Siderophore-receptor coevolution analysis reveals habitat- and

pathogen-specific bacterial iron interaction networks

Shaohua Gu[1,2,3], Zhengying Shao[3], Zeyang Qu[1], Shenyue Zhu[3], Yuanzhe Shao[2], Di Zhang[1],

Richard Allen[4], Ruolin He[1], Jiqi Shao[1], Guanyue Xiong[1], Alexandre Jousset[3], Ville-Petri

Friman[5], Zhong Wei[3#], Rolf Kümmerli[4#], Zhiyuan Li[1,2#]

[1] Center for Quantitative Biology, Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Peking

University, Beijing, 100871, China

[2] Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies,

Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

[3] Jiangsu Provincial Key Lab for Organic Solid Waste Utilization, Key lab of organic-based

fertilizers of China, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P R China

[4] University of Zurich, Department of Quantitative Biomedicine, Winterthurerstr. 190, 8057

Zurich, Switzerland

[5] University of Helsinki, Department of Microbiology, 00014, Helsinki, Finland

[#]Corresponding authors (email: weizhong@njau.edu.cn; rolf.kuemmerli@uzh.ch;

zhiyuanli@pku.edu.cn)

Abstract (150)

Predicting bacterial social interactions from genome sequences is notoriously hard. Here, we

developed bioinformatic tools to predict how siderophores—secreted iron-scavenging

molecules—stimulate or inhibit the growth of community members. Siderophores are

chemically diverse and bacteria can possess receptors to take up own-produced and

heterologous siderophores. We focused on 1928 representative *Pseudomonas* genomes and

developed a co-evolution algorithm (between siderophore synthetase and receptor genes) to

match all encoded siderophores to corresponding receptor groups with >90% experimentally

validated accuracy. We derived community-level interaction networks based on our developed

lock-key algorithm and found selection for siderophore-mediated interactions seem to differ

across habitats and lifestyles. Specifically, dense webs of siderophore sharing and inhibition

among environmental (soil/water/plant) strains and non-pathogenic species, while only

rudimentary networks occurred among human-derived strains and pathogenic species.

Altogether, our sequence-to-ecology approach empowers the analyses of social interactions

among thousands of bacterial strains and facilitates targeted intervention to microbial

communities.

Introduction

Microbial communities populate all ecosystems on earth from terrestrial to aquatic environments, impacting human health, agriculture, and industry [1-3]. The dynamics and functioning of these communities are shaped by complex and unexplored interactions between microorganisms [4,5]. As the number of sequenced microbial genomes continues to grow [6,7], there is enormous interest in developing approaches to predict microbial interaction networks based on genomic data. Such efforts are essential to obtain complete insights into community functioning as many microorganisms cannot be cultured in the laboratory [8], while their ecological roles can be inferred through sequence-to-interaction mapping. Currently, sequence-to-interaction mapping approaches primarily focus on metabolic interactions, with Genome-scale Metabolic Models (GEMs) serving as the primary tool for establishing the pan-reactome of microbial communities [9,10]. These methods infer metabolic reactions from the genome annotation of enzymes, and then reconstruct a flux model to understand how microorganisms take up essential nutrients and release metabolic byproducts into the environment [11-13].

Despite the significance of primary metabolism, there is increasing evidence that secreted compounds, often synthesized through secondary metabolism, also play a major role in shaping microbial interactions [14,15]. Nearly all microbes actively synthesize and secrete compounds to fulfill a diverse set of functions, including communication, resource scavenging, motility, and attack of and defense against competitors [16,17]. Many of these secreted compounds were previously considered non-essential for microbial growth in laboratory settings, but have since been shown to be critical for competitiveness in natural environments

[14,15,18,19]. However, sequence-to-interaction mapping has rarely been applied to secreted

compounds, particularly because the synthesis and mode of action of secondary metabolites

is challenging to predict.

Here, we developed a secondary metabolite sequence-to-interaction approach focusing

on iron-scavenging siderophores, one of the most prevalent classes of microbial secondary

metabolites [20]. Iron is critical for microbial growth and survival, because of its importance as

catalytic group in enzymes guiding key biological processes such as respiration and DNA

replication [21]. However, the concentration of bioavailable iron is typically below the required

level in most habitats [21-23]. Upon iron limitation, nearly all bacteria produce siderophores, a

chemically diverse class of secreted secondary metabolites that efficiently chelate iron from

environmental stocks [24,25]. Siderophores are typically diffusible and able to chelate iron over a

broad physical range [26]. Once iron is bound, the complex is recognized and taken up by

specific receptors embedded into the bacterial cell membrane [25]. Diffusible siderophores

mediate several types of social interactions. They can cooperatively be shared among

contributing strains with a matching receptor for the uptake of the iron-siderophore complex

[25,27]. They can be deployed as competitive agents to limit access to iron for strains that lack

matching receptors [25,28]. Finally, siderophores can be exploited by cheaters that benefit from

the public good secreted by others without contributing themselves [24,25]. Consequently,

siderophore-mediated interactions can have important impacts on microbial community

dynamics and functions [29-32] both in the environment and in hosts.

The aim of our study is to infer how receptors and siderophores co-evolved and to

develop algorithms that identify matching siderophore-receptor pairs to predict interaction

networks from sequence data among strains in bacterial communities. We have previously

used the genome sequences of 1928 Pseudomonas strains to develop bioinformatic pipelines

that allowed us to predict the chemical structure of 188 pyoverdines (the main siderophores of

this genus) and to identify 4547 FpvA-receptors (segregating into 94 groups) involved in

pyoverdine uptake [33]. Here, we capitalize on this work and developed a Co-evolution Pairing

Algorithm to match the pyoverdines (key) and receptors (lock) into 47 unique lock-key groups.

Our validation experiments yielded prediction accuracies of lock-key interactions of over 90%.

Using the predicted lock-key pairs, we then reconstructed siderophore-mediated iron

interaction networks among *Pseudomonas* strains. We found that network complexity was

high among strains from soil-, water-, and plant-derived habitats, whereas complexity was low

among strains from human-derived habitats. We further noticed that interaction networks

among pathogenic species were small and loose with few pyoverdine interactions existing

between strains. The opposite was the case for strains from environmental habitats. Taken

together, we developed a robust sequence-to-interaction mapping tool for predicting social

interaction networks mediated by siderophores in complex bacterial communities. Our findings

suggest that selection for social interactions varies across habitats and lifestyles, thus

providing novel insights into community functions and connectivity.

Results

Three classes of pyoverdine strategies in *Pseudomonas* strains and the lock-key

(receptor-synthetase) principle of co-evolution

Our data set features 1928 nonredundant *Pseudomonas* strains, producing a total of 188

chemically different pyoverdine types and featuring 94 different receptor groups according to

our recently developed bioinformatic prediction tools[33].

We first explored the diversity of strains in terms of phylogeny, ecological habitat, and

pyoverdine strategies. At the phylogenetic level, our data set included a diverse set of

Pseudomonas species, whereby *P. aeruginosa* (28.7%), *P. fluorescens* (7.0%), *P. syringae*

(6.0%), and *P. putida* (2.2%) were the most abundant ones (Figure 1a). The strains originated

from diverse habitats, including human-derived habitats (21.2%), soils (13.6%), plants (12.1%),

and water (6.4%), although the origin of many strains (39.5%) is unknown (Figure 1a).

To assess the diversity of pyoverdine production and uptake strategies, we checked for

the absence or presence of pyoverdine synthesis clusters and counted the number of FpvA

receptors per strain. We found three basic types of pyoverdine-utilization strategies (Figure 1b).

"Single-receptor producers" are the most common type (985 strains, 51.1%) and refer to

strains with one pyoverdine synthesis locus and one FpvA receptor gene. "Multi-receptor

producers" are the second most common type (679 strains, 35.2%) and refer to strains with

one pyoverdine synthesis cluster and multiple receptor genes. "Non-producers" are the least

common type (264 strains, 13.7%) and refer to strains that lack the pyoverdine synthesis

cluster but contain at least one receptor gene. While strains can possess multiple FpvA

receptor genes, no strain carries more than one pyoverdine synthesis cluster. This observation

is in line with the expected high costs of pyoverdine synthetase, which is based on a series of

gigantic modular enzymes known as NPRS (non-ribosomal peptide synthetases)[34].

Figure 1 Classification of *Pseudomonas* strains and elucidation of the co-evolution

between pyoverdine synthetase and receptors. a. Phylogenetic relationship among the 1928

Pseudomonas strain based on the concatenated alignment of 400 single-copy conserved genes. Starting

from inside, colors in the first ring distinguish the five most prevalent species, with "Others" representing

the remaining less abundant species. Colors in the second ring distinguish the four most prevalent
sources of isolation. In the third ring, claret and blank regions cover strains with complete pyoverdine
synthetase clusters and strains without synthetase gene clusters, respectively. In the fourth blue ring, the
bar height indicates the number of FpvA receptors present in each strain. **b.** Strains can be classified into
three types by scoring the presence/absence of a synthetase cluster and counting the number receptors
in each genome: (i) single-receptor producers containing one pyoverdine synthetase cluster and one
FpvA receptor gene; (ii) multi-receptor producers containing one pyoverdine synthetase cluster and
several FpvA receptor genes; and (iii) Non-producers lacking synthetase gene but containing at least one
receptor gene. **c.** Heatmap visualizing distances between feature sequences of the FpvA receptors and
the pyoverdine synthetase clusters and between FpvA features sequences and phylogenetic genes
among the 986 single-receptor producers. In all three heatmaps, the hierarchical clustering of the strains
follows the one used for the FpvA feature sequences (left panel). The black squares on the heatmaps
denote the five major FpvA groups. Three of these groups correspond to the receptors found among *P.*
aeruginosa strains and are labelled with black text. **d.** The 43 largest FpvA receptor groups with more
than 10 members (sorted by group size) and their frequency among single-receptor producers,
multi-receptor producers, and non-producers.

Based on these findings, we hypothesized that in each single-receptor producer, the sole

receptor present should recognize the self-produced pyoverdine. Consequently, synthetase

and receptor pairs should engage in molecular co-evolution, such that mutational alterations in

the synthetase structure should select for corresponding changes in the receptor sequences to

preserve the lock-key relationship. To test this hypothesis, we focused on the 986

single-receptor producers and calculated the degree of covariation between sequence

distances matrices of the receptor, the synthesis cluster, and 400 conserved genes. For the

receptors (FpvA) and the synthesis cluster, we used the feature sequences that are most

predictive of receptor specificity and pyoverdine molecular structure, as identified in our

previous work[33].

In support of the co-evolution hypothesis, we found a strong correlation between the

distance matrixes of the receptors and the synthesis clusters (Pearson's r=0.88), a correlation

that is much stronger than between the receptor and the phylogeny matrix (Pearson's r=0.51)

(Figure 1c). Notably, we observed strong clustering patterns in the sequence space of the

receptors, forming distinctive blocks that closely match the clustering patterns of their

corresponding pyoverdine synthesis clusters. Using our receptor clustering pipeline[33], we

identified 17 receptor groups among the 986 single-receptor producers. Importantly, three out

of the 17 receptor groups represent the FpvA receptors found in the human pathogen *P.*

aeruginosa (labelled as GI, GII and GIII+IV in Figure 1c left panel) for which the selective

uptake of the corresponding pyoverdines was demonstrated[24,35]. These analyses strongly

indicate that cognate receptors and synthesis genes co-evolved in single-receptor producers,

resulting in one-to-one "lock-key" relationships.

Co-evolutionary lock-key groups cannot directly be inferred for multi-receptor producers

because there is currently no method to distinguish the "self-receptor" responsible for

absorbing the self-produced pyoverdine from the other FpvA receptors responsible for the

uptake of heterologous pyoverdines. Moreover, receptors diversity seems to be much larger

among multi-receptor than among single-receptor producers. Indeed, when focusing on the 43

(out of the 94) receptor groups with more than 10 members, we found that single-receptor

producers covered only 14 of these groups (32.6%), while multi-receptor producers had a

much more diverse receptor coverage (41 groups, 95.3%) (Figure 1d). Non-producers had a

similarly broad receptor coverage (34 groups, 79.1%). We also found that single-receptor

producers tend to connect more compactly (mean silhouette index = 0.96±0.16) than

multi-receptor producers (mean silhouette index = 0.78±0.19) and non-producers (mean

silhouette index = 0.79±0.20) in the sequence space (Figure S1 and S2), suggesting that

receptors from single-receptor producers might evolutionarily be more conserved than

receptors from non-producers and multi-receptor producers. Altogether, these considerations

indicate that single- and multi-receptor producers take on different roles in iron interaction

networks.

Development of the Co-evolution Pairing Algorithm and its experimental validation to

successfully predict iron interaction networks.

The aim of this section is to establish a lock-key receptor-pyoverdine interaction map across all

three strain types. A first task in this process is to identify receptors in multi-receptor producers

that are used to take up the self-produced pyoverdine. A first intuitive approach is to check for

receptors proximate to the pyoverdine synthetase (Solution 1), while an alternative approach is

to use the lock-key pairs identified for single-receptor producers and check whether similar

pairs occur in multi-receptor strains (Solution 2). However, even when combining these two

solutions, more than half of the receptor groups could not be paired to any pyoverdine

synthetase. Specifically, solution 1 identifies putative self-receptors in 87.1% (591 out of 678)

of the multi-receptor producers, and solution 2 can be applied to only 68.7% (466 of 678)

multi-receptor strains.

We thus developed an unsupervised learning algorithm, termed "Co-evolution Pairing

Algorithm" (Solution 3), which searches for the set of synthetase-receptor combinations that

maximizes co-evolutionary association (Figure 2a-b, see Method 4 for details). We then

checked for consistency in self-receptor identification across the three solutions (Figure 2c).

Solution 1 and Solution 2 yield high levels of consistency (99.5% across 433 strains). The

unsupervised Solution 3 shares high consistency with Solution 1 (93.7%, across 591 strains)

and Solution 2 (94.4%, across 466 strains), indicating that all three solutions are legitimate,

with Solution 3 having the advantage of being applicable to all strains.

Figure 2 Unsupervised algorithm to establish a lock-key pair map for pyoverdine synthetase and receptor groups and its experimental validation. a. Flowchart depicting the Co-evolution Pairing Algorithm (Solution 3) to match the synthetase in each strain to its "self-receptor",

based on an unsupervised learning scheme that optimizes co-evolutionary strength between the feature
sequence distance matrices of synthetases and matched receptors. The mean correlation coefficients (cr)
between the two matrices before and after the optimization process are shown. **b.** The correlation
coefficient (cr) and stability of the algorithm were examined by multiple rounds of learning (exp 1 to 50). **c.**
Consistency of identifying the same receptor as self-receptor across three different solutions. Solution 1:
receptor is within 20,000 bp proximity to the synthetase. Solution 2: the lock-key pairs of single-receptor
producers are applied to multi-receptor producers. Solution 3: unsupervised co-evolution pairing
algorithm. **d.** Predicted lock-key pairs connected in sequence space: 1664 synthases (bottom left) linked
to the 4547 receptors (top right). Arrows depict the 47 lock-key links between synthetase and receptor
groups. The colored (use the same color code as Figure 1c) and black/ grey shaded lines represent
groups with single-receptor producers and without single-receptor producers, respectively. **e.** Predicted
vs. observed iron interaction network among the 24 experimental strains. Each circular node represents
an experimental strain and line colors stand for single-receptor producers (green), multi-receptor
producers (yellow) and non-producers (red). Hexagons represent the predicted 13 lock-key
receptor-pyoverdine groups. Edges from strain nodes to lock-key nodes represent pyoverdine production,
while edges from lock-key nodes to strain nodes represent utilization. Green (non-usable pyoverdine)
and pink (usable pyoverdine) edges depict cases in which experimental observations match
bioinformatically predicted interactions. Blue edges depict incorrectly predicted pyoverdine interactions.
The pyoverdine groups that are produced by at least one single-receptor producer are shown as colored
hexagones with the color of the respective receptor group (Fig. 1c), whereas grey hexagons depict the
pyoverdine groups that are exclusively produced by multi-receptors. **f+g.** Predicted vs. observed iron
interaction networks based on data from a previous study carried out in the Zurich lab. The predicted
interactions were inferred by the algorithms presented in this study, while the experimental data is taken
from Table S2 of Figueiredo et al. [36].

Our Co-evolution Pairing Algorithm, allocated the self-receptors of the 1664

pyoverdine-producing strain into 47 distinct lock-key groups based on the receptor feature

distance (Figure 2d and Figure S3). Most self-receptors belong to 17 lock-key groups

(single-receptor producers: 986 = 100%, multi-receptor producers: 572 = 84.4%), while the

remaining self-receptors of multi-receptor producer (106 = 15.6%) segregate into 30 additional

receptor groups (Figure S3). Out of the total 4547 FpvA detected, we identified 2883 receptors

that are not self-receptors and thus possibly serve as cheating receptors to take up

heterologous pyoverdines. Most of these cheating receptors (2703 = 93.8%) also segregate

into the 47 lock-key groups, confirming that they could be used to exploit at least one of the

188 produced pyoverdines. The remaining cheating receptors (180 = 6.2%) could not be linked

to any of the 47 lock-key groups, suggesting the existence of rare receptor groups that

presumably match rare pyoverdine structures not covered by our dataset (Figure S3).

Thanks to the co-evolution pairing algorithm and the lock-key pairing, we can now predict

siderophore-mediated iron interaction networks, knowing which pyoverdines are produced in a

community and which receptors strains possess for pyoverdine utilization. We conducted two

experiments to validate our approach. For the first validation, we used a *Pseudomonas*

community from the Laboratory of rhizosphere microbial ecology (LorMe) in Nanjing (China)

collection originally isolated from the tomato rhizosphere [31]. We included 24 independent

strains and subjected their genomes to our bioinformatic pipelines to predict pyoverdine

structures, to find all FpvA receptors[33], to identify self-receptors, and to allocate pyoverdines

and receptors into lock-key groups. We found that our 24 strains included 4 single-receptor

producers, 16 multi-receptor producers and 4 non-producers (Figure S4) and that their

self-receptors could be allocated to 13 lock-key groups (Figure S5). With this information at

hand, we predicted the pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction network between the 24 strains

(Figure 2e). For the experimentally validation, we confirmed the pyoverdine production status

of the 20 putative producers and the 4 non-producers (Figure S4). We then followed a

modified version of our previously established protocols to calculate the net effect pyoverdine

has on the growth of other strains (GE_{Pyo}), while controlling for the effects of other metabolites

in the supernatant [31]. This approach allowed us to obtain an experimentally derived

pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction network (Figure 2e and Figure S6). We found that 90% of

the observed interactions (whether strains are stimulated or inhibited by the pyoverdines of

others) matched the computationally predicted interactions from sequence data.

The second experimental validation involved strains from the Zurich (Switzerland)

collection, isolated from soil and freshwater habitats [25]. In this case, we used published

experimental data from the literature [36]. The focus of this earlier study was to test whether the

opportunistic human pathogen *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 can invade natural soil and pond

communities based on its ability to use pyoverdine from the natural isolates. We used data

from all the strains for which genome sequences were available (PAO1 and 33 natural

isolates), to establish pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction networks (Figure 2f-g). We then

applied our bioinformatic pipelines as explained for the Nanjing collection and found a high

level of consistency (94%) between the predicted and observed pyoverdine-mediated iron

interactions (Figure 2f-g). Altogether, the two validation experiments demonstrate that

siderophore-mediated microbial interactions can accurately be predicted based on

genome-sequence analysis using the lock-key relationship between receptor and synthetase

genes.

Pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction networks vary across habitats

Following the successful validation, we applied the lock-key pairing methodology to our full

data set to construct the pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction network among all 1928

Pseudomonas strains (Figure 3a). To keep traceability in such an enormous network, we

allocated strains into functional siderophore groups, defined as strains that produce the same

pyoverdine type and can utilize the same repertoire of pyoverdines. We identified 407 such

functional siderophore groups (represented as circular nodes). We further show the 47

different lock-key receptor-pyoverdine groups as hexagonal nodes. Edges from functional

siderophore nodes to lock-key nods represent pyoverdine production, while edges in the

opposite direction represent utilization. Overall, the network featured 307 production edges

and 1788 utilization edges.

290
Figure 3 *Pseudomonas* iron interaction networks vary across habitats. a. The predicted

iron interaction networks mediated by pyoverdines among 1928 *Pseudomonas* strains. Circular nodes
represent functional siderophore groups (i.e., strains that produce the same pyoverdine type and utilize
the same repertoire of pyoverdines) with node size being proportional to the number of strains within this
functional group. Line colors of circular nodes represent single-receptor producers (green), multi-receptor
producers (yellow) and non-producers (red). Hexagonal nodes represent lock-key pyoverdine
receptor-synthetase groups with node size being proportional to the number of strains utilizing the
corresponding pyoverdine. The hexagons of the 17 functional siderophore groups found among
single-receptor producers are highlighted with the corresponding receptor group colors (as shown in Fig.
1c), while the functional siderophore groups that are exclusively found among multi-receptor producers
are depicted by grey hexagons. Edges from circular to hexagonal nodes represent pyoverdine production,
while edges from hexagonal to circular nodes represent pyoverdine utilization (with edge color matching
the color of the functional siderophore group).
b. The in- and **c.** the out-degree distribution of the siderophore nodes. The in- and out- degrees are
defined by the number of edges pointing towards (representing production) or originating from
(representing utilization) a siderophore node. **d-g.** Iron interaction networks of strains isolated from soil,
plant, water and human habitats. The color bars on the right of each panel show the proportion of
single-receptor producers (green), multi-receptor producers (yellow) and non-producers (red). Node
symbols and colors are the same as in panel **a.**

Our iron interaction network can be considered as a special version of a bipartite network,

characterized by two types of nodes and directional edges. In ecological bipartite networks

such as those associated with pollination and food webs, topology plays a crucial role for

ecological functions and community assemblies [37]. The topological metrics of our network

reveals significant heterogeneity. Specifically, the in- and out-degree distribution of

siderophore nodes is heavy-tailed, indicating "hub" siderophores that are either produced or

utilized more extensively than others (Figure 3b-c). This high degree of heterogeneity

suggests non-random network structure, likely influenced by specific eco-evolutionary forces

(e.g., fierce competition for iron). Indeed, we observed higher than expected yet moderate

modularity (Qb=0.51, compared to Qb=0.41 in a randomized network) and nestedness

(NODF=0.15, compared to NODF=0.04 in a randomized network). These values differ from

mutualistic networks that typically feature high nestedness (e.g., pollination networks), while

antagonistic networks are typically characterized by high modularity (e.g., herbivory and

host-parasite networks) [37,38]. Taken together, the iron interaction network may represent a

novel type of ecological bipartite network and stands out as one of the largest reported to date.

Next, we created separate networks for strains isolated from soil (262 strains), plant (234),

water (124), and human-derived (409) habitats. We found that frequencies of the three

pyoverdine strategies and network topologies varied fundamentally between the four habitats

(Figure 3d-g). For example, among the soil-derived strains, there were 56.9% multi-receptor

producers, 27.5% single-receptor producers and 15.7% non-producers (Table S1). In contrast,

there were only 10.0% multi-receptor producers and 4.0% non-producers, but 86.1%

single-receptor producers among human-derived strains. Regarding network topologies, we

observed that the number of functional siderophore groups was higher for soil (130, value

scaled to number of strains = 0.50), plant (97, 0.41), and water (70, 0.56) habitats than for

human-related habitats (41, 0.10). Moreover, many functional siderophore groups (60.7%)

exclusively occurred in a single habitat: soil (80, 23.7%), plant (56, 16.6%), water (43, 12.7%),

and human (26, 7.7%), whereas only 8 functional groups (Figure S7a) and 11 lock-key groups

(Figure S7b) are present in all four habitats. Altogether, these results indicate that the

eco-evolutionary forces shaping the iron interaction networks differ substantially across

habitats.

Pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction networks vary between pathogenic and

non-pathogenic species

Pseudomonas spp. do not only populate a variety of habitats but can also display diverse

lifestyles. The most prominent divine in lifestyle occurs between pathogenic and

non-pathogenic species. Here, we explore whether iron interaction networks differ between

these two lifestyles. We allocated strains to pathogenic and non-pathogenic species groups

and predicted the iron interaction networks for all species with more than five strains (Fig. 4a).

The most abundant pathogenic species were the human pathogen *P. aeruginosa*[39] and the

plant pathogen *P. syringae*, while the most abundant non-pathogenic environmental species

were *P. fluorescens* and *P. putida*, of which many are neutral or even beneficial for hosts (Table

S2).

Figure 4. Network properties differ between pathogenic and non-pathogenic
***Pseudomonas* spp. a.** The pyoverdine-mediated iron interaction network for pathogenic (top row) and
non-pathogenic (bottom row) species with more than five strains in our database. Symbols and color
codes match the ones in Figure 3a. **b-c.** The difference of the percentage of multi-receptor producers and
the number of the functional siderophore group between different species lifestyles. Pathogenic species
are colored red, non-pathogenic species are colored blue, and species that do not have clear role in
pathogenicity are colored gray. **d.** The difference of diversity quantified by the entropy of their functional
group frequencies between different species lifestyles. **e.** The grey dotted line represents the ratio of the
synthetase number verse receptor number (termed as "Syn/Rec", x-axis), for each lock-key group.
Lock-key groups are colored by as these in Figure 3a. **f.** The difference of the weighted Syn/Rec ratio for
each species between different species lifestyles. **g.** The percentage of multi-receptor producers (y-axis)
and the weighted Syn/Rec ratio (x-axis) for each species clearly separates pathogenic and
non-pathogenic strains (segregation illustrated by the dashed line). The size of the dot represents its
diversity quantified by the entropy of their functional group frequencies. Same color coding as that in **b**.

We observed multiple differences in network topologies between the two lifestyles (Figure

4a). First, strains of pathogenic species are mostly single-receptor producers or non-producers

(Figure 4a-b), while strains of non-pathogenic species are primarily multi-receptor producers

(Figure 4a-b). Second, the diversity of functional siderophore groups is much lower in

pathogenic compared to non-pathogenic species (Figure 4c and Table S2). Consequently, the

complexity of iron interaction networks (quantified by the entropy of their functional groups) is

lower in pathogenic than in non-pathogenic species (Figure 4c). For example, *P. aeruginosa*

(the most abundant species in our dataset, 554 strains), has a simple interaction network with

only three functional siderophore groups, while *P. fluorescens* (135 strains) has a complex

interaction network with 13 functional siderophore groups (Table S2). Finally, we calculate the

Syn/Rec value defined as the ratio of synthetase and receptor groups present in a lock-key

group (Figure 4e). In each lock-key group, we calculated the Syn/Rec ratio as the count of

synthetase divided by the count of receptors. A Syn/Rec ratio near one indicates that there are

no cheating receptors in this lock-key group, i.e. this pyoverdine is exclusive to its producers

and cannot be utilized by strains not producing it. Conversely, a Syn/Rec ratio near zero

means that most receptors in this lock-key group are cheating receptors, and the

corresponding pyoverdine is more sharable and exploitable.

We found that the Syn/Rec ratio was much lower in non-pathogenic than in pathogenic

species (Figure 4f). Furthermore, Syn/Rec ratio differs substantially across lock-key groups

(Figure 4e). For example, the lock-key groups 39 (purple hexagon, *P. aeruginosa*) and 35

(cyan, several plant pathogens, Fig. 4a) have high Syn/Rec ratios of 0.93 and 0.89,

respectively. In contrast, the lock-key group 94 (light green, P. fluorescens) has a much lower

Syn/Rec ratio of 0.20. Collectively, the proportion of multi-receptor producers and the

preference for producing shareable or exclusive siderophores clearly differentiate pathogenic

from non-pathogenic species on the two-dimensional plane (Figure 4g). Altogether, these

results show that non-pathogenic species form open networks dominated by shareable

pyoverdine lock-key groups, whereas pathogenic species form more closed networks

dominated by exclusive pyoverdine lock-key groups.

Modeling the relationship between pyoverdine utilization strategies and community

dynamics

We build simple ecological models to explore the connection between pyoverdine utilization

strategies of individual strains and the resulting community dynamics (Figure 5a) [40]. Our model

revealed that different single-receptor producers cannot co-exist, illustrating the competitive

exclusion principle [40] and matching our observation that a high proportion of single-receptor

producers is associated with simple network structures (Figure 4a). In contrast, multi-receptor

producers tend to coexist in our model (Figure 5b), mirroring our observation that a high

proportion of multi-receptor producers correlates with increased network diversity and

complexity (Figure 3 and Figure 4a). Finally, non-producers cannot sustain themselves in the

absence of producers.

Next, we simulated the consequences of invasion by introducing a strain ("invader") with a

higher resource budget (available for intrinsic growth and siderophore production) into a

steady-state community ("local community") (Figure 5c). Across numerous parameters sets,

we observed that single-receptor producers were the best invaders followed by non-producers

(Figure 5d). Successful single-receptor producers typically wiped out the local community.

Conversely, successful multi-receptor producers integrated themselves into and co-existed

with the local community (Figure 5d).

416
417

Figure 5. Mathematical model exploring the relationship between pyoverdine utilization
strategies and community dynamics.

a. Schematic diagram of the ecological iron competition model. Each microbe   can produce
siderophores of type   with resource budget , and obtain iron by absorbing siderophore-iron complexes
through corresponding receptors (fraction of receptors denoted as). Growth rate is proportional to the
total amount of absorbed iron and the fraction of resources allocated to primary metabolism, . Various
strains with distinct strategies are considered, including single-receptor producers (green), multi-receptor
producers (orange), and non-producers (red), differing in their   and   values. 20 strains are assembled
into communities, with diverse initial inoculation densities. They then compete in a chemostat-like model
until reaching a steady state. **b.** Distribution of the number of species at steady state, for communities
initiated with a single strategy type: single-receptor producers (green), multi-receptor producers (yellow),
and non-producers (red). **c.** Schematic diagram depicting the modelled invasion scenario together with
the different possible outcomes. Putative invaders are introduced at low frequency into a local community
at steady state. **d.** Examples illustrating common invasion dynamics. Top panel: a local community with
three species (various blue shades) is invaded by a single-receptor producer (purple). Middle panel: a
local community is invaded by a multi-receptor producer. Bottom panel: summary statistics showing the
proportion of cases, in which invaders completely wiped out the local community as a function of their
pyoverdine utilization strategy. **e.** The likelihood of a single-receptor producer to invade a local
community of multi-receptor producers for four different iron interaction networks. Scenarios from top to

bottom show: (1) no overlap in siderophore utilization between the local community and the invader; (2)

multi-receptor producer from the local community can cheat on invader's siderophore; (3) invader and

one multi-receptor producer share the same siderophore; (4) scenario combining (2) and (3). Schematics

are simplifications and do not reflect the actual number of species in the local community.

Invasion probability further depended on the pyoverdine lock-key relationship between the

invader (here modelled as a single-receptor producer, e.g. matching a pathogenic lifestyle)

and the local community (Figure 5e). Invasion probability of the invader is close to zero if the

local community can cheat on the invader's siderophore. Invasion probability is high if the

invader has an exclusive siderophore or if one of the local community members produces the

same siderophore as the invader (i.e., enabling cheating). Taken together, our modelling

results reveal that the relative proportion of single- and multi-receptor producers and their

interactions via siderophores has fundamental consequences for community diversity and

network complexity.

Discussion

Predicting interactions between microbes from sequence data offers exciting opportunities for

understanding microbiome assembly and stability, and may lay the foundation of

biotechnological and medical microbiome interventions. While sequence-to-interaction

mapping has predominantly been carried out for primary metabolism involving resource

consumption, conversion, and cross-feeding, there are few approaches to reconstruct

microbial interactions based on secondary metabolites (antibiotics, toxins, siderophores,

surfactants) [41-43]. In our paper, we offer such an approach by developing a co-evolution

inspired computational approach to infer iron interaction networks mediated by pyoverdines (a

class of iron-scavenging siderophores) within communities of *Pseudomonas* bacteria.

Pyoverdines can both promote (through molecule sharing) and inhibit (through iron blocking)

the growth of other strains, depending on a molecular lock-key (receptor-pyoverdine)

mechanism[25,44]. Our co-evolution pairing algorithm managed to pair 188 pyoverdines types

and 4547 receptors into 47 lock-key groups. Our experimentally validated approach allowed us

to reconstruct the iron interaction network of 1928 *Pseudomonas* strain. We found intriguing

network differences between habitats (soil, plant, water, human-derived habitats) and between

microbial lifestyles (pathogenic and non-pathogenic). Large and highly connected

siderophore-mediated iron interaction networks occurred among non-pathogenic

environmental strain, whereas small and disconnected network dominated among pathogenic

strains. These results suggest that selection pressures shaping bacterial interaction networks

differ fundamentally between habitats and lifestyles.

Our sequence-to-ecology approach underscores several challenges associated with the

reconstruction of interactions driven by secondary metabolites. The first challenge is that the

chemical structures of secondary metabolites are often difficult to infer from sequencing data

because the metabolites are produced by non-ribosomal peptide and polyketide synthesis

pathways. The second challenge is to identify pyoverdine receptor genes among the many

different types of siderophore receptor genes each strain possesses. We solved these

challenges in our first paper[33], in which we developed approaches based on feature

sequences that allowed us to infer the chemical structure of 188 pyoverdines and identify 4547

pyoverdine receptor genes. The third challenge is to pair pyoverdines to matching receptors

within and across strains. While co-evolution analyses are a widely used computational tool,

employed in diverse areas ranging from ab initio protein structure to host-pathogen interaction

predictions [45], we could not use existing algorithms, such as DCA, SCA, and Evoformer [46-48].

The reason is that these classical site-based co-evolution methods depend on paired

sequences between which the degree of covariation is quantified, yet the existence of

multi-receptor producers impeded direct assignments of synthetase-receptor pairs. For this

purpose, we developed an unsupervised learning algorithm (called Co-evolution Paring

Algorithm), which yielded 47 receptor-synthetase lock-key pairs. This step was essential to

reconstruct iron interaction networks. Our new pipeline has the potential to be applied to

several other microbial traits. For example, microbial membrane receptors co-evolve with

phages[49,50], and pairing phages with the receptor they utilize for infection could provide

insights into host-pathogen co-evolution and thus bacteria-host interaction networks in natural

communities.

Our sequence-to-interaction mapping together with the mathematical model yielded

several new biological insights into the ecology and evolution of microbial iron interaction

networks. First, multi-receptor producers seem to be the glue of iron interaction networks.

They harbor a large diversity of pyoverdine and receptor types, such that they connect to many

other single- and multi-receptor producers and foster the formation of large and highly

connected networks. Second, networks of non-pathogenic species and communities in natural

soil and water habitats are large and highly interconnected. These networks are dominated by

multi-receptor producers and seem to be open to invasion. In other words, new strains can

easily integrate themselves into existing communities without much disturbance to the local

community. This suggests that selection for siderophore and receptor diversity is particularly

high in species-rich habitats. Third and in contrast to the above, networks of pathogenic

species and communities in human-derived habitats are small, scattered and of low complexity.

They are dominated by single-receptor producers with a compromised repertoire of

pyoverdine and receptor types. Single-receptor producers seem to be good invaders, having a

high potential to disrupt and displace the local community. These results suggest that selection

favors exclusive siderophores in pathogens as successful invasion is a key aspect of their

lifestyle. Fourth, strains with a strict cheating strategy (non-producers) occur but are relatively

rare (13.6%). However, it is important to note that our approach yields a conservative

non-producer estimate because regulatory non-producers that have a synthetase cluster but

do not express it also occur[24] but cannot be detected with our approach. Given that

non-producers are fully dependent on siderophore producers, it is intuitive to understand that

they are (i) more common in environmental habitats featuring many multi-receptor producers

with a rich pyoverdine repertoire, and (ii) best at invading multi-receptor communities.

Our insights on pyoverdine utilization strategies and their consequences for community

dynamics reveal ways of how siderophore-mediated interactions could be leveraged for

biotechnological applications[51]. In this context, there is great interest in using probiotic strains

in agriculture to protect crops from infections by bacterial plant pathogens. There is increasing

evidence that siderophore-mediated interactions play a key role in this process[44,52]. For

example, it was shown that plant-beneficial *Chryseobacterium* strains use their siderophores

to suppress the plant-pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*[53]. The approach has recently been

extended to human pathogens, which were found to be suppressed by exclusive siderophores

from environmental *Pseudomomnas* spp.[51]. While these studies explored

siderophore-interactions across the species boundaries without clear knowledge on the

specific receptor setup, we here propose a strategic two-pronged design approach. First,

single-receptor producers with exclusive siderophores should be designed and used as

probiotics to competitively exclude pathogens in simple communities. Second, multi-receptor

producers with an exclusive siderophore against the pathogen and cheating receptor that can

utilize the pathogen's siderophores should be designed and used as probiotics in more

complex communities. They could integrate themselves without major disruption of the local

community, yet still competitively exclude the pathogen via the exclusive siderophore.

In conclusion, we succeeded in developing a sequence-to-interaction mapping approach

for siderophores that has a high potential to deliver new insights into microbial ecology. Given

that iron is a key trace element that is limited in most environments, siderophore-mediated

interactions are an ideal entry point for secondary metabolite analysis from sequence data.

While we focused on *Pseudomonas* strains, we know that siderophore-mediated interactions

occur across the species boundaries. For example. *P. aeruginosa* possesses receptors to take

up enterobactin produced by *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. and schizokinen produced by. *Ralstonia*

solanacearum [54]. Thus, the next step would be to apply our concepts to more diverse bacterial

communities to derive microbiome-level iron-interaction maps that could further guide rational

designs for biotechnological microbiota interventions.

Data Availability

The source code and parameters used are available in the supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Xuedi Huang for the insight on pyoverdine receptor analysis.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China

(No. 2021YFF1200500, 2021YFA0910700), National Natural Science Foundation of China

(No. 42107140, No. 32071255, No.41922053, No.T2321001), National Postdoctoral Program

for Innovative Talents (No. BX2021012). R.K. is supported jointly by a grant from the Swiss

National Science Foundation no. 310030_212266. V-P.F. is supported jointly by a grant from

UKRI, Defra, and the Scottish Government, under the Strategic Priorities Fund Plant Bacterial

Diseases program (BB/T010606/1), Research Council of Finland, and The Finnish Research

Impact Foundation.

Author contributions

Shaohua Gu performed the majority of computational and experimental data analysis in this

research and drafted the manuscript. Zhengying Shao and Shenyue Zhu performed the

experiment of testing pyoverdine-mediated interaction between *Pseudomonas* strains. Zeyang

Qu performed the mathematical model exploring the relationship between pyoverdine

utilization strategies and community dynamics. Di Zhang developed unsupervised co-evolution

pairing algorithm for identifying self-receptor and Richard Allen offered the insight on this

algorithm. Yuanzhe Shao, Ruolin He, Jiqi Shao, Guanyue Xiong assisted in cleaning up the

codes. Alexandre Jousset and Ville-Petri Friman offered insightful comments and assisted in

revising and writing of the manuscript. Rolf Kümmerli and Zhong Wei oversaw the project,

designed experiments and revised the manuscript. Zhiyuan Li conceptualized, oversaw the

project and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1   Cavicchioli, R. *et al.* Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate

change. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17**, 569-586, doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5

(2019).

2   Rani, N., Sangwan, P., Joshi, M., Sagar, A. & Bala, K. in *Microbial Wastewater*

Treatment   (eds Maulin P. Shah & Susana Rodriguez-Couto)   83-102 (Elsevier,

2019).

3   Wang, X. *et al.* Phage combination therapies for bacterial wilt disease in tomato.

Nature Biotechnology **37**, 1513-1520, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0328-3 (2019).

4   Kuramitsu Howard, K., He, X., Lux, R., Anderson Maxwell, H. & Shi, W. Interspecies

Interactions within Oral Microbial Communities. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology*

Reviews **71**, 653-670, doi:10.1128/MMBR.00024-07 (2007).

5   Konopka, A., Lindemann, S. & Fredrickson, J. Dynamics in microbial communities:

unraveling mechanisms to identify principles. *The ISME Journal* **9**, 1488-1495,

doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.251 (2015).

6   Handelsman, J. Metagenomics: Application of Genomics to Uncultured

Microorganisms. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **68**, 669-685,

doi:10.1128/MMBR.68.4.669-685.2004 (2004).

7   Almeida, A. *et al.* A new genomic blueprint of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* **568**,

499-504, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0965-1 (2019).

8   Schloss, P. D. & Handelsman, J. Metagenomics for studying unculturable

microorganisms: cutting the Gordian knot. *Genome Biology* **6**, 229,

doi:10.1186/gb-2005-6-8-229 (2005).

9   Gu, C., Kim, G. B., Kim, W. J., Kim, H. U. & Lee, S. Y. Current status and applications

of genome-scale metabolic models. *Genome Biology* **20**, 121,

doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1730-3 (2019).

10   Colarusso, A. V., Goodchild-Michelman, I., Rayle, M. & Zomorrodi, A. R.

Computational modeling of metabolism in microbial communities on a genome-scale.

Current Opinion in Systems Biology **26**, 46-57,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coisb.2021.04.001 (2021).

11   Pacheco, A. R., Moel, M. & Segrè, D. Costless metabolic secretions as drivers of

interspecies interactions in microbial ecosystems. *Nature Communications* **10**, 103,

doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07946-9 (2019).

12   Gude, S. & Taga, M. E. Multi-faceted approaches to discovering and predicting

microbial nutritional interactions. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **62**, 58-64,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.08.005 (2020).

13   Louca, S. & Doebeli, M. Calibration and analysis of genome-based models for

microbial ecology. *eLife* **4**, e08208, doi:10.7554/eLife.08208 (2015).

14   Penn, K. *et al.* Genomic islands link secondary metabolism to functional adaptation in

marine Actinobacteria. *The ISME Journal* **3**, 1193-1203, doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.58

(2009).

15   Scherlach, K. & Hertweck, C. Mediators of mutualistic microbe–microbe interactions.

Natural Product Reports **35**, 303-308, doi:10.1039/C7NP00035A (2018).

16   Netzker, T. *et al.* Microbial communication leading to the activation of silent fungal

secondary metabolite gene clusters. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 299,

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00299 (2015).

17   Demain, A. L. & Fang, A. The natural functions of secondary metabolites. *Adv*

Biochem Eng Biotechnol **69**, 1-39, doi:10.1007/3-540-44964-7_1 (2000).

18   Gao, H., Weitao, T. & He, Q. Coping with the environment: how microbes survive

environmental challenges. *Int J Microbiol* **2011**, 379519, doi:10.1155/2011/379519

(2011).

19   Wolf, D. M., Vazirani, V. V. & Arkin, A. P. Diversity in times of adversity: probabilistic

strategies in microbial survival games. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **234**, 227-253,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2004.11.020 (2005).

20   He, R. *et al.* Knowledge-guided data mining on the standardized architecture of NRPS:

Subtypes, novel motifs, and sequence entanglements. *PLOS Computational Biology*

19, e1011100, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011100 (2023).

21 Andrews, S. C., Robinson, A. K. & Rodríguez-Quiñones, F. Bacterial iron homeostasis.

FEMS Microbiology Reviews **27**, 215–237, doi:10.1016/s0168-6445(03)00055-x

(2003).

22 Boyd, P. W. & Ellwood, M. J. The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean. *Nat.*

Geosci. **3**, 675-682, doi:10.1038/ngeo964 (2010).

23 Emerson, D., Roden, E. & Twining, B. The microbial ferrous wheel: iron cycling in

terrestrial, freshwater, and marine environments. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3**,

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00383 (2012).

24 Butaitė, E., Baumgartner, M., Wyder, S. & Kümmerli, R. Siderophore cheating and

cheating resistance shape competition for iron in soil and freshwater Pseudomonas

communities. *Nature Communications* **8**, 414, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00509-4

(2017).

25 Kramer, J., Özkaya, Ö. & Kümmerli, R. Bacterial siderophores in community and host

interactions. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **18**, 152-163,

doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0284-4 (2020).

26 Leventhal, G. E., Ackermann, M. & Schiessl, K. T. Why microbes secrete molecules to

modify their environment: the case of iron-chelating siderophores. *Journal of The*

Royal Society Interface **16**, 20180674, doi:10.1098/rsif.2018.0674 (2019).

27 West, S. A., Diggle, S. P., Buckling, A., Gardner, A. & Griffin, A. S. The Social Lives of

Microbes. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **38**, 53-77,

doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095740 (2007).

28   Miethke, M. & Marahiel Mohamed, A. Siderophore-Based Iron Acquisition and

658   Pathogen Control. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **71**, 413-451,

659   doi:10.1128/MMBR.00012-07 (2007).

660   29   Seyoum, Y., Baye, K. & Humblot, C. Iron homeostasis in host and gut bacteria – a

661   complex interrelationship. *Gut Microbes* **13**, 1874855,

662   doi:10.1080/19490976.2021.1874855 (2021).

663   30   Schaible, U. E. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. Iron and microbial infection. *Nature Reviews*

664   *Microbiology* **2**, 946-953, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1046 (2004).

665   31   Gu, S. *et al.* Competition for iron drives phytopathogen control by natural rhizosphere

666   microbiomes. *Nature Microbiology* **5**, 1002–1010, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0719-8

667   (2020).

668   32   Gu, S. *et al.* Siderophore-Mediated Interactions Determine the Disease

669   Suppressiveness of Microbial Consortia. *mSystems* **5**, e00811-00819,

670   doi:10.1128/mSystems.00811-19 (2020).

671   33   Shaohua, G. *et al.* From sequence to molecules: Feature sequence-based genome

672   mining uncovers the hidden diversity of bacterial siderophore pathways. *bioRxiv*,

673   2023.2010.2030.564663, doi:10.1101/2023.10.30.564663 (2023).

674   34   Bozhüyük, K. A. J. *et al.* De novo design and engineering of non-ribosomal peptide

675   synthetases. *Nature Chemistry* **10**, 275-281, doi:10.1038/nchem.2890 (2018).

676   35   Meyer, J. M. *et al.* Use of siderophores to type pseudomonads: the three

677   Pseudomonas aeruginosa pyoverdine systems. *Microbiology (Reading)* **143 (Pt 1)**,

678   35-43, doi:10.1099/00221287-143-1-35 (1997).

36   Figueiredo, A. R. T., Özkaya, Ö., Kümmerli, R. & Kramer, J. Siderophores drive

invasion dynamics in bacterial communities through their dual role as public good

versus public bad. *Ecology Letters* **25**, 138-150, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13912

(2022).

37   Thébault, E. & Fontaine, C. Stability of Ecological Communities and the Architecture of

Mutualistic   and   Trophic   Networks.   *Science*   **329**,   853-856,

doi:10.1126/science.1188321 (2010).

38   Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melián, C. J. & Olesen, J. M. The nested assembly of

plant–animal mutualistic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

100, 9383-9387, doi:10.1073/pnas.1633576100 (2003).

39   Holloway, B. W. Genetic Recombination in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microbiology*

13, 572-581, doi:https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-13-3-572 (1955).

40   Jiqi, S., Yinxiang, L., Jingyuan, L., Shaohua, G. & Zhiyuan, L. Siderophore Piracy

Promotes   Dynamical   Coexistence   in   Microbial   Community.   *bioRxiv*,

2023.2011.2021.568182, doi:10.1101/2023.11.21.568182 (2023).

41   Tsilimigras, M. C. B. & Fodor, A. A. Compositional data analysis of the microbiome:

fundamentals,   tools,   and   challenges.   *Annals of Epidemiology*   **26**,   330-335,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.03.002 (2016).

42   Weiss, S. *et al.* Correlation detection strategies in microbial data sets vary widely in

sensitivity and precision. *ISME J* **10**, 1669-1681, doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.235 (2016).

43   Faust, K. & Raes, J. Microbial interactions: from networks to models. *Nature Reviews*

Microbiology **10**, 538-550, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2832 (2012).

44 Gu, S. *et al.* Competition for iron drives phytopathogen control by natural rhizosphere

microbiomes. *Nature Microbiology* **5**, 1002-1010, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0719-8

(2020).

45 Chen, H. M., Guo, W., Shen, J., Wang, L. & Song, J. N. Structural Principles Analysis

of Host-Pathogen Protein-Protein Interactions: A Structural Bioinformatics Survey.

Ieee Access **6**, 11760-11771, doi:10.1109/Access.2018.2807881 (2018).

46 Greensmith, J., Feyereisl, J. & Aickelin, U. The DCA: SOMe comparison. *Evolutionary*

Intelligence **1**, 85-112, doi:10.1007/s12065-008-0008-6 (2008).

47 Mirjalili, S. SCA: A Sine Cosine Algorithm for solving optimization problems.

Knowledge-Based Systems **96**, 120-133,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.12.022 (2016).

48 Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*

596, 583-589, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2 (2021).

49 Hampton, H. G., Watson, B. N. J. & Fineran, P. C. The arms race between bacteria

and their phage foes. *Nature* **577**, 327-336, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1894-8 (2020).

50 Kortright, K. E., Chan, B. K., Koff, J. L. & Turner, P. E. Phage Therapy: A Renewed

Approach to Combat Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria. *Cell Host & Microbe* **25**, 219-232,

doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.014 (2019).

51 Vollenweider, V. *et al.*   (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2023).

52 Gu, S. *et al.* Siderophore-Mediated Interactions Determine the Disease

Suppressiveness of Microbial Consortia. *mSystems* **5**,

10.1128/msystems.00811-00819, doi:10.1128/msystems.00811-19 (2020).

53   Rehm, K. *et al.* Chryseochelins—structural characterization of novel citrate-based

siderophores produced by plant protecting Chryseobacterium spp. *Metallomics* **15**,

mfad008, doi:10.1093/mtomcs/mfad008 (2023).

54   Kümmerli, R. Iron acquisition strategies in pseudomonads: mechanisms, ecology, and

evolution. *Biometals* **36**, 777-797, doi:10.1007/s10534-022-00480-8 (2023).

728