Global Biogeography of the Soil Virosphere

Emily B. Graham[1,2*], Antonio P. Camargo[3], Ruonan Wu[1], Russell Y. Neches[3,4], Matt Nolan[3],

David Paez-Espino[3], Alex Copeland[3], Nikos C. Kyrpides[3], Janet K. Jansson[1], Jason E.

McDermott[1,5], Kirsten S. Hofmockel[1,6], and the Soil Virosphere Consortium

[1]Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA USA

[2]School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA

[3]US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,

Berkeley, CA, USA

[4]Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[5]Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Oregon Health & Science University,

Portland, OR

[6]Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA USA

*Correspondence: emily.graham@pnnl.gov

ABSTRACT

Historically neglected by microbial ecologists, soil viruses are now thought to be critical to

global biogeochemical cycles. However, our understanding of their global distribution, activities,

and interactions with the soil microbiome remains limited. Here, we present the Global Soil

Virus (GSV) Atlas, a comprehensive dataset from 2,953 soils that comprises 616,935

uncultivated virus genomes (UViGs) and 38,508 unique viral operational taxonomic units

(vOTUs). Rarefaction curves from the GSV Atlas indicate that most soil viral diversity remains

unexplored, further underscored by high spatial turnover and low rates of shared vOTUs across

samples. By examining genes associated with biogeochemical functions, we also demonstrate the

viral potential to impact soil carbon and nutrient cycling. This study represents the most

extensive characterization of soil viral diversity to date and provides a foundation for developing

testable hypotheses regarding the role of the virosphere in the soil microbiome and global

biogeochemistry.

Viral contributions to soil ecology are largely unknown due to the extreme diversity of

the soil virosphere. Despite considerable variation in estimates of soil viral abundances (10^7 to

10^{10} viruses per gram of soil), it is clear that soils are among the largest viral reservoirs on

Earth[1–4]. Early metagenomic investigations suggest that soil viruses are more genetically diverse

than nearly any other organism and may significantly impact global biogeochemistry[2,3,5,6]. Still,

less than 1% of publicly available viral metagenomic sequences are from soil[7], reflecting the lack

of knowledge about soil viruses and their ecological roles[5,8,9].

High soil viral diversity may be due to the structural, nutrient, and/or physico-chemical

heterogeneity of soil compared to other ecosystems[2,10–13], as well as the high diversity of their

microbial hosts. Indeed, soil viral abundance and composition vary with factors such as pH,

habitat, moisture, land use, carbon and nutrient content, and temperature[13–17]. While less is

known about soil viral activity, close to 60% of the soil virosphere may be involved in active

infections[18], consistent with high activity observed in marine and other systems[5,9,19–23].

Whether common ecological patterns observed in microorganisms apply to the soil

virosphere remains an open question; initial studies of the soil virosphere indicate that ecological

processes in viral and microbial communities are at least partially decoupled[10,13,24]. A major

finding is that soil viral community turnover may occur over shorter spatial and temporal scales

than microbial communities[10,13,24]. For instance, spatial viral turnover has been shown to be over

5 times higher than microbial community turnover in agricultural soils across an 18m transect[10],

and only 4% of peatland 'viral operational taxonomic units' (vOTUs) are shared across

continents[25]. Other studies note the possibility for long-distance soil viral dispersal through

atmospheric[26] or aquatic transport[27] consistent with low turnover. These contrasting results

indicate a lack of consensus surrounding the spatial patterns of soil viruses and the need for

large-scale surveys of the soil virosphere.

Importantly, soil viruses can influence biogeochemical cycling, antibiotic resistance, and

other critical soil functions[28–33] by releasing carbon and nutrients during host infection or by

altering host metabolism via auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs)[11,18,22,34–42]. While the

characterization of viral AMGs in soil is nascent[43], marine systems demonstrate the breadth of

functions ripe for potential discovery in soil[38]. More than 200 viral AMGs encoding functions

related to carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus cycling; sporulation and stress tolerance; and

toxin resistance have been detected in marine systems[38]. In contrast, only a handful of these

functions have been identified as soil viral AMGs[15,17,18,27,43–46]. AMGs encoding carbohydrate

metabolism genes in particular may be present in soils, including a few that have been

experimentally validated[11,13,18,45–48].

Accordingly, understanding the role of viruses in soil ecosystems is one of the most

pressing current challenges in microbial ecology[49]. Despite the expansion of studies

characterizing soil viral diversity, activity, and impact[5,9,17,45,46], a comprehensive description of

the global soil virosphere has yet to be performed. Such a description is necessary to begin to

address questions regarding the spatiotemporal dynamics, physicochemical interactions, host

organisms, and food web implications of the soil virosphere. We present a meticulous

compilation and curation of the Global Soil Virus (GSV) Atlas. This atlas represents the most

extensive collection of viruses in soil metagenomes to date, encompassing contributions from

prominent repositories, ecological networks, and individual collaborators.

RESULTS

Global Soil Virus (GSV) Atlas.

In total, we amassed 1.25×10^{12} of assembled base pairs (bp) across 2,953 soil samples,

including 1,552 samples that were not previously available in the United States Department of

Energy's (DOE), Joint Genome Institute's (JGI) IMG/M database (Figures 1 and 2). These

samples were then screened for viruses, yielding 616,935 uncultivated virus genomes (UViGs) of

which 52,211 were of sufficiently high quality for further investigation (see methods). Newly

contributed sequences clustered into 3,613 vOTUs of which 317 clustered with existing viral

sequences in IMG/VR. The vast majority associations with IMG/VR were with sequences

previously uncovered from soil habitats (Figure 2b).

We also collected an array of associated metadata including environmental parameters

describing each sampling location from the SoilGrids250m database[50]. We assayed a wide

variety of soil environments that ranged from bulk density of 0.24-1.56 (bulk density; cg/cm^3),

cation exchange capacity of 6.8-71 (CEC; mmol(c)/kg), nitrogen content of 0.19-22.4 (N; cg/kg),

pH ranging from 4.3-8.5, soil organic C of 1.9-510.9 (SOC; dg/kg), and clay content of 2.7-57.1

(g/kg) (Figure 1).

UViGs clustered into 38,508 vOTUs at the species-like-level[51], of which 3,296 were

previously unrepresented in IMG/VR (Figure 2a-b). Only 13.9% of the GSV Atlas' vOTUs

appeared in more than one sample, and less than 1% were present in more than 5 samples. At

higher taxonomic levels, we found 21,160 clusters at the genus level, and 7,598 clusters at the

family level[52]. This equates to an average of 40.01, 35.48, and 24.91 unique viral clusters per

sample at the species, genus, and family levels. 38,278 out of 38,508 vOTUs (99.4%) had at least

one member that was assigned to a taxon by geNomad.

We identified 1,573,689 viral genes, of which only 66,684 (4.2%) were found in at least

one annotation database (1,022, 704, and 252 unique KO, Pfam, and CAZy annotations,

respectively, Figure 2). After filtering to putative AMGs[46], we found 5,043 genes that mapped to

83 KEGG pathways (1,941 KO and 3,357 CAZyme, some genes were associated with both KO

and CAZyme). The mean putative AMG abundance (gene copies per sample) was 18.99 genes

(median = 4, suggesting this distribution was skewed by a few samples with high total putative

AMG abundance). The KEGG pathways with the most mapped putative AMGs were Galactose

metabolism, Glycosaminoglycan degradation, Starch and sucrose metabolism, N-Glycan

biosynthesis, and Folate biosynthesis; while the most abundant gene annotations associated with

AMGs were GlycosylTransferase Family 4 (GT4), Carbohydrate-Binding Module Family 50

(CMB50), 6-pyruvoyltetrahydropterin/6-carboxytetrahydropterin synthase (K01737), Glycoside

Hydrolase Family 73 (GH73), and 7-carboxy-7-deazaguanine synthase (K10026) (Figure 2c-d).

In contrast to the saturation observed in rarefaction curves for the taxonomy of microbial

sequences and microbial genes annotated by Pfam, rarefaction curves of soil viruses for

individual samples (vOTUs and viral clusters at the genus and family levels) and their genes

(annotated by Pfams) did not reach an asymptote (Figure 3). The number of new and unique

vOTUs and viral clusters at the family level (Figure 3b and c) was linearly related to sequencing

depth, while viral Pfams displayed slight curvature. These linear relationships were observed

when considering 2TB of metagenomic sequencing—4-fold more sequencing depth than any

other soil metagenome in this study and 40-fold more than the Joint Genome Institute (JGI)

recommended sequencing depth for soil samples (45 GB). When considering cumulative unique

attributes versus sequencing depth (Figure S1), relationships in vOTUs and viral clusters at the

family level displayed slight curvature, while viral Pfams neared saturation.

Microbial Hosts of Soil Viruses.

125   We connected a total of 1,450 viruses to putative hosts of 82 different bacterial and

archaeal orders with CRISPR spacers. This equates to of 2.78% viral sequences passing QA/QC

associated with CRISPR spacer hits; roughly 70% more host assignments than in another recent

assessment[5,53]. While we observed a maximum of 73 vOTUs per host (i.e., CRISPR spacer), the

mean overall vOTU per host ratio was 0.42 (median = 0), reflecting the predominance of unique

host associations for individual vOTUs.

Out of 1223 samples with at least one vOTUs assigned to a host, only 72 samples had an

average of more than one host sequence per vOTU, underscoring the low abundance of detected

hosts across all soils. An average of 0.64 unique host orders were detected per sample, with a

maximum ratio of CRISPR spacer hits to viral sequences of 73. Further, samples with a high

ratio of vOTU:host almost exclusively were matched to host sequences from a single microbial

order, reflecting high phylogenetic conservation of host associations. Of the 10 samples with the

highest CRISPR spacer sequence to viral sequence ratio, only 1 contained a CRISPR spacer

matching more than one bacterial or archaeal order, and it only contained matches to two orders.

We found that the most abundant host taxa were distributed across distantly-related

phyla, including members of prominent soil orders such as Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales,

Acidobacteriales, and Bacteroidales (Figure 4b). The abundances of host organisms belonging to

Acidobacteriales, Oscillospirales, Pedosphaerales, and Geobacterales were positively associated

with soil nitrogen, SOC, and CEC; while host members of Enterobacterales, Obscuribacterales,

Mycobacteriales, Pseudomonadales, and Streptomycetales were positively associated with soil

bulk density, and to a lesser extent, pH and clay content.

Metabolic potential encoded by the soil virosphere.

Because functional annotations of viral genes were distributed across an array of

functions, we screened all viral genes (regardless of assignment by the AMG detection pipeline)

against KEGG pathways to understand relationships among genes in the context of known

metabolic processes. Across the entire soil virosphere, we uncovered many portions of KEGG

pathways that were mostly complete, including functions involved in amino acid and sugar

metabolism and biosynthesis, antibacterial mechanisms, nucleotide synthesis, and other viral

functions (e.g., infection strategies) (Supplemental Material). Of note, we found nearly complete

portions of energy-generating pathways including the pentose phosphate pathway and F-type

ATPase-mediated portions of photosynthesis. We also observed a prevalence of

lipopolysaccharide (LPS) pathway-related genes that may be important as host receptors for

bacteriophage and prevention of superinfection[54–56].

DISCUSSION

Global Soil Virus (GSV) Atlas.

The GSV Atlas demonstrates the immense, unexplored taxonomic and functional

diversity of the soil virosphere. Soil viral diversity in the GSV Altas appeared to be largely

distinct from other global habitats. Nearly 80% of GVS Atlas sequences that clustered with

existing sequences in IMG/VR were attributed to soil or soil-like habitats (i.e. 'other terrestrial'

or 'plant-associated'/rhizosphere), underscoring the unique composition of the soil virosphere

relative to more well-studied marine and human environments. Additionally, we found low

numbers of shared vOTUs and viral clusters across samples indicative of high spatial turnover

(i.e., changes in soil viral community composition across spatially-distributed samples). Recent

studies have estimated soil viral diversity is high both relative to other viral habitats and relative

to soil microbial diversity[8,10,12,13,27,44,57]. However, these estimates have been limited by the sheer

amount of viral and microbial 'dark matter' for which no functional or taxonomic assignment is

known[34,43,49,57,58]. Towards this end, the diversity encompassed by the GSV Atlas can serve as a

community resource for potentially characterizing this unknown fraction of the soil virosphere.

Analysis of the GSV Atlas suggests that extreme spatial variability (compared to

microorganisms and macroorganisms) is a key feature of the soil virosphere at the global scale.

While rapid viral spatial turnover was recently observed across short spatial scales (<10-

20m)[10,13,59], there has been no such demonstration of viral biogeography across the world. We

propose that high rates of spatial turnover could result from low dispersal rates or distinct

temporal dynamics of viral communities relative to other microorganisms. For example, while

dormant microorganisms and relic DNA can persist for months or more[35,60–63], the burst of viral

cells associated with active infections may generate short-lived pulses of distinct viral

communities that do not contribute to relic DNA.

Together, these factors make characterizing the soil virosphere an immense challenge for

the coming decade. When examining individual soil samples, the number of new and unique

viral attributes (e.g., vOTUs, clusters, Pfams) observed in this study is linearly related to

sequencing depth, suggesting that the discovery of new soil viruses is likely to continue with

increasingly deep sequencing (Figure 3). This contrasts with rarefaction curves of the soil

microbiome and of microbial hosts of soil viruses, which both tend to asymptote well before

typical sequencing depths employed in soil microbial investigations. Still, when looking at the

cumulative number of unique viral attributes detected in all samples collectively (Figure S1),

many viral attributes begin to saturate with sequencing depth. This finding suggests that while

individual samples do not capture soil viral diversity, we can begin to constrain the extent of

diversity when sequences from thousands of existing samples are aggregated.

Assembling the GSV Atlas enables us to begin to describe the enormous functional

diversity in the soil virosphere. After filtering viral genes to putative AMGs (see methods),

KEGG pathways among those represented by the highest number of putative AMGs were

associated with a suite of major soil carbon cycling processes (KO:00052, Galactose

metabolism; KO:00500, Starch and sucrose metabolism). Likewise, at the level of gene

annotations, the most common putative AMGs suggested a role for viruses in soil carbon cycles.

Glycosyltransferases 4 (GT4) and glycosylhydrolases 73 (GH73) encode enzymes involved in

the metabolism and/or production of common sugars found in soils including sucrose, mannose,

glucosamine, and maltose[64,65]; and Carbohydrate Binding Module 50 (CBM50) has been

previously linked to chitin – one of the most abundant carbon molecules in soil[66].

Collectively, these genes are involved in the decomposition of chemically complex

organic material into substrates for microbial energy generation and growth. Our results are

consistent with previous works from single locations which have hinted at a wide range of

possible soil viral AMGs, including glycoside hydrolases, carbohydrate esterases, and

carbohydrate-binding modules[18,34,47]. Given that a large proportion of soil microorganisms are

infected by viruses at any given time[67], AMGs encoded by soil viruses have the potential to

impact global biogeochemical cycles[18,27,34,47,48]. The thousands of putative AMGs identified here

represent the most extensive survey to date and further impress the importance of the soil

virosphere as a reservoir for biogeochemical potential.

Microbial Hosts of Soil Viruses.

Unraveling relationships between viruses and their host communities is imperative to

understanding the impact of the soil virosphere on soil processes. Host presence should be tightly

coupled to viral abundance, and in turn, these linkages can be mediated by spatial, temporal, and

environmental factors[18,68,69,70]. These linkages are also dependent on viral host range (i.e., host

specificity) — higher host specificity should lead to a stronger coupling between microbial and

viral abundance and community composition. Viral host specificity is also associated with

ecological factors that impact microbial community composition and may result in tradeoffs

between viral growth rates versus the breadth of the host range[16,71–77]. These dynamics are

unresolved in soils, leading to open questions regarding associations between viral host

specificity and microbial community functions.

Our analysis of the GSV Atlas indicates that a limited host infection range may be a

dominant trait across soil viruses. Across the GSV Atlas, there were few hosts per vOTU on

average (mean = 0.42 hosts/vOTU), and of vOTUs associated with multiple host sequences, the

vast majority were linked to multiple hosts of the same phylogenetic clade. While high host

specificity has historically been the prevailing paradigm, our work contrasts recent studies

suggesting that some soil viruses may have broader host ranges than viruses in other habitats[78–

80].

As a whole, we identified taxonomically diverse soil microorganisms as viral hosts

(Figure 4). Host sequences spanned nearly every major soil microbial clade, supporting a suite of

recent studies that detected soil viral hosts classified as Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia,

Deltaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria[27,34,47]. The ultimate impact of viral predation on

soil functions is at least partially associated with the taxonomic distribution of hosts—viral

impacts may be larger when their hosts contribute to key ecosystem functions. The taxonomic

breadth of the microbial hosts of soil viruses detected here suggests a role for the soil virosphere

in nearly every soil habitat on Earth, as the microbial hosts found here occupy a wide range of

ecological niches. Moreover, some hosts were susceptible to changes in the environment,

underscoring the role that soil heterogeneity may play both in viral diversity (as described above)

and in shaping viral-host dynamics.

Relationships between environmental factors and host taxa may reflect environmental

filtering on host communities (which in turn, determine the amount and type of viruses present)

or on viruses directly which subsequently impacts host community composition[18,28,30,81,82]. Viral

infections have been previously linked to soil parameters including moisture[17,46,83] and carbon

and nitrogen content[11]. In our analysis, bulk density may serve as a proxy for the role of

hydrologic connectivity in structuring the soil virosphere and its hosts. For example,

heterogeneity in the soil matrix (e.g., 'spatial refuges' created by low hydrologic connectivity) is

thought to impact viral activities[10,84]. Nutrient amendments are also considered to be drivers of

the soil virosphere[10,84], supporting the relationships we observed between carbon, nitrogen, and

host taxa.

Metabolic Potential Encoded by the Soil Virosphere.

We detected many hallmarks of viral activity in the soil virosphere, including genes

associated with cell wall lysis, DNA repair/replication, and other signatures of infection. For

instance, across the entire soil virosphere, genes associated with DNA mismatch repair

(KO:03430), homologous recombination (KO:03440), and base excision repair (KO:03410) were

prevalent (Figure S2). Folate biosynthesis was also commonly encoded by the soil virosphere.

Folate and other B vitamins are key to bacterial growth (KO:00670, KO:00790, Figure S3[86]) and

therefore may be logical targets for soil viruses[85]. Bacterial secretion systems (KO:03070, Figure

S4), which may be evolutionarily derived from phages[87–89], were also found in many soil virus

genomes. Type IV secretion systems in particular can be used by bacteria to secrete toxins[90] or as

a method for DNA transfer through cell membranes[91]. Finally, genes involved in the

Caulobacter cell cycle (KO:04112, Figure S5), which has a distinct pattern of cell division[92]

were rife across soils and may be promising indicators of viral infections. The prevalence of viral

genes associated with central microbial functions highlights the potential importance of viral

activity in soil habitats and the need for targeted approaches to quantify the extent and impact of

viral gene expression.

The GSV Atlas also contains many viral amino acid biosynthesis and degradation

pathways that could be critical in viral infection and replication (e.g., KO:00250, KO:00260,

KO:00270, KO:00330, KO:00340, Figure S6). Amino acids are building blocks for new cellular

material and can support soil biogeochemical cycles, as they serve to enhance the carbon cycle

through priming effects and/or enhanced nutrient availability[93–96] (e.g., KO:00500, KO:00052,

KO:00051, Figure S7). Collectively, these pathways demonstrate several possibilities for critical

impacts of soil viruses on processes that are central to microbial metabolism and biogeochemical

cycling of elements in soil.

Beyond these pathways, we highlight three KEGG pathways with near-complete portions

represented in the GVS Atlas: F-type ATPase (KO:00190), pentose phosphate pathway

(KO:00030), and LPS (KO:00540). Five of seven subcomponents of the F-type ATPase were

detected in the soil virosphere. Given the evolutionary similarities between V- and F-type

ATPase in particular[97], the lack of any V- or A-type ATPase components is notable in light of

the near complete F-type ATPase. Though there is some basis for F-type ATPases to assist in

viral replication[98–100], we also note the possible involvement of F-type ATPase in photosynthetic

energy generation[101]. Given the prominence of photosynthetic AMGs in marine systems[102–104],

we highlight the possibility of a viral F-type ATPase as a soil AMG. The pentose phosphate

pathway is also a prevalent and important AMG found in marine ecosystems, where viral

infection diverts carbon away from the Calvin cycle towards the pentose phosphate pathway and

allows for an 'express route' of energy generation at the expense of host carbon metabolism

(reviewed in [102]). Finally, we observed nearly complete LPS pathways in the GSV Atlas. Phages

often carry depolymerases and other enzymes that target LPS or similar outer membrane

components to facilitate binding and entry[54,55]. However, the representation of members of the

LPS synthesis pathway in identified AMGs indicates that phage may be also working to change

the function of the pathway post-infection, potentially to prevent superinfection as has been

observed in specific phages[56]. Collectively, we propose that F-type ATPase, pentose phosphate

pathway, and LPS may be interesting targets for more targeted investigations into the role of the

virosphere in soil health and microbiome functions.

Future Recommendations.

The field of soil viral ecology is poised for rapid expansion; yet there are several

challenges to fully characterizing soil viral diversity and function. Overcoming these

methodological and ecological hurdles will require broad participation from global researchers.

Below, we present a summary of issues, from our perspective, facing the current generation of

soil viral ecologists along with suggestions for surmounting them (Box 1).

First, we propose investments in methodological advances to improve viral detection and

resolve genomic 'dark matter'. Metagenomic sequencing enables the detection of thousands of

viruses per soil sample, yet the number of viruses detected in soil metagenomes has remained

relatively flat over time[5]. In part, this is because soil metagenomics from shotgun sequencing is

highly fragmented, leading to lower quality UViGs[105–107]. Identifying novel viral sequences and

assigning viruses to microbial host organisms are also limited by the extent of our knowledge of

viral diversity; thus expansion of the known virosphere is needed. Promising new methods

include experimental verification of viral activity[45,108], size fractionation ('viromics')[8,10,18,57],

viral isolation[109,110], optimized viral nucleic acid extraction[111], microscopy[45], combined

metagenomic assembly[5], and long-read and/or single-cell sequencing[112–114].

Knowledge about soil viral diversity and function is also limited by gaps in field and

laboratory experiments. The GSV Atlas demonstrates that extensive, spatially-explicit sampling

is needed to capture the high spatial turnover of the soil virosphere. In particular, the spatial

coverage of most 'global' ecological studies, including this one, often suffers from large data

gaps[115]. Concerted efforts are needed to sample wide spatial domains, including historically

undersampled regions, given the high viral diversity uncovered by the GSV Atlas. Although we

did not assess temporal dynamics, temporally-explicit approaches are likewise needed to

characterize temporal dynamics in soil viral communities. Further, our functional annotation of

viral contigs revealed diverse genes associated with functions relevant to both viral and

microbial communities, and it is impossible to know the true functions of viral genes without

targeted functional assays. We therefore propose that experiments targeting the expression and

auxiliary metabolic function of viral genes are needed to properly assess AMGs in viral

communities.

Finally, we still know relatively little about the ecological drivers of soil virus

distribution and how to represent these mechanisms in process-based models. Extreme soil

virosphere diversity renders some common microbial ecology statistical methods unfeasible,

including those often used to test ecological principles (e.g., ordinations, distance-decay,

richness, etc). This highlights the need for innovative statistical approaches to interpret the soil

virosphere. While process-based models have made tremendous improvements in representing

soil carbon cycles, the soil virosphere is a key missing component. New ecological theory may

be needed to enable the inclusion of the soil virosphere in biogeochemical models.

Conclusions.

The GSV Atlas is a new public resource that can help generate hypotheses and provide

insight into some of the pressing challenges of viral ecology. We uncovered 616,935

uncultivated virus genomes from global soil samples to show the extreme diversity, spatial

turnover, and functional potential of the soil virosphere. This includes a wide taxonomic array of

microbial hosts of soil viruses, key functions associated with soil carbon cycles, and an

assortment of viral metabolisms that may be critical to deciphering viral ecological principles in

the soil ecosystem. We specifically highlight F-type ATPase, the pentose phosphate pathway,

and LPS-related genes, as well as enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism, as fruitful

areas for further investigation. Our work scratches the surface of the soil virosphere and serves as

a basis for tool, theory, and model development to further advance soil ecology,

biogeochemistry, ecology, and evolution.

Data availability. All data will be publicly available upon acceptance. During review, please

refer to the link for reviewers provided to the editor.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office

of Biological and Environmental Research (BER) as part of BER's Genomic Sciences Program

(GSP) under FWP 70880.

Author Contributions. EBG, RW, JKJ, DPE, NCK, and JEM conceived of this project. EBG,

RW, APC, RYN, MN, and AC conducted data analysis. EBG, RW, APC, RYB, NCK, JKJ,

KSH, and JEM contributed to manuscript drafting and revisions. The Soil Virosphere

Consortium contributed metagenomic data and reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Data collection and workflow. a, Global distribution of samples, scaled by assembled

base pairs. In order horizontally, histograms of (**b**) mean soil bulk density (cg/cm^3), cation

exchange capacity (mmol(c)/kg), clay content (g/kg), total nitrogen content (cg/kg), pH, and soil

organic carbon (dg/kg) associated with our samples from the SoilGrids250 database (0-5 cm). **c,**

Sequence processing pipeline.

Figure 2. Data description. a, shows the count of each category across the full dataset. **b**, shows the proportion of samples obtained from IMG/VR versus the number of new samples contributed (upper left). Within new samples, we identified 3,613 vOTUs of which 317 clustered with sequences already in IMG/VR (middle). Sequences in IMG/VR that clustered with vOTUs containing new sequences were mostly associated with soil habitats (lower left). **c-d,** relative abundance of putative AMGs grouped by KEGG pathway **(c)** and by annotation **(d)**.

380 **Figure 3. Rarefaction curves. a,** taxonomy from whole metagenomic sequences, **b,** vOTUs at

381 the species level, **c**, viral clusters at the family level, **d,** Pfams from whole metagenomic

382 sequences, **e**, Pfams from UViGs, **f**, CRISPR spacer-based host assignment of uViGs.

Figure 4. Relationships between soil viruses and their hosts. a) Cumulative Distribution

Function plot showing the ratio of CRISPR spacer hits to viral sequences per sample. Inset

shows a zoomed portion of the plot from 0 to 0.08 along the y-axis. **b)** Phylogenetic tree of

bacterial hosts at the order level. Phylum taxonomy is shown in the inner circle and the

abundance of CRISPR spacer hits to each order is shown in the outer circle. Two archaeal orders

(Nitrososphaerales and Halobacteriales) of hosts are not shown. **c)** Correlation between host

abundance (defined as total CRISPR spacer hits per microbial order) and environmental

parameters from SoilGrids250m. Color denotes Spearman rho. For inclusion in the heatmap, host

order must be present in more than 5 samples.

Figure 5. Metabolic potential encoded by the soil virosphere. a, F-type ATPase (KO:00190), **b**, lipopolysaccharide pathway (KO:00540), and **c**, pentose phosphate pathway (KO:00030). KEGG pathways are cropped and/or simplified to enhance visualization. Graphics are rendered by Pathview. Color scales denote the total of the total abundance across the entire soil virosphere.

Box 1. Recommendations for Uncovering Soil Virosphere Diversity and Function.

● Technical advances to improve soil virus identification from shotgun metagenomics, long

read sequencing, and/or broader adoption of filtered/targeted sequencing approaches

● Expansion of the known virosphere to facilitate tool development

● Improved methods, both experimental and computational, to link soil viruses to their host

organisms.

● Spatially and temporally-explicit sampling is needed to capture the high spatial turnover

of the soil virosphere.

● Experiments to capture expressed auxiliary metabolic functions of viral genes

● Studies and improved metadata to understand the ecological drivers of soil virus

distributions

● Novel statistical approaches for ecological interpretation of sparse data

● New modeling representations of viral impacts on soil carbon and nutrient cycling

METHODS

Data Collection and Curation.

We collected a total of 2,953 soil metagenomic samples from soils from major

repositories and ecological networks including the JGI Integrated Microbial Genomes and

Microbiomes (IMG/M) platform, MG-RAST metagenomics analysis server, Global Urban Soil

Ecological Education Network (GLUSEEN), Earth Microbiome Project (EMP), and National

Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) plus submissions from individual collaborators. This

included 1,552 samples not previously included in IMG/M (Figure 1 and 2).

For samples collected via JGI IMG/M, we retrieved all studies with GOLD[116] ecosystem

type of "Soil" as of August 2020. We manually curated metagenomic sequences to remove

misclassified data as follows. We removed samples from studies with the following: (1) GOLD

ecosystem types: Rock-dwelling, Deep subsurface, Plant litter, Geologic, Oil reservoir, Volcanic,

and Contaminated; (2) GOLD ecosystem subtypes: Wetlands, Aquifer, Tar, Sediment, Fracking

Water, and Soil crust; (4) words in title: wetland, sediment, acid mine, cave wall surface, mine

tailings, rock biofilm, beach sand, Petroleum, Stalagmite, Subsurface hydrocarbon microbial

communities, Vadose zone, mud volcano, Fumarolic, enriched, Composted filter cake, Ice

psychrophilic, oil sands, groundwater, Contaminated, rock biofilm, Deep mine, coal mine fire,

Hydrocarbon resource environments, Marine, enrichment, groundwater, mangrove, saline desert,

Hydroxyproline, Rifle, coastal, compost, biocrust, crust, Creosote, soil warming, Testing DNA

extraction, and/or Agave; (5) GOLD geographic location of wetland; and (6) GOLD project type

of Metagenome - Cell Enrichment. Additionally, sample names that indicated experimental

manipulation (e.g., CO_2 enrichment or nitrogen fertilization) or were located in permafrost layers

were manually excluded. This resulted in 1,480 curated metagenomes from publicly available

data in IMG/M.

After collating samples from JGI IMG/M and the newly collected samples from external

networks and collaborators, the final dataset consisted of 2,953 soils with 2,015,688,128 contigs,

representing 1.2 terabases of assembled DNA sequences.

In parallel, we retrieved mean values for soil parameters from the SoilGrids250m

database from 0-5 cm[50]. SoilGrids250m is a spatial interpolation of global soil parameters using

~150,000 soil samples and 158 remote sensing-based products. Here, we focus on six parameters

often associated with soil microbial communities: bulk density, cation exchange capacity,

nitrogen, pH, soil organic carbon, and clay content. Because our focus on spatial dynamics and

soils were collected at various times, we did not include temporally dynamic variables such as

soil moisture or temperature in our set of environmental parameters, though we acknowledge

they may have profound impacts on the soil virosphere.

Assembly and Annotation of Samples added to IMG/M.

To standardize data analysis across all samples, the 1,552 soil metagenomic samples not

collected from IMG/M were analyzed using the JGI's Metagenome Workflow[117]. In brief,

samples were individually assembled using MetaSpades v. 3.1. 1,476 of the 1,552 assembled soil

samples passed default quality control thresholds[118], yielding 133 gigabases of assembled DNA

in 241,465,924 contigs. Additionally, three very large metagenomes (>1TB each) were

assembled separately due to computational limitations in standard workflows[119]. The resulting

assemblies were assigned GOLD identification numbers and imported into IMG/M and

processed using version 5.0.0 of the IMG/M Metagenome Annotation Pipeline to align with data

obtained directly from IMG/M[117].

Virus identification, clustering, and host prediction.

We performed an initial identification of viral contigs using using a modified version of

the IMG/VR v3's virus identification pipeline (code available at

https://github.com/snayfach/MGV/tree/master/viral_detection_pipeline)[52,53]. The pipeline

identifies viruses based on the presence of 23,841 virus protein families, 16,260 protein families

of microbial origin from the Pfam database[120] and VirFinder[121] to identify putative virus

genomes in contigs that were at least 1 kb long. During the course of this study, geNomad

(version 1.3.3)[122], a tool for virus identification with improved classification performance was

released and incorporated into our pipeline to improve prediction confidence and perform

taxonomic assignment. We further processed predicted viral sequences using CheckV v1.0.1

(database version 1.5)[123] to assess the quality of the virus genomes. As this study focused on

non-integrated virus genomes, contigs that were flagged by either geNomad or CheckV as

proviral were discarded. From the remaining contigs, virus genomes were selected using the

following rules: (1) contigs of at least 1kb with high similarity to genomes in the CheckV

database (that is, that had high- or medium-quality completeness estimates) or that contained

direct terminal repeats were automatically selected; (2) contigs longer than 10kb were required to

have a geNomad virus score higher than 0.8 and to either encode one virus hallmark (e.g.,

terminase, capsid proteins, portal protein, etc.) .), as determined by geNomad, or to have a

geNomad virus marker of at least 5.0; (3) contigs shorter than 10 kb and longer than 5 kb were

required to have a geNomad virus score higher than 0.9, to encode at least one virus hallmark,

and to have a virus marker enrichment higher than 2.0. This resulted in 49,649 viral contigs that

we used for downstream analysis. All viral contigs are available at: [refer to link provided for

reviewers].

Virus genomes were clustered into viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) following

MIUViG guidelines (95% average nucleotide identity, ANI; 85% aligned fraction[51]). In brief,

we performed an all-versus-all BLAST (version 2.13.0+, '-task megablast -evalue 1e-5 -

max_target_seqs 20000') search to estimate pairwise ANIs and AFs, as described in Nayfach et

al.[123] (code available at: https://github.com/snayfach/MGV/blob/master/ani_cluster/blastani.py),

and employed pyLeiden (available at: https://github.com/apcamargo/pyleiden) to cluster

genomes, using as input a graph where pairs of genomes that satisfied the MIUViG criteria were

connected by edges. Viruses were also grouped at approximate genus-level (40% AAI —

average amino acid identity; 20% shared genes) and family-level (20% AAI; 10% shared genes)

clusters using DIAMOND[124] for protein alignment and Markov Cluster Process (MCL)[125] for

clustering[52].

Viral sequences were assigned to putative host (bacterial and archaeal) taxa through

matches to a previously described database of CRISPR spacers of 1.6 million bacterial and

archaeal genomes from NCBI GenBank and MAGs (release 242; 15 February 2021)[126–130].

Sequences of virus genomes were queried against the spacer database

(https://portal.nersc.gov/cfs/m342/crisprDB) using blastn (v2.9.0+, parameters: '-

max_target_seqs = 1000 -word_size = 8 -dust = no'). Only alignments with at least 25 bp, less

than 2 mismatches, and that covered $\geq$ 95% of the spacer length were considered. Viral

sequences were assigned to the host taxon at the lowest taxonomic rank that had at least two

spacers matched and that represented >70% of all matches.

Potential Auxiliary Metabolic Gene (AMG) Prediction.

We leveraged an intermediate output of geNomad (version 1.3.3)[122] ('genes.tsv') to

screen putative AMGs on the detected viral contigs. Proteins of the viral contigs were annotated

by virus and host-specific markers implemented in geNomad. The identified viral hallmark (e.g.,

terminase, major capsid protein) and non-hallmark proteins were labeled as 'VV-1' and 'V*-0'

in geNomad output, respectively. The rest of the viral proteins of the detected viral contigs that

were annotated as non-virus-specific or unclassified were then classified into five categories of

putative AMGs based on the presence of viral hallmark or non-hallmarks up- or down-stream as

mentioned previously[46]. The AMGs with both virus-specific genes ('VV-1' or 'V*-0') were

retained for the following analysis. To improve the functional annotations of the putative AMGs

and highlight the viral potentials of metabolizing carbohydrates and glycoconjugates, the AMG

proteins were also annotated by Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes (CAZY) Database and Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database using the default settings in addition to

the functional annotation databases implemented in geNomad. The putative AMG was assigned

to the functional annotation with the highest bitscore (e.g., duplicate annotations were not

allowed). Following Hurwitz and U'Ren[102] and Hurwitz et al.[131], we further screened putative

AMGs to remove genes not found in KEGG pathways. Additionally, in recognition of the

ambiguity in distinguishing genes encoding auxiliary metabolic functions versus core metabolic

processes[102], we discuss the resulting set of genes presented here as 'putative AMGs'

Statistical Analysis.

All statistical analyses and data visualizations were performed using *R* v 4.1.0[132]. We

used the following packages for data manipulation and visualization: ggplot2[133], reshape2[134],

pheatmap[135], Hmisc[136], ggpubr[137], RColorBrewer[138], maps[139], stats[140] geosphere[141], plyr[142],

dplyr[143], and stringr[144]. Packages pertaining to specific analyses are listed below.

We generated rarefaction curves for individual samples (Figure 3) and for cumulative

sequencing depth (Figure S1) using the 'phyloseq' package[145] and custom R plots, respectively.

Samples containing less than five vOTUs, viral clusters, or viral Pfams; or less than 100

CRISPR-spacer-based host taxon, microbial Pfams, or microbial taxa were removed for visual

clarity. Removed samples followed the same general trends as presented in Figure 3. To

visualize saturation across cumulative sequencing depth (Figure S1), we ordered samples from

lowest to highest total assembled bp and progressively added them along the x-axis. On the y-

axis, we plot the associated cumulative number of unique attributes.

A phylogenetic tree of CRISPR-spacer-based host taxon was generated at the order-level

using phyloT v2[146], an online tree generator based on the Genome Taxonomy Database. Then,

we visualized the tree in R using the packages 'ggtree'[147], 'treeio'[148], and 'ggnewscale'[149]. To

examine relationships between common microbial hosts of soil viruses and soil properties, we

first downloaded data describing bulk density, cation exchange capacity, nitrogen, pH, soil

organic carbon, and clay content from the SoilGrids250m database[50] using the 'soilDB'

package[150]. Mean values of soil properties from 0-5 cm were correlated to the total number of

CRISPR spacer hits per microbial ordered using Spearman correlation.

Finally, we mapped genes detected across the entire soil virosphere (i.e., all samples

combined) to their corresponding KEGG pathways using the 'pathview' package in R[151]. Gene

abundances were converted by log base 10 for visualization.

References.

1. Mushegian, A. R. Are there 1031 virus particles on earth, or more, or fewer? *J. Bacteriol.* **202**, (2020).

2. Williamson, K. E., Fuhrmann, J. J., Wommack, K. E. & Radosevich, M. Viruses in soil ecosystems: an unknown quantity within an unexplored territory. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **4**, 201–219 (2017).

3. Pratama, A. A. & van Elsas, J. D. The "Neglected" Soil Virome - Potential Role and Impact. *Trends Microbiol.* **26**, 649–662 (2018).

4. Kuzyakov, Y. & Mason-Jones, K. Viruses in soil: Nano-scale undead drivers of microbial life, biogeochemical turnover and ecosystem functions. *Soil Biol. Biochem* **127**, 305–317 (2018).

5. Roux, S. & Emerson, J. B. Diversity in the soil virosphere: to infinity and beyond? *Trends Microbiol.* **30**, 1025–1035 (2022).

6. Kimura, M., Jia, Z.-J., Nakayama, N. & Asakawa, S. Ecology of viruses in soils: Past, present and future perspectives. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **54**, 1–32 (2008).

7. Cobián Güemes, A. G. *et al.* Viruses as winners in the game of life. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **3**, 197–214 (2016).

8. Ter Horst, A. M. *et al.* Minnesota peat viromes reveal terrestrial and aquatic niche partitioning for local and global viral populations. *Microbiome* **9**, 233 (2021).

9. Emerson, J. B. Soil viruses: A new hope. *mSystems* **4**, (2019).

10. Santos-Medellín, C. *et al.* Spatial turnover of soil viral populations and genotypes overlain by cohesive responses to moisture in grasslands. *BioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.03.24.485562.

11. Albright, M. B. N. *et al.* Experimental evidence for the impact of soil viruses on carbon

cycling during surface plant litter decomposition. *ISME COMMUN.* **2**, 24 (2022).

12. Adriaenssens, E. M. *et al.* Environmental drivers of viral community composition in

Antarctic soils identified by viromics. *Microbiome* **5**, 83 (2017).

13. Durham, D. M. *et al.* Substantial differences in soil viral community composition within

and among four Northern California habitats. *ISME COMMUN.* **2**, 100 (2022).

14. Liao, H. *et al.* Response of soil viral communities to land use changes. *Nat. Commun.* **13**,

6027 (2022).

15. Han, L.-L. *et al.* Distribution of soil viruses across China and their potential role in

phosphorous metabolism. *Environ. Microbiome* **17**, 6 (2022).

16. Braga, L. P. P. *et al.* Impact of phages on soil bacterial communities and nitrogen

availability under different assembly scenarios. *Microbiome* **8**, 52 (2020).

17. Wu, R. *et al.* Moisture modulates soil reservoirs of active DNA and RNA viruses.

Commun. Biol. **4**, 992 (2021).

18. Emerson, J. B. *et al.* Host-linked soil viral ecology along a permafrost thaw gradient. *Nat.*

Microbiol. **3**, 870–880 (2018).

19. Suttle, C. A. Marine viruses--major players in the global ecosystem. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*

5, 801–812 (2007).

20. Keen, E. C. A century of phage research: bacteriophages and the shaping of modern

biology. *Bioessays* **37**, 6–9 (2015).

21. Trubl, G. *et al.* Active virus-host interactions at sub-freezing temperatures in Arctic peat

soil. *Microbiome* **9**, 208 (2021).

22. Lee, S. *et al.* Methane-derived carbon flows into host-virus networks at different trophic

levels in soil. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **118**, (2021).

23. Starr, E. P. *et al.* Stable-Isotope-Informed, Genome-Resolved Metagenomics Uncovers

Potential Cross-Kingdom Interactions in Rhizosphere Soil. *mSphere* **6**, e0008521 (2021).

24. Santos-Medellin, C. *et al.* Viromes outperform total metagenomes in revealing the

spatiotemporal patterns of agricultural soil viral communities. *ISME J.* **15**, 1956–1970

(2021).

25. Snyder, J. C. *et al.* Virus movement maintains local virus population diversity. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci USA **104**, 19102–19107 (2007).

26. Hesse, U. *et al.* Virome assembly and annotation: A surprise in the namib desert. *Front.*

Microbiol. **8**, 13 (2017).

27. Jin, M. *et al.* Diversities and potential biogeochemical impacts of mangrove soil viruses.

Microbiome **7**, 58 (2019).

28. Chen, M.-L. *et al.* Viral Community and Virus-Associated Antibiotic Resistance Genes in

Soils Amended with Organic Fertilizers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **55**, 13881–13890 (2021).

29. Calero-Cáceres, W., Ye, M. & Balcázar, J. L. Bacteriophages as environmental reservoirs

of antibiotic resistance. *Trends Microbiol.* **27**, 570–577 (2019).

30. Liang, X. *et al.* Lysogenic reproductive strategies of viral communities vary with soil

depth and are correlated with bacterial diversity. *Soil Biol. Biochem* **144**, 107767 (2020).

31. Breitbart, M., Miyake, J. H. & Rohwer, F. Global distribution of nearly identical phage-

encoded DNA sequences. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **236**, 249–256 (2004).

32. Fuhrman, J. A. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. *Nature*

399, 541–548 (1999).

33. Williamson, K. E., Radosevich, M., Smith, D. W. & Wommack, K. E. Incidence of

lysogeny within temperate and extreme soil environments. *Environ. Microbiol.* **9**, 2563–

2574 (2007).

34.   Trubl, G. *et al.* Soil viruses are underexplored players in ecosystem carbon processing.

mSystems **3**, (2018).

35.   Trubl, G., Hyman, P., Roux, S. & Abedon, S. T. Coming-of-Age Characterization of Soil

Viruses: A User's Guide to Virus Isolation, Detection within Metagenomes, and Viromics.

Soil Syst. **4**, 23 (2020).

36.   Anantharaman, K. *et al.* Sulfur oxidation genes in diverse deep-sea viruses. *Science* **344**,

757–760 (2014).

37.   York, A. Marine microbiology: Algal virus boosts nitrogen uptake in the ocean. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **15**, 573 (2017).

38.   Roux, S. *et al.* Ecogenomics and potential biogeochemical impacts of globally abundant

ocean viruses. *Nature* **537**, 689–693 (2016).

39.   Zhang, R., Wei, W. & Cai, L. The fate and biogeochemical cycling of viral elements. *Nat.*

Rev. Microbiol. **12**, 850–851 (2014).

40.   Sandaa, R.-A. *et al.* The response of heterotrophic prokaryote and viral communities to

labile organic carbon inputs is controlled by the predator food chain structure. *Viruses* **9**,

(2017).

41.   Breitbart, M. Marine viruses: truth or dare. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **4**, 425–448 (2012).

42.   Sokol, N. W. *et al.* Life and death in the soil microbiome: how ecological processes

influence biogeochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **20**, 415–430 (2022).

43.   Jansson, J. K. & Wu, R. Soil viral diversity, ecology and climate change. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. (2022) doi:10.1038/s41579-022-00811-z.

44.   Hwang, Y., Rahlff, J., Schulze-Makuch, D., Schloter, M. & Probst, A. J. Diverse viruses

carrying genes for microbial extremotolerance in the atacama desert hyperarid soil.

mSystems **6**, (2021).

45.   Wu, R. *et al.* Structural characterization of a soil viral auxiliary metabolic gene product - a

functional chitosanase. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5485 (2022).

46.   Wu, R. *et al.* DNA Viral Diversity, Abundance, and Functional Potential Vary across

Grassland Soils with a Range of Historical Moisture Regimes. *MBio* **12**, e0259521 (2021).

47.   Liang, X. *et al.* Viral abundance and diversity vary with depth in a southeastern United

States agricultural ultisol. *Soil Biol. Biochem* **137**, 107546 (2019).

48.   Bi, L. *et al.* Diversity and potential biogeochemical impacts of viruses in bulk and

rhizosphere soils. *Environ. Microbiol.* **23**, 588–599 (2021).

49.   Jansson, J. K. Soil viruses: Understudied agents of soil ecology. *Environ. Microbiol.* **25**,

143–146 (2023).

50.   Hengl, T. *et al.* SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine

learning. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0169748 (2017).

51.   Roux, S. *et al.* Minimum Information about an Uncultivated Virus Genome (MIUViG).

Nat. Biotechnol. **37**, 29–37 (2019).

52.   Nayfach, S. *et al.* Metagenomic compendium of 189,680 DNA viruses from the human gut

microbiome. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 960–970 (2021).

53.   Roux, S. *et al.* IMG/VR v3: an integrated ecological and evolutionary framework for

interrogating genomes of uncultivated viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D764–D775 (2021).

54.   Lindberg, A. A. Bacteriophage receptors. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **27**, 205–241 (1973).

55.   Latka, A., Maciejewska, B., Majkowska-Skrobek, G., Briers, Y. & Drulis-Kawa, Z.

666   Bacteriophage-encoded virion-associated enzymes to overcome the carbohydrate barriers

667   during the infection process. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **101**, 3103–3119 (2017).

668 56. Kintz, E. *et al.* A BTP1 prophage gene present in invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella

669   determines composition and length of the O-antigen of the lipopolysaccharide. *Mol.*

670   *Microbiol.* **96**, 263–275 (2015).

671 57. Cornell, C. R. *et al.* Temporal Changes of Virus-Like Particle Abundance and

672   Metagenomic Comparison of Viral Communities in Cropland and Prairie Soils. *mSphere* **6**,

673   e0116020 (2021).

674 58. Chevallereau, A., Pons, B. J., van Houte, S. & Westra, E. R. Interactions between bacterial

675   and phage communities in natural environments. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **20**, 49–62 (2022).

676 59. Han, L.-L., Yu, D.-T., Zhang, L.-M., Shen, J.-P. & He, J.-Z. Genetic and functional

677   diversity of ubiquitous DNA viruses in selected Chinese agricultural soils. *Sci. Rep.* **7**,

678   45142 (2017).

679 60. Fierer, N. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome.

680   *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 579–590 (2017).

681 61. Carini, P. *et al.* Relic DNA is abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial

682   diversity. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2**, 16242 (2016).

683 62. Thompson, L. R. *et al.* A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial

684   diversity. *Nature* **551**, 457–463 (2017).

685 63. Locey, K. J. *et al.* Dormancy dampens the microbial distance-decay relationship. *Philos.*

686   *Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **375**, 20190243 (2020).

687 64. CAZy - GT4. http://www.cazy.org/GT4.html.

688 65. CAZy - GH73. http://www.cazy.org/GH73.html.

66. CAZy - CBM50. http://www.cazy.org/CBM50.html.

67. Wommack, K. E. & Colwell, R. R. Virioplankton: Viruses in Aquatic Ecosystems.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **64**, 69–114 (2000).

68. Gómez, P. & Buckling, A. Bacteria-phage antagonistic coevolution in soil. *Science* **332**,

106–109 (2011).

69. de Jonge, P. A., Nobrega, F. L., Brouns, S. J. J. & Dutilh, B. E. Molecular and

evolutionary determinants of bacteriophage host range. *Trends Microbiol.* **27**, 51–63

(2019).

70. Cazares, D. *et al.* A Novel Group of Promiscuous Podophages Infecting Diverse

Gammaproteobacteria from River Communities Exhibits Dynamic Intergenus Host

Adaptation. *mSystems* **6**, (2021).

71. De Sordi, L., Khanna, V. & Debarbieux, L. The gut microbiota facilitates drifts in the

genetic diversity and infectivity of bacterial viruses. *Cell Host Microbe* **22**, 801-808.e3

(2017).

72. Cornuault, J. K. *et al.* The enemy from within: a prophage of Roseburia intestinalis

systematically turns lytic in the mouse gut, driving bacterial adaptation by CRISPR spacer

acquisition. *ISME J.* **14**, 771–787 (2020).

73. Heineman, R. H., Springman, R. & Bull, J. J. Optimal foraging by bacteriophages through

host avoidance. *Am. Nat.* **171**, E149-57 (2008).

74. Meyer, J. R. *et al.* Repeatability and contingency in the evolution of a key innovation in

phage lambda. *Science* **335**, 428–432 (2012).

75. Ford, B. E. *et al.* Frequency and fitness consequences of bacteriophage φ6 host range

mutations. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e113078 (2014).

76.   Holtzman, T. *et al.* A continuous evolution system for contracting the host range of

bacteriophage T7. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 307 (2020).

77.   Sant, D. G., Woods, L. C., Barr, J. J. & McDonald, M. J. Host diversity slows

bacteriophage adaptation by selecting generalists over specialists. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 350–

359 (2021).

78.   Paez-Espino, D. *et al.* Uncovering Earth's virome. *Nature* **536**, 425–430 (2016).

79.   Edwards, R. A., McNair, K., Faust, K., Raes, J. & Dutilh, B. E. Computational approaches

to predict bacteriophage-host relationships. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **40**, 258–272 (2016).

80.   Simmonds, P., Aiewsakun, P. & Katzourakis, A. Prisoners of war - host adaptation and its

constraints on virus evolution. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 321–328 (2019).

81.   Srinivasiah, S. *et al.* Phages across the biosphere: contrasts of viruses in soil and aquatic

environments. *Res. Microbiol.* **159**, 349–357 (2008).

82.   Srinivasiah, S. *et al.* Dynamics of autochthonous soil viral communities parallels dynamics

of host communities under nutrient stimulation. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **91**, (2015).

83.   Van Goethem, M. W., Swenson, T. L., Trubl, G., Roux, S. & Northen, T. R.

Characteristics of Wetting-Induced Bacteriophage Blooms in Biological Soil Crust. *MBio*

10, (2019).

84.   Lourenço, M. *et al.* The spatial heterogeneity of the gut limits predation and fosters

coexistence of bacteria and bacteriophages. *Cell Host Microbe* **28**, 390-401.e5 (2020).

85.   Mattenberger, Y., Mattson, S., Métrailler, J., Silva, F. & Belin, D. 55.1, a gene of unknown

function of phage T4, impacts on Escherichia coli folate metabolism and blocks DNA

repair by the NER. *Mol. Microbiol.* **82**, 1406–1421 (2011).

86.   Romine, M. F. *et al.* Elucidation of roles for vitamin B12 in regulation of folate,

ubiquinone, and methionine metabolism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**, E1205–E1214

(2017).

87.   Pell, L. G., Kanelis, V., Donaldson, L. W., Howell, P. L. & Davidson, A. R. The phage

lambda major tail protein structure reveals a common evolution for long-tailed phages and

the type VI bacterial secretion system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**, 4160–4165 (2009).

88.   Records, A. R. The type VI secretion system: a multipurpose delivery system with a

phage-like machinery. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **24**, 751–757 (2011).

89.   Kanamaru, S. Structural similarity of tailed phages and pathogenic bacterial secretion

systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**, 4067–4068 (2009).

90.   Sgro, G. G. *et al.* Bacteria-Killing Type IV Secretion Systems. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 1078

(2019).

91.   Cascales, E. & Christie, P. J. Definition of a bacterial type IV secretion pathway for a

DNA substrate. *Science* **304**, 1170–1173 (2004).

92.   Lasker, K., Mann, T. H. & Shapiro, L. An intracellular compass spatially coordinates cell

cycle modules in Caulobacter crescentus. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **33**, 131–139 (2016).

93.   Tan, Y. *et al.* Organic fertilizers shape soil microbial communities and increase soil amino

acid metabolites content in a blueberry orchard. *Microb. Ecol.* **85**, 232–246 (2023).

94.   Wang, D., Chadwick, D. R., Hill, P. W., Ge, T. & Jones, D. L. Rapid microbial uptake and

mineralization of 14C-labelled cysteine and methionine along a grassland productivity

gradient. *Soil Biol. Biochem* **180**, 109022 (2023).

95.   Ma, Q. *et al.* Carbon and sulphur tracing from soil organic sulphur in plants and soil

microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem* **150**, 107971 (2020).

96.   Wang, D., Wang, J., Chadwick, D. R., Ge, T. & Jones, D. L. Competition for two sulphur

containing amino acids (cysteine and methionine) by soil microbes and maize roots in the

rhizosphere. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **59**, 697–704 (2023).

97. Mulkidjanian, A. Y., Makarova, K. S., Galperin, M. Y. & Koonin, E. V. Inventing the

dynamo machine: the evolution of the F-type and V-type ATPases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **5**,

892–899 (2007).

98. Rao, V. B. & Feiss, M. The bacteriophage DNA packaging motor. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **42**,

647–681 (2008).

99. Jia, K. *et al.* A novel inovirus reprograms metabolism and motility of marine alteromonas.

Microbiol. Spectr. **10**, e0338822 (2022).

100. Wu, D., Yuan, Y., Liu, P., Wu, Y. & Gao, M. Cellular responses in Bacillus thuringiensis

CS33 during bacteriophage BtCS33 infection. *J. Proteomics* **101**, 192–204 (2014).

101. Kühlbrandt, W. Structure and Mechanisms of F-Type ATP Synthases. *Annu. Rev.*

Biochem. **88**, 515–549 (2019).

102. Hurwitz, B. L. & U'Ren, J. M. Viral metabolic reprogramming in marine ecosystems.

Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **31**, 161–168 (2016).

103. Breitbart, M., Bonnain, C., Malki, K. & Sawaya, N. A. Phage puppet masters of the marine

microbial realm. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 754–766 (2018).

104. Puxty, R. J., Millard, A. D., Evans, D. J. & Scanlan, D. J. Shedding new light on viral

photosynthesis. *Photosyn. Res.* **126**, 71–97 (2015).

105. Daniel, R. The metagenomics of soil. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **3**, 470–478 (2005).

106. Leite, M. F. A., van den Broek, S. W. E. B. & Kuramae, E. E. Current challenges and

pitfalls in soil metagenomics. *Microorganisms* **10**, (2022).

107. Rodriguez-R, L. M., Gunturu, S., Tiedje, J. M., Cole, J. R. & Konstantinidis, K. T.

781   Nonpareil 3: fast estimation of metagenomic coverage and sequence diversity. *mSystems* **3**,

782   (2018).

783 108. Epstein, R. H., Bolle, A. & Steinberg, C. M. Amber mutants of bacteriophage T4D: their

784   isolation and genetic characterization. *Genetics* **190**, 833–840 (2012).

785 109. Van Twest, R. & Kropinski, A. M. Bacteriophage enrichment from water and soil.

786   *Methods Mol. Biol.* **501**, 15–21 (2009).

787 110. Wommack, K. E., Williamson, K. E., Helton, R. R., Bench, S. R. & Winget, D. M.

788   Methods for the isolation of viruses from environmental samples. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **501**,

789   3–14 (2009).

790 111. Trubl, G. *et al.* Towards optimized viral metagenomes for double-stranded and single-

791   stranded DNA viruses from challenging soils. *PeerJ* **7**, e7265 (2019).

792 112. Zablocki, O. *et al.* VirION2: a short- and long-read sequencing and informatics workflow

793   to study the genomic diversity of viruses in nature. *PeerJ* **9**, e11088 (2021).

794 113. Warwick-Dugdale, J. *et al.* Long-read viral metagenomics captures abundant and

795   microdiverse viral populations and their niche-defining genomic islands. *PeerJ* **7**, e6800

796   (2019).

797 114. Martinez-Hernandez, F. *et al.* Single-virus genomics reveals hidden cosmopolitan and

798   abundant viruses. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15892 (2017).

799 115. Guerra, C. A. *et al.* Blind spots in global soil biodiversity and ecosystem function research.

800   *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3870 (2020).

801 116. Mukherjee, S. *et al.* Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) v.8: overview and updates.

802   *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D723–D733 (2021).

803 117. Chen, I.-M. A. *et al.* IMG/M v.5.0: an integrated data management and comparative

analysis system for microbial genomes and microbiomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D666–

D677 (2019).

118. Clum, A. *et al.* DOE JGI metagenome workflow. *mSystems* **6**, (2021).

119. Nelson, W. C. *et al.* Terabase metagenome sequencing of grassland soil microbiomes.

Microbiol. Resour. Announc. **9**, (2020).

120. El-Gebali, S. *et al.* The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,

D427–D432 (2019).

121. Ren, J., Ahlgren, N. A., Lu, Y. Y., Fuhrman, J. A. & Sun, F. VirFinder: a novel k-mer

based tool for identifying viral sequences from assembled metagenomic data. *Microbiome*

5, 69 (2017).

122. Camargo, A. P. *et al.* Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nature*

Biotechnology (2023) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01953-y.

123. Nayfach, S. *et al.* CheckV assesses the quality and completeness of metagenome-

assembled viral genomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 578–585 (2021).

124. Buchfink, B., Reuter, K. & Drost, H.-G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale

using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 366–368 (2021).

125. Van Dongen, S. Graph clustering via a discrete uncoupling process. *SIAM. J. Matrix Anal.*

& Appl. **30**, 121–141 (2008).

126. Nayfach, S. *et al.* A genomic catalog of Earth's microbiomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 499–

509 (2021).

127. Almeida, A. *et al.* A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut

microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 105–114 (2021).

128. Pasolli, E. *et al.* Extensive Unexplored Human Microbiome Diversity Revealed by Over

150,000 Genomes from Metagenomes Spanning Age, Geography, and Lifestyle. *Cell* **176**,

649-662.e20 (2019).

129. Merrill, B. D. *et al.* Ultra-deep Sequencing of Hadza Hunter-Gatherers Recovers

Vanishing Gut Microbes. *BioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.03.30.486478.

130. Camargo, A. P. *et al.* IMG/VR v4: an expanded database of uncultivated virus genomes

within a framework of extensive functional, taxonomic, and ecological metadata. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **51**, D733–D743 (2023).

131. Hurwitz, B. L., Brum, J. R. & Sullivan, M. B. Depth-stratified functional and taxonomic

niche specialization in the "core" and "flexible" Pacific Ocean Virome. *ISME J.* **9**, 472–

484 (2015).

132. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* (R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, 2021).

133. Wickham, H. & Chang, W. Package "ggplot2." *Create elegant data …* (2016).

134. Wickham, H. reshape2: flexibly reshape data: a reboot of the reshape package.

135. Kolde, R. & Kolde, M. R. Package "pheatmap." *R Package* (2018).

136. Jr, F. H. & Jr, M. H. Package "hmisc." *CRAN2018* (2019).

137. Goslee, S. C. & Urban, D. L. The ecodist Package for Dissimilarity-based Analysis of

Ecological Data. *J. Stat. Softw.* **22**, (2007).

138. Neuwirth, E. & Brewer, R. C. ColorBrewer palettes. *R package version* (2014).

139. Becker, R. A., Wilks, A. R., Brownrigg, R. & Minka, T. P. maps: Draw geographical

maps.

140. R: The R Stats Package. https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-

devel/library/stats/html/00Index.html.

141. Hijmans, R. J., Williams, E., Vennes, C. & Hijmans, M. R. J. Package "geosphere."

142. Wickham, H. Tools for Splitting, Applying and Combining Data [R package plyr version 1.8.8]. (2022).

143. A Grammar of Data Manipulation [R package dplyr version 1.1.2]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/dplyr/index.html (2023).

144. Wickham, H. Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations [R package stringr version 1.5.0]. (2022).

145. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e61217 (2013).

146. phyloT : a phylogenetic tree generator. https://phylot.biobyte.de/.

147. Yu, G., Smith, D. K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y. & Lam, T. T. Y. ggtree: An R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 28–36 (2016).

148. Wang, L.-G. *et al.* Treeio: An R Package for Phylogenetic Tree Input and Output with Richly Annotated and Associated Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 599–603 (2020).

149. Campitelli, E. ggnewscale: Multiple Fill and Colour Scales in'ggplot2'. *R package version 0.4* (2020).

150. DE Beaudette, Skovlin, J., Roecker, S. & Beaudette, M. D. E. Package "soilDB." (2022).

151. Luo, W. & Brouwer, C. Pathview: an R/Bioconductor package for pathway-based data integration and visualization. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1830–1831 (2013).

152. Howard-Varona, C., Hargreaves, K. R., Abedon, S. T. & Sullivan, M. B. Lysogeny in nature: mechanisms, impact and ecology of temperate phages. *ISME J.* **11**, 1511–1520 (2017).

153. Koskella, B. & Brockhurst, M. A. Bacteria-phage coevolution as a driver of ecological and

evolutionary processes in microbial communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **38**, 916–931

(2014).

154. Knowles, B. W. *Piggyback-the-Winner: lytic to temperate switching of viral communities*.

(search.proquest.com, 2016).

155. Maslov, S. & Sneppen, K. Population cycles and species diversity in dynamic Kill-the-

Winner model of microbial ecosystems. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 39642 (2017).

156. Barberán, A., Bates, S. T., Casamayor, E. O. & Fierer, N. Using network analysis to

explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *ISME J.* **6**, 343–351 (2012).

157. LTER SOM Database. https://cosima.nceas.ucsb.edu/lter-som/.

158. Wieder, W. R. *et al.* SoDaH: the SOils DAta Harmonization database, an open-source

synthesis of soil data from research networks, version 1.0. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **13**, 1843–

1854 (2021).

159. Suttle, C. A. The significance of viruses to mortality in aquatic microbial communities.

Microb. Ecol. **28**, 237–243 (1994).

160. Thingstad, T. F. Elements of a theory for the mechanisms controlling abundance, diversity,

and biogeochemical role of lytic bacterial viruses in aquatic systems. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*

45, 1320–1328 (2000).

161. Rodriguez-Brito, B. *et al.* Viral and microbial community dynamics in four aquatic

environments. *ISME J.* **4**, 739–751 (2010).

162. Thingstad, T. F., Våge, S., Storesund, J. E., Sandaa, R.-A. & Giske, J. A theoretical

analysis of how strain-specific viruses can control microbial species diversity. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci USA **111**, 7813–7818 (2014).

163. Liang, X. & Radosevich, M. Commentary: A Host-Produced Quorum-Sensing

Autoinducer Controls a Phage Lysis-Lysogeny Decision. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 1201

(2019).

164. Weinbauer, M. G. & Rassoulzadegan, F. Are viruses driving microbial diversification and

diversity? *Environ. Microbiol.* **6**, 1–11 (2004).

165. De Smet, J. *et al.* High coverage metabolomics analysis reveals phage-specific alterations

to Pseudomonas aeruginosa physiology during infection. *ISME J.* **10**, 1823–1835 (2016).

166. Bondy-Denomy, J. *et al.* Prophages mediate defense against phage infection through

diverse mechanisms. *ISME J.* **10**, 2854–2866 (2016).

167. Dey, P. *et al.* Physiological, biochemical, and molecular adaptation mechanisms of

photosynthesis and respiration under challenging environments. in *Plant perspectives to

global climate changes* 79–100 (Elsevier, 2022). doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-85665-2.00003-

0.

168. Huchzermeyer, B., Hausmann, N. & Paquet-Durant, F. Biochemical and physiological

mechanisms leading to salt tolerance. *Tropical …* (2004).