the inability of RNAPII to transition to the productive elongation stage. The latter is consistent with previous results in *Arabidopsis*, which demonstrate that (i) the phosphorylated form of RNAPII at serine 5 (Ser5) of the C-terminal domain of NRPB1 (RNAPII-Ser5), marking engaged RNAPII, accumulates in the *elf7-3* mutant around the TSS (Wang et al., 2023b); and (ii) the occupancy at gene bodies of Ser2 phosphorylated RNAPII, the elongating form, is reduced in the *elf7-3* mutant (Obermeyer et al., 2022).

Despite this evidence for a role of Paf1C in transcription elongation, we still lack information on the mechanism of action of this complex in plants. In this regard, a comparison of the genomic distribution of Paf1C and RNAPII in different model organisms could provide relevant information. The genomic profile of the *Arabidopsis* Paf1C subunits ELF7 and VIP3 strongly resembles that of the Paf1 subunit in yeast, as both are mainly localized within the gene bodies (Fig. 1, B and C) (Mayer et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2023b). It is noteworthy, however, that while RNAPII, much like Paf1C, is found within gene bodies, their distributions do not entirely overlap, as RNAPII exhibits heightened enrichment at the TSS and TES (Figs. 1B and 5B). In mammals, the distribution of Paf1C parallels the distribution of RNAPII, as both are enriched across the TSS and after the TES (Rahl et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015). In mammals, their similar distributions therefore reflect an association of Paf1C with RNAPII, with Paf1C involved in the regulation of RNAPII pausing immediately after the TSS (Chen et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015) and contributing to mRNA polyadenylation (Nagaike et al., 2011). However, in *Arabidopsis*, the genes are generally much shorter than in mammals. Consistent with the positive correlation observed in mammals between the distribution of Paf1C and RNAPII, the accumulation of Paf1C at gene bodies in *Arabidopsis* may be important for productive transcription elongation, as shown in Fig. 5C. Consistent with

this possibility, RNAPII accumulates near the TSS of genes and is locally shifted toward

5', whereas the RNAPII pausing index is increased in the *elf7* mutant compared with

wild-type plants.

How does Paf1C contribute to transcriptional elongation? The association of Paf1C

with RNAPII could facilitate the passage of the transcriptional machinery through the

nucleosomes through several mechanisms. Structural studies in mammals have shown

that Paf1C position in the RNAPII transcriptional complex should enable it to promote

methylation of histone H3K4 in downstream nucleosomes -a modification known to

facilitate transcription (Vos et al., 2018). We hypothesize that Paf1C would be recruited

to RNAPII positioning itself within the complex similarly to its mammalian counterpart.

Subsequently, Paf1C would promote post-translational modifications of histones such

as monoubiquitination of H2B in nucleosomes downstream of the +1, thereby

facilitating the passage of RNAPII thoughout the whole gene body where we found both

Paf1C and H2Bub to frequently accumulate. The direct effect of Paf1C on local HUB1/2

activity is supported by several observations in this study, including the frequent co-

occupancy of genes by Paf1C and RNAPII, with high levels of H2Bub in this context, the

association of HUB1/2 with chromatin even in the absence of Paf1C, and the previously

described physical interaction between the Paf1C subunit VIP5 and the *Arabidopsis*

homologs of the Rad6 E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (Li et al., 2023). Nevertheless,

the reduced H2Bub deposition in *elf7* mutant plants could in some cases result from

indirect effects of Paf1C deficiency, such as impaired RNAPII elongation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material.

The *Arabidopsis* lines used in this work have been previously described: *elf7-3* (He et al.,

2004), *hub1-4* and *hub1-3 hub2-2* (Liu et al., 2007), *pELF7:Flag-ELF7 elf7-3* (Cao et al.,

2015), and *35S:GFP-VIP3* (Dorcey et al., 2012).

Growth conditions and treatments

All seeds were surface sterilized and sown on half-strength MS (Duchefa) plates

containing 1% (w/v) sucrose, 8 g/L agar (pH 5.7). Seedlings were grown at 22ºC under

continuous light (50-60 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) (standard conditions).

RNA-seq experiments

RNA-seq with three independent biological replicates for each genotype were

performed. Seedlings were grown as described above. 7-day-old wild type, *elf7-3*, and

hub1-4 seedlings were collected. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Plant Mini

Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer´s instructions. RNA concentration and

integrity were measured in an RNA Nanochip (Bioanalyzer, Agilent Technologies 2100)

from IBMCP Genomics Service. Library preparation and sequencing were performed by

the Genomics Service of the University of Valencia.

RNA-seq analysis

RNA-seq read quality was first evaluated using FastQC v0.11.9. Low-quality bases and

Illumina adapters were trimmed using cutadapt v4.2 with options "-q 15,10 -a

AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCA -m 20 --trim-n". Clean reads were then

aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome using STAR v2.7.10b (Dobin et al., 2013) with

the default parameters. Read counts were obtained using the featureCounts command

from subread v2.0.3 (Liao et al., 2014) with the default parameters and providing the

Araport11 annotation. Differential analyses were performed using DESeq2 v1.38 (Love

et al., 2014). Genes showing an adjusted p-value inferior to 0.05 were considered as

differentially expressed.

ChIP experiments

H2B and H2Bub ChIP-Rx were performed in parallel with the same two biological

replicates of 7-day-old wild-type and *elf7-3* seedlings grown under standard conditions.

As previously described (Nassrallah et al., 2018), all samples were spiked-in with

Drosophila chromatin prior to IP. The amount of exogenous DNA was subsequently

determined in all input and IP samples and considered as a reference to avoid the effects

of technical variation. Furthermore, we determined the H2Bub peaks after

normalization to histone H2B occupancy determined in the same samples by ChIP-Rx.

Specifically, for each biological replicate, two IPs were performed with an anti-H2Bub

antibody (MM -0029-P, Medimabs) and one with an anti-H2B (ab1790, Abcam). For each

IP, 100 µg of *Arabidopsis* chromatin mixed with 3 µg of *Drosophila* chromatin was used.

DNA eluted from the two technical replicates of the H2Bub IP was pooled prior to library

preparation. Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the CRG Genomics

Core Facility (Barcelona, Spain). Flag-ELF7 and GFP-VIP3 ChIP-seqs were performed

using 7-day-old seedlings grown under standard conditions and an anti-Flag M2

antibody (F1804, Sigma) and an anti-GFP antibody (ab290, abcam), respectively. We

used double in vitro cross-linking with 1.5 mM ethylene glycol bis (succinimidyl

succinate) for 20 minutes followed by 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room

temperature. Library preparation and sequencing were performed by the CRG Genomics

Core Facility (Barcelona, Spain). ChIP-seq for RNAPII was performed using an anti-RPB1

antibody (clone 4H8, Active Motif) using 7-day-old wild-type and *elf7-3* seedlings grown

under standard conditions. Library preparation and sequencing were performed by the

Epigenomics platform at IPS2 (Paris, France).

ChIP-seq analysis

The quality of ChIP-seq reads was first assessed using FastQC v0.11.9. Low-quality bases

and Illumina adapters were trimmed using cutadapt v4.2 with options "-q 15,10 -a

AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCA -m 20". Clean reads were then aligned to

the TAIR10 reference genome using bowtie2 v2.5.1 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with

the default parameters. Alignments were sorted by coordinate using the samtools sort

command from samtools v1.17 (Li et al., 2009). Duplicate reads were then marked using

the sambamba markdup command from sambamba v1.0 (Tarasov et al., 2015) and

uniquely mapped reads were retained using the samtools view command with options

"-F 4 -F 1024 -q 5". Coverage files in bedGraph format were obtained using the

genomeCoverageBed command from bedtools v2.31.0 (Quinlan, 2014) with options "-

bga -fs 200", and then these were converted to bigwig using the bedGraphToBigWig

command. Bigwigs were normalized to Counts Per Million (CPM) using the wiggletools

scale command from wiggletools v1.2 (Zerbino et al., 2014). Mean coverages of

biological replicates from each condition were obtained using the wiggletools mean

command. Log2 ratios between treatments and controls were obtained using the bigwigCompare command from deeptools v3.5.1 (Ramírez et al., 2014).

Peak calling was performed over uniquely mapped reads using macs2 callpeak from macs2 v2.2.7.1 (Zhang et al., 2008) with the following parameters: "--keep-dup all --broad --broad-cutoff 0.05 -q 0.01 --nomodel --extsize 200". Peaks from biological replicates were pooled and merged into a consensus set using the bedtools merge command from bedtools v2.31.0 (Quinlan, 2014). In experiments that included various treatments (ChIP-seq of H2Bub and RNAPII), the consensus peaks were obtained by merging the peaks from each treatment using the same procedure as for the biological replicates. Consensus peaks were annotated to their closest gene using the bedtools closest command. To determine which genes could be considered as targets, the following filters were applied: the peak must overlap more than half of the gene body, or the gene body must overlap more than half of the peak, or the peak center must be less than 200 pb away from the TSS.

ChIP-Rx analysis

H2Bub ChIP-Rx reads were first processed the same way as ChIP-seq reads. Clean reads were aligned against a chimeric genome that contained both *Arabidopsis* (TAIR10) and *Drosophila* (dm6) chromosomes. Alignments were sorted and duplicate-marked as in ChIP-seq, and then reads that mapped to TAIR10 or to dm6 were separated. TAIR10 alignments were filtered and used to create coverage bigwigs and for peak calling as previously described. Rx normalization factors were calculated as described in Nassrallah et al., (2018). Briefly, the normalization factor α for each ChIP sample was obtained using the following formula:

$$\alpha = \frac{r}{N_d IP}$$

where N_d is the number of mapped reads to *Drosophila* dm6 in millions and r is the percentage of dm6 reads in the corresponding input sample. Hence, r was calculated as:

$$r = \frac{N_d i}{(N_d i + N_a i)} \times 100$$

where $N_d i$ and $N_a i$ are the number of reads mapped to dm6 and TAIR10.

408  To test differential ubiquitination, read counts over the consensus H2Bub peaks

409 were first obtained using the multiBamSummay command from deeptools v3.5.1

410 (Ramírez et al., 2014) with options "--extendReads 200 --minMappingQuality 5". Gene

411 level counts were obtained by summing counts from peaks that were annotated to the

412 same gene. Differential analyses were performed using DESeq2 v1.38.0 (Love et al.,

413 2014). In these analyses, the Rx normalization factors were provided as size factors.

414 Genes showing an adjusted p-value inferior to 0.05 were considered as differentially

415 ubiquitinated.

417 **Pausing index**

418 To estimate RNAPII pausing, the pausing index formula was employed (Wang et al.,

419 2023a):

420 $PI = \dfrac{TSS_{counts}/TSS_{length}}{GeneBody_{counts}/GeneBody_{length}}$

421  The TSS was defined as a 400 pb region centered in the annotated TSS, whereas the

422 gene body spanned from the TSS region to the annotated TES. For this reason, only

423 RNAPII genes that were longer than 200 pb were included. Bedfiles of TSSs and gene

424 bodies were created using the slopBed command from bedtools v2.31.0 (Quinlan, 2014)

425 and the TAIR10 reference annotation. Counts over these regions were then obtained

426 using the multiBamSummary command from deeptools v3.5.1 (Ramírez et al., 2014).

428 **Re-analysis of existing datasets**

429 ChIP-seq reads of H3, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K27me3, and H2A.Z (GSE231408;

430 samples GSM7075958, GSM7075959, GSM7075961, GSM7075962, GSM7075963,

431 GSM7075964, GSM7075985, GSM7075986 and GSM7075990) (Jamge et al., 2023) were

432 analysed as described in ChIP-seq analysis. MNase-seq reads of wild type Col-0

433 (GSE205110; samples GSM6205325 and GSM6205326) (Diego-Martín et al., 2022) were

434 downloaded, processed and aligned as described in ChIP-seq analysis. Coverage bigwigs

435 were obtained using DANPOS v2.2.2 (Chen et al., 2013) and the wigToBigWig command.

437 **Data representations**

438   Graphs were plotted in R 4.1.2 using ggplot2, eulerr and ComplexHeatmap libraries (Gu

439   et al., 2016). Other heatmaps and metaplots were obtained using the computeMatrix,

440   plotHeatmap and plotProfile commands from deeptools v3.5 (Ramírez et al., 2014).

Subcellular fractionation

Cytoplasmic, nucleoplasmic, and chromatin-associated fractions were obtained

following a described protocol (Liu et al., 2018), with some minor modifications. Briefly,

approximately 1.5 g of 7-day-old seedlings were ground in liquid nitrogen and

subsequently homogenized in 3-4 mL of Honda buffer (0.44 M Sucrose, 20 mM HEPES

KOH pH 7.4, 2.5% Percoll, 5% Dextran T40, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% Triton X-100, 5mM DTT,

1 mM PMSF, and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail [cOmplete, EDTA-free; Roche]). The

resulting homogenate was filtered through two layers of Miracloth, and the flow-

through was then subjected to centrifugation at 2,400 g for 10 minutes at 4ºC. The

resulting supernatant (1 mL) was further centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 minutes at 4ºC,

and this supernatant was collected as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellet was

resuspended in 1 mL of Honda buffer and centrifuged at 1,800 g for 5 minutes at 4ºC to

concentrate nuclei. This pellet was subsequently washed 4-6 times with Honda buffer

and rinsed with PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, and 2 mM

KH_2PO_4) containing 1 mM EDTA. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 150 µL of cold

glycerol buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 50% glycerol, 75 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.85

mM DTT, 0.125 mM PMSF, and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail [cOmplete, EDTA-free;

Roche]) and gently vortexed twice after adding 150 µL of cold nuclei lysis buffer (10 mM

HEPES KOH pH 7.4, 7.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 M urea, 1% NP-40, 1

mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail

[cOmplete, EDTA-free; Roche]). The mixture was then incubated for 2 minutes on ice

and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 2 minutes at 4ºC. The resulting supernatant was

collected as the nucleoplasmic fraction. The chromatin-associated pellet was rinsed with

PBS buffer containing 1 mM EDTA and then resuspended in 150 µL of cold glycerol buffer

plus 150 µL of cold nuclei lysis buffer. Protein concentrations were determined using the

Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay following the manufacturer's instructions. The various

fractions were subsequently analyzed by immunoblot.

Immunoblot analysis

Total protein extracts and subcellular fractionation samples were separated with SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunolabeled with commercial antibodies against histone H3 (ab1791, Abcam) and against tubulin (62204, Invitrogen). Chemiluminescence was detected using the Supersignal west FEMTO substrate with maximum sensitivity (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), and protein bands were detected and quantified using the LAS-3000 Imaging System (Fujifilm) and ImageJ software, respectively. The custom-made rabbit anti-HUB1 antibody was generated for F. Barneche by SDIX (Newark, USA) using the following immunogen sequence MQDTLLIDKYIMDKDIQQGSAYASFLSKKSSRIEDQLRFCTDQFQKLAEDKYQKSVSLENL QKKRADIGNGLEQARSRLEESHSKVEQSRLDYGALELEL.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The sequencing data generated in this work have been deposited in the GEO public functional genomics data repository under the accession number GSE244850.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplemental document 1: Supplemental Figures S1-S5.

Supplemental document 2: Supplemental Table.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDING

We thank Christian S. Hardtke (University of Lausanne, Switzerland) and Ligeng Ma (Capital Normal University, China) for sharing seeds of the *35S:GFP-VIP3* line and of *elf7-3* and *pELF7:Flag-ELF7 elf7-3*, respectively. N.B-T was supported by a predoctoral contract from the MINECO [BES-2014-068868] and an EMBO Short-Term fellowship (STF n°8047) to visit the Barneche/Bourbousse laboratory. J.P-A was supported by a predoctoral contract from the Generalitat Valenciana (CIACIF/2021/432). Research in the IBMCP laboratories was funded by grants BIO2013-43184-P (to M.A.B. and D.A.) and BIO2016-79133-P (to D.A.) from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Innovation, as well as grants PID2019-109925GB-I00 (to D.A.) and RYC2018-024108-I and PID2019-108577GA-I00 (to J.G-B) from MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. Research in the Barneche/Bourbousse laboratory was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche

grants ChromaLight (ANR-18-CE13-0004-01), Epilinks (ANR-22-CE20-0001) and
PlastoNuc (ANR-20-CE13-0028). Research in the Benhamed laboratory was funded by
the European Research Council ERC (Project 101044399-3Dwheat), Agence National de
la Recherche ANR (ANR-21-CE20-0036-4D Heat Tomato) and by the Institut Universitaire
de France (IUF).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N.B-T., J.P-A., C.B., M.B., F.B., M.A.B., J.G-B., and D.A. designed the research; N.B-T., J.P-
A., C.B., D.L., O.A., M.B., F.B., J.G-B., and D.A. performed research; N.B-T., J.P-A., C.B.,
D.L., O.A., M.B., F.B., M.A.B., J.G-B., and D.A. analyzed data; D.A. wrote the paper.

REFERENCES

Antosz W, Pfab A, Ehrnsberger HF, Holzinger P, Kollen K, Mortensen SA, Bruckmann
A, Schubert T, Langst G, Griesenbeck J, et al (2017) The Composition of the
Arabidopsis RNA Polymerase II Transcript Elongation Complex Reveals the
Interplay between Elongation and mRNA Processing Factors. Plant Cell **29**: 854–
870

Bourbousse C, Ahmed I, Roudier F, Zabulon G, Blondet E, Balzergue S, Colot V,
Bowler C, Barneche F (2012) Histone H2B monoubiquitination facilitates the rapid
modulation of gene expression during Arabidopsis photomorphogenesis. PLoS
Genet **8**: e1002825

Cao Y, Wen L, Wang Z, Ma L (2015) SKIP Interacts with the Paf1 Complex to Regulate
Flowering via the Activation of FLC Transcription in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant **8**:
1816–1819

Chen F, Woodfin A, Gardini A, Rickels R, Marshall S, Smith E, Shiekhattar R,
Shilatifard A (2015) PAF1, a Molecular Regulator of Promoter-Proximal Pausing by
RNA Polymerase II. Cell **162**: 1003–1015

Chen K, Xi Y, Pan X, Li Z, Kaestner K, Tyler J, Dent S, He X, Li W (2013) DANPOS:
Dynamic analysis of nucleosome position and occupancy by sequencing. Genome
Res **23**: 341–351

Coleman-Derr D, Zilberman D (2012) Deposition of histone variant H2A.Z within gene
bodies regulates responsive genes. PLoS Genet **8**: e1002988

Diego-Martín B, Pérez-Alemany JP, Candela-Ferré J, Corbalán-Acedo A, Pereyra J,
Alabadí D, Jami-Alahmadi Y, Wohlschlegel J, Gallego-Bartolomé J (2022) The
TRIPLE PHD FINGERS proteins are required for SWI/SNF complex-mediated +1
nucleosome positioning and transcription start site determination in Arabidopsis.
Nucleic Acids Res **50**: 10399–10417

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,
Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics **29**:
15–21

Dorcey E, Rodriguez-Villalon A, Salinas P, Santuari L, Pradervand S, Harshman K,
Hardtke CS (2012) Context-dependent dual role of SKI8 homologs in mRNA
synthesis and turnover. PLoS Genet **8**: e1002652

Fal K, Cortes M, Liu M, Collaudin S, Das P, Hamant O, Trehin C (2019) Paf1c defects
challenge the robustness of flower meristem termination in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Development **146**: dev173377

Fal K, Liu M, Duisembekova A, Refahi Y, Haswell ES, Hamant O (2017) Phyllotactic
regularity requires the paf1 complex in Arabidopsis. Development **144**: 4428–
4436

Francette AM, Tripplehorn SA, Arndt KM (2021) The Paf1 Complex: A Keystone of
Nuclear Regulation Operating at the Interface of Transcription and Chromatin. J
Mol Biol **433**: 166979

Gómez-Zambrano Á, Merini W, Calonje M (2019) The repressive role of Arabidopsis
H2A.Z in transcriptional regulation depends on AtBMI1 activity. Nat Commun **10**:
2828

Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M (2016) Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics **32**: 2847–2849

He Y, Doyle MR, Amasino RM (2004) PAF1-complex-mediated histone methylation of
FLOWERING LOCUS C chromatin is required for the vernalization-responsive,
winter-annual habit in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev **18**: 2774–2784

Hou L, Wang Y, Liu Y, Zhang N, Shamovsky I, Nudler E, Tian B, Dynlacht BD (2019)
Paf1C regulates RNA polymerase II progression by modulating elongation rate.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **116**: 14583–14592

Jamge B, Lorković ZJ, Axelsson E, Osakabe A, Shukla V, Yelagandula R, Akimcheva S,

Kuehn AL, Berger F, Biocenter V, et al (2023) Histone variants shape chromatin
states in Arabidopsis. Elife **12**: RP87714

Kim J, Roeder RG (2009) Direct Bre1-Paf1 complex interactions and RING finger-
independent Bre1-Rad6 interactions mediate histone H2B ubiquitylation in yeast.
J Biol Chem **284**: 20582–20592

Kindgren P, Ivanov M, Marquardt S (2019) Native elongation transcript sequencing
reveals temperature dependent dynamics of nascent RNAPII transcription in
Arabidopsis. Nucleic Acids Res. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz1189

Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
Methods **9**: 357–359

Li C, Guo Y, Wang L, Yan S (2023) The SMC5 /6 complex recruits the PAF1 complex to
facilitate DNA double-strand break repair in Arabidopsis. EMBO J **42**: e112756

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G,
Durbin R (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics **25**: 2078–2079

Li R, Wei Z, Li Y, Shang X, Cao Y, Duan L, Ma L (2022) SKI-INTERACTING PROTEIN
interacts with SHOOT MERISTEMLESS to regulate shoot apical meristem
formation. Plant Physiol **189**: 2193–2209

Li Y, Yang J, Shang X, Lv W, Xia C, Wang C, Feng J, Cao Y, He H, Li L, et al (2019) SKIP
regulates environmental fitness and floral transition by forming two distinct
complexes in Arabidopsis. New Phytol **224**: 321–335

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) FeatureCounts: An efficient general purpose program
for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics **30**: 923–930

Liu C, Xin Y, Xu L, Cai Z, Xue Y, Liu Y, Xie D, Liu Y, Qi Y (2018) Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE
1 Binds Chromatin to Promote Gene Transcription in Response to Hormones and
Stresses. Dev Cell **44**: 348-361 e7

Liu Y, Geyer R, van Zanten M, Carles A, Li Y, Horold A, van Nocker S, Soppe WJ (2011)
Identification of the Arabidopsis REDUCED DORMANCY 2 gene uncovers a role for
the polymerase associated factor 1 complex in seed dormancy. PLoS One **6**:
e22241

Liu Y, Koornneef M, Soppe WJ (2007) The absence of histone H2B monoubiquitination
in the Arabidopsis hub1 (rdo4) mutant reveals a role for chromatin remodeling in

seed dormancy. Plant Cell **19**: 433–444

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol **15**: 1–21

Lu C, Tian Y, Wang S, Su Y, Mao T, Huang T, Chen Q, Xu Z, Ding Y (2017)

Phosphorylation of SPT5 by CDKD;2 Is Required for VIP5 Recruitment and Normal

Flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell **29**: 277–291

Mayer A, Lidschreiber M, Siebert M, Leike K, Söding J, Cramer P (2010) Uniform

transitions of the general RNA polymerase II transcription complex. Nat Struct

Mol Biol **17**: 1272–1278

Nagaike T, Logan C, Hotta I, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Meyerson M, Manley JL (2011)

Transcriptional Activators Enhance Polyadenylation of mRNA Precursors. Mol Cell

41: 409–418

Nasim Z, Susila H, Jin S, Youn G, Ahn JH (2022) Polymerase II–Associated Factor 1

Complex-Regulated FLOWERING LOCUS C-Clade Genes Repress Flowering in

Response to Chilling. Front Plant Sci **13**: 817356

Nassrallah A, Rougee M, Bourbousse C, Drevensek S, Fonseca S, Iniesto E, Ait-

Mohamed O, Deton-Cabanillas AF, Zabulon G, Ahmed I, et al (2018) DET1-

mediated degradation of a SAGA-like deubiquitination module controls H2Bub

homeostasis. Elife **7**: e37892

Ng HH, Dole S, Struhl K (2003) The Rtf1 Component of the Paf1 Transcriptional

Elongation Complex Is Required for Ubiquitination of Histone H2B. J Biol Chem

278: 33625–33628

Obermeyer S, Kapoor H, Markusch H, Grasser KD (2023) Transcript elongation by RNA

polymerase II in plants: factors, regulation and impact on gene expression. Plant J

10.1111/tpj.16115

Obermeyer S, Stöckl R, Schnekenburger T, Moehle C, Schwartz U, Grasser KD (2022)

Distinct role of subunits of the Arabidopsis RNA polymerase II elongation factor

PAF1C in transcriptional reprogramming. Front Plant Sci **13**: 974625

Oh S, Park S, van Nocker S (2008) Genic and global functions for Paf1C in chromatin

modification and gene expression in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet **4**: e1000077

Oh S, Zhang H, Ludwig P, van Nocker S (2004) A mechanism related to the yeast

transcriptional regulator Paf1c is required for expression of the Arabidopsis

630   FLC/MAF MADS box gene family. Plant Cell **16**: 2940–2953

631  **Park S, Oh S, Ek-Ramos J, van Nocker S** (2010) PLANT HOMOLOGOUS TO

632   PARAFIBROMIN is a component of the PAF1 complex and assists in regulating

633   expression of genes within H3K27ME3-enriched chromatin. Plant Physiol **153**:

634   821–831

635  **Quinlan AR** (2014) BEDTools: The Swiss-Army tool for genome feature analysis. Curr

636   Protoc Bioinforma. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi1112s47

637  **Rahl PB, Lin CY, Seila AC, Flynn RA, McCuine S, Burge CB, Sharp PA, Young RA** (2010)

638   c-Myc regulates transcriptional pause release. Cell **141**: 432–445

639  **Ramírez F, Dündar F, Diehl S, Grüning BA, Manke T** (2014) DeepTools: A flexible

640   platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res **42**: 187–191

641  **Rondón AG, Gallardo M, García-Rubio M, Aguilera A** (2004) Molecular evidence

642   indicating that the yeast PAF complex is required for transcription elongation.

643   EMBO Rep **5**: 47–53

644  **Roudier F, Ahmed I, Bérard C, Sarazin A, Mary-Huard T, Cortijo S, Bouyer D, Caillieux**

645   **E, Duvernois-Berthet E, Al-Shikhley L, et al** (2011) Integrative epigenomic

646   mapping defines four main chromatin states in Arabidopsis. EMBO J **30**: 1928–

647   1938

648  **Schmitz RJ, Tamada Y, Doyle MR, Zhang X, Amasino RM** (2009) Histone H2B

649   deubiquitination is required for transcriptional activation of FLOWERING LOCUS C

650   and for proper control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **149**: 1196–1204

651  **Shi X, Chang M, Wolf AJ, Chang CH, Frazer-Abel AA, Wade PA, Burton ZF, Jaehning JA**

652   (1997) Cdc73p and Paf1p are found in a novel RNA polymerase II-containing

653   complex distinct from the Srbp-containing holoenzyme. Mol Cell Biol **17**: 1160–

654   1169

655  **Tarasov A, Vilella AJ, Cuppen E, Nijman IJ, Prins P** (2015) Sambamba: Fast processing

656   of NGS alignment formats. Bioinformatics **31**: 2032–2034

657  **Vos SM, Farnung L, Boehning M, Wigge C, Linden A, Urlaub H, Cramer P** (2018)

658   Structure of activated transcription complex Pol II–DSIF–PAF–SPT6. Nature **560**:

659   607–612

660  **Vos SM, Farnung L, Linden A, Urlaub H, Cramer P** (2020) Structure of complete Pol II–

661   DSIF–PAF–SPT6 transcription complex reveals RTF1 allosteric activation. Nat

Struct Mol Biol **27**: 668–677

Wang H, Fan Z, Shliaha P V, Miele M, Hendrickson RC, Jiang X, Helin K (2023a)

H3K4me3 regulates RNA polymerase II promoter-proximal pause-release. Nature

615: 339

Wang M, Zhong Z, Gallego-Bartolomé J, Li Z, Feng S, Kuo HY, Kan RL, Lam H, Richey

JC, Tang L, et al (2023b) A gene silencing screen uncovers diverse tools for

targeted gene repression in Arabidopsis. Nat Plants **9**: 460–472

Wood A, Schneider J, Dover J, Johnston M, Shilatifard A (2003) The Paf1 complex is

essential for histone monoubiquitination by the Rad6-Bre1 complex, which signals

for histone methylation by COMPASS and Dot1p. J Biol Chem **278**: 34739–34742

Wu L, Li L, Zhou B, Qin Z, Dou Y (2014) H2B ubiquitylation promotes RNA Pol II

processivity via PAF1 and pTEFb. Mol Cell **54**: 920–931

Yu M, Yang W, Ni T, Tang Z, Nakadai T, Zhu J, Roeder RG (2015) RNA polymerase II-

associated factor 1 regulates the release and phosphorylation of paused RNA

polymerase II. Science (80-) **350**: 1383–1386

Yu X, Michaels SD (2010) The Arabidopsis Paf1c complex component CDC73

participates in the modification of FLOWERING LOCUS C chromatin. Plant Physiol

153: 1074–1084

Zerbino DR, Johnson N, Juettemann T, Wilder SP, Flicek P (2014) WiggleTools: Parallel

processing of large collections of genome-wide datasets for visualization and

statistical analysis. Bioinformatics **30**: 1008–1009

Zhang H, Li X, Song R, Zhan Z, Zhao F, Li Z, Jiang D (2022) Cap-binding complex assists

RNA polymerase II transcription in plant salt stress response. Plant Cell Environ

45: 2780–2793

Zhang H, Van Nocker S (2002) The Vernalization Independence 4 gene encodes a novel

regulator of Flowering Locus C. Plant J **31**: 663–673

Zhang H, Ransom C, Ludwig P, Van Nocker S (2003) Genetic analysis of early flowering

mutants in Arabidopsis defines a class of pleiotropic developmental regulator

required for expression of the flowering-time switch FLOWERING LOCUS C.

Genetics **164**: 347–358

Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nussbaum C, Myers

RM, Brown M, Li W, et al (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS).

Genome Biol **9**: R137

Zhao F, Xue M, Zhang H, Li H, Zhao T, Jiang D (2023) Coordinated histone variant

H2A.Z eviction and H3.3 deposition control plant thermomorphogenesis. New

Phytol **238**: 750–764

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. ELF7 and VIP3 bind to the gene body of transcribed genes. **A)** Venn diagram

showing the overlap between Flag-ELF7 and GFP-VIP3 peaks. **B)** The heatmap shows the

occupancy of Flag-ELF7, GFP-VIP3, and total RNAPII ranked by the decreasing Flag-ELF7

level. **C, D)** Average occupancy of Flag-ELF7 (C) and GFP-VIP3 (D) on target genes ranked

by their expression level. **E, F)** MA plot with DEGs (p adj. < 0.05) in the *elf7-3* mutant

compared with wild type for Paf1C target genes (E) and for genes in which we could not

detect Paf1C (F).

Figure 2. The H2Bub mark is reduced in *elf7-3* mutants.**A)** Average occupancy of the

H2Bub mark in the wild type and in the *elf7-3* mutant. **B)** Heatmaps showing Flag-ELF7,

H2Bub -in wild-type and *elf7-3* seedlings- and RNAPII occupancy in gene clusters based

on H2Bub and Paf1C, H2Bub, or Paf1C occupancy. Genes are ranked by their decreasing

levels of H2Bub in wild type. **C)** The MA plot shows differentially ubiquitinated genes

(blue dots; p adj. < 0.05) between the wild type and the *elf7-3* mutant.

Figure 3. The recruitment of HUB1 and HUB2 to chromatin is independent of Paf1C. **A)**

Immunoblot showing the specificity of the antiserum raised against HUB1 and HUB2.

The bottom panels show the Ponceau staining. **B)** Detection of HUB1/HUB2 in

cytoplasmic, nucleosplasmic, and chromatin fractions by Western blot analysis. Histone

H3 was used as a chromatin marker and tubulin and Ponceau staining as cytoplasmic

markers. The position of the molecular weight markers is shown on the right. The

asterisk marks a non-specific band detected with the anti-tubulin antibody.

Figure 4. HUB1 and ELF7 regulate a common set of genes. **A)** MA plot showing DEGs (p

adj. < 0.05) between *hub1-4* and wild-type seedlings. **B)** Comparison of DEGs between

hub1-4 and *elf7-3* seedlings. **C)** Scatter plot showing the correlation of common DEGs

between *hub1-4* and *elf7-3* mutant seedlings. **D)** The heatmap shows the behavior of

common DEGs between *hub1-4* and *elf7-3* mutant seedlings.

Figure 5. RNAPII genomic distribution is affected in *elf7-3* seedlings. **A)** The metagene

analysis shows the average distribution of all RNAPII-occupied genes in wild type and in

elf7-3 mutants. **B)** Average distributions of RNAPII in wild type and *elf7-3* seedlings

centered on the TSS, and compared with the nucleosome position previously

determined by MNase-seq (Diego-Martín et al., 2022). **C)** The heatmap shows the effect

of the *elf7-3* mutation on the distribution of RNAPII and H2Bub in genes occupied by

Paf1C (Paf1C targets) and in genes in which we could not detect binding of Paf1C (Other

RNAPII). **D)** Scheme depicting the calculation used to determine the pausing index (PI).

E) Representation of the PI in wild type and *elf7-3* mutant. The higher the PI, the greater

the degree of paused RNAPII.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

B

Cy – cytoplasm; Nc – nucleoplasm; Chr – chromatin

Figure 4

Figure 5

A RNAPII (CPM) — WT, *elf7-3* — x-axis: -2 kb, TSS, TES, 2 kb

B CPM — WT, *elf7-3*, MNase WT — x-axis: -0.5 kb, -0.25 kb, TSS, 0.25 kb, 0.5 kb

C H2Bub (WT, *elf7-3*), RNAPII (WT, *elf7-3*, *elf7-3* / WT), Flag-ELF7 — Paf1C targets, Other RNAPII — CPM (0, 5, 10), Log$_2$ FC (-1, 0, 1) — -2 kb TSS TES 2 kb

D TSS, Gene Body — -200, TSS, 200, TES

$$\text{Pausing index (PI)} = \frac{(\text{TSS}_{counts}/\text{TSS}_{length})}{(\text{Gene body}_{counts}/\text{Gene body}_{length})}$$

E Cumulative percent — WT, *elf7-3* — x-axis: Log$_2$ PI + 1 (0, 1, 2, 3, 4)