Human promoter directionality is determined by transcriptional initiation and the opposing activities of INTS11 and CDK9

Joshua D Eaton[1,2,3], Jessica Board[1,3], Lee Davidson[1], Chris Estell[1] and Steven West[1*]

[1]The Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Stocker Rd, Exeter, EX4 4QD, UK

[2]Current address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Francis Crick Ave, Trumpington, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK

[3]Equal contribution

*Corresponding author: s.west@exeter.ac.uk; +44(0)1392727458

ABSTRACT

RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) transcription initiates bidirectionally at many human protein-coding genes. Sense transcription usually dominates and leads to messenger RNA production, whereas antisense transcription rapidly terminates. The basis for this directionality is not fully understood. Here, we show that sense transcriptional initiation is more efficient than in the antisense direction, which provides initial directionality. After transcription begins, the opposing functions of Integrator (INTS11) and cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDK9) maintain directionality. INTS11 terminates antisense transcription, whereas sense transcription is protected from INTS11-dependent attenuation by CDK9. INTS11 terminates transcription in both directions upon CDK9 inhibition, and the engineered recruitment of CDK9 prevents attenuation by INTS11. Therefore, transcriptional initiation and the opposing activities of CDK9 and INTS11 explain the attenuation of antisense transcription, the more extensive nature of sense transcription, and promoter directionality.

INTRODUCTION

In humans, most protein-coding genes initiate transcription bidirectionally. Usually, only sense transcription is extended and leads to mRNA synthesis. Antisense transcription terminates within ~1 kilobase (kb), and the short non-coding (nc)RNA is degraded[1]. Similar asymmetry frequently occurs in unicellular eukaryotes (e.g., budding yeast) and at some plant promoters[2,3]. Thus, promoter directionality is a broadly observed phenomenon. Interestingly, bidirectionality is the ground state of promoters and directionality is acquired over evolutionary time[4]. This is proposed to be through a combination of DNA sequences and proteins that favour the directional initiation and elongation of transcription.

The present explanation for the directionality of mammalian RNAPII promoters involves the arrangement of U1 snRNA binding sites and polyadenylation signals (PASs). U1 snRNA promotes RNAPII elongation through protein-coding genes by binding to RNA and preventing early termination[5-7]. It does this by silencing PASs and antagonizing other attenuation mechanisms, which include the PP1 regulator, PNUTS, and the restrictor complex[8,9]. In contrast, U1 binding splice sites are rarer in short antisense transcripts, which are often rich in PAS sequences that are proposed to promote transcriptional termination[10]. This model predicts that polyadenylation factors control a large fraction of antisense transcriptional termination.

The multi-subunit Integrator complex also regulates promoter-proximal transcription[11-15]. The Integrator complex comprises the backbone, arm, phosphatase, and endonuclease modules[11]. Its endoribonuclease is INTS11, and its phosphatase activity is mediated by INTS6 and protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). INTS11 endonuclease broadly affects promoter-proximal transcriptional attenuation whereas INTS phosphatase is proposed to regulate the escape of RNAPII into elongation[16,17]. INTS6/PP2A phosphatase functionally antagonises CDK9, which is vital for RNAPII promoter escape and productive elongation[18]. By this model, CDK9 activity promotes elongation across protein-coding genes and INTS6/PP2A opposes it.

We tested the prediction that PAS factors control transcription directionality by terminating antisense transcription, but this is not usually the case. Instead, we find that promoter directionality is conferred by preferential initiation in the sense direction and is thereafter maintained by INTS11 and CDK9. The termination of most antisense transcription is constitutively INTS11-dependent, whereas sense transcription is hypersensitive to INTS11 only when CDK9 activity is simultaneously inhibited. We hypothesise that CDK9 activity desensitises sense transcription to INTS11 and that reduced CDK9 activity in the antisense direction exposes RNAPII to INTS11-dependent termination.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present explanation of mammalian promoter directionality invokes early PAS-dependent termination of antisense transcription[10]. This is partly based on the direct detection of polyadenylated antisense transcripts, which provides evidence that some transcriptional termination is PAS-dependent. However, there are non-polyadenylated antisense RNAs that might be attenuated in other ways[19]. To test the relevance of PAS-dependent termination for antisense transcriptional termination and promoter directionality we tagged *RBBP6* with the dTAG degron. Because RBBP6 is required to activate the PAS cleavage machinery[20,21], its depletion should inhibit any PAS-dependent transcriptional termination. Three homozygous *dTAG-RBBP6* clones were isolated (Supplemental Figure 1A) and tagged RBBP6 was efficiently depleted after exposure to the dTAGv-1 degrader (Figure 1A). To test the overall contribution of RBBP6 to transcription, we used POINT (Polymerase Intact Nascent Transcript)-seq[22], which maps nascent transcription by sequencing full-length RNA extracted from immunoprecipitated RNAPII.

Figure 1B shows POINT-seq coverage over *NEDD1* including the upstream antisense transcript. RBBP6 loss causes a termination defect beyond the PAS shown by the extended POINT-seq signal beyond the *NEDD1* gene. However, RBBP6 does not affect the termination of upstream antisense RNA which is not extended when RBBP6 is depleted. Metaplot and heatmap analyses across 1316 genes confirm this as a global trend (Figures 1C and D). Although many antisense transcripts contain multiple AAUAAA sequences, most still terminate RBBP6-independently (Supplementary Figures 1B and C). Similarly, we recently showed that the PAS-dependent 5'→3' exonucleolytic torpedo terminator, XRN2, does not affect antisense transcriptional termination[9]. Therefore, although a fraction of antisense transcription is polyadenylated, most of it can terminate using PAS-independent mechanisms.

Our data argue that PAS-independent termination mechanisms are prevalent for antisense transcription. A major PAS-independent termination pathway is driven by the Integrator complex, which was first identified as the 3' end processing complex for snRNAs[23]. Several reports show that Integrator terminates transcription from most promoters, including those that initiate antisense transcription, so might affect promoter directionality[11,16,17]. To analyse this, we tagged its endonucleolytic subunit (INTS11) with a dTAG degron[24], which enables rapid depletion of its catalytic activity (Figure 2A). We then performed POINT-seq on *INTS11-dTAG* cells depleted or not of INTS11 to assay the global impact of INTS11 on RNAPII transcription. The established effect of INTS11 at snRNAs was detected in our POINT-seq data and demonstrates the efficacy of this approach (Figure 2B).

As exemplified by *PGK1* (Figure 2C), INTS11 does not affect the termination of protein-coding transcription but its loss causes a strong upregulation of antisense transcription. Meta-analysis shows the generality of antisense transcriptional attenuation via INTS11 (Figure 2D) and heatmap analysis demonstrates the dominant impact of INTS11 on antisense versus sense transcription at most promoters (Figure 2E). Transcription over protein-coding gene bodies is generally more modestly affected by INTS11 loss, but there is evidence of mildly increased transcription over the 5' end of some genes as was recently reported (Supplemental Figure 2A)[12-17]. Overall, INTS11 frequently attenuates antisense transcription whereas a smaller fraction of sense transcription is affected.

Our analyses show that antisense RNAs are more frequently terminated via INTS11 than sense transcripts, which ultimately terminate via the PAS-dependent mechanism. The sensitivity of antisense transcripts to INTS11 might be due to the nature of antisense transcription or some other promoter feature. To interrogate this further, we analysed two other promoter classes: those where protein-coding transcripts are initiated in both directions and those that initiate the bidirectional transcription of unstable enhancer (e)RNAs. In the former case, both transcripts are extended and stable (i.e., like most sense transcripts); in the latter case, both are short and unstable like most antisense transcripts. When both directions are protein-coding, INTS11 depletion causes modest reductions in transcription (Supplemental Figure 2B). Conversely, eRNA transcription was bidirectionally upregulated upon INTS11 elimination like the antisense transcripts in (Supplemental Figure 2C). We conclude that short ncRNAs are more strongly affected by INTS11 than protein-coding transcripts. At directional promoters this results in the attenuation of antisense transcription.

The increased antisense POINT-seq signal following INTS11 loss is consistent with defects in transcriptional termination. However, it could result from increased transcriptional initiation. We were also interested in whether preferential sense initiation could contribute to observed directionality as was proposed in budding yeast[4]. To precisely resolve directional aspects of initiation and assay any impact of INTS11, we devised a variant of POINT-seq called short (s)POINT. Briefly, sPOINT follows the POINT-seq protocol but library preparation employs the selective amplification of 5' capped RNAs <150nts (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure 3A, Experimental Procedures). The sPOINT signal over *PGK1* exemplifies this and demonstrates a very restricted signal close to the TSS (Figure 3B). Figure 3C compares the meta profile of POINT- and sPOINT-seq. While POINT-seq reads span entire transcribed sequences the sPOINT signal is restricted to the promoter-proximal region. Thus, the well-known promoter-proximal RNAPII pause is efficiently assayed by sPOINT-seq. Our metaplot

in Figure 3C shows that most capped RNAPII-associated RNA <150nts are promoter-proximal

and thus relate to paused polymerases.

We then performed sPOINT-seq in *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not with dTAGv-1

and plotted the coverage of full-length reads within 1kb of TSSs (Figure 3D). Because

sPOINT-seq maps the 5' and 3' ends of these reads, it also detects TSSs at single-nucleotide

resolution and these 5' ends are plotted in the lower meta profile in Figure 3D. INTS11 loss

results in lower sPOINT-seq signal arguing against increased transcriptional initiation in its

absence. Together with the increased POINT-seq – especially for antisense transcription –

this indicates more RNAPII promoter escape upon INTS11 loss. Interestingly, this experiment

reveals sense transcriptional initiation to be more efficient and focused compared to the

antisense direction. This is clear from the TSS-aligned metaplot in Figure 3D and the

dispersed nature of antisense transcription shown in Figure 3E. Quantitation of the TSS-

derived sense vs. antisense reads demonstrates the higher signal in the sense direction in

untreated cells (Supplemental Figure 3B). Figure 3F (*PGK1*) and supplemental figure 3C

(*ACTB*) exemplify these features on individual genes. INTS11 loss does not dramatically alter

TSS position or focus. Although a lower resolution technique, RNAPII chromatin-

immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq) confirmed these promoter characteristics

and the mild impact of INTS11 (Supplemental Figures 3D and E). Overall, these data show

that directionality is partly determined by preferential initiation in the sense direction.

After initiation, directionality is maintained because it is well-established that sense

transcription goes further than antisense transcription. If INTS11 regulates this, an opposing

force is required to prevent it from attenuating sense transcription.   INTS11 affects

transcription very early, occupies promoters, and becomes less active as RNAPII moves into

elongation[16-18,25,26]. Therefore, if INTS11 is counteracted to allow sense transcription, any

responsible mechanism needs to act early. One of the first transcriptional checkpoints

involves the phosphorylation of RNAPII and other factors by CDK9, which releases promoter-

proximally paused RNAPII into elongation[27]. During this process, the Integrator-associated

phosphatase, PP2A, antagonizes CDK9 and presumably regulates the sensitivity of RNAPII

to INTS11[18]. Because Integrator phosphatase has little effect on antisense transcription[16], we

hypothesised that INTS11 sensitivity and CDK9 activity are inversely correlated to maintain

directionality after initiation.

This hypothesis predicts that sense transcription will be attenuated via INTS11 when

CDK9 is inactive. To test this genome-wide, we depleted INTS11 from *INTS11-dTAG* cells in

the presence or absence of a specific CDK9 inhibitor (NVP-2[28]) and performed POINT-seq.

As exemplified by *CDCA7*, the depletion of INTS11 alone caused an antisense transcriptional

termination defect with a milder impact on the protein-coding sense direction (Figure 4A). As expected, NVP-2 treatment reduced transcription over the protein-coding gene body. Antisense transcription also displays some CDK9 sensitivity. Importantly, in NVP-2-treated cells, INTS11 loss increased transcription in both directions - effectively compromising the maintenance of directionality. Further examples are displayed in Supplemental Figures 4A and B. This is a genome-wide trend as shown in the metaplots in Figures 4B and C. Heatmap analysis of the effect of INTS11 loss after CDK9 inhibition shows bidirectional upregulation of transcription at most assayed genes (Figure 4D). This experiment shows a clearer effect of INTS11 loss over the 5' end of sense transcripts versus our experiment in Figure 2 (although this is again more modest than the antisense effect). We speculate this to be due to the slightly extended period of INTS11 depletion employed alongside NVP-2 treatment (2.5 hr here vs. 1.5 hr for Figure 2).

Following CDK9 inhibition and INTS11 loss, the largest recovery of protein-coding transcription is often over the 5' end of genes. This presumably reflects a continued requirement of CDK9 for elongation, even when INTS11 is absent. Furthermore, Integrator phosphatase remains RNAPII-associated after acute INTS11 loss[17], which would antagonise elongation especially if CDK9 is lacking. Importantly, the POINT-seq signal remains higher in the sense vs. antisense direction even when CDK9 and INTS11 are both compromised. This is consistent with our sPOINT-seq conclusion that levels of sense transcriptional initiation are higher compared to the antisense direction. Finally, we confirmed the CDK9-mediated suppression of INTS11 on four selected protein-coding genes using an alternative inhibitor, 5, 6-dichloro-1-β-D-ribofuranosylbenzimidazole (DRB) (Supplemental Figure 4C). In sum, most RNAPII promoters are bidirectionally affected by INTS11 loss when CDK9 is inhibited.

If CDK9 counteracts INTS11, its recruitment should also prevent transcriptional attenuation by Integrator. To assay this, we employed a plasmid where transcription is driven by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) promoter. Transcription from the HIV promoter results in the synthesis of the trans-activating response (TAR) element and promoter-proximal RNAPII pausing. Pause release requires the trans-activator of transcription (TAT), which promotes RNAPII elongation by recruiting CDK9[29]. INTS11 suppresses transcription from the HIV promoter when TAT is not present[30]. To test the effect of CDK9 on this process, *INTS11-dTAG* cells were transfected with the HIV reporter with or without TAT before treatment or not with dTAGv-1. Transcription from the reporter was analysed by qRT-PCR (Figure 4E). HIV transcription is induced by INTS11 loss in the absence of TAT. Transcription was greatly stimulated by TAT (~200 fold) but was no longer enhanced by INTS11 loss (there was slightly

less reporter RNA recovered). Therefore, CDK9 recruitment by TAT alleviates INTS11-
dependent attenuation of transcription.

How CDK9 opposes INTS11 is unresolved but, of possible relevance, INTS11 and
SPT5 are adjacent in the RNAPII: Integrator structure[31]. As SPT5 is a substrate of CDK9[32-34],
its phosphorylation might evict INTS11 or prevent its association with the complex. We show
that sense transcriptional initiation dominates over the antisense direction. This could reflect
the positioning of DNA elements that attract initiation factors to advantage sense
transcription[4]. Consistently, mammalian initiation components show peak occupancy over
annotated sense TSSs[35]. Thereafter, the maintenance of directionality by CDK9 and INTS11
is consistent with their well-established roles in promoting and terminating transcription.
Published ChIP-seq indicates their respective enrichment in sense and antisense directions,
which supports our model (Supplemental Figure 4D[18]). Nevertheless, some antisense
transcription is NVP-2 sensitive, revealing CDK9 activity on a fraction of RNAPII (Figure 4).
As CDK9 opposes INTS11, this fraction of antisense transcription may be terminated via other
mechanisms like restrictor and the PAS-dependent machinery[9,10,36-38]. Overall, transcriptional
initiation and the opposing activities of CDK9 and INTS11 establish and maintain promoter
directionality (see model in Figure 4F).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Hiroshi Kimura for the total RNAPII antibody used for POINT-seq. Our
research was funded by a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award to SW (223106/Z/21/Z). This
project used the University of Exeter Sequencing Service, and their equipment was funded by
the Wellcome Trust (Multi-User Equipment Grant award number 218247/Z/19/Z).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization J.D.E, S.W; data curation J.D.E, J.B, L.D, C.E; formal analysis
J.D.E., J.B., L.D; C.E and S.W; methodology, J.D.E. and S.W.; investigation, J.D.E., J.B., L.D;
C.E and S.W.; supervision and funding acquisition, S.W.; writing and editing, J.D.E., J.B., L.D;
C.E and S.W.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Sequencing data

Deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus under accession: GSE243266.

Cloning

HIV reporter constructs were made by removing the CMV promoter, the entire beta-globin sequence, and its PAS from a pcDNA5 FRT/TO plasmid containing the WT β-globin (βWT) gene (described in[39]) and inserting an HIV promoter and downstream TAR element derived from βΔ5-7 (described in[40]). *INTS11* targeting constructs were modified from those we previously described to generate *INTS11-SMASh* cells (described in[41]). The SMASh tag was removed and replaced with 2xHA dTAG derived from Addgene plasmid 91792[24]. Guide RNA expressing Cas9 plasmids to modify *INTS11* or *RBBP6* were made by inserting annealed oligonucleotides, containing the targeting sequence, into px330 digested with BbsI.

Cell culture and cell lines

HCT116 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO_2. *dTAG-RBBP6* cells were generated using the "CHoP in" protocol[42]. Briefly, a 24-well dish was transfected with 250ng of px330[43] containing the *RBBP6*-targeting guide and 250ng of PCR product containing the dTAG degron preceded by a blasticidin or puromycin selection marker (derived from Addgene plasmid 91792[24]). Jetprime (Polyplus) was used for transfection. Three days later, cells were passaged into media containing 10µg/ml Blasticidin/1µg/ml Puromycin and colonies were PCR screened ~10 days later. *INTS11-dTAG* cells were generated by homology-directed repair. A 6-well dish of cells was transfected with 1µg px330 containing the *INTS11*-targeting guide (described in[41]) and 1µg each of the repair templates. Three days later, cells were passaged into media containing 30µg/ml Hygromycin and 800µg/ml Neomycin (G418). ~10 days later, colonies were picked and screened by PCR. For protein depletion 1µM dTAGv-1 (Tocris) was used for 1-14hrs (see figure legends for timings used in each experiment). NVP-2 was used at 250nM for 2.5 hrs. DRB was used at 100µM for 2.5 hr.

POINT-seq

For POINT-seq, we followed the protocol provided in[22]. The only modification was that we started with a confluent 10cm dish of cells and performed the immunoprecipitation with 6µg of anti-RNAPII. ~2% cell volume of Drosophila S2 cells was included as a spike in control. Libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra™ II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs). sPOINT was performed in the same manner except immunoprecipitated RNA was treated with Terminator™ 5-Phosphate-Dependent

Exonuclease (lucigen) to remove any uncapped transcripts and libraries were prepared with the SMARTer® smRNA-Seq Kit for Illumina (Takara Bio).

ChIP-seq

For each experiment, 1x10cm dish of cells was used. Protein: DNA crosslinks were formed by adding Formaldehyde (1% v/v) to culture media for 10 mins then quenching with 125mM glycine. Cells were rinsed 2x with PBS, scraped off the dish, and pelleted in 10ml PBS at 500xg for 5 mins. We then employed the simple ChIP enzymatic kit (Cell Signalling Technologies) to fragment chromatin and purify RNAPII-bound DNA. We followed the kit protocol except for conjugating 5μg of anti-total RNAPII to sheep anti-mouse dynabeads (Life Technologies). Sequencing libraries were generated using the NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina.

Total RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

A 24-well dish of cells was transfected with 100ng HIV reporter plasmid and, where co-transfected, 50ng TAT plasmid[44] using Jetprime (Polyplus) following the manufacturer's protocol. Media was refreshed 5 hours post-transfection and dTAGv-1 was added where appropriate. The next day, total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was DNase treated then 1μg was reverse transcribed using Protoscript II reverse transcriptase (New England Biolabs). qPCR was performed using LUNA SYBR green reagent (New England Biolabs) on a Qiagen Rotorgene instrument. Quantitative analysis used the ΔCT method.

Antibodies

INTS11 (Abbexa; abx234340); total RNAPII (gift from Hiroshi Kimura), INTS8 (RRID:AB_2683403); RBBP6 (RRID:AB_2621169); SPT5 (RRID:AB_2196394).

qRT-PCR primers and gRNA target sites

See supplemental table 1.

BIOINFORMATICS

sPOINT

For sPOINT TSS metaplots showing full-length and 5' derived coverage, gene lists were determined by selecting principal protein-coding transcript isoforms from gencode v42 human annotation – specifically, those containing both "appris_principal_1" and

334 "Ensembl_canonical" labels (15301 in total). Of these, the top 20% expressed (based on -
335 dTAG) were used to generate meta profiles (3060 TSSs in total).

Antisense PAS analysis

337   Bed files from Figure 1C were edited to map the antisense transcript from each gene
338 by fitting each gene's start and end point to 3kb upstream of their TSS. Sequences underlying
339 these regions were obtained via BEDTools getFASTA (hg38) and consensus PAS (AATAAA)
340 enumerated in R. PAS frequency per transcript was plotted using ggplot2. Heatmaps for
341 transcripts containing > 1 or no PAS motifs were plotted with DeepTools.

POINT-Seq alignment and visualisation

Adapters were removed from raw reads using Trim Galore! and mapped to GRCh38
using HISAT2 using default parameters. Additionally, reads were also mapped to Dm6 to
quantify unique Drosophila reads in each sample for spike-in. Reads with a MAPQ score $\leq$30
were removed from alignment files using SAMtools[45]. Biological replicates were normalised
and merged using SAMtools merge before, spike-in scaled bigwig files using DeepTools were
visualised using IGV[46].

ChIP-seq alignment

350   Adapters were removed from raw reads using Trim Galore! and mapped to GRCh38
351 using HISAT2 with default parameters. Reads were also mapped to Dm6 to identify spike-in
352 signal. Reads with MAPQ score of $\leq$30 were removed with SAMtools[45]. Replicates were
353 normalised and merged using SAMtools merge.

POINT-seq metaplots and heat maps

A metagene list of 1316 genes was generated containing genes with no overlapping
regions within 10kb of any other expressed transcription unit. Split strand metagene plots were
produced using Drosophila spike-in normalised sense and antisense (scaled to -1) bigwig
coverage files separately with further graphical processing performed in R. For heat maps,
computematrix (DeepTools) was used to generate score files from the normalised bigwig files
using the 10kb non-overlapping gene list. A log2 ratio (depletion/control) was applied to
identify changes in reads. Plots were redrawn in R; parameters used for each heat map are
detailed in figure legends.

ChIP-seq datasets

364   Data used to produce CDK9 and INTS11 metaplot (GSE163804), GSM numbers:
365 INTS11 (GSM4987768) and CDK9 (GSM4987764).

ChIP-seq metaplot

ChIP-seq metaplots were created from bigwig coverage data files using DeepTools with further processing performed within R. For Supplementary Figure 3E, plots used the same gene list described above for sPOINT promoter analyses. For Supplementary Figure 4D, a metagene list was generated from non-overlapping 918 antisense (promoter upstream transcripts) regions determined by de novo transcript assembly of previously published nuclear RNA-seq from cells depleted of the catalytic exosome subunit, DIS3[47].

REFERENCES

1.   Preker, P., Nielsen, J., Kammler, S., Lykke-Andersen, S., Christensen, M.S., Mapendano, C.K., Schierup, M.H., and Jensen, T.H. (2008). RNA exosome depletion reveals transcription upstream of active human promoters. Science *322*, 1851-1854. 1164096 [pii]

10.1126/science.1164096.

2.   Wyers, F., Rougemaille, M., Badis, G., Rousselle, J.C., Dufour, M.E., Boulay, J., Regnault, B., Devaux, F., Namane, A., Seraphin, B., et al. (2005). Cryptic pol II transcripts are degraded by a nuclear quality control pathway involving a new poly(A) polymerase. Cell *121*, 725-737. 10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.030.

3.   Thieffry, A., Vigh, M.L., Bornholdt, J., Ivanov, M., Brodersen, P., and Sandelin, A. (2020). Characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana Promoter Bidirectionality and Antisense RNAs by Inactivation of Nuclear RNA Decay Pathways. Plant Cell *32*, 1845-1867. 10.1105/tpc.19.00815.

4.   Jin, Y., Eser, U., Struhl, K., and Churchman, L.S. (2017). The Ground State and Evolution of Promoter Region Directionality. Cell *170*, 889-898 e810. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.006.

5.   Kaida, D., Berg, M.G., Younis, I., Kasim, M., Singh, L.N., Wan, L., and Dreyfuss, G. (2010). U1 snRNP protects pre-mRNAs from premature cleavage and polyadenylation. Nature *468*, 664-668. nature09479 [pii]

10.1038/nature09479.

6.   Mimoso, C.A., and Adelman, K. (2023). U1 snRNP increases RNA Pol II elongation rate to enable synthesis of long genes. Molecular cell. 10.1016/j.molcel.2023.03.002.

7.   Chiu, A.C., Suzuki, H.I., Wu, X., Mahat, D.B., Kriz, A.J., and Sharp, P.A. (2018). Transcriptional Pause Sites Delineate Stable Nucleosome-Associated Premature Polyadenylation Suppressed by U1 snRNP. Molecular cell *69*, 648-663 e647. 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.01.006.

8.   So, B.R., Di, C., Cai, Z., Venters, C.C., Guo, J., Oh, J.M., Arai, C., and Dreyfuss, G. (2019). A Complex of U1 snRNP with Cleavage and Polyadenylation Factors

Controls Telescripting, Regulating mRNA Transcription in Human Cells. Molecular
cell *76*, 590-599 e594. 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.08.007.

9. Estell, C., Davidson, L., Eaton, J.D., Kimura, H., Gold, V.A.M., and West, S. (2023).
A restrictor complex of ZC3H4, WDR82, and ARS2 integrates with PNUTS to control
unproductive transcription. Molecular cell *83*, 2222-2239 e2225.
10.1016/j.molcel.2023.05.029.

10. Almada, A.E., Wu, X., Kriz, A.J., Burge, C.B., and Sharp, P.A. (2013). Promoter
directionality is controlled by U1 snRNP and polyadenylation signals. Nature *499*,
360-363. 10.1038/nature12349.

11. Wagner, E.J., Tong, L., and Adelman, K. (2023). Integrator is a global promoter-
proximal termination complex. Molecular cell *83*, 416-427.
10.1016/j.molcel.2022.11.012.

12. Lykke-Andersen, S., Zumer, K., Molska, E.S., Rouviere, J.O., Wu, G., Demel, C.,
Schwalb, B., Schmid, M., Cramer, P., and Jensen, T.H. (2021). Integrator is a
genome-wide attenuator of non-productive transcription. Molecular cell *81*, 514-529
e516. 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.12.014.

13. Beckedorff, F., Blumenthal, E., daSilva, L.F., Aoi, Y., Cingaram, P.R., Yue, J., Zhang,
A., Dokaneheifard, S., Valencia, M.G., Gaidosh, G., et al. (2020). The Human
Integrator Complex Facilitates Transcriptional Elongation by Endonucleolytic
Cleavage of Nascent Transcripts. Cell reports *32*, 107917.
10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107917.

14. Tatomer, D.C., Elrod, N.D., Liang, D., Xiao, M.S., Jiang, J.Z., Jonathan, M., Huang,
K.L., Wagner, E.J., Cherry, S., and Wilusz, J.E. (2019). The Integrator complex
cleaves nascent mRNAs to attenuate transcription. Genes & development *33*, 1525-
1538. 10.1101/gad.330167.119.

15. Elrod, N.D., Henriques, T., Huang, K.L., Tatomer, D.C., Wilusz, J.E., Wagner, E.J.,
and Adelman, K. (2019). The Integrator Complex Attenuates Promoter-Proximal
Transcription at Protein-Coding Genes. Molecular cell *76*, 738-752 e737.
10.1016/j.molcel.2019.10.034.

16. Hu, S., Peng, L., Song, A., Ji, Y.X., Cheng, J., Wang, M., and Chen, F.X. (2023).
INTAC endonuclease and phosphatase modules differentially regulate transcription
by RNA polymerase II. Molecular cell *83*, 1588-1604 e1585.
10.1016/j.molcel.2023.03.022.

17. Stein, C.B., Field, A.R., Mimoso, C.A., Zhao, C., Huang, K.L., Wagner, E.J., and
Adelman, K. (2022). Integrator endonuclease drives promoter-proximal termination at
all RNA polymerase II-transcribed loci. Molecular cell *82*, 4232-4245 e4211.
10.1016/j.molcel.2022.10.004.

18. Vervoort, S.J., Welsh, S.A., Devlin, J.R., Barbieri, E., Knight, D.A., Offley, S.,
Bjelosevic, S., Costacurta, M., Todorovski, I., Kearney, C.J., et al. (2021). The PP2A-

Integrator-CDK9 axis fine-tunes transcription and can be targeted therapeutically in
cancer. Cell *184*, 3143-3162 e3132. 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.022.

19.   Gockert, M., Schmid, M., Jakobsen, L., Jens, M., Andersen, J.S., and Jensen, T.H.
(2022). Rapid factor depletion highlights intricacies of nucleoplasmic RNA
degradation. Nucleic acids research *50*, 1583-1600. 10.1093/nar/gkac001.

20.   Schmidt, M., Kluge, F., Sandmeir, F., Kuhn, U., Schafer, P., Tuting, C., Ihling, C.,
Conti, E., and Wahle, E. (2022). Reconstitution of 3' end processing of mammalian
pre-mRNA reveals a central role of RBBP6. Genes & development *36*, 195-209.
10.1101/gad.349217.121.

21.   Boreikaite, V., Elliott, T.S., Chin, J.W., and Passmore, L.A. (2022). RBBP6 activates
the pre-mRNA 3' end processing machinery in humans. Genes & development *36*,
210-224. 10.1101/gad.349223.121.

22.   Sousa-Luis, R., Dujardin, G., Zukher, I., Kimura, H., Weldon, C., Carmo-Fonseca, M.,
Proudfoot, N.J., and Nojima, T. (2021). POINT technology illuminates the processing
of polymerase-associated intact nascent transcripts. Molecular cell *81*, 1935-1950
e1936. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.02.034.

23.   Baillat, D., Hakimi, M.A., Naar, A.M., Shilatifard, A., Cooch, N., and Shiekhattar, R.
(2005). Integrator, a multiprotein mediator of small nuclear RNA processing,
associates with the C-terminal repeat of RNA polymerase II. Cell *123*, 265-276.
10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.019.

24.   Nabet, B., Roberts, J.M., Buckley, D.L., Paulk, J., Dastjerdi, S., Yang, A., Leggett,
A.L., Erb, M.A., Lawlor, M.A., Souza, A., et al. (2018). The dTAG system for
immediate and target-specific protein degradation. Nature chemical biology *14*, 431-
441. 10.1038/s41589-018-0021-8.

25.   Zheng, H., Qi, Y., Hu, S., Cao, X., Xu, C., Yin, Z., Chen, X., Li, Y., Liu, W., Li, J., et
al. (2020). Identification of Integrator-PP2A complex (INTAC), an RNA polymerase II
phosphatase. Science *370*. 10.1126/science.abb5872.

26.   Ramamurthy, L., Ingledue, T.C., Pilch, D.R., Kay, B.K., and Marzluff, W.F. (1996).
Increasing the distance between the snRNA promoter and the 3' box decreases the
efficiency of snRNA 3'-end formation. Nucleic acids research *24*, 4525-4534.
10.1093/nar/24.22.4525.

27.   Fujinaga, K., Huang, F., and Peterlin, B.M. (2023). P-TEFb: The master regulator of
transcription elongation. Molecular cell *83*, 393-403. 10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.006.

28.   Olson, C.M., Jiang, B., Erb, M.A., Liang, Y., Doctor, Z.M., Zhang, Z., Zhang, T.,
Kwiatkowski, N., Boukhali, M., Green, J.L., et al. (2018). Pharmacological
perturbation of CDK9 using selective CDK9 inhibition or degradation. Nature
chemical biology *14*, 163-170. 10.1038/nchembio.2538.

29.   Wei, P., Garber, M.E., Fang, S.M., Fischer, W.H., and Jones, K.A. (1998). A novel
CDK9-associated C-type cyclin interacts directly with HIV-1 Tat and mediates its

high-affinity, loop-specific binding to TAR RNA. Cell *92*, 451-462. 10.1016/s0092-
8674(00)80939-3.

30.   Stadelmayer, B., Micas, G., Gamot, A., Martin, P., Malirat, N., Koval, S., Raffel, R.,
Sobhian, B., Severac, D., Rialle, S., et al. (2014). Integrator complex regulates
NELF-mediated RNA polymerase II pause/release and processivity at coding genes.
Nat Commun *5*, 5531. 10.1038/ncomms6531.

31.   Fianu, I., Chen, Y., Dienemann, C., Dybkov, O., Linden, A., Urlaub, H., and Cramer,
P. (2021). Structural basis of Integrator-mediated transcription regulation. Science
374, 883-887. 10.1126/science.abk0154.

32.   Yamada, T., Yamaguchi, Y., Inukai, N., Okamoto, S., Mura, T., and Handa, H.
(2006). P-TEFb-mediated phosphorylation of hSpt5 C-terminal repeats is critical for
processive transcription elongation. Molecular cell *21*, 227-237. S1097-
2765(05)01812-5 [pii]

10.1016/j.molcel.2005.11.024.

33.   Cortazar, M.A., Sheridan, R.M., Erickson, B., Fong, N., Glover-Cutter, K., Brannan,
K., and Bentley, D.L. (2019). Control of RNA Pol II Speed by PNUTS-PP1 and Spt5
Dephosphorylation Facilitates Termination by a "Sitting Duck Torpedo" Mechanism.
Molecular cell *76*, 896-908 e894. 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.031.

34.   Parua, P.K., Booth, G.T., Sanso, M., Benjamin, B., Tanny, J.C., Lis, J.T., and Fisher,
R.P. (2018). A Cdk9-PP1 switch regulates the elongation-termination transition of
RNA polymerase II. Nature *558*, 460-464. 10.1038/s41586-018-0214-z.

35.   Petrenko, N., and Struhl, K. (2021). Comparison of transcriptional initiation by RNA
polymerase II across eukaryotic species. Elife *10*. 10.7554/eLife.67964.

36.   Estell, C., Davidson, L., Steketee, P.C., Monier, A., and West, S. (2021). ZC3H4
restricts non-coding transcription in human cells. Elife *10*. 10.7554/eLife.67305.

37.   Rouviere, J.O., Salerno-Kochan, A., Lykke-Andersen, S., Garland, W., Dou, Y.,
Rathore, O., Molska, E.S., Wu, G., Schmid, M., Bugai, A., et al. (2023). ARS2
instructs early transcription termination-coupled RNA decay by recruiting ZC3H4 to
nascent transcripts. Molecular cell *83*, 2240-2257 e2246.
10.1016/j.molcel.2023.05.028.

38.   Austenaa, L.M.I., Piccolo, V., Russo, M., Prosperini, E., Polletti, S., Polizzese, D.,
Ghisletti, S., Barozzi, I., Diaferia, G.R., and Natoli, G. (2021). A first exon termination
checkpoint preferentially suppresses extragenic transcription. Nat Struct Mol Biol *28*,
337-346. 10.1038/s41594-021-00572-y.

39.   Muniz, L., Davidson, L., and West, S. (2015). Poly(A) Polymerase and the Nuclear
Poly(A) Binding Protein, PABPN1, Coordinate the Splicing and Degradation of a
Subset of Human Pre-mRNAs. Molecular and cellular biology *35*, 2218-2230.
10.1128/MCB.00123-15.

40.   Dye, M.J., and Proudfoot, N.J. (2001). Multiple transcript cleavage precedes
520   polymerase release in termination by RNA polymerase II. Cell *105*, 669-681. S0092-
521   8674(01)00372-5 [pii].

522   41.   Davidson, L., Francis, L., Eaton, J.D., and West, S. (2020). Integrator-Dependent and
523   Allosteric/Intrinsic Mechanisms Ensure Efficient Termination of snRNA Transcription.
524   Cell reports *33*, 108319. 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108319.

525   42.   Manna, P.T., Davis, L.J., and Robinson, M.S. (2019). Fast and cloning-free
526   CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genomic editing in mammalian cells. Traffic *20*, 974-982.
527   10.1111/tra.12696.

528   43.   Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X.,
529   Jiang, W., Marraffini, L.A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Multiplex genome engineering using
530   CRISPR/Cas systems. Science *339*, 819-823. science.1231143 [pii]

531   10.1126/science.1231143.

532   44.   Adams, S.E., Johnson, I.D., Braddock, M., Kingsman, A.J., Kingsman, S.M., and
533   Edwards, R.M. (1988). Synthesis of a gene for the HIV transactivator protein TAT by
534   a novel single stranded approach involving in vivo gap repair. Nucleic acids research
535   *16*, 4287-4298.

536   45.   Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
537   Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Genome Project Data Processing, S. (2009). The
538   Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078-2079.
539   10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

540   46.   Ramirez, F., Dundar, F., Diehl, S., Gruning, B.A., and Manke, T. (2014). deepTools:
541   a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic acids research *42*,
542   W187-191. 10.1093/nar/gku365.

543   47.   Davidson, L., Francis, L., Cordiner, R.A., Eaton, J.D., Estell, C., Macias, S., Caceres,
544   J.F., and West, S. (2019). Rapid Depletion of DIS3, EXOSC10, or XRN2 Reveals the
545   Immediate Impact of Exoribonucleolysis on Nuclear RNA Metabolism and
546   Transcriptional Control. Cell reports *26*, 2779-2791 e2775.
547   10.1016/j.celrep.2019.02.012.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1: RBBP6 loss disrupts PAS-dependent termination of sense transcription.

A. Western blot demonstrating the depletion of dTAG-RBBP6 over a time course of
dTAGv-1 addition. SPT5 serves as a loading control.

B. Genome browser track of *NEDD1* in POINT-seq data from *dTAG-RBBP6* cells treated
or not (2hr) with dTAGv-1. RBBP6 depletion induces a termination defect in the
protein-coding direction (downstream of the indicated PAS) but not the upstream

antisense direction. The Y-axis shows Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads
(RPKM).

C. Metaplot of POINT-seq data from *dTAG-RBBP6* cells treated (RBBP6 dep) or not (Ctrl)
(2hr) with dTAGv-1. This shows 1316 protein-coding genes selected as separated
from any expressed transcription unit by ≥10kb. Signals above and below the x-axis
are sense and antisense reads, respectively. The Y-axis scale is RPKM.
TSS=transcription start site; TES=transcription end site (this marks the PAS position).

D. Heatmap representation of the data in C, which displays signal as a log2 fold change
(log2FC) in RBBP6 depleted versus un-depleted conditions.

FIGURE 2: INTS11 loss disrupts the termination of antisense transcription.

A. Western blot demonstrating homozygous tagging of *INTS11* with dTAG and the
depletion of INTS11-dTAG after 1.5 hr treatment with dTAGv-1. INTS8 serves as a
loading control.

B. Genome browser track showing POINT-seq signal over *RNU5A-1* and *RNU5B-1* in
INTS11-dTAG cells treated or not (1.5 hr) with dTAGv-1. Note, that INTS11 depletion
induces a termination defect in each case. Y-axis shows RPKM following spike-in
normalisation.

C. Genome browser track showing POINT-seq signal over *PGK1* and its upstream
antisense region in *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not (1.5 hr) with dTAGv-1. Y-axis
shows RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

D. Metaplot of POINT-seq data from *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not (1.5 hr) with
dTAGv-1. This shows 1316 expressed protein-coding genes that are separated from
any expressed transcription unit by ≥10kb. Signals above and below the x-axis are
sense and antisense reads, respectively. Y-axis shows RPKM following spike-in
normalisation.

E. Heatmap representation of the data in D, which displays signal as a log2 fold change
(log2FC) in INTS11 depleted versus undepleted conditions over a region 3kb upstream
and downstream of annotated TSSs.

FIGURE 3: Transcription initiation is more efficient and focused in the sense direction.

A. Schematic of sPOINT-seq protocol. The POINT-seq protocol is followed, in which
chromatin is isolated and engaged RNAPII is immunoprecipitated. Short transcripts
are preferentially amplified during library preparation (see Experimental Procedures
for full details).

B. Comparison of POINT-(top trace) and sPOINT-seq (lower trace) on *PGK1*. Y-axis
units are RPKM.

C. Metaplot comparison of POINT- (top plot) and sPOINT-seq (lower plot) profiles across the 684 highest expressed protein-coding that are separated from expressed transcription units by ≥10kb.. Signals above and below the x-axis are sense and antisense reads, respectively. Y-axis shows RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

D. Top metaplot shows full read coverage for sPOINT-seq performed in *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not (1.5hr) with dTAGv-1. The lower metaplot is the same data but only the 5' end of each read is plotted. Both plots display a region +/-1kb from the TSS of the top 20% (based on sPOINT signal) promoters. Y-axis signals are RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

E. Metaplot zoom of the antisense TSS signals deriving from the lower plot in D. This makes clear the dispersed sites of initiation. The Y-axis scale is RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

F. Genome browser track of *PGK1* promoter region in sPOINT-seq. This showcases the focused sense TSS (black arrows) and the dispersed antisense reads (brackets) generalised in the metaplots in D and E. Note the higher y-axis scale (RPKM) for sense vs. antisense.

FIGURE 4: Promoters lose their directionality when CDK9 is inhibited.

A. Genome browser track of *CDCA7* in POINT-seq data derived from *INTS11-dTAG* cells either untreated, dTAG treated, NVP-2 treated or dTAG and NVP-2 treated (2.5 hr). Signals above and below the Y-axis are sense and antisense reads, respectively. The Y-axis scale shows RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

B. Metaplot of POINT-seq analysis of POINT-seq in *INTS11-dTAG* cells depleted or not of INTS11 and treated or not with NVP-2 (2.5 hr). This shows 1316 protein-coding genes selected as separated from any expressed transcription unit by ≥10kb. The regions 3kb upstream and downstream of genes are included. Y-axis units are RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

C. Metaplot of the same CDK9i + and -dTAG data shown in B but zoomed into the region 3kb upstream and downstream of the TSS.

D. Heatmap representation of the data in C, which displays signal as a log2 fold change (log2FC) in INTS11 depleted versus un-depleted conditions covering a region 3kb upstream and downstream of the TSS.

E. qRT-PCR analysis of *INTS11-dTAG* cells transfected with the HIV reporter construct with or without TAT then depleted or not of INTS11. Quantitation shows signals relative to those obtained in the presence of INTS11 and the absence of TAT after normalising to MALAT1 RNA. n=3. Error bars show standard deviation. ** denoted

p=0.01. Note that INTS11 depletion was performed concurrently with transection (14hr

in total).

F. Model for promoter directionality depicting higher levels of focused transcriptional

initiation in the sense direction together with opposing gradients of CDK9 and INTS11

activity that peak in sense and antisense directions, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 1, related to figure 1.

A. Western blot showing three separate *dTAG-RBBP6* cell clones. In each case,

homozygous tagging is demonstrated by the size shift versus endogenous RBBP6

(HCT116 lanes). Tagged RBBP6 is completely depleted by 2hr treatment with dTAGv-

1 whereas endogenous RBBP6 is unaffected. SPT5 serves as a loading control.

Clone number 2 (red asterisk) was selected for the experiments in Figure 1.

B. Graph plotting the number of AAUAAA sequences in antisense transcripts derived

from a 3kb window upstream of TSSs. Y-axis is the number of transcripts, and the x-

axis shows the AAUAAA count per transcript.

C. Heatmap of control or RBBP6-depleted POINT-seq showing the RBBP6 effect on

antisense transcripts without an AAUAAA or those that contain at least one AAUAAA.

Most are unaffected by RBBP6 loss. The Y-axis is RPKM.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 2, related to figure 2.

A. Genome browser track showing POINT-seq signal over the first 7kb of *GLCCI1* in

INTS11-dTAG cells treated or not (1.5 hr) with dTAGv-1. This is an example of where

INTS11 loss affects protein-coding transcription as previously described[17]. Y-axis

shows RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

B. Metaplot of POINT-seq data from *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not (1.5hr) with

dTAGv-1. These are protein-coding genes arranged head-to-head. Signals above

and below the x-axis are sense and antisense reads, respectively. Y-axis shows

RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

C. Metaplot of POINT-seq data from *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not (1.5hr) with

dTAGv-1. This shows RNAs derived from enhancer clusters, which generally initiate

transcription bidirectionally. Signals above and below the x-axis are sense and

antisense reads, respectively. Y-axis shows RPKM following spike-in normalisation.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 3, related to figure 3.

A. Plot showing the size distribution of fragments mapped by sPOINT-seq. This
demonstrates its selectivity toward transcripts <150nts.

B. Violin plot of the sum sPOINT 5'end spike-in normalised RPKM signal across a 500bp
window from the protein-coding TSSs shown in Figure 3D (n=3060). These samples
are untreated with dTAG to quantitate the normal levels of sense vs. antisense
initiation/pausing in a window -/+ 500bp from the TSS in respective directions for
antisense and sense. This shows more initiation/pausing in sense vs. antisense
directions.

C. Genome browser track of *ACTB* promoter region in sPOINT-seq from *INTS11-dTAG*
cells treated or not with dTAGv-1 (1.5 hr). This showcases the focused sense TSS
(black arrows) and the dispersed antisense reads (brackets). Note the different y-axis
scales (RPKM) for sense vs. antisense.

D. Genome track of *RNU5A-1* and *RNU5B-1* in RNAPII ChIP-seq performed on *INTS11-*
dTAG cells treated or not with dTAGv-1 (2hr). INTS11 depletion causes RNAPII build-
up beyond both genes indicating a transcription termination defect. The Y-axis scale
is RPKM.

E. Metaplot of RNAPII occupancy of protein-coding TSS regions (the same gene set
employed for the sPOINT analysis in Figure 3) derived from RNAPII ChIP-seq
performed on *INTS11-dTAG* cells treated or not with dTAGv-1 (2hr). The Y-axis scale
is log10 qvalue of peak pileup values normalised to spike in control.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 4, related to figure 4.

A. Genome browser track of *TARDBP* in POINT-seq data derived from *INTS11-dTAG*
cells either untreated, dTAG treated, NVP-2 treated or dTAG and NVP-2 treated (2.5
hr). The Y-axis scale shows RPKM.

B. As for A, but for *NEDD1*.

C. qRT-PCR analysis of PGK1, ENY2, PLEKHF2, and NUDCD1 pre-mRNAs in *INTS11-*
dTAG cells treated or not with dTAGv-1 and at the same time exposed or not to DRB
(all 2.5 hr). To enrich nascent transcripts, primers detect intronic RNA. Quantitation
shows fold change versus spliced actin relative to samples untreated with dTAGv-1 or
DRB. DRB treatment substantially reduces signal, which is restored when INTS11 is
co-depleted. Error bars show standard deviation. n=3, * denotes p≤0.05.

D. Analysis of published[18] INTS11 and CDK9 ChIP-seq data showing their respective
occupancy within 1kb of the TSS. Based on this data, they are slightly enriched
upstream (INTS11) and downstream (CDK9) of the TSS. The Y-axis units are RPKM.

FIGURE 1

A.

dTAG(h): - - 0.5 1 2 4

B.

C.

D.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 1, related to figure 1

A.

B.

C.

FIGURE 2

A.

B.

C.

D.

E.

A.

B.

C.

FIGURE 3

A.

Methodology

Isolate chromatin from purified nuclei

Nucleus

Digest with DNase

IP RNA with Pol II ab

Total purified RNA

POINT-seq

Short RNAs

sPOINT

B.

26kb

0-1000   POINT

0-30000   sPOINT

PGK1

C.

Comparison of POINT-seq and sPOINT full fragment coverage

Spike-In Norm. coverage (RPKM)

— POINT-seq
— sPOINT

n=684

Distance from TSS (kb)

D.

sPOINT of protein-coding TSS with full fragment coverage and 5' RNAs

n=3060

— Ctrl
— INTS11dep

Spike-In Norm. coverage (RPKM)

Distance from TSS (kb)

E.

Zoom of upstream antisense 5'-end sPOINT at protein-coding TSSs

— Ctrl
— INTS11dep

Spike-In Norm. coverage (RPKM)

n=3060

Distance from gene (bp)

F.

2.5kb

0-10000   Ctrl
0-2000

0-10000   INTS11dep
0-2000

PGK1

FIGURE 4

A.

B.

C.

D.

log$_2$ CDK9i+INTS11dep / CDK9i

E.

F.

Preferential TSS with greater initiation

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 4, related to figure 4

A.

B.

C.

D.