Utilizing gene co-expression networks with the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool to enhance safety assessment, biomarker identification and human translation.

Steven J. Kunnen[1], Giulia Callegaro[1], Jeffrey J. Sutherland[2], Panuwat Trairatphisan[3,4], Hugo W. van Kessel[1], Lukas S. Wijaya[1], Git Chung[5], Keith Pye[5], Keith M. Goldstein[6], Claire R. Teague[7], Ciaran P. Fisher[8], Julio Saez-Rodriguez[4], Colin Brown[5], Susan A. Elmore[9], Kathleen M. Heinz-Taheny[6], James L. Stevens[1,*], Bob van de Water[1,*]

1. Leiden University, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research (LACDR), Division of Drug Discovery and Safety, Leiden, The Netherlands
2. Vesalius Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, United States
3. Sanofi, R&D Preclinical Safety, Industriepark Hoechst, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
4. Heidelberg University, Faculty of Medicine, Institute for Computational Biomedicine, Heidelberg, Germany
5. Newcells Biotech, Newcastle, United Kingdom
6. Lilly Research Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company Ltd, Indianapolis, IN, United States
7. GSK, Clinical Pharmacology and Experimental Medicine, Stevenage, United Kingdom
8. GSK, Target and Systems Safety, Nonclinical Safety, Stevenage, United Kingdom
9. National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States

Corresponding authors:

* Bob van de Water (water_b@lacdr.leidenuniv.nl, tel: +31 71 5276223, fax: +31 71 5276292) Leiden University, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research (LACDR), Division of Drug Discovery and Safety, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands.

* James L. Stevens (jls.paradoxfound@gmail.com), Leiden University, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research (LACDR), Division of Drug Discovery and Safety, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands.

Abbreviations:

AKI	Acute kidney injury
APL	Allopurinol
ATF	Activating transcription factor
AvAbsEGS	Average absolute eigengene score
BUN	Blood urea nitrogen
corEG	Correlation eigengene score
CRE	Creatinine
CSP	Cisplatin
DIKI	Drug-induced kidney injury
DM	DrugMatrix
EGS	Eigengene score
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
FC	Fold change
GO	Gene ontology
IRI	Ischemia reperfusion injury
KIM-1	Kidney injury molecule-1
NRF2	Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-Related Factor 2
ORA	Over Representation Analysis
PAN	Puromycin aminonucleoside
PTC	Proximal tubular cells
RMA	Robust Multi-array Average
TG	TG-GATEs
TF	Transcription factor
TXG	Toxicogenomics
WGCNA	Weighted gene co-expression network analysis

Abstract

Toxicogenomic data represent a valuable source of biological information at molecular and cellular level to understand unanticipated organ toxicities. Weighted gene co-expression networks analysis can reduce the complexity of gene-level transcriptomic data to a set of biological response-networks useful for providing insights into mechanisms of drug-induced adverse outcomes. In this study, we have built co-regulated gene networks (modules) from the TG-GATEs rat kidney datasets consisting of time- and dose-response data for 41 compounds, including nephrotoxicants. Data from the 347 modules were incorporated into the rat kidney TXG-MAPr web tool, a user-friendly interface that enables visualization and analysis of module perturbations, quantified by a module eigengene score (EGS) for each treatment condition. Several modules annotated for cellular stress, renal injury and inflammation were statistically associated with concurrent renal pathologies, including modules that contain both well-known and novel renal biomarker genes. In addition, many rat kidney modules contain well annotated, robust gene networks that are preserved in other transcriptome datasets, suggesting that these biological networks translate to other (drug-induced) kidney injury cases. Moreover, preservation analysis of human kidney transcriptomic data provided a quantitative metric to assess the likelihood that rat kidney modules, and the associated biological interpretation, translate from non-clinical species to human. In conclusion, the rat kidney TXG-MAPr enables uploading and analysis of kidney gene expression data in the context of rat kidney co-expression networks, which could identify possible safety liabilities and/or mechanisms that can lead to adversity for chemical or drug candidates.

Keywords: nephrotoxicity, DIKI, WGCNA, gene co-expression, toxicogenomics, biomarker, translation

Translational Statement:

Gene co-expression networks (modules) were generated using rat kidney toxicogenomics data, which reduced data complexity and retained quantitative mechanisms to enhance safety assessment. Several stress, injury and inflammation modules were statistically associated with renal pathologies, useful for biomarker identification. Moreover, many rat kidney modules contained well-annotated, robust gene-networks that were preserved in human patients transcriptome data after renal transplantation, suggesting that these biological networks translate to human relevant kidney-injury. So, the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool enables transcriptome analysis in the context of kidney co-expression networks, which could identify chemical-induced safety liabilities and/or mechanisms leading to adversity, relevant for human risk-assessment.

Introduction

Kidneys play a pivotal role in drug disposition and excretion, making them an important target organ for adverse reactions. Chemical- or drug-induced kidney injury (DIKI) is often observed in (proximal) tubular epithelial cells, but other nephron segments like the glomerulus may also be targeted [1]. Initial injury can lead to subsequent cell death followed by repair and regeneration or, if injury is severe, to loss of nephrons and progression to renal failure [2]. The severity of the initial insult, the degree of cellular injury, and the potential for repair in these regions are determined by local extracellular drug concentration (blood and glomerular filtrate) and intracellular accumulation of drug driven by cellular transport/uptake. The balance between uptake and efflux transport, as well as drug metabolism dictate the effective exposure at cellular targets that yield on- or off-target pharmacology and toxicity[3]. Activation of cellular stress response pathways, including oxidative stress, DNA-damage and unfolded protein response (UPR), are early responses to injury and are critical in the adaptation versus progression of kidney injury, although the mechanistic understanding is still incomplete [4,5].

Nonclinical safety studies are designed to characterize target organ toxicity that can be monitored during clinical testing of drug candidates. Histopathology data is the gold standard for pre-clinical drug safety assessment, but only informs the occurrence of injury and not the mechanism [6]. Association of drug-induced transcriptomic changes with histopathology findings may provide key mechanistic insights into biological responses leading to adversities. However, despite two decades of application, transcriptomic analysis has not achieved wide-spread application in drug safety assessment, perhaps in part due to difficulties in achieving meaningful qualitative and quantitative interpretation and useful safety predictions from high content transcriptomic data [7,8]. Among the reasons for slow uptake is the difficulty in translating gene-level data into interpretable biological responses and the penalties incurred due to false discovery rates [8,9]. However, recent publications demonstrate that co-regulated gene network approaches can organize high dimensional toxicogenomic data into a smaller set of biological response networks, which has been applied to uncover novel mechanisms underlying drug-induced liver toxicities [10–13].

In this study, we aimed to develop co-regulated gene networks to study the mechanism of kidney injury using toxicogenomic data. We applied weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to identify rat kidney specific co-regulated gene networks (modules) using the publicly available TG-GATEs (TG) toxicogenomic dataset from rat kidney [14–16]. As done previously for primary human hepatocytes and rat liver [10,11], we have developed an R-shiny based interactive webtool, the rat kidney TXG-MAPr (https://txg-mapr.eu/login/). WGCNA gene modules could be quantitatively visualized by module eigengene scores (EGS) and reduced to meaningful biological responses relevant to understanding mechanisms of toxicity. Specific module responses were statistically associated with pathology phenotypes (clinical chemistry and histopathology), thereby linking cellular mechanisms to renal injury. Several of these modules linked to kidney injury are preserved in other datasets and other species, including kidney transcriptomic data from the DrugMatrix database and from human patients [17,18]. Our results suggest that gene co-regulated modules in the rat kidney TXG-MAPr can be applied to other toxicogenomic datasets for chemical and drug safety assessment and to identify modules and associated biological responses that are relevant in the context of human translational safety assessments.

Methods

Sample collection and gene expression analysis

Toxicogenomic data from the TG-GATEs (TG) rat kidney repository (Affymetrix Rat Genome 230 2.0 array) were downloaded [16] and jointly normalized using the Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) method within the *affy* R package. The BrainArray chip description file (CDF) version 19 (http://brainarray.mbni.med.umich.edu/Brainarray/Database/CustomCDF/CDF_download.asp, Rat230_2 array version 19) was used to map Affymetrix probesets to NCBI gene Entrez_IDs [19], which resulted in 13877 unique probe sets, each mapped to a single gene. The TG kidney repository contains 975 rat treatments, where each treatment is defined as a combination of compound, time and concentration. Vehicle treated control samples are available for each individual time point (time-matched controls). The *limma* R package was used to calculate log2 fold-change (log2 FC) values, by building a linear model fit and computing differential expression by empirical Bayes moderation for each treatment condition. Toxicogenomic data from the DrugMatrix (DM) rat kidney repository and a renal ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) study were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/; GSE57811 and GSE58438) and analysed following the same procedure as the TG data [17,20]. For the DM data the time-matched vehicle control samples were indicated per treatment condition. The IRI study had one group of naïve treated rat controls (n=5), which was used for differential gene expression at the different timepoints after ischemia reperfusion. Human Affymetrix transcriptomics data (Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) from human patients after kidney transplantation were collected from GEO (GSE36059) [18,21], annotated using BrainArray CDF version 25 (HGU133Plus2) and normalized using RMA in the *affy* R package. One CEL file sample was removed because it was deprecated. Differential gene expression of each patient kidney transplant sample was contrasted with the eight nephrectomy controls samples in the dataset using the *limma* package.

For isolation of primary rat proximal tubular cells (PTC) 3 male Sprague Dawley rats (10 weeks old) were sacrificed and kidneys were harvested and decapsulated. The rats were supplied by Charles River, UK, and were housed at Newcastle University before being euthanized by cervical dislocation. Ethical approval for the isolation and culture of primary proximal tubules cells from rats was obtained from the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body at Newcastle University and all procedures were carried out in accordance with Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Newcastle University holds OLAW Animal Welfare Assurance certification for animal welfare. At least 1 mg of whole kidney biopsy sample was soaked in 1 mL of RNAlater. Cortical slices were taken through the kidney samples from each animal and rat PTCs were isolated from the minced cortical slices as previously described [22,23]. Approximately 1 million freshly isolated rat PTCs were soaked in 1 mL of RNAlater serving as the day 0 sample. The remaining PTCs were seeded onto 12-well Transwell inserts at density of 200,000 cells per insert. Medium was changed on day 1, 3 and 5 after seeding. PTCs were harvested day 1, 2, 5 and 7 post-seeding. On the day of RNA sampling, media was gently removed from the wells, membranes were cut out and put into 10 mL of RNAlater (Thermo Fisher) per timepoint. Samples were stored at 4°C overnight, then at -20°C. RNA was isolated from rat kidney samples and PTC cultures using Trizol + Direct-zol columns according to the referenced protocol (Zymo Research). For PTC cultures, RNA was isolated from Transwell filters (multiple filters were pooled per timepoint) and concentrated over RNA Clean & Concentrate (RCC) columns (Zymo Research). RNA quality was assessed by Agilent Bioanalyzer and all samples had a RIN > 6.3. For microarray analysis, 1 µg of total RNA was used for preparation of

biotin-labeled cRNA. Samples were hybridized to Affymetrix Clariom-D rat arrays for transcriptome analysis and scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner. Raw CEL files were annotated using BrainArray CDF version 25 (ClariomDRat) and normalized using RMA in the *affy* R package. Differential gene expression for the PTC cultures was contrasted with the whole kidney samples using the *limma* package to investigate the transcriptional response of cells taken into culture. Raw and processed data of the PTC cultures is deposited in EBML-EBI ArrayExpress database (E-MTAB-13633).

Rat kidney gene co-expression network analysis

Kidney specific co-regulated gene networks (modules) were obtained from the TG rat kidney log2 FC data matrix [16], using the *WGCNA* package in R [15]. The rat kidney TG data contains transcriptomic data of single (3, 6, 9 and 24 hours) and daily repeated (4, 8, 15 and 29 days) exposures for 41 (drug) compounds at various dose levels (*i.e.,* 975 compound, dose and time combinations (conditions) in total). We applied unsigned WGCNA to group co-induced and co-repressed genes together. The optimal soft power threshold of 8 was selected based on the standard power-law plotting within the WGCNA package and by maximizing the difference in basal gene expression of genes showing co-expression (module genes) versus genes not showing co-expression (excluded genes) based on a t-test with the assumption that low expressed genes are not correlating. From the 13877 unique genes on the Rat230_2 array, we obtained 446 co-expression modules containing 11,244 genes, while 2633 excluded genes did not meet the co-expression criteria. Modules were merged when having a pair-wise Pearson correlation ≥ 0.8 of the module eigengene scores (EGS) based on all treatments, which resulted in a final list of 347 merged modules (**Table S1**). Merged modules contain the suffix 'm', *i.e.,* module rat KIDNEY:2m (*i.e.,* rKID:2m) was merged from child modules 2 and 11. For each treatment condition a module EGS was computed as the first principal component of variation for all genes after Z-scoring the log2 FC matrix (**Table S2**), as described previously [10,12]. This module EGS represents a quantitative measure of activation or repression of all genes (based on log2 FC) in the module. An EGS > 2 or < -2 was considered as a large perturbation in expression of the underlying genes [13]. The correlation between the log2 FC of each gene with the module EGS was also calculated (called the corEG = correlation eigengene) to estimate the intramodular connectivity of the genes and their network (note that genes can also have opposite (negative) weighting because of the unsigned co-expression). The gene with the highest absolute corEG within a module is the hub gene and is the most representative gene of the module. The circular rat kidney TXG-MAPr dendrogram was constructed using the *igraph* package based on Ward's hierarchical clustering of pair-wise Pearson correlation for every module across all treatment conditions (**Figure S1**). Each main branch is indicated by letters A-I, followed by subbranches (*i.e.,* A1aIαi) as indicated in **Figure S1 and Table S1**. To improve visualization of the dendrogram, some module edges and nodes were manually adjusted to separate module clusters. The module EGS are displayed on the TXG-MAPr dendrogram as circles, with the size and colour proportional to the amount of induction or repression for a given treatment condition (**Figure 1A**, red to blue colour scale, respectively). Compound or treatment correlation was calculated for all treatment conditions using pair-wise Pearson or Spearman correlation of the module EGS (975 x 975 matrix). Similarly, module correlation was calculated across all modules (347 x 347 matrix). Preservation of the TG rat kidney WGCNA module structure with other datasets (DrugMatrix rat kidney, TG rat liver and GEO human kidney) was performed using the *modulePreservation* function within the *WGCNA* package in R [24]. Modules with a Z-summary > 2 were considered moderately preserved and Z-summary > 10 were highly preserved.

Functional enrichment analyses and annotation of modules

Modules were annotated by functional enrichment (GO-terms, pathways and transcription factors) using hypergeometric tests (**Tables S3-S5**) as described previously [10]. Briefly, GO-term enrichment was performed with the *topGO* package in R using the algorithm = "classic" and statistic = "fisher" [25]. Over Representation Analysis (ORA) was performed on the gene members of each module using Consensus Pathway DB (cpdb version 34), including the following databases: BioCarta, EHMN, HumanCyc, INOH, KEGG, NetPath, Reactome, PharmGKB, PID, Signalink, SMPDB, Wikipathways, UniProt, InterPro [26]. For both resources, we included enriched terms with a hypergeometric test p-value < 0.01 (**Table S3**). To identify regulatory transcription factors (TFs) of each module, a hypergeometric test was performed on module gene members using the function *phyper* within the *stat* package in R (**Table S4**). The gene set of TFs and their regulated genes (regulons) are derived from DoRothEA [27] with two sets of confidence levels: the "high confidence" level comprises categories A, B and C, while the "high coverage" level comprises categories A, B, C and D. The enriched TFs with p-value less than 0.01 were included in the study. In parallel, TFs' activities were estimated as normalized enrichment scores using the *viper* function from the *viper* package [28] with two confidence sets of TF-regulon from DoRothEA as described. All parameter settings were assigned as in the original DoRothEA study [27]. The most significant module enrichment terms (gene overrepresentation analysis) were reviewed for a common theme, which were summarized in a Key_annotation and annotation levels 1 through 3 (**Table S5**). Only modules with an enrichment p-value < 10^{-4} (0.0001) were reviewed to find a common annotation. Annotation level 1 describes the general cellular process or function (*e.g.,* metabolism, immune response, RNA/protein processing, stress response), level 2 describes the sub-process or function, and level 3 provides the most specific process or transcription factor involved. These 3 levels of annotation were summarized in the Key_annotation, which describes the function of the genes in the modules based on the most significant enrichment terms (**Table S5**).

Module association with pathology

The TG database also provides clinical chemistry (biomarker data) and histopathology data for all treatment conditions. Histopathology data was reviewed by pathologists for 4 nephrotoxic compounds (cisplatin, carboplatin, cyclosporine A and allopurinol) and most calls were in agreement with the pathology score provided by TG. Overlapping pathology terms were combined (*e.g.*, different types of cellular infiltration or different regions of tubular injury), resulting in a final list of 19 unique histopathologies (**Tables S6-S7**). Necrosis and degeneration were also considered as a combined term because these pathologies have overlapping biological meaning, as well as regeneration and basophilic change. For these overlapping pathologies, the maximum grade was used for each treatment condition. The KW-BW ratio (kidney weight - body weight ratio) was calculated by dividing the total kidney weight by the body weight. The kidney toxicity phenotypes (histopathology or clinical chemistry) were used to evaluate statistical association between module EGS and the occurrence of kidney pathology, as described previously [13] . Both concurrent and predictive module associations with pathology (*i.e.*, early module changes that are preceding the toxicity at a later timepoint) were calculated. Effect sizes (Cohen's D) of each module were calculated by comparing mean module EGS in the presence (positives) or absence (negatives) of renal injury for each of the 29 toxicity phenotypes (**Table S8**). To control for overall gene expression, we applied logistic regression to account for differences in both module EGS and average absolute EGS (AvAbsEGS) as covariates in the analysis, as described previously [13].

Rat kidney TXG-MAPr webtool

An interactive visualization of rat kidney WGCNA module and gene expression data has been implemented in the rat kidney TXG-MAPr (Toxicogenomics-MAPr) webtool using the *shiny* package in R to create a user-interface, as described previously [10]. The rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool is available at https://txg-mapr.eu/login/. The tool allows evaluation of dose- and time-response data, compound correlation plots and module association with pathology, which is also available in tabulated format. New or external gene expression data (log2 FC) can be uploaded in the TXG-MAPr tool, as described previously [10]. This enables calculation of new EGS for each module from external dataset based on the gene log2 FC and weighted by the gene corEG. The new module EGS will be overlaid onto the rat kidney TXG-MAPr dendrogram and will be fully integrated into the web application for that session. Uploaded data will be removed when the session is closed.

Results

Rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool to identify biological mechanisms of kidney injury

Gene co-expression networks (347 modules) were built from the TG rat kidney dataset using WGCNA (**Table S1**). Gene expression changes were scored at the module level for each treatment condition by the eigengene score (EGS), see **Table S2** for all treatment conditions. The EGS indicates the induction or repression of the entire module based on the weighted log2 FC expression of its component genes. Modules are arranged in a TXG-MAPr dendrogram, based on the hierarchical clustering across all treatments, and the size and colour of each circle is proportional to the amount of induction or repression for a given treatment condition (**Figure 1A**, red to blue colour scale, respectively). The rat kidney module and gene expression data were incorporated into a R-shiny framework (TXG-MAPr: https://txg-mapr.eu/login/) for interactive visualization and analysis, as previously described [10]. Functional annotation of the modules was assessed using overrepresentation analysis (GO-term, pathway and transcription factor) to provide cellular and mechanistic context of gene members in each module (**Tables S3-S5**). Not all modules showed strong enrichment for GO and pathway terms, but others were highly enriched for terms relevant to kidney injury and regeneration, including rKID:3m (metabolism, e-45), rKID:5m (cell cycle, e-67), rKID:6m (ribosome biogenesis, e-42), rKID:7m (immune system, e-47) and rKID:10m (extracellular matrix, e-34). From this functional enrichment analysis, a specific key annotation term was derived for 170 modules (**Table S5**), *i.e.,* when annotation terms were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$) and show a similar theme or could be linked to the enriched transcription factor, which was included in further analyses (see below).

To illustrate the utility of the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool as an approach to extract mechanistic information from gene expression data, we selected compounds in the TG dataset for which various pathologies were noted in the single and repeated dose studies (**Table S6**). Repeated daily dosing for up to 29 days with the genotoxic chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin (CSP) caused progressive perturbation of gene expression in rat kidneys, represented by the module induction or repression visualized on the TXG-MAPr dendrograms (**Figures 1A and S2**). Histology findings were also progressive over time, including tubular necrosis, dilatation and regeneration, and were accompanied by increases in blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine (CRE) (**Table S6**). We looked for activation of modules associated with the DNA-damage response, a primary mechanism of cisplatin inducing kidney injury [29]. Rat kidney module 160 (*i.e.,* rKID:160) was among the top induced modules after cisplatin treatment at various timepoints and dose levels (**Table S2**) and contained many well-known p53-target genes (*Mdm2*, *Cdkn1a*, *Phlda3*, *Ccng1*, *Plk2, Aen*, *Zmat3*, *Fas*, *Pias3*, *Gas6*) involved in the DNA-damage response (**Figure 1B, top**), and had the highest transcription factor (TF) enrichment score for Tp53 (p-value of 2e-10) among all modules (**Figure 1C, Table S4**). Induction of module rKID:160 and its component genes was already evident early and increased over time (**Figure 1B, top**). Another module, rKID:298, also enriched for terms consistent with p53 signalling **(Table S3)** and contained genes involved in apoptosis (*Bax*, *Ei24, Thyn1*) and DNA repair (*Mgmt*), showed a similar pattern of induction compared to rKID:160 (**Figure 1B, middle**). Although both rKID:160 and rKID:298 are enriched for p53 signalling terms, only rKID:160 had strong TF enrichment for Tp53 (**Figure 1C, Table S4**). Nonetheless, module rKID:160 showed high correlation (Pearson R) with rKID:298 across all TG treatments (**Figure 1D, left**), indicating that both modules are strongly induced by the same treatment conditions (*e.g.,* especially genotoxic compounds cisplatin and doxorubicin), as expected given their proximity in the dendrogram and involvement in similar processes.

To determine if the modular gene expression responses also reflected the progressive injury noted with cisplatin treatment, we also investigated module membership for injury processes and kidney specific biomarkers. Module rKID:2m, contained well-known kidney biomarker genes, including kidney injury molecule-1 (Kim-1/*Havcr1)*, and was strongly associated with toxicity (discussed in the next sections). After only modest early induction, the rKID:2m EGS, and log2FC for component genes, increased markedly after day 4 (**Figure 1B, bottom**). Other modules that annotated for biological processes important for kidney injury and regeneration also showed dose and time dependent induction with cisplatin and other nephrotoxicants allopurinol (APL) and puromycin aminonucleoside (PAN) (**Figure S3**). Several modules involved in extracellular matrix (rKID:10m, 31m), immune response (rKID:56 and 63m), cytoskeleton (rKID:42m, 142m), cell cycle (rKID:5m) and transcription (rKID:29) were induced after 29 days cisplatin exposure and were also observed with other nephrotoxic compounds, including APL and PAN (**Figure S3**), both of which caused substantial kidney injury and regeneration (**Table S6**). Notably, the high module EGS correlation (Pearson R), between 15-days repeated treatment with 1 mg/kg/day cisplatin and 12 mg/kg/day puromycin aminonucleoside suggested that these responses reflect general kidney responses to injury, while also reflecting distinct mechanisms (**Figure 1D, right**). For example, the inflammatory (rKID:56, 63m and 327), cytoskeleton/ injury (rKID:2m and 142m) and cell cycle (rKID:5m) responses are comparable between CSP and PAN at day 15, but the p53 responses (rKID:160 and 298) are more pronounced with cisplatin, because of the genotoxic mechanism of action.

Taken together, the TXG-MAPr tool demonstrated that the co-expression modules capture meaningful biological responses after nephrotoxicant insults, where early events, such as activation of p53 by cisplatin, are followed by later events associated with cellular and tissue injury, including modules containing inducible biomarker genes.

Modules associate biological response networks with kidney pathology

Having demonstrated that modular gene expression captures important information on mechanism associated with kidney injury, we wanted to investigate the statistical association of module changes, and the associated biological responses, with kidney injury (histopathology or clinical chemistry, see **Table S6 and S7** for frequency and occurrence for each treatment). Therefore, for each relevant kidney toxicity phenotype (**Table S7**), we measured effect sizes (Cohen's D) of module EGS for treatments resulting in the presence (positives) or absence (negatives) of renal injury (**Table S8**). To control for the association of injury with overall gene expression, we also applied logistic regression to account for differences in module EGS while controlling for average absolute EGS (AvAbsEGS) as covariates in the analysis, as described previously [13]. Estimation of the odds of toxicity was quantified by the (adjusted) p-value from the logistic regression, which was signed (signed log10 p-adjust) for positive (induction) or negative (repression) module responses associated with toxicity. Both concurrent and predictive (*i.e.,* early module changes that precede emergence of toxicity at a later timepoint) module associations with pathology were calculated, although concurrent associations showed higher statistical significance as expected (**Table S8**).

The effect sizes of many modules associated with concurrent toxicity phenotypes showed strong Pearson correlation, suggesting that several biological response-networks are associated with multiple toxicity phenotypes (**Figure 2A**). This is likely an effect of either the high transcriptional activity, measured as AvAbsEGS, concurrent with multiple pathologies, and/or activation of generalized tissue

responses to injury. When we repeated the clustering using the signed p-adjust values, which accounts for the AvAbsEGS as a covariate in the logistic regression, there was somewhat better separation of the toxicity phenotypes into two distinct clusters (**Figure 2B**). Cluster 1 modules were more associated with tubular injury phenotypes (increases in tubular necrosis, degeneration, BUN and CRE), and cluster 2 with regeneration and inflammation phenotypes (increases in tubular regeneration, dilatation, cellular infiltration and fibrosis). The overlap and differences in module association could be appreciated when comparing the TXG-MAP dendrogram plots overlaid with the signed log10 p-value per module for the most prevalent renal injuries (**Figures 2C and S4**). Modules in branch (see branches in **Figure S1**) F1 and F2 (stress responses and cell cycle) were strongly associated with tubular injury phenotypes (red colours). In contrast, modules in branch A2 and B2 (immune response and extracellular matrix / adhesion) were strongly associated with regeneration and inflammation. Cellular stress, transcription and cytokine related modules in branch H1 showed strong association with all pathologies (red colours), as well as modules in branch D2a (RNA splicing). Modules in branch I (mitochondrion/respiration) showed negative association with all toxicity phenotypes, as well as some metabolism modules in branch H1 (blue colours). Other (non-tubular) injuries did not show strong association with transcriptional module changes, likely due to the low number of positive treatments in the TG data that cause these injuries or the limited transcriptional perturbation (**Figure S4**).

Hierarchical clustering of the modules for concurrent association with toxicity phenotypes revealed a subset of 44 strong positively (cluster 2) and 81 strong negatively (cluster 1) associated modules with injury and repair phenotypes (**Figures 2D, S5A**, **Table S9**), including serum biomarkers increases (BUN or serum creatinine), several types of tubular injury, regeneration, inflammation or fibrosis (pathology cluster II in **Figure 2D and S5A**, **Table S7**). Notably, module rKID:2m, which contained genes for known and inducible biomarkers of renal injury, is in cluster 2 (**Figure 2D**) and ranked highest, on average, for concurrent association with the most prevalent toxicities on both effect size and adjusted p-value (**Table S9**). Other positively associated and high ranked modules (cluster 2, **Figure 2D and S5A, Table S9**) were annotated for immune response (rKID:56, 63m, 71, 212m and 327), cytoskeleton (rKID:42m, 112, 142m), extracellular matrix organization (rKID:10m, 31m), proteasome (rKID:35m), cell cycle (rKID:5m) and transcription (rKID64m), all of which are processes associated with progressive renal injury and/or repair [30,31], and were induced by compounds like cisplatin, allopurinol and puromycin aminoglycoside which caused progressive renal injury (**Figure S3**). Negatively associated and high ranked modules (cluster 1, **Figure 2D and S5A, Table S9**) were annotated for metabolism (rKID:3m, 9m, 14m, 25m, 292), transport (rKID:19, 171, 329), AMPK signalling (rKID:383), mitochondrion (rKID:26m, 28m, 96m, 264) and renal or glomerular development (rKID:196) amongst others. Interestingly, the latter module rKID:196 showed various genes involved in glomerular development or podocyte function, including Nphs1, Podxl, Ptpro and Wt1[32,33].

Transcriptional changes at day 4 showed on average the highest statistical power (effect size and log10 adjusted p-value) to predict renal injury on a later timepoint, followed by day 1 (**Figure S6**). Modules for predictive association with toxicity phenotypes at day 4 revealed a subset of 30 positive (cluster 7) and 46 negative (cluster 2) associations (**Figures 2E and S5B**, **Table S9**). Predictive association at day 1 revealed a subset of 44 positive (cluster 3) and 17 negative (cluster 1) associations (**Figure S5B**, **Table S9**). Besides the overlap between modules that showed both concurrent and predictive association with pathology, there were also some unique modules in the predictive association selection. These include modules involved in ribosome biogenesis (rKID:6m, 8m, 40m, 130m), RNA processing (rKID:34m, 278, 387), protein processing (rKID:173, 208), immune response (rKID:16m, 138, 351),

transcription (rKID:29), p53 pathway (rKID:160, 298), Nrf2 pathway (rKID:53), Atf4 pathway (rKID:74) and Atf6 pathway (rKID:81), which are early responses after cellular stress or injury.

Overall, this led to a subset of 169 modules (75 positive and 94 negative) that showed strongly perturbed transcriptional activity and could be correlated with induction of renal injury (**Table S9,** column "selected strong association"). Thus, modules changes reflect biological responses that may be associated with higher likelihood of concurrent or future development of kidney adverse outcomes (*i.e.,* pathologies), while these modules may also contain potential biomarkers.

Pathology associated modules facilitate biomarker discovery

In the previous section, we have described several modules that were most strongly associated with pathogenesis (**Table S9**), including rKID:2m, which contained well-known kidney injury biomarkers, including kidney injury molecule-1 (Kim-1/*Havcr1)*, lipocalin 2, (*Lcn2*/Ngal); osteopontin (*Spp1)*, clusterin (*Clu)* and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (*Timp1*), all of which had high corEG (*i.e.,* hubness) (**Table 1**). Interestingly, the primary enrichment terms for rKID:2m included cell adhesion and actin cytoskeletal organization, suggesting a more complex set of biological responses is associated with activation and interpretation of the inducible biomarker genes in rKID:2m. Other known kidney injury biomarkers were in metabolism (rKID:3m), immune response (rKID:7m, 15, 56, 63m and 281) and extracellular matrix (rKID:10m) modules, and most were strongly associated with renal pathogenesis (**Tables 1, S9**).

To discover novel inducible kidney injury biomarker genes, we investigated the modules with strong pathology association and clear biological annotation. The top 10 modules showing the strongest positive association with each of the eight most severe kidney pathologies were selected, which resulted in a set of 29 unique modules (**Tables 2, S9**). The selected modules showed very good overlap with modules in cluster 2 of **Figure 2D and S5A** (concurrent), as well as with cluster 6 and 7 in **Figure 2E and S5B** (4-day predictive). In addition to the known biomarker genes found in these modules (**Table 1**), many modules with strong pathology association contain hub(-like) genes of which literature evidence indicates that their respective proteins could be potential kidney injury or disease biomarkers (**Table 2**). Modules with potential biomarkers are rKID:7m (*Cst3* = Cystatin C*, B2m* = β2-microglobulin*, Cd68*), rKID:10 (*Cdh11, Smoc2*), rKID:31m (*Il24*), rKID:38m (*Tcp1*), rKID:56 (*Icam1, Tnfrsf1a*), rKID:63m (*C1qb, Il18, Tnfrsf1b*), rKID:71 (*Mmp7*), rKID:138 (*Cd3g*), rKID:142m (14-3-3 proteins, *i.e., Ywhah, Ywhag*), rKID:212m (*Cp* = ceruloplasmin, *C1s, C1r, Dcn*) and rKID:327 (fibrinogen, *i.e., Fga, Fgb, Fgg*). Many genes in these modules are strongly induced by nephrotoxic treatments, including the potential biomarker genes (**Figure 3A**). Gene expression responses of these modules mimicked the time-dependence of kidney pathology (**Figure 3B**), suggesting that these modules could be relevant for characterization of previously identified biomarkers and identification of novel biomarkers of kidney injury. In contrast, traditional serum biomarkers (BUN and CRE) increased much later (**Figure 3C**), when there is loss of renal function and hence these do not recapitulate the renal injury already present at earlier timepoints. These results suggest that induction of putative biomarker genes and their modules is strongly associated with renal pathology and capture the progression of kidney injury much better than serum biomarkers BUN or CRE.

Table 1. Kidney injury biomarkers in co-expression modules. Well-known and established kidney injury biomarkers are in cytoskeleton / injury (rKID:2m), metabolism (rKID:3m), immune response (rKID:7m, 15, 56, 63m and 281) and extracellular matrix (rKID:10m) modules.

Gene	Biomarker name	Injury marker	Module	corEG	References
Havcr1	KIM-1	Proximal Tubular	rKID:2m	0.8156	[34–40]
Lcn2	Lipocalin2, NGAL	Proximal / Distal Tubular	rKID:2m	0.7698	[36–40]
Clu	Clusterin	Proximal / Distal Tubular	rKID:2m	0.8282	[35,39,40]
Spp1	Osteopontin, OPN	Inflammation / Distal Tubular	rKID:2m	0.7815	[36,40]
Timp1	Timp-1	Proximal Tubular	rKID:2m	0.8948	[41,42]
Umod	Uromodulin	Loop of Henle	rKID:3m	0.7673	[36,38]
Tff3	Trefoil Factor 3	Proximal Tubular	rKID:3m	0.5565	[35]
Cst3	Cystatin C	Glomerular / Proximal Tubular	rKID:7m	0.3903	[35,37–40]
B2m	β2-microglobulin	Glomerular / Proximal Tubular	rKID:7m	0.6849	[35,39]
Timp2	Timp-2	Proximal Tubular	rKID:10m	0.7746	[36,38]
Igfbp7	IGFBP-7, IBP-7	Proximal Tubular	rKID:10m	0.5295	[36,38]
Ambp	α1-microglobulin	Glomerular / Proximal Tubular	rKID:15	0.7985	[40]
Alb	Albumin	Glomerular / Proximal Tubular	rKID:15	0.7157	[35,37,39,40]
Fabp1	L-FABP	Glomerular / Proximal Tubular	rKID:15	0.8531	[36,38,39]
Tnfrsf1a	TNFR1	Inflammation	rKID:56	0.9015	[43]
Tnfrsf1b	TNFR2	Inflammation	rKID:63m	0.6241	[43]
Il18	Interleukin-18	Inflammation	rKID:63m	0.7694	[36–40]
Ccl2	MCP-1	Inflammation	rKID:281	0.7258	[36,38]

Table 2. Most strongly associated modules with renal pathology containing novel biomarkers. Top 10 ranked modules for positive association with concurrent kidney pathologies were selected for each phenotype. For each module, the hub gene and other highly connected genes are shown with a focus on identification of the corresponding proteins as putative biomarkers of renal injury (see references). The module annotations provide additional mechanistic context for these candidate biomarkers. The strongest pathology association, the rank and the signed log10 p-adjust value is provided for each module. Some of the modules are in the top 10 rank for more than one toxicity phenotype (column: "# Toxicity phenotypes"), including rKID:2m, 31m, 71 and 327.

Module	Module annotation	Hub gene	Other hub genes	Branch	Strongest pathology association	# Toxicity phenotypes	Rank	Max signed log10 p-adjust	References (DOI)
rKID:31m	Extracellular matrix (ECM)	Il24		A2aIIβ	Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67	3	1	27.68	10.1007/s00795-019-00239-0
rKID:212m	Immune response; complement	Cp	Ceruloplasmin (Cp), C1s, C1r, Dcn	B2aII	Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67	1	2	23.94	10.1007/s10157-019-01734-5 10.1681/ASN.2008111145
rKID:358		Fkbp10		B2aII	Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67	2	3	22.49	
rKID:148		Sept10		D2a	Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67	1	5	19.54	
rKID:10m	Extracellular matrix (ECM)	Fbn1	Cdh11, Smoc2	B2aII	Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67	1	8	18.68	10.1016/j.kint.2021.04.037
rKID:184	Other	Rexo2		F2b	Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule >= 0.67	1	2	18.83	
rKID:142m	Cytoskeleton	Ywhah	14-3-3 protein (Ywhah, Ywhag)	H1bI	Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule >= 0.67	2	5	17.09	10.1111/jcmm.13691
rKID:38m	Protein processing; folding	Cct5	Tcp1	F1aI	Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule >= 0.67	2	7	15.80	10.1093/toxsci/kfz242
rKID:35m	Proteasome	Eef1d		F2b	Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule >= 0.67	1	10	15.51	
rKID:112	Cytoskeleton	Arpc3		F2aI	Hyaline cast, Tubule >= 0.67	1	6	11.71	
rKID:5m	Cell cycle; S/M phase	Mad2l1	Aurkb	F2b	Hyaline cast, Tubule >= 0.67	1	7	11.70	10.2147/IJGM.S336799
rKID:220	Signalling	Htra3		A2aIIβ	Fibrosis, Interstitium >= 0.67	1	5	9.33	
rKID:272		Cdk4		F2b	Fibrosis, Interstitium >= 0.67	1	10	8.75	
rKID:2m	Cytoskeleton; cell adhesion / injury biomarkers	Tes	Havcr1, Clu, Spp1, Lcn2, Timp1, Plaur	H1aIIαii	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	4	2	25.13	10.1097/MNH.0000000000000275
rKID:51m		Trpv6		A2aIIα	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	3	3	25.06	
rKID:327	Immune response; complement and coagulation	Fgb	Fibrinogen (Fga, Fgb, Fgg)	H1aIIαii	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	4	4	24.50	10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.06.004
rKID:235		Tspan8		H2aI	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	1	5	24.49	
rKID:144		Pla1a		H1aIIαii	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	2	6	24.25	
rKID:332	Signaling; calcium	Map3k1		H2aII	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	1	7	24.05	
rKID:56	Immune response; TNF/NFkB signaling	Icam1	Tnfrsf1a	H1bII	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	2	9	22.68	10.1159/000068920 10.1097/MNH.0000000000000275
rKID:71	Immune response	Mmp7		A2aIIβ	Dilatation, Tubule >= 0.67	4	10	22.36	10.1681/ASN.2016030354
rKID:63m	Immune response	C1qb	Il18, Tnfrsf1b	A2b	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	2	1	27.36	10.1111/petr.13885
rKID:7m	Immune response; leukocyte activation	Ptprc	B2m, Cd68, Coro1a, Cst3	A2b	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	1	4	21.23	10.1111/his.13768
rKID:138	Immune response; T-cell receptor	Cd3g	Cd3 (Cd3d, Cd3e, Cd3g)	A2b	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	1	5	21.23	10.1111/cei.12461
rKID:233		Tmsb4x		B2aII	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	2	8	17.86	
rKID:305	Immune response; T-cell receptor	Zap70	Cd247, Klrk1	A2b	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	1	9	17.81	
rKID:59m		Akip1		B2aII	Cellular infiltration >= 0.67	1	10	17.76	10.1097/MD.0000000000020742
rKID:29	Transcription	Btg2	Atf3	H1bI	BUN change > 100%	1	9	15.51	10.21037/atm-21-5231
rKID:64m	Transcription	Klf6		H1bI	BUN change > 100%	1	10	15.24	10.1080/0886022X.2020.1793353

Module correlation analysis reveals both mechanistic and generalized tissue responses to kidney injury

Results already discussed allude to the fact that kidney injury and progression occur in distinct phases. Early molecular initiating events may be mechanistically distinct for different nephrotoxicants, but lead to a common event, such as death of proximal tubule epithelial cells, which results in a regeneration response. Therefore, we further explored how treatment correlation analysis, using module EGS, might reflect on early and later events in the progression of kidney injury. Besides cisplatin, other potentially nephrotoxic compounds show strong perturbations of gene expression and pathology at the highest tested dose levels and later timepoints (**Figures 3, S3, S7 and S8**). As exemplified earlier, there was a good correlation (R > 0.7) among module EGS after 15-days cisplatin and puromycin aminonucleoside treatment (**Figure 1D, right**), as well as after 8- and 29-days treatment (**Figure S9A**). Both drug treatments also induced comparable pathologies at these later timepoints, including tubular necrosis, dilatation and regeneration (**Figures 3B and S8B**, **Table S6**). At the 3-hour timepoint there was also a modest correlation (R = 0.55) between CSP and PAN, mainly driven by transcriptional stress (rKID:29 and 64m) responses (**Figure S9A**). However, from 6 hours through 4 days post treatment there was poor correlation of module EGS between CSP and PAN and little indication of pathology (**Figures S8B, S9A**). Induction of the p53 response by CSP is apparent at day 1 and later (rKID:160 and 298), while PAN induced modules associated with an early inflammatory response (rKID:15, 16m, 63m, 113, 351), the anticipated mechanisms to induce glomerular nephropathy [44].

Correlation analysis based on module EGS was further leveraged to investigate similarities between nephrotoxic treatment conditions given their overlap in activated and deactivated modules (**Figures 4, S8A and S9**). Treatment with nephrotoxic compounds at high dose levels and late time points showed strong correlation and clustering, when there was clearly pathology present in the kidneys (**Figure 4A cluster II, S9B**). This suggests that gene expression patterns are similar when there are co-occurring pathologies, likely an effect of upregulation of injury induced repair mechanisms of the tissue (*e.g.*, regeneration, dedifferentiation and inflammation responses), and downregulation of metabolism and mitochondrial function, that may be common after acute drug induced kidney injury [45]. However, mechanistic information of compound exposures can still be extracted by focusing on outlier modules (rKID:160 and 298 in **Figure 1D**) or earlier timepoints when module activities are more diverse, see distinct compound specific clusters (**Figure 4A**, cluster I = APL, III = CSP, IV = PAN).

To see if observations with nephrotoxicants can be extended to other form of renal injury, we analysed transcriptomic data from a previous rat renal ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) study, a drug-independent model of acute kidney injury (AKI) [20]. The gene expression data were uploaded into the TXG-MAPr environment and module EGS calculated (**Tables S11, S12**). The IRI study showed high similarity with early allopurinol (cluster I) and late nephrotoxic TG treatments (cluster II) with co-occurring pathologies, suggesting that this is a general tissue response to injury, since there is no drug involved in vehicle (NaCl) treated ischemic kidneys (**Figures 4A, B panel 1, 2, 3 and S10A**). Valproic acid (VPA) pre-exposure was able to accelerate the regeneration response at 1 day post ischemic injury compared to NaCl, an effect associated with a stronger activation of cell cycle module rKID:5m (**Figure S10B**).

Regenerating or injured proximal tubule epithelial cells (PTC) *in vivo* and in primary culture undergo dedifferentiation and regenerative responses, including a partial epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, based on investigation of specific signalling pathways and expression of the mesenchymal intermediate filament, vimentin [46,47]. To determine if the global transcriptional response to

dedifferentiation of cultured PTC bore any relationship to the dedifferentiation response associated with injury and regeneration *in vivo*, we compared rat PTC at various time in culture (*i.e.,* 1-7 days after plating on Transwell filters) with TG treatments (**Tables S11 and S12**). Notably, there was high similarity between the modular gene expression responses of cultured PTC and late nephrotoxic compound treatments (**Figures 4A, S10C and S11**), as well as to ischemic injury response at day 1 and 5, a time at which regeneration of proximal tubule epithelium is expected (**Figure 4A, B panel 4,5,6**). Thus, the dedifferentiation response of primary PTC appears similar, at the transcriptional level, to that of the regenerative PTC phenotype associated with the generalized tissue injury/regeneration response *in vivo*. Notably, activation of modules involved in cell cycle (rKID:5m), cytoskeleton / cell adhesion (rKID:2m, 42m), transcription (rKID:29, 64m), RNA and protein processing peaked in the first two days of culture compared to freshly isolated PTC (**Figure S11**). In addition, there was clear downregulation of metabolism, mitochondrial function and transport in cultured primary PTC (**Figure S11**), which was also seen after kidney injury *in vivo*.

In conclusion, high similarities between cellular and tissue responses during nephrotoxic treatments, ischemic injury and cultured primary PTC suggest that these are generalizable compound agnostic tissue responses to injury, dedifferentiation and repair. Responses to nephrotoxic compounds at early timepoints are more distinct and likely indicate the unique compound specific mode of action that could lead to cellular stress and later renal injury.

Preservation analysis of rat kidney modules reveal common biological processes, stress responses and kidney specific networks

One of the most important safety decisions when compounds transition from nonclinical to clinical testing is whether hazards identified in nonclinical studies will translate to human safety concerns. Ideally, quantitative metrics could be used to determine the likelihood that biological events (*e.g.*, molecular initiating events (MIE) or key events (KE) in an adverse outcome pathway (AOP) framework) will translate across species. A unique aspect of network models, like WGCNA, is that preservation statistics can be applied to determine if (node-edge relationships in) biological networks are preserved across datasets or systems [24]. We first tested robustness of network preservation statistics using two large gene expression datasets in the same biological context by comparing rat kidney modules based on TG data versus the DrugMatrix (DM) rat kidney transcriptomic data [17] using the Z-summary module preservation statistic [24]. Notably, all modules are moderately preserved (274 modules = 79% with Z-summary > 2) or highly preserved (73 modules = 21% with Z-summary > 10) in the DM data (**Figure 5A, Table S10**). Preservation in DM is only slightly lower compared to the self-preservation of TG (testing for preservation in the dataset from which modules were derived), suggesting that modules capture similar gene co-expression patterns and biological responses in both rat kidney datasets. In addition, there is good correlation between Z-summary scores for preserved modules in DM or TG self-preservation, including a subset of 67 modules that are highly preserved using both comparisons (**Figure 5B,** green line = Z-summary > 10).

To determine if highly preserved modules reflect common biological processes, we investigated their biological annotations. Highly preserved module annotate for processes important for maintaining cellular homeostasis as well as adaptive responses to chemical injury, including: cell cycle (rKID:5m), metabolism (rKID:3m, 9m, 14m, 21, 22, 25m, 116), mitochondrial function (rKID:26m, 28m, 37m, 43m, 96m), immune responses (rKID:7m, 15, 16m, 56, 63m, 113, 138, 212m), injury biomarker (rKID:2m),

cytoskeleton (rKID:42m, 112, 142m), extracellular matrix (ECM) remodelling (rKID:10m, 31m), transport (rKID:13, 19, 78, 171), development (rKID:12m, 23m, 45m, 57m, 65, 70), ribosomal biogenesis / RNA processing (rKID:6m, 8m, 34m, 37m, 40m), proteasome (rKID:35m), circadian regulation (rKID:33) and responses to oxidative (rKID:53 = Nrf2) or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (rKID:81 = Atf6; rKID:74 = Atf4). Interestingly, there was a large group of 255 kidney modules also preserved in rat liver TG-GATEs dataset (**Figure 5A and 5C, Table S10**), including the aforementioned modules involved in regulation of cellular stress or homeostasis. In contrast, some kidney specific modules were not preserved in liver, including modules involved in (renal) development (rKID:23m, 45m, 57m, 70, 186, 196, 290), steroid hormone metabolism (rKID:18m, 95) and transmembrane transport (rKID:171, 211, 329) amongst others (**Figure 5C**). Thus, preservation analysis illustrates that modules are highly preserved and robust reflections of cellular responses to drug- or chemical-induced renal injury, suggesting that the rat kidney TXG-MAPr analysis framework can be applied to other kidney toxicogenomic data to investigate DIKI mechanisms.

Preserved injury and repair related networks in human patients

Having established that preservation of rat kidney modules is robust when comparing different large transcriptomics datasets of the same organ and species, we tested preservation between rat and human using available human kidney transcriptomic data. We evaluated preservation of rat kidney modules in a large human dataset (GEO: GSE36059) consisting of over 400 renal transplant samples from human patients at various times after transplantation with or without evidence of graft rejection as well as 'control' nephrectomy biopsies [18]. Although the human dataset is limited to renal transplant samples, among the 347 rat kidney modules, 5 modules had strong preservation and 125 had moderate preservation in human kidneys (**Figure 5A**, **Table S10**). Modules involved in immune response (rKID:7m, rKID:16m), cell cycle (rKID:5m) and metabolism (rKID:3m) were strongly preserved (**Figure 5D**), while several other modules representing other immune responses, metabolism, mitochondrial function, RNA processing, cell cycle and ECM remodelling/fibrosis were moderately preserved (**Table S10**). These observations are in line with the fact that a subset of the human samples were patients experiencing immune response-mediated graft rejection [18,21]. Strikingly, the cytoskeleton or injury biomarker module rKID:2m was also strongly preserved in human, showing the relevance of the biological context of the renal biomarkers that cluster in similar co-expressed modules (**Figure 5D**, **Table S10**). Other potential biomarker modules with strong pathology association were also preserved in human (**Tables 1, 2 and S10**). By contrast, some of the modules were not (or hardly) preserved (Zsum ≤ 2) in the available human dataset, including modules reflecting cellular stress responses, like ATF4 (rKID:74), oxidative stress (rKID:53, 105, 106), as well as modules annotated for ribosomal biogenesis (rKID:6m, 8m), renal development (rKID:23m, 45m), circadian regulation (rKID:33), immune response (rKID:15, 56), steroid hormone metabolism (rKID:18m, 95), and several other metabolic processes (**Table S10**).

Next, the human dataset was uploaded into the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool (after gene ortholog conversion) to see how the human responses were captured using only the preserved modules (**Figures 6 and S12, Table S13**). As expected, immune responses (rKID:7m, 16m, 63m, 113, 138, 212m 305), extracellular matrix (rKID:10m, 31m 104) and cell migration (rKID:127) modules were most strongly induced in human transplant samples and clustered together in the heatmap (**Figure S12**, cluster 3). More inter-individual variation was seen in modules involved in mitochondrial function, metabolism and transport, which grouped together in cluster 2 (**Figure S12**). Immune response and

injury biomarker modules showed on average stronger activation in patients with immune-mediated renal rejection despite some inter-individual variation, while metabolism was stronger downregulated in these patients (**Figure 6A, S13**). Similar patterns could be seen for the log2FC of hub genes and most significant genes of each of these inflammation modules (**Figure 6A, S13**). In addition, inflammation modules rKID:7m and rKID:63m showed a very strong correlation (Pearson R = 0.97) across all patient samples (**Figure 6B, left**), which was similar for most of the inflammation and cell migration modules (**Figure 6C, cluster 3**). Also, the biomarker module rKID:2m showed strong correlation (Pearson R = 0.72) with inflammation module rKID:7m (**Figure 6B, centre**). In contrast, metabolism module rKID:3m showed inverse correlation (Pearson R = -0.62) with inflammation module rKID:7m (**Figure 6B, right**) and rKID:2m, similar to responses in rat kidney. This bi-directional response was also seen for other metabolism, mitochondrial and transport modules compared to inflammation (**Figure 6C, cluster 1 vs 3**). This indicates that metabolism, mitochondrial function and transport are down regulated in patients' kidneys undergoing renal inflammation, which was also noted in rats. A large group of modules with various annotations for RNA and protein processing showed very high correlation (**Figure 6, cluster 4**), which was mainly due to downregulation of these modules in a group of patients with highest AvAbsEGS. With the presented gene co-expression approach in pre-clinical models, we could identify several modules that were preserved in human, indicating the relevance for human translation. Moreover, we showed differential gene network dynamics in human patients with rejected renal grafts of cellular processes that could be linked to immune responses, injury and biomarker expression, indicating that human and rat have similar generalized tissue responses to kidney injury and regeneration.

Discussion

In pre-clinical research a wealth of toxicogenomic data has been generated in the past decades, with the aim to investigate biological responses to drugs and to understand the mechanisms of toxicity [7]. Unfortunately, while standardized reporting approaches for bioinformatics have been proposed [48–50], a comprehensive qualitative and quantitative mechanistic interpretation framework for toxicogenomics underpinning a mechanism-based safety assessment approach is needed [7,8]. A major hurdle has been the data complexity and the inability to generalize conclusions from transcriptomics responses across different cell types, tissues and species. Methods that reduce complex transcriptomic data to networks of co-expressed genes that retain biological meaning are an attractive approach to reducing the dimensionality of transcriptomic data and facilitating interpretation [15,51], as demonstrated previously for rat liver toxicity [10–13]. Besides liver injury, kidney toxicity is also a common adverse response in both drug and chemical toxicity [1]. Therefore, methods that facilitate the extraction of mechanistic information from kidney transcriptional responses would be useful in hazard identification and risk assessment.

Herein, we used WGCNA to construct a set of 347 co-regulated gene networks (modules) from the TG-GATEs rat kidney toxicogenomics dataset and provide biological annotations for the modules allowing direct translation of gene expression data into meaningful biological conclusions. The modules are embedded in an interactive R-shiny application, called the rat kidney TXG-MAPr, that allows dose- and time-response analyses, treatment correlation and functional annotation (GO-terms, pathways and transcription factor enrichment). We demonstrated that this gene co-expression approach and analysis framework has the advantage that modules and their quantitative EGS can assist in: (1) data complexity reduction, (2) biological annotation for mechanistic interpretation, (3) pathology association, (4) biomarker discovery, and (5) translation to human relevant responses.

First, we have demonstrated that the co-expression modules could identify meaningful biological responses relevant to mechanistic investigation using cisplatin exposure as the example. Early activation of DNA-damage and p53 signalling (rKID:160) was followed by later occurrence of cellular injury and biomarker expression (rKID:2M), regeneration (rKID:5m) and inflammation (rKID:56, 63m, 212m and 327). These later responses, including activation of biomarker-containing, regeneration and inflammatory modules, were mirrored with other nephrotoxicants that had concurrent renal histopathology and clinical chemistry changes. This suggests that similarity in gene expression patterns reflects common injury-induced repair mechanisms (*e.g.*, regeneration, dedifferentiation and inflammation responses). The common injury and regeneration processes across different nephrotoxicants, as well as during ischemic injury, are compound agnostic and general tissue responses to injury and repair, where a complex interplay between renal inflammation and cellular dedifferentiation or partial EMT are contributing to renal repair [52]. However, at early stages after nephrotoxic compounds exposure there was a distinct activation of biological response networks relevant to the expected different mechanisms of injury. For example, the induction of rKID:160 and rKID:298 (the modules annotating for p53 responses) is much stronger and unique for cisplatin, carboplatin and doxorubicin, due to their genotoxic mechanism of action, compared to other nephrotoxicants, like puromycin aminonucleoside that showed an early inflammatory response. Thus, the TXG-MAPr tool also allows evaluation of molecular mechanisms and early mode-of-action of drugs and chemicals with respect to onset of nephrotoxicity. The module annotations that we provide in this

study enhance a qualitative and quantitative mechanistic interpretation of renal toxicogenomics data and capture most of the well-known biological processes.

Inflammation accompanied by metabolic re-wiring are hallmarks of acute tubular injury and the balance between injury and repair [45]. Thus, the juxtaposition of inflammation module induction and repression of metabolism and mitochondrial modules is worth noting. Repression of module rKID:3m (highly annotated for small molecular metabolism and mitochondrion (**Table S3**)) ranked among the top ten modules (based on effect size and p-adjust) for multiple pathologies (**Tables S8 and S9**). Conversely, modules rKID:7m, 63m and 327 are annotated for immune response and were among the top five ranked inducible modules associated with the presence of cellular infiltrates, *i.e.,* inflammation (**Tables 2 and S8**). Thus, strong activation in regeneration and inflammation responses impacted on cellular energy production (metabolism and mitochondrial function) in the kidney, which was also concluded previously for liver diseases [53]. The bi-directional response of these processes was also demonstrated in human patients with renal transplants (**Figure 6C**), suggesting that these injury and repair responses are also conserved between species.

In this study we have identified modules with strong statistical association with histopathology findings and biomarkers of tissue injuries. Module rKID:2m was most strongly associated with concurrent and predictive renal pathology and contained many well-known kidney injury biomarkers, including *Havcr1* (Kim-1), *Lcn2* (Lipocalin 2) *Spp1* (Osteopontin) and *Clu* (Clusterin). In addition, several immune response modules ranked highly for association with concurrent and predictive kidney injury (**Tables 2 and S9**), including modules rKID:327, rKID:56 and rKID:212m, containing hub genes that are candidate novel biomarkers such as fibrinogen (*Fga, Fgb, Fgg*), *Icam1* and ceruloplasmin (*CP*), respectively [54–57]. This suggests that novel injury biomarkers could be discovered using gene co-expression networks that are strongly associated with pathology, as was recently reported for liver and kidney [11,58]. The subset of both concurrent and predictive kidney injury-associated modules is important for chemical or drug development since aberrant transcriptional activity could indicate higher risk of developing adversity. Moreover, association of (early) WGCNA module changes that are predictive of late-stage kidney pathology is expected to impact drug candidate optimization and enable prioritization of safer compounds.

Preservation analysis is a unique feature of network-based models allowing not only the robustness and reproducibility of modules to be tested using datasets from the same experimental species, but also the likelihood of translation across species, which is an important consideration in drug or chemical hazard assessment. All TG-derived rat kidney modules had at least moderate preservation in the DM rat kidney transcriptomic data, indicating that the modules were robust and reproducible representations of biological response networks in rat kidneys. However, only 130 (37%) of the 347 rat modules were preserved (translational modules) when compared to a human renal transplantation transcriptomic dataset. Among the translational modules were those that reflect immune response, cell cycle, metabolism, mitochondrial function, RNA processing, and ECM remodelling / fibrosis modules. These biological programs are deterministic of end-stage renal disease, involving inflammatory and regenerative programs that are part of renal transplant rejection [45]. By contrast, various modules that reflect early cellular stress response programs, including ER stress activation of Atf4, Nrf2-mediated oxidative stress, as well as modules annotated for ribosomal biogenesis, renal development, circadian regulation, steroid hormone metabolism were not preserved in human. These differences are likely due to the nature of the human dataset, which only contained human transplant samples, where early injury or disease responses, including adaptive cellular stress responses, are not

captured in these late-stage human transplant disease settings [18]. Nonetheless, the fact that important toxicity-related rat kidney modules are preserved in human kidney samples suggests that the preservation approach is an attractive and valid strategy to determine the likelihood that mechanisms of renal injury observed in rat kidney will translate to human. Future preservation analysis should involve larger renal pathology transcriptomics datasets representing a broader spectrum of early and late-stage disease phenotypes, which may improve the preservation statistics and confidence which rat modules will translate to human.

In conclusion, the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool provides a user-friendly interface that enables visualization of gene expression data in the context of co-expressed modules that can be mined for the association of important biological processes in acute and chronic renal injury. The tool can be utilized for identifying possible safety liabilities and/or mechanisms that can lead to adversity, useful for chemical safety assessment. Association of early WGCNA module changes that are predictive of later kidney pathology is expected to impact lead optimization and enable prioritization of better compounds which are less likely to induce pathology. We foresee that quantitative gene co-expression modules, which are strongly associated to kidney pathology, and which translate from rat to human, could potentially be implemented in translational quantitative systems toxicology models for mechanistic evaluation and prediction of kidney injury of new chemical or drug candidates [59].

Acknowledgements

This work has received funding from the EU-EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 (IMI2) Joint Undertaking TransQST project (grant number 116030) and eTRANSAFE project (grant number 777365) (This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program and EFPIA), the EC Horizon2020 EU-ToxRisk project (grant number 681002), the EC Horizon2020 RISK-HUNT3R project (grant number 964537), the Horizon Europe PARC programme (The European Partnership for the Assessment of Risks from Chemicals, grant number 101057014) and the Virtual Human Platform for safety assessment (VHP4SAFETY) project (grant number 1292.19.272, which is part of the NWA research program 'Research along Routes by Consortia (ORC)', which is funded by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

Authors declare that this work reflects only the author's view and that the European Commission and IMI-JU are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Author contributions

S.J.K., G.C., J.J.S. and P.T. performed data analysis. S.J.K., G.C. and H.W.v.K. built the R-shiny TXG-MAPr tool. S.J.K., L.S.W., Git C., K.P., C.B., K.M.G., C.R.T., J.L.S., B.v.d.W. designed experiments. S.J.K., Git C., K.P. and K.M.G. performed experiments. G.C., J.J.S., P.T., C.P.F., J.S.R., C.B., S.A.E. and K.M.H.T. provided expertise and feedback. S.J.K., J.L.S. and B.v.d.W. conceptualized, wrote and edited the manuscript. J.L.S. and B.v.d.W. acquired research funding and supervised the study. All authors approved the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

J.S.R. reports funding from GSK, Pfizer and Sanofi and fees/honoraria from Travere Therapeutics, Stadapharm, Astex, Owkin, Pfizer and Grunenthal. P.T. is a Sanofi employee and may hold shares and/or stock options in the company. All the other authors have declared no competing interests.

Data availability

The data of PTC cultures during in vitro dedifferentiation supporting the findings of this study are openly available in repository of EMBL-EBI ArrayExpress at E-MTAB-13633:

https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/arrayexpress/studies/E-MTAB-13633

Additional data were derived from the following resources available in the public domain:

Open TG-GATEs rat in vivo kidney repository:

http://doi.org/10.18908/lsdba.nbdc00954-01-002

https://dbarchive.biosciencedbc.jp/data/open-tggates/LATEST/Rat/in_vivo/Kidney/

DrugMatrix rat in vivo kidney repository (GEO accession GSE57811):

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE57811

Rat renal ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) study (GEO accession GSE58438):

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE58438

Transcriptomics data from human kidney transplant biopsies (GEO accession GSE36059):

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE36059

References

1. Perazella MA. Drug-induced acute kidney injury: Diverse mechanisms of tubular injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2019;25(6):550-557. doi:10.1097/MCC.0000000000000653
2. Rayego-Mateos S, Marquez-Expósito L, Rodrigues-Diez R, et al. Molecular Mechanisms of Kidney Injury and Repair. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23(3):1542. doi:10.3390/ijms23031542
3. Perazella MA. Pharmacology behind common drug nephrotoxicities. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2018;13(12):1897-1908. doi:10.2215/CJN.00150118
4. Irazabal M V., Torres VE. Reactive Oxygen Species and Redox Signaling in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Cells*. 2020;9(6):1342. doi:10.3390/cells9061342
5. Yan M, Shu S, Guo C, Tang C, Dong Z. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in ischemic and nephrotoxic acute kidney injury. *Ann Med*. 2018;50(5):381-390. doi:10.1080/07853890.2018.1489142
6. McDuffie JE. Brief Overview: Assessment of Compound-induced Acute Kidney Injury Using Animal Models, Biomarkers, and In Vitro Platforms. *Toxicol Pathol*. 2018;46(8):978-990. doi:10.1177/0192623318807679
7. Vahle JL, Anderson U, Blomme EAG, Hoflack JC, Stiehl DP. Use of toxicogenomics in drug safety evaluation: Current status and an industry perspective. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 2018;96:18-29. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2018.04.011
8. Pettit S, des Etages SA, Mylecraine L, et al. Current and Future Applications of Toxicogenomics: Results Summary of a Survey from the HESI Genomics State of Science Subcommittee. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118(7):992-997. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901501
9. Ideker T, Dutkowski J, Hood L. Boosting Signal-to-Noise in Complex Biology: Prior Knowledge Is Power. *Cell*. 2011;144(6):860-863. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.03.007
10. Callegaro G, Kunnen SJ, Trairatphisan P, et al. The human hepatocyte TXG-MAPr: gene co-expression network modules to support mechanism-based risk assessment. *Arch Toxicol*. 2021;95(12):3745-3775. doi:10.1007/s00204-021-03141-w
11. Callegaro G, Schimming JP, Piñero González J, et al. Identifying multiscale translational safety biomarkers using a network-based systems approach. *iScience*. 2023;26(3):106094. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2023.106094
12. Sutherland JJ, Jolly RA, Goldstein KM, Stevens JL. Assessing Concordance of Drug-Induced Transcriptional Response in Rodent Liver and Cultured Hepatocytes. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2016;12(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004847
13. Sutherland JJ, Webster YW, Willy JA, et al. Toxicogenomic module associations with pathogenesis: a network-based approach to understanding drug toxicity. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2018;18(3):377-390. doi:10.1038/tpj.2017.17
14. Zhang B, Horvath S. A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network analysis. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol*. 2005;4(1). doi:10.2202/1544-6115.1128

15. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2008;9(1):559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

16. Igarashi Y, Nakatsu N, Yamashita T, et al. Open TG-GATEs: A large-scale toxicogenomics database. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015;43(D1):D921--D927. doi:10.1093/nar/gku955

17. Svoboda DL, Saddler T, Auerbach SS. An Overview of National Toxicology Program's Toxicogenomic Applications: DrugMatrix and ToxFX. In: Hong H., ed. Springer; 2019:141-157. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-16443-0_8

18. Reeve J, Sellarés J, Mengel M, et al. Molecular diagnosis of T cell-mediated rejection in human kidney transplant biopsies. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2013;13(3):645-655. doi:10.1111/ajt.12079

19. Dai M, Wang P, Boyd AD, et al. Evolving gene/transcript definitions significantly alter the interpretation of GeneChip data. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2005;33(20):e175-e175. doi:10.1093/nar/gni179

20. Speir RW, Stallings JD, Andrews JM, Gelnett MS, Brand TC, Salgar SK. Effects of Valproic Acid and Dexamethasone Administration on Early Bio-Markers and Gene Expression Profile in Acute Kidney Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in the Rat. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(5):e0126622. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126622

21. Halloran PF, Chang J, Famulski K, et al. Disappearance of T cell-mediated rejection despite continued antibody-mediated rejection in late kidney transplant recipients. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2015;26(7):1711-1720. doi:10.1681/ASN.2014060588

22. Brown CDA, Sayer R, Windass AS, et al. Characterisation of human tubular cell monolayers as a model of proximal tubular xenobiotic handling. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2008;233(3):428-438. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2008.09.018

23. Bajaj P, Chung G, Pye K, et al. Freshly isolated primary human proximal tubule cells as an in vitro model for the detection of renal tubular toxicity. *Toxicology*. 2020;442:152535. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2020.152535

24. Langfelder P, Luo R, Oldham MC, Horvath S. Is my network module preserved and reproducible? *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2011;7(1):1001057. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001057

25. Alexa A, Rahnenführer J. Gene set enrichment analysis with topGO. 2007;R package:27.

26. Kamburov A, Stelzl U, Lehrach H, Herwig R. The ConsensusPathDB interaction database: 2013 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013;41(D1). doi:10.1093/nar/gks1055

27. Garcia-Alonso L, Holland CH, Ibrahim MM, Turei D, Saez-Rodriguez J. Benchmark and integration of resources for the estimation of human transcription factor activities. *Genome Res*. 2019;29(8):1363-1375. doi:10.1101/gr.240663.118

28. Alvarez MJ, Shen Y, Giorgi FM, et al. Functional characterization of somatic mutations in cancer using network-based inference of protein activity. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(8):838-847. doi:10.1038/ng.3593

29. Manohar S, Leung N. Cisplatin nephrotoxicity: a review of the literature. *J Nephrol*. 2018;31(1):15-25. doi:10.1007/s40620-017-0392-z

30. McWilliam SJ, Wright RD, Welsh GI, et al. The complex interplay between kidney injury and inflammation. *Clin Kidney J*. 2021;14(3):780-788. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfaa164

31. Rajan V, Mitch WE. Ubiquitin, proteasomes and proteolytic mechanisms activated by kidney disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis*. 2008;1782(12):795-799. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2008.07.007

32. Ichii O, Otsuka-Kanazawa S, Nakamura T, et al. Podocyte Injury Caused by Indoxyl Sulfate, a Uremic Toxin and Aryl-Hydrocarbon Receptor Ligand. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9):e108448. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108448

33. Grgic I, Hofmeister AF, Genovese G, et al. Discovery of new glomerular disease–relevant genes by translational profiling of podocytes in vivo. *Kidney Int*. 2014;86(6):1116-1129. doi:10.1038/ki.2014.204

34. Han WK, Bailly V, Abichandani R, Thadhani R, Bonventre J V. Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1): A novel biomarker for human renal proximal tubule injury. *Kidney Int*. 2002;62(1):237-244. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.00433.x

35. Dieterle F, Sistare F, Goodsaid F, et al. Renal biomarker qualification submission: a dialog between the FDA-EMEA and Predictive Safety Testing Consortium. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2010;28(5):455-462. doi:10.1038/nbt.1625

36. Wen Y, Parikh CR. Current concepts and advances in biomarkers of acute kidney injury. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 2021;58(5):354-368. doi:10.1080/10408363.2021.1879000

37. Teo SH, Endre ZH. Biomarkers in acute kidney injury (AKI). *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2017;31(3):331-344. doi:10.1016/j.bpa.2017.10.003

38. Zhang WR, Parikh CR. Biomarkers of Acute and Chronic Kidney Disease. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2019;81(1):309-333. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-020518-114605

39. Srisawat N, Kellum JA. The Role of Biomarkers in Acute Kidney Injury. *Crit Care Clin*. 2020;36(1):125-140. doi:10.1016/j.ccc.2019.08.010

40. Vaidya VS, Ferguson MA, Bonventre J V. Biomarkers of Acute Kidney Injury. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2008;48(1):463-493. doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.48.113006.094615

41. Sieber M, Hoffmann D, Adler M, et al. Comparative Analysis of Novel Noninvasive Renal Biomarkers and Metabonomic Changes in a Rat Model of Gentamicin Nephrotoxicity. *Toxicological Sciences*. 2009;109(2):336-349. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfp070

42. Huang H, Lin Q, Dai X, et al. Derivation and validation of urinary TIMP-1 for the prediction of acute kidney injury and mortality in critically ill children. *J Transl Med*. 2022;20(1):102. doi:10.1186/s12967-022-03302-0

43. Tummalapalli L, Nadkarni GN, Coca SG. Biomarkers for predicting outcomes in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2016;25(6):480-486. doi:10.1097/MNH.0000000000000275

44. Petrovic-Djergovic D, Popovic M, Chittiprol S, Cortado H, Ransom RF, Partida-Sánchez S. CXCL10 induces the recruitment of monocyte-derived macrophages into kidney, which aggravate puromycin aminonucleoside nephrosis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2015;180(2):305-315. doi:10.1111/cei.12579

45. Tammaro A, Kers J, Scantlebery AML, Florquin S. Metabolic Flexibility and Innate Immunity in Renal Ischemia Reperfusion Injury: The Fine Balance Between Adaptive Repair and Tissue Degeneration. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01346

46. Torsello B, De Marco S, Bombelli S, et al. High glucose induces an activated state of partial epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human primary tubular cell cultures. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(2):e0279655. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0279655

47. De Chiara L, Crean J. Emerging Transcriptional Mechanisms in the Regulation of Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition and Cellular Plasticity in the Kidney. *J Clin Med*. 2016;5(1):6. doi:10.3390/jcm5010006

48. Bourdon-Lacombe JA, Moffat ID, Deveau M, et al. Technical guide for applications of gene expression profiling in human health risk assessment of environmental chemicals. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 2015;72(2):292-309. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.04.010

49. Alexander-Dann B, Pruteanu LL, Oerton E, et al. Developments in toxicogenomics: understanding and predicting compound-induced toxicity from gene expression data. *Mol Omics*. 2018;14(4):218-236. doi:10.1039/C8MO00042E

50. Harrill JA, Viant MR, Yauk CL, et al. Progress towards an OECD reporting framework for transcriptomics and metabolomics in regulatory toxicology. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 2021;125:105020. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.105020

51. van Dam S, Võsa U, van der Graaf A, Franke L, de Magalhães JP. Gene co-expression analysis for functional classification and gene-disease predictions. *Brief Bioinform*. 2018;19(4):575-592. doi:10.1093/bib/bbw139

52. Kumar S. Cellular and molecular pathways of renal repair after acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int*. 2018;93(1):27-40. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2017.07.030

53. Campos G, Schmidt-Heck W, De Smedt J, et al. Inflammation-associated suppression of metabolic gene networks in acute and chronic liver disease. *Arch Toxicol*. 2020;94(1):205-217. doi:10.1007/s00204-019-02630-3

54. Gudehithlu KP, Hart P, Joshi A, et al. Urine exosomal ceruloplasmin: a potential early biomarker of underlying kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 2019;23(8):1013-1021. doi:10.1007/s10157-019-01734-5

55. Hoffmann D, Bijol V, Krishnamoorthy A, et al. Fibrinogen Excretion in the Urine and Immunoreactivity in the Kidney Serves as a Translational Biomarker for Acute Kidney Injury. *Am J Pathol*. 2012;181(3):818-828. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.06.004

56. Arrizabalaga P, Solé M, Abellana R, et al. Tubular and Interstitial Expression of ICAM-1 as a Marker of Renal Injury in IgA Nephropathy. *Am J Nephrol*. 2003;23(3):121-128. doi:10.1159/000068920

57. Stern EP, Unwin R, Burns A, Ong VH, Denton CP. Exploring molecular pathology of chronic kidney disease in systemic sclerosis by analysis of urinary and serum proteins. *Rheumatol Adv Pract*. 2021;5(1). doi:10.1093/rap/rkaa083

58. Wei J, Zhang J, Wei J, et al. Identification of AGXT2, SHMT1, and ACO2 as important biomarkers of acute kidney injury by WGCNA. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(2):e0281439. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0281439

59. Ferreira S, Fisher C, Furlong LI, et al. Quantitative Systems Toxicology Modeling To Address Key Safety Questions in Drug Development: A Focus of the TransQST Consortium. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2020;33(1):7-9. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00499

Figure legends

Figure 1. Overview of the rat kidney TXG-MAPr tool. (A) TXG-MAPr dendrograms showing WGCNA module eigengene scores (EGS) of daily repeated 1 mg/kg/day cisplatin time course exposure from 4 - 29 days. The size of the circles is proportional to the module EGS, and the red/orange colours indicate induction of the module, while blue/green indicates repression of the module. (B) Modules rKID:160, rKID:298 and rKID:2m (left) are strongly induced by high dose cisplatin exposure at 29 days, which is further displayed in cisplatin time response plots of the module EGS (middle) and the log2FC (right) of the most significant genes, including the most hub-like gene (black). (C) KEGG pathway and transcription factor (TF) enrichment (p-value) for p53 signalling or TP53 projected on the TXG-MAP dendrogram, with rKID:160 and rKID:298 highlighted. (D) Module EGS correlation plot of rKID:298 and rKID:160 for all treatment conditions (left) and correlation between 12 mg/kg/day puromycin aminonucleoside and 1 mg/kg/day cisplatin at 15 days for all modules (right).

Figure 2. Module association with pathology. Strong Pearson correlation between different pathologies based on Cohen's D effect size (A) and signed log10 adjusted p-value (B). This indicates that the same modules are associated with multiple pathologies, which could be the results of co-occurring pathologies (cluster 1 Figure A). Cluster 1 from figure A could be separated in 2 clusters (B), when controlling for module EGS using signed log10 adjusted p-values. (C) TXG-MAPr dendrograms showing module association with different toxicity phenotypes based on the signed log10 adjusted p-value. Red colour indicates that the module EGS positively correlates with the selected pathology (module induced with pathology present), while blue means a negative correlation (module repressed with pathology present). The size of the circles is proportional to the -log10 adjusted p-value. For the branches with the strongest pathology associations, the general enrichment score is provided based on ORA of all genes in the branch. (D-E) Heatmap of signed log10 p-adjust of concurrent (D) and 4-day predictive (E) module associations with pathology. Red colour indicates that the module EGS positively correlates with the selected pathology, while blue means a negative correlation (*i.e.,* module is repressed when the pathology is present). Hierarchical clustering was applied on rows and columns to cluster both modules (rows) and toxicity phenotypes (columns) with similar association scores together. For concurrent associations, modules from clusters 1 and 2 were selected for strongest negative and positive correlation with toxicity, respectively. Module shows highest statistical association with concurrent toxicity phenotypes (see bottom pathology cluster II), which were selected to look at the mean effect sizes and p-values (**Table S9**). For predictive associations, the 4 days show the highest statistical significance, where modules from clusters 2 and 7 were selected for strongest negative and positive correlation with toxicity, respectively. Heatmaps are clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure 3. Gene expression responses during nephrotoxic treatments. (A) Expression of genes of selected modules with high pathology association is induced during nephrotoxic treatment conditions. (B) The most prevalent renal pathologies induced by nephrotoxic treatments. (C) Serum biomarker increases during nephrotoxic treatments versus controls (percentage increase, red line indicates 100% or 2-fold increase). APL = allopurinol, CSA = cyclosporine A, CSP = cisplatin, GMC = gentamicin, LS = lomustine, NPAA = phenylanthranilic acid, PAN = puromycin aminonucleoside, TRI = triamterene.

Figure 4. Correlation of ischemic injury and PTC dedifferentiation with nephrotoxic treatment conditions. (A) Cluster correlation plot for transcriptomic responses to nephrotoxic compounds, ischemic injury and primary PTC cultures, which shows strong Pearson R correlation between module EGS at time points when there is concurrent pathology (cluster II in black box), while early allopurinol (cluster I), cisplatin (cluster III) and puromycin aminonucleoside (cluster IV) responses are more distinct. Left heatmap displays the percent increase of serum injury biomarkers (BUN and CRE) compared to control (purple) and histopathology grades of tubule necrosis and regeneration (blue). Heatmap is clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package. (B) Examples of correlation plots of responses during renal injury, showing high correlation between nephrotoxic treatments (APL = allopurinol), ischemic injury and PTC dedifferentiation.

Figure 5. Module preservation. (A) Number of preserved modules between TG-GATEs (TG) rat kidney and other datasets (DrugMatrix (DM) rat kidney, TG rat liver and human kidney). TG kidney dataset was used as a control to check if all modules are preserved. Z-summary > 2 indicate moderate module preservation and Z-summary > 10 indicate high module preservation. (B) Strong correlation between TG and DM preserved modules indicated by Z-summary scores for both comparisons, displayed on the axis as a log scale. Specific modules are highlighted that are strongly preserved in both datasets. (C) Comparison of rat kidney and liver Z-summary scores displayed on the axis as a log scale. Several modules are strongly preserved in both kidney and liver datasets, indicating that these processed have similar co-expression networks between the organs. Various kidney specific processes are only preserved in the kidney, but not in the liver (left of the red dashed line), including modules rKID:23m (kidney development), steroid metabolism (rKID:18m and 95) and Golgi function (rKID:109). (D) Comparison of rat kidney and human kidney Z-summary scores displayed on the axis as a log scale. Correlation plot of TG rat kidney modules preserved in DM kidney versus human kidney data, indicating that several modules are also preserved in human (rKID:2m, 3m, 5m, 7m, 10m, 16m and 42m amongst others), but others lack preservation in human (rKID:15 amongst others). Dashed red line indicates low/moderate module preservation threshold (Zsum > 2) and dashed green line indicates strong module preservation threshold (Zsum > 10). See **Table S10** for more details.

Figure 6. Human preservation and network dynamics. (A) Box plot for the different human transplant groups showing the module EGS (left) and the log2 fold change of the hub gene (second column) and most significant genes of inflammation module rKID:7m. The groups are indicated by rejection type: non-rejecting, ABMR = antibody mediated rejection, TCMR = T-cell mediated rejection, MIXED = both antibody and T-cell mediated rejection. Significant differences between groups were calculated using a Wilcoxon test. Significant gene log2 fold changes of individual patients were indicated in blue ($p < 0.05$). (B) Module EGS correlation plot of inflammation modules rKID:7m vs rKID:63m (left), inflammation module rKID:7m vs injury biomarker module rKID:2m (centre) and inflammation rKID:7m vs metabolism rKID:3m modules. Strong correlation is shown between the two inflammation and injury biomarker modules, while there is inverse correlation between the inflammation module rKID:7m and the metabolism module rKID:3m. (C) Cluster correlation heatmap (based on Pearson correlation of module EGS) of the preserved modules in human with a EGS > 2. There is strong clustering of the inflammation, ECM and cell migration modules (cluster 3) and cellular stress or injury related modules (cluster 4). The mitochondrial function, metabolism and transport modules cluster together as well

(cluster 1) but show anti correlation with the inflammation and stress / injury modules. Heatmap is clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure S1. TXG-MAPr dendrograms. TXG-MAPr dendrograms showing Ward's hierarchical clustering of the modules (nodes) in a linear (left) and circular (right) hierarchical tree. The dendrogram was cut in different branches (red dashed line) and the branch annotation is provided (*i.e.*, A1aIαi).

Figure S2. Module TXG-MAPr dendrograms for cisplatin. TXG-MAPr dendrograms showing WGCNA module eigengene scores (EGS) of the cisplatin treatment at all timepoints and dose levels. The size and colour of the circles is proportional to the module EGS, and the red/orange colours indicate induction of the module, while blue/green indicates repression of the module.

Figure S3. Gene and module responses during nephrotoxic treatment conditions. Module EGs (A) and gene log2 FC (B) of modules showing clear induction during nephrotoxic treatment conditions. (C) Module circle plot with the (top 25) gene members. The colour is proportional to the gene log2 FC for cisplatin treatment at 29 days, with red/orange colours indicating induction of the gene and blue/green indicating repression of the gene. APL = allopurinol, CSP = cisplatin, PAN = puromycin aminonucleoside. Key annotation of the modules is provided.

Figure S4. Module association with pathology. TXG-MAPr dendrogram indicating module association for different pathologies with a signed log10 adjusted p-value. Red colour indicates that the module EGS positively correlates with the selected pathology, while blue means a negative correlation (*i.e.,* module is repressed when the pathology is present).

Figure S5. Heatmap of the module association with pathology. Heatmap of signed log10 p-adjust of concurrent (A) and predictive (B) module associations with pathology. Red colour indicates that the module EGS positively correlates with the selected pathology, while blue means a negative correlation (*i.e.,* module is repressed when the pathology is present). Hierarchical clustering was applied on rows and columns to cluster both modules (rows) and toxicity phenotypes (columns), with similar association scores together. For concurrent associations, modules from clusters 1 and 2 were selected for strongest negative and positive correlation with toxicity, respectively. Module shows highest statistical association with concurrent toxicity phenotypes from cluster A, which were selected to look at the mean effect sizes and p-values (**Table S9**). For predictive associations, the 4 days show the highest statistical significance, where modules from clusters 2 and 7 were selected for strongest negative and positive correlation with toxicity, respectively. Modules in clusters 1 and 3 show the best association with 1-day predictive pathology. Heatmaps are clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure S6. Statistics of the predictive module associations with pathology. Box plots showing the median and quantiles of statistical parameters (effect size and log10 p-adjust) for predictive module

association with pathology at different timepoints (x-axis). The predictive module association with toxicity showed on average higher effect sizes and p-values with increasing time. (A) Effect sizes are coloured for significant p-adjust values ($p < 0.05$). (B) Absolute log10 p-adjust values are coloured for significant effect sizes (Cohen's D > 0.8). Red line also indicates the significant effect sizes (A) and adjusted p-values (B), showing several modules with significant effect size and p-adjust (blue colour).

Figure S7. Module TXG-MAPr dendrograms for nephrotoxic compounds. TXG-MAPr dendrograms showing WGCNA module eigengene scores (EGS) of the nephrotoxic compounds at different timepoints and high dose levels. The size and colour of the circles is proportional to the module EGS, and the red/orange colours indicate induction of the module, while blue/green indicates repression of the module.

Figure S8. Heatmap of module EGS for eight nephrotoxic compounds. (A) Heatmap shows clustering of induced and repressed modules for the nephrotoxic drugs based on the module eigengene score. The colour is proportional to the module EGS, and the red/orange colours indicate induction of the module, while blue/green indicates repression of the module. (B) Heatmap on the bottom shows the histopathology scores (ranging from 0 = none to 4 = severe) for the different treatment conditions. Heatmap is clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure S9. Treatment correlation of module EGS. (A) Correlation plots of module EGS responses during cisplatin (CSP) and puromycin aminonucleoside (PAN) treatments at the same timepoints. Pearson R value is provided in the plot title. (B) Cluster correlation plot for nephrotoxic compounds shows strong Pearson R correlation between module EGS at time points when there is concurrent pathology (top left cluster in black box). Heatmap is clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package. Left heatmap displays the percent increase of serum injury biomarkers (BUN and creatinine) compared to control (purple) and histopathology grades of the most prevalent kidney pathologies (blue), *e.g.,* necrosis, regeneration, dilatation, hyaline cast, cellular infiltration and fibrosis.

Figure S10. Correlation of ischemic reperfusion injury and primary PTC cultures. (A) Correlation plots of module EGS during ischemic injury and nephrotoxic treatments cisplatin (CSP) and allopurinol (APL). (B) Correlation plots of module EGS during ischemic injury with valproic acid (VPA) or vehicle control (NaCl) pre-treatment. (C) Correlation plots of module EGS during PTC dedifferentiation and most similar nephrotoxic (APL, CSP and PAN) treatment conditions.

Figure S11. Module responses of ischemic reperfusion injury and primary PTC cultures. Heatmap of the strongest module EGS responses during ischemic injury and primary PTC dedifferentiation. Heatmap is clustered by Euclidean distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure S12. Heatmap of the modules EGS responses in human kidney samples. Module EGS of human transplant samples (columns) are shown in a heatmap for the preserved modules (rows) in human with

a EGS > 2 or EGS < -2. Heatmap is clustered by correlation distance, using the complete method from *pheatmap* package.

Figure S13. Module and gene dynamics in human renal transplant samples. Box plot for the different human transplant groups showing the module EGS (left) and the log2 fold change of the hub gene (second column) and most significant genes of each module. The groups are indicated by rejection type: non-rejecting, ABMR = antibody mediated rejection, TCMR = T-cell mediated rejection, MIXED = both antibody and T-cell mediated rejection. Significant differences between groups were calculated using a Wilcoxon test. Gene log2 FC are coloured by significance (blue colour with p-adjust < 0.05).

Figure 1


A
CISPLATIN – 4 day – HI – 1 mg/kg
CISPLATIN – 8 day – HI – 1 mg/kg
CISPLATIN – 15 day – HI – 1 mg/kg
CISPLATIN – 29 day – HI – 1 mg/kg
module EGS
B
rKIDNEY:160 module EGS: 13.726
rKIDNEY:298 module EGS: 14.12
rKIDNEY:2m module EGS: 12.026
SINGLE
3 mg/kg
REPEATED
1 mg/kg
rKIDNEY:160
rKIDNEY:298
rKIDNEY:2m
module eigengene score
gene log2FC
Time (days)
Gene_symbol
Mdm2
Phlda3
Ccng1
Pvt1
Plk2
Cdkn1a
Glrx3
Thyn1
Nudt5
Mgmt
Nudt11
Atp6v1d
Timp1
Tubb6
Havcr1
Pkib
Itgb4
Gpnmb
C
KEGG: p53 signaling pathway
TF enrichment: TP53
rKID:160
rKID:298
p-value
D
module EGs correlation = 0.77
module EGs correlation = 0.78
CISPLATIN
PUROMYCIN
DOXORUBICIN
module rKIDNEY:298
module rKIDNEY:160
rKID:142m
rKID:5m
rKID:2m
rKID:327
rKID:56
rKID:63m
rKID:298
rKID:160
PUROMYCIN AN – 15day – 12mg/kg
CISPLATIN – 15day – 1 mg/kg

Figure 2


A
Cohen's D effect size
Pearson R
B
Signed log10 p-adjust
Pearson R
C
Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule >= 0.67
Regeneration / Basophilic change, Tubule >= 0.67
Cellular infiltration >= 0.67
signed log10 p-adj
Branch H1: Metabolism / stress / cytokine
Branch I: Mitochondrion / respiration
Branch F2: Cell cycle / p53
Branch A2: Immune response
Branch F1: Stress response / ER
Branch D2a: RNA processing / splicing
Branch B2: ECM / adhesion
D
concurrent
TIME
THRESHOLD
NEPHRON_REGION
PATHOLOGY
Signed log10 p-adj
I
II
E
4 day predictive
Signed log10 p-adj
TIME
THRESHOLD
NEPHRON_REGION
PATHOLOGY

Figure 3


A
APL
CSA
CSP
GMC
LS
NPAA
PAN
TRI
REPEATED
150 mg/kg
100 mg/kg
1 mg/kg
6 mg/kg
1000 mg/kg
12 mg/kg
rKID:56
rKID:63m
rKID:212m
rKID:327
rKID:10m
rKID:29
rKID:64m
rKID:142m
gene log2FC
Time (days)
Significant
NO
YES
Gene_symbol
Osmr
Sbno2
Nfkbiz
Rnd1
Cxcl1
Adamts4
rKIDNEY:56
Inflammation
C1qb
Ms4a6a
Arl11
MGC105649
Lilrb4
Cxcr4
rKIDNEY:63m
Inflammation
Cp
Cygb
Sfrp1
C7
Mgp
Efemp2
rKIDNEY:212m
Inflammation
Fgb
Fgg
Fga
Cfi
Lbp
Tf
rKIDNEY:327
Inflammation
Fn1
Sparc
Flna
Ccdc80
Serpinh1
Pea15
rKIDNEY:10m
ECM remodel
Btg2
Atf3
Ier3
Rgs1
Klf4
Gdf15
rKIDNEY:29
Transcription
Klf6
Myc
Serpine1
Cebpd
Tgif1
Ifrd1
rKIDNEY:64m
Transcription
Ywhah
Ddx39a
Ctps1
Ywhag
Nop56
Id4
rKIDNEY:142m
Cytoskeleton
B
Pathology Score
Pathology
Cellular infiltration
Dilatation, Tubule
Fibrosis, Interstitium
Necrosis / Degeneration, Tubule
Regeneration, Tubule
C
Percent change
Biomarker
BUN_percent_increase
CRE_percent_increase

Figure 4



A
Necrosis_Tubule
Regeneration_Tubule
BUN_percent_increase
CRE_percent_increase
TREATMENT
TIME
DOSE
I
II
III
IV
PEARSON R
TREATMENT
ALLOPURINOL
CISPLATIN
GENTAMICIN
PUROMYCIN AMINONUCLEOSIDE
Ischemia.45min
PTC.differentiation
TIME
DOSE
CRE_percent_increase
BUN_percent_increase
Histopathology
B
module EGs correlation = 0.8
module EGs correlation = 0.79
module EGs correlation = 0.8
module EGs correlation = 0.41
module EGs correlation = 0.83
module EGs correlation = 0.7
Ischemia.45min_0.125day_NaCl
APL_0.375day_HI
Ischemia.45min_1day_NaCl
APL_1day_HI
Ischemia.45min_5day_NaCl
APL_8day_HI
PTC.differentiation_0day_Kidney
PTC.differentiation_1day_Kidney
PTC.differentiation_5day_Kidney

Figure 5


A
count
Preservation
No
Moderate
High
Comparison
TG_KIDNEY
DM_KIDNEY
TG_LIVER
HUMAN_KIDNEY
B
log Z-summary TG_KIDNEYvs.DM_KIDNEY
log Z-summary TG_KIDNEY.vs.TG_KIDNEY
KIDNEY:5m
KIDNEY:1
KIDNEY:7m
KIDNEY:2m
KIDNEY:3m
KIDNEY:16m
C
log Z-summary TG_KIDNEY.vs.TG_LIVER
KIDNEY:18m
KIDNEY:23m
KIDNEY:109
KIDNEY:95
KIDNEY:6m
D
log Z-summary TG_KIDNEY.vs.HUMAN_KIDNEY
rKID:5m
rKID:1
rKID:3m
rKID:2m
rKID:15
rKID:7m
rKID:16m
rKID:10m
rKID:13
rKID:42m
rKID:63m
rKID:34m

Figure 6


A
module
hub gene
highest log2FC
most significant sample
module rKID:7m
PTPRC − module rKID:7m
CXCL9 − module rKID:7m
BCL2A1 − module rKID:7m
Module EGS
Gene log2 FC
non−rejecting
MIXED
ABMR
TCMR
Significant
NO
YES
B
module EGs correlation = 0.97
module EGs correlation = 0.72
module EGs correlation = −0.62
rKID:63m − Immune response
rKID:2m − Cytoskeleton; injury biomarkers
rKID:3m − Metabolism
rKID:7m − Immune response; leukocyte
Rejection
non−rejecting
MIXED
ABMR
TCMR
C
Pearson R
Annotation
Cell migration
Cytoskeleton
Development
Extracellular matrix
Immune response
Lysosome
Metabolism
Mitochondrion
NA
Nucleus
Other
Proteasome
Protein processing
Ribosome biogenesis
RNA processing
Signaling
Stress response
Transcription
Transport
1
2
3
4