UPR[ER]–immunity axis acts as physiological food evaluation system that promotes aversion behavior in sensing low-quality food

Pengfei Liu, Xinyi Liu, Bin Qi[1,2]

Southwest United Graduate School,Yunnan Key Laboratory of Cell Metabolism and Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Conservation and Utilization of Bio-resources in Yunnan, Center for Life Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming, China

Footnotes: 1 Corresponding Author (Bin Qi, Email: qb@ynu.edu.cn)

Footnotes: 2 Lead Contact (Bin Qi, Email: qb@ynu.edu.cn)

Abstract

To survive in challenging environments, animals must develop a system to assess food quality and adjust their feeding behavior accordingly. However, the mechanisms that regulate this chronic physiological food evaluation system, which monitors specific nutrients from ingested food and influences food-response behavior, are still not fully understood. Here, we established a low-quality food evaluation assay system and found that heat-killed *E. coli* (HK-*E. coli*), a low sugar food, triggers cellular UPR[ER] and immune response. This encourages animals to avoid low-quality food. The physiological system

for evaluating low-quality food depends on the UPR^{ER} (IRE-1/XBP-1) - Innate

immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) axis, particularly its neuronal function, which

subsequently regulates feeding behaviors. Moreover, animals can adapt to a

low-quality food environment through sugar supplementation, which inhibits

the UPR^{ER} -PMK-1 regulated stress response by increasing vitamin C

biosynthesis. This study reveals the role of the cellular stress response

pathway as physiological food evaluation system for assessing nutritional

deficiencies in food, thereby enhancing survival in natural environments.

Keywords: aversion behavior; UPR^{ER}; immune response; XBP-1; PMK-1;

Food quality evaluation; Food sensing; *C. elegans*;

Introduction

Food is essential for the survival, growth and fitness of all animals. To adapt to

fluctuating environments with a wide range of food sources, animals have

developed a food evaluation system. This system enables them to identify

nutrient-rich food and avoids low-quality or toxic food, thereby maximizing their

survival prospects [1, 2, 3]. Various sensory neuron evaluation systems in animals

has evolved to evaluate food quality through vision [4, 5], olfactory [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,

12] and gustatory senses [13, 14, 15]. Besides these sensory systems that facilitate

quick feeding decisions, animals may also initiate cellular stress response

programs to detect nutrition/toxin and trigger food response behaviors [16, 17].

This could be one of physiological food quality evaluation systems that monitor

the nutritional status of consumed food. However, the signaling events in

cellular stress responses involved in evaluating of specific nutrients and the

mechanisms that connect these signaling activities to food behaviors are largely

unexplored. More specifically, while cellular stress response through UPR^{ER} [18]

and PMK-1/p38 MAPK [19] dependent immunity in response to pathogens have been extensively studied, the functions of these cellular stress response in sensing and evaluating specific nutrients from food remain unclear.

Vitamin C is an essential micronutrient that cannot be synthesized by humans due to the loss of a key enzyme in the biosynthetic pathway [20]. Animals obtain vitamin C from their diet and possibly also from gut microbes [21]. Vitamin C is an important physiological antioxidant and a cofactor for a family of biosynthetic and gene regulatory monooxygenase and dioxygenase enzymes. It is also required for the biosynthesis of collagen, L-carnitine, and certain neurotransmitters [22, 23]. Vitamin C has been associated with various human diseases including scurvy, immune defect and cardiovascular disease [20]. Therefore, in animals, having robust food evaluation systems to detect vitamin C levels could significantly impact their survival in the wild. However, the potential involvement of the cellular stress response pathway in this food evaluation system for sensing and assessing vitamin C remains largely unexplored.

In this study, using the low-quality food evaluation assay system we established [24], we elucidated the mechanism by which the cellular stress response pathway operates as a physiological food evaluation system. This pathway assesses the deficiency of D-glucose in food and the subsequent vitamin C content in animals through the unfolded protein response (UPR^ER) - innate immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) axis. This mechanism promotes animals to leave low-quality food and is critical for their survival in nature environments.

Results

Low-quality food induces stress response in animals

Our previous studies have shown that Heat-killed *E. coli* (HK-*E. coli*), which lacks certain molecules, is considered a low-quality food that is unable to support animal growth [24, 25]. Moreover, through metabolic-seq analysis, we identified significant changes in a large numbers of derivatives (Figure 1-figure supplement 1A, Table S1), including lipids and their derivatives (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B, Table S1), amino acid and their metabolites (Figure 1-figure supplement 1C, Table S1), as well as coenzymes and vitamins (Figure 1-figure supplement 1D, Table S1). Interestingly, we observed a significant decrease in carboxylic acids and their derivatives (Figure 1-figure supplement 1E, Table S1) in *E. coli* after being heat-killed (Figure 1-figure supplement 1F, Table S1). This suggests that HK-*E. coli* is nutritionally deficient for *C. elegans* when compared to normal *E. coli* food.

Next, we conducted two behavior assays to facilitate the analysis of the food evaluation process in animals by seeding L1 animals in assay plates (Figure 1A and 1B). In the avoidance assay, wild-type animals avoided the HK-*E. coli* OP50 (HK-OP50) food (Figure 1A). Interestingly, in the food choice assay, animals initially showed no preference between the two types of food (1-2h), but eventually exhibited a preference for high-quality food (Live *E. coli*) up until the 17h mark (Figure 1B, Figure 1-figure supplement 1G). This suggests that worms depart from the HK-*E. coli* after recognizing it as low-quality food source through ingestion.

In order to investigate the pathways in animals that respond to HK-*E. coli*, we performed transcriptomics analysis on worms that were cultured with both HK-*E. coli* and Live *E. coli*. Gene-expression profiling revealed that stress response

genes, including those related to biotic stimulus, immune response and response to stress, are up-regulated in animals fed with HK-*E. coli* OP50 (HK-OP50) (Figure 1C, Table S2). Among these up-regulated genes, we identified 11 out of 62 of UPR[ER] target genes (Figure 1D, Figure 1-figure supplement 1H and Table S2). Additionally, about 50%-80% of up-regulated genes overlap with genes responding pathogenic bacteria [26, 27] (Figure 1E, Table S2). Consistent with the results of the RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis, the UPR[ER] reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*)[28] and immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*)[29] were strongly induced in intestine (Figure 1F-G) and neurons (Figure 1-figure supplement 2A) by feeding unfavorable food (HK-*E. coli* OP50), suggesting that UPR[ER] and immune pathways may respond to low-quality food (HK-*E. coli* OP50). As intestinal fluorescence (*Phsp-4::GFP or Pirg-5::GFP*) is easy observation and scoring, the further analyses were done in the intestine.

Moreover, UPR[Mt] reporter (*Phsp-6::GFP*) [30] was weakly induced under HK-*E. coli* feeding condition (Figure 1-figure supplement 2B), and starved worm did not induce UPR[ER] and immunity (Figure 1-figure supplement 2C-D).

Together, these findings suggest that low-quality food (HK-*E. coli* OP50) triggers a stress response pathway in animals, including UPR[ER] and innate immune pathway. This implies that animals may assess the quality of food through UPR[ER] and innate immune pathway.

Animals evaluate food quality through UPR[ER]-immune-dependent physiological food quality evaluation system

To determine whether the UPR[ER] and innate immune pathways play a role in evaluating low-quality food, we first examined whether the activation of the

UPR^{ER} by HK-*E. coli* was dependent on the known signaling components of the

UPR^{ER} branches, including IRE/XBP-1, PERK/ATF-4 and ATF-6 [31, 32]. We

observed no difference in *Phsp-4::GFP* induction with *atf-4* (Figure 2-figure

supplement 1A) or *atf-6* (Figure 2-figure supplement 1B) RNAi-mediated

knockdown in animals fed with HK-*E. coli*. However, knockdown of *ire-1/xbp-1*

or mutation of *xbp-1* reduced GFP fluorescence (Figure 2A, 2B). Among the 11

differentially expressed UPR^{ER} target genes in animals fed with HK-*E. coli* from

RNA-seq (Figure 1D), 64% of the genes are IRE-mediated genes (Figure 1-

figure supplement 1H, Table S2). The mRNA level of IRE-1-mediated splicing

of *xbp-1*[28] is also induced in animals fed with HK-*E. coli* OP50 (Figure 2-figure

supplement 1C). However, UPR^{ER} is not affect in animals feeding live-*E. coli* by

RNAi of *ire-1, xpb-1, atf-4* and *atf-6* (Figure 2-figure supplement 1D). These

data suggest that activation of the UPR^{ER} by low-quality food (HK-*E. coli*)

depends on the IRE-1/XBP-1.

To further analyze whether XBP-1-dependent UPR^{ER} activation is critical for

animals to leave low-quality food, we tested food avoidance behavior using *xbp-*

1 mutant. The results show that *xbp-1* mutants had a significantly decreased

likelihood of leaving of HK-*E. coli*, which was rescued by expressing *xbp-1* in

neuron rather than intestine (Figure 2C). This indicates that XBP-1-dependent

UPR^{ER} activation in neuron is critical for animals to specific evaluate low-quality

food (HK-*E. coli*).

We then investigated which innate immune pathway is involved in evaluating

low-quality food. First, we analyzed HK-*E. coli* induced genes from RNA-seq.

Among these up-regulated genes, 82 out of 409 of PMK-1-dependent genes [33]

were identified (Figure 2-figure supplement 1E, Table S2). Second, we

confirmed the induction of several well-known PMK-1 target genes in RNA-seq

data[34] (Figure 2-figure supplement 1F) and reporter analysis (Figure 2-figure

supplement 1G-H). Moreover, the induction of *Pirg-5::GFP* was abolished in

pmk-1 knockdown animals fed with HK-*E. coli* (Figure 2D). Third, we found that

the phosphorylated PMK-1 (p-PMK-1) level was prominently increased in wild-

type N2 animals fed HK-*E. coli* compared to feeding *E. coli* OP50 (Figure 2E).

Finally, *pmk-1* mutants had a decreased likelihood of leaving of HK-*E. coli*,

which was rescued by expressing *pmk-1* in neurons rather than intestine

(Figure 2F). Moreover, *Pirg-5::GFP* is not affect in animals feeding live-*E. coli*

by RNAi of *pmk-1* (Figure 2-figure supplement 1I).These data suggest that

PMK-1 regulated immune pathway evaluates low-quality food, especially the

neuronal PMK-1 has a critical function for food quality response.

XBP-1 and PMK-1 are in the same pathway for evaluating food quality

Next, we explored the connection between UPR^{ER} (IRE-1/XBP-1) and innate

immunity (PMK-1 p38 MAPK) in food quality evaluation. We found that *Pirg-*

5::GFP induction (Figure 2-figure supplement 1I, 2A) and PMK-1 activation

(Figure 2E) were decreased in animals with *xbp-1* mutation or knockdown when

fed with HK-*E. coli*, suggesting that XBP-1 could regulate PMK-1 under this

condition. Additionally, *Phsp-4::GFP* induction under HK-*E. coli was* not

affected in animals with *pmk-1* RNAi (Figure 2-figure supplement 1D, 2B),

indicating that XBP-1-dependent UPR^{ER} activation is not regulated by PMK-1.

Finally, we constructed a double mutant of *xbp-1* and *pmk-1* and found that the

food avoidance phenotype of the double mutant was similar to the *pmk-1*

mutant (Figure 2G), indicating that PMK-1 is downstream of XBP-1 in

responding to low-quality food.

We then asked whether UPR^{ER} (IRE-1/XBP-1) - Innate immunity (PMK-1/p38

MAPK) axis is specific to evaluate low-quality food (HK-*E. coli*). We performed behavior assay in N2, *pmk-1* and *xbp-1* mutant animals by feeding normal *E. coli* food, inedible food (*Saprophytic staphylococci*) [35] and pathogenic food (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa-PA14*) [18]. We found that N2, *pmk-1*, and *xbp-1* mutant worms did not exhibit avoidance behavior when presented with normal food (OP50). However, both N2 and *xbp-1* mutant worms were able to escape from inedible food (N2 was predominantly found on the border areas of the bacterial lawn and *xbp-1* mutant worms on border and in), *Saprophytic staphylococci*, whereas *pmk-1* mutant worms did not exhibit this avoidance behavior. Notably, N2 and *xbp-1* mutant worms exhibited even more pronounced avoidance behavior when exposed to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, whereas *pmk-1* mutant worms were more susceptible to infection by this pathogen (Figure 2-figure supplement 2C). These findings suggest that the UPR-Immunity pathway plays a crucial role in helping animals avoid low-quality food (HK-*E. coli*) by triggering an avoidance response. In contrast, the Innate immunity pathway, which is mediated by PMK-1/p38 MAPK, appears to play a key role in evaluating unfavorable food sources, such as HK-*E. coli*, *Saprophytic staphylococci*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and helping animals avoid these environments.

Sugar deficiency in HK-*E. coli* food induces stress response and avoidance behavior in animals

We then investigated which nutrients/metabolites are sensed by animals through the XBP-1-PMK-1 axis for food quality evaluation. First, we hypothesized that the nutrition status is improved in *E. coli* mutant (HK-treatment), which could inhibit UPR[ER] and immune response in animals. We

established a system for screening the *E. coli* mutant Keio library (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A), and identified 20 *E. coli* mutants that did not induce *Phsp-4::GFP* through the UPR[ER] reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) after three rounds of screening (Table S3). From these 20 *E. coli* mutants, we identified 9 *E. coli* mutants that did not induce *Pirg-5::GFP* through the immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) screening (Figure 3-figure supplement 1B-C, Table S3). Animals fed HK-*yfbR*, which catalyzes carbohydrate derivative metabolic process[36], had a decreased ability to leave food (Figure 3A, Table S3), indicating that HK-*yfbR* may be a higher quality food for animals compared to HK-K12.

Secondly, we performed a metabolomics analysis of different quality food (HK-K12, HK-*yfbR* and Live-K12). We found that the level of 13 metabolites were similar between HK-*yfbR* and Live-K12, but significantly changed in HK-K12 (Figure 3B, Figure 3-figure supplement 2A, and Table S1). We also found that genes involved in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis were up-regulated in animals fed with HK-*E. coli* (Figure 3-figure supplement 2B), suggesting that glycolysis/gluconeogenesis metabolism is disordered in animals fed with HK-*E. coli,* which may result from changes in sugar/carbohydrate intake. The carbohydrates (D-trehalose, lactose, and D-(+)-sucrose) were also decreased in HK-*E. coli* (Figure 3B), suggesting that carbohydrate deficiency may induce stress response and avoidance behavior in animals feeding HK-*E. coli*.

Thirdly, to determine which carbohydrate inhibits stress response in animals, we supplemented each metabolite to HK-*E. coli* and found that only Lactose, and D-(+)-sucrose inhibited HK-*E. coli* induced UPR[ER] (Figure 3C and 3D, Figure 3-figure supplement 2C). Moreover, we found from our metabolomic data that the sugar level, including lactose, and D-(+)-sucrose, and D-(+)-glucose, was also decreased in HK-*E. coli* (Figure 3B, Table S1). Since lactose

and D-(+)-sucrose are hydrolyzed to produce glucose[37, 38], we wondered whether glucose also inhibits the stress response in animals. We found that D-(+)-glucose supplementation also inhibited HK-*E. coli* induced UPR^{ER} (Figure 3E), immune response (Figure 3F, 3G and Figure 3-figure supplement 2D) and avoidance (Figure 3H). Moreover, sugar supplementation did not affect UPR^{ER} and immunity in normal food (OP50) or starved condition (NGM) (Figure 3-figure supplement 2E-F). While sugar effectively inhibits the HK-*E. coli*-induced UPR^{ER} and immune response, it does not fully suppress it to the extent observed with live-*E. coli* (Figure 3C-F). This implies that additional nutrients present in live-*E. coli* might also contribute to the inhibition of UPR^{ER} and immune response.

Previous studies have shown that heat-killed *E. coli* (HK-*E. coli*) is a low-quality food source that cannot support the growth of *C. elegans* larvae[24, 25], whereas supplementation with vitamin B2 (VB2) can restore animal growth[24]. Here, we found that sugar deficiency in HK-*E. coli* induces the UPR^{ER}-immune response and avoidance behavior in *C. elegans*. Given this, we investigated whether sugar supplementation could promote animal growth when fed HK-*E. coli.* To our surprise, supplementing HK-*E. coli* with carbohydrates (D-Glc, D-GlcA) did not support animal development (Figure 3-figure supplement 2G), suggesting that carbohydrates are not essential for supporting animal growth on this food source. However, we did find that carbohydrates are critical for inhibiting the UPR^{ER}-immune response induced by sugar deficiency in HK-*E. coli.*

Together, these findings suggest that HK-*E. coli* induces a stress response and avoidance behavior in animals, which can be inhibited by D-(+)-glucose supplementation. This implies that animals may evaluate the sugar deficiency from HK-*E. coli* through the activation of UPR^{ER} and immune responses.

Animals could overcome a low-quality food environment by sugar supplementation through vitamin C biosynthesis

We discovered that D-(+)-glucose supplementation inhibited HK-*E. coli* induced UPR^{ER} (Figure 3E), immune response (Figure 3F, 3G and Figure 3-figure supplement 2D) and avoidance (Figure 3H). Simultaneously, vitamin C (VC), which is synthesized by glucuronate pathway using D-glucose [39, 40] (Figure 4A), was found to contribute to neuroprotective[41, 42], immune defense[43, 44], and inhibits inflammatory and ER stress[45, 46]. This led us to question whether the vitamin C biosynthesis pathway is involved in evaluating low-quality food by using D-glucose.

Firstly, we observed an increase in the vitamin C level in *C. elegans* when fed with HK-*yfbR* (Figure 4B), a high carbohydrate food compared to HK-*E. coli* (Figure 3B and Figure 1-figure supplement 1E). However, the VC level in bacteria is the same (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A). The VC level also increased when D-glucose (Figure 4-figure supplement 1B) or D-glucuronate (D-GlcA) was added to HK-*E. coli* (Figure 4C), which was abolished by knocking down VC biosynthesis genes (Figure 4C and Figure 4-figure supplement 1B). This suggests that addition of sugar supplementation promotes VC synthesis in animals fed with HK-*E. coli*.

Secondly, we hypothesized that animals could overcome a low-quality food (HK-*E. coli*) environment by inhibiting the stress response through increasing vitamin C biosynthesis. We found that VC or D-glucuronate (D-GlcA) supplementation inhibits HK-*E. coli* induced UPR^{ER} (Figure 4D), immune

response including *irg-5/sysm-1* reporter expression (Figure 4E and Figure 4-

figure supplement 1C) and p-PMK-1 (Figure 4F and 4G), as well as food

avoidance (Figure 4H).

Finally, we asked whether inhibition of stress response and avoidance by sugar

supplementation depends on the vitamin C biosynthesis pathway. We found

that suppression of HK-*E. coli* induced UPR^{ER} (Figure 4I), immune response

(Figure 4J and Figure 4-figure supplement 1D) and food avoidance (Figure 4K)

by D-GlcA/sugar supplementation was abolished in animals with RNAi of VC

biosynthesis genes. Food selection behavior assays showed that D-GlcA/sugar

supplementation increased the preference for heat-killed bacteria, which was

also suppressed by knocking down VC biosynthesis genes (Figure 4-figure

supplement 1E). However, VC supplementation still suppressed the UPR^{ER}

(Figure 4I), immune response (Figure 4J and Figure 4-figure supplement 1D)

and food avoidance (Figure 4K), and increased the food preference (Figure 4-

figure supplement 1E) in animals with or without RNAi of VC biosynthesis genes.

This suggests that VC, as the final metabolite synthesized from D-glucose, is

critical for evaluating low-quality food response in animals.

Together, these data indicate that the vitamin C biosynthesis pathway is critical

for evaluating whether food is of higher quality and can be eaten by animals. It

also suggests that animals could improve their VC levels to adapt to bad food

environment.

Animals evaluate sugar and vitamin C through neuronal XBP-1 and PMK-

1

As D-GlcA/sugar and VC supplementation suppressed HK-*E. coli* induced

UPR^{ER}, immune response and food avoidance behavior, we investigated

whether animals evaluate sugar and VC through XBP and PMK-1 dependent

pathways. We performed a food selection behavior assay by adding D-Glc, D-

GlcA or VC to the NGM, *E. coli* and HK-*E. coli* (Figure 5A). The food selection

behavior assays revealed that supplementation with D-Glc, D-GlcA, or VC

inhibits the animals' choice of sugar or VC on *E. coli*-OP50 feeding conditions

(Figure 5-figure supplement 1A). This suggests that supplementation with D-

Glc, D-GlcA, or VC may alter the metabolites of live bacteria, leading to

avoidance by the animals. There was no preference observed on NGM plate

(no food condition) supplementation with D-Glc and VC (Figure 5-figure

supplement 1B), indicating that the intake of sugar or VC alone does not

influence animal preference. However, alone D-GlcA could influence worm

physiology which induces preference change (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B).

Interestingly, D-Glc and D-GlcA (Figure 5B and 5C) or VC (Figure 5D)

supplementation increased the preference for heat-killed bacteria, which was

suppressed in *xbp-1* or *pmk-1* mutant animals. However, this preference was

also rescued in *xbp-1* or *pmk-1* mutant animals by expressing XBP-1 or PMK-

1 in neurons rather than intestine (Figure 5B-D), indicating that neuronal XBP-

1 and PMK-1 are critical for physiological food elevation system for monitoring

the level of sugar and VC under low-quality food condition.

Discussion

To better survive, animals must evolve a system to recognize and evaluate the

quality of their food. This includes the sensory neuron evaluation system for

immediate response and feeding decision [2], as well as physiological food

evaluation system for chronic response to ingested food. In our previous study,

we discovered that the TORC1-ELT-2 pathway, acting as master regulators in

intestine, evaluates vitamin B2 deficiency in low-quality food (HK-*E. coli*) and

regulates gut digestive activity to impact animal's food behavior [24]. To further

identified the mechanism by which animals evaluate low-quality food (HK-*E.*

coli), we performed metabolomics and transcriptomics analyses to identify

specific nutrition deficiencies in low-quality food and the cellular response

pathways that are involved in food evaluation pathway. This study identified a

physiological food evaluation mechanism by which animals recognize food

quality through UPR[ER] (IRE-1/XBP-1) - Innate immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK)

regulated cellular stress response program in neurons that dictates food

avoidance and selection behaviors (Figure 6).

One of the cellular stress response pathways, the Unfolded Protein Response

(UPR[ER]), is activated by various stresses, including infection and nutrition

deficiency, which disrupt the homeostasis of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)[31].

The activation of UPR[ER], specifically in the nervous system, has been shown to

promote changes in feeding and foraging behavior[47]. The p38 PMK-1 pathway

is also crucial for regulating the expression of secreted innate immune effectors

and is essential for survival during infection[48]. Therefore, these two pathways

play a critical role in ensuring animals' survival in changing environments.

However, it is still unclear whether UPR[ER] and innate immunity evaluate food

quality under physiological conditions. Our study provides evidence that low-

quality food (HK-*E. coli*) activates both UPR[ER] and p-PMK-1, leading to animals

leaving the low-quality food. Previously finding have shown that inhibition of ER

function promotes *C. elegans* avoid to the toxic food, which employs the MLK-

1/MEK-1/KGB-1 pathway[49]. Notably, HK-*E. coli* induced avoidance behavior is

independent of the KGB-1 pathway (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C).

Additionally, our study reveals that neuronal UPR[ER] and PMK-1 are essential

for evaluating low-quality food, suggesting that the nervous system plays a

critical role in assessing food quality.

Previous studies have shown that XBP1 deficiency in intestinal epithelial cells

leads to IRE1a hyperactivation and increased JNK phosphorylation in the

epithelial compartment in vivo[50]. The IRE1-XBP1 axis has been identified as a

critical protective branch of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) induced

secondary to an innate immune response in the presence of *P. aeruginosa* [18,

51]. The p38 MAPK has also been shown to directly act on the phosphorylation

of IRE-1 to promote the stress response[52, 53]. Interestingly, IRE-1 has been

found to confer cold resistance independently of XBP-1 by activating JNK-1

MAPK[49]. In contrast, our study reveals a new mechanism where the UPR^{ER}

(IRE-1/XBP-1) positively regulates Innate Immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) under

HK-*E. coli* food conditions, establishing a novel physiological food evaluation

system that activates the cellular stress response program.

A previous study has shown that activating innate immunity (PMK-1 MAPK)

leads to a reduction in translation[54]. Our own previous research has also

demonstrated that PMK-1 activation causes a shutdown of food digestion in

animals[35], likely to reduce protein translation and cellular metabolism. To

investigate this further, we measured the translation level of animals fed with

HK-*E. coli* and found that total translation ability is significantly reduced in these

animals (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D). This finding suggests that activating

innate immunity (PMK-1 MAPK) may serve as a mechanism to slow down

translation progress, thereby alleviating the pressure on the unfolded protein

response (UPR) and preventing excessive UPR^{ER} activation.

Vitamin C (VC) is an important physiological antioxidant and a cofactor for a

family of biosynthetic and gene regulatory monooxygenase and dioxygenase

enzymes. It is also required for the biosynthesis of collagen, L-carnitine, and

certain neurotransmitters [22, 23]. Meanwhile, VC helps animals to protect

neuron[41, 42], defend excessive immune [43, 44], and inhibit inflammatory and ER

stress[45, 46] in order to better survive. The synthesis of vitamin C (VC) occurs

through the glucuronate pathway, utilizing D-glucose as a precursor [39, 40] (Figure

4A). This led us to investigate whether the vitamin C biosynthesis pathway is

involved in evaluating low-quality food by using D-glucose. In this study, we

found that animals feeding live *E. coli*, which should produce more VC, exhibit

higher glucose levels. However, our results show that animals maintain similar

VC levels when fed ideal food (live *E. coli*) compared to low-quality food (HK-*E.*

coli) (Figure 4B), suggesting that animals do not stimulate VC biosynthesis

under favorable food conditions. In contrast, when animals are fed low-quality

food (HK-OP50), we found that supplementing D-GlcA in HK-*E. coli* or *E. coli-*

yfbR mutation can improve VC levels and inhibit UPR[ER]-immunity (Figure 4C).

These data indicate that glucose boosts the animal's ability to adapt to

unfavorable food environments by increasing VC levels, but not in favorable

food conditions.

Unlike the sensory neuron evaluation system, which permits rapid feeding

decisions through smell and taste, the cellular stress response as

physiological food evaluation system describe here requires a slow and multi-

step signal transduction process after the ingestion of food. The disruption of

cellular homeostasis by ingested of low-quality or toxic food can activate

stress response mechanisms that both increase the cellular ability to

withstand and adapt to this disruption of homeostasis and promote behavioral

strategies to avoid these conditions and lessen their impact on the organism.

These cellular stress response mechanisms include heat shock response,

unfolded protein response, oxidative stress response [55]. Therefore, this slow

physiological food evaluation system is an evolutionary adaptation

mechanism for detecting nutrition deficiencies in food that was not detected

by quick sensory nervous system.

One limitation of our study is the lack of explanation for why HK-*E. coli* activates

UPR^{ER} and immunity. We hypothesized that when heat-killed, HK-*E. coli* may

lack or contain altered levels of certain metabolites that either activate or inhibit

UPR^{ER} and immunity, respectively. Additionally, we speculated that *E. coli*

mutants killed by heat may lack metabolites that activate UPR^{ER} and immunity,

or conversely, have increased levels of metabolites that inhibit these pathways.

Fortunately, our investigation led to the discovery of the *E. coli* mutant *yfbR*,

which inhibits UPR^{ER} and immunity by increasing carbohydrates that aid in

resisting these stress pathways. Moving forward, we intend to further explore

the intricate relationship between HK-*E. coli* and UPR^{ER}-immunity. This will be

a key focus of our future research efforts.

Collectively, this study uncovers the unexpected function of UPR^{ER} (IRE-

1/XBP-1) - Innate immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) as a physiological food

evaluation system for evaluating and sensing food quality in animals. It also

highlights the utility of the HK-*E. coli* (low-quality food) - *C. elegans* interaction

as a means to dissect the mechanism of food evaluation system in assessing

food. Most importantly, it reveals that animals are capable of altering their

nutrient (Vitamin C) levels through in vivo synthesis or food intake to adapt to

a poor food environment when better food choices are not available.

Author Contributions

P. L designed, performed experiments, analyzed data. X. L constructed all transgenic animals. B.Q. designed research, supervised this study, and wrote the paper with inputs from P. L.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) (funded by NIH P40OD010440) for strains; Dr. Zhao Shan for suggestions. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (2019YFA0802100, 2019YFA0803100), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32170794), Yunnan Applied Basic Research Projects (202302AP370005, 202201AT070196, K264202230211, 202001AV070011), the Yunnan University Startup Program.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Main Figure Legends

Figure 1. The stress response is induced in animals fed low-quality food, HK-*E. coli*.

(A) Schematic drawing and quantitative data of the food aversion assay. Circles indicate the food spot for live (yellow) and HK-OP50 (red) bacteria, respectively. The animals were scored 16-17 hours after L1 worms were placed on the food spot. Data are represented as mean ±SD from three independent experiments，79-129 animals/assay.

(B) Schematic method and quantitative data of the food selection assay. Live (yellow), heat-killed (red) *E. coli* and LB as the buffer for *E. coli* were placed on indicated position. Synchronized L1 worms were place in the center spot. The selection index was calculated at the indicated time. Data are represented as

mean ±SD from eight independent experiments, 123-792 animals/assay.

(C) GO enrichment analysis of up-regulated genes in animals fed with HK-*E. coli* vs live *E. coli*.

(D) Venn diagram showing numbers of UPR[ER] target genes and up-regulated genes in animals fed HK-*E. coli*, and their overlap.

(E) Venn diagram showing numbers of induction genes by four pathogenic bacteria and HK-*E. coli* induced genes, and their overlap. The gene expression data was extracted from published data of animals' infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA) [26], *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bthu) [27], *Staphylococcus aureus* (Saur) [27], and *Serratia marcescens* (Smar) [27].

(F-G) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that *Phsp-4::GFP* (F) and *Pirg-5::GFP* (G) were induced in animals fed with HK-*E. coli.* Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 2. Animals evaluate food quality through UPR[ER] (*ire-1/xbp-1*) - Innate immunity (*pmk-1* MAPK) axis.

(A-B) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* was decreased in animals with *ire-1* or *xbp-1* RNAi treatment (A) or *xbp-1* mutation (B). Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(C) Food aversion assay showing that *xbp-1* mutation eliminated the discrimination against HK-*E. coli.* However, this effect is rescued by expressing *xbp-1* in neurons rather than intestine. Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 156-763 animals/assay.

(D) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Pirg-5::GFP* was decreased in animals with *pmk-1* RNAi treatment. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(E) Western blot images showing the level of p-PMK-1 in L1 animals (Wild-type N2 and *xbp-1* mutant) fed with OP50 or HK-OP50 for 4 h. The level of p-PMK-1 is induced in animals fed HK-OP50.

(F) Food aversion assay showing that *pmk-1* mutation eliminated the discrimination against HK-*E. coli.* However, this effect is rescued by expressing *pmk-1* in neurons rather than intestine. Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 168-492 animals/assay.

(G) Food aversion assay in wild-type, *xbp-1*, *pmk-1* and double mutant. Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 259-490 animals/assay..

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 µm. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 3. HK-*E. coli* is low sugar food, which induce stress response and avoidance behavior in animals.

(A) Food aversion assay showing that wild-type animals eliminated the discrimination against HK-*E. coli* when *yfbR* is mutated in *E. coli*. Data are represented as mean ±SD four independent experiments, 251-490 animals/assay.

(B) Heat map showing the thirteen differential metabolites from HK-K12, HK-*yfbR*, and K12 in 4 independent experiments. Color indicates the relative level of each metabolite.

(C-D) The bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* was

decreased in animals with lactose (C) or D-(+)-sucrose (D) supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(E-F) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* (E) and *Pirg-5::GFP* (F) were decreased in animals with D-(+)-glucose (D-Glc) supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(G) Western blot images showing the level of p-PMK-1 in L1 animals fed HK-*E. coli* with or without D-(+)-glucose (D-Glc) supplementation for 4 h. The level of p-PMK-1 is decreased in animals fed HK-OP50+D-Glc.

(H) Food aversion assay showing that wild-type animals eliminated the discrimination against HK-*E. coli* with D-Glc supplementation. Data are represented as mean ±SD six independent experiments, 190-492 animals/assay.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 4. Vitamin C biosynthesis pathway is critically involved in evaluating sugar in the food

(A) Cartoon illustration of a simplified, Vitamin C biosynthesis pathway in *C. elegans*. The relevant coding genes of enzymes was labeled with red.

(B) The level of total L-ascorbic acid (TAA), also known as vitamin C, in animals fed with Live-K12, HK-K12, or HK-*yfbR*. Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments.

(C) The level of total L-ascorbic acid (TAA) in animals (control or knockdown of Vitamin C biosynthesis genes) fed with HK-*E. coli* with or without D-glucuronate

(D-GlcA) supplementation. Data are represented as mean ±SD from eight independent experiments.

(D-E) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* (D) and *Pirg-5::GFP* (E) were decreased in animals with D-GlcA or Vitamin C supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(F-G) Western blot images showing the level of p-PMK-1 in L1 animals fed with HK-*E. coli* with D-GlcA or Vitamin C supplementation for 4 h. The level of p-PMK-1 is decreased in animals with D-GlcA (F) or Vitamin C (G) supplementation.

(H) Food aversion assay showing that wild-type animals eliminated the discrimination against HK-*E. coli* with D-GlcA or Vitamin C supplementation. Data are represented as mean ±SD from four independent experiments, 153-292 animals/assay.

(I-K) The bar graph showing that suppression of HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* (I), *Pirg-5::GFP* (J) and food avoidance (K) by D-GlcA supplementation was abolished in animals with RNAi of VC biosynthesis genes, which was not affect by Vitamin C supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments and Data are represented as mean ±SD(I-J). Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 252-537 animals/assay (K).

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 5. Animals evaluate sugar and vitamin C through neuronal XBP-1 and PMK-1

(A) Schematic method of the food selection assay. Food (red) and food with carbohydrate (D-Glc, D-GlcA, or VC) supplementation (green) was placed on indicated position. Synchronized L1 worms were then place in plate. After 16-17hs, the selection index was calculated.

(B-D) Food selection assay showing that *xbp-1* or *pmk-1* mutation eliminated the preference of HK-*E. coli* with D-Glc (B), D-GlcA (C) or Vitamin C (D) supplementation, which was rescued in *xbp-1* or *pmk-1* mutant animals by expressing XBP-1 or PMK-1 in neurons rather than intestine.

Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 68-647 animals/assay (B).

Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments, 83-701 animals/assay (C).

Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments, 67-1035 animals/assay (D).

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 6. Schematic model of physiological food evaluation system in evaluating/sensing sugar and vitamin C through UPR^{ER} (IRE-1/XBP-1) - Innate immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) axis.

Vitamin C level is low in animals fed low sugar food, HK-*E. coli*. Sugar and Vitamin C deficiency activate cellular UPR^{ER} and immune response, which promote animals to leave low-quality food and seek better food for survival.

This cellular stress regulated physiological food evaluation system depends UPR^{ER} (IRE-1/XBP-1) - Innate immunity (PMK-1/p38 MAPK) axis in neuron.

Supplemental Figure legends

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Food selection assay of animals fed HK-*E. coli* or *E. coli*. Relative to Figure 1.

(A-E) Metabolomics analysis of different quality food (HK-K12, HK-*yfbR* and Live-K12). Cluster analysis of all metabolites (A), lipids and their derivatives (B), amino acids and their derivatives (C), coenzymes and vitamins (D), and carbohydrates and their derivatives (E) from Live-K12, HK-K12, and HK-*yfbR*. Color indicates the relative level of each metabolite. HK-K12: heat-killed *E. coli* wild-type K12; HK-*yfbR:* heat-killed *E. coli mutant yfbR;* K12: live *E. coli* wild-type K12. z-score for standardizing data, complete for bi-clustering algorithm, and Euclidean for distance method.

(F) Principal component analysis to test the repeatability of the metabolic experiment. HK-K12: heat-killed *E. coli* wild-type K12; HK-*yfbR*: heat-killed *E. coli* mutant *yfbR*; K12: live *E. coli* wild-type K12. LB: LB buffer for culturing *E. coli.*

(G) The number of worms in each position calculated at the indicated time, indicating that animals initially select both foods (1-2h), but eventually favor high-quality food (Live E. coli) until 17h.

(H) GO enrichment analysis of UPR^{ER} dependent IRE-1 branch.

For all panels, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. Stress response of animals fed HK-*E. coli* or *E. coli*. Relative to Figure 1.

(A) UPR^{ER} reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) and immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) were induced in the neuron and intestine of animals fed with HK-*E. coli.* Neurons are highlighted with a red arrow.

(B) UPR^{mit} reporter (*Phsp-6::GFP*) was weakly induced in animals fed with HK-*E. coli.*

(C) UPR^{ER} reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) expression in animals under normal food (OP50), low quality food (HK-OP50), and starved (M9: hatching L1 worm in M9, NGM) condition; L1 animals were cultured in OP50, HK-OP50 or starved NGM for 20h.

(D) Immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) expression in animals under normal food (OP50), low quality food (HK-OP50), and starved (M9: hatching L1 worm in M9, NGM). L1 animals were cultured in OP50, HK-OP50 or starved NGM for 20h. For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm.

Figure 2 - figure supplement 1. UPR^{ER} and innate immunity pathway in animals are critical for evaluating HK-*E. coli*. Relative to Figure 2.

(A-B) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* was not affected in animals with *atf-4* (A) or *atf-6* (B) RNAi treatment. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(C) qPCR showing that IRE-1-mediated splicing of *xbp-1* mRNA is induced in animals fed with HK-*E. coli.*

(D) UPR^{ER} reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) expression in animals with candidate RNAi feeding OP50 or HK-OP50.

(E) Venn diagram showing the numbers of PMK-1 dependent genes [30] and up-regulated genes in animals fed HK-*E. coli,* and their overlap.

(F) The expression of PMK-1 dependent genes which was extracted from RNA-seq data from animals fed with HK-*E. coli*. The data from average of three

independent experiments.

(G-H) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that *Psysm-1::GFP* (G)

and *Pirg-1::GFP* (H) were induced in animals fed HK-*E. coli.* Blue numbers are

the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data

are represented as mean ± SD.

(I) Immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) expression in animals with candidate RNAi

feeding OP50 or HK-OP50.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 µm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01,

*** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are

provided in Raw Data.

Figure 2 - figure supplement 2. UPR^{ER} positively regulates innate

immunity pathway in animals. Relative to Figure 2.

(A) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced

Pirg-5::GFP was decreased in animals with *ire-1* or *xbp-1* RNAi treatment. Blue

numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent

experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(B) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that *Phsp-4::GFP* was

not affected in animals with *pmk-1* RNAi treatment. Blue numbers are the

number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data

are represented as mean ± SD.

(C) Images and bar graph showing that the avoidance behavior of N2, *xbp-1*

mutant, and *pmk-1* mutant in response to different food source (*Saprophytic*

staphylococci-SS, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-PA14, or OP50).

"Broder" indicates regions with thicker SS boundaries; "In" denotes areas inside

SS; "Out" refers to areas without SS. The scale is as follows: 2 for animals

capable of escaping from PA14 and feeding on PA14 located with edges; 1 for

animals capable of escaping from PA14 but not feeding on PA14 located with

edges; 0 for animals unable to escape from PA14 and being killed by PA14. Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 359-670 animals/assay.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 µm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1. *E. coli* Keio mutant screening. Relative to Figure 3.

(A) Flow chart of strategy for *E. coli* Keio mutant screening. We identified 20 *E. coli* mutants that did not induce *hsp-4::GFP* through the UPR^{ER} reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) after three rounds of screening (Table S3). From these 20 *E. coli* mutants, we identified 9 *E. coli* mutants that did not induce *Pirg-5::GFP* through the immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) screening (Table S3).

(B-C) The bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Phsp-4::GFP* (B) and *Pirg-5::GFP* (C) was decreased in animals fed mutant *E. coli* (Heat-killed). Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

For all panels, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 2. Low sugar food, HK-*E. coli*, induce stress response and avoidance behavior in animals. Relative to Figure 3.

(A) Venn diagram showing the number of differentially metabolites in HK-*E. coli*-K12, HK-*E. coli-yfbR* and *E. coli*.

(B) KEGG enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in animals fed HK-*E. coli* vs live *E. coli*. We noticed that most of glycolysis/gluconeogenesis genes are up-regulated in animals fed HK-*E. coli*.

(C) The bar graph showing that HK-E. coli induced *Phsp-4::GFP* was not affected in animals with D-(+)-trehalose supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD.

(D) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced *Psysm-1::GFP* was decreased in animals with D-(+)-glucose (D-Glc) supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD.

(E) UPR^{ER} reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) expression animals with D-Glc supplementation under OP50, HK-OP50, or NGM condition.

(F) immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) expression animals with D-Glc supplementation under OP50, HK-OP50, or NGM condition.

(G) Development of animals after 48h fed with OP50, HK-OP50, HK-OP50+D-Glc, and HK-OP50+D-GlcA. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 µm. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1. Vitamin C biosynthesis pathway is critical for evaluating low sugar. Relative to Figure 4.

(A) The level of total L-ascorbic acid (TAA) in Live-K12, HK-K12, or HK-*yfbR*. Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments.

(B) The level of total L-ascorbic acid (TAA) in animals fed HK-*E. coli* with or without D-Glc supplementation. Data are represented as mean ±SD from eight independent experiments.

(C) GFP fluorescence images and bar graph showing that HK-*E. coli* induced

Psysm-1::GFP was decreased in animals with D-GlcA or vitamin C

supplementation. Blue numbers are the number of worms scored from at least

three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(D) The bar graph showing that suppression of HK-*E. coli* induced *Psysm-*

1::GFP by D-GlcA supplementation was abolished in animals with RNAi of VC

biosynthesis genes, which was not affect by vitamin C supplementation. Blue

numbers are the number of worms scored from at least three independent

experiments. Data are represented as mean ±SD.

(E) Food selection assay showing that the preference of HK-*E. coli* with D-GlcA

supplementation was abolished in animals by RNAi of vitamin C biosynthesis

genes. Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments,

427-775 animals/assay.

For all panels, Scale bar shows on indicated figures, 50 μm. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$,

*** $p < 0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$, ns: no significant difference. Precise P values are

provided in Raw Data.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 1.   Food behavior of animals. Relative to

Figure 5.

(A) Food selection assay for OP50 & OP50 + D-Glc, D-GlcA, or VC, respectively.

Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent experiments, 241-

1182 animals/assay.

(B) Food selection assay for buffer (H_2O) & buffer (H_2O) + D-Glc, D-GlcA, or

VC, respectively. Data are represented as mean ±SD from five independent

experiments, 8-153 animals/assay.

(C) Food avoidance assay for N2 & kgb-1 mutant animals fed with HK-*E. coli*.

Data are represented as mean ±SD from six independent experiments, 348-

660 animals/assay.

(D) Translation ability of animals fed with OP50 or HK-OP50 are presented by

Western blot of puromycin-labeled peptides.

For all panels, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p<0.0001, ns: no

significant difference. Precise P values are provided in Raw Data.

Supplemental Tables:

Table S1. Metabolices analysis.

Table S2. RNA-seq analysis.

Table S3. Screening data for *E. coli* mutant keio library.

Table S4. Metabolomics-seq data of HK-K12, HK-*yfbR* and K12.

Table S5. RNA-seq data of animals fed with HK-*E. coli* OP50 and *E. coli* OP50.

Raw-data. Raw data for experiments.

Star Methods

Resource Availability

Lead contact

Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to the lead

contact Bin Qi (qb@yun.edu.cn).

Materials availability

All reagents and strains generated by this study are available through request

to the lead contact with a completed Material Transfer Agreement.

Data and code availability

Metabolomics-seq data are accessible in Table S4

RNA-seq data are accessible in Table S5.

This paper does not report original code.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper

is available from the lead contact upon request.

Experimental model and subject details

C. elegans **strains and maintenance**

Nematode stocks were maintained on nematode growth medium (NGM)

plates seeded with bacteria (*E. coli OP50*) at 20℃.

1) The following strains/alleles were obtained from the Caenorhabditis

Genetics Center (CGC) or as indicated:

N2 Bristol (wild type control strain);

AU78: *agIs219 [T24B8.5p::GFP::unc-54 3' UTR + Pttx-3::GFP::unc-54 3'*

UTR];

SJ4005: *zcIs4 [Phsp-4::GFP]*;

AY101: *acIs101 [F35E12.5p::GFP + rol-6(su1006)]*；

SJ17: *xbp-1 (zc12)*;

KU25: *pmk-1(km25)*;

AY102: *pmk-1(km25) IV; acEx102 [Pvha-6::pmk-1::GFP + rol-6(su1006)]*;

YNU108: *Ex[Prgef-1::pmk-1::GFP；Podr-1::RFP]*[35];

xbp-1(tm2482) [56];

KU21：*kgb-1(km21)*;

AU133: *agIs17 [Pmyo-2::mCherry + Pirg-1::GFP] IV*;

SJ4100: *zcIs13 [Phsp-6::GFP + lin-15(+)]*.

2)The following strains were constructed by this study:

YNU242: *xbp-1(tm2482); pmk-1(km25)* double mutant was constructed by

crossing: *xbp-1(tm2482)* with KU25[*pmk-1(km25)*].

YNU240: *ylfEx149 [xbp-1(tm2482); Prgef-1::xbp-1::GFP; Podr-1::RFP]*

transgene strain was constructed by injecting plasmid *Prgef-1::xbp-1::GFP*

with *Podr-1::RFP* in *xbp-1(tm2482)* background

YNU241: *ylfEx150 [xbp-1(tm2482); Pges-1::xbp-1::GFP; Podr-1::RFP]*

transgene strain was constructed by injecting plasmid P*ges-1:xbp-1::GFP* with

Podr-1::RFP in *xbp-1(tm2482)* background

857   Bacterial strains

858   *E. coli*-OP50, *Saprophytic staphylococci*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa-PA14*, *E.*

859   *coli*-K12 (BW25113), and *E. coli*-K12 mutant were cultured at 37˚C in LB

860   medium. A standard overnight cultured bacteria was then spread onto each

861   Nematode growth media (NGM) plate.

863   Culture Medium

864   MGN Medium：Sigma agar: 20g/L; Bacto Peptone: 2.5g/L; NaCl: 3g/L;

865   MgSO4: 0.12g/L; CaCl: 0.111g/L; PPB:（KH2PO4 0.8M; K2HPO4・3H2O

866   0.2M）25ml/L; Cholesterol: 0.005g/L.

867   LB broth: TPYPTONE: 10g/L; Yeast Extract: 5g/L; NaCl 5g/L.

Method Details

Generation of transgenes

1）To construct the *C. elegans* plasmid for expression of *xbp-1* in neuron,
3057bp promoter of *rgef-1* and genomic DNA of *xbp-1* was inserted into the
PPD95.77 vector. DNA plasmid mixture containing *Prgef-1::xbp-1::GFP*
(25ng/ul) and *Podr-1::RFP* (25ng/ul) was injected into the gonads of adult
xbp-1(tm2482).
2）To construct the *C. elegans* plasmid for expression of *xbp-1* in intestine,
2549 bp promoter of ges-1 and genomic DNA of *xbp-1* was inserted into the
PPD95.77 vector. DNA plasmid mixture containing *Pges-1::xbp-1::GFP*
(25ng/ul) and *Podr-1::RFP (25ng/ul)* was injected into the gonads of adult
xbp-1(tm2482).

Preparation and feeding of worm food

884 We followed an established protocol [24, 25] to prepare heat-killed (HK) *E. coli*.
885 Briefly, a standard OD_{600}=0.5-0.6 of *E. coli* OP50 and *E. coli* K12 grown in LB
886 broth was concentrated to 1/20 vol and was then heat-killed at 80℃ for 180
887 min. About 150ul of the heat-killed bacteria was spread onto each 35mm
888 NGM plate.

Preparation of HK-*E. coli* + carbohydrate or vitamin C food

1) 100ul of water, 100ul of L-ascorbic acid (dissolved in water at a

concentration of 100mg/ml, Sangon Biotech, 100143-0100) or 100 ul of D-

glucuronic acid (dissolved in water at a concentration of 100mg/ml，Adamas,

1102520) was mixed with 500 ul of HK-*E. coli*, then 150ul of the mixture was

spread onto 35mm NGM plates.

2) 12.5ul of water or 12.5ul of D-(+)-glucose (dissolved in water at a

concentration of 100mg/ml, Sangon Biotech, A501991-0500) was mixed with

500 ul of HK-*E. coli*, then 150ul of the mixture was spread onto 35mm NGM

plates.

Behavioral assay

***C. elegans* selection assays**

For *C. elegans* to have enough space to evaluate food, we add 18ul of the

sample onto a 35mm NGM plate. This creates a round lawn with a radius of

5mm, which occupies about 8% of the total plate area.

906

$$907 \quad \frac{a}{b} = \frac{\pi r_1^2}{\pi \gamma_2^2} = \frac{5mm^2}{17.5mm^2} = 8\%$$

a: the area of bacterial lawn

b: the space of worm life (area of culture dish)

1) 18ul of heat-killed OP50, live OP50, and LB broth (as the buffer for

bacteria) was added into 35mm NGM plate in an equilateral triangle pattern.

Then,   synchronized L1 worms were seeded in the center of NGM plate for

16-17h at 20℃ (as indicated in Figure1B).

2) 18ul of heat-killed OP50 and heat-killed OP50 with D-GlcA or vitamin C

was added into 35mm NGM plate in an equilateral triangle pattern, then

synchronized L1 worms were seeded on equilateral triangle of NGM plate for

16-17h at 20℃ (as indicated in Figure 5A).

Here is Selection rate formula:

$$920 \quad selection\ rate = \frac{\frac{worm\ amount}{one\ lawn\ area}}{\frac{worm\ amount}{lawn1\ area} + \frac{worm\ amount}{lawn2\ area} + \frac{worm\ amount}{lawn3\ area} ....}$$

922 ***C. elegans* aversion assays**

923 18ul food was spread out the center of NGM plate, then synchronized L1 by

924 bleach solution (NaOH: 1M, NaClO:4-6%) worms were seeded on center of

925 food for 16-17h at 20 ℃.

$$926 \quad Aversion\ index = \frac{worm\ amount\ of\ out\ of\ lawn}{worm\ amount\ of\ (out + in)\ lawn}$$

927   Three to ten replicates for each condition were performed for each assay, and

928   the experiments were duplicated on different days.

Analysis of the fluorescence intensity in worms

The synchronized L1 worms carrying either UPR^{ER} reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) or

innate immunity reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*; *Psysm-1p::GFP*; *Pirg-1p::GFP*) were

seeded on NGM with indicated food and incubated for 24h at 20℃. For

fluorescence imaging, worms were anesthetized with 25 mM levamisole and

photographed using either an Olympus BX53 microscope or Olympus MVX10

dissecting microscope equipped with a DP80 camera.

The fluorescence intensity in entire intestinal region was quantified using

ImageJ software and normalized to the body area.

***E. coli* Keio collection screen**

The whole Keio *E. coli* single mutant collection (Baba et al., 2006) was

screened. Mutant bacteria strains, as well as the wild-type control strain

BW25113, were cultured in LB medium with 50μg/ml kanamycin at 37℃ until

an OD$_{600}$ of 0.5-0.6 was reached. The bacteria were then heat-killed following

our established protocol [24], and 150 ul of the heat-killed mutant *E. coli* was

spread onto 35 mm NGM plates. Synchronized L1 worms carrying UPR^{ER}

reporter (*Phsp-4::GFP*) were seeded and cultured for 24h at 20℃. The

fluorescence was then examined by using an Olympus MVX10 dissecting

microscope, progressive screening three times. Next, a 4th screen was

performed using immune reporter (*Pirg-5::GFP*) animals fed with HK-E. coli

mutants that reduced the *Phsp-4::GFP* fluorescence. Finally, an aversion

behavior assay was performed using HK-*E. coli* mutants that both reduced

Phsp-4::GFP and *Pirg-5::GFP.* HK-*E. coli* mutants that reduced UPR[ER],

immune and avoidance behavior were identified through this screening.

RNAi treatment

RNAi plasmid is delivered in a *E. coli* strain, HT115, from either the MRC

RNAi library [57] or the ORF-RNAi Library [58]. RNAi plates were prepared by

adding IPTG to NGM agar to a final concentration of 1 mM. Overnight *E. coli*

cultures (LB broth containing 100 ug/ml ampicillin and 100uM IPTG) of

specific RNAi strains and the control HT115 strain were seeded onto RNAi

feeding plates and cultured at room temperature until dry. Synchronized L1

worms were treated RNAi by feeding (Ahringer, Reverse genetics, WormBook

2006) for the first generation and allowed to grow to maturity. The worms

were then bleached and hatched in M9 buffer for 18hr. The synchronized L1

worms were then seeded on the indicated feeding plate.

Western blot

969 To measure the level of p-PMK-1, worms (feeding different food for 4h) were

970 analyzed by standard western blot methods and probed with anti-p38 (dilution

971 = 1:5,000; Cell Signaling, 9212S), anti-p-p38 (dilution = 1:5,000; Cell

972 Signaling, 4511S) and anti-α-tubulin (dilution = 1:10,000; Sigma T5168) as a

973 loading control.

To measure the level of protein translation, worms (feeding different food for 24h) were analyzed by standard western blot methods and probed with anti-Puromycin (dilution = 1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich, MABE343) and anti-α-tubulin (dilution = 1:10,000; Sigma T5168) as a loading control.

Total content of ascorbic acid (TAA) assay

The total content of ascorbic acid was measured using the kits (Beijing Biotech-Pack-analytical Scientific Co., Ltd., Beijing, China, BKWB132 http://biotech-pack-analytical.foodmate.net/) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, L1 worms were seeded on the different feeding assay plate and cultured for 4 hours. The worms were then lysed in ice-cold conditions using lysis buffer. Equal amounts of protein were used for the normalization. Here is formula for getting TAA concentration

$$TAA(ug/mg\ prot) = ((\triangle A - a) \div b)) \div (Cpr \times V1) \times D$$

V1 - the volume of supernatant of for experiment

Cpr – the concentration of supernatant protein

D – Dilution ratio of supernatant

a – the intercept of standard curve

b – the slope of standard curve

the standard curve y = 0.0611x + 0.0003 for Figure 4C; y = 0.0258x + 0.0066 for Figure 4B, Figure 4-figure supplement 1A and Figure 4-figure supplement 1B.

Preparation of samples for RNA sequencing

998 RNA-seq was done with three biological replicates that were independently

999 generated, collected, and processed. Adult wild type (N2) worms were

1000 bleached and then the eggs were incubated in M9 for 18 hours to obtain

1001 synchronized L1 worms. L1 worms were cultured in the NGM plate with *HK-E.*

1002 *coli* or *E. coli* for 4hrs at 20℃. L1 worms were then collected for sequencing.

1003

RNA sequencing and data processing

For the RNA sequencing assay, cDNA libraries were constructed, and single-

end libraries were sequenced using the Illumina platform (Novogene, Beijing,

China). HISAT2 [59] was used to map the clean reads to the reference gene

sequence (Species: Caenorhabditis_elegans; Source: NCBI; Reference

Genome Version: GCF_000002985.6_WBcel235), and then "featureCounts"

tool in subread software [60] was used to calculate the gene expression level of

each sample. Read counts were inputted into DESeq2 [61]to calculate

differential gene expression and statistical significance. Differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) were screened using following criteria:

$|log2(FoldChange)| > 1$ & padj<= 0.05.

1015

Preparation of samples for metabolome sequencing

Metabolome-seq of bacterial was done with four biological replicates that

were independently generated, collected, and processed. Total of three group

E. coli sample including: *E. coli K12* (Con), HK-*E. coli K12* (HK), and HK-*E.*

coli yfbR mutant (HY). All bacteria were overnight cultured to the same OD

(OD_{600}=1). *E. coli K12* and *E. coli yfbR* mutant are heat-killed (80, 180min), *E.*

coli K12, HK-*E. coli* K12 and HK-*E. coli yfbR* mutant were then spread out

NGM plate for 72hs at room temperature. Finally, sample was collected into

1.5ml tube by using sterile cell scraping.

1025

Metabolome sequencing and data processing

Metabolome were sequenced using the Ultra Performance Liquid

Chromatography (UPLC) (ExionLC AD，https://sciex.com.cn/) and Quadrupole-

Time of Flight (TripleTOF 6600, AB SCIEX) for Non-targeted; Ultra Performance

Liquid Chromatography (UPLC) (ExionLC AD ， https://sciex.com.cn/) and

Tandem mass spectrometry （MS/MS）（QTRAP®，https://sciex.com/）for Broad

targeting (Metware, Wuhan, China). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) was

used to calculate the expression level of each metabolite. Differential

metabolites were screened through Fold change $\geqslant$ 2 or Fold change $\leqslant$ 0.5

and VIP $\geqslant$ 1 (Variable Importance in Projection of OPLS-DA model).

1036

1037 **Microscopy**

1038 Analysis of fluorescence was performed with an Olympus BX53 microscope,

1039 CLSM (Zeiss LSM900), or Olympus MVX10 dissecting with a DP80 camera.

1040

1041 **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

1042 **Quantification**

1043 ImageJ software was used for quantifying fluorescence intensity of UPR^{ER}

1044 and Innate immunity reporter. ImageJ software was used for counting the

1045 number of worms about selection and aversion behavior.

1046

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in Graphpad prism 8.0. Two-tailed

unpaired t test was used for statistical analysis of two groups of samples，

one-way or two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis of more than

two groups of samples. Data are presented as Mean ± SD, and $p<0.05$ was

considered a significant difference, "*" represents $p<0.05$, "**" represents

$p<0.01$, "***" is represents < 0.001, "****" represents $p<0.0001$,

"ns" represents no significant difference. For all figures, "n" represents the

number of worms scored from at least three independent experiments.

1056

Reference:

1058 1.   Filosa A, Barker AJ, Dal Maschio M, Baier H. Feeding State Modulates
1059   Behavioral Choice and Processing of Prey Stimuli in the Zebrafish Tectum.
1060   *Neuron* **90**, 596-608 (2016).
1061

1062 2.   Florsheim EB, Sullivan ZA, Khoury-Hanold W, Medzhitov R. Food allergy as a
1063   biological food quality control system. *Cell* **184**, 1440-1454 (2021).
1064

1065 3.   McLachlan IG, *et al.* Diverse states and stimuli tune olfactory receptor
1066   expression levels to modulate food-seeking behavior. *Elife* **11**,   (2022).
1067

1068 4.   Avery JA, Liu AG, Ingeholm JE, Gotts SJ, Martin A. Viewing images of foods
1069   evokes taste quality-specific activity in gustatory insular cortex. *Proc Natl*
1070   *Acad Sci U S A* **118**,   (2021).
1071

1072 5.   Melin AD, *et al.* Fruit scent and observer colour vision shape food-selection

1073   strategies in wild capuchin monkeys. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2407 (2019).

1074

1075   6.   Bargmann CI. Chemosensation in C. elegans. *WormBook*, 1-29 (2006).

1076

1077   7.   Sengupta P, Chou JH, Bargmann CI. odr-10 encodes a seven transmembrane
1078   domain olfactory receptor required for responses to the odorant diacetyl. *Cell*
1079   **84**, 899-909 (1996).

1080

1081   8.   Troemel ER, Kimmel BE, Bargmann CI. Reprogramming chemotaxis responses:
1082   sensory neurons define olfactory preferences in C. elegans. *Cell* **91**, 161-169
1083   (1997).

1084

1085   9.   Fiala A. Olfaction and olfactory learning in Drosophila: recent progress. *Curr
1086   Opin Neurobiol* **17**, 720-726 (2007).

1087

1088   10.   Chalasani SH*, et al.* Dissecting a circuit for olfactory behaviour in
1089   Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **450**, 63-70 (2007).

1090

1091   11.   Zhang B, Jun H, Wu J, Liu J, Xu XZS. Olfactory perception of food abundance
1092   regulates dietary restriction-mediated longevity via a brain-to-gut signal. *Nat
1093   Aging* **1**, 255-268 (2021).

1094

1095   12.   Ha HI*, et al.* Functional organization of a neural network for aversive olfactory
1096   learning in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Neuron* **68**, 1173-1186 (2010).

1097

1098   13.   Scott K. Gustatory Processing in Drosophila melanogaster. *Annu Rev Entomol*
1099   **63**, 15-30 (2018).

1100

1101   14.   Hukema RK, Rademakers S, Dekkers MP, Burghoorn J, Jansen G. Antagonistic
1102   sensory cues generate gustatory plasticity in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Embo J*
1103   **25**, 312-322 (2006).

1104

1105   15.   Ni L*, et al.* A gustatory receptor paralogue controls rapid warmth avoidance in
1106   Drosophila. *Nature* **500**, 580-584 (2013).

1107

1108   16.   Jones D, Candido EPM. Feeding is inhibited by sublethal concentrations of
1109   toxicants and by heat stress in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans:
1110   Relationship to the cellular stress response. *J Exp Zool* **284**, 147-157 (1999).

1111

1112   17.   Xie Z*, et al.* The gut-to-brain axis for toxin-induced defensive responses. *Cell*
1113   **185**, 4298-4316 e4221 (2022).

1114

1115   18.   Richardson CE, Kooistra T, Kim DH. An essential role for XBP-1 in host

1116   protection against immune activation in C. elegans. *Nature* **463**, 1092-1095
1117   (2010).
1118

1119   19.   Kim DH*, et al.* A conserved p38 MAP kinase pathway in Caenorhabditis
1120   elegans innate immunity. *Science* **297**, 623-626 (2002).
1121

1122   20.   Carr AC, Maggini S. Vitamin C and Immune Function. *Nutrients* **9**,   (2017).
1123

1124   21.   Steinert RE, Lee YK, Sybesma W. Vitamins for the Gut Microbiome. *Trends Mol*
1125   *Med* **26**, 137-140 (2020).
1126

1127   22.   Li Y, Schellhorn HE. New developments and novel therapeutic perspectives for
1128   vitamin C. *J Nutr* **137**, 2171-2184 (2007).
1129

1130   23.   Carr AC, Frei B. Toward a new recommended dietary allowance for vitamin C
1131   based on antioxidant and health effects in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* **69**, 1086-
1132   1107 (1999).
1133

1134   24.   Qi B, Kniazeva M, Han M. A vitamin-B2-sensing mechanism that regulates gut
1135   protease activity to impact animal's food behavior and growth. *eLife* **6**,
1136   e26243 (2017).
1137

1138   25.   Qi B, Han M. Microbial Siderophore Enterobactin Promotes Mitochondrial
1139   Iron Uptake and Development of the Host via Interaction with ATP Synthase.
1140   *Cell* **175**, 571-582.e511 (2018).
1141

1142   26.   Nakad R*, et al.* Contrasting invertebrate immune defense behaviors caused by
1143   a single gene, the Caenorhabditis elegans neuropeptide receptor gene npr-1.
1144   *BMC Genomics* **17**, 280 (2016).
1145

1146   27.   Sinha A, Rae R, Iatsenko I, Sommer RJ. System wide analysis of the evolution
1147   of innate immunity in the nematode model species Caenorhabditis elegans
1148   and Pristionchus pacificus. *PLoS One* **7**, e44255 (2012).
1149

1150   28.   Calfon M*, et al.* IRE1 couples endoplasmic reticulum load to secretory
1151   capacity by processing the XBP-1 mRNA. *Nature* **415**, 92-96 (2002).
1152

1153   29.   Bolz DD, Tenor JL, Aballay A. A Conserved PMK-1/p38 MAPK Is Required in
1154   Caenorhabditis elegans Tissue-specific Immune Response to Yersinia pestis
1155   Infection*. The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **285**, 10832 - 10840 (2010).
1156

1157   30.   Yoneda T, Benedetti C, Urano F, Clark SG, Harding HP, Ron D. Compartment-
1158   specific perturbation of protein handling activates genes encoding

1159   mitochondrial chaperones. *Journal of Cell Science* **117**, 4055-4066 (2004).
1160

1161   31.   Hetz C, Zhang K, Kaufman RJ. Mechanisms, regulation and functions of the
1162   unfolded protein response. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 421-438 (2020).
1163

1164   32.   Ron D, Walter P. Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded
1165   protein response. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **8**, 519-529 (2007).
1166

1167   33.   Fletcher M, Tillman EJ, Butty VL, Levine SS, Kim DH. Global transcriptional
1168   regulation of innate immunity by ATF-7 in C. elegans. *Plos Genet* **15**,   (2019).
1169

1170   34.   Foster KJ, McEwan DL, Pukkila-Worley R. Measurements of Innate Immune
1171   Function in C. elegans. *Methods Mol Biol* **2144**, 145-160 (2020).
1172

1173   35.   Geng S*, et al.* Gut commensal E. coli outer membrane proteins activate the
1174   host food digestive system through neural-immune communication. *Cell Host*
1175   *Microbe* **30**, 1401-1416 e1408 (2022).
1176

1177   36.   Weiss B. The Deoxycytidine Pathway for Thymidylate Synthesis in
1178   Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* **189**, 7922-7926 (2007).
1179

1180   37.   Franceus J, Desmet T. Sucrose Phosphorylase and Related Enzymes in
1181   Glycoside Hydrolase Family 13: Discovery, Application and Engineering.
1182   *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **21**,   (2020).
1183

1184   38.   Xing S*, et al.* Lactose induced redox-dependent senescence and activated Nrf2
1185   pathway. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* **12**, 2034-2045 (2019).
1186

1187   39.   Patananan AN, Budenholzer LM, Pedraza ME, Torres ER, Adler LN, Clarke SG.
1188   The invertebrate Caenorhabditis elegans biosynthesizes ascorbate. *Arch*
1189   *Biochem Biophys* **569**, 32-44 (2015).
1190

1191   40.   Yabuta Y*, et al.* L-Ascorbate Biosynthesis Involves Carbon Skeleton
1192   Rearrangement in the Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Metabolites* **10**,
1193   (2020).
1194

1195   41.   Moritz B, Schmitz AE, Rodrigues ALS, Dafre AL, Cunha MP. The role of vitamin
1196   C in stress-related disorders. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* **85**,
1197   (2020).
1198

1199   42.   Rice ME. Ascorbate regulation and its neuroprotective role in the brain.
1200   *Trends in Neurosciences* **23**, 209-216 (2000).
1201

1202   43.   Webb AL, Villamor E. Update: Effects of Antioxidant and Non-Antioxidant
1203   Vitamin Supplementation on Immune Function. *Nutrition Reviews* **65**, 181-
1204   217 (2007).
1205

1206   44.   Maggini S, Wintergerst ES, Beveridge S, Hornig DH. Selected vitamins and
1207   trace elements support immune function by strengthening epithelial barriers
1208   and cellular and humoral immune responses. *British Journal of Nutrition* **98**,
1209   S29-S35 (2007).
1210

1211   45.   Luo X*, et al.* Vitamin C protects against hypoxia, inflammation, and ER stress
1212   in primary human preadipocytes and adipocytes. *Molecular and Cellular*
1213   *Endocrinology* **556**,   (2022).
1214

1215   46.   Su M, Liang X, Xu X, Wu X, Yang B. Hepatoprotective benefits of vitamin C
1216   against perfluorooctane sulfonate-induced liver damage in mice through
1217   suppressing inflammatory reaction and ER stress. *Environmental Toxicology*
1218   *and Pharmacology* **65**, 60-65 (2019).
1219

1220   47.   Ozbey NP*, et al.* Tyramine Acts Downstream of Neuronal XBP-1s to Coordinate
1221   Inter-tissue UPR(ER) Activation and Behavior in C. elegans. *Dev Cell* **55**, 754-
1222   770 e756 (2020).
1223

1224   48.   Troemel ER, Chu SW, Reinke V, Lee SS, Ausubel FM, Kim DH. p38 MAPK
1225   regulates expression of immune response genes and contributes to longevity
1226   in C. elegans. *Plos Genet* **2**, e183 (2006).
1227

1228   49.   Melo JA, Ruvkun G. Inactivation of conserved C. elegans genes engages
1229   pathogen- and xenobiotic-associated defenses. *Cell* **149**, 452-466 (2012).
1230

1231   50.   Kaser A*, et al.* XBP1 links ER stress to intestinal inflammation and confers
1232   genetic risk for human inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell* **134**, 743-756 (2008).
1233

1234   51.   Kaser A, Blumberg RS. Survive an innate immune response through XBP1. *Cell*
1235   *Research* **20**, 506-507 (2010).
1236

1237   52.   Guan L, Zhan Z, Yang Y, Miao Y, Huang X, Ding M. Alleviating chronic ER stress
1238   by p38-Ire1-Xbp1 pathway and insulin-associated autophagy in C. elegans
1239   neurons. *PLOS Genetics* **16**, e1008704 (2020).
1240

1241   53.   Qu M, Liu Y, Xu K, Wang D. Activation of p38 MAPK Signaling‐Mediated
1242   Endoplasmic Reticulum Unfolded Protein Response by Nanopolystyrene
1243   Particles. *Advanced Biosystems* **3**, 1800325 (2019).
1244

1245   54.   Weaver BP, Weaver YM, Omi S, Yuan W, Ewbank JJ, Han M. Non-Canonical
1246   Caspase Activity Antagonizes p38 MAPK Stress-Priming Function to Support
1247   Development. *Dev Cell* **53**, 358-369 e356 (2020).
1248

1249   55.   Ozbey NP, Thompson MA, Taylor RC. The regulation of animal behavior by
1250   cellular stress responses. *Exp Cell Res* **405**, 112720 (2021).
1251

1252   56.   Richardson CE, Kinkel S, Kim DH. Physiological IRE-1-XBP-1 and PEK-1 signaling
1253   in Caenorhabditis elegans larval development and immunity. *PLoS Genet* **7**,
1254   e1002391 (2011).
1255

1256   57.   Kamath RS*, et al.* Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans
1257   genome using RNAi. *Nature* **421**, 231-237 (2003).
1258

1259   58.   Rual JF*, et al.* Toward improving Caenorhabditis elegans phenome mapping
1260   with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. *Genome Res* **14**, 2162-2168 (2004).
1261

1262   59.   Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. Mapping and
1263   quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat Methods* **5**, 621-628
1264   (2008).
1265

1266   60.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program
1267   for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930
1268   (2014).
1269

1270   61.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
1271   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**,   (2014).
1272

1273

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

C

D

Figure 6