Acoustic inspired brain-to-sentence decoder for logosyllabic language

Chen Feng[1,2,3,4,*], Lu Cao[1,*], Di Wu[1,2,*], En Zhang[5], Ting Wang[6,7], Xiaowei Jiang[8],

Chenhao Zhou[9], Jinbo Chen[1,2], Hui Wu[1,2], Siyu Lin[1,2], Qiming Hou[1,2],

Chin-Teng Lin[8], Junming Zhu[4,#], Jie Yang[1,2,#], Mohamad Sawan[1,2,#], Yue Zhang[1,#]

[1]School of Engineering, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China

[2]Center of Excellence in Biomedical Research on Advanced Integrated-on-chips Neurotechnologies (CenBRAIN), School of Engineering, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

[3]Qiushi Academy for Advanced Studies (QAAS), Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

[4]Department of Neurosurgery, Zhejiang University School of Medicine Second Affiliated Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China

[5]State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

[6]School of Foreign Languages, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

[7]Center for Speech and Language Processing, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

[8]Australian AI Institute, School of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia

[9]Department of Psychology, New York University, State of New York, USA

[*] These authors contributed equally to the work.

[#]Corresponding authors.

Abstract

Many severe neurological diseases, such as stroke and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, can lead to patients completely losing their ability to verbal communicate[1,2]. Recent advances in brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) have shown promise in restoring communication abilities by decoding neural signals related to speech or motor activities[3]. Despite these advances, the development of BCIs has predominantly focused on alphabetic languages, leaving a significant gap for speakers of logosyllabic languages such as Mandarin Chinese. Here, we established a speech decoding BCI designed for Mandarin Chinese, decoding speech-related stereoelectroencephalography (sEEG) signals into coherent sentences. We leveraged the unique acoustic features of Mandarin Chinese syllables, constructing prediction models for syllable components (initials, tones, and finals). We employed a language model to integrate these elements with semantic context, resulting in the most probable sentence. This method constructed a high-performance decoder with a median character accuracy of 71.00%, demonstrating exciting implication for clinical application. Our research provides an efficient scheme of speech decoding BCI for logosyllabic languages, offering new insights for future language neuroprosthesis studies.

Main

Previous BCIs effort has demonstrated the potential to restore communication for speech-impaired patients, particularly within the scope of alphabetic linguistic

systems, via establishing a mapping between text output and different activities

related brain signals, such as hand-writing[4], spelling[5-7], typing[8,9], and speech[10-13]. In

contrast to the alphabetic languages, where words are constructed from combinations

of limited size alphabets (26 for English), logosyllabic languages employ logographic

characters to represent either entire words or single morphemes, which cannot be

typed or spelled out directly. Moreover, logosyllabic languages such as Mandarin

Chinese have an extensive inventory of over 50,000 logographic characters[14,15], each

with its unique, complex glyph. For example, the English word "cat" is represented by

a single character "猫" in Mandarin, and "hot" is denoted as " ▪ ". This complexity

renders the decoding of handwriting via BCIs exceedingly challenging for such

languages. The detachment of Mandarin pronunciation from its glyphs simplifies

speech decoding from brain signals, offering a viable solution to bypass the curse of

logographic systems via decoding the pronunciation of characters.

To this end, we utilize *Pinyin*[16], a widely adopted phonetic text input system based on

the Latin alphabet, to design a neuroprosthesis for Mandarin speech decoding. *Pinyin*

has been developed to facilitate literacy and serve as a bridge between Mandarin

pronunciation and characters[17]. *Pinyin* adopts a one-to-many mapping from a single

combination of initials, finals, and tones to multiple Mandarin Chinese characters[18].

For instance, the combination of initial 't' ([t□]), final 'a' ([a]) and a high-level tone

(Tone 1) can be mapped to both '他' (tā, [t□a], he) and '她' (tā, [t□a], she). The total

number of *Pinyin* syllables corresponding to all Mandarin Chinese characters

(excluding variations in tone), amounts to approximately 400. Mandarin Chinese, as a

tonal language, introduces additional intricacy to speech decoding since the

alterations in a word's tone (pitch variation at the syllable level) can lead to a

significant shift in its semantic meaning. Due to the one-to-many mapping mechanism

of *Pinyin* and the tonal nature of Mandarin Chinese, the surrounding context plays a

pivotal role in selecting the correct character among homophones.

In this study, we propose a Mandarin Chinese BCI system that achieves the

conversion of speech-related brain signals into Chinese sentences by decoding the

pronunciation of full-vocabulary *Pinyin* syllables. This system comprises three

specially designed syllable prediction models (for the initial, tone, and final

components of a syllable, respectively) and a language model. The syllable prediction

models can identify the pronunciation syllables corresponding to brain signals, while

the language model, incorporating context and semantics, converts syllable sequence

into a complete sentence with practical meanings.

Most previous studies on language prosthesis use Electrocorticography (ECoG)

electrodes[6,11,13,19-21] or Utah array electrodes[4,10,22] to acquire cortical signals. However,

some studies show that the subcortical signals have potential to enhance the

effectiveness of decoder[23,24]. To better validate our Mandarin Chinese BCI system, the

current study utilized stereo-EEG to capture both cortical and subcortical neural

signals simultaneously from four participants (two males and two females, all young

native Mandarin speakers) undergoing intracranial monitoring for epilepsy (Fig. 1a).

Participants were instructed to pronounce 407 monosyllabic characters, which correspond to 407 distinct *Pinyin* syllables (the upper panel in Fig. 1b). These 407 syllables are specially designed to contain all the initial and final pairs, so the pronunciation of almost all Chinese characters is included. Additionally, they read 100 Mandarin words and sentences, varying in length from two to more than ten characters (the lower panel of Fig. 1b).

Result

Fig. 1 | The pipeline and performance of the brain-to-sentence decoder designed for logosyllabic language decoding. a, After the electrodes were implanted, participants took part in the study on the wheelchair, speaking aloud the content displayed on a screen. Audio data was collected using a directional microphone, and

neural signals were simultaneously recorded using a multi-channel electrophysiological recording system. **b,** Two tasks designed for collecting training used data (upper panel, 407 *Pinyin* syllables cover almost all Mandarin Chinese characters) and evaluating used data (lower panel, 100 words and sentences ranging from 2 to over 10 characters in length). **c,** The distribution of selected decoding channels across participants is illustrated on the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template brain. Each participant's channels are denoted by distinct colors, with directional indicators provided for clarity. **d,** A three-phase decoder, including channel selection, syllable elements prediction and language model correction, is designed to output whole Mandarin Chinese sentences by decoding speech related sEEG signals. **e,** The distribution of decoding accuracy of 100 test samples (Data from participant 1). Outlines are kernel density estimates of the distributions. **f,** The decoding accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of all evaluating samples, which is the average of the proportion of correct characters in each sample. **g,** The character-level accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of words and sentences composed of different lengths. **h,** The comparison of decoding accuracy of characters appeared in the training reading corpus (Included) and those absent (Excluded) with the number of correctly decoded characters displayed on the corresponding bar. The significance was calculated by bootstrapping.

Overview of decoder design. For each participant, we used signal from the selected channels associated with speech and excluded those located in visual cortex and white

matter (Fig. 1c). A three-phase (channel selection, syllable elements prediction and

language model) decoder were proposed for decoding the neural signal to the

complete words and sentences (Fig. 1d). During the syllable elements prediction

phase, three convolutional neural networks (CNNs) were trained to extract features

representing the initials, tones and finals from the preprocessed sEEG signal segments

of the syllables being spoken. For each syllable, we aligned the tone and final features

with acoustic features extracted from the corresponding audio signal using

neural-audio regularization (NAR). Initial features were mapped into articulatory

features, with their relationships further refined by a graph neural network (GNN). In

the evaluating process of decoding complete words and sentences, we used the above

three trained models to predict initial, tone, and final syllable by syllable, and finally

formed a probability sequence. In the third phase, a language model was established

to output the most probable sentence based on the probability sequence of predicted

elements of all syllables. The language model was trained on encyclopedia-style

question-answer dialogues retrieved from Common Crawl. The probability sequence

of top 3 initials, top 3 tones and the leading final cluster (one final cluster contains

several finals with similar acoustic characteristics) form the output of the three

syllable element prediction models. Furthermore, the language model is capable of

translating *Pinyin* sequences into Mandarin sentences, correcting errors in syllable

element predictions, and enhancing the overall performance of sentence outputs.

Performance of the brain-to-sentence decoder. To fully illustrate the decoding

capability of this system in the natural Mandarin environment, we randomly selected

words or sentences for daily use ranging in length from 2 characters to more than 10

characters, taking 10 of each length for a total of 100 test samples. First, we analyzed

the distribution of the average character error rate for each sentence (Fig. 1e).

Supplementary Tab. 1 presents examples of decoded sentences across various

character error rate levels. For participant 1, the median character accuracy is 71.00%,

with 30.00% of the decoded sentences being completely accurate (Fig. 1f). The

decoded sentences exhibit a low error rate and high intelligibility, making them both

meaningful and viable for practical applications.

We calculated the character-level decoding accuracy and found that the average

accuracies for the four participants were 66.62%, 51.37%, 46.34%, and 47.56%,

respectively (Fig. 1g). Notably, sentences of moderate length (about 4-8 characters)

yielded higher accuracies. Conversely, the accuracy for decoding shorter phrases or

words of 2-3 characters was lower, primarily due to the lack of sufficient linguistic

context. This limitation hindered the model's ability to distinguish between words or

phrases with identical pronunciations but different meanings, such as '前沿' and '前

言', with the same *Pinyin* syllable (qián yán) and different meanings (frontier and

preface, respectively). We verify that the generalization performance of the model is

strong, and it can solve the parts not covered by the training data in tens of thousands

of Chinese characters (Fig. 1h), which also highlights the effectiveness of our acoustic

inspired decoding strategy.

Fig 2. | Design motivation and performance of initial prediction model. a,
Articulatory descriptions of the initials 't' and 'm' characterize acoustic-phonetic
features (on the left). Within the dynamic articulation process, the gray section
denotes the occlusive phase of articulation, while the blue section indicates the release
phase. The multiple acoustic-phonetic features are combined to describe these two
phonemes (on the right). **b,** The correlation between specific initials and the average
of their corresponding category is visualized through a series of heat maps, where the
color representing each initial is matched to the color of its category. **c,** Prediction
accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of four initial features for four participants. **d,** The initial
prediction model utilizes a Graph Neural Network (GNN) to integrate various
acoustic-phonetic features. This Articulation Attribute Integration (AAI) approach
effectively captures the complex interactions of voicing features to accurately map
neural signals onto these feature spaces. **e,** The prediction accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of

initial was measured for four participants (AAI) and compared with scenarios where

acoustic-phonetic feature labels were shuffled (CNN + Shuffled) and against the

performance obtained from the support vector machine (SVM). **f,** The probabilities

(mean ± s.e.m.) of the correct initial being included in the top 1, top 2, and top 3

probability sequence.

Design and performance of initial prediction model. In the Mandarin Chinese

Pinyin system, the initial consonant is termed initials, and there are a total of 21

distinct initials. The articulation of initials is produced by overcoming the obstruction

of airflow as it passes through the oral or nasal cavity. Four features, place of

articulation (POA, including bilabial, labiodental, alveolar, retroflex, alveolo-palatal

and velar), manner of articulation (MOA, including plosive, affricate, fricative, nasal

and lateral), devoice (including voiced and voiceless) and aspiration (including

unaspirated and aspirated) uniquely describe the articulation process of each

Mandarin initial consonants (Supplementary Table 2). Figure 2a shows the

articulation process and corresponding articulation features of two initials, which is 't'

([t□]) and 'm' ([m]).

To assess the similarity in neural activity during the articulation of initials sharing

common features, we analyzed the correlation between specific initials and the

average of their corresponding category (Fig. 2b). Our findings revealed a positive

correlation for place of articulation (POA: r = 0.52), manner of articulation (MOA: r =

0.54), devoicing (r = 0.49), and aspiration (r = 0.59). This correlation is visually

represented by four diagonal lines across the heatmap for each feature. Moreover, the

correlation is significantly higher than that with irrelevant articulation features ($P <$

0.001 for POA, MOA, devoice and aspiration). indicating that the sEEG signals

captured distinct information related to categorical articulation features. To further

explore this, we developed classification models to decode these four articulatory

features from the sEEG signals of each initial's trial (Fig. 2c). The classifiers

demonstrated that the sEEG signals contained significant information about these

features, with decoding accuracies substantially surpassing the chance level for all

participants.

Building upon these insights, we build the initial prediction model by identifying the

acoustic-phonetic features (Fig. 2d, details in method). We first map the neural signals

to representations in the acoustic-phonetic feature spaces of POA, MOA, aspiration

and devoice. Given that the voicing of initials results from the interactions among

diverse voicing features, we address this inherent relationship with a GNN as an

articulation attribute integration (AAI). As a result, the accuracy rates from the model

are significantly above the chance level for the four participants ($P <$ 0.001, Fig. 2e),

achieving rates of 41.07%, 21.10%, 30.32%, and 14.65%, respectively, where the

chance level is 5%. However, the sole prediction result from the model is evidently

insufficient in accuracy for input into the language model for sentence transformation.

Therefore, we calculated the accuracy considering multiple candidate outputs from

the model. Notably, there was a significant increase in prediction accuracy as the

number of candidate results grew ($P < 0.001$). In the top 3 most probable predictions,

the probability of containing the correct initial for the four participants was 99.24%,

97.12%, 98.39%, and 95.03%, respectively (Fig. 2f). In summary, the initial

prediction model can identify accurate initial results in most trials based on sEEG

signals from selected channels, and its near-perfect top-3 accuracy narrows the

possible initial candidates from 21 down to 3. This reduces the computational burden

on the third phase of the decoder and enhances the efficiency of the selection process

of language model.

Fig. 3 | Design and performance of tone and final cluster prediction models. a,

Examples of the display of Mel-frequency spectrograms and pitch curves for the four

tones, with data sourced from participant 1. **b,** Based on pitch feature extracted from

audio signal, a SVM model was used to classify the four tones (participant 1). **c,** The

visualization results of the Formant features for six single finals are shown. Since the

formants are relatively stable during the articulation of single finals, the average value

of the entire process is used to represent the corresponding formant feature. Dots of

the same color represent different samples of the same single final, with the larger dot

in the corresponding color representing the average of all the dots. **d,** Based on

formant features extracted from audio data, a SVM model was used to classify the six

single finals (participant 1). **e,** Based on formant features extracted from audio data, a

SVM model was used to classify the 35 finals (participant 1). Finals of the same color

were clustered into the same category using the k-means clustering method. **f,** The

dynamic changes of Formant 1 and Formant 2 during the articulation process of four

phonetically similar finals ('o', 'u', 'ou', and 'uo') are depicted (mean ± s.e.m.). **g,**

Based on formant features extracted from audio data, a SVM model was used to

classify the eleven final clusters (participant 1). **h,** The model design for tone and final

cluster prediction. This approach aligns the distribution of sEEG data in the neural

space with the audio data distribution, especially in terms of pitch and formant

features, to improve the extraction of neural features for tone and final cluster

categorization. Particularly, we employed a neural-audio regularization (NAR) term,

based on similarity measurements, alongside the categorical cross-entropy loss during

the training of the model. **i,** The prediction accuracy of tone was measured for four

participants and compared with scenarios where acoustic-phonetic feature labels were

shuffled (Shuffled) and against a baseline of accuracy (Chance). **j,** The probabilities

(means ± s.e.m.) of the correct tone being included in the top 1, top 2, and top 3

prediction results for each of the four participants. **k,** The prediction accuracy of final

cluster was measured for four participants and compared with scenarios where

acoustic-phonetic feature labels were shuffled (Shuffled) and against a baseline of

accuracy (Chance). **l,** The probabilities (means ± s.e.m.) of the correct final cluster

being included in the top 1, top 2, and top 3 prediction results.

Design and performance of tone prediction model. Tone, a crucial aspect of

Mandarin syllables, differentiates both lexical and grammatical meanings through

variations in pitch carried by the finals[25]. We visualized the pitch changes over time

for the four Mandarin tones (Fig. 3a), highlighting their distinct patterns and stability

across trials of the same tone. To distinguish these tones quantitatively based on pitch

variations, we employed a support vector machine (SVM) classifier trained on pitch

data from all trials, regardless of the rhymes on which the tones were borne. The four

different tones can be distinguished from the perspective of pitch variations, and the

values on the diagonal are significantly higher than the off-diagonal values in Fig. 3b

($P = 0.017$). The final classification accuracy was 57.51%, significantly above the

chance level ($P < 0.001$). Consequently, we incorporated pitch into the training

process of the tone prediction model (Fig. 3h, details in method). Aligning the

distribution of sEEG data in the neural space with the distribution of audio data,

particularly concerning pitch features, enhances the extraction of neural features for

tone categorization. We incorporate a neural-audio regularization (NAR) term based

on similarity measurement in addition to the categorical cross-entropy loss during

model training. Audio signals will solely be utilized in the decoder training process

and not during evaluating.

The incorporation of NAR significantly enhanced the model's performance, yielding

prediction accuracies of 50.60%, 52.75%, 41.19%, and 38.48% for the four

participants, respectively, all markedly above the chance level ($P < 0.001$ for all, as

shown in Fig. 3i). These accuracies also surpassed those of models trained without

NAR, highlighting NAR's importance. Given that a single prediction may not capture

all correct tones, we adopted a strategy of selecting the top 3 most probable tones for

each prediction. This adjustment significantly improved the accuracy of the final

sentence predictions, with the correct tones being included in the top 3 predictions

with probabilities of 90.77%, 97.66%, 87.21%, and 84.76% for the participants,

respectively (Fig. 3j). Importantly, this probabilistic information about the tones is

also conveyed to the language model, providing it with richer reference data for more

accurate sentence generation.

Design and performance of final prediction model. Mandarin syllables comprise 6

simple and 29 compound finals , whose articulation involves vocal cord vibration and

nuanced tongue positioning. The frequency spectrum's formant features, F1 and F2,

correspond to the tongue's vertical and anteroposterior positions, respectively. We

visualized the formant features F1 and F2 for all trials of six simple finals, revealing a

clear clustering effect (Fig. 3c). An SVM classification model trained on F1 and F2

successfully differentiated the audio of the 6 simple finals, achieving a classification

accuracy of 66.35%, significantly surpassing the chance level ($P < 0.001$Fig. 3d).

However, once the compound finals were incorporated, the classification results

deteriorated (15.46%, compared to the chance level, $P = 0.14$, Fig. 3e). This decline in

performance can be attributed to the dynamic nature of compound finals articulation,

which involves transitioning from one articulatory shape to another. For instance, we

visualized the changes in F1 and F2 during the articulation of the simple finals 'o' and

'u' alongside the compound finals 'ou' and 'uo' (Fig. 3f). The compound final 'ou' is

articulated by transitioning from the sound of 'o' to 'u', while 'uo' undergoes the

opposite transition, making their articulatory configurations exceedingly similar and

hence challenging to distinguish. Therefore, based on the resonance peaks of the

finals in the audio, we employed the k-means algorithm to cluster finals with similar

articulatory configurations, ultimately forming 11 distinct finals clusters (Fig. 3e). The

SVM classification models were used to identify the final clusters, achieving an

accuracy of 40.86%, which is significantly higher than the chance level ($P = 0.013$,

Fig. 3g).

Extracting the formant features from audio has proven beneficial for classifying final

clusters. Therefore, we also employed the model used to predict tones with NAR to

predict the final clusters based on sEEG signals (Fig. 3h, details in Method). Despite

the finals' complexity, the model demonstrated accuracies of 25.10%, 19.02%,

20.04%, and 17.33% across participants, all statistically significant against the chance

level. As the number of candidate clusters increased, the probability of including the

correct final also rose (Fig. 3i). The inclusion of multiple finals within a single

predicted cluster aimed to reduce the selection burden in the decoder's third phase,

acknowledging that the precision of initials and tones predominantly conveys the

necessary information for accurate sentence prediction in Mandarin, thus mitigating

the impact of less accurate final predictions.

Fig. 4 | The decoding accuracy and contributions of each anatomical area. a, The

prediction accuracy of initial, tone and final cluster using neural signals from cortical

and subcortical brain structures. Accuracy data are means ± SD, and the results were

presented for only 3 participants (1, 3 and 4), as no chosen electrodes of participant 2

were in the subcortical brain structures. **b,** The prediction accuracy (means ± SD) of

initial, tone and final cluster using neural signals from different anatomical areas in

cortical and subcortical structures of right and left hemispheres of the brain. The

regions of the brain where the electrodes were located were different for four

participants. Only the results of some important language-related anatomical area are

selected to show. STG: superior temporal gyrus, MTG: middle temporal gyrus, ITG:

inferior temporal gyrus, postCen: postcentral gyrus, preCen: precentral gyrus, INS: insula, Tha: thalamus, Hipp: hippocampus, Amyg: amygdala, PhG: parahippocampal gyrus.

Contributions of different brain regions. In this study, we attempted to independently utilize signals from cortical and subcortical brain regions to predict three syllable elements. Comparative results at the element level were consistent, yet notable variances at the individual participant level emerged (Fig. 4a), indicating that the decoding efficacy is highly dependent on the specific brain regions engaged and the electrode placement. Participant 1 showed enhanced decoding performance with cortical signals, whereas Participant 3 demonstrated better outcomes with subcortical signals. The results for Participant 4 indicated no significant difference between the decoding effectiveness of the signals from these two regions. Overall, this suggests that while cortical regions are influential in speech decoding, the contribution from subcortical regions is also meaningful and should not be overlooked.

To further visualize speech-related information encoded in neural signals from different brain regions, we used signals from the electrodes located in the same regions as input to separately predict the initial, tone, and final cluster. Figure 4b shows the prediction accuracy of three syllable elements from neural signals in different brain regions for four participants. Due to the variation in the brain regions and the number of electrodes implanted in different participants, cross-participant

comparisons are not feasible. Results from Patient 1 indicate that within the cortical

areas, the Superior Temporal Gyrus (STG), Insula (INS), and the ventral Sensorimotor

Cortex (composed of the postcentral gyrus and precentral gyrus, abbreviated as

vSMC), exhibit higher decoding accuracies. This observation aligns with traditional

theories of brain region function in speech production[20,21,] and speech

perception[19,20,26-28]. Within the subcortical areas, we observed that the thalamus

exhibited significantly higher accuracy in certain prediction tasks (such as the initial

prediction for participant 3) compared to other brain regions. Previous research has

reported the involvement of the thalamus in human language processing[29,30]. Our

findings further reveal that the thalamus is also engaged in human speech processing.

Fig. 5 | The analysis of language model and three syllable element prediction

models. a, The element-level accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of words and sentences

composed of different lengths for four participants. **b,** The comparison between

element-level accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) and the accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) of three

element prediction models decoding along (Prediction). **c,** The syllable-level accuracy

(mean ± s.e.m.) of words and sentences composed of different lengths for four

participants. **d,** The comparison between element-level accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.) and

syllable-level accuracy (mean ± s.e.m.). The gray dots represent the accuracies of

words or sentences of different lengths (ranging from 2 to 10+ characters, with a total

of 10 different conditions), where the significance comparison is conducted using

paired t-tests. **e,** Acoustic data and neural signal of articulation process of fěn. The

articulation process is divided into three periods by phonetics experts. The first is the

prearticulatory period (100ms). The second is the initials articulation period, which is

generally shorter in length. The last is the articulation process of tones and finals.

Because the tones are carried on the finals, the pronunciation process overlaps.

According to the theory of phonetics, the articulation process of initials and finals

overlap. This study divides them according to the average position in the overlapping

part. **f,** The temporal saliency on neural signals of three syllable element prediction

models used to identify the initial, tone, and final clusters, respectively, over the entire

duration of a syllable (Blue line in figure, mean ± s.d.). The green line indicates the

appearance span distribution with respect to time for the initial, tone, and final of all

407 syllables, with the start and end points marked by experienced phoneticians.

Language models improve decoding accuracy. In this study, the language model is

tasked with selecting the appropriate components to form pinyin syllables from the

probability sequences of the three syllable elements (initials, tones, and finals).

Subsequently, it was required to identify the most appropriate Mandarin Chinese

characters matching each pinyin syllable's pronunciation, thereby constructing

sentences that are both semantically and grammatically coherent.

To enhance the accuracy of this process, we determined that it was necessary to input

the top 3 initials, top 3 tones, and the first final cluster as element possibility

sequences into the language model in the decoder. We calculated the accuracy of the

syllable elements converted from the final output sentences (element-level accuracy),

where each element is selected by the language model from the probability sequences.

The element-level accuracy for the four participants (Fig. 5a) are 80.98%, 66.82%,

64.98%, and 63.80%, respectively, which are significantly higher than the top 1

accuracy of the three element prediction models (Fig. 5b). From the aforementioned

results, it is evident that the language model plays a vital role in selecting the correct

elements and correcting erroneous ones, thereby mitigating the accuracy demands

placed on the brain signal decoding component.

Furthermore, to evaluate the language model's proficiency in transforming syllable

sequences into accurate character sequences through semantic integration, we

computed the syllable-level accuracy and compared it with the character-level

accuracy. The syllable-level accuracies for the four participants were 68.93%, 50.52%,

46.68%, and 44.50%, respectively (Fig. 5c), which closely aligned with the

character-level accuracies (Fig. 5d). This convergence indicates that the language

model adeptly translated nearly all correct syllables into their accurate character

counterparts. The few observed instances of homophone conversion errors, such as

'他' (tā, [t□a], he) and '她' (tā, [t□a], she), did not detract from the overall

comprehension of the sentences for native Mandarin speakers. The ability of the

language model to convert pinyin and integrate context plays a decisive role in

accurately translating syllable sequences, enabling it to select the correct characters

from a vast array of homophones.

Model attention across time. Acknowledging the challenges posed by the brief and

often ambiguous transitions between the initial and final parts of syllables (Fig. 5e),

our study approaches syllable decoding by treating the neural signals of the entire

articulation process, including a 100ms prearticulatory period, as an integrated unit.

This method allows us to preserve crucial information that might otherwise be lost

during segmentation. We investigate if the temporal focus on the brain signals of the

syllable components (initial, tone, and final) decoding models align with the

vocalization processes of these components as reflected in the corresponding speech

signals by calculating the temporal saliency of the syllable element prediction models.

Our analysis, illustrated in Figure 5f (first panel for initial and mid panel for tone),

shows a strong correlation between the temporal saliency on brain signals and

appearance span distribution with respect to time of these syllable components in

terms of audio data. This suggests that our models can effectively identify and capture

syllable element relevant brain signal segments throughout the articulation process for

accurate prediction. Compared to the initial and tone prediction models with

prominent temporal focus regions, we observed a more uniform distribution in the

saliency distribution for the finals prediction model (Fig. 5f, last panel). This indicates

that the finals prediction model struggles to pinpoint final-related brain signal

segments, which in turn, might explain the model's comparatively lower performance

in predicting finals accurately.

Channel contribution. In the first phase of the decoder, the signals from all regions

related to speech are utilized for language decoding. To determine their contribution

in the trained model, we compute a saliency score for each channel as indication of

contribution for syllable components prediction (Supplementary Figure 1).

Subsequently, we also perform a correlation analysis with the decoding accuracy

obtained using channels from individual brain regions as input data. The correlation

between the prediction accuracy for three syllable elements and their contribution in

three prediction models were found to be significant across all four patients

(Supplementary Figure 2).

Discussion

To address the challenges posed by the complexity of logosyllabic languages in

speech decoding BCI, this study utilized the speech of individual Mandarin Chinese

character syllables as the decoding unit, successfully accomplishing the

transformation of speech-related brain signals into sentences with a high-accuracy

performance.

We chose the 407 monosyllabic characters as the training dataset since it includes all possible valid syllables in Mandarin, accounts for tonal variations, and covers combinations of all initials and finals, which may encompass all phonemic variations. Additionally, we used monosyllable with tone as the modeling unit because previous unit selection study has proved that the use of syllable with tone effectively increases the speech recognition accuracy of Mandarin Chinese compared to other units such as characters or phonemes[31]. Since around 60~70% of the world's languages are tonal[32], which is not reflected in the mainstream research on language BCIs, our study of Mandarin Chinese contributed fundamental insights to the broader landscape of tonal language interface design and brain-computer system development.

Recently, numerous language neural prosthetic systems have incorporated language models as a component of their architecture[10,11]. In this study, we have developed a *Pinyin* input method with an N-gram model. The automatic correction capability of the language model, coupled with its understanding of Mandarin Chinese text, allows for a relatively flexible Pinyin sequence input. This enhances the error tolerance of the three element predictors in the second phase of decoder, which in turn significantly improves the accuracy of the final sentence prediction. Our language model is intended for open and unrestricted Mandarin Chinese language communication. While the output of the language model may still deviate from the user's intended meaning

to a certain extent, it is worth noting that in specific environments and scenarios, such

as in the domestic settings of individuals with disabilities, the language model can be

trained on a restricted, predefined corpus to yield more accurate output results.

Our decision to exclude the decoding of neutral tones was based on the observation

that, aside from a few particles, the majority of neutral tones evolve contextually from

their corresponding full tone counterparts[33]. This evolution alters their phonetic

characteristics depending on the preceding tones[34] in spoken language but remains

unchanged in the written form employed in our research. Nonetheless, future

investigations might delve further into the nuances of neutral tones for a more

comprehensive understanding of their role in Mandarin Chinese BCI research.

We observed notable variations in the decoding performance when distinguishing

between single finals, compound finals, and nasal finals, with the latter two showing

less favorable results. This may be attributed to the finer timing and position

properties of articulatory gestures during a continuous shift of vowels and nasals in

compound finals and nasal finals compared to single finals[35]. Thus, we implemented a

k-means clustering approach, utilizing F1 and F2 frequency parameters, to classify

these non-single finals into 11 clusters. These clusters share similar acoustic

properties and articulatory patterns, such as 'in' and 'ing,' grouped based on the

nucleus in the structure of finals, as the nucleus bears highest sonority scale[36]. Such

groupings could potentially simplify the decoding process. These considerations

provide a foundation for further investigations into the complexities of decoding

compound finals and nasal finals in the context of Mandarin Chinese BCI systems.

Addressing the challenges of mitigating the need for extensive data acquisition to

facilitate cross-day model transfer was beyond the immediate scope of this study.

Nonetheless, this endeavor stands as a pivotal requirement in the development of a

genuinely pragmatic and impactful system. Furthermore, it is essential to verify the

online decoding performance, despite the promising outcomes of our offline analyses.

Recent large language models benefit from extensive training data, but combining

data across subjects and time points for neurophysiological signals does not yield

commensurate enhancements due to inherent cross-participant and cross-day

variations. Considering the model adaptation in a lightweight manner to ensure

cross-day accuracy and enhancing decoder performance through the utilization of

multi-subject data, the critical endeavor of optimizing the efficient utilization of data

from diverse days and individuals remains a key focus for forthcoming research.

Reference

1   Beukelman, D. R., Fager, S., Ball, L. & Dietz, A. AAC for adults with acquired

neurological conditions: a review. *Augment Altern Commun* **23**, 230-242 (2007).

2   Felgoise, S. H., Zaccheo, V., Duff, J. & Simmons, Z. Verbal communication impacts

quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler*

*Frontotemporal   Degener   **17**,   179-183   (2016).*

545    https://doi.org/10.3109/21678421.2015.1125499

546 3  Cooney, C., Folli, R. & Coyle, D. Neurolinguistics Research Advancing Development

547    of a Direct-Speech Brain-Computer Interface. *iScience* **8**, 103-125 (2018).

548    https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2018.09.016

549 4  Willett, F. R., Avansino, D. T., Hochberg, L. R., Henderson, J. M. & Shenoy, K. V.

550    High-performance brain-to-text communication via handwriting. *Nature* **593**, 249-254

551    (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03506-2

552 5  Chaudhary, U. *et al.* Spelling interface using intracortical signals in a completely

553    locked-in patient enabled via auditory neurofeedback training. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1236

554    (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28859-8

555 6  Metzger, S. L. *et al.* Generalizable spelling using a speech neuroprosthesis in an

556    individual with severe limb and vocal paralysis. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6510 (2022).

557    https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33611-3

558 7  Oxley, T. J. *et al.* Motor neuroprosthesis implanted with neurointerventional surgery

559    improves capacity for activities of daily living tasks in severe paralysis: first in-human

560    experience. *J Neurointerv Surg* **13**, 102-108 (2021).

561    https://doi.org/10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-016862

562 8  Vansteensel, M. J. *et al.* Fully Implanted Brain-Computer Interface in a Locked-In

563    Patient with ALS. *N Engl J Med* **375**, 2060-2066 (2016).

564    https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1608085

565 9  Pandarinath, C. *et al.* High performance communication by people with paralysis using

566    an intracortical brain-computer interface. *Elife* **6** (2017).

567   https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.18554

568 10 Willett, F. R. *et al.* A high-performance speech neuroprosthesis. *Nature* **620**,

569   1031-1036 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06377-x

570 11 Metzger, S. L. *et al.* A high-performance neuroprosthesis for speech decoding and

571   avatar  control.  *Nature*  **620**,  1037-1046  (2023).

572   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06443-4

573 12 Herff, C. *et al.* Brain-to-text: decoding spoken phrases from phone representations in

574   the brain. *Front Neurosci* **9**, 217 (2015). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00217

575 13 Moses, D. A. *et al.* Neuroprosthesis for Decoding Speech in a Paralyzed Person with

576   Anarthria.  *N*  *Engl*  *J*  *Med*  **385**,  217-227  (2021).

577   https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2027540

578 14 Duanmu, S. *The phonology of standard Chinese.* (OUP Oxford, 2007).

579 15 Shen, H. H. & Bear, D. R. Development of orthographic skills in Chinese children.

580   *Reading and Writing* **13**, 197-236 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026484207650

581 16 Wang, W. The Chinese Language. *Scientific American* **228**, 50-60 (1973).

582   https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0273-50

583 17 Tan, L. H., Xu, M., Chang, C. Q. & Siok, W. T. China's language input system in the

584   digital age affects children's reading development. *Proceedings of the National*

585   *Academy*  *of*  *Sciences*  **110**,  1119-1123  (2013).

586   https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213586110

587 18 Li, P. & Yip, M. C. Context effects and the processing of spoken homophones.

588   *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal* **10**, 223-243 (1998).

589   https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008091816322

19   Makin, J. G., Moses, D. A. & Chang, E. F. Machine translation of cortical activity to text with an encoder-decoder framework. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 575-582 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0608-8

20   Anumanchipalli, G. K., Chartier, J. & Chang, E. F. Speech synthesis from neural decoding of spoken sentences. *Nature* **568**, 493-498 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1119-1

21   Duraivel, S. *et al.* High-resolution neural recordings improve the accuracy of speech decoding. *Nature Communications* **14**, 6938 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42555-1

22   Wilson, G. H. *et al.* Decoding spoken English from intracortical electrode arrays in dorsal precentral gyrus. *J Neural Eng* **17**, 066007 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/abbfef

23   Verwoert, M. *et al.* Dataset of Speech Production in intracranial Electroencephalography. *Scientific Data* **9**, 434 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01542-9

24   Angrick, M. *et al.* Real-time synthesis of imagined speech processes from minimally invasive recordings of neural activity. *Communications Biology* **4**, 1055 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02578-0

25   Liu, Y. *et al.* Decoding and synthesizing tonal language speech from brain activity. *Sci Adv* **9**, eadh0478 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adh0478

26   Conant, D. F., Bouchard, K. E., Leonard, M. K. & Chang, E. F. Human Sensorimotor

611   Cortex Control of Directly Measured Vocal Tract Movements during Vowel Production.

612   *J Neurosci* **38**, 2955-2966 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2382-17.2018

613  27 Mesgarani, N., Cheung, C., Johnson, K. & Chang, E. F. Phonetic feature encoding in

614   human superior temporal gyrus. *Science* **343**, 1006-1010 (2014).

615   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1245994

616  28 Yi, H. G., Leonard, M. K. & Chang, E. F. The Encoding of Speech Sounds in the

617   Superior Temporal Gyrus. *Neuron* **102**, 1096-1110 (2019).

618   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.023

619  29 Klostermann, F., Krugel, L. K. & Ehlen, F. Functional roles of the thalamus for

620   language capacities. *Frontiers in systems neuroscience* **7**, 32 (2013).

621  30 Wahl, M. *et al.* The human thalamus processes syntactic and semantic language

622   violations. *Neuron* **59**, 695-707 (2008).

623  31 Fu, L., Li, X. & Zi, L. Research on Modeling Units of Transformer Transducer for

624   Mandarin Speech Recognition. *ArXiv* **abs/2004.13522** (2020).

625  32 Yip, M. J. W. *Tone*. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).

626  33 Shen, X. S. Mandarin neutral tone revisited. *Acta linguistica hafniensia* **24**, 131-152

627   (1992).

628  34 Chao, Y. R. *A grammar of spoken Chinese*. (Univ of California Press, 1968).

629  35 Cai, S., Ghosh, S. S., Guenther, F. H. & Perkell, J. S. Adaptive auditory feedback

630   control of the production of formant trajectories in the Mandarin triphthong/iau/and its

631   pattern of generalization. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **128**,

632   2033-2048 (2010).

633   36   Yavas, M. S. & Gogate, L. J. Phoneme awareness in children: A function of sonority.

634   *Journal of psycholinguistic research* **28**, 245-260 (1999).

METHODS

Participants and task

Study participants. This study enrolled four participants—two females and two males, aged 20, 25, 19, and 19 years for Participants 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. They were undergoing epilepsy treatment at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine. As part of their treatment, they had sEEG electrodes surgically implanted (with the number of electrodes being 12, 8, 11, and 13 for Participants 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively) to monitor epileptic seizures for 1-2 weeks. All participants were native Mandarin Chinese speakers with the left hemisphere identified as the dominant hemisphere for language processing. Prior to data collection, all patients were informed of study procedure and signed informed consent form to participate. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine.

Task design. The design of this Mandarin Chinese Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) system is predicated on decoding the neural signals during the articulation process of Mandarin Chinese characters, ultimately converting them into Mandarin Chinese sentences. Hence, the tasks were specifically tailored for this purpose. We designed two distinct sets of tasks for training and evaluating the BCI system respectively. The first set of tasks involves reading 407 unique Mandarin Chinese characters aloud. Although there are only 407 characters, they encompass all the phonetic syllables of Mandarin Chinese characters. The objective is to enable the system to decode

virtually all Mandarin Chinese characters with as minimal training as possible,

achieving a truly unrestricted Mandarin Chinese decoder. The second set of tasks,

aimed at assessing the performance of the BCI system, employs Mandarin Chinese

words and sentences of varying lengths as evaluating samples, to closely simulate the

performance of this system in everyday life scenarios.

Task for collecting training data. During the model training data collection phase

(as depicted in Fig 1b, upper panel), participants were required to read 407 Mandarin

Chinese characters corresponding to Mandarin Chinese *Pinyin* syllables with tones,

three to five times (3 to 5 trials per syllable × 407 syllables, depending on the

monitoring duration for different participants). These 407 syllables encompass

virtually all the pronunciations of Mandarin Chinese characters. Moreover, we

designed the study to maintain as balanced a number of syllables as possible across

the four tones, as shown in Supplementary Figure 2. To mimic natural speech patterns

closely, each character was embedded within a carrier sentence by adding words

before and after the target character. Thus, in every trial, participants read a complete

sentence incorporating the designated syllable. For example, for the target syllable

"ài," the constructed sentence for reading was "我▪ ▪ 三遍" ([ài], translating to "I

read love three times"). All participants were pre-acquainted with the reading

materials.

Task for collecting evaluating data. During the data collection process for

evaluating the model (as illustrated in Fig 1b, lower panel), participants were required

to read a total of 100 common Mandarin Chinese words or sentences, with each word

or sentence being read only once. These 100 samples were divided into 10 groups

based on character length, ranging from 2 characters to more than 10 characters, with

each group containing 10 different samples of the same character length. Participants

were instructed to read aloud character by character at a normal pace, following

prompts displayed on a monitor in front of them. Each character was allotted a

reading time of 1.5 to 2 seconds, with a preparatory period of 0.3 to 0.5 seconds

before reading each character. All evaluating data were collected within a single day.

Neural signal

Electrode anatomy localization and visualization. We utilized SPM12 for

co-registering each participant's preoperative T1 MRI with their postoperative CT

(which includes electrode locations). Subsequently, we employed the FreeSurfer

neuroimaging analysis software[37] to reconstruct the pial surface and determine the

anatomical structure where each contact (channel) is located. The standard Montreal

Neurological Institute template brain[38] was used for visualization in Fig. 1c.

Neural signal recoding. The electrode implantation plan, encompassing the location

and number of implants, was devised solely for the treatment of epilepsy. Neural

signals were recorded using a multi-channel electrophysiological recording device,

specifically the Neurofax EEG-1200 produced by Nihon Kohden Corporation, Japan,

and were recorded at a sampling rate of 2000Hz.

Preprocessing of neural signal. The neural signals collected were anti-aliased

(low-pass filtered at 200 Hz) and notch filtered at 50 Hz, 100 Hz, 150 Hz, and 200 Hz

to eliminate line noise, and channels exhibiting poor quality were excluded. Poor

signals were defined as channels with a low signal-to-noise ratio or abnormal

recordings identified during the inspection process. And downsampling was not

performed during preprocessing. All neural signals were segmented according to task

annotations, aligning them with the pronunciation process of individual Chinese

characters.

Channel selection. To find speech-related channels, we compared the power spectral

density (PSD, computed using Welch's method) of neural signals from speech and

silence parts within the same trial. For each channel (without channels located in

white matter), a paired t-test was conducted to compare the mean PSD values of the

speech condition (after speech onset) and silence condition (before speech onset). All

resultant p-values were corrected to control the false discovery rate (FDR) using the

Benjamini-Hochberg procedure[39]. Finally, channels exhibiting a significant difference

($P < 0.05$) between speech and non-speech conditions were selected. In addition,

based on the reconstruction and localization results of all electrodes, certain channels

located in the visual cortex (such as those situated in the occipital lobe) were also

excluded. This exclusion was implemented to prevent visual-related signals from

contributing to the decoding process. The locations of the channels ultimately selected

for participation in the decoding process are displayed in Fig. 1c, with the channels of

the four participants represented in different colors.

Audio signal

Audio recording. Microphone recordings were obtained synchronously with the

neural recordings using a directional microphone (manufactured by Audio-Technica)

at 44.1kHz. Given that the recording environment was situated within a hospital ward,

temporary soundproof barriers were erected around the patient to ensure the quality of

the recordings, aiming to isolate them from noise as much as possible (Fig. 1a).

Preprocessing of audio signal. Initially, all audio recordings were manually audibly

reviewed to identify and exclude samples with significant issues affecting recording

quality, such as noticeable noise interference and character pronunciation errors.

Correspondingly, the neural signals associated with these excluded audio samples

were also removed. For training and evaluating the model, the audio data must be

labeled, including the start and end points of each character's pronunciation, as well as

the segmentation points of the initial and final sounds during the pronunciation

process of each character. In this study, we adopted a combination of automatic

labeling using software and expert annotation. All audio materials were first

automatically labeled using the PRAAT software[40], after which all labeling results

were verified and finally confirmed by three phonetics experts.

Analysis of audio signal

Acoustic feature extraction. In the design process of the three element prediction

models, relevant acoustic features were utilized, to enhance the prediction

performance. Specifically, the initial prediction model employed acoustic-phonetic

features, which are attributes inherent to the initials themselves, rather than being

related to the recorded audio signals. Chinese is a tonal language, where speakers can

modulate the pitch of their voice by adjusting the tension of their vocal cords to

produce variations in pitch height and contour, thereby distinguishing different tones

to convey various meanings. In this study, the pitch feature F0 was extracted from the

audio signal and applied to the tone prediction model. The pronunciation

characteristics of the final sounds can be assessed through three formants, with the

first two formants being related to the position of the tongue, making them suitable

for use in the final prediction model. Parselmouth[41], a Python implementation of

PRAAT was applied to obtain pitch and formant data[40].

Audio signal classification through support vector machine. In Figure 3, various

results of audio signal classification are presented, where panel b shows the outcomes

of tone classification, panel d illustrates the classification of monophthongs, panel e

displays the classification results for all vowels, and panel g reveals the classification

outcomes for final clusters. All classifications were conducted using a one-against-all

multi-class support vector machine (SVM) algorithm. The following decision function

is employed for classifying x_{new}:

770
$$f(x_{new}) = \underset{i \in \{1, \cdots, M\}}{arg\ max} \left[\sum_{support\ vectors} y_t \alpha_t^i k(x_t, x_{new}) + b^i \right], \qquad (1)$$

where, the t represents the indexed sample, and $i \in \{1, \cdots, M\}$ denotes the total of M

binary Support Vector Machines (SVMs) that need to be trained, involving the

solution of M quadratic programming problems.

Analysis of neural signals

Neural signals similarity analysis. Figure 2b displays the Pearson correlation

between specific initials and the average of their corresponding category. We discuss

two scenarios based on whether the initial is included in the corresponding category.

In the first scenario, when the ith initial does not belong to the jth category of

initials, we first calculate the average neural signal all trials of the ith initial and the

average neural signal all initials within the jth category. The calculation of the

correlation R_j^i is as follows:

783
$$R_j^i = corr(\overline{\mu_i}, \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N_j} \overline{\mu_t}}{N_j}), \ i \notin Cluster_j, \qquad (2)$$

where, $corr$ represents the Pearson correlation between two sequences, and N_j

denotes the number of initials involved in the jth category. In the second scenario,

where the ith initial belongs to the jth category of initials, to exclude the positive

bias introduced by self-correlation, we randomly divide the N trials of the ith initial

into two groups and calculate their average neural signals, $\bar{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$, respectively.

Then, we calculate the average of $\bar{\mu}$ for all initials within the jth category. The

calculation of the correlation R_j^i is as follows:

$$R_j^i = corr(\widetilde{\mu_i}, \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N_j} \overline{\mu_t}}{N_j}), \ i \in Cluster_j, \qquad (3)$$

Clustering of finals. We cluster similar finals together due to the difficulty of

differentiation brought about by dynamic nature of compound finals articulation. We

consider a total of cluster number within the range of 10 to 15 to balance the

granularity of classification and the classification accuracy. We employed the k-means

clustering algorithm with silhouette coefficient to determine the optimal cluster

number using the averaged formant features (F1, F2 and F3) across all audio samples

of each final class as input, finding the optimal cluster number of 11. We then merge

the finals within one cluster as one final cluster.

Decoder performance evaluation

Character error rate (CER). The character error rate (CER) is adopted as a metric to

evaluate the performance of our proposed decoder, which is defined as follows:

$$CER_i = \frac{EC_i}{N_i}, \qquad (4)$$

806 where CER_i represents the character error rate for the ith evaluating sample, EC_i

807 denotes the number of characters in the ith decoded result that do not match the

808 ground truth, and N_i indicates the total number of characters in the ith evaluating

809 sample. Naturally, the character-level accuracy (CLA) is defined as:

$$CLA_i = 1 - \frac{EC_i}{N_i}, \qquad (5)$$

812 **Syllable-level accuracy.** During the evaluation of the performance of language model,

813 syllable-level accuracy (SLA) is used to assess the model's ability to convert pinyin

814 syllables into Chinese characters. SLA is defined as:

$$SLA_i = \frac{AS_i}{N_i}, \tag{6}$$

where SLA_i represents the syllable-level accuracy for the ith evaluating sample,

AS_i denotes the number of syllables in the ith decoded result that match the ground

truth, and N_i indicates the total number of characters in the ith evaluating sample.

Element-level accuracy. We adopt element-level accuracy (ELA) to evaluate the

performance of the language model since it reflects the language model's elements

correction capability within a syllable. First, each Chinese character in the decoded

word or sentence is converted into a *Pinyin* syllable. Then, the initial, tone, and final

components of each decoded *Pinyin* syllable are extracted. We calculate ELA as

follows:

$$ELA_i = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{1}(initial_j)+\mathbb{1}(tone_j)+\mathbb{1}(final_j)\right)}{n}, \tag{7}$$

where ELA_i represents the element-level accuracy for the ith evaluating sample,

n represents the total number of characters of the ith evaluating sample, $\mathbb{1}(\cdot)$ is an

indicator function with a value of 1 for a correct prediction of the corresponding

syllable element and otherwise 0.

Syllable elements prediction

Network architecture. We adopt a simple yet effective plain convolutional neural

network (CNN) architecture as the backbone for syllable elements feature extraction.

The detailed architecture of the network is provided in Supplementary Table 3. The

subjects' pre-processed sEEG of input channels C is first passed to a stem layer

containing a 1-D convolution layer. The 1-D convolution layer adopts a kernel size of

$K \times 1$, a stride of S, and an output channel number of 64. The numbers of the kernel

size K and stride of S are adjusted for each subject. Each convolution block

consists of a 1-D convolution layer (followed by a batch normalization layer and a

ReLU activation) and a max pooling layer. The number of the output channel of the

first two blocks is set to 128, while the number of the last two blocks is set to 256.

Then, we adopt a global average pooling layer and a fully connected layer to map the

output of the last block to fit the prediction targets of different syllable elements.

Initial prediction model. We utilize the same CNN architecture **(Network**

architecture part in Method) as the backbone for initial acoustic-phonetic feature

prediction. On top of the learned hidden representation, a 2-layer multilayer

perceptron (MLP) prediction head with a hidden dimension of 256 is utilized to

predict the label of each acoustic-phonetic features of the initial. We follow the same

experimental setup as described in **Experimental setup part** and report the mean and

standard deviation of the top-1 accuracy for each acoustic-phonetic feature.

Inspired by the articulation of each Mandarin initial consonant, we proposed the

articulation attribute integration (AAI) module to better capture the acoustic-phonetic

features during the articulation process. The acoustic-phonetic features include the

place of articulation (POA), manner of articulation (MOA), devoice, and aspiration.

These acoustic-phonetic features can be considered as four attributes associated with

each initial consonant. We first decompose the initial prediction problem into four

attribute categorization subproblems. In particular, we utilize four learnable

prototypes $W^{poa} \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f \times d_{poa}}$, $W^{moa} \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f \times d_{moa}}$, $W^{asp} \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f \times d_{asp}}$, and

$W^{dev} \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f \times d_{dev}}$, to map the neural features extracted by the CNN backbone to

representations in the acoustic-phonetic attribute spaces. c_f denotes the number of

output channels of the CNN model, d_{poa}, d_{moa}, d_{asp}, and d_{dev}, represent the

cardinality of the POA, MOA, devoice and aspiration attributes, respectively. Let

$z \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f}$ be the extracted feature of the CNN; we concatenate the prototypes and

perform attribute aggregation by multiplying with an adjacency matrix $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$:

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}} = \text{CONCAT}(\text{W}^{\text{poa}}, \text{W}^{\text{moa}}, \text{W}^{\text{asp}}, \text{W}^{\text{dev}})$$

868               $$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}} = ReLU(\overline{\mathbb{W}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}), \tag{8}$$

where $CONCAT$ denotes the concatenation operation and $\overline{\mathbb{W}} \in \mathbb{R}^{c_f \times d}$ where

$d = d_{poa} + d_{poa} + d_{poa} + d_{poa}$. The adjacency matrix $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ is defined by adding

self-loop as $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{I}$ where $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the identity matrix. $\mathbb{A}_{i,j} = 1$ if the

attribute i and attribute j coexist in the onset process of any initial consonant and

$\mathbb{A}_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. Notice that $\mathbb{A}$ is only initialized by the previous condition and

updated during training. The aggregated prototypes $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}$ are then multiplied with

feature vector z to predict the four attribute labels. A 2-layer multilayer perceptron

(MLP) prediction head with a hidden dimension of 256 is utilized to predict the initial label. The overall loss function is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha \times \mathcal{L}_{attr} + \mathcal{L}_{init}, \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{attr}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{init}$ stand for categorical cross-entropy loss for attribute classification and initial classification, respectively. α is a scaler to balance the two losses.

Tone and final cluster prediction model. In the field of speech processing, the key factor in tone perception relies on pitch-related features where the fundamental frequency (F0) plays a crucial role. Likewise, each vowel is distinguished by a unique set of resonating frequencies of the vocal tract, referred to as formants. Formant features F1 and F2 correspond to the vertical and anteroposterior positions of the tongue, respectively, while Formant F3 indicates the tongue's constriction in the palatal region. The similarity of formant features among different finals serves as a valuable indicator for measuring the articulation process, which we aim to decode using sEEG. Besides directly predicting the tone and final using the extracted from CNN, we introduce a neural-audio regularization (NAR) module, which enhances the CNN's ability to model the similarity of the articulation process by using formant features as guidance. Let $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times C}$ be the extracted feature from a training batch of sEEG data associated with the corresponding audio data, where B denotes the batch size. It is worth noting that for tone prediction, we utilize the F0 feature, while for the final prediction, we use F1, F2, and F3. Subsequently, we calculate the pairwise

898   Euclidean distance of D_n and D_a for both Z and F. Following this, we employ the

899   Student's t-distribution for similarity measurement:

900
$$S_{i,j} = \frac{\Gamma \times \left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\nu\pi} \times \Gamma \times \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \times \left(1 + \frac{D_{i,j}^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}, \tag{10}$$

901   where Γ and ν refer to the gamma function and the degree of freedom, respectively.

902   D denotes the Euclidean distance. The objective function to train NAR is defined to

903   be the logistic loss between S_a and S_n:

904
$$\mathcal{L}_{NAR} = -\mathbb{E}_{i,j} \times \left[S_{i,j}^a \times log\frac{S_{i,j}^a}{S_{i,j}^n} + \left(1 - S_{i,j}^a\right) \times log\frac{\left(1-S_{i,j}^a\right)}{\left(1-S_{i,j}^n\right)}\right]. \tag{11}$$

The overall loss function is defined to be a weighted sum of $\mathcal{L}_{NAR}$ and the

corresponding categorical cross-entropy loss for either tone or final prediction.

Experimental setup. The experiments were conducted using PyTorch[42] on NVIDIA

V100 GPUs. For investigating syllable elements level prediction, the data from three

trials were combined and divided into 80% for training and 20% for evaluating, using

20 distinct random seeds. This setup facilitated the calculation of mean and variance

for performance metrics. We carry out experiments on all four subjects with the same

experimental setup. For each subject, 20% of the training data from the first repetition

was allocated for tuning size K and stride of S. The specific choices of kernel size

and stride for each subject are detailed in Supplementary Table 4. The models were

trained using the AdamW optimizer, with a base learning rate of $lr = 0.0003$.

Momentum parameters are set to $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.009$, and a cosine decay

approach was adopted for the learning rate schedule. To prevent overfitting, a dropout

rate of 0.3 was utilized. The training was conducted over 80 epochs, incorporating an

early stopping criterion based on a five-epoch non-improvement threshold on the

validation set. The complete list of parameters used for model training is available in

Supplementary Table 5. For sentence-level performance assessment, syllable element

prediction models were trained on the entire dataset for each subject over a fixed

epoch number of 50.

Elements prediction with support vector machine. In this study, we adopted

support vector machine (SVM) classifiers as baseline performance for syllable

elements prediction. We follow the exact same data split strategy as described in

Experimental setup part with the same evaluation metrics to ensure consistency

across comparisons. For training of the SVM classifiers, we adopted the radial basis

function (RBF) kernel with the regularization parameter and the kernel parameter

gamma grid searched with five-fold cross validation on the training set for each

random seed. We adopted the one-vs-the-rest (OvR) strategy for multiclass

classification, as previously mentioned in **Audio signal classification through**

support vector machine part.

Language model

Given a sequence of syllable elements predictions, denoted as $\varepsilon = \left\{ \left(i_i^k, \ t_i^k, \ f_i^k \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{N}$,

a language model is designed to output the most probable sentence where N

represents the total number of characters in the sentence. The elements $i_i^k, \ t_i^k, \ f_i^k$

represent the top-k predictions for the initial, tone, and final elements of the ith

character, respectively. The model's top-3 accuracy for tone and initial predictions, as

shown in Figure 3j and Figure 2f, reveals that the three most probable predictions

often correctly identify these elements. Our approach involves constructing a 5-gram

language model that evaluates the probability of initial and tone sequences, denoted as

$\{(i_i^3, t_i^3)\}_{i=1}^N$, using the top three predictions for each. The training of this 5-gram

model utilized CLUECorpus2020[43], a comprehensive Mandarin Chinese corpus

containing 35 billion Chinese characters retrieved from Common Crawl.

CLUECorpus2020 contains a wide range of topics, including news, entertainment,

sports, health, international affairs, movies, celebrities, and more. To develop our

5-gram model, we randomly selected 20% of this training set and implemented the

model using KenLM[44]. Instead of employing a character-based vocabulary, we have

constructed a specialized vocabulary comprising pairs of initials and tones. This

approach results in a vocabulary of 84 distinct elements, derived from 21 initials

combined with four different tones. We then utilize the Google Pinyin API to convert

the initials from the top 50 probability sequences into Mandarin characters, forming

sentences. For each initial sequence, we extract two sentence suggestions, thereby

cumulatively creating a total of 100 sentences.

The majority of current Pinyin input methods do not rectify incorrect or illogical

initial sequences. Instead, they endeavor to construct a sentence from the input, often

resulting in combinations of characters that resemble sentences but lack coherent,

natural language meaning. Consequently, it is advantageous to utilize large language

models (LLMs) to determine the most probable sentence out of 100 potential options

generated, harnessing the LLM's capacity to discern coherent and meaningful

language from a multitude of sentence possibilities. In our approach, we utilize the

Chinese-LLaMA-7B model[45] to evaluate the likelihood of different sentence options.

Perplexity $\mathcal{PPL}$ of each sentence candidate is calculated using the following

equation:

$$\mathcal{PPL}(\cdot) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log P(ch_i|ch_1, ch_2, \cdots, ch_{i-1})}, \tag{12}$$

971 where $P(ch_i|ch_1, ch_2, \cdots, ch_{i-1})$ represents the probability assigned by the LLM to

972 the character ch_i based on the sequence of preceding characters. We then select the

973 sentence with the lowest perplexity as the final output. This method ensures that the

974 output is a grammatically correct sequence of characters and a coherent and

975 contextually appropriate sentence.

Contribution of different anatomical brain regions

We analyze the contribution of brain regions from two distinct perspectives. The first

perspective utilizes sEEG signals from single functional brain regions as system

inputs for Mandarin Chinese decoding, where the decoding performances of these

regions serves as the metric for assessing their contribution level. The second

perspective involves using all channels as model inputs, where we determine the

contribution of brain regions based on the significance level of different channel

signals in the decoding decision channel saliency calculated by class activation

maps[46].

The decoding accuracy of different brain regions. We train syllable element

prediction models utilizing sEEG signals of channels that cover the specific brain

regions that we aim to analyze and report the prediction performance. We follow the

same experimental setup as described in Sec. 7.4 for syllable element prediction

model and evaluations. This method allowed for a focused investigation of each

region's role in the decoding process from the perspective of the prediction

performance. We follow the same experimental setup described in **Experimental**

setup part for both evaluation approaches.

The contributions of electrodes located in different brain regions to decoding.

Channel saliency score is calculated by class activation maps[46]. Given an sEEG signal

$x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C}$ where T is the length of the signal and C is the total number of

channels. We calculate a channel saliency score ζ:

$$\zeta(c) = \frac{1}{T} \times \sum_{i=1}^{T} ReLU\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{i,c}}\right), \tag{13}$$

where y is the one-hot logit vector corresponding to the true label of the underlying

prediction task, and $ReLU$ is the activation function. As illustrated in Equation 6, the

partial derivative is calculated with respect to the input sEEG signal and averaged

over the time dimension. This measure indicates how changes in the information

content of channel c influence the accurate prediction of the true label. We then

normalize the saliency score $\zeta(c)$ for each channel c to be between zero and one.

The second measurement provided a comprehensive understanding of how the change of information from specific brain regions affects the Mandarin Chinese decoding process, highlighting the contribution level of each channel when using all-channel sEEG for language decoding.

Model temporal saliency of syllable prediction models

Similar to the calculation of channel saliency score in Eq. (12), we calculate the temporal saliency score $\eta(t)$:

$$\eta(t) = \frac{1}{C} \times \sum_{i=1}^{C} ReLU\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{t,i}}\right), \tag{14}$$

where $x_{t,i}$ refers to sEEG signal of channel i at time stamp t. Different from Eq. (13), the partial derivative is averaged over the channel dimension.

References

37   Fischl, B. FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage* **62**, 774-781 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

38   Mazziotta, J. *et al.* A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM). *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **356**, 1293-1322 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2001.0915

39   Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289-300 (1995).

40   Boersma, P. in *Proceedings of the institute of phonetic sciences.*   97-110

(Amsterdam).

41   Jadoul, Y., Thompson, B. & De Boer, B. Introducing parselmouth: A python interface

to praat. *Journal of Phonetics* **71**, 1-15 (2018).

42   Paszke, A. *et al.* Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library.

Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019).

43   Xu, L. *et al.* CLUE: A Chinese language understanding evaluation benchmark. *arXiv*

preprint arXiv:2004.05986 (2020).

44   Heafield, K. in *Proceedings of the sixth workshop on statistical machine translation.*

187-197.

45   Yang, L. *et al.* Diffusion models: A comprehensive survey of methods and applications.

ACM Computing Surveys **56**, 1-39 (2023).

46   Selvaraju, R. R. *et al.* in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on*

computer vision.   618-626.

1043
1044

Extended Data

Extended Data Table 1. Examples of decoded sentences

Character error rate	Decoded sentences
0%	O: 我·自己很有信心 (I have confidence in myself) D: 我·自己很有信心
~25%	O: 不喜·打···音 (Don't like voice calls) D: 不喜·打···· O: 我在自己家里 (I live in my own home) D: 我住自己家里
~50%	O: 家庭··条件不是很可以 (The family financial situation is not very good) D: 集体··条件不是·可以 O: 一点自我保·意·都没有 (No sense of self-preservation at all) D: 有点自我保·意·一米五
~75%	O: 有·也·· (Sometimes I also feel nervous) D: 越·越·· O: 多多少少也·自己有些影响 (It also has some impact on me to a certain extent) D: 多多少少有点着急医学影像
>75%	O: 建·尽早到医院接受治· (Recommend to seek treatment at the hospital as soon as possible) D: 建·就知道一··手之·

All examples are from four participants, which are shown for several character error rate levels. The 'O' represents the original sentences and the 'D' represents the decoded sentence. The translated English sentences from Mandarin Chinese are provided in parentheses. Any incorrect character in the decoded sentence is marked in red.

Extended Data Table 2. The articulation features of Mandarin Chinese *Pinyin* initials

Manner of articulation (MOA)		Plosive		Affricate		Fricative		Nasal	Lateral
Devoice		Voiceless		Voiceless		Voiceless	Voiced	Voiced	Voiced
Aspiration		Unaspirated	Aspirated	Unaspirated	Aspirated	NA	NA	NA	NA
Place of articulation (POA)	Bilabial	b [p]	p [pʰ]					m [m]	
	Labiodental					f [f]			
	Alveolar	d [t]	t [tʰ]	z [ts]	c [tsʰ]	s [s]		n [n]	l [l]
	Retroflex			zh [ʈʂ]	ch [ʈʂʰ]	sh [ʂ]	r [ʐ]		
	Alveolo-palatal			j [tɕ]	q [tɕʰ]	x [ɕ]			
	Velar	g [k]	k [kʰ]			h [x]			

1055
1056

1057

Extended Data **Table 3: Detailed architecture specifications for the**
1058   **convolutional neural network utilized in this work.**

	ConvNet
Stem	$K \times 1, 64, stride\ S$
Block 1	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \times 1, 128 \\ MaxPool, stride\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \times 1$
Block 2	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \times 1, 128 \\ MaxPool, stride\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \times 1$
Block 3	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \times 1, 256 \\ MaxPool, stride\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \times 1$
Block 4	$[7 \times 1, 256] \times 1$

1059

1060 **Extended Data Table 4: Architecture network and training parameters for each**
1061 **participant**

Participant	1	2	3	4
Kernel size	7	7	5	7
Stride	4	5	3	5

1062
1063

1064 **Extended Data Table 5: Optimizing setup for syllable element prediction.**

Configuration	ConvNet
Training epochs	80
Optimizer	AdamW
Base learning rate	0.0003
Weight decay	0.01
Optimizer momentum	$\beta_1 = 0.9, \ \beta_2 = 0.009$
Batch size	32
Learning rate schedule	cosine decay
Dropout ratio	0.3

1065

1066

1067

Extended Data Fig. 1 | The contributions of each anatomical area in cortical and subcortical structures of right and left hemispheres of the brain to predicting initials **(a)**, tones **(b)**, and finals **(c)**, as measured by the gradient of the loss function with respect to the input data. The contributions of different participants to the same anatomical area are grouped together.

1073
1074

1075

Extended Data Fig. 2 | The correlation between prediction accuracies and the corresponding contributions of each anatomical area in three syllable elements prediction models. The Pearson's correlation coefficient (R) of three syllable elements is calculated separately, with different colors representing different participants (corresponding to Fig. 1). The contributions are measured by the gradient of the loss function with respect to the input data. Each dot represents a brain region's contribution and decoding accuracy for prediction models of initial, tone, and final cluster. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.0001$.

1086

1087 **Extended Data Fig. 3 |** Each syllable consists of a tone, a final (rhyme), and at most
1088 one initial (with some syllables lacking an initial). The charts display the distribution
1089 of the number of initials, tones, and vowels across the 407 syllables (the experimental
1090 tasks used for training the three element prediction models).

1091