Sucrose or starch? The influence of tonoplast sucrose transporter perturbation on carbon

partitioning for growth, defense, and winter protection in coppiced poplar

Trevor T. Tuma[1], Batbayar Nyamdari[1], Chen Hsieh[2], Yen-Ho Chen[3], Scott A. Harding[1,4], &

Chung-Jui Tsai[1,2,3,4]

[1] Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602,

USA.

[2] Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602, USA.

[3] Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602, USA.

[4] Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602, USA.

Key words: sucrose transporter, Populus, CRISPR, carbohydrates, metabolism, coppicing,

remobilization

Running head: SUT4 and seasonal carbohydrate reserve partitioning

Corresponding author: Chung-Jui Tsai (cjtsai@uga.edu)

Abstract

Non-structural carbohydrate reserves of stems and roots underpin overall tree fitness as well as productivity under short-rotation management practices such as coppicing for bioenergy. While both sucrose and starch comprise the predominant carbohydrate reserves of *Populus*, utilization is understood primarily in terms of starch turnover. The tonoplast sucrose transport protein SUT4 modulates sucrose export to distant sinks, but the possibility of its involvement in sink tissue carbohydrate remobilization has not been explored. Here, we used *PtaSUT4*-knockout mutants of *Populus tremula × alba* (INRA 717-1B4) in winter and summer glasshouse coppicing experiments to strain carbon demand and test for SUT4 involvement in reserve utilization. We show that epicormic bud emergence was delayed and subsequent growth reduced in *sut4* mutants following winter but not summer coppicing. Reserve depletion during post-coppice regrowth was not impaired in the *sut4* mutants under winter or summer glasshouse conditions. Interestingly, xylem hexose increased during post-coppice growth exclusively in the winter when osmoprotection is critical, and the increase was attenuated in *sut4* mutants. Accrual of abundant defense metabolites, including salicinoids, chlorogenic acids, and flavonoid products was prioritized in the summer, but conspicuously lower in *sut4* mutants than controls. Together, our results point to shifting priorities for SUT4 function from support for osmoprotection in winter to chemical defense in summer. Delayed bud release and growth following winter but not summer coppicing in the *sut4* mutants demonstrate the importance of SUT4 in modulating trade-offs between growth and the other priorities during reserve utilization in *Populus*.

Introduction

Renewable energy production from feedstock like tree crops is expected to help reduce fossil fuel use and greenhouse gas emissions responsible for global warming (Ragauskas *et al.*, 2006, Dhillon & von Wuehlisch, 2013, Nieminen *et al.*, 2012). *Populus* species (e.g., poplar, aspen, cottonwood, and their respective hybrids) have proven useful for this purpose due to their fast growth, high biomass production, and ability to grow in marginal environments (Bryant *et al.*, 2020, Kauter *et al.*, 2003, Chudy *et al.*, 2019). Coppicing, where trees are harvested near ground level and new shoots regenerate from the stool for repeated harvesting without replanting, is a common practice in short-rotation woody biomass production (Tschaplinski & Blake, 1989). Feedstock biomass yields from coppicing can rival those of trees grown from seedlings or cuttings (Labrecque *et al.*, 2023, Proe *et al.*, 1999).

The success of coppicing is influenced by the composition and utilization of non-structural carbohydrate reserves available from sink organs for new growth (Luostarinen & Kauppi, 2005, Karp & Shield, 2008). The carbohydrate reserves facilitate not only bud emergence and new shoot growth in the spring before source organ photosynthesis becomes active, but also after defoliation or stem girdling events (Regier *et al.*, 2010, Falk *et al.*, 2020, Gleason & Ares, 2004). In general, it is considered that both soluble sugars and starch comprise storage reserves, with exchange between the constituent pools occurring on daily and seasonal time-scales in trees (Tixier *et al.*, 2018, Martínez-Vilalta *et al.*, 2016). It is widely held that reserve dynamics are important to trade-offs between storage, growth, defense, and protection, all of which influence tree fitness (Blumstein *et al.*, 2022). Genetics and plasticity both contribute to these trade-offs

(Herms & Mattson, 1992). Genetic variation in carbohydrate storage and utilization has been

explored to greater depth for annual food crops since digestibility, storability, and flavor can

depend on reserve carbohydrate transformations (Baxter *et al.*, 2005, Sekhon *et al.*, 2016, Li *et*

al., 2005). In the case of trees, however, the molecular mechanisms by which non-structural

carbohydrate reserves contribute to new vegetative growth are relatively under-described.

Starch is regulated diurnally (Hartmann & Trumbore, 2016, Noronha *et al.*, 2018, Klein & Hoch,

2015), and therefore has been the focus of most studies on reserve carbohydrate utilization.

However, significant diurnal fluctuations in leaf sucrose reserves occur in *Populus* (Wang *et al.*,

2022, Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Recent evidence suggests that in the absence of starch, other

carbohydrates, presumably including sucrose, can provide the reserves needed to sustain new

vegetative growth (Wang et al., 2022). Under extreme carbon limitation, carbohydrate storage

can supersede growth as a priority (Wang et al., 2022, Wiley *et al.*, 2019, Sala *et al.*, 2012, Piper

& Fajardo, 2016, Huang *et al.*, 2021). Under such conditions, trees may rely instead on

alternative substrates such as lipids (Huang et al., 2021, Fischer *et al.*, 2015) and hemicelluloses

(Schädel *et al.*, 2009) to sustain respiration and growth. It is worth noting that phenolic glycoside

salicinoids, which are abundant defense compounds in *Populus* species, are turnover-resistant

during severe carbon deprivation (Hillabrand *et al.*, 2023). This highlights the importance of

chemical defense in relation to growth and storage as a carbon destination in these species. Given

the diverse metabolic and osmotic demands that potentially govern competition for sucrose,

limited attention has been given to the physiological importance of its dynamic

compartmentalization.

Transmembrane sucrose transporters (SUTs) facilitate the movement of sucrose in all plants

(Kühn *et al.*, 1999, Ayre, 2011). Several phylogenetically and subcellularly distinct isoforms are

known (Peng *et al.*, 2014), but in *Populus*, *SUT4* encoding the tonoplast isoform is expressed at a

relatively high level compared to most other *SUTs* throughout the plant (Payyavula *et al.*, 2011).

SUT4 facilitates sucrose export from the vacuole to the cytosol, and its manipulation affects

tissue sucrose abundance (Payyavula et al., 2011, Eom *et al.*, 2011, Schneider *et al.*, 2012). In

addition*, SUT4* is expressed in overwintering sink organs of several temperate tree species

including *Populus* (Dobbelstein *et al.*, 2018, Ko *et al.*, 2011), and its expression varies in

accordance with abiotic stress (Xu *et al.*, 2017, Payyavula et al., 2011, Frost *et al.*, 2012, Harding

et al., 2022). Effects of *SUT4* silencing or knockout (KO) on source leaf turgor control and starch

homeostasis have also been reported (Harding *et al.*, 2020, Harding et al., 2022).

These observations are all consistent with the general notion that SUT4 may be involved with the

control of carbohydrate utilization in sink organs of *Populus*. Here, we used coppicing as a tool

to investigate whether tonoplast sucrose trafficking is important for sink organ function under

carbon-limiting conditions. A *SUT4*-KO effect on resprouting or defense metabolite investments

would imply that physiological trade-offs are governed by the export of vacuolar sucrose *per se*,

rather than export as its component hexoses or other modified forms. We compared epicormic

bud growth in the contexts of stool metabolism, chemical defense, and osmolyte maintenance to

test for SUT4 importance to both summer and winter tradeoffs between growth, storage, defense,

and winter protection.

Materials and Methods

Plant production and maintenance

PtaSUT4-KO mutants and the *Cas9* empty vector control lines used in this study were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis as reported previously (Zhou *et al.*, 2015, Harding et al., 2022) and grown in a glasshouse located in the Whitehall Forest at the University of Georgia. Supplemental LED lighting was used to maintain a 16-hour photoperiod, with photosynthetic photon flux density of at least 600 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} at mid canopy. Plants were propagated by single-node cuttings as described (Frost et al., 2012) year-round for the experiments. Once established, rooted propagules were transferred to 1-gallon pots containing commercial soil mix (Fafard 3B) supplemented with Osmocote (15-9-12, NPK 6-month slow release) and maintained with daily watering until coppicing.

Coppicing experiments

For the winter coppicing experiment (January), twelve control (six wild-type [WT] and six *Cas9)* and twelve *sut4* mutants (four plants each of three independent events: KO-18, KO-25, and KO-51), were equally and randomly spaced on the floor bench of the glasshouse. Ten days prior to coppicing, the number of fully expanded leaves was reduced to forty for each plant to minimize differences in water uptake and photosynthetic capacity. Plants ~1.5 m in height were then coppiced (Julian Day 18) to ~25 cm stumps and any remaining leaves were removed. Watering was reduced after coppicing to avoid root anoxia. Outdoor temperatures during the duration of the winter coppicing experiment ranged from -5°C to 16°C, with an average of 6.5°C. Day

length ranged from 10.2 hours to 10.7 hours. Supplemental heating prevented indoor glasshouse

temperatures from dropping below 10°C.

For the summer coppicing experiment (August), plants were managed as described above and

were approximately the same height at coppicing as those in the winter experiment. Ten control

plants (six WT and four *Cas9)* and twelve *sut4* mutants (four plants each of KO-18, KO-25, and

KO-51 as above), were coppiced (Julian Day 228). Outdoor temperatures during the duration of

the summer coppicing experiment ranged from 20°C to 33°C, with an average of 26°C. Day

length ranged from 12.9 to 13.4 hours. Evaporative cooling prevented glasshouse temperatures

from exceeding a high of 35°C during the day. The coppicing experiments were carried out twice

to ensure reproducibility. Results from the most comprehensive of the two experiments are

reported here.

Pre- and post-coppice sampling

Immediately before coppicing, deveined lamina of two adjacent fully-expanded source leaves,

i.e., Leaf Plastochron Index (LPI) 15-16, were flash frozen in liquid N and stored at -80°C for

analyses. Immediately after coppicing (t=0), xylem sap was collected from the cut surfaces of the

stools. Bark and xylem tissues were collected from the top of the stump immediately after sap

collection (t=0; Supplemental Figure S1) and again when epicormic bud elongation was

measured (t=1; Supplemental Figure S1). The third and final sampling (t=2) took place during

shoot extension. Tissues were snap frozen in liquid N and stored at -80°C. At t=2, root samples

(terminal 6 cm of elongating roots excluding root tips) were washed in deionized water, dried,

and snap frozen in liquid N. The largest (dominant) epicormic bud that emerged after coppicing

of each tree was photographed and its length determined at t=1 using the ImageJ software

package (https://imagej.net/ij/index.html). Due to seasonal growth differences, sampling at times

t=1 and t=2 was carried out 10 and 16 days, respectively, after summer coppicing and 11 and 20

days, respectively, after winter coppicing (Supplemental Figure S1). All tissue sampling

occurred under sunny conditions between 11AM – 2PM.

Biomass

A second coppicing experiment was carried out to determine biomass of shoots produced from

stumps ~1 month after coppicing. For this, coppicing of ~1.0 m plants ($n = 6 – 12$) was carried

out in winter (December) and summer (June). Leaves (including petioles) and shoot tissues were

dried in a forced air oven for 48 hours at 60°C. Independently of the coppicing experiments,

cohorts of mature (~2 m) control and *sut4* mutant plants ($n = 8 – 14$ plants) were harvested in

mid-winter (January) and mid-summer (July-August) for whole plant dry biomass analysis. Plant

height and stem diameter were measured at harvest, and leaves (including petiole), bark, and

wood (de-barked stem) were collected to determine biomass allocation patterns. Root tissues

were washed in deionized water and dried.

Xylem sap

Xylem sap was collected from the stumps immediately after coppicing following a previously

published approach (Siebrecht & Tischner, 1999). Bark was removed from the top 4 cm of the

stump to expose the xylem cylinder and to avoid mixing of phloem and xylem sap during

collection. The razor-cut surface of the wood was tamped clean with a damp kimwipe. The first

400 µl of xylem sap was discarded. Over the next 45 min, ~100-200 µl of xylem sap was

collected with a pipette from each stump and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen sap was

stored at -80°C, thawed on ice just before analysis, mixed, and derivatized after drying in glass

inserts for metabolite analysis as described below.

Metabolite analysis

Tissues were freeze-dried using a FreezeZone 2.5 (Labconco), Wiley milled through a 40-mesh

sieve (Thomas Scientific), and further ball-milled at 1500 rpm in a MiniG tissue homogenizer

(Spex SamplePrep) to a fine powder. An aliquot of tissue powder (10.0 ± 0.5 mg) was used for

metabolite profiling by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) as detailed in Harding

et al. (2022). Adonitol (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as an internal standard. Samples were analyzed

on an Agilent 7890A GC coupled to an Agilent 5975C single quadrupole MS detector (Agilent

Technologies). Peaks were deconvoluted using AnalyzerPro (SpectralWorks) and putative

identities were assigned based on the NIST08, the Agilent Fiehn metabolomics library, and an

in-house library of authentic standards (Harding et al., 2022). A standard mix containing succinic

acid, sucrose, glutamic acid, fructose, glucose, and ascorbic acid was loaded at the beginning and

end of each sample set to monitor derivatization and instrument performance.

Another tissue powder aliquot (5.0 ± 0.5 mg) was used for profiling by ultra-performance liquid

chromatography coupled with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-QTOF).

Tissue extraction was performed as above (Harding et al., 2022) with the exception that $^{13}C_6-$

cinnamic acid and D_5–benzoic acid were included as internal standards. Extracts were filtered

through a 0.2 μm PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) filter (Agilent Technologies) and resolved on

an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.8 μm) using an Agilent 1290

Infinity II UPLC coupled to an Agilent 6546 LC/Q-TOF tandem MS. The mobile solvent A

comprised water and 0.1% formic acid and mobile solvent B comprised acetonitrile with 0.1%

formic acid at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min^{-1}. The elution gradient was 3% B from 0 – 0.5 min,

linear gradient to 15% B over 3.5 min, isocratic at 30% B over 4.5 min, linear gradient to 50% B

over 5.5 min, and then to 100% B over 7 min, followed by a 3-min post-column run. Column

temperature was 45°C. MS data were acquired in negative mode with the following parameters:

gas temperature, 250°C; nebulizer gas, 40 psi; nozzle voltage, 500 V; and capillary voltage, 400

V. Data were processed using the MassHunter software suite Version 11.0 (Agilent). Peak

identities were confirmed using an in-house library of authentic standards, when available, or

assigned by searching against an accurate-mass NIST20 library. The highest database match

score (usually >85%) obtained was used to support the putative identity of the QTOF

metabolites.

GC-MS and LC-QTOF peak intensities were normalized by their respective internal standards

and sample dry weight, with the exception of tissue sucrose and hexose (fructose and glucose)

levels which are reported as percent dry weight based on their respective calibration curves.

Starch

Starch content was determined by enzymatic digestion with α-amylase and amyloglucosidase

(Chow & Landhäusser, 2004). In brief, 10 mg of lyophilized, Wiley- and ball-milled tissue

powder was pre-extracted three times with 1 ml of methanol by vortexing and sonication. The

tissue pellet was resuspended in 0.5 ml of buffer (0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 5.0, with 5 mM

CaCl$_2$) containing 500 U of α-amylase (Sigma A4551) and incubated for 2 hr at 65°C. Samples

were cooled and 10 µl of sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 5 U amyloglucosidase

(Sigma A1602) was added for further digestion at 50°C for 48 hr with shaking. Digests were then

diluted with 500 µl of H_2O, vortexed, centrifuged, and 20 µl of the diluted supernatant were

dried and derivatized for GC-MS as described above. Chromatograms contained only glucose

and adonitol internal standard peaks. Total starch content was calculated based on a glucose

standard curve and expressed as µg starch per mg of dry tissue.

Condensed tannins (CTs)

Freeze dried tissue powder (10 mg) was extracted in 600 µl of methanol for 15 minutes in a

sonicator bath to extract interfering chlorophyll. The depigmented pellet was dried and CT

content was estimated using the butanol-HCl method (Porter *et al.*, 1986) as described (Harding

et al., 2022). CT concentration was determined based on absorbance at 550 nm (SpectraMax M2;

Molecular Devices) using purified aspen leaf CTs as standards.

Chlorophyll content

Ten mg of freeze-dried powder was extracted twice in 750 µl of cold 90% acetone – 10% water,

with sonication and centrifugation. Absorbances at 645 nm and 663 nm were used to determine

chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, and total chlorophyll content (µg g^{-1} DW) as described by

Lichtenthaler (1987).

Statistics

Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine significant differences

at each stage of coppicing re-growth over time, between genotypes, and their interactions using *R*

v4.1.0 in Rstudio (version 2022.12.353). Linear regression was used to test for the relationships

between sucrose or starch depletion and bud elongation of WT or *sut4* mutants between t=0 and

t=1 of the winter and summer coppicing experiments.

Results

Seasonal coppicing response of sut4 mutants

We conducted coppicing experiments to study sink organ carbohydrate utilization under both

short-day, cool winter conditions and long-day, warm summer conditions in a glasshouse (Figure

S1). The rate at which new epicormic buds appeared on stumps after coppicing was largely

similar between genotypes under the summer glasshouse conditions (Figure 1A, C). The total

biomass of new shoots at t=2 in the *sut4* mutants was also similar to the controls despite initially

faster bud elongation in *sut4* mutants (t=1) during the summer (Figure 1E-F). By contrast,

epicormic bud release was delayed by several days in *sut4* mutants compared to controls in the

winter but attained the same number of sprouts by t=2 (Figure 1B, D). Bud elongation at t=1 was

slower and total biomass at t=2 was reduced in the *sut4* mutants compared to control plants

following winter coppicing (Figure 1E-F). A replicate experiment was conducted to examine

biomass partitioning in fully-grown new shoots 32 and 40 days after summer and winter

coppicing, respectively. Total leaf and stem dry mass were significantly higher in *sut4* than

control sprouts under summer conditions (Table 1). In contrast, total biomass trended lower, but

not significantly, in *sut4* than in control plants in the winter (Table 1), which translates to overall

less robust coppice growth of *sut4* mutants in the winter than summer. The seasonal differences

in biomass allocation of coppices were not observed in mature trees (t=0) which showed slight

shoot biomass reductions in both seasons (Table S1), similar to previously reported for *SUT4-*

silenced trees (Frost et al., 2012, Harding et al., 2020, Payyavula et al., 2011). The results hint at

a seasonal influence of SUT4 on coppicing responses.

Sink carbohydrate reserves

Sucrose contents of mature tree organs were higher in the *sut4* mutants when compared to the

controls (Figure S2), as reported previously (Harding et al., 2022). Following summer or winter

coppicing, bark and xylem sucrose abundance generally decreased (Figure 2A). The decrease

was less marked in *sut4* than control by t=2 of the summer experiment, but sucrose was depleted

to approximately the same low abundance in bark and xylem of both genotypes under winter

conditions (Figure 2A). Root sucrose was not as depleted by coppicing and remained higher in

sut4 than control lines at t=2, especially in the summer (Figure 2A).

Bark hexose (sum of fructose and glucose) levels differed little between genotypes and decreased

by t=2 in both the summer and the winter (Figure 2B). In contrast, xylem hexose levels increased

in both lines in the winter between t=0 and t=2, but decreased in the summer by t=2 (Figure 2B).

In both seasons, but most notably in the winter, xylem hexose levels were higher in control than

sut4 mutants. Root hexose levels increased sharply in both genotypes after summer coppicing,

but the increase was observed only in control plants after winter coppicing (Figure 2B).

Starch levels in the bark and xylem were higher in control than *sut4* plants in both the summer

and winter, and remained so as starch decreased through t=1 (Figure 2C). Bark and xylem starch

was depleted to similarly low levels in both genotypes by t=2, suggesting that starch abundance

there had reached a minimum. Root starch content was similar in both genotypes at t=2 but was

much less abundant in the summer than the winter (Figure 2C). Together, the data suggest a

depletion of non-structural carbohydrates to less than 25% of pre-coppice levels, in shoot organs.

Root sucrose also decreased sharply, but starch was only measured at final harvest (t=2).

Sucrose and starch utilization for bud growth

Slower post-coppice sprout emergence and growth in *sut4* than control trees in the winter led us

to test whether sucrose and/or starch depletion between t=0 and t=1 in the bark predicted bud

length at t=1 (Figure 3). Bud size did not correlate with sucrose depletion in the summer for

either genotype (*sut4: r^2 = 0.17, p = 0.21*, control*: r^2 = 0.06, p = 0.49*), but the slope trended

positive for *sut4* and negative for control plants (Figure 3A). Under winter conditions, bud length

correlated with sucrose depletion in the *sut4* mutant trees (*r^2 = 0.39, p = 0.03*) but not in the

controls (*r^2 = 0.16, p = 0.19*) where in fact the slope trended negative as in winter (Figure 3A).

Bud expansion did not correlate with changes in starch content under summer (*sut4: r^2 = 0.02, p*

= 0.68, control*: r^2 = 0.12, p = 0.439*) or winter (*sut4: r^2 = 0.00, p = 0.99*, control*: r^2 = 0.04, p =*

0.54) conditions (Figure 3B). Overall, post-coppice bud elongation in *sut4* mutant, but not in

control plants, tended to correlate positively with sucrose but not with starch depletion. While

bud growth was slower in *sut4* than control plants in the winter, sucrose depletion in *sut4* reserve

organs was normal. Other factors related to sucrose utilization may have led to the appearance

suggested in the regressions (Figure 3) of a sucrose limitation to *sut4* bud growth.

Xylem sap and root metabolites

Xylem sap sucrose abundance at t=0 was greater in winter than summer, but differed little

between genotypes during either season (Figure 4A). Sap hexose levels did not differ between

genotypes in the summer but were reduced in *sut4* compared to control plants in the winter

(Figure 4B). Xylem sap is also the route by which most root-assimilated nitrogen travels to the

transpiring leaves (Siebrecht & Tischner, 1999). Given that nitrogen assimilation depends on

carbon skeletons in the assimilating organ, a comparison of xylem sap Krebs cycle intermediate

and amino acid contents could reveal seasonal and genotypic differences in systemically

available carbon in the roots. Krebs cycle intermediates malate and citrate comprised most of the

xylem sap metabolic load in both summer and winter, and were more abundant in *sut4* than

control xylem saps (Figure 4C-D). Glutamine and glutamate were the most abundant amino acids

we detected in xylem sap. Both trended higher in *sut4* than control xylem sap in the summer but

were significantly lower in *sut4* than control sap in the winter (Figure 4C-D). These four

metabolites were also among the most abundant in root tissues, but only malate mimicked the

xylem sap patterns, being most abundant in *sut4* (Supplemental Figure S3).

Bark and xylem primary metabolites

Bark and xylem metabolite response trends at t=0 roughly paralleled those observed in xylem

saps, with amino acids being lower and Krebs cycle intermediates higher in *sut4* mutants, most

markedly in winter xylem (Figure 5). Malate and citrate were more abundant in *sut4* than control

bark and xylem during the winter, with the differential increasing during bud expansion (t=1 and

t=2) (Figure 5). Phenylpropanoid pathway precursors (e.g., quinic acid and shikimic acid) and

intermediates (e.g., hydroxycinnamates and catechin) were detected at comparatively low levels

in *sut4* bark and xylem throughout the course of both seasons. Finally, levels of stress

metabolites and sugar alcohols including raffinose, galactinol and myo-inositol were generally

greater in the bark of mature *sut4* plants (t=0) but lower than in controls by t=2 (Figure 5).

Chemical defense

Provisioning of nonstructural sinks related to poplar defense has been associated with

carbohydrate transport (Arnold *et al.*, 2004, Kleiner *et al.*, 1999). In *Populus* and *Salix* species,

chlorogenic acids, salicinoids (e.g., salicortin and tremulacin), and CTs derived from quinate-

shikimate-phenylpropanoid pathway are present in especially large abundance for fitness and

stress tolerance (Harding *et al.*, 2005, Tsai *et al.*, 2006, Zhang *et al.*, 2018). Chlorogenic acids

(caffeoyl-quinate isomers) were at lower levels in *sut4* relative to control bark at all time points

in both the summer and winter coppicing experiments (Figure 6A). Salicortin, by far the most

abundant defense metabolite, was detected at higher levels in the summer than the winter (Figure

6B). Bark salicortin abundance was substantially lower in the *sut4* mutants than control during

the summer but did not differ between genotypes during the winter (Figure 6B). The closely

related tremulacin was also significantly lower in *sut4* mutant bark in the summer, but not the

winter (Figure 6C). Bark CT levels were lower in *sut4* than control plants, especially in the

summer (Figure 6D).

Chlorophyll content

We examined bark chlorophyll contents as proxies for photosynthetic capacity after coppicing.

Bark chlorophyll content differed little between genotypes and remained relatively stable during

summer coppicing experiment, but showed a transient decrease at t=1 during winter (Figure 7).

Discussion

Trees produce nonstructural carbohydrate reserves to sustain respiration and osmoregulation for winter protection and spring bud flush during perennial growth (Furze *et al.*, 2019). The plasticity of reserve utilization across species is well documented and probably reflects multiple environmental and genetic influences over the process (Sevanto *et al.*, 2014, Blumstein et al., 2022, Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016, Furze et al., 2019). While it is generally assumed that starch comprises the bulk of metabolically available reserve carbohydrate, this is mainly inferred from the diurnal accrual and depletion of transient starch in annual species (Smith & Stitt, 2007). However, a process as fundamental as bud flush in aspen can occur in the absence of starch (Wang et al., 2022). Therefore, reserve carbohydrate accrual and utilization exhibits plasticity and can proceed through multiple routes. An analysis of carbohydrate active gene expression in overwintering stem tissue of poplar supports involvement of starch degradation as well as of sucrose synthesis and trafficking (Figure S4) (Ko et al., 2011). Several studies report sustained winter expression of sucrose transporters in tree sink organs, with gene transcript levels for the tonoplast sucrose transporter *SUT4* peaking in the winter (Figure S4) (Dobbelstein et al., 2018, Ko et al., 2011). Therefore, it is likely that sucrose trafficking and starch metabolism are important for winter survival.

Under winter post-coppice conditions in the present study, stump starch and sucrose were reduced to 14% and 17% of their initial levels in control and mutant lines, respectively, but epicormic bud growth was slow in *sut4* mutants compared to controls. It is probable that sucrose trafficking increases in importance during the winter in line with reports of higher *SUT4* gene

expression in winter (Figure S4) (Dobbelstein et al., 2018, Ko et al., 2011). Stump sucrose levels

were higher in winter than summer as others have reported (Furze et al., 2019), but reduced *sut4*

bud elongation only in winter could suggest growth-hindering injury or stress of some sort.

It is worth considering that cytosolic sucrose sustains critical winter processes such as hexose

production for non-nutritive purposes. We observed that xylem hexose levels increased in

coppiced stumps in the winter, but not in the summer in all lines, and that the levels were overall

lower in *sut4* mutants (Figure 2B). Hexose osmolytes in the xylem could represent a high priority

allocation of nonstructural carbohydrates for winter protection. This is consistent with the

previously established roles of sugar osmolytes in reactive oxygen species scavenging, cold

tolerance, and embolism refilling during winter (Charrier *et al.*, 2018, Tarkowski & Van den

Ende, 2015), and with abundant expression of cell wall invertases in winter stems (Ko et al.,

2011). Our finding that both xylem hexose and bud growth were compromised in *sut4* raises the

possibility that reduced cytosolic sucrose metabolism may have been injurious to the winter

stumps. Besides the overall reduction in hexose, reductions in other important sucrose-derived

protective osmolytes like raffinose were also observed in *sut4* compared to control stumps

(Figure 5). A similar effect on raffinose was reported in leaves of drought-stressed poplar lines

with reduced *SUT4* gene expression (Frost et al., 2012). The data suggest active participation of

SUT4 during utilization of winter carbohydrate reserves for both growth and injury avoidance.

As in xylem, root hexose was detected at lower levels in *sut4* than control coppices in the winter

at t=2. In root and xylem cells alike, sucrose that is exported from the vacuole is converted to

hexoses by cytosolic and apoplastic cell wall invertases (Koch, 2004, Ruan, 2014). Utilization of

sucrose that is not exported from the vacuole depends on the activity of vacuolar invertase and

tonoplast hexose transporters (Koch, 2004, Ruan, 2014, Schulz *et al.*, 2011). Their expression is

modulated by stresses, such as drought and cold (Wormit *et al.*, 2006, Roitsch & González, 2004,

Chen *et al.*, 2015, Xue *et al.*, 2016). Post-coppicing invertase gene expression likely varied due

to seasonal differences in soil moisture and temperatures, resulting in more harmful

consequences of *sut4* limitation in winter than in summer. The finding that root hexose increased

sharply and equally in both control and mutant lines after summer coppicing, but did not increase

in *sut4* after winter coppicing supports this interpretation.

In summer, the metabolic priority of coppices seemed to shift toward increased accrual of

resource-intensive poplar defense metabolites such as chlorogenic acids, salicortin, and CTs.

This is consistent with a greater depletion of sucrose and starch in control (to 6% of initial levels)

than in *sut4* (to below 23%) in the summer. While epicormic bud emergence was similar in all

lines following summer coppicing, defense metabolite levels were substantially lower and new

sprout biomass was significantly higher in *sut4* than control lines. *Populus* species maintain

constitutive levels of salicinoids even under severe carbon limitation (Hillabrand et al., 2023,

Fabisch *et al.*, 2019), but our results suggest that growth can be prioritized over defense under

sufficiently carbon limiting conditions, at least when trafficking of vacuolar sucrose is impaired.

In conclusion, PtaSUT4 was revealed through seasonal differences in the coppicing responses of

KO mutant lines to have a role in nonstructural carbohydrate allocations for growth and winter

protection. Future research may investigate the effects of *SUT4*-KO under field conditions to

further explore seasonal influences on SUT4 function and perennial growth habit.

Data and Materials Availability: Data and material will be made available upon reasonable

request.

Supplemental data

Table S1. Biomass allocation of mature control and *sut4* poplar.

Figure S1. Schematics of coppicing experiments.

Figure S2. Sucrose levels in mature tree organs.

Figure S3. Root metabolites.

Figure S4. Seasonal variation of *SUT* and representative carbohydrate gene expression.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: This work was supported in part by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research

Fellowship (DGE – 1842396) to T.T.T., a Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological

and Environmental Research Program Award (DESC0023166) to C.J.T., and the Georgia

Research Alliance Hank Haynes Forest Biotechnology endowment to C.J.T. Funding for the

Agilent UPLC-QTOF was provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Institute of

Food and Agriculture, Equipment Grant Program (Award 2021-70410-35297 to C.J.T. and

S.A.H.).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Gilles Pilate of INRA, France for providing poplar

clone INRA 717-1B4, Suzzanne Tate for greenhouse assistance, and Khadijeh Mozaffari for LC-

QTOF assistance.

Authors' Contributions: T.T.T, S.A.H, & C-J.T. conceived the study and designed all

experiments. T.T.T. performed all experiments and analyzed the data. T.T.T, B.N., & S.A.H,

performed metabolic analyses. Y-H.C. and C.H. helped with expression analysis. T.T.T. prepared

the draft manuscript, which was edited by S.A.H. and C-J. T.

References

Arnold, T., Appel, H., Patel, V., Stocum, E., Kavalier, A. and Schultz, J. (2004) Carbohydrate
translocation determines the phenolic content of Populus foliage: a test of the sink-source
model of plant defense. *New Phytologist,* **164,** 157-164.
Ayre, B. G. (2011) Membrane-transport systems for sucrose in relation to whole-plant carbon
partitioning. *Molecular Plant,* **4,** 377-394.
Baxter, C. J., Carrari, F., Bauke, A., Overy, S., Hill, S. A., Quick, P. W*., et al.* (2005) Fruit
carbohydrate metabolism in an introgression line of tomato with increased fruit soluble
solids. *Plant and Cell Physiology,* **46,** 425-437.
Blumstein, M., Sala, A., Weston, D. J., Holbrook, N. M. and Hopkins, R. (2022) Plant
carbohydrate storage: intra- and inter-specific trade-offs reveal a major life history trait.
New Phytologist, **235,** 2211-2222.
Bryant, N. D., Pu, Y., Tschaplinski, T. J., Tuskan, G. A., Muchero, W., Kalluri, U. C*., et al.*
(2020) Transgenic poplar designed for biofuels. *Trends in Plant Science,* **25,** 881-896.
Charrier, G., Lacointe, A. and Améglio, T. (2018) Dynamic modeling of carbon metabolism
during the dormant period accurately predicts the changes in frost hardiness in walnut
trees *Juglans regia* L. *Front Plant Sci,* **9,** 1746.
Chen, Z., Gao, K., Su, X., Rao, P. and An, X. (2015) Genome-wide identification of the
invertase gene family in *Populus. PLoS One,* **10,** e0138540.
Chow, P. S. and Landhäusser, S. M. (2004) A method for routine measurements of total sugar
and starch content in woody plant tissues. *Tree Physiology,* **24,** 1129-1136.
Chudy, R. P., Busby, G. M., Binkley, C. S. and Stanton, B. J. (2019) The economics of dedicated
hybrid poplar biomass plantations in the western U.S. *Biomass and Bioenergy,* **124,** 114-
124.
Dhillon, R. S. and von Wuehlisch, G. (2013) Mitigation of global warming through renewable
biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy,* **48,** 75-89.

Dobbelstein, E., Fink, D., Öner-Sieben, S., Czempik, L. and Lohaus, G. (2018) Seasonal changes
of sucrose transporter expression and sugar partitioning in common European tree
species. *Tree Physiology,* **39,** 284-299.
Eom, J. S., Cho, J. I., Reinders, A., Lee, S. W., Yoo, Y., Tuan, P. Q*., et al.* (2011) Impaired
function of the tonoplast-localized sucrose transporter in rice, OsSUT2, limits the
transport of vacuolar reserve sucrose and affects plant growth. *Plant Physiology,* **157,**
109-119.
Fabisch, T., Gershenzon, J. and Unsicker, S. B. (2019) Specificity of herbivore defense
responses in a woody plant, black poplar (*Populus nigra*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology,*
45, 162-177.
Falk, M. A., Donaldson, J. R., Stevens, M. T., Raffa, K. F. and Lindroth, R. L. (2020)
Phenological responses to prior-season defoliation and soil-nutrient availability vary
among early- and late-flushing aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) genotypes. *Forest*
Ecology and Management, **458,** 117771.
Fischer, S., Hanf, S., Frosch, T., Gleixner, G., Popp, J., Trumbore, S*., et al.* (2015) *Pinus*
sylvestris switches respiration substrates under shading but not during drought. *New*
Phytologist, **207,** 542-550.
Frost, C. J., Nyamdari, B., Tsai, C.-J. and Harding, S. A. (2012) The tonoplast-localized sucrose
transporter in Populus (PtaSUT4) regulates whole-plant water relations, responses to
water stress, and photosynthesis. *PLoS One,* **7,** e44467.
Furze, M. E., Huggett, B. A., Aubrecht, D. M., Stolz, C. D., Carbone, M. S. and Richardson, A.
D. (2019) Whole-tree nonstructural carbohydrate storage and seasonal dynamics in five
temperate species. *New Phytologist,* **221,** 1466-1477.
Gleason, S. M. and Ares, A. (2004) Photosynthesis, carbohydrate storage and survival of a native
and an introduced tree species in relation to light and defoliation. *Tree Physiology,* **24,**
1087-1097.
Harding, S. A., Frost, C. J. and Tsai, C.-J. (2020) Defoliation-induced compensatory
transpiration is compromised in *SUT4*-RNAi *Populus*. *Plant Direct,* **4,** e00268.
Harding, S. A., Jiang, H. Y., Jeong, M. L., Casado, F. L., Lin, H. W. and Tsai, C. J. (2005)
Functional genomics analysis of foliar condensed tannin and phenolic glycoside
regulation in natural cottonwood hybrids. *Tree Physiology,* **25,** 1475-1486.
Harding, S. A., Tuma, T. T., Aulakh, K., Ortega, M. A., Ci, D., Ou, Y*., et al.* (2022) Tonoplast
sucrose trafficking modulates starch utilization and water deficit behavior in poplar
leaves. *Plant and Cell Physiology,* **63,** 1117-1129.
Hartmann, H. and Trumbore, S. (2016) Understanding the roles of nonstructural carbohydrates in
forest trees – from what we can measure to what we want to know. *New Phytologist,* **211,**
386-403.
Herms, D. A. and Mattson, W. J. (1992) The dilemma of plants: To grow or defend. *The*
Quarterly Review of Biology, **67,** 283-335.
Hillabrand, R. M., Gordon, H., Hynes, B., Constabel, C. P. and Landhäusser, S. M. (2023)
Populus root salicinoid phenolic glycosides are not mobilized to support metabolism and
regrowth under carbon limited conditions. *Tree Physiol*.
Huang, J., Hammerbacher, A., Gershenzon, J., van Dam, N. M., Sala, A., McDowell, N. G*., et*
al. (2021) Storage of carbon reserves in spruce trees is prioritized over growth in the face
of carbon limitation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* **118,**
e2023297118.

Karp, A. and Shield, I. (2008) Bioenergy from plants and the sustainable yield challenge. *New*
Phytologist, **179,** 15-32.
Kauter, D., Lewandowski, I. and Claupein, W. (2003) Quantity and quality of harvestable
biomass from Populus short rotation coppice for solid fuel use—a review of the
physiological basis and management influences. *Biomass and Bioenergy,* **24,** 411-427.
Klein, T. and Hoch, G. (2015) Tree carbon allocation dynamics determined using a carbon mass
balance approach. *New Phytologist,* **205,** 147-159.
Kleiner, K. W., Raffa, K. F. and Dickson, R. E. (1999) Partitioning of ^{14}C-labeled photosynthate
to allelochemicals and primary metabolites in source and sink leaves of aspen: evidence
for secondary metabolite turnover. *Oecologia,* **119,** 408-418.
Ko, J.-H., Prassinos, C., Keathley, D., Han, K.-H. and Li, C. (2011) Novel aspects of
transcriptional regulation in the winter survival and maintenance mechanism of poplar.
Tree Physiology, **31,** 208-225.
Koch, K. (2004) Sucrose metabolism: regulatory mechanisms and pivotal roles in sugar sensing
and plant development. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology,* **7,** 235-246.
Kühn, C., Barker, L., Bürkle, L. and Frommer, W.-B. (1999) Update on sucrose transport in
higher plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany,* **50,** 935-953.
Labrecque, M., Daigle, S. and Olishevska, S. (2023) Comparing biomass yields of various
willow cultivars in short-rotation coppice over six growing seasons across a broad
climatic gradient in Eastern Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research,* **53,** 533-543.
Li, L., Strahwald, J., Hofferbert, H. R., Lübeck, J., Tacke, E., Junghans, H*., et al.* (2005) DNA
variation at the invertase locus invGE/GF is associated with tuber quality traits in
populations of potato breeding clones. *Genetics,* **170,** 813-821.
Lichtenthaler, H. K. (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Pigments of photosynthetic
biomembranes. In: *Methods Enzymol.* Academic Press, pp. 350-382.
Luostarinen, K. and Kauppi, A. (2005) Effects of coppicing on the root and stump carbohydrate
dynamics in birches. *New Forests,* **29,** 289-303.
Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sala, A., Asensio, D., Galiano, L., Hoch, G., Palacio, S*., et al.* (2016)
Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: a global synthesis.
Ecological Monographs, **86,** 495-516.
Nieminen, K., Robischon, M., Immanen, J. and Helariutta, Y. (2012) Towards optimizing wood
development in bioenergy trees. *New Phytologist,* **194,** 46-53.
Noronha, H., Silva, A., Dai, Z., Gallusci, P., Rombolà, A. D., Delrot, S*., et al.* (2018) A
molecular perspective on starch metabolism in woody tissues. *Planta,* **248,** 559-568.
Payyavula, R. S., Tay, K. H. C., Tsai, C.-J. and Harding, S. A. (2011) The sucrose transporter
family in Populus: The importance of a tonoplast PtaSUT4 to biomass and carbon
partitioning. *Plant Journal,* **65,** 757-770.
Peng, D., Xue, L.-J., Gu, X., Leebens-Mack, J. H. and Tsai, C.-J. (2014) Bayesian phylogeny of
sucrose transporters: Ancient origins, differential expansion and convergent evolution in
monocots and dicots. *Frontiers in Plant Science,* **5,** 615.
Piper, F. I. and Fajardo, A. (2016) Carbon dynamics of *Acer pseudoplatanus* seedlings under
drought and complete darkness. *Tree Physiology,* **36,** 1400-1408.
Porter, L. J., Hrstich, L. N. and Chan, B. G. (1986) The conversion of procyanidins and
prodelphinidins to cyanidin and delphinidin. *Phytochemistry,* **25,** 223-230.

Proe, M. F., Craig, J., Griffiths, J., Wilson, A. and Reid, E. (1999) Comparison of biomass
production in coppice and single stem woodland management systems on an imperfectly
drained gley soil in central Scotland. *Biomass and Bioenergy,* **17,** 141-151.
Ragauskas, A. J., Williams, C. K., Davison, B. H., Britovsek, G., Cairney, J., Eckert, C. A*., et al.*
(2006) The path forward for biofuels and biomaterials. *Science,* **311,** 484-489.
Regier, N., Streb, S., Zeeman, S. C. and Frey, B. (2010) Seasonal changes in starch and sugar
content of poplar (Populus deltoides × nigra cv. Dorskamp) and the impact of stem
girdling on carbohydrate allocation to roots. *Tree Physiology,* **30,** 979-987.
Roitsch, T. and González, M.-C. (2004) Function and regulation of plant invertases: sweet
sensations. *Trends in Plant Science,* **9,** 606-613.
Ruan, Y.-L. (2014) Sucrose metabolism: Gateway to diverse carbon use and sugar signaling.
Annual Review of Plant Biology, **65,** 33-67.
Sala, A., Woodruff, D. R. and Meinzer, F. C. (2012) Carbon dynamics in trees: feast or famine?
Tree Physiology, **32,** 764-775.
Schädel, C., Blöchl, A., Richter, A. and Hoch, G. (2009) Short-term dynamics of nonstructural
carbohydrates and hemicelluloses in young branches of temperate forest trees during bud
break. *Tree Physiology,* **29,** 901-911.
Schneider, S., Hulpke, S., Schulz, A., Yaron, I., Holl, J., Imlau, A*., et al.* (2012) Vacuoles release
sucrose via tonoplast-localised SUC4-type transporters. *Plant Biology,* **14,** 325-336.
Schulz, A., Beyhl, D., Marten, I., Wormit, A., Neuhaus, E., Poschet, G*., et al.* (2011) Proton-
driven sucrose symport and antiport are provided by the vacuolar transporters SUC4 and
TMT1/2. *Plant Journal,* **68,** 129-136.
Sekhon, R. S., Breitzman, M. W., Silva, R. R., Santoro, N., Rooney, W. L., de Leon, N*., et al.*
(2016) Stover composition in maize and sorghum reveals remarkable genetic variation
and plasticity for carbohydrate accumulation. *Frontiers in Plant Science,* **7**.
Sevanto, S., Mcdowell, N. G., Dickman, L. T., Pangle, R. and Pockman, W. T. (2014) How do
trees die? A test of the hydraulic failure and carbon starvation hypotheses. *Plant, Cell &*
Environment, **37,** 153-161.
Siebrecht, S. and Tischner, R. (1999) Changes in the xylem exudate composition of poplar
(Populus tremula x P. alba)—dependent on the nitrogen and potassium supply. *Journal of*
Experimental Botany, **50,** 1797-1806.
Smith, A. M. and Stitt, M. (2007) Coordination of carbon supply and plant growth. *Plant Cell*
and Environment, **30,** 1126-1149.
Tarkowski, Ł., P. and Van den Ende, W. (2015) Cold tolerance triggered by soluble sugars: a
multifaceted countermeasure. *Front Plant Sci,* **6,** 203.
Tixier, A., Orozco, J., Roxas, A. A., Earles, J. M. and Zwieniecki, M. A. (2018) Diurnal
variation in nonstructural carbohydrate storage in trees: Remobilization and vertical
mixing. *Plant Physiology,* **178,** 1602-1613.
Tsai, C.-J., Harding, S. A., Tschaplinski, T. J., Lindroth, R. L. and Yuan, Y. (2006) Genome-
wide analysis of the structural genes regulating defense phenylpropanoid metabolism in
Populus. *New Phytologist,* **172,** 47-62.
Tschaplinski, T. J. and Blake, T. J. (1989) Photosynthetic reinvigoration of leaves following
shoot decapitation and accelerated growth of coppice shoots. *Physiologia Plantarum,* **75,**
157-165.
Wang, W., Talide, L., Viljamaa, S. and Niittylä, T. (2022) Aspen growth is not limited by starch
reserves. *Current Biology,* **32,** 3619-3627.e3614.

Wiley, E., King, C. M. and Landhäusser, S. M. (2019) Identifying the relevant carbohydrate
storage pools available for remobilization in aspen roots. *Tree Physiology,* **39,** 1109-
1120.
Wormit, A., Trentmann, O., Feifer, I., Lohr, C., Tjaden, J., Meyer, S*., et al.* (2006) Molecular
identification and physiological characterization of a novel monosaccharide transporter
from Arabidopsis involved in vacuolar sugar transport. *Plant Cell,* **18,** 3476-3490.
Xu, Q., Chen, S., Yunjuan, R., Chen, S. and Liesche, J. (2017) Regulation of Sucrose
Transporters and Phloem Loading in Response to Environmental Cues. *Plant Physiology,*
176, 930-945.
Xue, L.-J., Frost, C. J., Tsai, C.-J. and Harding, S. A. (2016) Drought response transcriptomics
are altered in poplar with reduced tonoplast sucrose transporter expression. *Scientific*
Reports, **6,** 33655.
Zhang, C., Han, L., Slewinski, T. L., Sun, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z.-Y*., et al.* (2014) Symplastic
phloem loading in poplar. *Plant Physiology,* **166,** 306-313.
Zhang, J., Yang, Y., Zheng, K., Xie, M., Feng, K., Jawdy, S. S*., et al.* (2018) Genome-wide
association studies and expression-based quantitative trait loci analyses reveal roles of
HCT2 in caffeoylquinic acid biosynthesis and its regulation by defense-responsive
transcription factors in Populus. *New Phytologist,* **220,** 502-516.
Zhou, X., Jacobs, T. B., Xue, L.-J., Harding, S. A. and Tsai, C.-J. (2015) Exploiting SNPs for
biallelic CRISPR mutations in the outcrossing woody perennial *Populus* reveals 4-
coumarate:CoA ligase specificity and redundancy. *New Phytologist,* **208,** 298-301.
650
651

Figure Legends

FIGURE 1: Epicormic bud emergence and growth following coppicing.

(A-B) Representative images of *sut4* and control poplar stools under summer (A) and winter (B)

conditions immediately after coppicing (t= 0), during epicormic bud expansion (t=1), and during

sprout growth (t=2). (C-D) Bud emergence after summer (C) and winter (D) coppicing. Black

and grey represent control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Values are means ± SD of $n = 8$

biological replicates. The effects of time (t), genotype (g), or their interaction (t × g) were

assessed by a repeated measures two-way ANOVA. (E) Length of largest (dominant) epicormic

bud at t=1. Data are mean ± SD of $n = 8$ - 12 biological replicates. Statistical significance was

determined by Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. (F) Sprout biomass at t=2. Data

are mean ± SD of $n = 8$ - 12 biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by

Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. ns, nonsignificant; *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$;

***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 2: Non-structural carbohydrate abundance dynamics following coppicing.

(A) Sucrose, (B) Hexose (sum of fructose and glucose), and (C) Starch. Black and grey are for

control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Values are mean $\pm$ SD of *n* = 10 biological replicates. The

effects of time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction (t $\times$ g) were assessed by repeated measures

two-way ANOVA. Statistical significance for root starch levels at t=2 was determined by

Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. ns, nonsignificant; *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$;

***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 3: Epicormic bud length as a function of bark carbohydrate depletion under summer

and winter conditions.

(A-B) Bud length at t=1 versus sucrose (A) or starch (B) depletion between t=0 and t=1. Linear

regression trendlines are depicted in blue and red for control and *sut4*, respectively. Shading

highlights the 95% confidence intervals.

FIGURE 4: Xylem sap metabolites.

(A) Sucrose, (B) Hexoses, (C-D) Abundance ranking of the most prevalent metabolites after

sucrose and hexose in control and *sut4* sap in summer (C) and winter (D). Krebs cycle

intermediates are in green and amino acids are in brown colors, with control and *sut4* in darker

and lighter shading, respectively. Values represent the mean $\pm$ SE (*n* = 8-10). Statistical

significance between genotypes was determined by Student's t test. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$;

***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 5: Post coppice metabolic reprogramming in bark and xylem.

Heatmap depiction of $\log_2$-transformed metabolite response ratio (*sut4*/control) in bark (A) and

xylem (B). Blue and red colors indicate decreased or increased metabolite abundance in mutants,

while grey indicates not detected. Significance between genotypes was obtained by Student's t-

test and is indicated in bold underlined ($P \leq 0.01$) or bold font ($P \leq 0.05$).

FIGURE 6: Bark secondary metabolites

(A) Chlorogenic acids (sum of caffeoyl-quinate isomers), (B) salicortin, (C) tremulacin, and (D)

condensed tannins. Values represent the mean $\pm$ SD of $n = 8$ biological replicates. The effects of

time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction were assessed by repeated measures two-way ANOVA.

*, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 7: Bark chlorophyll content.

Changes in bark chlorophyll following summer (A) and winter (B) coppicing. Black and grey are

for control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 10$). The

effects of time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction were assessed by repeated measures two-way

ANOVA. *, $P \leq 0.05$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

Table 1. Total biomass of new sprout growth following coppicing in control and *sut4* poplar.

	Summer		Winter	
	Control	*sut4*	Control	*sut4*
Tissue dry mass (g)				
Leaf	10.97 ± 2.80	16.15 ± 3.40**	10.35 ± 1.55	9.55 ± 1.74
Stem	5.37 ± 1.35	7.55 ± 1.76**	5.22 ± 0.96	4.89 ± 0.78
Root[1]	11.47 ± 2.37	11.55 ± 2.76	10.88 ± 1.17	9.42 ± 1.42

Values represent mean and SD of $n = 6 - 11$ biological replicates. Student's *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance between genotypes. **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.
[1]Root biomass represent total growth pre- and post-coppicing.

FIGURE 1. Epicormic bud emergence and growth following coppicing.
(A-B) Representative images of *sut4* and control poplar stools under summer (A) and winter (B) conditions immediately after coppicing (t=0), during epicormic bud expansion (t=1), and during sprout growth (t=2). (C-D) Bud emergence after summer (C) and winter (D) coppicing. Black and grey represent control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Values are means ± SD of n = 8 biological replicates. The effects of genotype or time were assessed by a repeated measures two-way ANOVA and indicated by *P* values. t, time; g, genotype; t × g, time × genotype interaction. (E) Length of largest (dominant) epicormic bud at t=1. Data are mean ± SD of n = 8-12 biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. (F) Sprout biomass at t=2. Data are mean ± SD of n = 8-12 biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. ns, nonsignificant; *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 2: Non-structural carbohydrate abundance dynamics following coppicing.
(A) Sucrose, (B) Hexose (sum of fructose and glucose), and (C) Starch. Black and grey are for control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Values are mean ± SD of $n = 10$ biological replicates. The effects of time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction (t × g) were assessed by repeated measures two-way ANOVA. Statistical significance for root starch levels at t=2 was determined by Student's *t* test between control and *sut4* plants. ns, nonsignificant; *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 3: Epicormic bud length as a function of bark carbohydrate depletion under summer and winter conditions.
(A-B) Bud length at t=1 versus sucrose (A) or starch (B) depletion between t=0 and t=1. Linear regression trendlines are depicted in blue and red for control and *sut4*, respectively. Shading highlights the 95% confidence intervals.

FIGURE 4: Xylem sap metabolites.
(A) Sucrose, (B) hexoses, (C-D) abundance ranking of the most prevalent metabolites after sucrose and hexose in control and *sut4* sap in summer (C) and winter (D). Krebs cycle intermediates are in green and amino acids are in brown colors, with control and *sut4* in darker and lighter shading, respectively. Values represent the mean ± SE (*n* = 8-10). Statistical significance between genotypes was determined by Student's *t* test. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

		Bark						Xylem					
		Summer			Winter			Summer			Winter		
		t=0	t=1	t=2	t=0	t=1	t=2	t=0	t=1	t=2	t=0	t=1	t=2
amino acids	glutamate	-0.01	0.03	**0.35**	**-0.74**	**0.73**	**0.84**	-0.19	-0.54		-0.35	0.19	0.09
	threonine		-0.01	**0.58**	-0.16	-0.05	**0.78**				0.14	0.11	-0.03
	valine		0.17	**0.67**	-0.41	0.28	**0.66**				-0.22	-0.06	-0.12
	aspartate	**0.76**	**0.53**	**0.72**	-0.07	**0.53**	0.66				-0.01	0.12	-0.12
	lysine		0.17	**0.53**	-0.17	0.26	**0.52**						
	γ-aminobutyrate	**0.78**	**0.36**	**0.61**	**0.46**	**0.49**	0.37		0.07	-0.03	0.51	**0.69**	-0.08
	glutamine	0.28	-0.08	0.70	-0.05	**0.34**	0.21		-0.48	0.29	-0.41	-0.20	-0.16
	serine		0.30	**0.73**	-0.21	-0.04	0.10				-0.06	0.38	-0.61
	asparagine		1.13	**1.11**		0.79	-0.36		0.35	0.73		0.28	-0.03
	phenylalanine							0.24			**-0.57**	**0.74**	0.36
	arginine											**1.41**	0.22
Krebs cycle	citric acid	0.34	-0.02	0.12	**0.50**	**0.58**	**1.55**	0.05	0.09	0.34	**0.78**	**1.37**	**1.60**
	malic acid	-0.10	0.00	0.02	0.07	**0.53**	**0.50**	0.18	-0.07	-0.30	**0.62**	**0.82**	**0.83**
	succinic acid	0.00	**0.25**	0.11	-0.39	-0.16	0.17	-1.04	-0.68	-0.41	-0.11	-0.08	**-0.43**
phenolics	catechin	**-0.74**	**-0.61**	**-0.40**	**-0.56**	**-0.19**	0.03		-0.11	0.23	-0.70	**-1.42**	**-0.81**
	cinnamic acid	0.13	**0.27**	**0.24**	0.26	**0.43**	-0.03						
	shikimic acid	**0.43**	**-0.61**	**-0.71**	0.16	-0.42	-0.55				**-0.53**	-0.18	**-0.66**
	quinic acid	**-0.90**	-1.02	**-0.74**	**-0.76**	**-0.73**	**-0.69**	**-1.13**	**-0.83**	**-1.40**	**-0.52**	-0.42	**-1.13**
	p-coumaric acid				-0.17	**-0.60**	**-1.37**						
sugars and derivatives	sucrose	**0.11**	**0.80**	**1.15**	**0.34**	**1.12**	**1.27**	**0.51**	2.47	2.40	**0.80**	**1.68**	**0.69**
	melezitose	-0.05	0.08	0.05	**-0.74**	**0.56**	**0.57**						
	galactinol	0.29			**0.47**	0.11	**0.47**						
	arabinofuranose	0.07	0.35	0.28	**0.24**	0.18	**0.31**						
	fructose	0.03	-0.12	0.40	0.23	**0.28**	-0.16	-0.25	**-0.78**	-0.46	**-0.53**	0.07	**-0.59**
	myo-inositol	**0.33**	-0.13	-0.22	**0.27**	-0.13	-0.41	0.25	0.61	-0.01	**0.34**	**0.43**	0.13
	lactose	-0.32	-0.42	**-0.71**	**-0.38**	**-0.34**	**-0.65**						
	trehalose	**-1.08**	**-0.94**	**-0.88**	0.16	0.05	**-0.75**	-0.40			**-0.52**	-0.41	**-0.57**
	glucose	-0.20	**-1.09**	-0.48	-0.09	**-0.72**	**-1.13**	**-0.58**	**-1.23**	-1.08	**-0.76**	**-0.72**	**-0.89**
	raffinose	**1.06**	**-0.75**	**-1.23**	**2.07**	-0.51	**-1.15**						-0.07
	sorbose	0.08	-0.15	0.19	-0.13	0.56							
other	mucic acid	0.05	-0.07		**1.17**	**1.70**	**1.05**				**1.63**		**0.83**
	gluconic acid	-0.21			**1.24**	**1.16**	**0.43**				**1.90**	**1.81**	1.06

FIGURE 5: Post coppice metabolic reprogramming in bark and xylem.
Heatmap depiction of log$_2$-transformed metabolite response ratio (*sut4*/control) in bark (A) and xylem (B). Blue and red colors indicate decreased or increased metabolite abundance in mutants, while grey indicates not detected. Significance between genotypes was obtained by Student's *t*-test and is indicated in bold underlined ($P \leq 0.01$) or bold font ($P \leq 0.05$).

FIGURE 6: Bark secondary metabolites

(A) Chlorogenic acids (sum of caffeoyl-quinate isomers), (B) salicortin, (C) tremulacin, and (D), condensed tannins. Values represent the mean $\pm$ SD of n = 8 biological replicates. The effects of time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction were assessed by repeated measures two-way ANOVA. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.

FIGURE 7: Bark chlorophyll content.
Changes in bark chlorophyll following summer (A) and winter (B) coppicing. Black and grey are for control and *sut4* plants, respectively. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (*n* = 10). The effects of time (t), genotype (g) or their interaction were assessed by repeated measures two-way ANOVA. *, $P \leq 0.05$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$.