The *Drosophila* Circadian Clock Gene *Cycle* Controls the Development of Clock Neurons

Grace Biondi[1], Gina McCormick[1] and Maria P. Fernandez[1,2]

1- Department of Neuroscience and Behavior, Barnard College. New York, NY 10027
2- Corresponding author mfernand@barnard.edu

Abstract

Daily behavioral and physiological rhythms are controlled by the brain's circadian timekeeping system, a synchronized network of neurons that maintains endogenous molecular oscillations. These oscillations are based on transcriptional feedback loops of clock genes, which in *Drosophila* include the transcriptional activators *Clock (Clk)* and *cycle (cyc)*. While the mechanisms underlying this molecular clock are very well characterized, the roles that the core clock genes play in neuronal physiology and development are much less understood. The *Drosophila* timekeeping center is composed of ~150 clock neurons, among which the four small ventral lateral neurons (sLN$_v$s) are the most dominant pacemakers under constant conditions. Here, we show that downregulating the clock gene *cyc* specifically in the *Pdf*-expressing neurons prevents leads to decreased fasciculation both in larval and adult brains. This effect is due to a developmental role of *cyc*, as both knocking down *cyc* or expressing a dominant negative form of *cyc* exclusively during development lead to defasciculation phenotypes in adult clock neurons. *Clk* downregulation also leads to developmental effects on sLNv morphology, although *cyc* and *Clk* manipulations produce distinct phenotypes. Our results reveal a non-circadian role for *cyc*, shedding light on the additional functions of circadian clock genes in the development of the nervous system.

Keywords: neuronal development, fasciculation, circadian clock, cycle, *Drosophila*

Introduction

The proper wiring of neuronal circuits during development is essential for the neuronal control of behavior. Across animal species, sleep/wake cycle rhythms, as well as many other behavioral and physiological rhythms, are controlled by the circadian timekeeping system, a network of neurons that maintains endogenous molecular oscillations and rhythmic behavior with a ~24 hour period [1]. The proper functioning of this circadian network requires the formation of synaptic and peptidergic connections during development [2,3].

The *Drosophila* circadian clock neuron network comprises ~150 neurons and is the functional equivalent of the mammalian suprachiasmatic nuclei, which contain 20,000 neurons in mice[4-6]. All circadian clock neurons contain an intracellular molecular clock consisting of a transcriptional feedback loop of clock genes[7]. CLOCK (CLK) and CYCLE (CYC) are heterodimeric transcriptional activators that directly activate transcription of the *period* (*per*) and *timeless* (*tim*) genes. PER and TIM encode repressors that inhibit CLK-CYC function. Subsequently, PER and TIM are degraded, which enables the cycle to reinitiate every morning. CLK and CYC also interact with other genes in a secondary circadian loop by activating the genes *vrille* (*vri*), and *Par domain protein ε* (*Pdp1ε*)[8,9]. *Clk* and *cyc* expression can be detected in almost all clock neurons even before some of these neurons show molecular oscillations[10], suggesting that these genes serve functions that precede the establishment of molecular rhythms.

Drosophila clock neurons are classified into multiple clusters with distinct patterns of gene expression, anatomy, physiology, and synaptic connectivity[5,6,11-16]. Among these clusters, the small ventral lateral neurons (sLN$_v$s) are considered the most dominant pacemakers since they are critical for maintaining behavioral rhythmicity under constant darkness and temperature (DD, or free-running)[17-20]. The sLN$_v$s release the neuropeptide Pigment Dispersing Factor (PDF)[21], a key output signal within the clock neuron network [22]. PDF accumulates rhythmically at the sLN$_v$ dorsal termini[23] and can be released from both the neurites and soma[24]. Loss of PDF severely reduces the amplitude of the endogenous circadian rhythm and shortens its free-running period in DD[21]. The large LN$_v$s also produce PDF but do not play a role in maintaining rhythms in DD[17].

The projections of the four sLN$_v$s form a bundle and remain fasciculated as they extend from the

ventral to the dorsal brain during development. These four projections are usually difficult to

distinguish from each other until they begin to defasciculate in the dorsal protocerebrum[25] and

extend their dorsal arborizations toward the area where dorsal clusters of clock neurons are

located[26]. In adult flies, the dorsal termini of the sLN$_v$ projections show rhythmic structural

plasticity[27], which relies on daily and circadian rhythms in outgrowth and fasciculation[28-31]. Both

Clk and *cyc* mutants have lower *Pdf* RNA levels, and the PDF peptide can barely be detected in

the sLNv projections[23,32].

Cyc is a homolog of the mammalian gene *Bmal1*, although CYC protein levels do not cycle,

unlike BMAL1 and several other *Drosophila* circadian proteins[33]. There is growing evidence for

non-circadian functions of BMAL1. First, its downregulation induces apoptosis and cell-cycle

arrest in Glioblastoma Stem Cells (GSC), and it was found to preferentially bind metabolic genes

and associate with active chromatin regions in GSCs[34]. Second, brain knockdown of *Bmal1*

using CRISPR/Cas9 made glioblastomas grow at faster rates than controls[35], and similar effects

were observed in B16 melanoma cells. Moreover, *Bmal1*(-/-) mice exhibit defects in short- and

long-term memory formation[36] and show reduced lifespan and multiple symptoms of premature

aging [37]. Overall, results from studies in different animal models suggest that *Bmal1* plays a role

in the development of various neurological disorders[38].

The *Drosophila* sLN$_v$s offer an excellent model for exploring the non-circadian roles of

canonical clock genes such as *cycle*. To determine if the phenotypes previously observed for *cyc*

mutants are specific to PDF expression or involved a broader, non-circadian effect in the

development of PDF- expressing cells, we downregulated *cyc* specifically in the *Pdf*-expressing

cells and observed pronounced defasciculation of the sLN$_v$ projections. Similar phenotypes were

observed upon expression of a dominant negative form of *cyc*. Moreover, we found that *cyc*

downregulation in *Pdf*+ cells during development is sufficient to prevent the fasciculation of the

adult sLN$_v$s and results in the loss of behavioral rhythms in adult flies. Manipulations of *Clk*

expression also affect sLNv morphology, although remarkably, the phenotypes of *Clk* and *cyc*

manipulation differ. Our results show that *cyc* plays a role in the development of pacemaker

neurons, which is likely independent of its role in the circadian molecular oscillator.

Results

cyc **downregulation in circadian pacemaker neurons affects the formation of sLN$_v$ axon bundles**

Mutations in both *Clk* and *cyc* severely reduce *pdf* RNA and neuropeptide levels[23,32]. In *cyc* null mutants, sLN$_v$s projections cannot be detected in larval or adult brains stained with PDF antibodies[23,39,40]. We observed that *cyc* null mutants (*cyc^{01}*) showed a substantial reduction in PDF levels at ZT2 compared to Canton-S controls, consistent with previous studies (Fig. 1B). However, we also noticed the presence of thin, misrouted sLN$_v$ projections in *cyc^{01}* flies at higher magnification and intensity (Fig. 1B, right panels). Upon close observation, PDF could often be detected in the sLN$_v$ projections. However, these projections did not form the stereotypical bundle observed in control brains when extending from the anterior medulla toward the dorsal area of the brain.

Because highly defasciculated projections might contribute to the weaker PDF levels observed in *cyc^{01}* mutants, we examined the structure of the sLN$_v$ projections using a *Pdf*-RFP transgene, in which a cytosolic Red Fluorescent Protein (RFP) is controlled by the *Pdf* regulatory sequence[41]. In control brains, the projections from the four sLN$_v$s remain fasciculated, forming a bundle until reaching the superior medial protocerebrum (SMP). In contrast, the sLN$_v$s of *cyc^{01}* mutants often began to defasciculate in the ventral brain, near their cell bodies (Fig. 1C). Some sLN$_v$ projections were severely misrouted and did not reach the dorsal brain, extending instead toward the midline or other brain regions. As a result, it was possible to distinguish individual projections from each sLN$_v$ even in the ventral brain in *cyc^{01}* mutant brains. This is almost never observed in control brains until the sLNv projections reach the main branching point in the SMP.

To test whether the effect of *cyc* loss on the LN$_v$s is cell-autonomous, we next expressed a UAS-*cyc* dsRNA transgene (UAS-*cyc^{RNAi}*) using the *Pdf-Gal4* driver. We quantified the length of the projections, starting at the point where the projections of the sLN$_v$s intersect with those of the lLN$_v$s ("point of origin", POI, Fig. S1A), until the first branching point ("branching point", BP, Fig. S1A). This branching point is where the sLN$_v$s ramify and extend their stereotypical arborizations in the dorsal protocerebrum in control brains, and these arborizations show daily, clock-controlled rhythms in their fasciculation and outgrowth[27]. Downregulating *cyc* in the *Pdf-*

expressing cells significantly decreased the distance to the branching point (Fig. 1E). This

phenotype resembled a milder version of the cyc^{01} phenotype, since defasciculation occurred

shortly after the projections begin to extend from the cell bodies. Using a modified Scholl's

analysis[42], we quantified the degree of branching in the projections starting at the POI. We

observed pronounced defasciculation in the sLN$_v$ projections in $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies (Fig. 1F). The

total projection length was not different from that of the controls (Fig. 1G), and the

defasciculation phenotype was not observed in the contralateral projections that extended from

the lLN$_v$s (Fig. 1H). Since the lLN$_v$s are born later in development during metamorphosis[25], this

result suggests that *cyc* plays a role in neuronal development during an earlier developmental

stage, when the sLN$_v$s begin to extend their projections toward the dorsal brain.

Expression of dominant negative forms of *Clk* and *cyc* is an effective strategy for preventing

circadian molecular oscillations in specific groups of clock neurons[43-45]. In these dominant

negative forms, the DNA binding ability is disrupted while the ability to heterodimerize is

preserved[43]. Based on the phenotypes induced by *cyc* downregulation, we asked if expressing a

dominant negative form of *cyc* in the sLN$_v$s also leads to aberrant projection morphology. We

found that sLN$_v$s expressing Δ-*cyc* using *Pdf-Gal4* had a significantly shorter distance until the

branching point (Fig. S2B-C) and a greater degree of sLN$_v$ projection defasciculation (Fig.

S2B,D), similar to the effects observed in $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies. The total projection length and the

projections of the lLN$_v$s were unaffected (Fig. S2E,F).

PER levels in *Pdf*+ neurons are reduced upon cell-specific *cyc* knockdown

CYC activates *per* transcription, and thus, PER levels in the brain are significantly reduced in

cyc mutants[33]. To test whether the phenotypes of cyc^{RNAi} expression in the *Pdf*-expressing

neurons are consistent with what would be expected from *cyc* downregulation, we compared

PER levels in the parental control (*Pdf-Gal4, Pdf-RFP/+*) with those in $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies at the

end of the night (ZT23), when PER nuclear levels are highest[46]. We found that nuclear PER

levels in $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies were significantly reduced in the sLN$_v$s (Fig. 2B-C) and lLN$_v$s (Fig.

2D-E). In contrast, PER levels were unaffected in the Dorsal Lateral Neurons (LN$_d$s) (Fig. 2F-

G). These results confirmed that, at least in a light-dark cycle (LD), $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies have

lower PER levels in Pdf^+ neurons.

cyc null mutant flies have pronounced behavioral phenotypes. Their activity is unimodal instead

of bimodal during LD, and they are predominantly nocturnal[47]. Additionally, cyc mutants are

largely arrhythmic in DD due to the key role of cyc in circadian molecular oscillations[33]. We

conducted behavioral experiments to determine the extent to which downregulating cyc

specifically in PDF-expressing neurons recapitulates the phenotype of the cyc mutant. We found

that at 28˚C, the activity pattern of $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies was still bimodal in LD (Fig. 2H-I).

However, the majority (~94%) of the experimental flies were arrhythmic in DD (Fig. 2J). $Pdf >$

Δ-cyc flies showed similar behavioral phenotypes (Fig. S2 G-H), consistent with what was

reported for their free-running behavior at 25˚C[43].

cyc acts during development to shape neuronal morphology in adults

To knock down cyc specifically during development, we employed a temperature-sensitive

Gal80 (Gal80^{ts}) variant with ubiquitous expression to conditionally inhibit Gal4-mediated

expression of the RNAi[48]. This method enables the temporal regulation of UAS transgenes, as

Gal80^{ts} remains active at lower temperatures but becomes inactive at higher temperatures. We

raised flies at 28˚C to allow cyc downregulation during development then transferred them to

18˚C immediately after eclosion (Fig. 3A). After 1 week at 18˚C, the brains were dissected at

ZT2 and stained with PDF and RFP antibodies (see methods section). As shown in Figure 3,

downregulating cyc exclusively before eclosion resulted in abnormal morphology of the sLN$_v$

axonal projections in adult flies (Fig. 3B). The phenotypes resembled those observed with

constitutive downregulation, with a significantly shorter distance to the branching point (Fig. 3B-

C) and a greater degree of defasciculation compared to parental controls (Fig. 3B-D). No

significant differences were found in the total projection length or the degree of defasciculation

of the lLN$_v$s (Fig. 3E-F).

A previous study showed that panneuronal rescue of cyc expression in a cyc^{01} mutant exclusively

during development was sufficient to partially rescue arrhythmicity in adult flies[40]. Therefore, we

asked if downregulating *cyc* in the *Pdf+* cells specifically during development would lead to

behavioral phenotypes similar to those seen in the *cyc* null mutants. We found that under free-

running conditions at 18˚C, most (~78%) of the $Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}$ flies were arrhythmic (Fig. 3G-I).

These results indicate that developmental downregulation of *cyc* specifically in the *Pdf+* cells is

sufficient to prevent behavioral rhythms in adults.

To determine whether adult-specific *cyc* knockdown in the *Pdf*-expressing cells would also lead

to morphological phenotypes, we raised flies at 18˚C and switched them to 28˚C immediately

after eclosion (Fig. S3A, see the methods section). This manipulation did not result in

morphological phenotypes either in terms of the length to the branching point or in the degree of

sLN_v defasciculation (Fig. S3B-F). Under free-running 28˚C, the majority of the experimental

flies were arrhythmic (Fig. S3 G-H), indicating that, as expected, *cyc* is required in adult clock

neurons for proper circadian clock function.

204   *Cyc* manipulations lead to aberrant sLN_v projections in larval clock neurons

Next, we asked if *cyc* downregulation results in clock neuron morphology phenotypes during

earlier developmental stages. The four larval sLNvs, which modulate the sensitivity of larvae to

light and mediate a circadian rhythm in visual sensitivity[49], appear to be identical in their

anatomy and synaptic connections[50]. We expressed the cyc^{RNAi} transgene under the *Pdf-Gal4*

driver and dissected third larval instar (L3) brains (Fig. 4). In brains of experimental larvae the

length to the branching point did not differ from that of the controls (Fig. 4B-C), but the degree

of dorsal termini branching was significantly higher (Fig. 4B-D). This quantification is similar to

that previously described when quantifying the arborization of the dorsal projections sLN_vs in

adults[27], where the concentric circles are centered at the main dorsal branching point (Fig. S1B;

see methods section). The total sLN_v projection length was not affected by the genetic

manipulation (Fig. 4E).

Since the effects of *cyc* knockdown via RNAi and the expression of a *cyc* dominant negative

form in adults were similar (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2), we analyzed the morphology of the sLN_v

projections in L3 larvae upon Δ-*cyc* expression. In *Pdf >* Δ-*cyc* larval brains, the length to the

branching point was significantly lower (Fig. 4F-G) and the number of branches was
significantly greater than that of controls (Fig. 4H). The total projection length was not affected
(Fig. 4I). Taken together, these results suggest that *cyc* plays a role in the development of the
larval sLN$_v$ neurons.

Clk and _cyc_ have differential effects on neuronal morphology

Clk and *cyc* mutations produce similar effects on the expression pattern of PDF in adult brains[23].
CLK and CYC act as heterodimeric transcriptional activators, and the circadian phenotypes
associated with mutations in these core circadian clock genes, both molecular and behavioral, are
largely similar[43,47,51]. To determine if downregulating *Clk* in the sLN$_v$s leads to the same
defasciculation of the sLN$_v$s observed with *cyc* manipulations, we performed similar experiments
as those described above, in which we expressed *Clk*[RNAi] in *Pdf+* neurons. We found that *Pdf >*
Clk[RNAi] flies also showed neuronal morphology phenotypes (Fig. 5).

In a previous study, *Clk* downregulation resulted in overfasciculation of the sLN$_v$ dorsal termini
when stained with anti-PDF[52]. However, RFP labeling of the sLN$_v$ membrane indicated that
these termini were actually more expanded than those of control flies, resulting in significantly
higher dorsal termini branching (Fig. 5B-E and Supl.Fig. 1C). In *Pdf > Clk*[RNAi] flies, neither the
distance to the branching point (Fig. 5C) nor the degree of defasciculation differed from controls
(Fig. 5D). Neither the sLN$_v$ total projection length nor the lLN$_v$ projections were affected (Fig.
S4C-D). Only ~48% of the *Pdf > Clk*[RNAi] flies were rhythmic, and those that were rhythmic
exhibited a lengthening of the free-running period (Fig. S4B, Table 1). Expression of Δ-*Clk* in
the *Pdf+* cells did not result in changes in the sLN$_v$ projection length until branching point (Fig.
5F-G) or the total length of the projections (Fig. S4F). However, the *Pdf > Δ-Clk* brains had
increased defasciculation of the ventral projection (Fig. 5H). The degree of dorsal termini
branching in the *Pdf > Δ-Clk* flies was not significant (Fig. 5I), not was the degreed of lLN$_v$
defasciculation (Fig. S4G). Under DD at 28˚C, the majority of Δ-*Clk* expressing flies were
arrhythmic (Fig. S4E), consistent with what was reported at 25˚C[43].

We then examined L3 larval brains to determine if the observed phenotypes were already present

at this developmental stage. While expression of Clk^{RNAi} did not result in morphological

phenotypes in larval LN$_v$s (Fig. S5), expression of Δ-Clk resulted in pronounced phenotypes

(Fig. 6A-B). We observed a significant increase in sLN$_v$ dorsal termini branching (Fig. 6D) and

total projection length (Fig. 6E) in $Pdf > \Delta$-cyc larvae. The length to the branching point for the

experimental larvae was not significantly different from that of the control lines (Fig. 6C).

Expressing Δ-Clk led to more pronounced phenotypes in the larval stage than Clk

downregulation, possibly due to incomplete knockdown.

In addition to the main feedback loop, CLK and CYC form a secondary loop by activating vri

and $Pdp1\varepsilon$[8,9], which repress and activate Clk expression, respectively. The low PDF peptide in

the sLN$_v$s projections of cyc^{01} mutants can be rescued by vri overexpression[39]. To determine if

vri expression also affects sLN$_v$s morphology we expressed a line with a CRISPR/Cas9-based

gRNA targeting the vri gene[53] under the control of the Pdf-Gal4 driver. We found that in $Pdf >$

Cas9 + vri-g flies neither the distance until branching point in the s-LN$_v$s (Fig. 7B-C) nor the

degree of fasciculation of the s-LN$_v$s (Fig. 7D) was different from controls. The total projection

length was significantly higher than controls (Fig. 7E), but in this case due to projections

extending ventrally towards the optic tract (Fig. 7B). In the majority of the brains, some s-LN$_v$

projections extended towards the ventral brain after reaching the SMP (Fig. 7B) and in most

cases contacted the l-LNv contralateral projections in the optic tract (Fig. 7F-G), a phenotype

that was never observed in control brains.

Discussion

Our results reveal a role for the circadian clock gene cyc in establishing the proper cellular

morphology of the key clock pacemaker neurons, the sLN$_v$s. Both constitutive cyc knockdown or

expression of a dominant negative form of cyc in Pdf+ cells result in increased defasciculation of

the sLN$_v$s. In addition, Clk downregulation and expression of a dominant negative form of Clk

also result in sLN$_v$ morphology phenotypes, although some of those phenotypes appear to be

distinct from those caused by cyc manipulations. Expressing the dominant-negative forms of

either Clk or cyc has been used in previous studies as an effective way to prevent molecular

oscillations in subsets of clock neurons. However, our results indicate that these genetic
manipulations lead to additional morphological phenotypes beyond molecular timekeeping that
are already detectable during the larval stages.

In addition to anatomical and functional classifications, clock neurons can be divided into early
or late developmental groups depending on when circadian oscillations can be detected. In the
early groups, which include the sLN$_v$s, *per* and *tim* expression rhythms can be detected at the
first instar (L1) larval stage, whereas in the late groups, such rhythms cannot be detected until
metamorphosis[25,54]. However, *cyc* and *Clk* expression using GFP-*cyc* and GFP-*Clk* transgenes
can be detected in almost all groups of clock neurons at early developmental stages, even days
before *per* oscillations begin [10]. This suggests that *cyc* and *Clk* play additional roles in the
development of clock neurons beyond their role in the molecular oscillator.

Both *cyc* and *Clk* modulate PDF expression in both larval and adult clock neurons. In *Clk*[jrk]
mutants, neither PDF nor *pdf* mRNA can be detected in larval[32] or adult LN$_v$s[23], and similar
effects have been observed for the *cyc*[02] mutant[23]. A previous study showed that panneuronal
rescue of *cyc* expression throughout development is sufficient to restore PDF expression in the
LN$_v$ dorsal projections of *cyc*[01] mutants and that the developmental inhibition of CLK/CYC
activity affects PER expression rhythms in adult LN$_v$s[40]. Moreover, overexpression of *vri*, a
clock gene that is downstream of CLK/CYC and acts as a repressor of CLK transcription[9,32],
causes a severe reduction in PDF levels in larval brains[32], and the low PDF levels in the sLN$_v$s
of *cyc*[01] mutants can be rescued by *vri* overexpression[39]. However, restoring PDF expression in
the sLN$_v$s in flies lacking *vri* expression is not sufficient to rescue activity rhythms.

Unlike in *cyc* and *Clk* mutants[23], PDF can be detected in the sLN$_v$s projections in *per*[01] and *tim*[01]
mutants, although it no longer shows rhythms in its accumulation in the dorsal termini[23]. In
addition, structural plasticity rhythms in the sLN$_v$s are absent in both *per*[01] and *tim*[01] mutants[27].
Downregulation of *Clk* [52], expression of Δ-*cyc* [55], and overexpression of *vri* in *Pdf*-expressing
cells[39] also result in impaired plasticity rhythms[56]. Although the anatomical phenotypes seen in
these mutants are milder than those that observed when *cyc* and *Clk* are downregulated or when

their dominant negative forms are expressed, the sLN$_v$ projections of both *per* and *tim* null

mutants also exhibit altered morphology[27].

Expression of CLK and CYC proteins is restricted to clock neurons[10]; however, *cyc* mRNA is

more widely expressed[57]. Expressing *Clk* outside the clock network leads to the generation of

ectopic clocks[58], but these require *cyc*, suggesting that although the CYC protein can be detected

only in clock cells, *cyc* mRNA is more broadly expressed. A study by Liu et al. showed that

Clk stabilizes CYC both in cultured *Drosophila* Schneider 2 (S2) cells and *in vivo*: upon ectopic

Clk expression, GFP-CYC can be detected in additional cells beyond the clock neuron network[59].

The role of *cyc* mRNA expression in non-clock cells remains unknown. Our results suggest that

Clk and *cyc* are involved in shaping the morphology of clock neurons, and it is possible that they

play similar roles in non-clock neurons as well.

Materials and Methods

Fly lines and rearing

Flies were raised on cornmeal-sucrose yeast media in a Percival Incubator under 12:12 LD at different temperature conditions. Depending on the experiment, flies were raised under either 18°C, 25°C, or 28°C (indicated in the figure legends). The lines *UAS-cyc^RNAi* (BDSC #42563)*, UAS-Clk^RNAi* (BDSC #42566), *w^1118* (BDSC #3605) and CS (BDSC #64349) were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center. The lines *Pdf-RFP,Pdf-Gal4;Tub-gal80^ts* and *w;Pdf-RFP;MKRS/TM6* were donated by Justin Blau (New York University). The *cyc^01*, UAS-Δ-*cyc*, and UAS-Δ-*Clk* stocks were donated by Paul Hardin (University of Texas).

Immunohistochemistry

<u>LN_v PDF levels and neuronal morphology</u>: Brains of 6–8-day-old adult males or L3 larvae were dissected between ZT2 and ZT3 in ice-cold Schneider's *Drosophila* Medium (S2) (Thermo Fisher, #21720024). They were fixed immediately after dissection in 2% Paraformaldehyde (PFA) in S2 for 30 minutes. Brains were then treated with blocking solution (5% goat serum in 0.3% PBS-Tx) for 1 hr at room temperature followed by incubation with primary antibodies at 4ºC for 24–48 hr. The primary antibodies used were 1:3000 mouse anti-PDF (Developmental Hybridoma Bank) and 1:1000 rabbit anti-RFP (Rockland, #600-401-379-RTU). After incubation, the brains were rinsed 6 times in 0.3% PBS + Triton X-100 (PBT), after which they were incubated with Alexa-fluor conjugated secondary antibodies for 24-hr at 4ºC. The secondary antibodies used were 1:3000 Alexa-488 (Thermo Fisher, #A11029) and 1:1000 Alexa-568 (Thermo Fisher, #A11036). The brain samples were further washed 6 times with 0.3% PBT, cleaned and mounted on a clean glass slide in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, #H-1000-10) mounting media.

<u>PER Staining</u>: Brains of 6–8-day-old males were dissected one hour before lights-on (ZT23) in ice-cold Schneider's *Drosophila* Medium (S2) (Thermo Fisher, #21720024). Immediately after dissection, brains were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 minutes, stained and mounted as described above. The primary antibodies used were 1:1000 rabbit anti-RFP (Rockland, #600-401-379-RTU) and 1:500 rat anti-PER (donated by Orie Shafer). The

secondary antibodies used were 1:1000 Alexa-568 (Thermo Fisher, #A11036) and 1:500 Alexa-

488 (Thermo Fisher, #A21208).

Imaging, quantification, and Statistical Analysis

All images were acquired on an Olympus Fluoview 1000 laser-scanning confocal microscope

using a 40x/1.10 NA FUMFL N objective (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) at the Advanced

Science Research Center (ASRC-CUNY). For all the experiments, only one hemisphere per

brain was imaged (the right hemisphere, unless it was damaged, in which case we imaged the left

hemisphere).

<u>Quantification of adult LN$_v$ morphology</u>: We quantified the total projection length of the sLN$_v$s,

the axonal projection length from the point of origin (POI) until the branching point, the degree

of defasciculation of the sLN$_v$ ventral projections (Fig. S1A), and the dorsal termini branching

(Fig. S1C). If the axonal projection length went past the midline of the brain, the length was

measured up to the midline. The projection length and partial projection length of the sLN$_v$s were

quantified using Fiji [31] in ImageJ [32]. The length of the dorsal projection was determined by a

line drawn from the point of intersection (POI) between the sLN$_v$s and the optic tract until the

end of the dorsal termini. The partial length of the dorsal projections was determined by a line

drawn from the same point of intersection until the branching point (BP) of the sLN$_v$s at the

dorsal termini. A modified Scholl's analysis [21] was used to measure the defasciculation of the

sLN$_v$ projections and the optic tract projection, which corresponds to the lLN$_v$s. (Fig. S1A and

1C, respectively). Six concentric circles, each 25 microns (µm) apart, were placed around the

same point of intersection used in the length measurements.

<u>Quantification of larval sLN$_v$ morphology</u>: We quantified the total projection length of the

sLN$_v$s, the axonal projection length until the branching point of the and the degree of branching

in the sLN$_v$ dorsal projections. The projection length, partial projection length, and area of the

sLN$_v$s were quantified using the Fiji platform [31] in ImageJ [32]. The projection length was

measured by a line drawn from a determined first point of intersection (POI) of each of the sLN$_v$

cell bodies until the end of the dorsal termini. The partial length of the axonal projections was

determined by a line drawn for the same point of intersection until the branching point (BP) of

the sLN$_v$s at the dorsal termini. A modified Scholl's analysis was used to measure the branching

of the sLN$_v$ projections. Six concentric circles were placed around the same branching point used

in the length measurements. The concentric circles were each 12.5 µm away from each other, so

that the farthest circle was 75 µm away from the POI. The number of visible neurites of the

sLN$_v$s that intersected with each circle were counted and summed, yielding the total number of

intersecting neurons for the dorsal projections.

<u>Quantification of PER levels</u>: Single optical sections of either sLN$_v$s, lLN$_v$s or LN$_d$s were

imaged using the same settings using a 40x/1.10 objective. PDF was used to identify the small

and large LN$_v$s. The LN$_d$s were identified based on their localization, size, and morphology. PER

levels were determined through normalization of nuclear staining within each cell to the

background. The average value for each brain within a cluster was computed by averaging the

values obtained from multiple cells within that cluster. Quantification was performed using

images from 5-6 brains per each cluster at each timepoint.

Locomotor activity rhythm recording and analysis

DAM2 *Drosophila* Activity Monitors (TriKinetics, Waltham, MA) were used to record the

locomotor activity rhythms of adult male flies aged three- to five-days, as previously described

[60]. Flies were entrained to 12:12 LD cycles for at least five days, and then transferred to constant

darkness (DD) for at least eight days at a constant temperature of 28°C, unless otherwise

specified. Free-running activity rhythms were analyzed with ClockLab software from

Actimetrics (Wilmette, IL). We employed ClockLab's χ-square periodogram function, which was

integrated into ClockLab software, for the analysis of rhythmicity, rhythmic power, and free-

running period in individual flies, using a confidence level of 0.01 [33]. For each of the tested

genotypes, only significant periodicities falling within the 14 to 34-hour range were taken into

consideration. In instances where an individual fly exhibited multiple periodicities with peaks

surpassing the significance threshold, only the period with the highest amplitude was utilized

when calculating the average periods presented in Table 1. ClockLab assigns each peak in the χ-

square periodogram both a "Power" value and a "Significance" value. The "Rhythmic Power" for

each designated rhythmic fly was determined by subtracting the "Significance" value from the

"Power" value associated with the predominant peak. Flies that did not exhibit a periodicity peak

above the threshold (10) were categorized as "arrhythmic," and their period and rhythmic power

were not included in the analysis [60].

Statistical Analysis

Pearson's D'Agostino normality tests were performed for all the datasets. Depending on whether

the data were normally distributed, statistical analyses were performed using either a one-way

ANOVA with a Tukey's multiple comparisons test or a Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn's

multiple comparisons test for 3 or more groups, or a t-test for comparisons between 2 groups.

Fisher's exact contingency tests were run to analyze the percent rhythmicity for the indicated

genotypes under DD.

Materials and Reagents

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
w;Pdf-RFP,Pdf-Gal4;Tub-gal80^{ts}	J. Blau, NYU	
w;Pdf-RFP;MKRS/TM6	J. Blau, NYU	
;UAS-ΔClk #1	J. Blau, NYU	
W;UAS-cas9/CyO;UAS-Vrig;TM6b Tb	M.Rosbash, Brandeis	
w;;UAS-cyc^{RNAi42563}	Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center	BDSC 42563
w;;UAS-Clk$^{RNAi\,42566}$	Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center	BDSC 42566
w^{1118};+;+	Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center	BDSC 3605
Canton-S	Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center	BDSC 64349
cyc^{01}	P. Hardin, University of Texas	BDSC 80929
;UAS-Δcyc;	P. Hardin, University of Texas	
;UAS-ΔClk	P. Hardin, University of Texas; Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center	BDSC 3618

Antibodies		
Rabbi anti-RFP (1:1000)	Rockland	#600-401-379-RTU
Mouse anti-PDF (1:3000)	Developmental Hybridoma Bank	
Rat anti-PER (1:500)	O. Shafer (ASRC CUNY)	
Anti-rabbit Alexa-568 (1:1000)	Thermo Fisher	A11036
Donkey anti-rat Alexa-488 (1:500)	Thermo Fisher	A21208
Anti-mouse Alexa-488 (1:3000)	Thermo Fisher	A11029
Software		
Fiji	http://fiji.sc	RRID: SCR_002285
MATLAB R2022b	MathWorks, Natick	RRID: SCR_001622
GraphPad Prism 9.0	GraphPad Software	RRID: SCR_002798
DAM FileScan	Trikinetics	
ClockLab	Actimetrics	RRID:SCR_014309
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Vectashield Mounting Medium	Vector Laboratories	#H-1000-10
Premix PBS Buffer (10x)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 11666789001
2% Paraformaldehyde (PFA)	Sigma-Aldrich	47608-250ML-F
Triton- X-100	Bio Basic	CAS#9002-93-1
Schneider's *Drosophila* Medium (S2)	Thermo Fisher	21720024
Other		
DAM2 *Drosophila* Activity Monitors	Trikinetics	
DAM Drosophila Environmental Monitors	Trikinetics	

435 **Acknowledgments**

436
We are very grateful to Justin Blau and Paul Hardin for their valuable feedback on various

aspects of this project and for sharing fly lines with us. We are also grateful to M.Fernanda

Ceriani, Amanda González-Segarra, Aishwarya Ramakrishnan Iyer, Orie Shafer, and Troy

Shirangi for helpful comments on the manuscript, Annika Barber and Troy Shirangi for helpful

discussions, and Orie Shafer for the rat anti-PER antibody. The mouse anti-PDF antibody was

obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH

and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242. Stocks

obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this

study. This work was supported by an NSF Grant (IOS-2239994) to M.P.F.

446
447
448

Figure legends

Figure 1. *Cyc* downregulation in circadian pacemaker neurons prevents the formation of
sLN$_v$s axon bundles. The cyc^{01} mutant has disrupted sLN$_v$ morphology. (A) Representative
timeline of the cyc^{01} mutant experiments in the figure. Flies were kept at 25°C for their entire
lifespan. Experiments were then performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae. (B)
Representative confocal images of anti-PDF staining in Canton-S control and cyc^{01} adult male
brains. The sLN$_v$s and optic tract (OT) are indicated. Scale bar = 25 µm. The inserts on the right
show the sLN$_v$ projections with the signal intensity adjusted for visibility in the cyc^{01} mutants.
The top insert shows the distal (dorsal) area and the bottom insert shows the proximal (ventral)
area of the sLN$_v$ projections. Scale bar = 10 µm. (C) Representative confocal images of *Pdf-RFP*
controls and *;Pdf-RFP;cyc^{01}* experimental flies stained with anti-RFP (magenta). In the control
brains, the stereotypical branching point (BP) of the dorsal projections is indicated. Scale bar =
25 µm. Boxes with dashed lines indicate the proximal (1) and distal (2) projections,
corresponding to the labeled projection images in the center and right panels, respectively. Scale
bar = 10 µm. Downregulation of *cyc* in the *Pdf+* neurons result in morphology phenotypes. (D)
Representative timeline of the cyc^{01} mutant experiments in the figure. Flies were kept at 28°C for
their entire lifespan. Experiments were then performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from
pupae. (E-H) Quantification of the LN$_v$ morphology phenotypes of experimental flies in which a
cyc^{RNAi} transgene was driven by a *;Pdf-RFP,Pdf-Gal4;Tub-Gal80^{ts}* driver compared to the
parental controls. The sLN$_v$ projection length until the branching point (BP) (E), the total number
of intersections of the sLN$_v$ ventral projections (F), the total sLN$_v$ projection length (G), and the
total number of intersections of the lLN$_v$ projections along the optic tract (OT) (H) are shown.
Graphs are representative of three independent experiments, with each dot representing one
brain. For each genotype, across all experiments, the number of subjects (n) fall in the range: 20
≤ n ≤ 27 Statistical comparisons were done with one-way ANOVAs followed by Tukey post hoc
tests. Differences that are not significant are not indicated. *p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001. Error bars
indicate SEM.

Figure 2. Constitutive *cyc* downregulation in *Pdf+* cells leads to a reduction in PER levels
and arrhythmicity under free-running conditions. (A) Representative timeline of the

experiments in the figure. Flies were kept at 28˚C for their entire lifespan. Experiments were

then performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae. Dissections were performed at ZT2-3.

(B,D,F) Representative confocal images of PER (green) and PDF (magenta) staining in the

sLN$_v$s (B), lLN$_v$s (D), and LN$_d$s (F) of *Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}* experimental and *Pdf-Gal4* /+ control flies

(n = 5-6 brains per clock neuron group). All lines also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. Scale bar =

10 µm. (C,E,G) T-tests were used to compare nuclear PER intensity levels in the sLN$_v$s (C),

lLN$_v$s (E), and LN$_d$s (G) in flies of the indicated genotypes. Differences that are not significant

are not indicated. ** $p < 0.01$. Error bars indicate SEM. (H) Representative actograms of flies of

the indicated genotypes under 5 days of LD entrainment followed by 7 days of free-running

(DD). To allow comparison with development-specific *cyc* downregulation, flies in this

experiment were raised at 28˚C for their entire lifespan and the experiment was conducted at

28˚C. (I) Population activity plots for flies during days 3-5 of the LD cycle at 28˚C. (J) Fisher's

exact contingency tests were used to analyze the percentage of rhythmic flies of the indicated

genotypes under DD (DD1-7). The driver line also included a *tub-Gal80^{ts}* transgene. Additional

quantifications can be found in Table 1. R = Rhythmic and AR = arrhythmic. Differences that

are not significant are not indicated. *** $p < 0.001$. Behavioral data correspond to two

independent behavior experiments. For each genotype: $40 \leq n \leq 48$.

Figure 3. Development-specific *cyc* downregulation in *Pdf*+ cells prevents sLN$_v$

fasciculation. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were raised in

LD at 28 ˚C, and transferred to 18 ˚C immediately after eclosion. Dissections were then

performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae at ZT2-3. (B) Representative confocal

images of anti-PDF (green) and anti-RFP (magenta) staining of adult fly brains in which *cyc* was

downregulated only during development. Each line also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. The

sLN$_v$s, lLN$_v$s, OT, BP, and POI are labeled. Scale bar = 50 µm. (C-F) Quantification of the LN$_v$

morphology phenotypes of flies of the indicated genotypes. The driver line also included a *tub-*

Gal80^{ts} transgene. The sLN$_v$ projection length until the branching point (BP) (C), the number of

intersections of sLN$_v$ ventral projections (D), the total sLN$_v$ projection length (E), and the total

number of intersections of the lLNv projections along the optic tract (OT) (F) are shown for flies

in which *cyc* was downregulated in *Pdf*+ cells until eclosion. Two independent experiments were

conducted. For each genotype: $11 \leq n \leq 16$. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple

comparisons tests were used to quantify the LN$_v$ morphology. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM. Each dot corresponds to one brain. (G-I). Behavioral phenotypes of development-specific *cyc* knockdown. Flies were raised in LD at 28 ˚C, before being transferred to 18˚C upon eclosion. Experiments were conducted at 18˚C. (G) Representative actograms of flies of the indicated genotypes under free-running (see Table 1 for n and additional quantifications). (H) Population activity plots for flies during days 3-5 of the LD cycle at 18˚C. (I) Percent rhythmicity for the indicated genotypes under DD. R=Rhythmic and AR= arrhythmic. Fisher's exact contingency tests were used to analyze the percentage of rhythmic flies under DD (DD1-7). * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM. The data correspond to two independent behavior experiments. For each genotype: $45 \leq n \leq 62$.

Figure 4. *Cyc* manipulations lead to aberrant sLN$_v$ projections in larval clock neurons. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Larvae were raised in LD at 28 ˚C. Third instar larvae (L3) were dissected at ZT2-3. (B-E) Developmental effects of *cyc* knockdown in the sLN$_v$s. (B) Representative confocal images of L3 larval brains stained with anti-RFP (magenta) labeling the sLN$_v$s. The sLN$_v$s, POI, and BP are labeled. Scale bar = 25 µm. (C-E). Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were used to compare the projection length from the POI to the BP (C), the degree of sLN$_v$ dorsal termini branching (D), and the total projection length (E). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$. Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $13 \leq n \leq 17$. (F-I) Developmental effects of expressing a dominant-negative form of *cyc*, Δ-*cyc*, in the larval sLN$_v$s. (F) Representative confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s of L3 larvae. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests compared the projection length from the POI to the BP (G), the degree of sLN$_v$ dorsal termini branching (H) and the total projection length (I). Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $9 \leq n \leq 20$. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Three independent experiments were conducted for each genetic manipulation and each line also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. The driver lines also included a *tub-Gal80^{ts}* transgene. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 5. *Clk* and *cyc* manipulations result in different morphology phenotypes in clock neurons. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were kept in LD conditions at 28 ˚C for their entire lifespan. Dissections were performed within Days 6-8 post-

eclosion from pupae at ZT2-3. (B) Representative confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s adult brains of control (*Clk^{RNAi} /+* and *Pdf-Gal4;tub-Gal80^{ts}/+*), and experimental (*Pdf > Clk^{RNAi}*) flies. All lines employed also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. Scale bar = 25 µm. (C-E) Quantification of sLN$_v$ morphology using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests, compared the length until the branching point (C), the total number of axonal crosses of the sLN$_v$s (D), and the total number of axonal crosses after the BP (E). Each dot corresponds to one brain. Two independent experiments were conducted. For each genotype: $16 \leq n \leq 22$. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM. (F) Representative confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s adult brains of control (*UAS-ΔClk /+* and *Pdf-Gal4;tub-Gal80^{ts}/+*), and experimental (*Pdf > Δ-Clk*) flies. All lines employed also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. Scale bar = 25 µm. (G-I) Quantification of sLN$_v$ morphology using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests, compared the length until the branching point (G), the total number of axonal crosses of the sLN$_v$s (H), and the total number of axonal crosses after the BP (I). Each dot corresponds to one brain. Two independent experiments were conducted. For each genotype: $17 \leq n \leq 22$. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 6. Expressing *Δ-Clk* in the sLN$_v$s leads to axonal morphology phenotypes in L3 larvae. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Larvae were raised in LD at 28 ˚C. Third instar larvae (L3) were dissected at ZT2-3. (B) Representative confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s when *Δ-Clk* was expressed in *Pdf+* neurons in L3 larvae. Each line also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene and the driver line also included a *tub-Gal80^{ts}* transgene. Scale bar = 25 µm. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were used to compare the length to the BP (C), the degree of sLN$_v$ dorsal termini branching (D), and the total projection length (E). Two independent experiments were conducted. Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $12 \leq n \leq 14$. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 7. *Vri* mutagenesis results in sLN$_v$ hyperextension. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were kept in LD conditions at 28 ˚C for their entire lifespan. Dissections were performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae at ZT2-3. Behavioral

experiments were run at 28°C. (B) Representative confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s adult brains of control (*cas9;vrig\/+* and *Pdf-Gal4;tub-Gal80^{ts}/+*), and experimental (*Pdf > cas9;vrig*) flies. All lines employed also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. Scale bar = 25 µm. (C-E,G,H) Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests compared the length until the branching point (C), the total number of axonal crosses of the sLN$_v$s (D), the longest path of the sLN$_v$ neurites (without including misrouting) (E), and the distance from the sLN$_v$ projection end to the lLN$_v$s projection along the optic tract (F). (H) Fisher's exact contingency tests were used to analyze the percentage of brains where the sLN$_v$s intersected with the lLN$_v$s in the optic tract (I = Intersecting, N.I. = Not Intersecting). Each dot corresponds to one brain. Two independent experiments were conducted. For each genotype: $7 \leq n \leq 12$. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate SEM.

Supplemental Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Quantification of defasciculation (ventral projections) and branching (dorsal projections) in the LNvs. (A-C) Representative confocal images of adult (A, C) and L3 larvae (B) control brains stained with anti-RFP (magenta). The sLN$_v$s, OT, POI, and BP are indicated. Scale bars = 50 µm (A,C) and 25 µm (B). (A) To determine the degree of defasciculation of the sLN$_v$ ventral projections in adult brains, 6 concentric circles separated by is 25 µm were centered at the point of intersection (POI), where the projections of the sLN$_v$s and those of the lLN$_v$s intersect. The most distant circle does not reach the main branching point (BP) in control brains; therefore, the dorsal termini are not included. The number of intersections between either the sLNvs or the lLN$_v$s and each concentric circle were quantified. (B) Dorsal projection branching in the larval sLN$_v$s was measured by counting the number of intersections the sLN$_v$s had at each of the 6 concentric circles separated by 12.5 µm. (C) Adult sLN$_v$ dorsal projection branching was measured by counting the number of intersections the sLN$_v$s had at each of 8 concentric circles separated by 12.5 µm. This was adapted from a previous study [27] to capture the hyperextended projection phenotype of *Pdf > Δ-Clk* flies.

Supplementary Figure 2. Δ-*cyc* expression in *Pdf*+ cells prevents sLN$_v$s fasciculation. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were kept in LD conditions at 28

˚C for their entire lifespan. Dissections were performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from

pupae at ZT2-3. (B) Representative brain confocal images of anti-PDF (green) and anti-RFP

(magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s of flies in which Δ-*cyc* was constitutively expressed in the *Pdf+*

cells using a *Pdf-Gal4;tub-Gal80^{ts}* driver. Each line also included a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. The

images are representative of 2 independent experiments. Scale bar = 50 µm. Kruskal-Wallis tests

followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were used to compare the sLN$_v$ projection length

until the branching point (C), the total number of intersections of the sLN$_v$ ventral projections

(D), the full sLN$_v$ projection length (E), and the total number of lLN$_v$ intersections (F). Each dot

corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $9 \leq n \leq 12$. (G-H) Behavioral phenotypes of

constitutive Δ-*cyc* expression. Experiments were conducted at 28˚C. (G) Population Activity

(left) plots for flies during days 3-5 of the LD cycle at 28˚C (see Table 1 for additional

quantifications). (H) Percent rhythmicity for the indicated genotypes under DD. R=Rhythmic

and AR= arrhythmic. Fisher's exact contingency tests were used to analyze the percentage of

rhythmic flies under DD (DD1-8). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Error bars indicate

SEM. For each genotype: $24 \leq n \leq 32$.

Supplementary Figure 3. Adult-specific *cyc* knockdown does not affect sLNv neuronal

morphology. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were raised in

LD at 18 ˚C, and transferred to 28 ˚C immediately after eclosion. Dissections were then

performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae at ZT2-3. (B) Representative confocal

images of anti-PDF (green) and anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s when *cyc* was

downregulated exclusively after eclosion using a *Pdf-Gal4;tub-Gal80^{ts}* driver. The images are

representative of two independent experiments. Scale bar = 50 µm. Each line also included a

Pdf-RFP transgene. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were

used to quantify the projection length until BP (C), the total number of intersections of the sLN$_v$

ventral projections (D), the full sLN$_v$ projection length (E), and the total number of lLN$_v$

intersections (F). Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $17 \leq n \leq 24$. (G-H)

Behavioral phenotypes of adult-specific *cyc* knockdown. Flies were raised in LD at 18 ˚C, before

being transferred to 28˚C upon eclosion. Experiments were conducted at 28˚C. (G) Population

Activity plots for flies during days 3-5 of the LD cycle at 18˚C (see Table 1 for additional

quantifications). (H) Percent rhythmicity for the indicated genotypes under DD. Fisher's exact

contingency tests were used to analyze the percentage of rhythmic flies under DD (DD1-8). * p <

0.05, *** p < 0.001. Error bars indicate SEM. For each genotype: $25 \leq n \leq 31$.

Supplementary Figure 4. Expressing Δ-*Clk* in the LN$_v$s results in morphology and

behavioral phenotypes. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Flies were

raised in LD at 28 °C For their entire lifespan. Behavioral assays and dissections were then

performed within Days 6-8 post-eclosion from pupae at ZT2-3. Experiments were conducted at

28°C. (B) Fisher's tests were used to compare the percent of rhythmic flies of each indicated

genotype (additional quantifications can be found in Table 1). For each genotype: $21 \leq n \leq 26$.

(C-D) Additional quantifications of effects of Clk^{RNAi} expression in the *Pdf*+ cells in adult brains.

Neither the sLN$_v$ total projection length (C) nor the lLN$_v$ projections (D) were affected. Kruskal-

Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were employed. For each genotype:

$16 \leq n \leq 22$. (E) Fisher's tests were used to compare the percent of rhythmic flies of each

indicated genotype (additional quantifications shown in Table 1). For each genotype: $27 \leq n \leq$

31. (F-G) Effects of Δ-*Clk* expression in the *Pdf*+ cells in adult brains. The sLN$_v$ total projection

length (F) and the lLN$_v$ projections (G) were quantified using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by

Dunn's multiple comparisons tests. Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $17 \leq n$

≤ 22. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. Error bars indicate SEM.

Supplementary Figure 5. *Clk* downregulation in the larval sLN$_v$s did not result in

morphology phenotypes. (A) Representative timeline of the experiments in the figure. Larvae

were raised in LD at 28 °C. Third instar larvae (L3) were dissected at ZT2-3. (B) Representative

confocal images of anti-RFP (magenta) staining in the sLN$_v$s when Clk^{RNAi} was expressed in L3

larvae. The sLN$_v$s, POI, and BP are labeled. Each line also contains a *Pdf-RFP* transgene. Scale

bar = 25 µm. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were used to

compare the projection length from the POI to the BP (C), the total number of axonal

intersections (D), and the total projection length from the POI (E). * p < 0.05. Error bars indicate

SEM. Each dot corresponds to one brain. For each genotype: $4 \leq n \leq 9$.

References

668   1.   Herzog, E.D. (2007). Neurons and networks in daily rhythms. Nat Rev Neurosci *8*, 790-
669   802. 10.1038/nrn2215.
670   2.   Taghert, P.H., and Nitabach, M.N. (2012). Peptide neuromodulation in invertebrate
671   model systems. Neuron *76*, 82-97. 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.08.035.
672   3.   Sehgal, A., Price, J., and Young, M.W. (1992). Ontogeny of a biological clock in
673   Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *89*, 1423-1427.
674   4.   Helfrich-Forster, C. (2003). The neuroarchitecture of the circadian clock in the brain of
675   Drosophila melanogaster. Microsc Res Tech *62*, 94-102. 10.1002/jemt.10357.
676   5.   Shafer, O.T., Helfrich-Forster, C., Renn, S.C., and Taghert, P.H. (2006). Reevaluation of
677   Drosophila melanogaster's neuronal circadian pacemakers reveals new neuronal classes.
678   J Comp Neurol *498*, 180-193. 10.1002/cne.21021.
679   6.   Schubert, F.K., Hagedorn, N., Yoshii, T., Helfrich-Forster, C., and Rieger, D. (2018).
680   Neuroanatomical details of the lateral neurons of Drosophila melanogaster support
681   their functional role in the circadian system. J Comp Neurol *526*, 1209-1231.
682   10.1002/cne.24406.
683   7.   Hardin, P.E., Hall, J.C., and Rosbash, M. (1990). Feedback of the Drosophila period gene
684   product on circadian cycling of its messenger RNA levels. Nature *343*, 536-540.
685   10.1038/343536a0.
686   8.   Cyran, S.A., Buchsbaum, A.M., Reddy, K.L., Lin, M.C., Glossop, N.R., Hardin, P.E., Young,
687   M.W., Storti, R.V., and Blau, J. (2003). vrille, Pdp1, and dClock form a second feedback
688   loop in the Drosophila circadian clock. Cell *112*, 329-341. 10.1016/s0092-
689   8674(03)00074-6.
690   9.   Glossop, N.R.J., Houl, J.H., Zheng, H., Ng, F.S., Dudek, S.M., and Hardin, P.E. (2003).
691   VRILLE Feeds Back to Control Circadian Transcription of Clock in the Drosophila Circadian
692   Oscillator. Neuron *37*, 249-261. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00002-3.
693   10.   Liu, T., Mahesh, G., Houl, J.H., and Hardin, P.E. (2015). Circadian Activators Are
694   Expressed Days before They Initiate Clock Function in Late Pacemaker Neurons from
695   Drosophila. The Journal of Neuroscience *35*, 8662-8671.
696   10.1523/jneurosci.0250-15.2015.
697   11.   Ma, D., Przybylski, D., Abruzzi, K.C., Schlichting, M., Li, Q., Long, X., and Rosbash, M.
698   (2021). A transcriptomic taxonomy of Drosophila circadian neurons around the clock.
699   eLife *10*, e63056. 10.7554/eLife.63056.
700   12.   Shafer, O.T., Gutierrez, G.J., Li, K., Mildenhall, A., Spira, D., Marty, J., Lazar, A.A., and
701   Fernandez, M.P. (2022). Connectomic analysis of the Drosophila lateral neuron clock
702   cells reveals the synaptic basis of functional pacemaker classes. Elife *11*.
703   10.7554/eLife.79139.
704   13.   Helfrich-Forster, C. (2005). Neurobiology of the fruit fly's circadian clock. Genes Brain
705   Behav *4*, 65-76. 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2004.00092.x.
706   14.   Abruzzi, K.C., Zadina, A., Luo, W., Wiyanto, E., Rahman, R., Guo, F., Shafer, O., and
707   Rosbash, M. (2017). RNA-seq analysis of Drosophila clock and non-clock neurons reveals
708   neuron-specific cycling and novel candidate neuropeptides. PLoS Genet *13*, e1006613.
709   10.1371/journal.pgen.1006613.

15. Rieger, D., Shafer, O.T., Tomioka, K., and Helfrich-Forster, C. (2006). Functional analysis
of circadian pacemaker neurons in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurosci *26*, 2531-2543.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1234-05.2006.
16. Murad, A., Emery-Le, M., and Emery, P. (2007). A subset of dorsal neurons modulates
circadian behavior and light responses in Drosophila. Neuron *53*, 689-701.
10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.034.
17. Grima, B., Chelot, E., Xia, R., and Rouyer, F. (2004). Morning and evening peaks of
activity rely on different clock neurons of the Drosophila brain. Nature *431*, 869-873.
10.1038/nature02935.
18. Stoleru, D., Peng, Y., Agosto, J., and Rosbash, M. (2004). Coupled oscillators control
morning and evening locomotor behaviour of Drosophila. Nature *431*, 862-868.
10.1038/nature02926.
19. Ewer, J., Frisch, B., Hamblen-Coyle, M.J., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. (1992). Expression of
the period clock gene within different cell types in the brain of Drosophila adults and
mosaic analysis of these cells' influence on circadian behavioral rhythms. J Neurosci *12*,
3321-3349.
20. Frisch, B., Hardin, P.E., Hamblen-Coyle, M.J., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. (1994). A
promoterless period gene mediates behavioral rhythmicity and cyclical per expression in
a restricted subset of the Drosophila nervous system. Neuron *12*, 555-570.
21. Renn, S.C., Park, J.H., Rosbash, M., Hall, J.C., and Taghert, P.H. (1999). A pdf
neuropeptide gene mutation and ablation of PDF neurons each cause severe
abnormalities of behavioral circadian rhythms in Drosophila. Cell *99*, 791-802.
22. Shafer, O.T., and Yao, Z. (2014). Pigment-Dispersing Factor Signaling and Circadian
Rhythms in Insect Locomotor Activity. Curr Opin Insect Sci *1*, 73-80.
10.1016/j.cois.2014.05.002.
23. Park, J.H., Helfrich-Forster, C., Lee, G., Liu, L., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. (2000).
Differential regulation of circadian pacemaker output by separate clock genes in
Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *97*, 3608-3613. 10.1073/pnas.070036197.
24. Klose, M.K., Bruchez, M.P., Deitcher, D.L., and Levitan, E.S. (2021). Temporally and
spatially partitioned neuropeptide release from individual clock neurons. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A *118*. 10.1073/pnas.2101818118.
25. Helfrich-Forster, C., Shafer, O.T., Wulbeck, C., Grieshaber, E., Rieger, D., and Taghert, P.
(2007). Development and morphology of the clock-gene-expressing lateral neurons of
Drosophila melanogaster. J Comp Neurol *500*, 47-70. 10.1002/cne.21146.
26. Helfrich-Forster, C., and Homberg, U. (1993). Pigment-dispersing hormone-
immunoreactive neurons in the nervous system of wild-type Drosophila melanogaster
and of several mutants with altered circadian rhythmicity. J Comp Neurol *337*, 177-190.
10.1002/cne.903370202.
27. Fernandez, M.P., Berni, J., and Ceriani, M.F. (2008). Circadian remodeling of neuronal
circuits involved in rhythmic behavior. PLoS Biol *6*, e69. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060069.
28. Petsakou, A., Sapsis, Themistoklis P., and Blau, J. (2015). Circadian Rhythms in Rho1
Activity Regulate Neuronal Plasticity and Network Hierarchy. Cell *162*, 823-835.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.010.

29.   Gorostiza, E.A., Depetris-Chauvin, A., Frenkel, L., Pírez, N., and Ceriani, María F. (2014).
754   Circadian Pacemaker Neurons Change Synaptic Contacts across the Day. Current Biology
755   *24*, 2161-2167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.07.063.

756   30.   Herrero, A., Duhart, J.M., and Ceriani, M.F. (2017). Neuronal and Glial Clocks Underlying
757   Structural Remodeling of Pacemaker Neurons in Drosophila. Frontiers in Physiology *8*.
758   10.3389/fphys.2017.00918.

759   31.   Depetris-Chauvin, A., Fernández-Gamba, Á., Gorostiza, E.A., Herrero, A., Castaño, E.M.,
760   and Ceriani, M.F. (2014). Mmp1 Processing of the PDF Neuropeptide Regulates
761   Circadian Structural Plasticity of Pacemaker Neurons. PLOS Genetics *10*, e1004700.
762   10.1371/journal.pgen.1004700.

763   32.   Blau, J., and Young, M.W. (1999). Cycling vrille Expression Is Required for a Functional
764   Drosophila Clock. Cell *99*, 661-671. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81554-8.

765   33.   Rutila, J.E., Suri, V., Le, M., So, W.V., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. (1998). CYCLE is a second
766   bHLH-PAS clock protein essential for circadian rhythmicity and transcription of
767   Drosophila period and timeless. Cell *93*, 805-814.

768   34.   Dong, Z., Zhang, G., Qu, M., Gimple, R.C., Wu, Q., Qiu, Z., Prager, B.C., Wang, X., Kim,
769   L.J.Y., Morton, A.R., et al. (2019). Targeting Glioblastoma Stem Cells through Disruption
770   of the Circadian Clock. Cancer Discov *9*, 1556-1573. 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0215.

771   35.   Wagner, P.M., Prucca, C.G., Velazquez, F.N., Sosa Alderete, L.G., Caputto, B.L., and
772   Guido, M.E. (2021). Temporal regulation of tumor growth in nocturnal mammals: In vivo
773   studies and chemotherapeutical potential. FASEB J *35*, e21231. 10.1096/fj.202001753R.

774   36.   Kondratova, A.A., Dubrovsky, Y.V., Antoch, M.P., and Kondratov, R.V. (2010). Circadian
775   clock proteins control adaptation to novel environment and memory formation. Aging
776   (Albany NY) *2*, 285-297. 10.18632/aging.100142.

777   37.   Kondratov, R.V., Kondratova, A.A., Gorbacheva, V.Y., Vykhovanets, O.V., and Antoch,
778   M.P. (2006). Early aging and age-related pathologies in mice deficient in BMAL1, the
779   core component of the circadian clock. Genes Dev *20*, 1868-1873. 10.1101/gad.1432206.

780   38.   Zheng, Y., Pan, L., Wang, F., Yan, J., Wang, T., Xia, Y., Yao, L., Deng, K., Zheng, Y., Xia, X.,
781   et al. (2023). Neural function of Bmal1: an overview. Cell & Bioscience *13*, 1.
782   10.1186/s13578-022-00947-8.

783   39.   Gunawardhana, K.L., and Hardin, P.E. (2017). VRILLE Controls PDF Neuropeptide
784   Accumulation and Arborization Rhythms in Small Ventrolateral Neurons to Drive
785   Rhythmic Behavior in Drosophila. Curr Biol *27*, 3442-3453 e3444.
786   10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.010.

787   40.   Goda, T., Mirowska, K., Currie, J., Kim, M.H., Rao, N.V., Bonilla, G., and Wijnen, H.
788   (2011). Adult circadian behavior in Drosophila requires developmental expression of
789   cycle, but not period. PLoS Genet *7*, e1002167. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002167.

790   41.   Ruben, M., Drapeau, M.D., Mizrak, D., and Blau, J. (2012). A mechanism for circadian
791   control of pacemaker neuron excitability. J Biol Rhythms *27*, 353-364.
792   10.1177/0748730412455918.

793   42.   Sholl, D.A. (1953). Dendritic organization in the neurons of the visual and motor cortices
794   of the cat. J Anat *87*, 387-406.

795   43.   Tanoue, S., Krishnan, P., Krishnan, B., Dryer, S.E., and Hardin, P.E. (2004). Circadian
796   clocks in antennal neurons are necessary and sufficient for olfaction rhythms in
797   Drosophila. Curr Biol *14*, 638-649. 10.1016/j.cub.2004.04.009.

798   44.   Collins, B., Kane, Elizabeth A., Reeves, David C., Akabas, Myles H., and Blau, J. (2012).
799   Balance of Activity between LNvs and Glutamatergic Dorsal Clock Neurons Promotes
800   Robust Circadian Rhythms in Drosophila. Neuron *74*, 706-718.
801   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.034.

802   45.   Lorber, C., Leleux, S., Stanewsky, R., and Lamaze, A. (2022). Light triggers a network
803   switch between circadian morning and evening oscillators controlling behaviour during
804   daily temperature cycles. PLOS Genetics *18*, e1010487. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1010487.

805   46.   Siwicki, K.K., Eastman, C., Petersen, G., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. (1988). Antibodies to
806   the period gene product of Drosophila reveal diverse tissue distribution and rhythmic
807   changes in the visual system. Neuron *1*, 141-150.

808   47.   Hendricks, J.C., Lu, S., Kume, K., Yin, J.C., Yang, Z., and Sehgal, A. (2003). Gender
809   dimorphism in the role of cycle (BMAL1) in rest, rest regulation, and longevity in
810   Drosophila melanogaster. J Biol Rhythms *18*, 12-25. 10.1177/0748730402239673.

811   48.   McGuire, S.E., Mao, Z., and Davis, R.L. (2004). Spatiotemporal gene expression targeting
812   with the TARGET and gene-switch systems in Drosophila. Sci STKE *2004*, pl6.
813   10.1126/stke.2202004pl6.

814   49.   Mazzoni, E.O., Desplan, C., and Blau, J. (2005). Circadian pacemaker neurons transmit
815   and modulate visual information to control a rapid behavioral response. Neuron *45*,
816   293-300. 10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.038.

817   50.   Larderet, I., Fritsch, P.M.J., Gendre, N., Neagu-Maier, G.L., Fetter, R.D., Schneider-Mizell,
818   C.M., Truman, J.W., Zlatic, M., Cardona, A., and Sprecher, S.G. (2017). Organization of
819   the Drosophila larval visual circuit. eLife *6*, e28387. 10.7554/eLife.28387.

820   51.   Keene, A.C., Duboue, E.R., McDonald, D.M., Dus, M., Suh, G.S., Waddell, S., and Blau, J.
821   (2010). Clock and cycle limit starvation-induced sleep loss in Drosophila. Curr Biol *20*,
822   1209-1215. 10.1016/j.cub.2010.05.029.

823   52.   Sivachenko, A., Li, Y., Abruzzi, K.C., and Rosbash, M. (2013). The transcription factor
824   Mef2 links the Drosophila core clock to Fas2, neuronal morphology, and circadian
825   behavior. Neuron *79*, 281-292. 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.015.

826   53.   Richhariya, S., Shin, D., Le, J.Q., and Rosbash, M. (2023). Dissecting neuron-specific
827   functions of circadian genes using modified cell-specific CRISPR approaches. Proc Natl
828   Acad Sci U S A *120*, e2303779120. 10.1073/pnas.2303779120.

829   54.   Houl, J.H., Ng, F., Taylor, P., and Hardin, P.E. (2008). CLOCK expression identifies
830   developing circadian oscillator neurons in the brains of Drosophila embryos. BMC
831   Neurosci *9*, 119. 10.1186/1471-2202-9-119.

832   55.   Herrero, A., Duhart, J.M., and Ceriani, M.F. (2017). Neuronal and Glial Clocks Underlying
833   Structural Remodeling of Pacemaker Neurons in Drosophila. Front Physiol *8*, 918.
834   10.3389/fphys.2017.00918.

835   56.   Herrero, A., Yoshii, T., Ispizua, J.I., Colque, C., Veenstra, J.A., Muraro, N.I., and Ceriani,
836   M.F. (2020). Coupling Neuropeptide Levels to Structural Plasticity in Drosophila Clock
837   Neurons. Curr Biol *30*, 3154-3166 e3154. 10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.009.

57.   Nagoshi, E., Sugino, K., Kula, E., Okazaki, E., Tachibana, T., Nelson, S., and Rosbash, M.
(2010). Dissecting differential gene expression within the circadian neuronal circuit of
Drosophila. Nat Neurosci *13*, 60-68. 10.1038/nn.2451.
58.   Zhao, J., Kilman, V.L., Keegan, K.P., Peng, Y., Emery, P., Rosbash, M., and Allada, R.
(2003). Drosophila clock can generate ectopic circadian clocks. Cell *113*, 755-766.
59.   Liu, T., Mahesh, G., Yu, W., and Hardin, P.E. (2017). CLOCK stabilizes CYCLE to initiate
clock function in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, 10972-10977.
10.1073/pnas.1707143114.
60.   Fernandez, M.P., Pettibone, H.L., Bogart, J.T., Roell, C.J., Davey, C.E., Pranevicius, A.,
Huynh, K.V., Lennox, S.M., Kostadinov, B.S., and Shafer, O.T. (2020). Sites of Circadian
Clock Neuron Plasticity Mediate Sensory Integration and Entrainment. Curr Biol *30*,
2225-2237 e2225. 10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.025.
850

cyc^{01}

Constitutive *cyc* Downregulation

A Development Eclosion Adulthood
■ Night
□ Day
□ 25°C
Day 1 Days 6-8
Dissection Window
ZT2-ZT3

B *CS* *cyc^{01}*
PDF
s-LN_vs
OT
D
V
L ←→ M
25 μm 10 μm

C RFP
BP
2
1
50 μm 10 μm 1 2
;Pdf-Red;
D
V
L ←→ M
1 2 ;Pdf-Red; cyc^{01}

D Development Eclosion Adulthood
■ Night
□ Day
□ 28°C
Day 1 Days 6-8
Dissection Window
ZT2-ZT3

E Length until BP (μm)
300
200
100
0
***
***
cyc^{RNAi} + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

F s-LN_v Defasciculation
***
***
cyc^{RNAi} + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

G Total Projection Length (μm)
cyc^{RNAi} + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

H l-LN_v Defasciculation
*
cyc^{RNAi} + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

Development-specific *cyc* downregulation

A Development | Eclosion | Adulthood
Night, Day, 28°C, 18°C
Day 1
Days 6-8
Dissection Window
ZT2-ZT3

B RFP — l-LN$_v$s, BP, s-LN$_v$s, POI, OT / PDF / RFP PDF — 50 μm
UAS-cyc^{RNAi}
Pdf-Gal4
Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}
D–V, L–M

C Length until BP (μm)
cyc^{RNAi} + − +
Pdf-Gal4 − + +
*** / ***

D s-LN$_v$ Defasciculation
cyc^{RNAi} + − +
Pdf-Gal4 − + +
** / ***

E Total Projection Length (μm)
cyc^{RNAi} + − +
Pdf-Gal4 − + +

F l-LN$_v$ Defasciculation
cyc^{RNAi} + − +
Pdf-Gal4 − + +

G *Pdf-Gal4* | *UAS-cyc^{RNAi}* | *Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}*

H Pdf-Gal4, UAS-cyc^{RNAi}, Pdf > cyc^{RNAi}
Activity (beam crosses/min)
Time (ZT) 0 12

I % Rhythmicity
R / AR
cyc^{RNAi} + − +
Pdf-Gal4 − + +
* / *** / ***

A

Eclosion

Development

Night ▪ Day ☐ 28°C
L3 Dissection Window: ZT2-ZT3

L3   Pupae

Larval *cyc* Downregulation

B *Pdf-Gal4*   *UAS-cyc^RNAi*   *Pdf > cyc^RNAi*

RFP

BP

s-LN_vs

POI

D
V
M L

25 μm

C
Length to BP (μm)

cyc^RNAi   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

D
Dorsal Termini Branching
**
*

cyc^RNAi   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

E
Total Projection Length (μm)

cyc^RNAi   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

Larval Δ-*cyc* Expression

F *Pdf-Gal4*   *UAS-Δcyc*   *Pdf > Δ-cyc*

RFP

BP

s-LN_vs   POI

D
V
M L

25 μm

G
Length until BP (μm)
**
*

Δ-cyc   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

H
Dorsal Termini Branching
***
**

Δ-cyc   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

I
Total Projection Length (μm)
***

Δ-cyc   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

A

Development   Eclosion   Adulthood

■ Night
□ Day
■ 28°C

Day 1   Days 6-8

Dissection & Loading Window
ZT2-ZT3

Constitutive *Clk* Downregulation

B

Pdf-Gal4   *UAS-Clk^RNAi*   *Pdf > Clk^RNAi*

RFP

BP

D
s-LN_v s   POI
V
L ◄──► M
25 μm

C

Length until BP (μm)

Clk^RNAi + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

D

s-LN_v Defasciculation

Clk^RNAi + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

E

Dorsal Termini Branching

**
*

Clk^RNAi + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

Constitutive Δ-*Clk* Expression

F

Pdf-Gal4   *UAS-ΔClk*   *Pdf > ΔClk*

RFP

BP

D
s-LN_v s
POI
V
L ◄──► M
25 μm

G

Length until BP (μm)

Δ-*Clk* + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

H

s-LN_v Defasciculation

***
***

Δ-*Clk* + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

I

Dorsal Termini Branching

***
**

Δ-*Clk* + - +
Pdf-Gal4 - + +

Larval Δ-*Clk* Expression

A

Development | Eclosion

Night ■ Day □ 28°C ■
L3 Dissection Window: ZT2-ZT3

L3 | Pupae

B

Pdf-Gal4 | *UAS-ΔClk* | *Pdf > Δ-Clk*

RFP

BP
s-LN$_v$s
POI

D / V
M ← → L

25 µm

C

Length until BP (µm)

| Δ-*Clk* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

D

Dorsal Termini Branching

***
**

| Δ-*Clk* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

E

Total Projection Length (µm)

***
**

| Δ-*Clk* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

Crispr-Cas9 *vri* Deletion

A

Development   Eclosion   Adulthood

■ Night
□ Day
■ 28°C

Day 1   Days 6-8

Dissection & Loading Window
ZT2-ZT3

B

Pdf-Gal4   *cas9;vri*   *Pdf > cas9;vri*

RFP

BP

s-LN_s
POI

D
V
L — M

50 μm

C — Length until BP (μm)

| *cas9; vri* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

D — s-LN_v Defasciculation

| *cas9; vri* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

E — Total Projection Length (μm)

| *cas9; vri* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

F — Distance from OT (μm)

| *cas9; vri* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

G — % Intersect

I
NI

| *cas9; vri* | + | - | + |
| *Pdf-Gal4* | - | + | + |

A — LN_v Defasciculation

B — Larval Dorsal Termini Branching

C — Adult Dorsal Termini Branching

Constitutive Δ-*cyc* Expression

Adult-specific *cyc* downregulation

A

Eclosion

Development | Adulthood

■ Night
□ Day
█ 28°C

Day 1

Days 6-8
↓
Dissection & Loading Window
ZT2-ZT3

Constitutive *Clk* Downregulation

B

**

***

% Rhythmicity

R
AR

Clk^{RNAi}   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

C

Total Projection Length (μm)

Clk^{RNAi}   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

D

l-LN$_V$ Defasciculation

Clk^{RNAi}   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4   -   +   +

Constitutive Δ-*Clk* Expression

E

***

***

% Rhythmicity

R
AR

Δ-*Clk*   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4 -   +   +

F

Total Projection Length (μm)

***

*

Δ-*Clk*   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4 -   +   +

G

l-LN$_V$ Defasciculation

**

Δ-*Clk*   +   -   +
Pdf-Gal4 -   +   +

Larval *Clk* Downregulation

A

B

C

Table 1. Summary of free running activity rhythms. Related to Figures 2, 3, and 7, and Supp. Figures 3, 4, and 6.

Temperature Pre-Eclosion: 28˚C, Temperature Post Eclosion: 28˚C				
Genotype	Number of Flies (n)	% Rhythmicity (nR)	Period (h) ± SEM	Rhythmic Power ± SEM
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts}	40	97.50 (39)	24.44 ± 0.06	109.80 ± 6.39
;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	48	85.42 (41)	24.02 ± 0.08	85.74 ± 7.91
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	40	10.00 (4)	25.88 ± 3.03	24.32 ± 3.71
;;UAS-Clk$^{RNAi\ 42566}$	26	92.31 (24)	23.73 ± 0.10	97.66 ± 8.90
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;;UAS-Clk$^{RNAi\ 42566}$	21	52.38 (11)	25.36 ± 0.22	36.74 ± 6.76
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts}	24	100.00 (24)	24.5 ± 0.07	101.40 ± 8.97
;UAS-cas9/CyO; UAS-Vrig/TM6b Tb	16	87.50 (14)	23.86 ± 0.11	124.6 ± 10.39
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;UAS-cas9/CyO; UAS-Vrig/TM6b Tb	18	38.89 (7)	23.50 ± 0.15	29.02 ± 3.68
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts}	31	83.87 (26)	24.98 ± 0.07	56.76 ± 6.73
;UAS-Δcyc;	24	95.83 (23)	23.54 ± 0.08	72.79 ± 9.29
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;UAS-Δcyc;	32	3.13 (1)	25.50 ± 0.00	13.66 ± 0.00
;UAS-ΔClk	27	100.00 (27)	23.52 ± 0.06	93.52 ± 8.71
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4; Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;UAS-ΔClk	28	3.57 (1)	23.50 ± 0.00	17.18 ± 0.00

Temperature Pre-Eclosion: 28˚C, Temperature Post Eclosion: 18˚C				
Genotype	Number of Flies (n)	% Rhythmicity (nR)	Period (h) ± SEM	Rhythmic Power ± SEM
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4 Tub-Gal80^{ts}	45	84.44 (38)	24.09 ± 0.09	100.7 ± 9.65
;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	62	96.77 (60)	24.13 ± 0.05	80.98 ± 5.70
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4 Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	48	22.92 (11)	23.64 ± 1.04	21.03 ± 2.66

Temperature Pre-Eclosion: 18˚C, Temperature Post Eclosion: 28˚C				
Genotype	Number of Flies (n)	% Rhythmicity (nR)	Period (h) ± SEM	Rhythmic Power ± SEM
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4 Tub-Gal80^{ts}	30	100 (30)	24.93 ± 0.04	127.8 ± 6.76
;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	25	96 (24)	24.04 ± 0.07	150.1 ± 12.44
;Pdf-Red,Pdf-Gal4 Tub-Gal80^{ts} > ;;UAS-cyc$^{RNAi\ 42563}$	31	38.71 (12)	23.67 ± 0.61	24.27 ± 3.68

Activity analysis of the above genotypes at either 28˚C or 18˚C. Light conditions for each experiment was 12:12 LD-DD. For each genotype the Number of Flies (n) is listed above. All flies were raised at 28˚C or 18˚C and then, upon eclosion, kept at 28˚C or transferred to 18˚C. ClockLab's χ-square periodogram analysis was used to analyze rhythmicity, rhythmic power, and free-running period for each above genotypes. The % rhythmicity along with the number of rhythmic flies (nR), the period in hours with the SEM, and the rhythmic power with the SEM are indicated. Arrhythmic flies were not considered in the analysis.