1 **From *mec* cassette to *rdhA*: a key *Dehalobacter* genomic neighborhood**
2 **in a chloroform and dichloromethane–transforming microbial**
3 **consortium**
4

5 Olivia Bulka,[a] Katherine Picott,[a] Radhakrishnan Mahadevan [a], and Elizabeth A.
6 Edwards[a,b]#
7
8
9 [a]Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto,
10 Toronto, Ontario, Canada
11
12 [b]Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto,
13 Toronto, Ontario, Canada

22 **Running Head:** Mec cassette & RdhA in a CF and DCM-degrading culture
23
24

25 #Address correspondence to Elizabeth A. Edwards, elizabeth.edwards@utoronto.ca.

26
27
28 Olivia Bulka and Katherine Picott contributed equally to this work. Author order was
29 determined alphabetically.
30

Abstract

Chloroform (CF) and dichloromethane (DCM) are groundwater contaminants of
concern due to their high toxicity and inhibition of important biogeochemical processes
such as methanogenesis. Anaerobic biotransformation of CF and DCM has been well
documented but typically independently of one another. CF is the electron acceptor for
certain organohalide-respiring bacteria that use reductive dehalogenases (RDases) to
dechlorinate CF to DCM. In contrast, known DCM-degraders use DCM as their electron
donor, which is oxidized using a series of methyltransferases and associated proteins
encoded by the *mec* cassette to facilitate the entry of DCM to the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway.
The SC05 culture is an enrichment culture sold commercially for bioaugmentation, that
transforms CF via DCM to CO_2. This culture has the unique ability to dechlorinate CF to
DCM using electron equivalents provided by the oxidation of DCM to CO_2. Here we use
metagenomic and metaproteomic analysis to identify the functional genes involved in each
of these transformations. Though 91 metagenome-assembled genomes were assembled, the
genes for an RDase—named *acdA*—and a complete *mec* cassette were found to be encoded
on a single contig belonging to *Dehalobacter*. AcdA and critical Mec proteins were also
highly expressed by the culture. Heterologously-expressed AcdA dechlorinated CF and
other chloroalkanes but had 100-fold lower activity on DCM. Overall, the high expression
of Mec proteins and the activity of AcdA suggest a *Dehalobacter* capable of dechlorination
of CF to DCM, and subsequent mineralization of DCM using the *mec* cassette.

Importance

Chloroform (CF) and dichloromethane (DCM) are regulated groundwater
contaminants. A cost-effective approach to remove these pollutants from contaminated
groundwater is to employ microbes that transform CF and DCM as part of their metabolism,
thus depleting the contamination as the microbes continue to grow. In this work, we
investigate bioaugmentation culture SC05, a mixed microbial consortium that effectively
and simultaneously degrades both CF and DCM coupled to the growth of *Dehalobacter*.
We identified the functional genes responsible for the transformation of CF and DCM in
SC05. These genetic biomarkers provide a means to monitor the remediation process in the
field.

Keywords: chloroform, dichloromethane, bioremediation, *Dehalobacter,* reductive
dehalogenase, *mec* cassette

Introduction

Chlorinated alkanes like chloroform (CF) and dichloromethane (DCM) are prevalent and persistent groundwater contaminants. They have been widely used in many industrial processes as solvents and degreasers, resulting in serious groundwater contamination (1, 2). CF is also generated as a by-product of water disinfection and by the dechlorination of carbon tetrachloride (1, 3). CF and DCM are both formed naturally (4), but at much lower concentrations than those resulting from anthropogenic sources. Both CF and DCM are toxic and carcinogenic to humans (5, 6), but CF causes additional environmental problems by inhibiting natural biogeochemical cycling and dechlorination of chloroethenes at mixed-contaminant sites (1, 7–9). Through biostimulation and bioaugmentation, mixed microbial cultures—often derived from contaminated sites—are an effective method to remove these compounds from anaerobic contaminant sites (Sandra Dworatzek, personal communication, 1, 2, 8).

Numerous anaerobic enrichment cultures and isolated organisms targeting CF or DCM have been described, but typically these cultures focus solely on either CF or DCM. CF-reducing enrichment cultures typically accumulate DCM as a product of CF dehalogenation, without degradation of DCM (10–14). Moreover, cultures enriched on DCM can be sensitive to CF concentrations, which leads to difficulties with sequential remediation (15). For example, when CF-dechlorinating culture ACT-3 was co-cultured with DCM-degrading Consortium RM, DCM mineralization only occurred 100-200 days after CF was completely transformed to DCM (16). Despite the structural similarity of CF and DCM, their known mechanisms of biotransformation are distinct.

All known anaerobic CF-dechlorinating organisms are classified as *Dehalobacter* spp. (10–12, 17), with the exception of *Desulfitobacterium* sp. strain PR (14). All *Dehalobacter* and many *Desulfitobacterium* strains are organohalide-respiring bacteria (OHRB)—organisms that use organohalides as terminal electron acceptors in their respiratory processes. These strains efficiently respire CF through reductive dechlorination, yielding DCM as a product (10–12, 14, 17). These reactions are catalyzed by enzymes called reductive dehalogenases (RDases). RDases are ubiquitous among OHRB, and each of the known CF-respiring organisms expresses a slightly different active RDase within the same classification group.

To classify characterized RDases and uncharacterized reductive dehalogenase homologous genes (*rdhA*) from (meta)genome sequences, all predicted proteins have been organized into ortholog groups (OGs) (18, 19). Each OG comprises protein sequences sharing more than 90% amino acid sequence identity (19). All known CF-dechlorinating organisms possess RDases that cluster in a single group: OG 97 (similarity matrix in Figure S1). It is worth noting that despite having highly similar amino acid sequences, the OG 97 RDases are distinct in their substrate profiles, which include various structurally related halomethanes and chloroethanes. Members of OG 97 that are capable of CF dechlorination include CfrA from *Dehalobacter* sp. CF (20), TmrA from *Dehalobacter* sp. UNSWDHB

(21), ThmA from *Dehalobacter* sp. THM1 (12), CtrA from *Desulfitobacterium* sp. PR (14), and most recently RdhA D8M_v2_40029 from *Dehalobacter* sp. 8M (22). Only *Desulfitobacterium* sp. PR—and by inference, its enzyme CtrA—has been documented to dechlorinate DCM to chloromethane (CM), but it is a minor transformation product (5% of DCM converted to CM) and DCM has not been shown to sustain growth of this organism (14).

The four known anaerobic DCM-degrading microorganisms use DCM as electron donor in one of two established anaerobic pathways. Both pathways harness the Wood-Ljungdahl Pathway (WLP) and involve the *mec* cassette in the initial DCM assimilation step (23). This 10-gene cassette encodes a methyltransferase system that is thought to assimilate DCM to methylenetetrahydrofolate to launch both pathways before they diverge later in the WLP (23). The DCM fermentation pathway—first discovered in *Dehalobacterium formicoaceticum* strain DMC—uses the WLP to release chloride ions from DCM, ultimately producing formate and acetate (24, 25). *Candidatus* Formimonas warabiya and *D. formicoaceticum* strain EZ94 are also predicted to use this pathway (26–28). The second pathway, DCM mineralization, also employs the WLP to metabolize DCM, but instead reduces carbon dioxide and hydrogen as end products (29). This mechanism has only been shown in *Candidatus* Dichloromethanomonas elyunquensis, which was originally classified as a strain of *Dehalobacter* when first identified using its 16S rRNA sequence alone (29–31).

Other studies have implicated *Dehalobacter* strains in DCM degradation, however none has been genomically verified. A *Dehalobacter* strain was reported to be detected in consortium CFH2, which later became dominated by *Ca*. F. warabiya during subsequent enrichment (26, 32). This *Dehalobacter* strain disappeared from the culture before genomic analysis could confirm its predicted taxonomy (26). In our previous work (33), we identified a *Dehalobacter* strain that persisted in DCM-amended subcultures of the SC05 consortium. In this study, we continued to investigate the role of *Dehalobacter* in DCM metabolism in the SC05 culture.

SC05 is a microbial consortium maintained by SiREM (Guelph, ON) that dechlorinates CF to DCM and concurrently mineralizes DCM to carbon dioxide and hydrogen under anaerobic conditions (34). We previously established a subculture at the University of Toronto, known as SC05-UT, which has been maintained continuously on CF alone, without exogenous electron donor addition, for >1000 days (33). This culture produces sufficient hydrogen through DCM mineralization (2 mol H_2 produced from 1 mol DCM) to power its CF dechlorination (1 mol H_2 needed for reduction of 1 mol CF) (33). From this culture, an additional sub-transfer was made and maintained on DCM alone, which was named DCME (33). In each of these cultures, the same *Dehalobacter* 16S amplicon sequence variant (ASV) increased in abundance while the culture consumed CF and DCM, as well as DCM alone (33). These findings suggested a multifunctional *Dehalobacter* that may produce its own electron donor from mineralization of DCM (its

dechlorination product), but the mechanisms for both CF dechlorination and DCM
mineralization have remained uncharacterized in this culture. Here we searched for
functional genes in metagenomes from SC05-UT and DCME to identify and characterize
the RDase dechlorinating CF, to provide insight into the mechanism of DCM degradation
in the SC05-UT and DCME cultures, and finally to determine if the genes encoding these
pathways belong to *Dehalobacter*.

Results & Discussion

1. *Dehalobacter* metagenome-assembled genomes

A more detailed description of the metagenome assemblies and bins in this study
can be found in our related resource announcement (35), and overall metagenome quality
statistics can be found in Table S5. Briefly, forty draft metagenome-assembled genomes
(MAGs) were assembled from the DCME metagenome, and 51 draft MAGs were
assembled from the SC05-UT metagenome, representing 53% and 77% of total
microorganisms, respectively, as determined by relative abundance estimates in Anvi'o
(36). One *Dehalobacter* MAG was assembled from each metagenome. In the SC05-UT
metagenome, the *Dehalobacter* MAG was 3.46 Mb in 7 contigs and 98.6% complete as
estimated by Anvi'o (N_{50} = 572 kb, mean coverage = 1028, read recruitment = 12.3%)
(Table S1). In DCME, the MAG was only 1.97 Mb in 28 contigs (N_{50} = 148 kb) and
estimated as 90.1% complete (Table S1), though lacking more than 1 Mb compared to
other closed *Dehalobacter* genomes. Mean read coverage (42.3) and read recruitment
(0.3%) were lower for the DCME *Dehalobacter* MAG than that from SC05-UT (Table S1).
Read recruitment is defined as reads mapped to a bin divided by total reads in the
metagenome, which is skewed by the PCR amplification of the metagenomic reads. The
abundances determined by amplicon sequencing are more reliable, which show the
Dehalobacter abundance is 10% of total bacteria at the time of sequencing.
The DCME metagenome was sequenced after the subculture had been enriched on
DCM only for more than one year, during which many methanogens flourished, as well as
other microorganisms whose growth are typically inhibited by CF (Figure S2). As a result,
Dehalobacter had a lower relative abundance in the DCME culture compared to SC05-UT
at the time of sequencing (10-20% vs. 70-90% as determined by 16S amplicon sequencing,
Figure S2), which led to a much more fragmented and incomplete *Dehalobacter* MAG
with lower read coverage overall compared to the *Dehalobacter* MAG from SC05-UT.
Despite this poor quality, our previous work has shown that only *Dehalobacter* grows as
DCM is degraded, which indicates it is in fact a DCM-degrader despite its lower abundance
than other MAGs. Other draft MAGs are summarized in Table S1.
181
182
183

2. Identification of AcdA, the expressed RDase in SC05-UT

To identify the CF-dechlorinating RDase in SC05-UT, its metagenome was first assembled and searched for *rdhA* genes. Of the 37 identified *rdhA* genes, 27 were from contigs in the *Dehalobacter* MAG (Table S2). Closed *Dehalobacter* genomes typically encode ~20-25 RDases, but each strain often only expresses one for respiration (19, 37, 38). Of the remaining 10 *rdhA* sequences, three were on unbinned contigs, while seven were binned as Anaerolineaceae, Mesotoga, or Synergistales (Table S2).

One RDase was identified in the proteome of SCO5-UT with a high protein abundance ranking (ID: JAWDGN_38927, mean protein abundance rank: 3; Table S3A). While not quantitative, a high protein abundance ranking (with 1 as the highest rank) implies a greater expression level of that protein than those with a lower rank. A highly similar RDase with five amino acid differences was also the only to be expressed in DCME (ID: JAWDGO_25608, mean protein abundance rank: 4; Table S3B). Like other characterized *rdhA* sequences, this gene contains a twin-arginine transport (TAT) sequence and two iron-sulfur cluster binding motifs. Immediately downstream are an *rdhB* and an *rdhC* gene, between which is an inserted transposase gene, before a terminator sequence to end the operon (Figure S3, Table S6). In the DCME metagenome, no transposase interrupts the *rdhB* and *rdhC* genes.

This expressed SC05-UT RDase was previously studied using compound-specific isotope analysis (CSIA)—an analytical technique used to provide insight into transformation pathways—wherein the RDase was referred to as KB-1® Plus CF RdhA (39). No biochemical analysis was performed at that time. The RDase clustered with OG 97, the ortholog group containing all five known CF-dechlorinating RDases, with its highest amino acid similarity (96.7%) to TmrA (WP_034377773) (39). CSIA has revealed distinct fractionation patterns for CF dechlorination, indicating differing mechanisms of action or rate-limiting steps for four mixed cultures expressing the RDases, TmrA, CfrA, RdhA D8M_v2_40029, and the SC05-UT RDase (17, 39, 40). A handful of amino acid variants within the active sites were speculated to cause these fractionation differences (39). The isotope fractionation pattern differentiated the SC05-UT RDase from the other CF-dechlorinating RDases, suggesting that it is another distinct RDase within OG 97 (39). We have observed that it is indeed the case that these active site residue differences have an influential effect on RDase substrate preferences (41; manuscript in preparation). The OG 97 classification, in addition to its lone expression from the metagenome's roster of putative RDases, identifies AcdA as a chloroform reductive dehalogenase.

3. AcdA dechlorinates many chloroalkanes, but not DCM

To explore AcdA's dechlorinating activity, we expressed the native gene heterologously in *Escherichia coli*. Its expression was much lower than what has been previously seen for TmrA using the same method (42). This could be because the native

Dehalobacter gene was not codon-optimized for expression, or because the enzyme itself
might be less soluble. Regardless, the enzyme was enriched by nickel-affinity
chromatography, and the protein concentration used in assays was adjusted using the
estimated purity of 20%. The expressed AcdA was assayed against substrates accepted by
other RDases in OG 97 including CF, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), 1,1,2-TCA, and 1,1-
dichloroethane (DCA) (Figure 1). DCM was also tested as a substrate to investigate if there
was sufficient activity to contribute to DCM mineralization (Figure 1A). Enzyme-free
negative controls were used for each substrate. No product was detected in the controls,
except for one CF replicate (<0.5 nmol DCM) and one 1,1-DCA replicate (<0.5 nmol vinyl
chloride [VC]) (Supplemental Information, Dataset S4). TmrA was used as a positive
control and as a comparison against a known CF reductase. The enzyme activity is reported
here as nmol of product measured per second of the reaction per mg of RdhA enzyme
(enzyme free control is in nmol/s).
Generally, AcdA displayed comparable activity levels on all tested substrates to
TmrA (Figure 1). Similar to TmrA and other OG 97 enzymes, AcdA sequentially
dechlorinates 1,1,1-TCA to 1,1-DCA, and then 1,1-DCA to chloroethane (CA), though it
dechlorinates 1,1-DCA at a much slower rate. Interestingly, the enzyme assay was able to
predict 1,1,1-TCA and 1,1-DCA as putative growth substrates for SC05-UT. We confirmed
that the culture could dechlorinate 1,1,1-TCA and 1,1-DCA, albeit ~10 times more slowly
than CF, with CA accumulating as a final dechlorination product (Text S5, Figure S4).
AcdA also dechlorinated 1,1,2-TCA via two different reaction pathways yielding a mixture
of 1,2-DCA and VC at a ratio of 2:3. This is a much higher ratio of VC than most OG 97
RDases produce, and it may be of interest to investigate the cause of this mechanistic switch.
AcdA is most active on CF as a substrate, which is unsurprising given that the
SC05-UT culture originated from a CF contamination site (34). While there is a small
amount of DCM dechlorination observed (0.79 ± 0.08 nmol/s/mg), this activity is 100-fold
lower than that of CF dechlorination (68 ± 14 nmol/s/mg). CtrA and TmrA also display
nominal dechlorination activity on DCM (14; Figure 1A). DCM dechlorination is predicted
to be co-metabolic in *Desulfitobacterium* sp. PR, whereas no DCM metabolism has been
observed in *Dehalobacter* sp. UNSWDHB (11, 14). Given AcdA's low activity on DCM
compared to CF, and the sustained DCM removal from the SC05-UT culture (33), AcdA
is not likely to be the primary DCM-degrading enzyme.
255

256
FIGURE 1. AcdA and TmrA specific activity on chlorinated A) methanes and B) ethanes.
Specific activity is the amount of product (nmol) per second per mg of RDase in the
reaction, enzyme free negative control is in nmol/s. Reactions were incubated at room
temperature for 1 h with no headspace. Activity on 1,1,2-TCA is stacked depending on the
product of the reaction: 1,2-DCA (solid) or VC (striped). Error bars are the standard
deviation between triplicate reactions. CF = chloroform; DCM = dichloromethane; CM =
chloromethane; TCA = trichloroethane; DCA = dichloroethane; CA = chloroethane; VC =
vinyl chloride.

265
4.   **Only the *Dehalobacter* MAG contains the *mec* cassette**

To predict a mechanism for DCM degradation in SC05, we searched both the SC05-
UT and DCME metagenomes for the *mec* cassette: a ten-gene cassette identified
specifically in the genomes of all known DCM-degrading microorganisms (23). Only one
instance of the *mec* cassette was found in each metagenome—on contigs assigned to each
Dehalobacter MAG (SC05-UT contig: JAWDGN010000066, 364.8 kb, mean coverage:
1561.9; DCME contig: JAWDGO010000051, 279.2 kb, mean coverage: 37.7). The more
fragmented assembly of the DCME *Dehalobacter* MAG resulted in a broken DCME *mec*
contig that terminates after the *mecH* gene (Figure 2). A search for the subsequent *mecIJ*
genes in the other contigs from the DCME metagenome revealed one contig in an
Anaerovoracaceae bin (contig JAWDGO010000514, 53.6 kb). This contig had markedly
higher GC content than the rest of the gene neighborhood in both *Dehalobacter* MAGs
(47.1% vs. 40.3–40.8%). Moreover, no long-read coverage linked *mecGHIJ* to the rest of
the contig, indicating a potential misassembly (Figure S5). For these reasons, this contig
was not merged with the *Dehalobacter mec* contig JAWDGO010000051 (expanded upon
in Supplementary Text S6). An alternative downstream sequence encoding a transposase
and *mecIJ* was resolved using PacBio HiFi reads to perform contig extension on the end of
contig JAWDGO010000051, which is syntenic to the *mec* cassette seen in the SC05-UT
Dehalobacter MAG and share 100% nucleotide identity (Supplementary Text S6, Figure
S7). Future sequencing efforts will attempt to connect the 3' end of the *mec* cassette to the
Dehalobacter genome in the DCME culture.
One bin in the DCME metagenome was assigned to Dehalobacteriia—the class
containing Dehalobacteriales, which includes the DCM degrading *Dehalobacterium* spp.

and *Ca.* F. warabiya, however, this bin did not contain a *mec* cassette (Table S1). The
Dehalobacteriia class contains two orders other than Dehalobacteriales: UBA7702 and
UBA4068, neither of which include microbes known to degrade DCM nor contain the
machinery to do so (43). The DCME Dehalobacteriia MAG is of the order UBA7702, one
of the two non-DCM degrading orders, so is more likely an amino acid scavenger in the
culture, like others in this class of organisms (43). Moreover, this bin was assembled in the
DCME culture metagenome, but was not identified in the SC05-UT culture metagenome
(Table S1). Accordingly, the relative abundance of the Dehalobacteriia strain using 16S
rRNA gene amplicon sequencing at the time of metagenome sequencing was between 2-
22% abundance in DCME but was at < 0.4% abundance in SC05-UT (Figure S2). At such
low abundance in the parent culture, and lacking the *mec* cassette, we do not expect this
organism to be the DCM degrader in either culture. The growth of this microbe may have
been inhibited by CF, as many fermenters are, and thus proliferated during DCM
enrichment due to the removal of the CF pressure.
303
5. One gene neighborhood encodes *acdA* and the *mec* cassette

In both the SC05-UT and DCME metagenomes, *acdABC* is encoded on the same
contig as the *mec* cassette, less than 4 kb upstream of *mec*A (Figure 2), further supporting
the cassette's assigned *Dehalobacter* taxonomy. When aligned, most genes in this region
share 100% nucleotide identity. Exceptions include variability in *acdA* (99.6% identity)
and *acdC* (97% identity). Though *mecB* and *mecC* also differed between the initial
assemblies of the two MAGs (93% and 95% nucleotide identity, respectively), mapping of
PacBio HiFi reads could correct the short-read mapping ambiguities that led to these
variations. There are also 10 additional single nucleotide polymorphisms throughout the
region, and a transposase insertion in SC05-UT, both of which may be indicative of
population variation between (and within) the cultures.
A gene neighbourhood including ~10 kb up and downstream of the RDase and *mec*
cassette was extracted from published genomes of all known DCM-degraders and
Dehalobacter strains known to possess a full or partial *mec* cassette. The SC05-UT *mec*
cassette is syntenic to the other DCM-degraders, except for a transposase inserted between
mecH and *mecI* (Figure 2). The SC05-UT cassette is most similar to that of *Dehalobacter*
sp. UNSWDHB (Figure 2), which lacks the ability to degrade DCM despite encoding a
"full" cassette of all 10 *mec* genes (11). This is speculated to be due to its truncated *mecE*,
which encodes a critical methyltransferase for assimilation of DCM into the WLP (23).
Two other strains of *Dehalobacter* (sp. CF and sp. DCA) also contain three genes
homologous to *mecABC*, but cannot degrade DCM for lack of the remaining *mec* genes
(10, 37).
Interestingly, each of these *Dehalobacter* strains has a characterized OG 97 RDase
upstream of *mecA*, besides *Dehalobacter* strain UNSWDHB, whose *mec* contig ends just
upstream of the *mecA*. An additional contig from strain UNSWDHB was included in the

gene neighborhood comparison to include its OG 97 RDase, *tmrA*. These *Dehalobacter*
strains, including strain UNSWDHB, share a syntenic region of at least 10 homologous
genes upstream of the *rdhA*—including reverse transcriptase and integrase genes,
hypothetical proteins, and cobamide and FMN binding proteins—and at least two genes
between the *rdhC* and *mecA*—homologous regulatory and FMN transfer proteins (Figure
2). To join the two contigs from strain UNSWDHB, only 117 bp are missing from the
assembly if the contigs are indeed adjacent and syntenic with the other *Dehalobacter*
strains. This may be resolved by further sequencing or assembly efforts.

Downstream of the *mec* cassette, the *Dehalobacter* strains show two distinct gene
signatures. Strains CF and DCA, which encode only *mecABC,* also encode different
downstream genes (hydrolases, cobamide-binding proteins) than the contigs from strain
UNSWDHB and the SC05-UT MAG (recombinases), which share homology. A
rearrangement may have occurred in this region in strains CF and DCA, resulting in a loss
of *mecDEFGHIJ*. Strains CF and DCA were originally enriched from a site contaminated
with 1,1,1-TCA (44), so the lack of selective pressure to maintain DCM degradation
machinery may have facilitated this loss. This genomic area is also riddled with
transposases, implying an area of high genomic change, which may also play a part in gene
loss and acquisition.

Despite this precedent for partial, nonfunctional, *mec* cassettes in CF-
dechlorinating *Dehalobacter* strains, the SC05-UT *mec* cassette is the first reported to be
found in full—and evidently functioning—in a *Dehalobacter* genome. Enzyme assays for
characterizing the Mec cassette must be developed before the function of this pathway can
truly be confirmed in SC05-UT or any other DCM-degrader. In the meantime, expression
levels of these proteins during active degradation do serve as a proxy for their importance
in the culture, as described below.

354
355 **FIGURE 2.** Genomic neighbourhoods of all known *mec* cassettes in DCM degrading organisms and *Dehalobacter* strains. RDase genes
356 are highlighted in green; *mec* genes are highlighted in yellow. Homologous genes are color-coded by function; links between homologs
357 are colored by percent nucleotide identity. Genes with no local homologs are grey striped. Ends of a contig are capped with black squares.

6. Expression of DCM degradation genes

To compare the expression of the Mec proteins in SC05-UT (while CF and DCM were degraded) and DCME (while DCM alone was degraded), a proteomic workflow was performed on each culture. The annotations used for proteomic searching of each metagenome are provided in the supplementary information, Datasets S1 and S2. All detected peptides and proteins are shown in the supplemental information, Dataset S3, and all protein hits mapping to *Dehalobacter* are filtered in Table S3. Most proteins encoded by the *mec* cassette are expressed under both culture conditions (Figure 3); the most highly expressed are MecE, MecF, MecB and MecC, which each rank in the top ten proteins expressed in at least one culture. The high proteomic rank of these proteins and the proximity of several strong promoters on the contig suggest a region of high expression in the genome (Figure S3).

The only Mec proteins not detected in either subculture were MecA, MecI, and MecJ. Notably, MecI and MecJ are located after the inserted transposase found in the cassette which may interrupt their expression (Figure 2). A terminator sequence is predicted to exist within the transposase gene, which could account for this effect (Figure S3). Additionally, MecA was not detected in *D. formicoaceticum* strain EZ94, and MecJ has never been detected in studies of either *D. formicoaceticum* strain or *Ca.* Dichloromethanomonas elyunquensis (23, 28). Overall, high expression of the key methyltransferases and corrinoid proteins show the possibility of DCM assimilation to methylenetetrahydrofolate in SC05, after which methylenetetrahydrofolate could then enter the WLP for further metabolism (Figure 3).

We also searched the metagenomes and metaproteomes for genes representing each step of the WLP, all of which were found encoded in each *Dehalobacter* MAG, after the inclusion of one unbinned contig annotated as belonging to *Dehalobacter* by MetaErg (JAWDGO010000155) in the DCME *Dehalobacter* MAG (Table S4). The WLP is a critical pathway for carbon assimilation and energy conservation in many OHRB (37, 45, 46). This pathway becomes doubly crucial when the *mec* cassette is in use, as it must also accept the methylenetetrahydrofolate produced from DCM assimilation and convert it to carbon dioxide or acetate.

In SC05-UT, while degrading CF and DCM, as well as in DCME while degrading DCM alone, this *Dehalobacter* population expresses almost all WLP enzymes (Figure 3, Table S4). Two exceptions are formate dehydrogenase (FDH, Figure 3B) in DCME, and the alpha subunit of the carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/ acetyl-CoA synthase complex (CODH/ ACS, Figure 3C) in SC05-UT. It is possible that expression of these two proteins was low enough to evade detection in our proteomics measurements, or that a more highly-expressed version of this gene with a divergent sequence is missing from the *Dehalobacter* MAG or the metagenome and therefore not detected in our protein search. In the case of SC05-UT, acetate-CoA ligase was expressed, through which acetyl-CoA could be produced from exogenous acetate without CODH/ ACS (Table S4). This suggests that an

398 active acetogen in the culture is providing *Dehalobacter* with a pool of acetate in SC05-
399 UT that may not be readily available in DCME. Nonetheless, the expression of the WLP
400 and the Mec proteins positions the *mec* cassette as a likely mechanism of DCM assimilation
401 in SC05.

402
FIGURE 3. Protein expression of key pathways in SC05-UT and DCME, including A) CF
and DCM metabolism, and B) methyl and C) carbonyl branches of the Wood-Ljungdahl
pathway. Average rank of biological replicates (n = 3) displayed as SC05-UT/DCME,
where high rank (a low number) suggests high abundance. ND: not detected by proteomics,
and expression of multi-copy genes are separated with ";". THF: tetrahydrofolate, MTHFD:
methylene-THF dehydrogenase, MTHFC: methenyl-THF cyclase, FTHFD: formyl-THF

deformylase, FDH: formate dehydrogenase, MTHFR: methylene-THF reductase, METR: 5-methyl-THF:corrinoid/iron-sulfur protein methyltransferase, CoFeSP: corrinoid/iron-sulfur protein, CODH/ACS: CO dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase complex. Locus tags and enzyme descriptions further described in Table S4.

Conclusions & Implications

SC05-UT simultaneously dechlorinates CF to DCM and mineralizes DCM to carbon dioxide, and its *Dehalobacter* population encodes both an active RDase and the complete *mec* cassette. Here we introduce AcdA—another chloroform dechlorinating RDase in OG 97 that expands the arsenal of biochemical tools against chloroalkane contaminants. In addition to AcdA, the Mec cassette and WLP proteins were among the most highly expressed in the metaproteome, indicating likely mechanisms for CF and DCM metabolism by a *Dehalobacter* population.

One contig contains both *acdA* and the *mec* cassette, concluding one organism is genomically capable of both biotransformation steps. A single *Dehalobacter* strain may truly perform both metabolisms simultaneously, or subtle strain differences may exist and distinguish two metabolically different *Dehalobacter* strains. Though obtaining one or more *Dehalobacter* isolates would provide definitive answers to this question, these organisms rely on a complex microbial community to provide the correct balance of nutrients to support their growth. This restricted metabolism in addition to long doubling times pose challenges in obtaining a pure culture, though isolations are not impossible and should be attempted (11, 17, 47–51). In lieu of isolation, closing the *Dehalobacter* genome(s) may provide more information about variation within the *Dehalobacter* population in SC05 in the future.

Fundamentally, this study contributes to a growing understanding of chloroalkane metabolism, particularly regarding DCM mineralization, but development of a functional assay for DCM assimilation by the Mec cassette is necessary to unequivocally demonstrate this activity. Understanding this culture's unique metabolism will enable more effective field application and the functional genes presented here are specific biomarkers to survey for successful bioaugmentation.

Materials and Methods

All chemicals and primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise stated. All gasses were supplied from Linde Canada Inc. (Mississauga, ON, Canada).

Microbial cultures and growth conditions

SC05-UT is a derivative of SC05, an established enrichment culture used commercially for bioaugmentation (also known as KB-1® Plus CF) originally sampled from a contaminated groundwater site. It dechlorinates CF to DCM and concurrently degrades DCM to carbon dioxide. This culture was maintained in a defined mineral medium without addition of external electron donor for over two years before metagenome sequencing, as previously described (33). DCME is a more recent subculture originally transferred from SC05-UT. This culture was enriched by feeding DCM alone for over 400 days before metagenome sequencing, as previously described (33).

Metagenome sequencing, assembly, and analysis

These methods are expanded upon in our companion work (35). Briefly, DNA from each subculture was extracted using the Kingfisher Duo Prime MagMax microbiome kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). DNA was sequenced by Genome Quebec using both Illumina MiSeq with PCR amplification and PacBio Sequel II technologies. Aliquots of each DNA sample were also used for amplicon sequencing of the V6-V8 region by Genome Quebec as previously described (33). Illumina reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic and FastQC before co-assembly with the PacBio reads using hybridSPAdes (52, 53) (54). Additional quality control, mapping and binning were performed using Anvi'o Snakemake (36, 55, 56). Assembly statistics can be found in Table S6.

Genes were annotated used MetaErg (57); annotations and assigned locus tags for the SC05-UT and DCME metagenomes are shown in supplemental Datasets S1 and S2, respectively. Putative RDase genes were identified by a BLAST search and the presence of the Pfam group PF13486, the hits were filtered for length (>200 amino acids) and possession of at least one iron-sulfur cluster motif (CXXCXXXC), then were each classified with the RDase database (https://rdasedb.biozone.utoronto.ca), using a 90% sequence similarity cutoff to assign each RDase an ortholog group (OG) (19). Each gene in the *Dehalobacterium formicoaceticum mec* cassette was searched for in the metagenome contigs using BLAST to identify homologous proteins (58). Promoters and terminators were predicted using ProPr v2.0 (59).

Protein extraction

Protein was extracted using an adapted protocol by Murdoch *et al* (23). Briefly, 200 mL of each culture was passed through 0.22 µm Sterivex membrane filter (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA) units to capture cells. The filter outlets were then capped with parafilm and 0.5 mL of boiling sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) lysis solution (4% SDS in 100 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0) was added to each filter unit and incubated at room temperature for one hour on a laboratory shaker. Lysate was extracted from the filter by back pressure

using a 3 mL syringe, and filters were rinsed with 0.5 mL of fresh lysis buffer. Lysate
mixtures were centrifuged at 21000 xg for 15 min, and supernatant was collected for
subsequent trichloroacetic acid precipitation and two acetone washes of the pellet. Protein
pellets were air-dried and resuspended in a denaturation buffer (8 M urea, 100 mM Tris–
HCl pH 8.0), reduced with 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 10 min at room temperature, then
alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were
diluted to 4 M urea with water for Bradford Assay quantification (Bio-Rad Laboratories,
Inc, Hercules, CA) and trypsin digestion. Protein extracts were stored at -80°C for
subsequent high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-
MS/MS) analysis.

Metaproteomics

Chromatography was carried out using a PicoTip Emitter (New Objective, Littleton,
MA) packed with Reprosil-Pur 120 C18-AQ, 3 µm (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch,
Germany). The flow rate was set to 250 nL/min, and the eluents used were 0.1% formic
acid in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B). The gradient started at 0% B,
followed by a linear gradient to 10% B over 5 min, a linear gradient to 40% B over 48 min,
a linear gradient to 95% B over 2 min, a hold at 95% B for 10 min, a linear gradient to 5%
B over 2 min, and finally a re-equilibration under the initial conditions of 5% B for 14 min
(total runtime 80 min). Liquid samples (5 µL) were injected on a Thermo Scientific Easy
nLC 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). MS detection was conducted using
a Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA)
equipped with a Nano Electrospray Ionization probe, operating in positive ionization mode,
with spray voltage 2.5 kV, capillary temperature 275°C, and s-lens RF level 55. Mass
spectra were gathered using a data dependent method as follows; Full MS data was
gathered over an m/z range from 400 to 2000 with the mass resolution set to 70k, AGC
target 1e6, and a maximum injection time of 30 ms, while data dependant MS2 was
gathered using a TOP10 approach, with resolution set to 17.5k, AGC target of 5e4, a
maximum injection time of 50 ms, isolation window of 2.0 m/z and NCE 27. Data was
processed through X!Tandem/ GPM for initial identification of peptides, and further
analyzed through Scaffold. All detected peptides and proteins in SC05-UT and DCME are
shown in supplemental information, Dataset S3, and further filtered in Table S3.

Reductive Dehalogenase Expression and Purification

PCR reagents, restriction enzymes, Gibson Assembly master mix, the 1 kb DNA
ladder, and *E. coli* DH5α were purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, USA).
The *acdA* gene was PCR-amplified from gDNA extracted from the SC05-UT mixed culture
as described above. The TAT sequence was not included in the amplified gene. The primers
used for amplification included overhangs complimentary to the *p15TV-L* plasmid

backbone as described previously (30, Table S7), such that gene could be inserted by Gibson Assembly into *p15TV-L* (Addgene #26093) linearized by BseRI digestion to produce the expression vector *p15TVL-AcdA*. The plasmid was verified with Sanger sequencing performed by The Centre for Applied Genomics at SickKids Hospital (Toronto, ON, Canada). AcdA was expressed following previously developed methods (42). Briefly, *p15TVL-AcdA* was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) *cat-araC*-P$_{BAD}$-*suf*, *ΔiscR::kan*, *ΔhimA*::Tet^R ,with the *pBAD42-BtuCEDFB* plasmid to co-express the vitamin B$_{12}$ uptake pathway under arabinose control (60, 61). TmrA was expressed using the plasmid *p15TVL-tmrA* with *pBAD42-BtuCEDFB* co-expression in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) *cat-araC*-P$_{BAD}$-*suf*, *ΔiscR::kan*, *ΔhimA*::Tet^R, produced previously (42). The *pBAD42-BtuCEDFB* plasmid was generously provided by the Booker Lab (Pennsylvania State University, PA, USA). *E. coli* BL21(DE3) *cat-araC*-P$_{BAD}$-*suf*, *ΔiscR::kan*, *ΔhimA*::Tet^R, was generously provided by the Antony and Kiley Labs (St. Louis University School of Medicine, MO, USA; University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA).

Large-scale expression of AcdA was performed using 1 L of Luria Broth in a 2 L media bottle. To express AcdA, *E. coli* was first grown aerobically at 37°C, 170 rpm until the OD$_{600}$ reached 0.3-0.5. Expression of the Btu and Suf pathways were induced using a final concentration of 0.2% L-arabinose and the culture was supplemented with 3 µM of hydroxycobalamin hydrochloride. The bottle was sealed with a silicon septum, and the culture was incubated again at 37°C, 170 rpm until the OD$_{600}$ reached 0.6-0.8. The bottle was then put on ice and purged with N$_2$ gas for 30 min. After purging, the culture was kept sealed and only handled under an anaerobic atmosphere of N$_2$/H$_2$/CO$_2$ (80:10:10 v/v). Supplements of 50 µM ammonium ferric citrate and 50 µM cysteine were provided and AcdA expression was induced using 0.5 mM Isopropyl β-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The culture was incubated at 15°C, 170 rpm overnight (18-20 hr). Post-incubation, the culture was pelleted by centrifugation at 4500 xg, 4°C for 20 min. The pellet was stored sealed and at -80°C until it was used for purification.

All lysis and purification steps were done under an anaerobic atmosphere or in a sealed container. In a Coy anaerobic glovebox, the pellet was thawed and resuspended in 10 mL anaerobic lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton-X100, 5% glycerol, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 50 µg/mL leupeptin, 2 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 mM MgCl$_2$], the cells were lysed using BugBuster® protein extraction reagent (MilliporeSigma), 300 µg/mL lysozyme, and 1 µg/mL DNase. The suspended mixture was sealed and incubated outside of the glovebox at room temperature, 70 rpm for 20 min to complete lysis. The crude lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 35 000 xg, 4°C for 20 min. The clarified lysate was transferred into the glovebox and loaded onto a gravity column in with 1 mL nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid resin (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) that was preequilibrated with wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole). The protein-bound column was washed with ~25 mL of wash buffer until

protein was no longer detected in the flowthrough by Bradford reagent. AcdA was eluted
with 10 mL of elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole).
The elution was collected and concentrated using a 15 kDa cutoff filter tube
(MilliporeSigma) by centrifugation at 3000 xg, 4°C, 30 min. The protein was resuspended
in 15 mL of storage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP) and the
centrifugation step was repeated. The concentrated protein was stored in liquid N_2 for long-
term stability. The concentration was determined by a Bradford assay and the purity was
estimated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) using Image Lab 6.1
software (2022, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). This expression and purification procedure
was repeated for TmrA.

Chloroalkane Reduction Assay

AcdA dechlorination activity was assessed using an end-point assay. The substrates
tested were chloroform; 1,1,1-TCA; 1,1,2-TCA; 1,1-DCA; and DCM. The reactions were
performed in 2 mL glass vials with 500 µL inserts and sealed with Teflon lined caps such
that there was no headspace. The reaction was carried out in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 buffer
with 5 mM Ti(III) citrate and 2 mM methyl viologen. A total of 0.31 µg of AcdA or 0.43
µg of TmrA (accounting for purity) was added to the reaction buffer and the respective
substrates were suppled to a final concentration of 0.5 mM using saturated water stocks
and glass syringes (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV). Once the substate was added, the vial
was sealed and incubated upside down at room temperature for 1 hr. Each substrate was
tested in triplicate with AcdA, TmrA, and in enzyme free negative controls. The assay was
terminated by transferring 400 µL of the reaction into 5.6 mL of acidified (pH < 2) water
in a 11 mL headspace autosampler vial immediately sealed with a Teflon coated septum.
The samples were analyzed using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection
(GC-FID) on an Agilent 7890A GC instrument equipped with an Agilent GS-Q plot
column (30 m length, 0.53 mm diameter).

All samples were measured with the same instrument method. The vials were
equilibrated to 70°C for 40 min in an Agilent G1888 headspace autosampler. The
headspace was sampled, and 3 mL was injected via a heated transfer line and then onto the
column by a packed inlet maintained at 200°C. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow
rate of 11 mL/min. The oven was held at 35°C for 1.5 min, then the temperature ramped
up by 15°C/min to 100°C, then the rate was reduced to 5°C/min to 185°C where the
temperature was held for 10 min. Finally, the temperature was increased by 20°C/min to
200°C held for 10 min to clear the column. The flame ionization detector was set to a
temperature of 250°C. Data were collected and analyzed using Agilent ChemStation Rev.
B.04.02 SP1 software. The chromatogram peak areas were translated to liquid
concentration using external calibration for each substrate and product. The enzyme
activity values were calculated by normalizing the nmol of product measured in the assay

by the mass (mg) of purified RDase in the assay and the length of the assay incubation (s).
The enzyme free control was reported in nmol product per length of incubation (s).

Data Availability

Illumina and PacBio metagenome sequencing data and the draft metagenomes are
available under GenBank BioProject Accession PRJNA1013980. The assembled
metagenomes of SC05-UT and DCME are available at NCBI under Genbank accession
numbers JAWDGN00000000 and JAWDGO00000000, respectively. The versions used
here are the first versions, JAWDGN010000000 and JAWDGO010000000. Illumina
paired reads have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive under accession numbers
SRR27458442 (SC05-UT) and SRR27458441 (DCME). PacBio reads were deposited in
the SRA under accession numbers SRR25941764 (SC05-UT) and SRR25941763 (DCME).
Fasta files of all draft MAGs in the DCME and SC05-UT metagenomes are available at
https://figshare.com/s/784a246f0cb57c3cca90 and
https://figshare.com/s/74a665aec10a8bc9622f , respectively.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council
(NSERC) though a Discovery Grant to E.A.E. and Doctoral Scholarships to O.B., by an
Ontario Graduate Scholarship to K.P., as well as by a Genome Canada Bioinformatics and
Computational Biology (BCB project 285MPR) subgrant to E.A.E. and R.M. Authors
acknowledge funding from the Canada Research Chairs Program to R.M. and E.A.E. The
funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to
submit the work for publication. We thank SiREM for providing us with the culture studied
in this work and Elizabeth Phillips for her valuable insights into caring for the culture. We
acknowledge Rob Flick for performing the mass spectrometry and Miya Tseng-West for
assistance in cloning. We would also like to thank the Booker Lab from Pennsylvania State
University for gifting the *pBAD42-BtuCEDFB* plasmid, and the Antony Lab from the St.
Louis University School of Medicine and Kiley lab from the University of Wisconsin-
Madison for gifting us the *E. coli* BL21(DE3) *cat-araC*-P$_{BAD}$-*suf*, *ΔiscR::kan*, *ΔhimA*::Tet^R
strain.

Contributor Roles

626 E.A.E., R.M, O.B., and K.P. conceived of the project. O.B. established the
627 microbial cultures, performed metagenomic sequencing and assembly and proteomic
628 analyses. K.P. performed heterologous expression and enzyme assays. The manuscript was
629 written by O.B., K.P. and E.A.E. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and have
630 approved the submitted version.

References:

1. Koenig J, Lee M, Manefield M. 2015. Aliphatic organochlorine degradation in subsurface environments. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 14:49–71.
2. McCarty PL. 2010. Groundwater contamination by chlorinated solvents: History, remediation technologies and strategies, p. 1–28. *In* Stroo, HF, Ward, CH (eds.), In Situ Remediation of Chlorinated Solvent Plumes. Springer New York, New York, NY.
3. Bellar TA, Lichtenberg JJ, Kroner RC. 1974. The occurrence of organohalides in chlorinated drinking waters. J Am Water Work Assoc 66:703–706.
4. Gribble GW. 2010. Naturally Occurring Organohalogen Compounds - A Comprehensive UpdateProgress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products. Springer-Verlag/Wien.
5. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1997. Toxicological Profile for Chloroform. Atlanta, GA.
6. Schlosser PM, Bale AS, Gibbons CF, Wilkins A, Cooper GS. 2015. Human health effects of dichloromethane: Key findings and scientific issues. Environ Health Perspect 123:114–119.
7. Duhamel M, Wehr SD, Yu L, Rizvi H, Seepersad D, Dworatzek S, Cox EE, Edwards EA. 2002. Comparison of anaerobic dechlorinating enrichment cultures maintained on tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, cis-dichloroethene and vinyl chloride. Water Res 36:4193–4202.
8. Edwards EA, Loffler FE. 2006. Harnessing microbial activities for environmental cleanup. Curr Opin Biotechnol 274–284.
9. Scholten JCM, Conrad R, Stams AJM. 2000. Effect of 2-bromo-ethane sulfonate, molybdate and chloroform on acetate consumption by methanogenic and sulfate-reducing populations in freshwater sediment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 32:35–42.
10. Grostern A, Duhamel M, Dworatzek S, Edwards EA. 2010. Chloroform respiration to dichloromethane by a *Dehalobacter* population. Environ Microbiol 12:1053–1060.
11. Wong YK, Holland SI, Ertan H, Manefield M, Lee M. 2016. Isolation and characterization of *Dehalobacter* sp. strain UNSWDHB capable of chloroform and chlorinated ethane respiration. Environ Microbiol 18:3092–3105.
12. Zhao S, Rogers MJ, He J. 2017. Microbial reductive dehalogenation of trihalomethanes by a *Dehalobacter*-containing co-culture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:5481–5492.
13. Wang S, Qiu L, Liu X, Xu G, Siegert M, Lu Q, Juneau P, Yu L, Liang D, He Z, Qiu R. 2018. Electron transport chains in organohalide-respiring bacteria and bioremediation implications. Biotechnol Adv 36:1194–1206.
14. Ding C, Zhao S, He J. 2014. A *Desulfitobacterium* sp. strain PR reductively dechlorinates both 1,1,1-trichloroethane and chloroform. Environ Microbiol 16:3387–3397.
15. Fernández-Verdejo D, Cortés P, Guisasola A, Blánquez P, Marco-Urrea E. 2022. Bioelectrochemically-assisted degradation of chloroform by a co-culture of *Dehalobacter* and *Dehalobacterium*. Environ Sci Ecotechnology 12:100199.
16. Justicia-Leon SD, Higgins S, Mack EE, Griffiths DR, Tang S, Edwards EA,

677   Loffler FE. 2014. Bioaugmentation with distinct *Dehalobacter* strains achieves
678   chloroform detoxification in microcosms. Environ Sci Technol 48:1851–1858.
679   17.   Soder-Walz JM, Torrentó C, Algora C, Wasmund K, Cortés P, Soler A, Vicent T,
680   Rosell M, Marco-Urrea E. 2022. Trichloromethane dechlorination by a novel
681   *Dehalobacter* sp. strain 8M reveals a third contrasting C and Cl isotope
682   fractionation pattern within this genus. Sci Total Environ 813:152659.
683   18.   Hug LA, Maphosa F, Leys D, Löffler FE, Smidt H, Edwards EA, Adrian L. 2013.
684   Overview of organohalide-respiring bacteria and a proposal for a classification
685   system for reductive dehalogenases. Philos Trans R Soc B 368:20120322.
686   19.   Molenda O, Puentes Jácome LA, Cao X, Nesbø CL, Tang S, Morson N, Patron J,
687   Lomheim L, Wishart DS, Edwards EA. 2020. Insights into origins and function of
688   the unexplored majority of the reductive dehalogenase gene family as a result of
689   genome assembly and ortholog group classification. Environ Sci Process Impacts
690   22:663–678.
691   20.   Tang S, Chan WWM, Fletcher KE, Seifert J, Liang X, Löffler FE, Edwards EA,
692   Adrian L. 2013. Functional characterization of reductive dehalogenases by using
693   blue native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:974–
694   981.
695   21.   Jugder BE, Bohl S, Lebhar H, Healey RD, Manefield M, Marquis CP, Lee M.
696   2017. A bacterial chloroform reductive dehalogenase: purification and biochemical
697   characterization. Microb Biotechnol 10:1640–1648.
698   22.   Soder-Walz JM, Wasmund K, Deobald D, Vicent T, Adrian L, Marco-Urrea E.
699   2023. Respiratory protein interactions in *Dehalobacter* sp. strain 8M revealed
700   through genomic and native proteomic analyses. Environ Microbiol 1–17.
701   23.   Murdoch RW, Chen G, Murdoch FK, Mack EE, Villalobos Solis MI, Hettich RL,
702   Löffler FE. 2022. Identification and widespread environmental distribution of a
703   gene cassette implicated in anaerobic dichloromethane degradation. Glob Chang
704   Biol 28:2396–2412.
705   24.   Mägli A, Messmer M, Leisinger T. 1998. Metabolism of dichloromethane by the
706   strict anaerobe *Dehalobacterium formicoaceticum*. Appl Environ Microbiol
707   64:646–650.
708   25.   Mägli A, Wendt M, Leisinger T. 1996. Isolation and characterization of
709   *Dehalobacterium formicoaceticum* gen. nov. sp. nov., a strictly anaerobic
710   bacterium utilizing dichloromethane as source of carbon and energy. Arch
711   Microbiol 166:101–108.
712   26.   Holland SI, Edwards RJ, Ertan H, Wong YK, Russell TL, Deshpande NP,
713   Manefield MJ, Lee M. 2019. Whole genome sequencing of a novel,
714   dichloromethane-fermenting *Peptococcaceae* from an enrichment culture. PeerJ
715   7:e7775.
716   27.   Holland SI, Ertan H, Montgomery K, Manefield MJ, Lee M. 2021. Novel
717   dichloromethane-fermenting bacteria in the *Peptococcaceae* family. ISME J
718   15:1709–1721.
719   28.   Wasmund K, Trueba-Santiso A, Vicent T, Adrian L, Vuilleumier S, Marco-Urrea
720   E. 2023. Proteogenomics of the novel *Dehalobacterium formicoaceticum* strain
721   EZ94 highlights a key role of methyltransferases during anaerobic
722   dichloromethane degradation. Environ Sci Pollut Res 30:80602–80612.

29. Chen G, Fisch AR, Gibson CM, Erin Mack E, Seger ES, Campagna SR, Löffler
FE. 2020. Mineralization versus fermentation: evidence for two distinct anaerobic
bacterial degradation pathways for dichloromethane. ISME J 14:959–970.
30. Justicia-Leon SD, Ritalahti KM, Mack EE, Löffler FE. 2012. Dichloromethane
fermentation by a *Dehalobacter* sp. in an enrichment culture derived from pristine
river sediment. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:1288–1291.
31. Kleindienst S, Higgins SA, Tsementzi D, Chen G, Konstantinidis KT, Mack EE,
Löffler FE. 2017. 'Candidatus Dichloromethanomonas elyunquensis' gen. nov., sp.
nov., a dichloromethane-degrading anaerobe of the Peptococcaceae family. Syst
Appl Microbiol 40:150–159.
32. Lee M, Low A, Zemb O, Koenig J, Michaelsen A, Manefield M. 2012. Complete
chloroform dechlorination by organochlorine respiration and fermentation.
Environ Microbiol 14:883–894.
33. Bulka O, Webb J, Dworatzek S, Mahadevan R, Edwards EA. 2023. A
multifunctional *Dehalobacter*? tandem chloroform and dichloromethane
degradation in a mixed microbial culture. Environ Sci Technol 57:19912–19920.
34. Wang H, Yu R, Webb J, Dollar P, Freedman DL. 2022. Anaerobic biodegradation
of chloroform and dichloromethane with a *Dehalobacter* enrichment culture. Appl
Environ Microbiol 88:e01970-21.
35. Bulka O, Edwards EA. 2024. Metagenomic sequences from two subcultures of an
anaerobic chloroform and dichloromethane degrading microbial community.
Microbiol Resour Announc (Submitted.)
36. Eren AM, Esen OC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Delmont TO.
2015. Anvi'o: An advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data.
PeerJ 2015:e1319.
37. Tang S, Wang PH, Higgins SA, Löffler FE, Edwards EA. 2016. Sister
Dehalobacter genomes reveal specialization in organohalide respiration and recent
strain differentiation likely driven by chlorinated substrates. Front Microbiol
7:100.
38. Kruse T, Maillard J, Goodwin L, Woyke T, Teshima H, Bruce D, Detter C, Tapia
R, Han C, Huntemann M, Wei C-L, Han J, Chen A, Kyrpides N, Szeto E,
Markowitz V, Ivanova N, Pagani I, Pati A, Pitluck S, Nolan M, Holliger C, Smidt
H. 2013. Complete genome sequence of *Dehalobacter restrictus* PER-K23 T.
Stand Genomic Sci 8:375–388.
39. Phillips E, Bulka O, Picott K, Kümmel S, Edwards EA, Nijenhuis I, Gehre M,
Dworatzek S, Webb J, Sherwood Lollar B. 2022. Investigation of active site amino
acid influence on carbon and chlorine isotope fractionation during reductive
dechlorination. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 98:fiac072.
40. Heckel B, Phillips E, Edwards E, Sherwood Lollar B, Elsner M, Manefield MJ,
Lee M. 2019. Reductive dehalogenation of trichloromethane by two different
Dehalobacter restrictus strains reveal opposing dual element isotope effects.
Environ Sci Technol 53:2332–2343.
41. Picott K, Bowers C, Edwards EA. 2023. Tools for the Characterization and
Manipulation of Reductive Dehalogenases for Bioremediation of Chlorinated
Solvents. Battelle 2023 Bioremediation Symposium.
42. Picott KJ, Flick R, Edwards EA. 2022. Heterologous expression of active

Dehalobacter respiratory reductive dehalogenases in *Escherichia coli*. Appl
Environ Microbiol 88:e01993-21.

43. Song YC, Holland SI, Lee M, Chen G, Löffler FE, Manefield MJ, Hugenholtz P,
Kappler U. 2023. A comparative genome analysis of the *Bacillota* (*Firmicutes*)
class *Dehalobacteriia*. Microb Genomics 9:mgen001039.

44. Grostern A, Edwards EA. 2006. A 1,1,1-trichloroethane-degrading anaerobic
mixed microbial culture enhances biotransformation of mixtures of chlorinated
ethenes and ethanes. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7849–7856.

45. Wang PH, Correia K, Ho HC, Venayak N, Nemr K, Flick R, Mahadevan R,
Edwards EA. 2019. An interspecies malate–pyruvate shuttle reconciles redox
imbalance in an anaerobic microbial community. ISME J 13:1042–1055.

46. Rupakula A, Kruse T, Boeren S, Holliger C, Smidt H, Maillard J. 2013. The
restricted metabolism of the obligate organohalide respiring bacterium
Dehalobacter restrictus: lessons from tiered functional genomics. Philos Trans R
Soc B 368:20120325.

47. Wang S, Zhang W, Yang K-L, He J. 2014. Isolation and characterization of a
novel *Dehalobacter* species strain TCP1 that reductively dechlorinates 2,4,6-
trichlorophenol. Biodegradation 25:313–323.

48. Nelson JL, Jiang J, Zinder SH. 2014. Dehalogenation of chlorobenzenes,
dichlorotoluenes, and tetrachloroethene by three *Dehalobacter* spp. Environ Sci
Technol 48:3776–3782.

49. Sun B, Griffin BM, Ayala-del-Rio HL, Hashsham SA, Tiedje JM. 2002. Microbial
dehalorespiration with 1,1,1-trichloroethane. Science 298:1023–1025.

50. Wild A, Hermann R, Leisinger T. 1996. Isolation of an anaerobic bacterium which
reductively dechlorinates tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene. Biodegradation
7:507–511.

51. Holliger C, Hahn D, Harmsen H, Ludwig W, Schumacher W, Tindall B, Vazquez
F, Weiss N, Zehnder AJB. 1998. *Dehalobacter restrictus* gen. nov. and sp. nov., a
strictly anaerobic bacterium that reductively dechlorinates tetra- and
trichloroethene in an anaerobic respiration. Arch Microbiol 169:313–321.

52. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

53. Andrews S, Krueger F, Seconds-Pichon A, Biggins F, Wingett S. 2010. FastQC. A
quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Bioinformatics.

54. Antipov D, Korobeynikov A, McLean JS, Pevzner PA. 2016. HybridSPAdes: An
algorithm for hybrid assembly of short and long reads. Bioinformatics 32:1009–
1015.

55. Eren AM, Kiefl E, Shaiber A, Veseli I, Miller SE, Schechter MS, Fink I, Pan JN,
Yousef M, Fogarty EC, Trigodet F, Watson AR, Esen ÖC, Moore RM, Clayssen
Q, Lee MD, Kivenson V, Graham ED, Merrill BD, Karkman A, Blankenberg D,
Eppley JM, Sjödin A, Scott JJ, Vázquez-Campos X, McKay LJ, McDaniel EA,
Stevens SLR, Anderson RE, Fuessel J, Fernandez-Guerra A, Maignien L, Delmont
TO, Willis AD. 2021. Community-led, integrated, reproducible multi-omics with
anvi'o. Nat Microbiol.6:3-6.

56. Shaiber A, Willis AD, Delmont TO, Roux S, Chen LX, Schmid AC, Yousef M,
Watson AR, Lolans K, Esen ÖC, Lee STM, Downey N, Morrison HG, Dewhirst

FE, Mark Welch JL, Eren AM. 2020. Functional and genetic markers of niche
partitioning among enigmatic members of the human oral microbiome. Genome
Biol 21:1–35.
57. Dong X, Strous M. 2019. An integrated pipeline for annotation and visualization
of metagenomic contigs. Front Genet 10:999.
58. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local
alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.
59. de Jong A, Pietersma H, Cordes M, Kuipers OP, Kok J. 2012. PePPER: a
webserver for prediction of prokaryote promoter elements and regulons. BMC
Genomics 13.
60. Lanz ND, Blaszczyk AJ, McCarthy EL, Wang B, Wang RX, Jones BS, Booker SJ.
2018. Enhanced solubilization of class B radical S-adenosylmethionine methylases
by improved cobalamin uptake in *Escherichia coli*. Biochemistry 57:1475–1490.
61. Corless EI, Mettert EL, Kiley PJ, Antony E. 2020. Elevated expression of a
functional Suf pathway in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) enhances recombinant
production of an iron-sulfur cluster-containing protein. J Bacteriol 202:e00496-19.
831