Sterility-Independent Enhancement of Proteasome Function via

Floxuridine-Triggered Detoxification in *C. elegans*

Abhishek Anil Dubey[1], Natalia A. Szulc[1], Małgorzata Piechota[1], Remigiusz A. Serwa[2],

Wojciech Pokrzywa[1,*]

1 Laboratory of Protein Metabolism, International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology in

Warsaw, 4 Ks. Trojdena Str., 02-109 Warsaw, Poland

2 International Institute of Molecular Mechanisms and Machines (IMol), Polish Academy of

Sciences, M. Flisa 6, 02-247 Warsaw, Poland

* For correspondence: wpokrzywa@iimcb.gov.pl

ABSTRACT

The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) functionality is vital for proteostasis, contributing to

stress resilience, lifespan, and thermal adaptability. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, proteasome

constituents such as the RPN-6 and PBS-6 subunits or the PSME-3 activator are respectively

linked to heat resistance, survival at low temperatures (4°C), and longevity at moderate cold

(15°C). Since the inhibition of germline stem cells proliferation is associated with robust

proteostasis in worms, we utilized floxuridine (FUdR), a compound known for inducing

sterility, to examine whether it could reinforce UPS under proteasome dysfunction, particularly

to foster cold survival. We demonstrate that FUdR promotes proteasome resilience during its

inhibition or subunit deficits, supporting normal lifespan and facilitating adaptation to cold.

FUdR's elevation of the UPS activity occurs independently of main proteostasis regulators and

is partly driven by SKN-1-regulated transcription, especially under reduced proteasome

function. Additionally, we uncover a FUdR-stimulated detoxification pathway, distinct from

both SKN-1 and the germline, with GST-24 emerging as a critical mediator of the UPS

buffering. This research underscores FUdR's role in the UPS modulation and its contribution

to survival of worms in low-temperature conditions.

KEYWORDS: floxuridine (FUdR); *C. elegans*; ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS);

detoxification pathway; cold survival

HIGHLIGHTS

• Floxuridine (FUdR) enhances ubiquitin-proteasome system activity in *C. elegans*,

independent of primary proteostasis regulators.

• FUdR permits worms to maintain a normal lifespan and facilitates their adaptation to

cold in the context of proteasome deficits.

- Acting independently of the germline and SKN-1, FUdR triggers a detoxification pathway, with GST-24 as a pivotal component in modulating the ubiquitin-proteasome system.

INTRODUCTION

Maintaining protein homeostasis (proteostasis) is pivotal for cellular health, influencing longevity, metabolism, and stress resistance, as exemplified in notable studies on the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (1, 2, 3). A compromised proteostasis system can lead to premature aging, elevated stress sensitivity, and protein-misfolding diseases (2, 3, 4). A growing body of research highlights that reproductive capacity, influenced by signals from proliferating germline stem cells (GSCs), is a critical regulator of proteostasis, impacting metabolic processes in the soma (5). In nematodes, the GLP-1/Notch signaling pathway is crucial for regulating the GSCs pool and establishing germline polarity; moreover, mutations in GLP-1 result in germline loss (6, 7). Remarkably, *glp-1* mutant nematodes exhibit augmented stress resilience, autophagic processes, ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) activity, and an extended lifespan. These phenotypic changes are modulated by pivotal molecular regulators, including SKN-1, DAF-12, DAF-16, HSF-1, and TOR (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15).

Sterility in *C. elegans* can be also chemically induced using floxuridine (FUdR), a well-documented thymidylate synthase inhibitor and anti-cancer agent. FUdR disrupts DNA and RNA synthesis, causing mitotic cell death and inhibition of protein production (16). Given that adult nematode cells are largely post-mitotic, administering FUdR just prior to sexual maturity primarily prevents progeny development without posing a major effect on the adults. While FUdR does not prolong the lifespan of wild-type worms (16), its introduction at the L4 larval stage extends the lifespans of fat-storing *tub-1* and *gas-1* mutants, which exhibit compromised mitochondrial complex functions (17, 18). Interestingly, FUdR-induced sterility in wild-type

adult worms significantly enhances their proteostasis, protein folding, and stress responses (19,

20, 21, 22). While commonly attributed to its sterilizing capabilities, FUdR has been shown to

enhance longevity and proteostasis in nematodes devoid of a germline, suggesting that its

beneficial effects are not exclusively linked to reproductive inhibition (22). It is postulated that

the mechanism for FUdR proteostasis improvements stems from metabolic shifts or the

activation of the DNA damage response pathway (23, 24, 25). Nevertheless, the exact

mechanism underlying the proteostasis improvements remains elusive.

While proteostasis has traditionally been studied in the context of heat stress, its role

under cold has been less explored. Emerging evidence indicates that moderately low

temperature (15°C) promote *C. elegans* longevity, enhance proteostasis, and mitigate the

accumulation of pathogenic proteins. This protective mechanism is driven predominantly by

the PA28γ/PSME-3-activated proteasomes (26). In parallel, Habacher and colleagues, through

an RNAi screen, pinpointed PBS-6, a proteasome subunit, as instrumental in cold (4°C)

survival (27). This underscores the integral role of the proteasome within the broader

proteostasis context during cold adaptation. Building on this foundation, our research sought

to discern the relationship between FUdR treatment and its potential role in reinforcing

proteostasis, especially when confronting proteasome dysfunction in cold conditions.

Our findings highlight that while proteasome dysfunctions impede the nematode's cold

(4°C) adaptation, FudR treatment enhances their cold tolerance, bolstering proteasome function

even in the absence of its subunits. FudR increases proteasome activity and promotes UPS

efficiency independently of well-known proteostasis enhancers like RPN-6.1, HSF-1, and

DAF-16 (20, 21, 28), but partially relies on SKN-1 regulated transcription. We also reveal that

FUdR activates a detoxification pathway distinct from SKN-1 and the germline, with the GST-

24 protein emerging as a crucial factor in this enhanced UPS activity. In conclusion, our

investigation provides an advanced understanding of the pathways, both sterility-associated

and independent, that are modulated by FUdR.

RESULTS

Enhancement of proteasome activity via FUdR treatment

To study the impact of FUdR on the *in vivo* UPS activity in *C. elegans,* we utilized a non-

cleavable ubiquitin (Ub G76V) fused to a green fluorescent protein (UbV::GFP) under the *sur-*

5 promoter that remains active in most tissues, as a model to assess the functioning of

degradation pathway (29). In this system, the ubiquitin moiety of the GFP substrate is poly-

ubiquitylated, leading to its recognition and subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome. In

turn, disruption in the UPS activity leads to an increase in UbV-GFP levels, which can be

visualized by fluorescence microscopy or western blotting (30, 31) (Fig 1A). Of note, to

account for potential variation arising from the transgene expression which might influence the

amount of UbV-GFP, worms were also equipped with a mCherry reporter driven by the same

sur-5 promoter (30), and the GFP fluorescent signal was normalized to the mCherry

fluorescence.

Our reporter strain was exposed to distinct experimental conditions to elucidate the

impact of FUdR on the UPS activity. These conditions were designed to impair proteasome

function, either via the direct proteasomal inhibition with bortezomib or through RNA

interference (RNAi) targeting of proteasome subunits *pas-1* and *pbs-6*, leading to the

accumulation of UbV-GFP. Intriguingly, when FUdR treatment was initiated at the young adult

stage, we observed a pronounced increase in the UPS activity across all experimental

conditions. This proteostasis rescue effect was evidenced by a concomitant reduction in UbV-

GFP accumulation, which is attributable to accelerated GFP degradation. Conversely, worms

without FUdR treatment manifested a significant accumulation of UbV-GFP owing to compromised proteasome function (Fig 1B).

Given that FUdR treatment results in sterility in worms, we examined whether the observed effect was a consequence of FUdR-induced sterility. To this end, worms with RNAi-silenced *pbs-6* were subjected to varying concentrations of FUdR and other pyrimidine analogs (32). Our findings revealed that the beneficial impact of FUdR was confined to concentrations that induced nematode sterility (min. 2 μM; Fig S1A), and a similar enhancement in the UPS performance was also observed with other pyrimidine analogs (Fig S1B).

Next, we undertook proteasome activity assays to determine if the observed reduction in UbV-GFP accumulation resulted from elevated proteasome activity. These employed fluorescently labeled probes (28) to measure caspase-like activity (CLA), chymotrypsin-like activity (CTLA), and trypsin-like activity (TLA) in lysates prepared from wild-type worms with or without FUdR treatment. Our data revealed that FUdR was associated with a marked increase in CLA and CTLA activities (Fig 1C). The increase in an activity does not seem to depend on the direct interaction of FUdR with the proteasome, as no changes in the processivity of the recombinant human 26S proteasome were noted in its presence (Fig S1C). To explore the evolutionary conservation of FUdR's impact on proteasome activity, we observed a slight, non-significant increase in activity in HeLa cells with rising FUdR levels, suggesting the full effect of FUdR might necessitate a multicellular mechanism (Fig S1D).

Next, we conducted a western blot analysis to evaluate if the heightened UPS activity was attributable to increased proteasome subunit levels. This involved analyzing the levels of PAS-{2, 3, 5, 7} in worms treated with FUdR under proteotoxic stress conditions induced either by the presence of bortezomib or upon silencing *pas-1*, *pbs-2*, *pbs-6*, and *rpn-9* proteasome components. Remarkably, we noted that FUdR treatment resulted in the downregulation of PAS-{2, 3, 5, 7} (Fig 1D). This finding mirrors the observation in germline-less worms, known

for enhanced proteostasis and downregulation of 26S subunits (28). For this reason, we checked

the translation levels in the FUdR-treated nematodes by employing the surface sensing of

translation (SUnSET) method which measures puromycin integration into new proteins. We

identified a marked decrease in active translation upon FUdR treatment (Fig S1E), which is

probably responsible for the observed decrease in proteasome subunit levels (Fig 1D). This

effect is likely tied to germline disruption, as similar reduction was evident in germline-less,

temperature-sensitive *glp-1(e2144)* mutant worms (Fig S1E). Thus, despite the reduced

synthesis of new proteasome subunits, FUdR-treated worms effectively elevated their

proteasome activity.

FUdR bypasses conventional proteostasis regulators

Former studies have underscored the essentiality of a unique proteasome lid subunit, RPN-6.1,

in facilitating an upsurge in the UPS activity in sterile *glp-1* mutants (28). Concurrently, sterile

worms also necessitate the overexpression of a proteasome core α subunit, PBS-5, to foster

proteostasis (9). Because FUdR treatment catalyzes sterility in worms, we conjectured that

RPN-6.1 and PBS-5 may be instrumental in the augmentation of proteostasis within FUdR-

treated worms.

To validate this hypothesis, we conducted similar as described before *in vivo* assays in

the reporter strain, examining UbV-GFP accumulation under conditions involving *glp-1* RNAi

treatment, either alone or in conjunction with *pbs-5* or *rpn-6.1* RNAi, and with the variable

inclusion of FUdR (Fig 2A). Despite *glp-1* RNAi's ability to rescue the UbV-GFP accumulation

phenotype under PBS-5 depletion conditions, it could not facilitate UbV-GFP turnover without

RPN-6.1. In contrast, FUdR treatment succeeded in augmenting UPS activity by remedying

UbV-GFP accumulation across all conditions. Further investigations demonstrated that FUdR's

action is not contingent upon any specific proteasome subunit (Fig S2A) nor utilizes autophagy

(Fig S2B) to fortify proteostasis. Despite prior assertions that FUdR-mediated resistance to

proteotoxic stress is reliant on spermatogenesis (20), our findings suggest that the elevation of

UPS activity facilitated by FUdR treatment is not dependent on the spermatogenesis-associated

factor, FEM-1 (Fig S2C). This suggests a divergence in the underlying mechanisms responsible

for improved proteostasis between *glp-1* dysfunction-induced sterile worms and those rendered

sterile by FUdR treatment.

To discern the factors essential for UPS activity improvement via FUdR treatment, we

induced silencing of *daf-16*, *hsf-1*, *pqm-1*, and *skn-1* factors recognized to regulate proteostasis

and confer protection against proteotoxic stress (8, 14, 15, 21, 28), in the presence of

bortezomib. FUdR treatment successfully corrected the UbV-GFP accumulation phenotype in

cells devoid of HSF-1, DAF-16, and PQM-1. Conversely, the rescue of UbV-GFP

accumulation was not completely achieved under *skn-1* RNAi conditions (Fig 2B). This

observation aligns with previous research that revealed FUdR-mediated protection against

proteotoxic stress independent of *hsf-1* and *daf-16* transcription factors (20).

To corroborate the significance of *skn-1* in the enhancement of UPS activity, we

executed a similar as previously described proteasome activity assay, using lysates prepared

from wild-type worms under *skn-1* RNAi conditions, with or without FUdR. Our data unveiled

that in the absence of SKN-1, worms did not exhibit elevated proteasome activity, even when

FUdR was present (Fig S2D). Together, our results outline a mechanistic divergence in

enhancing proteostasis in FUdR-treated and sterile *glp-1 C. elegans*, revealing that the effects

of FUdR partially rely on SKN-1.

FUdR prolongs lifespan and promotes cold survival under proteasome deficiencies

The successful induction of hibernation in *C. elegans* under cold conditions is contingent on a

β subunit of the proteasome, PBS-6 (27). Also, prior studies have underscored the

indispensability of proteasome components for the longevity of worms (33). In the light of our

results that highlight FUdR's capacity to amplify proteasome activity despite its deficits, we

conducted cold-survival and lifespan assays (Fig 3A) on wild-type *C. elegans,* with

simultaneous RNAi depletion of PAS-1, PBS-2, or PBS-6 proteasome subunits. Our data

delineated that FUdR treatment notably increased the lifespan of worms subjected to

proteasome disruption (Fig 3B, Fig S3A). Additionally, we discovered that FUdR treatment

could effectively re-establish the survival of worms in cold survival assay, even amid

proteotoxic stress induced by the depletion of PAS-1, PBS-2, PBS-6, and RPN-9 (Fig 3C).

Notably, during cold survival assay, *C. elegans* requires a brief adaptation period at 10ºC before

exposure to cold incubation at 4ºC. The absence of this adaptation period, followed by an abrupt

cold incubation for 24 hr or more, has been proven lethal for the worms (34). Hence, we

conducted the cold survival assay with or without the adaptation period in the presence of

FUdR, under proteasome malfunction caused by knockdown of PBS-6. The FUdR treatment

significantly increased worm survival upon sudden cold shock (without an adaptation period),

even under compromised proteasome function (Fig S3B).

To scrutinize the impact of tissue-specific proteostasis collapse on cold survival, we

silenced *pbs-6* in wild-type strains and strains permitting tissue-specific RNAi depletion in

muscles, intestines, germline, or neurons, again with or without FUdR. Our findings revealed

that the knockdown of PBS-6 in neurons and intestines had the most pronounced impact on

cold survival, which can be fully rescued by the FUdR treatment (Fig S3C).

Subsequently, we posited that FUdR-induced sterility plays a role in enhancing cold

survival, a hypothesis supported by experiments involving both *glp-1(e2144)* sterile mutants

and wild-type worms. The cold survival assay indicated enhanced survival in *glp-1(e2144)*

mutants compared to wild-type worms when PBS-6 was depleted (Fig 3D). Moreover, this

survival advantage of *glp-1(e2144)* worms was further potentiated in the presence of FUdR,

suggesting that the mechanisms of cold tolerance induced by FUdR are likely distinct from the

protective effects conferred by sterility alone.

As previously demonstrated, the SKN-1 transcription factor is partially required for

FUdR to fully restore the enhanced proteostasis phenotype (Fig 2B). Therefore, we executed a

cold survival assay involving both wild-type and *skn-1(mg570)* loss of function mutants, with

or without RNAi silencing of *pbs-6* and FUdR. While the *skn-1(mg570)* worms exhibited no

notable decline in survival after cold incubation in the control RNAi condition, a significant

reduction in survival was noted for *skn-1(mg570)* mutants when subjected to proteasome

dysfunction by *pbs-6* silencing and cold exposure (Fig 3E). The observed decline was, albeit

not entirely, rescued by FUdR treatment.

FUdR induces a detoxification pathway buffering UPS during proteasome inhibition

Based on our findings from the SUnSET assay (Fig. S1E), we speculated that the suppressive

action of FUdR on translation could play a significant role in supporting UPS activity, given

the well-established challenge that newly produced proteins pose to the proteostasis machinery

(35, 36, 37, 38). However, despite the pronounced FUdR-mediated reduction in new protein

synthesis in *skn-1(mg577)* mutant worms (Fig 4A), the role of the SKN-1 transcription factor

remains significant for FUdR's ability to promote UPS function when confronted with

proteasome inhibitors like bortezomib (Fig 2B). Furthermore, our findings show that while

both FUdR and the *glp-1(e2144)* mutation significantly reduce translation, FUdR distinctively

triggers UPS-enhancing mechanisms in germline-less *glp-1* mutants, evident in its ability to

circumvent the requirement for RPN-6.1 (Fig 2A). Prior research has demonstrated that in

response to global translation inhibition, ribosome availability facilitates selective translation,

especially of stress response factors (37, 38, 39, 40). Given these insights, we hypothesized

that the mechanism underlying FUdR's action could be centered on the selective translation of

the UPS buffering proteins, which should also occur in *glp-1* and *skn-1* mutants. To investigate

this, we conducted a targeted proteomic analysis of adult wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)*, and *skn-*

1(mg570) worms treated with 400 µM FUdR, aiming to identify proteins whose level is

uniquely increased by FUdR. We identified and consistently quantified 5855 protein groups

across all experimental conditions and three independent biological replicates. The analysis

revealed that the FUdR treatment affected total levels of numerous proteins (717 up- and 821

down-regulated in wild-type, 416 up- and 442 down-regulated in *glp-1(e2144)*, 1132 up- and

1061 down-regulated in *skn-1(mg570)* worms) (Table S1).

To uncover pathways activated solely by FUdR, we manually categorized functions of

proteins up-regulated across all three strains upon FUdR treatment (Table S2, Fig S4A). Our

analysis revealed that over 33% of these proteins are implicated in detoxification and innate

immunity pathways (Fig 4B), indicating that FUdR induces a detoxification response that is

autonomous of both germline and *skn-1*. To eliminate the possibility that this induction is due

to metabolites produced by bacterial metabolism of FUdR or bacterial infection, we examined

UbV-GFP reporter degradation in worms fed with both live and killed HT115 *Escherichia coli*,

in the presence or absence of bortezomib and FUdR. Our results demonstrated that FUdR

effectively mitigated UbV-GFP accumulation under both bacterial conditions (Fig S4B).

To further elucidate our proteomic findings and clarify if detoxification proteins are

involved in FUdR-mediated proteostasis enhancement, we focused on RNAi-mediated

depletion of the most abundant detoxifying proteins - specifically GST-24, UGT-39, UGT-48,

CYP-35A3, and CYP-14A5 - that were uniquely upregulated under FUdR treatment, while

monitoring the turnover of the UBV-GFP reporter. Our data showed that GST-24 and CYP-

14A5, when depleted individually, can affect UPS activity (Fig S4C). Notably, FUdR

effectively reinstates proteasomal degradation in worms where SKN-1 is silenced alone or

combined with UGT-39, UGT-48, CYP-35A3, or CYP14A5 (Fig 4C). This restorative effect

is not observed when GST-24 is co-depleted with SKN-1 (Fig 4C), highlighting crucial role of

GST-24 in the detoxification pathway that contributes to FUdR's enhancement of the UPS.

However, the singular RNAi depletion of GST-24 from the array of FUdR-upregulated

detoxifying proteins did not compromise the safeguarding effect of FUdR on the worms'

resistance to low temperatures upon PBS-6 depletion (Fig S4D), indicating the cumulative

action of other mechanisms.

A previous study has suggested that dopamine signaling induces the xenobiotic stress

response and improves proteostasis (41). To validate whether the neuronal signal is essential

in improving the UPS activity upon FUdR induction, we measured the turnover of UbV-GFP

reporter upon depleting the sensory neuron ciliary components CHE-12 and CHE-13 (42, 43)

with or without knockdown of SKN-1. Our findings indicate that individual silencing of either

che-12 or *che-13* exerts no discernible impact on UPS activity (Fig S4E). Upon simultaneous

silencing of SKN1 and either CHE-12 or CHE-13, we noticed an alteration in proteostasis,

further emphasized by FUdR's inability to fully ameliorate UbV-GFP degradation when CHE-

12 or CHE-13 was explicitly targeted (Fig S4E). This suggests the involvement of neuronal

signaling in the regulation of proteostasis by FUdR.

DISCUSSION

While FUdR is primarily known for inducing reproductive arrest, it also exerts secondary

effects on various facets of *C. elegans* physiology, such as proteostasis and stress response

mechanisms (16, 19, 20, 21, 22). Our data indicate that FUdR augments the proteasome's

caspase-like and chymotrypsin-like activities, akin to the effects observed in the sterile *glp-*

1(e2144) mutant (28). Although both FUdR and germline-deficient worms are known to

elevate proteasome activity and stress resistance (13, 22), FUdR appears to act independently

of germline signaling. Enhanced proteostasis in *glp-1* mutants is contingent upon RPN-6.1, a

lid subunit of the 26S proteasome (28), whereas the effect of FUdR is not. Moreover, these

mechanisms further diverge: while *glp-1* mutants are dependent on *daf-16* for both lifespan

extension and elevated proteasome activity (28), the enhancement of UPS activity in FUdR-

treated worms is partially attributable to the *skn-1* transcription factor, but not *daf-16*.

Our findings demonstrate that FUdR treatment initiates a xenobiotic detoxification

pathway involving innate immune response proteins independently of SKN-1 and germline

signaling. Although SKN-1 generally activates proteasome subunits and is influenced by lipids

in sterile animals (14, 44), FUdR does not rely on specific 26S proteasome subunits or

autophagy for its effects. Instead, SKN-1 appears to have an alternative role in enhancing UPS

activity in the presence of FUdR, possibly through SKN-1-dependent and independent

xenobiotic pathways (45, 46, 47). We note that the latter is involved in the up-regulation of i.a.,

GST-24, previously linked to stress resistance in *C. elegans* (48). Furthermore, given that

FUdR induces DNA breaks, activating damage repair pathways (24), and that DNA breaks in

the germline improve UPS activity through an innate immune response (49), it is plausible that

FUdR triggers this detoxification pathway in response to DNA damage. Parallelly, we observed

that treatment with FUdR enhances the ability of *C. elegans* to withstand cold stress at 4ºC,

even bypassing the usual need for a preparatory acclimatization phase at 10ºC. This raises the

possibility that FUdR treatment mimics or induces adaptive processes normally activated

during this acclimatization period. Previous research has shown that moderate cold exposure

at 10ºC triggers shifts in lipid metabolism, which are likely crucial for enhanced cold resistance

(50, 51). Interestingly, lipid metabolism in *C. elegans* is deeply interwoven with innate immune

responses; specific fatty acids and their synthesizing enzymes are essential for immune gene

expression and pathogen resistance (52). Given that FUdR pre-treatment activates

detoxification pathways in the worms prior to exposure to severe cold, it is conceivable that

such pathways and lipid metabolism may interact to confer cold resilience.

It is noteworthy that the beneficial effects of FUdR in promoting worms survival and

protein turnover are not dependent on evolutionarily preserved transcriptional regulators DAF-

16 and PQM-1, recognized for their cooperative role in enhancing resilience to cold conditions

through the upregulation of FTN-1/ferritin (53). This delineates a distinct mechanistic route

through which FUdR modulates cellular homeostasis and also raises intriguing questions about

the potential cross-talk between various stress response pathways and how they might be

selectively activated or bypassed.

Our study offers a comprehensive analysis of the diverse functional roles of FUdR in

C. elegans, emphasizing its modulation of the UPS efficiency, detoxification pathways, and

cold resilience mechanisms. Although there are marked differences in the architecture of

immune systems between nematodes and higher organisms, several innate immunity and

detoxification mechanisms are evolutionarily preserved, suggesting potential translational

relevance (54). These findings thus warrant further exploration of the influence of FUdR on

proteasomal activity, particularly in the context of its co-administration with agents like

bortezomib in oncological patients (55, 56). Additionally, it would be valuable to study whether

FUdR or related molecules have the potential to enhance cellular resilience in mammals

subjected to environmental stressors, including temperature fluctuations. Such research could

extend our grasp of the adaptability-promoting properties of these compounds across different

species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Worm maintenance

C. elegans were cultured on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with OP50 or HT115 *E. coli* strains. These culture conditions were maintained at various temperatures, namely 16°C, 20°C, or 25°C, depending on both the worm strain and the specific requirements of the experimental protocol, following standard *C. elegans* culture techniques (57). Dead bacterial food sources were prepared by exposing bacterial cultures to paraformaldehyde (58). Worm strains utilized for different experimental setups are cataloged in Table 1. Unless stated otherwise, NGM plates were supplemented with 400 µM of floxuridine (FUdR; Cat: F0503; Sigma Aldrich). In some instances, other pyrimidine analogs were employed, including 5-fluorocytosine (FC; Cat: 543020; Sigma Aldrich), 5-fluorouracil (FU; Cat: F6627; Sigma Aldrich), and 5-fluoro-2′-deoxycytidine (FCdR; Cat: F5307; Sigma Aldrich), as well as proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (Cat: 504314; Sigma Aldrich), each at a concentration of 10 µM.

Table 1. *C. elegans* strains used in this study.

Strain	Source	Purpose
C. elegans: Bristol (N2)	CGC[1]	Wild-type; control worms
skn-1(mg570)	CGC	*skn-1* mutant
glp-1(e2144)	CGC	*glp-1* mutant
hhIs72*[unc-119(+); sur-5::mCherry]*, hhIs64*[unc-119(+); sur-5::UbiV-GFP]*	(30)	UPS activity reporter
rde-1(mkc36); mkcSi13	(59)	Germline-specific RNAi
rde-1(ne219); kzIs20	(60)	Body wall muscle-specific RNAi

rde-1(ne219); kbIs7	(61)	Intestine-specific RNAi
TU3311 *[uIs60 (unc-119p::YFP + unc-119p::sid-1)]*	(62)	Neuron-specific RNAi

337 ¹ Caenorhabditis Genetics Center

337 [1] Caenorhabditis Genetics Center

RNA interference

Gene silencing was carried out by the standard RNAi feeding method using clones from the

Ahringer *C. elegans* RNAi library (63). RNAi was applied at different stages for each target

gene, as described in Table S3. NGM plates supplemented with 1 mM IPTG (Cat: IPT001;

BioShop) and 25 µg/µl carbenicillin (Art. No. 6344.2; Carl Roth Gmbh & Co.) were seeded

with bacteria expressing double-stranded RNA from L4440 plasmid; *E. coli* HT115 (DE3)

bacteria containing empty L4440 plasmid were used as control.

Lifespan assay

Synchronized late-stage L4 worms were silenced for *pbs-6*, *pas-1*, *pbs-2,* or control RNAi

(empty vector) for 40 hr with or without FUdR. Subsequently, lifespan measurements were

carried out at a constant temperature of 20°C. Approximately 30 nematodes were maintained

on each 6 cm diameter agar plate for the duration of the lifespan assay. Daily evaluations were

performed to monitor the worms for movement and pharyngeal pumping as vitality indicators.

Worms were deemed to have reached the end of their lifespan if they failed to display these

physiological activities. Any animals manifesting bagging phenotypes or found to have

crawled off the agar surface were censored. Data was analyzed using the Log-Rank (Mantel-

Cox) test in the GraphPad Prism 9 software. The experiments were not randomized. No

statistical methods were used to predetermine the sample size. The investigators were blinded

to allocation during experiments.

Cold survival assay

To assess the impact of specific gene knockdown and FUdR treatment on cold tolerance,

approximately 30 late-stage L4 *C. elegans* were subjected to gene silencing. The gene silencing

was performed for 40 hr at a controlled temperature of 20°C, in the presence or absence of

FUdR, according to protocols detailed in the study by Habacher and colleagues (27).

Adaptation and exposure to cold conditions: Following the gene silencing phase, the

nematodes were acclimatized to a moderately cold environment at 10°C for 6 hr. Subsequently,

the worms were exposed to a colder temperature setting at 4°C and maintained at this condition

for 72 hr.

Recovery and scoring: After the 3-day cold exposure, the worms were allowed to recover at

20°C, lasting between 3 and 4 hr. Following the recovery phase, an assessment was carried out

to distinguish between live and dead specimens.

Cold incubation treatment: As a control, cold incubation was administered by directly

transferring the worms from a 20°C environment to a 4°C setting for 72 hr without prior

acclimatization.

UbV-GFP substrate turnover assay

To explore the turnover dynamics of the ubiquitin-based substrate in the presence of gene

knockdown and various pharmacological treatments, we utilized L4 stage hermaphrodites of

two specific strains: hhls72*[unc-119(+); sur-5::mCherry]* and hhls64*[unc-119(+); sur-*

5::UbiV-GFP]. Nematodes were silenced for the target genes over 40 hr at a consistent

temperature of 20°C. During this gene-silencing stage, the worms were treated with varying

concentration of FUdR, FU, FC, FCdR, and 100 nM bortezomib. Post-treatment, nematodes

were subjected to fluorescence imaging employing an Axio Zoom.V16 microscope outfitted

with an Axiocam 705 monochrome CMOS camera (Carl Zeiss). Images were captured across

brightfield, green, and red channels. Subsequent data processing was performed using the

AxioVision 4.7 analysis software (Carl Zeiss) to quantify the rates of substrate turnover, as

reflected by the intensity and distribution of fluorescence signals.

Proteasome activity measurement in worms

Approximately 7000 L4 stage worms were silenced for the respective genes at a concentration

of 400 µM for 40 hr at 20°C. Next, worms were harvested and lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl,

250 mM sucrose, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM ATP, and 1 mM dithiothreitol at pH 7.5.

Bortezomib was introduced to the lysate as a control at a concentration of 20 nM. The caspase-

like, chymotrypsin-like, and trypsin-like activities of the proteasome were subsequently

measured following the methods described (28). Proteasome activity was also measured using

5 nM of recombinant human 26S proteasome (Cat: E-365; R&D Systems).

Proteasome activity measurement in cells

HeLa Flp-In T-Rex cell line (a kind gift from R. Szczęsny) was cultured in Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle's Medium (Cat: 6429; Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

serum (Cat: F9965; Sigma Aldrich) and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Cat: 15240096; Gibco) at

37°C with 5% CO$_2$ in a humidified incubator. HeLa cells were seeded in black 96-well tissue

culture plates (Cat: 655090; Greiner) at a density of 20,000 cells in a total volume of 100 µl

per well. The next day, the cells were subjected to a 6-hr treatment with FUdR at 2.5 µg/ml

concentration in the growing media. Where indicated, the cells were also treated with 10 nM

bortezomib (Cat: 504314; Merck). The control cells received dimethyl sulfoxide. Using the

Proteasome 20S Activity Assay Kit (Cat: MAK172; Sigma Aldrich), 10.75 µl of LLVY-R110

Substrate was mixed with 4300 µl of the Assay Solution to create the Proteasome Assay

Loading Solution, according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Subsequently, 100 µl of this

prepared solution was accurately pipetted into each assay plate well. The plate was then

incubated at 37°C for 2 hr. After the incubation period, the fluorescence intensity ($\lambda_{ex} = 490$

nm, $\lambda_{em} = 525$ nm) was gauged using the TECAN Infinite 200 Pro plate reader equipped with

the Magellan Pro software to ascertain proteasome activity.

Surface sensing of translation assay

The surface sensing of translation (SUnSET) assay was employed to evaluate protein synthesis

rates, following the delineated methodology (64). A minimum of 7,000 worms were incubated

in 4 mL of S-complete liquid media supplemented with 750 μL of 10X *E. coli* food, engineered

to express double-stranded RNA against targeted genes, and 0.5 mg/mL puromycin (Cat: ant-

pr-1; Invivogen). The incubation occurred at 20°C with a consistent shaking speed of 200 rpm

for 5 hr. The worms were then harvested, washed three times in M9 buffer, and subsequently

lysed in a lysis buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.2, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.07% NP-40,

0.7% Tween-20, 0.1% gelatin), supplemented with protease inhibitor (Cat: 04693159001;

Roche). Protein concentration was determined using the Pierce Rapid Gold BCA Protein Assay

Kit (Cat: A53225; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and western blotting.

Western blotting

Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 12% acrylamide gels using a running buffer

containing 25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, and 0.1% SDS. Electrophoresis was conducted at a

constant voltage of 150 V. Subsequently, protein samples were transferred to PVDF

membranes through a wet transfer method at 100 V for one hour. The transfer buffer contained

25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, and 10% methanol, with a pH of 8.3. For protein visualization,

the membranes were treated with Invitrogen No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent (Cat: A44717;

Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Following this, the membranes

were blocked in a solution of 5% skimmed milk dissolved in TBST buffer (50 mM Tris, 150

mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.5) for 45 min at room temperature. Primary antibody

incubation was performed overnight at 4°C using either anti-GFP antibody (Cat: GF208R;

Thermo Fisher Scientific), anti-PAS-7 antibody (Cat: CePAS7; Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)), anti-proteasome 20S alpha 1+2+3+5+6+7 antibody (Cat: ab22674;

Abcam) or anti-puromycin (Cat: MABE343; Merck), both prepared in 5% skimmed milk in

TBST buffer. Post-incubation, membranes were washed three times with TBST for 10 min

each and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies, prepared in the blocking

solution, for 45 min at room temperature. Membranes were subsequently developed and

visualized using a ChemiDoc Imaging System from Bio-Rad.

Proteomics analysis

C. elegans were extracted using the Sample Preparation by Easy Extraction and Digestion

(SPEED) protocol (65). In brief, *C. elegans* were solubilized in concentrated Trifluoroacetic

Acid (TFA; Cat: T6508; Sigma Aldrich) (cell pellet/TFA 1:2-1:4 (v/v)) and incubated for 2-10

min at room temperature. Next, samples were neutralized with 2 M Tris-Base buffer using 10x

volume of TFA and further incubated at 95°C for 5 min after adding Tris(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine (final concentration 10 mM) and 2-chloroacetamide (final

concentration 40 mM). Turbidity measurements determined protein concentrations at 360 nm,

adjusted to the same concentration using a sample dilution buffer (2M TrisBase/TFA 10:1

(v/v)), and then diluted 1:4-1:5 with water. Digestion was carried out overnight at 37°C using

trypsin at a protein/enzyme ratio of 100:1. TFA was added to a final concentration of 2% to

stop digestion. The resulting peptides were labeled using an on-column TMT labeling protocol

(66). TMT-labeled samples were compiled into a single TMT sample and concentrated.

Peptides in the compiled sample were fractionated (8 fractions) using the Pierce High pH

Reversed-Phase Peptide Fractionation Kit (Cat: 84868; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Prior to

liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) measurement, the peptide fractions were

reconstituted in 0.1% TFA, 2% acetonitrile in water. Chromatographic separation was

performed on an Easy-Spray Acclaim PepMap column 50 cm long × 75 μm inner diameter

(Cat: PN ES903; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 55°C by applying 120 min acetonitrile gradients

in 0.1% aqueous formic acid at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. An UltiMate 3000 nano-LC system

was coupled to a Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer via an easy-spray source (all Thermo

Fisher Scientific). The Q Exactive HF-X was operated in TMT mode with survey scans

acquired at a resolution of 60,000 at m/z 200. Up to 15 of the most abundant isotope patterns

with charges 2-5 from the survey scan were selected with an isolation window of 0.7 m/z and

fragmented by higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD) with normalized collision energies

of 32, while the dynamic exclusion was set to 35 s. The maximum ion injection times for the

survey and tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) scans (acquired with a resolution of 45,000 at

m/z 200) were 50 and 96 ms, respectively. The ion target value for MS was set to 3e6 and for

MS/MS to 1e5, and the minimum AGC target was set to 1e3.

The data were processed with MaxQuant v. 1.6.17.0 (67), and the peptides were

identified from the MS/MS spectra searched against Uniprot *C. elegans* reference proteome

(UP000001940) using the built-in Andromeda search engine. Raw files from the liquid

chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) measurements of 8 tryptic

peptide fractions were analyzed together. Reporter ion MS2-based quantification was applied

with reporter mass tolerance = 0.003 Da and min. reporter PIF = 0.75. Cysteine

carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification, and methionine oxidation,

glutamine/asparagine deamination, and protein N-terminal acetylation were set as variable

modifications. For *in silico* digests of the reference proteome, cleavages of arginine or lysine

followed by any amino acid were allowed (trypsin/P), and up to two missed cleavages were

allowed. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 0.01 for peptides, proteins, and sites. A

match between runs was enabled. Other parameters were used as pre-set in the software.

Unique and razor peptides were used for quantification, enabling protein grouping (razor

peptides are the peptides uniquely assigned to protein groups and not to individual proteins).

Reporter intensity corrected values for protein groups were loaded into Perseus v. 1.6.10.0.

(68). Standard filtering steps were applied to clean up the dataset: reverse (matched to decoy

database), only identified by site, and potential contaminant (from a list of commonly occurring

contaminants included in MaxQuant) protein groups were removed. Reporter intensity

corrected values were log2 transformed, and protein groups with all values were kept. Reporter

intensity values were then normalized by median subtraction within TMT channels. Student's

t-tests (permutation-based FDR = 0.001, S0 = 0.1) were performed on the dataset to return

protein groups, whose levels were statistically significantly changed between the sample

groups investigated.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The proteomics data was uploaded to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (69) via the PRIDE

partner repository (70) with the dataset identifier PXD045805. The datasets used and/or

analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable

request.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AAD: Data curation; conceptualization; formal analysis; investigation; visualization; writing.

NAS: Data curation; formal analysis; visualization; writing. **MP**: Investigation. **RAS**:

Investigation (proteomics). **WP**: Conceptualization; resources; supervision; funding

acquisition; validation; project administration; writing. All authors read and approved the

manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Proteomic measurements were performed at the Proteomics Core Facility, IMol Polish

Academy of Sciences. Special thanks to Dorota Stadnik for help with sample preparation and

LC-MS/MS measurements. We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (funded by the NIH

National Center for Research Resources, P40 OD010440) for *C. elegans* strains. We thank

Roman Szczęsny for the HeLa Flp-In T-Rex cell line. We also acknowledge Marta Niklewicz

and Karolina Milcz for their technical assistance and Rafał Ciosk for comments on the

manuscript.

FUNDING

The Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014–2021 and operated by the Polish National Science

Centre, Poland (under the project contract number 2019/34/H/NZ3/00691).

FIGURES' LEGENDS

Figure 1. Enhancement of proteasome activity by FUdR. (A) A scheme illustrating the

method for monitoring *in vivo* UPS activity, employing UbV-GFP as the indicative reporter.

(B) *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in the

presence of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (Btz) and during the RNAi depletion of *pas-*

1 and *pbs-6* proteasome subunits. Scale bar corresponds to 400 μm. **(C)** FUdR's effect on

proteasome activity, as measured by trypsin-like, chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity

in wild-type worms with or without FUdR treatment. Bortezomib (Btz) served as the negative

control. Proteasome activity is represented as slopes obtained from kinetic measurements. The

experiments were conducted thrice as separate biological replicates, and significance levels (**

- $P \leq 0.01$) were determined using an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. **(D)** Western blot

showing levels of PAS-2, PAS-3, PAS-5, and PAS-7 proteasome subunits levels in wild-type

worms following the RNAi depletion of RPN-9, PBS-6, PBS-2 and PAS-1 proteasome

components in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-proteasome 20S

alpha 1+2+3+5+6+7 antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm

equal protein loading.

Figure 2. The improved UPS activity mediated by FUdR partially relies on *skn-1*. (A) *In*

vivo UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in worms subjected

to *glp-1*, *pbs-5* and *rpn-6.1* RNAi silencing, with or without FUdR. **(B)** *In vivo* UPS activity

assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in worms subjected to *hsf-1*, *daf-16*,

pqm-1, and *skn-1* RNAi silencing in the absence or presence of bortezomib (Btz). In panels A

and B, the scale bar corresponds to 400 μm.

Figure 3. FUdR enhances lifespan and cold survival upon proteasome deficits. (A) A

schematic representation of cold survival and lifespan assays. **(B)** The lifespan of wild-type

worms when exposed to control or *pbs-6* RNAi in the presence or absence FUdR. Number of

worms used in the study: control RNAi: n=123 (+ FUdR) or n=139 (- FUdR); *pbs-6* RNAi:

n=129 (+ FUdR) or n=149 (- FUdR). **(C)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival rate of wild-

type worms during the knockdown of PAS-1, PBS-2, PBS-6, and RPN-9, considering both

FUdR-treated and untreated conditions. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the

significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the

compared conditions (ns - not significant, [*] - $P \leq 0.05$, [**] - $P \leq 0.01$, [***] - $P \leq 0.001$, [****] -

$P \leq 0.0001$). **(D)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *glp-1(e2144)*

worms subjected to control and *pbs-6* RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and

the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for

the compared conditions (ns - not significant, ** - $P \le 0.01$, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). **(E)** The impact

of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *skn-1(mg570)* worms subjected to control and

pbs-6 RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained

from the Tukey's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not

significant, *** - $P \le 0.001$, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). In panels C-E, at least 90 animals were scored in

three independent biological replicates.

Figure 4. FUdR promotes detoxification pathway independent of *skn-1* and *glp-1*. (A)

Western blot showing global translation activity in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)*, and *skn-1(mg570)*

worms, in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-puromycin antibody.

The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(B)** Pie

chart representing the functional categories of proteins from our proteomics study that

exhibited a marked increase (fold change > 1.0), observed consistently in wild-type, *glp-*

1(e2144), and *skn-1(mg570)* worms specifically due to FUdR treatment. The significance of

proteomic findings was confirmed through student's t-tests, setting a *P*-value threshold < 0.05

while maintaining the false discovery rate under 0.01. Functional annotations were compiled

by manual review. **(C)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP

turnover in worms subjected to *skn-1* RNAi silencing alone or in combination with *gst-24*, *ugt-*

39, *ugt-48*, *cyp35A3*, and *cyp14A5* RNAi silencing, with or without FUdR. Scale bar

corresponds to 400 μm.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES' AND FIGURES' LEGENDS

Table S1. Results of proteomics analysis showing changes in protein abundance in wild-type,

glp-1(e2144), and *skn-1(mg570)* worms in the presence or absence of FUdR.

Table S2. Proteins up-regulated in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)*, and *skn-1(mg570)* upon FUdR

treatment with manually categorized functions.

Table S3. Worm stages designated for specific RNAi treatments.

Figure S1. **Pyrimidine analogs improve UPS activity. (A)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay

showing the effect of different concentrations of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover. **(B)** *In vivo* UPS

activity assay showing the effect of different pyrimidine analogs, 5-fluorouracil (FU), 5-

fluorocytosine (FC), and 5-fluorodeoxycytidine (FCdR), on UbV-GFP turnover. In panels A

and B, the scale bar corresponds to 400 μm. **(C)** Effect of different concentrations of FUdR on

the activity of purified human 26S proteasome in HeLa cells, as measured by trypsin-like,

chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity in wild-type worms with or without FUdR

treatment. Bortezomib (Btz) served as the negative control. Proteasome activity is represented

as slopes obtained from kinetic measurements. The experiments were conducted thrice as

separate biological replicates. **(D)** FUdR effect on chymotrypsin-like proteasome activity in

HeLa cells. Cells were treated with final concentrations of 0.4 and 2 μM of FUdR and 10 nM

bortezomib (Btz) as control for 6 hr. The assay was conducted by incubating the cells with 100

μl Proteasome Assay Loading Solution for 2 h as described in the methods. Results from three

technical replicates were corrected for background by subtracting the fluorescence of the

medium without cells and further normalized to dimethyl sulfoxide control. The graph shows

the average values obtained from either two or four biological replicates for experiments that

involve FUdR or Btz, respectively. **(E)** Western blot showing global translation activity in

wild-type and *glp-1(e2144)* worms, in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using

the anti-puromycin antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm

equal protein loading.

Figure S2. FUdR buffers UPS activity under proteasome-compromised conditions. (A)

Western blot showing the impact of FUdR on UbV-GFP reporter turnover upon depletion of

various 26S subunits in control worms, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain

Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(B)** Western blot showing

degradation of UbV-GFP in control, *atg-1* RNAi (applied at either L1 or young adult stages),

and *lgg-1* RNAi (applied at the young adult stage) worms co-treated with bortezomib (Btz) in

the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain

Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(C)** Western blot showing

degradation of UbV-GFP upon RNAi depletion of *fem-1* in control worms, with or without

bortezomib (Btz) or FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein

Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(D)** FUdR's effect on proteasome

activity, as measured by trypsin-like, chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity in *skn-1*

silenced worms with or without FUdR treatment. Proteasome activity is represented as slopes

obtained from kinetic measurements. The experiments were conducted thrice as separate

biological replicates, and significance levels (ns - not significant) were determined using an

unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

Figure S3. Impact of FUdR in the absence of proteasome subunits on longevity and cold

survival. (A) The lifespan of wild-type worms when exposed to *pas-1* or *pbs-2* RNAi in the

presence or absence FUdR. Number of worms used in the study: *pas-1* RNAi: n=171 (+ FUdR)

or n=171 (- FUdR); *pbs-2* RNAi: n=147 (+ FUdR) or n=194 (- FUdR). **(B)** The impact of FUdR

on the cold survival of wild-type and *pbs-6* knockdown worms, with or without a cold

adaptation period. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels

obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions

(ns - not significant, **** - $P \leq 0.0001$). **(C)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-

type and *pbs-6* tissue-specific knockdown worms, with or without a cold adaptation period.

Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the

Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not

significant, ** - $P \leq 0.01$, *** - $P \leq 0.001$). In panels B-C, at least 90 animals were scored in three

independent biological replicates.

Figure S4. FUdR activates a detoxification pathway involving GST-24 to regulate the

UPS. (A) Venn diagram showing the abundance of proteins up-regulated exclusively in the

presence of FUdR in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)* and *skn-1 (mg570)* worms. **(B)** Western blot

showing the impact of bacterial viability on the UbV-GFP reporter turnover in the presence of

bortezomib (Btz) and FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein

Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(C)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay

showing the effect of FUdR and RNAi knockdown of detoxification-associated proteins GST-

24, UGT-39, UGT-48, CYP35A3, and CYP14A5 on UbV-GFP turnover. **(D)** The impact of

FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *skn-1(mg570)* worms subjected to control, *pbs-6*

and *gst-24* RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels

obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions

(ns - not significant, **** - $P \leq 0.0001$). At least 90 animals were scored in three independent

biological replicates. **(E)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR and RNAi

knockdown of neuronal ciliary components *che-12* and *che-13*, either individually or in

combination with *skn-1* RNAi, on UbV-GFP turnover. In panels C and E, the scale bar

corresponds to 400 μm.

REFERENCES

1.   Hoppe T, Cohen E. Organismal Protein Homeostasis Mechanisms. Genetics.
2020;215(4):889-901.
2.   Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. Proteostasis and aging. Nat Med. 2015;21(12):1406-15.
3.   Hipp MS, Kasturi P, Hartl FU. The proteostasis network and its decline in ageing. Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20(7):421-35.
4.   Kurtishi A, Rosen B, Patil KS, Alves GW, Moller SG. Cellular Proteostasis in
Neurodegeneration. Mol Neurobiol. 2019;56(5):3676-89.
5.   Antebi A. Regulation of longevity by the reproductive system. Exp Gerontol.
2013;48(7):596-602.
6.   Kimble J, Crittenden SL. Controls of germline stem cells, entry into meiosis, and the
sperm/oocyte decision in Caenorhabditis elegans. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2007;23:405-33.

7.   Austin J, Kimble J. glp-1 is required in the germ line for regulation of the decision
between mitosis and meiosis in C. elegans. Cell. 1987;51(4):589-99.
8.   Grushko D, Boocholez H, Levine A, Cohen E. Temporal requirements of SKN-1/NRF
as a regulator of lifespan and proteostasis in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS One.
2021;16(7):e0243522.
9.   Chondrogianni N, Georgila K, Kourtis N, Tavernarakis N, Gonos ES. 20S proteasome
activation promotes life span extension and resistance to proteotoxicity in Caenorhabditis
elegans. FASEB J. 2015;29(2):611-22.
10.   Berman JR, Kenyon C. Germ-cell loss extends C. elegans life span through regulation
of DAF-16 by kri-1 and lipophilic-hormone signaling. Cell. 2006;124(5):1055-68.
11.   O'Brien D, Jones LM, Good S, Miles J, Vijayabaskar MS, Aston R, et al. A PQM-1-
Mediated Response Triggers Transcellular Chaperone Signaling and Regulates Organismal
Proteostasis. Cell Rep. 2018;23(13):3905-19.
12.   Lapierre LR, Gelino S, Melendez A, Hansen M. Autophagy and lipid metabolism
coordinately modulate life span in germline-less C. elegans. Curr Biol. 2011;21(18):1507-14.
13.   Shemesh N, Shai N, Ben-Zvi A. Germline stem cell arrest inhibits the collapse of
somatic proteostasis early in Caenorhabditis elegans adulthood. Aging Cell. 2013;12(5):814-
22.
14.   Steinbaugh MJ, Narasimhan SD, Robida-Stubbs S, Moronetti Mazzeo LE, Dreyfuss
JM, Hourihan JM, et al. Lipid-mediated regulation of SKN-1/Nrf in response to germ cell
absence. Elife. 2015;4.
15.   McColl G, Rogers AN, Alavez S, Hubbard AE, Melov S, Link CD, et al. Insulin-like
signaling determines survival during stress via posttranscriptional mechanisms in C. elegans.
Cell Metab. 2010;12(3):260-72.
16.   Gandhi S, Santelli J, Mitchell DH, Stiles JW, Sanadi DR. A simple method for
maintaining large, aging populations of Caenorhabditis elegans. Mech Ageing Dev.
1980;12(2):137-50.
17.   Van Raamsdonk JM, Hekimi S. FUdR causes a twofold increase in the lifespan of the
mitochondrial mutant gas-1. Mech Ageing Dev. 2011;132(10):519-21.
18.   Aitlhadj L, Sturzenbaum SR. The use of FUdR can cause prolonged longevity in mutant
nematodes. Mech Ageing Dev. 2010;131(5):364-5.
19.   Anderson EN, Corkins ME, Li JC, Singh K, Parsons S, Tucey TM, et al. C. elegans
lifespan extension by osmotic stress requires FUdR, base excision repair, FOXO, and sirtuins.
Mech Ageing Dev. 2016;154:30-42.
20.   Angeli S, Klang I, Sivapatham R, Mark K, Zucker D, Bhaumik D, et al. A DNA
synthesis inhibitor is protective against proteotoxic stressors via modulation of fertility
pathways in Caenorhabditis elegans. Aging (Albany NY). 2013;5(10):759-69.
21.   Brunquell J, Bowers P, Westerheide SD. Fluorodeoxyuridine enhances the heat shock
response and decreases polyglutamine aggregation in an HSF-1-dependent manner in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Mech Ageing Dev. 2014;141-142:1-4.
22.   Feldman N, Kosolapov L, Ben-Zvi A. Fluorodeoxyuridine improves Caenorhabditis
elegans proteostasis independent of reproduction onset. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e85964.
23.   Davies SK, Leroi AM, Bundy JG. Fluorodeoxyuridine affects the identification of
metabolic responses to daf-2 status in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mech Ageing Dev.
2012;133(1):46-9.
24.   Yoshioka A, Tanaka S, Hiraoka O, Koyama Y, Hirota Y, Ayusawa D, et al.
Deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate imbalance. 5-Fluorodeoxyuridine-induced DNA double
strand breaks in mouse FM3A cells and the mechanism of cell death. J Biol Chem.
1987;262(17):8235-41.

25.   Vermezovic J, Stergiou L, Hengartner MO, d'Adda di Fagagna F. Differential
regulation of DNA damage response activation between somatic and germline cells in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell Death Differ. 2012;19(11):1847-55.
26.   Lee HJ, Alirzayeva H, Koyuncu S, Rueber A, Noormohammadi A, Vilchez D. Cold
temperature extends longevity and prevents disease-related protein aggregation through
PA28gamma-induced proteasomes. Nat Aging. 2023;3(5):546-66.
27.   Habacher C, Guo Y, Venz R, Kumari P, Neagu A, Gaidatzis D, et al. Ribonuclease-
Mediated Control of Body Fat. Dev Cell. 2016;39(3):359-69.
28.   Vilchez D, Morantte I, Liu Z, Douglas PM, Merkwirth C, Rodrigues AP, et al. RPN-6
determines   C. elegans   longevity   under   proteotoxic   stress   conditions.   Nature.
2012;489(7415):263-8.
29.   Gu T, Orita S, Han M. Caenorhabditis elegans SUR-5, a novel but conserved protein,
negatively   regulates   LET-60   Ras   activity   during   vulval   induction.   Mol   Cell   Biol.
1998;18(8):4556-64.
30.   Segref A, Torres S, Hoppe T. A screenable in vivo assay to study proteostasis networks
in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 2011;187(4):1235-40.
31.   Dantuma NP, Lindsten K, Glas R, Jellne M, Masucci MG. Short-lived green fluorescent
proteins   for   quantifying   ubiquitin/proteasome-dependent   proteolysis   in   living   cells.   Nat
Biotechnol. 2000;18(5):538-43.
32.   Garcia-Gonzalez AP, Ritter AD, Shrestha S, Andersen EC, Yilmaz LS, Walhout AJM.
Bacterial Metabolism Affects the C. elegans Response to Cancer Chemotherapeutics. Cell.
2017;169(3):431-41 e8.
33.   Ghazi A, Henis-Korenblit S, Kenyon C. Regulation of Caenorhabditis elegans lifespan
by a proteasomal E3 ligase complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(14):5947-52.
34.   Robinson JD, Powell JR. Long-term recovery from acute cold shock in Caenorhabditis
elegans. BMC Cell Biol. 2016;17:2.
35.   Rogers AN, Chen D, McColl G, Czerwieniec G, Felkey K, Gibson BW, et al. Life span
extension via eIF4G inhibition is mediated by posttranscriptional remodeling of stress response
gene expression in C. elegans. Cell Metab. 2011;14(1):55-66.
36.   Howard AC, Rollins J, Snow S, Castor S, Rogers AN. Reducing translation through
eIF4G/IFG-1 improves survival under ER stress that depends on heat shock factor HSF-1 in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Aging Cell. 2016;15(6):1027-38.
37.   Advani VM, Ivanov P. Translational Control under Stress: Reshaping the Translatome.
Bioessays. 2019;41(5):e1900009.
38.   Roux PP, Topisirovic I. Signaling Pathways Involved in the Regulation of mRNA
Translation. Mol Cell Biol. 2018;38(12).
39.   Seo K, Choi E, Lee D, Jeong DE, Jang SK, Lee SJ. Heat shock factor 1 mediates the
longevity conferred by inhibition of TOR and insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathways in C. elegans.
Aging Cell. 2013;12(6):1073-81.
40.   Lan J, Rollins JA, Zang X, Wu D, Zou L, Wang Z, et al. Translational Regulation of
Non-autonomous   Mitochondrial   Stress   Response   Promotes   Longevity.   Cell   Rep.
2019;28(4):1050-62 e6.
41.   Joshi KK, Matlack TL, Rongo C. Dopamine signaling promotes the xenobiotic stress
response and protein homeostasis. EMBO J. 2016;35(17):1885-901.
42.   Guerrero GA, Derisbourg MJ, Mayr FA, Wester LE, Giorda M, Dinort JE, et al. NHR-
8 and P-glycoproteins uncouple xenobiotic resistance from longevity in chemosensory C.
elegans mutants. Elife. 2021;10.
43.   Perkins LA, Hedgecock EM, Thomson JN, Culotti JG. Mutant sensory cilia in the
nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 1986;117(2):456-87.

44.   Li X, Matilainen O, Jin C, Glover-Cutter KM, Holmberg CI, Blackwell TK. Specific
SKN-1/Nrf stress responses to perturbations in translation elongation and proteasome activity.
PLoS Genet. 2011;7(6):e1002119.
45.   An JH, Vranas K, Lucke M, Inoue H, Hisamoto N, Matsumoto K, et al. Regulation of
the Caenorhabditis elegans oxidative stress defense protein SKN-1 by glycogen synthase
kinase-3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(45):16275-80.
46.   Detienne G, Van de Walle P, De Haes W, Schoofs L, Temmerman L. SKN-1-
independent transcriptional activation of glutathione S-transferase 4 (GST-4) by EGF
signaling. Worm. 2016;5(4):e1230585.
47.   Kahn NW, Rea SL, Moyle S, Kell A, Johnson TE. Proteasomal dysfunction activates
the transcription factor SKN-1 and produces a selective oxidative-stress response in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Biochem J. 2008;409(1):205-13.
48.   Leiers B, Kampkotter A, Grevelding CG, Link CD, Johnson TE, Henkle-Duhrsen K. A
stress-responsive glutathione S-transferase confers resistance to oxidative stress in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Free Radic Biol Med. 2003;34(11):1405-15.
49.   Ermolaeva MA, Segref A, Dakhovnik A, Ou HL, Schneider JI, Utermohlen O, et al.
DNA damage in germ cells induces an innate immune response that triggers systemic stress
resistance. Nature. 2013;501(7467):416-20.
50.   Jiang W, Wei Y, Long Y, Owen A, Wang B, Wu X, et al. A genetic program mediates
cold-warming response and promotes stress-induced phenoptosis in C. elegans. Elife. 2018;7.
51.   Murray P, Hayward SA, Govan GG, Gracey AY, Cossins AR. An explicit test of the
phospholipid saturation hypothesis of acquired cold tolerance in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(13):5489-94.
52.   Anderson SM, Cheesman HK, Peterson ND, Salisbury JE, Soukas AA, Pukkila-Worley
R. The fatty acid oleate is required for innate immune activation and pathogen defense in
Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15(6):e1007893.
53.   Pekec T, Lewandowski J, Komur AA, Sobanska D, Guo Y, Switonska-Kurkowska K,
et al. Ferritin-mediated iron detoxification promotes hypothermia survival in Caenorhabditis
elegans and murine neurons. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):4883.
54.   Martineau CN, Kirienko NV, Pujol N. Innate immunity in C. elegans. Curr Top Dev
Biol. 2021;144:309-51.
55.   O'Neil BH, Raftery L, Calvo BF, Chakravarthy AB, Ivanova A, Myers MO, et al. A
phase I study of bortezomib in combination with standard 5-fluorouracil and external-beam
radiation therapy for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic rectal cancer. Clin
Colorectal Cancer. 2010;9(2):119-25.
56.   Wang HF, Tong Y, Liu L, Liu HP, Li CD, Liu QR. The effects of bortezomib alone or
in combination with 5-fluorouracil on proliferation and apoptosis of choriocarcinoma cells. Eur
J Gynaecol Oncol. 2016;37(5):627-31.
57.   Brenner S. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 1974;77(1):71-94.
58.   Beydoun S, Choi HS, Dela-Cruz G, Kruempel J, Huang S, Bazopoulou D, et al. An
alternative food source for metabolism and longevity studies in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Commun Biol. 2021;4(1):258.
59.   Zou L, Wu D, Zang X, Wang Z, Wu Z, Chen D. Construction of a germline-specific
RNAi tool in C. elegans. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):2354.
60.   Qadota H, Inoue M, Hikita T, Koppen M, Hardin JD, Amano M, et al. Establishment
of a tissue-specific RNAi system in C. elegans. Gene. 2007;400(1-2):166-73.
61.   Espelt MV, Estevez AY, Yin X, Strange K. Oscillatory Ca2+ signaling in the isolated
Caenorhabditis elegans intestine: role of the inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor and
phospholipases C beta and gamma. J Gen Physiol. 2005;126(4):379-92.

62.   Calixto A, Chelur D, Topalidou I, Chen X, Chalfie M. Enhanced neuronal RNAi in C.
elegans using SID-1. Nat Methods. 2010;7(7):554-9.
63.   Kamath RS, Ahringer J. Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Methods. 2003;30(4):313-21.
64.   Ravi V, Jain A, Mishra S, Sundaresan NR. Measuring Protein Synthesis in Cultured
Cells and Mouse Tissues Using the Non-radioactive SUnSET Assay. Curr Protoc Mol Biol.
2020;133(1):e127.
65.   Doellinger J, Schneider A, Hoeller M, Lasch P. Sample Preparation by Easy Extraction
and Digestion (SPEED) - A Universal, Rapid, and Detergent-free Protocol for Proteomics
Based on Acid Extraction. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2020;19(1):209-22.
66.   Myers SA, Rhoads A, Cocco AR, Peckner R, Haber AL, Schweitzer LD, et al.
Streamlined Protocol for Deep Proteomic Profiling of FAC-sorted Cells and Its Application to
Freshly Isolated Murine Immune Cells. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2019;18(5):995-1009.
67.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Cox J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. Nat Protoc. 2016;11(12):2301-19.
68.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus
computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods.
2016;13(9):731-40.
69.   Deutsch EW, Csordas A, Sun Z, Jarnuczak A, Perez-Riverol Y, Ternent T, et al. The
ProteomeXchange consortium in 2017: supporting the cultural change in proteomics public
data deposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D1100-D6.
70.   Perez-Riverol Y, Bai J, Bandla C, Garcia-Seisdedos D, Hewapathirana S,
Kamatchinathan S, et al. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-
based proteomics evidences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D543-D52.
822

Figure 1. Enhancement of proteasome activity by FUdR. (A) A scheme illustrating the method for monitoring *in vivo* UPS activity, employing UbV-GFP as the indicative reporter. **(B)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (Btz) and during the RNAi depletion of *pas-1* and *pbs-6* proteasome subunits. Scale bar corresponds to 400 μm. **(C)** FUdR's effect on proteasome activity, as measured by trypsin-like, chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity in wild-type worms with or without FUdR treatment. Bortezomib (Btz) served as the negative control. Proteasome activity is represented as slopes obtained from kinetic measurements. The experiments were conducted thrice as separate biological replicates, and significance levels (** - *P* ≤ 0.01) were determined using an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. **(D)** Western blot showing levels of PAS-2, PAS-3, PAS-5, and PAS-7 proteasome subunits levels in wild-type worms following the RNAi depletion of RPN-9, PBS-6, PBS-2 and PAS-1 proteasome components in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-proteasome 20S alpha 1+2+3+5+6+7 antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading.

A

control RNAi

glp-1 RNAi

- FUdR

pbs-5
RNAi

pbs-5
RNAi
+ FUdR

rpn-6.1
RNAi

rpn-6.1
RNAi
+ FUdR

UbV::GFP   mCherry   brightfield

UbV::GFP   mCherry   brightfield

B

RNAi	control				hsf-1				daf-16			
Btz	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
FUdR	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+

brightfield

mCherry

UbV::GFP

RNAi	pqm-1				skn-1			
Btz	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
FUdR	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+

brightfield

mCherry

UbV::GFP

Figure 2. The improved UPS activity mediated by FUdR partially relies on *skn-1*. (A) *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in worms subjected to *glp-1*, *pbs-5* and *rpn-6.1* RNAi silencing, with or without FUdR. **(B)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in worms subjected to *hsf-1*, *daf-16*, *pqm-1*, and *skn-1* RNAi silencing in the absence or presence of bortezomib (Btz). In panels A and B, the scale bar corresponds to 400 μm.

Figure 3. FUdR enhances lifespan and cold survival upon proteasome deficits. (A) A schematic representation of cold survival and lifespan assays. **(B)** The lifespan of wild-type worms when exposed to control or *pbs-6* RNAi in the presence or absence FUdR. Number of worms used in the study: control RNAi: n=123 (+ FUdR) or n=139 (- FUdR); *pbs-6* RNAi: n=129 (+ FUdR) or n=149 (- FUdR). **(C)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival rate of wild-type worms during the knockdown of PAS-1, PBS-2, PBS-6, and RPN-9, considering both FUdR-treated and untreated conditions. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, * - $P \le 0.05$, ** - $P \le 0.01$, *** - $P \le 0.001$, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). **(D)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *glp-1(e2144)* worms subjected to control and *pbs-6* RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, ** - $P \le 0.01$, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). **(E)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *skn-1(mg570)* worms subjected to control and *pbs-6* RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Tukey's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, *** - $P \le 0.001$, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). In panels C-E, at least 90 animals were scored in three independent biological replicates.

Figure 4. FUdR promotes detoxification pathway independent of *skn-1* and *glp-1*. (A) Western blot showing global translation activity in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)*, and *skn-1(mg570)* worms, in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-puromycin antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(B)** Pie chart representing the functional categories of proteins from our proteomics study that exhibited a marked increase (fold change > 1.0), observed consistently in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)*, and *skn-1(mg570)* worms specifically due to FUdR treatment. The significance of proteomic findings was confirmed through student's t-tests, setting a *P*-value threshold < 0.05 while maintaining the false discovery rate under 0.01. Functional annotations were compiled by manual review. **(C)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover in worms subjected to *skn-1* RNAi silencing alone or in combination with *gst-24*, *ugt-39*, *ugt-48*, *cyp35A3*, and *cyp14A5* RNAi silencing, with or without FUdR. Scale bar corresponds to 400 μm.

Figure S1. Pyrimidine analogs improve UPS activity. (A) *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of different concentrations of FUdR on UbV-GFP turnover. **(B)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of different pyrimidine analogs, 5-fluorouracil (FU), 5-fluorocytosine (FC), and 5-fluorodeoxycytidine (FCdR), on UbV-GFP turnover. In panels A and B, the scale bar corresponds to 400 µm. **(C)** Effect of different concentrations of FUdR on the activity of purified human 26S proteasome in HeLa cells, as measured by trypsin-like, chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity in wild-type worms with or without FUdR treatment. Bortezomib (Btz) served as the negative control. Proteasome activity is represented as slopes obtained from kinetic measurements. The experiments were conducted thrice as separate biological replicates. **(D)** FUdR effect on chymotrypsin-like proteasome activity in HeLa cells. Cells were treated with final concentrations of 0.4 and 2 µM of FUdR and 10 nM bortezomib (Btz) as control for 6 hr. The assay was conducted by incubating the cells with 100 µl Proteasome Assay Loading Solution for 2 h as described in the methods. Results from three technical replicates were corrected for background by subtracting the fluorescence of the medium without cells and further normalized to dimethyl sulfoxide control. The graph shows the average values obtained from either two or four biological replicates for experiments that involve FUdR or Btz, respectively. **(E)** Western blot showing global translation activity in wild-type and *glp-1(e2144)* worms, in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using the anti-puromycin antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading.

Figure S2. FUdR buffers UPS activity under proteasome-compromised conditions. (A) Western blot showing the impact of FUdR on UbV-GFP reporter turnover upon depletion of various 26S subunits in control worms, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(B)** Western blot showing degradation of UbV-GFP in control, *atg-1* RNAi (applied at either L1 or young adult stages), and *lgg-1* RNAi (applied at the young adult stage) worms co-treated with bortezomib (Btz) in the presence or absence of FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(C)** Western blot showing degradation of UbV-GFP upon RNAi depletion of *fem-1* in control worms, with or without bortezomib (Btz) or FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(D)** FUdR's effect on proteasome activity, as measured by trypsin-like, chymotrypsin-like, and caspase-like activity in *skn-1* silenced worms with or without FUdR treatment. Proteasome activity is represented as slopes obtained from kinetic measurements. The experiments were conducted thrice as separate biological replicates, and significance levels (ns - not significant) were determined using an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

Figure S3. Impact of FUdR in the absence of proteasome subunits on longevity and cold survival. (A) The lifespan of wild-type worms when exposed to *pas-1* or *pbs-2* RNAi in the presence or absence FUdR. Number of worms used in the study: *pas-1* RNAi: n=171 (+ FUdR) or n=171 (- FUdR); *pbs-2* RNAi: n=147 (+ FUdR) or n=194 (- FUdR). **(B)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *pbs-6* knockdown worms, with or without a cold adaptation period. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, **** - $P \le 0.0001$). **(C)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *pbs-6* tissue-specific knockdown worms, with or without a cold adaptation period. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, ** - $P \le 0.01$, *** - $P \le 0.001$). In panels B-C, at least 90 animals were scored in three independent biological replicates.

Figure S4. FUdR activates a detoxification pathway involving GST-24 to regulate the UPS. (A) Venn diagram showing the abundance of proteins up-regulated exclusively in the presence of FUdR in wild-type, *glp-1(e2144)* and *skn-1 (mg570)* worms. **(B)** Western blot showing the impact of bacterial viability on the UbV-GFP reporter turnover in the presence of bortezomib (Btz) and FUdR, as depicted by using anti-GFP antibody. The No-Stain Protein Labeling Reagent was used to confirm equal protein loading. **(C)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR and RNAi knockdown of detoxification-associated proteins GST-24, UGT-39, UGT-48, CYP35A3, and CYP14A5 on UbV-GFP turnover. **(D)** The impact of FUdR on the cold survival of wild-type and *skn-1(mg570)* worms subjected to control, *pbs-6* and *gst-24* RNAi. Data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the significance levels obtained from the Šidák's multiple comparisons test are indicated for the compared conditions (ns - not significant, **** - $P \leq 0.0001$). At least 90 animals were scored in three independent biological replicates. **(E)** *In vivo* UPS activity assay showing the effect of FUdR and RNAi knockdown of neuronal ciliary components *che-12* and *che-13*, either individually or in combination with *skn-1* RNAi, on UbV-GFP turnover. In panels C and E, the scale bar corresponds to 400 μm.