Sex chromosome turnover in hybridizing stickleback lineages

Xueling Yi[1,*], Dandan Wang[1], Kerry Reid[1], Xueyun Feng[2,3], Ari Löytynoja[3] & Juha Merilä[1,2,*]

[1] Area of Ecology and Biodiversity, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong
Kong SAR

[2] Ecological Genetics Research Unit, Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme,
Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

[3] Institute of Biotechnology, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

*Corresponding authors: Xueling Yi (xlyi@hku.hk) & Juha Merilä (merila@hku.hk)

Abstract

Recent discoveries of sex chromosome diversity across the tree of life have challenged the canonical

model of conserved sex chromosome evolution and evoked new theories on labile sex chromosomes that

maintain less differentiation and undergo frequent turnover. However, theories of labile sex chromosome

evolution lack direct empirical support due to the paucity of case studies demonstrating ongoing sex

chromosome turnover in nature. Two divergent lineages (*viz*. WL & EL) of nine-spined sticklebacks

(*Pungitius pungitius*) with different sex chromosomes (linkage group [LG] 12 in the EL, unknown in the

WL) hybridize in a natural secondary contact zone in the Baltic Sea, providing an opportunity to study

ongoing turnover between coexisting sex chromosomes. We first identified an 80 kbp genomic region on

LG3 as the sex-determining region (SDR) using whole-genome resequencing data of family crosses. We

then verified this region as the SDR in most other WL populations and demonstrated an ongoing sex

chromosome turnover in admixed marine populations where the evolutionarily younger and

homomorphic LG3 sex chromosomes replace the older and heteromorphic LG12 sex chromosomes. The

results provide a rare glimpse of ongoing sex chromosome turnover and indicate possible existence of

additional but yet undiscovered sex chromosome diversity in *Pungitius* sticklebacks.

Keywords: admixture, introgression, *Pungitius pungitius*, sex-determining region, SDR

Introduction

In addition to genetic sex determination, sex chromosomes play an important role in reproductive isolation and speciation [1,2]. Sex chromosome evolution and diversification are thus crucial processes in biodiversification, and yet these processes remain understudied in many taxa. The canonical model proposes that sex chromosomes evolve from autosomes by gaining the master sex-determining gene (e.g., *Sry* in mammals) in a sex-determining region (SDR) that ceases recombination due to sexually antagonistic selection [3]. Accumulating repeats and deleterious mutations on the sex-limited chromosome (i.e., Y or W) eventually result in their degeneration and structural heteromorphism, which has been observed in highly conserved sex chromosomes of eutherian mammals, birds, and some insects [4]. On the other hand, recent studies of reptiles, amphibians, and fish have found highly labile sex chromosomes where different (sometimes unknown) genes may be recruited to trigger the sex-determining development [5]. In addition, the observation of diverse SDRs and homomorphic sex chromosomes indicates frequent turnovers where sex chromosomes can revert to autosomes before becoming highly diverged or degenerated [6–8], which indicates a nonlinear evolutionary model with little impact from sexually antagonistic selection [3,9–11]. The diversity and evolutionary lability of sex chromosomes across the tree of life [12] has thus challenged the canonical model and inspired new theories of sex chromosome evolution [3,9,10].

Sex chromosome turnover has been mostly inferred on the basis of sister lineages having different sex chromosomes [7,8,13]. In theory, the evolutionary process of sex chromosome turnover should involve a temporary stage when different SDRs coexist and either is able to determine sex. For example, an ancestral lineage of Medaka was proposed to have both ancestral and new SDRs, the latter eventually taking over and leading to the contemporary species that experienced a sex chromosome turnover [5]. However, empirical cases of coexisting sex chromosomes remain rare, partly due to knowledge gaps of the SDR diversity in nature and the difficulty of detecting young homomorphic sex chromosomes [14]. Recent studies of the Japanese soil frog found hybridization between populations having ZZ/ZW and XX/XY sex systems [15,16] but these rare case studies have focussed on homologous sex chromosomes, which is different from the turnover between non-homologous pairs of sex chromosomes where the replaced recombining sex chromosome (X or Z) should revert to an autosome [3]. Therefore, empirical case studies are needed to demonstrate coexisting non-homologous sex chromosomes in nature and test theoretical mechanisms underlying their turnover such as sexually antagonistic selection [17] and selection against deleterious mutation load [18,19].

The nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) is a small cold-adapted fish with a circumpolar

distribution in the northern hemisphere. The species took multiple trans-Arctic waves of colonization

from North Pacific to Europe, forming divergent western (WL) and eastern (EL) European lineages [20–24]

that have different sex chromosomes [25]. The EL and non-European populations all have heteromorphic

sex chromosomes (XX/XY) identified as linkage group 12 (LG12) with a large Y proposed to have

evolved from a historically introgressed inversion from the closely related *P. sinensis* [25,26]. However,

LG12 is not associated with phenotypic sex in the WL which has unidentified homomorphic sex

chromosomes that seem to have evolved more recently [25]. In addition, WL and EL hybridize in the

southeastern North Sea and southern Baltic Sea [20–22,24,27], indicating coexistence of different sex

chromosomes and a potential sex chromosome turnover.

The goal of this study was twofold. First, we aimed to identify the homomorphic sex chromosomes in the

WL using whole-genome resequencing data of family crosses with known phenotypic sex. The

homomorphic and evolutionarily young WL sex chromosomes are predicted to have low levels of

degeneration and a narrow SDR with limited sequence differentiation [14]. Second, we aimed to

characterize sex chromosome diversity using whole-genome resequencing data of 45 nine-spined

stickleback populations across the species distribution range, including those in the natural secondary

contact zone. We hypothesize that admixture between WL and EL results in a sex chromosome turnover,

which predicts an unequal ratio of coexisting sex chromosomes in admixed populations where the more

prevalent pair of sex chromosomes take over the other.

Results

Identification of the WL SDR

We identified the WL SDR using four one-generation family crosses of wild-caught individuals with

known phenotypic sexes from Belgium (Table S1). Whole-genome resequencing of eight parents and 120

F_1 offspring generated a total of 2,894,080,759 QC-passed reads that were all mapped to the ver.7

reference genome of *P. pungitius* [28]. Using the module ParentCall2 in LepMAP3 [29], we identified 698 X-

associated markers that were mostly (69.05%) located on the LG3 (1898520-18381100 bp) when

assuming male heterogamety, but only 340 Z-associated markers that were relatively evenly distributed

across the 21 LGs when assuming female heterogamety (Fig. S1A). These results thus indicate LG3 as the

most likely sex chromosome pair for the WL nine-spined sticklebacks which is indicated to have male

heterogamety (XX/XY).

We further narrowed down the genomic region that has the largest differentiation between sexes

(hereafter the SDR), which should include the sex-determining locus and its linked surrounding region

that has ceased recombination. To do this, we genotyped and filtered 3,997,985 SNPs across 21 LGs in

the EL ver.7 reference genome and compared the two sexes using estimates in 10 kbp non-overlapping

windows on each LG (Fig. S1B). No difference was found in sequencing depths between sexes,

consistent with the predicted lack of degeneration in the WL sex chromosomes. The inter-sex F_{st} within

each family was around zero in most windows but consistently elevated towards the end of LG3. Most

windows had similar heterozygosity in two sexes except for 20 windows on LG3 with the absolute delta

heterozygote percentages > 30% between sexes (Fig. 1A). The excessive male heterozygosity is

consistent with the XX/XY system. Additional individual-based analyses using inbreeding coefficient (F)

and principal component analysis (PCA) on the continuous windows of interest identified only one region

in 17260 to 17340 kbp that fully differentiated males and females (Fig. 1B), despite some minor effects of

missing data on PCA plots (Fig. S2A [30]). Accordingly, we identified this 80 kbp region on LG3 as the

SDR of the WL population in Belgium.

Figure 1. Identification of the LG3 SDR and individual genetic sex. A) Window-based estimates of

heterozygosity using data of WL family crosses. The x-axis represents the end position of each 10 kbp

window. Female values are in red and males in blue (same in the other panels). The grey vertical lines

highlight the windows having absolute delta heterozygote percentages > 30% between sexes. Black bars

label the position of the identified SDR. **B)** Individual-based analyses (F-values and PCA) of the

identified SDR using data of family crosses. Each dot represents one individual and colours represent the

phenotypic sex. Two F_1 individuals (M15 and F15) from one family cross (Bel_Mal_14) had conflicting

genotypic and phenotypic sexes, indicating swapped labels or possibly misidentified phenotypic sex. **C)**

The identified genetic sex of 887 wild-caught individuals based on F estimated in the LG3 SDR and the

LG12 SDR. Each dot represents one individual and colours represent the identified genetic sex. The 45

populations (Table S2, Fig. 2) are ordered by their indicated sex chromosomes (the 11 LG3-characterized

populations are highlighted) and the previously described nuclear ancestry [24]. The populations in black

boxes had known phenotypic sexes and were consistent with the identified genetic sex. Sex identification

may not be reliable in the two asterisks-labelled UK populations (see the text).

Genetic sex of wild-caught individuals and the SDR diversity in European populations

We then characterized genetic sexes and SDRs in all 887 wild-caught nine-spined sticklebacks from 45

globally distributed populations (Table S2) by remapping the published whole-genome resequencing data

[24] to the EL ver.7 reference genome. A total of 1,447 SNPs were retained in the LG3 SDR (17260-17340

kbp) and 318,850 SNPs were retained in the sex-linked region on LG12 (1-16.9 Mbp, hereafter the LG12

SDR for clarity, but this region probably lacks the most Y-specific SDR and might include some

pseudoautosomal fragments [28]). Genetic sex was identified based on individual inbreeding coefficient (F):

low F indicates excessive heterozygosity in the SDR of XY males (similar to the F_{IS} in [31]), whereas high F

indicates excessive homozygosity in the SDR of XX females. In the homologous autosomal regions of

each SDR (i.e., LG12 in WL or LG3 in EL individuals), both sexes tend to show high F due to Wahlund

effect caused by the joint analysis of all populations. The identified genetic sexes (Fig. 1C) were

consistent with known phenotypic sexes available in one WL (BEL-MAL) and one EL population (FIN-

HEL, except for one phenotypic female, 16-f, which was also identified as a genetic male in [24]). Only

genetic females were indicated in an EL population (FIN-KRK) but analyses on new samples of known

phenotypic males and females showed consistent genetic sex identification (data not shown), indicating

biased sampling of this population in our dataset. Overall, most EL populations had the LG12 SDR while

WL populations the LG3 SDR, and both SDRs were found in the admixed Polish population (POL-GDY)

which had ten LG3-characterized males and one LG12-characterized male (Fig. 1C, Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Sex chromosome diversity in the 40 European nine-spined stickleback populations. Each dot represents one population and shapes depict ecotypes. Names of the 12 marine populations are bolded. Colours represent the identified sex chromosomes in each population (both LG3 and LG12 SDRs coexist in a skewed ratio in POL-GDY). The black rectangle highlights the Baltic Sea area.

To further support the genetic sex identification, we conducted PCA on the LG3 SDR using the 11 mostly WL populations (highlighted in Fig. 1C; 221 individuals) and PCA on the LG12 SDR using the 30 mostly EL populations (618 individuals). The ancestral non-European populations were excluded for clarity. No effect of missing data bias was detected and PC1 captured divergence between sexes while PC2 reflected geographic population structure (Fig. S2B,C). The Polish population was included in both PCAs and supported our genetic sex identification of the single LG12-characterized male (POL-GDY-16) and ten LG3-characterized males (Fig. 3A,B). Surprisingly, while PCA results of the LG12 SDR showed the

expected two clusters of genetic males and females (Fig. 3A), PCA results of the LG3 SDR showed three

clusters corresponding to homozygous clusters of the two non-recombining haplotypes (here X and Y)

and their heterozygous cluster in the middle (Fig. 3B). However, such a high frequency of YY

homozygotes is biologically unrealistic even considering rare cases reported in other species, such as

mating of sex-reversed individuals [6] and viable YY individuals [7]. In fact, all Y'Y' (distinct from the sex-

related Y haplotype) homozygotes were found in two UK populations which had all three genotype

clusters (Fig. 3C). Plots of the observed heterozygosity (percentages of heterozygous sites per individual

in the LG3 SDR) against the zero-centered and folded PC1 scores placed the UK individuals around

edges or intermediate between the heterozygous and homozygous clusters (Fig. 3D), indicating weaker

recombination suppression of this region compared to the other WL populations (Fig. 3E). Accordingly,

these results indicated a different evolutionary history of this LG3 region in the two UK populations

which have been also suggested to contain unique historical ancestry [24] and strong genetic drift in isolated

freshwater habitats. Additional sampling of individuals with known sexes is required to further verify the

SDR in these UK populations. Nevertheless, these results indicate potentially still underestimated sex

chromosome diversity within this species.

Figure 3. PCA of the wild-caught populations using their SDRs. Each dot represents one individual
and colours represent the genetic sex (in A, B, E) or sampling populations (in C and D). **A)** The LG12
SDR of EL populations including POL-GDY (labelled by text). **B & C)** The LG3 SDR of WL
populations including POL-GDY. The homozygous Y'Y' cluster were only found in two UK populations.
D & E) Individual heterozygosity in the LG3 SDR against the centered and folded PC1 scores from the
PCA of LG3 SDR, with D) or without E) the two UK populations. The one homozygous male in the
bottom right cluster is the LG12-characterized Polish male (POL-GDY-16).

Given the three-stripe PCA pattern has been used as a signature of genomic inversions [32], we propose that
the suppressed recombination of this region in the UK populations is due to a putative inversion. The
single cluster of heterozygotes on PCA (Fig. 3B,C) showed high similarity between Y' and Y haplotypes,
indicating that this region is also a putative inversion in the other WL populations where it was further
associated with sex and evolved into the SDR. Because the XY cluster showed less diversity than the XX
cluster on PCA (Fig. 3BC), we propose that the Y (and Y') haplotype is the inverted form of this putative
inversion. To estimate the origin of this putative inversion, we constructed a maximum likelihood (ML)
phylogenetic tree using 4,114 SNPs in this region from nine outgroup individuals and 100 nine-spined
sticklebacks including two to three homozygous individuals (i.e., high F in the LG3 SDR; Fig. 1C, Table
S2) from each of the 45 populations. The LG3-characterized males were not included because bifurcating
trees do not do well with heterozygotes. Our results showed that the LG3-Y'Y' clade from the UK
diverged after the divergence of a basal Western Atlantic lineage (Fig. S3), indicating that the putatively
inverted Y' (and Y) haplotype evolved after the speciation of nine-spined sticklebacks. The LG3-XX
clade was grouped within the LG3-autosomal clade composed of EL populations (Fig. S3), consistent
with the expected higher similarity between the non-inverted X chromosome and the homologous
autosome. We also constructed PCA of the LG3 region in 29 LG12-characterized populations (1,447
SNPs, 598 individuals excluding the Polish population) and found no signal supporting a genomic
inversion (Fig. S4), indicating that the putatively inverted Y (and Y') haplotype only exists in the LG3-
characterized WL populations.

Hybridization between populations having different SDRs

We next focused on the Baltic Sea hybrid zone [21,24] where different SDRs coexist with their autosomal
variants. Because freshwater populations are strongly influenced by founder effects and genetic drift, we
only analysed marine populations exhibiting more gene flow and larger effective population sizes [20,33]. A

total of 237 individuals were selected from 12 marine populations (low kinship coefficients and relatively

equal sex ratio within each population, Table S2), including three LG3-characterized WL populations,

eight LG12-characterized EL populations, and the Polish population where both SDRs coexist (Fig. 2).

The Danish population (DEN-NOR) from the North Sea and the Russian population from the White Sea

(RUS-LEV) were included as representatives of WL- and EL-like ancestors, respectively [24]. We first

characterized the baseline autosomal admixture using 152,546 filtered autosomal SNPs (excluding LG3

and LG12). ADMIXTURE [34] indicated optimal four genetic clusters (K=4) based on cross-validation

errors (Fig. S5A) and results at K=5 further distinguished the German population (GER-RUE; Fig. 4A).

All Polish individuals were highly admixed, including the one LG12-characterized male which is thus not

a migrant from an EL population (Fig. 4A). Similar genetic admixture patterns were found when males

(n=119) and females (n=118) were analysed separately in ADMIXTURE (Fig. S5B-E), indicating that the

prevalence of LG3-characterized males in the highly admixed Polish population could not be explained

by higher male-specific gene flow from WL populations.

Figure 4. Analyses of the marine populations using the filtered autosomal data. A) ADMIXTURE plot of all 237 individuals. The y-axis shows the percentage of inferred ancestry classes indicated by colour. Bars represent individuals ordered by sampling sites and the identified sex chromosomes (labelled at the bottom). The only LG12-characterized male in the Polish population is highlighted. **B)** The total length of IBD-like tracks shared between all pairs of individuals (ordered by population). Darker colors indicate longer shared tracks (in Mbp on the log10 scale). **C & D)** Hybrid classification based on the joint distribution of ancestry (S) and interclass heterozygosity (HI). The expected positions of parental populations and their F_1-generation individuals are labelled. Each dot represents one individual and colors depict populations.

To support ADMIXTURE analyses, we estimated fragments of shared ancestry between the 237 marine individuals using identity-by-descent (IBD) tracks. Long tracks represent the exchanged genetic fragments that have not been broken up by recombination and thus relatively recent gene flow, and more

shared tracks indicate stronger gene flow between populations [35]. Total 147,503 IBD-like tracks were

detected from the 19 autosomes (Fig. S6A, S7). Track lengths decayed with increasing geographic

distances between genetic clusters (Fig. S8), consistent with isolation by distance. Longer tracks were

shared within populations and similar patterns were observed when using all individuals combined or

separated by sex (Fig. 4B, Fig. S6B), consistent with ADMIXTURE plots and indicating lack of sex-

specific patterns. Additionally, the joint estimation of ancestry (i.e., hybrid index) and interclass

heterozygosity by HIest classified these admixed individuals as late-generation hybrids (e.g., not F_1 or F_2

[36,37]), both when using the Danish and Russian populations as parents (59 fixed diagnostic autosomal

SNPs, all 237 individuals; Fig. 4C) and when using the German and Swedish populations as parents (154

autosomal SNPs of absolute delta allele frequencies ≥ 0.4 between parental populations, 66 individuals;

Fig. 4D). Therefore, the observed skewed ratio of LG3- and LG12-characterized males in the Polish

population cannot reflect putative sex-specific migration during early hybridization, but is the result of

evolutionary forces (such as selection) working on several generations of admixture.

Candidate genes in the LG3 SDR

We scanned annotated genes within the LG3 SDR (liftover of the EL ver.6 reference annotation [38,39]) and

found only four genes: the Olfactomedin-like protein 2A (*OLFML2A*), the E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase

RNF31 (*RNF31*), the Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily B member 1 (*KCNB1*), and the DnaJ

homolog subfamily B member 6 (*DNAJB6*). Among them, *RNF31* has been found to coregulate

steroidogenesis in humans [40], and a Z chromosome-linked E3 ubiquitin ligase has been found involved in

gonadal differentiation and gametogenesis in the Chinese Tongue Sole [41]. The DnaJ homolog subfamily B

member 13 has been found to participate in spermiogenesis in clownfish [42], and proteins in the DnaJ

family have been suggested to affect spermatogenesis or sperm function in mammals [43,44]. Therefore,

genes *RNF31* and *DNAJB6* might also play critical roles in male determination in the WL nine-spined

sticklebacks.

Discussion

Recent studies have demonstrated an incredible diversity of sex chromosomes across the tree of life [12] and

inspired new theories of labile sex chromosome evolution [3,9,10]. However, empirical case studies of sex

chromosome turnover are rare but are needed to test these new theories of labile sex chromosome

evolution. To our knowledge, here we demonstrate the first empirical case of ongoing turnover between

non-homologous sex chromosomes in nature. Using the nine-spined stickleback as a model system, we

first identified LG3 as the previously unknown homomorphic sex chromosomes of WL and found a

narrow 80 kbp SDR, consistent with the expected lack of degeneration and limited sequence

differentiation in evolutionarily young sex chromosomes. However, it should be noted that this LG3 SDR

was identified using the EL reference genome which represents the autosomal LG3 and may not include

the most Y-specific regions that have evolved in the WL. While most of the studied WL populations were

identified to carry the LG3 SDR, results from UK populations suggested possible existence of additional,

yet unrecognized SDR in WL nine-spined sticklebacks. We hypothesize that the evolutionarily young

LG3 SDR evolved in an ancestral lineage that colonized western Europe during an early wave of

migration [24] and was subsequently spread to other WL and admixed populations by gene flow (Fig. 5A).

Moreover, we demonstrated an ongoing sex chromosome turnover in the natural hybrid zone between WL

and EL, where the evolutionarily younger homomorphic LG3 SDR takes over the older heteromorphic

LG12 SDR, indicating relatively higher fitness of males carrying the LG3 SDR than those carrying the

LG12 SDR in the hybrid zone (Fig. 5BC). This observed sex chromosome turnover is consistent with the

hypothesis of selection against higher deleterious mutation load on the older non-recombining Y

chromosome [18,19]. Alternatively, this sex chromosome turnover might be driven by natural selection for

potential beneficial genes linked with the LG3 SDR. It is likely that multiple selection forces contribute to

the observed sex chromosome turnover, but the current data cannot distinguish these alternative

hypotheses which need to be tested in future studies. Below we discuss how these findings further our

understanding of labile sex chromosome evolution.

Figure 5. The hypothesized sex chromosome evolution and turnover in nine-spined sticklebacks. A) Sex chromosome diversity in nine-spined stickleback lineages. The network is modified from qpGraph plots [24]. Dashed arrows represent ancestral waves of colonization to Europe and admixture events. The yellow bar represents the previously described LG12 inversion [25,26] and the purple bar represents the putative LG3 inversion that might have occurred after an ancestral lineage colonized western Europe. Purple arrows highlight the hypothesized spread of the putative LG3 inversion and possible sex chromosome turnovers. **B)** Hypothesized evolution of the LG3- and LG12-Y chromosomes. Black horizontal bars represent the master sex-determining locus and the surrounding grey regions represent the non-recombining SDR. High Y-degeneration is proposed by the canonical theory but is not found in these labile sex chromosomes. **C)** The proposed sex chromosome turnover in the WL-EL hybrid zone. Dark lines in hybrid females indicate recombination between X chromosomes and their homologous autosomes.

The early evolution of sex chromosomes requires gaining a master sex-determining locus and recombination suppression around this locus in the new SDR. Several master sex-determining genes have been identified in teleost fishes, including *Sox9* [45], *Dmy* and *GsdfY* [46,5], *Amhr2* [47,48], and a duplicated and translocated copy of the anti-Müllerian hormone gene (*AmhY* [49–52,13]). *AmhY* has been found in several other stickleback lineages including *Culaea* [13], the sister genus of *Pungitius* [22], but annotations of the nine-spined stickleback reference genome only included the ancestral copy of the *Amh* gene on LG8 [38]. No master sex-determining gene has been identified so far in any *Pungitius* species which might have recruited different genes to trigger the sex-determining development. Our results indicated *DNAJB6* and *RNF31* as candidate sex-determining genes on the LG3 SDR, but these results are limited by the usage of the EL reference genome which does not contain the true WL sex chromosomes. For the same reason, we were not able to test hypotheses on sexually antagonistic genes (i.e., genes that benefit one sex while harm the other) which have been proposed as the major driving force of recombination suppression of SDR in the canonical model [53]. However, we hypothesize that the putative inversion of the LG3 SDR might have facilitated the initial recombination suppression (Fig. 5B), similar to previous findings of the EL populations that the LG12 sex chromosomes evolved from an introgressed inversion and that recombination suppression might have predated the sex-determining role of the LG12 SDR [25,26]. Future studies require high-quality reference assemblies of the WL populations and updated annotations to verify the putative inversion of the LG3 SDR and to better identify candidate sex-determining and sexually antagonistic genes in the WL sex chromosomes.

We demonstrated coexisting SDRs in the natural WL-EL hybrid zone where either LG12-Y or LG3-Y is proposed to be sufficient to determine male development. Because both WL and EL have the XX/XY system, the two Y haplotypes should not co-occur within the same individual as males should not mate with each other (unless there is mating of sex reversed XY females, which has not been reported in this species). On the other hand, females can mate with both types of males and X chromosomes are expected to recombine with their homologous autosomes in hybrid individuals (Fig. 5C), whereas recombination is always suppressed between Y and X or homologous autosomes. The slightly higher EL-like ancestry of the admixed Polish population predicts relatively even proportions of LG3- and LG12-characterized males (or even more of the latter). However, we found only one LG12-characterized male but ten LG3-characterized males, a highly skewed ratio that is unlikely to be caused by sampling effects alone. In addition, our results showed that the observed SDR components are the evolutionary result of multi-generational admixture and cannot be explained by migration of an EL individual, higher male gene flow from WL populations, or sex-specific patterns of introgression. Therefore, we propose that the Polish

population has evolved into the condition of having mostly LG3-characterized males from an initial

condition of more equal LG3- and LG12-characterized males. If so, this observation might indicate higher

fitness of males carrying the LG3-Y compared to males carrying the LG12-Y in the hybrid zone, resulting

in a turnover where LG3 replaces LG12 as sex chromosomes (Fig. 5C). Under this scenario, the Polish

population probably demonstrates an evolutionary snapshot of ongoing sex chromosome turnover, while

the adjacent German population with highly admixed ancestry but only LG3-characterized males might

have recently completed this turnover. Similarly, the other freshwater WL populations that currently have

the LG3 SDR might also have experienced a sex chromosome turnover, if those locations were initially

colonized by EL-like ancestors but later on introgressed by WL-like lineages (such as hypothesized in [24]).

Sex chromosome turnovers have been proposed to be driven by various mechanisms, including genetic

drift [54], selection for equal sex ratio [55,56], sexually antagonistic selection [17], and selection against

deleterious mutation load [18,19]. Genetic drift is unlikely to drive sex chromosome turnover in the admixed

marine populations given their large population sizes [33]. Sex ratio selection is mostly supported in the

turnover that includes transitions between XX/XY and ZZ/ZW systems [16]. Although sexually antagonistic

selection has been suggested to drive sex chromosome turnover in some empirical studies [57,58,8], recent

studies pointed out possibly exaggerated roles of sexual antagonism in the early evolution of sex

chromosomes [11]. Using current annotations, we did not find potential sexually antagonistic genes in the

LG3 SDR, indicating that sexually antagonistic selection might not be the driving force of the LG3 SDR

evolution or the sex chromosome turnover. However, future studies using the WL reference genome are

needed to test whether sexually antagonistic mutations have accumulated on the LG3 sex chromosomes.

The mechanism of selection against deleterious mutation load proposes that when the heterogametic sex

is conserved (e.g., XY males in both EL and WL), the evolutionarily younger Y chromosome will take

over the older Y chromosome to purge the higher deleterious mutation load accumulated on the non-

recombining region of the older Y, while the older X will revert to an autosome to complete the sex

chromosome turnover [18,19]. This mechanism has been suggested to drive frequent sex chromosome

turnovers and maintain evolutionarily young sex chromosomes which do not go through major

degeneration in some taxa [3,14]. In nine-spined sticklebacks, the heteromorphic LG12 sex chromosomes are

evolutionarily older because they are shared between EL and non-European ancestral populations. Both

the longer evolutionary time and the larger SDR range on LG12 sex chromosomes indicate more

accumulated deleterious mutations which might have not been purged by degeneration considering the

cytogenetically larger Y [25]. Therefore, the indicated sex chromosome turnover in the WL-EL hybrid zone

might be driven by selection against higher deleterious mutation load on the longer and evolutionarily

older LG12-Y.

Alternatively, the sex chromosome turnover in the WL-EL hybrid zone might be driven by natural

selection favoring the LG3-Y which might contain genes adapted to reproduction in high-salinity

environments in the western Baltic Sea [27]. These fitness-related genes are difficult to identify using the

current reference genome containing the autosomal LG3 which is highly different from the LG3-Y (Fig.

S3). On the other hand, such genes might also make the LG3-Y less well adapted to the environments of

the more eastern Baltic Sea area compared to the LG12-Y, which might explain why the proposed sex

chromosome turnover was not observed in the other EL marine populations. However, it is also possible

that the LG3-characterized males have not reached these EL populations in high frequency or quantity to

initiate the turnover, as nine-spined sticklebacks have low migration rates (e.g., compared to three-spined

sticklebacks [59]). If so, given enough time and continuous gene flow, this sex chromosome turnover might

slowly expand to the other EL populations connected to the Baltic Sea, whereas sex chromosomes of the

landlocked freshwater populations will likely remain unchanged due to the lack of gene flow (e.g., NOR-

TYR and DEN-RES, Fig. 2). Accordingly, the available data cannot distinguish hypotheses of selection

against deleterious mutation load on the LG12-Y versus selection for adaptive genes on the LG3-Y,

which need to be tested in future studies. It is also likely that these mutually non-exclusive hypotheses

both contribute to the WL-EL sex chromosome turnover.

Our empirical study of nine-spined sticklebacks furthers the understanding of labile sex chromosome

evolution in several ways. First, we identified the homomorphic sex chromosomes of the WL populations

and indicated a putative inversion in the SDR, which might have facilitated the initial recombination

suppression. Second, we demonstrated a rare evolutionary snapshot of ongoing sex chromosome turnover

in the natural hybrid zone, which is possibly driven by selection against higher deleterious mutation load

on the LG12-Y or natural selection favouring putative adaptive genes linked to the LG3-Y. Future studies

are needed to test these alternative hypotheses. Lastly, our results showed possibly still unrecognized

SDR in the UK populations of nine-spined sticklebacks, indicating high intraspecific sex chromosome

diversity which might be maintained by frequent turnovers. Stickleback fishes thus provide a good model

system for future studies to further test hypotheses of labile sex chromosome evolution and turnover.

Materials and methods

WL family crosses

Four family crosses of the WL nine-spined sticklebacks were generated using four males and four females

collected from Brugse polders, Maldegem, Belgium (51°10' N, 03°28' E) in 2011 under national and

institutional ethical regulations and with permission from the Finnish Food Safety Authority

(#1181/0527/2011 and #3701/0460/2011). The paired individuals were artificially mated in a zebrafish

rack system (Aquaneering Inc., USA). Fish rearing and crossing followed the descriptions in [25]. The F_1

offspring were reared at 16 °C for 40 weeks maintaining a 24-h photoperiod under license from the

Finnish National Animal Experiment Board (#STH379A and #PH1236A). Larvae were fed twice daily

with newly hatched brine shrimp nauplii (*Artemia sp.*), and frozen bloodworms (Chironomidae larvae)

were added to their diet about eight weeks post-hatching. Individuals were phenotypically sexed based on

gonadal inspection. Genomic DNA was extracted from fin clip samples using the salting out method [60].

Whole-genome resequencing was done by BGI (Hong Kong) using the DNBseq PE 150 platform,

targeting 10x for parents and 5x for offspring (15 offspring per sex per family). Demultiplexed raw

sequencing reads were processed by AdapterRemoval version 2.3.1 [61] to trim adapters, Ns (--trimns), and

low-quality reads (--trimqualities). The retained pairs of reads and the collapsed (not truncated) reads

were mapped to the ver.7 reference genome [28] using bwa-mem in BWA v0.7.17 [62] with the -M command

and default parameters. The mapped reads were sorted and indexed as bam files and duplicates were

marked using SAMtools version 1.16.1 [63].

Identification of the WL SDR

The duplicates-marked reads were first genotyped by mpileup in SAMtools [64] using the minimum

mapping quality 20 and the minimum base quality 30. Outputs were processed by the module

Pileup2Likelihoods of LepMAP3 [29]. Data mapped to the 21 LGs were processed by the module

ParentCall2 to call informative (removeNonInformative=1) markers and identify sex-associated markers

that are in non-Mendelian inheritance (diploid in the homogametic sex while haploid in the heterogametic

sex) using commands XLimit=2 (assuming XY male heterogamety) or ZLimit=2 (assuming ZW female

heterogamety).

Next, the duplicates-marked reads were genotyped by the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) following

the best practice protocol [65,66]. Briefly, raw variants were called using HaplotypeCaller and merged by LG

using CombineGVCFs, and all samples were jointly genotyped using GenotypeGVCFs. Biallelic SNPs on

each LG were extracted using BCFtools (-m2 -M2 -v snps –min-ac=1 [67]) and filtered using VCFtools

0.1.16 [68] by quality (--minGQ 20 --minQ 30), missingness (--max-missing 0.3), and minor allele

frequency (--maf 0.01). The following analyses were conducted in 10 kbp non-overlapping windows on

each LG. Mean sequencing depth per site was estimated in VCFtools (--site-mean-depth) using only F_1

individuals to avoid bias by sequencing coverage. The ratio of female to male depth was calculated per

site, and the average depth ratio across sites was estimated per window. The per-site Weir and

Cockerham's F_{st} was estimated between F_1 males and females of each family cross using VCFtools (--

weir-fst-pop). The percentage of heterozygous sites per sex per window (excluding missing genotypes)

was estimated using custom scripts (available on Github). All results were visualized in R v4 [69]. To verify

candidate SDR windows, individual inbreeding coefficient (F) and missingness were estimated in

VCFtools and PCA was conducted in the R package adegenet [70] using the function glpca with all axes

retained.

Genetic sexes and SDR in wild populations

The published raw whole-genome resequencing data (~10x) of 887 wild-caught individuals [24] were re-

mapped to the ver.7 reference genome and processed using GATK in the same way described above.

Biallelic SNPs were extracted from the known LG12 SDR (1-16900000 bp [28]) and the identified LG3

SDR (17260000-17340000 bp), respectively, and further filtered in VCFtools by quality (--minGQ 20 --

minQ 30), depth (--min-meanDP 5 --max-meanDP 25), missingness (--max-missing 0.3), and minor allele

frequency (--maf 0.01). Individual F and missingness were estimated in VCFtools. PCA was conducted

using PLINK2 (--pca) with the default of keeping the first 10 PCs [71].

To construct phylogenetic trees of the LG3 SDR, we selected two to three individuals having high F in

the LG3 SDR from each of the 45 populations, and included 9 individuals of other stickleback species as

outgroups (*Pungitius sinensis*, *P. platygaster*, *P. tymensis*, *P. kaibarae*, and *Gasterosteus aculeatus*). The

outgroup samples were collected in previous projects [22], sequenced in the same way as in [24], and mapped

to the ver.7 reference genome and genotyped by GATK as described above. Biallelic SNPs in the LG3

SDR from the total 109 selected individuals were filtered together in VCFtools using the same parameters

described above. The filtered data were transformed into phylip format using vcf2phylip [72] and the ML

tree was constructed in RAxML version 8 [73] using the GTRGAMMA model, a rapid search (-f a), and

1000 bootstraps (-# 1000). The best ML tree was rooted by *Gasterosteus aculeatus* and visualized in

FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Admixture between marine populations in the Baltic Sea

In each of the 12 marine populations, individuals were filtered based on a kinship cut-off of 0.177 (the

convention for filtering first-degree relationships) in PLINK2 [74]. The GATK-genotyped biallelic SNPs of

the retained 237 individuals were subset and filtered in VCFtools by quality (--minGQ 20 --minQ 30),

mean sequencing depths (--min-meanDP 5 --max-meanDP 25), minor allele frequency (--maf 0.02), and

missingness (--max-missing 0.7). Data mapped to the 19 autosomes (excluding LG12 and LG3) were

further filtered in PLINK2 by linkage disequilibrium (--indep-pairwise 50 5 0.2), minor allele frequency

(--maf 0.05), and missingness (--geno 0.1). ADMIXTURE version 1.3.0 [34] was run with 10-fold cross-

validation (CV) and ten replicates per K (number of populations) from 1 to 12. The optimal K was

indicated by the lowest CV errors. ADMIXTURE outputs were compiled by CLUMPAK [75] and

visualized using R.

HIest version 2.0 [36] was first conducted on all 237 individuals using diagnostic autosomal SNPs that had

no missing data and were fixed for different alleles in the parental populations DEN-NOR and RUS-LEV.

The function HIest was run with default settings and the data type "allele count". Next, we focused on the

most admixed Polish population using its adjacent populations (GER-RUE and SWE-GOT) as parents.

Because stringent filtering for diagnostic SNPs kept fewer than 50 SNPs which would yield low statistical

power [36], we retained SNPs that had no missing data and delta allele frequencies $\geq$ 0.4 between parental

populations. IBD-like tracks were estimated between all individual pairs using the above filtered data in

IBDseq [76], with the minimum logarithm of the odds (LOD) score of 4 and all other parameters in default.

The correlation between IBD-like track lengths and their LOD scores was visualized as a quality control

of the detected tracks.

Data Availability

478   **Acknowledgements**

479   Thanks to Sami Karja, Heini Natri, Takahito Shikano and Yukinori Shimada for their efforts in rearing

480   the family crosses. We also thank the numerous people who provided or helped with sampling of the fish

481   used in analyses. Petri Kemppainen, Paolo Momigliano, and Weixuan Ning are acknowledged for their

482   insightful comments on the PCA and phylogenetic analyses.

Author Contribution

X.Y., K.R. and J.M. conceived the study. X.F., A.L., and J.M. collected the raw data. X.F. and A.L. processed and remapped the raw sequencing data of wild-caught individuals. X.Y. processed the raw sequencing data of family crosses. X.Y. analysed the processed data with help from D.W. and K.R.. X.Y. visualized results and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors edited the subsequent versions of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors claim no conflict of interest.

References

1. Coyne, J. A. & Orr, H. A. *Speciation*. (Sunderland, MA, USA: Sin-auer Associates, 2004).

2. Presgraves, D. C. Sex chromosomes and speciation in *Drosophila*. *Trends Genet.* **24**, 336–343 (2008).

3. Vicoso, B. Molecular and evolutionary dynamics of animal sex-chromosome turnover. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 1632–1641 (2019).

4. Bachtrog, D. Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-chromosome degeneration. *Nat. Rev. Genet. 2013 142* **14**, 113–124 (2013).

5. Myosho, T. *et al.* Tracing the emergence of a novel sex-determining gene in medaka, *Oryzias luzonensis*. *Genetics* **191**, 163–170 (2012).

6. Dufresnes, C. *et al.* Sex-chromosome homomorphy in Palearctic tree frogs results from both turnovers and X–Y recombination. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 2328–2337 (2015).

7. Ieda, R. *et al.* Identification of the sex-determining locus in grass puffer (*Takifugu niphobles*) provides evidence for sex-chromosome turnover in a subset of *Takifugu* species. *PLoS ONE*

13, e0190635–e0190635 (2018).

8.   Jeffries, D. L. *et al.* A rapid rate of sex-chromosome turnover and non-random transitions in

true frogs. *Nat. Commun. 2018 91* **9**, 1–11 (2018).

9.   Furman, B. L. S. *et al.* Sex chromosome evolution: so many exceptions to the rules. *Genome*

Biol. Evol. **12**, 750–763 (2020).

10. Kratochvíl, L. *et al.* Expanding the classical paradigm: what we have learnt from vertebrates

about sex chromosome evolution. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **376**, (2021).

11. Perrin, N. Sex-chromosome evolution in frogs: what role for sex-antagonistic genes? *Philos.*

Trans. R. Soc. B **376**, (2021).

12. Tree of sex Consortium. Tree of sex: a database of sexual systems. (2014)

doi:10.5061/DRYAD.V1908.

13. Jeffries, D. L., Mee, J. A. & Peichel, C. L. Identification of a candidate sex determination

gene in *Culaea inconstans* suggests convergent recruitment of an *Amh* duplicate in two

lineages of stickleback. *J. Evol. Biol.* **35**, 1683–1695 (2022).

14. Palmer, D. H., Rogers, T. F., Dean, R. & Wright, A. E. How to identify sex chromosomes

and their turnover. *Mol. Ecol.* **28**, 4709–4724 (2019).

15. Ogata, M., Suzuki, K., Yuasa, Y. & Miura, I. Sex chromosome evolution from a

heteromorphic to a homomorphic system by inter-population hybridization in a frog. *Philos.*

Trans. R. Soc. B **376**, (2021).

16. Ogata, M., Lambert, M., Ezaz, T. & Miura, I. Reconstruction of female heterogamety from

admixture of XX-XY and ZZ-ZW sex-chromosome systems within a frog species. *Mol.*

Ecol. **27**, 4078–4089 (2018).

17. Van Doorn, G. S. & Kirkpatrick, M. Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual

conflict. *Nature* **449**, 909–912 (2007).

18. Blaser, O., Grossen, C., Neuenschwander, S. & Perrin, N. Sex-chromosome turnovers

induced by deleterious mutation load. *Evolution* **67**, 635–645 (2013).

19. Blaser, O., Neuenschwander, S. & Perrin, N. Sex-chromosome turnovers: The hot-potato

model. *Am. Nat.* **183**, 140–146 (2014).

20. Shikano, T., Shimada, Y., Herczeg, G. & Merilä, J. History vs. habitat type: explaining the

genetic structure of European nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) populations.

Mol. Ecol. **19**, 1147–1161 (2010).

21. Teacher, A. G. F., Shikano, T., Karjalainen, M. E. & Merilä, J. Phylogeography and genetic

structuring of European nine-spined sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*)—mitochondrial DNA

evidence. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e19476–e19476 (2011).

22. Guo, B. *et al.* A phylogenomic perspective on diversity, hybridization and evolutionary

affinities in the stickleback genus Pungitius. *Mol. Ecol.* **28**, 4046–4064 (2019).

23. Fang, B., Kemppainen, P., Momigliano, P. & Merilä, J. Population structure limits parallel

evolution in sticklebacks. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 4205–4221 (2021).

24. Feng, X., Merilä, J. & Löytynoja, A. Complex population history affects admixture analyses

in nine-spined sticklebacks. *Mol. Ecol.* **31**, 5386–5401 (2022).

25. Natri, H. M., Merilä, J. & Shikano, T. The evolution of sex determination associated with a

chromosomal inversion. *Nat. Commun. 2019 101* **10**, 1–13 (2019).

26. Dixon, G., Kitano, J. & Kirkpatrick, M. The origin of a new sex chromosome by

introgression between two stickleback fishes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 28–38 (2019).

27. Feng, X., Merilä, J. & Löytynoja, A. Secondary contact, introgressive hybridization and

genome stabilization in sticklebacks. 2023.08.29.555285 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.29.555285 (2023).

28. Kivikoski, M., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A. & Merilä, J. Automated improvement of stickleback

reference genome assemblies with Lep-Anchor software. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **21**, 2166–2176

(2021).

29. Rastas, P. Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome

sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 3726–3732 (2017).

30. Yi, X. & Latch, E. K. Nonrandom missing data can bias principal component analysis

inference of population genetic structure. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **22**, 602–611 (2022).

31. Shikano, T., Natri, H. M., Shimada, Y. & Merilä, J. High degree of sex chromosome

differentiation in stickleback fishes. *BMC Genomics* **12**, 1–10 (2011).

32. Ma, J. & Amos, C. I. Investigation of inversion polymorphisms in the human genome using

principal components analysis. *PLoS ONE* **7**, 40224–40224 (2012).

33. Feng, X., Loytynoja, A. & Merila, J. Estimating recent and historical effective population

size of marine and freshwater sticklebacks. *bioRxiv* 2023.05.22.541730-2023.05.22.541730

(2023) doi:10.1101/2023.05.22.541730.

34. Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).

35. Fontsere, C. *et al.* Population dynamics and genetic connectivity in recent chimpanzee

history. *Cell Genomics* **2**, 100133–100133 (2022).

36. Fitzpatrick, B. M. Estimating ancestry and heterozygosity of hybrids using molecular

markers. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **12**, 131 (2012).

37. Lipshutz, S. E. *et al.* Differential introgression of a female competitive trait in a hybrid zone

between sex-role reversed species. *Evolution* **73**, 188–201 (2019).

38. Varadharajan, S. *et al.* A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius*

pungitius) genome. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, 3291–3308 (2019).

39. Rastas, P. Lep-Anchor: automated construction of linkage map anchored haploid genomes.

Bioinformatics **36**, 2359–2364 (2020).

40. Ehrlund, A. *et al.* E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF31 cooperates with DAX-1 in transcriptional

repression of steroidogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **29**, 2230–2242 (2009).

41. Sun, Y. *et al.* A Z-Linked E3 ubiquitin ligase *Cs-rchy1* is involved in gametogenesis in

Chinese tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*. *Animals* **11**, 3265 (2021).

42. Casas, L. *et al.* Sex change in clownfish: molecular insights from transcriptome analysis. *Sci.*

Rep. 2016 61 **6**, 1–19 (2016).

43. Yang, C., Miao, S., Zong, S., Koide, S. S. & Wang, L. Identification and characterization of

rDJL, a novel member of the DnaJ protein family, in rat testis. *FEBS Lett.* **579**, 5734–5740

(2005).

44. Dun, M. D., Aitken, R. J. & Nixon, B. The role of molecular chaperones in spermatogenesis

and the post-testicular maturation of mammalian spermatozoa. *Hum. Reprod. Update* **18**,

420–435 (2012).

45. Takehana, Y. *et al.* Co-option of Sox3 as the male-determining factor on the Y chromosome

in the fish *Oryzias dancena*. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4157 (2014).

46. Nanda, I. *et al.* A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y

chromosome of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**, 11778–11783 (2002).

47. Morinaga, C. *et al.* The hotei mutation of medaka in the anti-Müllerian hormone receptor

causes the dysregulation of germ cell and sexual development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*

104, 9691–9696 (2007).

48. Kamiya, T. *et al.* A trans-species missense SNP in *Amhr2* Is associated with sex

determination in the tiger pufferfish, *Takifugu rubripes* (fugu). *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002798–

e1002798 (2012).

49. Hattori, R. S. *et al.* A Y-linked anti-Müllerian hormone duplication takes over a critical role

in sex determination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 2955–2959 (2012).

50. Li, M. *et al.* A tandem duplicate of anti-müllerian hormone with a missense SNP on the Y

chromosome is essential for male sex determination in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*.

PLoS Genet. **11**, e1005678–e1005678 (2015).

51. Peichel, C. L. *et al.* Assembly of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome reveals

convergent signatures of sex chromosome evolution. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 1–31 (2020).

52. Sardell, J. M., Josephson, M. P., Dalziel, A. C., Peichel, C. L. & Kirkpatrick, M.

Heterogeneous histories of recombination suppression on stickleback sex chromosomes.

Mol. Biol. Evol. **38**, 4403–4418 (2021).

53. Ponnikas, S., Sigeman, H., Abbott, J. K. & Hansson, B. Why do sex chromosomes stop

recombining? *Trends Genet.* **34**, 492–503 (2018).

54. Veller, C., Muralidhar, P., Constable, G. W. A. & Nowak, M. A. Drift-induced selection

between male and female heterogamety. *Genetics* **207**, 711–727 (2017).

55. Eshel, I. Selection on sex-ratio and the evolution of sex-determination. *Heredity* **34**, 351–361

(1975).

56. Kozielska, M., Pen, I., Beukeboom, L. W. & Weissing, F. J. Sex ratio selection and multi-

factorial sex determination in the housefly: a dynamic model. *J. Evol. Biol.* **19**, 879–888

(2006).

57. Roberts, R. B., Ser, J. R. & Kocher, T. D. Sexual conflict resolved by invasion of a novel sex

determiner in lake malawi cichlid fishes. *Science* **326**, 998–1001 (2009).

58. Ross, J. A., Urton, J. R., Boland, J., Shapiro, M. D. & Peichel, C. L. Turnover of sex

chromosomes in the stickleback fishes (Gasterosteidae). *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000391–e1000391

(2009).

59. DeFaveri, J., Shikano, T., Ghani, N. I. A. & Merilä, J. Contrasting population structures in

two sympatric fishes in the Baltic Sea basin. *Mar. Biol.* **159**, 1659–1672 (2012).

60. Bruford, M. W., Hanotte, O., Brookfield, J. F. Y. & Burke, T. Multilocus and single-locus

DNA fingerprinting. in *Molecular Genetic Analysis of Populations: A practical Approach.*

(ed. Hoelzel, A. R.) 287–336 (IRL Press, Oxford, 1998).

61. Schubert, M., Lindgreen, S. & Orlando, L. AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming,

identification, and read merging. *BMC Res. Notes* **9**, 1–7 (2016).

62. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

Preprint at https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997 (2013).

63. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **10**, giab008

(2021).

64. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–

2079 (2009).

65. Depristo, M. A. *et al.* A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-

generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat. Genet. 2011 435* **43**, 491–498 (2011).

66. Van der Auwera, G. A. *et al.* From fastQ data to high-confidence variant calls: the genome

analysis toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* **43**, 11.10.1-11.10.33

(2013).

67. Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and

population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2987–

2993 (2011).

68. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158

(2011).

69. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Found. Stat.*

Comput. Vienna Austria (2022).

70. Jombart, T. & Ahmed, I. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP

data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 3070–3071 (2011).

71. Galinsky, K. J. *et al.* Fast principal-component analysis reveals convergent evolution of

ADH1B in Europe and East Asia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **98**, 456–472 (2016).

72. Ortiz, E. M. vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for

phylogenetic analysis. (2019).

73. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

74. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer

datasets. *GigaScience* **4**, s13742-015-0047–8 (2015).

75. Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A. & Mayrose, I. Clumpak: a

program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences

across K. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **15**, 1179–1191 (2015).

76. Browning, B. L. & Browning, S. R. Detecting identity by descent and estimating genotype

error rates in sequence data. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **93**, 840–851 (2013).